# Research on cloud removal based on fusing Multi-temporal Remote Sensing Images

Xuechao Zou<sup>1</sup>, Yi Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Pin Tao<sup>2</sup>, and Yachao Cui<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Qinghai University <sup>2</sup>Tsinghua University

November 22, 2022

## Abstract

Cloud and cloud shadow are the primary factors that affect the application of remote sensing images, and they have always been problems encountered in remote sensing image processing. This article puts forward a new cloud removal strategy, whose data is from the Landsat multi-source remote sensing images and based on an improved BP neural network. Compared with the previous cloud removal methods, the selection value of BP neural network training is changed to reduce human participation. The previous gray-scale value group marked by classification (Vegetation, water body, bare land, residential land, and fields, etc.) is changed to the gray-scale value group of the two images' common areas without cloud. The experimental results show that the de-cloud image got by our method has higher SSIM and Cosine similarity with the reference image.

# Research on cloud removal based on fusing Multi-temporal Remote Sensing Images

# Xuechao Zou<sup>1</sup>, Yi Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Pin Tao<sup>2</sup>, and Yachao Cui<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Qinghai University, Department of Computer Technology and Applications, Xining, China, 810016
 <sup>2</sup>Tsinghua University, Department of Computer Technology and Applications, Beijing, China, 100084

6	Key	<b>Points:</b>
---	-----	----------------

1

2

3

8

10

- <sup>7</sup> remote sensing
- cloud removal
- multi temporal
  - image fusion

Corresponding author: Yachao Cui, yachaocui@163.com

#### 11 Abstract

Cloud and cloud shadow are the primary factors that affect the application of remote 12 sensing images, and they have always been problems encountered in remote sensing im-13 age processing. This article puts forward a new cloud removal strategy, whose data is 14 from the Landsat multi-source remote sensing images and based on an improved BP neu-15 ral network. Compared with the previous cloud removal methods, the selection value of 16 BP neural network training is changed to reduce human participation. The previous gray-17 scale value group marked by classification (Vegetation, water body, bare land, residen-18 tial land, and fields, etc.) is changed to the gray-scale value group of the two images' com-19 mon areas without cloud. The experimental results show that the de-cloud image got 20 by our method has higher SSIM and Cosine similarity with the reference image. 21

# 22 1 Introduction

With the wide application of Google Earth in daily life, remote sensing images have 23 become a part of people's life (Lin et al., 2013). Therefore, remote sensing data process-24 ing has become the focus of current research. In particular, clouds and cloud shadows 25 have become the main noise in satellite remote sensing data (Guo, 2017). At any time, 26 an average of 35% of the world's land surface area will be covered by clouds (Wei et al., 27 2015). From 2013 to 2017, in the remote sensing images of 966708 Landsat 8 scenes, the 28 overall average cloud cover of the land was as high as 41.59% (Shi, 2018). Therefore, the 29 research on the cloud removal strategy of remote sensing images is becoming more and 30 more important. 31

To solve the problem of cloud removal of remote sensing images, researchers have 32 proposed a lot of cloud removal algorithms. According to the thickness of clouds, the meth-33 ods of removing clouds can be divided into two categories: thick clouds and thin clouds. 34 Among them, the thick cloud removal algorithm can be further divided into three cat-35 egories (Lin et al., 2012). The first is based on image restoration methods; The second 36 is based on multi-spectral methods; The third is based on multi-temporal methods. The 37 removal of thin clouds is mainly divided into two parts (G. X. Zhu, 2017). First of all, 38 the cloud area in the remote sensing image is detected, then the cloud coverage area is 39 processed according to the corresponding theoretical algorithm, and the ground feature 40 information is enhanced according to the need, to get the de-cloud image. 41

Tang et al. (2011) and Liang et al. (2012) all proposed their own thick cloud re-42 moval algorithms based on Support Vector Machine (SVM). S. Y. Zhang and Li (2019) 43 proposed a thick cloud restoration algorithm for aerial images based on an improved Cri-44 minisi algorithm. Zhao et al. (2016) proposed a thick cloud removal method based on 45 similar pixel replacement, which uses the information of cloud-free areas to reconstruct 46 pixels in cloud and cloud shadow coverage areas. However, if the cloud coverage area is 47 large, this method can not effectively reconstruct the feature information. In the thin 48 cloud removal methods, the filtering method is commonly used, such as the remote sens-49 ing image de-cloud algorithm based on improved homomorphic filtering proposed by Zhou 50 et al. (2015), and the thin cloud filtering enhancement method proposed by P. Q. Zhang 51 et al. (2008). 52

