Substantial Weakening of Indian Summer Monsoon Synoptic Activity in Response to Polar Sea Ice Melt

Varunesh Chandra¹, Sukumaran Sandeep¹, Ettammal suhas², and Aneesh Subramanian³

¹Indian Institute of Technology Delhi ²Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Pune ³University of Colorado

November 23, 2022

Abstract

The effect of polar sea ice melt on low latitude climate is little known. In order to understand the response of Indian summer monsoon (ISM) to the sea ice melt, we have run a suite of coupled and uncoupled climate model simulations. In one set of simulations, the albedo of sea ice is changed in such a way that it would melt as a result of increased absorption of solar radiation. We find a substantial weakening of ISM in sea ice melt experiments. Further, the genesis frequency of monsoon low-pressure systems (LPS) declines by about 40% in the sea ice melt simulations. A weakening and equatorward shift of ITCZ causes the decline in LPS genesis. Overall, the response of ISM to the sea ice melt resembles the response to greenhouse gas induced warming.

Substantial Weakening of Indian Summer Monsoon Synoptic Activity in Response to Polar Sea Ice Melt 2

Varunesh Chandra¹, S. Sandeep¹, E. Suhas², and Aneesh C. Subramanian³

¹Centre for Atmospheric Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi 110016, India ²Earth and Climate Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Pune, Pune, India ³Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO 80309-0311, USA

Key Points:

1

3

4

5 6

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Mean monsoon and synoptic activity weaken in response to the Arctic and Antarctic sea ice melt in climate model simulations
 - The genesis of monsoon low-pressure systems declines by 40%
 - Decline in LPS genesis is linked to an equatorward shift in ITCZ over the Indian Ocean

 $Corresponding \ author: \ S. \ Sandeep, \ {\tt sandeep.sukumaran@cas.iitd.ac.in}$

14 Abstract

The effect of polar sea ice melt on low latitude climate is little known. In order to understand the response of Indian summer monsoon (ISM) to the sea ice melt, we have run a suite of coupled and uncoupled climate model simulations. In one set of simulations,

a suite of coupled and uncoupled climate model simulations. In one set of simulations,
 the albedo of sea ice is changed in such a way that it would melt as a result of increased

absorption of solar radiation. We find a substantial weakening of ISM in sea ice melt ex-

²⁰ periments. Further, the genesis frequency of monsoon low-pressure systems (LPS) de-

clines by about 40% in the sea ice melt simulations. A weakening and equatorward shift

of ITCZ causes the decline in LPS genesis. Overall, the response of ISM to the sea ice

²³ melt resembles the response to greenhouse gas induced warming.

²⁴ Plain Language Summary

The Arctic and Antarctic sea ice are melting rapidly which can have feedback ef-25 fects on climate system. However, the effect of sea ice melt on low latitude climate is not 26 adequately understood. The Indian summer monsoon, known as the lifeline of South-27 east Asia, is important to the water security of more than 1.5 billion people. We exam-28 ined the response of Indian summer monsoon to the polar sea ice melt using a suite of 29 global climate model experiments. Our simulations show that the monsoon circulation 30 and rainfall weakens substantially in response to the sea ice melt. Further, the propa-31 gating precipitating vortices embedded in the monsoon circulation declined by about 40%32 33 in the sea ice melt experiments. Our results suggest that the Arctic and Antarctic sea ice melt can have serious implications on the water security of Southeast Asia. 34

35 1 Introduction

The effect of Arctic and Antarctic sea ice melt on tropical climate is little known 36 until recently. However, the recent evidences suggest that the polar sea ice melt can af-37 fect the deep tropics. The sea ice melt can have far reaching effects on global climate sys-38 tem through surface energy imbalance and the response of ocean dynamics (Screen & 39 Simmonds, 2010; Serreze & Barry, 2011). Due to the thermal inertia of the oceans, the 40 effect of sea ice melt can persist for multiple seasons (Francis et al., 2009). Experiments 41 using atmospheric general circulation models (AGCM) have shown that the Arctic sea 42 ice depletion explains most of the seasonal pattern of high latitude climate response to 43 enhanced green house gas (GHG) warming (Deser et al., 2010). 44

