# The climatic water balance captures evolving water resources pressures on the margins of the Himalaya

Nathan Daniel Forsythe<sup>1,1</sup>, Prakash Chandra Tiwari<sup>2,2</sup>, David M.W. Pritchard<sup>3,3</sup>, David W Walker<sup>4,4</sup>, Bhagwati Joshi<sup>5,6</sup>, and Hayley J Fowler<sup>3,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Engineering
<sup>2</sup>Kumaun University
<sup>3</sup>Newcastle University
<sup>4</sup>Wageningen University
<sup>5</sup>Department of Geography, Kumaun University India, Nainital, India
<sup>6</sup>(deceased)

November 30, 2022

#### Abstract

Evaluation of the climatic water balance (CWB) – i.e. precipitation minus potential evapotranspiration – has strong potential as a tool for investigating patterns of variability and change in the water cycle since it estimates the (im)balance of atmospheric moisture near the land surface. Using observations from a middle-Himalaya weather station at Mukteshwar (29.474°N, 79.646°E, Uttarakhand state) in India, we demonstrate a CWB-based set of analytical procedures can robustly characterise local climate variability. Use of the CWB circumvents uncertainties in the soil water balance stemming from limited data on subsurface properties. We also focus on three key input variables used to calculate the CWB: precipitation, mean temperature and diurnal temperature range. We use local observations to evaluate the skill of gridded datasets –specifically meteorological reanalyses – in representing local conditions. Reanalysis estimates of Mukteshwar climate showed large absolute biases but accurately captured the timing and relative amplitude of the annual cycle of these three variables and the CWB. This suggests that the reanalyses can provide insight regarding climate processes in data-sparse regions, but caution is necessary if extracting absolute values. While the local observations at Mukteshwar show clear annual cycles and substantial interannual variability, results from investigation of their time-dependency were quite mixed. Pragmatically this implies that while "change is coming, variability is now." If communities can adapt to the observed historical hydroclimate variability they will have built meaningful adaptive capacity to cope with on-going environmental change. This follows a 'low regret' approach advocated when facing a substantially uncertain future.

- 1 "The climatic water balance captures evolving water resources pressures on the margins of the
  2 Himalava"
- 3 Authors: Forsythe, Nathan D<sup>1</sup> [ORCID: 0000-0002-4593-8233]; Tiwari, Prakash Chandra<sup>2</sup>;
- 4 Pritchard, David M W<sup>1</sup> [ORCID: 0000-0002-9215-7210]; Walker, David W.<sup>1,3</sup> [ORCID: 0000-
- 5 0002-2486-4677], Joshi, Bhagwati<sup>2</sup> <deceased>; Fowler, Hayley J<sup>1</sup> [ORCID: 0000-0001-8848-
- 6 3606];
- 7 Affiliations:
- 8 [1] Water resources research group, School of Engineering, Newcastle University, United Kingdom
- 9 [2] Department of Geography, Kumaun University, India
- 10 [3] Wageningen University & Research, the Netherlands
- 11
- 12 <abstract>

Evaluation of the climatic water balance (CWB) - i.e. precipitation minus potential 13 evapotranspiration - has strong potential as a tool for investigating patterns of variability and change 14 in the water cycle since it estimates the (im)balance of atmospheric moisture near the land surface. 15 Using observations from a middle-Himalaya weather station at Mukteshwar (29.474°N, 79.646°E, 16 Uttarakhand state) in India, we demonstrate a CWB-based set of analytical procedures can robustly 17 characterise local climate variability. Use of the CWB circumvents uncertainties in the soil water 18 balance stemming from limited data on subsurface properties. We also focus on three key input 19 variables used to calculate the CWB: precipitation, mean temperature and diurnal temperature range. 20 We use local observations to evaluate the skill of gridded datasets -specifically meteorological 21 reanalyses - in representing local conditions. Reanalysis estimates of Mukteshwar climate showed 22 large absolute biases but accurately captured the timing and relative amplitude of the annual cycle of 23 these three variables and the CWB. This suggests that the reanalyses can provide insight regarding 24 climate processes in data-sparse regions, but caution is necessary if extracting absolute values. While 25 the local observations at Mukteshwar show clear annual cycles and substantial interannual variability, 26 results from investigation of their time-dependency were quite mixed. Pragmatically this implies that 27 while "change is coming, variability is now." If communities can adapt to the observed historical 28 hydroclimate variability they will have built meaningful adaptive capacity to cope with on-going 29 environmental change. This follows a 'low regret' approach advocated in the face of a substantially 30 uncertain future. 31

- 32
- 33 Keywords: climatic water balance, precipitation, reference evapotranspiration, climate variability,
- 34 climate change, meteorological reanalyses
- 35

## 36 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was initially funded by a grant (DST-UKIERI-2014-15-DST-122) from the British

- 38 Council. Subsequent work was enabled by Global Challenges Research Fund (GCRF) grants
- administer by Royal Society for the CSAICLAWPS (CH160148) and PAPPADAAM
- 40 (CHG\R1\170057) projects. Additionally: Nathan Forsythe, David Pritchard and Hayley Fowler
- 41 were supported by the GCRF FutureDAMS project (grant ES/P011373/1) administered by the UK
- 42 ESRC. Hayley Fowler was also funded by the Wolfson Foundation and the Royal Society as a
- 43 Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award holder (grant WM140025). Professor Prakash C.
- 44 Tiwari and Dr Bhagwati Joshi acknowledge the generous financial support provided by the

- 45 Department of Science and Technology, Government of India New Delhi for carrying out the
- 46 research included in the paper.

#### 47 MAIN TEXT

48

## 49 [1] Introduction

#### 50 [1.1] A conceptual framework for understanding the changing water cycle

When addressing the question of how the water cycle, in a specific location or region, has 51 changed in recent decades, and how it may change in the future, the conceptual framing of the 52 question will guide the response (Milly et al., 2005; Huntington, 2006; Oki and Kanae, 2006, 53 Sheffield and Wood, 2008; Trenberth et al., 2014). For human activities and terrestrial ecology, the 54 partitioning of precipitation between infiltration and runoff is of preponderant importance, because 55 the path water takes to return either to the atmosphere, via evapotranspiration, or to the sea, via stream 56 networks, has great influence on crop production, natural vegetation cover, water supply and 57 freshwater ecosystems. While the key determinant of partitioning is precipitation intensity (rainfall 58 rate), this is modulated by surface characteristics including slope, land cover (permeability) and 59 underlying soil properties (porosity, hydraulic conductivity). These characteristics can vary greatly 60 over short distances, and many catchments, including the focus catchment, and particularly those with 61 substantial human activities, exhibit high degrees of heterogeneity. Where available, detailed spatially 62 comprehensive information on catchment surface characteristics enables the use of precipitation and 63 evapotranspiration data to calculate the soil moisture balance. This is needed to estimate moisture 64 available to meet water requirements of crops and natural vegetation as well as quantifying 65 contributions to groundwater recharge and stream baseflow. 66

67 Unfortunately, information on surface characteristics, especially soil properties, is rarely available with sufficient spatial granularity to enable skilled calculation of the soil moisture balance 68 over substantial areas (Grunwald, 2009), unless available river discharge measurements and/or 69 70 groundwater level observations enable back-calculation of spatially aggregated runoff-infiltration partitioning. Alternatively, the climatic water balance (CWB), i.e. the net quantity of precipitation 71 72 minus potential (or reference) evapotranspiration, can be evaluated almost everywhere and with relative confidence, particularly if drawing upon gridded datasets such as global meteorological 73 74 reanalyses. At monthly and longer timescales, the CWB provides a strong indicator of relative 75 moisture abundance or shortfall and is useful for evaluating stresses on, and the potential of forestry and rainfed agriculture for, specific crops and regions (Sharma et al., 2010; Crimmins et al., 2011; 76 Churchill et al., 2013). These stresses are of preponderant concern because, with the exception of 77 78 high-latitude and high-elevation contexts, moisture rather than energy will be the limiting constraint 79 on plant development through transpiration (Jung et al, 2010) and hence ecosystem benefits and food production. 80

Furthermore, potential evapotranspiration (PET: Thornthwaite, 1948; Hargreaves, 1994) can be 81 parameterised with reasonable skill from simply daily mean temperature (Tavg) and diurnal 82 temperature range (DTR) (Droogers and Allen, 2002; Hargreaves and Allen, 2003). Thus, together 83 with precipitation, the CWB can be determined from three readily observed climate variables. From 84 a purely meteorological standpoint, these three variables together succinctly summarise prevailing 85 weather conditions: dry versus wet, warm versus cold, and clear (high DTR) versus overcast (low 86 DTR) skies. This is reflected in tools such as the RainSim-CRU Weather Generator (Burton et al., 87 2009; Kilsby et al., 2007) for synthetic time-series generation and stochastic downscaling of climate 88 projections. However, PET can be better estimated by more complex formulae derived from physical 89 principles, e.g. the Penman-Monteith equation (Monteith, 1965) requires net radiation, humidity and 90 windspeed data in addition to temperature, along with parameterisations representing aerodynamic 91 and surface resistances to fluxes. Unfortunately, in many areas where assessment of water availability 92 is required, formal meteorological observations are lacking due to limited density of national 93

monitoring networks. Formal measurements of humidity - as dewpoint temperature, relative 94 humidity or vapour pressure - and windspeed are not as widely available as temperature and 95 precipitation observations. Observations of radiation components (shortwave, longwave) are even 96 97 more rare. In these cases global meteorological reanalyses provide a promising data source as they assimilate not only available regional surface observations but also a portfolio of other inputs 98 including radiosonde measurements and satellite imagery. Numerical tools and forecasting models 99 then synthesise spatially continuous, physically consistent estimates of climate variables both at the 100 surface and upward through the atmosphere, but these are biased in absolute values compared to 101 observations, particularly in regions of high topographic variability, where elevation biases also play 102 a role. 103

