Rate and State Friction as a Spatially Regularized Transient Viscous Flow Law

Casper Pranger¹, Patrick Sanan², David May³, Laetitia Le Pourhiet⁴, and Alice-Agnes Gabriel¹

¹LMU Munich ²ETH Zurich ³UC San Diego ⁴Universite Pierre et Marie Curie - CNRS

November 22, 2022

Abstract

The theory of rate and state friction unifies field, laboratory, and theoretical analysis of the evolution of slip on natural faults. While the observational study of earthquakes and aseismic fault slip is hampered by its strong multi-scale character in space and time, numerical simulations are well-positioned to link the laboratory study of grain-scale processes to the scale at which rock masses move. However, challenges remain in accurately representing the complex and permanently evolving sub-surface fault networks that exist in nature. Additionally, the common representation of faults as interfaces may miss important physical aspects governing volumetric fault system behavior. In response, we propose a transient viscous rheology that produces shear bands that closely mimic the rate- and state-dependent sliding behavior of equivalent fault interfaces. Critically, we show that the expected tendency of the continuum rheology for runaway localization and mesh dependence can be halted by including an artificial diffusion-type regularization of anelastic strain rate in the softening law. We demonstrate analytically and numerically using a simplified fault transect that important aspects of the frictional behavior are not significantly affected by the introduced regularization. Any discrepancies with respect to the interfacial description of fault behavior are critically evaluated using 1D numerical velocity stepping and spring-slider experiments. ;Since no new physical parameters are introduced, our model may be straightforwardly used to extend the existing modeling techniques. The model predicts the emergence of complex patterns of shear localization and delocalization that may inform the interpretation of complex damage distributions observed around faults in nature.

Rate and State Friction as a Spatially Regularized Transient Viscous Flow Law

1

2

3

4

Casper Pranger^{1,2}, Patrick Sanan², Dave A. May³, Laetitia Le Pourhiet⁴, Alice-Agnes Gabriel¹

5	$^{1}\mathrm{Ludwig}\text{-}\mathrm{Maximilians}$ Universität München (LMU), The resienstrasse 41, 80333 München, Germany.
6	² Institute of Geophysics, ETH Zurich, Sonneggstrasse 5, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland.
7	$^3\mathrm{Scripps}$ Institution of Oceanography, UC San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA.
8	⁴ Sorbonne Université, CNRS-INSU, Institut des Sciences de la Terre Paris, ISTeP UMR 7193, F-75005,
9	Paris, France

Key Points:
We reformulate the empirical rate and state friction law as a bulk viscous flow law in terms of anelastic shear strain rate.
We show how mesh independence is achieved by including a gradient-like non-local anelastic shear strain rate equivalent.
We show analytically and numerically that the proposed continuum model closely reproduces existing results of rate and state friction.

 $Corresponding \ author: \ Casper \ Pranger, \ {\tt casper.pranger@geophysik.uni-muenchen.de}$

17 Abstract

The theory of rate and state friction unifies field, laboratory, and theoretical analysis of 18 the evolution of slip on natural faults. While the observational study of earthquakes and 19 aseismic fault slip is hampered by its strong multi-scale character in space and time, nu-20 merical simulations are well-positioned to link the laboratory study of grain-scale pro-21 cesses to the scale at which rock masses move. However, challenges remain in accurately 22 representing the complex and permanently evolving sub-surface fault networks that ex-23 ist in nature. Additionally, the common representation of faults as interfaces may miss 24 important physical aspects governing volumetric fault system behavior. In response, we 25 propose a transient viscous rheology that produces shear bands that closely mimic the 26 rate- and state-dependent sliding behavior of equivalent fault interfaces. Critically, we 27 show that the expected tendency of the continuum rheology for runaway localization and 28 mesh dependence can be halted by including an artificial diffusion-type regularization 29 of anelastic strain rate in the softening law. We demonstrate analytically and numer-30 ically using a simplified fault transect that important aspects of the frictional behavior 31 are not significantly affected by the introduced regularization. Any discrepancies with 32 respect to the interfacial description of fault behavior are critically evaluated using 1D 33 numerical velocity stepping and spring-slider experiments. Since no new physical param-34 eters are introduced, our model may be straightforwardly used to extend the existing mod-35 eling techniques. The model predicts the emergence of complex patterns of shear local-36 ization and delocalization that may inform the interpretation of complex damage dis-37 tributions observed around faults in nature. 38

39

Plain Language Summary

How, where, and when earthquakes nucleate is one of the great questions in sci-40 ence and society that, despite steady progress, has hardly been answered to any prac-41 tical degree. Based on field observations, laboratory experiments, and theoretical work 42 it is believed that a cocktail of escalating mechanical, chemical, and thermal grain-scale 43 processes cause the sudden and rapid onset of earthquakes. The net effect of these pro-44 cesses are characterized by an immediate strengthening and a gradual weakening response 45 to deformation and are unified in simplified form in the theory of 'rate and state fric-46 tion'. This theory is commonly used in computer simulations of earthquake sequences. 47 We point out that rate and state friction, unlike some physical theories of earthquake 48

-2-

⁴⁹ rupture, does not incorporate a diffusion process such as for example heat conduction.
⁵⁰ We show the introduction of an artificial diffusion process can prevent the mathemat⁵¹ ical reduction of a fault zone to a two-dimensional interface while retaining the proper⁵² ties of the original friction law. This in turn enables simulation techniques that rely on
⁵³ an interface-free description of the earth and promise to provide new insights into the
⁵⁴ spontaneous organization of seismic and aseismic phenomena in developing fault zones.

55 1 Introduction

Over the second half of the last century the study of the sliding behavior of fric-56 tional surfaces, such as those believed to occur in the earth's crust, has led to a general 57 understanding that it is governed by competition of stabilizing viscous-like effects and 58 potentially destabilizing processes that affect the texture of the interface in a time-dependent 59 manner and self-organize into periods during which the interface is arbitrarily close to 60 elastic stick punctuated with periods of anelastic slip (Rabinowicz, 1958; Brace & By-61 erlee, 1966; Bowden & Tabor, 1966; Scholz et al., 1972; Ida, 1972; Dieterich, 1978; Ru-62 ina, 1980, 1983; Dieterich & Kilgore, 1994). 63

64

1.1 Rate- and State-dependent friction

This has led to the proposition of the phenomenological *rate- and state-dependent friction law* by Dieterich (1978, 1979a); Ruina (1980, 1983), which reads in its general form as

 $f = f_0 + a \log V + b \log \Theta. \tag{1}$

In this law, the friction coefficient f is given by the linear combination of a reference friction coefficient f_0 , a logarithmic contribution from dimensionless slip rate V multiplied by a coefficient a, and another logarithmic contribution from a dimensionless *state* variable Θ multiplied by a coefficient b. All quantities are taken to be positive.

The rate-effect or *direct effect* is to a variable degree strengthening with increasing slip rate and thus exerts a stabilizing influence on the frictional interface for any strictly positive *a*. The *evolution effect* is to be governed by an evolution law that has the evolving steady state $\Theta_{ss}V(t) = 1$. Then, if b > a, this allows an externally loaded system with a frictional interface to move to a configuration with lower elastic strain energy and thus a frictional-mechanical instability may occur.

-3-

⁸⁰ One of the most widely used state evolution laws is the *aging law* of Ruina (1980, ⁸¹ 1983), given by

82 83

$$\Theta = r_0 \left(1 - V\Theta \right), \tag{2}$$

with r_0 a rate constant that is commonly expressed by dividing the reference velocity 84 v_0 by a critical slip distance d_c . It should be noted that several other evolution laws that 85 govern the state variable have been proposed. The most commonly cited contender is 86 the *slip law* (Dieterich, 1979a; Ruina, 1980, 1983). In this work we do not consider it be-87 cause its functional form $(\dot{\Theta} \propto \Theta V \log \Theta V)$ is unfortunately not amenable to the type 88 of analytical treatment that is performed. We note that rate and state friction captures 89 only the first-order behavior of the stick-slip cycle and that laboratory experiments have 90 brought to light many secondary effects (e.g. Ruina, 1983; Marone, 1998; Mair & Marone, 91 1999; Chester, 1994; Passelègue et al., 2020). 92

Following Amonton's law the friction coefficient is expressed as the ratio of shear stress τ to normal stress σ , implying a cohesionless fault. It is further assumed that the fault is always critically loaded. This assumption is necessary because (1) degenerates at V = 0, but may also be realistic for faults in nature (Bak & Tang, 1989).

The rate and state friction law is frequently applied in numerical studies of fault 97 slip, whether that be a study over the course of a single earthquake or a complex sequence 98 of slip transients (e.g. Dieterich, 1979b; P. G. Okubo, 1989; Rice, 1993; Rice & Ben-Zion, 99 1996; Ben-Zion & Rice, 1997; Lapusta et al., 2000; Lapusta & Liu, 2009; Rubin & Am-100 puero, 2005: Gabriel et al., 2012; Jiang & Lapusta, 2016; D. Li & Liu, 2017: Erickson 101 et al., 2020). The use of interfacial friction laws in these models necessitates the treat-102 ment of faults as mesh features on which internal boundary conditions can be applied. 103 It can be laborious to construct such meshes for non-trivial fault geometries, and the pro-104 cedure does not scale well with increasing fault network complexity. There is currently 105 no established method to construct meshes with time-dependent geometry and topol-106 ogy reflecting an evolving fault system, but we refer to K. Okubo et al. (2019) for work 107 in this direction. With some exceptions (e.g. D. Li & Liu, 2017; Sathiakumar et al., 2020; 108 Perez-Silva et al., 2021) many studies focus on the already complex behavior of long-term 109 sequences of slip transients on linear or planar faults in simple domains. 110

-4-

111

1.2 Continuum fault rheology

There is strong field and experimental evidence that faults in nature are not infinitely 112 thin planes but consist of complex evolving networks of strongly localized shear zones 113 within a wider region of damaged host rock (Tchalenko, 1970; Granier, 1985; Katz et al., 114 2004; Savage & Brodsky, 2011; Faulkner et al., 2011; Barth et al., 2013; Passelègue et 115 al., 2016; Perrin et al., 2016; Locatelli et al., 2018, 2019; Pozzi et al., 2018, 2019, 2021; 116 Ritter, Rosenau, & Oncken, 2018; Ritter, Santimano, et al., 2018; Ross et al., 2019). This 117 knowledge has spurred a search for intermediate-scale continuum homogenizations of dis-118 tributed micro-scale processes that obey the rate and state framework in a somewhat 119 generalized sense. Currently proposed models focus on rheological feedback mechanisms 120 involving temperature (Braeck & Podladchikov, 2007; John et al., 2009; Roubíček, 2014; 121 Thielmann et al., 2015; Thielmann, 2018; Pozzi et al., 2021), grain size (Rozel et al., 2011; 122 Thielmann et al., 2015; Thielmann, 2018; Barbot, 2019; Pozzi et al., 2021), porosity (Sleep, 123 1997; Niemeijer & Spiers, 2007; Chen & Spiers, 2016; Van den Ende et al., 2018), fluid 124 pressure (Poulet et al., 2014; Veveakis et al., 2014; Alevizos et al., 2014; Rattez, Stefanou, 125 & Sulem, 2018; Rattez, Stefanou, Sulem, Veveakis, & Poulet, 2018), damage (Lyakhovsky 126 et al., 2011; Lyakhovsky & Ben-Zion, 2014b, 2014a; Lyakhovsky et al., 2016; Kurzon et 127 al., 2019, 2020), or combinations thereof. Continuum models employing a rate and state 128 formulation with the slip rate and tractions replaced by strain rate and stress invariants 129 have been developed by Herrendörfer et al. (2018) and applied to self-organizing fault 130 systems in Preuss et al. (2019, 2020). Since the state of the subsurface is typically dif-131 ficult to accurately characterize on a regional basis, these models are important to de-132 velop a generic understanding of the long-term evolution of seismogenic fault systems. 133

A problem commonly associated with strain softening rheologies without internal 134 length scale is unconstrained localization (Hobbs et al., 1990). As a shear zone localizes 135 to the scale of a mesh element or grid cell they become poorly resolved and cause mesh-136 dependence of the simulation. Models that possess an internal length scale perpendic-137 ular to the direction of shear may not suffer from this issue, provided that this length 138 scale is resolved by the discretization. For example, as thermo-rheological feedback mech-139 anism causes localization the heat diffusion term may gain prominence until localizing 140 and delocalizing (diffusing) influences find a balance. Unfortunately some of the proposed 141 fault rheologies don't possess an internal length scale, and some possess one that has phys-142 ical meaning and can't be changed without changing the behavior of interest. If such a 143

-5-

manuscript submitted to JGR: Solid Earth

physical length scale is very small in nature, then that may place insurmountable con-

145 straints on the mesh resolution in two- or three-dimensional regional simulations. A few

¹⁴⁶ models *do* contain a controllable internal length scale; these are the unified rate and state

¹⁴⁷ friction theory of Sleep (1997) and the damage-breakage rheology of Lyakhovsky and Ben-

¹⁴⁸ Zion (2014b, 2014a); Lyakhovsky et al. (2016); Kurzon et al. (2019, 2020).

149

1.3 Objective

In summary, we state the need for a rigorous treatment of a continuum framework in which Dieterich–Ruina-type rate and state friction can be embedded based on the following observations:

Rate and state friction is a useful and powerful homogenization of the complex
 micro-scale processes that give rise to slip transients in nature.

- Numerical modeling exploration of the complex and evolving relation that fault
 networks have with their tectonic environment is hampered by the long-standing
 challenges with mesh adaptive generation as well as lack of detailed knowledge of
 the structure of the subsurface.
- 3. The description of fault friction as a continuum process can be an elegant and practical way to avoid these problems provided they possess a controllable internal length
 scale in order to produce sensible results given reasonable computational resources.
- 4. Much effort has been dedicated to understanding rate and state friction as an in gredient in numerical models not all proposed continuum rheologies can equally
 benefit from this.

¹⁶⁵ In response, we develop a Dieterich–Ruina-type continuum reformulation of rate ¹⁶⁶ and state friction that

1. possesses a controllable internal length scale λ that enforces a constraint on localization,

¹⁶⁹ 2. yields mesh-independent results once λ is sufficiently well resolved, and

3. retains the parameters to the interfacial friction (1) and evolution (2) laws and yields similar trajectories of V and f for the same choice of parameter values.



Figure 1. A region Ω in the enclosing a portion of a fault interface Γ , with normal and tangent vectors \vec{n} and \vec{t} indicated. A transect T is drawn perpendicular to the fault. The fault interface may be substituted by a shear band on which anelastic shear strain is distributed according to $\varphi(\vec{x})$, as indicated by the colored contours.

The model we propose here bears close relation to the earlier works of Sleep (1997) and Herrendörfer et al. (2018). Contrary to Sleep (1997) we refrain from in-depth discussion of fault physics but concentrate on the introduced spatial regularization, which is also a necessary extension of Herrendörfer et al. (2018).

In Section 2 we will motivate our choice of constitutive model, focusing on the formal links between interfacial and continuum models. In Section 3 we will present our equivalent continuum rate and state rheology. In Section 4 we build our rheology into a numerical model of a simplified one-dimensional fault transect to demonstrate both its localization and delocalization behavior as well as its similarities and differences with respect to the original laws of Dieterich and Ruina. We close with a discussion and summary in Sections 5 and 6.

183

2 Material model and continuum mechanics

We will first describe how the existing interfacial description of rate and state friction can be embedded in a continuum mechanical framework with internal discontinuities, and then generalize this to internal friction and distributed anelastic deformation in an interface-free model.

