The vertical structure and entrainment of subglacial melt water plumes

Hans Burchard¹, Karsten Bolding², Adrian Jenkins³, Martin Losch⁴, Markus Reinert⁵, and Lars Umlauf⁶

¹Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research Warnemünde
²Bolding & Bruggeman ApS
³BAS
⁴Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research
⁵Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research
⁶Leibniz-Institute for Baltic Sea Research (IOW)

November 24, 2022

Abstract

Basal melting of marine-terminating glaciers, through its impact on the forces that control the flow of the glaciers, is one of the major factors determining sea level rise in a world of global warming. Detailed quantitative understanding of dynamic and thermodynamic processes in melt-water plumes underneath the ice-ocean interface is essential for calculating the subglacial melt rate. The aim of this study is therefore to develop a numerical model of high spatial and process resolution to consistently reproduce the transports of heat and salt from the ambient water across the plume into the glacial ice. Based on boundary layer relations for momentum and tracers, stationary analytical solutions for the vertical structure of subglacial non-rotational plumes are derived, including entrainment at the plume base. These solutions are used to develop and test convergent numerical formulations for the momentum and tracer fluxes across the ice-ocean interface. After implementation of these formulations into a water-column model coupled to a second-moment turbulence closure model, simulations of a transient rotational subglacial plume are performed. The simulated entrainment rate of ambient water entering the plume at its base is compared to existing entrainment parameterizations based on bulk properties of the plume. A sensitivity study with variations of interfacial slope, interfacial roughness and ambient water temperature reveals substantial performance differences between these bulk formulations. An existing entrainment parameterization based on the Froude number and the Ekman number proves to have the highest predictive skill. Recalibration to subglacial plumes using a variable drag coefficient further improves its performance.

The vertical structure and entrainment of subglacial melt water plumes

Hans Burchard¹, Karsten Bolding², Adrian Jenkins^{3,4}, Martin Losch⁵, Markus Reinert¹, and Lars Umlauf¹

5	¹ Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research Warnemünde, Seestr. 15, D-18119 Rostock, Germany
6	² Bolding & Bruggeman ApS., Strandgyden 25, DK-5466 Asperup, Denmark
7	³ British Antarctic Survey, Natural Environment Research Council, Cambridge, United Kingdom
8	⁴ Department of Geography and Environmental Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne,
9	United Kingdom
10	⁵ Alfred-Wegener-Institut, Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Bremerhaven, Germany

Key Points:

1

2

3 4

11

12	•	A vertically resolving model with second-moment turbulence closure has been con-
13		structed for subglacial plumes
14	•	Convergent numerical formulations for the ocean-to-ice fluxes of momentum, fresh-
15		water and heat have been derived from an analytical model
16	•	Model results are consistent with bulk parameterizations for the entrainment of
17		ambient water

 $Corresponding \ author: \ Hans \ Burchard, \ \verb+hans.burchard@io-warnemuende.de$

18 Abstract

Basal melting of marine-terminating glaciers, through its impact on the forces that con-19 trol the flow of the glaciers, is one of the major factors determining sea level rise in a world 20 of global warming. Detailed quantitative understanding of dynamic and thermodynamic 21 processes in melt-water plumes underneath the ice-ocean interface is essential for cal-22 culating the subglacial melt rate. The aim of this study is therefore to develop a numer-23 ical model of high spatial and process resolution to consistently reproduce the transports 24 of heat and salt from the ambient water across the plume into the glacial ice. Based on 25 boundary layer relations for momentum and tracers, stationary analytical solutions for 26 the vertical structure of subglacial non-rotational plumes are derived, including entrain-27 ment at the plume base. These solutions are used to develop and test convergent numer-28 ical formulations for the momentum and tracer fluxes across the ice-ocean interface. Af-29 ter implementation of these formulations into a water-column model coupled to a second-30 moment turbulence closure model, simulations of a transient rotational subglacial plume 31 are performed. The simulated entrainment rate of ambient water entering the plume at 32 its base is compared to existing entrainment parameterizations based on bulk proper-33 ties of the plume. A sensitivity study with variations of interfacial slope, interfacial rough-34 ness and ambient water temperature reveals substantial performance differences between 35 these bulk formulations. An existing entrainment parameterization based on the Froude 36 number and the Ekman number proves to have the highest predictive skill. Recalibra-37 tion to subglacial plumes using a variable drag coefficient further improves its perfor-38 mance. 30

40 Plain Language Summary

In a world of global warming, the melting of glaciers terminating as floating ice tongues 41 into the oceans of Arctic and Antarctic regions allows those glaciers to flow faster and 42 hence to make a considerable contribution to global mean sea-level rise. Underneath the 43 ice-ocean interface, turbulent currents of the order of 10 m thickness (so-called plumes) 44 develop that transport the melt water from the grounding line where the glacier enters 45 the ocean towards the calving front that marks the seaward end of the glacier. At its base, 46 ambient relatively warm and salty ocean water is mixed into the plumes and is vertically 47 transported towards the ice-ocean interface, where the melting is increased due to the 48 additional heat supply. Understanding these processes is essential for their incorpora-49 tion into computer simulation models for the prediction of such melt processes. In the 50 present study, an accurate simulation model for the water column (vertical direction only) 51 is constructed that is able to consistently reproduce these processes. The algorithms de-52 veloped here are proven to provide reliable results also for relatively coarse vertical res-53 olution and can therefore be implemented into climate models to more accurately sim-54 ulate future scenarios of sea level rise. 55

56 1 Introduction

The accelerated melting of Greenland's glaciers contributed to a net global mean 57 sea level rise of 7.5 mm during the years 1992-2011 (Church et al., 2011). Around Green-58 land, the melt water enters the ocean largely through glacial fjords, mainly as subglacial 59 discharge at the grounding lines of marine-terminating glaciers or as subglacial melt fluxes 60 at the ice-ocean interface (Straneo & Cenedese, 2015). Increased melting at the ice-ocean 61 interface it thought to be responsible for the acceleration of many of Greenland's ma-62 rine terminating glaciers and thus to have contributed to the sea level rise. Some large 63 Greenland fords are covered with ice tongues of marine-terminating glaciers such as the 64 Nioghalvfjerdsfjorden, also called 79°N glacier fjord (Mayer et al., 2018), and the Peter-65 mann Gletscher (Münchow et al., 2014). For these glaciers the link between submarine 66

melting and glacier flow is clear, because melt-driven thinning of the floating ice tongue
 reduces buttressing of the flow across the grounding line (Goldberg et al., 2009).

For the largest floating ice tongue of the 79°N glacier, only 11% of the freshwater 69 enters the fjord directly as subglacial discharge, and about 89% stems from subglacial 70 melting at the ice-ocean interface (Schaffer et al., 2020). Hence, a cold and relatively fresh 71 buoyant water mass composed of contributions from subglacial discharge, melt water and 72 entrained ambient ocean water is produced that propagates upwards towards the calv-73 ing front as a turbulent plume (Hewitt, 2020). The correct quantification and predic-74 75 tion of the subglacial melt rate under highly variable environmental conditions has been an aim of polar oceanography for decades. Melt processes in the melt layer are typically 76 parameterized based on a three-equation model for melt-layer temperature, melt-layer 77 salinity and melt rate derived from equilibrium fluxes of freshwater and heat across the 78 melt layer (Hellmer & Olbers, 1989). The challenge is to relate these processes to the 79 properties of the underlying subglacial plume. To this end, similarity relations are typ-80 ically applied, resulting in logarithmic profiles for momentum and tracers in the near-81 interfacial region of the melt water plume (Kader & Yaglom, 1972; Yaglom & Kader, 1974; 82 Kader, 1981). 83

After applying the similarity relations for a vertically integrated model of subglacial 84 plumes (Jenkins, 1991, 1992), plume models have become powerful tools for understand-85 ing melt processes underneath floating ice tongues and ice shelves (P. R. Holland & Feltham, 86 2006; Payne et al., 2007; Jenkins et al., 2010; Jenkins, 2011). Their strength is the com-87 putational efficiency allowing high horizontal resolution and the reproduction of the plume 88 thickness, but they rely on accurate parameterizations of entrainment of ambient ocean 89 water into the plume. Many different entrainment parameterizations exist (Jungclaus 90 & Backhaus, 1994; Arneborg et al., 2007; Wells et al., 2010). These are generally derived 91 for dense bottom currents and typically depend on non-dimensional bulk parameters such 92 as the bulk Richardson number, the Froude number or the Ekman number. A specifi-03 cally simple and robust parameterization is based on a constant entrainment rate (ratio of entrainment velocity to plume current speed, Jenkins, 1991). The diversity of entrain-95 ment parameterizations shows that there is quite an uncertainty in determining the plume 96 dynamics. In spite of their success, plume models have their specific limitations: They 97 do not predict the ocean temperature and salinity underneath the plume such that the 98 amount of entrained heat and salt is highly uncertain. 99

To overcome the limitations of plume models and to predict better the effects of larger scale ocean processes, three-dimensional ocean models with explicit ice shelf-ocean interfaces were developed.

For ocean models with geopotential coordinates, a result of the typical step-like ap-103 proximation of slopes is that the sloping ice-ocean interface is poorly resolved (Losch, 104 2008). To avoid this issue, terrain-following coordinates are often used where the top layer 105 follows the ice-ocean interface and the lower most layer follows the bottom topography, 106 with non-linear zooming of layers towards surface and bottom (Dinniman et al., 2007). 107 Due to the pressure gradient errors in models with terrain-following coordinates (Haney, 108 1991), large scale ocean models including ice shelves sometimes apply hybrid coordinates 109 with terrain-following properties inside ice shelves and geopotential coordinates elsewhere 110 (Timmermann et al., 2012). Terrain-following coordinates have the clear advantage of 111 smoothly resolving the ice-ocean interface at high vertical resolution. However, their dis-112 advantage is that the vertical resolution near the ice-ocean interface depends directly on 113 the water depth. Typical top-layer resolutions of terrain-following ice-shelve models around 114 115 Antarctica vary between 0.5 m near the grounding line and 5 m near the calving front (Gwyther et al., 2020). In models with higher vertical resolution near the ice-ocean in-116 terface, the insolating effect of subglacial plumes could be better reproduced than coarse-117 resolution models (Gwyther et al., 2020). As a consequence, coarse resolution models 118 tend to overestimate melt rates at the ice-ocean interface. Vertically adaptive coordi-119

nates with specifically high resolution in the entrainment layer (Hofmeister et al., 2010;
 Gräwe et al., 2015), which would have the potential to resolve subglacial plumes independently of the water depth, have not yet been used in models with an ice shelf-ocean interface.

We can expect that improved strategies for vertical coordinates and available com-124 puter resources will allow very high vertical resolution of subglacial plumes and grav-125 ity currents, so that related processes can be simulated more accurately with the prospect 126 of higher predictability of the melt rate. A similar emphasis should be placed on real-127 128 istic turbulence closure schemes in circulation models underneath ice shelves, because the basal melt rates strongly depend on the parameterization of mixing processes and 129 entrainment (Dansereau et al., 2014). Exploring these aspects is best to be done with 130 one-dimensional water-column models, because with these, very high vertical resolution 131 can be achieved at little computational cost. 132

Water column models, also with second-moment turbulence closures, have been used 133 to study melting (and freezing) under sea ice (Omstedt & Svensson, 1984; Mellor et al., 134 1986; Steele et al., 1989). Analogous studies of the vertical structure of subglacial plumes 135 are in their infancy, but include models with simple (Jenkins, 2016, 2021) and two-equation 136 turbulence closures (C. Cheng et al., 2020). In these models, a well-mixed turbulent bound-137 ary layer is separated from the ambient water underneath by a stratified layer at marginal 138 stability, across which quiescent ambient water is entrained. The resulting profiles of ve-139 locity and eddy diffusivity are very sensitive to parameters such as the roughness of the 140 ice-ocean interface or the transfer velocities for salt and heat. However, the simplicity 141 of the applied turbulence closures in the former case and the particularity of the appli-142 cation in the latter case render general applicability of the results very uncertain specif-143 ically in the region of the entrainment layer. While Direct Numerical Simulation (DNS, 144 Rosevear et al., 2021) and Large Eddy Simulation (LES, Vreugdenhil & Taylor, 2019) 145 have been applied to the ice-shelf-ocean boundary, the entrainment layer has not yet been 146 studied in these applications because of the limited spatial scales considered. In the present 147 study we overcome these limitations and develop, present, and apply a more general high-148 resolution water-column model for subglacial plumes that includes realistic second-moment 149 turbulence closures. 150

Melt processes under floating ice tongues are very difficult to observe in their harsh 151 and barely accessible polar environments. Therefore, the dynamic analogy between buoy-152 ant plumes under shelf ice and dense bottom currents due to overflows across sills have 153 been applied to validate plume models (Jenkins, 2016). The main difference is that in 154 ice shelves the buoyancy is mostly produced locally due to subglacial melt, but the (neg-155 ative) buoyancy in dense bottom currents is a result of upstream processes. While this 156 certainly has substantial effects on larger time and space scales, the vertical structure 157 of both regimes may be comparable. Exploiting this analogy, most formulations for en-158 trainment in plume models are derived from studies of dense bottom currents. In the 159 present study, we apply previous modeling concepts of simulating rotational dense bot-160 tom currents in the Western Baltic Sea (Arneborg et al., 2007; Umlauf et al., 2010). The 161 subglacial plume model developed here serves the following purposes: 162

163 164

165

166

167

168

- 1. develop a consistent dynamic coupling between parameterized melt layer processes and turbulent processes within the plume and the entrainment layer,
- 2. develop consistent and convergent discretization methods for melt fluxes that give robust results also for relatively coarse resolution, and
- 3. test existing formulations and calibrate a new parameterization of entrainment that can be applied in vertically integrated plume models.
- This paper is structured as follows: First, the underlying mathematical formulations are given, with the water-column equations (Sec. 2.1), the boundary conditions (Sec.