There are cloud removal algorithms based on multi-spectrum. For example, Xu et 53 al. (2014) use the visible light band of Landsat 8 image and the near-infrared band to 54 remove the cloud. There are also methods for information reconstruction of large areas 55 covered by thick clouds, such as the research on cloud classification algorithm of multi-56 temporal high-resolution remote sensing images proposed by Salberg (2010). Generally 57 speaking, the method of thin cloud removal is to identify the cloud area at first, and then 58 deal with the cloud coverage area according to the method based on image conversion 59 and enhancement feature information (B. C. Gao et al., 1993, 1998; B. Gao et al., 2002). 60

Among them, the most classic cloud removal algorithms is the HOT cloud removal method proposed by Y. Zhang et al. (2002).

<sup>63</sup> With the development of remote sensing technology, it is possible to obtain multi-<sup>64</sup> temporal remote sensing images of the same area. In this paper, a multi-temporal re-<sup>65</sup> mote sensing image fusion de-cloud strategy based on improved BP neural network pre-<sup>66</sup> processing is proposed, which can more effectively restore the ground feature informa-

tion covered by large thick clouds.

# 68 2 Related Work

# 2.1 Hot Cloud Detection algorithm

Y. Zhang et al. (2002) proposed a HOT cloud removal algorithm in 2002, which uses the correlation between bands in Landsat data to realize cloud detection in multispectral remote sensing images. R. Wang et al. (2015) proposed to use "bright object line" as the basis to replace "clear sky line" to calculate HOT in 2015, to avoid the interference caused by the bright objects in the surface information to the cloud detection.

The advantage of this algorithm is that the requirement of input data is relatively
low, and the cloud region can be detected by only one remote sensing image containing
red and blue bands, which can easily meet the requirements of most remote sensing data.
The disadvantage is that cloud shadow areas cannot be detected automatically.

79

69

# 2.2 Fmask 4.0 Cloud and Cloud Shadow Detection

Fmask (Z. Zhu & Woodcock, 2012; Q. Wang et al., 2018) is a threshold-based cloud
 detection method by using multi-band information of Landsat 4-8 or Sentinel-2, which
 can effectively detect and distinguish land, water, snow, ice, cloud, and cloud shadow,
 and generate masks. Compared with the HOT cloud detection algorithm, it is a more
 systematic and accurate cloud and cloud shadow detection algorithm.

85

# 2.3 Image Fusion for Remote Sensing Images

Image fusion is a process of combining useful information in two or more images 86 to obtain a more comprehensive, accurate, and reliable image description of the same 87 scene. Generally, image fusion can be systematically divided into three levels from low 88 to high: pixel-level fusion, feature-level fusion, and decision level fusion. Pixel-level fu-89 sion is the simplest fusion method, and it can retain as much original data as possible. 90 In the application and research, pixel layer fusion methods are common, such as pixel 91 substitution method, linear weighting method, HIS transformation method, PCA trans-92 formation method, high-pass filtering method, wavelet transform fusion method, and so 93 on. 94

95

# 2.4 Cloud Removal based on BP Neural Network

R. Wang et al. (2015) proposed a Landsat image cloud removal method based on
BP neural network, in which two multi-temporal images (one without cloud and the other
with cloud) are used to train the grayscale of some pixels between the images, and the
grayscale of the image without cloud is converted to the grayscale similar to that of the
image with the cloud.

# <sup>101</sup> 3 Improved Cloud Removal based on BP Neural Network

# <sup>102</sup> 3.1 Principle

Since clouds often migrate with the change of time in multi-temporal remote sens-103 ing images, we can easily obtain multi-temporal remote sensing images of the same re-104 gion with different cloud distributions. In this paper, the main principle of the proposed 105 method is as follows. The images with the cloud are used as the input image, and the 106 remote sensing image of another time phase in the same area without overlapping cloud 107 area is used as the auxiliary data (the reference image). Through the image fusion method 108 of pixel replacement, the cloud and cloud shadow covered area in the input image are 109 added from the reference image through pixel replacement, to obtain the cloud-free im-110 age after fusion. 111

The grayscale relationship between multi-temporal images is not completely consistent. Therefore, this cloud removal method requires a BP neural network that uses the gray value group of the common region (non-cloud region) between multi-temporal images as the training data. By the BP neural network preprocessing, the time-phased one image can be transformed into a remote sensing image with a similar gray structure as the time-phase two. After preprocessing, image fusion between multi-temporal remote sensing images is carried out.