Changes in the CWB, itself a metric of moisture surplus or deficit, provide a first order 104 indication of whether moisture is tending to become more abundant (CWB increase) or scarce (CWB 105 decrease). These changes - be they increasing surpluses, aggravated deficits or a tendency toward 106 equilibrium - result from increases or decreases in atmospheric supply (precipitation) and demand 107 (potential/reference evapotranspiration) of moisture at the land surface. Thus the individual causal 108 mechanisms of changes in precipitation and (surface) energy - indexed by Tavg and DTR - are of 109 110 great interest. Furthermore, understanding the role of distinct climate processes - such as surface energy balance modulation by cloud radiative effects - as causes of these changes can provide 111 qualitative context to better anticipate likely future CWB evolution and to objectively evaluate 112 available climate model outputs which provide quantitative projections of this evolution. Using 113 Mukteshwar as a case study, the present work advances a framework analytical methodology for 114 addressing these issues at the 'point' (single-site) scale at which a great many scientists and technical 115 professionals will be working to understand the evolution of the hydrological cycle and its implication 116 for interdependent human and natural systems. 117

118

## 119 [1.2] Case study context

Situated in the 'middle upper reaches' of the Ganges basin, the small headwater sub-120 catchments of the Kosi river rising from the Gaula and Almora ranges of the Kumaun Lesser 121 Himalaya (KLH) are critical water resources units at both micro and macro scales. These sub-122 catchments provide valuable insights regarding potential pathways for sustainable resilience to 123 hydroclimate variability. With complex agro-forestry land cover patterns and surface elevations 124 ranging from ~1000m to ~2300m above sea level (asl), these catchments experience a (primarily) 125 subtropical/monsoonal precipitation regime and support multiple crop growing seasons each year. 126 While annual rainfall is sufficient for substantial agricultural production, these catchments also 127 generate important surface runoff (and baseflow) for downstream segments of the middle and lower 128 Ganges basin. This latter area along with the Punjab (in both India and Pakistan) serves as the 'bread 129 basket' of South Asia, encompassing the majority of the region's irrigated farmland and underpinning 130 its food security (Rahaman, 2009). This paper explores potential pressures on local water resources 131 and food security in the KLH due to evolution of the local water cycle through CWB-focused analysis 132 of historical observations from the Mukteshwar meteorological station in Uttarakhand state, India 133 (Figure 1). This station is located on a ridgeline overlooking two headwaters catchments - Ramgad 134 and Dhokane – of the Kosi river tributary to the Ganges. 135

1600 2400 3600 4800 6000 7000 9000 136 Figure 1: Study area geographical context showing location of Mukteshwar meteorological station 137 (29.474°N, 79.646°E, Uttarakhand state) operated by India Meteorological Department (IMD) in 138 relation to surface elevation and international boundaries in Asia. The left panel shows detail of the 139 Kumaon division of Uttarakhand state while the right panel shows the broader Asian continental 140

- 141 context.
- 142

## 143 [2] Data and Methods

#### 144 [2.1] Data

## 145 [2.1.1] Local climate observations: IMD Mukteshwar

The weather observation station at Mukteshwar (29.474°N, 79.646°E) – currently operated by 146 the India Meteorological Department (IMD) - was established in 1897. Along with precipitation, 147 daily maximum and minimum temperature observations (beginning in 1969) were made available by 148 IMD personnel for use in this study. In the absence of sub-daily observations, daily mean temperature 149 was approximated as the mean of recorded daily maximum and minimum. There was an interruption 150 in temperature data recording from September 1993 through August 1997. This study also lacks 151 access to observations of all variables during 2015, with the exception of December of that year. A 152 double mass check with temperature data from New Delhi, accessed via the Global Historical Climate 153 Network dataset (Lawrimore et al., 2011), however, reveals no slope 'break points'. This result 154 mitigates concerns regarding step changes or inhomogeneity in temperature measurements and lends 155 confidence to the results presented in this paper. Precipitation records at Mukteshwar are far more 156 complete with a mean total fraction of missing observations of 4.3% as compared to 14.5% for 157 temperature (Table 1). This study focuses on a common analytic time period of 1980 through 2018 158 (as complete calendar years). 159

- 160
- Table 1 Missing\*\* daily observations from Mukteshwar IMD station, by fraction of record for
   individual months, 1980 to 2018

Variable	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Mean
Precipitation	0.044	0.049	0.046	0.051	0.057	0.041	0.039	0.044	0.040	0.041	0.045	0.021	0.043
Temperature	0.147	0.155	0.144	0.151	0.154	0.138	0.140	0.140	0.154	0.142	0.149	0.128	0.145

163 \*\* observations available to this study. There is a period of 11 months in 2015 from January

- through November where observations were made as demonstrated by inclusion in GHCN-Monthly  $(y^2)$  for precipitation  $y^3$  for temperature)
- 165 (v2 for precipitation, v3 for temperature).
- 166



#### 167 [2.1.2] *Global meteorological reanalyses*

Global meteorological reanalyses ingest vast quantities of climate observations ranging from 168 ocean buoys through ground-based measurements, to atmospheric soundings and satellite imagery. 169 They are produced by leading weather/climate forecasting institutes and serve a range of purposes 170 (Bosilovich et al., 2008, Lorentz and Kunstmann, 2012; Vose et al., 2012). For their producers 171 reanalyses projects offer an opportunity to test updates to their data assimilation and weather 172 forecasting systems. For the broader scientific community, reanalyses offer 'gap free', i.e. 173 spatiotemporally continuous, estimates of a broad range of climate variables at levels ranging from 174 the ground (or sea) surface to the upper ('top of') atmosphere. 175

Variable estimates from reanalyses are generally grouped in two broad categories: i) analytical 176 outputs which include 'state' variables (temperature, humidity, wind speed, etc.) estimated using the 177 data assimilation schemes/components of forecasting systems; b) forecast outputs which include 178 fluxes (precipitation, radiation, etc) estimated using the forecast models themselves. The analytical 179 methods utilised in reanalysis projects are guided by physical processes/relationships. Therefore, their 180 outputs can avoid the potentially spurious results found in 'observational' gridded datasets which 181 attempt to fill voids over sparsely observed regions through purely geostatistical techniques. This 182 study utilised data from four independent reanalyses: a) ERA-Interim (Dee et al., 2011) produced by 183 the European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasting (ECMWF), b) JRA-55 (Ebita et al., 184 2011) produced by the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA), c) MERRA2 (Rienecker et al., 2011) 185 produced by NASA and d) ERA5 (Hersbach et al, 2020) also produced by ECMWF. Key 186 differentiating characteristics of each of the reanalyses are presented in Table 2. 187

188

Reanalysis	Producer	Start date	Latitude resolution	Longitude resolution	Analytical/synoptic time-step
ERA-Interim	ECMWF	01/01/1979	0.75°	0.75°	6 hours
JRA-55	JMA	01/01/1958	1.25°	1.25°	3 hours
MERRA2	NASA	01/01/1980	0.50°	0.625°	Hourly
ERA5	ECMWF	01/01/1979	0.25°	0.25°	Hourly

189 Table 2 Global meteorological reanalyses

190 191

#### 192 [2.2] Methods

193 [2.2.1] Calculation of CWB from supply and demand components=

194 In the absence of multi-decadal local hydrological observational records – and the detailed local soil characteristic descriptions needed to calculate the soil moisture balance - we focused on 195 the climatic water balance (CWB) as the core indicator of water availability in the KLH in the vicinity 196 of Mukteshwar. In the CWB the atmospheric moisture demand component is represented by potential, 197 or reference, evaporation. For a given set of weather conditions PET quantifies the amount of 198 moisture which, if available, would be transferred to the atmosphere from the land surface, including 199 vegetation (Thornthwaite, 1948). A wide range of equations exist for calculating PET. Here we 200 adopted the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO) Penman Monteith method for 201 calculating reference evapotranspiration (ET<sub>0</sub>) (see Allen et al., 1998) as it is a well-established 202 approach with relatively flexible input data requirements: net radiation, humidity and windspeed data 203 in addition to temperature. The equation also uses parameterisations representing aerodynamic and 204 205 surface resistances to fluxes which vary based on a range of factors including vegetation height. This

is based on resistance associated with a 'reference crop', specifically a "well-watered grass 12cm tall"
to facilitate both spatiotemporal comparisons and extrapolations to various important crops (through
use of coefficients). The approach of calculating a reference from which the potential water
requirements of specific crops can be quickly estimated is particularly useful in farming systems such
as those used by smallholders in the geographic focus of the study, i.e. the Kumaun Himalaya around
Mukteshwar, where a wide range of vegetables and legumes are cultivated.

To calculate the reference evapotranspiration (ET<sub>0</sub>) local observations of daily rainfall, minimum and maximum temperature were paired with ensemble mean estimates for the overlying grid cell from the four reanalyses – ERA-Interim, JRA-55, NASA MERRA2 and ERA5 – for radiation, wind speed and relative humidity. These ensemble estimates were made by extracting daily (mean) time-series from the relevant grid cell of each individual reanalysis. Without ground-based data to validate or characterise bias in reanalysis data, a simple ensemble averaging approach was adopted to obtain (reasonable) central estimates.

We also calculated daily estimates for  $ET_0$  directly for each reanalysis ensemble member using its own values for input variables in the grid cell overlying Mukteshwar. This allows us to compare CWB results using the maximum available local observations to estimates purely derived from global gridded datasets.

