Evolution of Jupiter-style critical latitudes: Initial laboratory altimetry results

Yakov D. Afanasyev¹ and Timothy E Dowling²

¹Memorial University of Newfoundland ²University of Louisville

November 21, 2022

Abstract

This is a laboratory zonal-jet study using a rotating water tank. The bottom topography has a tent-shaped radial cross section designed to generate two critical latitudes, i.e. two positions where β e, the radial gradient of the potential vorticity (PV), changes sign. This configuration is motivated by observations indicating Jupiter and Saturn have not only multiple zonal jets, but multiple stable critical latitudes. It is known that "supersonic" critical latitudes (with respect to Rossby waves) are stable, whereas "subsonic" critical latitudes are posited to be unstable. Because Rossby waves are uni-directional, "supersonic" critical latitudes come in two varieties: Rossby Mach number $M_R > 1$ and $M_R < 0$, where the latter holds when the waves are directed downstream. Experiments focus on: i) how do zonal jets emerge from localized forcing in a system with alternating PV gradients? and ii) what differences are there between the evolution of various types of critical latitudes? The water is forced by mass injection along one radius. Laboratory altimetry provides accurate, unobtrusive records of the circulations that reveal the emergence of counter-propagating β -plumes (Rossby-wave envelopes), which expand into tank-encircling zonal jets. The tank's negative β e annulus is characterized by $M_R ~ 1$, which is the condition surmised for Jupiter and Saturn. The weaker critical latitude (in terms of jumps in the PV-gradient) adjusts its position by ~4% of the tank radius and maintains $M_R ~ 1$. In contrast, the stronger one vacillates while maintaining $|M_R| << 1$, and may be relevant to steep oceanic seamounts.

Evolution of Jupiter-style critical latitudes: Initial laboratory altimetry results

Y.D. Afanasyev¹, T.E. $Dowling^2$

4	¹ Department of Physics & Physical Oceanography, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's,
5 6	Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada $^2{\rm Department}$ of Physics & Astronomy, University of Louisville, Louisville KY, USA 40292

Key Points:

1

2

3

7

8	•	Stability of jets is assured when critical latitudes (extrema of potential vorticity)
9		are "supersonic" with respect to Rossby waves.
10	•	Multiple stable critical latitudes on Jupiter and Saturn motivate this study of a
11		rotating water tank with two built-in critical latitudes.
12	•	Lab altimetry records alternating jets forming from local forcing and distinguishes
13		between Jupiter-like and non-Jupiter-like environments.

Corresponding author: Y.D. Afanasyev, afanai@mun.ca

14 Abstract

This is a laboratory zonal-jet study using a rotating water tank. The bottom topogra-15 phy has a tent-shaped radial cross section designed to generate two critical latitudes, i.e. 16 two positions where β_e , the radial gradient of the potential vorticity (PV), changes sign. 17 This configuration is motivated by observations indicating Jupiter and Saturn have not 18 only multiple zonal jets, but multiple stable critical latitudes. It is known that "super-19 sonic" critical latitudes (with respect to Rossby waves) are stable, whereas "subsonic" 20 critical latitudes are posited to be unstable. Because Rossby waves are uni-directional, 21 "supersonic" critical latitudes come in two varieties: Rossby Mach number $M_{\rm R} > 1$ and 22 $M_{\rm R} < 0$, where the latter holds when the waves are directed downstream. Experiments 23 focus on: i) how do zonal jets emerge from localized forcing in a system with alternat-24 ing PV gradients? and ii) what differences are there between the evolution of various types 25 of critical latitudes? The water is forced by mass injection along one radius. Laboratory 26 altimetry provides accurate, unobtrusive records of the circulations that reveal the emer-27 gence of counter-propagating β -plumes (Rossby-wave envelopes), which expand into tank-28 encircling zonal jets. The tank's negative β_e annulus is characterized by $M_{\rm R} \sim 1$, which 29 is the condition surmised for Jupiter and Saturn. The weaker critical latitude (in terms 30 of jumps in the PV-gradient) adjusts its position by $\sim 4\%$ of the tank radius and main-31 tains $M_{\rm R} \sim 1$. In contrast, the stronger one vacillates while maintaining $|M_{\rm R}| \ll 1$, 32 and may be relevant to steep oceanic seamounts. 33

³⁴ Plain Language Summary

Jupiter is striped with dark and light bands called belts and zones, which are as-35 sociated with its steady eastward and westward jet streams ("jets" for short). Entwined 36 within these are long waves that would cause the jets to meander and buckle, like Earth's 37 jet streams do, were it not for guide rails that are buried inside the gas giant: the steady 38 pressure ridges and troughs, called dynamic topography, that are associated with the planet's 39 abyssal jets. This work employs an optical altimetry method for measuring the circu-40 lations in a rotating water tank without disturbing the flow. The tank's bottom topog-41 raphy is a tent-shaped peak that encircles the tank like a doughnut and provides a Jupiter-42 style guide rail. The flow is forced by injecting water from the holes of a submerged pipe 43 that extends along one radius. Many different types of waves are recorded propagating 44 away from this forcing, and the circulations are seen to evolve into alternating eastward 45 and westward jets that encircle the tank. Where long waves travel upstream at about 46 the same rate as the flow itself travels downstream, such that the waves are approximately 47 stationary, the system exhibits Jupiter-like characteristics. 48

49 **1** Introduction

Jet streams ("jets" for short) play a guiding role in atmospheric and oceanic fluid 50 dynamics, and have analogies across many disciplines, including jets in magnetized plas-51 mas and in protoplanetary disks (Baldwin et al., 2007; Dowling, 2019). Jupiter's jets are 52 the prototypical system: the first hint of order out of chaos came from the discovery of 53 the planet's belts in 1630 (Graney, 2010). The jets are beautifully structured and pro-54 vide counterpoints to atmospheric turbulence, as evident in high-resolution imagery from 55 Pioneer 10 and 11, Voyager 1 and 2, Galileo, Juno, Cassini-Huygens and New Horizons. 56 The flow visualization of Jupiter's cloud tops rivals that which can be achieved in the 57 laboratory, permitting detailed analysis of velocity and vorticity fields. This has revealed 58 that Jupiter's troposphere is composed of not only multiple stable jets, but of multiple 59 stable critical latitudes (Dowling & Ingersoll, 1989; Dowling, 1993, 1995b; Read et al., 60 2006). This appears to also hold for Saturn (Read, Conrath, et al., 2009; Read, Dowl-61 ing, & Schubert, 2009). The inference that gas giants have multiple stable critical lat-62 itudes is the primary motivation for the class of laboratory experiments initiated here. 63

64 1.1 Multiple stable critical latitudes

In the context of inviscid shear stability, a critical latitude (also known as a crit-65 ical line, critical surface, or critical layer) marks the position of a local extremum in po-66 tential vorticity (PV), where the gradient of the PV changes sign. Rossby wave phase 67 speeds (before advection by the wind) travel with high PV on their right—they are uni-68 directional—hence a critical latitude marks a pivot point for the direction of propaga-69 tion, which has a governing effect on the fluid dynamics. "Supersonic" critical latitudes 70 are proven to be stable (the quotes indicate the discussion is about Rossby waves, not 71 72 sound waves), via the two branches of Kelvin-Arnol'd shear stability theory (KA-I and KA-II) cast in non-dimensional form (McIntyre & Shepherd, 1987; Dowling, 2014). The 73 Rayleigh, Kuo, Charney-Stern, and Fjørtoft stability criteria are special cases of the KA-74 I branch, and interestingly, both branches are "supersonic": KA-II corresponds to the 75 usual $M_{\rm R} > 1$, where $M_{\rm R}$ is the analog of the Mach number, and KA-I corresponds to 76 $M_{\rm R} < 0$, which stems from the uni-directionality of Rossby waves and holds when their 77 longwave speeds are directed downwind. This M_R point of view is shedding new light 78 on the classic problem of inviscid shear stability, where the lack of physical insights has 79 long been cited as a deterrent to progress (e.g. Lin, 1945; Darrigol, 2005). The compact 80 statement that "supersonic" critical latitudes are stable has a straightforward physical 81 interpretation: vortical eddies, which must lean into the shear to be self-amplifying, are 82 relentlessly toppled downstream and thus rendered self-damping (see Figures 5 and 6 in 83 Dowling, 2014). Likewise, an open conjecture posits that "subsonic" critical latitudes 84 are unstable, which has numerical support (e.g. Dowling, 1993; Stamp & Dowling, 1993; 85 Dowling, 2020) but has not yet been proven mathematically. 86

Systems containing a single stable critical latitude have been well studied (e.g. Kuo, 87 1949; Balmforth & Morrison, 1999; Hirota & Morrison, 2016), including applications to 88 rotating water tank experiments (e.g. del Castillo-Negrete & Morrison, 1993). The more 89 complicated case of multiple stable critical latitudes is "possibly the most interesting" 90 (Balmforth & Morrison, 2002, p. 138), but is less-well studied and so there is much that 91 laboratory experiments can contribute. Three questions immediately present themselves. 92 Firstly, the existence of multiple critical latitudes means that Rossby wave propagation 93 switches direction where the sign of the PV gradient switches. In such an environment, 94 how do jets spin up and stabilize? Secondly, how do the various kinds of critical latitudes 95 evolve? Thirdly, when there is only one critical latitude, it dictates the reference frame, 96 but when there is more than one, some type of special communication must occur in or-97 der to have the zonal wind be zero at each latitude where the PV gradient is zero. Phys-98 ically, this corresponds to long Rossby waves being stationary and coherent across al-99 ternating jets. There is evidence that such coherence occurs in the tropospheres of Jupiter 100 and Saturn (Deming et al., 1989; Dowling, 1995a; Read, Dowling, & Schubert, 2009). The 101 first two questions, how jets evolve and how different kinds of critical latitudes behave, 102 are directly addressed in this study. 103

104

1.2 Laboratory experiments on zonal jets

Azimuthal jets have been studied in rotating-tank laboratory experiments under a variety of configurations for decades, as recently reviewed by Read (2019) and Y. D. Afanasyev (2019). Two key distinguishing characteristics are: i) the techniques used to measure the circulations, and ii) the manner of forcing used to drive the flow.

109 1.2.1 Measuring the circulations

The present experiments are barotropic in the vertical dimension, in the sense that only one rapidly-rotating, incompressible layer of water is used. Vertically varying (baroclinic) structures are suppressed by the gyroscopic Taylor-Proudman effect (Vallis, 2017) and so there is little need to try to measure them (there are effective means for deducing them in rotating tanks that contain stratified fluids, which will come into play in future experiments of this type). Measuring the circulations in a single layer can be done
accurately when the fluid is rapidly rotating, by measuring the highs and lows of the water's surface elevation, which are strongly coupled to the circulations via geostrophy, the
balance between Coriolis accelerations and horizontal pressure gradients.

The practical challenge when monitoring rotating tank experiments is to extract 119 as much information as possible, while disturbing the flow as little as possible. The op-120 tical altimetry technique is used in this study to precisely determine the surface eleva-121 122 tion remotely, without introducing any disturbances to the flow (Y. D. Afanasyev et al. 2009; Y. D. Afanasyev, 2015). The surface is illuminated with a bright computer mon-123 itor located above the surface of water. The monitor displays a color pattern resembling 124 a color wheel used by painters. Primary colors at the periphery of the pattern combine 125 in its center into white. The pattern is reflected as white where the spun-up water is an 126 undisturbed parabola, but otherwise is reflected as one hue or another depending sen-127 sitively on the slope and orientation of the surface anomaly (this technique is adopted 128 from the telescope-mirror manufacturing industry). Gradient-wind balance is then used 129 to invert this slope altimetry into high-resolution velocity and vorticity fields. The im-130 ages are taken with a video camera that yields temporal resolution that is sufficient to 131 discern the different propagation characteristics of all the wave types of interest, and to 132 record the long-term evolution of jets. 133

134 1.2.2 Forcing

There are about as many schemes for forcing as there are world-wide laboratories 135 engaged in rotating-tank experiments. A system's reactions can be divided into those 136 that are independent of the forcing type, and those that are a signature of the partic-137 ular forcing employed. Quite uniform small-scale forcing can be achieved thermally via 138 evaporative cooling of warm water, which creates small-scale convective vortices. Condie 139 and Rhines (1994) observed jets forming from this type of forcing, and Matulka et al. 140 (2016) did the same by combining this technique with heating at the bottom. An alter-141 native is to employ the second half of oceanography's thermohaline driver, the haline/saline 142 half, for example Read et al. (2019) sprayed salty water on the surface to generate small-143 scale convective motions and observe jet formation. 144

In addition to thermohaline techniques, one can also generate jets by perturbing 145 the momentum or mass fields in a tank. A straightforward example of the former is to 146 have sliding concentric rings on the bottom (e.g. Antipov et al., 1983). Various tanks 147 employ the latter by pumping water in and out of holes arrayed in patterns at the bot-148 tom (e.g. Sommeria et al., 1989). Since zonal jets are large-scale phenomena, there are 149 some advantages to using azimuthally localized forcing that is much smaller in scale than 150 the resultant jets, which is the technique employed here. In this approach, fluid is pumped 151 into the tank in a localized area, often along a single radius. Either the same or differ-152 ent density from the fluid in the tank can be used, which results in barotropic or baro-153 clinic circulations, respectively. Using this approach, Y. D. Afanasyev et al. (2012) and 154 Slavin and Afanasyev (2012) observed zonal jets forming out of the growing envelope of 155 Rossby waves emitted from the local forcing, called the β -plume mechanism. 156

Although small-scale convective forcing is arguably more relevant to modelling planetary atmospheres, in this study the azimuthally localized, same-density mass injection technique is used, which permits β -plumes to expand into an otherwise unforced domain. This is advantageous for studying how β -plumes grow into zonal jets when the system has adjacent zones with alternating signs of the PV gradient, such that the Rossby-wave propagation direction alternates.

