The Influence of Pine Island Ice Shelf Calving on Melting

Alexander Thomas Bradley¹, David Thomas Bett¹, Pierre Dutrieux¹, Jan De Rydt¹, and Paul Holland¹

¹British Antarctic Survey

November 30, 2023

The Influence of Pine Island Ice Shelf Calving on Basal Melting

3

9

10

11

A. T. Bradley¹, D. T. Bett¹, P. Dutrieux¹, J. De Rydt², P. R. Holland¹

4	¹ British Antarctic Survey, High Cross, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0ET, UK
5	² Department of Geography and Environmental Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne,
6	UK.
7	Key Points:
,	
0	• Melting of Pine Island Ice Shelf is sensitive to calving because of a seabed ridge
0	

- Melting of Pine Island Ice Shelf is sensitive to calving because of a seabed ridge beneath it which restricts warm water access to the shelf.
- The melt response to calving has a strong sensitivity to the cavity geometry and results primarily from circulation changes.
- Calving may be an important contribution to the ice-ocean sensitivity of the Antarctic Ice Sheet.

Corresponding author: Alexander T. Bradley, aleey@bas.ac.uk

14 Abstract

The combination of the Pine Island Ice Shelf (PIIS) draft and a seabed ridge beneath 15 it form a topographic barrier, restricting access of warm Circumpolar Deep Water to a 16 cavity inshore of the ridge, thus exerting an important control on PIIS basal ablation. 17 In addition, PIIS has recently experienced several large calving events and further calv-18 ing could significantly alter the cavity geometry. Changes in the ice front location, to-19 gether with changes in ice thickness, might relax the topographic barrier and thus sig-20 nificantly change basal melt rates. Here, we consider the impact of past, and possible 21 future, calving events on PIIS melt rates. We use a high-resolution ocean model to sim-22 ulate melt rates in both an idealized domain whose geometry captures the salient fea-23 tures of PIG, and a realistic geometry accurately resembling it, to explore how calving 24 affects melt rates. The idealized simulations reveal that the melt response to calving has 25 a sensitive dependence on the thickness of the gap between PIIS and the seabed ridge 26 and inform our interpretation of the realistic simulations, which suggest that PIIS melt 27 rates did not respond significantly to recent calving. However, the mean melt rate in-28 creases approximately linearly with further calving, and is amplified by approximately 29 10% relative to present day once the ice front reaches the ridge-crest, taking less than 30 one decade if calving maintains its present rate. This provides strong evidence that calv-31 ing may represent an important, but as yet unexplored, contribution to the ice-ocean sen-32 sitivity of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet. 33

³⁴ Plain Language Summary

The seabed beneath Pine Island Ice Shelf – the floating extension of Pine Island 35 Glacier – features a large ridge. The combination of this seabed ridge and ice shelf above 36 it reduce the amount of relatively warm water that is able to reach the ice shelf, there-37 fore restricting the amount of ice shelf melting that can take place. However, the ice shelf 38 has also lost large sections from its front in recent years, in a process referred to as calv-39 ing. In this paper, we investigate the combined effect of these two processes: how does 40 calving affect the melt rates on Pine Island Ice Shelf? Using numerical simulations of 41 the ocean flow beneath the ice shelf, we identify a potentially high sensitivity of melt rates 42 to calving, depending on the cavity geometry. This sensitivity is primarily related to changes 43 in the flow strength in the cavity inshore of the ridge. In addition, our simulations sug-44 gest that the melt rate will have an approximately linear dependence on the distance that 45 the ice front retreats in further calving events. These results provide strong evidence that 46 changes in the melting of ice shelves in response to calving might represent an impor-47 tant contribution to the response of West Antarctica in a changing climate. 48

49 1 Introduction

Pine Island Glacier (PIG), located in the Amundsen Sea sector of Antarctica, is 50 one of the fastest changing glaciers worldwide. A sustained increase in ice discharge and 51 surface velocity, as well as significant grounding line retreat, have been documented since 52 satellite measurements began in the 1990s (Rignot et al., 2002; Rignot, 2008; Rignot et 53 al., 2011; Mouginot et al., 2014; Gardner et al., 2018). PIG has experienced a 70% in-54 crease in grounding line ice flux and a close to doubling of surface velocity between 1974 55 and 2013 (Mouginot et al., 2014), while its grounding line retreated some 31 km at its 56 centre between 1992 and 2011 (Rignot et al., 2014). Increased basal melting of Pine Is-57 land Ice Shelf (PIIS) – the floating extension of the PIG's grounded ice – has been im-58 plicated as a key driver of these changes (Shepherd et al., 2004; Pritchard et al., 2012; 59 Rignot et al., 2019): ice shelves offer a resistive stress (commonly referred to as 'buttress-60 ing') that restrains the flow of grounded ice; increased basal melting can reduce ice shelf 61 volume and thus the buttressing they are able to provide (Gudmundsson, 2013; Reese, 62



Figure 1. (a) Seabed depth and (b) water column thickness under Pine Island Ice Shelf and in Pine Island Bay (colors) from Dutrieux et al. (2014). Also shown are the locations of the ice front in 2009 (red line) and 2020 (blue line), as indicated in (a). The solid black line indicates the grounding line from Joughin et al. (2010), and the background image is a Sentinel 2 mosaic from November 2020 (ESA, 2020). The black dashed line indicates the approximate location of the crest of the seabed ridge. The magenta contour in (b) corresponds to 125 m water column thickness. (c) Seabed bathymetry (black solid) and ice draft (black dashed) taken along the black dashed line in (a)–(b). (d) Plot of the ridge-draft gap measured along the black dashed line in (a)–(b) [i.e. the difference between the solid and dashed lines in (c)].

Gudmundsson, et al., 2018; Gudmundsson et al., 2019; Gagliardini et al., 2010; Goldberg et al., 2019; De Rydt et al., 2021).

In the Amundsen Sea sector, Circumpolar Deep Water (CDW) provides the main 65 source of heat that drives ice shelf melting. In this region, the pycnocline that separates 66 CDW from Winter Water (which sits above the CDW) remains mostly above the level 67 of the continental shelf break (Jacobs et al., 2015; Heywood et al., 2016). CDW is there-68 for able to spill onto the continental shelf and reach ice shelf cavities, providing signif-69 icant heat to the adjacent ice shelves for melting. The flux of CDW that is able to spill 70 over the continental shelf is a good proxy for the depth of this pycnocline; this flux (and 71 thus the depth of the pycnocline) is not constant, but varies significantly on decadal timescales 72 (Jenkins et al., 2018), as well as on seasonal and interannual timescales (St-Laurent et 73 al., 2015; Webber et al., 2017, for example). Years with a deeper average pychocline depth, 74 and thus thinner average CDW layer thickness, tend to result in lower annual meltwa-75 ter fluxes from ice shelves, and vice versa for years featuring a shallower pycnocline depth (Jacobs 76 et al., 2011; Dutrieux et al., 2014). 77

However, for PIG specifically, this simple 'pycnocline depth' picture is complicated
by the presence of a seabed ridge in the ice shelf cavity. This ridge is located several tens

of kilometers downstream of the grounding line, and protrudes up to three hundred me-80 ters above the neighboring seabed (figure 1a). In combination with the ice shelf directly 81 above it, the ridge acts as a topographic barrier, restricting the access of CDW to an in-82 ner cavity which has formed between the ridge and the ice shelf since the grounding line 83 retreated from this ridge in a process initiated in the late 1940s (Jenkins et al., 2010; De Rydt 84 et al., 2014; De Rydt & Gudmundsson, 2016; Smith et al., 2017). This cavity geometry 85 means that, at present, the strength of the topographic barrier, i.e. how much its pres-86 ence affects ice shelf melting, is strongly dependent on the pycnocline depth: at its shal-87 lowest, the pycnocline sits above the depth of the ridge crest, and a large amount of mod-88 ified CDW is able to spill into the inner cavity (Dutrieux et al., 2014); in contrast, at 89 its lowest, the pycnocline sits some way below the ridge crest and CDW access is severely 90 restricted. The presence of the seabed ridge thus contributes to the strong sensitivity 91 of PIIS melting to hydrographic conditions in Pine Island Bay (PIB): Dutrieux et al. (2014) 92 reported that the total freshwater flux from the fast flowing part of PIG in 2009 (80 km³). 93 when the pycnocline was at its shallowest depth on record (Webber et al., 2017), was more 94 than double the total freshwater flux in 2012 (37 km^3) , when the pychocline was at the 95 second-lowest recorded depth. 96

In addition to its topographic control on melt rates, the recent calving of PIIS also 97 stands out amongst Amundsen Sea terminating ice shelves. The ice front of PIIS retreated 98 approximately 26 km between 2009 and 2020 (figure 1a), with the majority of this re-99 treat happening over the period 2015–2020 (Lhermitte et al., 2020; Joughin et al., 2021). 100 This corresponds to a more-than-doubling of the calving rate, from approximately 4 km year⁻¹ 101 prior to 2015, to approximately 9 km year⁻¹ in the period 2015–2020 [the flow speed at 102 the ice front, for context, is approximately 5 km year⁻¹ (Joughin et al., 2021)]. Mass loss 103 from the Antarctic ice sheet is dominated by calving and melting (Rignot et al., 2013), 104 with equilibrium maintained when these losses balance the upstream accumulation of 105 ice; the recent retreat of the ice front of PIIS, however, suggests that the calving rate 106 is far higher than would be required to maintain an equilibrium. 107

As of 2020, the ice front is located approximately 20 km downstream of the ridge 108 (figure 1a), meaning that the ice front is now closer to the ridge crest than it is to the 109 location of the ice front in 2009. The immediate loss of buttressing associated with this 110 ice front retreat can explain the acceleration of PIG since 2015 (Joughin et al., 2021). 111 However, given that the topographic barrier to CDW relies on the combination of ice draft 112 and seabed ridge, the recent calving events beg the following question: has recent calv-113 ing of PIIS relaxed the topographic barrier, leading to significant changes in melting? 114 Increased melting of its ice shelf might lead to further reductions in ice shelf volume and 115 thus reduced buttressing on longer timescales, ultimately leading to ice shelf accelera-116 tion, thinning, and grounding line retreat. 117

In addition to considering the effect on melt rates of calving events that have al-118 ready happened, one might also consider how melt rates might respond to possible fu-119 ture calving events. It has been suggested that further significant calving of PIIS is likely, 120 since damage to the ice shelf that has already occurred is thought to have preconditioned 121 PIIS to collapse (Lhermitte et al., 2020). In addition, there is evidence (Pettit et al., 2021) 122 that the neighboring Thwaites ice shelf, which features similar damage and crevasse fea-123 tures to PIG, could collapse within as little as five years. Ice shelf thinning can enhance 124 the calving rate of an ice shelf (Liu et al., 2015). Therefore, if calving does indeed af-125 fect melting, there is the potential for a 'calving-melting' positive-feedback loop in which 126 ice shelf calving enhances melting and the resulting thinning promotes further calving. 127 Here we test the first link in this chain: does calving enhance melting? 128

We also suggest for the first time the possibility of a a calving-melting feedback loop which occurs via damage: should calving enhance ice shelf melting, this may lead to reduced buttressing and the resulting ice acceleration (Gudmundsson et al., 2019, for example) might enhance ice shelf damage (Sun et al., 2017, for example) and thus precondition the shelf for further calving (Lhermitte et al., 2020, for example). There is strong evidence for each step in this chain (indicated by the references) except for the first, whose possibility is assessed here.

In this study, we assess how, and why, melt rates on PIIS might respond to past, 136 and possible future, calving events. To do so, we use the Massachusetts Institute of Tech-137 nology general circulation model (MITgcm) (Marshall et al., 1997) to simulate the ocean 138 circulation in, firstly, an idealized setup, the geometry of which captures the salient char-139 acteristic features of PIIS and its cavity (most notably, a seabed ridge whose crest is in 140 proximity to the ice shelf base), and, secondly, a realistic setup, the geometry of which 141 closely matches real world conditions for PIIS. We begin in §2 with a description of the 142 idealized experiments, setting out details of the MITgcm and the experimental setup. 143 We identify one such experiment as a baseline, and present the results of this experiment 144 in §3. In §4, we describe how, and why, the melt rate varies as calving proceeds from this 145 baseline, focusing in particular on the individual roles of changes in boundary layer speed 146 and thermal driving in the changes to melt rates, following the approach of Millgate et 147 al. (2013). In the following two sections, we discuss how the picture of melt response to 148 calving presented in \$4 changes when the cavity geometry (\$5) and far field ocean con-149 ditions $(\S6)$ are altered. In $\S7$ we describe and present the results of the realistic exper-150 iments. Guided by the results of the idealized experiments, we then assess the expected 151 response of melt rates under PIIS to recent, and possible future, calving events. Finally, 152 we discuss the implications of our results in §8, and summarize the key results in §9. 153

¹⁵⁴ 2 Idealized Experiment Details

In this section, we describe the experiments performed in an idealized setup, which 155 we refer to as 'idealized experiments'. The idealized experiments have essentially the same 156 setup as De Rydt et al. (2014), albeit it with an updated model configuration, and sec-157 tions of the ice shelf removed to simulate calving. The domain features a seabed ridge 158 and ice shelf (see figure 2a), which are both uniform in the zonal direction (and thus so 159 too is the ridge-draft gap between them). In reality, however, the ridge-draft gap under 160 PIIS is non-uniform (figure 1c-d); to capture the effect of this variation, we consider the 161 melt response to calving for different thicknesses of the ridge-draft gap. We also consider 162 several different far-field ocean conditions ('hydrographic forcings'): as discussed in §1, 163 PIIS melt rates have a sensitive dependence on the hydrographic forcing, via the depth 164 of the pycnocline; we therefore postulate that the melt response to calving might sim-165 ilarly have a sensitive dependence on the hydrographic forcing, and investigate this ef-166 fect. The role of these idealized experiments, with a highly simplified geometry, is to al-167 low us to isolate the important roles that the thickness of the ridge-draft gap and the 168 hydrographic forcing play in the melt response to calving, as well as to elucidate the phys-169 ical mechanisms responsible for these changes. 170

We perform a total of 90 idealized experiments, each corresponding to a unique triplet 171 of parameters which respectively capture the thickness of the ridge-draft gap, the hy-172 drographic forcing, and the position of the ice front. These parameters are described in 173 the following two sections. By systematically shifting the ice front towards the (fixed) 174 grounding line between experiments, we simulate calving, and we use that name to de-175 scribe this procedure, but stress that our model includes neither calving dynamics, nor 176 associated processes such as mélange formation. Within each experiment, we solve for 177 the three-dimensional ocean circulation and associated melt rates simultaneously using 178 the MITgcm. Other than removing sections of the ice shelf, the ice shelf geometry does 179 not change between experiments. Ice shelves themselves enter the ocean model only via 180 the exchange of heat and salt at the ice-ocean interface and a steady pressure loading 181 on the ocean surface; in particular, ice dynamics are not taken into consideration when 182 determining the cavity geometry. A steady description of ice shelves is sufficient to as-183 sess the response of melt rates to ice shelf calving, since the changes in melt rate follow-184



Figure 2. (a) Schematic diagram of the experimental setup. The domain is uniform in the zonal direction (into the page), with extent 48 km. The ocean domain consists of the gridded area, which is bordered by a static ice shelf in y < 84 km (shaded blue) and a seabed (shaded brown), which features a prominent ridge. Solid, dashed and dot-dashed black curves indicate the location of the ice shelf base for W = 100 m, W = 150 m, and W = 200 m, respectively,as labelled [the profile of the ice shelf base is defined in equation (4)]. Solid blue lines indicate the series of ice front positions considered, which are located at 84, 80, 75, 70, 65, 60, 55, 50, 45, and 40 km offshore of the southern end of the domain at y = 0 km (the y-axis is oriented in this way for consistency with the orientation of PIG in practice, see figure 1). The shaded red region indicates the inner cavity, defined as the area within 30 km of the southern end of the domain. (b) Temperature and (c) salinity profiles used in the experiments. Different line styles correspond to different values of the pycnocline depth parameter P as follows: P = 600 m (solid), P = 700 m(dashed), P= 800 m (dot-dashed). Light and dark gray lines correspond to temperature and salinity profiles taken from conductivity, temperature, and depth measurements in Pine Island Bay during the austral summers of 2009 (Jacobs et al., 2011) and 2012 (Dutrieux et al., 2014), respectively.

ing calving occur on a timescale much shorter than that on which the ice geometry re sponds to perturbations in melting. In the following sections, we provide further details
 of the ocean model and experimental setup, including the motivation for our choices of
 parameters.

