## Rethinking the Ozone-Climate Change Penalty

Xiyue Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Darryn W. Waugh<sup>1</sup>, Gaige Hunter Kerr<sup>2</sup>, and Scot Miller<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Johns Hopkins University <sup>2</sup>The George Washington University

November 21, 2022

#### Abstract

The daily variation of ground-level ozone  $(O_3)$ , a harmful pollutant, is positively correlated with air temperature (T) in many midlatitude land regions in the summer. The observed temporal regression slope between  $O_3$  and T is referred to as the "ozone-climate change penalty" and has been proposed as a way to predict the impact of future climate warming on  $O_3$  from observations. Here, we use two chemical transport models to show that the  $O_3$ -T correlation is primarily due to the meridional advection of both fields, as opposed to direct temperature-dependent chemistry or emissions. Furthermore, the magnitude of the  $O_3$ -T regression (dO\_3/dT) can be estimated by the ratio of the time-mean  $O_3$  and T meridional gradients. Consideration of expected changes in the meridional gradients of T and  $O_3$  due to climate change indicates that  $dO_3/dT$  will likely change, and caution is needed when using the observed climate penalty to predict  $O_3$  changes.

### Rethinking the Ozone-Climate Change Penalty

#### <sup>2</sup> Xiyue Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Darryn W Waugh<sup>1</sup>, Gaige Hunter Kerr<sup>2</sup>, and Scot M Miller<sup>1,3</sup>

3	$^{1}\mathrm{Department}$ of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA
4	$^{2}$ Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, Milken School of Public Health, George
5	Washington University, Washington, DC, USA
6	<sup>3</sup> Department of Environmental Health and Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland,
7	USA

**Key Points:** 

1

# Transport by meridional advection of O<sub>3</sub> and T explains the spatial variations in daily O<sub>3</sub>-T relationship Daily regression slope dO<sub>3</sub>/dT can be estimated by the ratio of O<sub>3</sub> and T mean meridional gradients when temperature gradients are strong Gradient ratio suggests dO<sub>3</sub>/dT to change with warming, making it questionable to use observed dO<sub>3</sub>/dT in O<sub>3</sub> projection

Corresponding author: Xiyue Zhang, sallyz@jhu.edu

#### 15 Abstract

The daily variation of ground-level ozone  $(O_3)$ , a harmful pollutant, is positively corre-16 lated with air temperature (T) in many midlatitude land regions in the summer. The 17 observed temporal regression slope between  $O_3$  and T is referred to as the "ozone-climate 18 change penalty" and has been proposed as a way to predict the impact of future climate 19 warming on  $O_3$  from observations. Here, we use two chemical transport models to show 20 that the  $O_3$ -T correlation is primarily due to the meridional advection of both fields, as 21 opposed to direct temperature-dependent chemistry or emissions. Furthermore, the mag-22 nitude of the  $O_3$ -T regression  $(dO_3/dT)$  can be estimated by the ratio of the time-mean 23  $O_3$  and T meridional gradients. Consideration of expected changes in the meridional gra-24 dients of T and  $O_3$  due to climate change indicates that  $dO_3/dT$  will likely change, and 25 caution is needed when using the observed climate penalty to predict  $O_3$  changes. 26

27

#### Plain Language Summary

At Earth's surface, ozone is a harmful pollutant. In the summer, we observe higher 28 ozone concentrations on hotter days in many land regions in the midlatitudes. This leads 29 researchers to expect higher ozone concentrations as a result of global warming, based 30 on chemistry that associates higher ozone concentrations with higher temperatures. Here, 31 we show that the relationship between ozone and temperature is largely controlled by 32 atmospheric transport. In particular, north-south movement of air transports both ozone 33 and heat simultaneously. Therefore, the background spatial distributions of ozone and 34 temperature determine how ozone and temperature covary from day to day. The ozone-35 temperature relationship in the future may look different from today, because global warm-36 ing is not spatially uniform. We advise caution in using observed ozone-temperature re-37 lationship to estimate future ozone changes. 38

#### <sup>39</sup> 1 Introduction

Ground-level ozone  $(O_3)$  is a pollutant harmful to human health and ecosystem productivity (Landrigan et al., 2018; Tai & Val Martin, 2017; Wittig et al., 2007). Observations show that summer  $O_3$  concentrations tend to be higher when temperatures are warmer (e.g., Bloomer et al., 2009; Kerr & Waugh, 2018; Schnell & Prather, 2017). This empirical relationship raises the possibility that a warmer climate would lead to higher  $O_3$  concentrations, which would then require additional emission controls to meet a given

-2-

 $O_3$  target (Wu et al., 2008). This increase in  $O_3$  with temperature is referred to as the 46 "ozone-climate change penalty" (or "ozone climate penalty"), and there has been exten-47 sive research into the magnitude of this penalty (see Rasmussen et al. (2013) and Fu and 48 Tian (2019) for reviews). A common metric for the ozone climate penalty is the slope 49 of the ozone-temperature  $(O_3-T)$  relationship  $dO_3/dT$  (Bloomer et al., 2009), which can 50 be calculated from observations and models. It has been proposed that this metric could 51 be used to predict the impact of future climate warming on ozone. A similar "climate 52 penalty" for fine particulate matter ( $PM_{2.5}$ ) also suggests an increase of  $PM_{2.5}$  in a warmer 53 climate (Westervelt et al., 2016; Shen et al., 2017). 54

However, the use of a climate penalty to predict changes in a future climate requires 55 the assumption that  $dO_3/dT$  (or  $dPM_{2.5}/dT$ ) does not change with climate. Whether 56  $dO_3/dT$  is invariant to climate change will depend on the cause of the  $O_3$ -T relationship. 57 If the relationship is due to the direct and close to linear temperature dependence of chem-58 ical reactions or ozone precursor emissions, it is likely  $dO_3/dT$  will not change with cli-59 mate. However, if the relationship is caused by an indirect association between  $O_3$  and 60 T, then the relationship may change under a changing climate. Recent studies indicate 61 that the majority of the  $O_3$ -T relationship is explained by their indirect association due 62 to atmospheric transport (e.g., Porter & Heald, 2019; Kerr et al., 2019, 2020), suggest-63 ing  $dO_3/dT$  will change with the climate. Here, we revisit the processes controlling  $dO_3/dT$ , 64 extending the recent studies of Kerr et al. (2020) and Kerr et al. (2021). These studies 65 showed that the  $O_3$ -T relationship within midlatitudes is primarily due to jet-induced 66 changes in the surface-level meridional advection of  $O_3$ , and spatial variation of the sign 67 of the relationship can be related to changes in the sign of the meridional gradients. We 68 hypothesize that the importance of the surface-level meridional advection holds globally 69 and also applies to temperature, so that  $dO_3/dT$  can be estimated by the ratio of the 70 meridional ozone and temperature gradients. 71

