Past the precipice? Projected coral habitability under global heating

Peter Kalmus^{1,1,1}, Ayesha Ekanayaka^{2,2,2}, Emily Lei Kang^{2,2,2}, Mark E Baird^{3,3,3}, and Michelle M. Gierach^{4,4,4}

¹Jet Propulsion Laboratory ²University of Cincinnati ³Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) ⁴Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

November 30, 2022

Abstract

Coral reefs are rapidly declining due to local environmental degradation and global climate change. In particular, corals are vulnerable to ocean heating. Anomalously hot sea surface temperatures (SSTs) create conditions for severe bleaching or direct thermal death. We use SST observations and CMIP6 model SST to project thermal conditions at reef locations at a resolution of 1 km, a 16-fold improvement over prior studies, under four climate emissions scenarios. We use a novel statistical downscaling method which is significantly more skillful than the standard method, especially at near-coastal pixels where many reefs are found. For each location we present projections of thermal departure (TD, the date after which a location with steadily increasing heat exceeds a given thermal metric) for severe bleaching recurs every 5 years (TD5Y) and every 10 years (TD10Y), accounting for a range of post-bleaching ref recovery/degradation. As of 2021, we find that over 91% and 79% of 1 km reefs have exceeded TD10Y and TD5Y, respectively, suggesting that widespread long-term coral degradation is no longer avoidable. We project 99% of reefs to exceed TD5Y by 2034, 2036, and 2040 under SSP5-8.5, SSP3-7.0, and SSP2-4.5 respectively. We project that 2%-5% of reef locations remain below TD5Y at 1.5 degrees Celsius of mean global heating, but 0% remain at 2.0 degrees Celsius. These results demonstrate the importance of further improving ecological projection capacity for climate-vulnerable marine and terrestrial species and ecosystems, including identifying refugia and guiding conservation efforts. Ultimately, saving coral reefs will require rapidly reducing and eliminating greenhouse gas emissions.

Past the precipice? Projected coral habitability under global heating

P. Kalmus¹, A. Ekanayaka², E. Kang², M. Baird³, and M. Gierach¹

¹Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA ²University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA ³CSIRO, Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Australia

Key Points:

1

2

3

4 5 6

7

8	•	We project over 91 percent of coral reefs will now experience severe-bleaching-level
9		ocean heat recurring at least once every 10 years
10	•	We project over 99 percent of reefs will experience severe-bleaching-level ocean heat
11		at least twice per ten years by 2036 under SSP3-7.0
12	•	We find SSP1-2.6 to be the only scenario not consistent with near-complete global
13		severe degradation or loss of coral reefs

Corresponding author: Peter Kalmus, peter.m.kalmus@jpl.nasa.gov

14 Abstract

Coral reefs are rapidly declining due to local environmental degradation and global cli-15 mate change. In particular, corals are vulnerable to ocean heating. Anomalously hot sea 16 surface temperatures (SSTs) create conditions for severe bleaching or direct thermal death. 17 We use SST observations and CMIP6 model SST to project thermal conditions at reef 18 locations at a resolution of 1 km, a 16-fold improvement over prior studies, under four 19 climate emissions scenarios. We use a novel statistical downscaling method which is sig-20 nificantly more skillful than the standard method, especially at near-coastal pixels where 21 many reefs are found. For each location we present projections of thermal departure (TD, 22 the date after which a location with steadily increasing heat exceeds a given thermal met-23 ric) for severe bleaching recurs every 5 years (TD5Y) and every 10 years (TD10Y), ac-24 counting for a range of post-bleaching reef recovery/degradation. As of 2021, we find that 25 over 91% and 79% of 1 km² reefs have exceeded TD10Y and TD5Y, respectively, sug-26 gesting that widespread long-term coral degradation is no longer avoidable. We project 27 99% of 1 km^2 reefs to exceed TD5Y by 2034, 2036, and 2040 under SSP5-8.5, SSP3-7.0, 28 and SSP2-4.5 respectively. We project that 2%-5% of reef locations remain below TD5Y 29 at 1.5°C of mean global heating, but 0% remain at 2.0°C. These results demonstrate the 30 importance of further improving ecological projection capacity for climate-vulnerable ma-31 rine and terrestrial species and ecosystems, including identifying refugia and guiding con-32 servation efforts. Ultimately, saving coral reefs will require rapidly reducing and elim-33 inating greenhouse gas emissions. 34

³⁵ 1 Plain Language Summary

Coral reefs face many challenges, but the most serious is climate change. Hotter oceans can kill corals via expulsion of their food-producing algae and eventual starvation, or by cooking them to death. We used satellite data and the latest global Earth system models to project when the world's coral reefs are expected to surpass a severe bleaching temperature threshold at 1-kilometer-square locations. To account for postbleaching coral recovery times, we project the year after which each location will experience bleaching conditions at least once per 5 and 10 years.

As of 2021, we estimate that over 91% and 79% of reef locations will experience 43 bleaching conditions at least once per 10 years and 5 years, respectively, suggesting that 44 widespread long-term coral degradation is no longer avoidable. We estimate that 99%45 of reefs will experience bleaching conditions every 5 years by 2040, 2036, and 2034 un-46 der progressively higher future emissions scenarios. These results show that we need to 47 improve our ability to identify potential refuge locations for both aquatic and land species 48 and ecosystems in order to guide conservation efforts, and suggest how much will be lost 49 if humanity fails rapidly reduce greenhouse gas emissions. 50

51 2 Introduction

⁵² Coral reefs are among the most biodiverse ecosystems on the planet (Veron, 1995). ⁵³ However, over the last decade there has been a rapid global decline in coral health and ⁵⁴ coral cover due to both local environmental degradation (from destructive fishing prac-⁵⁵ tices, overfishing, coastal development, sedimentation, nutrient over-enrichment, and chem-⁵⁶ ical pollutants, and other causes) and global climate change (increasing ocean heat, sea ⁵⁷ levels, and ocean acidification) (De'ath et al., 2012; Hughes et al., 2017).

Although regional bleaching events had been occasionally observed throughout the twentieth century (Yonge, 1930), the first mass event occurred during the 1982-83 El Niño. It included effects across the Indo-Pacific (Coffroth et al., 1990) and was likely more widespread than documented. The first global bleaching event occurred during the 1997-98 El Niño (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2017). The next global event occurred in 2010, and the third began in 2014 and lasted three years. Over recent decades, 33-50% of coral reefs have been largely
 or completely degraded (The International Society for Reef Studies, 2015). Overall, there
 is great concern about the current state of reefs and for their future, as humans continue

to heat the planet (Langlais et al., 2017).

Several prior studies have used SST outputs from global Earth system and climate 67 models (hereafter *global models*) to assess future bleaching risk (Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999; 68 Donner, 2009; Van Hooidonk et al., 2013; Frieler et al., 2013; Schleussner et al., 2016; 69 Van Hooidonk et al., 2016). These studies most often report TD5Y, the year after which 70 71 a thermal threshold is subsequently surpassed at least once per five years, at GM-like spatial resolution of $\sim 100 \,\mathrm{km^2}$. Severe bleaching projections could better inform local 72 conservation decisions if they could capture spatial structure at $\sim 1 \,\mathrm{km}$ (Van Hooidonk 73 et al., 2016). Downscaling global model SST projections can therefore better inform decision-74 making, and statistical downscaling compares well to more computationally expensive 75 dynamical downscaling (Van Hooidonk et al., 2015). Here, we provide projections of ther-76 mal severe bleaching from an ensemble of CMIP6 global models, and at a spatial res-77 olution of 1 km. After submitting our study and while it was undergoing peer review, 78 another study was published independently that also projects coral futures using CMIP6 79 models downscaled to 1 km resolution (Dixon et al., 2022); compared to this study, ours 80 uses a more advanced downscaling methodology, considers multiple thermal thresholds 81 that explicitly reference the climatological baseline, and provides projections of depar-82 ture years under multiple emissions scenarios in addition to projections referenced to global 83 mean surface temperature anomalies. 84

3 Data and Methods

86

3.1 CMIP6 model data

We included in the analysis one run (or "member") from every CMIP6 model avail-87 able as of 2021/12/25 with monthly SST output for the historical experiment and the 88 four future emissions scenarios SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0, and SSP5-8.5 (SSP is "Shared 89 Socioeconomic Pathway," O'Neill et al. (2014)). These four scenarios span a range of pos-90 sible collective human futures in terms of greenhouse gas emissions, in order of increas-91 ing cumulative emissions, with SSP585 being the highest; the final two digits provide the 92 estimated radiative forcing in 2100 in W/m². In what follows, we omit the punctuation 93 in the emissions scenario labels. In all, the analysis included 35 members from 35 model 94 groups. The model member chosen was the one with the most experiments run, with ties 95 chosen alphabetically (e.g., "r1i1p1f1" over "r2i1p1f1"). We decided to use only one model 96 member per model group in order to avoid multiple members from a single group from 97 potentially biasing the ensemble mean. (In the Supporting Information we present re-98 sults from a different ensemble with 127 members from 27 groups.) The CMIP6 historqq ical experiment begins in January 1870 and runs to December 2014, while the SSP ex-100 periments start in January 2014 and run until at least 2100. We regridded all models 101 to be on the same 1° grid and homogenized all time dimensions to the same mid-month 102 values. The few models that ran beyond December 2099 were truncated to that month. 103

Global mean surface temperature anomalies (GMSTA) were estimated using 2 m surface temperatures from 33 global models (available as of 2020/08/28), one member from each of 33 model groups, which were each regridded to the same uniform 1° grid. The area-weighted mean was taken for each model, and then the mean over every model per scenario was taken. GMSTA were calculated relative to an 1880-1900 baseline.

¹⁰⁹ 3.2 Observational data

For performing statistical downscaling and for performing degree heating week estimates at 1 km scale, we use NASA/JPL Multiscale Ultrahigh Resolution (MUR) observational SST data from remote sensing, a 0.01°(~1 km in the domain of our analysis) gridded daily satellite product, available from 2002 to the present, which increases
feature resolution over existing SST analysis products with resolutions of 10-100 km. We
average the daily MUR product into a monthly product.

The RMS difference between MUR and the quarter-degree-gridded GHRSST Multiproduct Ensemble median SST analysis is 0.36°C in non-Arctic regions on a daily comparison basis (Chin et al., 2017). Assuming that both SST datasets are unbiased and have equal variance, we can then estimate the error in MUR at one standard deviation to be 0.25°C on a daily basis, or roughly 0.05°C on a monthly basis. This should be thought of as lower bound on the monthly observational SST uncertainty as it excludes potential systematic biases.