2.2), the melt formulations (Sec. 2.3), the tracer roughness lengths (Sec. 2.4), and a sta-171 tionary analytical model of the vertical plume structure (Sec. 2.5). Afterwards, numer-172 ical issues are discussed, with discretization methods for velocity (Sec. 3.1) and tracers 173 (Sec. 3.2), the numerical treatment of the free surface (Sec. 3.3), and with numerical con-174 vergence experiments (Sec. 3.4). The transient model simulations with the turbulence 175 closure model are described, with the model setup (Sec. 4.1), the models results includ-176 ing the default scenario and sensitivity studies are presented (Sec. 4.2), and a compar-177 ison of the model results to the performance of entrainment parameterizations is made, 178 including calibration of a new formulation (Sec. 4.3). Finally, the main results of the study 179 are discussed (Sec. 5) and some conclusions are drawn (Sec. 6). In the appendix, details 180 of the analytical solution (Sec. Appendix A) and an analytical dependence of plume speed 181 and friction velocity on the interfacial roughness length (Sec. Appendix B) are given. 182

¹⁸³ 2 Materials and Methods

184

199

205

207

209

213

2.1 Water-column model equations

The hydrodynamic and hydrographic water column equations for a buoyant melt 185 water plume under a planar ice-ocean interface with slope $\partial_x z_b = \tan \alpha_x$, $\partial_y z_b = \tan \alpha_y$ 186 (with the vertical position of the ice-ocean interface $z = z_b$, where z is the upward di-187 rected vertical coordinate with the origin at the undisturbed mean sea level) are based 188 on the Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes equations with the Boussinesq assumption and 189 the down-gradient parameterization of vertical turbulent fluxes (Umlauf & Burchard, 2005). 190 We assume that stagnant and homogeneous ambient water with velocities u = v = 0, 191 potential temperature $\theta = \theta_0$, salinity $S = S_0$ and potential density $\rho = \rho_0$ below the 192 plume. The z-axis is assumed to be pointing upwards exactly opposite to the gravita-193 tional acceleration. The plume properties are assumed to be homogeneous along the ice-194 ocean interface, i.e. all gradients along the slope vanish: 195

$$\partial_x = -\tan\alpha_x \cdot \partial_z, \quad \partial_y = -\tan\alpha_y \cdot \partial_z. \tag{1}$$

In a one-dimensional hydrostatic water column model the pressure-gradient driven acceleration in x-direction is calculated as

$$-\frac{1}{\rho_0}\partial_x p = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}\partial_x p(z_b) - \frac{g}{\rho_0}\rho(z_b)\tan\alpha_x - \frac{g}{\rho_0}\int_z^{z_b}\partial_x\rho\,\mathrm{d}z',\tag{2}$$

with the surface pressure $p(z_b)$ (from atmospheric pressure plus the additional pressure due to glacial ice). Using (1) we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{\rho_0}\partial_x p = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}\partial_x p(z_b) - \frac{g}{\rho_0}\rho\tan\alpha_x.$$
(3)

For the ambient stagnant water below the plume with $z \to -\infty$ and $\rho(-\infty) = \rho_0$, we demand that the pressure gradient vanishes, i.e.,

$$0 = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \partial_x p(z_b) - \frac{g}{\rho_0} \rho_0 \tan \alpha_x, \qquad (4)$$

²⁰⁶ such that we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{\rho_0}\partial_x p = -\frac{g}{\rho_0}\left(\rho - \rho_0\right)\tan\alpha_x = b\tan\alpha_x \tag{5}$$

²⁰⁸ with the buoyancy

$$b = -g \frac{\rho - \rho_0}{\rho_0},\tag{6}$$

which is positive inside the subglacial plume and vanishes in the ambient water. The pressure gradient in *y*-direction is calculated accordingly, such that the dynamic equations

for the velocity components u and v read

$$\partial_t u - \partial_z \left(\nu_t \partial_z u \right) - fv = b \tan \alpha_x,$$

$$\partial_t v - \partial_z \left(\nu_t \partial_z v \right) + fu = b \tan \alpha_y,$$
(7)

with the eddy viscosity ν_t and the Coriolis frequency f. The second terms on the left hand side represents the stress divergence with the stress vector

$$(\tau^x, \tau^y) = \rho_0 \nu_t \left(\partial_z u, \partial_z v \right). \tag{8}$$

Similar dynamic equations have been used for simulations of subglacial plumes (Jenkins, 217 2016, 2021) as well as for dense bottom currents, where less dense ambient water resides 218 above the plume (Arneborg et al., 2007). In both modelling concepts, the coordinate sys-219 tem is defined such that the z-axis is orthogonal to the slope of the model instead of be-220 ing aligned with the gravitational forcing. However, for mild slopes, the differences to 221 our approach outlined above are negligibly small. In the present study, the formulation 222 of a vertical z-axis is used in order to be consistent with hydrostatic three-dimensional 223 ocean models, which loose their validity for steep slopes where the vertical acceleration 224 becomes relevant. 225

The budget equations for potential temperature θ and salinity S are formulated as

$$\partial_t \theta - \partial_z \left(\nu'_t \partial_z \theta \right) = 0,$$

$$\partial_t S - \partial_z \left(\nu'_t \partial_z S \right) = 0,$$

with the eddy diffusivity ν'_t . The hydrographic equations (9) are linked to the hydrodynamic equations (7) by means of an equation of state for potential density,

$$\rho = \rho(\theta, S, p_0), \tag{10}$$

calculated according to Jackett et al. (2006), with the atmospheric pressure at the sea surface, p_0 . Consequently, $\rho_0 = \rho(\theta_0, S_0, p_0)$. Water column stability at a depth with pressure $p_z = \text{const}$, i.e. $\partial_z p_z = 0$, is then calculated as

251

231

 $N^{2} = \partial_{z}b = -\frac{g}{\rho_{0}}\dot{\rho}(\theta, S, p_{z}) = \partial_{\theta}\rho(\theta, S, p_{z})\partial_{z}\theta + \partial_{S}\rho(\theta, S, p_{z})\partial_{z}S,$ (11)

(9)

(13)

with the Brunt-Väisälä frequency N.

Eddy viscosity ν_t and eddy diffusivity ν'_t are calculated in two ways here. For the analytical calculations presented in Sec. 2.5, 2.5.3, 3 and Appendix A parabolic profiles for ν_t and ν'_t are chosen that extend over the entire thickness of the plume, see Sec. A1 and A2. Such parabolic profiles are often used for well-mixed open channel flow, see the recent discussion by Absi (2021) and allow for analytical treatment of velocity and tracer profiles (Burchard et al., 2013; Lange & Burchard, 2019).

For more realistic simulations that do also allow for predictions of entrainment rates 243 at the base of the plumes, eddy viscosity and eddy diffusivity are determined by means 244 of a two-equation turbulence closure model with an algebraic second-moment closure (Umlauf 245 & Burchard, 2005). This closure is based on an equilibrium assumption for the second 246 moments (turbulent transports of momentum and tracers), that is, the transport terms 247 for the second moments are neglected and only the source and sink terms are retained. 248 The two equations of the closure model represent budgets of the turbulent kinetic en-249 ergy k and its dissipation rate ε . The eddy coefficients are then calculated as 250

$$\nu_t = c_\mu(\alpha_N) \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon}, \quad \nu'_t = c'_\mu(\alpha_N) \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon}, \tag{12}$$

where c_{μ} and c'_{μ} are quasi-equilibrium (assuming an equilibrium condition for the budget of k only for the second-moment closure) non-dimensional stability functions representing the second-moment closure. The argument of the stability functions is the nondimensional buoyancy number

 $\alpha_N = \frac{N^2 k^2}{\varepsilon^2}.$

The weak-equilibrium stability functions that additionally depend on a non-dimensional shear number (Umlauf & Burchard, 2005) are not used since they were found to induce some small-scale oscillations in the entrainment layer at the base of the subglacial plume.

The buoyancy term in the ε equation is calibrated in a way that for homogeneous shear layers in equilibrium the gradient Richardson number converges towards the steadystate value of one quarter (Burchard & Baumert, 1995). This guarantees the correct representation of entrainment rates at the base of surface mixed layers (Umlauf & Burchard, 2005) or on top of dense bottom currents (Umlauf et al., 2010).

In contrast to the second-moment closure used here, C. Cheng et al. (2020) applied the approach of a standard-k- ε model with constant stability functions for their simulations of super-cooled subglacial plumes. In their model, the buoyancy term is not specifically calibrated for reproduction of realistic entrainment rates.

269 2.2 Boundary conditions

2

288

- At the upper boundary at $z = z_b$ (ice-ocean interface) a no-slip boundary condition for velocity is fulfilled:
- $u = 0, \quad v = 0, \quad \text{for } z = z_b.$
- The velocity no-slip boundary conditions in (14) are equivalent to the flux boundary conditions

$$-\nu_t \partial_z u = \frac{\tau^{b,x}}{\rho_0} = u_*^{b,x} u_*^b, \quad -\nu_t \partial_z v = \frac{\tau^{b,y}}{\rho_0} = u_*^{b,y} u_*^b, \qquad \text{for } z = z_b, \tag{15}$$

with the interfacial shear stress vector $(\tau^{b,x}, \tau^{b,y})$, its absolute value $\tau^b = (u_*^b)^2 \rho_0$ and the interfacial friction velocity $u_*^b = \sqrt{(u_*^{b,x})^2 + (u_*^{b,y})^2}$.

In the framework of this water-column model, the upper boundary is treated as a 278 rigid lid, i.e. melt and freezing processes do not lead to a change in water depth, other 279 than in free-surface models. The dilution of the surface water due to addition of melt 280 water is parameterized here as a virtual salinity flux, see Jenkins et al. (2001) for a dis-281 cussion of boundary conditions for material (rigid-lid) and immaterial (free-surface) bound-282 ary treatment at the ice-ocean interface. Free-surface boundary conditions for freshwa-283 ter and heat, where the melt water is added to the water column, are given in Sec. 2.3. 284 The diffusive ocean-to-ice fluxes (orthogonal to the ice-ocean interface) of potential tem-285 perature and salinity, f_b^T and f_b^S , are located at the same position as the no-slip con-286 dition for momentum: 287

$$-\nu'_t \partial_z \theta = f_b^T, \quad -\nu'_t \partial_z S = f_b^S, \qquad \text{for } z = z_b. \tag{16}$$

(14)

Note that $Q_M^T = c\rho_0 f_b^T$ is the ocean-to-ice heat flux at the ice-ocean interface, with the heat capacity of ocean water, c. For simplicity, we apply the ocean-to-ice fluxes in the vertical direction, without prior projection from the orthogonal direction. This approximation is valid for small slopes. For example, for a slope of $\tan \alpha_x = 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ (i.e., a slope angle of 0.28°), the error is about $5 \cdot 10^{-3}$.

Near the boundary, the spatial variation of all momentum and tracer fluxes can be neglected, such that their exact vertical location within the melt layer is not relevant. This plays a role when constructing logarithmic near-boundary profiles based on these fluxes and Dirichlet boundary conditions that are located at slightly different vertical locations (see Sec. 2.4).

The boundary conditions for the turbulent quantities at the ice-ocean interface are best explained by means of near-boundary profiles as functions of the distance from the

interface, $z' = z_b - z$: 301

302

309

312

313

$$k(z') = \frac{\left(u_*^b\right)^2}{\left(c_u^0\right)^{1/2}}, \quad \varepsilon(z') = \frac{(u_*^b)^3}{\kappa \left(z' + z_0\right)}, \tag{17}$$

where c^0_{μ} is the equilibrium stability function for unstratified conditions, κ is the van Kar-303 man constant, and z_0 is the hydrodynamic roughness of the ice-ocean interface (see Sec. 2.4 304 for details). From the turbulence boundary profiles (17) two sets of boundary conditions 305 for z' = 0 have been derived, Dirichlet conditions and Neumann conditions, of which 306 Burchard and Petersen (1999) could show that the latter are much more accurate. Note 307 that with (12), the near-interface profile of the eddy viscosity is linear: 308

$$\nu_t = \kappa u_*^b \left(z' + z_0 \right). \tag{18}$$

Far away in the ambient and stagnant water with $z \to -\infty$, the boundary con-310 ditions are 311

$$v = 0, \quad v = 0, \quad \theta = \theta_0, \quad S = S_0, \quad \partial_z k = 0, \quad \partial_z \varepsilon = 0.$$
 (19)

2.3 Melt rate

u

To derive formulations for the melt rate and the heat fluxes at the ice-ocean inter-314 face, a very thin melt layer at freezing temperature is assumed. The fluxes of potential 315 temperature and salinity across the ice-ocean interface strongly depend on the respec-316 tive molecular diffusivities, $\nu^T = \nu/\Pr^T$ and $\nu^S = \nu/\Pr^S$, where ν is the molecular 317 viscosity, $Pr^T = 13.8$ is the Prandtl number for temperature and $Pr^S = 2432$ is the 318 Schmidt number for salinity. 319

For the derivation of the melt rate, v_b , i.e., the rate at which water is added to the 320 ocean by means of subglacial melting, we largely follow the paper by D. M. Holland and 321 Jenkins (1999) who compare various formulations. We adopt the well-known three-equation 322 model that is based on flux equilibria of heat and salt across the melt layer and a lin-323 ear equation for the freezing temperature. With this, the upward heat flux Q_M^T at the 324 ice-ocean interface is composed of the diffusive heat flux Q_I^T into the ice and the latent 325 heat flux Q_L^T needed to melt the ice: 326

329

345

 $Q_M^T = Q_I^T - Q_L^T,$ (20)

with 328

$$Q_L^T = -\rho_i v_i L_i, \tag{21}$$

where L_i is the latent heat of fusion. Note that $\rho_i v_i$ is the mass of ice per unit time and 330 unit area that is melted, such that v_i is the velocity at which the ice-ocean interface is 331 retreating. The mass of the ocean water that is gained due to melting must be equal to 332 the mass of ice that is melted such that $\rho_i v_i = \rho_0 v_b$, where v_b is the melt rate, i.e., the 333 increase of sea surface height due to melting per unit time. For the flux into the ice, var-334 ious formulations are available. We adopt the approach based on an advection-diffusion 335 equation of temperature in the glacial ice, with the vertical advection velocity v_i . Based 336 on that, the heat flux into the ice due to diffusion can be formulated as (D. M. Holland 337 & Jenkins, 1999) 338 339

$$Q_I^T = -c_i \rho_i v_i (T_I - \theta_b), \qquad (22)$$

with the heat capacity of ice, c_i , and the ice-core temperature, T_I . Note that we use here 340 the potential freezing point temperature θ_b instead of the in-situ freezing point temper-341 ature T_b , to allow for an easy comparison with the ocean potential temperature. Com-342 bining (20) - (22), we obtain for the upward flux of temperature at the ice-ocean inter-343 face 344

$$f_b^T = \frac{Q_M^T}{c\rho_0} = v_b \left(\frac{c_i}{c} \left(\theta_b - T_I\right) + \frac{L_i}{c}\right),\tag{23}$$

with the heat capacity of sea water, c. Using the potential melt layer temperature here instead of the in-situ temperature and comparing it to the ice- core temperature here

does not pose a problem, due to the large difference between melt layer and ice core tem-

peratures and the typically large uncertainty in the latter.

Since the total salt flux into the glacial ice must be zero, the diffusive salt flux into the melt layer must be assumed to be opposite to the advective salt flux:

$$f_b^S = \frac{Q_M^S}{\rho_0} = v_b S_b.$$
 (24)

We use a linear equation for the freezing temperature, assuming that the melt layer temperature is at the freezing point:

 $\theta_b = \lambda_1 S_b + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 z_b \tag{25}$

with the empirical parameters λ_1 , λ_2 and λ_3 . Note that we use slightly different empirical values than D. M. Holland and Jenkins (1999), to apply the potential temperature of the freezing point instead of its in-situ temperature. The new values have been calculated numerically by means of the function gsw_t_freezing of the Gibbs SeaWater (GSW) Oceanographic Toolbox of TEOS-10 at www.teos-10.org, see Tab. 1 for the modified values.