¹⁸⁹ 2.1 Details of Ocean Model

216

224

The MITgcm is a z-level general circulation model which includes a partial-cell treat-190 ment of topography, allowing an accurate description of the geometries of both the seabed 191 and ice draft. Our model grid consists of 110 layers with a vertical spacing of dz = 10 m. 192 and a horizontal resolution of dx = 400 m. We use the MITgcm in hydrostatic mode 193 with an implicit nonlinear free surface scheme, a third-order direct space-time flux lim-194 ited advection scheme, and a non-linear equation of state (McDougall et al., 2003). The 195 Pacanowski-Philander (Pacanowski & Philander, 1981) scheme parametrizes vertical mix-196 ing. Constant values of 15 and 2.5 m² s⁻¹ are used for the horizontal Laplacian viscos-197 ity and horizontal diffusivity, respectively. The equations are solved on an f-plane with 198 $f = -1.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$. 199

In each experiment, the MITgcm is run for twelve months, using a timestep of 30 seconds. After this spin-up time, the configuration is in quasi-steady state. For all experiments considered here, the melt rate is within 95% of its final value everywhere in the domain after at most three months. All results presented here are averaged over the final two months of the simulations.

As mentioned, ice shelves impact on the ocean state via the exchange of heat and 205 salt at the ice-ocean interface. This exchange is described in the MITgcm using the so-206 called 'three-equation formulation' (Holland & Jenkins, 1999), which parameterizes heat 207 and salt fluxes through the mixed turbulent boundary layer that forms adjacent to the 208 ice-shelf base, but is not resolved in the MITgcm using the resolution considered here. 209 The implementation of the three-equation formulation in MITgcm has been described 210 thoroughly elsewhere (Losch, 2008; De Rydt et al., 2014; Dansereau et al., 2014, for ex-211 ample) and so we do not describe it in detail here. It is useful to note, however, that ther-212 mal exchange across the ice-ocean interface is typically dominated by latent heat over 213 heat conduction into the ice (Holland & Jenkins, 1999); in the case of negligible heat 214 conduction, the three-equation formulation for melting reduces to 215

$$\dot{m} = rac{c_p \gamma_T \Delta T}{L}.$$

In (1), \dot{m} is the melt rate, $\Delta T = T - T_b$ is the thermal driving, with T the temperature in the mixed layer adjacent to the ice base and T_b the temperature at the ice shelf base, which must be at the local (depth and salinity dependent) freezing point. The quantity γ_T is a heat exchange-coefficient, which parametrizes exchange between the mixed layer and the ice shelf base, and c_p is specific heat capacity of the ocean water. In our version of the MITgcm, we assume that γ_T has a linear dependence on u^* (Holland & Jenkins, 1999), the ocean speed in the mixed layer. We can therefore write

$$\dot{m} \propto u^* \Delta T.$$
 (2)

(1)

We shall return to equation (2) when diagnosing the mechanisms responsible for the melt rate response to ice shelf calving. In all results shown here, u^* and ΔT are determined as the mean over a distance dz (the thickness of one grid cell) from the ice shelf base, as is standard in the MITgcm.

We use parameter values from Holland and Jenkins (1999) in (1)–(2), except for the drag coefficient in the three-equation formulation of melting, which is set to $4.5 \times$ 10^{-3} . This value of the drag coefficient is tuned so that the simulated total meltwater flux in the realistic experiments using a geometry closely matching that of PIG in 2009 (described further in §7) is in line with the total meltwater flux for 2009 estimated by Dutrieux
et al. (2014) based on observations (the simulated total meltwater flux is 86 km³ year⁻¹
while the Dutrieux et al. (2014) estimate is 80 km³ year⁻¹).

236

2.2 Ice Shelf Geometry and Seabed Bathymetry

The geometry of the idealized setup is shown schematically in figure 2a. It is uniform in the zonal direction, along which the x-axis is aligned, and the y-axis is aligned along the meridional direction. Note that although PIG is aligned approximately eastwest (figure 1), we orient this idealized model north-south, as is standard (Grosfeld et al., 1997; De Rydt et al., 2014) and the results are independent of this choice of orientation.

243

244

25

The seabed has a shifted Gaussian profile,

$$b(x,y) = -1100 + 400 \exp\left[-\frac{\left(y - 50 \times 10^3\right)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right],$$
(3)

where $\sigma = 12$ km is the length scale over which this profile decays towards zero. The profile (3) corresponds to a ridge that peaks at a height of 400 m above the background bathymetry, which is at a depth of -1100 m. This peak occurs 50 km from the southern end of the domain at y = 0 km, which we consider to be the grounding line (figure 2a).

In reality, the variability in both PIIS draft and the height of the seabed ridge re-249 sult in a ridge-draft gap that varies between approximately 100 m at its thinnest to more 250 than 300 m at its thickest (figure 1c-d). Since we use the same, zonally uniform, seabed 251 geometry (and, in particular, the same ridge height) in all of our idealized experiments, 252 we aim to gain insight into the effect of variation in the ridge-draft gap by considering 253 several different values of W – the vertical distance between the crest of the seabed ridge 254 and the ice shelf base (figure 2a). In our setup, W enters the model only via the ice shelf 255 draft profile; following De Rydt et al. (2014), we use an ice shelf draft given by 256

$$H(x,y) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{310+W}{2.64}\right) \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{5882}-3\right) & \text{for } y < y_f, \\ 0 & \text{for } y \ge y_f. \end{cases}$$
(4)

Here y_f is the variable location of the ice front (see below). In the following, we suppress the x dependence of b and H to reflect their zonal uniformity.

We stress that the ice draft profile (4) is not obtained from ice dynamics considerations, but selected for its qualitative similarity to PIIS: it includes a flatter section offshore of the ridge and a steeper section inshore of the ridge, thus resembling variations in the basal slope that have been inferred from radar and satellite data (De Rydt et al., 2014). Note that the combination of the bathymetry (3) and ice shelf draft (4) means that the water column thickness is small, but nonzero at the grounding line (figure 2a); this is because the MITgcm requires at least two grid cells in the vertical direction to permit horizontal transfer.

We consider three different values of W here: W = 100 m, W = 150 m, and W = 200 m. The smallest value, W = 100 m, corresponds to the minimum observed ridgedraft gap under PIIS (figure 1d), while the largest value, W = 200 m, corresponds to an upper bound above which there is little melt response to calving, as we shall see.

As mentioned, the front position y_f is systematically reduced between experiments to simulate calving. We consider a total of ten different ice front positions, using $y_f =$ 84, 80, 75, 70, 65, 60, 55, 50, 45, and 40 km, which correspond to calved lengths of $l_c =$ 84 - $y_f = 0, 4, 9, 14, 19, 24, 29, 34, 39,$ and 44 km, respectively. Those experiments with $l_c = 0$ km are referred to as 'uncalved' experiments, serving as a benchmark against which results for $l_c > 0$ km are compared. There are both pragmatic and physical reasons for choosing this particular range of values for l_c : the setup with $\ell_c = 0$ km has an ice shelf whose length is approximately equal to the observed distance of the ice front from the PIG grounding line in 2009, before significant calving took place in the late 2010s; the largest value, $l_c = 44$ km, is chosen as a compromise between allowing us to consider scenarios in which the ice front has been retreated significantly beyond the ridge, whilst retaining a large area that is shared by each experiment (as we discuss further in §3, the area over which melt rates are averaged must the same for each ice front position if we are to have a robust assessment of the melt response to calving).

286

2.3 Hydrographic Forcing

For each unique value of W and l_c , we perform three experiments, each with a different hydrographic forcing. The range of these hydrographic forcings covers that which has been observed in practice (see below). Comparing the results of these experiments gives us an indication of the sensitivity of our results to hydrographic forcing.

The hydrographic forcing is imposed on the model by means of a restoring bound-291 ary condition at the northern end of the domain (y = 128 km in figure 2a): at this bound-292 ary, the temperature and salinity are restored to specified vertical profiles, shown in fig-293 ures 2b and c, respectively, over a distance of five horizontal grid cells (total length 2 km) 294 with a restoring timescale that varies from 12 hours at the boundary to 60 hours in the 295 interior. The specified temperature and salinity profiles are piecewise linear functions 296 of depth: they are constant in both an upper (temperature -1° C, salinity 34 PSU, cor-297 responding to Winter Water) and lower layer (temperature 1.2°C, salinity 34.7 PSU, cor-298 responding to CDW), which are separated by a pychocline of 400 m thickness, across which 299 the temperature and salinity vary linearly. The pycnocline begins at a variable depth 300 P (a higher P corresponds to a deeper pychocline), which parametrizes the entirety of 301 the temperature and salinity profiles (figure 2b, c); the three hydrographic forcings we 302 consider have P = 600 m, 700 m, and 800 m. 303

These piecewise linear profiles are approximations to typical conditions for PIB (Jacobs 304 et al., 1996; Dutrieux et al., 2014; Jenkins et al., 2018) (figure 2b-c). As mentioned, the 305 record of hydrographic conditions in PIB has revealed significant variability in the depth 306 of the pycnocline on interannual timescales (Dutrieux et al., 2014); the profiles with P =307 600 m and P = 800 m are approximations to profiles observed in PIB in the the aus-308 tral summers of 2009 and 2012, respectively (figure 2b, c). These two observations ap-309 proximately span the range of observed conditions: in the 2009 observation, the aver-310 age depth of the pycnocline was at its shallowest level on record, while in the 2012 ob-311 servation the average depth of the pycnocline was at its second-deepest level on record (Webber 312 et al., 2017). It should also be noted, however, that these observations have a significant 313 seasonal bias, having been taken in austral summer. Since the pycnocline depth may vary 314 significantly on interannual timescales, this range of observations represents a lower bound 315 on possible observed conditions: in practice, there may have been hydrographic condi-316 tions occurring in PIB in the austral winter, or prior to the observational record, which 317 are more extreme than these 2009 and 2012 austral summer observations. However, we 318 restrict ourselves here to the range of P values suggested by available observations. 319

In summary, we perform a total of 90 idealized experiments, with each uniquely 320 identified by a (W, P, l_c) triplet, where $W \in \{100, 150, 200\}$ m, $P \in \{600, 700, 800\}$ m, 321 and $l_c \in \{0, 4, 9, 14, 19, 24, 29, 34, 39, 44\}$ km. We consider the experiment with 322 W = 100 m, P = 600 m, and $l_c = 0$ km to be the baseline; this corresponds to the 323 extreme scenario with the narrowest ridge-draft gap (the strongest topographic barrier), 324 the hydrographic forcing with the shallowest pycnocline (thickest CDW layer), and an 325 uncalved ice shelf. In the following section, we describe the results of the baseline ex-326 periment. In §4, we describe the results of applying the calving perturbation to the base-327 line, presenting results of those experiments with W = 100 m, P = 600 m, $l_c > 0$ km; 328 i.e. we describe the melt response to calving for W = 100 m, P = 600 m. In §5 and 329

 $\S6$, we respectively describe how the melt response to calving changes for the different values of W and P considered here.