This method we proposed is mainly modified for two points in the previous method 119 to reduce human participation. Firstly, the acquisition of remote sensing image cloud 120 and cloud shadow mask is modified. The HOT cloud detection method is replaced by 121 Fmask 4.0 automatic cloud detection algorithm which is widely used and has higher iden-122 tification accuracy. Secondly, the selection value of BP neural network training is mod-123 ified. The original grayscale value group marked by classification (Vegetation, waterbody, 124 bare land, residential land, and fields, etc.) is changed to the grayscale value group of 125 the two image's common areas without cloud. 126

### 3.2 Method

127

144

As shown in Figure 1, after the input image and reference image are obtained, the main steps of this cloud removal experiment are as follows:

- 130 1. Data preprocessing.
- The Fmask 4.0 algorithm is used to detect the cloud and cloud shadow mask of
   the input images, and then the mask images are extracted and binarized.
- 3. The cloud and cloud shadow mask images are used to calculate the common cloud-free region of the two images, and the gray value of the common cloud-free region of one scene image is counted as the input value of BP neural network training, and the gray value of the common cloud-free region of the other scene image is taken as the expected output value of the neural network to obtain the mapping relationship.
- 4. The trained neural network will be used to transform the cloudless image into a spectral structure similar to that of the cloud image.
- 5. Cloud-free image and mask image after spectral matching are used for image fusion to obtain the resulting image of cloud removal.

### <sup>143</sup> 4 Experiments and Results

## 4.1 Process

The experimental data in this study are multi-source remote sensing images of two Landsat landscapes (two sets of experiments with the same satellite source at different



 ${\bf Figure \ 1.} \ \ {\rm Flow \ chart \ of \ improved \ cloud \ removal \ method \ based \ on \ BPNet.}$ 



**Figure 2.** Fmask 4.0 automatic cloud detection result: A. Input image; B. Fmask 4.0 cloud detection result image.

147	periods and different satellites as remote sensing data sources at different periods), one
148	landscape with cloud, and the other without cloud. A cloudless image was selected for
149	the convenience of fusion as a reference image for comparison, reflecting the effect of cloud
150	removal. However, in practical application, as long as the cloud areas of the two images
151	do not overlap, the effect of cloud removal can be realized.

152	The pretreatment steps of experimental data are as follows:
	1 Download Landast remate concing image gets of a different time in the

- Download Landsat remote sensing image sets of a different time in the same area, then select one scene with less cloud as the reference image source, and the other scene as the input image source of the cloud to be removed.
- Open the two scene images respectively, select the center point and image size of the input image and the reference image, so that the area of one scene image is cloudless, and the area of the other scene image is cloudy.
- By using the coordinates of the center point and the size of the image, the selected area of the two images is intercepted, and the input and reference images of the experiment are obtained.
- 4. The cloud mask image containing a scene of clouds (as shown in Figure 2) is ob tained through the Fmask cloud detection algorithm and binarization was carried
   out.

Compared with the experimental process before improvement, the cloud removal process in this paper is more convenient, automated, and efficient, which only needs the input image and does not need to do another manual marking. At the same time, the efficiency of obtaining cloud and cloud shadow masks and preparing training values of BP neural network is also faster. Its main manifestations are as follows:

 In the previous method, manual marking of the cloud center and corresponding cloud shadow center should be carried out on the cloud-containing image before obtaining cloud shadow. Then, several obvious cloud and cloud shadow center points are found to make statistics and obtain the offset vector from cloud to cloud shadow, to calculate the cloud shadow mask map from the cloud mask map and the vec-



Figure 3. Schematic diagram of input and output images of Experiment 1: A. Input image; B. Reference image; C. Cloud removal image of direct pixel replacement; D. Cloud removal image after linear fitting; E. Cloud removal image after original BPNet processing; F. Cloud removal image after improved BPNet processing.