-7-

188

2.1 A fault reference frame

We consider the immediate neighborhood $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ of a section of fault $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ 189 (Figure 1) that is described as the set of points belonging to a differentiable 2D man-190 ifold. We invoke the existence of a surjective function $\vec{x}_f(\vec{x})$ that maps a coordinate $\vec{x} \in$ 191 Ω to its nearest Euclidian neighbor $\vec{x}_f \in \Gamma$. Next, we define the fault transect $T(\vec{x}_f) \subset$ 192 Ω as the 1D curve that includes all the points $\vec{x} \in \Omega$ that map to a particular fault co-193 ordinate $\vec{x}_f \in \Gamma$, in short $T = \vec{x}_f^{-1}(\{\vec{x}\}) \subset \Omega$. Finally, we let $\hat{n}(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\vec{x} \in \Omega$ be a 194 unit vector field locally tangent to the transecting curve $T(\vec{x}_f(\vec{x}))$ and therefore normal 195 to the fault. 196

The state of the body Ω is described by a symmetric Cauchy stress tensor field $\sigma(t, \vec{x}) \in$ 197 $\mathbb{R}^3 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ and a velocity vector field $v_0 \vec{v}(t, \vec{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Their governing equations are stated 198 after the introduction of some further scaffolding. Let t denote time. 199

We define the normal traction $\sigma = -\hat{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}$ (positive in compression), the shear 200 traction vector $\vec{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n} + \sigma \hat{n}$, and the shear traction magnitude $\tau = \sqrt{\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\tau}}$. The rup-201 ture process is most efficient when the slip rate vector $\vec{V} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is parallel to the in-plane 202 shear traction vector $\vec{\tau}$, and so alongside the full velocity field \vec{v} we define a purely slip-203 induced velocity double couple field $\vec{v}_{\rightleftharpoons}$ around the coordinate \vec{x} as 204

$$\vec{v}_{\Rightarrow}(t, \vec{x}) := \frac{1}{2} V(t, \vec{x}_f) \, \hat{t}(\vec{x}_f) \, \mathrm{sgn}(\hat{n}(\vec{x}_f) \cdot [\vec{x} - \vec{x}_f]), \tag{3}$$

with $\hat{t} \in \mathbb{R}^3 := \vec{\tau}/\tau$ the unit tangent vector and sgn the sign function, which has $\operatorname{sgn}(0) =$ 207 0. 208

 $\mathbf{2.2}$ 209

Assumptions

In the following, we will assume that continuum processes that occur around the 210 fault are predominantly confined to the small neighborhood Ω , which itself includes only 211 a small section of a whole fault. The neighborhood Ω is assumed to be sufficiently small 212 that in-plane variations of fault properties such as state, slip rate, and curvature are neg-213 ligible. This assumption comes at a loss of generality, especially around fault kinks, branches, 214 and tips, but does not neccesarily limit the applicability of our formulation. We will re-215 visit this assumption in the Section 5.5 of the Discussion. 216

2.3 Generalization of a fault to a shear band

217

22

22 22

We now generalize the fault interface to a distributed shear band by substituting a smoothed step function $\Phi = \Phi(t, x; \lambda_0) \in [-1, +1], \lambda_0 > 0$ (e.g. $\Phi(t, x; \lambda_0) := \tanh(x/\lambda_0)$) for the sign function in (3). We require that the chosen function converges in a pointwise manner to the sign function as $\lambda_0 \to 0^+$, such that (3) can be seen as the result of taking the limit

$$\vec{v}_{\rightleftharpoons}(t, \vec{x}; \lambda_0) := \frac{1}{2} V(t, \vec{x}_f) \, \hat{t}(\vec{x}) \, \Phi\left(t, \hat{n} \cdot \left[\frac{\vec{x} - \vec{x}_f}{\lambda_0}\right]\right)$$

$$\vec{v}_{\rightleftharpoons}(t, \vec{x}) := \lim_{\lambda_0 \to 0^+} \vec{v}_{\rightleftharpoons}(t, \vec{x}; \lambda_0) \,. \tag{4}$$

Recognizing that fault slip is an anelastic process, the anelastic strain rate tensor $\dot{\varepsilon}_{\neg e}$ is defined to be the symmetric gradient ∇^s of the (continuous) slip-induced velocity field $\vec{v}_{\Rightarrow}(t, \vec{x}; \lambda_0)$:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\neg \mathbf{e}}(t,\vec{x}) := v_0 \nabla^s \vec{v}_{\rightleftharpoons}(t,\vec{x};\lambda_0) := \frac{1}{2} v_0 \left[(\nabla \vec{v}_{\rightleftharpoons})^{\mathrm{T}} + (\nabla \vec{v}_{\rightleftharpoons}) \right] (t,\vec{x};\lambda_0)$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{2} \frac{v_0}{\lambda_0} V(t, \vec{x}_f) \varphi\left(t, \hat{n} \cdot \left[\frac{\vec{x} - \vec{x}_f}{\lambda_0}\right]\right) \left[\hat{t} \otimes \hat{n} + \hat{n} \otimes \hat{t}\right] (\vec{x}) =: \gamma_0 \gamma(t, \vec{x}) \hat{s}(\vec{x}).$$
(5)

Here the equivalent anelastic shear strain rate (a scalar value) has been introduced as 232 $\gamma_0\gamma(t,x) = v_0V(t)\varphi(t,x)$, with reference value $\gamma_0 = v_0/\lambda_0$ and strain rate distribution 233 $\varphi(t,x;\lambda_0) = \partial_x \Phi(t,x;\lambda_0)$ (illustrated in blue shading in Figure 1). The symbol \hat{s} de-234 notes the unit shear sense tensor $\hat{s} = \frac{1}{2} [\hat{t} \otimes \hat{n} + \hat{n} \otimes \hat{t}]$, and its *Frobenius norm* $\|\hat{s}\| =$ 235 $\sqrt{\operatorname{tr} \hat{s}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{s}} = 1/\sqrt{2}$, which is an important property to maintain when generalizing \hat{s} later 236 on because it is compatible with the interpretation of $\gamma_0 \gamma$ as the fault-perpendicular deriva-237 tive of fault-parallel velocity, i.e. as an accurate measure of simple shear. In the deriva-238 tion of (5) the gradients of V and the orthonormal bases \hat{t} and \hat{n} have been dropped un-239 der the assumption set out in Section 2.2 that they are small compared to the gradient 240 of Φ . For this assumption to be met, the across-fault length scale λ_0 must be small com-241 pared to the along-fault length scales that exists in the interfacial rate and state formu-242 lation. 243

Since the derivative of half a smoothed step function $\frac{1}{2}\Phi(x) \in [-\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}]$ has the properties of a distribution – is a non-negative and importantly integrates to unity over its domain – we may express the integral relation

$$\mathcal{V}(\gamma) := \gamma_0 \int_T \gamma(t, \vec{x}) \mathrm{d}x = v_0 V(t, \vec{x}_f) \tag{6}$$

over the fault transect T, which is again compatible with the notion that $\gamma_0 \gamma$ is a measure of simple shear in the fault reference frame. We note that the above integral strictly requires that all anelastic strain occurs over the length of the fault transect T, implying that the anelastic strain rate distribution has compact support in space. In practice we can relax that requirement if said distribution is narrow and thin-tailed.

Subtracting the anelastic shear strain rate tensor $\dot{\varepsilon}_{\neg e}$ from the full strain rate tensor $\dot{\varepsilon} = \nabla^s \vec{v}$ results in the elastic strain rate tensor. This additive decomposition of elastic and anelastic strains is known as the Maxwell model. Application of Hooke's law of linear elasticity to the elastic strain rate tensor leads to

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \boldsymbol{S} \left[\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} - \gamma_0 \gamma \hat{\boldsymbol{s}} \right] \tag{7}$$

with fourth-order elastic stiffness tensor S and the dot over a symbol denoting the time derivative. The relation above is standard in the context of elasto-plasticity (e.g. Mühlhaus & Aifantis, 1991), in which $\gamma_0 \gamma$ is known as the plastic multiplier and \hat{s} coincides with the derivative of the magnitude of shear traction τ with respect to the full stress tensor σ . In this case τ is to be interpreted as the *non-associated* plastic potential of a material that does not undergo anelastic volume change.

For completeness we list ordinary differential equation that governs \vec{v} , the momentum balance equation:

 $v_0 \dot{\vec{v}} - \vec{q} = \rho^{-1} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}. \tag{8}$

Here \vec{g} is the acceleration due to gravity and ρ the mass density. Gravity may be ignored in the remainder of this work at no loss of generality and the inertial term $\dot{\vec{v}}$ may be ignored at *some* loss of generality under the assumption of static momentum balance.

273

268 269

2.4 Plasticity and coordinate invariance

For a spontaneously developing fault zone the shear and normal stress can not be defined in relation to a known plane. Plasticity models avoid this problem through the use of stress tensor invariants and scalar parameters. The Mohr-Coulomb plasticity model generates anelastic shear strain parallel to the unit shear sense tensor $\hat{s} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{n}\otimes\hat{t}+\hat{t}\otimes$ $\hat{n})$, in which \hat{t} makes an angle $\phi = \tan^{-1} f$ with respect to the largest principal stress axis $\vec{\sigma}_1$, and \hat{t} and \hat{n} form an orthogonal triad of vectors together with the intermediate principal stress axis $\vec{\sigma}_2$. In other words, anelastic shear strain occurs in a plane perpendicular to $\vec{\sigma}_2$. The corresponding cohesionless yield criterion is written as

$$\sigma_1 - \sigma_3 = -\sin(\phi) \,(\sigma_1 + \sigma_3),\tag{9}$$

with σ_1 and σ_3 the magnitudes of the largest and smallest principal stresses $\vec{\sigma}_1$ and $\vec{\sigma}_3$. We note that the angle of a shear band that is generated does not need to be parallel to the direction of shear strain (Vardoulakis, 1980; Vermeer, 1990; Kaus, 2010; Le Pourhiet, 2013), and moreover that a local change in friction is not expected to immediately alter the macroscopic fault angle (e.g. Preuss et al., 2019).

In the Mohr-Coulomb model, the friction coefficient f of a yielding material may be expressed as a function of the principal stresses σ_1 and σ_3 as

$$f(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma_1(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \sigma_3(\boldsymbol{\sigma})}{\sqrt{\sigma_1(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\sigma_3(\boldsymbol{\sigma})}}$$
(10)

Herrendörfer et al. (2018) used the Drucker-Prager model (Drucker & Prager, 1952) as a simple and smooth approximation to Mohr-Coulomb plasticity. The model is defined in terms of the straightforwardly computable invariants

²⁹⁶ 1. pressure
$$p = -\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$$
, and

297 2. effective shear stress $\tau_{\rm e}$ proportional to the *Frobenius norm* of the deviatoric stress 298 tensor $\tau := \sigma + p \delta$, with δ the Kronecker delta:

$$\tau_{\mathrm{e}} := \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|}{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}\right)}. \tag{11}$$

In this model the existing definition of the unit shear sense tensor \hat{s} is no longer applicable because the unit vectors \hat{t} and \hat{n} are not known *a priori*, and are not even uniquely constrained *a posteriori*. Keeping in line with plasticity theory, \hat{s} is redefined as the stress derivative of the non-associated plastic potential τ_{e} :

$$\hat{s}:=rac{\partial au_{
m e}}{\partial oldsymbol{\sigma}}=rac{oldsymbol{ au}}{ au_{
m e}}$$

307

282 283

This definition still satisfies the criterion set out in the preceding section that $\|\hat{s}\| = 1/\sqrt{2}$.

We calibrate the Drucker-Prager model to the Mohr-Coulomb model around a reference stress state σ_0 of simple shear combined with isotropic compression, which encompasses all stress states possible in 2D plane strain and may be considered the most relevant stress state even in 3D tectonic settings. Let $\vec{\sigma}_0$ be given in ordered principal



Comparison of Mohr-Coulomb (thick yellow lines) and Drucker-Prager yield en-Figure 2. velopes (thin blue lines) at increments of pressure. The field of view is the octahedral plane of principal stress space. Projections of principal stress axes are indicated with arrows, and projections of planes of simple shear are indicated with dashed lines. A friction coefficient of 0.3 was used to generate this figure.

stress space by 312

$$ec{\sigma}_0 := -p_0 egin{bmatrix} 1 \ 1 \ 1 \end{bmatrix} + au_{ ext{e},0} egin{bmatrix} +1 \ 0 \ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

313 314

317 318

At $\vec{\sigma} = \vec{\sigma}_0$, Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager measures of effective shear and normal 315 stress coincide (up to a sign) and thus the Drucker-Prager model may be written as 316

 $\tau_{\rm e}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \sin(\phi) \, p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}).$ (12)

Away from $\vec{\sigma}_0$ (and towards a uniaxial stress state) the Drucker-Prager yield surface be-319 comes an increasingly worse approximation to the Mohr-Coulomb yield surface (Figure 320 2). Higher-order approximations like the Willam-Warnke yield envelope (Ulm et al., 1999) 321 may be considered too. The yield surfaces discussed in this section serve as part of a gen-322 eral model proposition – in the one-dimensional numerical experiments considered in this 323 work only the two effective stresses of Amonton's friction law are defined. 324

For a material that is known to be in a state of plastic yielding, the friction coef-325 ficient $f = \tan \phi$ may also be expressed from (12) as a function of the stress tensor σ 326

327 as

328 329

$$f(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \tau_{\rm e}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \left[p(\boldsymbol{\sigma})^2 - \tau_{\rm e}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})^2 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(13)

The friction coefficient $f(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ equals one when the pressure $p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = 0$ and equals zero when the effective stress $\tau_{e}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = 0$. The friction coefficient falls in the interval [0, 1] at any state of stress $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, but has discontinuous derivatives at aforementioned zeroes of p and $f(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$.

Despite adopting a plasticity framework to enforce the frictional yield constraint we emphasise that the resulting model is still best regarded as 'frictional viscous' rather than frictional plastic because it lacks a distinct boundary between yielding and nonyielding states in space and time – the yield constraint (an equality, not an inequality) is enforced everywhere and anytime, and at any stress.

3³⁹ **3** Continuum evolution law

Our goal is to find a continuum state evolution law that produces nearly the same history of loading and sliding as the original formulation of Dieterich and Ruina on a discrete fault. Like V(t) is a 'global' measure of $\gamma(t, x)$ over a fictitious fault transect T, we introduce a local variable $\theta(t, x)$ of which $\Theta(t)$ is a global measure. We target continuum friction and evolution laws expressed in terms of γ and θ of the form

$$f = f_0 + a\log\gamma + b\log\theta, \tag{14}$$

345

$$\dot{\theta} = r_0 \left(c_2 - c_1 \mathcal{M}(\gamma) \theta \right), \tag{15}$$

with \mathcal{M} a smoothing operator that will be further elaborated in Section 3.3 and c_1 and c_2 additional coefficients that are required to calibrate (14) and (15) to their respective interfacial equivalent. The local friction and evolution laws (14) and (15) retain the structure of their interfacial counterparts (1) and (2) and generalize the continuum formulations of Sleep (1997) and Herrendörfer et al. (2018). We will revisit this connection in the Section 5.4 of the Discussion. The targeted form of the continuum equations does not come out of the blue. In coming sections we hope to make clear how it arises.

355 **3.1 Analytical framework**

By

356

1. reorganizing the rate and state friction law (1) into a definition of $\Theta(V, f)$,

- 2. differentiating (1) with respect to time and reorganizing it into a definition of $\dot{V}(t)$, and
- 3. substituting the definition of $\Theta(V, f)$ and the definition of $\dot{\Theta}$ that follows from the aging law (2) into the definition of $\dot{V}(t)$,

363

364 365

370

$$a\dot{V} = br_0 \left[V^2 - V \Theta(V, f)^{-1} \right] + V\dot{f},$$
(16a)

$$\Theta(V, f)^{-1} := V^{\frac{a}{b}} \exp\left(-[f - f_0]/b\right)$$
 (16b)

in which the friction coefficient $f = f(\sigma; \hat{t}, \hat{n})$ is treated as a property of – rather than a constraint on – the stress tensor σ .

By applying the same procedure to the proposed continuum friction and aging laws (14) and (15) we obtain the analogous ODE for γ :

$$a \dot{\gamma} = b r_0 \left[c_1 \gamma \mathcal{M}(\gamma) - c_2 \gamma \theta(\gamma, f)^{-1} \right] + \gamma f, \qquad (17a)$$

$$\theta(\gamma, f)^{-1} := \gamma^{\frac{a}{b}} \exp\left(-[f - f_0]/b\right),$$
(17b)

in which $f = f(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ is given by the yield criterion (13) and $\dot{f} = \dot{f}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}})$ is expressible using the same yield criterion and the elastic constitutive equation (7).

Equations (16a,b) and (17a,b) are useful in mathematical and numerical analysis of the problem because they eliminate a variable and an algebraic constraint, and at the same time provide an ideal reference frame for establishing, and if necessary influencing, the ability of (17a,b) to reproduce the predictions made by (16a,b) in the sense of the integral relation (6).

