Direct visualization of colloid transport across a natural heterogeneous fractured rock surface

Oshri Borgman¹, Avraham Be'er², and Noam Weisbrod²

¹Universite de Rennes 1 ²Ben-Gurion University of the Negev

November 22, 2022

Abstract

Colloid transport in fractured rock formations is an important process impacting the fate of pollutants in the subsurface. Despite intensive and outstanding research on their transport phenomena, the impact of small-scale surface heterogeneity on colloid behavior at the fracture scale remains difficult to assess. In particular, there is relatively little direct experimental evidence on the impact of natural fracture surface heterogeneity on colloid transport. To investigate this, we developed an experimental setup allowing the direct visualization of fluorescent colloid transport, in a flow cell containing a chalk rock sample. We used samples containing both a natural fracture surface and an artificially made smooth surface from the same chalk core. We characterized the roughness and chemical composition of both surface types. From the experiments, we obtained direct images of colloid transport over the surfaces, the colloid breakthrough curves at the outlet of the flow cell, and the residual deposition of colloids on the rock surface. The natural fracture surface exhibited larger physical and chemical heterogeneity than the smooth surface. The aperture variability across the natural fracture surface led to preferential flow and colloid transport, as well as their earlier breakthrough from the flow cell, compared to the artificially made surface. Our experimental setup can be used to further investigate the link between surface heterogeneity, both chemical and physical, on colloid transport and deposition in natural rock fractures.

Direct visualization of colloid transport across a natural heterogeneous fractured rock surface

Oshri Borgman^{1,2}, Avraham Be'er¹, Noam Weisbrod¹

4	$^{1}\mathrm{Zuckerberg}$ Institute for Water Research, Jacob Blaustein Institutes for Desert Research, Ben-Gurion
5	University of the Negev, Midreshet Ben-Gurion 8499000, Israel
6	² Univ Rennes, CNRS, Géosciences Rennes, UMR 6118, 35000, Rennes, France

Key Points:

1

2

3

7

8	•	Colloid transport experiments were performed with a chemically and physically
9		heterogeneous natural fracture surface
10	•	We compared direct fluorescence visualization of colloid transport with their break-
11		through curves
12	•	Varying surface topography leads to preferential flow and early colloid breakthrough

Corresponding author: Oshri Borgman, oshri.borgman@univ-rennes1.fr

13 Abstract

Colloid transport in fractured rock formations is an important process impacting the fate 14 of pollutants in the subsurface. Despite intensive and outstanding research on their trans-15 port phenomena, the impact of small-scale surface heterogeneity on colloid behavior at 16 the fracture scale remains difficult to assess. In particular, there is relatively little di-17 rect experimental evidence on the impact of natural fracture surface heterogeneity on 18 colloid transport. To investigate this, we developed an experimental setup allowing the 19 direct visualization of fluorescent colloid transport, in a flow cell containing a chalk rock 20 sample. We used samples containing both a natural fracture surface and an artificially 21 made smooth surface from the same chalk core. We characterized the roughness and chem-22 ical composition of both surface types. From the experiments, we obtained direct im-23 ages of colloid transport over the surfaces, the colloid breakthrough curves at the out-24 let of the flow cell, and the residual deposition of colloids on the rock surface. The nat-25 ural fracture surface exhibited larger physical and chemical heterogeneity than the smooth 26 surface. The aperture variability across the natural fracture surface led to preferential 27 flow and colloid transport, as well as their earlier breakthrough from the flow cell, com-28 pared to the artificially made surface. Our experimental setup can be used to further in-29 vestigate the link between surface heterogeneity, both chemical and physical, on colloid 30 transport and deposition in natural rock fractures. 31

32 1 Introduction

The fate of solutes and pollutants in the environment is highly dependent on their 33 ability to migrate from their source point to other locations, where they might have un-34 desirable effects. Fractured rock formations are ubiquitous in the subsurface and may 35 potentially function as favorable conduits for solutes and pollutants, due to the forma-36 tion of highly permeable and extensive fracture networks (Sahimi, 2011). The importance 37 of flow and transport in fracture networks is even greater in rock formations with low 38 matrix permeability, such as the chalk formation found in southern Israel's Negev Desert 39 (Weisbrod et al., 1999). In such conditions, fractures are effectively the only conduits 40 for water and solutes within the rock formation (Nativ et al., 1999; Tran et al., 2020). 41

Colloid and colloid-facilitated contaminant transport, in fractured rock, is highly 42 relevant to environmental transport processes. During colloid-facilitated transport, sol-43 uble pollutants are attached to (or form) colloids, which in turn, can migrate great dis-44 tances over short time periods. This phenomenon has long been identified as a mech-45 anism for enhanced pollutant transport in the environment (McCarthy & Zachara, 1989). 46 Colloid-facilitated transport is also specifically linked to the enhanced migration of ra-47 dionuclides from nuclear test sites (Kersting et al., 1999; Zavarin et al., 2013), consti-48 tuting a major concern for the environmental safety of subsurface nuclear waste repos-49 itories (Missana et al., 2008; Albarran et al., 2013). The transport phenomena of the col-50 loids themselves are also important on their own, for example, in the transport of bac-51 teria and viruses to the groundwater (Weisbrod et al., 2013) or the migration of the now 52 ubiquitous microplastics (Horton & Dixon, 2018; Brewer et al., 2021). 53

The surface of rock fractures is characterized by irregular roughness at the microscale, 54 i.e., the length scale between several micrometers and one millimeter. This roughness 55 impacts the aperture and permeability at the single fracture scale and may also affect 56 the flow characteristics in fracture networks (Méheust & Schmittbuhl, 2001; Berkowitz, 57 2002; Bodin et al., 2003). One result of this surface heterogeneity is flow channeling, so 58 that the solution flows mostly through the more permeable regions of the fracture aper-59 ture, thereby significantly enhancing solute transport in fractured media (Tsang & Neretnieks, 60 1998; Brown et al., 1998). Experiments and models of solute transport in granite frac-61 tures have directly shown the importance of characterizing fracture surface roughness 62 for solute transport modeling. A simplified model, which did not consider the surface 63

roughness, did not describe well the experimentally obtained solute breakthrough curves
(Stoll et al., 2019). In the context of colloid transport, the anisotropic distribution of fracture apertures in the mean flow direction (i.e., longer correlation length of apertures in
the general flow direction) has been shown to increase the dispersion of low-density particles (Chrysikopoulos & James, 2003; Boutt et al., 2006). Although nanoscale roughness can also impact colloid retention on surfaces (Rasmuson et al., 2017), it was not measured here, and thus, it will not be considered in the following.