175	tor obtained from the statistics. However, in this experimental method, the cloud
176	and cloud shadow automatic detection algorithm of Fmask 4.0 is adopted.
177	2. In the training preparation stage of the BP neural network, the previous method
178	needs to classify image grayscale categories (such as vegetation, waterbody, bare
179	land, residential land, and field, etc.), and then manually sample several points
180	respectively. With these points as the center, the N*N pixel gray value group of
181	eight connected domains is taken as the input and expected output vector of neu-
182	ral network training. In this paper, on the premise of image registration, accord-
183	ing to the obtained cloud and cloud shadow mask image, the grayscale value group
184	of pixels in the two image public areas without cloud is directly adopted as the
185	training value of the BP neural network.
186	4.2 Results

The data of Experiment 1 are all from Landsat 8, and the longitude and latitude coordinates of the image's center point are (38°2'24.07 "N, 101°5'47.18"). Remote sensing images of 384\*384 pixels centering on this center point are intercepted for the cloud removal experiment.

The input and output images of Experiment 1 are shown in Figure 3. Fig. A is an RGB image (natural true color image) synthesized in bands 2, 3, and 4 of cloud remote sensing data, and the data acquisition time is July 22, 2016. Fig. B is the RGB image (reference image) synthesized in bands 2, 3, and 4 without cloud remote sensing data, and the data acquisition time is November 30, 2017.



**Figure 4.** Schematic diagram of input and output images of Experiment 2: A. Input image; B. Reference image; C. Cloud removal image of direct pixel replacement; D. Cloud removal image after linear fitting; E. Cloud removal image after original BPNet processing; F. Cloud removal image after improved BPNet processing.

The data sources of Experiment 2 are Landsat 7 and Landsat 8, which is a multisource remote sensing data fusion experiment. The central longitude and latitude coordinates of the image are (37°3 '29.99 "N, 101°0' 51.95"), and a remote sensing image of 250\*250 pixels centered on this central point is intercepted for cloud removal experiment.

The input and output images of Experiment 2 are shown in Figure 4. Fig. A is the cloud-containing remote sensing data image (input image) of Landsat 8, and the data acquisition time is July 22, 2016. Fig. B is the cloud-free remote sensing data image (reference image) of Landsat 7, and the data acquisition time is July 30, 2016.

#### 4.3 Analysis

204

205

### 4.3.1 Subjective Analysis

Subjectively, it can be observed that the image fusion method of pixel replacement can achieve the effect of removing thick clouds. Compared with direct pixel replacement or pixel replacement after linear fitting pretreatment, our method has a better subjective cloud removal effect. The details are as follows:

- In Experiment 1, the grayscale transition effect at the junction of cloud and the cloudless image is better, and the "color difference" is smaller.
- 212
  2. In Experiment 2, the ground object information in the cloud removal effect of the
  213 method presented in this paper is more clearly visible, especially the mountain214 ous part in the lower right corner of the image.

<b>LUDIO LI</b> DISTILL'UNG COSTILL'UNDONIONO L	Table 1.	SSIM and	COSINE in	Experiment 1
---	----------	----------	-----------	--------------

Item	SSIM	COSINE
Figure A and Figure B	0.6087	0.8731
Figure E and Figure B Figure F and Figure B	0.8770 <b>0.9387</b>	0.9898 0.9962

Table 2.	SSIM and	COSINE in	Experiment	2.

Item	SSIM	COSINE
Figure A and Figure B	0.8217	0.9548
Figure E and Figure B	0.9338	0.9944
Figure F and Figure B	<b>0.9785</b>	<b>0.9991</b>

#### 215 4.3.2 Objective Analysis

Objectively, SSIM and cosine similarity of the image are used to evaluate the cloud
 removal effect of Experiment 1 and Experiment 2. The statistical tables are as follows
 (Table 1 and Table 2).

It can be seen intuitively from Table 1 and Table 2 that the de-cloud method in this paper has a higher similarity with the reference image in terms of image vector correlation and image structure.

#### <sup>222</sup> 5 Conclusions

In this paper, the Fmask algorithm is used to obtain mask images, and an improved 223 cloud removal strategy for remote sensing images based on BP neural network is pro-224 posed. Two multitemporal remote sensing images with a similar spectral structure are 225 obtained after preprocessing by BP neural network. Image fusion of multi-source remote 226 sensing images is realized through pixel replacement between multi-temporal remote sens-227 ing images to achieve the effect of cloud removal. Compared with the existing methods, 228 the method presented in this paper is simpler and more automatic in the operation pro-229 cess and achieves cloud removal of remote sensing images more efficiently. 230

#### 231 Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Young and Middle-aged Scientific Research Fund Project of Qinghai University under Grant No. 2019-QGY-4 and the Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 61863031.