In the following derivation we will assume that the field of effective friction $f(\vec{x}), \vec{x} \in$ Ω in the neighborhood of a point \vec{x}_f on the fault is the same whether it is generated by a hard or a soft discontinuity. This assumption ties into the principal assumption set out in Section 2.2, which is revisited in Discussion section 5.5. Combining (16a,b), (17a,b), and (6) leads to the following useful relation that describes the evolution of the anelastic shear strain rate distribution over time:

$$\dot{\varphi} \propto V \left[\lambda_0 \, c_1 \, \varphi \, \mathcal{M}(\varphi) - \varphi \right] - \Theta(V, f)^{-1} \left[\lambda_0^{\frac{a}{b}} c_2 \, \varphi^{1 + \frac{a}{b}} - \varphi \right].$$
(18)



Figure 3. Influence of the different terms in Eq. (18) on the time derivative $\dot{\varphi}$. The first term (blue) can be seen to promote localization, while the second term (orange) favors the opposite. Finally the delocalizing influence of the nonlinear Laplacian term $\varphi(x)\varphi''(x)$ is shown in green. The input function φ follows a cosine-squared distribution.

This differential equation tells us several things. Most importantly, for γ to remain in accordance with V through the integral relation (6) over the transect T, the same integral of the left hand side of (18) must be zero. This requirement can only be met at abitrary (V, f) if both the term multiplied by V and the term multiplied by $\Theta(V, f)^{-1}$ integrate to zero over T. This in turn can not in general be guaranteed unless

$$c_1 := \mathcal{C}_1(\varphi) := \lambda_0^{-1} \left[\int \varphi(x) \mathcal{M}(\varphi)(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right]^{-1}, \tag{19a}$$

393

394 395

$$c_2 := \mathcal{C}_2(\varphi) := \lambda_0^{-\frac{a}{b}} \left[\int \varphi(x)^{1+\frac{a}{b}} \, \mathrm{d}x \right]^{-1}.$$
(19b)

We consider it undesirable for coefficients to depend in a time-dependent way on integrals of the modeled quantities and therefore will be restricting our attention to specific regimes of interest during which the values of the coefficients $c_{1,2}$ can be predicted analytically. We then employ those predicted values as model-specific constants in time and space. We accept that this incurs a potential error whenever the state of the model is outside the selected regime, and will critically evaluate this error using numerical models in Section 4.

Assuming the coefficients $c_{1,2}$ have been chosen appropriately and for simplicity that $\mathcal{M}(\varphi) = \varphi$, two additional observations can be made on the basis of (18):

1. The term that is multiplied by V promotes localization if $\mathcal{M}(\varphi) = \varphi$ because φ^2 is a narrower distribution than φ (Figure 3). 2. The opposite (delocalization; Figure 3) holds true for the term that is multiplied by $\Theta(V, f)^{-1}$ on account of the corresponding minus sign and the requirement that a, b > 0.

This localizating and delocalizing behavior is of great interest and will be treated in detail in the following sections.

In the sections that follow we will assume to be operating on the fault-perpendicular transect T and will denote with the scalar coordinate x the distance with respect to the fault core, i.e. $x = \hat{n}(\vec{x}) \cdot [\vec{x} - \vec{x}_f(\vec{x})]$. This setting is in line with our assumption set out in Section 2.2 that accross-fault variations in anelastic strain rate are more compact than its along-fault variations.

417

3.2 Runaway sliding and localization

Earthquakes occur as superexponential solutions to (16a,b). Prior to inertial damping the seismic slip rate V behaves as

$$V \propto V^2$$

422 This ODE has the solution

$$V(t) \propto \left(1 - \frac{t}{t_*}\right)^{-1},$$
(20)

in which t_* is the time of the (hypothetical) singularity.

The same behavior occurs in (17a,b) in the limit

$$\frac{_{427}}{_{428}} \dot{\gamma} \propto c_1 \gamma \,\mathcal{M}(\gamma), \tag{21}$$

 $_{429}$ and in (18) in the limit

$$\dot{\varphi} \propto V \big[\lambda_0 \, c_1 \, \varphi \, \mathcal{M}(\varphi) - \varphi \big]$$



Figure 4. Asymptotic solutions during earthquake nucleation. a) Value of the dimensionless coefficient $c_1(m)$ versus distribution exponent m (see main text) represented on a reciprocal axis that ranges between 2 and ∞ . The thick line acts also as a color bar approximately indicating the value of m at which the individual lines in the remaining figures are plotted. b) Dimensionless slip rate V versus dimensionless time t/t_* to the slip rate asymptote for different values $m \in \{2^{1.0}, 2^{1.5}, 2^{2.0} \dots\}$. c) Nondimensionalized distributions $\varphi(x/l(t); m)/\varphi(0; m)$ versus dimensionless time t/t_* .

Assuming $\mathcal{M}(\Box) = \Box$, (21) can be shown to be solved by

433

434

435

$$V(t) \propto \left(1 - \frac{t}{t_*}\right)^{m^{-1} - 1},\tag{22a}$$

$$\varphi(t, x; m) := \left[l(t)c_1(m) \left(1 + \left| \frac{2x}{l(t)} \right|^m \right) \right]^{-1}, \qquad m \in \mathbb{R} \ge 2$$
(22b)

$$l(t) \propto V(t)^{(1-m)^{-1}},$$
 (22c)

436
$$\gamma_0 \gamma(t, x; m) := v_0 V(t) \varphi(t, x; m),$$
 (22d)

$$c_1 = c_1(m) := \frac{\pi}{m} \csc \frac{\pi}{m},$$
 (22e)

in which the family of strain rate distributions $\varphi(t, x; m)$ generated by the exponent $m \in \mathbb{R} \ge 2$ (Figure 4c) evolves in accordance with a time-variable characteristic width l(t)(Figure 4d). We note the following:

- 1. In the limit $m \to \infty$, the distribution $\varphi(t, x; m \to \infty)$ reduces to a uniform distribution on the constant interval $x \in [-l(0)/2, +l(0)/2]$. The parameter $c_1(m \to \infty) = 1$ (Figure 4a) and the solution (22a) reduces to the interfacial solution (20). However this limit also requires infinite mesh resolution or alternatively the definition of two mesh discontinuities, which defeats our intent.
- 2. In the other end-member case where m = 2, the distribution $\varphi(t, x; m = 2)$ is known as the Cauchy distribution, and its characteristic width $l(t) \propto V(t)^{-1}$. The parameter c_1 is chosen in such a way that the distribution $\varphi(t, x; m)$ integrates to one and the time of the singularity matches the prediction of the interfacial model. However, the trajectory of *V* towards the asymptote is unavoidably different (Figure 4b).

453 3. The functional form of
$$\varphi(t, x; m)$$
 is not valid outside of the limiting case $V \propto V^2$
454 and is thus not stable over time, nor can it be enforced. Anelastic strain rate dis-
455 tributions that spontaneously establish over time in higher-dimensional simula-
456 tions are expected to be of approximate Cauchy type (low exponent m) rather than
457 of uniform type (high exponent m).

⁴⁵⁸ We therefore come to the qualitative conclusion that orders-of-magnitude increases of

- slip rate correspond to orders-of-magnitude increase of strain rate locality, placing in-
- 460 surmountable demands on mesh resolution. If left unconstrained, this process culminates



Figure 5. Plot of the mollifier $m(x) = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \exp\left(-\left|\frac{x}{\lambda}\right|\right)$.

in finite time to an infinite slip rate concentrated in an infinitesimally thin region of space
- a plane.

463

467 468

479 480

3.3 Non-local equivalent strain rate

In order to counter this tendency towards complete localization to a plane we introduce the *non-local* operator \mathcal{M} , which *mollifies* its operand by means of convolution with the symmetric distribution m(x):

$$\mathcal{M}(\gamma)(t,x) := \int_T m(\xi)\gamma(t,x-\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi.$$
(23)

We note that $\mathcal{M}(\gamma)(t, x)$ still satisfies the relation (6) given that the distribution m integrates to unity over its domain.

Evaluation of $\mathcal{M}(\gamma)$ requires the evaluation of the integral of γ over finite regions of space and therefore lacks the mathematical locality that is desirable for continuum models. We follow Peerlings et al. (1996) in constructing and truncating a Taylor series linearization of (23). We find that a mollifier $m(x) = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \exp\left(-\left|\frac{x}{\lambda}\right|\right)$ (Figure 5) leads to series coefficients that are even powers of the length scale λ :

476
$$\mathcal{M}(\gamma)(t,x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2n} \partial_x^{2n} \gamma$$

This infinite sum can be rolled up into a recursive or *implicit* definition of $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{im}$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rm im}^{-1}(\bar{\gamma}) := \bar{\gamma} - \lambda^2 \partial_x^2 \bar{\gamma},\tag{24}$$

which is to be solved for the auxiliary variable $\tilde{\gamma} = \mathcal{M}_{im}(\gamma)$. Alternatively, the terms beyond n = 1 can be dropped, leading to the *explicit* definition of $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{ex}$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{ex}}(\gamma) := \gamma + \lambda^2 \partial_x^2 \gamma. \tag{25}$$

We note that any truncation of the Taylor series into a linear combination of even derivatives of γ adheres to the integral property expressed in (6), even if these truncations lose correspondence to a concrete mollifier. The implicit definition (24) has numerically advantageous properties (Peerlings et al., 1996), but requires the potentially costly solution of an elliptic PDE. For this reason we will use the explicit definition (25) in this work and discuss the implicit definition (24) as an option for future implementations.

We generalize the second partial derivatives ∂_x^2 with respect to the *x* coordinate that appear in (24) and (25) to Laplacians ∇^2 in 3D, again assuming that variations of strain rate across the fault are much bigger than variations along it.

3.4 Non-locality as a localization limiter

We repeat the analysis of Section 3.2, but now use the explicit non-local operator \mathcal{M}_{ex} in

$$\dot{\gamma} \propto c_1 \gamma \, \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\gamma)$$

499 and

500 501

503

504

506 507

494

$$\dot{\varphi} \propto V [\lambda_0 c_1 \varphi \mathcal{M}_{\text{ex}}(\varphi) - \varphi].$$
 (26)

502 We find that it is solved by

$$V(t) \propto \left(1 - \frac{t}{t_*}\right)^{-1},\tag{27a}$$

$$\varphi(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi\lambda} \cos^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) & \forall x \in [-\pi\lambda, +\pi\lambda] \\ 0 & \forall x \notin [-\pi\lambda, +\pi\lambda] \end{cases}$$
(27b)

505
$$\gamma_0 \gamma(t, x) := v_0 V(t) \varphi(x), \qquad (27c)$$

$$c_1 := 2\pi, \qquad \lambda_0 = \lambda, \tag{27d}$$

⁵⁰⁸ and observe that

⁵⁰⁹ 1. The trajectory of V towards its asymptote can be made to exactly match the prediction (20) of the interfacial rate and state friction formulation (16a,b) by a suitable choice of the constant c_1 .



Figure 6. Steady-state distributions φ [cf. Eq. (29a)] of strain rate at various a/b versus distance x with respect to the fault core. The curve plotted for a/b = 0 also corresponds to the most extreme strain rate localization at any value of a/b (see main text).

2. The cosine-squared distribution with prescribed size λ is an attractive steady state during the process of earthquake nucleation. Further localization will not spontaneously occur. We interpret this distribution as striking a balance between the localizing tendency of " $\varphi^2 - \varphi$ " and the delocalizing tendency of " $\varphi \nabla^2 \varphi - \varphi$ " (Equation (18) and Figure 3).

The implicit non-local operator \mathcal{M}_{ex} in the same context does not have solutions that simultaneously satisfy $\dot{V} \propto V^2$ and $\dot{\varphi} = 0$ with non-degenerate distribution $\varphi = \varphi(x/\lambda)$.

520

3.5 Steady-state friction and deformation

As mentioned in Section 1.1, an important property of the state evolution law is the steady state $\Theta V = 1$. We wish to retain this steady state in the continuum equivalent (15) of the state evolution law, ideally at a steady strain rate distribution $\varphi(x)$, cf. (18). We are thus interested in a steady-state solution to

$$\dot{\varphi} \propto \lambda_0 c_1 \varphi \mathcal{M}_{\text{ex}}(\varphi) - \lambda_0^{\frac{a}{b}} c_2 \varphi^{1+\frac{a}{b}}, \qquad (28)$$



Figure 7. The coefficients $c_1\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ and $c_2\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$, given by Eq. (30a) and (30b), are plotted versus the acceptable range of $\frac{a}{b}$.

which exists as long as a, b > 0 (standard requirements) and a < b (a net weakening fault), and reads

$$\varphi\left(x;\frac{a}{b}\right) = \begin{cases} c_{3}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \frac{1}{\pi\lambda} \left[\cos\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)\right]^{2\left[1-\frac{a}{b}\right]^{-1}} & \forall x \in [-\pi\lambda, +\pi\lambda] \\ 0 & \forall x \notin [-\pi\lambda, +\pi\lambda] \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda := \lambda_{0} \left[1-\frac{a}{b}\right]^{-1}.$$
(29a)
(29b)

Faults that are net strengthening or neutral (a > b) do not possess a steady state of the strain rate distribution, instead delocalizing indefinitely.

The coefficients c_1 and c_2 , and the newly introduced c_3 , are given by

534

535

536

537 538

$$c_1\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(1+2\left[1-\frac{a}{b}\right]^{-1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+2\left[1-\frac{a}{b}\right]^{-1}\right)} c_3\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{-2}$$
(30a)

$$c_2\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\pi^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{a}{b}} \frac{\Gamma\left(2\left[1 - \frac{a}{b}\right]^{-1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\left[3 + \frac{a}{b}\right]\left[1 - \frac{a}{b}\right]^{-1}\right)} c_3\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{-1 - \frac{a}{b}}$$
(30b)

$$c_{3}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \left[1 - \frac{a}{b}\right]^{-1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \left[1 - \frac{a}{b}\right]^{-1}\right)},\tag{30c}$$

with Γ the gamma function that has the property $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)! \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. The coefficients c_1 and c_2 are plotted as functions of a/b in Figure 7. The solutions (29a) are plotted in Figure 6, in which we can see that steady-state anelastic strain rate distributions have finite width and are therefore numerically resolvable as long as the aforementioned requirements on a, b, and a/b are met.

544 3.6 Radiation damping

Finite time blow-up of the solution (Section 3.5) under quasi-static loading conditions is understood to be the consequence of the model's inability to radiate or dissipate liberated potential strain energy away from the fault. In what's called the *quasidynamic* or *radiation damping* approximation (Rice, 1993), a simple Newtonian sliding viscosity equal to half the material's shear impedance, here nondimensionalized as $\eta = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu}{\sigma} \frac{v_0}{c_s}$, is added to the friction law:

571

572

573 574

$$f = f_0 + a \log V + b \log \Theta + \eta V.$$
(31)

with $c_s = \sqrt{\mu/\rho}$ the shear wave speed and in turn μ the shear modulus and ρ the mass density.

We have no good way of replicating the behavior of the radiation damping term 555 in the continuum model because introducing a term $\propto c_3 \lambda_0 \eta \gamma$ into the yield function 556 changes the structure of (17a,b) to such an extent that in the high strain rate limit it 557 no longer admits non-degenerate steady-state or self-similar distributions of anelastic strain. 558 Instead this bulk viscous analog to radiation damping can be shown to promote uncon-559 strained delocalization that is only arrested after a sufficiently large friction drop. This 560 means that no constant of proportionality c_3 can be found that ensures a limit on slip 561 rate to the extent that the term ηV does. We choose $c_3 = c_1$, which seems to give good 562 agreement of maximum slip rate between continuum and interfacial models, at least for 563 the parameter values tested. 564

It may be preferable to think of the term $\propto \eta \gamma$ as representing a residual viscosity (e.g. in extreme cases the viscosity of a melt) not subject to further weakening, and accept the limitation that the model with static momentum balance has a somewhat poorly constrained maximum slip rate.

The radiation-damped analog to the anelastic shear strain rate ODE (17a,b) is written for the newly introduced auxiliary field ζ :

$$a\dot{\zeta}(t,x) = br_0\left(c_1 \mathcal{M}_{\text{ex}} \circ \gamma \circ \zeta(t,x) - c_2 \theta(\zeta,f)^{-1}\right) + \dot{f}(t), \qquad (32a)$$

$$\theta(\zeta, f)^{-1} := (\gamma \circ \zeta(t, x))^{\frac{a}{b}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{b}\left[f - f_0 - c_3 \eta \gamma \circ \zeta(t, x)\right]\right), \tag{32b}$$

$$\gamma(\zeta) := \frac{a}{c_3 \eta} W_0\left(\frac{c_3 \eta}{a} \exp \zeta\right), \qquad (32c)$$



Figure 8. The function $\gamma(\zeta)$ defined in Eq. (32c) plotted on a logarithmic scale in the top left diagonal portion of the figure and on a linear scale in the opposing portion, highlighting the exponential behavior of $\gamma(\zeta)$ at low ζ and the linear behavior at high ζ .

with the principal branch $W_0(f)$ of the Lambert W function, which is an implicit transcendental function that is defined to be the solution to the equation $W_0 \exp W_0 = f$. See Figure 8 for a plot of the relation (32c). While we acknowledge that the use of implicit functions in physical descriptions is not ideal, from a practical standpoint there is little problem because reliable and fast algorithms for computing the common Lambert W function are included in many programming languages (Fritsch et al., 1973; Barry, Barry, & Culligan-Hensley, 1995; Barry, Culligan-Hensley, & Barry, 1995; Johansson, 2020).