Fracture surfaces also exhibit chemical heterogeneity due to their diverse mineral 71 72 composition. Typically, they are partially coated by deposited material, resulting from long-term flow and transport processes along the surfaces (Thoma et al., 1992; Weisbrod 73 et al., 2000). These deposits may include metal oxides, precipitated salts, and clay min-74 erals, and thus, they may alter the characteristics of the fracture surface with relation 75 to the bulk rock matrix (Weisbrod et al., 1999). Nevertheless, the effects of the surface 76 chemical or mineralogical composition on colloid retention remain unclear. It was found 77 that colloid retention and deposition on fracture surfaces can occur even under electro-78 statically repulsive conditions (Alonso et al., 2009; Albarran et al., 2013), and in some 79 cases, it was impossible to establish a correlation between the presence of specific min-80 erals on the surface and colloid deposition (Chinju et al., 2001; Albarran et al., 2013). 81

Several studies have used artificially cut, and sometimes polished, fracture surfaces 82 in colloid transport experiments (Chinju et al., 2001; Zavarin et al., 2013; Albarran et 83 al., 2013). As shown by Stoll et al. (2016), in colloid transport experiments in a flow cell with two surface types (a natural rock sample and an acrylic surface), the surface rough-85 ness plays an important role in colloid retention. In addition, the qualitative and quan-86 titative analyses of colloid transport behavior were mostly based on breakthrough curve 87 measurements and analyses, and the colloid retention and deposition patterns were based 88 on post-experimental analyses of the rock surface. Rodrigues and Dickson (2015) pre-89 sented a hybrid approach, using natural fractured rock samples and their transparent 90 acrylic replicas to study bacterial transport. Their comparison of the breakthrough curves 91 of the natural and the transparent synthetic fractures demonstrated the impact of frac-92 ture surface material on bacterial retention, with the transparent fractures demonstrat-93 ing preferential bacterial transport. The coupling of both the transport dynamics and 94 the overall transport behavior for natural fracture samples, therefore, remains a chal-95 lenge. 96

In most previous works, crystalline rocks were used as the fractured media, due to 97 their relevance to specific countries and locations (Albarran et al., 2013; Stoll et al., 2016). 98 However, carbonate rocks are also important media for contaminant transport in many 99 cases (Froidevaux et al., 2010; Mondal & Sleep, 2012; Medici et al., 2019). For exam-100 ple, in southern Israel's Negev Desert, sedimentary rock and, specifically, chalk are com-101 mon in subsurface formations. It was shown that fractures play a major role in these for-102 mations and facilitate contaminant and colloid transport (Zvikelsky & Weisbrod, 2006; 103 Kurtzman et al., 2007; Tang & Weisbrod, 2010; Cohen & Weisbrod, 2018; Tran et al., 104 2020). Therefore, it is of great interest to use this type of rock in colloid and contam-105 inant transport studies, to better understand and predict the behavior at the regional 106 scale. 107

Here, we simultaneously study colloid transport and deposition, by direct visualization, and the overall transport behavior shown by their breakthrough curves, in the same experimental flow cell. The goal is to study the impact of chemical and physical fracture surface heterogeneity on colloid transport, breakthrough, and deposition, in an experimental setup that includes a naturally fractured rock sample. Our results show the direct link between fracture surface topography, flow channeling, and preferential colloid transport, and their breakthrough behavior.

115 2 Methods

116

2.1 Experimental setup

We used a unique experimental system comprising a natural chalk sample encased 117 in a flow cell, with a transparent glass top cover. We mounted the flow cell on the stage 118 of an AXIO Zoom.V16 fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Jena, Germany), 119 equipped with a PlanNeoFluar Z objective, an HXP 120 V light source, and an Axio-120 cam 506 monochrome camera (Fig. 1). The flow cell's inlet was connected to the col-121 loid suspension vial and to another bottle containing the background solution. A stop-122 cock was used to switch between the colloid suspension and the background solution. The 123 flow cell's outlet was connected to a peristaltic pump (Gilson Minipuls 3, Middleton, WI, 124 USA), which drew liquid at a constant flow rate. The peristaltic pump was connected 125 to a fraction collector (Spectra/Chrom CF-1, Houston, TX, USA), which collected elu-126 ent samples at constant time intervals. 127

The body of our custom-designed flow cell was 3D-printed in Polyamide 12 nylon 128 (Shapeways NL, Eindhoven, The Netherlands), and contained a rectangular cavity to 129 hold the rock sample. The flow cell cover plate was made of glass. Two holes were drilled 130 into the glass to insert inlet and outlet tubes for colloid suspension and wash (background) 131 solution. The full cavity dimensions were 66, 36, and 20 mm in length, width, and depth, 132 respectively. The actual rock sample size was then slightly reduced to fit the cavity. Poly-133 dimethylsiloxane (PDMS, Polymer-G, Gvulot, Israel) was used to fill the small gap be-134 tween the rock sample and the flow cell cavity, and to hold the sample in place. 135

136

2.2 Natural rock samples

We used rock samples taken from coreholes drilled into the Avdat Group chalk for-137 mation in Israel's central Negev Desert (Nativ et al., 1999). Some cores were recovered 138 as intact cylinders, while others were naturally fractured. From the naturally fractured 139 core samples, we separated the two parts of the fractured core and kept one of them, to 140 be used as a fracture surface for transport experiments. We used non-fractured cores to 141 artificially prepare rock surfaces that were not physically or chemically altered by me-142 chanical processes or groundwater flow. The surfaces of the naturally fractured rock sam-143 ples were characterized by large variation in surface topography and mineral deposition, 144 which we described as having high heterogeneity (HH). The artificially created rock sur-145 face was characterized by low heterogeneity (LH), both physically and chemically. 146