To determine the locations of coral reefs in the global ocean, we use a 4 km resolution reef mask from the NOAA Coral Reef Watch thermal history product, v1.0(Heron et al., 2016), which yields 989,936 1 km reef pixels with the caveat that some 4 km reef pixels may not be fully populated with 1 km reefs. Any 1° coarse pixel that has fewer than 10 global model output values (due e.g. to some models assuming a land pixel and assigning a null value) is excluded from the analysis. This leaves 773,261 1 km reef pixels remaining.

3.3 Degree heating week thresholds

130

DHW is a thermal stress index developed decades ago by Coral Reef Watch (Liu 131 et al., 2003, 2006). At a given location, the maximum monthly mean (MMM) is deter-132 mined from a climatology (the climatologically hottest month of the year). Then for each 133 day the MMM is subtracted from that day's SST, and if the result is $>=1^{\circ}C$ (i.e., a de-134 gree or more over the MMM) it is accumulated in a 12-week running sum. According 135 to Coral Reef Watch, significant bleaching in corals is correlated to DHW values >4 DHW, 136 and severe bleaching is likely and significant mortality can be expected above 8 DHW 137 (Coral Reef Watch, n.d.). The original Coral Reef Watch DHW metric requires a 1°C 138 excursion above MMM before it accumulates a daily value into DHW. 139

Following all of the previous monthly projection studies (see e.g., Van Hooidonk 140 et al. (2016)), we deviate from the Coral Reef Watch definition by not requiring the $>=1^{\circ}$ C 141 daily excursion above MMM, which cannot be implemented using monthly time series. 142 This allows fair comparisons to those previous monthly projection studies, which we will 143 discuss below. Furthermore, there is evidence that not requiring the $>=1^{\circ}C$ daily ex-144 cursion above MMM increases the skill of the DHW metric at predicting bleaching (DeCarlo, 145 2020; Kim et al., 2019). To calculate an approximate DHW index, we first create a monthly 146 MUR SST climatology from 2003 to 2014, inclusive, which determines a MMM value at 147 each 1 km coral pixel. We subtract this MMM from the SST time series at that pixel, 148 setting any negative values to zero, and multiply by 4.34 to convert from months to weeks. 149 We then calculate a three month running sum, producing a monthly time series of DHW 150 estimates. In what follows, we will use "DHW" to also indicate units of "C-weeks. 151

The original Coral Reef Watch 8 DHW severe bleaching threshold is based on a 152 climatology comprised of the seven-year period of 1985-1990 plus 1993 which excludes 153 SST retrievals compromised by the Pinatubo eruption (Heron et al., 2014), the mean of which is 1988.3. In 2015, Coral Reef Watch updated their DHW product, shifting to a 155 new climatological reference period centered at 1998.5 (Liu et al., 2014). However, as men-156 tioned above, the MUR SST climatology central year is 2008.5. In the two decades span-157 158 ning these three climatological references, SST in coral-reef-containing waters increased by 0.25°C due to anthropogenic global heating, as estimated from the mean of all 1-degree-159 resolution HadISST (an observational SST record, Rayner et al. (2003); National Cen-160 ter for Atmospheric Research Staff (Eds) (n.d.)) grid cells containing coral reef locations, 161 with a 10-year running mean applied to the resulting time series. 162

The effect of this anthropogenic increase in the climatological baseline is often neglected, but it has a critical impact on DHW metrics. We empirically determined the (linear) relationship between the climatological central year and the DHW threshold required to keep departure year projection estimates constant (see Supporting Information for the detailed methodology). Using subscripts to denote the integer part of the climatological central years discussed above, we found that, e.g.,

$$8.0 \text{ DHW}_{1988} = 4.8 \text{ DHW}_{2008}.$$
 (1)

In other words, fully specifying a DHW threshold requires two numbers, the threshold
and the climatological center year used to calculate it; and an 8.0 DHW thermal excursion calculated using a climatology centered in 1988 is thermally equivalent to a 4.8 DHW
excursion calculated using a climatology centered in 2008. Similarly,

$$8.0 \text{ DHW}_{2008} = 11.2 \text{ DHW}_{1988}.$$
 (2)

The 1998 climatological baseline falls halfway between the other two baselines, and the 2008-equivalent DHW threshold falls halfway between the other two 2008-equivalent DHW thresholds:

$$8.0 \text{ DHW}_{1998} = 6.4 \text{ DHW}_{2008}.$$
 (3)

The choice of climatological baseline in the Coral Reef Watch DHW thermal metric is not always made clear, but it is of equal importance to the threshold level (e.g., 4°C-weeks vs. 8°C-weeks) in future projections. The above equivalence relationships are derived in the mean over all coral reef locations, and do not capture geographic variations. In this sense they are similar to the DHW threshold framing itself, which already imposes this constraint of global homogeneity.

3.4 Statistical downscaling

182

We perform statistical downscaling on the coarse-scale (1 degree) global model SST 183 projections using the fine-scale (1 km) MUR SST observational dataset. The standard 184 state-of-the-art method for statistical downscaling typically used in ecological projection 185 studies is deterministic, and involves the following simple steps (see, e.g., Van Hooidonk 186 et al. (2016)): (1) At each coarse-scale model cell, and for each month of the year, es-187 timate the climatology and subtract it from the projected time series, yielding monthly 188 anomaly time series; (2) Interpolate the coarse-scale monthly anomaly time series onto 189 the fine-scale (1km) observational grid; (3) At each fine-scale pixel, for each month, cal-190 culate the climatology using MUR SST data; (4) Add the results of steps 2 and 3 on a 191 month-by-month and pixel-by-pixel basis, resulting in fine-scale projections. This pro-192 cedure utilizes observational data to construct the fine-scale climatology and thus can 193 potentially correct systematic bias in the climate model. However, it does not use ob-194 servations in interpolation (Step 3) but instead assumes deterministic spatial dependence 195 structure across the coarse and fine scales, implying that the coarse-scale anomalies are 196 downscaled to the fine-scale grid in a homogeneous way through the time series and spa-197 tially. This is a fundamental limitation in the standard downscaling method. 198

Here, we utilize a novel approach to statistical downscaling, which we describe in greater detail in Ekanayaka et al. (2022). Our motivation was to find a downscaling strategy that had more skill than the standard method described above, and that could produce statistically meaningful uncertainty estimates.

Let $y_t(s_i)$ denote the observational SST at MUR pixel s_i at month t, for i = 1, ..., n, assuming that there are a total n fine-scale pixels in our study region. Let $w_t(s_i)$ denote the climate model output deterministically interpolated to MUR pixel s_i , i = 1, ..., n. We adopt the statistical downscaling method in Ekanayaka et al. (2022). In particular, we assume:

$$y_t(s_i) = \mu_{1,t}(s_i) + u_{1,t}(s_i)$$

 $w_t(s_i) = \mu_{2,t}(s_i) + u_{2,t}(s_i)$

where $\mu_{1,t}(s_i)$ and $\mu_{2,t}(s_i)$ represent the large-scale variation and are modeled as deter-203 ministic terms for SST and model output, usually called the trend in geostatistics. Then, 204 we model the joint distribution of $\{(u_{1,t}(s_i), u_{2,t}(s_i)) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ by using the ba-205 sis function representation of a bivariate zero-mean Gaussian process. In our analysis, 206 we pooled the times series of $y_t(s_i) - f_t(s_i)$ and $w_t(s_i) - \bar{w}(s_i)$, where $f_t(s_i)$ represents 207 the output from the standard downscaling procedure, and $\bar{w}_t(s_i)$ is the average of inter-208 polated model outputs over the observational years. From these pooled time series, we 209 obtain the empirical orthogonal functions (EOFs). Amongst these functions, we imple-210 ment the method in Shi and Cressie (2007) and choose EOFs with large absolute-valued 211 coefficients together with $f_t(s_i)$ and $\bar{w}(s_i)$ as the trend terms $\mu_{1,t}(s_i)$ and $\mu_{2,t}(s_i)$, re-212 spectively, but use the remaining to model $(u_{1,t}(s_i), u_{2,t}(s_i))$ with random coefficients 213 as in Krock et al. (2021). There are several advantages of using such a basis-function rep-214 resentation: (1) The EOFs in the trend terms are designed to describe systematic spa-215 tial departure between observational data and climate model output; (2) The other EOFs 216 with random coefficients enable us to model nonstationary spatial dependence within and 217 between $\{u_{1,t}(s_i)\}\$ and $\{u_{2,t}(s_i)\}\$, thus enabling us to downscale the model output in-218 homogeneously at different areas (such as coastal regions) in a data-driven way; (3) Us-219 ing these basis functions effectively reduces dimensionality and makes our method com-220 putationally efficient. 221

Compared with the standard downscaling method, this novel statistical downscal-222 ing method uses observational data in the joint model directly instead of using only their 223 climatology. Our method allows us to simultaneously model the observational data and 224 climate model output, learn their relationship and then use this relationship to produce 225 downscaled projections. Ekanayaka et al. (2022) performed validation studies to com-226 pare this method with the standard downscaling method. MUR data before 2018 and 227 climate model output in the Great Barrier Reef region were used as training data to fit 228 the bivariate statistical model. In this methods study performed by our group, we com-229 pared the downscaled results from both the standard downscaling method and our new 230 method with withheld "test" MUR data from 2018-2020. Over the region containing the 231 entire Great Barrier Reef, we found that the standard downscaling method had mean 232 squared error (MSE) of 0.233° c² and the BGL method had MSE of 0.214° c², a reduc-233 tion of 8%. However, this reduction was more pronounced when averaged only over coral 234 reef locations. Figure 1 presents maps of MSE from the two downscaling methods, in a 235 central region of the Great Barrier Reef. Improvement provided by the BGL downscal-236 ing method is especially evident in near-coastal regions, which is important since many 237 coral reefs globally are located in near-coastal regions. Averaged over all coral reef lo-238 cations in this central region including those relatively far from the coast, the standard 239 downscaling method had MSE of 0.252° C² and the BGL method had MSE of 0.173° C² 240 a reduction of 31%. 241

BGL also accomplishes our second goal of producing meaningful uncertainty es-242 timates. By using the bivariate statistical model, we are able to quantify the uncertain-243 ties associated with the downscaled projections. Note that we obtain from the bivari-244 ate model the conditional predictive distribution of $y_t(s_i)|w_t(s_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ at a 245 future time point t when observational data $y_t(s_i)$ is not available. The downscaled pro-246 jections are corresponding to the conditional mean, while the conditional standard de-247 viation provides the associated uncertainty. Meanwhile, we note that such uncertainties 248 are based on fitting the model with the training data (i.e., MUR data and climate model 249 output in the observational years) and thus won't be able to characterize uncertainty due 250 to possible extreme departures of the relationship between MUR data and climate model 251 output not presented in the training data in particular unprecedented and unexpected 252 black swan events. 253



Figure 1: Comparison between standard downscaling and BGL downscaling mean squared error (MSE, in degrees Celsius squared) estimated from validation against withheld 2018-2020 MUR data in a central region of the Great Barrier Reef. This comparison was performed using SSP126 time series. Coral reef locations are indicated by the brown translucent masking. Note the MSE improvement provided by the BGL downscaling method that is especially evident in near-coastal regions. Averaged over coral reef locations, the standard downscaling method had MSE of $0.252^{\circ}C^{2}$ and the BGL method had MSE of $0.173^{\circ}C^{2}$, a reduction of 31%.