On the planetary scale, the meridional gradients of scalars such as temperature, 72 specific humidity, and O<sub>3</sub> dominate their zonal gradients. If meridional advection plays 73 the leading role in shaping the large-scale distribution and variability of these scalars, 74 then the tendencies of any two arbitrary scalars  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are  $\partial_t x_1 \approx v \partial_\phi x_1$  and  $\partial_t x_2 \approx$ 75  $v\partial_{\phi}x_2$  ( $\partial_t$  is partial derivative with respect to time, v is meridional velocity, and  $\phi$  is lat-

76

-3-

#### <sup>77</sup> itude). This implies

$$\frac{dx_1}{dx_2} \approx \frac{\partial_\phi x_1}{\partial_\phi x_2},\tag{1}$$

i.e., the relationship between two scalars  $dx_1/dx_2$  can be approximated by the ratio of the  $x_1$  meridional gradients to the  $x_2$  meridional gradients (referred to as the "gradient ratio" below). For the case of O<sub>3</sub> and T this then becomes

$$\frac{d\mathcal{O}_3}{dT} \approx \frac{\partial_\phi \mathcal{O}_3}{\partial_\phi T}.$$
(2)

In this paper, we test this hypothesis first using idealized passive tracers from Kerr et 81 al. (2021) where chemistry is absent, and then in more realistic simulations of  $O_3$ . We 82 demonstrate that the spatial pattern and magnitude of  $dO_3/dT$  can be quantitatively 83 determined by their gradient ratio  $\partial_{\phi} O_3 / \partial_{\phi} T$  in regions with strong meridional temper-84 ature gradients. Furthermore, this framework also applies to explaining the  $O_3$  and spe-85 cific humidity relationship, as well as the relationship between two chemical tracers with 86 different source regions. We introduce the data sets and methods used in our analyses 87 in Section 2. Next, we test our hypothesis using idealized passive tracer experiments in 88 Section 3, followed by analysis of the ozone-meteorology relationship in Section 4. We 89 then discuss the implications in Section 5 and summarize our results in Section 6. 90

#### 91 2 Methods

92

#### 2.1 GEOS-Chem Idealized Tracers

We analyze simulations of passive tracers with prescribed zonally symmetric emis-93 sions using the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model (CTM, v12.0.2) analyzed by Kerr et al. (2021). These simulations are driven by meteorological fields from the Modern Era-95 Retrospective Analysis for Research and Analysis, Version 2 (MERRA-2) from 2008 to 96 2010, with a horizontal resolution of  $2^{\circ}$  latitude  $\times 2.5^{\circ}$  longitude ( $\sim 200 \times 250$  km) and 72 97 vertical levels. Tracers emitted in  $10^{\circ}$  latitudinal bands have a uniform 50 days<sup>-1</sup> loss 98 rate. Tracer mixing ratios are denoted  $\chi_{\phi_1-\phi_2}$ , where  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are the latitudes of south-99 ern and northern emission boundaries. We focus on  $\chi_{40-50}$  (tracer emitted between 40°-100 50°N) here to represent midlatitude emissions. We also discuss  $\chi_{20-30}$  and  $\chi_{60-70}$  to rep-101 resent the subtropical and subpolar regions and demonstrate the robustness of our re-102 sults. 103

#### 104 2.2 GMI Ozone Simulations

We also analyze O<sub>3</sub> from simulations of NASA's Global Modeling Initiative chem-105 ical transport model (GMI CTM, Duncan et al., 2007; Strahan et al., 2007, 2013) an-106 alyzed in Kerr et al. (2020). These simulations are also driven by MERRA-2 fields from 107 2008 to 2010, with a horizontal resolution of  $1^{\circ}$  latitude  $\times 1.25^{\circ}$  longitude (~100 km) and 108 72 vertical levels. Early afternoon  $O_3$  (averaged between 1300–1400 hr local time) is an-109 alyzed to represent peak daily O<sub>3</sub> concentrations. GMI CTM simulations have demon-110 strated realistic  $O_3$  variability and its drivers when compared to observations (Strode 111 et al., 2015; Kerr et al., 2019, 2020). 112

To isolate the role of transport, we also analyze an additional GMI CTM sensitivity simulation from Kerr et al. (2020) where daily variations in natural and anthropogenic emissions and chemistry related processes (e.g., temperature, specific humidity, clouds, etc.) are fixed to monthly mean values. Daily variability in this "transport-only" simulation stems solely from variations in transport (e.g., wind, boundary layer dynamics, etc.).

119

#### 2.3 Analysis Methods

We focus on the northern hemisphere domain of  $10-70^{\circ}N$ . The GMI output and 120 MERRA-2 fields are interpolated onto the lower resolution of GEOS-Chem CTM, so that 121 the analysis of the idealized tracers and  $O_3$  is done at the same resolution. We analyze 122 the near-surface (1000–800 hPa) tracer mixing ratios of idealized tracers and  $O_3$  from 123 the model's surface level. We use 2-m daily maximum temperature (T) and 2-m daily-124 mean specific humidity (Q) from MERRA-2 to represent meteorology. For all fields, daily 125 anomalies are calculated by removing the 2008–2010 monthly climatology at each grid 126 point. Boreal summer (June, July, and August (JJA)) data consist of 276 daily anoma-127 lies (concatenating 3 years of JJA data), while boreal winter (December, January, and 128 February (DJF)) data consist of 270 daily anomalies. 129

Linear least-squares regression between anomalies of tracer concentration and T(or Q) is computed with the Scipy package lineares. Regions with p > 0.05 are hatched on maps and defined as not statistically significant. Meridional gradients are calculated by differentiating fields averaged over 2008–2010 JJA (or DJF) with the second order accurate central differences along latitudes. All meridional gradients are then smoothed

-5-

by a 2-D convolution with a kernel of  $10^{\circ}$  latitude  $\times 12.5^{\circ}$  longitude box for better vi-

<sup>136</sup> sualization. The gradient ratios presented are the ratios of the smoothed meridional gra-

dients. Our results are not sensitive to a smaller kernal box.