Information about the plume properties in terms of velocity, temperature and salinity are required to close the meltrate computations. This will be provided by either an analytical solution for the vertical structure of the plume (Sec. 2.5) or from a numerical model which uses the analytical model to consistently provide the plume information (Sec. 3.3).

Following Jenkins et al. (2001), the free-surface tracer boundary fluxes can be formulated as

$$f_{b,\text{free}}^{S} = f_{b}^{S} - v_{b}S_{b} = 0,$$

$$f_{b,\text{free}}^{T} = f_{b}^{T} - v_{b}\theta_{b} = v_{b}\left(\frac{c_{i}}{c}\left(\theta_{b} - T_{I}\right) + \frac{L_{i}}{c} - \theta_{b}\right).$$

$$(26)$$

369

352

355

2.4 Roughness lengths for potential temperature and salinity

Similarly to the classical logarithmic law of the wall for velocity profiles, logarith-370 mic profiles are constructed for temperature and salinity in order to derive numerically 371 consistent boundary conditions. These profiles are highly simplified and do not resolve 372 but parameterize the effects of wall roughness and the viscous sublayer by means of sur-373 face roughness lengths. While the boundary condition for the velocity profiles in the melt 374 layer (see Sec. 2.3) is a no-slip condition (u = v = 0), boundary values for tempera-375 ture and salinity in the melt layer are given by $\theta = \theta_b$ and $S = S_b$. It should however 376 be noted that the locations for the boundary values for velocity, temperature and salin-377 ity are slightly different. This is due to the substantially different values for kinematic 378 viscosity and the laminar diffusivities for θ and S. These boundary values are formally 379 located at positions slightly above the interface $z = z_b$: 380

$$\theta(z = z_b - [z_0^T - z_0]) = \theta(z' = z_0^T - z_0) = \theta_b;$$

$$S(z = z_b - [z_0^S - z_0]) = S(z' = z_0^S - z_0) = S_b,$$
(27)

381

where $z_0^T \ll z_0$ and $z_0^S \ll z_0$ are formally defined as tiny roughness lengths specific for temperature and salinity fluxes. The formulations for these roughness parameters given below have been taken from Kader and Yaglom (1972), Yaglom and Kader (1974) and Kader (1981). For a hydrodynamically rough interface, the roughness length for momentum is given

$$z_0^{\text{rough}} = k_s \exp(-\kappa B'),\tag{28}$$

where k_s is the characteristic height of the roughness elements and B' = 8.5 is an em-389 pirical parameter, such that $z_0 \approx k_s/30$. For a hydrodynamically smooth interface 390

$$z_0^{\text{smooth}} = \nu/u_*^b \cdot \exp(-\kappa B),\tag{29}$$

where B = 5.5 is an empirical parameter, such that $z_0 \approx 0.11 \nu / u_*^b$. 392

386

387 388

391

395

401

as

For both, hydrodynamically rough and smooth interfaces, the roughness scale with 393 respect to the flux of c (where c represents any tracer such as T or S) is 394

$$z_0^c = z_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\kappa}{\Pr_t}\beta^c\right),\tag{30}$$

where the value of β^c is calculated differently for rough and smooth interfaces and $\Pr_t =$ 396 ν_t/ν'_t is the turbulent Prandtl number. For a hydrodynamically rough interface, 397

$$\beta_{\text{rough}}^{c} = 0.55 \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa B'\right) \left(z_{0}^{+}\right)^{1/2} \left(\left(\operatorname{Pr}^{c}\right)^{2/3} - 0.2\right) - \operatorname{Pr}_{t}B' + 9.5, \quad (31)$$

with the non-dimensional roughness scale $z_0^+ = z_0 u_*^b / \nu$. 399

For a hydrodynamically smooth interface, (30) holds with 400

$$\beta_{\rm smooth}^{c} = \left(3.85 \left(\Pr^{c}\right)^{1/3} - 1.3\right)^{2} + \Pr_{t}\left(\frac{\ln \Pr^{c}}{\kappa} - B\right).$$
(32)

The dependence of the tracer roughness length on the Prandtl number is shown in Fig. 402

1. Note that it is only the logarithms of the roughness lengths that are evaluated and 403 not their direct values (which are partially too tiny to be computed). Furthermore, (30) 404 and (32) are valid for $z_0^+ < 0.1$ and (29) and (31) are valid for $z_0^+ > 3.33$. Here we concentrate on the rough wall conditions and therefore set $z_0 = z_0^{\text{rough}}$ and $\beta^c = \beta^c_{\text{rough}}$. 405

406



Figure 1. Logarithm of relative roughness length for tracers for a) smooth and b) rough interfaces as function of the molecular Prandtl number. The Prandtl number \Pr^{T} for temperature (blue) and the Schmidt number Pr^{S} for salinity (yellow) are indicated as vertical lines. The two curves in panel b represent two different roughness lengths z_0^+ .

407 2.5 Analytical plume model

The analytical plume model that is derived here serves two purposes: It is used to 408 construct consistent discrete formulations for the boundary conditions for θ and S (see 409 Sec. 3) and it is used to perform an analytical parameter space study for subglacial plumes. 410 To allow for an analytical solution, Earth rotation is neglected (f = 0 in equation (7)), 411 such that only one velocity component needs to be taken into consideration. Further-412 more, the interfacial slope is assumed to be positive, such that $u_*^{b,x} = u_*^b > 0$. At the 413 plume base, turbulent entrainment of ambient water is assumed by prescribed values of 414 friction velocity u_*^s , turbulent temperature flux f_a^T and turbulent salinity flux f_a^S . A fur-415 ther simplification is that for the pressure gradient force, the buoyancy of the plume is 416 assumed to be constant: b = const. The profiles are formulated as a function of pre-417 scribed depth-mean values of velocity, potential temperature and salinity, \bar{u} , $\bar{\theta}$ and \bar{S} . 418 The profiles are calculated over the entire plume thickness D, assuming parabolic eddy 419 viscosity and eddy diffusivity. For simplicity the distance from the ice-ocean interface 420 $z' = z_b - z$ is used as vertical reference. 421

The derivation of the analytical stationary velocity profile under a sloping ice-ocean interface is shown in Sec. A1:

$$u(z') = \frac{\bar{u}}{A} \ln\left[\frac{z'+z_0}{z_0}\right] - \frac{u_*^s |u_*^s|}{\kappa u_*^b} \frac{D}{D+z_0} \left(\frac{1}{A} \ln\left[\frac{z'+z_0}{z_0}\right] + \ln\left[\frac{D-z'}{D}\right]\right), \quad (33)$$

where u_*^b will be calculated by means of solving the quadratic equation (A9), and A is a non-dimensional integration constant defined in (A10). The first term in (33) is the classical logarithmic law of the wall written in a form where its vertical average is \bar{u} . The second term represents the effect of the entrainment of ambient water at the plume base. It has a vertical average of zero and diverges to $\pm \infty$ for $z' \rightarrow D$, depending on the sign of u_*^s . A similar solution had been proposed by Lange and Burchard (2019) for the effect of surface wind stress in estuarine exchange flow.

433 2.5.2 Tracer profiles

⁴³⁴ With (A20), neglecting a tiny exponential expression in the term representing the ⁴³⁵ effect of entrainment, we can formulate the analytical profiles for potential temperature ⁴³⁶ $(c = \theta)$ and salinity (c = S) as follows:

$$\theta(z') = \theta_b + \frac{\Pr_t f_b^T}{\kappa |u_*^b|} \left(\ln\left[\frac{z' + z_0}{z_0}\right] + \frac{\kappa}{\Pr_t} \beta^T \right) - \frac{\Pr_t f_s^T}{\kappa |u_*^b|} \left(\frac{z_0}{D + z_0} \left(\ln\left[\frac{z' + z_0}{z_0}\right] + \frac{\kappa}{\Pr_t} \beta^T \right) + \frac{D}{D + z_0} \ln\left[\frac{D - z'}{D + z_0}\right] \right),$$
(34)

438 and

$$S(z') = S_b + \frac{\Pr_t f_b^S}{\kappa |u_*^b|} \left(\ln \left[\frac{z' + z_0}{z_0} \right] + \frac{\kappa}{\Pr_t} \beta^S \right) - \frac{\Pr_t f_s^S}{\kappa |u_*^b|} \left(\frac{z_0}{D + z_0} \left(\ln \left[\frac{z' + z_0}{z_0} \right] + \frac{\kappa}{\Pr_t} \beta^S \right) + \frac{D}{D + z_0} \ln \left[\frac{D - z'}{D + z_0} \right] \right),$$

$$(35)$$

439

437

425

with the potential temperature
$$\theta_b$$
 and the salinity S_b of the melt layer. As for the ve-
locity profile (33), also the profiles of potential temperature and salinity diverge towards
 $\pm \infty$ for $z' \to D$, but also here the vertical averages are finite.

It should be noted that the boundary values for potential temperature and salinity do not converge to θ_b and S_b for $z' \to 0$. This is also the case for the classical logarithmic laws with zero entrainment fluxes f_s^T and f_s^S . This inconsistency results from the strong gradients of θ and S in the melt layer due to their small Schmidt numbers. However, since the fluxes of θ and S across the melt layer are applied as boundary conditions and since they are assumed to be constant within the boundary layer, this inconsistency is acceptable. This is clearly seen in the analytical profiles shown in Fig. 2b,c and the values given in the caption.

⁴⁵¹ Vertical averaging of (34) and (35) gives

$$\bar{\theta} - \theta_b = \frac{\Pr_t f_b^T}{\kappa |u_*^b|} A_T - \frac{\Pr_t f_s^T}{\kappa |u_*^b|} \left(\frac{z_0}{D + z_0} A_T - \frac{D}{D + z_0} \left(1 - \ln\left[\frac{D}{D + z_0}\right] \right) \right), \quad (36)$$

453 and

452

454

465

$$\bar{S} - S_b = \frac{\Pr_t f_b^S}{\kappa |u_*^b|} A_S - \frac{\Pr_t f_s^S}{\kappa |u_*^b|} \left(\frac{z_0}{D + z_0} A_S - \frac{D}{D + z_0} \left(1 - \ln\left[\frac{D}{D + z_0}\right] \right) \right), \quad (37)$$

with the integration constants A_T and A_S calculated according to (A22). By combin-455 ing (34) and (35) with (36) and (37), a formulation of the profiles is obtained that de-456 pends on prescribed values of the depth-averaged potential temperature $\bar{\theta}$ and salinity 457 S. The melt layer freezing temperature and melt layer salinity θ_b and S_b can now be de-458 termined, using the melt layer formulation given in Sec. 2.3. Combining equations (23) 459 and (24) for the interface fluxes of potential temperature and salinity, the linear freez-460 ing temperature formulation (25) with the vertically averaged equations (36) and (37)461 for potential temperature and salinity of the plume gives five equations for the five un-462 knowns f_b^T , f_b^S , v_b , T_b and S_b . These equations are combined in a way that a quadratic 463 equation for S_b results, of which the positive solution is the physically correct one. 464

2.5.3 Analytical examples

Two sets of plume profiles are calculated, without entrainment at the plume base 466 (experiment N) and with entrainment at the plume base (experiment E). Results for u, 467 θ and S are shown in Fig. 2, using the empirical parameters given in table 1. For both 468 experiments, bulk values of the plume thickness D = 20 m, the depth-mean velocity 469 $\bar{u} = 0.2 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$, the depth-mean temperature $\bar{\theta} = -1.75^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$, the depth-mean salinity $\bar{S} =$ 470 33.1 g kg⁻¹, the interfacial depth $z_b = -300$ m, and an interfacial roughness length of 471 $z_0 = 10^{-2}$ m are prescribed. These values are similar to those at the end of the tran-472 sient default experiment from Sec. 4.2.1. Results are shown in linear and logarithmic scale. 473

For the experiment without entrainment, the profiles of velocity, temperature and 474 salinity are exactly logarithmic (Fig. 2d-f). Due to the small Schmidt numbers, the slopes 475 of the temperature and salinity profiles are very small. In the case of salinity, the dif-476 ference across the full depth of the plume is about only $6 \cdot 10^{-3}$ g kg⁻¹, such that in the 477 non-logarithmic presentation (Fig. 2c), vertical salinity gradients can not be detected by 478 visual inspection. A striking feature of the analytical temperature and salinity profiles 479 is the substantial difference between the melt layer values, θ_b and S_b , and the bound-480 ary values, $\theta(z_b)$ and $S(z_b)$, see Fig. 2b,c. This is a direct consequence of (27), and does 481 not pose a practical problem, because it is not the boundary values that are applied, but 482 the fluxes of temperature and salinity which are assumed to be constant on the scale of 483 the melt layer thickness. There is a conceptual issue, because the construction of the free-484 surface boundary conditions assumes that melt layer values and boundary values are iden-485 tical (see Jenkins et al., 2001, and Eq. (26) of this study). Since these free-surface bound-486 ary conditions are not used here, it is beyond the scope of the present study to resolve 487 this inconsistency. 488

The analytical solution including fluxes of momentum, temperature and salinity across the base of the plume (exp. E, Fig. 2g-l) allows to mimic entrainment of ambient water. The entrainment fluxes are estimated as follows:

$$u_*^s |u_*^s| = -v_e(\bar{u} - u_0), \quad f_s^T = -v_e(\bar{\theta} - \theta_0), \quad f_s^S = -v_e(\bar{S} - S_0), \tag{38}$$

with the entrainment velocity $v_e = 0.036 \bar{u} \sin \alpha$, see Jenkins (1991) and Sec. 4.3, and the ambient values are chosen to be the same as in the default scenario of the transient simulations presented in Sec. 4.2.1: $\tan \alpha = 0.005$, $u_0 = 0$, $\theta_0 = 1^{\circ}$ C and $S_0 = 34.5$ g kg⁻¹. With the above parameters, we obtain $v_e = 3.6 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ m s}^{-1}$, $u_*^s = -7.6 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m s}^{-1}$, $f_s^T = 9.9 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ K m s}^{-1}$ and $f_s^S = 5.0 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ g kg}^{-1} \text{ m s}^{-1}$.