163 1.2.3 Bottom topography

The PV gradient has three components on a rotating planet, which arise from changes 164 with respect to latitude of the planetary, relative, and stretching vorticities. The plan-165 etary vorticity gradient is $\beta = df/dy$, where $f = 2\Omega \sin(\phi_g)$ is the planetary vorticity 166 or Coriolis parameter, Ω is the sidereal angular velocity of the planet's rotation, ϕ_q is 167 the planetographic latitude, and y is the local Cartesian latitude coordinate. The β term 168 has the feature that it is positive in both the northern and southern hemispheres (zero 169 at the poles), and has played an important role in the theory of Rossby waves (Platzman, 170 171 1968). Although β is difficult to reproduce in the laboratory, where rotating tanks usually have a cylindrical geometry instead of a spherical-shell geometry (a notable excep-172 tion is the microgravity convection apparatus developed by Hart et al., 1986), its absence 173 in rotating tanks is of little concern, for at least two reasons. Firstly, the fluid cannot 174 cherry-pick β out of the full PV gradient, so as long as one or both of the other two terms 175 yields a non-zero PV gradient, there is no awareness in the system that $\beta = 0$. Secondly, 176 on Jupiter and Saturn the alternating jets are so strong that the relative vorticity and 177 stretching vorticity gradients are two to three times larger in magnitude than β (Ingersoll 178 et al., 1981; Dowling, 2020), implying that β itself could be turned off without strongly 179 affecting the dynamics. 180

The primary method for arranging a background PV gradient in a rotating tank 181 is to configure the depth of the water to change with respect to radius, which causes gra-182 dients in the stretching vorticity and hence the PV. Variations in depth are due to a com-183 bination of bottom topography and the parabolic shape of the free surface of the rotat-184 ing water, plus any perturbations. While in previous laboratory experiments the result-185 ing PV gradients have typically been single-signed across the domain, here a bottom to-186 pography is employed that creates zones that alternate from positive to negative back 187 to positive PV gradient with respect to radius. This builds in two critical latitudes (crit-188 ical radii) where the PV gradient changes sign. 189

The rest of the article is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews pertinent advances in the theory of Rossby waves, jets, and their interactions. In particular, the reciprocal of the analog of the Mach number for Rossby waves is highlighted as a unifying dimensionless parameter. Section 3 contains details of the laboratory apparatus and the optical altimetry system. Section 4 describes the results of the experiments, and Section 5 gives concluding remarks.

¹⁹⁶ 2 Theory

Jet streams are inextricably linked with shear and vorticity—vortical flow—and vorticity waves, which are called Rossby waves in geophysical fluid dynamics and drift waves in plasma dynamics, are central to the genesis and maintenance of jets (Galperin & Read, 2019; Dowling, 2019). Rossby waves are the result of fluid parcels conserving their PV in the presence of an environmental PV gradient (Vallis, 2017), hence the sign and magnitude of PV gradients are controlling factors in the development of jets.

203

207

2.1 Rossby waves and critical latitudes

The central evolution equation for inviscid, adiabatic, and hydrostatic flow is conservation of PV (symbol Q), which is formed by combining the conservation laws of mass, momentum and thermal energy to yield (in the primitive Ertel form):

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = 0; \qquad Q = \frac{f+\zeta}{h}, \qquad (1)$$

where f is the aforementioned planetary vorticity (the Coriolis parameter), ζ is the local vertical component of the relative vorticity (relative to the rotating frame of reference), and h is the fluid depth of the water (the distance from the bottom topography to the water's surface), or for atmospheres the thickness density of the air (Vallis, 2017).

2.1.1 Meridional gradient of PV

212

215

218

221

223

232

For most systems, the meridional component of the gradient of the PV is the largest and most important. It is expressed here for clarity in the Cartesian framework,

$$Q_y = \frac{1}{h}(f_y + \zeta_y) - \frac{h_y}{h^2}(f + \zeta),$$
 (2)

where subscripts denote differentiation. For zonally symmetric flow the relative vorticity is $\zeta = -u_y$, and the product hQ_y may be written

$$hQ_y \equiv \beta_e = \beta + \beta_u + \beta_h \,, \tag{3}$$

where β_e is the mass-weighted PV gradient ("e" for "effective"), $\beta = f_y$ is the planetary vorticity gradient, $\beta_u = \zeta_y = -u_{yy}$ is the relative vorticity gradient, and

$$\beta_h = -f \frac{h_y}{h} \left(1 - \frac{u_y}{f} \right) \,, \tag{4}$$

is the stretching vorticity gradient.

2.1.2 Height, thickness, and the geoid

Following standard notation for interface heights and layer thicknesses (e.g. Val-224 lis, 2017, p. 106), the zero for lab-based altitude is at or near the average of the tank's 225 bottom topography, and η and η_b are the respective altitudes of the water surface and 226 bottom topography, such that the water thickness is $h = \eta - \eta_b$. When the tank is not 227 spinning, the surface is flat and its altitude equals its average thickness, h_0 . After the 228 tank is spun up, but otherwise undisturbed, the radial pressure-gradient force balances 229 the centrifugal acceleration such that the surface is parabolic; this furnishes the system's 230 reference geoid, 231

$$\eta_{\text{geoid}}(r) = h_0 + \frac{(\Omega R)^2}{2g} \left[\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right],$$
(5)

where g is the acceleration of gravity, Ω is the angular velocity of the tank, R is the tank radius, and r is the radial coordinate measured from the center (Figure 1). One could equally well define the geoid in terms of the corresponding geopotential, $\Phi_{\text{geoid}} = g\eta_{\text{geoid}}$, but the slope of η itself is what is measured by the laboratory altimetry system.

There are two different definitions of "horizontal" motion relevant to a rotating tank, 237 and to minimize confusion it is important to explicitly pick one. One is translation par-238 allel to the laboratory floor, which is perpendicular to both Earth's gravity and to the 239 angular velocity vector of the rotating tank. The other is translation parallel to the wa-240 ter's geoid, which is perpendicular to the effective gravity (the net sum of Earth's grav-241 ity and the centrifugal acceleration of the rotating tank), which, for example, a water 242 bug standing on the surface would deem to be sensible. In this article, the former is used: 243 horizontal motion and horizontal velocities refer to translation parallel to the laboratory 244 floor. The primary reason for this choice is the gyroscopic Taylor-Proudman effect, which 245 causes the bulk circulations in a rapidly rotating incompressible fluid to translate as co-246 herent columns that are parallel to the rotation axis (Vallis, 2017). Thus, surface-height 247 disturbances relative to the geoid are measured using lab-vertical altitude, not local geopo-248 tential height (i.e. not the geopotential divided by a constant reference gravity, g_0), and 249 are denoted $\eta - \eta_{\text{geoid}} = \Delta \eta$. Ignoring viscous boundary layers, the system under study 250 is barotropic (has only one layer) and so behaves largely in a two-dimensional manner. 251 The bottom topography in this study is zonally (azimuthally) symmetric, $\eta_b = \eta_b(r)$, 252 and the surface height can be conveniently decomposed as either $\eta(r,\theta) = h(r,\theta) + \eta_b(r)$ 253 or as $\eta(r, \theta) = \Delta \eta(r, \theta) + \eta_{\text{geoid}}(r)$, where θ is the azimuthal angle. 254

255 2.1.3 Quasi-geostrophic limit

275

284

289

The asymptotic limit of rapid rotation and strong stratification leads to the quasi-256 geostrophic version of β_e (sometimes written q_y). Complete derivations of quasi-geostrophic 257 theory are given in geophysical fluid dynamics textbooks (e.g. Vallis, 2017), so here we 258 restrict to a brief summary of the basics to be clear about the various contributions to 259 β_e , which figure into the design of experiments. What makes the quasi-geostrophic limit 260 particularly tractable is that both the momentum and mass fields are expressed in terms 261 of the same streamfunction, which in this system is proportional to $\Delta \eta$. This simplifies 262 the analysis because it leads to a single equation in a single unknown. Consider in turn 263 each of the three terms on the right-hand side of the PV gradient (3). The first is sim-264 ple: the planetary vorticity gradient, β , is unmodified in the quasi-geostrophic limit. The 265 relevant approximations associated with β are that it is often assumed to be constant, 266 and that it usually appears in the context of local Cartesian geometry (the β -plane ap-267 proximation). 268

For the meridional gradient of the relative vorticity, β_u , consider that in a rapidly rotating fluid, the horizontal pressure-gradient force and the Coriolis force are nearly in balance, called geostrophic balance, such that the mass and momentum (pressure and velocity) fields are strongly coupled. Consequently, a useful way to write the lab-horizontal pressure-gradient force is to define the lab-horizontal geostrophic velocity, which for a layer of water may be written in Cartesian form,

$$(u_g, v_g) = \frac{g}{f_0} (-\Delta \eta_y, \, \Delta \eta_x) \,. \tag{6}$$

A key small parameter in quasi-geostrophic theory is the dimensionless Rossby number, Ro = $U/(f_0L)$, where U and L are representative horizontal speed and length scales, respectively. A rapidly rotating fluid satisfies Ro $\ll 1$, and the magnitude of Ro indicates the relative error between (u_g, v_g) and the actual horizontal velocity, (u, v). This coupling (which is made more accurate below) is the general principle behind determining circulations via remote-sensing altimetry.

The geostrophic velocity has zero divergence, $(u_g)_x + (v_g)_y = 0$, and its relative vorticity is related to $\Delta \eta$ via a horizontal Laplacian,

$$\zeta \approx \zeta_g = (v_g)_x - (u_g)_y = \frac{g}{f_0} \left(\Delta \eta_{xx} + \Delta \eta_{yy} \right) \,. \tag{7}$$

(Note that in this notation, the " Δ " symbol is not the Laplacian, rather the subscript partial derivatives are.) For zonally symmetric flow in the quasi-geostrophic limit, (7) implies that the relative-vorticity gradient is approximately equal to the third derivative of the height anomaly, multiplied by g/f_0 ,

$$\beta_u = -u_{yy} \approx \frac{g}{f_0} \Delta \eta_{yyy} \,. \tag{8}$$

A streamfunction is often introduced to simplify the notation, $\psi = (g/f_0)\Delta\eta$, but again since $\Delta\eta$ is directly measured by the laboratory altimetry method, in this article the relevant expressions are mostly maintained in terms of $\Delta\eta$.