223

## 224 [2.2.2] Climatological characterisations and time-series analyses

Climatological characterisation was approached as statistical (mean, quantiles) description of 225 the annual cycle at a monthly time-step. The use of local observations and global meteorological 226 reanalyses at very different spatial scales requires comparison not only of absolute values but also in 227 relative terms as the large-scale reanalyses are unlikely to provide absolute value matches to local 228 observations in regions of high topographic variability such as Uttarakhand/the Kumaun Himalaya 229 where there is a steep transition from plains to high mountains. We therefore applied simple 230 normalisations to both the gauge and reanalysis data: a) for zero-bounded 'accumulating' variables 231 (precipitation, reference evapotranspiration, net radiation) we normalised the monthly mean and 232 quantiles of individual data sources by dividing absolute values by the period annual mean; b) for 233 'state' variables (temperature, humidity, wind speed, CWB) we normalised the monthly mean and 234 quantiles of individual data sources by subtracting the annual period mean from absolute values then 235 dividing the result by the amplitude, i.e. maximum period monthly mean minus minimum period 236 237 monthly mean. This specific normalisation method - as a opposed to a the more widely used standardisation method of subtracting the (period monthly) mean and dividing by the standard 238 deviation - was used to preserve the form (shape) of the annual cycle in order to assess if gridded 239 datasets with strong absolute biases might still provide some useful information content by accurately 240 capturing the interplay of dominant climatic processes and forcings throughout the year. 241

Time-series analyses were performed to examine changes in CWB and its drivers over the 242 record period. For time-series analyses: a) monthly means/totals were calculated if a minimum of 24 243 days (~80%) were available; b) annual aggregates of seasonal values were calculated only if all 244 months concerned had met the aggregation criteria for calculation of valid mean/total values, i.e. 245 sufficient daily observations. We used an alternate approach to the standard "p-value" for quantifying 246 the probability of random occurrence of values of specific correlation or trend metrics. This deviation 247 from standard procedure was inspired by recent thinking of Serinaldi et al. (2018) that challenges the 248 validity of null hypothesis significance tests (NHSTs) for assessment of long-term patterns in hydro-249 climatological time series. Serinaldi asserts specifically that "NHSTs have a logically flawed rationale 250 coming from ill-posed and theoretically unfounded hybridization of Fisher significance tests and 251 Neyman-Pearson hypothesis tests; they do not provide the in-formation that scientists need (i.e., the 252

likelihood of H<sub>0</sub> given the data and/or physical significance), do not allow conclusions about the truth 253 of falsehood of any hypothesis, and do not apply to exploratory non-randomized studies..." The 254 alternate method -- which still utilises the correlation assessment component of the null hypothesis 255 approach -- conserves the observed values for a given variable but randomises ('shuffling') their 256 sequence a large number of times  $(n=1\times10^6)$  to provide a large sample of chaotic/quasi-natural 257 variability. This method is similar to that utilised by Guerreiro et al (2018) to assess whether observed 258 changes in sub-daily precipitation intensity exceed those which might occur through random/natural 259 variability. Each synthetic sample member was tested against the potential causal factor – e.g. time, 260 cloud cover - and the statistical distribution of resultant correlation strengths/trend rates were sampled 261 to identify values corresponding to chances of 'random' (chaotic) occurrence. This method assumes 262 that the observed series of values of a given variable represent a sample of physical plausible "real" 263 values, but their specific sequencing could be the result of natural variability or driven by some strong 264 causal factor. We use this approach to robustly evaluate the likelihood of the correlation (trend rate), 265 indicated by an observed sequence, occurring through natural variability. 266

267

## 268 [3] <u>Results</u>

We now present the results of characterising the CWB – the climatology of its constituent elements, 269 their temporal variability and evaluation of the potential drivers of this variability - using gauge 270 observations from the Mukteshwar IMD station and equivalent reanalyses estimates. Because the 271 CWB quantifies near-surface atmospheric moisture surplus/deficit status it helps us to understand the 272 water cycle at Mukteshwar. This includes water cycle changes in recent decades, along with their 273 274 potential causes. This work also demonstrates the utility of the single-site (point-based) CWB 275 approach for characterising climate drivers of water resources in focused geographic areas. The intercomparison of local observations to meteorological reanalyses further provides insight on the 276 277 potential to extract useful CWB characterisations in data-sparse regions.

278

## 279 [3.1] Climatologies of individual variables

#### 280 [3.1.1] Climatologies of primary (precipitation) and secondary (temperature) variables

The gauge observations in Figure 2 indicate that Mukteshwar has a strongly monsoonal 281 precipitation regime: roughly 70% of annual precipitation falls in June through September. Due to its 282 high surface elevation at ~2200m asl, the annual cycle/range of (daily) mean near surface air 283 temperature  $(T_{avg})$  exhibits a large amplitude more typical of temperate latitude zones, with the hottest 284 285 month more than 10°C warmer than the coldest month. The annual cycle of diurnal temperature range (DTR) shows influence of both incoming (top of atmosphere) solar radiation and seasonal cloud cover 286 with relative DTR maxima in the pre- and post-monsoon seasons and annual minimum during the 287 monsoon. In addition to period mean conditions, Figure 2 also shows interannual variability 288 quantified as the 10th and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the period distribution, i.e. values for a given calendar 289 month from 1980 to 2018. Precipitation logically shows larger absolute variability, expressed as this 290 10<sup>th</sup> to 90<sup>th</sup> percentile range, during the monsoon than in the drier seasons. Year to year variability of 291 monthly mean (daily) temperature is greater in winter and the pre-monsoon (Dec to June), with 10<sup>th</sup> 292 to 90<sup>th</sup> percentile ranges of roughly 5°C, than during the monsoon and autumn (July to Nov), with 293 ranges of roughly 2°C. Interannual variability of DTR is greatest in the early monsoon (June/July) 294 and least in the late autumn (Nov/Dec). 295

The normalised climatogies of these three variables reveal that the reanalyses have strong skill in the (monthly) timing and amplitude of annual cycles (Figure 2, bottom row). For  $T_{avg}$  in particular, the

298 contrast of the absence of relative bias with the very large absolute bias can be explained in part by

the study area location on the fringe of the Himalaya and by the coarse spatial resolution of the 299 reanalyses. Depending upon the precise position of grid cell boundaries in the individual reanalyses, 300 the grid cell overlying Mukteshwar is likely to be estimated to have a surface elevation either much 301 higher (colder) or lower (warmer) than at the specific (point) location. These differences come from 302 both latitudinal position and simulated elevation of the source grid cells in each of the reanalyses. By 303 taking into account the likely role of elevation differences between the actual Mukteshwar IMD 304 station (2218m asl) and the invariant orography values from each of the reanalyses we can infer the 305 component 'residual' bias. This bias could be due to oversimplification of spatial temperature 306 gradients through coarse spatial resolution and hence oversimplification of land surface cover and its 307 modulation of surface energy balance influences on near surface air temperature. Alternately the 308 biases of individual reanalyses' representation of near surface temperature could be due to errors in 309 surface energy balance or cloud radiative effects. In the case of the Mukteshwar IMD station, all 310 simulated elevations are lower than the 'real world' and differences range from less than 100m lower 311 in JRA55 to nearly 1500m lower in ERA-Interim. The cold bias (Figure 2, Table 3) in JRA55 mean 312 313 temperature thus cannot be attributed solely to elevation. For the remaining reanalyses, assuming a temperature lapse rate 0.7°C per 100m vertical difference, their respective differences between real 314 and simulated elevation could account for the following amounts of their warm biases: a) ERA-315 Interim =  $\sim 10.5^{\circ}$ C; b) NASA MERRA2 =  $\sim 8^{\circ}$ C; and c) ERA5 =  $\sim 5^{\circ}$ C. Subtracting these estimates 316 from the calculated mean temperature biases in Table 3 implies that 'elevation corrected' (cold) biases 317 would be roughly 4°C in both ERA-Interim and JRA55 and perhaps less than 2°C in both NASA 318 MERRA2 and ERA5. 319





321

Figure 2: Climatologies of primary (precipitation) and secondary (temperature: Tavg, DTR)
variables for the Mukteshwar site from local observations and global meteorological reanalyses.

324 Solid lines indicate period mean values. Areas bounded by grey shading and dashed lines denote

ranges of 10<sup>th</sup> to 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles respectively for local observations and reanalyses. Top row shows

- absolute values. Bottom row shows normalised values (calculated as described in Section 2.2.2) 326
- thus comparing de-biased skill at representation of annual cycle timing and amplitude. notes: 327
- ERI=ERA-Interim, J55=JRA-55, NM2=NASA MERRA2, ER5=ERA5, local = local observations 328
- at Mukteshwar IMD. 329
- 330

The differences between individual reanalysis performance in absolute and normalised terms can be 331 considered in detail by calculating error metrics - the mean bias/error for absolute values and the root 332 mean square deviation (RMSD) for normalised values – of the annual cycle monthly period statistics 333 with the local observations as the reference or 'ground truth' (Table 3). This is not limited to the 334 period mean but can also address interannual variability through quantiles of the distribution. Table 335 3 shows this for the 10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the distributions of individual calendar months for the 336 39-year record period. This indicates that the smallest bias for different statistics of a given variable 337 338 may be from different reanalyses. Furthermore, the smallest biases in absolute terms may differ from those in normalised terms. Despite this, errors in the mean are for the most part smaller than errors in 339 the 'tails' of the distribution, particularly in normalised terms. This is an indication of how gridded 340 datasets struggle to accurately represent interannual variability at the point scale. 341

342

Table 3: Identified biases – as mean bias (error) for absolute values and root mean square deviation 343

(RMSD) for normalised values – of annual cycle of monthly period statistics, for individual 344

Teanaryses grid eens overlying widklesnwar hvid station, 1980 to 2018.													
Identified b	Identified biases		Precipitation			Μ	Mean temperature			Diurnal temperature range			
		[absolute units: mm]			[absolute units: °C]				[absolute units: °C]				
type	statistic	ERI	J55	NM2	ER5	ERI	J55	NM2	ER5	ERI	J55	NM2	ER5
Absolute	10%	5.7	21.1	11.8	110.4	7.3	-4.2	7.5	4.2	-0.6	-2.1	2.0	0.1
(mean bias)	Mean	-7.4	11.5	26.5	152.7	6.5	-4.7	6.9	3.5	-0.8	-1.8	1.9	-0.4
	90%	-22.8	2.4	52.6	186.9	7.1	-5.0	6.5	3.0	-1.0	-1.9b	1.8	-0.8
Normalised (RMSD)	10%	0.021	0.022	0.022	0.031	0.116	0.113	0.128	0.100	0.151	0.099	0.182	0.162
	Mean	0.025	0.015	0.041	0.026	0.033	0.075	0.067	0.054	0.079	0.111	0.078	0.082
	90%	0.036	0.041	0.058	0.053	0.086	0.108	0.086	0.081	0.189	0.205	0.150	0.180

reanalyses' grid cells overlying Mukteshwar IMD station 1980 to 2018 345

Key to reanalyses labels: ERI = ERA-Interim; J55 = JRA-55; NM2 = NASA MERRA2, ER5 = 346 ERA5. 347

348

#### [3.1.2] Climatologies of tertiary variables (radiation, humidity and wind speed) from 349 meteorological reanalyses 350