<sup>138</sup> **3** Idealized Passive Tracers

We first consider the relationship between an idealized tracer with a 50 days<sup>-1</sup> loss 139 rate and zonally symmetric emissions at 40–50°N (broadly the region of ozone precur-140 sor emissions)  $\chi_{40-50}$  and T. Even though there is no direct association between  $\chi_{40-50}$ 141 and T (i.e., the tracer source and loss rate are independent of temperature), they are sig-142 nificantly correlated on daily timescales as shown by the JJA daily regression  $d\chi_{40-50}/dT$ 143 on Figure 1a. There is a prominent spatial pattern where  $d\chi_{40-50}/dT$  is positive north 144 of the emission region  $(40-50^{\circ}N)$  and negative south of this region. The absolute val-145 ues are the highest over midlatitude oceans, but the regression remains significant (p < p146 (0.5) over land. Other zonally asymmetric features include the change in signs over main 147 topographic features such as the northern Rockies and the Himalayas. 148

Next, we look at the meridional gradients of  $\chi_{40-50}$  and T to determine whether 149 the spatial pattern of gradient ratio  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}/\partial_{\phi}T$  agrees with  $d\chi_{40-50}/dT$ , as suggested 150 by (1). As the tracer emissions are zonally symmetric, the tracer concentrations are close 151 to being zonally symmetric and highest at the latitudes of emission (see Figure 1 of Kerr 152 et al. (2021)). The meridional gradient of the tracer  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}$  is negative to the north 153 and positive to the south of the emission region (Figure 1b contours). In contrast, the 154 meridional temperature gradient  $\partial_{\phi}T$  has the same sign (negative) over most of the North-155 ern Hemisphere, with exceptions for regions of significant topography and near the equa-156 tor (the peak summer T occurs in the subtropics, Figure 1b shading). As a result, the 157 spatial pattern of their gradient ratio (Figure 1c) is largely consistent with  $d\chi_{40-50}/dT$ 158 (Figure 1a), with generally positive values north of the emission region and negative val-159 ues south of the emissions, except near the equatorial land. However, there are differ-160 ences in magnitude. 161

We compute the regional average of  $d\chi_{40-50}/dT$  and  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}/\partial_{\phi}T$  to quantitatively compare both quantities and avoid extreme values of  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}/\partial_{\phi}T$  due to local weak temperature gradient (small  $|\partial_{\phi}T|$ ). We focus on averaging domains of 10° latitude × 20° longitude and calculate the root-mean-square error (RMSE) between the two

-6-



Figure 1. 2008–2010 JJA relationship between idealized tracer emitted from 40–50°N (white bands)  $\chi_{40-50}$  and daily maximum 2-m temperature T. (a) Daily  $d\chi_{40-50}/dT$  regression slope from GEOS-Chem simulation. Regions with p > 0.05 (not statistically significant) are hatched. (b) Mean meridional gradient temperature  $\partial_{\phi}T$  in shading and of idealized tracer  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}$  in contours (interval of 0.02 ppm/°, positive in solid contours). (c) Gradient ratio  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}/\partial_{\phi}T$ . (d) Scatter plot of gradient ratio  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}/\partial_{\phi}T$  versus regression  $d\chi_{40-50}/dT$  averaged over 10° latitude × 20° longitude domains, binned by the absolute value of meridional temperature gradient  $|\partial_{\phi}T|$  (K/° in legends). Dashed line shows the 1:1 slope. RMSE between gradient ratio and regression for each  $|\partial_{\phi}T|$  bin is indicated. (e) Same as (d) but averaged over 10° latitude × 10° longitude domains.

- quantities to measure the agreement. The agreement between  $d\chi_{40-50}/dT$  and  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}/\partial_{\phi}T$
- varies with  $|\partial_{\phi}T|$  (Figure 1d). When the meridional temperature gradient is strong ( $|\partial_{\phi}T| >$
- 168 0.6 K/°), the gradient ratio and regression are close to the 1:1 line, and the RMSE is only
- $_{169}$  0.022 ppm/K (circles). Regions with  $|\partial_{\phi}T| > 0.6$  K/° include northeastern North Amer-

ica, the Mediterranean, northeastern Europe, and central Pacific. In regions with mod-170 erate temperature gradients ( $0.2 < |\partial_{\phi}T| < 0.6 \text{ K/}^{\circ}$ ; triangles), the approximation is 171 less accurate (RMSE = 0.052 ppm/K), but we still find agreement between the signs of 172  $d\chi_{40-50}/dT$  and  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}/\partial_{\phi}T$ , i.e.,  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}/\partial_{\phi}T$  predicts the sign of  $d\chi_{40-50}/dT$ . Many 173 land regions (e.g., Europe, western North America, North Africa, northeastern Asia) have 174 moderate meridional temperature gradients. When the temperature gradient is weaker 175 than 0.2 K/° (squares), the regression between  $\chi_{40-50}$  and T is not significant. Trop-176 ical oceans, South Asia, and a part of East Asia fall into this category. This weak rela-177 tionship is expected: when the temperature gradients are weak the meridional advec-178 tion of T will only play a minor role in the temperature tendency equation, and the as-179 sumption  $\partial_t T \approx v \partial_\phi T$  used in deriving (1) is not valid. 180

To test the sensitivity to the size of the averaging domains, we average over smaller 181 regions of  $10^{\circ}$  latitude  $\times 10^{\circ}$  longitude, and the main results still hold (Figure 1e): RMSE 182 is the largest for the weakest temperature gradients and smallest for the strongest tem-183 perature gradients. The same applies for even smaller domains of 5° latitude  $\times$  5° lon-184 gitude. 185

