Distribution of Vectors of Dengue in India in view of Climate Change

Syed Shah Areeb Hussain^{1,2,2} and Ramesh C. Dhiman^{1,1,1}

¹ICMR-National Institute of Malaria Research ²Sr. Project Associate

November 30, 2022

Abstract

India has witnessed a five-fold increase in dengue incidence in the past decade. However, the nation-wide distribution of dengue vectors, and the impacts of climate change are not known. In this study, species distribution modelling was used to predict the baseline and future distribution of Aedine vectors in India on the basis of biologically relevant climatic indicators. Known occurrences of Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus were obtained from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility database and previous literature. Bio-climatic variables were used as the potential predictors of vector distribution. After eliminating collinear and low contributing predictors, the baseline and future prevalence of Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus was determined, under three Representative Concentration Pathway scenarios (RCP 2.6, RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5), using the MaxEnt species distribution model. Aedes aegypti was found prevalent in most parts of the southern peninsula, the eastern coastline, north eastern states and the northern plains. In contrast, Aedes albopictus has localized distribution along the eastern and western coastlines, north eastern states and in the lower Himalayas. Under future scenarios of climate change, Aedes aegypti is projected to expand into unsuitable regions of the Thar desert, whereas Aedes albopictus is projected to expand to the upper and trans Himalaya regions of the north. Overall, the results provide a reliable assessment of vectors prevalence in most parts of the country that can be used to guide surveillance efforts, despite minor disagreements with dengue incidence in Rajasthan and the north east, possibly due to behavioural practices and sampling efforts.

Hosted file

supplementary materials.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/558554/articles/607681distribution-of-vectors-of-dengue-in-india-in-view-of-climate-change

1	Distribution Expansion of Dengue vectors and Climate Change in India
2	
3	Syed Shah Areeb Hussain ¹ , Ramesh C. Dhiman ^{1*}
4	¹ ICMR – National Institute of Malaria Research, Delhi, India
5	
6	*Corresponding author: Dr. Ramesh C. Dhiman (r.c.dhiman@gmail.com)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	Key Points:
12 13	• Bio-climatic factors affect the presence and abundance of the dengue vectors <i>Aedes aegypti</i> and <i>Aedes albopictus</i> in India
14 15	• Extension in the range of <i>Aedes aegypti</i> in the Thar desert in Rajasthan is projected in view of climate change.
16 17	• Range of <i>Aedes albopictus</i> is projected to extend into the upper and Trans- Himalayas as a result of climate change
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	

30 Abstract

India has witnessed a five-fold increase in dengue incidence in the past decade. However, the

nation-wide distribution of dengue vectors, and the impacts of climate change are not known. In

this study, species distribution modelling was used to predict the baseline and future distribution

of Aedine vectors in India on the basis of biologically relevant climatic indicators. Known

35 occurrences of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* were obtained from the Global Biodiversity

Information Facility database and previous literature. Bio-climatic variables were used as the potential predictors of vector distribution. After eliminating collinear and low contributing

predictors, the baseline and future prevalence of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* was

determined, under three Representative Concentration Pathway scenarios (RCP 2.6, RCP 4.5 and

40 RCP 8.5), using the MaxEnt species distribution model. *Aedes aegypti* was found prevalent in

41 most parts of the southern peninsula, the eastern coastline, north eastern states and the northern

42 plains. In contrast, *Aedes albopictus* has localized distribution along the eastern and western

43 coastlines, north eastern states and in the lower Himalayas. Under future scenarios of climate

44 change, Aedes aegypti is projected to expand into unsuitable regions of the Thar desert, whereas

45 *Aedes albopictus* is projected to expand to the upper and trans Himalaya regions of the north.

46 Overall, the results provide a reliable assessment of vectors prevalence in most parts of the

47 country that can be used to guide surveillance efforts, despite minor disagreements with dengue

48 incidence in Rajasthan and the north east, possibly due to behavioural practices and sampling

49 efforts.

50 Plain Language Summary

51 Climatic parameters derived from temperature and humidity affect the development and survival

of mosquitoes that spread diseases. In the past decade, India has witnessed an alarming rise in

dengue, a viral disease that spreads through the bite of the mosquitoes *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes*

albopictus. We used machine learning based modelling algorithm to predict the present and

55 future abundance of these mosquitoes in India, based on biologically relevant climatic factors.