3.5 Thermal departure projections

We estimate projected times of thermal departure (TD) using the three pairs of DHW thresholds and climatological baselines introduced in Section 3.3. In what follows, we include projections using all three thermal metrics to provide comparability with prior studies, and to quantify the sensitivity of severe bleaching projections to the choice of climatological baseline.

At each 1 km pixel, we concatenate the MUR data from 2002 to 2020 to the mean 260 downscaled projection time series for a particular emissions scenario to create a contin-261 uous SST time series from 2002 to 2100. We then calculate the DHW time series from 262 this SST time series, and calculate the year after which every subsequent five year pe-263 riod and every subsequent ten year period contains at least one heat event surpassing 264 the DHW threshold, at least through 2100. We denote these two TD metrics as TD5Y 265 and TD10Y. Post-disturbance coral recovery through newly-settling recruits requires 7-266 13 years (Johns et al., 2014) or even >15 years (Baker et al., 2008) if it occurs at all. Thus 267 TD5Y and TD10Y are representative of a range of post-bleaching coral recovery time 268

scales from damaged but not completely destroyed ecosystems. We note that TD5Y projections might be optimistic, since reefs require more than five years to recover after severe bleaching events, but that it is commonly used by prior studies (e.g., Schleussner et al. (2016); Donner (2009); Frieler et al. (2013)). We also note that our construction allows for TD "projections" prior to 2022, and that all TD estimates, even those occurring in the past, depend on information to 2100.

275 4 Results

Figure 2 shows the CMIP6 ensemble mean of global mean surface temperature anomaly (GMSTA) over the entire globe in the four emissions scenarios, which begin running in 2014. It also shows the mean of the downscaled SST over all coral reef locations for the four scenarios, including observational MUR data before 2020. Note that the exceptionally strong 2015-2016 El Niño event is clearly apparent in the MUR SST data.



Figure 2: (left) Global mean surface air temperature anomaly (GMSTA) projections, relative to an 1880-1900 baseline, from the CMIP6 ensemble mean. (right) Mean SST averaged only over coral reef locations included in the analysis, with observational MUR data before 2020 shown within the shaded region and the downscaled CMIP6 model ensemble projections after 2020. Colors correspond to emissions scenarios as indicated in the legend.

Figure 3 shows global maps for two of the 24 scenarios (4 climate scenarios, 3 DHW 281 metrics, and 2 return timescales) we explored: the highest thermal threshold combina-282 tion with the latest departure dates and the most optimistic climate scenario (TD5Y, 283 8 DHW₂₀₀₈, SSP126); and the lowest thermal threshold combination with the earliest 284 departure dates and most pessimistic climate scenario (TD10Y, 8 DHW₁₉₈₈, SSP585). 285 The low-resolution representations of our high-resolution results shown in the figures demon-286 strate general TD dependence on return year, DHW threshold, and cumulative green-287 house gas emissions. It is also apparent that some coral reef regions of the world are fac-288 ing severe thermal stress earlier than others. 289

Our main results are shown as cumulative histograms of 1 km² reef locations remaining under TD5Y and TD10Y (Figure 4) and "slices" through these cumulative histograms at the 30%, 10%, and 1% remaining levels (Tables 1 and 2). Dashes in the tables signify the indicated percent remaining is not crossed before 2100. Vertical gray shading in figures denotes the period of MUR observational data. Note that the drop in reef locations remaining below TD that occurs in ~2015-2016 corresponds to warming of the reef locations due to the 2015-2016 El Niño visible in the SST data in Figure 2.



Figure 3: Global maps of thermal departure. (top) The highest thermal threshold we considered, with the latest departure years, and the most optimistic climate scenario: TD5Y, 8 DHW₂₀₀₈ threshold, and SSP126. (bottom) The lowest thermal threshold we considered, with the earliest departure years, and the most pessimistic climate scenario: TD10Y, 8 DHW₁₉₈₈ threshold, and SSP585. Maps of other scenarios are shown in the Supporting Information.



Figure 4: Cumulative histograms of thermal departure as a function of year, for SSP126 (black), SSP245 (blue), SSP370 (green), SSP585 (red), for a five year heat event return timescale (TD5Y, top row) and a ten year heat event return timescale (TD10Y, bottom row). The 1988 and 2008 climatological baselines are shown. Cyan and magenta horizontal lines show the 10% and 1% fractional levels respectively; colored vertical ticks on the y-axis indicate crossings of these levels.

It is also useful to interpolate the departure year data using the GMSTA estimates displayed in Figure 2; we perform the interpolation after applying a 10-year running mean to the GMSTA data. Plots of departure as a function of GMSTA are shown in the Supporting Information. Tables 1 and 2 provide GMSTA points of departure beyond various fractions of reefs lost for the four emissions scenarios. Tables 3 and 4 provide percentages and number of reefs remaining below the specified thermal metric, for future GMSTA values.

99% of reef locations are projected to exceed a thermal threshold of 8.0 DHW₁₉₈₈
at least once every 10 years (TD10Y) by 2034, 2034, 2033, and 2030 under SSP126, SSP245,
SSP370, and SSP585 (Table 1). In terms of GMSTA, once global heating surpasses 1.5°C
to 1.7°C, we project that fewer than 1% of reefs will remain below TD10Y, depending
on emissions scenario. As of 2021, fewer than 9% of 1 km² reef locations remained below TD10Y under all emissions scenarios.

TD5Y projections are slightly further in the future than TD10Y projections, as the severe bleaching must occur at least once every five years instead of once every ten years. 99% of reef locations are projected to exceed TD5Y by 2040, 2036, and 2034 under SSP245, SSP370, and SSP585, corresponding to GMSTAs of 1.8°C, 1.7°C, and 1.6°C, respectively. Higher emissions scenarios push coral reefs over this point at lower GMSTAs due to the progressively steeper rates of global heating (Figure 2), possibly corresponding to less time for deep ocean heat uptake.

As of 2021, fewer than 21% of 1 km² reef locations remained below TD5Y under all scenarios. We project that at 1.5°C GMSTA, between 2% and 5% of reef locations will remain below TD5Y, and between 1% and 3% will remain below TD10Y. We project that at 2.0°C GMSTA, the number of reef locations remaining below TD5Y or TD10Y (fewer than 2700 and 2300 1 km² locations respectively) will be closer to 0% than to 1%.

Under all the thermal metrics, the SSP126 scenario, although still dire, projects a markedly better prognosis for corals than the other three emissions scenarios. Under TD5Y, 1% of reefs are projected to remain below the thermal threshold until 2095. Also, although 99% of reefs surpass the threshold under TD10Y by 2034, further losses proceed more slowly than in the other three emissions scenarios (Figure 4).

	8 D	HW_{200}	18	8 D	HW_{199}	98	8 DHW_{1988}		
	30%	10%	1%	30%	10%	1%	30%	10%	1%
		Yea	r in tv	venty-fi	rst cen	tury			
SSP126	25	39		17	29		16	20	34
SSP245	25	35	53	17	28	44	16	18	34
SSP370	26	33	47	19	27	39	16	19	33
SSP585	22	30	42	16	25	36	16	17	30
	Global	mean s	surfac	e temp	erature	anom	aly (°C	C)	
SSP245	1.4	1.7	1.9	1.2	1.5	1.8	1.1	1.2	1.7
SSP370	1.4	1.7	1.9	1.2	1.5	1.8	1.1	1.2	1.6
$\operatorname{SSP585}$	1.3	1.5	1.9	1.1	1.4	1.7	1.1	1.2	1.5

Table 1: Projected years and GMSTAs after which fewer than the stated percentage of 1 km^2 reef locations remain below the thermal thresholds, for a return timescale of 10 years (TD10Y)

	8 D	HW_{200})8	8 D	HW_{199}	98	8 DHW_{1988}			
	30%	10%	1%	30%	10%	1%	30%	10%	1%	
		Yea	r in tv	venty-fi	rst cen	tury				
SSP126	30	75		23	32		19	25	95	
SSP245	29	40	62	22	31	49	19	23	40	
SSP370	29	36	53	23	30	45	19	25	36	
SSP585	26	34	45	21	28	40	19	23	34	
	Global	mean s	surfac	e tempe	erature	anom	aly (°C	C)		
SSP245	1.5	1.8	2.0	1.3	1.6	1.9	1.2	1.4	1.8	
SSP370	1.5	1.7	2.0	1.4	1.6	1.9	1.2	1.4	1.7	
SSP585	1.4	1.6	2.0	1.3	1.5	1.8	1.2	1.4	1.6	

Table 2: Projected years and GMSTAs after which fewer than the stated percentage of 1 km^2 reef locations remain below the thermal thresholds, for a return timescale of 5 years (TD5Y)

Table 3: Percentages and numbers of reef locations remaining below the stated thresholds, for a return timescale of 10 years (TD10Y)

	8]	DHW_{200}	8	8]	DHW_{199}	18	8 DHW_{1988}			
	1.5°C 1.7°C 2.0°C		1.5°C	1.5°C 1.7°C 2.0°C			1.5°C 1.7°C 2.0			
	Perce	ent 1 km	² reef lo	cations 1	remainir	ng below	thresho	ld		
SSP245	26%	9%	0%	11%	3%	0%	3%	1%	0%	
SSP370	24%	6%	0%	9%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	
SSP585	15%	3%	0%	5%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	
Numl	per of 1	$\rm km^2$ ree	f locatio	ns remai	ining bel	low three	shold, ou	ut of 773	3K	
SSP245	201K	68K	4K	83K	21K	2K	24K	6K	729	
SSP370	$191 \mathrm{K}$	52K	9K	73K	14K	4K	17K	5K	1233	
SSP585	117K	25K	6K	$40 \mathrm{K}$	9K	3K	10K	$4\mathrm{K}$	2265	