As a result, the entrainment has only a minimal influence on the velocity and salin-497 ity profiles (Figs. 2g, j and 2i, l). However, the temperature profile (Figs. 2h, k) shows slightly 498 larger vertical gradients due to the entrainment of relatively warm water. Due to the sta-499 tionary character of the analytical solution with fixed values of \bar{u} , $\bar{\theta}$ and \bar{S} , there is no 500 predictive skill. The melt rate $(v_m = 5.22 \text{ my}^{-1})$ and the ocean-to-ice heat flux $(Q_M^T =$ 501 $63 \text{ W} \text{m}^{-2}$) depend only weakly on the entrainment. But due to entrainment of warm 502 and salty ambient water, the instantaneous trends in average plume temperature and 503 salinity are changed from $\partial_t \bar{T} = -6.3 \cdot 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{K \, day^{-1}}$ to $\partial_t \bar{T} = +0.37 \,\mathrm{K \, day^{-1}}$ and 504 from $\partial_t \bar{S} = -2.2 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ g kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ to $\partial_t \bar{S} = +0.19 \text{ g kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$. 505

Variable	Meaning	Value	Unit
\overline{c}	heat capacity of sea water	4180.0	$\mathrm{Jkg^{-1}K^{-1}}$
c_i	heat capacity of glacial ice	1995.0	$\mathrm{Jkg^{-1}K^{-1}}$
κ	van Karman number	0.4	_
λ_1	parameter in freezing temperature equation	-0.0567	$K (g kg^{-1})^{-1}$
λ_2	parameter in freezing temperature equation	0.0754	Κ
λ_3	parameter in freezing temperature equation	$7.68\cdot 10^{-4}$	${ m K}~{ m m}^{-1}$
L_i	latent heat of fusion	$3.335\cdot 10^5$	$ m Jkg^{-1}$
\Pr_t	turbulent Prandtl number	0.7	_
Pr^{T}	molecular Prandtl number for T	13.8	_
Pr^S	molecular Prandtl number for S	2432.0	_
ν	molecular viscosity	$1.95\cdot 10^{-6}$	$\mathrm{m}^{2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$
$ ho_0$	reference density of ocean water	1027	${ m kg}{ m m}^{-3}$
$ ho_i$	density of glacial ice	910	${ m kg}{ m m}^{-3}$
T_I	ice core temperature	-20.0	°Č

Table 1. Table summarising the empirical parameters used in the present study.

3 Numerical implementation

In numerical models, the analytical logarithmic profiles for u, θ and S derived in Sec. 2.5 can be used to calculate fluxes of momentum, temperature and salinity at the ice-ocean interface in a consistent and convergent way. To do so, we assume that within the first grid layer underneath the ice-ocean interface the logarithmic laws (33), (34) and (35) are valid, neglecting the fluxes across the plume base $(u_*^s = f_s^T = f_s^S = 0)$, such that the formulations reduce to

$$u(z') = \frac{u_*^{b,x}}{\kappa} \ln\left[\frac{z'+z_0}{z_0}\right], \quad v(z') = \frac{u_*^{b,y}}{\kappa} \ln\left[\frac{z'+z_0}{z_0}\right], \tag{39}$$

514 515

517

513

$$\theta(z') = \theta_b + \frac{\Pr_t f_b^T}{\kappa u_*^b} \left(\ln\left[\frac{z'+z_0}{z_0}\right] + \frac{\kappa}{\Pr_t} \beta^T \right), \tag{40}$$

516 and

$$S(z') = S_b + \frac{\Pr_t f_b^S}{\kappa u_*^b} \left(\ln\left[\frac{z'+z_0}{z_0}\right] + \frac{\kappa}{\Pr_t} \beta^S \right), \tag{41}$$



Figure 2. Analytical solutions for velocity (panels a, d, g j), potential temperature (panels b, e, h, k) and salinity (panels c, f, i, l) for experiments N (without entrainment, panels a-f) and E (with entrainment panels g-l). Parameter values are given in Tab. 1. Profiles are shown as bold lines in linear (panels a-c,g-i) and logarithmic (panels d-f, j-l) depth scale. Prescribed depth mean values $\bar{u}, \bar{\theta}$ and \bar{S} are shown as thin lines. Boundary values $T(z_b - (z_0 - z_0^T)) = T_b$, and $S(z_b - (z_0 - z_0^S)) = S_b$, are shown as symbols. Note that $S_b = 31.26 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ is substantially smaller than $S(z_b) = 33.09 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$, and $\theta_b = -1.93$ °C is substantially smaller than $\theta(z_b) = -1.76$ °C.

where we have extended the analytical solution to the full velocity vector (u, v). Note, that the analytical profiles (39) - (41) are expected to hold in some vicinity of the interface only, depending on the forcing of the plume.

521 3.1 Momentum fluxes

Let in a numerical model $u(z'_{k_{\max}}) = u_{k_{\max}}$ and $v(z'_{k_{\max}}) = v_{k_{\max}}$ denote the upperlayer velocity vector with the upper layer thickness $h_{k_{\max}}$ and $z'_{k_{\max}} = \frac{1}{2}h_{k_{\max}}$. Then (39) gives

$$u_{k_{\max}} = \frac{u_*^{b,x}}{\kappa} \ln\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}h_{k_{\max}} + z_0}{z_0}\right), \quad v_{k_{\max}} = \frac{u_*^{b,y}}{\kappa} \ln\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}h_{k_{\max}} + z_0}{z_0}\right), \tag{42}$$

526 such that

525

529

527
$$u_*^{b,x} u_*^b = c_d u_{k_{\max}} \left(u_{k_{\max}}^2 + v_{k_{\max}}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad u_*^{b,y} u_*^b = c_d v_{k_{\max}} \left(u_{k_{\max}}^2 + v_{k_{\max}}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \tag{43}$$

⁵²⁸ with the numerical drag coefficient

$$c_d = \left(\frac{\kappa}{\ln\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}h_{k_{\max}} + z_0}{z_0}\right)}\right)^2.$$
(44)

This is the numerically consistent discretization for the momentum flux at the ice-ocean 530 interface as defined in (15). At the same time, (43) with (44) also satisfy the no-slip con-531 dition (14) for u and v, since with fixed left-hand sides in (43) a decreasing surface layer 532 thickness $h_{k_{\text{max}}}$ will lead to unbounded growth of c_d and thus convergence of $u_{k_{\text{max}}}$ and 533 $v_{k_{\text{max}}}$ towards zero. This numerical treatment of a frictional boundary layer is applied 534 in many ocean models for the bottom boundary layer (Klingbeil et al., 2018, their Sec. 7.6). 535 If, however, a constant value for c_d is chosen that does not depend on resolution, then 536 a refined resolution near the boundary will not result in a reduction of velocity and con-537 sequently in an underestimation of shear and friction velocity (see Sec. 3.4). 538

⁵³⁹ **3.2** Tracer fluxes

The calculations of the temperature and salinity fluxes are carried out in a similar way than the momentum fluxes. When for the discrete profiles of temperature and salinity, $\theta(z'_{k_{\max}}) = \theta_{k_{\max}}$ and $S(z'_{k_{\max}}) = S_{k_{\max}}$ with $z'_{k_{\max}} = \frac{1}{2}h_{k_{\max}}$ and the upper layer thickness $h_{k_{\max}}$ are known, the following relations can be derived from the logarithmic tracer laws (40) and (41):

Ĵ

f

$$\theta_{k_{\max}} = \theta_b + \frac{\Pr_t f_b^T}{\kappa u_*^b} \left(\ln\left[\frac{\frac{1}{2}h_{k_{\max}} + z_0}{z_0}\right] + \frac{\kappa}{\Pr_t} \beta^T \right), \tag{45}$$

546 and

545

547

549

551

553

$$S_{k_{\max}} = S_b + \frac{\Pr_t f_b^S}{\kappa u_*^b} \left(\ln\left[\frac{\frac{1}{2}h_{k_{\max}} + z_0}{z_0}\right] + \frac{\kappa}{\Pr_t} \beta^S \right).$$
(46)

 $_{548}$ Note that (45) and (46) can be reformulated as

$$f_b^T = \gamma^T \left(\theta_{k_{\max}} - \theta_b\right) \tag{47}$$

550 and

$$S_b^S = \gamma^S \left(S_{k_{\max}} - S_b \right) \tag{48}$$

⁵⁵² with the exchange velocities

$$\gamma^T = \frac{\kappa u_*^b}{\Pr_t \ln\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}h_{k_{\max}} + z_0}{z_0}\right) + \kappa \beta^T}$$
(49)

554 and

555

$$\gamma^{S} = \frac{\kappa u_{*}^{b}}{\Pr_{t} \ln\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}h_{k_{\max}} + z_{0}}{z_{0}}\right) + \kappa \beta^{S}}$$
(50)

with the coefficients β^T and β^S from (31) or (32). With (23), (24), (25), (47) and (48) we have now five equations to solve for the five unknowns T_b , S_b , v_b , f_b^T and f_b^S . Note that we solve this system of equations in order to calculate the melt rate v_b which is applied to add fresh and cold water to the ocean surface due to subglacial melting, see Sec. 3.3 for the implementation.

3.3 Free-surface versus rigid-lid models

For a free-surface model, $h_{k_{\text{max}}}^n$ and the tracer concentration $X_{k_{\text{max}}}^n$ should be discretized as follows (assuming zero volume and tracer fluxes across the interface at the bottom of the layer):

$$\frac{h_{k_{\max}}^{n+1} - h_{k_{\max}}^n}{\Delta t} = v_b^n,\tag{51}$$

565 and

572

$$\frac{X_{k_{\max}}^{n+1}h_{k_{\max}}^{n+1} - X_{k_{\max}}^{n}h_{k_{\max}}^{n}}{\Delta t} = -\left(f_{b,\text{free}}^{X}\right)^{n},\tag{52}$$

⁵⁶⁶ which can be combined into

$$h_{k_{\max}}^{n+1} \frac{X_{k_{\max}}^{n+1} - X_{k_{\max}}^{n}}{\Delta t} = -v_{b}^{n} X_{k_{\max}}^{n} - \left(f_{b,\text{free}}^{X}\right)^{n},$$
(53)

where the superscript indicates the number of the time step. For a rigid-lid model as applied in the present study, the numerical scheme (53) will apply, but with a constant layer thickness $h_{k_{\text{max}}}$:

$$\frac{X_{k_{\max}}^{n+1} - X_{k_{\max}}^n}{\Delta t} = -\frac{v_b^n X_{k_{\max}}^n + \left(f_{b,\text{free}}^X\right)^n}{h_{k_{\max}}},\tag{54}$$

where the respective free-surface fluxes for temperature and salinity are calculated according to (26).

3.4 Numerical convergence experiment

To test the numerical methods developed in Sec. 3.1 - 3.3, the momentum equa-573 tion (7) for non-rotational flow (f = 0 and v = 0) and the temperature and salinity 574 equations (9) were discretized. The entire water column was accelerated by a barotropic 575 (constant in space) pressure gradient in a way that the prescribed depth-averaged plume 576 velocity of \bar{u} resulted. Additionally to the melt fluxes, temperature and salinity were forced 577 with a depth-independent source/sink term compensating for the freshening and cool-578 ing, see (A18). Empirical parameters were chosen identically to Experiment N in Sec. 2.5.3, 579 such that an analytical solution is available for quantifying the accuracy of the numer-580 ical scheme for different vertical resolutions and treatments of the melt flux parameter-581 ization. 582

An Euler-forward central-difference discretization of these diffusion equations was applied with a sufficiently small time step. The numerical scheme was executed until a stationary numerical solution was approximated at high accuracy. Three different vertical discretizations were chosen, ranging from very coarse to very fine (see details in the caption of Tab. 2).

- For each vertical resolution, three different numerical treatments of the melt fluxes were chosen:
- 1. The high-resolution accurate treatment as given by (42), (45) and (46) with the analytical logarithmic laws for momentum and tracers.



Figure 3. Numerical simulation of experiment N without entrainment at the plume base: Numerical simulations for velocity u (panels a & d) potential temperature θ (panels b & e) and salinity S (panels c & f) in logarithmic scale using values given in table 1. The analytical solution is shown by a black line, the numerical solutions are shown by large black dots ($k_{\text{max}} = 3$) and small circles ($k_{\text{max}} = 50$). Panels a-c show profiles obtained from the high-resolution numerical flux calculations derived in Sec. 3.1 and 3.2 (method 1). In panels d-f numerical profiles are shown where bulk flux parameterizations were used by inserting in the formulations (42) - (50) the plume thickness D instead of the upper layer thickness $h_{k_{\text{max}}}$ and the plume-average values \bar{u} , $\bar{\theta}$ and \bar{S} instead of the upper layer values $u_{k_{\text{max}}}$, $\theta_{k_{\text{max}}}$ and $S_{k_{\text{max}}}$ (method 3).

2. The high-resolution formulation (42) for momentum, but bulk values across the entire plume thickness for the tracer fluxes, i.e., using (45) and (46) with the plume thickness D instead of the upper layer thickness $h_{k_{\text{max}}}$ and the plume-average values $\bar{\theta}$ and \bar{S} instead of the upper layer values $\theta_{k_{\text{max}}}$ and $S_{k_{\text{max}}}$.

592

593

594

595

596

597

3. Similar to 2., but now also for the momentum flux calculation a bulk formulation is used by using (42) with D and \bar{u} instead of $h_{k_{\text{max}}}$ and $u_{k_{\text{max}}}$.

Numerical results for method 1 are shown in Fig. 3a-c against the analytical solution for 598 two different resolutions. The results are highly accurate, even for the coarse resolution 599 with only three layers inside the plume. Results for method 2 are very similar (not shown). 600 This means that the bulk formulation for the tracer fluxes is still valid because of the 601 high vertical homogeneity of the tracer profiles with $\theta_{k_{\text{max}}} \approx \bar{\theta}$ and $S_{k_{\text{max}}} \approx \bar{S}$ and γ^T 602 and γ^{S} being largely independent of the layer thickness. In contrast, method 3 diverges 603 from the analytical reference solution (see Fig. 3d-f), since the bulk formulation for the 604 momentum flux is decreasingly representative for increasing resolution with c_d not in-605 creasing towards ∞ . 606

Since the accurate calculation of the melt rate v_b is one of the main goals of refined numerical simulations, it is given in Tab. 2 for all numerical experiments (three resolutions and three melt flux formulations). For the consistent melt flux formulation 1, melt

		u resolved $\theta \& S$ resolved Fig. 3a-c	u resolved $\theta \& S$ bulk	$\begin{array}{c} u \text{ bulk} \\ \theta \& S \text{ bulk} \\ \text{Fig. 3d-f} \end{array}$
analytical		5.2236		
$k_{\rm max} = 3;$	$h_{k_{\rm max}} = 6.66~{\rm m}$	5.2070	5.1962	4.7888
$k_{\rm max} = 50;$	$h_{k_{\rm max}}=0.4~{\rm m}$	5.2710	5.2546	4.0944
$k_{\rm max} = 200;$	$h_{k_{\rm max}}=0.015~{\rm m}$	5.2360	5.2226	3.6353

Table 2. Numerical simulation of experiment N without entrainment at the plume base: Calculated subglacial melt rates in m y⁻¹ for various vertical resolutions and different treatments of fluxes, compared to the analytical melt rate. The very high resolution for $k_{\text{max}} = 200$ layers was obtained by logarithmic zooming towards the ice-ocean interface.

rates converge towards the analytical value of 5.2236 m v^{-1} . In the range of the reso-610 lutions tested here, method 2 shows an almost indistinguishable behaviour, although it 611 should formally not converge towards the analytical solution. Also for the coarse reso-612 lutions both methods 1 and 2 give relatively accurate values with only about 3-4 % er-613 ror for the very coarse resolution. In contrast to this, the bulk method 3 for calculat-614 ing momentum fluxes diverges substantially, and gives an error of about $1.1 \,\mathrm{my}^{-1}$ (22) 615 %) for a top-layer resolution of $h_{k_{\rm max}} = 0.4$ m, and an error of $1.6 \,{\rm m\,y^{-1}}$ (30 %) for the 616 very high resolution of $h_{k_{\text{max}}} = 0.015 \,\text{m}$. Therefore, it is highly recommended to use 617 methods 1 or 2 for the momentum and tracer fluxes, with a preference for the fully con-618 sistent method 1. 619

4 Transient numerical experiments

The General Ocean Turbulence Model (GOTM, Burchard and Bolding (2001); Um-621 lauf and Burchard (2005); Li et al. (2021), see also www.gotm.net), a one-dimensional 622 water-column model coupled to a library of turbulence closure models, was modified to 623 reproduce the vertical structure of subglacial plumes. For the surface, i.e., the ice-ocean 624 interface, no-slip conditions for velocity (14) and fluxes of salinity and heat due to melt 625 processes at the ice-ocean interface (16) were added. Since GOTM treats surface fresh-626 water fluxes like a rigid-lid model (i.e., considering changes in concentrations instead of 627 changes in volume), the fluxes of salinity and heat have been implemented according to 628 (54). A further change to GOTM needed to reproduce subglacial plumes was the appli-629 cation of pressure gradients due to vertical buoyancy gradients under a sloping ice-ocean 630 interface, as described in (5). As turbulence closure model, the $k - \varepsilon$ model with the quasi-631 equilibrium second-moment closure by Y. Cheng et al. (2002) was used. 632

4.1 Model setup

The simulations analysed here start from rest (zero velocity). The initial values of 634 potential temperature and salinity within the plume of initially D = 5 m thickness rep-635 resent ambient seawater mixed with fresh water at freezing temperature to mimic sub-636 glacial discharge at the grounding line (supposed to be at a depth of $z_b = -300$ m). 637 The depth of the water column is chosen to be 150 m, such that the bottom at z = -450638 m is sufficiently deep to allow for an undisturbed plume deepening for all sensitivity stud-639 ies. The vertical discretisation uses $k_{\rm max} = 500$ layers with zooming towards the ice-640 ocean interface such that the resolution is gradually increasing from $h_1 = 0.5$ m at the 641

bottom to $h_{k_{\text{max}}} = 0.09$ m at the surface. In a sensitivity study about the effects of coarser vertical resolution, a total of only 50 or 25 layers will be used (Sec. 4.2.3).

