The Mantle Seismic Structure below Canada and Alaska Constrained by a New Absolute P-wavespeed Tomographic Model

Mitch Liddell¹, Alistair Boyce², Stephen Pugh², Joe Brown², Erin McMurchie², Amber Parsons², Clément Estève³, Scott Burdick⁴, Fiona Darbyshire¹, Sanne Cottaar², Ian Bastow⁵, Andrew Schaeffer⁶, Pascal Audet⁷, Derek Schutt⁸, and Richard Aster⁸

¹University of Quebec at Montreal UQAM
²University of Cambridge
³McGill University
⁴Wayne State University
⁵Imperial College London
⁶Sidney Subdivision Natural Resources Canada
⁷University of Ottawa
⁸Colorado State University

November 21, 2022

Abstract

Mapping absolute P-wavespeeds in the Canadian and Alaskan mantle will further our understanding of its present-day state and evolution. S-wavespeeds are relatively well constrained, especially across Canada, but are primarily sensitive to temperature while complimentary P-wavespeed constraints provide better sensitivity to compositional variations. One technical issue concerns the difficulties in extracting absolute arrival-time measurements from often-noisy data recorded by temporary seismograph networks. Such processing is required to ensure that regional Canadian datasets are compatible with supplementary continental and global datasets provided by global pick databases. To address this, we utilize the Absolute Arrival-time Recovery Method (Boyce et al., 2017). We extract over 180,000 new absolute arrival-time residuals from seismograph stations across Canada and Alaska that include both land and ocean bottom seismometers. We combine these data with the latest USArray P-wave arrival-time data from the contiguous US and Alaska. Using an adaptively parameterised least-squares tomographic inversion we develop a new absolute P-wavespeed model, with focus on Canada and Alaska (CAP21). Initial results suggest fast wavespeeds characterise the upper mantle beneath eastern and northern Canada. A sharp transition between the slow wavespeeds below the North American Cordillera and the fast wavespeeds of the stable continental interior appears to follow the Cordilleran Deformation Front (CDF) in southwest Canada. Slow wavespeeds below the Mackenzie Mountains may extend further inland of the CDF in northwest Canada. In Alaska, CAP21 illuminates both lithospheric structure and the along strike morphology of the subducting slab. The newly compiled data may also improve resolution of subducted slab remnants in the mid-mantle below the North American continent, crucial to help constrain the formation of the Alaskan peninsular at [?]50Ma.



T55A-0046

leran Deformation Front, CIP: Colorado Plateau, CP: Coastal Plain, CS: Canadian Shield, GP: Great Plains, II.: Central Interior Lowlands nterior Plateaus, MM: Mackenzie Mountains, MRM: Middle Rocky Mountains, NRM: Northern Rocky Mountains, OO: Ozark-Ouachita Highlands, RMT: Rocky : Western Coastal Pacific Ranges. (b) Simplified Proterozoic geology (adapted after Whitmeyer and Karlstrom, 2007). APP: Appalachian terranes, CCD Canadian Cordillera, GR: Granite-Rhyolite, GRN: Grenville Province, GSLsz: Great Slave Lake Shear Zone, HEA: Hearne Craton, MAZ: Mazatzal, MCR: Mid-Continent Rift. Rwk. Arch.: Reworked Archean crust, SLC: Slave Craton, SUP: Superior Caton, THO: Trans-Hudson Orogen, WYO: Wyoming Craton, YAV: Yavapai. Plate boundaries: magenta lines.

• Mapping P-wavespeeds (V_p) in the Canadian & Alaskan mantle will further our understanding of its formation and evolution.

• S-wavespeeds (V_s) are primarily sensitive to temperature while complimentary V_s constraints help reveal compositional variations.

 <u>CHALLENGE</u>: How to extract absolute arrival-time measurements from often-noisy data recorded by temporary seismograph networks to ensure that regional Canadian & Alaskan datasets are compatible with complementary continental and global datasets provided by global pick databases.

• <u>SOLUTION:</u> Utilize the Absolute Arrival-time Recovery Method (Boyce et al., 2017) to extract >180,000 new absolute arrival-times from land and sea-based seismograph stations across Canada & Alaska.

• We combine new data with the latest USArray P-wave arrival-time data from the contiguous US & Alaska. Using an adaptively parameterized least-squares tomographic inversion, we develop an absolute V_p model, focused on Canada & Alaska: CAP2



Seismograph stations across Canada & Alaska are split into 8 sub-regions to derive P-wave relative arrival-time picks via waveform cross-correlation (Fig. 2). We use existing waveform databases where available e.g., Liddell et al., (2018) & Estève et al., (2020).

• Absolute arrival-times are required to image continental scales (Fig. 3).