Despite the potential shortcomings in the available data and the lack of in-situ observations to 351 provide a 'ground-truthing' benchmark, it is nevertheless interesting to compare the climatologies of 352 net surface radiation (R<sub>sfcnet</sub>), relative humidity (RH) and windspeed at 10m height (10mWind) from 353 the four global reanalyses, ERA-Interim, JRA-55, NASA MERRA2 and ERA5 (Figure 3). For R<sub>sfcnet</sub> 354 there is general agreement between the reanalyses, particularly after normalisation: a strong annual 355 cycle in net radiation driven by seasonal variation in incoming shortwave (solar) energy. For RH there 356 is a similar level of agreement, after normalisation, with a pronounced annual minima in the pre-357 monsoon months (April, May) and a strong maximum during the monsoon (July to Sept). 358 Interestingly, although absolute value estimates differ by a factor of 2, there is also post-normalisation 359 agreement on the shape of the annual cycle in 10mWind. 360

In the absence of local observations to evaluate biases in the reanalyses' estimates of these 361 variables, the implications for reference evapotranspiration of the mean states of these three variables 362 bears elaboration. R<sub>sfcnet</sub> contribution to driving evapotranspiration will be greatest prior to the 363 monsoon but only marginally reduced during the rainy season. The evapotranspiration-enhancing 364 vapour pressure deficit (increasing as RH decreases), however, will be substantially greater in the 365 pre-monsoon than during the rains. 10mWind will act in concert with RH as higher windspeeds during 366 the pre-monsoon will further enhance energy and moisture transfer from the surface toward the 367 atmosphere. Lighter winds during the monsoon will further limit what would otherwise, due to strong 368 radiative input, be elevated evapotranspiration rates. Again, given the absence of direct "ground-369 truthing" observations for the tertiary variables it is worthwhile to point out the strong (logical) 370 similarities – comparing Figures 2 and 3 – in the shapes of the annual cycles of  $R_{sfcnet}$  and  $T_{avg}$ . 371 Similarly, the shapes of the normalised annual cycles of 10mWind and DTR have much in common. 372 The normalised annual cycle of RH, if inverted, also resembles this latter pattern. These similarities 373 clearly point to the logical use of directly observed 'secondary variables' (T<sub>avg</sub>, DTR) as potential 374 proxies for the estimates of tertiary variables (Rsfcnet, RH, 10mWind) provided by the large-scale 375 376 reanalyses.





Figure 3: Climatologies of tertiary variables – radiation as Rsfcnet, humidity as RH, wind as 10m
windspeed – for the Mukteshwar site from global meteorological reanalyses. Solid lines indicate
period mean values. Areas bounded by dashed lines denote ranges of 10<sup>th</sup> to 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles. notes:
ERI = ERA-Interim, NM2=NASA MERRA2, J55=JRA-55, ER5 = ERA5;

382

## 383 [3.2] CWB climatology

## 384 [3.2.1] CWB estimates derived from local observations

The annual cycles of precipitation,  $ET_0$  and CWB at Mukteshwar are shown in Figure 4. The shape/form of the reference evapotranspiration ( $ET_0$ ) annual cycle strongly resembles that of  $T_{avg}$  and

R<sub>sfcnet</sub>, as all three are predominantly influenced by the seasonal variations of incoming solar radiation. 387 The annual cycle of the CWB is (logically) dominated by the moisture surplus during monsoonal 388 months, with surplus/deficit magnitudes >100mm only calculated/estimated for June through 389 September. In other months values are much closer to equilibrium (0mm) as both rainfall and ET<sub>o</sub> are 390 smaller in magnitude. CWB is generally, but not uniformly, positive in January/February and 391 similarly negative in April, May and October. Local agricultural practices (near Mukteshwar) 392 generally have two cropping seasons per year with planting timings (~Nov-Jan and ~June-July) 393 coinciding with/immediately preceding moisture surplus periods and harvest timings (~May-June and 394 ~Oct-Nov) coinciding with peak moisture deficit. The range of interannual variability in CWB --395 illustrated in Figure 4 by the 10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles – indicates that some years moisture deficits 396 during the 'maturity' phase will be more severe than others. The impacts of CWB variability on small-397 scale agriculture in the Mukteshwar area are subjects of on-going research. 398

399

## 400 [3.2.2] *CWB estimates derived from meteorological reanalyses*

Comparisons of  $ET_0$  estimates from individual reanalyses to  $ET_0$  estimates from local 401 observations of secondary (Tave, DTR) variables and (reanalyses) ensemble mean estimates of tertiary 402 variables (radiation, humidity, windspeed)) show firstly that reanalysis ensemble members either 403 closely match (JRA55, ERA5) or substantially overestimate (ERA-Interim, MERRA2) ET<sub>0</sub> in 404 absolute terms. The overestimation cases appear to be correspond to the absolute bias in  $T_{avg}$ . 405 Secondly, the normalisation procedure used for the primary and secondary variables (precipitation, 406  $T_{avg}$  and DTR) shows that despite absolute biases there is strong agreement amongst all data sources 407 regarding the shape/form of the ET<sub>0</sub> annual cycle. Interestingly because the individual reanalyses tend 408 409 to overestimate (in absolute terms) both precipitation and ET<sub>0</sub>, resultant absolute CWB biases are smaller in magnitude. Logically, the normalisation procedure again shows very strong agreement on 410 411 the shape/form of the CWB annual cycle. Comparing Figures 3 and 4 reveals a notable similarity between the normalised forms of the annual cycles of RH and CWB. 412



Figure 4: Climatologies of contributing components, i.e. precipitation and reference
evapotranspiration (ET<sub>0</sub>), along with the climatic water balance (CWB) for the Mukteshwar site
from local observations and global meteorological reanalyses. Solid lines indicate period mean
values. Areas bounded by grey shading and dashed lines denote interannual variability quantified as
ranges of 10<sup>th</sup> to 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles respectively for local observations and reanalyses. notes: ERI =
ERA-Interim, J55=JRA-55, NM2=NASA MERRA2, ER5 = ERA5, local = local observations at
Mukteshwar IMD

421

413

#### 422 [3.3] Time-series in individual variables

Agricultural practice near Mukteshwar predominantly uses two growing seasons per year. To 423 avoid analysing individual growing seasons spanning more than one calendar year we simplified their 424 representation into two five-month time aggregates: January to May (cold) and June to October 425 (monsoonal). These season definitions were then used to calculate yearly time-series of standardised 426 anomalies for the primary and secondary climate variables (Figure 5) and for ET<sub>0</sub> and CWB (Figure 427 6) from both local observations and large-scale reanalyses. Figures 5 and 6 show that for all variables 428 in both seasons, agreement is reasonably strong both by reanalyses with local observations and 429 between individual reanalyses. Nevertheless, consensus on sign and magnitude of anomalies is visibly 430 closer for the cold season (JFMAM) than during monsoonal months (JJASO). The sequencing of 431 CWB anomalies (Figure 6) in both seasons strongly resembles the corresponding sequencing of 432 precipitation anomalies (Figure 5) thus underlining how precipitation dominates the CWB at 433 Mukteshwar. Meanwhile, the sequencing of ET<sub>0</sub> anomalies (Figure 6) visually resemble Tavg 434 anomalies (Figure 5) in respective seasons, thus providing further evidence for the strong role of 435 incoming shortwave (solar) radiation in driving atmospheric moisture demand. 436

In terms of emerging patterns of change, none of the variable-season combinations (individual
panels in Figures 5 & 6) appear to follow a linear trend. Nevertheless there are substantially fewer

negative anomalies in the latter half of the time period for Tavg in both seasons, which might indicate 439 local warming. The opposite is true for DTR, with fewer positive anomalies later in the time period 440 during both seasons. This indicates a narrowing of differences between daily maximum and minimum 441 temperatures, possibly due to increasing cloud-cover and/or near surface water vapour. In contrast, 442 precipitation anomalies are highly variable in both seasons. ET<sub>0</sub> anomalies in the cold season appear 443 to increase in line with T<sub>avg</sub> warming. Evidence of ET<sub>0</sub> change during the monsoonal season is less 444 clear, with negative anomalies at both the beginning and end of the period and maximum values 445 during the 1990s and early 2000s. The distributions of CWB anomalies throughout the time period in 446 both seasons show similar levels of 'noise' (apparent randomness) to those in Precip, albeit with weak 447 indications of a decreasing pattern in the cold season (JFMAM) contrasting with equally weak 448 indications of increases during the monsoon (JJASO). 449



450 <sup>-3</sup> <sup>1980</sup> 1985 1990 1995 2000 2005 2010 2015 2020 <sup>-3</sup> <sup>1980</sup> 1985 1990 1995 2000 2005 2010 2015 2020 <sup>-3</sup> <sup>1980</sup> 1985 1990 1995 2000 2005 2010 2015 2020

451 Figure 5: Standardised anomaly (units of 'standard deviation') times series of seasonal aggregates

- 452 of primary (Precip) and secondary (T<sub>avg</sub>, DTR) variables. Cold season (JFMAM) is January
- 453 through May. Warm season (JJASO) is June through October. ERI = ERA-Interim, J55=JRA-55,
- 454 NM2=NASA MERRA2, ER5 = ERA5, local = local observations at Mukteshwar IMD.



455

Figure 6: Standardised anomaly (units of 'standard deviation') time-series of seasonal aggregates of
extrapolated reference evapotranspiration (ET<sub>0</sub>) and climatic water balance (CWB). Season
definitions and figure symbology as in Figure 5.

459

#### 460 [3.4] Correlations of hydroclimate variables to time (trend precursors)

The underlying variability ("noise") exhibited by the time-series of the hydroclimate variables presented (Figs 5 & 6) shows that attempting to fit linear trend rates to observed historical anomaly patterns would not appear entirely appropriate. Nevertheless, while investigating on-going water cycle change, insight can be gained through assessing the correlation, e.g. Kendal 'tau', of individual variables with time, i.e. series of yearly values for individual calendar months. Results of this procedure for the Mukteshwar site data are shown in Figure 7. Strong positive (negative) correlations to the time index are of course indicative of increasing (decreasing) tendencies in the variable values.