For the meridional gradient of the stretching vorticity, β_h , consider that for the case of rapid rotation, Ro approaches zero and thus the factor $(1 - u_y/f) \sim (1 \pm \text{Ro})$ approaches unity, such that (4) becomes

$$\beta_h \approx -\frac{f_0}{h} h_y = \frac{1}{L_d^2} \left(-\frac{g}{f_0} h_y \right) \,,$$

where $L_d^2 = gh/f_0^2$ is the square of the deformation length, an important intrinsic length scale in rotating-fluid systems. Since $h = \eta_{\text{geoid}} + \Delta \eta - \eta_b$, such that $h_y = \Delta \eta_y +$

 $(\eta_{\text{geoid}} - \eta_b)_y$, the geostrophic current (6) replaces $-(g/f_0)\Delta\eta_y$ to yield 299

$$eta_h pprox rac{1}{L_d^2} \left(u_g - rac{g}{f_0} \left(\eta_{ ext{geoid}} - \eta_b
ight)_y
ight) \,.$$

For application to planetary systems, where the definition of horizontal is in the geopo-301 tential sense for which $(\eta_{\text{geoid}})_y = 0$, then the remaining η_b term in (9) is interpreted 302 as the dynamic topography of deep jets (Ingersoll & Cuong, 1981; Dowling, 2020); al-303 ternatively, when the definition of horizontal is in the lab sense as in this article, then 304 the combination $(\eta_b - \eta_{\text{geoid}})$ serves the same purpose. 305

Rossby waves largely govern the formation and evolution of jets, which is a lead-307 ing reason that alternating PV gradients are interesting. Phillips (1965) analyzed, in cylin-308 drical geometry, Rossby waves arising from the stretching-vorticity gradient associated 309 with the parabolic geoid of a rotating water tank. Staying for the moment in Cartesian 310 geometry, conservation of PV is 311

317

3

306

300

 $dq/dt = 0 \Rightarrow q_t + (u - \alpha)q_x + vq_y = 0.$ (10)

(9)

The term α is a built-in Galilean reference-frame shift, which will prove useful below when 313 analyzing critical latitudes (note that q itself is Galilean invariant). Rossby waves are 314 found by linearizing (10) about a basic state. Consider a zonally symmetric profile, u =315 $\overline{u}(y) + u', v = v', \text{ and } q = \overline{q} + q', \text{ which yields}$ 316

$$q'_t + (\overline{u} - \alpha)q'_x + v'\,\overline{q}_y = 0\,. \tag{11}$$

In the quasi-geostrophic limit, all the perturbations in (11) can be expressed in terms 318 of a single variable, the eddy surface height relative to the geoid, η' , which is defined in 319 the same manner as $\Delta \eta$, but is written with a prime to signal that only small-amplitude 320 perturbations are being considered. 321

Working on (11) from right to left, the meridional velocity is $v' = (g/f_0)\eta'_x$. The 322 environmental PV gradient, $\overline{q}_y \equiv \beta_e(y)$, is a given profile and in general is one of the 323 two non-constant coefficients of the differential equation. If β is the dominant compo-324 nent of β_e , then this is an approximately constant coefficient, as it is often assumed to 325 be in introductory textbooks (e.g. Cushman-Roisin & Beckers, 2011). However, jets by 326 their nature are associated with non-negligible values of β_{μ} (barotropic jets) and/or β_{h} 327 (baroclinic jets). These change as the jets evolve, and generally render $\beta_e(y)$ to not be 328 a constant coefficient. Considering that the fluid cannot pick β or β_u or β_h , but only re-329 acts to their sum, β_e , it is important to focus on the latter (see the discussion on the Rhines 330 length below). Moving to the middle of (11), the zonal wind, $\overline{u}(y) - \alpha$, is also a given 331 profile and is also a non-constant coefficient where there are jets, by definition. Fortu-332 nately, \overline{q}_{y} and $(\overline{u}-\alpha)$ are usually correlated in Nature (Dowling, 1993; Read et al., 2006; 333 Read, Conrath, et al., 2009; Read, Dowling, & Schubert, 2009; Du et al., 2015; Dowl-334 ing et al., 2017; Stanley et al., 2020). 335

The remaining factors in (11) are q'_{t} and q'_{t} . The eddy relative vorticity in (7), to-336 gether with the stretching vorticity implicit in the gradient (9), imply 337

$$q'_{x} = \frac{g}{f_0} \left(\eta'_{xxx} + \eta'_{yyx} - L_d^{-2} \eta'_x \right) , \qquad (12)$$

$$q'_{t} = \frac{g}{f_{0}} \left(\eta'_{xxt} + \eta'_{yyt} - L_{d}^{-2} \eta'_{t} \right) \,. \tag{13}$$

To proceed to analytical results for non-constant coefficient cases, one can limit the 341 variation of the coefficients (meaning the variation of the jets) and work in the WKBJ 342

framework (e.g. Young & Rhines, 1980), or proceed in terms of a series or Galerkin frame-343 work customized to the particular case in hand, such as a sinusoidal jet (e.g. Stamp & 344 Dowling, 1993). Short of this, and as a step towards building intuition for the fully non-345 linear experiments, consider the textbook case when β_e and L_d are constant and the bound-346 ary conditions are periodic, so that one can assume sinusoidal perturbations for Carte-347 sian geometry, $\eta' \propto \exp[i(kx + ly - \omega t)]$, such that $\partial/\partial t \rightarrow -i\omega, \partial/\partial x \rightarrow ik$, and 348 $\partial/\partial y \rightarrow il$. Conservation of quasi-geostrophic PV in terms of η' then yields the follow-349 ing dispersion relation for Rossby waves (Vallis, 2017) 350

$$\omega(k,l) = (\overline{u} - \alpha)k - \frac{\beta_e k}{k^2 + l^2 + L_d^{-2}} \quad (\text{constant } \overline{u}, \beta_e, L_d).$$
(14)

The intrinsic zonal phase speed (before Doppler shifting), $\hat{c}_x = \omega/k - (\overline{u} - \alpha)$, is

$$\hat{c}_x = -\beta_e L_d^2 \left(1 + \mathrm{Bu}\right)^{-1} \quad \text{(constant coeffs.)}, \tag{15}$$

where $\text{Bu} = (k^2 + l^2)L_d^2$ is the wave Burger number, which can be interpreted as the non-dimensional squared deformation length associated with a given wavenumber, or vice versa.

Significantly, there also exists a non-constant coefficient special case: when β_e and $(\overline{u} - \alpha)$ are strictly proportional to each other, such that $\beta_e = \kappa^2(\overline{u} - \alpha)$ for some proportionality constant, κ^2 . In other words, the jets in this case can be zigzaggy like Jupiter's, so long as the PV gradient is equally zigzaggy. In the steady-state limit, $q'_t = 0$ in (11) and the non-constant coefficients then cancel out, leaving

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(q'+\kappa^2\psi'\right)=0\,,\tag{16}$$

0

where the streamfunction notation has been brought in for convenience, $v' = (g/f_0)\eta'_x = \psi'_x$. Apart from an innocuous outer x derivative, (16) is simply the eigenvalue problem connecting the eddy PV to the eddy streamfunction. The corresponding eigenfunctions are steady, and they extend coherently across the alternating jets without being sheared (Stamp & Dowling, 1993; Dowling, 2019). While the present experiments are not optimized to study this phenomenon, it is a long-term goal.

369

3

351

353

2.1.5 Critical latitudes

Recall that latitudes where Rossby waves change direction relative to the flow are 370 called critical latitudes, and correspond to locations where \hat{c}_x changes sign, which from 371 (15) correspond to where β_e changes sign. Critical latitudes have major implications for 372 the dynamics of jets, including wave transmission and absorption (Vallis, 2017). The mag-373 nitude of the intrinsic phase speed is fastest in the longwave limit, $Bu \rightarrow 0$, and in this 374 limit the phase speed and the group velocity both asymptote to the same value, $-\beta_e L_d^2$, 375 such that long Rossby waves are non-dispersive (Hide, 1969). Both these facts make it 376 straightforward to define and work with the analog of the Mach number for Rossby waves, 377 as discussed below. From the $M_{\rm R}$ point of view, analyzing shear stability proceeds by 378 first finding all the critical latitudes in a system, and then classifying each as "supersonic", 379 "sonic", or "subsonic". Only systems with "subsonic" critical latitudes can develop shear 380 instability. 381

A "giant' domain (like Jupiter, Saturn, or Earth's oceans) corresponds to one for which there is sufficient room to take $Bu \rightarrow 0$ (Earth's atmosphere is not particularly giant in this regard). An intriguing question arises with multiple critical latitudes: are the longest Rossby waves confined meridionally between them, such that Bu cannot be reduced to zero and the gravest waves remain dispersive? Or instead, is the basic state able to configure itself so that the gravest waves extend coherently across critical lines, without being sheared? As alluded to above, there is empirical evidence that the latter occurs on Jupiter (e.g. Deming et al., 1989) and Saturn (e.g. Read, Dowling, & Schubert, 2009), and numerical work that suggests such slowly-moving long waves are the hall mark of alternating zonal jets that are close to marginal shear stability (Stamp & Dowling, 1993).

393

2.2 Analog of the Mach number for Rossby waves, $M_{\rm R}$

Since the 19th century, for compressible and hydraulic circulations the upstream 394 flow of information via sound waves and buoyancy waves has respectively been charac-395 terized by the dimensionless Mach and Froude numbers. A generic Mach-type number 396 defined for flow in the x direction takes the form $u/(-\hat{c}_x)$, such that $-\hat{c}_x$ is the same sign 397 as u for upstream waves (which are the implicit choice for omni-directional waves like 398 sound waves and buoyancy waves). The sum $c_x = u + \hat{c}_x$ is the net wave speed after 399 advection by the flow (after Doppler shifting). Generally, \hat{c}_x is Galilean invariant, whereas 400 u is not, hence a Mach-type field will change depending on the reference frame. Unlike 401 sound waves and buoyancy waves, Rossby waves are uni-directional, which means that 402 $-\hat{c}_x$ has the opposite sign to u in locations where the waves point downstream (have high 403 PV on the right looking downstream). 404



406

416

In general, the analog of the Mach number for Rossby waves is

$$M_{\rm R} = \frac{u}{-\hat{c}_x} = \frac{-\psi_y}{\beta_e \kappa^{-2}} \to -\kappa^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q} \,, \tag{17}$$

where ψ is the streamfunction. The rightmost expression in (17) relaxes the assumption 407 of zonal symmetry. Notice that the sign of $M_{\rm R}$ is always opposite to the sign of the cor-408 relation between the streamfunction and PV. When working with field data, this streamfunction-409 vorticity correlation is determined via a local linear regression (Du et al., 2015; Dowl-410 ing et al., 2017; Stanley et al., 2020). Negative $M_{\rm R}$ corresponds to the case when the long 411 Rossby waves are directed downstream and consequently information flows downstream 412 regardless of the flow speed, with no possibility of standing or upstream-directed waves. 413 This $M_R < 0$ case is a second form of "supersonic" flow that arises only for uni-directional 414 waves (i.e. not sound waves or gravity waves). 415

2.2.1 $M_{ m R}$ and eastward currents

One of the earliest mentions of $M_{\rm R}$ appears in Long (1952) as part of an analysis 417 of experiments using a rotating hemispherical shell that is orientated like a bowl and filled 418 with water. An obstacle representing a mountain or seamount barrier is moved either 419 slightly more slowly or slightly more quickly than the shell, such that in the obstacle's 420 reference frame there is either a fairly uniform eastward or westward (prograde or ret-421 rograde) current, respectively. The form of $M_{\rm R}$ considered is $V/\beta L^2$, where V and L are 422 characteristic horizontal velocity and length scales, respectively (Long, 1952, p. 195). Al-423 though $V/\beta L^2$ is not explicitly treated as a signed quantity in this early paper, it is done 424 so implicitly since $\beta_e \approx \beta$ is positive and one of the main results of the work is the ex-425 istence of standing long Rossby waves for eastward currents $(V > 0, M_{\rm R} \sim 1)$ and their 426 absence for westward currents ($V < 0, M_{\rm R} < 0$). 427

The theme of fairly uniform eastward currents, for which both β_u and β_h in (3) are 428 negligible compared to β , so that $\beta_e \rightarrow \beta$ and $M_{\rm R} > 0$, has continued to be pursued 429 by several groups. In these studies, M_R is generally treated as a positive constant, rather 430 than as a field variable. Fultz (1961, p. 67) gives $V/\beta L^2$ the name β -Rossby number, de-431 notes it $R_{0\beta}^*$, and points out its dynamical equivalence to the Froude number that arises 432 in two-dimensional stratified flow. White (1971) studies the wake of an eastward cur-433 rent past a cylindrical island with radius a, defines an island number, $Is = (\beta a^2/U)^{1/2}$ 434 — which is $M_{\rm R}^{-1/2}$ with $V \to U$ and $L \to a$ — and identifies the wavenumber for stationary waves, $(\beta/U)^{1/2}$, which is the reciprocal of the Rhines length discussed below. 435 436

Armi (1989) develops the Froude-number analogy for hydraulic control of an eastward current with half-width *a* interacting with Rossby waves. Armi (1989) cites Fultz (1961) for Ro_{β} = $U/\beta a^2$, who cites Long (1952). More recently, Y. Afanasyev et al. (2008) present an experimental study of cylinder wakes on a β -plane using the altimetric imaging velocimetry technique employed in this article.