A similar agreement between the daily regression  $d\chi/dT$  and the gradient ratio is 186 found for idealized tracers with different emission regions. For example, Figure 2a shows 187 the comparison between regression and gradient ratio for an idealized tracer with emis-188 sions between 20–30°N ( $\chi_{20-30}$ ). The meridional gradient of  $\chi_{20-30}$  is negative over most 189 of the hemisphere, which results in a generally positive  $\partial_{\phi} \chi_{20-30} / \partial_{\phi} T$  consistent with 190 the positive  $d\chi_{20-30}/dT$ . The RMSE between  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{20-30}/\partial_{\phi}T$  and  $d\chi_{20-30}/dT$  is only 0.015 191 ppm/K when  $|\partial_{\phi}T| > 0.6$  (circles), but becomes 0.052 ppm/K when 0.2 <  $|\partial_{\phi}T| <$ 192 0.6 K/° (triangles). Similarly, the tracer with emissions between 60–70°N ( $\chi_{60-70}$ ) gen-193 erally has positive  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{60-70}$  and negative  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{60-70}/\partial_{\phi}T$ , where we find small RMSE for 194 large  $|\partial_{\phi}T|$  (not shown). All three idealized tracers demonstrate that gradient ratios can 195 robustly approximate the relationship between  $\chi$  and T on a daily timescale. 196

197

The agreement between gradient ratio and  $d\chi/dT$  also holds in other seasons. In fact,  $|\partial_{\phi}T|$  in DJF are much stronger than in JJA, and the RMSE between  $d\chi_{40-50}/dT$ 198 and  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}/\partial_{\phi}T$  are lower than those in JJA for all three  $|\partial_{\phi}T|$  bins (Figure 2b). 199





Figure 2. Idealized tracer scatter plots averaged over 10° latitude × 20° longitude regions. (a) JJA gradient ratio  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{20-30}/\partial_{\phi}T$  versus regression  $d\chi_{20-30}/dT$ , binned by their absolute value of meridional temperature gradient (K/°). (b) DJF gradient ratio  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}/\partial_{\phi}T$  versus  $d\chi_{40-50}/dT$ , binned by their absolute value of meridional temperature gradient (K/°). (c) JJA gradient ratio  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}/\partial_{\phi}Q$  versus  $d\chi_{40-50}/dQ$ , binned by their absolute value of meridional specific humidity gradient (g/kg/°). (d) JJA gradient ratio  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{20-30}/\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}$  versus  $d\chi_{20-30}/d\chi_{40-50}$ , binned by their absolute value of meridional gradient of  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}$  (ppm/°). Dashed line shows the 1:1 slope. RMSE between gradient ratio and regression for each bin is indicated.

- previous studies have found robust relationships between  $O_3$  and Q (e.g., Camalier et
- al., 2007; Kavassalis & Murphy, 2017; Tawfik & Steiner, 2013). To test this idea, we mod-
- ify (1) to explore the connection between  $d\chi/dQ$  and gradient ratio of specific humid-
- ity  $\partial_{\phi} \chi / \partial_{\phi} Q$ . As shown in Figure 2c, the agreement between regression  $d\chi_{40-50}/dQ$  and
- $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}/\partial_{\phi}Q$  is similar to that for the  $\chi T$  relationships. The more visible scatter
- in Figure 2c is partly due to a more complex spatial pattern of Q in the summer, where
- <sup>208</sup> specific humidity changes non-monotonically with latitudes on land (discussed further
- in Section 4).

Another potential application is to use the gradient ratio to explain the regression 210 slope between two chemical tracers, i.e. the relationship between different pollutants with 211 different regional distribution. For example, we can regress the daily concentration of 212 tracers emitted in the subtropics  $\chi_{20-30}$  onto  $\chi_{40-50}$ . Figure 2d shows  $d\chi_{20-30}/d\chi_{40-50}$ 213 against their gradient ratios  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{20-30}/\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}$ , scattered around the 1:1 line. Both  $\chi_{40-50}$ 214 and  $\chi_{20-30}$  are negatively correlated at 20–50°N, but positively correlated outside of 20– 215 50°N. This pattern occurs because  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}$  and  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{20-30}$  have opposite signs and there-216 fore a negative gradient ratio between the emission regions of the two tracers  $(20-50^{\circ}N)$ . 217 Similar to  $d\chi/dT$ , the RMSE for  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{20-30}/\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}$  is the smallest when gradients are 218 strongest ( $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50} > 0.03 \text{ ppm/}^{\circ}$ , circles). The same applies to  $\chi_{40-50}$  and  $\chi_{60-70}$ , 219 where the two tracers are negatively correlated at  $40-70^{\circ}N$  (not shown). This result sug-220 gests our framework can help explain the relationship between different pollutants based 221 on their mean meridional gradients. For example,  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$  have different mean merid-222 ional gradients in the southeastern US due to their different spatial distributions (e.g., 223 Schnell & Prather, 2017). 224

Finally, we examine how well the gradient ratio approximates  $d\chi/dT$  during sum-225 mer (JJA) for tracers with different loss rates. Additional simulations have been performed 226 of tracers with emissions between 40–50°N and loss rates  $\tau = 5, 25, 100, \text{ and } 150 \text{ days}^{-1}$ 227 (Figure S1). Analysis of these simulations shows very little sensitivity to loss rates: for 228 all tracers the gradient ratio performs best when the temperature gradient is the strongest 229  $(|\partial_{\phi}T| > 0.6 \text{ K/}^{\circ})$  and performs poorly at weak temperature gradients  $(|\partial_{\phi}T| < 0.2$ 230 K/°). There is a weak sensitivity in RMSE where smaller RMSEs are found at faster loss 231 rates (Figure S1a). This result is consistent with smaller magnitudes of  $d\chi/dT$  for a tracer 232 with a shorter lifetime, so that the absolute errors are smaller. 233

#### 234 **4 Ozone**

We now consider the O<sub>3</sub>-T relationship, and whether gradient ratio can also be used to estimate  $dO_3/dT$ . The idealized tracer experiments in the previous section illustrated equation (1) in a theoretical context. In this section, we show that these relationships also hold for a tracer (i.e., O<sub>3</sub>) with more complex chemistry and with precursors that have spatially variable emissions. As shown in Kerr et al. (2020), there are large spatial variations in the JJA daily correlations between O<sub>3</sub> and T from the GMI simulation, where  $dO_3/dT$  is positive over midlatitude land north of ~ 35°N, but negative over the oceans