Precipitation is globally recognised as highly variable, and in the Mukteshwar site time-series 468 analyses, noise - correlation values found through random shuffling of observations as described in 469 the Methods section – largely exceeds signal. In contrast, mean temperature (Tavg) shows consistently 470 positive correlation throughout the annual cycle, with several months above the 95th percentile – as 471 472 well as four months above the 99th and even two months exceeding the 99.9th percentile – of results expected from simple random sequencing. Estimated DTR correlation with time, however, shows 473 mixed results across the annual cycle in terms of both strength and sign. In the first 5 months of the 474 year DTR correlation to time is within the random variability or 'noise' range. From June through 475 November there are notable decreasing tendencies (negative correlations), with the monsoonal 476 months in particular exceeding values expected from random sequencing. The near identical patterns 477 of correlation of CWB and precipitation to time further illustrate how Mukteshwar CWB is dominated 478 by moisture inputs rather than potential evaporative demand. Reference evapotranspiration  $(ET_0)$  for 479 its part shows a mixed pattern, with the late winter and spring seemingly dominated by mean 480

temperature (thus increasing), but with tendencies in monsoonal months driven by DTR (thus 481 decreasing). If these temporal tendencies continue, the increases during the middle of the 'cold 482 season' cropping cycle could lead to more damaging moisture stresses in dry years. A general remark, 483 applying to all variables shown in Figure 7, is that correlations between variable estimates from three 484 of the reanalyses - ERA-Interim, JRA55 and NASA MERRA2 - and time generally track those for 485 local observations relatively well in cooler months (~Nov to Feb) but often diverge widely in warmer 486 months (March to October). This may well result from generally strong skill of these reanalyses to 487 represent conditions of climates dominated by large-scale/frontal precipitation and weakness at 488 representing moisture and radiation fluxes in convection-dominated conditions. Time-variable 489 correlations from ERA5, however, track noticeably closer to the time-variable correlations in the local 490 observations, with the exception of ET<sub>0</sub>. This is despite ERA5 having broadly similar skill to the other 491 reanalyses - albeit with a very strong wet bias in precipitation - in climatological representation of 492 493 the key variables. ERA5 is the newest of the reanalyses and it will be of scientific interest to explore 494 if this pattern of performance is repeated in through other locations in South Asia and beyond.



495

Figure 7: Kendall Tau correlation of hydroclimate variables to time for individual calendar months
(totals/means). Grey lines indicate statistical distribution of correlation values resulting through
randomisation of observation order/sequencing; ERI=ERA-Interim, NM2=NASA MERRA2,
J55=JRA-55, ER5=ERA5, local = local observations at Mukteshwar IMD.

500

In light of the clearly dominant impact of precipitation on CWB, it is worthwhile to further explore how precipitation might be changing at Mukteshwar, specifically in terms of the frequency of daily rainfall accumulations exceeding specific totals. Before potential changes – assessed as correlations to time – in event intensity can be considered, the (annual cycle) climatology of rainfall accumulations must first be examined (Figure 8). The defining influence of the monsoon on frequency of rainfall events is unmistakeable regardless of whether 1mm, 10mm or 50mm daily accumulation

thresholds are utilised. The monthly frequency of events >1 mm and >10 mm (daily) are both strongly 507 proportional to monthly rainfall totals. With the exception of very rare winter storms (in particular in 508 February), events with daily totals >50mm occur during the monsoonal period from June through 509 September. In comparison with the local observations, all four meteorological reanalyses exhibit 510 characteristic "drizzle biases" (Hong et al, 2006; Piani et al, 2010) during at least part of the annual 511 cycle in that low intensity events are estimated to occur with excessive frequency. For the high 512 intensity events, exemplified in Figure 8 by daily accumulation >50mm, there are clear differences 513 between the individual reanalyses. ERA-Interim and JRA-55 largely underestimate the absolute 514 frequency of these events. Both NASA MERRA2 and ERA5 in contrast strongly overestimate 515 (absolute) frequencies in June through August but match observed frequencies in September. As with 516 the climatologies of key meteorological variables and CWB components, the normalisation of 517 (observed and) estimated frequency of rainfall events exceeding specified accumulation thresholds 518 shows substantially greater agreement/consensus than the absolute values. This shows that 519 520 meaningful information content on precipitation event characteristics, including extremes, can be 521 derived from the reanalyses despite biases in absolute values.



522

Figure 8: Climatology of frequency of daily precipitation surpassing thresholds. Note: These results
are not 'binned', hence lower thresholds include all larger events, i.e. Precip>50mm is a subset of
Precip>10mm which is itself a subset of Precip>1mm. Solid lines indicate period mean values.
Areas bounded by grey shading and dashed lines denote ranges of 10<sup>th</sup> to 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles
respectively for local observations and reanalyses. Data source are abbreviations as follows:
ERI=ERA-Interim, J55=JRA-55, NM2=NASA MERRA2, ER5 = ERA5, local = local observations

529 at Mukteshwar IMD.

530

531 In the context of a globally warming climate there is both scientific expectation and substantial 532 observational evidence for increases in the accumulation of precipitation from individual storm events

- from either increases in intensity, duration or both (Trenberth et al, 2003). At Mukteshwar, however, 533 over the common period (1980 to 2018) covered by local observations and the four meteorological 534 reanalyses, there is an absence of consistency in sign and strength of correlation of precipitation 535 indicators to time and relatively little in way of consistency/consensus between the independent data 536 sources (Figure 9). With specific regard to the local observations, the sequencing of measured 537 monthly precipitation amounts and event (greater than threshold) frequency rarely show correlation 538 strengths greater than that found through <10% of randomisation sequence cases. Even so, one 539 noteworthy aspect is that correlation of precipitation amounts to time appears strongly influenced by 540 correlation of medium to large accumulation events (a mixture of >10mm and >50mm). None of the 541 meteorological reanalyses consistently match the sign and strength of correlations of local 542 observations to time, although ERA5 is marginally closer than the others. There is some indication, 543 however, that agreement is better in colder months (October to April) than in warmer months (May 544 to November). In terms of changes which could be deemed significant, the clearest signals (from local 545 observations) appear to be increases in frequency of >50mm (daily) events in February and August. 546 547 These specific increases in frequency of large events are counterbalanced by decreases in large event 548 frequency in March and November. It remains to be established whether these apparent changes (shifts in seasonality?) are underpinned by evolving physical mechanisms or are simply indicative of 549 the vast range of inherent variability ('noise') in the local precipitation regime. 550



551

Figure 9: Kendall Tau correlation of frequency of daily precipitation surpassing thresholds to time
for individual calendar months. Grey lines indicate statistical distribution of correlation values
resulting through randomisation of observation order/sequencing, as per Figure 7; ERI=ERA-

- Interim, J55=JRA-55, NM2=NASA MERRA2, ER5 = ERA5, local = local observations at
  Mukteshwar IMD.
- 557
- 558

#### 559 [3.5] Atmospheric processes as candidate determinants of CWB change

Simple evaluation of change over recent decades provides little insight into likely future 560 evolution of the climate system unless those changes can be linked to driving physical mechanisms 561 whose behaviour can be anticipated with strong confidence. As an illustrative example the potential 562 influence of (local) cloud cover is examined here to provide context for the historical tendencies 563 reported in the preceding section. Future evolution of cloud cover may be quite complex due to 564 dependency of formation on presence of 'seed particles' (e.g. aerosols) but can nevertheless be 565 interpreted through fundamental aspects of climate science relating changes in evaporation and 566 condensation of water vapour to temperature change. Atmospheric circulation may play a role in 567 evolution of cloud cover through variations in the paths or "tracks" of large-scale storm systems, 568 including those linked to the monsoon. 569

The potential of (local) cloud cover influence in driving interannual near surface climate 570 variability is examined here as an illustrative example of a causal mechanism. Correlations shown in 571 Figure 10 are essentially monotonic (uniformly signed) and exhibit strength levels which are highly 572 unlikely to exist by chance. There is relatively strong consensus between the correlations found using 573 local observations of near surface climate and those found using reanalyses estimates. These factors 574 underpin relatively straightforward physical interpretations. Precipitation shows strong positive 575 correlation to cloud cover which is logical since rain rarely falls under clear skies. For Mukteshwar 576 there are consistent negative correlations between cloud cover and mean temperature  $(T_{avg})$  although 577 578 these are weaker in cold months (October to February) and during the late monsoon (August and September) when the cooling influence of clouds through shortwave (solar) radiative forcing is 579 tempered by a warming influence of longwave (thermal) forcing. These findings are in line with a 580 previous study (Forsythe et al., 2015) of cloud influence on temperature elsewhere in the Himalayan 581 582 arc. DTR also shows consistent negative correlations, although values are perhaps less strong and less consistent in magnitude than could be expected given the presumed relationship between clear 583 (cloudy) skies and amplified (suppressed) DTR. This may either point to limitations in cloud 584 representation by meteorological reanalyses and/or substantial roles for other radiative influences, 585 e.g. water vapour, in modulating DTR. 586



587

Figure 10 Kendall Tau correlation of near surface climate variables to total cloud fraction for
individual calendar months. The individual reanalysis correlations are calculated between variable
estimates by that data source. Local observations correlations are calculated against the ensemble
mean of cloud cover estimates from the four reanalyses. Grey lines indicate statistical distribution
of correlation values resulting through randomisation of observation order/sequencing; ERI=ERAInterim, J55=JRA-55, NM2=NASA MERRA2, ER5 = ERA5, local = local observations at
Mukteshwar IMD.