The effects of sea ice melt and the Arctic amplification on mid-latitude climate are 45 clear through the changes in storm tracks, jetstream, and the Rossby wave activity (Rinke 46 et al., 2017; Francis & Vavrus, 2012). These changes cause an increased frequency of ex-47 treme weather events, such as floods, heatwaves, and severe cyclonic storms in the mid 48 and high latitude regions (Cohen et al., 2014; Budikova, 2009). The effects of sea ice melt 49 on low latitude weather have only recently started receiving attention from the research 50 community. One possible channel for the changes in the Arctic to influence the tropics 51 is through the response of oceanic heat transport (Tomas et al., 2016). It is well known 52 that the Atlantic meridional overturning cell, which plays a key role in oceanic heat trans-53 port, would weaken in response the Arctic sea ice melt (Sevellec et al., 2017; Liu & Fe-54 dorov, 2019). The effect of Arctic amplification on the atmospheric thickness and sub-55 sequent changes in the equatorward Rossby wave propagation can be another channel 56 for Arctic to tropics teleconnection (Francis & Vavrus, 2012). However, the low latitude 57 impact of the Arctic sea ice melt was not clear in terms of response of the extreme weather 58 events (Barnes et al., 2014; Wallace et al., 2014). Possible teleconnection between the Arc-59 tic sea ice variability and the Asian summer monsoon has been suggested on intrasea-60 sonal scales (Guo et al., 2014; Krishnamurti et al., 2015; Chatterjee et al., 2021). 61

In climate model simulations, in the absence of ocean dynamics, the effect of Arc-62 tic sea ice melt is largely confined to regions poleward of 30°N while the addition of ocean 63 dynamics resulted in an equatorward shift of the inter-tropical convergence zone (ITCZ) 64 (Deser et al., 2015; Liu & Fedorov, 2019). When only the thermodynamic coupling is 65 retained by suppressing dynamic coupling, the ITCZ and Hadley cell shifted poleward 66 in response to the Arctic sea ice melt (Tomas et al., 2016). The changes in the mean po-67 sition of ITCZ can affect the tropical cyclone (TC) genesis (Molinari & Vollaro, 2013; 68 Berry & Reeder, 2014). Aquaplanet simulations suggest that poleward shift in ITCZ would 69 result in an increased frequency of TC like synoptic scale weather systems (Ballinger et 70 al., 2015). Deng et al. (2018) argued that the variability in the Arctic sea ice might in-71 fluence the mid-Pacific trough which in turn can affect the TC genesis over the North-72 west Pacific. The response of ocean dynamics to the sea ice melt can induce a global oceanic 73 response (Deser et al., 2010; Liu & Fedorov, 2019). Such an oceanic response can have 74 far reaching effects on earth's climate system, including tropical cyclones and monsoons. 75

One of the reasons for a lack of understanding of the effect of sea ice melt on the 76 genesis of high impact tropical weather systems is that the coarse-resolution simulations 77 using coupled models do not resolve TCs and monsoon low-pressure systems (LPS). One 78 way to overcome this issue is to run high-resolution AGCM simulations forced with the 79 sea surface temperatures (SST) and sea ice concentrations (SIC) from coupled model ex-80 periments (Murakami et al., 2011; Sandeep et al., 2018). The effect of global sea ice melt 81 on mean and synoptic scale features of ISM is not understood. The synoptic scale vor-82 tices embedded in the monsoon circulation, known as LPS, contribute more than half 83 of the total precipitation over the continental India (Praveen et al., 2015; Hunt et al., 84 2016). Here we investigate the response of monsoon LPS to the global sea ice melt us-85 ing a series of coarse-resolution coupled and high-resolution uncoupled climate model sim-86 ulations. 87

⁸⁸ 2 Data and methods

A control experiment (CTRL) is performed by running the community earth sys-89 tem model (CESM) version 1.2.2 (Hurrell et al., 2013) in a fully coupled mode, with pre-90 industrial (B1850_CAM5) forcing, for 350 years. The atmosphere and land are config-91 ured with a 0.9x1.25 degree horizontal resolution while the ocean and sea ice share a vari-92 able resolution gx1v6 displaced pole grid. In another experiment, the CESM model is 93 restarted from the 300th year of CTRL experiment and run for 50 years. In the latter 94 experiment, we decreased the albedo of bare and ponded sea ice and snow cover on ice 95 over the Arctic and Antarctic Oceans in the sea ice component of CESM. Specifically, 96 we changed the parameters R_{ice} and R_{pnd} from 0 to -2. Also, we reduced the single scat-97 tering albedo of snow by 10% for all spectral bands. These settings are similar to Liu 98 and Fedorov (2019). The changes in albedo will result in the melting of sea ice due to qq an increased absorption of solar radiation. We designate this simulation as sea ice melt 100 experiment (SIME). 101