-10-

(Figure 3a). The magnitude of  $dO_3/dT$  over midlatitude land varies, with regions of high 242 values in the northeastern and Midwest US, Continental Europe, and northeastern China. 243 At lower latitudes the sign of  $dO_3/dT$  over land varies, with positive values over central 244 America and northern India, and negative values over northern Africa and southwest-245 ern China. A very similar pattern to Figure 3a is found for the GMI "transport-only" 246 simulations from Kerr et al. (2020), indicating (as discussed in Kerr et al. (2020)) that 247 the pattern of  $dO_3/dT$  is primarily a result of atmospheric transport, as opposed to at-248 mospheric chemistry. A similar pattern for the  $O_3$ -T relationship is also found in other 249 chemical models (e.g., Meehl et al., 2018; Porter & Heald, 2019; Nolte et al., 2021). 250

Next, we examine whether these spatial variations in  $dO_3/dT$  can be explained by 251 the gradient ratio  $\partial_{\phi} O_3 / \partial_{\phi} T$  (equation (2)). To do so, we compare the spatial pattern 252 of JJA meridional gradients of ozone  $\partial_{\phi}O_3$  and temperature  $\partial_{\phi}T$  in Figure 3b. Ozone 253 concentrations are highest over midlatitude land, resulting in negative  $\partial_{\phi}O_3$  north of 40°N 254 and positive  $\partial_{\phi}O_3$  south of 40°N over North America (Figure 3b contours). Over Eura-255 sia, the change of sign of  $\partial_{\phi}O_3$  occurs at about 35°N. Combined with the negative  $\partial_{\phi}T$ 256 (Figure 3b shading), we expect the  $\partial_{\phi}O_3$  pattern to yield positive  $dO_3/dT$  north of 35°N 257 according to (2). This is indeed the case, as mentioned above; the only land regions with 258 negative  $dO_3/dT$  are northern Africa and southwestern China. These are regions where 259  $\partial_{\phi}O_3$  and  $\partial_{\phi}T$  are positive, so the sign of  $dO_3/dT$  is again consistent with  $\partial_{\phi}O_3/\partial_{\phi}T$ . 260

The gradient ratio can also explain the prominent land-sea contrast in the  $dO_3/dT$ pattern. The offshore transport of ozone from the east coasts of North America and Asia leads to strong positive  $\partial_{\phi}O_3$  on the western ocean basins. This pattern combines with a weak (negative)  $\partial_{\phi}T$  over ocean to result in negative  $dO_3/dT$ . Again the sign of  $dO_3/dT$ can also be explained by (2).

To quantify the approximation of (2), we again compare the average regression and 266 gradient ratios over  $10^{\circ}$  latitude  $\times 20^{\circ}$  longitude domains (Figure 3c). Similar to ide-267 alized tracers, gradient ratios  $\partial_{\phi} O_3 / \partial_{\phi} T$  best estimate  $dO_3 / dT$  when temperature gra-268 dients are strong  $(|\partial_{\phi}T| > 0.6 \text{ K/}^{\circ})$ . Although the RMSE doubles for moderate tem-269 perature gradients (0.2 <  $|\partial_{\phi}T|$  < 0.6 K/°), gradient ratio still explains 50% of the 270  $dO_3/dT$  variance. When temperature gradients are weak ( $|\partial_{\phi}T| < 0.2 \text{ K/}^{\circ}$ ), gradient 271 ratio is not a good predictor of  $dO_3/dT$ , though the signs of the two quantities agree more 272 often than not. The same applies to the transport-only simulation (Figure 3c open sym-273

-11-



Figure 3. 2008–2010 JJA O<sub>3</sub>-T relationship from GMI simulation. (a) Daily  $dO_3/dT$  regression slope. Regions with p > 0.05 (not statistically significant) are hatched. (b) Mean meridional gradients of  $\partial_{\phi}O_3$  in contours and of  $\partial_{\phi}T$  in shading. Solid contours show positive  $\partial_{\phi}O_3$  and dashed contours show negative  $\partial_{\phi}O_3$ , with an interval of 1.0 ppbv/°. (c) Gradient ratio  $\partial_{\phi}O_3/\partial_{\phi}T$  versus regression  $dO_3/dT$  averaged over 10° latitude  $\times$  20° longitude regions, binned by the absolute values of meridional temperature gradient  $|\partial_{\phi}T|$  (K/°). Dashed line shows the 1:1 slope. RMSE between gradient ratio and regression for each bin is indicated. Open symbols and RMSE in brackets are from the transport-only simulation.

<sup>274</sup> bols), where we see only slight shifts in  $dO_3/dT$  and  $\partial_{\phi}O_3/\partial_{\phi}T$  and minor differences <sup>275</sup> in RMSE from the control simulation. This result emphasizes the major role of trans-<sup>276</sup> port and suggests a minor role in chemistry to shape the O<sub>3</sub>-T relationship.

There is noticeably more scattering from the 1:1 line for  $dO_3/dT$  in Figure 3c than for  $d\chi_{40-50}/dT$  in Figure 1c. This difference is not surprising, given that the spatial pattern of O<sub>3</sub> is complex and zonally asymmetric, while  $\chi_{40-50}$  emissions are zonally uniform. We find that the mismatch between  $dO_3/dT$  and  $\partial_{\phi}O_3/\partial_{\phi}T$  is largest near prominent topography (e.g., the Tibetan Plateau) and over oceans away from O<sub>3</sub> sources (e.g., central Pacific). Overall,  $\partial_{\phi}O_3/\partial_{\phi}T$  tends to overestimate the magnitude of  $dO_3/dT$ .