332 3 Results for the Baseline Experiment ($W = 100 \text{ m}, P = 600 \text{ m}, \ell_c = 0 \text{ km}$)

In this section, we describe the baseline experiment with W = 100 m, P = 600 m, 334 which correspond to the solid lines in figure 2, and $l_c = 0$ km. Before we proceed, we 335 introduce the 'inner cavity' – the area of the ocean domain that is located within 30 km 336 of the grounding line (the southern boundary), which is indicated by the red-shaded re-337 gion in figure 2a. We use the mean melt rate in the inner cavity, referred to henceforth 338 as the 'inner cavity melt rate', as a single metric to quantify changes in melt rate with 339 calving. Since the melt rate is highly spatially variable (see below) it is necessary to con-340 sider a fixed area that is common to each experiment when assessing changes in melt rate 341 with calving. Indeed, averaging over the whole shelf, for example, would make smaller 342 shelves appear to have anomalously large melt rates, since the region of high melt close 343 to the grounding line would occupy a greater proportion of the entire shelf. Our choice 344 of 30 km in this definition reflects a compromise between enabling simulations in which 345 the ice front is retreated a significant distance beyond the ridge to be included (the small-346 est shelf we consider must be larger than the inner cavity, if the entirety of the inner cav-347 ity is to be included in each experiment), and considering a reasonably large section of 348 the uncalved ice shelf over which the melt rate is averaged. Crucially, this choice includes 349 the region adjacent to the grounding line, where changes in melt rate are particularly 350 important for the dynamics of the grounded ice sheet (Seroussi et al., 2014; Arthern & 351 Williams, 2017). Although the absolute values of the inner cavity melt rate are depen-352 dent on the length of region chosen in its definition, we verified that the trends and key 353 results presented here are independent of this choice. 354

Ice-ocean properties that characterize the baseline simulation are shown in figure 3. 355 Melt rates (figure 3a) are below 20 m year⁻¹ everywhere, except for within 20 km of the 356 grounding line, where the melt rate reaches a maximum of 120 m year⁻¹. The average 357 melt rate over the whole shelf is approximately 20 m year⁻¹; while this is lower than the 358 value of 33 ± 2 m year⁻¹ that was estimated by Jenkins et al. (2010) based on observa-359 tions in PIB in 2009 (to which the P = 600 m case corresponds), this discrepancy is 360 in the expected direction: the baseline simulation corresponds to the extreme scenario 361 in which the ridge-draft gap is set everywhere to the minimum gap that is observed in 362 practice, impeding the supply of warm water across the ridge. While the circulation is 363 vigorous everywhere inshore of the ridge, high melt rates are restricted to the area south 364 of y = 20 km because a cold and fresh meltwater plume sits adjacent to the ice-ocean 365 interface north of y = 20 km(figure 3f), which results in a thermal driving that is much 366 smaller to the north of y = 20 km than to the south it, where the ice is adjacent to warm 367 water [the melt rate is approximately proportional to the product of the ice-ocean mixed 368 layer circulation and thermal driving, see equation (2)]. 369

When the ice shelf is calved in the subsequent simulations, the only a priori im-370 posed change on the experiment is the water column thickness in those regions of the 371 domain in which the ice shelf is removed (the resulting buoyancy forcing also changes 372 but this emerges from the simulation a posteriori, rather than being imposed). It is there-373 fore instructive to consider the effect of changes in water column thickness on the flow 374 structure, which have influence only through the depth-averaged dynamics. We shall there-375 fore study the changes in the depth-averaged flow structure as calving proceeds to elu-376 cidate the mechanisms responsible for the melt response to calving. We refer to this pro-377 cedure as using a 'depth-averaged framework', but stress from the outset that we make 378 no assumption that the flow structure itself is depth-independent (indeed, it can be seen 379 in figure 3f that the water column is highly stratified). As we show below, the leading 380 order change in the circulation in response to calving is that of the depth-averaged flow 381



Figure 3. Ice-ocean properties in the baseline experiment (W = 100 m, P= 600 m, and ℓ_c 0 km). (a) Melt rate (colors) and ocean velocities (arrows, every fifth velocity vector is = plotted), averaged over the three grid cells adjacent to the ice-ocean interface. Empty areas correspond to open ocean. The white dashed line indicates the location of the ridge crest, along which the section in (e) is taken, and the magenta dashed line indicates the center line x= 24 km, along which the section in (f) is taken. (b) Ocean temperature (colors) and velocity (arrows) averaged over the three grid cells closest to the seabed. The scale bar for velocity vectors in (a) is also appropriate for (b). (c) Inverse water column thickness 1/h and (d) barotropic potential vorticity (colors) alongside barotropic stream function (contours) at levels 0.05 (green) 0 (red), -0.1, -0.3, -0.5, and -0.7 Sv (all black). (e) Zonal cross-section taken at the ridge crest up to the ice shelf base, showing the signed meridional speed. (f) Meridional cross-section showing potential temperature (colors) and salinity contours at the 34.2, 34.4, and 34.6 PSU levels, as indicated, taken along the centerline x = 24 km.

and, additionally, changes, in the circulation strength are primarily responsible for the
 melt response, which justifies focusing on the depth-average flow structure.

When considering depth-averaged flow structure, it is common to appeal to either 384 the barotropic potential vorticity (BPV) equation or the barotropic vorticity (BV) equa-385 tion (Mertz & Wright, 1992; Jackson et al., 2006; Patmore et al., 2019). The BV equa-386 tion is obtained by depth integrating the linearized momentum equations and then tak-387 ing the curl, yielding an equation involving the relative vorticity of the depth averaged 388 flow, $\bar{\zeta} = \partial \bar{v} / \partial x - \partial \bar{u} / \partial y$, where u and v are the components of the velocity in the x 389 and y directions, respectively, and overbars denote depth-averages. The BV equation ex-390 presses depth-integrated northward mass flux as the sum of terms relating to nonlinear 391 vorticity advection, surface and bottom stresses, viscous stresses, and the bottom pres-392 sure torque (Jackson et al., 2006). 393

In this paper, however, we focus on the BPV equation. This equation is obtained similarly, albeit with operations performed in a different order: the BPV equation is obtained by first taking the curl of the momentum equations and then depth averaging, which yields an equation including the depth average of the relative vorticity, $\zeta = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$. The BPV equation reads (Patmore et al., 2019)

399

400 401

$$\frac{\nu}{h}\nabla^2\zeta + \frac{1}{\rho_0 h}\mathbf{k}.\nabla \times \left(\frac{\tau_w - \tau_b}{h}\right) = \frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{D}t}\left(\frac{f+\zeta}{h}\right) \tag{5}$$

$$\approx \bar{v}f\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y}\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) + (\bar{u},\bar{v})\cdot\nabla\left(\frac{\zeta}{h}\right) \tag{6}$$

where h is the water column thickness. As the sea surface height anomaly is generally 402 small in comparison with the undisturbed water column thickness, we can assume that 403 h is the difference between ice shelf draft and the seabed, i.e. h = H - b. In (5)–(6), 404 ρ_0 is a reference density, τ_w is the surface stress, τ_b is the bottom stress, and **k** is the unit 405 vector pointing in the upwards vertical. In addition, $f = 2\Omega \sin \theta$ is the Coriolis frequency, 406 where $\Omega = 7.2921 \times 10^{-5}$ rad s⁻¹ is the rotation rate of the Earth and θ is the longi-407 tude. In practice, the relatively small size of the idealized domain means that θ , and thus 408 f, can be assumed constant. The approximation (6) results from this assumption, along-409 side that of a zonally uniform water column thickness, and steady state conditions. It 410 should be noted that baroclinicity does not enter into the BPV equation (5), which con-411 siders only depth-averaged quantities; the BPV equation would be identical for an ho-412 mogeneous, unstratified fluid (Mertz & Wright, 1992), but we do not make that assump-413 tion here. Thus baroclinic flow plays no role in depth-averaged flow across f/h contours, 414 which is described by the BPV equation. 415

As is standard, we refer to the the quantity $(f + \zeta)/h$ as the BPV, the terms on the left-hand side of (5) as viscous sources of BPV, and the first and second terms on the right-hand side of (6) as planetary and relative contributions to BPV, respectively.

Mertz and Wright (1992) and Jackson et al. (2006) expound upon the relative mer-419 its of the BV and BPV equations in detail. As mentioned, we choose to focus here on 420 the BPV equation (5), rather than the BV equation, when diagnozing the melt response 421 to calving in our depth-averaged framework. There are two reasons for doing so: firstly, 422 the BPV equation clearly exposes the relative and planetary contributions to BPV, al-423 lowing them to be directly compared, while the BV equation does not. Secondly, the BPV 424 equation includes the water column thickness explicitly, allowing us to directly assess its 425 influence of changes in the melt response to calving (recall that the water-column thick-426 ness is the quantity affected when we change the cavity geometry). Our intention here 427 is not to present a detailed potential vorticity balance of flow in ice shelf cavities, for which 428 additional insight could be gained from the BV equation. Rather we simply aim to use 429 the BPV equation to shed light on the important processes and mechanisms responsi-430 ble for the melt response to calving. We hope that the present work provides motiva-431

tion for future studies considering the potential vorticity budgets of ice shelf cavities, whichare currently lacking in the literature.

The barotropic potential vorticity equation (5) implies that in steady, inviscid flow 434 with no bottom or surface stress, a water column advected by the flow will conserve its 435 BPV. In our idealized domain, the water column thickness is approximately uniform in 436 the zonal direction, i.e. h varies only in the meridional direction (figure 3c). Therefore, 437 whenever depth-averaged flow travels in the meridional direction, it crosses 1/h contours, 438 and another contribution is required to balance the resulting BPV production. This can 439 be achieved by adjusting relative vorticity, ζ , but in places where that balance cannot 440 hold, viscous stresses intervene to complete the BPV balance. Plots of 1/h (figure 3c), 441 $(f+\zeta)/h$ (figure 3d), and the depth-averaged stream function (also referred to here as 442 the barotropic stream function, as is common) (figure 3c, d) allow us to determine where 443 in our domain each of the terms in equation (5) play an important role. In regions where 444 streamlines of depth-averaged flow (contours of constant barotropic streamfunction) fol-445 low east-west aligned contours of constant water column thickness (lines of constant color 446 in figure 3c), relative and viscous sources of vorticity are small; where contours of con-447 stant BPV (lines of constant color in figure 3d) deviate from these east-west aligned f/h448 contours, relative vorticity plays an important role; finally, viscous stresses play an im-449 portant role where streamlines of depth-averaged flow (lines in figure 3d) deviate from 450 contours of constant BPV. 451

The ice front and the seabed ridge are the two predominant discontinuities in the 452 water column thickness, and therefore act as BPV barriers: in order to cross these fea-453 tures in a meridional direction, depth-averaged flow must either change its relative vor-454 ticity or be subject to viscous stresses. These BPV barriers divide the domain up into 455 three regions: inshore of the ridge, offshore of the ridge (but under the ice shelf, referred 456 to as the 'outer cavity'), and the open ocean. (Note that the barriers also block baro-457 clinic currents, but we do not consider this effect, in accordance with our use of a depth 458 framework.) 459

In the open ocean, the combination of boundary restoring to salty water at the re-460 gion's northern boundary and ice shelf freshwater influx at its southern boundary tilts 461 the isopycnals, resulting in a cyclonic circulation. Note that, except for in the vicinity 462 of the ice front, the gyre in the open ocean has uniformly spaced streamlines (figure 3c): 463 the flow is not faster along the lateral boundaries than it is on the north and south boundaries. This corresponds to a uniform relative vorticity, which is consistent with the ap-465 proximately constant water column thickness in the interior of this region. At the ice front, 466 there is a vertical wall. In any flow crossing this wall, relative vorticity cannot balance 467 the planetary BPV contribution (the flow cannot gain or lose vorticity over a zero length 468 scale). Instead, the requirement for sudden shear causes viscous terms [left-hand side of (5)] 469 to arise: the depth-averaged flow uses viscous contributions to balance the planetary vor-470 ticity contribution as it crosses the ice front (seen as deviations between colors and con-471 tours in figure 3d). During the spinup, the gyre in the open ocean increases in strength 472 up until the shear at the ice front generates enough viscosity to permit depth-averaged 473 flow to cross the ice front. This allows the heat flux, which causes melting thus tilting 474 the isopycnals, to be maintained. 475

The same competition between boundary restoring and ice shelf freshwater flux, 476 means that the depth-averaged dynamics inshore of the ridge are also dominated by a 477 large cyclonic gyre. It is interesting to note the subtle differences between the circula-478 tion in this region and that in the open ocean; these differences ultimately arise because 479 480 north-south depth-averaged flow in the inner cavity requires contours of constant water column thickness to be crossed: another contribution to BPV is required to balance 481 the associated planetary vorticity contribution. To see this, consider the south-west quad-482 rant of the inner cavity: when the depth-averaged flow in this region is northward, into 483 a thicker water column, the planetary source term is positive $(f < 0, \bar{v} > 0, d(1/h)/dy < 0)$ 484

0); one way in which a relative vorticity source can balance this with a negative value 485 is if the flow gains cyclonic (negative) vorticity ($\bar{v} > 0, \partial \zeta / \partial y < 0$). This can be ex-486 tended to the other quadrants: if the depth-averaged flow is heading southwards, then 487 the sign of these terms switches, and if the depth-averaged flow is heading into a thinner water column, they switch again. As a result, the relative vorticity becomes more 489 negative in the south-west and north-east quadrants, and less negative in the north-west 490 and south-east quadrants. These changes explain why the depth-averaged flow is inten-491 sified on the eastern and western boundaries: the depth-averaged flow must intensify (stream-492 lines converge) to produce the required relative vorticity changes, i.e. the meridional to-493 pography variation is concomitant with zonal intensification of the meridional depth-averaged 494 flow 495

The outer cavity sits between the two regions hosting strongly topographically con-496 strained circulations to its north and south. There is little flow in this region: the anti-497 cyclonic circulation that forms there (figure 3c) is much weaker than that in the open 498 ocean or inshore of the ridge. This flow is not strong enough to generate the shear, and 499 thus vorticity, that would be required at the ridge crest to balance planetary vorticity 500 in southward flow across it (note the zero depth-averaged contour at the ridge crest, fig-501 ure 3b). Just offshore of the ridge, flow is directed eastwards, parallel to the ridge crest. 502 Where this jet meets the eastern domain boundary, flow is able to cross the ridge, pro-503 viding the region inshore of the ridge with warm water from offshore of the ridge (fig-504 ure 3b, e). This flow into the cavity is subject to high shear stresses, as inferred from 505 the strong vertical velocity gradients on the eastern boundary of the ridge crest (figure 3e). 506 The total flux into the cavity across the entire ridge (approximately 0.01 Sv) is small in 507 comparison with the typical barotropic fluxes in the cavity, which are on the order of 0.3 Sv 508 (inferred from the streamlines of the baroclinic streamfunction, figure 3c), i.e. the bound-509 ary current flushing of the inner cavity is relatively weak in comparison with the inner 510 cavity circulation. 511

In the absence of significant flow across the ridge, the inshore side of the ridge hosts meltwater, which is recirculated rather than flushed out. Warm CDW entering the region inshore of the ridge at the eastern boundary mixes with this meltwater as it crosses the ridge, causing it to be lightly modified, becoming modified CDW. As a result, the bottom temperature is slightly cooler (approximately 0.8°C) inshore of the ridge than offshore (approximately 1.3°C, figure 3b).