TCs and monsoon LPS are not adequately resolved in the coarse resolution cou-102 pled model simulations. In order to save computational resources, we have designed a 103 set of high-resolution AGCM simulations using the community atmospheric model (CAM5). 104 The CAM5 model is run at 50 km horizontal resolution and forced with the annual cy-105 cles of sea surface temperatures (SST) and sea ice concentrations (SIC) from the CTRL 106 and SIME simulations. The annual cycles of monthly climatology of SST and SIC are 107 constructed using the last 10 years of the CTRL and SIME simulations. The other forc-108 ing of CAM5 are fixed at year 2000 conditions for both the experiments. An ensemble 109 of four runs of CAM5 have been done by slightly perturbing the SST boundary condi-110 tion for both the experiments. Each annual cycle experiment span four years and first 111 year is discarded in the analysis to avoid spin up. Similar high-resolution AGCM exper-112 iments forced with SST and SIC annual cycles from coupled models have been done by 113



Figure 1. JJAS mean, ensemble mean wind vectors and absolute vorticity at 850 hPa for (a) CTRL and (b) SIME simulations, and (c) ensemble mean SIME minus CTRL wind vectors and absolute vorticity at 850 hPa. Stippling in (c) denote the statistically significant (at 95% confidence level) difference between SIME and CTRL absolute vorticity, as revealed by a *t*-test

Sandeep et al. (2018) to investigate the changes in LPS activity in a warming scenario.
 The results presented here pertain to the CAM5 ensemble mean, unless otherwise men tioned.

The trajectories of LPS in the CAM5 simulations are tracked using Praveen et al. 117 (2015) tracking algorithm. This algorithm detects and track LPS from gridded daily sea 118 level pressure (SLP) data by identifying closed isobars at every 1 hPa interval. This al-119 gorithm also classifies the LPS according to their intensity category based on the pres-120 sure depth (Δ SLP). The categorization of monsoon LPS over the Indian region is shown 121 in Table S1. Further, we have used the LPS data extracted by Praveen et al. (2015) from 122 the daily gridded SLP of European Centre Interim Reanalysis (ERAI). This data is also 123 reported by Meera et al. (2019). 124

3 Results and Discussion

The ensemble mean June - September (JJAS) mean wind vectors and the absolute 126 vorticity at 850 hPa resemble the typical Indian summer monsoon (ISM) low-level flow 127 pattern (Fig. 1 a). The maximum low-level vorticity is seen over the monsoon trough 128 region, extending from northwest India to the head Bay of Bengal. The head Bay of Ben-129 gal is the core genesis region of monsoon LPS (Sikka, 1977). The wind vectors and ab-130 solute vorticity climatology from SIME simulations also show a similar pattern as in the 131 CTRL runs (Fig. 1b). In order to understand the difference between the two experiments, 132 the difference in the wind vectors and absolute vorticity climatology between the two ex-133 periments is computed (Fig. 1c). The difference plot shows a weakening of low-level cir-134 culation and the absolute vorticity over the Indian region, with the maximum weaken-135 ing seen over the Bay of Bengal. These results suggest that the ISM circulation would 136 weaken in response to the melting of the Arctic and Antarctic sea ice. Recent studies 137 suggest that the polar sea ice melt in climate model simulations produces climate sys-138 tem response patterns reminiscent of global warming induced by greenhouse gas emis-139 sions (Liu & Fedorov, 2019; England et al., 2020). The annual climatology and seasonal 140 cycle of the SIC from coupled model simulations show a substantial melting of the sea 141 ice in both polar regions in SIME experiment (Fig. S1, S2). The SST climatology shows 142 a warming over the tropical oceans (Fig. S3). It is interesting to note that the pattern 143 of decline in SIC closely resembles that in the end of 21st century projections under RCP8.5 144 scenario (Fig. S2). The ISM is known to weaken in a warming scenario and hence the 145