All rock samples were cut to fit the cavity 3-D printed flow cell, so that the rock 147 surface was exposed, and a half-fracture was formed between the rock surface and the 148 cell's glass cover. We note that in this manner, we were unable to reproduce the com-149 plete structure of a natural fracture, with its two opposing rough walls. More specifically, 150 in our system, we did not create zero-aperture points in which the rock surface meets 151 the cover glass plate. However, our setup still enabled us to represent the natural frac-152 ture roughness in a transparent flow cell, which allowed direct fluorescence imaging. Also, 153 we expect that these zero-aperture points would have more strongly increased the im-154 pact of the rough surfaces' physical heterogeneity compared to that of the smooth sur-155 faces. 156

We measured the surface topography of the rock samples using an Olympus LEXT 157 OLS5000 3D scanning laser microscope (Olympus, Japan). We obtained spatial resolu-158 tions of 10, 10, and 0.1 μ m in the x, y, and z coordinates, respectively. We transformed 159 the measured elevation levels of the rock surface to the apertures of the experimental frac-160 ture cell—the vertical distances between the rock surface and the glass cover. We achieved 161 this by measuring the distance between the bottom of the glass cover and the rock sur-162 face at a specific location. We then used this point as a reference and transformed the 163 elevation field to a map of fracture apertures. The calculated fracture apertures over the 164



Figure 1. (a) The experimental setup included: (1) a pulse suspension and wash solution; (2) a flow cell; (3) a fluorescence microscope; (4) a peristaltic pump; and (5) a fraction collector. A top-view close-up of the flow cell, showing the chalk sample in the green frame (a6). The samples used were: (b) an artificially created rock surface from a non-fractured rock core; and (c) a natural fracture surface characterized by higher roughness and large dark-colored patches, which we attribute to deposited minerals on the surface (see the regions outlined in yellow). The arrow indicates the flow direction. Calculated fracture apertures—the vertical distances between the rock and the glass cover—are shown for an artificially created rock surface (d) and a natural fracture surface (e).

rock surfaces are presented in Fig. 1(d)–(e). The minimum and maximum apertures were 1.46 and 1.57 mm for the LH sample, and 0.86 and 1.10 mm for the HH sample, respectively.

To quantify the surface topography of the rock surface, we used standard measures of surface roughness. The arithmetic mean roughness was calculated as (Kurra et al., 2015)

$$\operatorname{Ra} = \frac{1}{n_{\mathrm{p}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mathrm{p}}} |z(i) - \overline{z}|, \qquad (1)$$

where n_p is the number of pixels in the image of the surface, and z(i) and \overline{z} are the elevation of a single pixel and the mean elevation, respectively. The root mean squared roughness was calculated as (Stoll et al., 2016)

$$Rq = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{p}} (z(i) - \overline{z})^{2}}.$$
(2)

Higher Ra values indicate a higher average difference between the surface height valuesand their mean, while higher Rq values indicate a wider distribution.

To characterize the mineralogical composition of the rock surface, an EDS elemental analysis of small samples from both rock surfaces was performed using a Quanta 200 scanning electron microscope (SEM; FEI, USA). The EDS analysis was performed in areas that seemed to be of interest from visual inspection of the surfaces—for example, the black-colored regions outlined in yellow in Fig. 1(c). Analyses were also performed for samples from artificially-created surfaces, to study the composition of the bulk rock.

2.3 Colloid transport experiments

184 185

171

175

2.3.1 Background solution and colloid suspension

¹⁸⁶ We used a background solution of 10 mM NaCl throughout the experiments. We ¹⁸⁷ prepared colloid suspensions with 10 mg/L of orange fluorescent F-8820 FluoSpheres[®] ¹⁸⁸ carboxylate modified latex microspheres, with a 1- μ m nominal bead diameter (Molec-¹⁸⁹ ular Probes, USA). Fresh suspensions were made for each experiment from a 1-g/L stock ¹⁹⁰ and transferred to a 20-ml vial, which was placed on a magnetic stirrer 30 min prior to ¹⁹¹ the injection into the flow cell.

192 2.3.2 Experimental protocol

Before the experiments, the rock samples were saturated and equilibrated with the background solution (see Section 2.3.1) overnight. In addition, we passed several fracture aperture volumes of the background solution through the flow cell before the beginning of the experiment, to equilibrate the surface with the solution, at a flow rate of $0.1 \pm 0.01 \text{ cm}^3/\text{min}$. This flow rate was maintained throughout the experiment, and the resulting mean flow velocities varied from 0.150-0.293 cm/min (see Table 1 for details).

A typical transport experiment included two stages: (1) a colloid suspension pulse of between 4.5 and 5.2 fracture aperture volumes at a constant concentration was injected into the flow cell; and (2) the flow cell was washed with the background solution, until the surface fluorescence did not change, indicating that deposited colloids could not be removed under the prevalent flow conditions.

²⁰⁴ During each experiment, we collected fluorescence images using the AXIO Zoom ²⁰⁵ microscope. To obtain a complete image of the rock surface, we collected a panorama ²⁰⁶ composed of 15 tiles (5×3, length×width). The size of each tile was $15.31 \times 13.88 \text{ mm}^2$ ²⁰⁷ or 2360×2140 pixels (length × width), with a pixel size of $6.486 \times 6.486 \mu \text{m}^2$. Since

Experiment name ^{a}	Typical fracture aperture b [cm]	Fracture aperture volume V_f [cm ³]	Flow rate Q [cm ³ /min]	Mean flow velocity \overline{u} [cm/min]	Re^{b}	Pe^{c}
LH	0.15	3.78	0.1	0.167	0.0434	9.27×10^{4}
LH-b	0.15	3.78	0.09	0.150	0.0390	8.33×10^{4}
HH	0.094	2.36	0.1	0.267	0.0435	9.39×10^{4}
HH-b	0.094	2.36	0.11	0.293	0.0477	$10.20{\times}10^4$

 Table 1. Characteristics of the flow cells and the experimental conditions.