442

454

461

465

2.2.2 $M_{\rm R}$ and the turbulence-to-waves transition

In general, there is nothing intrinsic to a rotating fluid that requires the PV gra-443 dient to be dominated by its meridional component. Except, when jets are just begin-444 ning to form, the relative vorticity gradient is weak and the planetary β does provide 445 a meridional bias to the full PV gradient, which translates into a zonal bias for wave prop-446 agation and jet formation. The same holds true for a rotating tank with meridionally 447 varying, zonally symmetric bottom topography, and for the troposphere of a gas giant 448 that has abyssal zonal jets setting its lower boundary condition. Vallis and Maltrud (1993) 449 demonstrate of how this zonal bias for emerging jets evolves in wavenumber space. 450

Rhines (1975) equates the frequency of Rossby waves to the rotational frequency
of eddies to obtain a length-scale separating the domain of smaller eddies, which are isotropic,
from larger ones, which have wave-like zonal bias. This leads to the squared Rhines length,

$$L_{\rm Rh}^2 = \frac{u}{\beta} \,. \tag{18}$$

An analytical advantage of (18) is that β is a constant on a β -plane, such that if u is also a representative constant, then the analysis is simplified because $L_{\rm Rh}^2$ becomes an external parameter. However, as already noted, the fluid itself cannot pick β out of β_e , and the circulations on Jupiter and Saturn have $\beta_e/\beta = \pm 3$ (Ingersoll et al., 1981; Dowling & Ingersoll, 1989; Read et al., 2006; Read, Conrath, et al., 2009; Li et al., 2021). In light of this, one should employ the complete PV gradient and upgrade $L_{\rm Rh}^2$ to be

$$L_{\rm jet}^2 = \frac{u}{\beta_e} \,. \tag{19}$$

(Although L_{jet}^2 is squared, it should be kept in mind that it can easily be negative.) The dimensionless variable M_{R}^{-1} now comes into play, because in the longwave limit, $\kappa^{-2} \rightarrow L_{\text{d}}^2$ and the zonally symmetric version of (17) with (19) yields

$$M_{\rm R}^{-1} = \kappa^{-2} \frac{\beta_e}{u} \to \left(\frac{L_{\rm d}}{L_{\rm jet}}\right)^2 \,. \tag{20}$$

This reveals that $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$ is a type of jet Burger number (albeit one that can easily be negative). It follows from (20) that $(L_{\rm d}/L_{\rm jet})^2 < 1$ implies shear stability $(M_{\rm R}^{-1} < 1)$ and that $L_{\rm jet} = L_{\rm d}$ corresponds to the "sonic" or choked PV case $(M_{\rm R}^{-1} = 1)$.

In this study, jets form via a turbulence-to-waves process called the β -plume mech-469 anism. A β -plume is a flow structure that grows horizontally from spatially localized forc-470 ing in the presence of a background PV gradient. It is essentially a gyre consisting of two 471 zonal jets flowing in opposite directions. The linear theory of Rossby waves emitted by 472 a localized perturbation provides a straightforward explanation for the creation of β -plumes. 473 Studies using the Green's function approach show that when the local forcing is switched 474 on, it emits a spectrum of Rossby waves from which high-frequency transients propagate 475 away, leaving behind low-frequency waves that have nearly zonal wave-crests (Stommel, 476 1982; Davey & Killworth, 1989; Y. D. Afanasyev & Ivanov, 2019). 477

 $_{
m 478}$ 2.2.3 $M_{
m R}$ and shear stability

⁴⁷⁹ In 1880, the year that shear-stability theory began in earnest with Rayleigh's inflection-⁴⁸⁰ point theorem, Kelvin makes a major contribution as well by arguing on energetic grounds that for inviscid vortical systems there are two branches of stability (Thomson, 1880).
Geometrically, Kelvin's point is that the closed contours on a topo map, which he envisions to be the appropriate energy field associated with a stable configuration, can either be associated with a depression or a hill. Arnol'd (1966) establishes these two branches
rigorously by constructing the relevant topo map out of a combination of perturbation
energy and squared perturbation vorticity (enstrophy). Today this is called the pseudoenergy, and these two branches are called the Kelvin-Arnol'd-I and Kelvin-Arnol'd-II (KA-I and KA-II) stability branches.

⁴⁸⁹ McIntyre and Shepherd (1987) apply both branches to geophysical flows and show ⁴⁹⁰ that they take the form $\partial \psi/\partial q > 0$ and $\partial \psi/\partial q < -\kappa^{-2}$, respectively, where κ^2 is the ⁴⁹¹ gravest eigenvalue relating the eddy PV to the eddy streamfunction, $q' = -\kappa^2 \psi'$, and ⁴⁹² is the same as in (16). Non-dimensionalizing these is straightforward and insightful (Dowling, ⁴⁹³ 2014): given (17), the dimensionless versions of KA-I and KA-II are $M_{\rm R} < 0$ and $M_{\rm R} >$ ⁴⁹⁴ 1, respectively. It is thus evident that KA-I and KA-II refer to the two "supersonic" ⁴⁹⁵ cases, and that these concatenate into one compact statement in terms of the recipro-⁴⁹⁶ cal,

497

stable:
$$M_{\rm R}^{-1} < 1$$
. (21)

The physical intuition associated with KA-I and KA-II boils down to this: "supersonic" critical latitudes are stable.

⁵⁰⁰ 3 Experimental set-up and data acquisition

The experiments are performed in a cylindrical tank of radius R = 55 cm that is installed on a rotating table (Figure 1a). The tank is filled with water of mean depth $h_0 = 14 \text{ cm}$ and then rotated counterclockwise with angular velocity $\Omega = 2.4 \text{ rad s}^{-1}$.

504

517

3.1 Bottom topography with two critical latitudes

The key feature is the tank's bottom topography, $\eta_b(r)$, which varies with respect 505 to radius in a triangular or tent-shaped fashion that is azimuthally symmetric (Figure 506 1b). The peak height of the bottom topography is 8 cm and the base of the triangle is 507 30 cm wide. As a result of this combination of surface and bottom topographies, the tank 508 naturally partitions into four zones (Figure 2a): Zone 1 ($0 < r < 20 \,\mathrm{cm}$) is a polar area 509 where depth increases modestly with radius; Zone 2 ($20 < r < 35 \,\mathrm{cm}$) has depth de-510 creasing sharply due to bottom topography; Zone 3 ($35 < r < 50 \,\mathrm{cm}$) has depth in-511 creasing sharply; and Zone 4 ($50 < r < 55 \,\mathrm{cm}$) is an equatorial annulus where depth 512 increases less sharply. 513

The discussion above concerning the PV gradient in Cartesian geometry carries over to a cylindrical tank, with $f = f_0 = 2\Omega$, $\beta = df/dy = 0$, dr = -dy. The cylindricalcoordinate map factors are accounted for in the calculation of relative vorticity,

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(ur)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}, \qquad (22)$$

where θ is the azimuthal angle, positive in the counterclockwise (prograde, eastward) direction, $u = rd\theta/dt$, and v = -dr/dt. In the undisturbed case, $\zeta = 0$ and $\beta_u = 0$, and consequently the PV gradient, β_e , is due to the undisturbed β_h term alone, which by (4) is $\beta_h = -fh_y/h = 2\Omega h_r/h$. Since h is given by the difference between the parabolic surface, η_{geoid} in (5), and the tent-shaped bottom topography, η_b in Figure 2a, the PV gradient is (Figure 2b)

 $\beta_h(r) = \frac{2\Omega}{h} \left(\frac{\Omega^2}{g} r - \frac{d\eta_b}{dr} \right) \,. \tag{23}$

This configuration initializes the tank with one strong critical latitude (in terms of the jump in β_h) positioned over the peak of the ridge, and one weak one positioned over the



Figure 1. Experimental set-up: (a) top view of the tank as seen by the nadir-pointing video camera with superposed velocity vectors and (b) cross-section of the tank. Yellow circles in (a) correspond to the radial positions of the outer edge, peak, and inner (polar) edge of the tent-shaped bottom topography. The sign of topographic β_h is indicated in (b) for each region; it is negative between the peak and inner edge of the triangular bottom, which sets up two critical latitudes (radial positions) where β_h changes sign.



Figure 2. Profiles for rotating, unforced tank versus radius, r: (a) water depth and (b) topographic β_h . Dashed line in (a) is unforced geoid height, η_{geoid} . By design, there are two topographic critical latitudes where β_h changes sign, located on the boundaries of Zone 2.

poleward foot of the ridge (at the boundary with Zone 1). Inside of Zone 2 the PV gradient is negative, and outside it is positive, weakly so in Zone 1 (the polar region) and more strongly so in Zones 3 and 4.

530 **3.2 Forcing**

545

558

Flow in the tank is forced by a fresh-water source-and-sink combination driven by 531 a pump, with fresh water throughout the tank. In its details this approach is new, but 532 it is similar to the forcing used by Y. D. Afanasyev et al. (2012), in which a linear source 533 of fresh/buoyant water is injected into a tank of salt water, without a sink. In this study, 534 there is a sink at the bottom of the tank near the wall, and the source is a radial pipe 535 with multiple small holes drilled along its entire length, as shown in Figure 1b, which 536 is connected to the sink via a remote-controlled pump. The pipe is under the surface of 537 the water and extends from the wall to the center of the tank. The holes in the pipe face 538 upwards and create strong vertical jets and intense turbulence above the pipe. Pump-539 ing intensity is an external control parameter, which is held constant during each exper-540 imental run and varied between them. This pumping sets up a tank-sized anticyclone, 541 and consequently a convenient non-dimensional measure of the forcing strength is the 542 difference between $\Delta \eta = \eta - \eta_{\text{geoid}}$ at the center of the tank and that at the outer wall 543 (azimuthally averaged), divided by the average depth, h_0 : 544

$$\delta_f = \frac{\Delta\eta(0) - \overline{\Delta\eta}(R)}{h_0} \,. \tag{24}$$

This forcing parameter δ_f is calculated during the statistically steady period in each experiment. ⁵⁴⁷ periment.

Run	δ_f [%]	Transient Rotations	Statistically Steady Rotations
1	0.9	0 - 25	25 - 150
2	1.9	0 - 35	35 - 230
3	2.5	0 - 35	35 - 90

Table 1. Summary of control parameters for the experimental runs. The strength of forcing is quantified by δ_f as defined in (24).

Three full-length runs are recorded, with the forcing summarized in Table 1. Qual-548 itatively similar results are obtained in all three experiments, so most of the following 549 analysis focuses on Run 2, which is the longest one. Run 1 serves primarily as an ini-550 tial practice run; apart from successfully testing the forcing and data acquisition systems, 551 and demonstrating that forcing stronger than $\delta_f = 0.9\%$ should be tried, it is not men-552 tioned further. Run 3 has about 30% stronger forcing than Run 2 and is used to verify 553 that the features seen in Run 2 are robust. The statistically steady period for each run, 554 the period after the zonal jets have encircled the tank, is estimated by inspection of space-555 time (Hovmöller) diagrams; for example, for Run 2 it begins around t = 35 rotations 556 (see Figure 4). 557

3.3 Altimetric Imaging Velocimetry (AIV)

The two horizontal components of the gradient of $\Delta \eta$ are measured using the Altimetric Imaging Velocimetry (AIV) technique. See Y. D. Afanasyev et al. (2009) for details on the local-water-surface versus lab coordinates associated with this technique. The gradient is obtained by processing a video sequence of each experiment frame by frame. ⁵⁶³ The videos are recorded by a nadir-pointing, co-rotating camera installed above the tank.

Horizontal velocity is estimated to first order in Rossby number, $Ro = |\zeta|/f_0$, via geostrophic balance and improved to second order (e.g. Cushman-Roisin et al., 1990) via

$$\vec{v} = \frac{g}{f_0}\hat{k} \times \vec{\nabla}(\Delta\eta) - \frac{g}{f_0^2}\vec{\nabla}(\Delta\eta_t) - \frac{g^2}{f_0^3}J\left(\Delta\eta, \vec{\nabla}(\Delta\eta)\right) \,. \tag{25}$$

The first term on the right-hand side is geostrophic balance (6). In the second term, the temporal derivative or tendency, $\Delta \eta_t$, is calculated via finite difference between two successive frames in the video sequence, with a time interval of approximately 0.15 s. In the third term, J is the Jacobian operator (the determinant of the matrix of partial derivatives), which in Cartesian geometry is $J(A, B) = A_x B_y - B_x A_y$. The Rossby number satisfies Ro ≤ 0.2 everywhere in the flow, except in the vicinity of the source pipe (where it exceeds unity), hence away from the forcing (25) is accurate to 4% (i.e. Ro² ≤ 0.04).

