Local-scale secondary water inputs modulate seasonal vegetation cover decay rate across Africa

Çağlar Küçük¹, Sujan Koirala¹, Nuno Carvalhais², Diego G. Miralles³, Markus Reichstein⁴, and Martin Jung⁵

¹Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry ²MPI-Jena ³Ghent University ⁴Max Planck Institute fur Biogeochemistry ⁵Max-Planck-Institute for Biogeochemistry

November 24, 2022

Abstract

Next to precipitation, secondary water sources emerging from shallow groundwater and lateral redistribution of soil moisture, together with soil properties modulating their accessibility are highly important in water-limited ecosystems. However, effects of these land-associated secondary inputs are not well known over large domains given the mismatch of spatial scales of processes. Here, we quantify the role of land properties on the spatial variations of seasonal decay rate of vegetation cover over water-limited regions of Africa, using machine learning. Over the study domain, 17 % of these variations are directly attributed to land properties, and 16 % are attributed to interaction effects of land properties with climate and vegetation. Locally, total land attributed variations account for more than 60 % in hotspots with different land properties like shallow groundwater, complex topography, and favourable soil properties. Our findings lend empirical evidence for the importance of local-scale secondary water inputs over large domains.

Local-scale secondary water inputs modulate seasonal vegetation cover decay rate across Africa

Çağlar Küçük ^{1,2}, Sujan Koirala ¹, Nuno Carvalhais ^{1,3}, Diego G. Miralles ², Markus Reichstein ¹, Martin Jung ¹

¹Department of Biogeochemical Integration, Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany ²Hydro-Climate Extremes Lab (H-CEL), Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium ³CENSE, Departamento de Ciências e Engenharia do Ambiente, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal

¹⁰ Key Points:

1

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

11	•	We quantify effects of secondary water inputs on seasonal vegetation cover decay
12		rate on water-limited parts of Africa via machine learning
13	•	Shallow groundwater, topography, and soil properties support vegetation activ-
14		ity over large domains by enhancing surface soil moisture
15	•	1/3 of seasonal vegetation cover decay rate over the study domain is attributed
16		to secondary water inputs modulated by land properties

Corresponding author: Çağlar Küçük, ckucuk@bgc-jena.mpg.de

17 Abstract

Next to precipitation, secondary water sources emerging from shallow groundwater and 18 lateral redistribution of soil moisture, together with soil properties modulating their ac-19 cessibility are highly important in water-limited ecosystems. However, effects of these 20 land-associated secondary inputs are not well known over large domains given the mis-21 match of spatial scales of processes. Here, we quantify the role of land properties on the 22 spatial variations of seasonal decay rate of vegetation cover over water-limited regions 23 of Africa, using machine learning. Over the study domain, 17 % of these variations are 24 directly attributed to land properties, and 16 % are attributed to interaction effects of 25 land properties with climate and vegetation. Locally, total land attributed variations ac-26 count for more than 60 % in hotspots with different land properties like shallow ground-27 water, complex topography, and favourable soil properties. Our findings lend empirical 28 evidence for the importance of local-scale secondary water inputs over large domains. 29

³⁰ Plain Language Summary

The water needed for vegetation over land is primarily provided by atmosphere as 31 precipitation. However, secondary water inputs enabled by the presence of shallow ground-32 water or lateral convergence can support the vegetation significantly, especially in dry 33 regions. These secondary inputs, and the soil properties modulating their accessibility 34 to vegetation vary dramatically at local scales. To date, extent of these secondary effects 35 is not well understood over large domains. Here, we quantified the effects of secondary 36 water inputs to the seasonal decay rate of vegetation over water-limited regions of Africa. 37 Using machine learning, we modelled the seasonal decay rate of vegetation as a function 38 of climate, land, and vegetation properties. Over the study domain, we found that sec-39 ondary water inputs account for 1/3 of the variations in the seasonal decay rate of veg-40 etation. Half of that relates to direct effects of land properties on vegetation, while the 41 other relates to interactions of land with climate and vegetation. Moreover, in local hotspots, 42 secondary inputs control up to 60 % of vegetation cover decay rate. There, shallow ground-43 water, topography, and soil properties support vegetation against water limitation. Our 44 results indicate importance of representing these local-scale processes accurately to re-45 alistically portray large-scale dryland dynamics. 46

47 **1** Introduction

Drylands cover more than 40 % of land surface globally (D'Odorico et al., 2019). 48 They have a strong impact on the global carbon cycle (Lal, 2019), despite their vulner-49 ability against interannual climatic variations (Brandt et al., 2018). Furthermore, more 50 than 1/3 of the World's population is settled on drylands (Reynolds et al., 2007), 90 % 51 of which on developing countries that strongly rely on ecosystem services (Maestre et 52 al., 2012). Despite their importance, drylands are still not well understood (Maestre et 53 al., 2021). This is particularly the case in Africa, where drylands cover 75 % of the sur-54 face and remain severely under-studied (Maestre et al., 2012; Adole et al., 2016; Prăvălie, 55 2016). Overall, it is crucial to investigate ecosystem dynamics in drylands in order to 56 have a more comprehensive vision of African ecology and biogeography. 57

Apart from precipitation as the primary component of the terrestrial water cycle, 58 secondary water resources like groundwater (Fan, 2015; Maxwell & Condon, 2016), cap-59 illary rise (Koirala et al., 2019), and lateral flow at hillslope scales (Fan et al., 2019), are 60 essential components of the water cycle. Since the functioning of dryland ecosystems is 61 controlled by water availability (Rodriguez-Iturbe & Porporato, 2009), the importance 62 of the secondary water resources can be large. However, land surface models still need 63 to better representation at high spatial scales to be able to capture these secondary, non-64 trivial, components of the water cycle (Van Dijk et al., 2018; Mu et al., 2021). The stochas-65 tic nature of soil moisture, together with an array of factors and processes affecting it, 66

makes modelling soil moisture and capturing its spatial variations in drylands particu larly challenging (Rodriguez-Iturbe et al., 2021). This challenge propagates to the rep resentation of land surface heterogeneity and hydrological processes affected in the Earth

⁷⁰ System Models (M. P. Clark et al., 2015; Fisher & Koven, 2020; Blyth et al., 2021).