582 4 Numerical models

Analytical predictions made in preceding sections are complemented here with numerical simulation results that better illustrate the complex time-dependent behavior of the system of equations, and allow us to establish the consequences of approximations and other model choices made in the process of formulating a local equivalent continuum rate and state friction law. As before we restrict the scope to 1D models across the shear band.

589 4.1 Equations

On the one-dimensional line $x \in [-L/2, +L/2]$ that deforms under simple shear, analogous to the transect T defined in Figure 1, we model the compact equation (32a,b) together with the one-dimensional static momentum balance equation $\partial \tau / \partial x = 0$ cf. (8), elastic constitutive equation $\dot{\tau}(t,x) = \mu \left[\frac{\partial v(t,x)}{\partial x} - 2\gamma_0 \gamma(t,x) \right]$ cf. (7) (with μ the shear modulus as before), yield equality $\tau(t) = f(t)\sigma$, and finally the boundary conditions $v(t,x = \mp L/2) = \mp V_p/2$ combined into the single ODE

$$\dot{f}(t) = \frac{\mu}{\sigma} \left[\frac{V_p}{L} - \frac{\mathcal{V}(\gamma \circ \zeta)}{L} \right],$$
(32d)

596 597

with V_p the 'plate' driving velocity that is applied antisymmetrically on both ends of the domain. This equation uses (6) that relates slip velocity to the integral (from -L/2 to +L/2) of anelastic shear strain rate γ , as well as (32c) for the relation between γ and the auxiliary field ζ .

The system is closed with natural boundary conditions $\partial \gamma / \partial x = 0$ on $\gamma(t, x)$ 602 $\mp L/2$), and initial conditions $f(t=0) = f_0 + \Delta f$ and $\gamma(t=0,x) \propto \varphi_0(x) + \epsilon$, with 603 $\varphi_0(x)$ deriving from the analytical prediction (29a) and ϵ a necessary but small homo-604 geneous background value of anelastic shear strain rate. As long as this value is small 605 enough ($\epsilon \ll V_p/L \ll v_0/L$) and the 'tails' of the strain rate distribution can be ig-606 nored, the required computational domain size may be based on the length scale λ and 607 therefore cover only a potentially small fraction of the line [-L/2, +L/2], greatly reduc-608 ing the computational cost. 609

⁶¹⁰ The solution procedure is outlined in Appendix Appendix A.

611

617 618

4.2 Continuum velocity stepping friction experiments

Velocity stepping laboratory experiments form the foundation on which rate and state friction was proposed (Dieterich, 1978). We compare numerical velocity stepping experiments of a traditional rate and state friction-governed interface with our proposed continuum equivalent under the same conditions. We impose a driving velocity that follows a smooth square wave function with respect to sliding distance δ :

$$\log_{10}\left(V_p(\delta)/v_0\right) = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{\nu}\cos\left(\frac{\pi\,\delta}{n\,d_c}\right)\right),\tag{34}$$

with n = 20 the amount of slip weakening distances d_c between velocity steps, and $\nu =$ 10⁻³ a parameter that controls the smoothness of the smooth square wave, with small numbers giving the squarest result (see Figure 9a). Some smoothness is important to maintain numerically stable results. The low and high driving velocities are $10^{-1}v_0$ and $10^{+1}v_0$ respectively. Other model parameters are given in Table 4.2. Inertial effects may be ignored (both in the original lab experiments and in our simulations) due to the low driv-



Figure 9. Results of the numerical velocity stepping experiments: a) dimensionless sliding velocity V closely tracking a smooth square wave signal (Eq. (34)); b) frictional response of the interfacial reference model (thick yellow line) and continuum model (dark blue line) with for reference the theoretical steady-state friction $f_{ss}(V)$ (black line); c) measured (solid lines; cf. Eq. (19a,b)) vs. used (dashed lines; cf. Eq. (30a,b)) values of the dimensionless coefficients $c_{1,2}$; d) distribution $\varphi = \gamma/V$ of an elastic shear strain rate with darker blue colors reflecting very low values and bright yellow colors reflecting high values, and contours distributed evenly on a linear scale. Reflecting the ultimate slip-dependence (rather than direct time-dependence) of the rate and state friction laws, curves in panels a)–d) are plotted against sliding distance δ (measured in critical slip distances d_c) on the vertical axis. Panel e) shows an enhanced view of the evolution of the instantaneous friction coefficient towards the steady-state value with slip distance δ , simultaneously showing a positive and negative step for both the interface and continuum models. Panel f) displays a full cycle of both models in terms of sliding velocity V and friction perturbation $f - f_{\rm ss}$. It distinguishes interface and continuum models by line color and thickness as in panels b) and e).

parameter	value	unit
a	$2 \ 10^{-2}$	1
b	$3 \ 10^{-2}$	1
d_c	10^{-5}	m
v_0	10^{-6}	$m s^{-1}$
ρ	10^{+3}	$\rm kg \ m^{-3}$
μ	10^{+10}	Pa
c_s	$3.162\ 10^{+3}$	${\rm m~s^{-1}}$
η	$1.581\ 10^{-6}$	1
σ	10^{+6}	Pa
d_c	$5.00 \ 10^{-4}$	m
λ	$\sim 1.4 \ 10^{-4}$	m
c_1	1.531 ^a	1
c_2	1.276^{a}	1
r_0	v_0/d_c	s^{-1}
ν	10^{-3}	1
n	20	1

 Table 1. Parameter values used in the velocity stepping experiment

Some rate and state parameter values are roughly based on Erickson et al. (2020). ^aUsing (30a) and (30b) with stated values of a and b.

ing velocity. Thus, the radiation damping viscosity η is set to zero and its effects not discussed here. In the limit $\eta \to 0^+$, (32c) simply reduces to $\gamma(\zeta) = \exp \zeta$ or equivalently $\zeta(\gamma) = \ln \gamma$, which reduces (32a,b) back to (17a,b).

Because the domain size L is very small and the system (32a–32d) therefore stiff, the friction tends to a stable steady state after being perturbed by a velocity step rather than developing a limit cycle. This also means that $\mathcal{V}(\gamma) \approx V_p(\delta)$. In fact, $\mathcal{V}(\gamma)$ and $V_p(\delta)$ are so close that their difference would not register in Figure 9a.

In the following, we use the terms time-dependence and slip dependence interchangeably but note that the rate of change of either is not constant from the perspective of the other. A slip-centric presentation of velocity-stepping results is commonplace in the earlier cited literature.

In Figure 9b (and its detailed view 9e) we explore the similarities and differences 636 in slip dependence of the friction coefficient f between the interfacial and continuum ve-637 locity stepping experiments. Based on (18), we expect that a velocity step perturbs not 638 just the magnitude of anelastic shear strain, but also its distribution (Figure 9d) and there-639 fore the theoretical value of the dimensionless coefficients $c_{1,2}$ (Section 3.3; Equations 640 (19a) and (19b); Figure 9c, solid lines) away from steady state. Since these coefficients 641 are actually set to their constant steady-state predictions (Section 3.5; Equations (30a) 642 and (30b); dashed lines in Figure 9c), we expect some differences in time or slip depen-643 dence to occur. Notably, a positive velocity step leads to a temporary localization of anelas-644 tic deformation and a corresponding decrease in the theoretical values of $c_{1,2}$ with re-645 spect to steady state. This leads to an overestimation of corresponding terms in the right-646 hand-side of (32a) and a faster decay towards the steady-state friction coefficient (Fig-647 ure 9b,e) of the continuum model with respect to the interfacial model. A negative ve-648 locity step causes temporary delocalization (Figure 9d) and under-estimation of terms 649 involving $c_{1,2}$ in (32a), but does not lead to any noticeable difference in friction coeffi-650 cient evolution towards the steady state between the two models (Figure 9b,e). We con-651 clude that those terms involving $c_{1,2}$ are negligible in this situation. 652

In Figure 9c and 9d it can also be seen that the *distribution* of anelastic shear strain rate tends more slowly to a steady state than the friction coefficient itself, and moreover that this decay is slower after a positive velocity step than after a negative one. In fact, the 40 d_c wavelength we use for the intput signal (34) is too small to enable a somewhat

-28-

complete evolution towards steady-state of the anelastic strain rate distribution during

the high-velocity regime, but we have taken care that this does not meaningfully impact

the results during the subsequent low-velocity regime.

Figure 9f shows that in V-f phase space where time- or slip-dependence is hidden, the response of the continuum and interface models are practically equivalent.

662

4.3 Continuum spring-slider experiments

Whereas velocity stepping experiments are useful for studying the way in which 663 friction can be attracted to a steady state, permanently out-of-equilibrium 'limit cycle' 664 behavior is more interesting in the study of earthquake dynamics. We achieve this be-665 havior by increasing the size of the domain and the distance of the boundary conditions 666 by many orders of magnitude (L = 80 km) with respect to the velocity stepping ex-667 periments. Thereby, we greatly reducing the effective stiffness of the medium as can be 668 seen in (32d). This is analogous to performing the so-called spring-slider experiment in 669 which a mass is dragged over a surface by a spring that is tensed at a constant rate to 670 give an educational example of the apparent stick-slip behavior of seismogenic faults. Our 671 goal in performing this experiment is to determine the degree to which the here proposed 672 continuum friction laws match the predictions made by Dieterich and Ruina's interfa-673 cial laws and to describe the transient behavior of anelastic strain rate in the added di-674 mension. Radiation damping plays an essential role in these experiments to close the limit 675 cycle that otherwise extends to $V \rightarrow \infty$ (Section 3.6). As discussed in the same sec-676 tion, our continuum approximation to radiation damping precludes a steady-state anelas-677 tic strain rate distribution at high slip velocity, a fact that directly contradicts one of 678 our precepts (Section 3.1). Therefore we anticipate a larger discrepancy between inter-679 face and continuum models here than was observed during the velocity stepping exper-680 iments. 681

Figure 10 depicts the evolution of quantities of interest over the course of multiple orbits of the solution as it converges to the limit cycle. The first important observation is that the continuum model still appears to exhibit spontaneous limit cycle behavior. Due to the appropriately chosen initial condition, the wind-up period is short and there is little variability between successive revolutions. As predicted (Section 3.5), the nucleation phase is marked by runaway amplitude increase of a quasi-steady strain



Figure 10. Evolution of the continuum seismic cycle simulation demonstrated by a succession of six events plotted against time step count on the horizontal axis, with a seventh event stretched by a factor four in order to better show some features of an individual event. The end of each event is punctuated by a slip velocity minimum and numbered 1 to 7 on the horizontal axes. Each revolution around the limit cycle takes 83.00 years and 107,500 time steps to complete. Panel a: time step size h_t (thin dark line; left axis; logarithmic scale) and slip velocity V (thick bright line, right axis; logarithmic scale). The former serves to aid the interpretation of the time-dependent progression of each event while the latter gives a sense of magnitude to the color scale of panel b. Axes are chosen so that together these curves express the imperfect reciprocal relation between time step and slip velocity. Panel b: logarithm of dimensionless anelastic shear strain rate versus signed distance x measured in number of length scales λ away from the shear zone center. Bright yellow colors indicate high strain rate; dark blue colors indicate low strain rate. Contours are drawn at equal intervals in log space. No explicit color scale is given because the magnitude of an elastic shear strain rate γ is tied to the value of λ in order to produce a length-scale independent history of sliding velocity V (panel a). Panel c: measured (solid lines; cf. Eq. (19a,b)) vs. used (dashed lines; cf. Eq. (30a,b)) values of the dimensionless parameters -30 $c_{1,2}$.



Figure 11. Focus on the last event of Figure 10, here visualized in panel a by strain rate on a linear color and contour scale and in panel b by slip rate V, both versus a linear time scale in seconds.

rate distribution (panel b). As before, we measure the dynamic value of the coefficients 688 $c_{1,2}$ from the strain rate distribution following (19a,b), and compare to the analytically 689 derived steady-state values (30a,b). In line with analytical predictions this phase is also 690 accompanied by measured values of $c_{1,2}$ (panel c) that are slightly lower than the con-691 stant values that are prescribed (dashed lines in panel c). The opposite situation occurs 692 throughout the remainder of each cycle where anelastic strain is more widely distributed. 693 We can attribute this directly to the effect of 'radiation damping' or residual viscosity, 694 which exerts a delocalizing influence. Figure 11 provides a more intuitive view of the short-695 lived delocalizing behavior of a strain pulse using linear rather than logarithmic scales. 696 We emphasize that the ultimate extent of coseismic delocalization compared to the length 697 scale λ is dependent on model and material parameters, among which the effective stiff-698 ness of the medium. 699

Large peaks in the measured values of $c_{1,2}$ shown in panel c of Figure 10 occur during the post- and interseismic phase, where anelastic shear strain rate is broadly and quite uniformly distributed. The values that are actually used (dashed lines) are way too small here, however, terms that do not involve these coefficients dominate the time-dependent behavior in this regime and the discrepancy's consequences are limited. This is largely confirmed in Figure 12, which tabulates the evolving scalar properties of a limit cycle

-31-

of the interfacial and continuum models of rate and state friction. In particular, the duration of the limit cycle (first column, panels a–c, time in years on the horizontal axis)
is very similar even though the timing of the event in relation to its enclosing slip velocity minima is somewhat different.

Markedly different are the coseismic aspects of the limit cycle. Panel e of the mid-710 dle column and panels h-j of the right column of Figure 12 clearly show this. The con-711 tinuum model has a faster stress drop (panel h, $U' \propto f$) and a more symmetric slip rate 712 response with time compared to the interfacial model. The limit cycle depicted in lin-713 ear phase space (U', V) in Figure 12e shows a skewed triangular trajectory of the inter-714 facial model and a more parabolic trajectory for the continuum model. It appears that 715 the amount of stress drop that happens *before* peak slip rate in the interfacial model is 716 small – about 10% of the total stress drop. At the same point in the continuum model 717 the stress drop is already about one third of the total. The same limit cycle shown in 718 Figure 12f in log-linear phase space $(U', log_{10}V)$ allows us to see that there are subtle 719 differences between the limit cycles of the interfacial and continuum models over the whole 720 range of slip velocities. 721

A further result of practical interest is the difference in adaptively chosen time step 722 between the interfacial and continuum models. Figure 10a shows an approximate inverse 723 relation between the time step of continuum model to the aggregate slip velocity v_0V , 724 which is unsurprising seeing how $d_c/[v_0V(t)]$ gives a sensible local time scale for both 725 interfacial and continuum rate and state friction models. The approximate inverse re-726 lation breaks down at large time scales where the corresponding time steps are truncated 727 to a fixed value of 0.1 yr, and at large slip velocity and small time step, where the time 728 scale of the continuum model becomes dominated by large spatial gradients and is gen-729 erally much smaller than that of the interfacial model (Figures 12d,g,k). From these same 730 plots it can be seen that, just like stress begins to drop in significant advance of an event, 731 so too does the time step of the continuum model. 732

Finally, we remark that spatial resolution tests indicate that the quality of the solution is only influenced by the ratio of cell size h_x to λ , with acceptable results achieved when $h_x/\lambda \ge 10$. For the results presented here we have used $h_x/\lambda = 20$. Changing λ in proportion to h_x does nothing except to cause a wider or narrower but equally well resolved strain distribution and a virtually identical limit cycle.



Figure 12. Panels a–g: behavior of the interfacial (bright yellow lines) and continuum (dark blue lines) models for a full limit cycle. Panels h–k: focus on the 100 seconds around an event. From left to right, horizontal axes are: time t in years, slip deficit U' in meters (linearly related to shear stress τ and friction coefficient f), and time t in seconds. Vertical axes from top to bottom are slip deficit U' in meters, slip velocity V in meters per second on a linear scale, the same on a logarithmic scale, and finally the time step size h_t in seconds on a logarithmic scale. Where limit cycles are shown (middle column, panels e–g), the cycle sense is indicated with small arrows.