Similar to what we find earlier with idealized tracers, the gradient ratio allows us 283 to explain not only the  $O_3$ -T relationship, but also other meteorological dependencies 284 such as JJA  $dO_3/dQ$ . The midlatitude land regions have overwhelmingly positive  $dO_3/dQ$ , 285 while significant negative  $dO_3/dQ$  relationships are found in subtropics and most ocean 286 basins (Figure S2a). Southeastern US and China are regions that have either positive 287 or not significant  $dO_3/dT$  but significant  $dO_3/dQ$ . Figure S2b shading shows the spe-288 cific humidity meridional gradient  $\partial_{\phi}Q$ , characterized by negative values over many land 289 regions and positive values in central Eurasia, northern Africa, and northwestern North 290 America. Unlike T, Q maximizes locally at midlatitude continental interiors in the sum-291 mer, leading to a switch in sign of  $\partial_{\phi}Q$ . A quantitative comparison between regional  $\partial_{\phi}O_3/\partial_{\phi}Q$ 292 and  $dO_3/dQ$  is shown on Figure S2c, binned by their magnitude of meridional specific 293 humidity gradient  $|\partial_{\phi}Q|$ . In both the control and transport-only simulations, the gra-294 dient ratio  $\partial_{\phi} O_3 / \partial_{\phi} Q$  can capture  $dO_3 / dQ$  well with the smallest RMSE in regions with 295 moderate to strong specific humidity gradients  $(|\partial_{\phi}Q| > 0.1 \text{ g/kg/}^{\circ})$ . The approxima-296 tion produces large RMSE when specific humidity gradients are weak  $(|\partial_{\phi}Q| < 0.1 \text{ g/kg/}^{\circ})$ . 297

#### 298

#### 5 Discussion and Possible Implications

As discussed in the Introduction, it is unclear how  $dO_3/dT$  will change with cli-299 mate. The result that the gradient ratio can be used to approximate  $dO_3/dT$  may pro-300 vide some useful insight into changes with climate in regions with strong meridional tem-301 perature gradients. A robust result of climate projections is polar amplification (Arc-302 tic warms more rapidly than lower latitudes), and we expect (on average) that  $|\partial_{\phi}T|$  in 303 mid-latitudes will decrease with increased GHG emissions (Tamarin-Brodsky et al., 2020). 304 This pattern would then result in an increase in the gradient ratio (and  $dO_3/dT$ ) if there 305 is no change in  $O_3$ . However, another robust climate projection is the poleward move-306 ment of mid-latitude jet streams. If there is no change in  $O_3$  precursor emissions, the 307 projected northward jet shift in North America will result in  $|\partial_{\phi}O_3|$  increasing north of 308 the jet (Barnes & Fiore, 2013). An increase in  $|\partial_{\phi}O_3|$  north of the jet and a decrease in 309  $|\partial_{\phi}T|$  will both contribute to an increase in the gradient ratio (and  $dO_3/dT$ ). 310

Note that Barnes and Fiore (2013) simulation with increasing GHG emissions but 311 constant  $O_3$  precursor emissions show an increase in  $dO_3/dT$  north of the jet but decrease 312 south of the jet (see arrows in Figure 3j of Barnes and Fiore (2013)), which is partly con-313 sistent with the above arguments on changes in meridional gradients. More detailed anal-314 ysis of climate projections is needed to determine exactly how the  $O_3$  and temperature 315 gradients as well as  $dO_3/dT$  change, and if the changes in gradient ratio explains the change 316 in  $dO_3/dT$ . However, our preliminary consideration of expected polar amplification and 317 changes in the jet streams indicates that  $dO_3/dT$  will likely change, and caution should 318 be used if predicting the impact of climate warming on  $O_3$  from observed  $dO_3/dT$ . 319

#### 320 6 Conclusion

Although the temporal correlation between  $O_3$  and T is often explained in terms 321 of the temperature dependence of chemical reactions or emissions, we show here that the 322  $dO_3/dT$  regression is primarily an indirect association due to the meridional advection 323 of both  $O_3$  and T. Further we show that  $dO_3/dT$  can be estimated by the ratio of the 324 time-mean  $O_3$  and T meridional gradients (the "gradient ratio"): variations in the gra-325 dient ratio explain the opposite signs in  $dO_3/dT$  between midlatitude land and ocean, 326 as well as the differences in sign among subtropical land regions. The quantitative ac-327 curacy of this approximation (equation (1)) depends on the magnitude of the meridional 328 temperature gradient  $|\partial_{\phi}T|$ : it works well when  $|\partial_{\phi}T|$  is strong, but not in regions of weak 329  $|\partial_{\phi}T|.$ 330

The agreement between the gradient ratio and  $dO_3/dT$  provides an approach to understand how  $dO_3/dT$  may change with climate. Our preliminary consideration of expected changes meridional gradients of T and  $O_3$  due to polar amplification and jet stream shifts, respectively, indicates that  $dO_3/dT$  will likely change, suggesting caution is required if using the present-day  $dO_3/dT$  to estimate the impact of climate on  $O_3$ . However, further analysis is needed to quantify exactly how meridional gradients and  $dO_3/dT$ may change with climate.

The key role of meridional advection, and the agreement between the regression and gradient ratio is a general result. It holds for  $O_3$  with Q, and also for the relationship of idealized tracers (with lifetimes between 5 and 150 days) with T or Q, or between idealized tracers with different source regions. This result suggests that consideration

-14-

- $_{342}$  of meridional gradients may provide insights in the relationship between  $PM_{2.5}$  and me-
- $_{\tt 343}$   $\,$  teorology, as well as the co-occurrence of  $\rm O_3$  and  $\rm PM_{2.5}$  pollution events. Future work
- is planned to explore this possibility.

#### 345 Acknowledgments

- We thank Arlene Fiore, Jean-Francois Lamarque, and Sarah Strode for helpful comments and discussions. This work was funded by support from the US National Science
- <sup>348</sup> Foundation and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

#### 349 Data Availability Statement

GEOS-Chem simulations were run on the Maryland Advanced Research Comput-350 ing Center (MARCC). GEOS-Chem idealized tracer simulation data are available at https:// 351 doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.16989856.v1. NASA GMI CTM output is publicly avail-352 able on the data portal for the NASA Center for Climate Simulation (portal.nccs.nasa 353 .gov/datashare/dirac). NASA's Global Modeling and Assimilation Office and God-354 dard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC) provided and 355 disseminated the MERRA-2 data used in this study, specifically the  $inst3_3d_asm_Np$  col-356 lection (Global Modeling And Assimilation Office (GMAO), 2015). 357

#### 358 References

Barnes, E. A., & Fiore, A. M. (2013). Surface ozone variability and the jet
position: Implications for projecting future air quality. *Geophysical Re- search Letters*, 40(11), 2839–2844. Retrieved 2021-03-01, from https://
agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/grl.50411
https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50411

Bloomer, B. J., Stehr, J. W., Piety, C. A., Salawitch, R. J., & Dickerson, R. R.