Figure 2. JJAS mean, ensemble mean LPS track density (unit: number of LPS per grid per season) for (a) CTRL and (b) SIME simulations; (c) ensemble mean difference between SIME and CTRL track density, (d) JJAS mean distributions of LPS days as a function of pressure depth (Δ SLP) of LPS, and (e) category-wise distribution of JJAS mean LPS counts in observations and model simulations. Stippling in (c) denote the statistically significant (p<0.05) difference between SIME and CTRL LPS track density, as revealed by a *t*-test. The blue (red) shading in (d) shows the ensemble spread in CTRL (SIME) experiments. The error bars in (e) also show ensemble spread (± 1 std) in CTRL and SIME runs. The calculations using ERAI are done for the period 1979-2014.

weakening seen in response to the global sea ice melt is not entirely surprising (Krishnan
et al., 2013).

Ditchek et al. (2016) found a relationship between the monthly mean fields of mon-148 soon and the monthly LPS genesis. A weakening in the mean low-level circulation and 149 the associated vorticity in a warming climate was attributed to a significant decrease in 150 the monsoon LPS activity simulated by an AGCM (Sandeep et al., 2018). In this wake, 151 we explore the changes in LPS activity over India in response to the polar sea ice melt. 152 The ensemble mean track density of LPS in CTRL runs of CAM5 shows a maximum in 153 the LPS genesis over the head Bay of Bengal and the adjoining continental India (Fig. 154 2a). Further, the climatological LPS track density pattern in CTRL ensemble has a close 155 match with the observations and the earlier high-resolution AGCM simulations (Krishnamurthy 156 & Ajayamohan, 2010; Hurley & Boos, 2015; Sandeep et al., 2018; Thomas et al., 2021). 157 The LPS track density shows about 32% weakening in the SIME ensembles in compar-158 ison to the CTRL runs (Fig. 2b). The difference plot between the CTRL and SIME track 159 density shows a significant decrease in the LPS track density over the entire monsoon 160 domain in the latter experiment. The weakening of LPS activity in response to the po-161 lar sea ice melt is closely comparable to that in global warming projections, except for 162 a lack of northward shift in the storm genesis (Sandeep et al., 2018). The poleward shift 163 in the low-level monsoon circulation and the LPS genesis in global warming simulations 164



Figure 3. July-August mean zonal mean (50°E - 100°E) absolute vorticity at 850 hPa from (a) CTRL simulations and (b) difference in July - August mean absolute vorticity between SIME and CTRL simulations. The solid line shows ensemble mean and the shading ensemble spread

¹⁶⁵ might be caused by an increase in the land-ocean temperature contrast over the South-¹⁶⁶ east Asian region (Sandeep & Ajayamohan, 2015).

The decline in the LPS activity can be due to a decrease in the intensity of the storms 167 or a decrease in the storm genesis frequency or a combination of the two. The distribu-168 tion of Δ SLP of LPS indicate the intensity of the storms during their life cycle (Fig. 2d). 169 The model simulates the observed distribution of Δ SLP during the lifecycle of the storms, 170 except for less number of high intensity storm days. The distribution of JJAS mean LPS 171 counts in each intensity category shows that the CTRL ensembles have simulated more 172 number of weaker LPS and less number of stronger LPS compared to the observations 173 (Fig. 2e). Also, the SIME simulations show a decrease in the LPS numbers in all inten-174 sity categories, with about 40% decline across all categories. This suggest that the de-175 cline in the LPS activity is due to a decrease in the number as well as intensity of storms 176 in the sea ice melt experiments. 177