574 4 Results

566

584

598

Laboratory experiments allow for detailed observations of the evolution in time of the developing jets and shear flows, which are difficult or impossible to obtain for planetary systems but can provide insights into how statistically steady patterns emerge.

578 4.1 Edd

4.1 Eddies, waves, and plumes

Analyses of the video sequences reveal the emergence and evolution of a variety of eddies, waves, and plumes. Figure 3 shows snapshots of the flow taken at the beginning of Run 2, during a period spanning 16 rotations. Multiple small-scale vortical eddies are created by the thin jets injected from the pipe, and several different types of waves develop.

4.1.1 Gravity waves

Turning on the forcing generates surface gravity waves (buoyancy waves) that are 585 fast and have high frequency such that they are almost unaffected by rotation. Their phase 586 propagates away from the forcing in the familiar sense of a rock thrown into a pond. When 587 these waves are slow enough to feel the Coriolis acceleration, but with frequency still greater 588 than 2Ω , they are called inertia-gravity waves. These waves don't contribute significantly to the overall dynamics and can be filtered out during data processing if desired. The 590 forcing also generates transient Kelvin waves, which are half waves or shelf waves that 591 exist when there is a support on their right-hand side that they can lean against. These 592 can be seen propagating quickly eastward (prograde, counterclockwise) along the wall 593 of the tank (Figure 3a). Their speed is equal to the fast speed of long gravity waves, c =594 $(qh)^{1/2} \approx 120 \,\mathrm{cm \, s^{-1}}$, such that they travel around the tank in less than 3 s, faster than 595 one period of rotation. Kelvin waves are only observed briefly after the initial transient 596 of turning on the forcing. 597

4.1.2 Inertial waves

Distinct from inertia-gravity waves, thin stripes of inertial waves, also known as 599 gyroscopic waves, can be seen in Figure 3. Inertial waves have frequency less than 2Ω 600 and are an important component of the dynamics of oceans and planetary atmospheres 601 (Kelvin, 1880; Maas, 2001; Rabitti & Maas, 2013). They can be identified in a flow due 602 to the peculiar property that their phase propagates towards the source of the pertur-603 bation, rather than away from it. Thus, when inertial waves are generated by an eddy, 604 the concentric pattern of the waves looks like it is collapsing into the eddy. These waves 605 are omnipresent in the tank, particularly near the forcing area. 606



Figure 3. Initial evolution of rotating and forced flow (Run 2). Surface deviation, $\Delta \eta$, in cm (left column) and zonal (azimuthal) velocity, u, in cm/s (right column), for t = 0.9 (a, b), 1.8 (c, d), 3.0 (e, f) and 16.0 (g, h) rotations. Inertial waves, Kelvin waves, and eddies are labeled in (a). The four zones of bottom topography are numbered as in Figure 2 and indicated with yellow circles in (c). A westward (retrograde) β -plume emanates from the forcing in the positive- β_h Zone 3, whereas an eastward (prograde) β -plume emanates from the forcing in the negative- β_h Zone 2.

4.1.3 Rossby waves and β -plumes

Arguably the most important waves for the evolution of jets and large-scale cir-608 culations are Rossby waves. As discussed in Section 2.1.4, Rossby waves oscillate in or-609 der to conserve PV in the presence of an environmental PV gradient. The nature of this 610 restoring force has at least two important ramifications for the evolution of jets. Firstly, 611 these waves affect jet dynamics wherever vorticity gradients are present, and jets by their 612 nature associate with vorticity and shear—a more generic name for Rossby waves would 613 be vorticity waves. Secondly, Rossby waves are planetary in scale and are sensitive to 614 615 bottom and surface slopes. Hence they are diagnostic of the boundary conditions that lie beneath a system's visible jets, like the dynamic topography associated with Jupiter's 616 abyssal jets, or the bottom topography in this study. 617

Rossby waves exhibit a difference in character depending on whether they are long-618 wave or shortwave. Recall from (15) that Bu is the dimensionless horizontal wavenum-619 ber. Significantly, in the longwave limit, $Bu \rightarrow 0$, Rossby waves are non-dispersive such 620 that their zonal phase speed (ω/k) and group velocity $(\partial \omega/\partial k)$, i.e. their envelope veloc-621 ity) asymptote to the same fastest value relative to the flow, $\hat{c} = -\beta_e L_d^2$. In regions where 622 $\beta_e > 0$, such as Zones 1, 3, and 4 (Figure 2), this is westward (retrograde, clockwise) 623 of the forcing. But in regions where $\beta_e < 0$, such as Zone 2, this is eastward (prograde, 624 counterclockwise). 625

In suitably forced systems, Rossby waves manifest as β -plumes (Stommel, 1982; 626 Davey & Killworth, 1989; Y. D. Afanasyev & Ivanov, 2019). For short-enough wavelengths, 627 the intrinsic group velocity switches direction (Vallis, 2017), and in the tank long zonal 628 jets and non-linear transport are observed to set up on the longwave side of the forcing, 629 and short, wavy patterns are observed to set up on the short-wave side. Two distinct 630 β -plumes are evident in Figure 3, highlighted with red-dashed outlines in panel c. The 631 plume in Zone 3, where $\beta_e > 0$, expands primarily westward, which is expected since 632 this is its longwave group direction. This plume's overall surface-deviation is negative, 633 $\Delta \eta < 0$, and so it is a low-pressure anomaly with cyclonic circulation. Contrast this 634 with the Zone-2 plume, where $\beta_e < 0$, which expands primarily eastward, which is its 635 longwave group direction. It is intriguing to watch the two plumes evolve in opposite di-636 rections next to each other, reminiscent of the alternating jets on Jupiter and Saturn. 637 The Zone-2 plume has $\Delta \eta > 0$ and so is a high-pressure anomaly with anticyclonic cir-638 culation (Figure 3d,f,h). In the polar region where $\beta_e \approx 0$ (Zone 1), there are no dis-639 cernible β -plumes, but instead a growing collection of vortical eddies. 640

⁶⁴¹ 4.2

4.2 Hovmöller diagrams

A useful way to visualize the development of the flow is to pick a particular radius 642 and plot a space-time diagram, called a Hovmöller diagram, with time running horizon-643 tally and longitude (azimuthal angle) running vertically. The separate panels in Figure 644 4 show the evolution of the zonal (azimuthal) velocity at four different radii: in the mid-645 dle of the polar region (Zone 1), at the boundary between the polar and negative β_e re-646 gions (between Zones 1 and 2), and in the middle of the negative and positive β_e regions 647 (in Zones 2 and 3). The azimuthal angle, θ , is measured counterclockwise from the source, 648 which can be easily identified by its small-scale perturbations, and is plotted down the 649 page. For orientation, one can consider the view to be overhead, with the center of the 650 tank on the right and the source located between $\theta = 360^{\circ}$ and 0° . In these diagrams, 651 retrograde and prograde drifts correspond to coherent markings oriented in the right-652 leaning and left-leaning (/ and \setminus) senses, respectively. The zonal velocity itself can be 653 negative or positive, which is shown in blue or yellow, ranging from -2 to $2 \,\mathrm{cm} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Slower 654 or faster zonal drifts correspond to more horizontal or more vertical slopes. The nearly 655 vertical, fine-scale eddies are fast gravity waves that are continuously emitted by the source 656 and reflected from the walls of the tank. 657

4.2.1 Zone 1

658

⁶⁵⁹ Consider first Zone 1, the polar region, shown in Figure 4a. Relatively large ed-⁶⁶⁰ dies are created on the westward (retrograde) side of the source ($\theta = 360^{\circ}$) and are ad-⁶⁶¹ vected by the local retrograde (/) circulation. Rossby waves propagating in the west-⁶⁶² ward sense are weakly present, since β_e is weakly positive in Zone 1. For the large ed-⁶⁶³ dies there is a noticeable change in character from irregular to smooth bands about halfway ⁶⁶⁴ around the tank, implying that the waves are transforming into smooth β -plumes.



Figure 4. Hovmöller (space-time) diagrams (Run 2) of zonal (azimuthal) velocity, $u = rd\theta/dt$, measured along the concentric circles of radius r = 15 cm (a); 20 cm (b); 28 cm (c); and 40 cm (d). These positions are within the polar region (Zone 1), at the boundary between the polar and negative β_h regions (between Zones 1 and 2), in the middle of the negative β_h region (Zone 2), and in the middle of the positive β_h region (Zone 3); compare with Figure 2. Time is in units of rotation period. The statistically steady interval begins at about rotation 35. Color scale is in cm/s.

4.2.2 Zone 2

665

Now consider the transition to the negative β_e region (the interface between Zones 666 1 and 2), shown in Figure 4b. This is in the vicinity of the system's weaker critical lat-667 itude. The bottom half of the panel $(180^{\circ} \text{ to } 360^{\circ})$ is similar to Zone 1, with retrograde 668 drift of large eddies. However, the top half $(0^{\circ} \text{ to } 180^{\circ})$ is different, with no large eddies 669 but with a band of prograde (yellow) velocity that is the signature of the zonal jet at the 670 poleward flank of the β -plume in Zone 2. In addition, small eddies emanate from the source 671 and propagate in the prograde (\setminus) direction. Rossby waves propagating eastward like 672 this are observed on Jupiter where $\beta_e < 0$ (e.g., Rogers et al., 2016). 673

⁶⁷⁴ Moving into the middle of the negative β_e region (Zone 2), Figure 4c is positioned along the equatorward flank of the β -plume (see Figure 3c). A band of retrograde (blue) velocity extends from the source to approximately halfway around the tank ($\theta = 0^\circ$ to ⁶⁷⁷ 180°). Even though this position is in between two critical latitudes, namely the flanks
⁶⁷⁸ of Zone 2, the flow in this area lacks major perturbations and is stable. Retrograde of
⁶⁷⁹ the forcing (on the bottom half of the figure), overlying a mean speed that is approx⁶⁸⁰ imately zero (green), there exists a crisscross pattern of small eddies with prograde and
⁶⁸¹ retrograde speeds. These are small-scale vortical eddies created by the pipe's jets.

4.2.3 Zone 3

682

694

Figure 4d is positioned past the strong critical latitude between Zones 2 and 3 and into the middle of the positive β_e region of Zone 3. Overall, the eddies are less vigorous and finer than in Zone 1, but there is a general resemblance, including the transition in the top half to smooth β -plumes. The dispersive nature of Rossby waves is nicely evident in this panel, with smaller wavenumber features propagating faster than larger wavenumber features (i.e., longer wavelengths are propagating faster).

A general result of the Hovmöller analysis is that the character of the flow differs between the prograde and retrograde halves of the tank relative to the diameter containing the mass source (i.e. the top and bottom halves of the diagrams). This asymmetry is a peculiarity of the forcing method being used, but is interesting in its own right and is explored next.

4.3 Monopolar and dipolar components

A variety of methods have been devised for forcing laboratory experiments, not to mention numerical simulations, and it is helpful to distinguish between the components of the reaction that are intrinsic to the system versus those that associate with the forcing method. In these experiments, the forcing is mass injection that is localized along a particular radius of the tank, rather than some type of more-or-less uniform distribution. A consequence is the introduction of an azimuthal dipolar component to the evolution, in addition to the anticipated β -plumes and zonal jets.

To separate out the dipolar component, a fast Fourier transform (FFT) of $\Delta \eta(r, \theta)$ with respect to θ is calculated at each radius. The zeroth and first-order amplitudes from the FFT then yield the monopolar and dipolar components, which may be written conveniently in terms of real factors as

$$\Delta \eta(r,\theta) = M(r) + a(r)\cos\theta + b(r)\sin\theta + \dots, \qquad (26)$$

where the monopolar component, M(r), is the zonal (azimuthal) average. An example decomposition is shown in Figure 5 for Run 2, after 3 rotations of the tank. The full $\Delta \eta$ is shown in Panel (a), with the axis of the dipole indicated by a dashed line, which nearly aligns with the forcing radius, as expected. The monopolar and dipolar components are isolated in Panels (c) and (d), respectively.