We validated our analysis by comparing the mean of the three annual maximum 327 ocean heat events at each reef pixel from 2018-2020 in the downscaled SSP126 SST time 328 series to the corresponding value in the MUR SST data. We found that the mean of a 329 distribution of MUR values subtracted from corresponding downscaled model SST val-330 ues was -1.8°C-weeks (with a standard deviation of 1.7°C-weeks), i.e., the downscaled 331 model value underestimated the MUR data by 1.8°C-weeks (see Figure S7 in Support-332 ing Information). We found similar results for the other three SSPs. This suggests that 333 the projections are "conservative" in the sense that they underestimate future coral bleach-334 ing. 335

	8	DHW_{200}	18	8]	DHW_{199}	18	8 DHW_{1988}			
	1.5°C 1.7°C 2.0°C		$1.5^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	1.5°C 1.7°C 2.0°C			1.7°C	2.0°C		
	Perce	ent 1 km	2 reef lo	cations 1	remainir	ng below	thresho	ld		
SSP245	33%	15%	1%	17%	5%	0%	5%	2%	0%	
SSP370	32%	14%	1%	15%	4%	0%	4%	1%	0%	
SSP585	21%	6%	1%	9%	2%	0%	2%	1%	0%	
Num	ber of 1	km ² ree	f locatio	ns remai	ning bel	low three	shold, ou	it of 773	BK	
SSP245	253K	113K	$7\mathrm{K}$	132K	42K	3K	42K	12K	1250	
SSP370	253K	119K	16K	120K	36K	6K	34K	11K	2674	
SSP585	$171 \mathrm{K}$	50K	12K	75K	16K	5K	21K	6K	2628	

Table 4: Percentages and numbers of reef locations remaining below the stated thresholds, for a return timescale of 5 years (TD5Y) $\,$

³³⁶ 5 Discussion and Conclusion

In 2020, global heating (GMSTA) was 1.2°C- 1.3°C above pre-industrial levels, and 337 human greenhouse gas emissions will likely push Earth to 1.5°C GMSTA sometime in 338 the 2030s, according to CMIP6 model projections (Figure 2). Unless humanity accom-339 plishes climate mitigation approximating the SSP126 scenario, Earth will likely surpass 340 $2^{\circ}C$ GMSTA around mid-century (e.g., Table 1). We have provided projections, with un-341 precedented spatial resolution, of future years and global heating levels beyond which 342 coral severe bleaching conditions due to this anthropogenic global heating will be con-343 tinuous relative to coral recovery timescales. Novel aspects of our departure year and 344 GMSTA projections include using the CMIP6 model ensemble; attaining 1 km resolu-345 tion; downscaling with an improved method; performing an end-to-end validation against 346 observational data; and providing projections under six combinations of two ecologically 347 relevant severe bleaching event return timescales (5 years and 10 years) and three DHW 348 thresholds. 349

Clarifying that complete specification of DHW thresholds requires not one, but two 350 numbers facilitates apples-to-apples comparisons with prior studies. Schleussner et al. 351 (2016) projected a 70–90% loss at 1.5°C and 99% loss at 2°C GMSTA, using CMIP3 global 352 models (without downscaling) and a thermal criteria of TD5Y and 8 DHW_{1990} (the cen-353 ter of a 1980-2000 reference climatology). These results were adopted by the IPCC Spe-354 cial Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C ("Summary for Policymakers", 2018). Using 355 nearly identical thermal criteria (TD5Y and 8 DHW_{1988}), we project a 95-98% loss at 356 1.5° C and a 99.7% loss at 2°C GMSTA (Table 4). 357

Donner (2009) used one global model and a thermal metric of TD5Y and 8 DHW₁₉₈₈ (a 1985-2000 climatology) to project roughly 70% of coarse-scale (not downscaled) global model locations will surpass the metric in 2025, and 90% by 2040, under SRES B1 (similar to SSP245); our study projects 2019 and 2023 (Table 2).

Frieler et al. (2013), using 19 CMIP3 models and an 8 DHW₁₉₉₀ (1980-1999 climatology), found that 90% of coarse grid cells surpass TD5Y at 1.5°C, and that all grid cells surpass TD5Y before 2°C GMSTA; our study projects over 95% TD5Y at 8 DHW₁₉₈₈ and 1.5°C, and over 99.7% at 2°C (Table 4).

Van Hooidonk et al. (2016) was the only prior study that applied statistical downscaling; they downscaled CMIP5 projections to 4 km resolution and found mean TD1Y values (annual recurrence) of ocean heat events surpassing 8 DHW₁₉₉₅ (1982-2008 climatology) of 2054 for the climate scenarios RCP 4.5 and 2043 for RCP 8.5, which are similar to the scenarios SSP245 and SSP585 used here. Our study does not include comparable metrics, and we note that annual severe bleaching might be too "conservative" a metric to be useful, given observed post-bleaching recovery times of about a decade.

Dixon et al. (2022) applied statistical downscaling with MUR data to 1 km resolution and used the low thermal criteria of TD10Y and 4 DHW₁₉₈₈ and found 0.2% of reefs at 1.5°C, and 0% at 2.0°C of mean global heating. We do not use such a low thermal threshold, so we cannot perform a comparison, but we note again that projections of remaining reefs depend critically on choice of thermal threshold.

Our results project an earlier decline for the world's coral reefs than either Schleussner et al. (2016) or Donner (2009), but are in agreement with Frieler et al. (2013). However, these earlier studies used a 5-year return timescale, but a 10-year return timescale is more ecologically appropriate.

There are three realms of uncertainty in our projections. The first is *scenario uncertainty*, the uncertainty over humanity's collective future emissions; this dimension is spanned over the four "SSP" emissions scenarios. The second realm of uncertainty is *projection uncertainty*, part of which stems from uncertainties in the global models (Lehner

et al., 2020). Projection uncertainty, in the context of ecological projections, can also arise 386 from uncertainties in observational datasets and from the downscaling methodology. The 387 two prior studies that do estimate projection uncertainty do so from the spread of in-388 dividual global models within the model ensemble (Frieler et al., 2013; Schleussner et al., 2016). However, we cannot apply this method directly to our downscaled results. One 390 key area for future work is to understand and reduce projection uncertainty. We are cur-391 rently developing a statistical uncertainty quantification from the BGL downscaling method 392 and the model ensemble (informed by comparative assessments between individual mod-393 els and observations). In addition to uncertainty quantification, skill-weighting the en-394 semble could allow better use of information, potentially improving projection accuracy, 395 which could be checked in hindcast experiments. Furthermore, the current standard practice of using what amounts to an arbitrary collection of models and taking their ensem-397 ble means creates uncertainty. To illustrate this, we performed our analysis on a sepa-398 rate CMIP6 ensemble of 127 model members from 27 model groups (Supporting Infor-399 mation Text T2 and Tables S1 and S2). The different ensemble led to slightly different 400 results, for example projecting 2% of reef locations to not surpass 8 DHW₁₉₈₈ at TD10Y 401 under SSP245, as opposed to 3%. The 127-member ensemble projects 99% of reefs to 402 exceed 8 DHW₁₉₈₈ at TD10Y under SSP126 in 2086, as compared to 2034 for the 35-403 member ensemble; this seemingly dramatic difference can be explained by the flattening of the cumulative histogram curve in bottom left panel of Figure 4 near this 99% re-405 maining threshold, creating a large rate of change. More serious is the possibility of misiden-406 tifying specific locations of projected refugia. This arbitrariness due to the ensemble com-407 position, which is also present in other ensemble studies, could be eliminated in the fu-408 ture via skill-weighting. 409

The third realm of uncertainty is *ecological uncertainty*, the uncertainty in the relationship between ocean heat events and the response of coral reefs. We have spanned a small part of this realm by providing projections under the two severe bleaching recovery timescales, and three thermal threshold metrics.

As is the case with the prior studies, our study does not factor in additional eco-414 logic factors which could potentially mitigate or exacerbate coral reef degradation and 415 loss. On shorter timescales, clouds can block sunlight, potentially reducing algal produc-416 tion of reactive oxygen species (M. E. Baird et al., 2018; Skirving et al., 2018; Roth, 2014), 417 and mitigating bleaching during marine heat events (Mumby et al., 2001). Reef depth 418 could also affect bleaching by reducing sunlight and water temperatures (Muir et al., 2017; 419 Frade et al., 2018; A. H. Baird et al., 2018; Smith et al., 2014). Relatively high SST vari-420 ability correlates with lower bleaching risk (Safaie et al., 2018; Beyer et al., 2018). Rel-421 atively high nutrient levels correlates with higher bleaching risk (DeCarlo & Harrison, 422 2019). 423

On longer timescales, dispersal of coral larvae could result in establishment of populations in cooler regions of the future ocean (Greenstein & Pandolfi, 2008). Ocean acidification, sea-level-rise, sedimentation, and intensifying storms could further harm corals (HoeghGuldberg et al., 2007; Cohen et al., 2009; Field et al., 2011; Blanchon et al., 2009; Perry
et al., 2018; Cheal et al., 2017).

In this study, we do not attempt to account explicitly for highly uncertain coral 429 adaptation, although our use of three climatological baselines could serve as a rudimen-430 tary proxy. Adaptation of corals and/or symbionts (such as acclimatization, symbiont 431 shuffling, or genetic change) would improve coral prospects, but evidence is equivocal 432 and mechanisms remain poorly understood (Baker et al., 2004; Donner et al., 2005; Parme-433 434 san, 2006; Hoegh-Guldberg, 2014; Chakravarti et al., 2017; Torda et al., 2017). Logan et al. (2021) folds potential symbiont-mediated adaptive capacity from symbiont shuf-435 fling and symbiont evolution into thermal viability projections from an ecological model, 436 driven by SST output from a global climate model. Shuffling of symbionts with assumed 437 thermal growth optima of up to 1.5° C above heat-sensitive symbionts allowed the model 438

to simulate thriving global reefs beyond 2100. Even under the most extreme climate scenario (RCP 8.5), 23% of simulated global reefs remained healthy under symbiont shuffling combined with symbiont evolution.

A major focus for future work will be understanding and constraining ecological uncertainty. Adaptation can be included in coral projections when based on observed adaptation levels, as hypothetical adaptation levels lead to unconstrained projections. It might also be possible to constrain the coral response to ocean heat events through the use of empirical data, such as remotely sensed severe coral bleaching from satellite platforms. This could provide sufficient data to create models of the coral response that account for the coral locations, and could include additional predictor variables.