In summary, the baseline simulation has a strong BPV barrier which restricts depth-518 averaged flow from crossing the ridge, and this blocked barotropic component in turn 519 reduces the flow of CDW into the inner cavity. Strong cyclonic gyres are spun up in the 520 open ocean and in the region inshore of the ridge, while in the outer cavity, the circu-521 lation is weak: the two cyclonic gyres are dynamically disconnected from one another. 522 A boundary current at the eastern end of the ridge crest, which is subject to high shear, 523 provides a modest source of lightly modified CDW, and thus heat for melting, to the re-524 gion inshore of the ridge. The hosting of cold meltwater on the ice-ocean interface in-525 shore of the ridge means that high melt rates are restricted to a region just downstream 526 of the grounding line. The viscous contribution to vorticity is the important term in com-527 pleting the BPV budget when the water column thickness changes at the ice front, while 528 relative vorticity balances BPV production associated with water column stretching in-529 shore of the ridge. 530

⁵³¹ 4 Melt Response to Calving

In this section, we describe how, and why, the inner cavity melt rate responds when sections of the ice shelf are sequentially removed from the ice shelf in the baseline configuration. The inner cavity melt rate as a function of the calved length l_c is shown in figure 4a. We see that, while the ice shelf front is located far offshore of the ridge ($l_c <$



Figure 4. (a) Mean inner cavity melt rate as a function of the calved length l_c . The black dashed line indicates the position of the ice front when it is located directly above the seabed ridge. (b) Velocity-thermal driving decomposition: decomposition of changes in inner cavity melt rate relative to the baseline experiment into changes associated with boundary layer speed U_e [green curve, equation (7)] and thermal driving ΔT_e [red curve, equation (8)]. The blue curve indicates the change in melting relative to the baseline (uncalved) experiment [equation (9)]. The green dashed line indicates the depth-averaged velocity effect \bar{U}_e [equation (10)].

14 km), removing sections of ice results in a weak increase in the inner cavity melt rate. 536 However, as the ice shelf front is retreated further towards the ridge, the melt rate in-537 creases more strongly with calving, reaching a maximum of 73 m year⁻¹ (70% larger than 538 in the baseline simulation) when the ice shelf is located approximately 5 km north of the 539 ridge crest. Perhaps surprisingly, retreating the ice front slightly further to sit directly 540 above the ridge crest results in a significant decrease in the inner cavity melt rate of ap-541 proximately 15% (from 73 m year⁻¹ to 64 m year⁻¹). Finally, the inner cavity melt rate 542 is approximately independent of ice front position when the ice front is located inshore 543 of the ridge $(l_c > 34 \text{ km})$. 544

To understand the reasons for this melt response to calving, it is instructive to return to equation (2), which indicates that the melt rate is proportional to the product of the boundary layer speed and thermal driving. Guided by this equation, we can decompose changes in the inner cavity melt rate relative to the baseline simulation into changes in boundary layer speed and thermal driving by first computing (Millgate et al., 2013)

$$U_e(l_c) = \frac{\int_{\rm IC} u^*(x, y; l_c) \,\Delta T(x, y; l_c = 0) \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y}{\int_{\rm IC} u^*(x, y; l_c = 0) \,\Delta T(x, y; l_c = 0) \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y},\tag{7}$$

$$\Delta T_e(l_c) = \frac{\int_{\rm IC} u^*(x, y; l_c = 0) \ \Delta T(x, y; l_c) \ dxdy}{\int_{\rm IC} u^*(x, y; l_c = 0) \ \Delta T(x, y; l_c = 0) \ dxdy},\tag{8}$$

551 552

559

550

where 'IC' refers to the inner cavity. Recall that $u^*(x, y; l_c)$ and $\Delta T(x, y; \ell_c)$ are the boundary layer velocity and thermal driving, respectively, that emerge from the experiment in which the ice front is located at $y = l_c$, and are computed as the mean over a distance equal to the grid resolution dz from the ice shelf base. The quantities (7)–(8) are referred to as the 'boundary layer speed effect' and 'thermal driving effect', respectively. They are compared, for a given calved length l_c , to the quantity

$$\mathcal{M}(l_c) = \frac{\int_{\rm IC} u^*(x, y; l_c) \,\Delta T(x, y; l_c) \,\mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y}{\int_{\rm IC} u^*(x, y; l_c = 0) \,\Delta T(x, y; l_c = 0) \,\mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y},\tag{9}$$

which is the relative change in melting over the baseline simulation.

Figure 4b shows the quantities (7)–(9) as a function of l_c . Here, a melt response to calving that results exclusively from changes in thermal driving would be indicated by indistinguishable blue and red curves, and a green curve that takes the value unity for all ℓ_c ; a melt response that results exclusively from changes in boundary layer velocity would be indicated by indistinguishable blue and green curves, and a red curve that takes the value unity for all ℓ_c . Henceforth, we refer to this comparison as a 'velocitythermal driving decomposition'.

The velocity-thermal driving decomposition (figure 4b) indicates that both changes 568 in the boundary layer velocity and thermal driving play an important role in the melt 569 response to calving, i.e. neither plays a dominant role. When the ice front is located off-570 shore of the ridge $(l_c < 30 \text{ km})$, ice front retreat results in increases in both the bound-571 ary layer velocity and thermal driving: these increases are complementary, acting in uni-572 son to increase the inner cavity melt rate as calving proceeds. When the calving front 573 is retreated to sit above the ridge, the thermal driving effect increases further, while the 574 velocity effect decreases sharply, corresponding to a significant reduction in the bound-575 ary layer velocity at this point. This reduction in cavity circulation outweighs the increase 576 in thermal driving, leading to an overall reduction in the inner cavity melt rate. When 577 the ice shelf is calved further beyond the ridge, both the thermal driving and boundary 578 layer velocity effects are approximately constant. 579

As mentioned, we shall assess the impact of calving on the behaviour primarily by considering its effect on the depth-averaged flow structure. To that end, we consider also the 'depth-averaged velocity effect', $\bar{U}_e(\ell_c)$, which is computed as in (7) albeit with depthaveraged, rather than boundary layer, speeds:

$$\bar{U}_e(\ell_c) = \frac{\int_{\rm IC} |\bar{\mathbf{u}}(x, y; l_c)| \ \Delta T(x, y; l_c = 0) \ \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y}{\int_{\rm IC} |\bar{\mathbf{u}}(x, y; l_c = 0)| \ \Delta T(x, y; l_c = 0) \ \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y},\tag{10}$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{u}} = (\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ is the depth-averaged velocity.

584

The agreement between the trends of the U_e and \overline{U}_e curves (figure 4b) suggests that changes in boundary layer velocity are closely related to changes in depth-averaged speed, and provides support for our use of depth-averaged framework when diagnosing the melt response to calving.

We identify two regimes in the melt response to calving. In the first regime, the 590 ice front is located offshore of the ridge, $\ell_c < 30$ km and the behavior is qualitatively 591 similar to the uncalved case. In particular, the strong BPV barrier provided by the ridge 592 and ice draft remains in place, and depth-averaged flow is unable to cross the ridge. The 593 modest transport of warm water across the ridge, towards the inner cavity, occurs pri-594 marily via an eastern boundary jet, and the cavity circulation is vigorous. A topograph-595 ically constrained cyclonic circulation is spun up inshore of the ridge, and this remains 596 disconnected from the cyclonic circulation that is spun up in the open ocean (figure 5a) 597 because of the presence of a relatively stagnant outer cavity region. 598

As ice front retreat proceeds within this regime, the total meltwater flux from the 599 shelf (the area integrated melt rate) reduces ($\ell_c = 0-29$ km in figure 5b). This reduc-600 tion is the non-trivial outcome of a competition between a reduction in melting area and 601 an increase in ocean temperature inshore of the ridge as the ice shelf front is retreated. 602 On the one hand, a smaller ice shelf means a reduction in the area over which melting 603 is applied, promoting a reduced meltwater volume. On the other hand, a reduction in 604 meltwater leads to reduced mixing between the cold outflow and the warm inflow across 605 the ridge, so the temperature of the warm water that enters the cavity increases, pro-606 moting an increased melt rate. In this case, the effect of reductions in shelf area slightly 607 outweighs the associated increase in melt rate when determining the overall meltwater 608



Figure 5. (a) Contour plots of melt rate (colors) and barotropic stream function (contours, black at -0.1, -0.3, -0.5, and -0.7 Sv levels, magenta at the 0 Sv level, and green at the 0.05 Sv level) in the idealized simulations with P = 600 m and W = 100 m. The calved length l_c increases from 0 km in the first row to 44 km in the final row. The white sections indicate open ocean. The gray dashed line indicates the location of the ridge crest and the white dashed line indicates the extent of the inner cavity region [also in (b)]. (b) Contour plots of potential temperature Θ (colors) and salinity (contours, at levels 34.2, 34.4, and 34.6 PSU, i.e. as in figure 3) taken along the centreline of the domain. The white sections at the top and bottom of each subplot indicate the ice shelf and seabed ridge, respectively. In both cases, the color bar at the top of the column is appropriate for each row in the column.



Figure 6. Contour plots of (a) inverse water column thickness 1/h and (b) barotropic potential vorticity $(f + \zeta)/h$ (colors) alongside barotropic stream function (black contours) at levels -0.1, -0.3, -0.5, and -0.7 Sv, as indicated, for the simulation in which the ice front is located directly above the ridge ($\ell_c = 34$ km). (c) Zonal cross-section of the meridional velocity taken at the ridge crest, up to the ice shelf base.

flux, and is consistent with an increase in the thermal driving for $\ell_c < 30$ km (figure 4b). The associated increase in melt rate in the inner cavity leads to a stronger buoyancy flux, driving a slightly stronger circulation (increase in \bar{U}_e in figure 4b), which itself enhances melting locally.

The second regime takes effect when the ice front is located above the seabed ridge 613 crest. In this case, only a single PV barrier – the ice front, which now sits at the ridge 614 crest – remains. The region offshore of the ridge, which previously hosted a weak cir-615 culation that separated the strong cyclonic gyres in the open ocean and in the region in-616 617 shore of the ridge, no longer exists, permitting these two gyres to connect dynamically (figure 6a–b). The flow at the ridge crest now has a vigorous barotropic component (fig-618 ure 6c); north-south barotropic flow across the ridge is permitted because the planetary 619 vorticity requirement associated with such flow can be satisfied by viscous sources as-620 sociated with the ice front. It can be seen (figure 6a-b) that relative vorticity and vis-621 cous sources of BPV are small (contours of the depth-averaged streamfunction aligned 622 with contours of constant water column thickness) everywhere except for at the ice front 623 and at the domain boundaries. Furthermore, viscous stresses only play an important role 624 in completing the BPV budget at the ice front (indicated by deviating BPV colours and 625 depth-averaged streamfunction contours in figure 6b): the viscous contribution to vor-626 ticity is important at the ice front, while relative vorticity balances BPV production as-627 sociated with water column stretching inshore of the ridge. 628

The satisfaction of the planetary vorticity requirement by viscous sources permits 629 a depth-averaged flow of approximately 0.1 Sv to cross the ridge at its western side (fig-630 ure 6), providing a large amount of heat to the inner cavity, while meltwater is efficiently 631 flushed out of the cavity on the eastern side of the ridge (figure 6c). The region inshore 632 of the ridge is almost entirely flooded with warm water (figure 5b); although this means 633 that there is much more heat available for melting (thermal driving effect increases when 634 the ice front coincides with the ridge crest, figure 4b), the more efficient cavity flushing 635 leads to a concomitant reduction in circulation strength (figure 4b). The reduction in 636 circulation strength outweighs the increase in thermal driving, ultimately leading to a 637 reduction in the melt rate (figure 4a). 638

We can shed light on this reduction in circulation that occurs when depth-averaged 639 flow is able to cross the ridge by considering the balance that must be struck between 640 the heat source from the northern restoring boundary and the heat sink that results from melting. The melt rate (and its heat sink) is set by the local ocean temperatures and 642 flow speeds, which in turn control the amount of heat that the wider circulation must 643 supply from the northern boundary in order to be maintained. We can consider the sys-644 tem as two processes: in one process the heat is transferred from the inner-cavity to the 645 ice to set the melt rate, and in the second, heat is transferred from the northern restor-646 ing boundary into the inner cavity, to supply the first process. The latter may be achieved 647 by either vertical overturning or horizontal circulation. However, the horizontal circu-648 lation mode is the more efficient mode for transferring heat since it can accommodate 649 shear over long (order 10 km) lengthscales, rather than the small (order 10 m) vertical 650 scales on which shearing must occur in an overturning circulation. Additionally, hori-651 zontal transfer does not require density gradients or viscous processes (such as Ekman 652 transport) or lateral boundary layers to facilitate it. When the ice front is located off-653 shore of the ridge, heat is supplied to the inner cavity via a high-shear overturning cir-654 culation in a boundary layer, which might require a strong barotropic circulation inshore 655 of the ridge to generate the steep density gradients and shear required to support it (fig-656 ure 3e). However, once depth-averaged flow can cross the ridge, this heat is much more 657 efficiently supplied to the inner cavity (figure 6c) by horizontal transfer, and a strong barotropic 658 circulation is not required to facilitate heat provision. 659

This 'highly calved' picture remains when the ice front is retreated beyond the ridge. The gyres in the open ocean and in the region inshore of the ridge are connected, per-



Figure 7. (a) Inner cavity melt rate as a function of the calved length l_c for W = 100 m (grey, as in figure 4a), W = 150 m (blue), and W = 200 m (green), each with P = 600 m. (b)–(c) Velocity-thermal driving decomposition for (b) W = 150 m and (c) W = 200 m. In each plot, the black dashed line indicates the position of the ice front when it is located directly above the seabed ridge. The legend in (b) is also appropriate for (c).

mitting a significant depth-averaged flow to cross the ridge, which efficiently flushes the inner cavity with warm water. Thermal driving is enhanced, but cavity circulation is reduced, when compared to the situation in which the ice front is located offshore of the ridge. Melt rates become independent of ice front position once the ice front has retreated beyond the ridge, which indicates that the ridge only plays a role in the melt response to calving when it has an ice shelf overlying it (and the ridge-draft gap is small enough, as will be shown).

In summary, when the ice front is located offshore of the ridge, the ridge-draft BPV 669 barrier prevents depth-averaged flow from crossing ridge and the inner cavity is weakly 670 flushed with warm water via a high-shear boundary flow at the eastern wall. As the ice 671 front retreats, mixing with meltwater at this boundary is reduced; the heat content, and 672 thus melt rate, in the inner cavity increases, leading to enhanced circulation and further 673 increasing melt. As the ice front is retreated to the ridge crest, viscous vorticity exchanges 674 at the ice front permit depth-averaged flow to cross the ridge. This flow efficiently flushes 675 the inner cavity with warm water, providing a large amount of heat for melting, but is 676 accompanied by a reduction in cavity circulation. The reduction in circulation outweighs 677 the increase in heat to ultimately reduce the inner cavity melt rate, compared to when 678 the ice front is located offshore. 679

680

5 Effect of Cavity Geometry on Melt Response to Calving

In the previous section, we analyzed how the inner cavity melt rate responds to ice front retreat, and discussed the mechanisms responsible, in the case that the gap between the ice draft and ridge-crest is narrow. The strength of the topographic barrier that restricts warm water access to the inner cavity was identified as an important control on this response. In this section, we describe how this picture changes for larger values of W (in particular, for W = 150 m and W = 200 m), which lead to a weaker topographic barrier at the ridge crest.