Recent evidences suggest an equatorward shift in the ITCZ in response to the Arc-178 tic sea ice melt (Deser et al., 2015; Liu & Fedorov, 2019). Such a shift in ITCZ can re-179 sult in a weakening of the cyclogenesis (Merlis et al., 2013; Ballinger et al., 2015). We 180 examine the changes in the regional ITCZ over the Indian monsoon region that may ex-181 plain the decline in LPS activity. The ITCZ can be identified as the centroid of max-182 imum precipitation or the latitude of low-level zero absolute vorticity (Tomas & Web-183 ster, 1997; Liu & Fedorov, 2019). The zonal mean ensemble mean July-August absolute 184 vorticity at 850 hPa from the CTRL experiments show a change of sign at around 6°N 185 and a maximum around 20°N (Fig. 3a). We choose July-August as it is the peak LPS 186 genesis period. The ensemble mean difference in the July-August zonal mean absolute 187 vorticity shows a weakening north of about 7°N and a relative strengthening in the equa-188 torward region (Fig. 3b). This is an indication of a decrease in the convergence over the 189 core LPS genesis region and an equatorward shift in the ITCZ. A similar analysis us-190 ing zonal mean July-August precipitation over the region shows consistent result. One 191 difference in the zonal mean profile of the precipitation is the presence of a bimodal peak, 192



Figure 4. Top panel: (a) Ensemble mean JJAS mean precipitation (shading) LPS propagation vectors from CTRL and (b) difference in precipitation (shading) between SIME and CTRL, and LPS propagation vectors in SIME. Stippling shows statistically significant (at 95% confidence level) change in precipitation as revealed by a *t*-test. Bottom panel: Storm centered composites of 500 - 250 hPa averaged absolute vorticity (contours; units: $x10^{-5} s^{-1}$) and absolute vorticity advection (shading; units: $x10^{-8} s^{-2}$) for (c) CTRL and (d) SIME simulations.

with one between equator and 5°N and a larger peak around 18°N (Fig. S4). The difference in the zonal mean precipitation between SIME and CTRL shows a weakening (strengthening) north (south) of 5°N, consistent with the changes in absolute vorticity.

The propagation of LPS to the deep interior parts of the Indian landmass plays a 196 crucial role in the distribution of precipitation during summer monsoon season. The en-197 semble mean propagation vectors of LPS from the CTRL simulations show a north-westward 198 propagation (Fig. 4a) that is closely comparable with the observed horizontal advection 199 of LPS (Krishnamurthy & Ajayamohan, 2010; Hurley & Boos, 2015; Srujan et al., 2021). 200 The LPS propagation in SIME ensemble is weak and not penetrating to the northwest-201 ern India (Fig. 4b). The seasonal mean precipitation climatology in CTRL ensemble shows 202 a band of non-orographic precipitation maxima aligned with the LPS propagation vec-203 tors. A widespread weakening of ISM precipitation can be seen in SIME ensemble, a part 204 of which might be contributed by the weaker LPS activity. One of the suggested mech-205 anisms of the LPS propagation is vorticity advection. The storm-centered composite of 206 500 - 250 hPa averaged absolute vorticity shows a maximum in the southwest quadrant 207 of the storm in the CTRL ensemble (Fig. 4c) as observed (Sikka, 1977; Hurley & Boos, 208 2015). The advection of absolute vorticity shows a westward propagation that explains 209 to a larger extent the simulated LPS propagation. In the SIME ensemble, the absolute 210 vorticity and the advection of the absolute vorticity associated with the simulated LPS 211 weaken (Fig. 4d). This explains the weaker LPS propagation in the SIME simulations. 212

4 Conclusions

The Arctic and Antarctic sea ice are projected to have ice free summers towards 214 the end of the 21st century in simulations of high emission scenarios. The global sea ice 215 melting is shown to affect tropical climate, primarily through ocean dynamics. However, 216 the effect of sea ice melt on major tropical climate systems such as Indian summer mon-217 soon is not understood. We have performed a suite of coupled and uncoupled climate 218 model simulations to understand the impact of global sea ice melt on the Indian sum-219 mer monsoon. Our results show that the ISM circulation would weaken significantly in 220 response to the global sea ice melt. Further, the monsoon LPS that are responsible for 221 more than half of the continental Indian rainfall weakens in the sea ice melt simulations. 222 The weakening and an equatorward shift of the ITCZ over the Indian monsoon region 223 cause a reduction in the LPS activity over the Bay of Bengal in the sea ice melt scenario. 224 Our analysis show that about 40% decrease in the number of LPS occurs in response to 225 the global sea ice melt. The horizontal advection of LPS also weakens in the sea ice melt 226 simulations. These results suggest that the polar sea ice melt can have a substantial im-227 pact on the Indian summer monsoon through a weakening of the synoptic activity that 228 is crucial for rainfall distribution over land. 229

230 Acknowledgments

SS acknowledges funding support by the National Centre for Polar and Ocean Research,
 Ministry of Earth Sciences, Government of India (NCPOR/2019/PACER-POP/OS-04).
 The coupled and uncoupled simulations of CESM are performed on the HPC resources
 of IIT Delhi.