• The Absolute Arrival-time Recovery Method (Boyce et al., 2017) is applied to each data set. Building on Boyce et al., (2019), our total data set comprises 202,719 P-wave absolute arrival-times from temporary seismograph stations (2002-2020).

• New data supplemented with global data (Li et al., 2008) and the latest USArray travel time picks (Fig. 4). Global and USArray data include other seismic phases e.g., Pn, Pg, pP, PKP and PKIKP.

• SW Canada; residuals delayed below the Cordillera, but generally early east of the CDF.

• NW Canada; residuals delayed below the Cordillera, but north of the Great Slave Lake shear zone (GSLsz), slow residuals extend eastwards towards the Slave Craton beyond the CDF.

FIGURE 2 (Above): Global, continental and regional seismograph network data sets. regional seismic networks are processed separately and include both land and ocean seismometers (OBS). Global and USArray network stations: small grey circles.

• E Canada; residuals early almost ubiquitously below the Superior craton and N Hudson Bay.



et al., 2019); new CAP21 data set added here. Residuals are corrected for Earth's ellipticity and station elevation. Structural boundaries as in Fig. 1.

alistair.boyce@univ-lyon1.fr / @alistair_boyce

The Mantle Seismic Structure below Canada and Alaska Constrained by a New Absolute P-wavespeed Tomographic Model ¹M. Liddell, ^{2*}<u>A. Boyce</u>, ²S. Pugh, ²J. Brown, ²E. McMurchie, ²A. Parsons, ³C. Estève, ⁴S. Burdick,

¹F. Darbyshire, ²S. Cottaar, ⁵I. Bastow, ⁶A. Schaeffer, ⁷P. Audet, ⁸D. Schutt and ⁸R. Aster





prior to inversion using NACr14 crustal model (Tesauro et al., 2014).

• Recovery of 5° checkerboard anomalies below W & SE Canada significantly improved using temporary network data sets. Anomalies of 2° are resolved in the Alaskan upper mantle. Upper mantle resolution below NE Canada improves for features at 7.5°-10° length scales.

(4) CAP21 Tomographic Model



CAP21 TAKE-HOME MESSAGES

~120°

–100°

_80







⁻¹20° –100°



(5) Alaskan Upper Mantle Wavespeed Structure

 Upper mantle wavespeeds in W Canada mirror distribution of absolute arrival-time residuals (Fig. 4). Wavespeed pattern independent of

 Westward dipping fast wavespeed boundary in SW Canada (Fig. 9b,d) below RMT supports collisional origin of Cordillera (Chen et al., 2019). • Inconclusive evidence for unexposed Mackenzie craton (Fig. 8) due to

• 'Double-subduction' may occur beneath extent of Yakutat terrane (Fig. 14b,e: A).

• Slab flattens in MTZ below W Alaska (Fig. 14d: C). • Slab remnant visible below NW Yukon (Fig. 14c: B),

distinct from Alaskan slab (Fuston & Wu, 2020).

-1.5 -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5

FIGURE 14 (Above): CAP21 tomographic model compared to 4 other global scale P-wave tomographic models PRI-P05 (Montelli et al., 2006), MIT-P08 (Li et al., 2008), LLNL-G3Dv3 (Simmons et al., 2012), DETOX-P2 (Hosseini et al., 2019). Pattern of wavespeeds in US upper mantle is broadly similar amplitudes differ. Differences exist in Canada.

• Previous models do not show slow P-wavespeeds east of the CDF and beneath the SLC due to limited station coverage. • Models show similarity at \geq 1000km depth.

 Global & USArray data insufficient to resolve W & N Canada upper mantle, CAP21 data is crucial here. • Relationship of wavespeeds to CDF is revealed by CAP21 data.

Alaskan slab structural complexities revealed by USArray data.

• CAP21 data illuminates long wavelength subduction relics in the mid-mantle below N America.

FIGURE 15 (Below): Influence of different data sets in CAP21 nversion using only global and USArrav Transportable Arrav (TA) data. Middle all data as in previous figures. Top: Recovery of 5° degree checker test (Fig. 7) at 200km depth. Middle/Bottom: Output of data inversion from 200-1200km depth. Note variable velocity scale. CDF: black line.