The ambient water is at rest and has a high ocean salinity of $S_0 = 34.5 \,\mathrm{g \, kg^{-1}}$ and 644 potential temperatures of $\theta_0 = 1^{\circ}C \pm 1^{\circ}C$ (depending on the scenario). The initial plume 645 salinity and potential temperature are $S = 32 \,\mathrm{g \, kg^{-1}}$ and $\theta = -1^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$, such that its po-646 tential density ρ is lower than the potential density ρ_0 of the plume water. With this, 647 the initial pressure gradient drives a subglacial plume rising upwards along the slope of 648 the ice-ocean interface. The latitude of the water column location is 79°N, such that the 649 Coriolis parameter has a value of $f = 1.43 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The ice-ocean interface is slop-650 ing towards the north, while the slope toward the east vanishes ($\alpha_x = 0$). During the 651 simulation time of 14 days, the plume velocity is expected to point towards the north-652 east (u, v > 0) directions as a consequence of the force balance between northward pres-653 sure gradient force, Coriolis force and frictional effects. The plume is subject to cooling 654 and freshening due to melt fluxes at the ice-ocean interface and to warming and salin-655 ification due to entrainment of warmer and saltier ambient water. This simulation can 656 be thought of as a plume underneath an infinite plain, where all plume properties are 657 homogeneous along the interfacial slope (Arneborg et al., 2007), and all thermodynamic 658 effects of the interfacial slope are ignored. 659

We analyse one default simulation in detail and carry out six sensitivity simulations with variations in northward slope α_y , interfacial roughness z_0 and ambient temperature θ_0 . The parameters for the sensitivity study are given in table 3.

	high	default	low
$\tan \alpha_y$:	$\substack{\text{ayp}}{2.5\cdot10^{-2}}$	$\begin{array}{c} \texttt{def} \\ 5\cdot 10^{-3} \end{array}$	aym $1\cdot 10^{-3}$
z_0^b :	20p $1 \cdot 10^{-1} m$	$\begin{array}{c} \texttt{def} \\ 1\cdot 10^{-2} \ \mathrm{m} \end{array}$	zOm 1 · 10 ⁻³ m
θ_0 :	extstyle ext	def 1°C	$ am 0^{\circ} C$

Table 3. Parameter settings for the default simulation (def) and sensitivity simulations with high (ayp) and low (aym) values for the interfacial slope α_y , high (z0p) and low (z0m) values for the interfacial roughness z_0^b and high (tap) and low (tam) values for the ambient temperature θ_0 .

4.1.1 Analysis of bulk values

According to Arneborg et al. (2007), the bulk properties of the plume can be diagnosed from individual profiles as follows:

$$\bar{b}D = \int_{-\infty}^{z_b} b \, \mathrm{d}z,$$

$$\bar{b}D^2 = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{z_b} b(z_b - z) \, \mathrm{d}z,$$

$$(\bar{u}D, \bar{v}D) = \int_{-\infty}^{z_b} (u, v) \, \mathrm{d}z,$$
(55)

666

663

with the plume thickness
$$D$$
, the depth-averaged buoyancy \bar{b} , and the depth-averaged plume
velocity vector (\bar{u}, \bar{u}) . The vertically every and plume speed is defined as $\bar{u}_{-} = (\bar{u}^2 + \bar{u}^2)^{1/2}$

velocity vector (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) . The vertically averaged plume speed is defined as $\bar{u}_s = (\bar{u}^2 + \bar{v}^2)^{2/2}$

⁶⁶⁹ Depth-averaged salinity and potential temperature are defined accordingly:

$$\bar{S} = \frac{1}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{z_b} (S - S_0) \, \mathrm{d}z + S_0 \text{ and } \bar{\theta} = \frac{1}{D} \int_{-\infty}^{z_b} (\theta - \theta_0) \, \mathrm{d}z + \theta_0.$$
(56)

671 Characteristic non-dimensional parameters of the plume dynamics are the Froude 672 number (ratio of flow velocity to phase velocity of long interfacial waves)

$$Fr = \frac{\bar{u}_s}{\sqrt{\bar{b}D\cos\alpha}},\tag{57}$$

where Fr > 1 marks supercritical flow and Fr < 1 marks subcritical flow, the Ekman number (ratio of frictional to rotational effects)

$$K = \frac{c_d \bar{u}_s}{fD} \tag{58}$$

and the bulk Richardson number (ratio of bulk stratification to bulk shear)

$$\operatorname{Ri}_{b} = \frac{\overline{b}D}{\overline{u}_{s}^{2}} \approx \operatorname{Fr}^{-2},\tag{59}$$

1 / 9

which we need to define for the entrainment formulation by Jungclaus and Backhaus (1994). For the Froude number, the overall slope angle is calculated as

$$\alpha = \arctan\left(\tan^2 \alpha_x + \tan^2 \alpha_y\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (60)

4.2 Model Results

670

673

676

678

681

683 4.2.1 Default scenario

In the default scenario, the plume thickness increases from its initial value of D =684 5 m to about D = 20 m within 14 days (Fig. 4). In the initial phase, the plume is ac-685 celerated northwards along the slope due to the pressure gradient force, reaching up to 686 a depth-averaged value of $\bar{v} = 0.25 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ within one hour (Fig. 5a). In this initial phase, 687 the flow is supercritical (Fr > 1) for a short time (Fig. 5b). Afterwards, due to Earth 688 rotation, the plume velocity veers towards the cross-slope direction (Fig. 4a,b). This ef-689 fect is strongest at the plume base where frictional and rotational effects combine in a 690 complex way (Fig. 6d), see Fig. 11 of Umlauf et al. (2010) for details. During the fur-691 ther development of the plume, frictional effects are reduced due to increased plume thick-692 ness and decreased velocity (expressed as strongly decreasing Ekman number, see Fig. 693 5b), such that the depth-averaged velocity vector (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) is further veering towards the 694 downslope direction (Fig. 5a), with the downslope velocity peak remaining at the plume 695 base. After the initial adjustment phase, the plume is close to a dynamic balance as in-696 dicated by the relatively close agreement between plume velocity diagnosed from the simulation result and the analytical equilibrium velocity diagnosed from the dynamic steady-698 state assumption of the vertically integrated momentum equations (see Appendix B). 699

After the initialization, the flow becomes subcritical, with the Froude number slowly decreasing to Fr = 0.6 at the end of the simulation (Fig. 5b). The square root of the vertically integrated and thus the shallow-water speed $(\bar{b}D)^{1/2}$ is slowly increasing during simulation due to the buoyancy fluxes at the ice-ocean interface (Fig. 5a). For no such buoyancy fluxes theory predicts a constant shallow water speed (Arneborg et al., 2007). Potential temperature and salinity are quickly approaching relatively constant values, suggesting a balance between melt fluxes and entrainment fluxes (Fig. 4c,d).

Since the vertical structure of the plume is almost self-similar after the initial adjustment (Arneborg et al., 2007), the vertical profiles of plumes properties shown in Fig.
6 at the end of the simulation time are largely representative for the plume in dynamical balance. When rotating the velocity vector profiles into the direction of the depth



Figure 4. Simulated cross-slope and along-slope velocity, salinity and potential temperature profiles for the default scenario **def** during the 14-day simulation period.

mean flow vector, it becomes evident that the velocity peak at the plume based is most 711 pronounced in the cross-flow velocity component (Fig. 6a). In the frictionally dominated 712 part of the plume, the cross-flow velocity is negative due to Ekman dynamics (Umlauf 713 & Arneborg, 2009; Umlauf et al., 2010). Potential temperature and salinity are well-mixed 714 in the bulk of the plume, with a gradual increase in stratification at the plume base (Fig. 715 6b,c). The entire plume is stably stratified (Fig. 6d). Most of the stratification seems 716 to be due to entrainment of denser water from below, but the increase of N^2 towards 717 the ice-ocean interface indicates that some stratification is also induced by the stabil-718 ising ocean-to-ice fluxes. Due to the strong shear at the ice-ocean interface (Fig. 6e), the 719 gradient Richardson number decreases continuously in upward direction. It has a max-720 imum of about Ri = 0.75 directly above the entrainment layer. It is characteristic of 721 two-equation turbulence closure models that they allow active mixing at such high sta-722 bility conditions due to vertical turbulent transport of TKE (Umlauf, 2009). In the en-723 trainment layer itself, Ri attains the value of the steady-state gradient Richardson num-724 ber of $Ri_{st} = 0.25$, which is a result of the calibration procedure of the two-equation 725 turbulence closure model (Burchard & Baumert, 1995; Umlauf & Burchard, 2005). The 726 rotated stress vector $(\hat{\tau}^x, \hat{\tau}^y)$ and the eddy viscosity ν_t are compared to the analytical 727 formulations from (A3) and (A4) in Fig. 6g,h, using the simulated surface stress and a 728 mixed-layer depth diagnosed from the first zero-crossing of the simulated shear stress. 729 For the shear stress the agreement is very good, but the parabolic analytical eddy vis-730 cosity overestimates the simulated profile by about one third, because it does not take 731 into account effects of stratification that are present at the base of the plume. Still near 732 the ice-ocean interface, the agreement between simulated and analytical eddy viscosity 733 is very good, and both profiles converge to $\kappa(z'+z_0)$ near the interface. For the sim-734 ulated profile, this is a consequence of the formulation of the Schmidt number in the ε -735



Figure 5. Time series of a) depth-averaged velocity diagnosed from GOTM and equilibrium velocity predicted by the steady-state theory presented in Appendix B as well as the phase velocity and b) Froude and Ekman numbers for the **def** scenario.

equation of the turbulence closure model (Burchard & Baumert, 1995; Umlauf & Burchard, 2005) for which the resulting ε -profile is shown in Fig. 6i.

738 4.2.2 Sensitivity to forcing parameters

In the default scenario described in Sec. 4.2.1, the entrainment velocity v_e is about 1 to 2 orders of magnitude larger than the melt velocity v_m , with peak values of v_e reaching almost 2 km y⁻¹, while the melt velocity has maximum values of about 20 m y⁻¹ (magenta lines in Fig. 7). With that, the assumption of a rigid lid does not significantly influence the plume thickness. Highest entrainment and melt velocities are reached in the early adjustment phase of the plume due to maximum Froude and Ekman numbers (Fig. 5) after which a steady decrease is observed.

Increasing or decreasing the slope of the ice-ocean interface by a factor of 5 in the scenarios **ayp** and **aym**, has significant effects on the development of the plume. The steeper slope more than triples the final plume thickness to more than D = 75 m, with entrainment velocities of up to $v_e = 20$ km y⁻¹ and melt velocities of up to almost $v_m = 70$ my⁻¹ (Fig. 7a,d,g). In contrast, the scenario **aym** with the strongly decreased slope (Tab. 3), leads to on a very weak increase in plume thickness during the 14-day simulation, with entrainment velocities of about $v_e = 20$ my⁻¹ and melt velocities decreasing from initially $v_m = 10$ my⁻¹ to $v_m = 1$ my⁻¹ at the end of the simulation.

Also increasing (z0p) or decreasing (z0m) the roughness of the ice-ocean interface 754 by an order of magnitude with respect to the default scenario has a measurable effect 755 on the development of the plume (Fig. 7b,e,h). As shown by the equilibrium theory (Ap-756 pendix B, Fig. B1), an increased roughness should lead to a decreased velocity but to 757 an increased friction velocity. Since conditions for the equilibrium theory are met for the 758 later stages of the plume development (Fig. 5a), plume velocity and friction velocity do 759 show this behaviour here (not shown). With that, higher interfacial roughness leads to 760 more turbulence inside the plume, and thus a higher entrainment velocity and a higher 761 melt rate (Figs. 7e,h). 762



Figure 6. Profiles of a) rotated velocity, b) potential temperature, c) salinity, d) buoyancy frequency squared, e) shear frequency squared, f) gradient Richardson number, g) rotated stresses, h) eddy diffusivity, and i) dissipation rate for the def scenario at t = 14 days. In panels g) and h) the thin lines show analytical profiles based on the simulated surface stress and a mixed-layer depth diagnosed from the first zero-crossing of the simulated shear stress. In panel f) the dashed line indicates the steady-state Richardson number Ri_{st} = 0.25.

In contrast, changes in ambient potential temperature (scenarios tap and tam) have no significant impact on plume thickness and entrainment velocity (Figs. 7c,f). As expected, the melt rate increases by about 1.7 m y^{-1} for an increase of 1°C in ambient temperature (Fig. 7i).