738 5 Discussion

So far we have argued for a visco-elastic continuum rheology that resembles both the mathematical presentation as well as the resulting behavior of traditional interfacial rate and state friction as described by Dieterich and Ruina in their respective seminal puplications. Before addressing this work in the context of a much broader body of existing research and reflecting on critical assumptions made in this work and its extension towards the future, we briefly touch upon some alternate branches of our proposed theory that have been left out so far for the sake of clarity.

746

761 762

5.1 A primitive reformulation

In contrast to traditional models of plasticity, the continuum rate and state fric-747 tion laws presented here possess a continuous time-dependence that makes the anelas-748 tic shear strain rate γ a predictable quantity rather than one that is to be solved by a 749 constrained optimization algorithm (e.g. Simo & Taylor, 1985; Duretz et al., 2018). This 750 is apparent from the ODE (17a,b) for γ , but is hidden in the Dieterich-Ruina form (14) 751 and (15) of the continuum rate and state friction rheology. However, (17a,b) lacks the 752 simplicity and elegance of the Dieterich-Ruina system and is difficult to interpret as the 753 resultant of physical phenomena. By defining a set of primitive variables κ and ψ as 754

- $\dot{\kappa} = r_{\kappa} \gamma$
- $\dot{\psi} = r_{\psi} \gamma^{a/b} \exp\left(-[f(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) f_0]/b\right),$
- with the respective reference rates $r_{\kappa} = r_0 c_1$ and $r_{\psi} = r_0 c_2$, we are able to write a more expressive formulation of (17a,b) as

$$\dot{\kappa} = r_{\kappa}\gamma = r_{\kappa}\left(\dot{\psi}/r_{\psi}\right)^{\frac{b}{a}}\exp\left(\left[f(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - f_{0}\right]/a\right)$$
(36)

$$\dot{\psi} = r_{\psi} \exp\left(\mathcal{M}_{\rm ex}(\kappa) - \psi\right),\tag{37}$$

(35)

that still closes the momentum balance equation (8) and elasto-plastic constitutive equation (7) without algebraic constraints and thus without requiring a constrained optimization algorithm. Equation (37) can accommodate radiation damping using the Lambert
W function analogous to the way it was discussed in Section 3.6.

We recognize $\kappa(t, x)$ as a dimensionless measure of accumulated anelastic shear strain and therefore assign to ψ the meaning of a 'virtual' or 'unrealized' strain. In spite of the conceptual appeal of (36) and (37), it can be difficult to choose appropriate initial conditions for ψ .

5.2 Quadratic diffusion

774 775

Instead of the mollified term $\gamma \mathcal{M}(\gamma)$ that was introduced in (15) and used throughout the remainder of this work, we could have chosen to use $\mathcal{M}(\gamma^2)$:

$$a \dot{\gamma}_l = b r_0 \left[c_1 \mathcal{M}(\gamma^2) - c_2 \gamma \,\theta(\gamma, f)^{-1} \right] + \gamma \dot{f}. \tag{38}$$

and the definition of c_1 is adapted to the new way of mollifying according to the same principles as laid out in Section 3.

This choice has advantages and disadvantages. A major disadvantage is that it does 778 not seem to allow a return from (38) to the more elegant Dieterich-Ruina form (14) and 779 (15), nor to the compact form (36)–(37) proposed in the preceding section. A clear ad-780 vantage is that, taken together with the explicit non-local operator \mathcal{M}_{ex} , (38) produces 781 a degenerate reaction-diffusion equation of generalized Fisher or Kolmogorov-Petrovsky-Piskunov 782 (KPP) type (Fisher, 1937; Kolmogorov et al., 1937) that remains well-posed at and around 783 $\gamma = 0$. This leads to shear zone solutions that can propagate into perfectly intact rock 784 without taking recourse to an arbitrary small initial condition on γ . Furthermore, un-785 der quadratic diffusion, the function $\gamma(\zeta)$ used in the ODE form (32a–d) in Section 3.6 786 becomes algebraic instead of transcendentally implicit. 787

We have reproduced all results presented in Section 4 with this quadratic diffusion 788 term and zero background anelastic strain rate too, and observe numerically smoother 789 and better resolved shear fronts during the coseismic delocalization phase. These fronts 790 also travel faster and further than in the linear diffusion case leading to a larger discrep-791 ancy between measured and used values of the coefficients $c_{1,2}$ and consequently larger 792 deviations of the limit cycle with respect to that of the interfacial rate and state friction 793 model. The generalized Fisher–KPP type equation has merited a large body of theoret-794 ical research (e.g. Sánchez-Garduño & Maini, 1994, 1995, 1997; Sherratt & Marchant, 795 1996; S. Petrovskii & Li, 2003; S. V. Petrovskii & Li, 2006; Gilding & Kersner, 2005; Y. Wu 796 et al., 2006; Y. Li & Wu, 2008; Malaguti & Ruggerini, 2010; Broadbridge & Bradshaw-797 Hajek, 2016) and therefore some of the above mentioned empirical findings may be given 798 a theoretical underpinning in future work. 799

-35-

800

5.3 Relation to regularized damage or plasticity models

As shown in Section 4.3 there are circumstances under which the transient viscous rheology proposed in this work promotes a spontaneous organization of periods and regions of negligible anelastic strain rate and those of significant anelastic strain rate. Even though a critical yield stress seems to be an emergent rather than an inherent property of the system of equations and initial and boundary conditions, it is tempting to think of this rheology as a smooth plasticity model.

We have shown (Section 3.5) that our model requires regularization by a non-local 807 strain rate measure to avoid spurious mesh dependence. Non-locality in one form or an-808 other has been applied to combat ill-posedness in e.g. Bažant et al. (1984); Triantafyl-809 lidis and Aifantis (1986); Schrever and Chen (1986); Pijaudier-Cabot and Bažant (1987); 810 de Borst and Mühlhaus (1992); Peerlings et al. (1996); Jirásek (1998); Bažant and Jirásek 811 (2002); Engelen et al. (2003); Jirásek and Rolshoven (2009b, 2009a); Burghardt et al. 812 (2012); Lyakhovsky et al. (2011); Lyakhovsky and Ben-Zion (2014b, 2014a); Lyakhovsky 813 et al. (2016); Kurzon et al. (2019, 2020); Kiefer et al. (2018); Abdallah et al. (2020). 814

The comprehensive non-local damage-breakage rheology of Lyakhovsky et al. (2011); 815 Lyakhovsky and Ben-Zion (2014b, 2014a); Lyakhovsky et al. (2016), firmly rooted in ther-816 modynamic theory and well-calibrated to match observations, is one of few damage the-817 ories developed to cover the full process of earthquake generation and healing (Lyakhovsky 818 et al., 2016; Kurzon et al., 2019, 2020). As in our model, the nonlocality in theirs is of 819 Gradient type, but differs subtly in that it acts on the damage parameter rather than 820 on the anelastic shear strain rate. Another example is the Godunov-Peshkov-Romenski 821 model (S. Godunov & Romenskii, 1972; S. K. Godunov & Romenskii, 2003; Resnyan-822 sky et al., 2003; Romenskii, 2007; Romenski et al., 2020), which was first used in Gabriel 823 et al. (2021) to simulate dynamic rupture and off-fault damage generation. This model 824 differs from our model and the aforementioned damage-breakage rheology in a funda-825 mental way in that shear bands are produced by damage waves described by hyperbolic 826 equations rather than parabolic equations of reaction-diffusion type. 827

Our model differs from phase-field models of fracture in some respects. By allowing the spatial distribution of anelastic shear strain rate to vary over time, we are unable to retrieve a phase field $d \in (0, 1)$. We do not consider this a disadvantage, but do acknowledge that this is mainly a consequence of our viscous approximation to ra-

-36-

diation damping (Section 3.6), which gives rise to a reaction term in (32) that can be considered as the derivative of a double-well potential. Multiple authors have commented critically on the use of double-well potentials in phase field methods (e.g. Kuhn et al., 2015; J.-Y. Wu, 2017).

Interestingly, our bulk viscous analog of radiation damping also appears as a reg-836 ularization technique in some plasticity models (Needleman, 1988; Peirce et al., 1983; 837 Duretz et al., 2019, 2020, 2021; de Borst & Duretz, 2020; Stathas & Stefanou, 2022). In 838 these models the Kelvin–Voigt arrangement of yield strength and Newtonian viscosity 839 truncates the steady-state anelastic strain rate that may be achieved, forcing a shear zone 840 to have a finite width in order to slide at a certain macroscopic rate. In our model, the 841 same viscosity also introduces an effective upper bound on anelastic strain rate attained 842 during the limit cycle and causes subsequent delocalization, but we must still rely on the 843 gradient regularization to combat the unbounded localization that would otherwise hap-844 pen even at low strain rate. A detailed analysis of the efficacy of viscous regularization 845 in dynamic problems is made in Stathas and Stefanou (2022). 846

847

5.4 Relation to other transient continuum rheologies

The framework here proposed can be seen as a generalization of the work of Herrendörfer 848 et al. (2018); Preuss et al. (2019, 2020), who made the purely local substitution $v_0V \rightarrow$ 849 $h_x \gamma_0 \gamma$ and used a Drucker-Prager elastoplastic model similar to the one set out in Sec-850 tion 2.4. Setting $\lambda, \eta = 0$ and assuming that strain rate fully localizes into a discrete 851 Dirac function sampled every h_x , we find that the coefficients c_1 and c_2 become h_x/d_c 852 and 1 respectively, substitution of which into (15) yields Herrendörfer's version of the 853 aging law. Their model was first applied along a predefined staggered grid line in Herrendörfer 854 et al. (2018), obeying the discrete Dirac distribution of anelastic shear strain automat-855 ically and effectively yielding a numerical method analogous to the stress glut method 856 of Andrews (1999). Herrendörfer's model was subsequently applied in an unconstrained 857 evolving continuum model in Preuss et al. (2019, 2020), but notwithstanding measures 858 put in place that acknowledge the changing distribution of shear strain rate within a shear 859 zone, their model ultimately lacks regularizations that remove mesh dependence. 860

As noted in Section 1.2 of the Introduction, a variety of continuum theories have been developed to explain the general rate- and state-like behavior of deformation in faults

-37-

and shear zones (e.g. Sleep, 1997; Braeck & Podladchikov, 2007; John et al., 2009; Thiel-863 mann et al., 2015; Thielmann, 2018; Rozel et al., 2011; Barbot, 2019; Niemeijer & Spiers, 864 2007; Chen & Spiers, 2016; Van den Ende et al., 2018; Roubíček, 2014; Lyakhovsky et 865 al., 2011; Lyakhovsky & Ben-Zion, 2014b, 2014a; Lyakhovsky et al., 2016; Kurzon et al., 866 2019, 2020; Poulet et al., 2014; Veveakis et al., 2014; Alevizos et al., 2014; Rattez, Ste-867 fanou, & Sulem, 2018; Rattez, Stefanou, Sulem, Veveakis, & Poulet, 2018; Pozzi et al., 868 2021). We note again that an internal length scale in these models typically arises from 869 the inclusion of a diffusion process (of e.g. temperature, pore pressure) but that may not 870 always be adjusted to meet the constraints imposed by scale and computational power 871 without changing the outcome of the model. In our proposed formulation an artificial 872 diffusion process acts directly on the anelastic shear strain rate, resulting in a robust and 873 controllable internal length scale. 874

In this last respect our work is preceded by nearly 25 years by Sleep (1997). In this 875 work Sleep combined and extended earlier works (Sleep, 1995; Segall & Rice, 1995; Linker 876 & Dieterich, 1992; Chester, 1994, 1995) in which rate and state friction was interpreted 877 as the product of crack generation and healing, associated rheological weakening, and 878 dissipative heating. This physical reasoning resulted in a model that contains only quan-879 tities that are either directly measurable or can be modeled by independent methods. 880 This contrasts with our purely mathematical argumentation that serves to retain close 881 correspondence to the original phenomenological description of rate and state dependent 882 sliding on a frictional interface. Sleep (1997) neglects the fluxes and associated spatial 883 gradients of the pore fluid but does include heat diffusion, which they note does how-884 ever not play a significant role at the scale of their numerical experiments. Instead, re-885 sembling our approach, they impose an artificial length scale and forced strain distribu-886 tion by explicit mollification of the anelastic shear strain rate with a Gaussian kernel. 887 This leads to an aging law (Equation 53 in Sleep (1997)) that is structurally identical 888 to our result (15). Like us, they find that strain localization can only occur when a < a889 b, and that a rate-strengthening effect that activates at high strain rate leads to strain 890 delocalization. With respect to Sleep's valuable contribution, in this work we provide 891 a more complete argumentation for this type of spatial regularization and analysis of the 892 resulting patterns of strain localization and delocalization over the seismic cycle. 893

-38-

894

5.5 Assumptions and their impact on future work

We proposed our model in a very general three-dimensional continuum mechan-895 ics framework, but for simplicity have considered only a small fault neighborhood in which 896 in-plane variations of fault properties can be neglected (Section 2.2) so that the model 897 becomes effectively one-dimensional. This same assumption was also taken in the nu-898 merical models that we have used in our analysis. The assumption is clearly violated around 899 fault branches, at fault kinks or on rough faults, and near the fault or rupture tip. We 900 note however that rate and state friction was proposed based on laboratory studies that 901 also neglect these geometrical complexities. Although rate and state faults with branches 902 and kinks are still largely non-standard in present-day numerical modeling studies, plenty 903 of attention has been given to the critical nucleation patch and the structure of the co-904 hesive zone near the rupture tip (e.g. Rice, 1993; Cocco & Bizzarri, 2002; Cocco et al., 905 2004; Rubin & Ampuero, 2005; Day et al., 2005; Lapusta & Liu, 2009; Viesca, 2016b, 906 2016a; Putelat et al., 2017). Given our main assumption, these features can only be ac-907 curately reproduced with our continuum formulation in higher-dimensional numerical 908 models if the regularization length scale λ is significantly smaller than the length scales 909 associated with the critical nucleation patch and the cohesive zone. In turn the cell size 910 must be sufficient to resolve λ , and so we expect to need a grid resolution that is signif-911 icantly higher than that of existing methods to simulate rate and state frictional inter-912 faces. We have also seen that the diffusion process is associated with a smaller time scale, 913 and thus, stricter time step constraints than the interfacial model. We note that both 914 spatial and temporal resolution requirements already place challenging constraints on 915 simulations of seismic and aseismic slip sequences (Erickson et al., 2020). 916

It seems prudent to first make a more detailed assessment of the computational demands and the techniques that may be required to meet the resolution requirements (e.g. adaptive mesh refinement, local adaptive time stepping). In the process of constructing higher-dimensional models one may first concentrate on the friendliest regions of parameter space, for example those that promote stable sliding, or undamped runaway localization as in Viesca (2016b, 2016a).