#### 235 **References**

- Gao, B., Yang, P., Han, W., Li, R., & Wiscombe, W. (2002). An algorithm using
   visible and 1.38-µm channels to retrieve cirrus cloud reflectances from aircraft
   and satellite data, ieee t. geosci. remote, 40, 1659–1668.
- Gao, B. C., Goetz, A. F., & Wiscombe, W. J. (1993). Cirrus cloud detection from airborne imaging spectrometer data using the 1.38  $\mu$ m water vapor band. Geophysical Research Letters, 20(4), 301–304.
- Gao, B. C., Kaufman, Y. J., Han, W., & Wiscombe, W. J. (1998). Corection of thin cirrus path radiances in the 0.4–1.0  $\mu$ m spectral region using the sen-

sitive 1.375  $\mu$ m cirrus detecting channel. Journal of Geophysical Research: 244 Atmospheres, 103(D24), 32169–32176. 245 (2017). Research of cloud and shadow removal alogorithm for landsat Guo, L. M. 246 satellite image. Guangxi Normal University, 1-z. 247 Liang, D., Kong, J., & Hu, G. S. (2012).Thick cloud and cloud shadow removal 248 of remote sensing image based on support vector machine. Acta Geodaetica et 249 Cartographica Sinica, 41(2), 225–231. 250 Lin, C. H., Lai, K. H., & B., Z. (2013). Patch-based information reconstruction of 251 cloud-contaminated multitemporal images. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience 252 and Remote Sensing, 52(1), 163–174. 253 Lin, C. H., Tsai, P. H., & Lai, K. H. (2012).Cloud removal from multitemporal 254 satellite images using information cloning. *IEEE transactions on geoscience* 255 and remote sensing, 51(1), 232-241. 256 Salberg, A. B. (2010). Land cover classification of cloud-contaminated multitemporal 257 IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Senshigh-resolution images. 258 ing, 49(1), 377–387. 259 Shi, Q. (2018). Accurate cloud and cloud shadow detection in multispectral remote 260 sensing images (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Electronic 261 Science and Technology of China. 262 (2011). Thick cloud removal algorithm of re-Tang, W. Q., Liang, D., & Hu, G. S. 263 mote sensing image based on support vector machine. Remote Sensing Tech-264 nology and Application, 26(1), 111–116. 265 Wang, Q., Huang, C., & Liu, G. H. (2018). Cloud shadow identification based on qa 266 band of landsat 8. Journal of Geo-information Science, 1, 89–98. 267 Wang, R., Wei, C. T., Ma, Y. D., & Hu, T. (2015). Bp ann algorithm for removing 268 cloud in landsat data. Journal of Guilin University of Technology, 35(3), 535-269 539.270 Wei, Y. C., Tang, G. A., & Yang, X. (2015). Course of remote sensing digital image 271 processing. Ke xue chu ban she. 272 Xu, M., Jia, X. P., & Pickering, M. (2014). Automatic cloud removal for landsat 8 273 oli images using cirrus band. In 2014 ieee geoscience and remote sensing sym-274 *posium* (pp. 2511–2514). 275 Zhang, P. Q., Yu, X. C., & Han, L. (2008). Remote sensing image enhancement us-276 ing filtering methods with thin cloud cover. Hydrographic Surveying and Chart-277 ing, 28(2), 13–16. 278 Zhang, S. Y., & Li, C. L. (2019).Thick cloud restoration of aerial images based 279 on improved criminisi algorithm. Laser & Optoelectronics Progress, 55(12), 280 121012.281 Zhang, Y., Guindon, B., & Cihlar, J. (2002).An image transform to characterize 282 and compensate for spatial variations in thin cloud contamination of landsat 283 images. Remote Sensing of Environment, 82(2-3), 173–187. 284 Zhao, M. Y., Zheng, X. S., & Liu, W. J. (2016). Research on thick cloud removal of 285 remote sensing image based on similar pixel replacement. Application Research 286 of Computers, 33(11), 3509–3512. 287 Zhou, X. J., Guo, J., & Zhou, C. X. (2015). An algorithm of cloud removal for re-288 mote sensing image based on improved homomorphic. Radio Engineering, 3, 289 14 - 18.290 Zhu, G. X. (2017). The method of image de-noising by fusing multi-temporal remote 291 sensing images (Unpublished master's thesis). Shanghai Ocean University. 292

Zhu, Z., & Woodcock, C. E. (2012). Object-based cloud and cloud shadow detection
 in landsat imagery. *Remote sensing of environment*, 118, 83–94.