- (2009). Observed relationships of ozone air pollution with temperature
   and emissions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36(9). Retrieved 2021-04 from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/
   2009GL037308 doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL037308
- Camalier, L., Cox, W., & Dolwick, P. (2007). The effects of meteorology on
   ozone in urban areas and their use in assessing ozone trends. *Atmospheric Environment*, 41(33), 7127–7137. Retrieved 2021-10-18, from https://

372	www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231007004165 doi:
373	10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.04.061
374	Duncan, B. N., Strahan, S. E., Yoshida, Y., Steenrod, S. D., & Livesey, N. (2007).
375	Model study of the cross-tropopause transport of biomass burning pollution.
376	Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7(14), 3713–3736. Retrieved 2021-07-27,
377	from https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/7/3713/2007/ (Publisher:
378	Copernicus GmbH) doi: 10.5194/acp-7-3713-2007
379	Fu, TM., & Tian, H. (2019). Climate Change Penalty to Ozone Air Quality: Re-
380	view of Current Understandings and Knowledge Gaps. Current Pollution Re-
381	ports, 5(3), 159–171. Retrieved 2021-06-22, from https://doi.org/10.1007/
382	s40726-019-00115-6 doi: 10.1007/s40726-019-00115-6
383	Global Modeling And Assimilation Office (GMAO). (2015). MERRA-2
384	$inst3_3d_asm_np:~3d,~3$ -hourly, instantaneous, pressure-level, assimilation,
385	assimilated meteorological fields v5.12.4. NASA/GSFC, Greenbelt, MD, USA,
386	NASA Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES
387	DISC). Retrieved from https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datacollection/
388	M2I3NPASM_5.12.4.html (NASA/GSFC, Greenbelt, MD, USA, NASA God-
389	dard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC).
390	Accessed 07/19/2021) doi: 10.5067/QBZ6MG944HW0
391	Kavassalis, S. C., & Murphy, J. G. (2017). Understanding ozone-meteorology cor-
392	relations: A role for dry deposition. $Geophysical Research Letters, 44(6),$
393	2922-2931. Retrieved 2021-07-21, from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary
394	.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2016GL071791 doi: 10.1002/2016GL071791
395	Kerr, G. H., & Waugh, D. W. (2018). Connections between summer air pollution
396	and stagnation. Environmental Research Letters, 13(8), 084001. Retrieved
397	2021-06-27, from https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aad2e2 (Publisher:
398	IOP Publishing) doi: $10.1088/1748-9326/aad2e2$
399	Kerr, G. H., Waugh, D. W., & Miller, S. M. (2021). Jet Stream-Surface Tracer Rela-
400	tionships: Mechanism and Sensitivity to Source Region. Geophysical Research
401	Letters, 48(1), e2020GL090714. Retrieved 2021-02-24, from https://agupubs
402	.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020GL090714 doi: https://
403	doi.org/10.1029/2020GL090714
404	Kerr, G. H., Waugh, D. W., Steenrod, S. D., Strode, S. A., & Strahan, S. E.

405	(2020). Surface Ozone-Meteorology Relationships: Spatial Variations
406	and the Role of the Jet Stream. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmo-
407	<i>spheres</i> , 125(21), e2020JD032735. Retrieved 2021-02-24, from https://
408	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020JD032735 doi:
409	https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD032735
410	Kerr, G. H., Waugh, D. W., Strode, S. A., Steenrod, S. D., Oman, L. D., & Stra-
411	han, S. E. (2019). Disentangling the Drivers of the Summertime Ozone-
412	Temperature Relationship Over the United States. Journal of Geophysi-
413	cal Research: Atmospheres, 124(19), 10503–10524. Retrieved 2021-06-27,
414	from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/
415	2019JD030572 doi: 10.1029/2019JD030572
416	Landrigan, P. J., Fuller, R., Acosta, N. J. R., Adeyi, O., Arnold, R., Basu,
417	N. N., Boufford, J. I. e. a. (2018). The Lancet Commission on pol-
418	lution and health. The Lancet, 391(10119), 462–512. Retrieved 2021-
419	08-09, from https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/
420	PIIS0140-6736(17)32345-0/abstract (Publisher: Elsevier) doi:
421	10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32345-0
422	Meehl, G. A., Tebaldi, C., Tilmes, S., Lamarque, JF., Bates, S., Pendergrass,
423	A., & Lombardozzi, D. (2018). Future heat waves and surface ozone. $En$ -
424	vironmental Research Letters, 13(6), 064004. Retrieved 2021-03-15, from
425	https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aabcdc (Publisher: IOP Publishing)
426	doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/aabcdc
427	Nolte, C. G., Spero, T. L., Bowden, J. H., Sarofim, M. C., Martinich, J., & Mallard,
428	M. S. (2021). Regional Temperature-Ozone Relationships Across the U.S.
429	Under Multiple Climate and Emissions Scenarios. Journal of the Air & Waste
430	Management Association. Retrieved 2021-09-10, from https://doi.org/
431	10.1080/10962247.2021.1970048 doi: 10.1080/10962247.2021.1970048
432	Porter, W. C., & Heald, C. L. (2019). The mechanisms and meteorological
433	drivers of the summertime ozone–temperature relationship. $Atmospheric$
434	<i>Chemistry and Physics</i> , 19(21), 13367–13381. Retrieved 2021-07-28, from
435	https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/19/13367/2019/ (Publisher:
436	Copernicus GmbH) doi: 10.5194/acp-19-13367-2019
437	Rasmussen, D. J., Hu, J., Mahmud, A., & Kleeman, M. J. (2013). The