^a – LH, LH-b: low heterogeneity rock surface; HH, HH-b: high heterogeneity rock surface. See Section 2.3.2 for details.

 b – Reynolds number.

 c – Péclet number.

the microscope was equipped with a manually driven stage, such acquisition typically took \sim 5 min to complete. The main acquisition parameters of the optical system were excitation filter wavelengths of 540-552 nm, emission filter wavelengths of 575-640 nm, a beam splitter wavelength of 560 nm, and an exposure time of 500 milliseconds.

We performed two experiments with low heterogeneity samples (experiments LH and LH-b) where we used, for each experiment, a newly prepared artificially created rock surface. For the high heterogeneity experiments (experiments HH and HH-b), we used the same rock sample and reversed the flow direction between experiments. For the latter case, we thoroughly washed the flow cell after the first experiment, to remove residual fluorescence from deposited colloids.

2.3.3 Flow and transport characteristics

To characterize the flow, we calculated the Péclet and Reynolds numbers, defined as $\overline{u}h$

$$Pe = \frac{ub}{D},$$
(3)

222 and

218

221

223

228

$$Re = \frac{\rho \overline{u} b}{\eta},\tag{4}$$

respectively. Here \overline{u} [LT⁻¹] is the mean flow velocity in the fracture, b [L] is the typical fracture aperture, ρ [ML⁻³] is the water density, η [ML⁻¹T⁻¹] is their dynamic viscosity, and D [L²T⁻¹] is the colloid diffusion coefficient, which we estimated using the Stokes-Einstein equation

$$D = \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{6\pi\eta d_{\rm p}},\tag{5}$$

where $k_{\rm B}$ [L²MT⁻²K⁻¹] is the Boltzmann constant, T [K] is the temperature, and $d_{\rm p}$ [L] is the colloid diameter.

231 2.4 Data analysis

232

2.4.1 Colloid transport over the rock surface

To qualitatively show the colloid transport over the rock surface, we used the fluorescence images, captured at various times, and applied the following procedure. First, we converted the color images exported by the microscope's software to grayscale images. We then subtracted the background (time zero) image from the other images. Next, we used a global threshold to binarize the images and obtained a mask of the regions covered by the fluorescent colloids. Finally, we combined the binarized images taken at different times, to show the evolution of fluorescence in the flow cell with time.

240 2.4.2 Colloid breakthrough curves

We quantified the fluorescence emission intensity of eluent samples collected during the experiments using an Infinite 200 plate reader (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland), using excitation and emission wavelengths of 500 and 560 nm, respectively. The fluorescence intensities of the eluent samples were compared to the intensity of the pulse suspension, to obtain the relative concentration C/C_0 , where C and C_0 are the specific sample and pulse concentrations, respectively.

We estimated the colloid mass recovery from the breakthrough curves by directly calculating the ratio between the total pulse fluorescence and the total eluted fluorescence. The total fluorescence of the pulse was calculated as

$$\Phi_{\rm pulse} = \phi_{\rm pulse} V_{\rm pulse},\tag{6}$$

where ϕ_{pulse} (arbitrary units) is the fluorescence measured in the pulse suspension, and V_{pulse} [L³] is the total volume of the pulse. The recovered fluorescence was calculated as

$$\Phi_{\rm rec} = \sum \phi_i V_i,\tag{7}$$

where ϕ_i is the fluorescence measured in each eluted sample *i*, and V_i [L³] is the sample volume. The mass recovery was then calculated as $\Phi_{\rm rec}/\Phi_{\rm pulse}$.

2.4.3 Residual colloid surface concentration

To quantify the residual colloid concentration on the rock surface, we took fluorescence images of the surface at the end of the experiment (after the wash stage, Section 2.3.2). To reduce the noise in the calculation, we averaged the fluorescence intensity in windows of 10×10 pixels. Next, we used a calibration curve (see below) to transform the intensity values to surface colloid concentrations (colloid mass per rock surface area). Finally, we calculated the longitudinal concentration profiles, by averaging along transects perpendicular to the flow direction,

$$\overline{C_{\rm s}}(x) = \frac{1}{w} \int C_{\rm s}(x, y) dy, \qquad (8)$$

where $C_{\rm s}$ [ML⁻²] is the surface concentration, w is the rock sample width [L], and x and y are the coordinates parallel and perpendicular to the flow direction, respectively.

To obtain the surface concentration calibration curve, we first placed a colloid sus-268 pension drop with a known concentration on the rock surface, under the fluorescence mi-269 croscope, and measured the area of the fluorescent mark created on the surface. Using 270 the known volume and concentration in the drop, we could determine the overall mass 271 of colloids on the surface. We then calculated the colloids' overall surface mass concen-272 tration (in dimensions of $[ML^{-2}]$). Next, we obtained a representative value of the flu-273 orescence intensity per unit of rock surface area by averaging the intensity in 10 equally 274 sized sub-regions of each mark. The calibration curve was then linearly fitted to the plot 275 of surface concentrations and fluorescence intensity values. 276

²⁷⁷ **3 Results and discussion**

278

250

254

257

265

3.1 Direct visualization of fluorescent colloid transport

We observed a strong impact of the fracture surface topography on the advancing colloid front, for different surface heterogeneities under the same flow rate. With the natural fracture rock surface (experiment HH; Table 1), a preferential colloid transport path was created along the rock surface, due to the variation in the fracture topography. On the other hand, with the artificially created rock surface (experiment LH; Table 1), the colloid front was relatively smooth. In Fig. 2(a)-(b), we show the dynamics of colloid transport over the surface during the first part of the experiment, until shortly after their breakthrough at the outlet.