56 The results project expansion of *Aedes aegypti* in the hot arid regions of the Thar desert and

57 *Aedes albopictus* in cold upper Himalayas as a result of future climatic changes. The results

provide a useful guide for strengthening efforts for entomological and dengue surveillance.

59 **1 Introduction**

Dengue is the most widespread arthropod-borne disease, that has become endemic in more than 100 countries (World Health Organization, 2020). It is usually found in tropical and sub-tropical climates, with a vast majority of dengue cases occurring in the Americas and in South-East Asia (World Health Organization, 2020). In India, dengue has witnessed an alarming upsurge in the past decade, with more than fivefold increase from 28,066 cases in 2010 (NVRDCR 2010) to 157,215 access in 2010 (NVRDCR 2020)

65 (NVBDCP, 2010) to 1,57,315 cases in 2019 (NVBDCP, 2020).

66 The two arthropod vectors of dengue are *Aedes (Stegomyia) aegypti (L.)* and *Aedes*

67 (*Stegomyia*) *albopictus* (*Skuse*), which are also responsible for the transmission of several other

arboviruses such as the chikungunya virus (CHIKV), yellow fever virus and Zika virus (ZIKV).

69 *Aedes aegypti* exhibits an indoor resting behaviour and primarily feeds on humans during the

day(Scott and Takken, 2012). It is mostly found in urban areas and usually breeds in man-made

71 water receptacles such as plastic containers and rubber tyres (Vijayakumar *et al.*, 2014). *Aedes*

albopictus prefers to rest outdoors and is an opportunistic feeder (Paupy *et al.*, 2009), though

strong anthropophagic behaviour has also been observed in some studies (Ponlawat and

Harrington, 2005; Delatte *et al.*, 2010). The presence and population size of these arthropod

vectors is highly dependent on climatic factors such as temperature, rainfall and relative

humidity. The poikilothermic physiology of mosquitoes renders them sensitive to temperature extremities, which affects larval development as well as vector mortality (Farjana *et al.*, 2012).

extremities, which affects larval development as well as vector mortality (Farjana *et al.*, 2012).
 Rainfall also supports vector populations by providing suitable habitat for development of the

78 Raiman also supports vector populations by providing suitable habitat for developments
 79 aquatic larval stages (Farjana *et al.*, 2012).

80 The drastic rise in dengue cases in India warrants a more concerted effort for dengue

81 management and generation of suitable knowledge to support vector control. At present, no

82 known vaccine or specific treatment for dengue exists (Gupta and Reddy, 2013). Dengue control

in India is based on vector control practices such as indoor space spraying, fogging,

84 environmental management and promotion of personal protection (NVBDCP, 2014). However,

the nation-wide distribution of dengue vectors in India is not known and the presence of aedine

species has been established only in some parts of the country based on local vector surveillance

such as in southern peninsular India (Selvan *et al.*, 2016), North eastern states (Soni *et al.*, 2018)

as well as the western and eastern coastlines (Chatterjee *et al.*, 2015; Shil *et al.*, 2018).

89 Moreover, climate change could significantly affect the known distribution of vectors. In recent

90 years, Species distribution modelling (SDM) has emerged as an important tool for identifying the

91 ecological niche and climate change induced range shifts in different species. This is particularly

important for species that are vectors for pathogens and pose a human health risk. Maximum
 Entropy (MaxEnt v3.3.3) is a machine learning algorithm for modelling species distributions

93 Entropy (MaxEnt v5.5.5) is a machine learning argorithm for moderning species distributions
 94 using presence-only records. Its predictive performance is highly competitive as compared to

other SDMs and has been used extensively since becoming available in 2004 (Elith *et al.*, 2011).

⁹⁶ Therefore, in this study we used the MaxEnt model for predicting the present and future

97 distributions of Aedine vectors of dengue in India under different climate change scenarios.