712

706

4.3.1 Monopolar component

Consider first the monopolar component, M(r), in Figure 5c. The mass injection 713 generates a tank-sized anticyclonic vortex, except at the tank's center, where the source-714 pipe does not reach and the flow develops cyclonic circulation, and at the tank's periph-715 ery, where the mass sink is located. The sink is a drain-pipe with a relatively wide (\sim 716 1 cm diameter) opening, located at the bottom near the wall, about 10 cm counterclock-717 wise from the source-pipe. The sink does not strongly affect the momentum of the tank, 718 although it does create small cyclones that can be seen in the images; these generate a 719 low-pressure β -plume that propagates along the wall in Zone 4. These various patterns 720 can be seen in the velocity vectors shown in Panel (c). Within this general circulation, 721 alternating zonal jets form via the β -plume mechanism, with their direction controlled 722 by the sign of β_e . 723



Figure 5. Monopolar and dipolar components of the flow (Run 2): (a) original surface deviation field at t = 3 rotations of the tank, (b) the residual of the field when the monopolar component is subtracted, (c) the monopolar component and (d) the dipolar component obtained by the decomposition (26). Dashed line in (a) shows the axis of the dipole. Surface deviation is in cm; arrows in (c) and (d) show respectively the monopolar and dipolar velocity fields.

724 4.3.2 Dipolar component

The dipolar component (Figure 5d) is only two times smaller in magnitude than 725 the monopolar component. Figure 5b shows the residual field with the monopolar com-726 ponent subtracted, $\Delta \eta - \Delta \eta_{\text{monopole}}$. The dipolar component is evident, as are higher-727 order angular modes. The axis of symmetry aligns with the source. Such dipolar struc-728 tures, with analogies to features seen in Earth's polar regions, have been previously stud-729 ied in similar experimental set-ups and are relatively well understood. For example, Y. D. Afanasyev 730 and Jewtoukoff (2009) use the same laboratory altimetry technique employed here to study 731 732 dipoles that are generated by a force centered at the pole, rather than via an off-center mass source/sink. 733

4.4 Statistically steady circulations

Once β -plumes encircle the tank, a mature circulation is reached that includes com-735 plete alternating zonal jets, as well as embedded jet streaks that are provoked by the con-736 tinuous forcing. Figure 6 shows surface deviation, velocity, and vorticity from the dy-737 namically steady state period of Run 2, at t = 51 rotations. Consider first the large-738 scale pattern of the flow revealed by $\Delta \eta$, shown in Panel (a). The anticyclonic circula-739 tion is evident: $\Delta \eta$ is high in the tank center and generally decreases to the wall. In ad-740 dition, an annulus of positive $\Delta \eta$ at $r \approx R/2$ is evident, which corresponds to the β -741 plume in Zone 2. 742

4.4.1 Velocity and vorticity fields

⁷⁴⁴ Next consider medium and small scales revealed in the zonal velocity, u, shown in ⁷⁴⁵ Panel (b). Bands of different color in u indicate both complete zonal jets and jet streaks ⁷⁴⁶ within the general anticyclonic circulation. In the outer, positive- β_e region (Zones 3 and ⁷⁴⁷ 4) there are cyclonic jet streaks (green and yellow) that are opposing the large-scale an-⁷⁴⁸ ticyclonic circulation (blue) but are not strong enough to prevail. Zone 2 has anticyclonic ⁷⁴⁹ flow, but in addition there is a distinct cyclonic (yellow) jet sitting on the border with ⁷⁵⁰ Zone 1 that persists throughout the mature phase of the run.

⁷⁵¹ Medium and small-scale features are also evident in the PV shown in Panel (c). In ⁷⁵² Zone 1, cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies are co-mingling, which tends to homogenize PV. ⁷⁵³ The two built-in critical latitudes in the interior of the system are visible: the stronger ⁷⁵⁴ one is the pronounced green-yellow-green (maximum q) annulus situated over the ridge ⁷⁵⁵ of the bottom topography, and the weaker one is the more subtle green-blue-green (min-⁷⁵⁶ imum q) pattern on the border between Zones 2 and 1. These are analyzed below.

757

734

743

4.4.2 $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$ and Rossby waves

As reviewed above, the reciprocal of the analog of the Mach number for Rossby waves, $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$ (Figure 6d), has emerged as a useful diagnostic for systems with evolving jets. One advantage of the reciprocal over $M_{\rm R}$ itself is that it concatenates the Kelvin-Arnol'd I branch (KA-I) of shear stability theory, $M_{\rm R} < 0$, and the Kelvin-Arnol'd II branch (KA-II), $M_{\rm R} > 1$, into one compact "supersonic" condition, $M_{\rm R}^{-1} < 1$. As a consequence, two important but distinct PV concepts, PV mixing and shear stability, are non-dimensionalized and clarified via $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$ into a discussion of "0 versus 1" (Dowling, 2020).

⁷⁶⁵ On the large scale, the most striking feature of Figure 6d is its bulls-eye pattern: ⁷⁶⁶ proceeding from out to in, Zones 4 and 3 are mostly blue, Zone 2 is mostly white, and ⁷⁶⁷ Zone 1 is mostly green. Consider first Zones 4 and 3. The KA-I branch corresponds to ⁷⁶⁸ negative $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$ or $M_{\rm R}$, which is rendered blue in Figure 6d. This branch is logically equiv-⁷⁶⁹ alent to the Fjørtoft and Ripa shear-stability criteria. By making judicious choices of ⁷⁷⁰ the Galilean shift in $(\bar{u}-\alpha)$, KA-I also includes as special cases the Rayleigh, Kuo and



Figure 6. Features of mature circulation (Run 2, t = 51 rotations). Shown are (a) surface deviation, $\Delta \eta$, in cm, (b) zonal velocity, u, in cm/s, (c) potential vorticity, q, in (cm s)⁻¹ and (d) reciprocal "Mach" number, $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$, calculated in the tank's rotating reference frame. A special color scale is used in (d) to highlight where $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$ is near zero versus near unity (green or white), which corresponds to homogeneous/mixed PV versus choked/"sonic" PV, respectively.

Charney-Stern stability criteria (see Dowling, 2019, and references therein). Zones 4 and 771 3 are evidently KA-I stable, except near the source. This is reasonable, since the gen-772 eral circulation in these two zones is anticyclonic (westward), and since $\beta_e > 0$, Rossby 773 waves propagate westward (15), which is downstream (blue). Notice that whether or not 774 there are critical latitudes in Zones 4 and 3 does not come up—the dog does not bark-775 since they are only relevant to instability in red regions. In other words, blue and green 776 ("supersonic") critical lines are innocuous, as in practice are white ones ("sonic"). There 777 are red patches near the source region in Zones 4 and 3 that are quite turbulent. 778

Zone 2 stands out as a mostly white annulus ("sonic" or choked PV) with some red. 779 It also exhibits an intriguing annular feature on the border with Zone 1 that is blue, which 780 corresponds to the yellow (eastward) jet in Panel (b). The green of Zone 1 indicates that 781 all three components of β_e are small: β is zero across the tank, β_h is naturally small in 782 the polar region (Figure 2), and the relative vorticity is not strong enough for β_u to make 783 a significant contribution. The co-mingling vortices in Zone 1 originate mainly from the 784 source pipe rather than from shear instability. On the whole, in Zone 1 the appropriate 785 dimensionless version of β_e , namely $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$, has little chance of being anything but ~ 0. 786

787

4.4.3 Numerator and denominator of $M_{\rm B}^{-1}$

The focus now turns to the dynamics in the vicinity of the system's two built-in 788 topographic critical latitudes. It is instructive to pull apart $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$ and simultaneously track 789 the evolution of its numerator and denominator, $-\hat{c}_x$ and u, as a function of radius and 790 time. To benefit from zonal averaging, but at the same time to avoid the complications 791 around the source region, these variables are averaged over a sector of θ values. To av-792 erage out small eddies and emphasize long Rossby waves, sectors of $\Delta \theta = 30^{\circ}$ to 45° 793 (1.0 to 1.5 hours on a 12-hour dial) prove to be sufficient. As can be anticipated from 794 the full-tank images, after the circulation is mature, any sectors that avoid the source 795 pipe yield similar results. Sectors in the vicinity of 8 o'clock (where the top of the tank 796 images is 12 o'clock, and the source pipe is at 10:40 o'clock) are displayed in what fol-797 lows because this neighborhood is somewhat less affected by the perturbations advected 798 from the forcing radius. 799

Figure 7a shows $-\hat{c}_x(r)$ and u(r) separately during the entire Run 2. The zonal 800 velocity profiles (blue) start with near-zero values but rapidly develop a quasi-steady pat-801 tern with a few distinct jets. The profiles collapse around this steady-state profile and 802 vary only slightly afterwards, except in Zone 1 (the leftmost one) where the variability 803 is significant at all times. Compare this with the (negative) intrinsic phase velocity pro-804 files, $-\hat{c}_x$ (yellow). These start along the profile of β_h (red curve; use this to distinguish 805 Zones 1 to 4 from left to right), but then evolve into a pattern generally following that of u, particularly in Zone 2. The only region where this $M_{\rm R}^{-1} \rightarrow 1$ process does not gain 806 807 any traction is Zone 3. Figure 7b shows the same quantities averaged over short peri-808 ods of time, using different line styles to indicate the temporal evolution. Variability of 809 $-\hat{c}_x$ and u can be judged visually by the thickness of the yellow or blue profiles in Panel 810 (a). To quantify this variability, we compute the standard deviation, σ , of both quan-811 tities during the steady-state period of Run 2 (Figure 7c). As expected, in Zone 1 the 812 variability of both is significant. It decreases to a relatively small constant value in Zone 813 2. Intriguingly, $\sigma(-\hat{c}_x)$ and $\sigma(u)$ have robust peaks on both sides of critical latitude at 814 r = 35 cm, with a minimum in between. 815

To test the effects of the strength of forcing, δ_f , snapshots taken during the statistically steady period of Runs 2 and 3 ($\delta_f = 1.9$ and 2.5%) are shown in Figure 8. These are averaged over the sector 7 to 8 o'clock ($\Delta \theta = 30^\circ$). In terms of $M_{\rm R}^{-1}(r)$, the runs are qualitatively similar across the tank. They are also similar in terms of $\overline{u}(r)$ in Zones 1 and 2, with somewhat stronger retrograde jets developing in Zones 3 and 4 for the stronger forcing case.



Figure 7. Radial profiles of the negative intrinsic zonal phase speed, $-\hat{c}_x(r)$, and the zonal velocity, $\overline{u}(r)$, in the sector between 6:30 and 8 o'clock (Run 2). Shown are (a) time sequences of $-\hat{c}_x$ (yellow) and u (blue), every 50 frames (2.9 rotations); (b) selected 5-rotation time averages of $-\hat{c}_x$ and u: t = 6-11 (solid lines), 12-17 (dashed lines), 55-60 (dash-dot lines) and 110-115 (dotted lines) and (c) standard deviation, σ , of $-\hat{c}_x$ (yellow) and u (blue) during the steady-state period. For reference, the red curve indicates $-\hat{c}_x$ based on undisturbed β_h ; in panel (c) its magnitude is reduced by a factor of 10.



Figure 8. Radial profiles of (a) $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$ and (b) \overline{u} averaged in the sector 7 to 8 o'clock, for weaker forcing (magenta, Run 2, t = 51 rotations, $\delta_f = 1.9\%$) and stronger forcing (blue, Run 3, t = 57 rotations, $\delta_f = 2.5\%$). Black arrows in (b) indicate the zone boundaries.

4.4.4 Galilean shift



Figure 9. Zoom-in of the critical latitude in the vicinity of r = 20 cm (Run 2) averaged in the 6:30 to 8 o'clock sector. Shown every 2.9 rotations during the statistically steady period are (a) $-\hat{c}_x$ (yellow) and $(u - u_{\text{shift}})$ (blue), which by definition crosses zero where $-\hat{c}_x$ crosses zero. The red profile is the topography-based $-\hat{c}_x$. The right panels show time series over the entire Run 2: (b) slope of u(r) at the critical latitude, (c) M_{R} computed using L'Hospital's rule (see text), and (d) radial position of the critical latitude.