Thanks to recent advancements in remote sensing, high-resolution Earth observa-71 tion products provide nowadays unprecedented opportunities to improve our understand-72 ing in Earth system science. While polar orbiting satellites can be used to monitor the 73 land surface at sub-metre resolutions (e.g., Brandt et al., 2020), geostationary satellites 74 provide insights at high temporal resolution (e.g., Khan et al., 2021; Hashimoto et al., 75 2021). In recent years, data-driven methods using Machine Learning (ML) and deep learn-76 ing – which are very powerful in resolving complex interactions in large Earth observa-77 tion datasets – have been widely used. However, the interpretability of these models is 78 critical and still poses challenges (Rudin et al., 2021), despite the stunning pace of de-79 velopments in interpretable ML (Molnar, 2019). 80

In this study, we quantify the effect of land properties (that modulate secondary 81 water resources) on the seasonal decay in vegetation cover (λ) in Africa, estimated us-82 ing geostationary satellite retrievals (Küçük et al., 2020). Based on an asymptotic ex-83 ponential decay function, λ quantifies the seasonal decay rate of vegetation cover and 84 creates the possibility to analyse decay dynamics across large domains covering differ-85 ent climate and vegetation types at ca. 5 km spatial resolution. In addition to the strong 86 covariation with climate at large scales, λ also has consistent anisotropic structures at 87 local scales. Initial analysis in Küçük et al. (2020) showed that λ reflects ecosystem scale 88 water use strategies against seasonal water limitation, which may be primarily driven 89 by climate over large scales but also affected by secondary land effects modulating wa-90 ter limitation locally. In order to quantify these secondary effects, we model λ using cli-91 mate, vegetation, and land properties from an array of products with ML. The main hy-92 pothesis of the study is that the spatial variations of λ are primarily driven by water lim-03 itation over large parts of Africa, thus any secondary water input supports the ecosystem against water limitation, and leads to a decreased rate of seasonal decay (e.g., the 95 shallower the groundwater, the slower the vegetation decay). We constrain the ML model 96 to follow this hypothesis, regarding secondary water resources, and analyse the model 97 structure to understand the underlying factors. Finally, we quantify land attributed spa-98 tial variations of λ and show the sensitivity of the driving factors to climatological arid-99 ity. 100

¹⁰¹ 2 Data and Methods

We used land, climate and vegetation properties over the study domain to model 102 spatial variations of λ that are shown in Table 1 (see Supporting Information for the de-103 tails of estimations and pre-processing). Regarding the land properties, we used predic-104 tors covering (i) groundwater as a secondary water resource, (ii) topographic complex-105 ity as a land property that modulates the amount of plant available soil moisture by lat-106 eral redistribution of moisture, and (iii) soil hydraulic properties, as the fundamental mod-107 ule in defining the accessibility of soil moisture by plants. In order to incorporate clima-108 tological aridity into the model, we used precipitation, temperature and shortwave ra-109 diation data across annual and seasonal time scales. Last set of predictors cover vege-110 tation properties shown in Table 1. 111

After preparing the data to use in modelling, we filtered the study domain for a maximum precipitation value of 1500 mm/year to limit confounding factors affecting λ other than water limitation. Moreover, we excluded λ values with low confidence by filtering for relative standard error less than 1 and at least 3 convergences during the estimation (see Küçük et al., 2020, for product details). Overall, around 730000 grid cells

Variable	Data Source	Spat. Res.
Seasonal decay rate of vegetation cover (λ)	Küçük et al. (2020)	5 km
Plant Available Water ¹ (PAW)		
Soil hydraulic conductivity at Field Capacity ¹ (k_{FC})	Estimated	$250 \mathrm{m}$
Max potential upwards capillary flux ^{1,2} (I_{cap})		
Water Table Depth (WTD)	Fan et al. (2013)	1 km
Height Above Nearest Drainage (HAND)	Yamazaki et al. (2019)	$90 \mathrm{m}$
Wetlands	Tootchi et al. (2019)	$500 \mathrm{m}$
$\hline Topographic \ \bar{W}etness \ \bar{Index} \ (\bar{T}\bar{W}\bar{I})$		
Vectoral Ruggedness Measure (VRM)	Amatulli et al. (2020)	$250 \mathrm{m}$
Magnitude and scale of 3D roughness		
Precipitation ³	Field and Hiimana (2017)	
$Temperature^3$	Temperature ³ Fick and Hijmans (2017)	5 km
Radiation ³	Abatzoglou et al. (2018)	-
Canopy height	Simard et al. (2011)	$1 \mathrm{km}$
Tree & non-tree vegetation cover	Dimiceli et al. (2015)	
Burned area	Giglio et al. (2015)	$250 \mathrm{m}$
Plant Functional Type	Friedl and Sulla-Menashe (2019)	
¹ Estimated using Hengl et al. (2017), based on Saxte	on and Bawls (2006)	

Table 1: Summary of the dataset used in the study.

² Based on Richards (1931)

³ Annual and seasonal scales

with ca. 5 km spatial resolution were kept in the study domain. Map of the target vari-117 able after filtration is available in Fig. S1. 118

We used XGBoost (Chen & Guestrin, 2016), a recent implementation of gradient 119 boosted regression trees, to model spatial variations of λ with land, climate and vege-120 tation properties. Gradient boosting is a ML method that uses an ensemble of tree-based 121 models generated by subsets of the training data. Tree based regression is a powerful method 122 with high flexibility, designed to minimise output error with a strong gradient search with-123 out considering the underlying processes between predictors and target. In order to avoid 124 unlikely attributions to predictors about variation of λ , and ensure the model to con-125 sistently reflect the hypothesis between λ and water availability, we constrained the model 126 to have monotonic relationship between λ and land parameters with the principle that 127 any land parameter promoting surface soil moisture via secondary water inputs should 128 correlate positively with λ . In other words, we constrained the model to have positive 129 monotonicity between λ and land parameters, i.e., the larger plant available water the 130 slower vegetation decay, except with WTD and HAND where negative constraints were 131 set, i.e., the deeper the groundwater the weaker its support to surface soil moisture. Af-132 ter setting the constraints, we used 10 % of the grid cells which are randomly selected 133 to build the model and used rest of the grid cells for validation. 134

Although tree based models are relatively easy to interpret, it is not trivial to es-135 timate importance of predictors of a multi-dimensional and nonlinear ML model in an 136 unbiased way. Lundberg and Lee (2017) suggested using SHapley Additive exPlanation 137 (SHAP) values to address the problem, which is rooted from cooperative game theory 138 (Shapley, 1953) and treats each predictor as a player of a game. Being an additive ex-139 planation method, summation of SHAP values of all predictors for an instance, a grid 140 cell in this study, is equal to the deviation of the predicted value of that instance from 141 the mean value of the predictions. Moreover, it is possible to partition the SHAP val-142 ues for direct and interaction effects. In other words, for a simple modelling scenario of 143 $y_{obs} \approx y_m = f(x_1, x_2)$ where y_{obs} and y_m are the observed and modelled target vari-144 able, and x_1 and x_2 are the predictors, $y_m = \overline{y_m} + \phi_{x1-x1} + \phi_{x2-x2} + \phi_{x1-x2}$ where $\overline{y_m}$ 145 is mean of y_m , ϕ_{x1-x1} and ϕ_{x1-x2} are the SHAP values attributed to predictor x_1 alone 146

and to the interaction effects between the two predictors. Lundberg et al. (2020) suggested exploiting model structures of tree based models to approximate SHAP values
to avoid computational complexity on large datasets. In order to limit methodological
problems related to feature interdependence (see Sec. 3.4) and ease interpretability, we
grouped SHAP values of the predictors as land, climate and vegetation properties, to explain the model output as:

$$\lambda \approx \lambda_m = \overline{\lambda_m} + \phi_{land-direct} + \phi_{land-clim} + \phi_{land-veg} + \phi_{clim-direct} + \phi_{clim-veg} + \phi_{veg-direct}$$
(1)

153

Afterwards, in order to quantify the importance of land parameters, we normalised the ϕ values of different sets of features after taking absolute values such as:

$$\Phi_{land-total} = \frac{|\phi_{land-direct}| + |\phi_{land-clim}| + |\phi_{land-veg}|}{|\phi_{land-direct}| + |\phi_{land-clim}| + |\phi_{land-veg}| + |\phi_{clim-direct}| + |\phi_{clim-veg}| + |\phi_{veg-direct}|}$$
(2)

156

Finally, we analysed the sensitivity of $\Phi_{land-total}$ to changes in WTD, topographic complexity, maximum potential capillary flux, and annual precipitation.