¹Centre de recherche Geotop, Université du Québec à Montréal, QC, Canada, *Present Address: Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon : Terre, Planète, Environnement, Université Claude Bernard, Lyon1, Bâtiment Géode, 2, rue Raphaël Dubois, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France. ²Department of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge, UK, ³McGill University, Montreal, Canada. ⁴Wayne State University, Geology Department, Detroit, MI, USA, ⁵Department of Earth Science & Engineering, Imperial College London, UK, ⁶Geological Survey of Canada Pacific Division, Sidney, BC, Canada, ⁷University of Ottawa, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Ottawa, ON, Canada, ⁸Colorado State University, Geosciences Department, Fort Collins, CO, USA.

programme (grant agreement No. 804071 - ZoomDeep). Further support provided by Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique at unit UMR5276 (LGL-TPE) and the Geological Survey of Canada's GEM GeoNorth program. Aulbach S. et al., (2013) Nature and timing of metasomatism in the stratified mantle lithosphere beneath the central Slave craton (Canada). Chem Geol 352, 153–169. Boyce, A. et al., (2017), From relative to absolute teleseismic travel-times: the Absolute Arrival-time Recovery Method (AARM), BSSA, 107(5), pp. 2511-2520. Boyce, A. et al., (2019), Variable modification of continental lithosphere during the Proterozoic Grenville orogeny: Evidence from teleseismic P-wave tomography. EPSL 525, 115763. Chen, Y. et al., (2019) Seismic evidence for a mantle suture and implications for the origin of the Canadian Cordillera. Natcomms 10, 2249. Clennett, E. et al., (2020) A Quantitative Tomotectonic Plate Reconstruction of Western North America and the Eastern Pacific Basin. Gcubed 21. Eberhart-Phillips, D. et al., (2006). Imaging the transition from Aleutian subduction to Yakutat collision in central Alaska, with local earthquakes and active source data. JGR, 111, B11303. Eeken, T. et al., (2018) Seismic evidence for depth-dependent metasomatism in cratons. EPSL 491, 148–159. Estève, C. et al., (2020) The Upper Mantle Structure of Northwestern Canada From Teleseismic Body Wave Tomography. JGR 125. Fuston, S. & Wu, J. (2020) Raising the Resurrection plate from an unfolded-slab plate tectonic reconstruction of northwestern North America since early Cenozoic time. Gsa Bulletin 133, 1128–1140. Hayes, G. et al., (2018), Slab2, a comprehensive subduction zone geometry model. Science 362, 58–61. Hosseini, K. et al., (2019), Global mantle structure from multifrequency tomography using P, PP and P-diffracted waves. GJI 220, 96–141. Kennett, B., et al., (2019), Global mantle structure from multifrequency tomography using P, PP and P-diffracted waves. GJI 220, 96–141. Kennett, B., et al., (2019), Global mantle structure from multifrequency tomography using P, PP and P-diffracted waves. GJI 220, 96–141. Kennett, B., et al., (2019), Global mantle structure from multifrequency tomography using P, PP and P-diffracted waves. GJI 220, 96–141. Kennett, B., et al., (2019), Global mantle structure from multifrequency tomography using P, PP and P-diffracted waves. GJI 220, 96–141. Kennett, B., et al., (2019), Global mantle structure from multifrequency tomography using P, PP and P-diffracted waves. GJI 220, 96–141. Kennett, B., et al., (2019), Global mantle structure from multifrequency tomography using P, PP and P-diffracted waves. GJI 220, 96–141. Kennett, B., et al., (2019), Global mantle structure from multifrequency tomography using P, PP and P-diffracted waves. GJI 220, 96–141. Kennett, B., et al., (2019), Global mantle structure from multifrequency tomography using P, PP and P-diffracted waves. GJI 220, 96–141. Kennett, B., et al., (2019), Global mantle structure from multifrequency tomography using P, PP and P-diffracted waves. GJI 220, 96–141. Kennett, B., et al., (2019), Global mantle structure from multifrequency tomography using P, PP and P-diffracted waves. GJI 220, 96–141. Kennett, B., et al., (2019), Global mantle structure from multifrequency tomography using P, PP and P-diffracted waves. GJI 220, 96–141. Kennett, B. (1995), Constraints on seismic velocities in the earth from traveltimes. GJI, 122, pp. 108-124. Li, C., et, al., (2008), A new global model for P wave speed variations in Earth's mantle, Gcubed, 9, Q05018. Liddell M. et al., (2018), Precambrian Plate Tectonics in Northern Hudson Bay: Evidence From P and S Wave Seismic Tomography and Analysis of Source Side Effects in Relative Arrival-Time Data Sets. JGR 123, 5690–5709. Montelli, R., et al., (2006), A catalogue of deep mantle plumes: New results from finite-frequency tomography. Gcubed 7. Simmons, N., et al., (2012), LLNL-G3Dv3: Global P wave tomography model for improved regional and teleseismic travel time prediction. JGR, 117. Tesauro, M. et al., (2014), NACr14: A 3D model for the crustal structure of the North American Continent. Tectonophysics 631, 65-86. Venereau,