Inspection of Figure 7a reveals that the yellow curves $(-\hat{c}_x)$ go through zero slightly 823 off the exact zero of the topographic β_h at r = 20 cm, but instead cross at $r \approx 22$ cm. 824 Here is where the freedom of the Galilean shift in $(\overline{u}-\alpha)$ comes into play. Recall that 825 PV, and hence $-\hat{c}_x$, is invariant to such a shift. For cylindrical geometry, the procedure 826 is as follows. Firstly, the position of the critical latitude, r_c , is located where $-\hat{c}_x(r_c) =$ 827 0. Secondly, the zeroes of the numerator and denominator of $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$ are made to coincide 828 by shifting to a new reference frame with angular velocity, $\Omega_{\text{shift}} = u(r_c)/r_c$, and cor-829 responding zonal velocity, $(u - u_{\text{shift}})$, where $u_{\text{shift}}(r) = r\Omega_{\text{shift}}$. To stay in the refer-830 ence frame of the circulation's critical latitude, this shift is recalculated for each time. 831

A zoom-in of the shifted velocity profiles for the weaker critical latitude is shown in Figure 9a. The radial derivative, du/dr at $r = r_c$ (Figure 9b), remains negative throughout the entire Run 2. Figure 9c shows a time series of $M_R = -(u - u_{\text{shift}})/\hat{c}_x$ at the critical latitude, $r = r_c$. Since both the numerator and denominator tend to zero at r_c , L'Hospital's rule is used to compute M_R in the form $-(du/dr)(d\hat{c}_x/dr)^{-1}$. Finally, Panel (d) shows the position of the critical latitude as a function of time.

A similar analysis is made for the stronger critical latitude. Unlike for the weaker one, here the factor $d(-\hat{c}_x)/dr$ is practically infinite, such that the position of the critical latitude stays fixed at $r_c = 35$ cm throughout the runs. The velocity profiles shifted to 0 at this latitude are shown in Figure 10a, and Panel (b) shows the derivative, du/dr, as a function of time. Notice that du/dr oscillates around zero, but with some prevalence for negative (stable) values. Consequently, $M_{\rm R}$ is quite small and vacillates around zero in a manner consistent with a weak shear that is being continuously buffeted by forc-



Figure 10. As in Figure 9a,b, but for the critical latitude at r = 35 cm.

ing (in such weak-shear situations, the reciprocal, $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$, is less useful because it switches between $\pm \infty$). Unlike the other critical latitude, this one does not exhibit $M_{\rm R}^{-1} \rightarrow 1$ behavior.

4.5 Details in Zone 2

The main novelty of these experiments is the tent-shaped seamount that yields an 849 annulus (zone) with negative β_h that is surrounded by positive β_h . There are two ad-850 ditional features of interest to point out for the circulations in this Zone 2. Firstly, the 851 Rossby wave phase speed is eastward (cyclonic, prograde, counterclockwise) in Zone 2. 852 and hence this is the only one where long Rossby waves have a chance to propagate up-853 stream against the tank-wide westward flow (anticyclonic, retrograde, clockwise) induced 854 by the mass-injection forcing. As shown in Figure 3c, a high-pressure β -plume propa-855 gates eastward from the forcing in Zone 2, flanked by counter-flowing zonal jets in the 856 anticyclonic sense. When the flow achieves a mature state, both jets encircle the tank 857 and settle into their final positions, the eastward-flowing jet at the boundary between 858 Zones 1 and 2 at r = 20 cm, and the westward-flowing jet in the middle of Zone 2. 859

The fate of the built-in topographical critical layer at r = 20 cm is in fact more 860 complicated than just the simple translation to $r = 22 \,\mathrm{cm}$ documented above: a sec-861 ond critical latitude develops just inside Zone 1 at $r \approx 18 \,\mathrm{cm}$, as can be seen in Fig-862 ure 7 (Run 2) and Figure 8a (Runs 2 and 3). The general development of $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$ towards 863 unity in the region where Rossby waves propagate upstream is analogous to the chok-864 ing phenomenon of hydraulics, but for Rossby waves instead of gravity waves (e.g. Armi, 865 1989; Dowling, 1993; Stanley et al., 2020). The lesson for the circulations on Jupiter seems 866 to be that instead of wiping out critical latitudes $(M_{\rm R}^{-1} \to 0)$, when tropospheric jets are strong enough, they can stabilize by moving and even splitting critical latitudes, pre-867 868 serving them via $M_{\rm R}^{-1} \sim 1$. The dynamic topography from deep jets is essential to this 869 process, since $M_{\rm R} = 1$ in Jupiter's troposphere implies the deep jets are related to β_u 870 calculated for the tropospheric jets, $u_{\text{deep}} = (\beta + \beta_u)L_d^2 \neq 0$ (Dowling, 1993, 2020). 871

Secondly, there are often areas in Zone 2 where $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$ exceeds unity (e.g. Figures 6d and 8), which is interesting because this is necessary for shear instability. The dipolar component of the circulation discussed above causes the left and right halves of Zone 2 to be different (Figure 6d). Evidently, the dipolar circulation is blocking the propagation of $M_{\rm R}^{-1} \sim 1$ into the right half. As a result, the potentially unstable areas of highpositive $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$ are more extensive and the flow is more "wavy" in the right half (Figure 4c).

⁸⁷⁹ 5 Conclusions

A rotating water tank with localized mass forcing and strong bottom topography is used to initiate laboratory studies of systems with alternating potential vorticity gradients, β_e , as motivated by observations of Jupiter and Saturn. A tent-shaped seamount that is azimuthally symmetric sets up four zones: a polar Zone 1, a negative β_e Zone 2, and two outer, positive- β_e Zones 3 and 4.

⁸⁸⁵ Once the water is at rest in the tank's rotating reference frame, mass injection from ⁸⁸⁶ a submerged source pipe along one radius is turned on continuously, with the strength ⁸⁸⁷ varied between experiments. This forcing creates alternating jets via the β -plume mech-⁸⁸⁸ anism, which involves radiation of Rossby-wave envelopes from the forcing. Each β -plume ⁸⁸⁹ is a region of high or low pressure, i.e. high or low surface deviation from the parabolic ⁸⁹⁰ geoid, which grows in the zonal direction with two jets flowing in opposite directions.

Conditions in Zone 2 allow for the upwind combination of eastward propagating long Rossby waves and westward fluid velocity, such that the Rossby waves propagate

upstream over much of the zone. Hydraulic-like control of the flow takes hold and is made 893 evident by computing the analog of the Mach (or Froude number) for Rossby waves, $M_{\rm B}$, 894 which approaches unity over much of Zone 2, with some shaping by the circulation. It 895 is emphasized that this parameter and its reciprocal, $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$, are central to a range of theories, including those pertaining to jet spacing (e.g. Rhines, 1975), hydraulic control of 897 Rossby waves (e.g. Armi, 1989), and shear stability (e.g. Dowling, 2019), and that in 898 all these applications, the condition $M_{\rm R} \sim 1$ is favored. In Zone 3, the environmental 899 conditions are sufficiently different from Zone 2 that $M_{\rm R}^{-1} \sim 1$ does not develop. The 900 mass injection causes a tank-sized westward (anticyclonic) circulation, and this circu-901 lation sweeps downstream Rossby waves generated in the forcing area of Zone 3, which 902 is the negative $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$ or $M_{\rm R}$ case and is shear stable. 903

The present design of experiments provides a system with two built-in topographic 904 critical latitudes where β_h changes sign, namely the boundaries of Zone 2. For the outer 905 boundary positioned above the peak of the seamount, which has the stronger change in 906 PV gradient, $M_{\rm R}$ vacillates about zero, with weak but persistent unsteadiness and a slight 907 preference for negative (stable) values. This configuration may be applicable to the vicin-908 ity of oceanic seamounts. In contrast, the inner critical latitude, positioned above the 909 poleward foot of the seamount, rapidly achieves $M_{\rm R}^{-1} \sim 1$. It is able to reconfigure it-910 self, with a split and lateral shifts, which lends support to the idea that gas-giant tro-911 pospheres are flexible in their ability to adjust to the dynamic topography of abyssal jets. 912

These experiments explore the evolution of zonal flows starting from rest, and con-913 tribute to the understanding of the paths an evolving system may take towards the $M_{\rm R}^{-1} \rightarrow$ 914 1 state. The β -plume mechanism is a feature of these experiments because of the con-915 tinuous, localized forcing strategy employed. This mechanism represents only one means 916 of forming alternating jets, but it proves to be a robust one. It can be motivated for gas 917 giants along the following lines. Suppose there are relatively persistent sources of vor-918 tical eddies, created by moist convection and/or shear instability, which generate β -plumes 919 via radiation of Rossby waves (in the present experiments the plumes originate in the 920 forcing area only). Each plume consists of two counter-flowing zonal jets. Where β_e and 921 u have the same sign, such that $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$ is positive and Rossby waves travel upstream and can become choked, the condition $M_{\rm R}^{-1} \rightarrow 1$ is readily established and expands to en-922 923 circle the planet. On the other hand, where β_e and u have opposite signs, such that $M_{\rm R}^{-1}$ 924 is negative, this circulation may be squeezed out by being continuously washed down-925 stream, and may even jump tracks to merge into an adjacent zone. 926

To further test such ideas, and otherwise to advance laboratory analogies for Jupiter 927 and Saturn, future experiments should consider modifications to this initial study with the goal of configuring a system for which: i) $M_{\rm R}^{-1} \rightarrow 1$ is possible in adjacent zones 928 929 with alternating PV gradients, and ii) critical latitudes are in communication with each 930 other regarding meridional coherence of long Rossby waves. The first design lesson from 931 this study is that the seamount or seamounts probably do not need to be as strong (as 932 tall) as employed here. The second lesson is that multiple seamounts are likely to suc-933 ceed in producing multiple stable critical latitudes, even in a similarly sized tank, con-934 sidering that alternating β -plumes developed in this study without difficulty. The third 935 lesson is that other forms of forcing should be tried, for example a more uniform distri-936 bution of mass sources that does not produce a tank-sized anticyclone, or one of vari-937 ous types of thermal forcing. All of these modifications are relatively straightforward, 938 and so the prospects for future Jupiter-in-a-tank experiments are bright. 939

940 6 Data Availability Statement

941

Datasets for this research are available in Y. D. Afanasyev and Dowling (2021).

942 Acknowledgments

⁹⁴³ YDA is supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

944 **References**

958

968

969

970

974

975

- ⁹⁴⁵ Afanasyev, Y., Rhines, P., & Lindahl, E. (2008). Vorticies and Rossby waves in ⁹⁴⁶ cylinder wakes on a parabolic β -plane observed by altimetric imaging velocime-⁹⁴⁷ try. *Phys. Fluids*, 20, 086604. doi: 10.1063/1.2968451
- Afanasyev, Y. D. (2015). Altimetry in a GFD laboratory and flows on the polar
 β-plane. In T. von Larcher & P. Williams (Eds.), Modelling atmospheric and
 oceanic flows: Insights from laboratory experiments and numerical simulations
 (pp. 101–117). Hoboken, New Jersey: AGU Wiley.
- Afanasyev, Y. D. (2019). Turbulence, Rossby waves and zonal jets on the polar
 β-plane: Experiments with laboratory altimetry. In B. Galperin & P. L. Read
 (Eds.), Zonal jets: Phenomenology, genesis, and physics (pp. 152–166). New
 York: Cambridge Univ. Press.
- Afanasyev, Y. D., & Dowling, T. E. (2021). Jupiter-style critical latitudes in the laboratory.
 - doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.16438950.v1
- Afanasyev, Y. D., & Ivanov, L. M. (2019). β-plume mechanism of zonal jet creation by a spatially localized forcing. In B. Galperin & P. L. Read (Eds.),
 Zonal jets: Phenomenology, genesis, and physics (pp. 266–283). New York: Cambridge Univ. Press.
- Afanasyev, Y. D., & Jewtoukoff, V. (2009). Dipolar gyres generated by continuous forcing on a polar β -plane. *Physics of Fluids*, 21, 066602.
- Afanasyev, Y. D., O'Leary, S., Rhines, P. B., & Lindahl, E. G. (2012). On the origin
 of jets in the ocean. *Geoph. Astroph. Fluid Dyn.*, 106, 113–137. doi: 10.1080/
 03091929.2011.562896
 - Afanasyev, Y. D., Rhines, P. B., & Lindahl, E. G. (2009). Velocity and potential vorticity fields measured by altimetric imaging velocimetry in the rotating fluid. *Exp. Fluids*, 47, 913–926.
- Antipov, S., Nezlin, M., Rodionov, V., Snezhkin, E., & Trubnikov, A. (1983).
 Rossby solitons: stability, collisions, asymmetry and generation by flows with
 velocity shear. Sov. Phys. JETP, 57(4), 786–797.
 - Armi, L. (1989). Hydraulic control of zonal currents on a beta-plane. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 201, 357–377. doi: 10.1017/S0022112089000972
- Arnol'd, V. (1966). On an a priori estimate in the theory of hydrodynamic stability.
 Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii. Matematika, 5, 3–5.
- Baldwin, M. P., Rhines, P. B., Huang, H.-P., & McIntyre, M. E. (2007). The jet stream conundrum. *Science*, 315, 467–468. doi: 10.1126/science.1131375
- Balmforth, N., & Morrison, P. (1999). A necessary and sufficient instability condition for inviscid shear flow. Studies in Applied Mathematics, 102, 309–344.
- Balmforth, N., & Morrison, P. (2002). Hamiltonian description of shear flow. In
 J. Norbury & I. Roulstone (Eds.), Large-scale atmosphere-ocean dynamics vol *ume ii: Geometric methods and models* (pp. 117–142). New York: Cambridge
 Univ. Press.
- Condie, S., & Rhines, P. (1994). A convective model for the zonal jets in the atmospheres of Jupiter and Saturn. *Nature*, 367, 711–713. doi: 10.1038/367711a0
- Cushman-Roisin, B., & Beckers, J.-M. (2011). Introduction to geophysical fluid dynamics. Academic Press.
- Cushman-Roisin, B., Chassignet, E. P., & Tang, B. (1990). Westward motion of
 mesoscale eddies. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 20, 758-768.
- Darrigol, O. (2005). Worlds of flow: a history of hydrodynamics from the Bernoullis
 to Prandtl. Oxford University Press.