¹⁵⁹ **3 Results and Discussion**

160

3.1 Model output for λ

The ML model (λ_m , shown in Fig. 1a) captured the continental gradient as well as local variations of λ with 55 % Nash–Sutcliffe modelling efficiency (Nash & Sutcliffe, 1970). However, residuals of the model shows anisotropic structures at local scales (Fig. 1b). This suggests that the model did not capture all the local scale variations, presumably due to incomplete and non-perfect predictors used in the model. After building the model, we analysed λ_m and attributed its spatial variations to predictors by considering the model structure via SHAP values.

168

3.2 Importance of land on seasonal decay rate of vegetation cover

Spatial variation of normalised importance of land on λ ($\Phi_{land-total}$, see Eq. 2) is 169 mapped in Fig. 2 together with six zoomed insets and histogram of the values where the 170 mean value over the domain is shown with a dashed line. Over the study domain, 33~%171 of the variations of λ is attributed to land effects, 17 % of which is direct effects while 172 16~% is the interaction effects with climate and vegetation. Moreover, we found meso-173 scale hotspots where this attribution affects more than 60~% of the spatial variation of 174 λ (Fig. 2). Complex but structured distribution of these local-scale hotspots show not 175 only the importance of secondary water resources but also the difficulty to generalise their 176 effects over large domains. 177

At local scales, regions with shallow groundwater are within these hotspots such 178 as Box-B showing the South of Lake Chad, between the Logone and Chari Rivers and 179 the Sudd Swamp – Fig. 2 (see Fan et al., 2013, for water table depth estimates), which 180 agrees with the literature on the importance of groundwater (Koirala et al., 2017; Roe-181 brock et al., 2020). Additionally, we found strong land effects over the Ethiopian High-182 lands (Box-E) as well as the Manica Highlands (Box-F) to a lesser extent (see V. Clark 183 et al., 2017, for further information about the Manica Highlands). This is consistent with 184 the literature regarding topographical complexity as an important factor modulating wa-185 ter limitation at hillslope scales by enhancing soil moisture at valleys and riparian zones 186 via lateral convergence of soil moisture (Fan et al., 2019). 187

Spatial patterns in Fig. 2 bear strong agreement with the secondary evaporation
 patterns that include permanent or ephemeral waterbodies, groundwater uptake, soil evaporation, and irrigation (Van Dijk et al., 2018). By assimilating remote sensing data with

a process-based, hydrological model, Van Dijk et al. (2018) showed secondary water in puts affect plant transpiration globally. The agreement among the findings of our observation based, ML-leveraged study on the importance of secondary water inputs with a process based data assimilation study sheds light to the direction of future studies.

In order to understand the driving factors of the normalised importance of land parameters as direct land effects ($\Phi_{land-direct}$) and interaction effects with climate ($\Phi_{land-clim}$) and vegetation ($\Phi_{land-veg}$), we analysed their covariation with topographic complexity, groundwater, and capillary rise. In general, direct land effect ($\Phi_{land-direct}$) is the largest component of normalised importance of land, followed by land and climate interaction effects ($\Phi_{land-clim}$), and finally interaction effects between land and vegetation ($\Phi_{land-veg}$).

Over the entire study domain, we found a robust positive correlation between VRM, 201 a metric summarising topographic complexity, and $\Phi_{land-total}$, largely driven by direct 202 land effects (Fig. 3a) which confirms the previously reported studies at basin scales on 203 positive effects of concentrated soil moisture at hillslope scales due to lateral convergence 204 at the much larger study domain of this study (Hoylman et al., 2018; Tai et al., 2020). 205 Half of the spatial variations of λ is attributed to land in the regions with VRM values 206 greater than 0.85 %. Lower values of $\Phi_{land-total}$ at smaller VRM values suggest other 207 processes become more dominant as the effects of topography reduces. 208

Secondly, we looked at the same covariation with WTD to relate variations of λ 209 to groundwater (Fig. 3b). Groundwater is an important moisture source for vegetation 210 in water-limited systems and this effect is amplified as it becomes available in shallow 211 depths (Barbeta & Peñuelas, 2017). We observed this effect on the normalised land im-212 portance $(\Phi_{land-total})$ with changing WTD where almost half of variations in λ is at-213 tributed to land in regions with WTD < 1 meter (m). This effect is gradually reduced with 214 deeper groundwater levels up to 16 m. This relation, however, does not hold at WTD 215 levels deeper than 16 m, presumably due to the disconnection between surface and ground-216 water where other factors become more prominent. 217

Finally, we observed a similar covariation with the largest gradient with the maximum potential capillary rise (I_{cap}) and $\Phi_{land-total}$, where variations of λ is attributed to land parameters are larger with greater potential of capillary supply (Fig. 3c). Overall, more than half of the variations in λ are attributed to land in regions with $I_{cap} >$ 1 mm/day, due to the physical properties of soil texture. This fits well with the previous studies that soil texture is a key variable mediating the interactions between climate, soil, and vegetation (Fernandez-Illescas et al., 2001).

225

3.3 Effects of aridity to the importance of land parameters

In order to understand the effects of mean annual precipitation, as a simple proxy for climatological aridity, to the importance of land parameters on λ , we analysed the changes on the covariation between normalised importance of land parameters and VRM, WTD, and I_{cap} over a precipitation gradient of 0 to 1500 mm/year.

Sensitivity of the covariation between $\Phi_{land-total}$ and VRM to precipitation suggests that topographic complexity affects λ the most in semi-arid regions (Fig. 4a). Lower $\Phi_{land-total}$ values at higher precipitation values agree with the main hypothesis of the study that λ is derived by water limitation. Moreover, lower $\Phi_{land-total}$ values with very low precipitation values is likely due to the fact that most of the water input is returned to atmosphere locally by soil evaporation under hyper arid conditions (Newman et al., 2006), which reduces the importance of lateral convergence of soil moisture.