98 **2 Data and Methods**

99 2.1 Species occurrence data

Primary occurrence data for the two primary vectors of dengue in India – Aedes aegypti 100 and Aedes albopictus were obtained from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF -101 https://www.gbif.org/). The records contain 562 points of occurrence of Aedes aegypti 102 (GBIF.org, 2021) and 207 points of occurrence of Aedes albopictus (GBIF.org, 2020) in India, 103 most of which come from a recent large-scale study that compiled a global geographic database 104 of Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus locations, derived from peer reviewed literature, national 105 entomological surveys and expert networks (Kraemer et al., 2015). As the study included 106 literature only up to 2014, there was a need to update the occurrence points based on new 107 literature since 2015. 108

An extensive survey of all dengue entomological studies conducted in India after 2014 was carried out (Dhiman and Hussain, 2021). The search terms 'India', 'aegypti' and

111 'albopictus' were used to find relevant peer reviewed literature in NCBI - PubMed

112 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed), Science Direct (https://www.sciencedirect.com/) and

113 grey literature in Google Scholar https://scholar.google.com/). Only those studies were included

114 where the exact coordinates of the survey were clearly mentioned. After adding these to the

initial database, in total 690 occurrence points of Aedes aegypti and 330 occurrence points of

Aedes albopictus were obtained. The species occurrence points were plotted in GIS environment
 using ArcGIS software.

118 2.2 Climatic predictors

119 Climatic parameters like temperature and precipitation, are important determinants for 120 the life cycle and survival of arthropod vectors, as well as transmission of pathogens (Farjana *et* 121 *al.*, 2012). Therefore, nineteen bioclimatic variables (Table 1) that indicate the general trend, 122 extremity and seasonality of temperature and precipitation were used as the potential predictors 123 of vector abundance and distribution. These predictors capture information about annual and 124 seasonal climatic conditions which are best related to species physiology, and have been used 125 extensively for ecological niche modelling.

Baseline (1970 – 2000) and future (2030s, 2050s and 2070s) climatic data for bioclimatic
variables under three RCP scenarios (RCP2.6, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5), was obtained from
WorldClim website (Fick and Hijmans, 2017) with a spatial resolution of 2.5 arc minutes (~5

129 km). Future projections of climate change thus obtained, were based on the CNRM-CM6-1

- (Voldoire *et al.*, 2019) general circulation model developed from the Coupled Model
- 131 Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP-6) (Evring *et al.*, 2016).
- 132 2.3 Data processing

Data processing and modelling steps were conducted using a combination of R-statistics (R Core Team, 2013), within the RStudio interface (RStudio Team, 2020), and ArcGIS® software by Esri.

Duplicate records in the species occurrence data were analyzed and removed accordingly. To account for spatial autocorrelation, spatial thinning was applied to the species occurrence records at 5 km intervals (equivalent to the resolution of environmental datasets) using the Rpackage spThin (Aiello-Lammens *et al.*, 2015). The final species occurrence data contained 383 and 205 spatially explicit records of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* respectively. The species occurrence records, were used to construct a sampling bias layer in order to account for differences in sampling efforts across different locations.

In order to reduce model complexity, highly collinear variables that did not contribute 143 significantly to the model output were eliminated. A cross-correlation table (Table S1) was used 144 to identify variables that show strong collinearity (>0.8), and a cluster dendrogram of variables 145 grouped based on collinearity was constructed (Figure S1). Initial models were run using all 146 bioclimatic variables, and the contribution of each variable to model output was determined. 147 Variables with low contribution to model outputs and strong collinearity (>0.8) with other 148 variables were eliminated one by one in subsequent models to obtain the final list of non-149 collinear bioclimatic variables. At each stage, the effect of eliminating a variable on model 150 performance was assessed based on the AUC value - area under the ROC (Receiver operating 151 characteristic) curve . The selected variables were finally reviewed and approved through expert 152 opinion (Table 1). 153

154 2.4 Predictive Modelling

Present and future distribution of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* was evaluated using Maxent (v 3.4.1) (Philips *et al.*, 2004) with the help of the R package ENMTML (Andrade *et al.*, 2020). Maxent is a presence-only species distribution model that employs a machine

learning algorithm to generate a probability distribution of the selected species, and has been

shown to be effective even with low number of sampling points (Townsend Peterson *et al.*,

- 160 2007). The Maxent model relies on Baye's rule (eq. 1) to estimate the probability density of the
- species distribution in covariate space, by maximizing the entropy/dispersion across the geographic space (Elith *et al.* 2011)
- 162 geographic space (Elith *et al.*, 2011).

