Bathymetry and Resolution: Keys to Develop a Channel-to-Ocean Basin-Scale Hydrodynamic Model for the US East and Gulf of Mexico Coasts

María Teresa Contreras Vargas¹, Joannes Westerink², Zach Cobell³, William Pringle¹, Damrongsak Wirasaet¹, Coleman Blakely¹, Saeed Moghimi⁴, and Edward Myers⁵

¹University of Notre Dame ²Univ Notre Dame ³Water Institute of the Gulf ⁴Oregon State University ⁵NOAA

November 25, 2022

Abstract

Coastal interfaces blend processes dominated by upland region hydrology and ocean hydrodynamics (tides, winds, waves, baroclinic fluctuations, among others). These areas tend to be vulnerable to flooding, a matter of concern considering that around 40% of the world's population lives within 100 km of the ocean. Specifically, The US East and Gulf of Mexico Coasts are heavily affected by extratropical storms every year with catastrophic consequences. Models that integrate the dynamics of both oceans and river networks are needed in order to better improve flood forecast systems in coastal areas. Due to their spatial and temporal scale differences, traditional models solve river and ocean hydrodynamics independently. As a first step toward unifying coastal interface modeling, we designed an ADCIRC-based model that uses unstructured, highly variable-sized triangular meshes that can accurately represent both ocean basins and inland river networks. This meshing technique allows for incorporating features that control the dynamics of the nearshore area, such as barrier islands, jetties, and dredged channels. We analyze how mesh design impacts water level estimations in the deep ocean as well as inland rivers. Accuracy in the deep ocean is sensitive primarily to bathymetry in areas with high energy dissipation, whereas water level prediction within river networks depends on both bathymetry and resolution. While a minimum resolution in the order of a hundred meters is enough to accurately predict water level for most rivers with tidal influence, smaller tributaries require resolutions down to tens of meters. Future research will use these findings to build precipitation and rainfall-runoff into the model for a more comprehensive understanding of the coastal interface hydrodynamics.



Bathymetry and Resolution: Keys to Develop a Channel-to-Ocean Basin-Scale Hydrodynamic Model for the US East and Gulf of Mexico Coasts

Maria Teresa Contreras*, Joannes Westerink

Co-authors: ND Computational Hydraulics Laboratory, NOAA Storm Surge Modeling, USACE Research & Development Center Coastal & Hydraulics Laboratory, Computational Hydraulics Group University of Texas at Austin

*mcontre3@nd.edu



Motivation – Global Warming

Clausius-Clapeyron relation: With every 1 degree Celsius (1.8 F) increase in temperature, there can be about 7% more moisture in the air.

A small bit of added moisture can lead to a lot more rain:



Compared to the 1850-1900 average. 1° Celsius increase = 1.8° Fahrenheit increase. IPCC 6th Assessment Report (2021)

Hurricane Ida 2021

Intensity of rainfall is expected to increase as the climate

warms



4th National Climate Change Assessment (2018)





Observed rainfall totals for the last 48 hours ending 9 am Thursday September 2nd. Rainfall rates at some locations were 2.5-3.5 inches per hour. Newark NJ received 3.24" from 8-9 pm & Central Park saw 3.15" from 9-10 pm, both all time records for highest 1-hour rainfall totals



Motivation – Current ESTOFS

- ≥250 m resolution ESTOFS model
- Sub-optimal grids: linear variation of resolution
- Highly simplified river network
- Poor definitions of inland waterbodies: source of instabilities and inaccuracy







Objective

Develop methodologies/tools to create models that efficiently and accurately resolve the hydrodynamics of both, ocean basins and riverine systems.



Resolve the complexity of the nearshore region Increase the heterogeneity of the systems to resolve

Efficient distribution of resolution for operational purposes

Study case: East and Gulf of Mexico Coast of the US

Key to incorporate additional physical forcing in future research



Mesh implementation

Basin-to-channel East and Gulf of Mexico Coasts of the US



- Two regional models: 30 and 120 m minimum resolution
- Model solve the deep ocean (North Atlantic) and intercoastal complex features, including inland river network
- Model 120 m is a good balance between accuracy and efficiency
- Model 30 m for design studies