4.2.3 Sensitivity to vertical resolution

767

To study the effect of coarser vertical resolution in three-dimensional numerical models, the water column of 150 m height is discretized with $k_{\text{max}} = 50$ layers (10 layers over the upper 10 m) and $k_{\text{max}} = 25$ layers (5 layers over the upper 10 m) instead of $k_{\text{max}} = 500$ layers (38 layers over the upper 10 m). Such coarser resolutions are in the order of what three-dimensional models of ice-cavities using surface-following coordinates can typically afford. Given the fact that the initial plume thickness of D = 5 m is re-



Figure 7. Time series of plume thickness D (panels a, b, c), entrainment velocity v_e (panels d, e, f) and melt rate v_m (panels g, h, i), comparing results of the default scenario def with sensitivity simulations ayp and aym for interfacial slope (panels a, d, g), zOp and zOm for interfacial roughness (panels b, e, h) and tap and tam for ambient temperature (panels c, f, i).

solved by only a few discrete values, it is already quite coarse. The resulting vertical pro-774 files (Fig. 8) for $k_{\text{max}} = 500$, $k_{\text{max}} = 50$ and $k_{\text{max}} = 25$ layers show that the coarse 775 resolution simulations reproduce the high-resolution profiles with sufficient accuracy. Ve-776 locity, potential temperature and salinity profiles (Fig. 8a-c) are reproduced very accu-777 rately, due to the largely resolution-independent ocean-to-ice flux parameterization (Sec. 778 3.1 and 3.2). Buoyancy frequency squared N^2 and shear frequency squared M^2 and thus 779 the gradient Richardson number (Figs. 8d-f) are well reproduced at the ice-ocean inter-780 face and in the plume interior, but at the plume base, the sharp peaks of N^2 and M^2 781 are not properly resolved. For the coarse resolution with $k_{\text{max}} = 25$ layers, the gradi-782 ent Richardson number does not yield a value of $Ri_{st} = 0.25$ inside the entrainment layer. 783

Resulting plume thickness, entrainment velocity and melt rate are shown for all three vertical resolutions in Fig. 9. It can be seen that for $k_{\text{max}} = 50$ layers the development of the plume thickness is still accurately reproduced with a maximum error of about 1 m (Fig. 9a). For $k_{\text{max}} = 25$ layers, due to the resolution of the initial plume thickness with only a few model layers, there is an overall underestimation of the plume thickness by

about 3 m, which is however not significantly increasing during the simulation. After some

deviations in the initial phase, also entrainment velocity and melt velocity are well-reproduced
by both coarse resolution simulations (Fig. 9b,c).



Figure 8. Vertical profiles of a) velocity, b) potential temperature, c) salinity, d) buoyancy frequency squared, e) shear frequency squared and f) gradient Richardson number after 14 days of simulation using the default scenario with high resolution of $k_{\text{max}} = 500$ layers (lines), a medium resolution of $k_{\text{max}} = 50$ layers (black symbols) and a low resolution of $k_{\text{max}} = 25$ layers (open symbols).

4.3 Comparison to entrainment parameterisations

792

Entrainment is the process of turbulent transport of relatively stagnant ambient 793 water into the turbulent plume layer through its base with the consequence of an increase 794 in plume thickness and density. Despite its complex hydrodynamics in a region of sharp 795 vertical gradients, various parameterizations for the entrainment process have been suc-796 cessfully developed. Moreover, computationally efficient vertically integrated models of 797 subglacial plumes have become a common tool in investigating subglacial melt processes 798 in ice cavities (Jenkins, 1991; P. R. Holland & Feltham, 2006; Hewitt, 2020). Here, we 799 first introduce these parameterizations and then compare their performance to the re-800 sults of the vertically resolved model for the default scenario and the six sensitivity sce-801 narios. 802



Figure 9. Analysed plume thickness D (panel a), entrainment velocity v_e (panel b) and melt rate v_m (panel c) for the default scenario for three different vertical resolutions during 14 days of simulation. Three different vertical resolutions were applied, $k_{\text{max}} = 500$ layers, $k_{\text{max}} = 40$ layers and $k_{\text{max}} = 20$ layers.



Figure 10. Entrainment rates analysed by GOTM and parameterized by five different entrainment models for the default scenario and the ayp, aym, zOp and zOm scenarios. The results for tap and tam are very similar to those of def and are therefore not shown here.

The entrainment velocity v_e is defined as the rate of plume thickening due to en-803 trainment of ambient water across the plume base. For the parameterisation of the non-804 dimensional entrainment rate $E = v_e/\bar{u}_s$, various formulations have been proposed, of 805 which we are comparing the following five to the vertically resolved entrainment: 806

1. A constant entrainment rate has been proposed by Jenkins (1991):

$$E = E_0 \sin \alpha \tag{61}$$

with $E_0 = 0.036$. 809

807

808

810

811 812

815

820

821

825

826

827

828

832

836

838

2. The entrainment model by Jungclaus and Backhaus (1994) calculates the entrainment rate as

$$E = \frac{c_L^2}{S_m} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mathrm{Ri}_b}{S_m}},\tag{62}$$

with the constant empirical parameter $c_L = 0.0275$ and the turbulent Schmidt 813 number 814

$$S_m = \frac{\mathrm{Ri}_b}{0.725 \left(\mathrm{Ri}_b + 0.186 - \sqrt{\mathrm{Ri}_b^2 - 0.316 \,\mathrm{Ri}_b + 0.0364} \right)}.$$
 (63)

The present simulations with this modified version showed a substantial under-816 estimation of entrainment rates in comparison to other formulations and to the 817 vertically resolved plume model, such that we retained the original value that Jungclaus 818 and Backhaus (1994) adopted from Kochergin (1987). 819

3. For subcritical flow, Wells et al. (2010) proposed an entrainment rate

$$E = \frac{1}{8} \frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{\cos \alpha} \operatorname{Fr}^4 \left(\frac{D}{l_h}\right)^3 \tag{64}$$

that depends on the Froude number Fr, with the bulk mixing coefficient $\overline{\Gamma} = 0.2$, 822 and $0.1 < D/l_h < 0.3$ with the characteristic horizontal turbulent length scale 823 l_h . 824

4. The entrainment rate proposed by Arneborg et al. (2007),

 $E = a C_d \operatorname{Fr}^b K^c,$ (65)

with a = 0.084, b = 2.65, c = 0.6 and a constant drag coefficient $C_d = 0.0025$ depends on the Ekman number K, additionally.

5. We finally recalibrate the approach by Arneborg et al. (2007) which has been op-829 timized for dense bottom currents in the Baltic Sea using a constant drag coef-830 ficient C_d : 831

$$E = \hat{a} c_d \operatorname{Fr}^b K^{\hat{c}},\tag{66}$$

including now the depth and roughness dependent drag coefficient c_d defined in 833 (44), using the plume thickness d instead of the upper layer thickness $h_{k_{\text{max}}}$. By 834 reformulating (66) as 835

$$\ln\left(\frac{E}{c_d}\right) = \ln(\hat{a}) + \hat{b}\ln(\mathrm{Fr}) + \hat{c}\ln(K), \tag{67}$$

837

and formulating the mean-square error between diagnosed and predicted
$$e/c_d$$
 as

$$f(\hat{a}', \hat{b}, \hat{c}) = \frac{1}{i_{\max}} \sum_{i=1}^{i_{\max}} \left(\hat{a}' + \hat{b} \ln(\mathrm{Fr}_i) + \hat{c} \ln(K_i) - \ln\left(\frac{E_i}{(c_d)_i}\right) \right)^2, \tag{68}$$

with $\hat{a}' = \ln(\hat{a})$, where E_i , $(c_d)_i$, Fr_i and K_i are diagnosed values for all exper-839 iments and all time steps, a least-square method with $\partial f/\partial \hat{a}' = \partial f/\partial \hat{b} = \partial f/\partial \hat{c} =$ 840 0 gives an optimal parameter set (\hat{a}, b, \hat{c}) with a minimum error. The resulting val-841 ues $(\hat{a} = 0.052, \hat{b} = 2.56 \text{ and } \hat{c} = 0.29)$ are similar to the original values by 842

Arneborg et al. (2007), but with a significantly smaller influence of the Ekman number which includes the variable roughness parameter c_d . This model will be denoted as *Present study* in Fig. 10.

As already shown in Fig. 7, the entrainment velocity can vary over several orders 846 of magnitude across the different scenarios. In Fig. 10, we compare the non-dimensional 847 entrainment rates diagnosed from the vertically resolved plume simulations with the val-848 ues predicted by the five plume parameterisations given above. The results differ sub-849 stantially. For some scenarios, the constant entrainment rate by Jenkins (1991) repro-850 duces correctly the order of magnitude of the diagnosed entrainment, but obviously not 851 its temporal evolution also during later balanced states of the plume. The scenarios in-852 cluding variations of the slope angle, ayp and aym, show that the concept of formulat-853 ing the entrainment rate as function of the slope angle in this simple parameterization 854 roughly reproduces the correct order of magnitude of the entrainment process. The bulk 855 Richardson number dependent parameterisation by Jungclaus and Backhaus (1994) does 856 largely follow the decreasing trends of the entrainment rate, but is for most scenarios gen-857 erally significantly overestimating or underestimating the magnitude, a performance that 858 is also seen for the parameterization by Wells et al. (2010) which is based on the fourth 859 power of the Froude number. The performance of the Froude and Ekman number de-860 pendent parameterization by Arneborg et al. (2007) is generally better than those pre-861 viously discussed, and its accuracy could be strongly improved by the recalibration to 862 the present seven scenarios. Therefore, the good performance of the original Arneborg 863 et al. (2007) calibration and the optimal performance of the newly calibrated formula-864 tion is not a surprise. This is also because the Arneborg et al. (2007) calibration used 865 the same turbulence closure model as the present study. But the design of this param-866 eterization depending on two non-dimensional plume parameters, Fr and K, that vary 867 independently (see Fig. 5) seems to be the most promising for rotational plumes.

5 Discussion

875

888

889

The discussion of the results of this study will concentrate on four issues that might be of interest for future modeling of ice shelves: the benefits of the analytical solution (Sec. 5.1), the implications of the numerical analysis (Sec 5.2), the consequences of the vertical plume structure and resulting entrainment of ambient water (Sec. 5.3) and the remaining uncertainties in modeling of subglacial plumes and melt rates (Sec. 5.4).

5.1 Analytical solution

The analytical solution for the vertical profiles of velocity, temperature and salinity of subglacial plumes that is presented as Eqs. (33) - (35) is based on a number of simplifying assumptions: neglect of Earth rotation, vertically homogeneous acceleration of the plume, parabolic eddy viscosity and diffusivity, and stationarity. Despite its idealized character, the solution can be used for a number of purposes:

- It can be used as a simple test bed for melt flux parameterizations that is not affected by numerical uncertainties. Despite the high degree of simplification, realistic values for melt rates and ocean-to-ice heat fluxes were calculated (Sec. 2.5.3).
 The analytical solution also shows the high degree of vertical homogeneity of the profiles of temperature and salinity and the significant differences between boundary and melt-layer values of temperature and salinity, due to the high Schmidt numbers (Fig. 2). Also, entrainment fluxes can be quantitatively compared to melt fluxes.
 - 2. The analytical solution is a basis to construct consistent and convergent formulations for the discrete melt layer fluxes (Sec. 3.1 and 3.2).
- Finally, the analytical solution allows for the analysis of numerical convergence
 for vertically resolving plume models (Sec. 3.4).

⁸⁹² 5.2 Numerical accuracy

The convergence analysis of a numerical plume model towards the analytical so-893 lution (Sec. 3.4) shows that ocean-to-ice fluxes and consequently melt rates can accu-894 rately be calculated also with a relatively coarse vertical resolution near the ice-ocean 895 interface. The major requirement to achieve this is the proper discretization of the ocean-896 to-ice momentum flux. Using a drag coefficient that is independent of the upper-layer 897 thickness leads to highly inaccurate and divergent results, due to the strong velocity gra-898 dients near the interface. In contrast, discrete tracer flux formulations that are indepen-800 dent of the upper-layer thickness are numerically inconsistent. Still, they do not lead to 900 a measurable loss of accuracy when the vertical tracer profiles are quasi-homogeneous. 901

Vertical resolution does however matter for the numerical reproduction of the en-902 trainment process at the base of the plume, where strong vertical gradients are present. 903 A typical vertical extent of the entrainment layer is of the order of 2 - 4 m (Fig. 6). Although second-moment turbulence closure schemes are relatively robust with respect to 905 vertical resolution (Umlauf & Burchard, 2005; Li et al., 2021), vertical grid resolutions 906 should be of the order of 2 m or higher in the region of the entrainment layer. This is 907 demonstrated in Fig. 8 where an entrainment-layer resolution of about 1.5 m still prop-908 erly reproduces the vertical plume structure of a high-resolution model at the end of a 909 two-week simulation. Also entrainment velocity and melt rates are sufficiently reproduced 910 by this resolution, but for a resolution of 3 m, results start deteriorating (Fig. 9). For 911 typical plume thicknesses of the order of 10 m, this means that more than 5 numerical 912 layers should be present in the plume region. For geopotential coordinates, this might 913 mean an overall vertical resolution of 2 m at all depths where the ice-ocean interface is 914 present, i.e., typically several 100 m below the undisturbed mean sea level. Also for terrain-915 following coordinates (where vertical resolution decreases with water depth) such res-916 olutions are still quite a challenge. Here, vertically adaptive coordinates (Hofmeister et 917 al., 2010; Gräwe et al., 2015) may be the solution, since they allow concentrating the res-918 olution at sharp density interfaces such as in the entrainment layer. This principle has 919 been used by Umlauf et al. (2010) for the simulation of channelized dense bottom cur-920 rents and could also be applied to subglacial plume simulations. 921

922

5.3 Vertical plume structure and entrainment

The vertical structure of subglacial plumes is believed to resemble that of dense bot-923 tom currents, turned upside down (Jenkins, 2016). That explains why often model tools 924 are applied to subglacial plumes that have been developed for dense bottom currents and 925 oceanic overflows. In both cases, determing entrainment rates is important. For oceanic 926 overflows, the entrainment rates determine their potential for ventilating the deep ocean, 927 for subglacial plumes they determine the transport of relatively warm and salty ambi-928 ent water towards the ice-ocean interface and therefore play an importance role in set-929 ting the melt rate. The fundamental dynamical difference between dense bottom cur-930 rents and subglacial plumes is the additional (stabilizing) interfacial buoyancy flux due 931 to melt processes at the ice-ocean interface of subglacial plumes that ultimately drives 932 the flow. 933

Entrainment velocities analyzed from the present models results are within the range of common entrainment parameterizations typically used in vertically integrated plume models of subglacial plumes (Fig. 10). Those parameterizations however lead to very different estimates of entrainment rates. To provide a robust and reliable entrainment parameterization, the formulation by Arneborg et al. (2007) has been re-calibrated for a depth-dependent drag coefficient (66) and shows an agreeable accuracy over a large range of plume parameters.