The temporal patterns of localization and delocalization that occur in our model yield testable predictions that neatly tie in to a recent surge in interest in similar patterns observed in the lab and in nature (e.g. Ben-Zion & Zaliapin, 2020; McBeck et al.,

2018; McBeck, Aiken, et al., 2020; McBeck, Ben-Zion, & Renard, 2020; McBeck et al., 926 2021). It could be the scope of future research to reinterpret anelastic strain rate in our 927 model as a measure of the activity of a statistical distribution of cracks of various prop-928 erties and compare to aforementioned lab and field observations. In this context, the de-929 localization that is in our models induced by a rate-limiting Kelvin viscosity is reminis-930 cent of the growth of off-fault fracture networks during dynamic rupture (e.g. Temple-931 ton & Rice, 2008; K. Okubo et al., 2019; Gabriel et al., 2021). 932

6 Conclusions 933

In this work we have carefully constructed a coordinate-invariant and mesh-independent 934 transient visco-elastic continuum rheology that behaves in a way that is consistent with 935 rate and state friction on an interface. We have shown that inclusion of a diffusion-like 936 spatial regularization ensures a limit to strain localization and thus guarantees mesh con-937 vergence. In a simplified 1D fault transect, important metrics of the seismic cycle – such 938 as slip rate and friction – are independent of the diffusion length scale associated with 939 the regularization. However, throughout this work we have assumed the regularization 940 length scale to be small compared to the length scales associated with other features of 941 interest, such as fault curvature or along-strike variations of slip rate and stress. There-942 fore, going forward, high-resolution 2D or 3D numerical models are required to apply this 943 model to the study of the seismogenic behavior of emerging and evolving fault zone net-944 works. Our continuum rheology resembles a reaction-diffusion equation for anelastic strain 945 rate. Processes described by such equations are ubiquitous in nature, and it is tempt-946 ing to compare temporal patterns of localization and delocalization produced by our model 947 with natural observations. 948

Acknowledgments 949

956

This research has been supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and 950 Innovation Programme under the ERC StG TEAR, grant no. 852992. CP acknowledges 951 additional financial support by Swiss National Science Foundation grant 200021-169880. 952 PS acknowledges financial support from the Swiss University Conference and the Swiss 953 Council of Federal Institutes of Technology through the Platform for Advanced Scien-954 tific Computing (PASC) program. A.-A.G. acknowledges additional support by the Eu-955 ropean Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under ChEESE, grant

-40-

no. 823844 and the German Research Foundation (DFG projects grants no. GA 2465/2-957 1, GA 2465/3-1). None of the authors declare any competing interests or financial con-958 flicts. All scripts used to generate the figures and their underlying data are available in 959 the supplementary materials. We depend on the MIT-licenced 'Scientific Colour Maps' 960 package (Crameri, 2021) for distortion-free representation of the model results – also for 961 readers with color vision deficiencies (Crameri et al., 2020). 962

Appendix A Solution procedure 963

We discretize the Laplacian using a standard second-order accurate central differ-964 ence stencil, with natural boundary conditions of the same accuracy implemented by stag-965 gering the fields with respect to the physical domain walls. The problem size is halved 966 by exploiting symmetry across the shear zone. Integrals are evaluated using a midpoint 967 rule. This gives the discrete system 968

969
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} = \mathbf{g}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}, \tilde{f})$$

$$\hat{\tilde{f}} = \mathrm{h}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}, \tilde{f}),$$

where tildes indicate approximate space-discrete quantities and numerical arrays are in-972 dicated in bold face. We form a symbolic rules for computing the Jacobian matrix \mathbf{J} of 973 this system of non-linear space-discrete equations, 974

$$\mathbf{J}_{75} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{J}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}, \tilde{f}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}} \, \mathbf{g}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}, \tilde{f}) & \nabla_{\tilde{f}} \, \mathbf{g}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}, \tilde{f}) \\ \nabla_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}} \, \mathrm{h}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}, \tilde{f}) & \nabla_{\tilde{f}} \, \mathrm{h}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}, \tilde{f}) \end{bmatrix},$$

9

with the upper left block a dense $N \times N$ matrix with a dominant sparse band structure 977 (N being the problem size), the lower right block a 1×1 empty matrix, and the off-diagonal 978 blocks densely populated vectors of compatible shape. The system is then linearized as 979

980
$$d\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}} \\ \dot{\tilde{f}} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{J}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}, \tilde{f}) d\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} \\ \tilde{f} \end{bmatrix}$$
981

and the smallest time scale $S_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}, \tilde{f})$ to be resolved is computed as the reciprocal of the 982 largest eigenvalue of the Jacobian matrix **J**. The actual time step Δ_t is computed as some 983 fixed fraction of S_t , optionally bounded by a maximum value and/or maximum growth 984 rate to prevent time step overestimation as the time scale increases. The equations are 985 discretized in time with forward and backward Euler schemes, both first-order accurate, 986

987 respectively as

988

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^{k+1} \\ \tilde{f}^{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^k \\ \tilde{f}^k \end{bmatrix} + \Delta_t \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{g}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^k, \tilde{f}^k) \\ \mathbf{h}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^k, \tilde{f}^k) \end{bmatrix}$$
(A1)

989

$$\mathbf{f} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^{k+1} \\ \tilde{f}^{k+1} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^{k} \\ \tilde{f}^{k} \end{bmatrix} - \Delta_t \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{g}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^{k+1}, \tilde{f}^{k+1}) \\ \mathbf{h}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^{k+1}, \tilde{f}^{k+1}) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$
(A2)

Our algorithm makes an explicit-in-time prediction using (A1) and evaluates the l_2 norm of the implicit-in-time residual **f**. It includes the possibility to perform Newton-Raphson iterations using the Jacobian **J** to keep the residual bounded, although we find it to be more efficient to experimentally set the dimensionless time step Δ_t/S_t sufficiently small (e.g. 0.1) to never cause tolerances to be violated. This value is then taken to correspond to a stable time step size of the explicit problem.

We make our algorithms and scripts to produce figures available as Wolfram Mathematica (Wolfram Research, Inc., 2017) notebooks in the Supplementary Materials.

999 References

- Abdallah, Y., Sulem, J., & Stefanou, I. (2020). Compaction banding in high-porosity
 carbonate rocks: 2. a gradient-dependent plasticity model. Journal of Geophys *ical Research: Solid Earth*, 125(12), e2020JB020610.
- Alevizos, S., Poulet, T., & Veveakis, E. (2014). Thermo-poro-mechanics of chemi cally active creeping faults. 1: Theory and steady state considerations. Journal
 of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 119(6), 4558–4582.
- Andrews, D. (1999). Test of two methods for faulting in finite-difference calculations.
 Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 89(4), 931–937.
- Bak, P., & Tang, C. (1989). Earthquakes as a self-organized critical phenomenon.
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 94 (B11), 15635-15637. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/JB094iB11p15635
- Barbot, S. (2019). Modulation of fault strength during the seismic cycle by grain size evolution around contact junctions. *Tectonophysics*, 765, 129 145. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2019.05.004
- Barry, D., Barry, S., & Culligan-Hensley, P. (1995). Algorithm 743: Wapr-a for tran routine for calculating real values of the w-function. ACM Transactions
 on Mathematical Software (TOMS), 21(2), 172–181.

- Barry, D., Culligan-Hensley, P., & Barry, S. (1995). Real values of the w-function.
 ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS), 21(2), 161–171.
- Barth, N. C., Boulton, C., Carpenter, B. M., Batt, G. E., & Toy, V. G. (2013). Slip
 localization on the southern alpine fault, new zealand. *Tectonics*, 32(3), 620640. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/tect.20041
- Bažant, Z. P., Belytschko, T. B., & Chang, T.-P. (1984). Continuum theory for
 strain-softening. Journal of Engineering Mechanics, 110(12), 1666–1692.
- Bažant, Z. P., & Jirásek, M. (2002). Nonlocal integral formulations of plasticity and
 damage: survey of progress. *Journal of engineering mechanics*, 128(11), 1119–
 1149.
- Ben-Zion, Y., & Rice, J. R. (1997). Dynamic simulations of slip on a smooth fault in
 an elastic solid. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 102(B8), 17771 17784. doi: 10.1029/97JB01341
- Ben-Zion, Y., & Zaliapin, I. (2020). Localization and coalescence of seismicity before
 large earthquakes. *Geophysical Journal International*, 223(1), 561-583. doi: 10
 .1093/gji/ggaa315
- Bowden, F. P., & Tabor, D. (1966, dec). Friction, lubrication and wear: a survey of work during the last decade. *British Journal of Applied Physics*, 17(12), 1521– 1544. doi: 10.1088/0508-3443/17/12/301
- Brace, W., & Byerlee, J. (1966). Stick-slip as a mechanism for earthquakes. Science,
 1037 153(3739), 990–992.
- Braeck, S., & Podladchikov, Y. Y. (2007, Mar). Spontaneous thermal runaway as an
 ultimate failure mechanism of materials. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 98, 095504. doi: 10
 .1103/PhysRevLett.98.095504
- Broadbridge, P., & Bradshaw-Hajek, B. H. (2016, Jul 16). Exact solutions for lo gistic reaction-diffusion equations in biology. Zeitschrift für angewandte Math *ematik und Physik*, 67(4), 93. doi: 10.1007/s00033-016-0686-3
- Burghardt, J., Brannon, R., & Guilkey, J. (2012). A nonlocal plasticity formulation for the material point method. Computer methods in applied mechanics
 and engineering, 225, 55–64.
- Chen, J., & Spiers, C. J. (2016). Rate and state frictional and healing behavior
 of carbonate fault gouge explained using microphysical model. Journal of Geo physical Research: Solid Earth, 121(12), 8642–8665.

- Chester, F. M. (1994). Effects of temperature on friction: Constitutive equations
 and experiments with quartz gouge. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid
 Earth, 99(B4), 7247–7261.
- Chester, F. M. (1995). A rheologic model for wet crust applied to strike-slip faults.
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 100(B7), 13033–13044.
- Cocco, M., & Bizzarri, A. (2002). On the slip-weakening behavior of rate- and state
 dependent constitutive laws. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 29(11), 11-1-11-4.
 doi: 10.1029/2001GL013999
- Cocco, M., Bizzarri, A., & Tinti, E. (2004). Physical interpretation of the breakdown
 process using a rate- and state-dependent friction law. *Tectonophysics*, 378(3),
 241 262. (Physics of Active Faults Theory, Observation and Experiments)
 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2003.09.015
- Crameri, F. (2021, September). Scientific colour maps. Zenodo. Retrieved from
 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5501399 doi: 10.5281/zenodo.5501399
- Crameri, F., Shephard, G. E., & Heron, P. J. (2020). The misuse of colour in science communication. *Nature communications*, 11(1), 1–10.
- Day, S. M., Dalguer, L. A., Lapusta, N., & Liu, Y. (2005). Comparison of finite difference and boundary integral solutions to three-dimensional spontaneous rupture. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 110(B12). doi: 10.1029/2005JB003813
- de Borst, R., & Duretz, T. (2020). On viscoplastic regularisation of strain-softening
 rocks and soils. International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in
 Geomechanics, 44(6), 890-903. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/nag.3046
- de Borst, R., & Mühlhaus, H.-B. (1992). Gradient-dependent plasticity: Formulation
 and algorithmic aspects. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engi neering, 35(3), 521-539. doi: 10.1002/nme.1620350307
- ¹⁰⁷⁶ Dieterich, J. H. (1978, Jul 01). Time-dependent friction and the mechanics of stick-¹⁰⁷⁷ slip. *pure and applied geophysics*, 116(4), 790–806. doi: 10.1007/BF00876539
- Dieterich, J. H. (1979a). Modeling of rock friction: 1. experimental results and
 constitutive equations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 84(B5),
 2161–2168. doi: 10.1029/JB084iB05p02161
- Dieterich, J. H. (1979b). Modeling of rock friction: 2. simulation of preseismic
 slip. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 84 (B5), 2169–2175. doi:

1083	10.1029/JB084iB05p02169
1084	Dieterich, J. H., & Kilgore, B. D. (1994, Mar 01). Direct observation of frictional
1085	contacts: New insights for state-dependent properties. <i>pure and applied geo-</i>
1086	physics, $143(1)$, 283–302. doi: 10.1007/BF00874332
1087	Drucker, D. C., & Prager, W. (1952). Soil mechanics and plastic analysis or limit de-
1088	sign. Quarterly of applied mathematics, $10(2)$, 157–165.
1089	Duretz, T., de Borst, R., & Le Pourhiet, L. (2019). Finite thickness of shear bands
1090	in frictional viscoplasticity and implications for lithosphere dynamics. $Geo-$
1091	chemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems. doi: 10.1029/2019GC008531
1092	Duretz, T., de Borst, R., & Yamato, P. (2021). Modeling lithospheric deforma-
1093	tion using a compressible visco-elasto-viscoplastic rheology and the effective
1094	viscosity approach. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 22(8). doi:
1095	https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GC009675
1096	Duretz, T., de Borst, R., Yamato, P., & Le Pourhiet, L. (2020). Toward robust
1097	and predictive geodynamic modeling: The way forward in frictional plastic-
1098	ity. Geophysical Research Letters, $47(5)$, e2019GL086027. (e2019GL086027
1099	10.1029/2019GL086027) doi: $10.1029/2019$ GL086027
1100	Duretz, T., Souche, A., de Borst, R., & Le Pourhiet, L. (2018). The benefits of using
1101	a consistent tangent operator for viscoelastoplastic computations in geodynam-
1102	ics. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 19(12), 4904–4924.
1103	Engelen, R. A., Geers, M. G., & Baaijens, F. P. (2003). Nonlocal implicit gradient-
1104	enhanced elasto-plasticity for the modelling of softening behaviour. Interna-
1105	tional Journal of Plasticity, 19(4), 403 - 433. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/
1106	S0749-6419(01)00042-0
1107	Erickson, B. A., Jiang, J., Barall, M., Lapusta, N., Dunham, E. M., Harris, R.,
1108	Wei, M. (2020, 01). The Community Code Verification Exercise for Simulating
1109	Sequences of Earthquakes and Aseismic Slip (SEAS). Seismological Research
1110	Letters. doi: 10.1785/0220190248
1111	Faulkner, D., Mitchell, T., Jensen, E., & Cembrano, J. (2011). Scaling of fault dam-
1112	age zones with displacement and the implications for fault growth processes.
1113	Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 116(B5).
1114	Fisher, R. A. (1937). The wave of advance of advantageous genes. Annals of Eugen-

-45-

ics, 7(4), 355-369. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-1809.1937.tb02153.x

1115

1116	Fritsch, F. N., Shafer, R., & Crowley, W.	(1973).	Algorithm 443: Solution of the
1117	transcendental equation $we^w = x$.	Communic	cations of the ACM, $16(2)$, $123-$
1118	124.		

- Gabriel, A.-A., Ampuero, J.-P., Dalguer, L. A., & Mai, P. M. (2012). The transition of dynamic rupture styles in elastic media under velocity-weakening friction. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 117(B9).
- 1122 Gabriel, A.-A., Li, D., Chiocchetti, S., Tavelli, M., Peshkov, I., Romenski, E., &
- Dumbser, M. (2021). A unified first-order hyperbolic model for nonlinear dynamic rupture processes in diffuse fracture zones. *Philosophical Transactions* of the Royal Society A, 379(2196), 20200130.
- Gilding, B., & Kersner, R. (2005, 03). A fisher/kpp-type equation with densitydependent diffusion and convection: travelling-wave solutions. Journal of *Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 38, 3367. doi: 10.1088/0305-4470/38/ 1129 15/009
- Godunov, S., & Romenskii, E. (1972). Nonstationary equations of nonlinear elasticity theory in eulerian coordinates. *Journal of Applied Mechanics and Technical Physics*, 13(6), 868–884.
- Godunov, S. K., & Romenskii, E. (2003). *Elements of continuum mechanics and conservation laws.* Springer Science & Business Media.
- Granier, T. (1985). Origin, damping, and pattern of development of faults in granite. *Tectonics*, 4(7), 721-737. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/TC004i007p00721
- Herrendörfer, R., Gerya, T., & Van Dinther, Y. (2018). An invariant rate-and
 state-dependent friction formulation for viscoeastoplastic earthquake cycle
- simulations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 123(6), 5018–5051.
- Hobbs, B. E., Mühlhaus, H.-B., & Ord, A. (1990). Instability, softening and localization of deformation. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 54(1),
 143–165. doi: 10.1144/GSL.SP.1990.054.01.15
- Ida, Y. (1972). Cohesive force across the tip of a longitudinal-shear crack and griffith's specific surface energy. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 77(20), 3796– 3805.
- Jiang, J., & Lapusta, N. (2016). Deeper penetration of large earthquakes on seismically quiescent faults. *Science*, 352(6291), 1293–1297.
- 1148 Jirásek, M. (1998). Nonlocal models for damage and fracture: comparison of

1149	approaches. International Journal of Solids and Structures, 35(31-32), 4133–
1150	4145.
1151	Jirásek, M., & Rolshoven, S. (2009a). Localization properties of strain-softening gra-
1152	dient plasticity models. part ii: Theories with gradients of internal variables.
1153	International Journal of Solids and Structures, 46(11-12), 2239–2254.
1154	Jirásek, M., & Rolshoven, S. (2009b). Localization properties of strain-softening gra-
1155	dient plasticity models. part i: Strain-gradient theories. International Journal
1156	of Solids and Structures, 46(11-12), 2225–2238.
1157	Johansson, F. (2020). Computing the lambert ${\bf w}$ function in arbitrary-precision com-
1158	plex interval arithmetic. Numerical Algorithms, $83(1)$, $221-242$.
1159	John, T., Medvedev, S., Rüpke, L. H., Andersen, T. B., Podladchikov, Y. Y., &
1160	Austrheim, H. (2009). Generation of intermediate-depth earthquakes by self-
1161	localizing thermal runaway. Nature Geoscience, 2, 137–140.
1162	Katz, Y., Weinberger, R., & Aydin, A. (2004). Geometry and kinematic evolution of
1163	riedel shear structures, capitol reef national park, utah. Journal of Structural
1164	Geology, 26(3), 491-501. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2003.08.003
1165	Kaus, B. J. (2010). Factors that control the angle of shear bands in geodynamic nu-
1166	merical models of brittle deformation. Tectonophysics, $484(1)$, 36 - 47. (Quan-
1167	titative modelling of geological processes) doi: $https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto$
1168	.2009.08.042
1169	Kiefer, B., Waffenschmidt, T., Sprave, L., & Menzel, A. (2018). A gradient-enhanced
1170	damage model coupled to plasticity—multi-surface formulation and algorithmic
1171	concepts. International Journal of Damage Mechanics, 27(2), 253-295. doi:
1172	10.1177/1056789516676306
1173	Kolmogorov, A. N., Petrovskii, I. G., & Piskunov, N. S. (1937). A study of the
1174	diffusion equation with increase in the amount of substance, and its applica-
1175	tion to a biological problem. In V. Tikhomirov (Ed.), <i>Selected works of a.n.</i>
1176	<i>kolmogorov 1 (1991)</i> (pp. 242–270). Kluwer.
1177	Kuhn, C., Schlüter, A., & Müller, R. (2015). On degradation functions in phase field
1178	fracture models. Computational Materials Science, 108, 374–384.
1179	Kurzon, I., Lyakhovsky, V., & Ben-Zion, Y. (2019). Dynamic rupture and seismic
1180	radiation in a damage–breakage rheology model. Pure and Applied Geophysics,

1181 176(3), 1003-1020.