235 Open Research

The CESM-CAM5 model simulations and LPS tracks data (Chandra, 2021) can be accessed from https://osf.io/bhqgd.

238 References

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

275

276

277

- Ballinger, A. P., Merlis, T. M., Held, I. M., & Zhao, M. (2015). The sensitivity
 of tropical cyclone activity to off-equatorial thermal forcing in aquaplanet
 simulations. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 72(6), 2286-2302. doi:
 10.1175/JAS-D-14-0284.1
- Barnes, E. A., Dunn-Sigouin, E., Masato, G., & Woollings, T. (2014). Exploring
 recent trends in northern hemisphere blocking. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
 41(2), 638-644. doi: 10.1002/2013GL058745
- Berry, G., & Reeder, M. J. (2014). Objective identification of the intertropical convergence zone: Climatology and trends from the era-interim. Journal of Climate, 27(5), 1894 1909. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00339.1
- Budikova, D. (2009). Role of arctic sea ice in global atmospheric circulation: A review. Global and Planetary Change, 68(3), 149 163. doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha
 .2009.04.001
- Chandra, V. (2021). Substantial weakening of indian summer monsoon synoptic
 activity in response to polar sea ice melt. Retrieved from https://osf.io/
 bhqgd
- Chatterjee, S., Ravichandran, M., Murukesh, N., Raj, R. P., & Johannessen,
 O. M. (2021). A possible relation between arctic sea ice and late season
 indian summer monsoon rainfall extremes. *npj Clim Atmos Sci*, 4. doi:
 10.1038/s41612-021-00191-w
 - Cohen, J., Screen, J., Furtado, J., Barlow, M., Whittleston, D., Coumou, D., ...
 Jones, J. (2014). Recent Arctic amplification and extreme mid-latitude
 weather. Nature Geosci, 7, 627–637. doi: 10.1038/ngeo2234
 - Deng, K., Yang, S., Ting, M., Hu, C., & Lu, M. (2018). Variations of the mid-Pacific trough and their relations to the Asian–Pacific–North American climate: Roles of tropical sea surface temperature and Arctic sea ice. Journal of Climate, 31(6), 2233-2252. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0064.1
- Deser, C., Tomas, R., Alexander, M., & Lawrence, D. (2010). The seasonal atmospheric response to projected Arctic sea ice loss in the late twenty-first century.
 Journal of Climate, 23(2), 333-351. doi: 10.1175/2009JCLI3053.1
- Deser, C., Tomas, R. A., & Sun, L. (2015). The role of ocean-atmosphere coupling
 in the zonal-mean atmospheric response to arctic sea ice loss. Journal of Cli mate, 28(6), 2168-2186. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00325.1
- Ditchek, S. D., Boos, W. R., Camargo, S. J., & Tippett, M. K. (2016). A genesis in dex for monsoon disturbances. *Journal of Climate*, 29(14), 5189 5203. doi:
 10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0704.1
 - England, M., Polvani, L., Sun, L., & Deser, C. (2020). Tropical climate responses to projected Arctic and Antarctic sea-ice loss. *Nature Geoscience*, 13, 275-281. doi: 10.1038/s41561-020-0546-9
- 278Francis, J. A., Chan, W., Leathers, D. J., Miller, J. R., & Veron, D. E.
Winter northern hemisphere weather patterns remember summer Arc-
tic sea-ice extent.(2009).280tic sea-ice extent.Geophysical Research Letters, 36(7), L07503.doi:
10.1029/2009GL037274
- Francis, J. A., & Vavrus, S. J. (2012). Evidence linking Arctic amplification to ex treme weather in mid-latitudes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(6). doi: 10
 .1029/2012GL051000
- Guo, D., Gao, Y., Bethke, I., Gong, D., Johannessen, O. M., & Wang, H. (2014).
 Mechanism on how the spring arctic sea ice impacts the east asian summer monsoon. *Theor Appl Climatol*, 115, 107-119.
- Hunt, K. M. R., Turner, A. G., & Parker, D. E. (2016). The spatiotemporal structure of precipitation in Indian monsoon depressions. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor.* Soc., 142, 3195–3210.
- Hurley, J. V., & Boos, W. R. (2015). A global climatology of monsoon low-pressure
 systems. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 141(689),