163
$$P(y=1|x) = \frac{P(x|y=1)P(y=1)}{P(x)} \qquad -(1)$$

164 where,

165 y denotes the presence (y = 1) or absence of the species (y = 0)

- 166 $P(x = 1|y) = \pi(x)$ is the probability density of covariates across the presence 167 locations of species
- 168 P(y = 1|x) is the probability of presence of species, given the covariate density
- 169 P(y = 1) is the prevalence of the species

170
$$P(x) = 1/|x|$$
 is the probability density of the covariates

171 As Maxent relies on presence records only, P(y = 1|x) cannot be determined directly, and 172 hence an estimation of the distribution of $\pi(x)$ is made (Philips *et al.*, 2004). The Maxent 173 distribution is a Gibbs distribution derived from a set of features f_i , with feature weights λ_i , and is 174 defined by the equation

175
$$q_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} f_{i}(x)\right)}{Z_{\lambda}} \qquad -(2)$$

where Z_{λ} is the normalization constant. In order to estimate this distribution, Maxent employs the principle of maximum entropy to Shannon's information theory based on the equation

179 $H = q_{\lambda}(x) \ln q_{\lambda}(x) \qquad -(3)$

180 where H is the maximum entropy of the system.

Model parameters were determined by hit and try method, wherein initial models were 181 run with five levels of complexity (linear, linear-quadratic, hinge, linear-quadratic-hinge and 182 linear-quadratic-hinge-polynomial) and 20 regularization multipliers from 1-10 with a half step 183 184 interval in between. The outputs were analyzed based on the omission rate with respect to the testing data, Akaike Information Criterion score (AIC) and AUC values. Based on these, the best 185 set of parameters for the maxent model was selected. Pseudo absences were allocated randomly 186 187 after applying appropriate environmental and geographical constraints (50 km buffer). For validation of model outputs, k-fold cross validation was used to partition the presence data into 188 five subsets. The outputs were obtained in the form of GeoTiff rasters containing the logistic 189 suitability score as the values of the pixels for the baseline and each of the future projections. 190

The continuous logistic outputs were then converted to binary outputs using the
 'maximum test for sensitivity and specificity (MAXTSS)' in MaxEnt, which has been identified

- as the best method for threshold selection in presence only models (Liu *et al.*, 2005). The results
 were plotted in ArcGIS to assess the risk of range expansion in the vectors.
- 195 2.5 Validation of Model Outputs

196 A number of different evaluation metrics were used for assessing the model performance. The traditional accuracy measures (AUC and Kappa/True Skill Statistic - TSS) have often been 197 criticized due to their over-dependence on species prevalence and can give misleadingly high 198 199 values by not penalizing over prediction (Allouche et al., 2006). Therefore, similarity indices namely Jaccard and Sorensen, which are not biased by true negatives were also evaluated. Most 200 evaluation metrics are constructed for presence-absence models and modified accordingly for 201 presence-only models. Therefore, to ensure model reliability, the Boyce index which is 202 specifically a presence-only metric, was also computed. The significance of selected bioclimatic 203 variables in model outputs was assessed by permutation importance contribution. 204

205 **3 Results**

206 3.1 Variables' Contribution and Selection

The cross-correlation table and cluster dendrogram revealed groups of variables which showed very high collinearity. Low contributing and collinear variables were eliminated one by one, after running multiple preliminary models. The final list of variables with low collinearity and significant contribution to outputs is presented in Table 1.

211 Table 1

Variable ID	Variable name	Selected in Final Model
bio 1	Annual mean temperature	No
bio 2	Mean diurnal range	Yes
bio 3	Isothermality	Yes
bio 4	Temperature seasonality	Yes
bio 5	Max. temperature of warmest month	No
bio 6	Min. temperature of coldest month	Yes
bio 7	Temperature annual range	No
bio 8	Mean temperature of wettest quarter	No
bio 9	Mean temperature of drienst quarter	No
bio 10	Mean temperature of warmest quarter	No
bio 11	Mean temperature of coldest quarter	No
bio 12	Annual precipitation	No
bio 13	Precipitation of wettest month	No
bio 14	Precipitation of driest month	No
bio 15	Precipitation seasonality	Yes
bio 16	Precipitation of wettest quarter	Yes
bio 17	Precipitation of driest quarter	Yes
bio 18	Precipitation of warmest quarter	Yes
bio 19	Precipitation of coldest quarter	Yes

212 Selected bioclimatic variables

213 3.2 Evaluation of Model Performance

Three types of evaluation metrics were computed for *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* model outputs (Table 2) – accuracy metrics (AUC and TSS), similarity indices (Jaccard and Sorensen) and reliability metrics (Continuous Boyce Index).