Figure 7a shows the inner cavity melt rate as a function of calved length l_c for W =150 m and W = 200 m in the P = 600 m case. We focus first on the W = 200 m case, which is characterized by inner cavity melt rates that are largely independent of



Figure 8. Response of ocean characteristics to calving in the idealized experiments with P = 600 m and W = 200 m. This plot is as in figure 5, albeit for the experiment with P = 600 m and W = 200 m. Note that in (a), the -0.7 Sv contour does not appear in the region inshore of the ridge for any value of ℓ_c .

the ice front position. As before, we use a depth-averaged framework to diagnose the be-691 havior, noting that in both the W = 150 m and W = 200 m cases, there is also strong 692 correlation between boundary layer and depth average velocity effects (figure 7b-c), as 693 in the W = 100 m case. Barotropic stream function contours are shown alongside meridional cross-sections for the W = 200 m case in figure 8 (the corresponding figure for 695 W = 100 m is figure 5). Recall that in the W = 100 m case two regimes are observed, 696 which are delineated by whether depth-averaged flow is able to cross the ridge or not: 697 firstly, when the ice front is located offshore of the ridge, there is a 'blocked' regime in 698 which the strong BPV barrier that the ridge-crest and ice draft presents means that depth-699 averaged flow is prevented from crossing the ridge and, secondly, when the ice front is 700 located above, or inshore, of the ridge, there is a 'connected' regime in which the gyres 701 in the open ocean and inshore of the ridge become dynamically connected and depth-702 averaged flow is able to cross the ridge. In the W = 200 m case, however, the BPV bar-703 rier at the ridge crest is much weaker than in the W = 100 m case, and depth-averaged 704 flow is able to cross the ridge, even when the ice front is located offshore of the ridge (fig-705 ure 8a). The blocked regime is never realized with W = 200 m: for all values of ℓ_c , the 706 regions inshore and offshore of the ridge are dynamically connected. The system behaves 707 in a qualitatively similar way to the W = 100 m connected regime, with the inner cav-708 ity efficiently flushed with modified CDW (figure 8b), and experiencing weak circulation 709 710 (figure 8a), regardless of the ice front position, and ice front retreat has little effect. In particular, this removes the tendency for both increasing temperature and circulation 711 that we see in the W = 100 m case as the ice front is retreated towards the ridge. This 712 invariance to ice front position also holds for larger ridge-draft gaps (W > 200 m), a 713 finding that is consistent with the results of De Rydt et al. (2014). 714

The W = 150 m case has features in common with both the strong response end 715 member case (W = 100 m) and the weak response end member case (W = 200 m). 716 This case shares the following features with the W = 100 m case: there is a reasonable 717 sensitivity to ice front position (although it is somewhat smaller than in the W = 100 m 718 case), the inner cavity melt rate reaches a maximum when the ice front is located off-719 shore of the ridge crest (figure 7a), and the reduction in inner cavity melt rate for val-720 ues of ℓ_c above that at which the maximum melt rate is attained results from a reduc-721 tion in the inner cavity circulation which outweighs an increase in thermal driving (fig-722 ure 7b). In contrast to the W = 100 m case, however, this scenario does not display 723 a threshold-like behavior in which the inner cavity melt rate drops suddenly as the calv-724 ing front reaches the top of the ridge. The weaker BPV barrier in the W = 150 m case 725 means that, as in the W = 200 m case, the blocked regime is never realized; the thresh-726 old behavior, which occurs at the transition between the two regimes, is therefore sup-727 pressed. 728

⁷²⁹ 6 Effect of Hydrographic Conditions on Melt Response to Calving

Before moving on to assess how the inner cavity melt rate responds to calving in the realistic simulations, we briefly consider how the picture presented in the previous two sections changes depending on the choice of hydrographic forcing. Since we consider a constant ridge height, variations in the difference between the pycnocline depth and the height of the ridge crest, which we expect to be a key driver of the quantity of warm water that is able to spill over the ridge and into the inner cavity, is captured here by variability in the value of P (figure 2).

The inner cavity melt rate and velocity-thermal driving decomposition for the experiments with P = 700 m (hydrographic forcing as in the dashed profiles in figures 2b and c) and with P = 800 m (dot-dashed profiles) are shown in figures 9a and b, respectively. The results for P = 700 m are similar to those for P = 600 m: for the narrowest gap (W = 100 m), the inner cavity melt rate is sensitive to the ice front position, increasing rapidly as the ice front is retreated towards the ridge crest, before dropping



Figure 9. (a)–(b) Inner cavity melt rate as a function of calved length l_c in idealized simulations with (a) P=700 m and (b) P=800 m. Colors correspond to different values of W, as indicated by the legend in (b). The black dashed line indicates the location of the crest of the seabed ridge. The inset in (a) shows the sensitivity to the pycnocline position – the ratio of the inner cavity melt rate for P = 700 m and P = 800 m [i.e. the ratio of the data represented by the green lines in (a) and (b))] – as a function of the calved length l_c . (c)–(e) Velocity–thermal driving decompositions for the P = 800 m data shown in (b): (c), (d), and (e) correspond to the results for W = 100 m, W = 150 m, and W = 200 m, respectively, as indicated.

off sharply when the ice front reaches it, and does not change under further ice front re-743 treat beyond the ridge. In addition, the sensitivity of melt rate response to calving re-744 duces as the gap widens. A velocity-thermal driving decomposition for the experiments 745 with P = 700 m (not shown) is qualitatively similar to the P = 600 m case discussed 746 above, suggesting that the mechanisms for the response are as discussed in §4. The sim-747 ilarity between the P = 600 m and P = 700 m cases is perhaps unsurprising when 748 framed in terms of the relationship between the depth of the pycnocline and the height 749 of the ridge crest: in both cases, the CDW layer extends all the way to the top of the 750 ridge (see figure 2) and thus the seabed ridge alone does not provide a significant bar-751 rier to CDW access to the inner cavity. 752

In the P = 800 m case, while the ice front is located offshore of the ridge, the melt 753 rate is either constant, or increases, as the ice front is retreated, depending on the value 754 of W (figure 9b). A reduction in the ice-ocean boundary layer velocity is responsible for 755 a slight drop in inner cavity melt rates as the ice front is retreated towards the ridge crest 756 (figure 9c–e), as in the P = 600 m and P = 700 m cases. Beyond this point, however, 757 the P = 800 m case differs from the P = 600 m and P = 700 m cases: retreating the 758 ice front beyond the ridge results in an increase in the inner cavity melt rate (figure 9b), 759 which is associated with a reversal of the reduction in boundary layer velocity (figure 9c-760 e), i.e. the boundary layer velocity increases on average when the ice front is retreated 761 beyond the ridge (however, it should be noted that despite this increase, the inner cav-762 ity melt rate in situations where the ice front has retreated beyond the ridge is still lower 763 in the P = 800 m case than in the P = 700 m and P = 800 m cases, see figure 9a-764 b). The important difference in this case is that the seabed ridge alone is able to pro-765 vide a significant barrier that prevents warm water from reaching the inner cavity; the 766



Figure 10. Response of ocean characteristics to calving in the idealized experiments with P = 800 m and W = 200 m. This plot is as in figure 5 for the experiment with P = 800 m, W = 100 m.



Figure 11. (a) Ice front positions used in experiments designed to assess the response of the PIIS melt rate to calving. Each experiment corresponds to a different ice front position: labelled red and orange ice fronts correspond to the 2009 and 2020 front positions, respectively, while the yellow, purple, dark green, and light green ice fronts labelled F1-F4 correspond to possible future ice front positions. The solid black line indicates the location of the 2009 grounding line from Joughin et al. (2010). The blue dashed line roughly indicates the centreline of the cavity, along which the calved length - the difference between the ice front in the respective experiments and the 2009 ice front – is measured. The cyan (north) and magenta (south) boxes indicate the inner cavity regions considered in the experiments (see main text). The background image is a Sentinel 2 mosaic from November 2020 (ESA, 2020). (b) Inverse water column thicknesses 1/hused in the experiment with the 2009 ice front. Ice front positions and inner cavity regions are as in (a). Note that the boundary between the inner cavity regions (indicated by a solid gray line) is approximately aligned with a region of locally enhanced 1/h, indicating the presence of a topographic barrier between the northern and southern inner cavity regions. Inset: plot of the (vertical) gap between the ridge crest and the ice draft, measured along the black dashed line in the main figure. Cyan and magenta shaded sections correspond to locations north and south of the blue dashed centreline in (a), respectively.

CDW layer in the outer cavity does not extend over the top of the ridge (figure 10). As calving proceeds beyond the ridge, the thermal driving does not saturate (as in all the cases discussed above), but continues to increase, and the concomitant increase in glacial melt results in a stronger circulation (figure 9c).

771 7 Assessing the Melting Response of PIIS to Calving

The experiments described in §2–6 reveal how melt rates near the grounding line in idealized geometries with a uniform ridge-draft gap may respond sensitively to calving, with the sensitivity of response depending heavily on the thickness of the ridge-draft gap. These idealized experiments inform our understanding of similar experiments in a realistic domain, which are designed to assess the response of melt rates to PIIS calving. In this section, we describe these experiments, and present and analyze the results.

778 7.1 Experiment Details

Our experiments with a realistic setup are designed to assess the possible response 779 of PIIS melt rates to calving in practice. To do so, we solve for the three-dimensional, 780 quasi-steady ocean circulation and associated melt rates simultaneously in a PIG cav-781 ity geometry [from Dutrieux et al. (2014), and described briefly below], using the ocean 782 model described in §2.1. In particular, we use the same grid resolution (400 m in the hor-783 izontal and 10 m in the vertical), timestep (30 seconds) and spin-up time (12 months) 784 as in the idealized simulations. We consider six different ice shelf topographies, each of 785 which has a unique ice front position. The locations of these ice fronts are shown in fig-786 ure 11: the first experiment ('2009' labelled curve in figure 11a) uses an ice shelf geom-787 etry that corresponds to PIIS in 2009 (Dutrieux et al., 2014). The second experiment 788 ('2020' labelled curve in figure 11a) uses the 2009 ice shelf draft, but with a section of 789 ice removed so that the ice front matches that obtained in 2020, whose position was de-790 termined from a Sentinel 2 mosaic of PIG (ESA, 2020). The four further experiments 791 (labelled F1–F4 in figure 11) similarly use the 2009 ice shelf draft but with sections of 792 fast flowing ice (i.e. within the shear margins) removed (figure 11). We stress that, as in the idealized experiments, the ice thickness, and thus grounding line position and ice 794 shelf draft, at existing shelf locations remains the same in each experiment, and only the 795 ice front position varies. 796

The sub-ice shelf cavity geometry is computed from the ice and seabed geometry, 797 as described by Dutrieux et al. (2014). Briefly, Dutrieux et al. (2014) calculated the ice 798 shelf geometry from a 40 m-resolution digital elevation model (DEM) of the ice freeboard 799 from 2008 (Korona et al., 2009), which was adjusted with a constant median bias from 800 observations obtained from the Autosub underwater autonomous vehicle (Jenkins et al., 801 2010). This DEM assumes freely floating ice throughout the shelf, which may reduce its 802 accuracy close to the grounding line. Over the continental shelf, the seabed geometry 803 is well known from ship echo-sounding (Dutrieux et al., 2014), while in the cavity it was 804 calculated from an inversion of gravimetry data and corrected point-wise using the me-805 dian difference between the depth from the gravimetry inversion and the Autosub ob-806 servations. We consider a single hydrographic forcing, corresponding to observed 2009 807 conditions in Pine Island Bay (dark grey lines in figure 2b-c), to which the ocean is re-808 stored far from the ice shelf. 809

The ridge-draft gap under PIIS is not uniform but varies from approximately 100 m 810 at its narrowest to more than 400 m at its widest (inset in figure 11b). The ridge-draft 811 gap can be approximately partitioned into a northern section, where the gap is relatively 812 narrow, and a southern section, where the gap is relatively wide. A region of locally el-813 evated f/h running east-west (solid gray line in figure 11b) meets the approximately north-814 south aligned seabed ridge at the junction between these wide and narrow sections (see 815 figure 11); this east-west aligned section is created by a thick ice keel in the center of the 816 ice stream and extends all the way to the grounding line, partitioning the region inshore 817 of the north-south aligned ridge into a northern inner cavity (cyan box in figure 11a) and 818 a southern inner cavity (magenta box). The east-west aligned section of locally elevated 819 f/h provides a BPV barrier between the two inner cavity regions, which are therefore 820 approximately dynamically disconnected (figure 12a). In the following, we therefore eval-821 uate the melt response to calving in the two inner cavity regions separately. 822

7.2 Results

823

Cavity circulation (figure 12a) and melt rates (figure 13a) in the uncalved (2009) experiment are qualitatively similar to the corresponding baseline idealized experiment: melt rates are concentrated near to the grounding line, reaching a peak of approximately 120 m year⁻¹ several kilometers downstream of the grounding line, while remaining below 20 m year⁻¹ over the majority of the shelf. This pattern of simulated melt rates un-



Figure 12. Simulated barotropic stream function (labelled black contours) and inverse water column thickness 1/h (colors and gray contours) in (a) the 2009 ice front scenario, (b) the 2020 ice front scenario and (c)–(f) the future ice front scenarios F1–F4. The gray contours correspond to water column thicknesses of 200, 400, 600, 800, and 1000 m and are simply intended to guide the eye around the background colormap. Magenta and cyan dashed boxes indicate the extent of the northern and southern inner cavity regions, respectively.

der PIIS is consistent with observations (Dutrieux et al., 2013) and other numerical simulations of cavity circulation under PIIS (Heimbach & Losch, 2012, for example). A cyclonic circulation spins up within both inner cavity regions, and in the open ocean offshore of the ice front, while a weak anti-cyclonic circulation spins up in the outer cavity between the seabed ridge and the ice front. Barotropic stream function contours largely follow the contours of constant water column thickness (figure 12a).