293	1049-1064. doi: $10.1002/qj.2447$
294	Hurrell, J. W., Holland, M. M., Gent, P. R., Ghan, S., Kay, J. E., Kushner, P. J.,
295	Marshall, S. (2013). The community earth system model: A framework for
296	collaborative research. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 94(9).
297	1339 - 1360. doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-12-00121.1
208	Krishnamurthy V & Ajayamohan R S (2010) Composite structure of monsoon
290	low pressure systems and its relation to indian rainfall <i>Journal of Climate</i>
299	92(16) 4285-4305 doi: 10.1175/2010.ICL.12053.1
300	25(10), 4205-4505. doi: 10.1175/201050112555.1
301	Krisinianuru, I. N., Krisinianuru, K., Das, S., Kumar, V., Jayakumar, A., & Si-
302	mon, A. (2015). A pathway connecting the monsoonal heating to the rapid
303	arctic ice melt. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, $72(1)$, 5 - 34. doi:
304	10.1175/JAS-D-14-0004.1
305	Krishnan, R., Sabin, T. P., Ayantika, D. C., Kitoh, A., Sugi, M., Murakami,
306	H., Rajendran, K. (2013). Will the South Asian monsoon over-
307	turning circulation stabilize any further? Clim Dyn , 40 , $187-211$. doi:
308	10.1007/s00382-012-1317-0
309	Liu, W., & Fedorov, A. V. (2019). Global impacts of arctic sea ice loss mediated by
310	the atlantic meridional overturning circulation. Geophysical Research Letters.
311	/6(2) 944-952 doi: 10.1029/2018GL080602
210	Meera M Subas E k Sandeen S (2019) Downstream and in situ: Two
512	perspectives on the initiation of monsoon low pressure systems over the
313	bey of borgal $C_{confusional}$ Research Letters $/6(21)$ 12202 12210 doi:
314	bay of bengal. Geophysical Research Letters, $40(21)$, $12505-12510$. doi: 10.1020/2010CL084555
315	10.1029/2019GL084000
316	Merlis, T. M., Zhao, M., & Held, I. M. (2013). The sensitivity of hurricane
317	frequency to ITCZ changes and radiatively forced warming in aquaplanet
318	simulations. Geophysical Research Letters, $40(15)$, $4109-4114$. doi:
319	https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50680
320	Molinari, J., & Vollaro, D. (2013). What percentage of western north pacific tropical
321	cyclones form within the monsoon trough? Monthly Weather Review, $141(2)$,
322	499 - 505. doi: 10.1175/MWR-D-12-00165.1
323	Murakami, H., Wang, B., & Kitoh, A. (2011). Future change of western north pacific
324	typhoons: Projections by a 20-km-mesh global atmospheric model. Journal of
325	Climate, 24(4), 1154 - 1169. doi: 10.1175/2010JCLI3723.1
226	Praveen V Sandeep S & Aiayamohan R S (2015) On the relationship
320	hetween mean monsoon precipitation and low pressure systems in cli-
327	mate model simulations <u><i>Lowrnal of Climate</i></u> 28(13) 5305 5324 doi:
328	10 1175 / 101 ID 14 00415 1
329	
330	Kinke, A., Maturini, M., Granani, R. M., Mattnes, H., Handori, D., Conen, L.,
331	Moore, J. C. (2017). Extreme cyclone events in the Arctic: Wintertime
332	variability and trends. Environmental Research Letters, 12(9), 094006. doi:
333	10.1088/1748-9326/aa7def
334	Sandeep, S., & Ajayamohan, R. S. (2015). Poleward shift in indian summer mon-
335	soon low level jetstream under global warming. Clim Dyn, 45, 337–351. doi:
336	10.1007/s00382-014-2261-y
337	Sandeep, S., Ajayamohan, R. S., Boos, W. R., Sabin, T. P., & Praveen, V. (2018).
338	Decline and poleward shift in indian summer monsoon synoptic activity in
339	a warming climate. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 115(11), 2681–2686. doi:
340	10 1073/pnas 1709031115
241	Screen I A k Simmonds I (2010) Increasing fall-winter energy loss from the
341	Arctic ocean and its role in Arctic temporature amplification — Coophysical Pa
342	and Letters 27(16) doi: 10.1090/2010CI.04/126
343	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} $
344	Serreze, M. U., & Barry, K. G. (2011). Processes and impacts of Arctic amplifica-
345	tion: A research synthesis. Global and Planetary Change, $77(1)$, 85 - 96. doi: 10.1016/j. l. l. l. 2011.02.004
346	10.1016/J.gloplacha.2011.03.004
347	Sevellec, F., Fedorov, A., & Liu, W. (2017). Arctic sea-ice decline weakens the At-