595

## 596

## 597 [4] Discussion and future perspectives

#### 598 [4.1] Descriptive hydroclimatology

From an objective standpoint, CWB is an imperfect and admittedly oversimplified aggregate 599 metric of water availability. This shortcoming is due to its neglect of the role of soil as reservoir 600 storing moisture between precipitation events. Soil characteristics, along with precipitation 601 intensity/event magnitude, play a critical role in modulating the partitioning of rainfall between 602 direct/surface runoff and (subsurface) infiltration. Nevertheless, CWB provides 603 an accessible/feasible, meaningful indicator of moisture availability without necessitating soil 604 characteristics data (whose acquisition would be cost prohibitive). It would be possible to substitute 605 in-situ subsurface information with sensitivity studies and probabilistic estimation of potential soil 606 moisture balance, but such an approach would inherently entail such broad uncertainty bounds that 607 resulting information content would be questionable. 608

This study has drawn substantially on climate variable estimates from global meteorological reanalyses. These data sources do have important limitations, particularly in areas where the influence of steep topographic gradients greatly exceeds the level of detail enabled through their relatively coarse spatial resolution. This coarseness along with methodological limitations of the data

assimilation and forecasting systems which drive the reanalyses can (often) lead to strong biases in 613 absolute value estimates of key climate variables, particularly precipitation. With relevance to this 614 study specifically, the Mukteshwar area is situated in a (heterogeneous) transition zone at the margin 615 between lowlands/plains and high mountains. The reanalyses do nevertheless have strong advantages, 616 principally that they provide spatially and temporally continuous (internally consistent) estimates of 617 a wide range of climate variables. Much of the aforementioned general biases can be overcome 618 through simple normalisation/standardisation procedures as shown in Figure 2. It must be recognized, 619 however, that these normalisation/standardisation procedures may not be effective at the transitions 620 between climate regimes where different physical processes and seasonalities (timing of annual 621 maxima and minima) intersect. 622

From a more general scientific standpoint, exploratory data analysis can provide a pathway to 623 improved understanding underlying physical mechanisms driving variability and change in natural 624 systems. In order to attain this goal, temporal aggregation, whether monthly or seasonal, should 625 reflect prevailing climate patterns such as precipitation regimes. The robustness of preliminary results 626 can be assessed based on their independence (i.e. lack of sensitivity) to the choice of 'analytical time-627 window', i.e. the start & end years for correlation and trend calculations. In the case of Mukteshwar 628 629 specifically, using the CWB framework, apparent changes in climate over recent decades can be 630 separated based on whether the variables in question influence atmospheric moisture supply or demand. In terms of supply, the dominant aspect of precipitation is arguably underlying/inherent 631 (chaotic) variability although there is tentative evidence for the intensification of the hydrological 632 cycle based on increasing frequency of large (accumulation) rainfall events in key months. This 633 intensification of precipitation events is coherent with theoretical expectations, particularly the 634 Clausius-Clapeyron relationship (Guerreiro et al, 2018), of climate evolution driven by anthropogenic 635 global warming. Further research could also investigate in greater detail whether shifts in regional 636 atmospheric circulation are changing the frequency with which storm systems pass through/over the 637 Mukteshwar area. Regarding atmospheric moisture demand, evidence from local observations seems 638 to robustly demonstrate year-round increases in daily mean temperature (T<sub>avg</sub>) and corresponding 639 decreases (except during spring) in diurnal temperature range (DTR). Additional investigation would 640 be required to determine if the proximate mechanisms driving these changes, and in particular the 641 strong Tavg increases in March, are predominantly attributable to cloud radiative influences, changes 642 in regional atmospheric circulation or other underlying factors. On this point, i.e. with respect to water 643 vapour (humidity), in light of visible similarity between the (normalised) annual cycles of RH and 644 CWB, it may be worthwhile to consider the potential role of RH in influencing CWB components 645 and the key climate variables. When using data from meteorological reanalyses, however, it is 646 unlikely there would be substantial additional 'information content' in exploring correlations of 647 (other) near surface climate variables to RH because RH and cloud cover will be highly correlated in 648 these datasets. 649

These results have potential implications for regional applications of (physically-based) "emergent constraint" approaches for validation/evaluation of climate models (Knutti et al, 2017; Cox et al 2018; Eyring et al, 2019) since accurate representation of moisture fluxes – whether as RH or CWB – near the land surface are central to the plausibility and relevance of simulated future conditions which will modulate the impacts of anthropogenic climate change.

- 655
- 656
- 657
- 658

#### 659 [4.2] Promising avenues and critical pathways:

660 While the findings of this study are of greatest interest for the Mukteshwar area, adjacent 661 sections of the Kumaun Lesser Himalaya (KLH) and similar areas of the Ganges basin headwaters, 662 the methodology employed has much broader potential relevance/transferability.

663

# [4.2.1] Validation of simulated historical climatologies and downscaling of projected futureconditions

In addition to driving biases in mean temperature, the precise location of grid cell boundaries 666 can also influence the characterised precipitation regime. In this specific case, both ERA-Interim and 667 NASA MERRA2 appear to somewhat overemphasise the monsoonal character of Mukteshwar 668 precipitation with too large a fraction of annual rainfall found from July to August and too small from 669 January to May. ERA5 is distinct in that its absolute wet bias is severe but its representation of the 670 (normalised) annual distribution of precipitation is relatively skilful albeit with both onset and 671 recession of the monsoon occurring earlier than in local observations. Despite its coarse spatial 672 resolution, JRA55 estimates (relatively) accurately both the magnitude and timing of precipitation. 673 These issues of magnitude and timing (seasonality) may further influence subsequent elements of 674 study/analyses, as it implies differing relative contributions of distinct rainfall generating mechanisms 675 (frontal/stratiform versus convective). Precipitation frequencies and amounts resulting from these 676 mechanisms may follow divergent trajectories as a result of anthropogenic climate change. While 677 large-scale meteorological reanalyses generally represent the shape of the annual cycle well, they 678 struggle nevertheless to adequately capture the magnitude of interannual variability, even in relative 679 terms. This may be linked to aggregation/homogenisation of conditions across large "grid cells" thus 680 smoothing substantial local ("sub-grid") variability. These limitations, particularly evidenced in the 681 biases shown in the relatively finer resolution ERA5, support the need for high resolution dynamical 682 downscaling of global meteorological reanalyses. Previous studies in North America have found that 683 spatial resolutions finer than 10km are necessary to capture the influence of topography on 684 precipitation gradients (Rasmussen et al, 2011). Separately, in regions with predominantly warm 685 rainfall regimes, precipitation should be simulated using models run at convection-permitting spatial 686 resolutions, i.e. less than 4km (Kendon et al, 2012; Prein et al, 2015). 687

Looking beyond the evaluation of global meteorological reanalyses as potential sources of 688 historical data in observation void/gap areas, the approach utilised here could equally be applied as a 689 framework for site-based validation of climate model outputs (CORDEX, CMIP, etc). Validation and 690 bias assessment efforts to quantify climate model performance often focus on spatial patterns within 691 the modelled domain or on annual cycles of large spatial aggregates, e.g. along longitudinal bands or 692 over major river basins. Such broad aggregation can easily obscure whether the simulated 693 climatologies are realistic at the scale of natural resource management. By relating - both in absolute 694 and normalised/standardised terms -- climate model outputs to the CWB (derived from local 695 observations) a meaningful assessment of hydro-climatological 'fidelity' or skill can be made. 696 Repeating CWB 'point' assessments for multiple locations with quality multi-decadal observational 697 records can provide much greater insight into model performance than simple gridded or spatially 698 aggregated assessments would yield. These site-based bias assessments can also provide the 699 foundation for downscaling - if a 'delta change'/perturbation type approach is adopted -- of future 700 climate projections. This is because it is necessary to relate the incremental (multiplicative for 701 precipitation, additive for temperature) changes between projected future and simulated historical 702 climate conditions to the local observational record in order to minimise 'contamination' of impact 703 704 assessments with model biases. This is, however, an imperfect approach because the underlying climate model errors in representing physical processes will still be present in the projected 'change 705

factors' (Ehret *et al*, 2012) albeit reduced through exclusion of the most unrealistic models. This fact
 provides further impetus in the drive toward high-resolution dynamical downscaling capable of
 accurately simulating physical processes including orographic and convective precipitation.

709

## 710 [4.2.2] Attaining field-scale representation of CWB and beyond

Along similar lines, the full suite of meteorological variables utilised to calculate (FAO 711 Penman Monteith) reference evapotranspiration are rarely observed at individual locations 712 particularly in countries with emerging or developing economies (i.e. the 'Global South'). The three 713 key variables -- precipitation, Tavg and DTR - can, however, be observed accurately and at low cost 714 around the globe. As such the number of meteorological stations with multi-decadal observational 715 records of these variables is substantial. Even where longstanding measurements have not been 716 conducted, observational systems can quickly be established and, within a few years of operation, 717 results can be compared to national monitoring systems and/or gridded data sources. Supplemental 718 low-cost in-situ measurements of additional variables, such as relative humidity (RH), can further 719 reduce uncertainty in deriving reference evapotranspiration and CWB from these primary climate 720 observations. The role of RH is of high potential interest as it is possible to directly observe RH (in 721 additional to Precip and Tavg/DTR) locally using low cost sensors. Expanding the availability of local 722 RH observations could thus provide a promising avenue for highly-scalable additional 'ground 723 truthing' of gridded/global datasets - both meteorological reanalyses and climate models - as well to 724 reduce uncertainty in CWB estimates calculated using estimates of 'tertiary' variables extracted from 725 these datasets. Furthermore, at local spatial scales, meaningful investigations of soil characteristics 726 (depth, texture) become feasible. Such field campaigns thus enable progress from the relatively 727 728 simple CWB estimates to extrapolation of full water balance, i.e. including actual evapotranspiration (AET), effective precipitation (precip minus AET), direct runoff and percolation/baseflow. 729

730

## 731 [5] Conclusions

#### 732 [5.1] Specific findings regarding the climatic water balance in proximity to Mukteshwar

In order to characterise the evolving hydroclimate of a case study within the middle mountains 733 in the transition zone between the Indo-Gangetic plain and the Greater Himalaya, we have utilised 734 meteorological observations from the Mukteshwar station (Nainital district, Uttarakhand state) of the 735 India Meteorological Department (IMD) to quantify the local climatic water balance (CWB) – along 736 with the variables which determine it – in terms of both annual cycles and interannual variability. The 737 738 observed patterns of year-to-year variability in time-series of seasonal aggregates for the variables of interest do not show linear progression. We have nevertheless investigated the time-dependency of 739 740 these patterns through correlation analyses (Figures 8 and 9).