Figures 13b–f show the melt rate anomalies relative to the previous front position: 835 red (blue, respectively) locations on these maps indicate areas in which the melt rate in-836 creases (decreases) when the ice front is retreated from its position in the next largest 837 ice shelf. When the ice front is retreated from its 2009 position to its 2020 position, melt 838 rates within 10 km of the ice front increase significantly (figure 13b). This is attributed 839 to high velocities associated with overcoming the topographic barrier at the new ice shelf 840 front, as well as the formation of a reasonably strong gyre in the newly exposed open ocean, 841 which is covered by the ice shelf in the 2009 configuration (figure 12b). This double gyre 842 pattern is qualitatively similar to observations taken in PIB in 2020 (Yoon et al., 2022). 843 The gyre adjacent to the ice shelf results in a strong circulation along the ice front and 844 this stronger circulation promotes a higher melt rate which in turn provides a freshwa-845 ter source to further enhance the local buoyancy forcing and thus flow speed. Melt rates 846 in both inner cavity regions do not change significantly when the ice front is retreated 847 from its 2009 position to its 2020 position: the average melt rate in the northern and south-848 ern regions increases by approximately 0.2 m year⁻¹ and 1.2 m year⁻¹ respectively (fig-849 ure 14a, c). 850

Melt rates in the simulations with ice fronts retreated beyond the 2020 position display complex patterns of change, which include large regions of both positive and neg-



Figure 13. (a) Simulated melt rate in the 2009 Pine Island geometry. Cyan and magenta dashed boxes [also in (b)–(f)] indicate the northern and southern inner cavity regions (see figure 11), where the highest melt rates are concentrated. (b)–(f) Non-cumulative melt rate anomaly in the simulations (i.e. measured relative to the previous panel). The colorbar in (b) is appropriate for each of (b)–(f). Note that melt rate anomalies in (b) are saturated to a maximum of 20 m year⁻¹ in the vicinity of the ice front (the maximum anomaly is approximately 30 m year^{-1}). In each case, the ice shelf front and 2009 grounding line from Joughin et al. (2010) are shown as a solid black line, and an estimate of the location of the ridge crest is shown as a black dashed line.



Figure 14. (a), (b) Average melt rate in (a) the northern inner cavity region (cyan box in figure 11) and (b) the southern inner cavity region (magenta box) of the PIG geometry as a function of calved length l_c . The distance l_c is measured along the blue dashed line in figure 11a, taken relative to the 2009 ice front position (red front in figure 11a). (c) Percentage change in melt rate compared to the 2009 ice front experiment ($\ell_c = 0 \text{ km}$) as a function of calved length ℓ_c for the northern inner cavity region (cyan curve), southern inner cavity region (magenta curve) and the entire inner cavity region (black curve). (d), (e) Velocity-thermal driving decomposition for the changes in melt rate shown in (a) and (b), respectively. As indicated by the legend in (d), blue, red, and green curves correspond to simulated changes \mathcal{M} , velocity effects U_e , and thermal driving effects ΔT_e , respectively. In each of the plots, the black dashed line approximately corresponds to the calved length when the ice front sits approximately above the ridge crest.

ative anomalies (figure 13c-f). Melt rates do not change significantly in the first 'future' 853 scenario (F1), in which the ice front is still located some way offshore of the ridge, in qual-854 itative agreement with the idealized results. However, melt rates in the vicinity of the 855 northern shear margin increase dramatically when the ice front is retreated to a posi-856 tion (F2) that sits (approximately) above the seabed ridge (figure 13d), and this region 857 of enhanced melt rates extends almost all the way to the grounding line. With the ice 858 front immediately above the seabed ridge, the outer cavity region no longer exists; this 859 is reminiscent of the idealized results in which there is a qualitative change in the be-860 havior when the outer cavity disappears and the only remaining regions of closed f/h861 space are the inner cavity and the open ocean. 862

We show in figure 14a and b the mean melt rate as a function of calved length for 863 the northern and southern inner cavity regions, respectively. In the northern inner cav-864 ity region, the mean melt rate remains approximately constant until the ice front approaches 865 the seabed ridge. As the ice front approaches the ridge, the mean melt rate increases sharply, 866 before remaining approximately constant as the ice front is retreated further. In the south-867 ern inner cavity region, the mean melt rate is less variable (in terms of percentage change, 868 figure 14c), but the overall trend is that it increases while the ice front is located down-869 stream of the seabed ridge, before dropping temporarily when the ice front is retreated 870 to the ridge and subsequently increasing again. More quantitatively, the mean melt rate 871

in the northern inner cavity region reaches a peak that is approximately 12% larger than present day, which is first realized when the ice front is retreated to the ridge (figure 14c). Although the mean melt rate in the southern inner cavity region decreases when the ice front is retreated to the ridge (figure 14d), the melt rate in the entire inner cavity increases at this point (figure 14c). Indeed, the mean melt rate in the entire inner cavity increases approximately linearly after the first calving event (figure 14c).

Our interpretation of these results is guided by the idealized simulations presented 878 in $\S3-6$. The northern inner cavity region is shielded by a relatively narrow gap between 879 the seabed ridge and the ice draft (figure 11c), and its melt response to calving behaves 880 in a qualitatively similar way to idealized results with narrower gaps ($W \leq 150$ m). A 881 velocity-thermal driving decomposition of these changes in melt rates (figure 14d) indi-882 cates that, as in the corresponding idealized case, both increases in thermal driving and 883 velocity contribute to the increases in melt rate with calving while the ice front is located 884 offshore of the ridge, and that a reduction in the boundary layer velocity is responsible 885 for the decrease in melt rates when the ice front is retreated beyond the ridge. This sug-886 gests that the enhancement in melt rates with calving while the ice front is located offshore of the ridge is driven by increased heat reaching the inner cavity and a concomi-888 tant increase in buoyancy forcing and thus circulation strength. As in the idealized case, 889 there is a change in behaviour when the calving front reaches the ridge, with the trend 890 of increasing melt rate with calving reversed, although in this case it is experienced as 891 a saturation of the melt rates, rather than a strong reduction, as in the idealized case. 892 The melt rate is approximately independent of the ice front position once the ice front 893 has retreated beyond the ridge, which is again in agreement with the idealized exper-894 iments. 895

The southern inner cavity region sits inshore of a relatively wide gap between the 896 seabed ridge and the ice draft (figure 11). As was the case for idealized simulations with 897 wide gaps (W > 200 m), the mean melt rate is less sensitive to the ice front position 898 than it is with a narrow gap, i.e. for the northern inner cavity region (figure 14c). This 899 reduced response is consistent with the corresponding idealized picture, in which depth-900 averaged flow is able to cross the ridge to supply warm water to the saturated inner cav-901 ity in each experiment, i.e. regardless of ice front position. This is borne out in figure 12, 902 which indicates that depth-averaged flow is able to cross the ridge to the southern in-903 ner cavity in each of the idealized experiments. Thus calving only has limited influence. 904

905 8 Discussion

The results of the previous section suggest that the recent calving of PIG did not 906 lead to increases in melting in either the shear margins or near the grounding line, which 907 are particularly important for buttressing of the grounded ice sheet (Reese, Gudmunds-908 son, et al., 2018). Our simulations therefore provide evidence that there will be no fur-909 ther buttressing losses associated with increased shelf melting as a result of the recent 910 calving. Should this lack of response play out in practice, it might promote a negative 911 feedback on ice shelf loss, encouraging its regrowth: the recent calving led to an accel-912 eration of the grounded ice (Joughin et al., 2021), and thus an increase of the flux of ice 913 into the shelf (assuming that ice thickness at the grounding line remained unchanged); 914 a constant ice shelf mass balance can only be maintained if melting increases. The lack 915 of increase in melting after the 2020 calving event might have, therefore, shifted the shelf 916 mass balance towards positive, promoting regrowth of the ice shelf. 917

There is evidence (Lhermitte et al., 2020, for example) that damage to the PIIS has preconditioned it to break up and further ice front retreat is inevitable. Our experiments suggest that for any ice front position upstream of the present day position, further ice front retreat will result in an increase in melting. This suggests that the first chain in the calving-melt feedback loop (calving leads to increased melting and thus further

calving, or calving leads to increased melting, reduced buttressing, acceleration and dam-923 age and thus further calving) is never broken, supporting the possibility of such feedback 924 processes occurring in West Antarctica. Investigating the detailed response of PIG to 925 calving events would require the use of a coupled ice-ocean model, and is beyond the scope 926 of this study. However, we do note here the complexity of this potential coupled response, 927 highlighting two examples of processes involved (which themselves interact): firstly, an 928 increase in melting would be expected to widen the gap between the ridge and the ice 929 shelf base, relaxing the topographic barrier and thus potentially increasing the melt rate 930 further, whilst simultaneously exposing the shelf to colder water, which might promote 931 a reduction in melting. Secondly, if the ice shelf were to accelerate in response to enhanced 932 melting, it be expected to experience dynamic thinning, with the potential to feed back 933 on melting via geometric effects, as well as increasing the steady state calving rate, po-934 tentially resulting in ice shelf regrowth. 935

Our results suggest that the mean melt rate in the inner cavity will increase ap-936 proximately linearly with calving beyond the 2020 front, and in particular, that the mean 937 inner cavity melt rate will have increased by approximately 10% when the calving front 938 sits above the ridge. A 10% increase in melt rates in the inner cavity region corresponds 939 to an increased mass loss of approximately 3 Gt/year and could represent an important 940 contribution to ice shelf mass imbalance. However, it should be noted this value reflects 941 only changes in the inner cavity regions, which are a small fraction of the total ice shelf 942 area; perhaps more important would be the effect of a 10% increase in melting in the vicin-943 ity of the grounding line, which is particularly important for buttressing the ice sheet. 944 In addition, the spatial pattern of changes in melting indicates that these increases are 945 often focused around the shear margins. The shear margins of Pine Island Ice Shelf are 946 important for buttressing of the grounded ice sheet (De Rydt et al., 2021, for example), 947 as well as being the areas most prone to damage and where cracks in ice shelves are of-948 ten initiated, potentially triggering further calving. Thus, large melt anomalies in response 949 to calving in these regions may have profound consequences for the grounded ice sheet. 950 In addition, ice shelf thinning resulting from large melt anomalies in the shear margins 951 of PIIS might result in enlargement of the basal channels which have been reported to 952 exist there (Alley et al., 2019), thus localizing melting and further weakening the ice-shelf 953 margins. 954

The magnitude of changes in melting in response to calving for the southern in-955 ner cavity region (for which the offshore ridge-seabed gap is wide) are similar to the cor-956 responding idealized simulations, i.e. reasonably small. For the northern inner cavity re-957 gion (narrow ridge-seabed gap), however, the magnitude of changes in melt with calv-958 ing are smaller than the corresponding idealized experiments predict, even though the 959 changes are qualitatively similar. We attribute this difference in magnitude to the com-960 plexities of the ice draft and seabed in the realistic simulations, as well as to our split-961 ting of the inner cavity into two sub-regions, which relies on the assumption that they 962 are entirely dynamically disconnected. Although a strong topographic barrier exists be-963 tween them, some flow is able to cross this barrier, providing a connection between the 964 two regions. This inner cavity decomposition is a convenient tool which permits us to 965 account for some of the effect of the inhomogeneity in ridge-draft gap along its length, 966 but further work is required to fully understand the role of variations in the ridge-draft 967 gap in controlling basal melt rates on PIIS. It is also interesting to note that northern 968 and southern inner cavity regions respond differently to the retreat, despite the exact 969 same ocean conditions; their differing responses are only due to the different geometries 970 and changes in ocean dynamics, highlighting again the importance of cavity geometry 971 in controlling the melt response to calving. 972

The sensitivity of the melt response to the cavity geometry identified in the idealized simulations means that the observed response may in fact be somewhat different to that predicted here: if, for example, the ridge-draft gap is, in practice, smaller than

that used in our realistic simulations (there are reasonable uncertainties in the ice draft 976 and bathymetry beneath the shelf), the melt response to calving might be significantly 977 larger. Furthermore, the ice draft is not constant in time but is influenced by ice dynam-978 ics and mass balance; advection of thicker sections of the ice shelf to the ridge crest would, 979 for example, be expected to narrow the ridge-draft gap and thus increase the sensitiv-980 ity of melt rates to calving, and vice versa for the advection of thinner sections of ice. 981 Our idealized simulations also suggest that the melt-gap geometric feedback identified 982 by De Rydt et al. (2014), in which increases in the ridge-draft gap lead to an increase 983 in the melt rate and thus further ridge-draft gap widening, holds for any ice front po-984 sition offshore of the ridge. However, other geometric feedbacks not considered here may 985 promote stabilization of the ice shelf. In particular, we speculate that the complex pat-986 tern of melt rate anomalies described in §7 might promote the formation of basal chan-987 nels, for which there is evidence of an associated reduction in melt rates (Millgate et al., 988 2013, for example), thus potentially providing a stabilizing effect on the ice shelf. 989

On the decadal timescales on which ice sheets respond to perturbations in melt-990 ing, variability in the depth of the pycnocline dominates ocean variability in the Amund-991 sen Sea. Our idealized simulations point to a reduction in the sensitivity to pycnocline 992 depth with calving once the ice front is reasonably close to the ridge provided that the 993 gap is relatively thin $(l_c > 20 \text{ km} \text{ on the inset of figure 9a, which is appropriate for } W =$ 994 100 m). However, for thicker gaps (W > 150 m), the sensitivity to pychocline depth 995 is largely independent of the ice front position (figure 9a, b). This conclusion is also borne 996 out in our realistic experiments: supplementary experiments (not shown) using the re-997 alistic geometry, with the ocean restored to 2012 conditions in PIB far from the ice shelf, 998 reveal that the ratio between the mean melt rate in the northern inner cavity region (narrow gap) with 2009 boundary conditions and the mean melt rate in the same region with 1000 2012 boundary conditions is 1.52 for the largest ice shelf we consider, and 1.43 for the 1001 smallest. However, for the southern inner cavity region (wide gap), this ratio shows lit-1002 the change between the largest (1.29) and smallest (1.31) ice shelves we consider. The 1003 combination of a reduction in sensitivity in the northern box and an invariance in the 1004 southern box as calving proceeds suggests that PIIS melting may experience a reduc-1005 tion in the sensitivity to changes in ocean conditions in the Amundsen Sea in the future, 1006 assuming that the ice front continues to retreat. This motivates further study into fu-1007 ture changes of the sensitivity of Amundsen Sea sector ice shelves to far field oceanic con-1008 ditions. 1009

It should also be noted that summer bias of observations means that the range of 1010 hydrographic conditions considered here is a lower bound: hydrographic conditions dis-1011 playing either a thicker or thinner CDW layer may have occurred during seasons when 1012 sampling is not possible or prior to the observational record beginning. Our idealized 1013 simulations suggest that the melt response to calving is more sensitive in configurations 1014 featuring a thicker CDW layer in the boundary restoring profile (via a lower P value); 1015 should calving occur at a moment when the CDW layer corresponds to a P value be-1016 youd the range considered here, we might expect the melt response to calving to be larger 1017 than that described here (and vice versa for calving that occurs when the CDW layer 1018 is thinner than that considered here). 1019

The results presented in this paper have implications for cavity scale melt rate parametriza-1020 tions used in future projections of ice sheets and associated sea level rise. Such parametriza-1021 tions take the ice shelf geometry and far field ocean conditions as inputs, and return a 1022 field of melt rates over the entire ice shelf, often by developing a conceptual model of the 1023 circulation within the cavity. At present, no such cavity scale melt rate parametrization 1024 is able to account for the position of the ice front, seabed topography, or indeed any BPV 1025 barrier, when computing the melt rate (Asay-Davis et al., 2017; Reese, Albrecht, et al., 1026 2018; Bradley et al., 2022). Although the example of PIG is somewhat extreme in this 1027 BPV barrier sense, we have demonstrated that the combination of seabed ridge and ice 1028

front position, which ultimately act as topographic BPV barriers, can exert an important control on the melt rate applied to an ice shelf. Ultimately, our results suggest that current cavity scale melt rate parametrizations must be improved to account for the seabed ridge if they are to be provide robust assessments of the future evolution of the Antarctic ice sheet.