Secondly, we analysed sensitivity of the interaction between WTD and land attributed variations of λ to precipitation (Fig. 4b). We found the largest attribution to land parameters in regions with WTD < 1 m, with no clear sensitivity to the precipitation gra-

dient of 0 - 1500 mm/year, suggesting strong effect of groundwater when easily acces-240 sible. Except the extreme values of WTD where groundwater is directly available at land 241 surface or disconnected from it, i.e., WTD < 1 m or WTD > 16 m, $\Phi_{land-total}$ values 242 consistently decrease with decreasing climatological aridity. This trend becomes stronger 243 with deeper groundwater levels at larger precipitation values due to lower importance 244 of groundwater with weaker water limitation. These findings agree with previous stud-245 ies as groundwater subsidises root zone soil moisture and effects of it become more im-246 portant with stronger aridity (Brooks et al., 2015). 247

Finally, we analysed the effects of precipitation on the covariation between I_{cap} and importance of land on variations of the λ . We found not only the strongest but also the most consistent gradient between $\Phi_{land-total}$ and precipitation against I_{cap} (Fig. 4c), where the largest land attributed variation of λ occurs in regions with strong climatological aridity and the largest potential of capillary rise. Although land effects become weaker with decreasing climatological aridity, they show the smallest sensitivity against precipitation, showing the importance of capillary rise against water limitation.

255

3.4 Robustness and limitations

Our machine learning based quantification and analysis of secondary moisture ef-256 fects on the seasonal vegetation decay over Africa is associated with uncertainties of un-257 derlying assumptions and methods. The most fundamental assumption is that the veg-258 etation decay rate (λ) is strongly influenced by plant available moisture. Many studies 259 have found and confirmed that most of African ecosystems are water-limited even though 260 relationships can be complex and diverse (see Küçük et al., 2020, and references therein). 261 We confined the study domain to retain primarily water-limited systems by excluding 262 the wetter tropical regions (see Sec. 2). Key findings of our study, the importance of sec-263 ondary moisture sources in general, and their decreasing importance with climatolog-264 ical humidity, are consistent with the assumption of dealing with water-limited ecosys-265 266 tems.

The main methodological uncertainties are related to a) the quality and performance 267 of the underlying trained machine learning model, and b) to the correct attribution of 268 modelled lambda variations to land properties. Our machine learning model explained 269 only 55 % of lambda variations based on 10 % of randomly selected pixels for training 270 to avoid overfitting due to spatial auto-correlation (Roberts et al., 2017). This suggests 271 that we are lacking important predictors and/or issues in the quality of data products 272 used as predictors. The model residuals (Fig. 1b) show relatively little large scale pat-273 terns but a rather fine grained structure. Thus, we likely underestimate lambda varia-274 tions due to landscape-scale factors which suggest that our attribution to land proper-275 ties maybe conservative and even more important in reality. The imperfect representa-276 tion of surface and subsurface factors governing secondary moisture sources in the pre-277 dictor set is likely also constrained by the spatial resolution of 3-5 km where likely im-278 portant sub-grid variations of factors and responses in lambda cannot be resolved ad-279 equately. 280

While we used Shapley values as state-of-the-art technique for machine learning 281 based attribution to predictors we need to acknowledge that machine learning methods 282 exploit statistical associations without any guarantee of unravelling causal relationships. 283 In our experimental design we aimed at enhanced interpretability of the results by con-284 straining the predictor set to interpretable factors related to our hypothesis, and by con-285 straining the monotonicity of land predictors to lambda according to prior knowledge. 286 These monotonic constraints prescribe only the sign of the response while the shape re-287 mains flexible which acts as a causal regularisation in the model training process. How-288 ever, we cannot claim that our trained machine-learning model is entirely based on causal 289 relationships overall. Some confidence in the qualitative findings of the study originate 290

from the fact that the importance of land properties varies systematically with topographic complexity, water table depth, and maximum capillary rise according to theory and expectations from previous studies (Fig. 3). Please note that this result is not trivial and not enforced by the monotonic predictor constraints since we estimated land importance as mean absolute deviations (Eq. 2).

A key uncertainty of estimating variable importance in machine learning is due to covariations of predictors, including SHAP values (Kumar et al., 2020). We aimed at minimising this issue by analysing the importance of predictor groups, rather than individual predictors based on consistent aggregation of Shapley values (Eq. 2). Therefore, covariation of predictors e.g. within the land group cause no issues and biases of estimated importances. While most co-variation among predictors is within their group, there remains covariation among groups that can potentially lead to some confounding effects.

Given the limitations outlined above, our data-driven findings present hypothesis on the large scale importance of secondary moisture effects on seasonal vegetation decay over Africa. Given that the patterns we found are consistent with theory and literature along with a likely underestimation of the effect of secondary moisture sources due to limited information in the predictors we believe that scrutinising our empirical findings will be critical for improving our understanding of dryland ecohydrology across spatial scales.

310 4 Conclusions

In this study, we analysed the effects of local scale water resources on seasonal wa-311 ter limitation by analysing the model output of the seasonal vegetation decay rate (λ) 312 of Fractional Vegetation Cover (FVC) over Africa at 5 km spatial resolution. The model 313 output revealed that at local scales, more than 60 % of the variation of λ in space is at-314 tributed to land properties in hotspots where land strongly modulates water limitation 315 with different processes, e.g., shallow groundwater or complex topography. Over the study 316 domain, 17 % of variation of λ in space is directly attributed to land while 16 % is at-317 tributed to interactions of climate and vegetation properties with land. Moreover, sen-318 sitivity of land effects of λ increases with stronger aridity, where contributions of secondary 319 water resources become relatively stronger in water cycle. We found that maximum po-320 tential capillary rise of groundwater (I_{cap}) positively correlates with land attributed vari-321 ations of λ ($\Phi_{land-total}$). 33 % of spatial variations of λ is directly attributed to land ef-322 fects in regions with $I_{cap} > 1.2 \text{ mm/day}$. Moreover, this effect becomes larger with stronger 323 aridity. Similarly, land attributed variations of λ correlate negatively with deeper WTD 324 as long as groundwater is connected with surface (WTD < 16 m). Effects of WTD on 325 $\Phi_{land-total}$ reduces with larger annual precipitation values, except shallow groundwa-326 ter levels (WTD < 1 m). Finally, we found positive correlation between topographic com-327 plexity and land attributed variations of λ over the study domain with the largest $\Phi_{land-total}$ 328 in semi-arid regions with complex topography, which shows the importance of lateral mois-329 ture convergence due to topography in semi-arid regions. Our findings show the impor-330 tance of local scale processes affecting water availability in drylands not only at local but 331 also continental to global scales, and the need of bridging processes across spatial scales 332 in ecohydrological studies over large domains. 333

³³⁴ 5 Data Availability Statement

Raster files of raw SHAP values of direct and interaction effects of land, climate, and vegetation and the normalised importance of land effects are available as netCDF format in https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.16780405.v1.

338 Acknowledgments

- ³³⁹ Çağlar Küçük acknowledges funding from the International Max Planck Research School
- ³⁴⁰ for Global Biogeochemical Cycles. Additionally, Çağlar Küçük would like to express his
- ₃₄₁ gratitude to the colleagues at the Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry helped es-
- tablishing the scientific base of this data-driven study on ecohydrology.