In order to corroborate the conditions described by local (IMD) observations, we have also 741 characterised the CWB, and its contributing variables, using data from four global meteorological 742 reanalyses: ERA-Interim, JRA-55, NASA MERRA2 and ERA5. Comparison of climatologies from 743 the four reanalyses to local observations show that although large absolute biases exist in the gridded 744 745 data sources, simple normalisation (corrective) procedures yield accurate representation of Mukteshwar climatology. This relative skill extends to reasonable estimation of interannual 746 (standardised) seasonal anomaly patterns. Even limited discrepancies between local observations and 747 reanalyses for individual time-steps, however, yield substantial discrepancies in results of the more 748 sensitive procedure of assessing time-dependency. 749

The CWB component variable characterisation demonstrates that Mukteshwar and the adjacent Kumaun Lesser Himalaya (KLH) have a monsoonal precipitation regime. The annual

temperature cycle has a larger amplitude than might otherwise be expected at its latitude (~29.5°N), 752 owing to the high elevation (>2000m asl). Examination of both time-series of seasonally aggregated 753 anomalies and the correlation analyses of the time-dependency of monthly variables show that at 754 755 Mukteshwar, and the adjacent KLH, CWB variability is driven predominantly by precipitation, i.e. the supply side of the moisture balance equation. Variability in reference evapotranspiration  $(ET_0)$ , 756 i.e. the demand side of the equation, reflects a combination of the variability in daily mean 757 temperature (T<sub>avg</sub>) and diurnal temperature range (DTR). In light of the dominant role of precipitation 758 in the CWB, we further investigated the climatology and time-dependency (correlation) of daily 759 precipitation exceeding specific thresholds. These analyses showed that correlations of precipitation 760 to time appear to follow that of medium and heavy wet days (24-hour accumulation of  $\geq$ 10mm and  $\geq$ 761 50mm). This dominance of large precipitation events has potentially worrying implications for local 762 resource management and hazard mitigation if the distribution of rainfall shifts toward more large 763 764 events and fewer gentle/sustained showers. At the local scale, soil is unlikely to be able to infiltrate 765 large precipitation amounts in a short time period. If concentration of precipitation in intense events 766 is coupled with prolonged dry spells between rainfall episodes, the capacity of soil to store sufficient 767 moisture to meet uptake needs by vegetation - both crops and forests - will likely be exceeded. While 768 particularly heavy precipitation can cause crop damage, general intensification of rainfall rates in the uplands will likely result in increased soil erosion and higher peak river discharge. This will 769 complicate infrastructure operation downstream, in the Terai and lowland segments of the Ganges 770 basin, as reservoir storage capacity and flood defences may not provide adequate buffers to 771 intensification of the hydrological cycle. 772

773

# [5.2] Relevance of CWB methodology for informing adaptive resource management more broadly

776 The CWB, as a metric of the equilibrium – or lack thereof – between atmospheric moisture supply (precipitation) and demand (potential or reference evapotranspiration) to and from the land 777 surface, provides a very meaningful descriptor of hydroclimate conditions. Quantitative identification 778 of alternating phases of CWB surplus and deficit within the annual cycle contextualises seasonality 779 of local plant growth and water-dependent economic activities in moisture-limited (rather than 780 energy-limited) cases. Time-series analyses of CWB anomalies provide insight on the magnitude, 781 frequency and duration over which near surface atmospheric moisture availability is observed to 782 deviate from mean conditions. Taken together the climatological and 'anomaly-space' approaches 783 usefully frame the time-varying need for local moisture storage either within the natural subsurface 784 - i.e. in soil and aquifers - or in engineered structures ranging from household-level tanks and ponds 785 to regional networks of surface reservoirs and/or groundwater pumping. 786

787 In light of the findings regarding the dominance of precipitation and particularly large rainfall events in driving variability and evolution of CWB (as illustrated through the Mukteshwar 788 observational record), it is pragmatic to suggest that local and regional initiatives to develop adaptive 789 resource management should focus on increasing buffering capacity to attenuate moisture supply-790 demand imbalances. This could be pursued not only through the construction of surface water storage 791 (tanks, reservoirs) and distribution systems, but also through land management activities and 792 interventions to enhance infiltration (e.g. bunds) and soil moisture retention (e.g. increasing topsoil 793 organic content) and to limit evapotranspiration (e.g. mulches). In the context of this study, such 794 initiatives could be tested within the Ramgad and Dhokane watersheds (Figure 1) which lie within 795 the Ramgarh Development Block in the Nainital district of Utttarakhand state, India. Developing 796 systems and methods capable of coping with already high levels of interannual variability would 797 represent an important step toward resilience to future climate change impacts on the water cycle. 798

These systems could be scalable in terms of both spatial service area and temporal buffering. In the most modest configuration, tanks and subsurface storage would be destined to bridge moisture supply shortfalls over a few days or weeks for the fields of individual smallholder farming families. More ambitious schemes could be designed to store 'surplus' monsoonal precipitation to meet moisture demands for the following several months for substantial sections of individual villages (panchayats).

Independent of the scale at which it is applied, the CWB approach, as demonstrated in this study, provides a scientifically robust approach to characterising near surface atmospheric moisture availability. Because it is conceptualised through supply and demand terms analogous to simple accounting principles, its broad strokes should also be accessible to lay-person decision makers who could draw upon its findings to guide adaptive resource management efforts.

809

## 810 Statements & Declarations

## 811 Software availability statement

812 The software used in this study are simple implementations in Python of standard statistical

- 813 functions and the FAO56 Penman Monteith method for calculating reference evapotranspiration
- along with (matplotlib) scripts to visual the results, i.e. generate figures. These fragments have not
- yet been aggregated into a formal repository. Reasonable requests for specific (sample) elements of
- the code will be satisfied by the corresponding author.
- 817

## 818 Data Availability Statement

The local historical observations meteorological observations from Mukteshwar were obtained via agreement with the India Meteorological Department (IMD). IMD's permission must be obtained for the authors to (re)share this data. All global meteorological reanalyses data used in this study are

- available from public repositories maintained by their producers, e.g. the European Centre for
- 823 Medium range Weather Forecasting.
- 824
- 825 Author contribution

NDF designed the study and wrote the primary text. PCT and BJ facilitated access to local

observations and advised on study geographical context. DMWP, DWW and HJF advised on

- 828 analytical approaches edited the manuscript text.
- 829

## 830 <u>Competing Interests</u>

- 831 The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.
- 832

## 833 <u>Funding</u>

This research was initially funded by a grant (DST-UKIERI-2014-15-DST-122) from the British

- 835 Council. Subsequent work was enabled by Global Challenges Research Fund (GCRF) grants
- administer by Royal Society for the CSAICLAWPS (CH160148) and PAPPADAAM
- 837 (CHG\R1\170057) projects. Additionally: Nathan Forsythe, David Pritchard and Hayley Fowler
- 838 were supported by the GCRF FutureDAMS project (grant ES/P011373/1) administered by the UK
- 839 ESRC. Hayley Fowler was also funded by the Wolfson Foundation and the Royal Society as a
- Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award holder (grant WM140025). Professor Prakash C.
- 841 Tiwari and Dr Bhagwati Joshi acknowledge the generous financial support provided by the

- 842 Department of Science and Technology, Government of India New Delhi for carrying out the
- 843 research included in the paper
- 844

## 845 **<u>REFERENCES</u>**

- Allen, R.G., Pereira, L.S., Raes, D. and Smith, M., 1998. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No.
  56. FAO, Rome, Italy. 300pp. http://www.fao.org/docrep/X0490E/X0490E00.htm
- Bosilovich, M.G., Chen, J., Robertson, F.R. and Adler, R.F., 2008. Evaluation of global
  precipitation in reanalyses. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 47 (9), 22792299. https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JAMC1921.1
- Burton, A., Kilsby, C.G., Fowler, H.J., Cowpertwait, P.S.P. and O'Connell, P.E. 2008. RainSim: A
  spatial temporal stochastic rainfall modelling system. Environmental Modelling and Software,
  23 (12), 1356-1369. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2008.04.003
- Churchill, D.J., Larson, A.J., Dahlgreen, M.C., Franklin, J.F., Hessburg, P.F. and Lutz, J.A., 2013.
  Restoring forest resilience: From reference spatial patterns to silvicultural prescriptions and
  monitoring. Forest Ecology and Management, 291, 442-457.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.11.007
- Cox, P.M., Huntingford, C. and Williamson, M.S., 2018. Emergent constraint on equilibrium
  climate sensitivity from global temperature variability. Nature, 553 (7688), 319-322.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25450
- Crimmins, S.M., Dobrowski, S.Z., Greenberg, J.A., Abatzoglou, J.T. and Mynsberge, A.R., 2011.
  Changes in climatic water balance drive downhill shifts in plant species' optimum elevations.
  Science, 331 (6015), 324-327. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1199040
- Dee, D.P., Uppala, S.M., Simmons, A.J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., 864 Balmaseda, M.A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A.C.M., van de Berg, L., 865 Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A.J., Haimberger, L., 866 Healy, S.B., Hersbach, H., Holm, E.V., Isaksen, L., Kallberg, P., Kohler, M., Matricardi, M., 867 McNally, A.P., Monge-Sanz, B.M., Morcrette, J.-J., Park, B.-K., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., 868 Tavolato, C., Thepaut, J.-N. and Vitart, F., 2011. The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration 869 and performance of the data assimilation system. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 137 (656), 553-597. 870 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.828 871
- Broogers, P. and Allen, R.G., 2002. Estimating reference evapotranspiration under inaccurate data
  conditions. Irrigation and Drainage Systems, 16 (1), 33-45.
  https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015508322413
- Ebita, A., Kobayashi, S., Ota, Y., Moriya, M., Kumabe, R., Onogi, K., Harada, Y., Yasui, S.,
  Miyaoka, K., Takahashi, K., Kamahori, H., Kobayashi, C., Endo, H., Soma, M., Oikawa, Y.
  and Ishimizu, T., 2011. The Japanese 55-year Reanalysis "JRA-55": An Interim Report.
  Scientific Online Letters on the Atmosphere, 7, 149-152. https://doi.org/10.2151/sola.2011038
- Ehret, U., Zehe, E., Wulfmeyer, V., Warrach-Sagi, K., and Liebert, J., 2012. (HESS Opinions)
  "Should we apply bias correction to global and regional climate model data?", Hydrol. Earth
  Syst. Sci., 16, 3391-3404, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-16-3391-2012
- 883 Eyring, V., Cox, P.M., Flato, G.M., Gleckler, P.J., Abramowitz, G., Caldwell, P., Collins, W.D.,
- 884 Gier, B.K., Hall, A.D., Hoffman, F.M., Hurtt, G.C., Jahn, A., Jones, C.D., Klein, S.A.,
- 885 Krasting, J.P., Kwiatkowski, L., Lorenz, R., Maloney, E., Meehl, G.A., Pendergrass, A.G.,
- Pincus, R., Ruane, A.C., Russell, J.L., Sanderson, B.M., Santer, B.D., Sherwood, S.C.,