It is important to note that MITgcm has a plethora of parameter choices and nu-1034 merical settings, which might have an impact on the results of the experiments. These 1035 include choices of grid resolution, which are 400 m in the horizontal (to ensure mesoscale 1036 1037 eddies are well resolved) and 10 m in the vertical. The same experiments ran at higher vertical resolution (5 m) did not change the results significantly, although results were 1038 somewhat different for lower resolution (20 m); this is perhaps unsurprising given that 1039 exchange over the ridge crest, which we have shown to be important in controlling the 1040 inner cavity melt rate, is expected to be sensitive to vertical resolution. Agreement with 1041 the higher resolution results gives us confidence that the experiments presented here re-1042 flect exchange processes over the ridge crest that are appropriately resolved. 1043

Finally, it is important to note that forcing in our experiments comes exclusively 1044 from buoyancy fluxes associated with ice shelf melting and restoring at the boundaries. 1045 In particular, the experiments include neither surface heat and freshwater fluxes nor sea 1046 ice, which would be expected to alter the horizontal density gradients and thus circu-1047 lation in the open ocean. In addition, they do not include wind stresses, which provide 1048 1049 a leading order control on heat content and circulation in Pine Island Bay (Dutrieux et al., 2014), and have the potential to be particularly influential because wind driven cur-1050 rents are barotropic and thus more heavily influenced by topographic barriers. 1051

1052 9 Summary

The central aim of this study is to understand how, and why, melt rates on Pine Island Ice Shelf might respond to calving events that have already taken place recently, and those that might occur in the future. To address this question, we have performed numerical simulations in both an idealized domain, and one that is representative of PIIS.

The idealized experiments allowed us to isolate parametric dependencies in the melt 1057 response to calving, and elucidate the mechanisms responsible for it. We identified a sensitive dependency on the cavity geometry via the parameter W that describes the gap 1059 between the seabed ridge and the ice draft: configurations with a narrow gap ($W \lesssim 150$ m) 1060 have a large response to calving, whereas those with wide gaps (W > 150 m) do not. 1061 We identified two key regimes for configurations whose cavities have narrow gaps: in the 1062 first regime, the ice front is located offshore of the ridge, and the inner cavity melt rate 1063 increases with calving, and the change in melting for a given calved length increases as 1064 the ice front approaches the ridge. In the second regime, the ice front is located at or 1065 inshore of the ridge, and melt rates are significantly reduced compared to when the ice 1066 front is just offshore of the ridge if the pycnocline is relatively high, or enhanced further 1067 if the pycnocline is relatively deep. In contrast, for configurations with wide gaps, the 1068 melt rate is largely independent of the location of the ice front. We identified the rel-1069 ative roles of changes in circulation and thermal driving in the melt response to calving, 1070 and described how these roles are modulated by depth-averaged flow across the ridge and 1071 topographic barriers in the domain. The results for wide gaps suggest that melt rates 1072 are insensitive to ice front position in ice shelf cavities with no seabed ridge. Although 1073 these idealized results are intended to inform our understanding of melt rate changes be-1074 neath Pine Island Glacier, they can also be considered to be an archetype for situations 1075 in which the seabed geometry restricts the access of warm water to the grounding line 1076 of an ice sheet. 1077

The idealized experiments informed experiments performed using a cavity geom-1078 etry that closely resembles PIIS, designed to assess how melt rates on PIIS might respond 1079 to calving in practice. This geometry has two inner cavity regions, which are approxi-1080 mately dynamically disconnected due to a thick ice keel across the center of the glacier. 1081 One of the inner cavity sections sits inshore of a narrow section of the ridge-draft gap; 1082 in this region the melt rate increases with calving while the ice front is located offshore 1083 of the ridge, before saturating with further calving beyond the ridge. In contrast, the 1084 other cavity section sits inshore of a wide section of the ridge-draft gap; there, the melt 1085 rate is largely independent of calving. Both of these observations are qualitatively con-1086 sistent with the idealized simulations. 1087

Our results demonstrate that the impact of calving on melt rates may represent 1088 an important, but as yet unexplored, contribution to the ice-ocean sensitivity of the West 1089 Antarctic Ice Sheet. They provide evidence that melt rates have not changed in response 1090 to recent calving events, but will increase linearly with future calving events. This in-1091 creased mass loss, which is expected to take place in dynamically important regions of 1092 the ice shelf, might lead to a significant ice shelf mass imbalance. In addition, the con-1093 stant increase in melt rate with retreat supports the possibility of feedback loops in which 1094 calving leads to increased melting and ultimately further calving. 1095

1096 Acknowledgments

A. T. B. and D. T. B. are supported by the NERC Grant NE/S010475/1. J. D. R. is supported by the TiPACCs project, which receives funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement no. 820575. NSF
(through grant OPP-1643285) and the NASA MAP program provided support to P.D..

The simulations were performed using MITgcm at checkpoint c67u, which is publicly accessible at http://mitgcm.org. Files and code used to drive MITgcm and produce the figures in this paper are available at https://github.com/alextbradley/PIG -calving-melt-response.

The numerical simulations were carried out on ARCHER2, the U.K. national HPC facility (http://archer2.ac.uk/).

1107 **References**

1111

1112

- Alley, K. E., Scambos, T. A., Alley, R. B., & Holschuh, N. (2019). Troughs de veloped in ice-stream shear margins precondition ice shelves for ocean-driven
 breakup. Sci. Adv, 5(10), eaax2215.
 - Arthern, R. J., & Williams, C. R. (2017). The sensitivity of west antarctica to the submarine melting feedback. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44(5), 2352–2359.
- Asay-Davis, X. S., Jourdain, N. C., & Nakayama, Y. (2017). Developments in simulating and parameterizing interactions between the southern ocean and the antarctic ice sheet. *Curr. Clim. Ch. Rep.*, 3(4), 316–329.
- Bradley, A. T., Williams, C. R., Jenkins, A., & Arthern, R. (2022). Asymptotic analysis of subglacial plumes in stratified environments. *Proc. Roy. Soc. A*, 478(2259), 20210846. doi: 10.1098/rspa.2021.0846
- Dansereau, V., Heimbach, P., & Losch, M. (2014). Simulation of subice shelf melt rates in a general circulation model: Velocity-dependent transfer and the role of friction. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 119(3), 1765–1790.
- De Rydt, J., & Gudmundsson, G. H. (2016). Coupled ice shelf-ocean modeling and
 complex grounding line retreat from a seabed ridge. J. Geophys. Res. Earth
 Surf., 121(5), 865-880.
- De Rydt, J., Holland, P. R., Dutrieux, P., & Jenkins, A. (2014). Geometric and oceanographic controls on melting beneath pine island glacier. J. Geophys.