- Davey, M. K., & Killworth, P. D. (1989).Flows produced by discrete sources of 994 buoyancy. J. Phys. Ocean., 19, 1279-. 995
- del Castillo-Negrete, D., & Morrison, P. (1993). Chaotic transport by Rossby waves 996 in shear flow. Phys. Fluids A, 5(4), 948-965. 997
- Deming, D., Mumma, M. J., Espenak, F., Jennings, D. E., Kostiuk, T., Wiede-998 mann, G., ... Piscitelli, J. (1989). A search for p-mode oscillations of Jupiter: 999 Serendipitious observations of nonacoustic thermal wave structure. Astrophysi-1000 cal Journal, 343, 456-467. 1001
- Dowling, T. E. (1993). A relationship between potential vorticity and zonal wind on 1002 Jupiter. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 50(1), 14-22. doi: 10.1175/1520 1003 -0469(1993)050(0014:ARBPVA)2.0.CO;2 1004

1005

1006

1015

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1028

1029

1033

1034

1035

- Dowling, T. E. (1995a). Dynamics of Jovian atmospheres. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics, 27, 293-334.
- Dowling, T. E. (1995b). Estimate of Jupiter's deep zonal-wind profile from 1007 Shoemaker-Levy 9 data and Arnol'd's second stability criterion. Icarus. 1008 117(2), 439–442. doi: https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.1995.1169 1009
- Dowling, T. E. (2014).Saturn's longitude: Rise of the second branch of shear-1010 stability theory and fall of the first. International Journal of Modern Physics 1011 D, 23, 1430006. doi: 10.1142/S0218271814300067 1012
- Dowling, T. E. (2019). Jets in planetary atmospheres. Oxford Research Encyclopedia 1013 of Planetary Science. doi: 10.1093/acrefore/9780190647926.013.116 1014
- Dowling, T. E. (2020). Jupiter-style jet stability. Planetary Science Journal, 1(1), 6. doi: https://doi.org/10.3847/PSJ/ab789d 1016
 - Dowling, T. E., Bradley, M. E., Du, J., Lewis, S. R., & Read, P. L. (2017).Ertel potential vorticity versus Bernoulli streamfunction on Mars. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 143, 37–52. doi: 10.1002/qj.2916
 - Dowling, T. E., & Ingersoll, A. P. (1989). Jupiter's Great Red Spot as a shallow water system. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 46(21), 3256-3278. doi: 10 .1175/1520-0469(1989)046(3256:JGRSAA)2.0.CO;2
- Du, J., Dowling, T. E., & Bradley, M. E. (2015).Ertel potential vorticity versus 1023 Bernoulli streamfunction in Earth's extratropical atmosphere. J. Adv. Model. 1024 Earth Syst., 7. doi: 10.1002/2014MS000420 1025
- Fultz, D. (1961). Developments in controlled experiments on larger scale geophysical 1026 problems. Adv. Geophys., 7, 1–103. 1027
 - Galperin, B., & Read, P. L. (Eds.). (2019). Zonal jets: Phenomenology, genesis, and physics. Cambridge University Press.
- Granev, C. M. (2010).Changes in the cloud belts of Jupiter, 1630–1664, as re-1030 ported in the 1665 Astronomia Reformata of Giovanni Battista Riccioli. Baltic 1031 Astronomy, 19, 265-271. doi: 10.1515/astro-2017-0425 1032
 - Hart, J. E., Glatzmaier, G. A., & Toomre, J. (1986). Space-laboratory and numerical simulations of thermal convection in a rotating hemispherical shell with radial gravity. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 173, 519-544.
- (1969).Dynamics of the atmospheres of the major planets with Hide, R. 1036 an appendix on the viscous boundary layer at the rigid bounding sur-1037 face of an electrically-conducting rotating fluid in the presence of a mag-1038 netic field. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 26(5), 841-853. doi: 1039 10.1175/1520-0469(1969)026(0841:DOTAOT)2.0.CO;2 1040
- Hirota, M., & Morrison, P. (2016).Stability boundaries and sufficient stability 1041 conditions for stably stratified, monotonic shear flows. Physics Letters A, 380, 1042 1856 - 1860.1043
- Ingersoll, A. P., Beebe, R. F., Mitchell, J. L., Garneau, G. W., Yagi, G. M., & 1044 M["] uller, J.-P. (1981). Interaction of eddies and mean zonal flow on Jupiter as 1045 inferred from Voyager 1 and 2 images. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space 1046 Physics, 86(A10), 8733-8743. doi: 10.1029/JA086iA10p08733 1047

Ingersoll, A. P., & Cuong, P. G. (1981). Numerical model of long-lived Jovian vor-1048 tices. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 38(10), 2067-2076. doi: 10.1175/ 1049 1520-0469(1981)038(2067:NMOLLJ)2.0.CO;2 1050 Kelvin, L. (1880). Vibrations of a columnar vortex. *Phil. Mag.*, 10, 155–168. 1051 (1949).Dynamic instability of two-dimensional non-divergent flow in a Kuo, H. 1052 barotropic atmosphere. Journal of Meteorology, 6, 105–122. 1053 Li, L., Studwell, A., Dowling, T. E., Bradley, M. E., Creecy, E. C., Albright, 1054 R. J., & Jiang, X. (2021).Asymmetrical meridional expansion of bright 1055 clouds from Saturn's 2010 great white storm. Icarus, 369, 114650. doi: 1056 10.1016/j.icarus.2021.114650 1057 Lin, C. C. (1945). On the stability of two-dimensional parallel flows: Part II. Stabil-1058 ity in an inviscid fluid. Q. Appl. Math., 3(3), 218–234. 1059 Long, R. R. (1952). The flow of a liquid past a barrier in a rotating spherical shell. 1060 Journal of Meteorology, 9, 187–199. 1061 Maas, L. R. M. (2001).Wave focusing and ensuing mean flow due to symmetry 1062 breaking in rotating fluids. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 437, 13–28. 1063 Matulka, A. M., Zhang, Y., & Afanasyev, Y. D. (2016).Complex environ-1064 mental β -plane turbulence: laboratory experiments with altimetric imag-1065 ing velocimetry. Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics, 23(1), 21–29. doi: 1066 10.5194/npg-23-21-2016 1067 McIntyre, M. E., & Shepherd, T. G. (1987). An exact local conservation theorem 1068 for finite-amplitude disturbances to non-parallel shear flows, with remarks on 1069 Hamiltonian structure and on Arnol'd's stability theorems. Journal of Fluid 1070 Mechanics, 181, 527–565. doi: 10.1017/S0022112087002209 1071 Phillips, N. A. (1965). Elementary Rossby waves. Tellus, 17, 295–301. 1072 Platzman, G. (1968). The Rossby wave. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorologi-1073 cal Society, 94, 225–248. 1074 Rabitti, A., & Maas, L. R. M. (2013). Meridional trapping and zonal propagation of 1075 inertial waves in a rotating fluid shell. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 729, 445– 1076 470.1077 Read, P. L. Zonal jet flows in the laboratory: An introduction. (2019).In 1078 B. Galperin & P. L. Read (Eds.), Zonal jets: Phenomenology, genesis, and 1079 physics (pp. 119-134). New York: Cambridge Univ. Press. 1080 Read, P. L., Conrath, B. J., Fletcher, L. N., Gierasch, P. J., Simon-Miller, A. A., & 1081 Zuchowski, L. (2009). Mapping potential vorticity dynamics on Saturn: Zonal 1082 mean circulation from Cassini and Voyager data. Planetary and Space Science, 1083 57, 1682–1698. doi: 10.1016/j.pss.2009.03.004 1084 Read, P. L., Dowling, T. E., & Schubert, G. (2009). Saturn's rotation period from 1085 its atmospheric planetary-wave configuration. Nature, 460, 608-610. 1086 Read, P. L., Gierasch, P. J., Conrath, B. J., Simon-Miller, A., Fouchet, T., & Ya-1087 mazaki, Y. Mapping potential-vorticity dynamics on Jupiter. I: (2006).1088 Zonal-mean circulation from Cassini and Voyager 1 data. Quarterly Journal of 1089 the Royal Meteorological Society, 132, 1577-1603. doi: 10.1256/qj.05.34 1090 Read, P. L., Sommeria, J., & Young, R. M. B. (2019).Convectively driven tur-1091 bulence, Rossby waves and zonal jets: Experiments on the Coriolis platform. 1092 In B. Galperin & P. L. Read (Eds.), Zonal jets: Phenomenology, genesis, and 1093 physics (pp. 135–151). New York: Cambridge Univ. Press. 1094 Rhines, P. B. (1975). Waves and turbulence on a beta-plane. Journal of Fluid Me-1095 chanics, 69, 417-443. doi: 10.1017/S0022112075001504 1096 Rogers, J., Fletcher, L., Adamoli, G., Jacquesson, M., Vedovato, M., & Orton, G. 1097 A dispersive wave pattern on Jupiter's fastest retrograde jet at 20°S. (2016).1098 Icarus, 277, 354–369. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2016.05.028 1099 Slavin, A. G., & Afanasvev, Y. D. (2012).Multiple zonal jets on the polar beta 1100 plane. Phys Fluids, 24, 113–137. 1101

- Sommeria, J., Meyers, S. D., & Swinney, H. L. (1989). Laboratory model of a planetary eastward jet. *Nature*, 337(5), 58–61.
- Stamp, A. P., & Dowling, T. E. (1993). Jupiter's winds and Arnol'd's second stabil ity criterion: slowly moving waves and neutral stability. Journal of Geophysical Research, 98(8), 847–855.
- Stanley, G. J., Dowling, T. E., Bradley, M. E., & Marshall, D. P. (2020). Ertel potential vorticity versus Bernoulli potential on approximately neutral surfaces in the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 50(9), 2621–2648. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-19-0140.1
- Stommel, H. M. (1982). Is the south pacific helium-3 plume dynamically active? *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 61, 63–.
- Thomson, W. (1880). On maximum and minimum energy in vortex motion. *Nature*, 22, 618–620.
- Vallis, G. K. (2017). Atmospheric and oceanic fluid dynamics: Fundamentals and large-scale circulation (2nd ed.). Cambridge.
- Vallis, G. K., & Maltrud, M. E. (1993). Generation of mean flows and jets on a beta
 plane and over topography. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 23, 1346—1362.
 doi: 10.1175/1520-0485(1993)023(1346:GOMFAJ)2.0.CO;2
- ¹¹²⁰ White, W. B. (1971). A Rossby wake due to an island in an eastward current. J. ¹¹²¹ Phys. Ocean., 1, 161–168.
- Young, W. R., & Rhines, P. B. (1980). Rossby wave action, enstrophy and energy in forced mean flows. *Geophysical & Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics*, 15, 39–52.