-17-

438	Ozone–Climate Penalty: Past, Present, and Future. Environmental Sci-
439	ence & Technology, 47(24), 14258–14266. Retrieved 2021-05-10, from
440	https://doi.org/10.1021/es403446m (Publisher: American Chemical
441	Society) doi: $10.1021/es403446m$
442	Schnell, J. L., & Prather, M. J. (2017). Co-occurrence of extremes in surface
443	ozone, particulate matter, and temperature over eastern North America.
444	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114(11), 2854–2859. Re-
445	trieved 2021-08-09, from https://www.pnas.org/content/114/11/2854
446	(Publisher: National Academy of Sciences Section: Physical Sciences) doi:
447	10.1073/pnas.1614453114
448	Shen, L., Mickley, L. J., & Murray, L. T. (2017). Influence of 2000–2050 climate
449	change on particulate matter in the United States: results from a new statisti-
450	cal model. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17(6), 4355–4367. Retrieved
451	2021-09-15, from https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/17/4355/2017/
452	(Publisher: Copernicus GmbH) doi: 10.5194/acp-17-4355-2017
453	Strahan, S. E., Douglass, A. R., & Newman, P. A. (2013). The contributions of
454	chemistry and transport to low arctic ozone in March 2011 derived from Aura
455	MLS observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118(3),
456	1563-1576. Retrieved 2021-07-27, from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary
457	.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/jgrd.50181 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50181
458	Strahan, S. E., Duncan, B. N., & Hoor, P. (2007). Observationally derived
459	transport diagnostics for the lowermost stratosphere and their applica-
460	tion to the GMI chemistry and transport model. Atmospheric Chem-
461	<i>istry and Physics</i> , 7(9), 2435–2445. Retrieved 2021-07-27, from https://
462	acp.copernicus.org/articles/7/2435/2007/ (Publisher: Copernicus
463	GmbH) doi: 10.5194/acp-7-2435-2007
464	Strode, S. A., Rodriguez, J. M., Logan, J. A., Cooper, O. R., Witte, J. C., Lamsal,
465	L. N., Strahan, S. E. (2015). Trends and variability in surface ozone over
466	the United States. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, $120(17)$ ,
467	9020-9042. Retrieved 2021-09-17, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/
468	doi/abs/10.1002/2014JD022784 doi: 10.1002/2014JD022784
469	Tai, A. P. K., & Val Martin, M. (2017). Impacts of ozone air pollution and temper-
470	ature extremes on crop yields: Spatial variability, adaptation and implications

-18-

471	for future food security. Atmospheric Environment, 169, 11–21. Retrieved
472	2021-08-09, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
473	S1352231017305836 doi: $10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.09.002$
474	Tamarin-Brodsky, T., Hodges, K., Hoskins, B. J., & Shepherd, T. G. (2020).
475	Changes in Northern Hemisphere temperature variability shaped by regional
476	warming patterns. Nature Geoscience, 13(6), 414–421. Retrieved 2021-04-29,
477	from https://www.nature.com/articles/s41561-020-0576-3 (Number: 6
478	Publisher: Nature Publishing Group) doi: 10.1038/s41561-020-0576-3
479	Tawfik, A. B., & Steiner, A. L. (2013). A proposed physical mechanism for ozone-
480	meteorology correlations using land–atmosphere coupling regimes. $Atmo-$
481	spheric Environment, 72, 50–59. Retrieved 2021-10-18, from https://
482	www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231013001672 doi:
483	10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.03.002
484	Westervelt, D. M., Horowitz, L. W., Naik, V., Tai, A. P. K., Fiore, A. M., &
485	Mauzerall, D. L. (2016). Quantifying PM2.5-meteorology sensitivities in
486	a global climate model. Atmospheric Environment, 142, 43–56. Retrieved
487	2021-09-15, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
488	S135223101630560X doi: $10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.07.040$
489	Wittig, V. E., Ainsworth, E. A., & Long, S. P. (2007). To what extent do cur-
490	rent and projected increases in surface ozone affect photosynthesis and stom-
491	atal conductance of trees? A meta-analytic review of the last 3 decades of
492	experiments. Plant, Cell & Environment, $30(9)$ , 1150–1162. Retrieved
493	2021-08-09, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/
494	j.1365-3040.2007.01717.x doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01717.x
495	Wu, S., Mickley, L. J., Leibensperger, E. M., Jacob, D. J., Rind, D., & Streets,
496	D. G. $(2008)$ . Effects of 2000–2050 global change on ozone air quality in the
497	United States. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D6). Re-
498	trieved 2021-05-08, from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/
499	abs/10.1029/2007JD008917 doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008917

-19-

# Supporting Information for "Rethinking the Ozone-Climate Change Penalty"

Xiyue Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Darryn W Waugh<sup>1</sup>, Gaige Hunter Kerr<sup>2</sup> and Scot M Miller<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, Milken School of Public Health, George Washington University,

Washington, DC, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Environmental Health and Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

#### Contents of this file

1. Figures S1 to S2

#### Introduction

We include additional figures in support of and are referenced in the main manuscript.

November 12, 2021, 3:31pm



Figure S1. Idealized tracer scatter plots of JJA gradient ratio  $\partial_{\phi}\chi_{40-50}/\partial_{\phi}T$  versus  $d\chi_{40-50}/dT$ averaged over 20° longitude × 10° latitude regions with loss rate of (a) 5 days<sup>-1</sup>, (b) 25 days<sup>-1</sup>, (c) 100 days<sup>-1</sup>, and (d) 150 days<sup>-1</sup>. All points are colored by their absolute value of meridional temperature gradient. Dashed line shows the 1:1 slope. RMSE between gradient ratio and regression for each bin is indicated.

November 12, 2021, 3:31pm



Figure S2. 2008–2010 JJA O<sub>3</sub>-Q relationship from GMI simulation. (a) Daily  $dO_3/dQ$  regression slope. Regions with p > 0.05 (not statistically significant) are hatched. (b) Mean meridional gradients of  $\partial_{\phi}O_3$  in contours and of  $\partial_{\phi}Q$  in shading. Solid contours show positive  $\partial_{\phi}O_3$  and dashed contours show negative  $\partial_{\phi}O_3$ , with an interval of 1.0 ppbv/°. (c) Gradient ratio  $\partial_{\phi}O_3/\partial_{\phi}Q$  versus regression  $dO_3/dQ$  averaged over 10° latitude × 20° longitude regions, binned by the absolute values of meridional temperature gradient  $|\partial_{\phi}Q|$  (g/kg/°). Dashed line shows the 1:1 slope. RMSE between gradient ratio and regression for each bin is indicated. Open symbols and RMSE in brackets are from the transport-only simulation.

November 12, 2021, 3:31pm