343 **References**

344	Abatzoglou, J. T., Dobrowski, S. Z., Parks, S. A., & Hegewisch, K. C. (2018).
345	limate, a nigh-resolution global dataset of monthly climate and
346	climatic water balance from 1958-2015. Scientific Data, 5 , 1–12. doi: 10.1028/adata.2017.101
347	Adolo T. Doch I. & Athingon D. M. (2016) A sustametic review of constation
348	Adole, I., Dash, J., & Atkinson, F. M. (2010). A systematic review of vegetation
349 350	.2016.05.004
351	Amatulli, G., McInerney, D., Sethi, T., Strobl, P., & Domisch, S. (2020). Geo-
352	morpho90m, empirical evaluation and accuracy assessment of global high-
353	resolution geomorphometric layers. Scientific Data, $7(1)$, 1–18. doi:
354	10.1038/s41597-020-0479-6
355	Barbeta, A., & Peñuelas, J. (2017) . Relative contribution of groundwater to plant
356 357	transpiration estimated with stable isotopes. Scientific Reports, 7(1), 1–10. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-09643-x
358	Blyth, E. M., Arora, V. K., Clark, D. B., Dadson, S. J., De Kauwe, M. G.,
359	Lawrence, D. M., Yuan, H. (2021). Advances in land surface mod-
360	elling. Current Climate Change Reports, 7(2), 45–71. doi: 10.1007/
361	s40641-021-00171-5
362	Brandt, M., Tucker, C. J., Kariryaa, A., Rasmussen, K., Abel, C., Small, J., Fen-
363	sholt, R. (2020). An unexpectedly large count of trees in the West African
364	Sahara and Sahel. Nature, 587(7832), 78-82. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2824-5
365	Brandt, M., Wigneron, J. P., Chave, J., Tagesson, T., Penuelas, J., Ciais, P.,
366	Fensholt, R. (2018). Satellite passive microwaves reveal recent climate-induced
367	carbon losses in African drylands. Nature Ecology and Evolution, $2(5)$, 827–
368	835. doi: 10.1038/s41559-018-0530-6
369	Brooks, P. D., Chorover, J., Fan, Y., Godsey, S. E., Maxwell, Reed M., McNamara,
370	J. P., & Tague, C. (2015). Hydrological partitioning in the critical zone: Re-
371	cent advances and opportunities for developing transferable understanding
372	of water cycle dynamics. Water Resources Research, 51, 6973–6987. doi:
373	10.1002/2015WR017039
374	Chen, T., & Guestrin, C. (2016). XGBoost: A scalable tree boosting sys-
375	tem. In Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference
376	on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (pp. 785–794). ACM. doi:
377	10.1145/2939672.2939785
378	Clark, M. P., Fan, Y., Lawrence, D. M., Adam, J. C., Bolster, D., Gochis, D. J.,
379	in Earth System Models – Water Resources Research 51(8) 5020 5056 – doi:
380	In Earth System Models. Water Resources Research, $51(8)$, $5929-5950$. doi: 10.1009/2015WD017006
381	Clark V. Timberlako I. Hudo M. Manaura A. Paleravo M. C. Wurston B.
382	Barker N (2017) A first comprehensive account of floristic diversity and
383	endemism on the Nyanga Massif Manica Highlands (Zimbabwe-Mozambique)
304	Kirkia 19(1) 1-53 Betrieved from https://www.istor.org/stable/
386	48595380
387	Cronberg, G., Gieske, A., Martins, E., Prince Nengu, J., & Stenström, IM. (1995).
388	Hydrobiological studies of the Okavango Delta and Kwando/Linvati/Chobe
389	River, Botswana I surface water quality analysis. <i>Botswana Notes and Records</i> .
390	27. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable/40980045
391	Dimiceli, C., Carroll, M., Sohlberg, R., Kim, D. H., Kelly, M., & Townshend,
392	J. R. G. (2015). MOD44B MODIS/Terra Vegetation Continuous Fields Yearly
393	L3 Global 250m SIN Grid V006. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC. doi:
394	10.5067/MODIS/MOD44B.006
395	D'Odorico, P., Porporato, A., & Runyan, C. (2019). Ecohydrology of arid and
396	semiarid ecosystems: An introduction. In P. D'Odorico, A. Porporato, &
397	C. Wilkinson Runyan (Eds.), Dryland ecohydrology (pp. 1–27). Springer Inter-

398	national Publishing. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-23269-6_1
399	Fan, Y. (2015). Groundwater in the Earth's critical zones: Relevance to large-scale
400	patterns and processes. Water Resources Research, 3052–3069. doi: 10.1002/
401	2015WR017037
402	Fan, Y., Clark, M., Lawrence, D. M., Swenson, S., Band, L. E., Brantley, S. L.,
403	Yamazaki, D. (2019). Hillslope hydrology in global change research
404	and earth system modeling. Water Resources Research, 1737–1772. doi:
405	10 1029/2018WB023903
405	Fan V Li H & Miguez-Macho G (2013) Global patterns of groundwater table
400	dopth Science 220(6122) 040-043 doi: 10.1126/science.1220881
407	Earmondon Illegoog C D Demonster A Leie E la Dedrinuen Iturke I (2001)
408	remandez-mescas, C. P., Porporato, A., Lato, F., & Rodriguez-nurbe, I. (2001).
409	I ne econydrological role of soll texture in a water-innited ecosystem. $Water$
410	$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$
411	Fick, S. E., & Hijmans, R. J. (2017). WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution
412	climate surfaces for global land areas. International Journal of Climatology,
413	37(12), 4302-4315. doi: 10.1002/joc.5086
414	Fisher, R. A., & Koven, C. D. (2020). Perspectives on the future of Land Surface
415	Models and the challenges of representing complex terrestrial systems. Journal
416	of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems. doi: $10.1029/2018 ms 001453$
417	Friedl, M., & Sulla-Menashe, D. (2019). MCD12Q1 MODIS/Terra+Aqua Land
418	Cover Type Yearly L3 Global 500m SIN Grid V006. NASA EOSDIS Land Pro-
419	cesses DAAC. doi: 10.5067/MODIS/MCD12Q1.006
420	Giglio, L., Justice, C., Boschetti, L., & Roy, D. (2015). <i>MCD64A1</i>
421	MODIS/Terra+Aqua Burned Area Monthly L3 Global 500m SIN Grid V006.
422	NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC. doi: 10.5067/MODIS/MCD64A1.006
423	Hashimoto, H., Wang, W., Takenaka, H., Higuchi, A., Dungan, J. L., Li, S.,
424	Nemani, R. R. (2021). New generation geostationary satellite observations
425	support seasonality in greenness of the Amazon evergreen forests. <i>Nature</i>
426	<i>Communications</i> . doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-20994-v
427	Hengl T Mendes de Jesus J Heuvelink G B Gonzalez M B Kilibarda
428	M Blagotić A Kempen B (2017) SoilGrids250m: Global gridded
420	soil information based on machine learning $PLoS ONE 12(2)$ 1–40 doi:
420	101371/journal pone 0169748
430	Howlman Z H. Joneso K C. Hu J. Martin J T. Holdon Z A. Soiolstad C A
431	lz Rowell E. M. (2018) Hillslope Tonography Mediates Spatial Patterns of
432	Ecosystem Sonsitivity to Climato Iowrnal of Coonhusical Research: Biogeo
433	sciences 192(2) 352-371 doi: 10.1002/2017IC004108
434	Khan A. Story P. Douglas, I. Anderson, M. Dials, C. Othin, I. McCorkel
435	I (2021) Deviews and switchesses Operating and emerging encounter,
436	J. (2021). Reviews and syntheses. Ongoing and emerging opportunities to
437	Improve environmental science using observations from the Advanced Baseline
438	ninger on the Geostationary Operational Environmental Satenites. <i>Biogeo-</i>
439	sciences. doi: $10.5194/\text{Dg}-2020-454$
440	Koiraia, S., Jung, M., Reichstein, M., de Graaf, I. E., Camps-valls, G., Ichii, K.,
441	Carvalhais, N. (2017). Global distribution of groundwater-vegetation
442	spatial covariation. Geophysical Research Letters, 44(9), 4134–4142. doi:
443	10.1002/2017GL072885
444	Koirala, S., Kim, H., Hirabayashi, Y., Kanae, S., & Oki, T. (2019). Sen-
445	sitivity of global hydrological simulations to groundwater capillary flux
446	parameterizations. $Water Resources Research, 55(1), 402-425.$ doi:
447	10.1029/2018WR023434
448	Küçük, Ç., Koirala, S., Carvalhais, N., Miralles, D. G., Reichstein, M., & Jung, M.
449	(2020). Characterising the response of vegetation cover to water limitation in
450	Africa using geostationary satellites. Earth and Space Science Open Archive,
451	38. doi: 10.1002/essoar.10504964.2
452	Kumar, I. E., Venkatasubramanian, S., Scheidegger, C., & Friedler, S. (2020, 13–18