Our analysis does provide projected 1 km^2 locations of global coral refugia. How-449 ever, given the high degree of uncertainty, and imminent data science innovations with 450 the potential to constrain this uncertainty, we choose not to highlight the identification 451 of refugia in our current study, despite having created an online visualizer. We note that 452 a small number of reefs are projected to persist beyond 2°C GMSTA even under the most 453 stringent metric (Table 3), but that we have low confidence in the precise locations of 454 these potential refugia. Indeed, we see an urgent need to further improve ecological pro-455 jection in order to attain the capacity to robustly identify refugia, including understand-456 ing the physical basis for their projected persistence, for the sake of guiding conserva-457 tion efforts. Our group plans to release improved projections in a subsequent study, which 458 will include identification of refugia. 459

Finally, we feel that it is no longer possible to overstate the importance of rapid 460 cessation of human greenhouse gas emissions. In the absence of extremely rapid coral 461 adaptation to increasing heat, which would need to occur in the simultaneous presence 462 of the many additional and serious anthropogenic stressors listed earlier, our results sug-463 gest that 2°C of global heating could render Earth essentially uninhabitable to warm wa-464 ter coral reefs as we know them. Furthermore, if near-future emissions are equivalent or 465 greater than SSP245, we project that by 2040 over 99% of the world's reefs will be sub-466 ject to thermal severe bleaching conditions too recurrent for recovery (TD5Y), which will 467 continue to worsen. On the other hand, if emissions approximated the SSP126 scenario 468 and GMSTA were limited to 1.5°C, this level of severe bleaching might not attain and 469 global conditions could stabilize on a planet with coral reefs. 470

471 Acknowledgments

Research was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Tech-472 nology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (80NM0018D0004). 473 Financial and in-kind support for this project was provided by NASA ROSES Sustain-474 ing Living Systems in a Time of Climate Variability and Change program, grant num-475 ber 281945.02.03.09.34; and the University of Cincinnati. MB was supported by the eReefs 476 Project managed by the Great Barrier Reef Foundation. The authors acknowledge the 477 World Climate Research Program's Working Group on Coupled Modelling, which is re-478 sponsible for CMIP, and thank the climate modeling groups for producing and making 479 available their model output. The authors thank Alex Goodman for developing the Big 480 Climate Data Project which they used to access CMIP6 model output. The contents in 481 this manuscript are solely the opinions of the authors and do not constitute a statement 482 of policy, decision or position on behalf of NASA, the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, or the 483 US Government. (C)2021. All rights reserved. 484

485 6 Open Research

The datasets analysed during the current study are available in the following repositories and persistent web links. Group for High Resolution Sea Surface Temperature (GHRSST) Level 4 NASA/JPL
 Multiscale Ultrahigh Resolution (MUR) MUR Global Foundation Sea Surface Temper ature Analysis (v4.1), https://doi.org/10.5067/GHGMR-4FJ04 (JPL MUR MEaSUREs
 Project, 2015).

Reef mask from the NOAA Coral Reef Watch thermal history product, v1.0, ftp:// ftp.star.nesdis.noaa.gov/pub/sod/mecb/crw/data/thermal_history/v1.0/ (Heron et al., 2016).

Projections of monthly variables 'tos' and 'tas' were obtained using the Intake-esm 495 framework, https://intake-esm.readthedocs.io/en/latest/. 'tos' was obtained from 496 the following models: ACCESS-CM2 r1i1p1f1, BCC-CSM2-MR r1i1p1f1, CAMS-CSM1-497 0 r1i1p1f1, CAS-ESM2-0 r1i1p1f1, CESM2 r10i1p1f1, CESM2-WACCM r1i1p1f1, CMCC-498 CM2-SR5 r1i1p1f1, CMCC-ESM2 r1i1p1f1, CNRM-CM6-1 r1i1p1f2, CNRM-CM6-1-HR 499 r1i1p1f2, CNRM-ESM2-1 r1i1p1f2, CanESM5 r10i1p1f1, CanESM5-CanOE r1i1p2f1, EC-500 Earth3 r11i1p1f1, EC-Earth3-Veg r1i1p1f1, EC-Earth3-Veg-LR r1i1p1f1, FGOALS-f3-501 L r1i1p1f1, FGOALS-g3 r1i1p1f1, GFDL-ESM4 r1i1p1f1, GISS-E2-1-G r1i1p3f1, IPSL-502 CM6A-LR r14i1p1f1, MCM-UA-1-0 r1i1p1f2, MIROC-ES2L r10i1p1f2, MIROC6 r1i1p1f1, 503 MPI-ESM1-2-HR r1i1p1f1, MPI-ESM1-2-LR r10i1p1f1, NorESM2-LM r1i1p1f1, NorESM2-504 MM r1i1p1f1, TaiESM1 r1i1p1f1, UKESM1-0-LL r1i1p1f2, CESM2-WACCM r1i1p1f1, 505 GFDL-ESM4 r1i1p1f1, INM-CM4-8 r1i1p1f1, INM-CM5-0 r1i1p1f1, MIROC-ES2L r10i1p1f2. 506

'tas' was obtained from the following models: ACCESS-CM2 r1i1p1f1, ACCESS-507 ESM1-5 r10i1p1f1, BCC-CSM2-MR r1i1p1f1, CAMS-CSM1-0 r1i1p1f1, CanESM5CanOE 508 r1i1p2f1, CanESM5 r10i1p1f1, CESM2 r10i1p1f1, CESM2-WACCM r1i1p1f1, CMCC-509 CM2-SR5 r1i1p1f1, CNRM-CM6-1-HR r1i1p1f2, CNRM-CM6-1 r1i1p1f2, CNRM-ESM2-510 1 r1i1p1f2, EC-Earth3 r11i1p1f1, EC-Earth3-Veg-LR r1i1p1f1, EC-Earth3-Veg r1i1p1f1, 511 FGOALS-f3-L r1i1p1f1, FGOALS-g3 r1i1p1f1, GFDL-ESM4 r1i1p1f1, GISS-E2-1-G r1i1p3f1, 512 IITM-ESM r1i1p1f1, INM-CM4-8 r1i1p1f1, INM-CM5-0 r1i1p1f1, IPSL-CM6A-LR r14i1p1f1, 513 KACE-1-0-G r1i1p1f1, MCM-UA-1-0 r1i1p1f2, MIROC6 r1i1p1f1, MIROC-ES2L r1i1p1f2, 514 MPI-ESM1-2-HR r1i1p1f1, MPI-ESM1-2-LR r10i1p1f1, NorESM2-LM r1i1p1f1, NorESM2-515 MM r1i1p1f1, TaiESM1 r1i1p1f1, UKESM1-0-LL r1i1p1f2. 516

517 **References**

540

541

542

543

544

545

- Baird, A. H., Madin, J. S., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Fontoura, L., Kerry, J. T., Kuo,
 C.-Y., ... others (2018). A decline in bleaching suggests that depth can provide a refuge from global warming in most coral taxa. *Marine Ecology Progress* Series, 603, 257–264.
- Baird, M. E., Mongin, M., Rizwi, F., Bay, L. K., Cantin, N. E., Soja-Woźniak,
 M., & Skerratt, J. (2018). A mechanistic model of coral bleaching due to
 temperature-mediated light-driven reactive oxygen build-up in zooxanthellae. *Ecological modelling*, 386, 20–37.
- Baker, A. C., Glynn, P. W., & Riegl, B. (2008). Climate change and coral reef
 bleaching: An ecological assessment of long-term impacts, recovery trends and
 future outlook. *Estuarine, coastal and shelf science, 80*(4), 435–471.
- Baker, A. C., Starger, C. J., McClanahan, T. R., & Glynn, P. W. (2004). Corals'
 adaptive response to climate change. *Nature*, 430(7001), 741–741.
- Beyer, H. L., Kennedy, E. V., Beger, M., Chen, C. A., Cinner, J. E., Darling, E. S.,
 ... others (2018). Risk-sensitive planning for conserving coral reefs under
 rapid climate change. *Conservation Letters*, 11(6), e12587.
- Blanchon, P., Eisenhauer, A., Fietzke, J., & Liebetrau, V. (2009). Rapid sea-level
 rise and reef back-stepping at the close of the last interglacial highstand. Na ture, 458(7240), 881–884.
- ⁵³⁷ Chakravarti, L. J., Beltran, V. H., & van Oppen, M. J. (2017). Rapid thermal adap tation in photosymbionts of reef-building corals. *Global change biology*, 23(11),
 4675–4688.
 - Cheal, A. J., MacNeil, M. A., Emslie, M. J., & Sweatman, H. (2017). The threat to coral reefs from more intense cyclones under climate change. *Global change bi*ology, 23(4), 1511–1524.
 - Chin, T. M., Vazquez-Cuervo, J., & Armstrong, E. M. (2017). A multi-scale highresolution analysis of global sea surface temperature. *Remote sensing of envi*ronment, 200, 154–169.
- ⁵⁴⁶ Coffroth, M., Lasker, H., & Oliver, J. (1990). Coral mortality outside of the east ⁵⁴⁷ ern pacific during 1982-1983: relationship to el nino. In *Elsevier oceanography* ⁵⁴⁸ series (Vol. 52, pp. 141–182). Elsevier.
- ⁵⁴⁹ Cohen, A. L., McCorkle, D. C., de Putron, S., Gaetani, G. A., & Rose, K. A. (2009).
 Morphological and compositional changes in the skeletons of new coral recruits
 ⁵⁵¹ reared in acidified seawater: Insights into the biomineralization response to
 ⁵⁵² ocean acidification. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 10*(7).
- ⁵⁵³ Coral Reef Watch. (n.d.). Methodology, product description, and data availability of
 ⁵⁵⁴ NOAA Coral Reef Watch's version 3.1 daily global 5km satellite coral bleach ⁵⁵⁵ ing heat stress monitoring products. https://coralreefwatch.noaa.gov/
 ⁵⁵⁶ product/5km/methodology.php. (Accessed: 2021-12-09)
- ⁵⁵⁷ DeCarlo, T. M. (2020). Treating coral bleaching as weather: a framework to validate ⁵⁵⁸ and optimize prediction skill. *PeerJ*, *8*, e9449.
- ⁵⁵⁹ DeCarlo, T. M., & Harrison, H. B. (2019). An enigmatic decoupling between heat ⁵⁶⁰ stress and coral bleaching on the great barrier reef. *PeerJ*, 7, e7473.
- De'ath, G., Fabricius, K. E., Sweatman, H., & Puotinen, M. (2012). The 27-year de cline of coral cover on the great barrier reef and its causes. Proceedings of the
 National Academy of Sciences, 109(44), 17995–17999.
- Dixon, A. M., Forster, P. M., Heron, S. F., Stoner, A. M., & Beger, M. (2022). Future loss of local-scale thermal refugia in coral reef ecosystems. *PLOS Climate*, 1(2), e0000004.
- ⁵⁶⁷ Donner, S. D. (2009). Coping with commitment: projected thermal stress on coral ⁵⁶⁸ reefs under different future scenarios. *PLoS One*, 4(6), e5712.
- ⁵⁶⁹ Donner, S. D., Skirving, W. J., Little, C. M., Oppenheimer, M., & Hoegh-Guldberg,
 O. (2005). Global assessment of coral bleaching and required rates of adapta ⁵⁷¹ tion under climate change. *Global Change Biology*, 11(12), 2251–2265.