In some regions, we did not observe a significant fluorescent cover over the surface, 287 at the time of breakthrough or immediately after it. In these regions, fluorescence was 288 apparent only in a few interspersed pixels, and thus, it was not observed in the full im-289 age. These regions appear in black in Fig. 2(a)-(b), and they are most apparent for the 290 high heterogeneity surface (Fig. 2(b)). We mostly attribute this behavior to the hetero-291 geneous velocity field that delayed the arrival of colloids to regions where the fracture 292 aperture was narrower and velocity was expected to decrease (Brown et al., 1998; Stoll 293 et al., 2019). At later times, not shown in Fig. 2(a)-(b), the fluorescence over most of 294 the rock surface increased, indicating that colloids eventually covered the entire surface. 295 However, the reduced fluorescence is also attributed, in part, to the dark coating on some parts of the natural fracture rock surface (see the yellow-outlined regions in Fig. 1c). While 297 we could not verify this, the surface's dark color appeared to reduce the fluorescence in-298 tensity of colloids flowing over (or deposited on) it. Nevertheless, the coated areas ac-299 counted for only a part of the regions where fluorescence was low or late-arriving; there-300 fore, we maintain that preferential flow still significantly contributed to the earlier break-301 through of colloids in the natural fracture surface experiments. 302

303

3.2 Colloid breakthrough curves and mass recovery

We observed earlier breakthrough of colloids with the natural fracture rock surfaces (experiments HH and HH-b; Table 1) than with the artificially created surfaces (experiments LH and LH-b; Table 1), as we show in Fig. 2(c). We attribute this behavior to the varied topography of the natural rock surfaces, which encouraged the emergence of preferential flow and colloid transport (see Fig. 2(b)).

To compare the breakthrough behavior between experiments, we compared the num-309 ber of fracture aperture volumes needed to reach the normalized concentration of C/C_0 310 = 0.5. In our experiments, the colloid breakthrough curves reached $C/C_0 = 0.5$ after 1.34-311 1.51 fracture aperture volumes, for natural fracture rock surfaces (experiments HH and 312 HH-b). We observed a higher retardation of colloids with the artificially created frac-313 ture surfaces (experiments LH and LH-b), where the number of fracture aperture vol-314 umes required to reach $C/C_0 = 0.5$ were 2.54 and 1.97 for experiments LH and LH-b, 315 respectively. These values stand in contrast to some reported experiments of artificial 316 colloid transport in fractured rock, where colloids traveled faster than the averaged wa-317 ter flow velocity, resulting in earlier breakthrough times compared with conservative so-318 lute tracers (Becker et al., 1999; Zvikelsky & Weisbrod, 2006; Albarran et al., 2013; Stoll 319 et al., 2016). 320

These differences can be partly explained by the relatively large aperture in our 321 experimental setup ($\sim 1 \text{ mm}$), compared to the colloid diameter (1 µm). The main rea-322 sons for early colloid breakthrough in fractures are the limited diffusion of the colloids 323 (within the suspension and into the solid matrix), their finite size (size exclusion), and 324 their charge (Zvikelsky & Weisbrod, 2006). Together, these characteristics do not allow 325 the colloids to flow across the entire (parabolic) velocity profile of the fracture, and specif-326 ically, they limit the colloids from accessing low-velocity flow trajectories near the frac-327 ture surface. Consequently, the effective colloid velocity is often higher than the aver-328 age water velocity, by a factor that depends on the ratio between the colloid diameter 329



Higher fracture surface heterogeneity led to preferential colloid transport. We show Figure 2. the map of the fluorescent colloid cover over the rock surface at different times, for two experiments with varying degrees of surface heterogeneity: (a) an artificially created rock surface (LH) and (b) a natural fracture surface (HH). The colors represent the time at which colloids covered different parts of the surface. Black color indicates regions not covered by fluorescence. We used different time intervals for the two experiments due to the difference in flow velocities (see Table 1). The arrow indicates the flow direction. (c) The normalized colloid breakthrough curves $(C/C_0 =$ outflow colloid concentration divided by the initial pulse concentration) from the flow cell, for experiments with an artificially created rock surface (LH) and a natural fracture surface (HH). The breakthrough curves are plotted against the number of fracture aperture volumes that passed through the cell. The solid part of the lines represents the pulse duration, and the dashed part represents the wash with background solution. (d) Surface concentration profiles of the colloids at the end of the experiments for artificially created (LH) and natural fracture (HH) surfaces. The inset shows an image of the natural fracture surface at the end of the experiment, highlighting regions of apparently very low colloid deposition in dark green, measured from the fluorescence intensity. The regions delimited by the yellow line correspond to the yellow-delimited regions in Fig. 1(c).

 $d_{\rm p}$ [L] and the fracture aperture b [L], such that (James & Chrysikopoulos, 2003)

$$U_{\text{eff}} = \overline{U} \left[1 + \frac{d_{\text{p}}}{b} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d_{\text{p}}}{b} \right)^2 \right], \qquad (9)$$

where U_{eff} [LT⁻¹] and \overline{U} [LT⁻¹] are the effective colloid velocity and the average water 331 velocity, respectively. In our experimental setup, $d_{\rm p}/b \sim 1.001$, so we expected negli-332 gible acceleration of colloids with respect to the average water velocity. In most other 333 studies cited here, the fracture aperture was smaller than in our experimental setup, pos-334 sibly increasing the colloids' effective velocity and driving their early breakthrough. We 335 note that we assumed that the average solution velocity would be determined by the flow 336 rate and cross-section aperture area, although we did not verify this with a conservative 337 tracer. 338

We also note the relatively low maximum concentration in the breakthrough curve of experiment HH-b, and we cannot conclude what caused this behavior. However, we stress that the emphasis here is the difference in the colloid arrival time and its relation to the surface topography.

The recovery values $\Phi_{\rm rec}/\Phi_{\rm pulse} \times 100$ [%] we obtained were 89.2, 107.9, 87.3, and 82.7%, for experiments LH, LH-b, HH, and HH-b, respectively. Therefore, we could not find a trend in the relation between the mass recovery values and the sample type.