453	Jul). Problems with shapley-value-based explanations as feature importance
454	measures. In H. D. III & A. Singh (Eds.), Proceedings of the 37th international
455	conference on machine learning (Vol. 119, pp. 5491–5500). PMLR. Retrieved
456	from https://proceedings.mlr.press/v119/kumar20e.html
457	Lal, R. (2019). Carbon cycling in global drylands. Current Climate Change Reports,
458	5(3), 221–232. doi: 10.1007/s40641-019-00132-z
459	Lundberg, S. M., Erion, G., Chen, H., DeGrave, A., Prutkin, J. M., Nair, B.,
460	Lee, SI. (2020). From local explanations to global understanding with ex-
461	plainable ai for trees. Nature Machine Intelligence, $2(1)$, 2522-5839. doi:
462	10.1038/s42256-019-0138-9
463	Lundberg, S. M., & Lee, SI. (2017). A unified approach to interpreting model pre-
464	dictions. In Proceedings of the 31st international conference on neural informa-
465	tion processing systems (pp. $4768-4777$).
466	Maestre, F. T., Benito, B. M., Berdugo, M., Concostrina-Zubiri, L., Delgado-
467	Baquerizo, M., Eldridge, D. J., Soliveres, S. (2021). Biogeography of
468	global drylands. New Phytologist, $231(2)$, $540-558$. doi: $10.1111/nph.17395$
469	Maestre, F. T., Salguero-Gómez, R., & Quero, J. L. (2012). It is getting hotter in
470	here: Determining and projecting the impacts of global environmental change
471	on drylands. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological
472	Sciences, $367(1606)$, $3062-3075$. doi: $10.1098/rstb.2011.0323$
473	Maxwell, R. M., & Condon, L. E. (2016). Connections between groundwater flow
474	and transpiration partitioning. Science, 353(6297), 377–380. doi: 10.1126/
475	science.aaf7891
476	Molnar, C. (2019). Interpretable machine learning. (https://christophm.github
477	.io/interpretable-ml-book/)
478	Mu, M., De Kauwe, M. G., Ukkola, A. M., Pitman, A. J., Gimeno, T. E., Med-
479	lyn, B. E., Ellsworth, D. S. (2021). Evaluating a land surface model at
480	a water-limited site: implications for land surface contributions to droughts
481	and heatwaves. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, $25(1)$, 447–471. doi:
482	10.5194/hess-25-447-2021
483	Nash, E., & Sutcliffe, V. (1970). River flow forecasting through conceptual models
484	Part I - A discussion of principles. Journal of Hydrology, 10, 282–290. doi: 10
485	.1016/0022-1694(70)90255-6
486	Newman, B. D., Wilcox, B. P., Archer, S. R., Breshears, D. D., Dahm, C. N., Duffy,
487	C. J., Vivoni, E. R. (2006). Ecohydrology of water-limited environ-
488	ments: A scientific vision. Water Resources Research, $42(6)$, 1–15. doi: 10.1020/2005/WD004141
489	10.1029/2003 W K004141 Drževilie D (2016) Drylev do sector to and environmental issues A related encoded
490	Forth Color of Devices 161, 250, 278, doi: 10.1016/j.comprime 2016.08.002
491	Demalds I.E. Staffand Swith D. M. Lawkin, E.E. Turner, D.L. Martin and
492	M. Detterburg, C. D. Weller, D. M., Lamoin, E. F., Turner, B. L., Mortimore,
493	M., Datterbury, S. P., Walker, D. (2007). Ecology: Global desertification: Building a science for dryland development. Science, 216(5826), 847–851. doi:
494	10,1126/science 1131634
495	Picharda I Λ (1021) Capillary conduction of liquida through porous modiums
496	<i>Lournal of Annied Physics</i> 1(5) 318–333 doi: 10.1063/1.1745010
497	Bohorts D B Bohn V Ciuti S Boyco M S Elith I Cuillors Arroits C
498	Dormann C. F. (2017) Cross-validation strategies for data with temporal
499 500	spatial hierarchical or phylogenetic structure $E_{cography}$ (0(8) 913–929 doi:
500	101111/ecog02881
501	Rodriguez-Iturbe I Chen Z & Rinaldo A (2021) On the fractal structure of soil
502	moisture fields. Advances in Water Resources 1/7(October 2020) 103826 doi:
504	10.1016/i.advwatres.2020.103826
505	Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., & Porporato, A. (2009). Ecohudrology of water-controlled
506	ecosystems: Soil moisture and plant dunamics. Cambridge University Press.
507	doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511535727