572 573	Ekanayaka, A., Kang, E., Braverman, A., & Kalmus, P. (2022). Statistical downscal- ing of model projections with multivariate basis graphical lasso. Retrieved from
574	https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.13111
575	Field, M. E., Ogston, A. S., & Storlazzi, C. D. (2011). Rising sea level may cause
576	decline of fringing coral reefs. Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union,
577	92(33), 273-274.
578	Frade, P. R., Bongaerts, P., Englebert, N., Rogers, A., Gonzalez-Rivero, M., &
579	Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (2018). Deep reefs of the great barrier reef offer limited
580	thermal refuge during mass coral bleaching. Nature Communications, $9(1)$,
581	1–8.
582	Frieler, K., Meinshausen, M., Golly, A., Mengel, M., Lebek, K., Donner, S., &
583	Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (2013). Limiting global warming to 2 C is unlikely to
584	save most coral reefs. Nature Climate Change, $3(2)$, 165–170.
585	Greenstein, B. J., & Pandolfi, J. M. (2008). Escaping the heat: range shifts of
586	reef coral taxa in coastal western australia. $Global Change Biology, 14(3),$
587	513–528.
588	Heron, S. F., Liu, G., Eakin, C. M., Skirving, W. J., Muller-Karger, F. E., Vega-
589	Rodriguez, M., others (2014). Climatology development for noaa coral reef
590	watch's 5-km product suite. NOAA technical report NESDIS, 145. Retrieved
591	from https://repository.library.noaa.gov/view/noaa/896
592	Heron, S. F., Maynard, J. A., Van Hooidonk, R., & Eakin, C. M. (2016). Warming
593	trends and bleaching stress of the world's coral reefs 1985–2012. Scientific re-
594	ports, 6, 38402.
595	Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (1999). Climate change, coral bleaching and the future of the
596	world's coral reefs. Marine and freshwater research, 50(8), 839–866.
597	Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (2014). Coral reef sustainability through adaptation: glimmer
598	of hope or persistent mirage? Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainabil-
	·/ ·/ 107 100
599	tty, 7, 127-133.
599 600	<i>ity</i> , 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P.,
599 600 601	 <i>ity</i>, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate
599 600 601 602	 <i>ity</i>, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. <i>Science</i>, 318 (5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved
599 600 601 602 603	 <i>ity</i>, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. <i>Science</i>, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi:
599 600 601 602 603 604	 <i>ity</i>, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. <i>Science</i>, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509
599 600 601 602 603 604 605	 <i>ity</i>, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. <i>Science</i>, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef
599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606	 tty, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. Frontiers in Marine
599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 606	 ity, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 158.
599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 606 607 608	 tty, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 158. Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson,
 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 	 tty, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 158. Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H., others (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass
 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 	 tty, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 158. Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H., others (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. Nature, 543(7645), 373–377.
 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 	 tty, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 158. Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H., others (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. Nature, 543(7645), 373–377. Johns, K., Osborne, K., & Logan, M. (2014). Contrasting rates of coral recovery and
 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 	 tty, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 158. Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H., others (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. Nature, 543(7645), 373–377. Johns, K., Osborne, K., & Logan, M. (2014). Contrasting rates of coral recovery and reassembly in coral communities on the great barrier reef. Coral Reefs, 33(3),
 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 	 <i>ity</i>, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. <i>Science</i>, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. <i>Frontiers in Marine Science</i>, 4, 158. Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H., others (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. <i>Nature</i>, 543(7645), 373–377. Johns, K., Osborne, K., & Logan, M. (2014). Contrasting rates of coral recovery and reassembly in coral communities on the great barrier reef. <i>Coral Reefs</i>, 33(3), 553–563.
 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 	 tty, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 158. Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H., others (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. Nature, 543(7645), 373–377. Johns, K., Osborne, K., & Logan, M. (2014). Contrasting rates of coral recovery and reassembly in coral communities on the great barrier reef. Coral Reefs, 33(3), 553–563. JPL MUR MEaSUREs Project. (2015). Ghrsst level 4 mur global foundation sea
 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 	 http://without.com/wi
 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 	 <i>ity</i>, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. <i>Science</i>, <i>318</i>(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. <i>Frontiers in Marine Science</i>, <i>4</i>, 158. Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H., others (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. <i>Nature</i>, <i>543</i>(7645), 373–377. Johns, K., Osborne, K., & Logan, M. (2014). Contrasting rates of coral recovery and reassembly in coral communities on the great barrier reef. <i>Coral Reefs</i>, <i>33</i>(3), 553–563. JPL MUR MEaSUREs Project. (2015). <i>Ghrsst level 4 mur global foundation sea surface temperature analysis (v4.1).</i> CA, USA: PO.DAAC. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5067/GHGMR-4FJ04
 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 	 <i>ity</i>, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. <i>Science</i>, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. <i>Frontiers in Marine Science</i>, 4, 158. Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H., others (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. <i>Nature</i>, 543(7645), 373–377. Johns, K., Osborne, K., & Logan, M. (2014). Contrasting rates of coral recovery and reassembly in coral communities on the great barrier reef. <i>Coral Reefs</i>, 33(3), 553–563. JPL MUR MEaSUREs Project. (2015). <i>Ghrsst level 4 mur global foundation sea surface temperature analysis (v4.1).</i> CA, USA: PO.DAAC. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5067/GHGMR-4FJ04 Kim, S. W., Sampayo, E. M., Sommer, B., Sims, C. A., Gómez-Cabrera, M. d. C.,
 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 	 <i>ity</i>, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 158. Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H., others (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. Nature, 543(7645), 373–377. Johns, K., Osborne, K., & Logan, M. (2014). Contrasting rates of coral recovery and reassembly in coral communities on the great barrier reef. Coral Reefs, 33(3), 553–563. JPL MUR MEaSUREs Project. (2015). Ghrsst level 4 mur global foundation sea surface temperature analysis (v4.1). CA, USA: PO.DAAC. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5067/GHGMR-4FJ04 Kim, S. W., Sampayo, E. M., Sommer, B., Sims, C. A., Gómez-Cabrera, M. d. C., Dalton, S. J., others (2019). Refugia under threat: Mass bleaching of coral
 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 	 Ity, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 158. Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H., others (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. Nature, 543(7645), 373–377. Johns, K., Osborne, K., & Logan, M. (2014). Contrasting rates of coral recovery and reassembly in coral communities on the great barrier reef. Coral Reefs, 33(3), 553–563. JPL MUR MEASURES Project. (2015). Ghrsst level 4 mur global foundation sea surface temperature analysis (v4.1). CA, USA: PO.DAAC. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5067/GHGMR-4FJ04 Kim, S. W., Sampayo, E. M., Sommer, B., Sims, C. A., Gómez-Cabrera, M. d. C., Dalton, S. J., others (2019). Refugia under threat: Mass bleaching of coral assemblages in high-latitude eastern Australia. Global change biology, 25(11),
 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 	 Ity, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 158. Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H., others (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. Nature, 543(7645), 373–377. Johns, K., Osborne, K., & Logan, M. (2014). Contrasting rates of coral recovery and reassembly in coral communities on the great barrier reef. Coral Reefs, 33(3), 553–563. JPL MUR MEaSUREs Project. (2015). Ghrsst level 4 mur global foundation sea surface temperature analysis (v4.1). CA, USA: PO.DAAC. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5067/GHGMR-4FJ04 Kim, S. W., Sampayo, E. M., Sommer, B., Sims, C. A., Gómez-Cabrera, M. d. C., Dalton, S. J., others (2019). Refugia under threat: Mass bleaching of coral assemblages in high-latitude eastern Australia. Global change biology, 25(11), 3918–3931.
 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 	 http://, 127-133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science, 318(5857), 1737-1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 158. Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H., others (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. Nature, 543(7645), 373-377. Johns, K., Osborne, K., & Logan, M. (2014). Contrasting rates of coral recovery and reassembly in coral communities on the great barrier reef. Coral Reefs, 33(3), 553-563. JPL MUR MEASURES Project. (2015). Ghrsst level 4 mur global foundation sea surface temperature analysis (v4.1). CA, USA: PO.DAAC. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5067/GHGMR-4FJ04 Kim, S. W., Sampayo, E. M., Sommer, B., Sims, C. A., Gómez-Cabrera, M. d. C., Dalton, S. J., others (2019). Refugia under threat: Mass bleaching of coral assemblages in high-latitude eastern Australia. Global change biology, 25(11), 3918-3931. Krock, M., Kleiber, W., Hammerling, D., & Becker, S. (2021). Modeling massive
 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 	 <i>ity</i>, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. <i>Science</i>, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. <i>Frontiers in Marine Science</i>, 4, 158. Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H., others (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. <i>Nature</i>, 543(7645), 373–377. Johns, K., Osborne, K., & Logan, M. (2014). Contrasting rates of coral recovery and reassembly in coral communities on the great barrier reef. <i>Coral Reefs</i>, 33(3), 553–563. JPL MUR MEaSUREs Project. (2015). <i>Ghrsst level 4 mur global foundation sea surface temperature analysis (v4.1).</i> CA, USA: PO.DAAC. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5067/GHGMR-4FJ04 Kim, S. W., Sampayo, E. M., Sommer, B., Sims, C. A., Gómez-Cabrera, M. d. C., Dalton, S. J., others (2019). Refugia under threat: Mass bleaching of coral assemblages in high-latitude eastern Australia. <i>Global change biology</i>, 25(11), 3918–3931. Krock, M., Kleiber, W., Hammerling, D., & Becker, S. (2021). Modeling massive highly-multivariate nonstationary spatial data with the basis graphical lasso.
 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 	 Ity, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science, 318 (5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 158. Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H., others (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. Nature, 543(7645), 373–377. Johns, K., Osborne, K., & Logan, M. (2014). Contrasting rates of coral recovery and reassembly in coral communities on the great barrier reef. Coral Reefs, 33(3), 553–563. JPL MUR MEaSURES Project. (2015). Ghrsst level 4 mur global foundation sea surface temperature analysis (v4.1). CA, USA: PO.DAAC. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5067/GHGMR-4FJ04 Kim, S. W., Sampayo, E. M., Sommer, B., Sims, C. A., Gómez-Cabrera, M. d. C., Dalton, S. J., others (2019). Refugia under threat: Mass bleaching of coral assemblages in high-latitude eastern Australia. Global change biology, 25(11), 3918–3931. Krock, M., Kleiber, W., Hammerling, D., & Becker, S. (2021). Modeling massive highly-multivariate nonstationary spatial data with the basis graphical lasso. Langlais, C., Lenton, A., Heron, S., Evenhuis, C., Gupta, A. S., Brown, J., &
 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 	 <i>ity</i>, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. <i>Science</i>, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. <i>Frontiers in Marine Science</i>, 4, 158. Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H., others (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. <i>Nature</i>, 543(7645), 373–377. Johns, K., Osborne, K., & Logan, M. (2014). Contrasting rates of coral recovery and reassembly in coral communities on the great barrier reef. <i>Coral Reefs</i>, 33(3), 553–563. JPL MUR MEaSUREs Project. (2015). <i>Ghrsst level 4 mur global foundation sea surface temperature analysis (v4.1)</i>. CA, USA: PO.DAAC. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5067/GHGM-4FJ04 Kim, S. W., Sampayo, E. M., Sommer, B., Sims, C. A., Gómez-Cabrera, M. d. C., Dalton, S. J., others (2019). Refugia under threat: Mass bleaching of coral assemblages in high-latitude eastern Australia. <i>Global change biology</i>, 25(11), 3918–3931. Krock, M., Kleiber, W., Hammerling, D., & Becker, S. (2021). Modeling massive highly-multivariate nonstationary spatial data with the basis graphical lasso. Langlais, C., Lenton, A., Heron, S., Evenhuis, C., Gupta, A. S., Brown, J., & Kuchinke, M. (2017). Coral bleaching pathways under the control of regional
 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 	 try, 7, 127–133. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science, 318(5857), 1737–1742. Retrieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/318/5857/1737 doi: 10.1126/science.1152509 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 158. Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H., others (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. Nature, 543(7645), 373–377. Johns, K., Osborne, K., & Logan, M. (2014). Contrasting rates of coral recovery and reassembly in coral communities on the great barrier reef. Coral Reefs, 33(3), 553–563. JPL MUR MEaSUREs Project. (2015). Ghrsst level 4 mur global foundation sea surface temperature analysis (v4.1). CA, USA: PO.DAAC. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5067/GHGMR-4FJ04 Kim, S. W., Sampayo, E. M., Sommer, B., Sims, C. A., Gómez-Cabrera, M. d. C., Dalton, S. J., others (2019). Refugia under threat: Mass bleaching of coral assemblages in high-latitude eastern Australia. Global change biology, 25(11), 3918–3931. Krock, M., Kleiber, W., Hammerling, D., & Becker, S. (2021). Modeling massive highly-multivariate nonstationary spatial data with the basis graphical lasso. Langlais, C., Lenton, A., Heron, S., Evenhuis, C., Gupta, A. S., Brown, J., & Kuchinke, M. (2017). Coral bleaching pathways under the control of regional temperature variability. Nature Climate Change, 7(11), 839–844.