1127	Res. $Oceans$, $119(4)$, $2420-2438$.
1128 1129	De Rydt, J., Reese, R., Paolo, F. S., & Gudmundsson, G. H. (2021). Drivers of pine island glacier speed-up between 1996 and 2016. <i>Cryosphere</i> , 15(1), 113–132.
1130	Dutrieux, P., De Rydt, J., Jenkins, A., Holland, P. R., Ha, H. K., Lee, S. H.,
1131	Schröder, M. (2014). Strong sensitivity of pine island ice-shelf melting to
1132	climatic variability. Science, $343(6167)$, $174-178$.
1133	Dutrieux, P., Vaughan, D. G., Corr, H. F. J., Jenkins, A., Holland, P. R., Joughin,
1134	I., & Fleming, A. H. (2013). Pine island glacier ice shelf melt distributed at
1135	kilometre scales. Cryosphere, $7(5)$, 1543–1555.
1136	ESA. (2020). Copernicus sentinel data 2020.
1137	Gagliardini, O., Durand, G., Zwinger, T., Hindmarsh, R. C. A., & Le Meur, E.
1138	(2010). Coupling of ice-shelf melting and buttressing is a key process in ice-
1139	sheets dynamics. Geophys. Res. Lett., 37(14).
1140	Gardner, A. S., Moholdt, G., Scambos, T., Fahnstock, M., Ligtenberg, S., van den
1141	Broeke, M., & Nilsson, J. (2018). Increased west antarctic and unchanged east
1142	antarctic ice discharge over the last 7 years. Cryosphere, $12(2)$, $521-547$.
1143	Goldberg, D. N., Gourmelen, N., Kimura, S., Millan, R., & Snow, K. (2019). How
1144	accurately should we model ice shelf melt rates? Geophys. Res. Lett., $46(1)$,
1145	189–199.
1146	Grosfeld, K., Gerdes, R., & Determann, J. (1997). Thermohaline circulation and
1147	interaction between ice shelf cavities and the adjacent open ocean. J. Geophys.
1148	Res. Oceans, 102(C7), 15595–15610.
1149	Gudmundsson, G. H. (2013). Ice-shelf buttressing and the stability of marine ice
1150	sheets. The Cryosphere, $7(2)$, $647-655$.
1151	Gudmundsson, G. H., Paolo, F. S., Adusumilli, S., & Fricker, H. A. (2019). Instan-
1152	taneous antarctic ice sheet mass loss driven by thinning ice shelves. <i>Geophys.</i>
1153	Res. Lett., $46(23)$, 13903–13909.
1154	Heimbach, P., & Losch, M. (2012). Adjoint sensitivities of sub-ice-shelf melt rates
1155	to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann.
1155 1156	to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., $53(60)$, 59–69.
1155 1156 1157	to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A.,
1155 1156 1157 1158	to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves.
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129.
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interac-
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800.
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys.
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(9), 1786–1805.
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(9), 1786–1805. Jacobs, S. S., Hellmer, H. H., & Jenkins, A. (1996). Antarctic ice sheet melting in
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(9), 1786–1805. Jacobs, S. S., Hellmer, H. H., & Jenkins, A. (1996). Antarctic ice sheet melting in the southeast pacific. Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(9), 957–960.
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(9), 1786–1805. Jacobs, S. S., Hellmer, H. H., & Jenkins, A. (1996). Antarctic ice sheet melting in the southeast pacific. Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(9), 957–960. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Giulivi, C. F., & Dutrieux, P. (2011). Stronger ocean cir-
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(9), 1786–1805. Jacobs, S. S., Hellmer, H. H., & Jenkins, A. (1996). Antarctic ice sheet melting in the southeast pacific. Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(9), 957–960. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Giulivi, C. F., & Dutrieux, P. (2011). Stronger ocean circulation and increased melting under pine island glacier ice shelf. Nat. Geosci.
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(9), 1786–1805. Jacobs, S. S., Hellmer, H. H., & Jenkins, A. (1996). Antarctic ice sheet melting in the southeast pacific. Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(9), 957–960. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Giulivi, C. F., & Dutrieux, P. (2011). Stronger ocean circulation and increased melting under pine island glacier ice shelf. Nat. Geosci., 4(8), 519–523.
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(9), 1786–1805. Jacobs, S. S., Hellmer, H. H., & Jenkins, A. (1996). Antarctic ice sheet melting in the southeast pacific. Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(9), 957–960. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Giulivi, C. F., & Dutrieux, P. (2011). Stronger ocean circulation and increased melting under pine island glacier ice shelf. Nat. Geosci., 4(8), 519–523. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Hellmer, H., Giulivi, C., Nitsche, F., Huber, B., & Guer-
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(9), 1786–1805. Jacobs, S. S., Hellmer, H. H., & Jenkins, A. (1996). Antarctic ice sheet melting in the southeast pacific. Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(9), 957–960. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Giulivi, C. F., & Dutrieux, P. (2011). Stronger ocean circulation and increased melting under pine island glacier ice shelf. Nat. Geosci., 4(8), 519–523. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Hellmer, H., Giulivi, C., Nitsche, F., Huber, B., & Guerrero, R. (2015). The amundsen sea and the antarctic ice sheet. Oceanography,
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(9), 1786–1805. Jacobs, S. S., Hellmer, H. H., & Jenkins, A. (1996). Antarctic ice sheet melting in the southeast pacific. Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(9), 957–960. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Giulivi, C. F., & Dutrieux, P. (2011). Stronger ocean circulation and increased melting under pine island glacier ice shelf. Nat. Geosci., 4(8), 519–523. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Hellmer, H., Giulivi, C., Nitsche, F., Huber, B., & Guerrero, R. (2015). The amundsen sea and the antarctic ice sheet. Oceanography, 25(3), 154–163.
1155 1156 1157 1158 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(9), 1786–1805. Jacobs, S. S., Hellmer, H. H., & Jenkins, A. (1996). Antarctic ice sheet melting in the southeast pacific. Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(9), 957–960. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Giulivi, C. F., & Dutrieux, P. (2011). Stronger ocean circulation and increased melting under pine island glacier ice shelf. Nat. Geosci., 4(8), 519–523. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Hellmer, H., Giulivi, C., Nitsche, F., Huber, B., & Guerrero, R. (2015). The amundsen sea and the antarctic ice sheet. Oceanography, 25(3), 154–163. Jenkins, A., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S. S., McPhail, S. D., Perrett, J. R., Webb, A. T.,
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(9), 1786–1805. Jacobs, S. S., Hellmer, H. H., & Jenkins, A. (1996). Antarctic ice sheet melting in the southeast pacific. Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(9), 957–960. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Giulivi, C. F., & Dutrieux, P. (2011). Stronger ocean circulation and increased melting under pine island glacier ice shelf. Nat. Geosci., 4(8), 519–523. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Hellmer, H., Giulivi, C., Nitsche, F., Huber, B., & Guerrero, R. (2015). The amundsen sea and the antarctic ice sheet. Oceanography, 25(3), 154–163. Jenkins, A., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S. S., McPhail, S. D., Perrett, J. R., Webb, A. T., & White, D. (2010). Observations beneath pine island glacier in west antarce.
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(9), 1786–1805. Jacobs, S. S., Hellmer, H. H., & Jenkins, A. (1996). Antarctic ice sheet melting in the southeast pacific. Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(9), 957–960. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Giulivi, C. F., & Dutrieux, P. (2011). Stronger ocean circulation and increased melting under pine island glacier ice shelf. Nat. Geosci., 4(8), 519–523. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Hellmer, H., Giulivi, C., Nitsche, F., Huber, B., & Guerrero, R. (2015). The amundsen sea and the antarctic ice sheet. Oceanography, 25(3), 154–163. Jenkins, A., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S. S., McPhail, S. D., Perrett, J. R., Webb, A. T., & White, D. (2010). Observations beneath pine island glacier in west antarctica and implications for its retreat. Nat. Geosci., 3(7), 468–472.
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(9), 1786–1805. Jacobs, S. S., Hellmer, H. H., & Jenkins, A. (1996). Antarctic ice sheet melting in the southeast pacific. Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(9), 957–960. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Giulivi, C. F., & Dutrieux, P. (2011). Stronger ocean circulation and increased melting under pine island glacier ice shelf. Nat. Geosci., 4(8), 519–523. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Hellmer, H., Giulivi, C., Nitsche, F., Huber, B., & Guerrero, R. (2015). The amundsen sea and the antarctic ice sheet. Oceanography, 25(3), 154–163. Jenkins, A., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S. S., McPhail, S. D., Perrett, J. R., Webb, A. T., & White, D. (2010). Observations beneath pine island glacier in west antarctica and implications for its retreat. Nat. Geosci., 3(7), 468–472. Jenkins, A., Shoosmith, D., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S., Kim, T. W., Lee, S. H.,
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(9), 1786–1805. Jacobs, S. S., Hellmer, H. H., & Jenkins, A. (1996). Antarctic ice sheet melting in the southeast pacific. Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(9), 957–960. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Giulivi, C. F., & Dutrieux, P. (2011). Stronger ocean circulation and increased melting under pine island glacier ice shelf. Nat. Geosci., 4(8), 519–523. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Hellmer, H., Giulivi, C., Nitsche, F., Huber, B., & Guerrero, R. (2015). The amundsen sea and the antarctic ice sheet. Oceanography, 25(3), 154–163. Jenkins, A., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S. S., McPhail, S. D., Perrett, J. R., Webb, A. T., & White, D. (2010). Observations beneath pine island glacier in west antarctica and implications for its retreat. Nat. Geosci., 3(7), 468–472. Jenkins, A., Shoosmith, D., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S., Kim, T. W., Lee, S. H., Stammerjohn, S. (2018). West antarctic ice sheet retreat in the amundsen sea
1155 1156 1157 1158 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177 1178 1179	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(9), 1786–1805. Jacobs, S. S., Hellmer, H. H., & Jenkins, A. (1996). Antarctic ice sheet melting in the southeast pacific. Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(9), 957–960. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Giulivi, C. F., & Dutrieux, P. (2011). Stronger ocean circulation and increased melting under pine island glacier ice shelf. Nat. Geosci., 4(8), 519–523. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Hellmer, H., Giulivi, C., Nitsche, F., Huber, B., & Guerrero, R. (2015). The amundsen sea and the antarctic ice sheet. Oceanography, 25(3), 154–163. Jenkins, A., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S. S., McPhail, S. D., Perrett, J. R., Webb, A. T., & White, D. (2010). Observations beneath pine island glacier in west antarctic and implications for its retreat. Nat. Geosci., 3(7), 468–472. Jenkins, A., Shoosmith, D., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S., Kim, T. W., Lee, S. H., Stammerjohn, S. (2018). West antarctic ice sheet retreat in the amundsen sea driven by decadal oceanic variability. Nat. Geosci., 11(10), 733–738.
1155 1156 1157 1158 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(9), 1786–1805. Jacobs, S. S., Hellmer, H. H., & Jenkins, A. (1996). Antarctic ice sheet melting in the southeast pacific. Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(9), 957–960. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Giulivi, C. F., & Dutrieux, P. (2011). Stronger ocean circulation and increased melting under pine island glacier ice shelf. Nat. Geosci., 4(8), 519–523. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Hellmer, H., Giulivi, C., Nitsche, F., Huber, B., & Guerrero, R. (2015). The amundsen sea and the antarctic ice sheet. Oceanography, 25(3), 154–163. Jenkins, A., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S. S., McPhail, S. D., Perrett, J. R., Webb, A. T., & White, D. (2010). Observations beneath pine island glacier in west antarctica and implications for its retreat. Nat. Geosci., 3(7), 468–472. Jenkins, A., Shoosmith, D., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S., Kim, T. W., Lee, S. H., Stammerjohn, S. (2018). West antarctic ice sheet retreat in the amundsen sea driven by decadal oceanic variability. Nat. Geosci., 11(10), 733–738. Joughin, I., Shapero, D., Smith, B., Dutrieux, P., & Barham, M. (2021). Ice-shelf re-
1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181	 to ocean circulation under the pine island ice shelf, west antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 53(60), 59–69. Heywood, K. J., Biddle, L. C., Boehme, L., Dutrieux, P., Fedak, M., Jenkins, A., M, W. B. G. (2016). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: The role of the amundsen sea continental shelf in exchanges between ocean and ice shelves. Oceanography, 29(4), 118–129. Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice-ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787–1800. Jackson, L., Hughes, C. W., & Williams, R. G. (2006). Topographic control of basin and channel flows: The role of bottom pressure torques and friction. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(9), 1786–1805. Jacobs, S. S., Hellmer, H. H., & Jenkins, A. (1996). Antarctic ice sheet melting in the southeast pacific. Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(9), 957–960. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Giulivi, C. F., & Dutrieux, P. (2011). Stronger ocean circulation and increased melting under pine island glacier ice shelf. Nat. Geosci., 4(8), 519–523. Jacobs, S. S., Jenkins, A., Hellmer, H., Giulivi, C., Nitsche, F., Huber, B., & Guerrero, R. (2015). The amundsen sea and the antarctic ice sheet. Oceanography, 25(3), 154–163. Jenkins, A., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S. S., McPhail, S. D., Perrett, J. R., Webb, A. T., & White, D. (2010). Observations beneath pine island glacier in west antarctica and implications for its retreat. Nat. Geosci., 3(7), 468–472. Jenkins, A., Shoosmith, D., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S., Kim, T. W., Lee, S. H., Stammerjohn, S. (2018). West antarctic ice sheet retreat in the amundsen sea driven by decadal oceanic variability. Nat. Geosci., 11(10), 733–738. Joughin, I., Shapero, D., Smith, B., Dutrieux, P., & Barham, M. (2021). Ice-shelf retreat drives recent pine island glacier speedup. Sci. Adv., 7(24), eabg3080.

Joughin, I., Smith, B. E., & Holland, D. M. (2010). Sensitivity of 21st century sea 1182 level to ocean-induced thinning of pine island glacier, antarctica. Geophys. Res. 1183 Lett., 37(20). 1184 Korona, J., Berthier, E., Bernard, M., Rémy, F., & Thouvenot, E. (2009). Spirit. 1185 spot 5 stereoscopic survey of polar ice: reference images and topographies dur-1186 ing the fourth international polar year (2007–2009). ISPRS J. Photogramm. 1187 Remote Sens., 64(2), 204–212. 1188 Lhermitte, S., Sun, S., Shuman, C., Wouters, B., Pattyn, F., Wuite, J., ... Nagler, 1189 T. (2020). Damage accelerates ice shelf instability and mass loss in amundsen 1190 sea embayment. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 117(40), 24735-24741. 1191 Liu, Y., Moore, J. C., Cheng, X., Gladstone, R. M., Bassis, J. N., Liu, H., ... Hui, 1192 F. (2015).Ocean-driven thinning enhances iceberg calving and retreat of 1193 antarctic ice shelves. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 112(11), 3263-3268. 1194 Losch, M. (2008). Modeling ice shelf cavities in z coordinate ocean general circula-1195 tion model. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 113(C8). 1196 Marshall, J., Hill, C., Perelman, L., & Adcroft, A. (1997).Hydrostatic, quasi-1197 hydrostatic, and nonhydrostatic ocean modeling. Journal Geophys. Res. 1198 Oceans, 102(C3), 5733–5752. 1199 McDougall, T. J., Jackett, D. R., Wright, D. G., & Feistel, R. (2003). Accurate and 1200 computationally efficient algorithms for potential temperature and density of 1201 seawater. J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol., 20(5), 730-741. 1202 Mertz, G., & Wright, D. G. (1992). Interpretations of the jebar term. Journal of 1203 Physical Oceanography, 22(3), 301-305. 1204 Millgate, T., Holland, P. R., Jenkins, A., & Johnson, H. L. (2013).The effect of 1205 basal channels on oceanic ice-shelf melting. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 118(12), 1206 6951 - 6964.1207 Mouginot, J., Rignot, E., & Scheuchl, B. (2014). Sustained increase in ice discharge 1208 from the amundsen sea embayment, west antarctica, from 1973 to 2013. Geo-1209 phys. Res. Lett., 41(5), 1576–1584. 1210 Pacanowski, R., & Philander, S. (1981). Parameterization of vertical mixing in nu-1211 merical models of tropical oceans. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 11(11), 1443–1451. 1212 Patmore, R. D., Holland, P. R., Munday, D. R., Naveira Garabato, A. C., Stevens, 1213 D. P., & Meredith, M. P. (2019). Topographic control of southern ocean gyres 1214 and the antarctic circumpolar current: a barotropic perspective. J. Phys. 1215 Oceanogr., 49(12), 3221-3244.1216 Pettit, E., Wild, C., Alley, K., Muto, A., Truffer, M., Bevan, S., ... Benn, D. (2021, 1217 December). Collapse of Thwaites Eastern Ice Shelf by intersecting fractures. In 1218 Aqu fall meeting abstracts. 1219 Pritchard, H. D., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Fricker, H. A., Vaughan, D. G., van den 1220 Broeke, M. R., & Padman, L. (2012). Antarctic ice-sheet loss driven by basal 1221 melting of ice shelves. *Nature*, 484 (7395), 502–505. 1222 Reese, R., Albrecht, T., Mengel, M., Asay-Davis, X., & Winkelmann, R. (2018).1223 Antarctic sub-shelf melt rates via pico. The Cryosphere, 12(6), 1969–1985. 1224 Reese, R., Gudmundsson, G. H., Levermann, A., & Winkelmann, R. (2018). The far 1225 reach of ice-shelf thinning in antarctica. Nat. Clim. Chang., 8(1), 53-57. 1226 Rignot, E. (2008). Changes in west antarctic ice stream dynamics observed with alos 1227 palsar data. Geophy. Res. Lett., 35(12). 1228 Rignot, E., Jacobs, S., Mouginot, J., & Scheuchl, B. (2013). Ice-shelf melting around 1229 antarctica. Science, 341(6143), 266–270. 1230 Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., Morlighem, M., Seroussi, H., & Scheuchl, B. (2014).1231 Widespread, rapid grounding line retreat of pine island, thwaites, smith, 1232 and kohler glaciers, west antarctica, from 1992 to 2011. Geophys. Res. Lett., 1233 41(10), 3502-3509.1234 Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., & Scheuchl, B. (2011). Ice flow of the antarctic ice sheet. 1235 Science, 333(6048), 1427–1430. 1236

- Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., Scheuchl, B., Van Den Broeke, M., Van Wessem, M. J., &
 Morlighem, M. (2019). Four decades of antarctic ice sheet mass balance from
 1979–2017. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 116(4), 1095–1103.
- Rignot, E., Vaughan, D. G., Schmeltz, M., Dupont, T., & MacAyeal, D. (2002). Acceleration of pine island and thwaites glaciers, west antarctica. Ann. Glac., 34, 1242
 189–194.
- Seroussi, H., Morlighem, M., Larour, E., Rignot, E., & Khazendar, A. (2014). Hy drostatic grounding line parameterization in ice sheet models. *The Cryosphere*,
 8(6), 2075–2087.
- Shepherd, A., Wingham, D., & Rignot, E. (2004). Warm ocean is eroding west
 antarctic ice sheet. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31(23).
- Smith, J. A., Andersen, T. J., Shortt, M., Gaffney, A. M., Truffer, M., Stanton,
 T. P., ... Vaughan, D. G. (2017). Sub-ice-shelf sediments record history of
 twentieth-century retreat of pine island glacier. *Nature*, 541 (7635), 77–80.
- St-Laurent, P., Klinck, J., & Dinniman, M. (2015). Impact of local winter cooling on
 the melt of pine island glacier, antarctica. J. Geophys. Res: Oceans, 120(10),
 6718–6732.
- Sun, S., Cornford, S. L., Moore, J. C., Gladstone, R., & Zhao, L. (2017). Ice shelf
 fracture parameterization in an ice sheet model. *The Cryosphere*, 11(6), 2543–
 2554.
- Webber, B. G., Heywood, K. J., Stevens, D. P., Dutrieux, P., Abrahamsen, E. P.,
 Jenkins, A., ... Kim, T. W. (2017). Mechanisms driving variability in the
 ocean forcing of pine island glacier. Nat. Commun., 8(1), 1–8.
- Yoon, S.-T., Lee, W. S., Nam, S., Lee, C.-K., Yun, S., Heywood, K., ... Bradley,
 A. T. (2022). Meltwater feedback reduces ocean heat input to an antarctic ice
 shelf (Vol. 13) (No. 306).