508	Roebroek, C. T. J., Melsen, L. A., Hoek van Dijke, A. J., Fan, Y., & Teuling, A. J.
509	(2020). Global distribution of hydrologic controls on forest growth. <i>Hydrology</i>
510	and Earth System Sciences Discussion, 1–22. doi: 10.5194/hess-2020-32
511	Rudin, C., Chen, C., Chen, Z., Huang, H., Semenova, L., & Zhong, C. (2021).
512	Interpretable machine learning: Fundamental principles and 10 grand chal-
513	lenges. CoRR, abs/2103.11251. Retrieved from https://arxiv.org/abs/
514	2103.11251
515	Saxton, K. E., & Rawls, W. J. (2006). Soil Water Characteristic Estimates by
516	Texture and Organic Matter for Hydrologic Solutions. Soil Science Society of
517	America Journal, 70(5), 1569–1578. doi: 10.2136/sssaj2005.0117
518	Shannon, C. E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. The Bell System
519	Technical Journal, 27(3), 379-423. doi: 10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x
520	Shapley, L. S. (1953). A value for n-person games. Contributions to the Theory of
521	$Games, \ 2(28), \ 307{-}317.$
522	Simard, M., Pinto, N., Fisher, J. B., & Baccini, A. (2011). Mapping forest canopy
523	height globally with spaceborne lidar. Journal of Geophysical Research: Bio-
524	geosciences, 116(4), 1-12. doi: $10.1029/2011$ JG001708
525	Tai, X., Anderegg, W. R., Blanken, P. D., Burns, S. P., Christensen, L., & Brooks,
526	P. D. (2020). Hillslope Hydrology Influences the Spatial and Temporal Pat-
527	terns of Remotely Sensed Ecosystem Productivity. Water Resources Research,
528	56(11), 1-13. doi: $10.1029/2020$ WR027630
529	Tootchi, A., Jost, A., & Ducharne, A. (2019). Multi-source global wetland maps
530	combining surface water imagery and groundwater constraints. Earth System
531	Science Data, 892657, 189–220. doi: 10.5194/essd-11-189-2019
532	Van Dijk, A. I., Schellekens, J., Yebra, M., Beck, H. E., Renzullo, L. J., Weerts,
533	A., & Donchyts, G. (2018). Global 5 km resolution estimates of secondary
534	evaporation including irrigation through satellite data assimilation - DIS-
535	CUSSION. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 22(9), 4959–4980. doi:
536	10.5194/hess-22-4959-2018
537	Yamazaki, D., Ikeshima, D., Sosa, J., Bates, P. D., Allen, G. H., & Pavelsky, T. M.
538	(2019). MERIT Hydro: A High-Resolution Global Hydrography Map Based on
539	Latest Topography Dataset. Water Resources Research, 55(6), 5053–5073. doi:
540	10.1029/2019WR024873



Figure 1: Maps of (a) model output (λ_m) , in days, where larger values of λ (blue) indicate slower decay (b) residual of the model $(\lambda - \lambda_m)$, in days, where positive values (red) indicate underestimation.



Figure 2: Spatial variations of the normalised importance of land on λ ($\Phi_{land-total}$) as output of Eq. 2 where larger (blue to red) values indicate higher importance of land parameters



Figure 3: Normalised importance of land (same as Fig. 2) with change in Vector Ruggedness Measure (VRM), Water Table Depth (WTD), and maximum potential upwards capillary flux 1 meter above water table depth (I_{cap}) . Y-axis shows the total land effects $(\Phi_{land-total})$ even though bars are coloured and annotated to show its components as direct effects $(\Phi_{land-direct})$ and interaction effects with climate $(\Phi_{land-clim})$ and vegetation $(\Phi_{land-veg})$, using Eq. 2.



Figure 4: Effects of aridity on the importance of land parameters (see Eq. 2) with change in Vector Ruggedness Measure (VRM), Water Table Depth (WTD), and maximum potential upwards capillary flux 1 meter above water table depth (I_{cap}) .

Supporting Information for "Local-scale secondary water inputs modulate seasonal vegetation cover decay rate across Africa"

Çağlar Küçük ^{1,2}, Sujan Koirala ¹, Nuno Carvalhais ^{1,3}, Diego G. Miralles ²,

Markus Reichstein¹, Martin Jung¹

 $^{1}\mathrm{Department}$ of Biogeochemical Integration, Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany

²Hydro-Climate Extremes Lab (H-CEL), Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

 3 CENSE, Departamento de Ciências e Engenharia do Ambiente, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade NOVA de

Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal

Contents of this file

- 1. Detailed description of the data
- 2. Spatial distribution of the target variable
- 3. Land attributed variations in λ
- 4. Climate and vegetation attributed variations in λ

X - 2

Detailed description of the data

In this section, details of the data used in this study are given, such as the methods for spatial and temporal aggregation, as well as the details of estimated parameters separately for land, climate and vegetation.

Land:

We used three sets of predictors to model land effects which covers soil hydraulic properties, water table depth and topographic complexity. In order to prepare the first set of predictors, we used sand, clay and organic matter contents of soil, together with volumetric coarse fragments data from SoilGrids dataset (Hengl et al., 2017) for top and deep soil. We grouped layers up to 1 meter as top soil and the rest as deep soil and finally took mean of the layers. We then calculated soil hydraulic properties using the equations in Saxton and Rawls (2006). Additionally, we estimated maximum potential upwards capillary flux (I_{cap}) in millimetres per day (mm/day) at 1 meter above groundwater level using Richards' equation (Richards, 1931). Finally, we used Plant Available Water (PAW) as the difference in soil water content between field capacity and wilting point, soil hydraulic conductivity at field capacity (k_{FC}) and I_{cap} for two layers as predictors to model λ . SoilGrids dataset was aggregated to target resolution by taking mean.

We defined the second set of predictors considering Water Table Depth (WTD). Additional to the WTD data from Fan, Li, and Miguez-Macho (2013), we also used Height Above Nearest Drainage (HAND) data from Yamazaki et al. (2019) since HAND is a good proxy to show the drainage positions (Fan et al., 2019), which strongly affect the depth of groundwater. We aggregated WTD and HAND by taking mean. Even though seasonal

variations of WTD may be significant, WTD product used in this study is static due to properties of high resolution products. In order to capture effects of seasonally shallow groundwater, i.e., due to seasonal flooding, we used the wetlands data from Tootchi, Jost, and Ducharne (2019). We aggregated the data by computing percentage of wetlands over target grid cells.

Last set of land predictors used for modelling λ is related to topographic complexity. We used Topographic Wetness Index (TWI) as a proxy for the likelihood of soil moisture due to lateral convergence. In order to account for slope and aspect at hillslope scales, we used Vectoral Ruggedness Measure (VRM) which is a compound metric quantifying slope and aspect together using a sine-cosine derivation. Overall VRM values, having a range from 0 to 1, increase with ruggedness. Finally, we used magnitude and scale of terrain roughness, which is derived from VRM. Magnitude of roughness is an important parameter to represent the variation in topography even after spatial aggregation. All data used in this set of predictors are obtained from Amatulli, McInerney, Sethi, Strobl, and Domisch (2020) and aggregated by taking the mean.

Climate:

We used mean values for temperature and radiation, and total precipitation for annual time scales. For seasonal scales, we used seasonality and annual range of temperature and precipitation that are available in monthly resolution from Fick and Hijmans (2017) in the native spatial resolution of λ . For radiation, we computed the features from the monthly data of Abatzoglou, Dobrowski, Parks, and Hegewisch (2018), with the same approach taken for temperature.

Vegetation:

We used canopy height from Simard, Pinto, Fisher, and Baccini (2011) after aggregating the original data to 5 km resolution by mean. Additionally, we used four MODIS based products which are vegetation cover for both tree and non-tree fractions (Dimiceli et al., 2015), burned area (Giglio et al., 2015) and Plant Functional Type (PFT) (Friedl & Sulla-Menashe, 2019). While the first three are aggregated by taking mean values within the target grid cell, PFT is aggregated by assigning the most common class found within the grid cell. Additional to the major type of PFT within grid cell, we computed Shannon's diversity index (Shannon, 1948) of the PFTs within the grid cells to be aggregated and used as another predictor.