627	Hawkins, E. (2020). Partitioning climate projection uncertainty with multiple
628	large ensembles and CMIP5/6. Earth System Dynamics, $11(2)$, $491-508$.
629	Liu, G., Heron, S. F., Eakin, C. M., Muller-Karger, F. E., Vega-Rodriguez, M.,
630	Guild, L. S., others (2014). Reef-scale thermal stress monitoring of coral
631	ecosystems: new 5-km global products from noaa coral reef watch. Remote
632	$Sensing, \ 6 (11), \ 11579 – 11606.$
633	Liu, G., Strong, A. E., & Skirving, W. (2003). Remote sensing of sea surface tem-
634	peratures during 2002 barrier reef coral bleaching. Eos, Transactions American
635	Geophysical Union, 84(15), 137–141.
636	Liu, G., Strong, A. E., Skirving, W., & Arzayus, L. F. (2006). Overview of NOAA
637	coral reef watch program's near-real time satellite global coral bleaching moni-
638	toring activities. In Proceedings of the 10th international coral reef symposium
639	(Vol. 1793, pp. 1783–1793).
640	Logan, C. A., Dunne, J. P., Ryan, J. S., Baskett, M. L., & Donner, S. D. (2021).
641	Quantifying global potential for coral evolutionary response to climate change.
642	Nature Climate Change, 11(6), 537–542.
643	Muir, P. R., Marshall, P. A., Abdulla, A., & Aguirre, J. D. (2017). Species iden-
644	tity and depth predict bleaching severity in reef-building corals: shall the deep
645	inherit the reef? Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences,
646	284(1864), 20171551.
647	Mumby, P. J., Chisholm, J. R., Edwards, A. J., Andrefouet, S., & Jaubert, J.
648	(2001). Cloudy weather may have saved Society Island reef corals during
649	the 1998 ENSO event. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 222, 209–216.
650	National Center for Atmospheric Research Staff (Eds). (n.d.). The climate data
651	guide: SST data: HadiSST v1.1. Retrieved from https://climatedataguide
652	.ucar.edu/climate-data/sst-data-hadisst-v11
653	O'Neill, B. C., Kriegler, E., Riahi, K., Ebi, K. L., Hallegatte, S., Carter, T. R.,
654	van Vuuren, D. P. (2014). A new scenario framework for climate change
655	research: the concept of shared socioeconomic pathways. <i>Climatic change</i> ,
656	122(3), 387-400.
657	Parmesan, C. (2006). Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate
658	change. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst., 37, 637–669.
659	Perry, C. T., Alvarez-Filip, L., Graham, N. A., Mumby, P. J., Wilson, S. K., Kench,
660	P. S., others (2018). Loss of coral reef growth capacity to track future
661	increases in sea level. <i>Nature</i> , 558(7710), 396–400.
662	Rayner, N., Parker, D. E., Horton, E., Folland, C. K., Alexander, L. V., Rowell, D.,
663	Kaplan, A. (2003). Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice,
664	and night marine air temperature since the late nineteenth century. Journal of
665	Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108(D14).
666	Roth, M. S. (2014). The engine of the reef: photobiology of the coral-algal symbio-
667	sis. Frontiers in Microbiology, 5, 422.
668	Safaie, A., Silbiger, N. J., McClanahan, T. R., Pawlak, G., Barshis, D. J., Hench,
669	J. L., Davis, K. A. (2018). High frequency temperature variability reduces
670	the risk of coral bleaching. Nature communications, $9(1)$, 1–12.
671	Schleussner, CF., Lissner, T. K., Fischer, E. M., Wohland, J., Perrette, M., Golly,
672	A., others (2016). Differential climate impacts for policy-relevant limits
673	to global warming: the case of 1.5 C and 2 C . Earth system dynamics, $7(2)$,
674	327-351.
675	Shi, T., & Cressie, N. (2007). Global statistical analysis of misr aerosol data: a mas-
676	sive data product from nasa's terra satellite. Environmetrics, 18(7), 665-680.
677	doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/env.864
678	Skirving, W., Enríquez, S., Hedley, J. D., Dove, S., Eakin, C. M., Mason, R. A.,
679	others (2018). Remote sensing of coral bleaching using temperature and light:
680	progress towards an operational algorithm. Remote Sensing, $10(1)$, 18.
681	Smith, T. B., Glynn, P. W., Maté, J. L., Toth, L. T., & Gyory, J. (2014). A depth

682	refugium from catastrophic coral bleaching prevents regional extinction. Ecol-
683	$ogy, \ 95(6), \ 1663-1673.$
684	Summary for policymakers. (2018). In V. Masson-Delmotte et al. (Eds.), An IPCC
685	special report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5 C. Geneva, Switzerland:
686	World Meteorological Organization.
687	The International Society for Reef Studies. (2015). 21st Session of the Conference of
688	the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.
689	Paris. Retrieved from http://coralreefs.org/
690	Torda, G., Donelson, J. M., Aranda, M., Barshis, D. J., Bay, L., Berumen, M. L.,
691	others (2017). Rapid adaptive responses to climate change in corals. Nature
692	Climate Change, 7(9), 627-636.
693	Van Hooidonk, R., Maynard, J., & Planes, S. (2013). Temporary refugia for coral
694	reefs in a warming world. Nature Climate Change, $3(5)$, 508–511.
695	Van Hooidonk, R., Maynard, J., Tamelander, J., Gove, J., Ahmadia, G., Raymundo,
696	L., Planes, S. (2016). Local-scale projections of coral reef futures and
697	implications of the paris agreement. Scientific reports, $6(1)$, 1–8.
698	Van Hooidonk, R., Maynard, J. A., Liu, Y., & Lee, SK. (2015). Downscaled pro-
699	jections of Caribbean coral bleaching that can inform conservation planning.
700	Global change biology, $21(9)$, $3389-3401$.
701	Veron, J. E. N. (1995). Corals in space and time: the biogeography and evolution of
702	the Scleractinia. Cornell University Press.
703	Yonge, C. M. (1930). A Year on the Great Barrier Reef: The Story of Corals and of
704	the Greatest of their Creations. Putham, London.

-21-

Supporting Information for "Past the precipice? Projected coral habitability under global heating"

P. Kalmus¹, A. Ekanayaka², E. Kang², M. Baird³, and M. Gierach¹

 $^1 {\rm Jet}$ Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA

²University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA

 $^3\mathrm{CSIRO},$ Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Australia

Contents of this file

- 1. Text S1
- 2. Figures S1 to S7
- 3. Tables S1 to S2 $\,$

Introduction

This Supporting Information contains additional supporting text, additional supporting figures, and additional supporting tables for our paper.

Text S1.

Here we describe the empirical determination of the sensitivity of departure year to the DHW threshold and to the climatological baseline on which it is referenced for SSP245, SSP370, and SSP585, from which we can derive the direct equivalence relationship between DHW threshold and climatological baseline. To do this, we began by defining five climatological reference periods, 15 year contiguous period centered around 1970, 1980,

1990, 2000, and 2010. Since we required more than two decades of time span in which to perform this experiment, and the MUR SST dataset only goes back to 2002, we used the HadISST observational dataset, which has a horizontal resolution of 1 degree, and the global model ensemble of SST projections at the same spatial resolution. We calculated HadISST climatologies at each reef-containing coarse grid point. We did this for SSP245, SSP370, and SSP585. We did not include SSP126 due to the many reef locations which depart only after 2100.