941 5.4 Remaining uncertainties

The vertical structure of dense bottom currents has been well-observed in the ocean, 942 e.g. the Faroe Bank Channel overflow (Fer et al., 2010) and overflows into the Baltic Sea 943 (Umlauf et al., 2007). Such high-resolution observations of subglacial plumes are not avail-944 able due to the thickness of the glacial ice cover and the large surface area of the float-945 ing ice tongues. The few available observations (e.g., Washam et al., 2020), do not pro-946 vide sufficient resolution and coverage of the entire plume thickness. It can therefore only 947 assumed by analogy how subglacial plumes are vertically structured. In agreement with 948 observations and models of dense overflows in the Western Baltic Sea (Arneborg et al., 949 2007; Umlauf et al., 2007, 2010) with similar characteristics in terms of similarity pa-950 rameters such as the Froude and Ekman numbers, the interior of subglacial plumes can 951 be assumed to be well-mixed and the plumes can be assumed to be bounded by sharp 952 density interfaces in the entrainment layer. However, the uncertainties about the ver-953 tical structure of subglacial plumes can only be reduced by very challenging in-situ field 954 observations under glacial ice tongues. 955

Moreover, the underlying assumption for one-dimensional water column models of 956 a plume that is laterally homogeneous along the ice-ocean interface is highly idealized 957 and unrealistic. The ice-ocean interface may be smooth on the small scale, but it is plau-958 sible to assume non-negligible sub-grid scale roughness that exerts a form drag on the 959 flow. This drag needs to be parameterized in coarse ocean models via an effectively rough-960 ness length k_s (Sec. 2.4). Our model experiments show that the roughness length has 961 a large influence on plume thickness, entrainment velocity and melt rate (Fig. 10). Since 962 the determination of this effective roughness is highly uncertain, sensitivity studies with 963 respect to this parameter are recommended. 964

The biggest uncertainty however remains for the detailed structure of the topog-965 raphy of the ice-ocean interface and the effects on the plume dynamics. Subglacial plumes 966 are often thought of as wide layers of buoyant water propagating across ice tongues with 967 relatively plain subglacial topographies (P. R. Holland & Feltham, 2006). It is however 968 known that plumes occur as highly channelized flows, similar to overflows in the ocean 969 (Umlauf et al., 2007; Fer et al., 2010), but probably occurring on even smaller scales (Rignot 970 & Steffen, 2008; Washam et al., 2020). To investigate the impact of these topographi-971 cally highly diverse plume dynamics on the net basal melt rate, detailed studies of more 972 dimensional flows need to be carried out such as cross-sections models of channelized sub-973 glacial plumes, similar to the two-dimensional model of dense bottom currents presented 974 by Umlauf et al. (2010). 975

976 6 Conclusions

A numerical one-dimensional water column model of subglacial plumes has been presented here that should help to constrain ocean models of ice shelves. To our knowledge this is the first high-resolution one-dimensional model that couples the physics of the melt layer to second-moment turbulence closures inside the plume and across the entrainment layer. This modeling strategy allows for quantitative predictions of entrainment processes of ambient water into the plume, such that it can serve as a benchmark for models with simpler physics such as bulk entrainment models.

Using an analytical solution of the plume, accurate and convergent numerical expressions for fluxes across the ice-ocean interface are formulated. Specifically, they do also reproduce these fluxes accurately for relatively coarse near-interface resolution. The probably most critical finding is that the vertical model resolution in the region of the entrainment layer should ideally be order of 2 - 3 m, which provides a challenge to existing ocean models for ice shelves. Future efforts should be directed at developing flexible numerical schemes that allow this locally high resolution also in large scale oceanmodels.

⁹⁹² Appendix A Derivation of the analytical solution

993 A1 Velocity profile

⁹⁹⁴ Under the conditions discussed in Sec. 2.1, and ignoring Earth rotation, the mo-⁹⁹⁵ mentum budget (7) within the plume has the following form:

$$\partial_t u - \partial_{z'} \left(\nu_t \partial_{z'} u \right) = b \tan \alpha, \tag{A1}$$

⁹⁹⁷ with the boundary conditions

996

998

1002

1004

1007

1009

1013

1017

$$\nu_t \partial_{z'} u = \begin{cases} (u^b_*)^2 \text{ for } z' = 0, \\ u^s_* |u^s_*| \text{ for } z' = D, \end{cases}$$
(A2)

where u_*^s is the friction velocity in the entrainment layer at the base of the plume. Assuming stationarity of the velocity profile and combining (A1) and (A2), a linear stress profile is resulting:

$$\nu_t \partial_{z'} u = (u^b_*)^2 \frac{D - z'}{D} + u^s_* |u^s_*| \frac{z'}{D}.$$
(A3)

¹⁰⁰³ The parabolic profile of eddy viscosity is given as

$$\nu_t = \kappa u_*^b \left(z' + z_0 \right) \frac{D - z'}{D},\tag{A4}$$

see Burchard and Hetland (2010), where for small z' (18) is retained. Combining (A3) and (A4) gives

$$\partial_{z'} u = \frac{u_*^b}{\kappa} \frac{1}{z' + z_0} + \frac{u_*^s |u_*^s|}{\kappa u_*^b} \frac{z'}{(z' + z_0)(D - z')}.$$
 (A5)

Integrating (A5) from 0 to z' and using

$$\frac{1}{z'+z_0} + \frac{1}{D-z'} = \frac{D+z_0}{(z'+z_0)(D-z')}$$
(A6)

1010 results in

$$u(z') = \frac{u_*^b}{\kappa} \ln\left[\frac{z'+z_0}{z_0}\right] - \frac{u_*^s |u_*^s|}{\kappa u_*^b} \left(\frac{z_0}{D+z_0} \ln\left[\frac{z'+z_0}{z_0}\right] + \frac{D}{D+z_0} \ln\left[\frac{D-z'}{D}\right]\right).$$
(A7)

¹⁰¹² The plume-averaged velocity

$$\bar{u} = \frac{1}{D} \int_0^D u(z') \,\mathrm{d}z',\tag{A8}$$

¹⁰¹⁴ results from vertical averaging of (A7) as

1015
$$\bar{u} = \frac{u_*^b}{\kappa} A - \frac{u_*^s |u_*^s|}{\kappa u_*^b} \left(\frac{z_0}{D + z_0} A - \frac{D}{D + z_0} \right)$$
(A9)

1016 with the integration constant

$$A = \frac{1}{D} \int_0^D \ln\left[\frac{z' + z_0}{z_0}\right] dz' = \frac{D + z_0}{D} \ln\left[\frac{D + z_0}{z_0}\right] - 1.$$
(A10)

Combining (A7) and (A9) finally gives the velocity profile fulfilling a prescribed depthaveraged velocity \bar{u} , see (33). 1020 A2 Tracer profile

1021

1022

1024

1026

1030

1033

1036

1038

1040

Let c be a tracer obeying the following one-dimensional budget equation:

$$\partial_t c + \partial_z f^c = 0, \tag{A11}$$

¹⁰²³ with the upward turbulent tracer flux,

$$f^c = -\nu'_t \partial_z c = \nu'_t \partial_{z'} c, \tag{A12}$$

the ice-ocean interface value

$$e(z_b - [z_0^c - z_0]) = c_b, (A13)$$

where the location of the boundary value for c at the ice-ocean interface is slightly shifted with respect to the no-slip boundary condition for velocity (see Sec. 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4). As flux boundary conditions, we define

C

$$f^{c} = \begin{cases} f_{b}^{c} \text{ for } z' = 0, \\ f_{s}^{c} \text{ for } z' = D. \end{cases}$$
(A14)

¹⁰³¹ An eddy diffusivity profile is constructed by dividing the parabolic eddy viscosity ¹⁰³² profile (A4) by the the turbulent Prandtl number Pr_t :

$$\nu_t' = \frac{\kappa}{\Pr_t} |u_*^b| \left(z' + z_0\right) \frac{D - z'}{D},\tag{A15}$$

Assuming that $\partial_t c$ is independent of z, the tracer flux will have the following linear profile:

$$f^{c} = \nu'_{t} \partial_{z'} c = f^{c}_{b} \frac{D - z'}{D} + f^{c}_{s} \frac{z'}{D}.$$
 (A16)

¹⁰³⁷ Combining (A11) and (A16) gives the rate of change of c:

$$\partial_t c = \frac{f_s^c - f_b^c}{D},\tag{A17}$$

¹⁰³⁹ such that the original tracer equation (A11) can be reformulated as

$$\partial_z f^c = -\partial_{z'} f^c = -\frac{f_s^c - f_b^c}{D}.$$
 (A18)

¹⁰⁴¹ Combining (A15) and (A16), we obtain

1042
$$\partial_{z'}c = \frac{\Pr_t f_b^c}{\kappa |u_*^b|} \left(z' + z_0\right)^{-1} + \frac{\Pr_t f_s^c}{\kappa |u_*^b|} \frac{z'}{(z' + z_0)(D - z')}.$$
 (A19)

Using (A6) and integrating (A19) from $z_0^c - z_0$ to z' with (A13) reads as

$$c(z') - c_b = \frac{\Pr_t f_b^c}{\kappa |u_*^b|} \ln \left[\frac{z' + z_0}{z_0^c} \right]$$

$$- \frac{\Pr_t f_s^c}{\kappa |u_*^b|} \left(\frac{z_0}{D + z_0} \ln \left[\frac{z' + z_0}{z_0^c} \right] + \frac{D}{D + z_0} \ln \left[\frac{D - z'}{D + z_0 - z_0^c} \right] \right)$$

$$= \frac{\Pr_t f_b^c}{\kappa |u_*^b|} \left(\ln \left[\frac{z' + z_0}{z_0} \right] + \frac{\kappa}{\Pr_t} \beta^c \right)$$

$$- \frac{\Pr_t f_s^c}{\kappa |u_*^b|} \left(\frac{z_0}{D + z_0} \left(\ln \left[\frac{z' + z_0}{z_0} \right] + \frac{\kappa}{\Pr_t} \beta^c \right) + \frac{D}{D + z_0} \ln \left[\frac{D - z'}{D + z_0} \left(\ln \left[\frac{D - z'}{D + z_0} \right] \right) \right] \right)$$
(A20)

1044

with β^c from (31). Vertical averaging of (A20) gives 1045

=

$$\bar{c} - c_b = \frac{\Pr_t f_b^c}{\kappa |u_*^b|} A_c - \frac{\Pr_t f_s^c}{\kappa |u_*^b|} \left(\frac{z_0}{D + z_0} A_c - \frac{D}{D + z_0} \left(1 - \ln \left[\frac{D}{D + z_0 - z_0^c} \right] \right) \right)$$

1046

$$\frac{\Pr_t f_b^c}{\kappa |u_*^b|} A_c - \frac{\Pr_t f_s^c}{\kappa |u_*^b|} \left(\frac{z_0}{D + z_0} A_c - \frac{D}{D + z_0} \left(1 - \ln \left[\frac{D}{D + z_0 \left(1 - \exp \left[-\frac{\kappa}{\Pr_t} \beta^c \right] \right)} \right] \right) \right)$$
(A21)

with the vertically averaged tracer concentration \bar{c} and the integration constant 1047

$$A_{c} = \frac{1}{D} \int_{0}^{D} \ln\left[\frac{z'+z_{0}}{z_{0}^{c}}\right] dz' = \frac{D+z_{0}}{D} \ln\left[\frac{D+z_{0}}{z_{0}}\right] - \left(1 - \ln\left[\frac{z_{0}}{z_{0}^{c}}\right]\right)$$

$$= \frac{D+z_{0}}{D} \ln\left[\frac{D+z_{0}}{z_{0}}\right] - \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{\Pr_{t}}\beta^{c}\right).$$
(A22)

1048

In (A20) - (A22), the formulations including β^c instead of z_0^c , using (30), are those that 1049 should be used for computations, since z_0^c is typically so small that calculations of its re-1050 ciprocal would result in overflows. Combining (A20) and (A21) leads to a tracer profile 1051 based on the depth mean tracer concentration \bar{c} instead of the melt layer tracer concen-1052 tration c_b . 1053

Appendix B Equilibrium dynamics in plume 1054

The depth-averaged bulk dynamics in a well-adjusted plume can approximately be 1055 described by a steady-state condition for the momentum equations 1056

$$d_t(\bar{u}D) = -c_d \bar{u}\bar{u}_s + \bar{b}D\sin\alpha_x + f\bar{v}D,$$

$$d_t(\bar{v}D) = -c_d \bar{v}\bar{u}_s + \bar{b}D\sin\alpha_y - f\bar{u}D,$$
(B1)

1057

1060

1065

as formulated by (Jenkins, 1991). For a slope aligned with the y-direction ($\alpha_x = 0$) the 1058 following balance results (Arneborg et al., 2007): 1059

$$-c_{d}\bar{u}^{\text{eq}}\left((\bar{u}^{\text{eq}})^{2} + (\bar{v}^{\text{eq}})^{2}\right)^{1/2} + f\bar{v}^{\text{eq}}D = 0,$$

$$-c_{d}\bar{v}^{\text{eq}}\left((\bar{u}^{\text{eq}})^{2} + (\bar{v}^{\text{eq}})^{2}\right)^{1/2} + \bar{b}D\sin\alpha_{y} - f\bar{u}^{\text{eq}}D = 0,$$
(B2)

with the equilibrium velocity vector $(\bar{u}^{eq}, \bar{v}^{eq})$. After introducing the non-dimensional 1061 variables 1062

1063
$$\tilde{u} = \frac{\bar{u}^{eq}}{fD}; \quad \tilde{v} = \frac{\bar{v}^{eq}}{fD}; \quad \tilde{u}_s = \left(\tilde{u}^2 + \tilde{v}^2\right)^{1/2}; \quad \tilde{b} = \frac{\bar{b}}{f^2D}; \quad \tilde{u}_s^b = \frac{u_*}{fD} = c_d^{1/2}\tilde{u}_s,$$
(B3)

(B2) can be formulated as follows: 1064

> $-c_d \tilde{u} \tilde{u}_s$ $-\tilde{v}$ (B4) $-c_d \tilde{v} \tilde{u}_s + \tilde{b} \sin \alpha_y$ = \tilde{u}

¹⁰⁶⁶ Multiplying the first equation in (B4) by
$$\tilde{u}$$
 and the second equation by \tilde{v} and subsequently
¹⁰⁶⁷ adding the results gives
¹⁰⁶⁸ $-c_d \tilde{u}_s^3 = -\tilde{b}\tilde{v}\sin\alpha_y.$ (B5)

$$c_d \tilde{u}_s^3 = -\tilde{b}\tilde{v}\sin\alpha_y. \tag{B5}$$



Figure B1. Non-dimensional speed \tilde{u}_s (panel a) and friction velocity \tilde{u}_*^b (panel b) as function of the non-dimensional roughness \tilde{z}_0 for three different values of $\tilde{b} \sin \alpha_y$. For a density difference of $\Delta \rho = 4 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ between ambient water and plume water, an interfacial slope of $\tan \alpha_y = 10^{-3}$ and a latitude of 79°N, the values $\tilde{b} \sin \alpha_y = (400, 200, 100)$ correspond to plume thicknesses of D = (25m, 12.5m, 6.25m).