1182	Kurzon, I., Lyakhovsky, V., & Ben-Zion, Y. (2020, 10). Earthquake source pro	per-
1183	ties from analysis of dynamic ruptures and far-field seismic waves in a dama	age-
1184	breakage model. Geophysical Journal International, 224(3), 1793-1810.	doi:
1185	10.1093/gji/ggaa509	

- Lapusta, N., & Liu, Y. (2009). Three-dimensional boundary integral modeling of spontaneous earthquake sequences and aseismic slip. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 114(B9). doi: 10.1029/2008JB005934
- Lapusta, N., Rice, J. R., Ben-Zion, Y., & Zheng, G. (2000). Elastodynamic analysis for slow tectonic loading with spontaneous rupture episodes on faults with rate- and state-dependent friction. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 105 (B10), 23765-23789. doi: 10.1029/2000JB900250
- Le Pourhiet, L. (2013). Strain localization due to structural softening during pressure sensitive rate independent yielding. Bulletin de la Société géologique de France, 184 (4-5), 357–371.
- Li, D., & Liu, Y. (2017). Modeling slow-slip segmentation in cascadia subduction zone constrained by tremor locations and gravity anomalies. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 122(4), 3138–3157.
- Li, Y., & Wu, Y. (2008, 07). Stability of travelling waves with noncritical speeds for double degenerate fisher-type equations. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - Series B*, 10, 149-170. doi: 10.3934/dcdsb.2008.10.149
- Linker, M., & Dieterich, J. H. (1992). Effects of variable normal stress on rock
 friction: Observations and constitutive equations. Journal of Geophysical Re search: Solid Earth, 97(B4), 4923–4940.
- Locatelli, M., Verlaguet, A., Agard, P., Federico, L., & Angiboust, S. (2018).
 Intermediate-depth brecciation along the subduction plate interface (monviso
 eclogite, w. alps). Lithos, 320, 378–402.
- Locatelli, M., Verlaguet, A., Agard, P., Pettke, T., & Federico, L. (2019). Fluid
 pulses during stepwise brecciation at intermediate subduction depths (monviso
 eclogites, w. alps): First internally then externally sourced. *Geochemistry*, *geophysics, geosystems*, 20(11), 5285–5318.
- Lyakhovsky, V., & Ben-Zion, Y. (2014a). A continuum damage-breakage faulting model and solid-granular transitions. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 171 (11), 3099–3123.

1215	Lyakhovsky, V., & Ben-Zion, Y.	(2014b).	Damage	-breakage	rheology	v model	and
1216	solid-granular transition near	brittle in	stability.	Journal o	f the Me	echanics	and
1217	Physics of Solids, 64, 184–19	7.					

- Lyakhovsky, V., Ben-Zion, Y., Ilchev, A., & Mendecki, A. (2016). Dynamic rupture in a damage-breakage rheology model. *Geophysical Journal International*, 206(2), 1126–1143.
- Lyakhovsky, V., Hamiel, Y., & Ben-Zion, Y. (2011). A non-local visco-elastic damage model and dynamic fracturing. Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 59(9), 1752–1776.
- Mair, K., & Marone, C. (1999). Friction of simulated fault gouge for a wide range of
 velocities and normal stresses. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth,
 104 (B12), 28899-28914. doi: 10.1029/1999JB900279
- Malaguti, L., & Ruggerini, S. (2010, 08). Asymptotic speed of propagation for fisher type degenerate reaction-diffusion-convection equations. Advanced Nonlinear
 Studies, 10. doi: 10.1515/ans-2010-0306
- Marone, C. (1998). The effect of loading rate on static friction and the rate of fault healing during the earthquake cycle. *Nature*, 391(6662), 69-72. doi: 10.1038/ 34157
- McBeck, J. A., Aiken, J. M., Mathiesen, J., Ben-Zion, Y., & Renard, F. (2020). Deformation precursors to catastrophic failure in rocks. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(24), e2020GL090255.
- McBeck, J. A., Ben-Zion, Y., & Renard, F. (2020). The mixology of precursory strain partitioning approaching brittle failure in rocks. *Geophysical Journal International*, 221(3), 1856–1872.
- McBeck, J. A., Kobchenko, M., Hall, S. A., Tudisco, E., Cordonnier, B., Meakin,
 P., & Renard, F. (2018). Investigating the onset of strain localization within
 anisotropic shale using digital volume correlation of time-resolved x-ray microtomography images. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 123(9),
 7509–7528.
- McBeck, J. A., Zhu, W., & Renard, F. (2021). The competition between fracture nucleation, propagation, and coalescence in dry and water-saturated crystalline rock. *Solid Earth*, 12(2), 375–387.
- ¹²⁴⁷ Mühlhaus, H.-B., & Aifantis, E. (1991). A variational principle for gradient plastic-

1248	ity. International Journal of Solids and Structures, 28(7), 845–857.
1249	Needleman, A. (1988). Material rate dependence and mesh sensitivity in localization
1250	problems. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, $67(1)$, 69
1251	- 85. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-7825(88)90069-2
1252	Niemeijer, A., & Spiers, C. (2007). A microphysical model for strong velocity weak-
1253	ening in phyllosilicate-bearing fault gouges. Journal of Geophysical Research:
1254	Solid Earth, 112(B10).
1255	Okubo, K., Bhat, H. S., Rougier, E., Marty, S., Schubnel, A., Lei, Z., Klinger, Y.
1256	(2019). Dynamics, radiation, and overall energy budget of earthquake rupture
1257	with coseismic off-fault damage. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth,
1258	124(11), 11771-11801.doi: $10.1029/2019$ JB017304
1259	Okubo, P. G. (1989). Dynamic rupture modeling with laboratory-derived consti-
1260	tutive relations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, $94(B9)$, 12321–
1261	12335.
1262	Passelègue, F. X., Almakari, M., Dublanchet, P., Barras, F., Fortin, J., & Violay,
1263	M. (2020) . Initial effective stress controls the nature of earthquakes. <i>Nature</i>
1264	communications, 11(1), 1-8.
1265	Passelègue, F. X., Spagnuolo, E., Violay, M., Nielsen, S., Di Toro, G., & Schubnel,
1266	A. (2016) . Frictional evolution, acoustic emissions activity, and off-fault dam-
1267	age in simulated faults sheared at seismic slip rates. Journal of Geophysical
1268	Research: Solid Earth, 121(10), 7490–7513.
1269	Peerlings, R. H. J., de Borst, R., Brekelmans, W. A. M., & De Vree, J. H. P. (1996).
1270	Gradient enhanced damage for quasi-brittle materials. International Journal
1271	for Numerical Methods in Engineering, $39(19)$, $3391-3403$. doi: $10.1002/(SICI)$
1272	$1097\text{-}0207 (19961015) \\ 39: \\ 19 \\ \langle 3391:: \\ \\ AID\text{-} \\ NME7 \\ \rangle \\ 3.0. \\ CO; \\ 2\text{-} \\ D$
1273	Peirce, D., Asaro, R. J., & Needleman, A. (1983). Material rate dependence and
1274	localized deformation in crystalline solids. Acta metallurgica, $31(12)$, 1951–
1275	1976.
1276	Perez-Silva, A., Li, D., Gabriel, AA., & Kaneko, Y. (2021). 3d modeling of long-
1277	term slow slip events along the flat-slab segment in the guerrero seismic gap,
1278	mexico. Geophysical Research Letters, 48(13), e2021GL092968.
1279	Perrin, C., Manighetti, I., & Gaudemer, Y. (2016). Off-fault tip splay networks:

A genetic and generic property of faults indicative of their long-term propa-

1281	gation. Comptes Rendus Geoscience, $348(1)$, 52-60. (From past to current
1282	tectonics) doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2015.05.002
1283	Petrovskii, S., & Li, BL. (2003). An exactly solvable model of population dynam-
1284	ics with density-dependent migrations and the allee effect. Mathematical Bio-
1285	sciences, $186(1)$, 79 - 91. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-5564(03)00098
1286	-1
1287	Petrovskii, S. V., & Li, BL. (2006). Exactly solvable models of biological invasion.
1288	Chapman and Hall/CRC.
1289	Pijaudier-Cabot, G., & Bažant, Z. P. (1987). Nonlocal damage theory. Journal of
1290	engineering mechanics, $113(10)$, $1512-1533$.
1291	Poulet, T., Veveakis, E., Regenauer-Lieb, K., & Yuen, D. A. (2014). Thermo-poro-
1292	mechanics of chemically active creeping faults: 3. the role of serpentinite in
1293	episodic tremor and slip sequences, and transition to chaos. Journal of Geo-
1294	physical Research: Solid Earth, 119(6), 4606–4625.
1295	Pozzi, G., De Paola, N., Nielsen, S. B., Holdsworth, R. E., & Bowen, L. (2018, 05).
1296	A new interpretation for the nature and significance of mirror-like surfaces in
1297	experimental carbonate-nosted seismic faults. Geology, $40(1)$, 585-580. doi:
1297 1298	experimental carbonate-nosted seismic faults. $Geology, 40(1), 583-586.$ doi: $10.1130/G40197.1$
1297 1298 1299	 experimental carbonate-nosted seismic faults. Geology, 40(1), 583-586. doi: 10.1130/G40197.1 Pozzi, G., De Paola, N., Nielsen, S. B., Holdsworth, R. E., Tesei, T., Thieme, M.,
1297 1298 1299 1300	 experimental carbonate-nosted seismic faults. Geology, 40(1), 583-586. doi: 10.1130/G40197.1 Pozzi, G., De Paola, N., Nielsen, S. B., Holdsworth, R. E., Tesei, T., Thieme, M., & Demouchy, S. (2021). Coseismic fault lubrication by viscous deformation.
1297 1298 1299 1300 1301	 experimental carbonate-nosted seismic faults. Geology, 40(1), 583-586. doi: 10.1130/G40197.1 Pozzi, G., De Paola, N., Nielsen, S. B., Holdsworth, R. E., Tesei, T., Thieme, M., & Demouchy, S. (2021). Coseismic fault lubrication by viscous deformation. Nature Geoscience, 14(6), 437-442.
1297 1298 1299 1300 1301 1302	 experimental carbonate-nosted seismic faults. Geology, 40(1), 583-586. doi: 10.1130/G40197.1 Pozzi, G., De Paola, N., Nielsen, S. B., Holdsworth, R. E., Tesei, T., Thieme, M., & Demouchy, S. (2021). Coseismic fault lubrication by viscous deformation. Nature Geoscience, 14(6), 437-442. Pozzi, G., Paola, N. D., Holdsworth, R. E., Bowen, L., Nielsen, S. B., & Dempsey,
1297 1298 1299 1300 1301 1302 1303	 experimental carbonate-nosted seismic faults. Geology, 40(1), 583-586. doi: 10.1130/G40197.1 Pozzi, G., De Paola, N., Nielsen, S. B., Holdsworth, R. E., Tesei, T., Thieme, M., & Demouchy, S. (2021). Coseismic fault lubrication by viscous deformation. Nature Geoscience, 14(6), 437-442. Pozzi, G., Paola, N. D., Holdsworth, R. E., Bowen, L., Nielsen, S. B., & Dempsey, E. D. (2019). Coseismic ultramylonites: An investigation of nanoscale viscous
1297 1298 1299 1300 1301 1302 1303 1304	 experimental carbonate-nosted seismic faults. Geology, 40(1), 583-586. doi: 10.1130/G40197.1 Pozzi, G., De Paola, N., Nielsen, S. B., Holdsworth, R. E., Tesei, T., Thieme, M., & Demouchy, S. (2021). Coseismic fault lubrication by viscous deformation. Nature Geoscience, 14(6), 437-442. Pozzi, G., Paola, N. D., Holdsworth, R. E., Bowen, L., Nielsen, S. B., & Dempsey, E. D. (2019). Coseismic ultramylonites: An investigation of nanoscale viscous flow and fault weakening during seismic slip. Earth and Planetary Science
1297 1298 1299 1300 1301 1302 1303 1304 1305	 experimental carbonate-nosted seismic faults. Geology, 40(1), 583-586. doi: 10.1130/G40197.1 Pozzi, G., De Paola, N., Nielsen, S. B., Holdsworth, R. E., Tesei, T., Thieme, M., & Demouchy, S. (2021). Coseismic fault lubrication by viscous deformation. Nature Geoscience, 14(6), 437-442. Pozzi, G., Paola, N. D., Holdsworth, R. E., Bowen, L., Nielsen, S. B., & Dempsey, E. D. (2019). Coseismic ultramylonites: An investigation of nanoscale viscous flow and fault weakening during seismic slip. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 516, 164 - 175. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.03.042
1297 1298 1299 1300 1301 1302 1303 1304 1305 1306	 experimental carbonate-nosted seismic faults. Geology, 40(1), 583-586. doi: 10.1130/G40197.1 Pozzi, G., De Paola, N., Nielsen, S. B., Holdsworth, R. E., Tesei, T., Thieme, M., & Demouchy, S. (2021). Coseismic fault lubrication by viscous deformation. Nature Geoscience, 14(6), 437-442. Pozzi, G., Paola, N. D., Holdsworth, R. E., Bowen, L., Nielsen, S. B., & Dempsey, E. D. (2019). Coseismic ultramylonites: An investigation of nanoscale viscous flow and fault weakening during seismic slip. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 516, 164 - 175. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.03.042 Preuss, S., Ampuero, J. P., Gerya, T., & van Dinther, Y. (2020). Characteristics of
1297 1298 1299 1300 1301 1302 1303 1304 1305 1306 1307	 experimental carbonate-nosted seismic faults. Geology, 46(7), 583-586. doi: 10.1130/G40197.1 Pozzi, G., De Paola, N., Nielsen, S. B., Holdsworth, R. E., Tesei, T., Thieme, M., & Demouchy, S. (2021). Coseismic fault lubrication by viscous deformation. Nature Geoscience, 14(6), 437-442. Pozzi, G., Paola, N. D., Holdsworth, R. E., Bowen, L., Nielsen, S. B., & Dempsey, E. D. (2019). Coseismic ultramylonites: An investigation of nanoscale viscous flow and fault weakening during seismic slip. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 516, 164 - 175. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.03.042 Preuss, S., Ampuero, J. P., Gerya, T., & van Dinther, Y. (2020). Characteristics of earthquake ruptures and dynamic off-fault deformation on propagating faults.
1297 1298 1299 1300 1301 1302 1303 1304 1305 1306 1307 1308	 experimental carbonate-nosted seismic faults. Geology, 46(1), 583-580. doi: 10.1130/G40197.1 Pozzi, G., De Paola, N., Nielsen, S. B., Holdsworth, R. E., Tesei, T., Thieme, M., & Demouchy, S. (2021). Coseismic fault lubrication by viscous deformation. Nature Geoscience, 14(6), 437-442. Pozzi, G., Paola, N. D., Holdsworth, R. E., Bowen, L., Nielsen, S. B., & Dempsey, E. D. (2019). Coseismic ultramylonites: An investigation of nanoscale viscous flow and fault weakening during seismic slip. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 516, 164 - 175. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.03.042 Preuss, S., Ampuero, J. P., Gerya, T., & van Dinther, Y. (2020). Characteristics of earthquake ruptures and dynamic off-fault deformation on propagating faults. Solid Earth, 11(4), 1333-1360.
1297 1298 1299 1300 1301 1302 1303 1304 1305 1306 1307 1308 1309	 experimental carbonate-nosted seismic faults. Geology, 46(1), 583-586. doi: 10.1130/G40197.1 Pozzi, G., De Paola, N., Nielsen, S. B., Holdsworth, R. E., Tesei, T., Thieme, M., & Demouchy, S. (2021). Coseismic fault lubrication by viscous deformation. Nature Geoscience, 14(6), 437-442. Pozzi, G., Paola, N. D., Holdsworth, R. E., Bowen, L., Nielsen, S. B., & Dempsey, E. D. (2019). Coseismic ultramylonites: An investigation of nanoscale viscous flow and fault weakening during seismic slip. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 516, 164 - 175. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.03.042 Preuss, S., Ampuero, J. P., Gerya, T., & van Dinther, Y. (2020). Characteristics of earthquake ruptures and dynamic off-fault deformation on propagating faults. Solid Earth, 11(4), 1333-1360. Preuss, S., Herrendörfer, R., Gerya, T., Ampuero, JP., & van Dinther, Y.
1297 1298 1299 1300 1301 1302 1303 1304 1305 1306 1307 1308 1309 1310	 experimental carbonate-nosted seismic rautis. Geology, 40(1), 583-586. doi: 10.1130/G40197.1 Pozzi, G., De Paola, N., Nielsen, S. B., Holdsworth, R. E., Tesei, T., Thieme, M., & Demouchy, S. (2021). Coseismic fault lubrication by viscous deformation. Nature Geoscience, 14(6), 437-442. Pozzi, G., Paola, N. D., Holdsworth, R. E., Bowen, L., Nielsen, S. B., & Dempsey, E. D. (2019). Coseismic ultramylonites: An investigation of nanoscale viscous flow and fault weakening during seismic slip. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 516, 164 - 175. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.03.042 Preuss, S., Ampuero, J. P., Gerya, T., & van Dinther, Y. (2020). Characteristics of earthquake ruptures and dynamic off-fault deformation on propagating faults. Solid Earth, 11(4), 1333-1360. Preuss, S., Herrendörfer, R., Gerya, T., Ampuero, JP., & van Dinther, Y. (2019).
1297 1298 1299 1300 1301 1302 1303 1304 1305 1306 1307 1308 1309 1310 1311	 experimental carbonate-nosted seismic raults. <i>Geology</i>, 46(1), 583-586. doi: 10.1130/G40197.1 Pozzi, G., De Paola, N., Nielsen, S. B., Holdsworth, R. E., Tesei, T., Thieme, M., & Demouchy, S. (2021). Coseismic fault lubrication by viscous deformation. <i>Nature Geoscience</i>, 14(6), 437-442. Pozzi, G., Paola, N. D., Holdsworth, R. E., Bowen, L., Nielsen, S. B., & Dempsey, E. D. (2019). Coseismic ultramylonites: An investigation of nanoscale viscous flow and fault weakening during seismic slip. <i>Earth and Planetary Science Letters</i>, 516, 164 - 175. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.03.042 Preuss, S., Ampuero, J. P., Gerya, T., & van Dinther, Y. (2020). Characteristics of earthquake ruptures and dynamic off-fault deformation on propagating faults. <i>Solid Earth</i>, 11(4), 1333-1360. Preuss, S., Herrendörfer, R., Gerya, T., Ampuero, JP., & van Dinther, Y. (2019). Seismic and aseismic fault growth lead to different fault orientations. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth</i>, 124(8), 8867-8889. doi:

¹³¹³ Putelat, T., Dawes, J., & Champneys, A. (2017). A phase-plane analysis of local-

1314	ized frictional waves. Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physi-
1315	cal and Engineering Sciences, 473(2203), 20160606.
1316	Rabinowicz, E. (1958) . The intrinsic variables affecting the stick-slip process. <i>Pro-</i>
1317	ceedings of the Physical Society (1958-1967), 71(4), 668.
1318	Rattez, H., Stefanou, I., & Sulem, J. (2018). The importance of thermo-hydro-
1319	mechanical couplings and microstructure to strain localization in 3d continua
1320	with application to seismic faults. part i: Theory and linear stability analysis.
1321	Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 115, 54 - 76.
1322	Rattez, H., Stefanou, I., Sulem, J., Veveakis, M., & Poulet, T. (2018). The im-
1323	portance of thermo-hydro-mechanical couplings and microstructure to strain
1324	localization in 3d continua with application to seismic faults. part ii: Numer-
1325	ical implementation and post-bifurcation analysis. Journal of the Mechanics
1326	and Physics of Solids, 115, 1–29.
1327	Resnyansky, A., Romensky, E., & Bourne, N. (2003). Constitutive modeling of frac-
1328	ture waves. Journal of applied physics, $93(3)$, 1537–1545.
1329	Rice, J. R. (1993). Spatio-temporal complexity of slip on a fault. Journal of Geo-
1330	physical Research: Solid Earth, 98(B6), 9885-9907. doi: 10.1029/93JB00191
1331	Rice, J. R., & Ben-Zion, Y. (1996). Slip complexity in earthquake fault models. Pro-
1332	ceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, $93(9)$, $3811-3818$. doi: $10.1073/$
1333	pnas.93.9.3811
1334	Ritter, M. C., Rosenau, M., & Oncken, O. (2018). Growing faults in the lab: In-
1335	sights into the scale dependence of the fault zone evolution process. $Tectonics$,
1336	37(1), 140-153. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2017TC004787
1337	Ritter, M. C., Santimano, T., Rosenau, M., Leever, K., & Oncken, O. (2018).
1338	Sandbox rheometry: Co-evolution of stress and strain in riedel– and critical
1339	wedge–experiments. Tectonophysics, 722, 400-409. doi: https://doi.org/
1340	10.1016/j.tecto.2017.11.018
1341	Romenski, E., Reshetova, G., Peshkov, I., & Dumbser, M. (2020). Modeling wave-
1342	fields in saturated elastic porous media based on thermodynamically compat-
1343	ible system theory for two-phase solid-fluid mixtures. Computers & Fluids,
1344	206, 104587. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compfluid.2020.104587
1345	Romenskii, E. (2007). Deformation model for brittle materials and the structure of
1346	failure waves. Journal of applied mechanics and technical physics, 48(3), 437–

444.

1347

1348	Ross, Z. E., Idini, B., Jia, Z., Stephenson, O. L., Zhong, M., Wang, X., others
1349	(2019). Hierarchical interlocked orthogonal faulting in the 2019 ridgecrest
1350	earthquake sequence. Science, 366(6463), 346–351.
1351	Roubíček, T. (2014, 08). A note about the rate-and-state-dependent friction model
1352	in a thermodynamic framework of the Biot-type equation . $Geophysical \ Jour-$
1353	nal International, 199(1), 286-295. doi: 10.1093/gji/ggu248
1354	Rozel, A., Ricard, Y., & Bercovici, D. (2011). A thermodynamically self-consistent
1355	damage equation for grain size evolution during dynamic recrystallization.
1356	Geophysical Journal International, 184(2), 719–728.
1357	Rubin, A. M., & Ampuero, JP. (2005). Earthquake nucleation on (aging) rate and
1358	state faults. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 110(B11).
1359	Ruina, A. L. (1980). Friction laws and instabilities: A quasistatic analysis of some
1360	dry friction behaviour (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Ph.D. Thesis, Divi-
1361	sion of Engineering, Brown University, Providence RI.
1362	Ruina, A. L. (1983). Slip instability and state variable friction laws. <i>Jour-</i>
1363	nal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 88(B12), 10359-10370. doi:
1364	10.1029/JB088iB12p10359
1365	Sánchez-Garduño, F., & Maini, P. (1994). An approximation to a sharp type so-
1366	lution of a density-dependent reaction-diffusion equation. $Applied Mathematics$
1367	Letters, 7(1), 47 - 51. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0893-9659(94)90051-5
1368	Sánchez-Garduño, F., & Maini, P. (1995). Traveling wave phenomena in some degen-
1369	erate reaction-diffusion equations. Journal of Differential Equations, $117(2)$,
1370	281 - 319. doi: https://doi.org/10.1006/jdeq.1995.1055
1371	Sánchez-Garduño, F., & Maini, P. $~(1997,{\rm Jun}~01).~$ Travelling wave phenomena in
1372	non-linear diffusion degenerate nagumo equations. Journal of Mathematical Bi-
1373	ology, 35(6), 713-728. doi: 10.1007/s002850050073
1374	Sathiakumar, S., Barbot, S., & Hubbard, J. (2020). Earthquake cycles in fault-bend
1375	folds. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(8), e2019JB018557.
1376	Savage, H. M., & Brodsky, E. E. (2011). Collateral damage: Evolution with dis-
1377	placement of fracture distribution and secondary fault strands in fault damage
1378	zones. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 116(B3).

Scholz, C., Molnar, P., & Johnson, T. (1972). Detailed studies of frictional sliding of 1379

1380	granite and implications for the earthquake mechanism. Journal of geophysical
1381	$research, \ 77(32), \ 6392-6406.$
1382	Schreyer, H. L., & Chen, Z. (1986, 12). One-Dimensional Softening With Localiza-
1383	tion. Journal of Applied Mechanics, 53(4), 791-797. doi: 10.1115/1.3171860
1384	Segall, P., & Rice, J. R. (1995). Dilatancy, compaction, and slip instability of a
1385	fluid-infiltrated fault. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 100(B11),
1386	22155-22171. doi: $10.1029/95$ JB02403
1387	Sherratt, J., & Marchant, B. (1996). Nonsharp travelling wave fronts in the fisher
1388	equation with degenerate nonlinear diffusion. Applied Mathematics Letters,
1389	9(5), 33 - 38. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0893-9659(96)00069-9
1390	Simo, J., & Taylor, R. (1985). Consistent tangent operators for rate-independent
1391	elastoplasticity. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering,
1392	48(1), 101 - 118. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-7825(85)90070-2
1393	Sleep, N. H. (1995). Ductile creep, compaction, and rate and state dependent fric-
1394	tion within major fault zones. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth,
1395	100(B7), 13065-13080.
1396	Sleep, N. H. (1997). Application of a unified rate and state friction theory to the
1397	mechanics of fault zones with strain localization. Journal of Geophysical Re-
1398	search: Solid Earth, 102(B2), 2875-2895. doi: 10.1029/96JB03410
1399	Stathas, A., & Stefanou, I. (2022). The role of viscous regularization in dynamical
1400	problems, strain localization and mesh dependency. Computer Methods in Ap-
1401	plied Mechanics and Engineering, 388 , 114185. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j
1402	.cma.2021.114185
1403	Tchalenko, J. (1970). Similarities between shear zones of different magnitudes. Geo-
1404	logical Society of America Bulletin, 81(6), 1625–1640.
1405	Templeton, E. L., & Rice, J. R. (2008). Off-fault plasticity and earthquake rup-
1406	ture dynamics: 1. dry materials or neglect of fluid pressure changes. Journal of
1407	Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 113(B9).
1408	Thielmann, M. (2018). Grain size assisted thermal runaway as a nucleation
1409	mechanism for continental mantle earthquakes: Impact of complex rhe-
1410	ologies. Tectonophysics, 746, 611–623. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/
1411	j.tecto.2017.08.038

¹⁴¹² Thielmann, M., Rozel, A., Kaus, B., & Ricard, Y. (2015). Intermediate-depth earth-

1413	quake generation and shear zone formation caused by grain size reduction and
1414	shear heating. Geology, $43(9)$, 791. doi: 10.1130/G36864.1
1415	Triantafyllidis, N., & Aifantis, E. C. (1986). A gradient approach to localization of
1416	deformation. i. hyperelastic materials. Journal of Elasticity, $16(3)$, $225-237$.
1417	Ulm, FJ., Coussy, O., & Bažant, Z. P. (1999). The "chunnel" fire. i: Chemoplas-
1418	tic softening in rapidly heated concrete. Journal of engineering mechanics,
1419	125(3), 272-282.
1420	Van den Ende, M., Chen, J., Ampuero, JP., & Niemeijer, A. (2018). A comparison
1421	between rate-and-state friction and microphysical models, based on numerical
1422	simulations of fault slip. Tectonophysics, 733, 273–295.
1423	Vardoulakis, I. (1980). Shear band inclination and shear modulus of sand in biax-
1424	ial tests. International journal for numerical and analytical methods in geome-
1425	chanics, 4(2), 103–119.
1426	Vermeer, P. (1990). The orientation of shear bands in biaxial tests. <i>Geotechnique</i> ,
1427	40(2), 223-236.
1428	Veveakis, E., Poulet, T., & Alevizos, S. (2014). Thermo-poro-mechanics of chemi-
1429	cally active creeping faults: 2. transient considerations. Journal of Geophysical
1430	Research: Solid Earth, 119(6), 4583–4605.
1431	Viesca, R. C. (2016a). Self-similar slip instability on interfaces with rate-and state-
1432	dependent friction. Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical
1433	and Engineering Sciences, 472(2192), 20160254.
1434	Viesca, R. C. (2016b). Stable and unstable development of an interfacial sliding in-
1435	stability. Physical Review E, $93(6)$, 060202.
1436	Wolfram Research, Inc. (2017). Mathematica, Version 11.1.1.0. (Champaign, IL,
1437	2017)
1438	Wu, JY. (2017). A unified phase-field theory for the mechanics of damage and
1439	quasi-brittle failure. Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 103, 72–
1440	99.
1441	Wu, Y., Xing, X., & Ye, Q. (2006, 09). Stability of travelling waves with algebraic
1442	decay for n-degree fisher-type equations. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical
1443	Systems, 16. doi: 10.3934/dcds.2006.16.47

Supporting Information for "Rate and State Friction as a Spatially Regularized Transient Viscous Flow Law"

Casper Pranger^{1,2}, Patrick Sanan², Dave A. May³, Laetitia Le Pourhiet⁴,

Alice-Agnes Gabriel¹

¹Ludwig-Maximilians Universität München (LMU), Theresienstrasse 41, 80333 München, Germany.

²Institute of Geophysics, ETH Zurich, Sonneggstrasse 5, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland.

³Scripps Institution of Oceanography, UC San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA.

⁴Sorbonne Université, CNRS-INSU, Institut des Sciences de la Terre Paris, ISTeP UMR 7193, F-75005, Paris, France

Additional Supporting Information (Files uploaded separately)

- 1. Captions for numerical simulation scripts
- 2. Captions for figure generation scripts
- 3. Dependencies

Introduction

We provide all scripts that were used for generating results and figures in the paper. These files may be found in the enclosed ZIP file. All supporting scripts require the commercial software Wolfram Mathematica (Wolfram Research, Inc., 2017) to view and

Corresponding author: Casper Pranger, Ludwig-Maximilians Universität München (LMU), Theresienstrasse 41, 80333 München, Germany. (casper.pranger@geophysik.uni-muenchen.de)

X - 2 PRANGER ET AL.: A REGULARIZED RATE AND STATE CONTINUUM RHEOLOGY

execute (version 11.1.1.0 was used). In the future we hope to make these available for a non-commercial programming environment. Each Mathematica Notebook contains concise instructions for execution but no further documentation is provided.

Numerical Simulation Scripts The Mathematica notebooks 'code-steppinginterface.nb', 'code-stepping-continuum.nb', 'code-cycle-interface.nb', 'code-cycle-continuum.nb' contain the numerical algorithms used to generate the interfacial and continuum velocity stepping results ('stepping') and the interfacial and continuum spring-slider ('cycle') results.

Figure Generation Scripts For each figure (1-12) in the paper, there is a corresponding Mathematica notebook included in the supplementary materials that was used to generate that figure. Variable degrees of further clarifications on the raw figures have been made in Keynote for MacOS – those files are not deemed necessary to publish in the supporting information.

Dependencies We depend on the MIT-licenced 'Scientific Colour Maps' package (Crameri, 2021) for distortion-free representation of the model results – also for readers with color vision deficiencies (Crameri et al., 2020).

References

- Crameri, F. (2021, September). Scientific colour maps. Zenodo. Retrieved from https://
 doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5501399 (The development of the Scientific colour maps
 is not funded any longer, but will continue as a pro bono project for the scientific
 community. Fabio) doi: 10.5281/zenodo.5501399
- Crameri, F., Shephard, G. E., & Heron, P. J. (2020). The misuse of colour in science communication. *Nature communications*, 11(1), 1–10.

Wolfram Research, Inc. (2017). Mathematica, Version 11.1.1.0. Retrieved from https://

www.wolfram.com/mathematica (Champaign, IL, 2017)