To determine the x-axis of Fig. 2(c), we multiplied time by the flow rate and divided by the total fracture aperture volume to obtain the number of fracture aperture volumes that passed through the flow cell.

349

3.3 Surface heterogeneity and colloid deposition

The longitudinal profiles of residual colloid concentrations on the rock surface at 350 the end of the experiment exhibited higher concentrations close to the inlet, which de-351 creased along the flow direction (Fig. 2(d)). In addition, we did not observe a notable 352 difference in the residual colloid deposition between the two surface types, although the 353 natural fracture surface (HH) was characterized by a slightly higher roughness than the 354 artificially created fracture surface (LH). We calculated Ra = 11.5 and 26.3 μm , and Rq 355 = 14.0 and 33.5 μ m, for the LH and HH surfaces, respectively. In the LH experiment, 356 we also observed an increase in concentration near the outlet. This may have been an 357 artifact related to hydrodynamic edge effects, in which the flow profile changed due to 358 the transition from the rock surface to the enclosing flow cell structure, and the thin layer 359 of PDMS used to seal the rock sample in place (see Section 2.1). 360

While the long-range topographical variation between our samples is visually ap-361 parent, it is not clear whether the differences in the roughness parameters, Ra and Rq, 362 would have caused the differences in colloid retardation and deposition between the sur-363 faces. Other studies that have shown the impact of roughness descriptors on colloid de-364 position reported at least one order of magnitude difference in their value between smooth 365 and rough surfaces (Stoll et al., 2016; Rasmuson et al., 2017). Although our Ra and Rq 366 values were higher for the natural fracture rock surface than for the artificially created 367 fracture surface, these differences were within the same order of magnitude and, thus, 368 may not have impacted deposition. It was also shown that the roughness measurement 369 depends on the size of the probe, and that measuring roughness on a length scale com-370 parable to the colloid size is important in understanding the impact of roughness on col-371 loid retention (Stoll et al., 2016). To characterize the surface roughness of our sample, 372 the spatial resolution of the microscope scan was 10 μ m in the x-y plane, an order of mag-373 nitude larger than our colloid size. This resolution was required to scan the entire sur-374 face of our samples, while the studies mentioned above performed surface roughness mea-375 surements using atomic force microscopes on much smaller surfaces. Thus, it remains 376

possible that the roughness of the natural fracture surface is higher at smaller scales, and we still have some uncertainty as to what the effective roughness at the colloid scale is.

In the inset of Fig. 2(d), we show the residual surface concentration of colloids over 379 the natural fracture surface (at the end of the HH experiment) and reveal another in-380 teresting result. We observed regions on the surface with apparently very low residual 381 concentrations of fluorescent colloids (appearing in dark green in the inset). These re-382 gions appear to be related to the presence of deposited minerals on the rock surface (black 383 coating in Fig. 1(c)). As we see in Fig. 2(b) and in subsequent images not shown here, 384 these regions remained relatively darker throughout the experiment, even at later times 385 when we expected the colloids to reach low velocity zones and cover the entire surface. 386 Therefore, while this low fluorescence could be attributed to low concentrations of de-387 posited colloids, it could also be attributed to a reduction in fluorescence intensity by 388 the darker background, and not to reduced deposition. 389

While we could not determine whether dark-coated rock surface regions in fact con-390 tributed to reduced deposition, it is still interesting to discuss the chemical differences 391 between the rock surfaces. The elemental analysis of the bulk rock samples showed the 392 presence of Ca, Si, P, O and C, suggesting that the artificially created surface was com-393 posed of calcium carbonates, calcium phosphates, and silicates. The analysis of the nat-394 ural fracture surface additionally showed the presence of Mn, Ni, Fe, Al and Mg, sug-395 gesting that manganese and nickel oxides, and clay minerals coated the rock surface. These 396 elements were also observed on the surfaces of natural coated fracture surfaces in chalk, 397 in previous studies (Weisbrod et al., 1999). 398

Since manganese oxides were shown to have negative ζ -potential at the experimen-399 tal pH of ~ 6.5 (Zhang et al., 2017), they might have repelled the synthetic colloids, whose 400 measured ζ -potential is -59 mV. However, since the ζ -potential of crushed-chalk powder, representing the pristine rock surface, is also negative (Zvikelsky et al., 2008), elec-402 trostatic repulsion alone may not explain the reduced deposition of colloids on the coated 403 surface patches. Finally, the ζ -potential of glass under our experimental conditions (ionic 404 strength and pH) is expected to be negative as well (Gu & Li, 2000). Therefore, we did 405 not expect the surface charge of the glass cover to impact the overall flow and deposi-406 tion of colloids in the cell. 407

408 4 Summary and conclusions

In this work, we describe an original experimental setup that allowed for direct vi-409 sualization of colloid transport over a fractured rock sample. We used this setup and per-410 formed colloid transport experiments with two types of surfaces: (1) an artificially cre-411 ated fracture surface that exhibited lower physical heterogeneity and similar mineralog-412 ical composition to the bulk rock; and (2) a natural (active) fracture surface taken from 413 a core drilled below the water table in the Negev Desert. The latter surface was char-414 acterized by spatially-varying fracture apertures and mineralogical composition. We show 415 that aperture variation can create preferential colloid transport paths, or channeling, over 416 the rock surface. We further show how the preferential transport pathways translated 417 into an earlier emergence of colloids, by measuring their breakthrough curves. In addi-418 tion, our colloid breakthrough curves exhibited significant retardation, as opposed to some 419 other colloid transport studies (Becker et al., 1999; Zvikelsky & Weisbrod, 2006; Albar-420 ran et al., 2013; Stoll et al., 2016). We attribute this colloid retardation to the exper-421 imental conditions in our system, namely the ratio between fracture aperture and col-422 loid diameter. While in our current setup, we could not verify the impact of surface min-423 eralogical composition on colloid retention and attachment, our approach opens the way 424 for more detailed investigation into their impact in future studies. 425

426 Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the Israel Science Foundation, under grant no. 165/17. OB acknowledges the generous support of Ben-Gurion University through the Marcus Postdoctoral Fellowship in Water Sciences, and thanks Emily Tran for helpful discussions.
The data used to generate the figures in this paper can be found at doi:10.5281/zenodo
.5081121. These include images, spreadsheets, and a MATLAB script for data analy-

432 sis and plotting.