Multiplying the first equation in (B4) by \tilde{v} and the second equation by \tilde{u} and subsequently subtracting the results gives

$$\tilde{u}_s^2 = \tilde{b}\tilde{u}\sin\alpha_y. \tag{B6}$$

¹⁰⁷² Squaring (B5) and (B6), adding the results and rearranging gives

$$\tilde{u}_{s}^{4} + \frac{1}{c_{d}^{2}}\tilde{u}_{s}^{2} - \frac{\tilde{b}^{2}\sin^{2}\alpha_{y}}{c_{d}^{2}} = 0,$$
(B7)

1074 which results in

1071

1073

1075

1077

$$\tilde{u}_s^2 = -\frac{1}{2c_d^2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4c_d^4} + \frac{\tilde{b}^2 \sin^2 \alpha_y}{c_d^2}}$$
(B8)

and consequently

$$\left(\tilde{u}_{*}^{b}\right)^{2} = -\frac{1}{2c_{d}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4c_{d}^{2}} + \tilde{b}^{2}\sin^{2}\alpha_{y}}.$$
(B9)

¹⁰⁷⁸ The velocity components \tilde{u} and \tilde{v} can be calculated by means of (B5) and (B6).

The dependence of the non-dimensional current speed \tilde{u}_s and the non-dimensional friction velocity $\tilde{u}_s^b = c_d^{1/2} \tilde{u}_s$ on the non-dimensional interfacial roughness is shown in Fig. B1 for three different values of the non-dimensional buoyancy forcing $\tilde{b} \sin \alpha_y$. Expectedly, a larger roughness length leads to an decreased plume velocity, however, it leads to an increased friction velocity indicating a more turbulent plume. This can be explained by the fact that the decrease of current speed due to increased roughness \tilde{z}_0 is smaller than the corresponding increase in $c_d^{1/2}$. This non-linear effect is not reproduced by models that use a constant drag coefficient.

1087 Acknowledgments

The work of this study has been supported by the collaborative project GROCE (Greenland Ice Sheet Ocean Interaction) funded by the German Federal Ministry of Research and Education under the grant no. 03F0855E. The implementation of the additional subglacial plume processes has been financially supported by the Leibniz Institute of Baltic Sea Research Warnemünde through a contract with Bolding & Bruggeman ApS in Denmark. Much of the work for this study by Hans Burchard have been carried out during a sabbatical visit at the Scottish Association of Marine Science in Oban (Scotland). The authors are grateful for the constructive comments by Knut Klingbeil (Warnemünde, Germany) on earlier versions of the manuscript.

¹⁰⁹⁷Software Availability Statement: The source on which this study is based can be ¹⁰⁹⁸accessed here: https://github.com/gotm-model/code/tree/plume. The ice module can ¹⁰⁹⁹be found here:

https://github.com/BoldingBruggeman/stim/tree/bafl/src/models/basal_melt. Setup
 and output for the default scenario are provided at

https://github.com/gotm-model/cases/tree/master/plume. Note to reviewers of this sub mission: For the final manuscript version, a link to zenodo will be provided instead.

1104 **References**

- Absi, R. (2021). Reinvestigating the parabolic-shaped eddy viscosity profile for free surface flows. *Hydrology*, 8(3), 126.
- Arneborg, L., Fiekas, V., Umlauf, L., & Burchard, H. (2007). Gravity current dynamics and entrainment A process study based on observations in the Arkona Basin. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 37(8), 2094–2113.
- ¹¹¹⁰ Burchard, H., & Baumert, H. (1995). On the performance of a mixed-layer model ¹¹¹¹ based on the κ - ε turbulence closure. J. Geophys. Res., 100(C5), 8523–8540.
- Burchard, H., & Bolding, K. (2001). Comparative analysis of four second-moment turbulence closure models for the oceanic mixed layer. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 31(8), 1943–1968.
- Burchard, H., & Hetland, R. D. (2010). Quantifying the contributions of tidal straining and gravitational circulation to residual circulation in periodically stratified tidal estuaries. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 40, 1243-1262.
- Burchard, H., & Petersen, O. (1999). Models of turbulence in the marine environment - A comparative study of two-equation turbulence models. J. Mar. Syst., 21(1-4), 29–53.
- Burchard, H., Schuttelaars, H. M., & Geyer, W. R. (2013). Residual sediment
 fluxes in weakly-to-periodically stratified estuaries and tidal inlets. J. Phys.
 Oceanogr., 43(9), 1841–1861.
- Cheng, C., Jenkins, A., Wang, Z., & Liu, C. (2020). Modeling the vertical structure
 of the ice shelf-ocean boundary current under supercooled condition with suspended frazil ice processes: A case study underneath the Amery Ice Shelf, East
 Antarctica. Ocean Modell., 156, 101712.
- ¹¹²⁸ Cheng, Y., Canuto, V., & Howard, A. (2002). An improved model for the turbulent ¹¹²⁹ pbl. J. Atmos. Sci., 59(9), 1550–1565.
- Church, J. A., White, N. J., Konikow, L. F., Domingues, C. M., Cogley, J. G., Rignot, E., ... Velicogna, I. (2011). Revisiting the Earth's sea-level and energy budgets from 1961 to 2008. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38(18).
- Dansereau, V., Heimbach, P., & Losch, M. (2014). Simulation of subice shelf melt
 rates in a general circulation model: Velocity-dependent transfer and the role
 of friction. J. Geophys. Res., 119(3), 1765–1790.
- Dinniman, M. S., Klinck, J. M., & Smith Jr, W. O. (2007). Influence of sea ice cover and icebergs on circulation and water mass formation in a numerical
- circulation model of the Ross Sea, Antarctica. J. Geophys. Res., 112(C11). Fer, I., Voet, G., Seim, K. S., Rudels, B., & Latarius, K. (2010). Intense mixing of
- the Faroe Bank Channel overflow. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37(2).
- Goldberg, D., Holland, D. M., & Schoof, C. (2009). Grounding line movement

and ice shelf buttressing in marine ice sheets. J. Geophys. Res., 114, F04026, doi:10.1029/2008JF001227.

Gräwe, U., Holtermann, P., Klingbeil, K., & Burchard, H. (2015).Advantages of 1144 vertically adaptive coordinates in numerical models of stratified shelf seas. 1145 Ocean Modell., 92, 56-68. 1146

1142

1143

1162

1163

1164

1165

1168

1169

1170

1181

- Gwyther, D. E., Kusahara, K., Asay-Davis, X. S., Dinniman, M. S., & Galton-Fenzi, 1147 B. K. (2020). Vertical processes and resolution impact ice shelf basal melting: 1148 A multi-model study. Ocean Modell., 147, 101569. 1149
- Haney, R. L. (1991). On the pressure gradient force over steep topography in sigma 1150 coordinate ocean models. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 21(4), 610–619. 1151
- Hellmer, H. H., & Olbers, D. J. (1989). A two-dimensional model for the thermoha-1152 line circulation under an ice shelf. Antarctic Sci., 1(4), 325–336. 1153
- Hewitt, I. J. (2020). Subglacial plumes. Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech., 52, 145–169. 1154
- Hofmeister, R., Burchard, H., & Beckers, J.-M. (2010). Non-uniform adaptive verti-1155 cal grids for 3D numerical ocean models. Ocean Modell., 33(1-2), 70-86. 1156
- Holland, D. M., & Jenkins, A. (1999). Modeling thermodynamic ice-ocean interac-1157 tions at the base of an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29(8), 1787-1800. 1158
- Holland, P. R., & Feltham, D. L. (2006). The effects of rotation and ice shelf topog-1159 raphy on frazil-laden ice shelf water plumes. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36(12), 2312-1160 2327.1161
 - Jackett, D. R., McDougall, T. J., Feistel, R., Wright, D. G., & Griffies, S. M. (2006). Algorithms for density, potential temperature, conservative temperature, and the freezing temperature of seawater. J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 23(12), 1709-1728.
- Jenkins, A. (1991). A one-dimensional model of ice shelf-ocean interaction. J. Geo-1166 phys. Res., 96(C11), 20671–20677. 1167
 - Jenkins, A. (1992). Dynamics of Ronne ice shelf and its interaction with the ocean British Antarctic Survey, Natural Envi-(Unpublished doctoral dissertation). ronment Research Council, Cambridge, England.
- Jenkins, A. (2011). Convection-driven melting near the grounding lines of ice shelves 1171 and tidewater glaciers. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 41(12), 2279–2294. 1172
- Jenkins, A. (2016). A simple model of the ice shelf-ocean boundary layer and cur-1173 rent. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 46(6), 1785-1803. 1174
- Jenkins, A. (2021). Shear, stability, and mixing within the ice shelf-ocean boundary 1175 current. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 51(7), 2129-2148. 1176
- Jenkins, A., Hellmer, H. H., & Holland, D. M. (2001).The role of meltwater ad-1177 vection in the formulation of conservative boundary conditions at an ice-ocean 1178 interface. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 31(1), 285–296. 1179
- Jenkins, A., Nicholls, K. W., & Corr, H. F. (2010). Observation and parameteriza-1180 tion of ablation at the base of Ronne Ice Shelf, Antarctica. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 40(10), 2298-2312.1182
- Jungclaus, J. H., & Backhaus, J. O. (1994). Application of a transient reduced grav-1183 ity plume model to the Denmark Strait Overflow. J. Geophys. Res., 99(C6), 1184 12375 - 12396.1185
- Kader, B. A. (1981).Temperature and concentration profiles in fully turbulent 1186 boundary layers. Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 24(9), 1541-1544. 1187
- Kader, B. A., & Yaglom, A. M. (1972). Heat and mass transfer laws for fully turbu-1188 lent wall flows. Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 15(12), 2329-2351. 1189
- Klingbeil, K., Lemarié, F., Debreu, L., & Burchard, H. (2018).The numerics of 1190 hydrostatic structured-grid coastal ocean models: State of the art and future 1191 perspectives. Ocean Modell., 125, 80-105. 1192
- Kochergin, V. P. (1987). Three-dimensional prognostic models. In N. S. Heaps (Ed.), 1193 Three-dimensional coastal ocean models (Vol. 4, pp. 201–208). Wiley Online Li-1194 brary. 1195
- Lange, X., & Burchard, H. (2019). The relative importance of wind straining and 1196

gravitational forcing in driving exchange flows in tidally energetic estuaries. J. 1197 *Phys. Oceanogr.*, 49(3), 723-736. 1198 Li, Q., Bruggeman, J., Burchard, H., Klingbeil, K., Umlauf, L., & Bolding, K. 1199 (2021).Integrating CVMix into GOTM (v6. 0): A consistent framework 1200 for testing, comparing, and applying ocean mixing schemes. Geosci. Model 1201 Dev., 14, 4261-4282.1202 Losch, M. (2008). Modeling ice shelf cavities in a z coordinate ocean general circula-1203 tion model. J. Geophys. Res., 113, C08043, doi:10.1029/2007JC004368. 1204 Mayer, C., Schaffer, J., Hattermann, T., Floricioiu, D., Krieger, L., Dodd, P. A., 1205 ... Schannwell, C. (2018). Large ice loss variability at Nioghalvfjerdsfjorden 1206 glacier, northeast-greenland. Nature, 9(1), 1–11. 1207 Mellor, G. L., McPhee, M. G., & Steele, M. (1986). Ice-seawater turbulent boundary 1208 layer interaction with melting or freezing. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 16(11), 1829– 1209 1846. 1210 Münchow, A., Padman, L., & Fricker, H. A. (2014). Interannual changes of the float-1211 ing ice shelf of Petermann Gletscher, North Greenland, from 2000 to 2012. 1212 J. *Glaciol.*, 60(221), 489-499. 1213 Omstedt, A., & Svensson, U. (1984). Modeling supercooling and ice formation in a 1214 turbulent Ekman layer. J. Geophys. Res., 89(C1), 735–744. 1215 Payne, A. J., Holland, P. R., Shepherd, A. P., Rutt, I. C., Jenkins, A., & Joughin, I. 1216 (2007).Numerical modeling of ocean-ice interactions under Pine Island Bay's 1217 ice shelf. J. Geophys. Res., 112(C10). 1218 Rignot, E., & Steffen, K. (2008). Channelized bottom melting and stability of float-1219 ing ice shelves. Geophys. Res. Lett., 35(2). 1220 Rosevear, M. G., Gayen, B., & Galton-Fenzi, B. K. (2021).The role of double-1221 diffusive convection in basal melting of antarctic ice shelves. Proc. Nat. Acad. 1222 Sci., 118(6). 1223 Schaffer, J., Kanzow, T., von Appen, W.-J., von Albedyll, L., Arndt, J. E., & 1224 Roberts, D. H. (2020). Bathymetry constrains ocean heat supply to Green-1225 land's largest glacier tongue. Nature Geosci., 13(3), 227–231. 1226 Steele, M., Mellor, G. L., & Mcphee, M. G. (1989). Role of the molecular sublayer in 1227 the melting or freezing of sea ice. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 19(1), 139–147. 1228 Straneo, F., & Cenedese, C. (2015). The dynamics of Greenland's glacial fjords and 1229 their role in climate. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci., 7, 89-112. 1230 Timmermann, R., Wang, Q., & Hellmer, H. (2012).Ice-shelf basal melting in a 1231 global finite-element sea-ice/ice-shelf/ocean model. Annals Glaciology, 53(60), 1232 303 - 314.1233 Umlauf, L. (2009).The description of mixing in stratified layers without shear in 1234 large-scale ocean models. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 39(11), 3032–3039. 1235 Umlauf, L., & Arneborg, L. (2009). Dynamics of rotating shallow gravity currents 1236 passing through a channel. part i: Observation of transverse structure. J. 1237 Phys. Oceanogr., 39(10), 2385–2401. 1238 Umlauf, L., Arneborg, L., Burchard, H., Fiekas, V., Lass, H., Mohrholz, V., & 1239 Prandke, H. (2007).Transverse structure of turbulence in a rotating grav-1240 ity current. Geophys. Res. Lett., 34(8). 1241 Umlauf, L., Arneborg, L., Hofmeister, R., & Burchard, H. (2010).Entrainment 1242 in shallow rotating gravity currents: A modeling study. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 1243 40(8), 1819-1834.1244 Umlauf, L., & Burchard, H. (2005). Second-order turbulence closure models for geo-1245 physical boundary layers. A review of recent work. Conti. Shelf Res., 25(7-8), 1246 795-827. 1247 Vreugdenhil, C. A., & Taylor, J. R. (2019). Stratification effects in the turbulent 1248 boundary layer beneath a melting ice shelf: Insights from resolved large-eddy 1249 simulations. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 49(7), 1905–1925. 1250 Washam, P., Nicholls, K. W., Münchow, A., & Padman, L. (2020). Tidal modula-1251

tion of buoyant flow and basal melt beneath Petermann Gletscher Ice Shelf, Greenland. J. Geophys. Res., 125(10), e2020JC016427.

1252

1253

- Wells, M., Cenedese, C., & Caulfield, C. (2010). The relationship between flux coefficient and entrainment ratio in density currents. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 40(12), 2713–2727.
- Yaglom, A. M., & Kader, B. A. (1974). Heat and mass transfer between a rough
 wall and turbulent fluid flow at high Reynolds and Peclet numbers. J. Fluid
 Mech., 62(3), 601-623.