- 217 Table 2
- 218 Accuracy and reliability metrics for the validation of model outputs

Variable	Aedes aegypti		Aedes albopictus	
	Coefficient	sd	Coefficient	sd
AUC	0.94	0.01	0.95	0.04
TSS	0.77	0.04	0.84	0.11
Jaccard	0.80	0.03	0.85	0.09
Sorensen	0.89	0.02	0.92	0.05
OR	0.06	0.03	0.07	0.06
Boyce	0.86	0.03	0.84	0.08

The AUC values for both Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus were significantly high 219 (0.94 and 0.95 respectively) indicating strong agreement between the training and testing 220 221 datasets. The threshold dependent TSS values were also significantly high for the two species (0.77 and 0.84) indicating that model performance was very good. Similarity indices such as 222 Jaccard and Sorensen were identified as an alternative to the traditional accuracy metrics that 223 224 measure the similarity between the model outputs and validation datasets. Significantly high values of the Jaccard (0.80 and 0.85) and Sorensen indices (0.89 and 0.92) for both the vectors 225 also indicate that the model was able to accurately predict vector prevalence. Similarly, high 226 values of Boyce index (0.86 and 0.84) for the model outputs indicates that model performance 227 was excellent. 228



- 229
- Figure 1

231 Variable Contributions to model outputs for (a) Aedes aegypti and (b) Aedes albopictus

232

- 233 The variables which contributed most to model outputs (Figure 1) for Aedes aegypti were
- found to be the isothermality (bio3), temperature seasonality (bio4) and the minimum
- temperature of the coldest month (bio6). On the other hand, for the prevalence of *Aedes*
- *albopictus* mean diurnal range (bio2), precipitation of the driest quarter (bio17) and precipitation
- of the warmest quarter (bio18) were found as important variables. This indicates that temperature
- 238 may be an important limiting factor for *Aedes aegypti*, whereas precipitation is the limiting factor
- 239 for Aedes albopictus.
- 240 3.3 Baseline and projected future distribution of Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus



241

Figure 2

243 Baseline and projected future suitability of (a) Aedes aegypti and (b) Aedes albopictus under

244 different climate change scenarios, based on the nine selected bio-climatic variables, using

MaxEnt species distribution modelling. Local changes in the distribution of Aedes aegypti are
visible in Gujarat, Haryana, Punjab, north east and the southern peninsular plateau. In contrast,
Aedes albopictus witnesses local variations in distribution in north east and the Himalayan
regions.

Based on the probability distribution maps generated from maxent logistic output (Figure 249 2), the baseline distribution of Aedes aegypti was found very high in the Kashmir valley (0.63 -250 (0.91), Malwa plains of Punjab (0.59 - 0.76) and Harvana (0.65 - 0.88), Saurashtra region of 251 Gujarat (0.4 - 0.79), upper Brahmaputra and Barak valley in Assam (0.69-0.88), the Konkan 252 coastline (0.75-0.95) and the southern peninsular plains (0.61-0.96). The vector had high focal 253 prevalence in the urbanized western regions of Uttar Pradesh (UP) (0.51 - 0.65), Delhi (0.76 -254 0.88), some northern districts of Bihar (0.48 - 0.67) and the northern Jalpaiguri division of West 255 Bengal (0.56 - 0.93). 256

A few regions of the Deccan plateau and northern Indo-Gangetic plains also had moderate to high (0.25 - 0.75) distribution of *Aedes aegypti*. Most of the central highlands, the Thar desert region and the greater Himalayan regions of Jammu & Kashmir have very low prevalence (> 0.25) of *Aedes aegypti*. The vector is found absent in the trans-Himalayan regions of Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh (Figure 2a).