433 **References**

434	Albarran, N., Missana, T., Alonso, U., García-Gutiérrez, M., & López, T. (2013).
435	Analysis of latex, gold and smectite colloid transport and retention in artificial
436	Fractures in crystalline rock. Colloias and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and
437	Engineering Aspects, 435 , $115-126$. doi: $10.1016/J.colsurfa.2013.02.002$
438	Alonso, U., Missana, T., Patelli, A., Ceccato, D., Albarran, N., Garcia-Gutierrez,
439	M., Rigato, V. (2009). Quantification of Au nanoparticles retention on
440	a heterogeneous rock surface. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and
441	Engineering Aspects, $347(1-3)$, $230-238$. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfa.2009.04.046
442	Becker, M. W., Reimus, P. W., & Vilks, P. (1999). Transport and attenu-
443	ation of carboxylate-modified latex microspheres in fractured rock lab-
444	oratory and field tracer tests. Ground Water, $37(3)$, $387-395$. doi:
445	10.1111/J.1745-6584.1999.tb01116.x
446	Berkowitz, B. (2002). Characterizing flow and transport in fractured geological me-
447	dia: A review. Advances in Water Resources, $25(8-12)$, $861-884$. doi: 10.1016/
448	S0309-1708(02)00042-8
449	Bodin, J., Delay, F., & de Marsily, G. (2003). Solute transport in a single fracture
450	with negligible matrix permeability: 1. fundamental mechanisms. <i>Hydrogeology</i>
451	Journal, 11(4), 418-433. doi: 10.1007/s10040-003-0268-2
452	Boutt, D. F., Grasselli, G., Fredrich, J. T., Cook, B. K., & Williams, J. R. (2006).
453	Trapping zones: The effect of fracture roughness on the directional anisotropy
454	of fluid flow and colloid transport in a single fracture. Geophysical Research
455	Letters, $33(21)$, L21402. doi: $10.1029/2006$ GL027275
456	Brewer, A., Dror, I., & Berkowitz, B. (2021). The mobility of plastic nanoparticles
457	in aqueous and soil environments: A critical review. ACS ES&T Water, 1(1),
458	48-57. doi: 10.1021/acsestwater.0c00130
459	Brown, S., Caprihan, A., & Hardy, R. (1998). Experimental observation of fluid flow
460	channels in a single fracture. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth,
461	103 (B3), $5125-5132$. doi: $10.1029/97JB03542$
462	Chinju, H., Kuno, Y., Nagasaki, S., & Tanaka, S. (2001). Deposition behavior
463	of polystyrene latex particles on solid surfaces during migration through an
464	artificial fracture in a granite rock sample. Journal of Nuclear Science and T_{1} is the local science in a granite rock sample.
465	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$
466	Chrysikopoulos, C. V., & James, S. C. (2003). Transport of neutrally buoy-
467	ant and dense variably sized colloids in a two-dimensional fracture with $\frac{1}{2}$
468	anisotropic aperture. Iransport in Porous Media, $51(2)$, $191-210$. doi: 10.1022/A.102105020001
469	10.1025/A:1021952220801
470	Conen, M., & weisbrod, N. (2018). Field scale mobility and transport manipulation
471	tal Cairnes and Tashnalasu, 50(14), 7840, 7858, doi: 10.1021/ass.ast.8h01226
472	[ai Science and Technology, 52(14), 1849-1858. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.8001220]
473	Froidevaux, P., Steinmann, P., & Pourceiot, L. (2010). Long-term and long-range
474	Technology //(22) 8470 8484 doi: 10.1021/cc100054h
475	$C_{11} V (r \text{ I; } D) = (2000) \text{ The } (\text{ potential of place surface in contact with surface)}$
476	Gu, I., α LI, D. (2000). The ζ -potential of glass surface in contact with aqueous solutions. Lowmal of Colloid and Interface Science $\partial \theta \xi(2)$, 228, 220, doi: 10
477	solutions. Journal of Couora and Interface Science, 220(2), 528-539. doi: 10 1006/joig 2000 6827
478	.1000/ JCIS.2000.0827