For these three climate scenarios, and for each of the five climatological baselines, we find the year of projected departure beyond a threshold of 8 DHW at an annual return frequency at each 1-degree reef-containing grid cell. We then find the mean value over all the grid cells for each scenario and climatological baseline, and determine the least squares linear fit for each scenario (Figure S1, first column). We next perform a similar experiment, except instead of varying the climatological baseline, we choose one baseline (2010, i.e. 2003-2017) and vary the DHW threshold (Figure S1, second column). This determines an empirical relationship between the climatological baseline and the DHW threshold.

While the mean departure years from each of the three SSPs have different linear relationships to climatological baseline and DHW threshold, we find that the climatologically adjusted DHW threshold is 4.8 for each climate scenario. The largest difference between the three pairs of numbers (0.05 DHW) corresponds to mean departure year errors of 0.11 years, 0.14 years, and 0.20 years for SSP585, SSP370, and SSP245 respectively. These errors are negligible compared to other uncertainties in the analysis. We can use these relationships to determine equivalent DHW thresholds for any climatological baseline.

Text S2.

The following model groups and model members were used to produce Tables S1 and S2 below, using the methods described in the paper:

:

ACCESS-CM2 r1i1p1f1, ACCESS-CM2 r2i1p1f1, ACCESS-CM2 r3i1p1f1, ACCESS-ESM1-5 r1i1p1f1, ACCESS-ESM1-5 r2i1p1f1, ACCESS-ESM1-5 r3i1p1f1, BCC-CSM2-MR r1i1p1f1, CAMS-CSM1-0 r1i1p1f1, CAMS-CSM1-0 r2i1p1f1, CESM2 r10i1p1f1, CESM2 r11i1p1f1, CESM2 r4i1p1f1, CESM2-WACCM r1i1p1f1, CMCC-CM2-SR5 r1i1p1f1, CNRM-CM6-1 r1i1p1f2, CNRM-CM6-1 r2i1p1f2, CNRM-CM6-1 r3i1p1f2, CNRM-CM6-1 r4i1p1f2, CNRM-CM6-1 r5i1p1f2, CNRM-CM6-1 r6i1p1f2, CNRM-CM6-1-HR r1i1p1f2, CNRM-ESM2-1 r1i1p1f2, CNRM-ESM2-1 r2i1p1f2, CNRM-ESM2-1 r3i1p1f2, CNRM-ESM2-1 r5i1p1f2, CanESM5 r10i1p1f1, CanESM5 r10i1p2f1, CanESM5 r11i1p1f1, CanESM5 r11i1p2f1, CanESM5 r12i1p1f1, CanESM5 r12i1p2f1, CanESM5 r13i1p1f1, CanESM5 r13i1p2f1, CanESM5 r14i1p1f1, CanESM5 r14i1p2f1, CanESM5 r15i1p1f1, CanESM5 r15i1p2f1, CanESM5 r16i1p1f1, CanESM5 r16i1p2f1, CanESM5 r17i1p1f1, CanESM5 r17i1p2f1, CanESM5 r18i1p1f1, CanESM5 r18i1p2f1, CanESM5 r19i1p1f1, CanESM5 r19i1p2f1, CanESM5 r1i1p1f1, CanESM5 r1i1p2f1, CanESM5 r20i1p1f1, CanESM5 r20i1p2f1, CanESM5 r21i1p1f1, CanESM5 r21i1p2f1, CanESM5 r22i1p1f1, CanESM5 r22i1p2f1, CanESM5 r23i1p1f1, CanESM5 r23i1p2f1, CanESM5 r24i1p1f1, CanESM5 r24i1p2f1, CanESM5 r25i1p1f1, CanESM5 r25i1p2f1, CanESM5 r2i1p1f1, CanESM5 r2i1p2f1, CanESM5 r3i1p1f1, CanESM5 r3i1p2f1, CanESM5 r4i1p1f1, CanESM5 r4i1p2f1, CanESM5 r5i1p1f1, CanESM5 r5i1p2f1, CanESM5 r6i1p1f1, CanESM5 r6i1p2f1, CanESM5 r7i1p1f1, CanESM5 r7i1p2f1, CanESM5 r8i1p1f1, CanESM5 r8i1p2f1, CanESM5 r9i1p1f1, CanESM5 r9i1p2f1, CanESM5-

CanOE r1i1p2f1, CanESM5-CanOE r2i1p2f1, CanESM5-CanOE r3i1p2f1, EC-Earth3 r11i1p1f1, EC-Earth3 r15i1p1f1, EC-Earth3 r1i1p1f1, EC-Earth3 r4i1p1f1, EC-Earth3-Veg r4i1p1f1, FGOALS-f3-L r1i1p1f1, FGOALS-f3-L r2i1p1f1, FGOALS-f3-L r3i1p1f1, FGOALS-g3 r1i1p1f1, FGOALS-g3 r2i1p1f1, FGOALS-g3 r3i1p1f1, FGOALS-g3 r4i1p1f1, GFDL-ESM4 r1i1p1f1, GISS-E2-1-G r1i1p3f1, IPSL-CM6A-LR r14i1p1f1, IPSL-CM6A-LR r1i1p1f1, IPSL-CM6A-LR r2i1p1f1, IPSL-CM6A-LR r3i1p1f1, IPSL-CM6A-LR r4i1p1f1, IPSL-CM6A-LR r6i1p1f1, MCM-UA-1-0 r1i1p1f2, MIROC-ES2L r1i1p1f2, MIROC6 r1i1p1f1, MIROC6 r2i1p1f1, MIROC6 r3i1p1f1, MPI-ESM1-2-HR r1i1p1f1, MPI-ESM1-2-HR r2i1p1f1, MPI-ESM1-2-LR r10i1p1f1, MPI-ESM1-2-LR r1i1p1f1, MPI-ESM1-2-LR r2i1p1f1, MPI-ESM1-2-LR r3i1p1f1, MPI-ESM1-2-LR r4i1p1f1, MPI-ESM1-2-LR r3i1p1f1, MIROC6 r3i1p1f1, MPI-ESM1-2-LR r4i1p1f1, MPI-ESM1-2-LR r2i1p1f1, MPI-ESM1-2-LR r3i1p1f1, MPI-ESM1-2-LR r4i1p1f1, MPI-ESM1-2-LR r3i1p1f1, VEESM1-0-LL r3i1p1f2, UEESM1-0-LL r3i1p1f2, UEESM1-0-LL r3i1p1f2, UEESM1-0-LL r3i1p1f1, NO-CM3-0 r1i1p1f1.





2080

Figure S1. Departure year sensitivity to climatology center year (left) and degree heating weeks (right) for SSP245 (top row), SSP370 (middle row) and SSP585 (bottom row).





Figure S2. Cumulative histograms of thermal departure as a function of GMSTA, for SSP126 (black), SSP245 (blue), SSP370 (green), SSP585 (red), for a five year heat event return timescale (TD5Y, top row) and a ten year heat event return timescale (TD10Y, bottom row). Both DHW thresholds are shown. Cyan and magenta horizontal lines show the 10% and 1% fractional levels respectively; colored vertical ticks on the y-axis indicate crossings of these levels. Shading indicates the propagated MUR SST uncertainty.



Figure S3. Global maps of thermal departure under the four emissions scenarios (from top: SSP126, SSP245, SSP370, SSP585) for TD5Y and the 8 DHW_{2008} threshold.



Figure S4. Global maps of thermal departure under the four emissions scenarios (from top: SSP126, SSP245, SSP370, SSP585) for TD10Y and the 8 DHW₂₀₀₈ threshold.



Figure S5. Global maps of thermal departure under the four emissions scenarios (from top: SSP126, SSP245, SSP370, SSP585) for TD5Y and the 8 DHW₁₉₈₈ threshold.



Figure S6. Global maps of thermal departure under the four emissions scenarios (from top: SSP126, SSP245, SSP370, SSP585) for TD10Y and the 8 DHW₁₉₈₈ threshold.



Figure S7. Error distributions of the mean of the three annual maximum DHW values calculated between 2018 and 2020 from MUR subtracted from the corresponded value from the downscaled model ensemble, for SSP126 and using (a) the CMIP6 model ensemble used in the paper with 35 model members; (b) The CMIP6 model ensemble listed in Supplemental Information T2 with 127 model members.

X - 12

Table S1. Projected years and GMSTAs after which fewer than the stated percentage of 1 km^2 reef locations remain below the thermal thresholds, using the models and model members listed in Text S2 and methods described in the paper

	5Y 8	DHW	2008	10Y 8	DHV	V ₂₀₀₈	5Y 8	DHW	1988	10Y 8	DHV	V ₁₉₈₈
SSP	30%	10%	1%	30%	10%	1%	30%	10%	1%	30%	10%	1%
				Year i	in twe	nty-f	irst ce	entury				
126	26	90	-	23	37	-	19	23	-	16	18	86
245	26	36	69	22	33	58	19	21	41	16	17	34
370	26	34	50	22	31	44	19	22	35	16	17	32
585	24	33	47	20	30	43	19	21	33	16	17	30
	Glo	bal m	ean s	surface	e temp	perat	ure an	omali	es (d	legrees	C)	
245	1.4	1.7	2.0	1.3	1.6	2.0	1.2	1.3	1.8	1.1	1.1	1.6
370	1.4	1.7	2.0	1.3	1.6	1.9	1.2	1.3	1.7	1.1	1.2	1.6
585	1.4	1.6	2.0	1.3	1.5	2.0	1.2	1.3	1.6	1.1	1.1	1.5

:

Table S2. Percentages and numbers of reef locations remaining below the stated GMSTA value (in degrees C) for a given bleaching metric, using the models and model members listed in Text S2 and methods described in the paper

	5Y 8 DHW ₂₀₀₈			10Y 8	B DHV	V ₂₀₀₈	5Y 8	3 DHV	V ₁₉₈₈	$10Y 8 \text{ DHW}_{1988}$		
SSP	1.5	1.7	2.0	1.5	1.7	2.0	1.5	1.7	2.0	1.5	1.7	2.0
	Per	cent 1	km ²	reef lo	cation	ıs rema	aining	; below	GMS	TA v	alue	
245	26%	10%	1%	19%	7%	1%	4%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%
370	24%	8%	1%	16%	6%	0%	3%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%
585	15%	5%	1%	11%	3%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Num	ber of	1 km^2	reef	locatio	ns ren	nainin	g belo	w GM	STA v	value,	out of	829K
245	213K	79K	10K	161K	59K	5350	30K	11K	1796	18K	5615	384
370	205K	74K	14K	139K	$51\mathrm{K}$	6248	30K	10K	1983	19K	5090	717
585	136K	51K	16K	98K	29K	8005	16K	5117	1365	10K	3102	946