:

Spatial distribution of the target variable

Spatial distribution of the target variable is shown in Fig. S1. Yellow colour represents faster rate of seasonal vegetation cover decay while blue represents slower decay. Note that study domain is filtered based on the quality of λ estimations and annual precipitation of 1500 mm/year. Further details of the metric and its derivation is available in Küçük et al. (2020).

Land attributed variations in λ

In this section, we present the land attributed variations of λ using the raw SHAP values of the modelled λ . Spatial variation of the raw SHAP values are given in Fig. S2 as total attribution, together with it's component as direct land effects and land interaction effects with climate and vegetation in the panels. Directly land attributed variations ($\phi_{land-direct}$) are the dominant component of the total land attributed variations of λ ($\phi_{land-total}$). Large

positive values in $\phi_{land-direct}$ in regions with shallow groundwater like the Sudd Swamp (Box-D) where groundwater is shallow (Tootchi et al., 2019) and with complex topography like the Ethiopian Highlands (Box-E) show that the *e*-folding time of FVC is slowed down up to 6 days directly owing to the land parameters modulating secondary water resources – see Fig. S2a. Conversely, we observed strong negative effects in very arid regions like Senegal (Box-A in Fig. S2b), Somalia, and the Kalahari Desert, where groundwater is disconnected from surface (Fan et al., 2013).

Interestingly, interaction effects between land and climate make strong positive variation on λ in Okavango Delta (Box-C in Fig. S2c) that inverts the negative effects of land parameters in the region. This conceptually agrees with the fact that the Okavango Delta, being a seasonally flooded delta, is strongly affected by climate seasonality (Cronberg et al., 1995). Lastly, interaction effects between land and vegetation are not so prominent through the study domain (Fig. S2d).

Climate and vegetation attributed variations in λ

Spatial variations of raw SHAP values for climate and vegetation are given in Fig. S3 to illustrate their effects on λ as direct effects ($\phi_{clim-direct}$ and $\phi_{veg-direct}$) and interaction effects ($\phi_{clim-veg}$).

References

Abatzoglou, J. T., Dobrowski, S. Z., Parks, S. A., & Hegewisch, K. C. (2018). TerraClimate, a high-resolution global dataset of monthly climate and climatic water balance from 1958-2015. *Scientific Data*, 5, 1–12. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2017.191

:

- Amatulli, G., McInerney, D., Sethi, T., Strobl, P., & Domisch, S. (2020). Geomorpho90m, empirical evaluation and accuracy assessment of global high-resolution geomorphometric layers. *Scientific Data*, 7(1), 1–18. doi: 10.1038/s41597-020-0479-6
- Cronberg, G., Gieske, A., Martins, E., Prince Nengu, J., & Stenström, I.-M. (1995). Hydrobiological studies of the Okavango Delta and Kwando/Linyati/Chobe River, Botswana I surface water quality analysis. *Botswana Notes and Records*, 27. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable/40980045
- Dimiceli, C., Carroll, M., Sohlberg, R., Kim, D. H., Kelly, M., & Townshend, J. R. G. (2015). MOD44B MODIS/Terra Vegetation Continuous Fields Yearly L3 Global 250m SIN Grid V006. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC. doi: 10.5067/MODIS/ MOD44B.006
- Fan, Y., Clark, M., Lawrence, D. M., Swenson, S., Band, L. E., Brantley, S. L., ... Yamazaki, D. (2019). Hillslope hydrology in global change research and earth system modeling. *Water Resources Research*, 1737–1772. doi: 10.1029/2018WR023903
- Fan, Y., Li, H., & Miguez-Macho, G. (2013). Global patterns of groundwater table depth. Science, 339(6122), 940–943. doi: 10.1126/science.1229881
- Fick, S. E., & Hijmans, R. J. (2017). WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*, 37(12), 4302–

Friedl, M., & Sulla-Menashe, D. (2019). MCD12Q1 MODIS/Terra+Aqua Land Cover Type Yearly L3 Global 500m SIN Grid V006. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC. doi: 10.5067/MODIS/MCD12Q1.006

:

- Giglio, L., Justice, C., Boschetti, L., & Roy, D. (2015). MCD64A1 MODIS/Terra+Aqua Burned Area Monthly L3 Global 500m SIN Grid V006. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC. doi: 10.5067/MODIS/MCD64A1.006
- Hengl, T., Mendes de Jesus, J., Heuvelink, G. B., Gonzalez, M. R., Kilibarda, M., Blagotić, A., ... Kempen, B. (2017). SoilGrids250m: Global gridded soil information based on machine learning. *PLoS ONE*, 12(2), 1–40. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0169748
- Küçük, Ç., Koirala, S., Carvalhais, N., Miralles, D. G., Reichstein, M., & Jung, M. (2020). Characterising the response of vegetation cover to water limitation in Africa using geostationary satellites. *Earth and Space Science Open Archive*, 38. doi: 10.1002/ essoar.10504964.2
- Richards, L. A. (1931). Capillary conduction of liquids through porous mediums. Journal of Applied Physics, 1(5), 318–333. doi: 10.1063/1.1745010
- Saxton, K. E., & Rawls, W. J. (2006). Soil Water Characteristic Estimates by Texture and Organic Matter for Hydrologic Solutions. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 70(5), 1569–1578. doi: 10.2136/sssaj2005.0117
- Shannon, C. E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. The Bell System Technical Journal, 27(3), 379-423. doi: 10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x

Simard, M., Pinto, N., Fisher, J. B., & Baccini, A. (2011). Mapping forest canopy height globally with spaceborne lidar. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 116(4), 1–12. doi: 10.1029/2011JG001708

:

X - 8

- Tootchi, A., Jost, A., & Ducharne, A. (2019). Multi-source global wetland maps combining surface water imagery and groundwater constraints. *Earth System Science Data*, 892657, 189–220. doi: 10.5194/essd-11-189-2019
- Yamazaki, D., Ikeshima, D., Sosa, J., Bates, P. D., Allen, G. H., & Pavelsky, T. M. (2019). MERIT Hydro: A High-Resolution Global Hydrography Map Based on Latest Topography Dataset. Water Resources Research, 55(6), 5053–5073. doi: 10.1029/2019WR024873



Figure S1. Observed λ as the target variable of the gradient boosting model.



Figure S2. Land attributed variations of λ as (a) total effects, $\phi_{land-total} = \phi_{land-direct} + \phi_{land-clim} + \phi_{land-veg}$, (b) direct effects of land, $\phi_{land-direct}$, (c) interaction effects between land and climate, $\phi_{land-clim}$, (d) interaction effects between land and vegetation, $\phi_{land-veg}$.



:

Figure S3. Maps of feature attribution for (a) direct effects of climate $(\phi_{clim-direct})$, (b) direct effect of vegetation $(\phi_{veg-direct})$, (c) interaction effects between climate and vegetation $(\phi_{clim-veg})$. Note the larger range of colourbar in $\phi_{clim-direct}$ than other maps of raw SHAP values.