479	Horton, A. A., & Dixon, S. J. (2018). Microplastics: An introduction to environmen-
480	tal transport processes. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water, 5(2), e1268.
481	doi: 10.1002/wat2.1268
482	James, S. C., & Chrysikopoulos, C. V. (2003). Effective velocity and effective
483	dispersion coefficient for finite-sized particles flowing in a uniform frac-
484	ture. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, $263(1)$, $288-295$. doi:
485	10.1016/S0021-9797(03)00254-6
486	Kersting, A. B., Efurd, D. W., Finnegan, D. L., Rokop, D. J., Smith, D. K., &
487	Thompson, J. L. (1999). Migration of plutonium in ground water at the
488	Nevada Test Site. Nature, 397(6714), 56–59. doi: 10.1038/16231
489	Kurra, S., Hifzur Rahman, N., Regalla, S. P., & Gupta, A. K. (2015). Modeling
490	and optimization of surface roughness in single point incremental forming
491	process. Journal of Materials Research and Technology, 4 (3), 304–313. doi:
492	10.1016/J.Jmrt.2015.01.003
493	Kurtzman, D., Nativ, R., & Adar, E. M. (2007). Flow and transport predic-
494	tions during multi-borenole tests in fractured chaik using discrete frac-
495	ture network models. <i>Hydrogeology Journal</i> , $15(8)$, $1029-1042$. doi: 10.1007/s10040.007.0205 x
496	McCarthy I. F. & Zachara, I. M. (1080) Subsurface transport of contaminants:
497	binding to mobile and immobile phases in groundwater aquifers <i>Environmen</i> .
498	tal Science & Technology 23(5) 496–502 doi: 10.1021/es00063a001
500	Medici G West L J & Banwart S A (2019) Groundwater flow ve-
501	locities in a fractured carbonate aquifer-type: Implications for contam-
502	inant transport. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology, 222, 1–16. doi:
503	10.1016/j.jconhyd.2019.02.001
504	Méheust, Y., & Schmittbuhl, J. (2001). Geometrical heterogeneities and perme-
505	ability anisotropy of rough fractures. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid
506	Earth, 106(B2), 2089–2102. doi: 10.1029/2000jb900306
507	Missana, T., Alonso, U., García-Gutiérrez, M., & Mingarro, M. (2008). Role of ben-
508	tonite colloids on europium and plutonium migration in a granite fracture. Ap -
509	plied Geochemistry, 23(6), 1484–1497. doi: 10.1016/j.apgeochem.2008.01.008
510	Mondal, P. K., & Sleep, B. E. (2012). Colloid transport in dolomite rock
511	fractures: Effects of fracture characteristics, specific discharge, and ionic
512	strength. Environmental Science and Technology, 46(18), 9987–9994. doi:
513	10.1021/es301721f
514	Nativ, R., Adar, E., & Becker, A. (1999). Designing a monitoring network for con-
515	taminated ground water in fractured chalk. Ground Water, $37(1)$, $38-47$. doi: 10.1111/; 1745.6504.1000.41.00056
516	10.1111/J.1/40-0084.1999.t000900.X
517	Rasinuson, A., Pazinino, E., Assenni, S., & Johnson, W. P. (2017). Contribution of
518	vorable and favorable colloid attachment conditions <u>Environmental Science</u>
519	Technology $51(4)$ 2151–2160 doi: 10.1021/acs est.6b05911
520	Rodrigues S N & Dickson S E (2015) The effect of matrix properties and
522	preferential pathways on the transport of Escherichia Coli RS2-GFP in single
523	saturated, variable-aperture fractures. Environmental Science and Technology.
524	49(14), 8425–8431. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.5b01578
525	Sahimi, M. (2011). Flow and Transport in Porous Media and Fractured Rock.
526	Weinheim, Germany: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. doi:
527	10.1002/9783527636693
528	Stoll, M., Huber, F., Darbha, G., Schill, E., & Schäfer, T. (2016). Impact of grav-
529	ity, collector surface roughness and fracture orientation on colloid retention
530	kinetics in an artificial fracture. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 475,
531	171–183. doi: 10.1016/j.jcis.2016.04.045
532	Stoll, M., Huber, F., Trumm, M., Enzmann, F., Meinel, D., Wenka, A., Schäfer,

534	ture geometry and fracture aperture distribution on flow and solute transport
535	in natural fractures. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology, 221, 82–97. doi:
536	10.1016/j.jconhyd.2018.11.008
537	Tang, XY., & Weisbrod, N. (2010). Dissolved and colloidal transport of cesium
538	in natural discrete fractures. Journal of Environmental Quality, 39(3), 1066–
539	1076. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20400602 doi:
540	10.2134/jeq2009.0345
541	Thoma, S. G., Gallegos, D. P., & Smith, D. M. (1992). Impact of fracture coatings
542	on fracture/matrix flow interactions in unsaturated, porous media. Water Re-
543	sources Research, 28(5), 1357–1367. doi: 10.1029/92WR00167
544	Tran, E. L., Reimus, P., Klein-BenDavid, O., Teutsch, N., Zavarin, M., Kersting,
545	A. B., & Weisbrod, N. (2020). Mobility of radionuclides in fractured carbonate
546	rocks: Lessons from a field-scale transport experiment. Environmental Science
547	& Technology, $54(18)$, 11249–11257. doi: $10.1021/acs.est.0c03008$
548	Tsang, Cf., & Neretnieks, I. (1998). Flow channeling in heterogeneous fractured
549	rocks. Reviews of Geophysics, $36(2)$, 275–298. doi: $10.1029/97$ RG03319
550	Weisbrod, N., Meron, H., Walker, S., & Gitis, V. (2013). Virus transport in a dis-
551	crete fracture. Water Research, 47(5), 1888–1898. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2013
552	.01.009
553	Weisbrod, N., Nativ, R., Adar, E. M., & Ronen, D. (1999). Impact of intermittent
554	rainwater and wastewater flow on coated and uncoated fractures in chalk. Wa -
555	ter Resources Research, $35(11)$, $3211-3222$. doi: $10.1029/1999WR900194$
556	Weisbrod, N., Nativ, R., Adar, E. M., Ronen, D., & Ben-Nun, A. (2000). Impact
557	of coating and weathering on the properties of chalk fracture surfaces. Journal
558	of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 105(B12), 27853–27864. doi: 10.1029/
559	2000jb900295
560	Zavarin, M., Roberts, S. K., Johnson, M. R., Hu, Q., Powell, B. A., Zhao, P.,
561	Pletcher, R. J. (2013). Colloid-facilitated radionuclide transport in fractured
562	carbonate rock from Yucca Flat, Nevada National Security Site (Tech. Rep.).
563	Livermore, California: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.
564	Zhang, H., Wu, A., Fu, H., Zhang, L., Liu, H., Zheng, S., Xu, Z. (2017). Efficient
565	removal of Pb(ii) ions using manganese oxides: the role of crystal structure.
566	RSC Advances, 7(65), 41228-41240. doi: 10.1039/C7RA05955H
567	Zvikelsky, O., & Weisbrod, N. (2006). Impact of particle size on colloid transport in
568	discrete fractures. Water Resources Research, $42(12)$, W12S08. doi: 10.1029/
569	2006WR004873
570	Zvikelsky, O., Weisbrod, N., & Dody, A. (2008). A comparison of clay colloid and
571	artificial microsphere transport in natural discrete fractures. Journal of Colloid
572	and Interface Science, 323(2), 286–292. doi: 10.1016/J.JCIS.2008.04.035