lantic meridional overturning circulation. Nature Clim Change, 7, 604–610. doi: 10.1038/nclimate3353

- Sikka, D. R. (1977). Some aspects of the life history, structure and movement of monsoon depressions. *PAGEOPH*, 115, 1501–1529. doi: 10.1007/BF00874421
- Srujan, K. S. S. S., Sandeep, S., & Suhas, E. (2021). Downstream and in situ genesis
 of monsoon low-pressure systems in climate models. *Earth and Space Science*,
 8(9), e2021EA001741. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EA001741
- Thomas, T., Bala, G., & Srinivas, V. (2021). Characteristics of the monsoon low pressure systems in the indian subcontinent and the associated extreme precipitation events. *Clim Dyn*, 56, 1859–1878. doi: 10.1007/s00382-020-05562-2
 - Tomas, R. A., Deser, C., & Sun, L. (2016). The role of ocean heat transport in the global climate response to projected Arctic sea ice loss. *Journal of Climate*, 29(19), 6841-6859. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0651.1
- Tomas, R. A., & Webster, P. J. (1997). The role of inertial instability in determin ing the location and strength of near-equatorial convection. *Quarterly Journal* of the Royal Meteorological Society, 123(542), 1445-1482. doi: https://doi.org/
 10.1002/qj.49712354202
- Wallace, J. M., Held, I. M., Thompson, D. W. J., Trenberth, K. E., & Walsh, J. E.
 (2014). Global warming and winter weather. *Science*, 343(6172), 729–730. doi:
 - 10.1126/science.343.6172.729

348

349

358

359

360

367

Supporting Information for "Substantial Weakening of Indian Summer Monsoon Synoptic Activity in Response to Polar Sea Ice Melt"

Varunesh Chandra¹, S. Sandeep¹, E. Suhas², and Aneesh C. Subramanian³

¹Centre for Atmospheric Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi 110016, India

 $^{2}\mathrm{Earth}$ and Climate Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Pune, Pune, India

³Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO 80309-0311, USA

Contents of this file

- 1. Figures S1 to S4
- 2. Table S1



Figure S1. Annual mean climatology of sea ice concentration (%) over the Arctic in (a) CTRL and (b) SIME experiments, and (c) the difference in annual mean climatology of sea ice concentration over the Arctic between SIME and CTRL. (d) - (f) Same as (a) - (c), except for the Antarctic sea ice concentration.

Δ SLP (hPa)	LPS category
≤ 2	Low
> 2 and ≤ 4	Depression
> 4 and ≤ 10	Deep depression
> 10 and ≤ 16	Cyclonic storm
> 16	Severe cyclonic storm

Table S1. Categorization of monsoon LPS based on pressure depth (Δ SLP)



Figure S2. Seasonal cycle of sea ice concentration over the (a) Arctic and (b) Antarctic from the CTRL, SIME, historical, and RCP8.5 simulations. The historical (RCP8.5) simulations of CESM1.1 for 1981 - 2000 (2081 - 2100) period are considered. The bars show the difference in October 5, 2021, 4:11pm SIC between CTRL and SIME experiments.



Figure S3. June - September mean climatology of sea surface temperature from CTRL simulation (contours; unit: °C) and the difference between SIME and CTRL simulations (shading). The calculations are based on the last 10 years of simulations.



Figure S4. July-August mean zonal mean (50°E - 100°E) precipitation from (a) CTRL simulations and (b) difference in July - August mean precipitation between SIME and CTRL simulations. The solid line shows ensemble mean and the shading ensemble spread