	Model 30 m	Model 120 m
Min. Res	30 m	120 m
Max. Res	24 Km	24 Km
Nodes	21.5 <i>M</i>	6.0 <i>M</i>
Elements	43.0 <i>M</i>	11.8 <i>M</i>



Mesh validation - Tides

Tidal analysis for amplitude and phase of 8 major constituents Comparison of results against ~800 tidal gauges



Amplitude			Phase						
	M2	<i>S</i> 2	<i>K</i> 1	01		M2	<i>S</i> 2	<i>K</i> 1	01
$R^{2}[-]$	0.994	0.966	0.847	0.933	$R^{2}[-]$	0.976	0.943	0.974	0.980
σ [cm]	3.332	1.294	1.573	1.029	σ	15.903°	21.930°	13.871°	12.863°
<i>\{\{\epsilon\}\}</i>	0.191	0.259	0.051	0.053	$\overline{\epsilon}$	-7.005°	-7.281°	-2.075°	-4.338°
<i>e</i> [<i>cm</i>]	2.231	0.856	1.157	0.681	$ \epsilon $	10.177°	13.185°	7.892°	8.840°
E [-]	0.054	0.131	0.151	0.116	E [-]	0.106	0.1450	0.074	0.071

Comparison with TPXO and ESTOFS



Bonus: NOAA Operational Global Model

Global shell + HR inserts:



8 M nodes mesh, 6 sec timestep Forecast 4 times a day for 7 days Parallel system at ND for a prototype of v3.0 Colleting global statistics













Key N°1: Wet/dry separation

Aligning nodes along the ocean/floodplain interface allows for representing the smallest scale features in the model.

Clear hydraulic connectivity of small channels

Incorporation of barrier islands and small islands

Provides more stability



Parametrization of nodal distribution based on topo-bathymetric features and their geometry

Balance between accuracy and efficiency

Prioritization of the complex nearshore region

Meet computational cost constraint for operational systems





High-dissipation areas – Gulf of Maine



Bathymetric gradients

- Continental shelf break, submarine ridges, and rough bathymetry
- Change on wave celerity due to quick change of water depth
- Mechanism of internal tides generation

Feature Size – Expansion grade



- Estimation of medial axis and feature width
- Nodal distribution based on shoreline complexity
- Prioritization of channels, islands, and shoreline geometry
- Increment of element size offshore
- Crucial for efficiency on distribution of resolution

Complex channel network





Complexity of intertidal zone





Natural deep and dredged channels

- > Deep narrow channels that require higher definition to properly capture the water depth
- Crucial to preserve the conveyance
- Dredged channels based on USACE database, and natural channels derived from DEMs
- > Adjustment of resolution and bathymetry



Key N°2B: Man-made structures

Jetty systems

- Creation of a dataset with 116 jetties
- Adjust shoreline and local element size function so they can be included in the minimum resolution of the model
- > Only implemented for resolutions ~tens of meters.
- > Open land boundary conditions

M ₂ tidal						
	<i>Abs</i> . <i>Err</i> [<i>cm</i>]: −5	- 3	-1 0	+ 1	+ 3	+ 5
constituent	Rel . Err [%]: -40	- 20	-5 0	+ 5	+ 20	+ 40







Key N°2B: Man-made structures

Levees

- USACE dataset for federal levees
- Snap the centerlines to the wet/dry interface based on the minimum resolution of the model
- Constraint levees nodes during the floodplain generation
- > Weir boundary condition
- Adjustment of bathymetry to ensure clean wet/dry interface



Historical storms - Gustav 2008



Key N°3: Correct bathymetry



Bathymetric errors



Lack of data

Particularly in upper sections of rivers







Conclusions – future work

- Key for developing efficient channel-to-basin scale hydrodynamics models:
 - Clear wet/dry interface
 - High-resolution only where it is needed
 - Clear conveyance of river network
 - Addition of hydrodynamically relevant man-made structures
- Future work:
 - Incorporate riverine flow inputs from NWM



Thanks for your attention!

Maria Teresa Contreras*, Joannes Westerink

Co-authors: ND Computational Hydraulics Laboratory, NOAA Storm Surge Modeling, USACE Research & Development Center Coastal & Hydraulics Laboratory, Computational Hydraulics Group University of Texas at Austin

*mcontre3@nd.edu

AGU 2021, New Orleans, I