887 888 889	Simpson, I.R., Stouffer, R.J. and Williamson, M.S., 2019. Taking climate model evaluation to the next level. Nature Climate Change, 9 (2), 102-110. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0355-y
890	Forsythe, N., Hardy, A.J., Fowler, H.J. Blenkinsop, S., Kilsby, C.G., Archer, D.R. and Hashmi,
891	M.Z., 2015. A detailed cloud fraction climatology of the Upper Indus Basin and its
892	implications for near surface air temperature. Journal of Climate, 28 (9), 3537–3556.
893	https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00505.1
894 895	Grunwald, S., 2009. Multi-criteria characterization of recent digital soil mapping and modeling approaches. Geoderma, 152(3), 195-207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2009.06.003
896 897 898	Guerreiro, S.B., Fowler, H.J., Barbero, R., Westra, S., Lenderink, G., Blenkinsop, S., Lewis, E., and Li, X.F., 2018. Nature Climate Change 8, 803–807. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0245-3
899	Hargreaves, G.H., 1994. Defining and using reference evapotranspiration. Journal of Irrigation and
900	Drainage Engineering, 120 (6), 1132-1139. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-
901	9437(1994)120:6(1132)
902	Hargreaves, G.H. and Allen, R.G., 2003. History and evaluation of Hargreaves evapotranspiration
903	equation. Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering, 129 (1), 53-63.
904	https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9437(2003)129:1(53)
905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912	<ul> <li>Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J.,</li> <li>Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo,</li> <li>G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., De Chiara, G., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D.,</li> <li>Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R., Flemming, J., Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger,</li> <li>L., Healy, S., Hogan, R.J., Hólm, E., Janisková, M., Keeley, S., Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P.,</li> <li>Lupu, C., Radnoti, G., de Rosnay, P., Rozum, I., Vamborg, F., Villaume, S. and Thépaut, J</li> <li>N., 2020. The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological</li> <li>Society, 146 (730), 1999-2049. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803.</li> </ul>
913	Hong, S., Y. Noh, and J. Dudhia, 2006: A New Vertical Diffusion Package with an Explicit
914	Treatment of Entrainment Processes. Mon. Wea. Rev., 134, 2318–2341,
915	https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR3199.1
916 917 918	Huntington, T.G., 2006. Evidence for intensification of the global water cycle: Review and synthesis. Journal of Hydrology, 319 (1-4), 83-95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.07.003
919	<ul> <li>Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Ciais, P., Seneviratne, S.I., Sheffield, J., Goulden, M.L., Bonan, G.,</li></ul>
920	Cescatti, A., Chen, J., De Jeu, R., Dolman, A.J., Eugster, W., Gerten, D., Gianelle, D.,
921	Gobron, N., Heinke, J., Kimball, J., Law, B.E., Montagnani, L., Mu, Q., Mueller, B., Oleson,
922	K., Papale, D., Richardson, A.D., Roupsard, O., Running, S., Tomelleri, E., Viovy, N.,
923	Weber, U., Williams, C., Wood, E., Zaehle, S. and Zhang, K., 2010. Recent decline in the
924	global land evapotranspiration trend due to limited moisture supply. Nature, 467 (7318), 951-
925	954. DOI: 10.1038/nature09396
926	Kendon, E.J., Roberts, N.M., Senior, C.A. and Roberts, M.J., 2012. Realism of Rainfall in a Very
927	High-Resolution Regional Climate Model. J. Climate, 25, 5791–5806,
928	https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00562.1
929 930	Kilsby, C.G., Jones, P.D., Burton, A., Ford, A.C., Fowler, H.J., Harpham, C., James, P., Smith, A. and Wilby, R.L., 2007. A daily weather generator for use in climate change studies.

- Environmental Modelling and Software, 22 (12), 1705-1719.
- 932 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2007.02.005
- Knutti, R., Sedláček, J., Sanderson, B.M., Lorenz, R., Fischer, E.M. and Eyring, V., 2017. A
  climate model projection weighting scheme accounting for performance and interdependence.
  Geophysical Research Letters, 44 (4), 1909-1918. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL072012
- Lawrimore, J.H., Menne, M.J., Gleason, B.E., Williams, C.N., Wuertz, D.B., Vose, R.S., and
  Rennie, J., 2011. An overview of the Global Historical Climatology Network monthly mean
  temperature data set, version 3, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D19121,
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016187.
- Li, X.-F., Fowler, H.J., Forsythe, N., Blenkinsop, S., Pritchard, D, 2018. The Karakoram/Western
  Tibetan vortex: seasonal and year-to-year variability. Climate Dynamics, 51 (9-10), 883-3906.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4118-2
- Lorenz, C. and Kunstmann, H., 2012. The hydrological cycle in three state-of-the-art reanalyses:
  Intercomparison and performance analysis. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 13 (5), 1397-1420.
  https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-11-088.1
- Milly, P.C.D., Dunne, K.A. and Vecchia, A.V., 2005. Global pattern of trends in streamflow and
  water availability in a changing climate. Nature, 438 (7066), 347-350.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04312
- Monteith, J.L., 1965. Evaporation and environment. Symposia of the Society for Experimental
   Biology, 19, 205-234.
- Oki, T. and Kanae, S., 2006. Global hydrological cycles and world water resources. Science, 313
  (5790), 1068-1072. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1128845
- Piani, C., Haerter, J.O. & Coppola, E., 2010. Statistical bias correction for daily precipitation in
   regional climate models over Europe. Theor Appl Climatol, 99: 187.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-009-0134-9
- Prein, A. F., Langhans, W., Fosser, G., Ferrone, A., Ban, N., Goergen, K., Keller, M., Tölle, M.,
  Gutjahr, O. and Feser, F., 2015. A review on regional convection permitting climate
  modeling: Demonstrations, prospects, and challenges. Rev. Geophys., 53, 323–361.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/2014RG000475.
- Rahaman, M.M., 2009. Integrated Ganges basin management: conflict and hope for regional
   development. Water Policy, 11 (2), 168-190. https://doi.org/10.2166/wp.2009.012
- Rasmussen, R., C. Liu, K. Ikeda, D. Gochis, D. Yates, F. Chen, M. Tewari, M. Barlage, J. Dudhia,
  W. Yu, K. Miller, K. Arsenault, V. Grubišić, G. Thompson, and E. Gutmann, 2011. HighResolution Coupled Climate Runoff Simulations of Seasonal Snowfall over Colorado: A
  Process Study of Current and Warmer Climate. J. Climate, 24, 3015–3048,
  https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3985.1
- 967 Rienecker, M.M., Suarez, M.J., Gelaro, R., Todling, R., Bacmeister, J., Liu, E., Bosilovich, M.G.,
  968 Schubert, S.D., Takacs, L., Kim, G.-K., Bloom, S., Chen, J., Collins, D., Conaty, A., Da
  969 Silva, A., Gu, W., Joiner, J., Koster, R.D., Lucchesi, R., Molod, A., Owens, T., Pawson, S.,
- 970 Pegion, P., Redder, C.R., Reichle, R., Robertson, F.R., Ruddick, A.G., Sienkiewicz, M. and
- Woollen, J., 2011. MERRA: NASA's modern-era retrospective analysis for research and
- 972 applications. Journal of Climate, 24 (14), 3624-3648. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-
- 973 00015.1

- Serinaldi, F., Kilsby, C.G. and Lombardo, F., 2018. Untenable nonstationarity: An assessment of 974 the fitness for purpose of trend tests in hydrology. Advances in Water Resources, 111, 132-975 155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2017.10.015 976
- Sharma, B.R., Rao, K.V., Vittal, K.P.R., Ramakrishna, Y.S. and Amarasinghe, U., 2010. Estimating 977 the potential of rainfed agriculture in India: Prospects for water productivity improvements. 978 Agricultural Water Management, 97 (1), 23-30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2009.08.002 979
- Sheffield, J. and Wood, E.F., 2008. Global trends and variability in soil moisture and drought 980 characteristics, 1950-2000, from observation-driven simulations of the terrestrial hydrologic 981 cycle. Journal of Climate, 21 (3), 432-458. https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JCLI1822.1 982
- Thornthwaite, C.W., 1948. An approach toward a rational classification of climate. Geographical 983 Review, 38 (1), 55-94. https://doi.org/10.2307/210739 984
- Trenberth, K.E., Dai, A., Rasmussen, R.M. and Parsons, D.B., 2003. The changing character of 985 precipitation. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 84 (9), 1205-1217+1161. 986 https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-84-9-1205 987
- Trenberth, K.E., Dai, A., Van Der Schrier, G., Jones, P.D., Barichivich, J., Briffa, K.R. and 988 Sheffield, J., 2014. Global warming and changes in drought. Nature Climate Change, 4 (1), 989 17-22. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2067 990
- Vose, R.S., Applequist, S., Menne, M.J., Williams, C.N., Thorne, P. 2012. An intercomparison of 991 temperature trends in the U.S. Historical Climatology Network and recent atmospheric 992 993 reanalyses. Geophysical Research Letters, 39 (10), art. no. L10703. https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051387 994
- 995
- 996
- 997
- 998

#### 30

#### 999 <u>SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION</u>

- 1000 Additional information on evaluation of reanalyses estimates of local conditions
- 1001 [1] Time correlations between local observations and reanalyses estimates of key variables (Precip,
- 1002 T<sub>avg</sub>, DTR)



1003

Figure S1 Kendall Tau correlation of reanalyses estimates of near surface climate variables to local
observations (from Mukteshwar IMD). These correlations are based on monthly aggregated values.
Grey lines indicate statistical distribution of correlation values resulting through randomisation of
observation order/sequencing; ERI=ERA-interim, NM2=NASA MERRA2, J55=JRA-55,
ER5=ERA5.

1009