The prevalence of Aedes albopictus was found very high along the Coromandel (0.63 -262 (0.98), Malabar ((0.88 - 0.97)), and Konkan coastline ((0.62 - 0.81)), southern western ghats ((0.79 - 0.97)). 263 0.99), Kashmir valley (0.68-0.85), lower Brahmaputra valley, Kamrup and Goalpara hills in 264 Assam (0.71-0.8) as well as the Himalayan and terai regions of West Bengal (0.74 - 0.89). In the 265 north eastern region, both vectors are prevalent but, Aedes albopictus appears to be the dominant 266 vector with more widespread distribution (Figure 2b). For example, in Arunachal Pradesh, Aedes 267 albopictus was significantly more abundant than Aedes aegypti, which is restricted only to the 268 lesser Himalayas. In the Indo-Gangetic plains and eastern ghats (0.28 - 0.54), Aedes albopictus 269 had mostly moderate (0.29 - 0.49) prevalence in the baseline years, whereas a large part of India, 270 i.e. arid/semi-arid regions of Rajasthan, Gujarat, most parts of Deccan plateau and the central 271 highlands show low prevalence (0.04 - 0.18) of Aedes albopictus. Future projections of climate 272 273 change were based on three scenarios – the low emissions scenario (RCP 2.6), moderate emissions scenario (RCP 4.6) and high emissions scenario (RCP 8.5). The RCP 2.6 scenario of 274 climate change projects a twofold increase in geographic area with very high prevalence of 275 Aedes aegypti in Punjab and Haryana, and a further 18.3% increase in area by 2070s. However, 276 an initial reduction in suitability of Aedes aegypti is projected in the Saurashtra and Kachchh 277 regions of Gujarat (12-32%), Jalpaiguri division of West Bengal (5-9%) and north eastern states 278 279 (10-16%) by 2030s. This is followed by a substantial increase in suitability by 2050s and 2070s in Gujarat (9-34% and 10-40%) and in the Barak valley region of the north east (10-21% and 10-280 24%). Some reduction in suitability is also observed in the Rohilkhand and Awadh plains of 281 Uttar Pradesh (10-28% in 2030s, 10-19% in 2050s and 11-24% in 2070s). The RCP 4.5 scenario 282 projects a significant reduction in suitability for Aedes aegypti by 2030s in Haryana (10-15%), 283 Punjab (3-13%), Delhi (9-15%), Rohilkhand and Awadh plains of Uttar Pradesh (10-26%), 284 Saurashtra regions of Gujarat (11-21%), Tripura (14-16%), Meghalaya (11-16%) and the upper 285 Brahmaputra valley of Assam (7-13%). The suitability for Aedes aegypti reduces further in 286 western UP (11-26% in 2050s, 11-28% in 2070s), but increases considerably in Gujarat by 2050s 287 (15-34%) as well as in Punjab (13-31%) and Haryana (10-31%) by 2070s. Similarly, under RCP 288 8.5, a significant reduction in suitability for *Aedes aegypti* is projected in Punjab, Harvana, the 289

Indo-Gangetic plains, most of Gujarat, north east and eastern regions as well as in the southern 290 peninsular plateau by 2030s. The reduction in suitability continues in 2050s and 2070s in the 291 southern peninsular plateau, with a 13.4% contraction in very high suitability areas by 2070s. 292 However, the suitability for *Aedes aegypti* increases considerably in 2050s and 2070s in Punjab 293 (12-60%), Haryana (22-65%), Gujarat (10-40%), Meghalaya (10-24%) and Mizoram (17-36%). 294 In Nagaland and the Konkan coast of Maharashtra, suitability for Aedes aegypti increases under 295 all future years, with most significant rise in 2070s (13-31% and 15-32% respectively). 296 Furthermore, Aedes aegypti is projected to invade several regions of Leh (Ladakh) and northern 297 Himachal Pradesh which are unsuitable for Aedes aegypti in baseline years. Increase in the 298 suitability for Aedes aegypti in Punjab, Haryana, Gujarat and the North East under most future 299 300 scenarios may be attributable to the decline in DTR - Diurnal Temperature Range (bio 2), based on the results from the model. Earlier research has also highlighted the detrimental role of high 301 daily temperature fluctuations on vector survival, which is the most likely cause for increased 302 suitability (Lambrechts et al., 2011). Reduced suitability in the Central Highlands and the 303 southern peninsular plateau under future years may be linked with decrease in the minimum 304 temperature of the coldest month (bio 6), which coupled with notable increase in temperature 305 seasonality (bio 4) is likely to promote seasonal prevalence of Aedes aegypti in this region. 306



307

308 Figure 3

309 Change in suitability for (a) Aedes aegypti and (b) Aedes albopictus in future scenarios of

climate change as compared to the baseline suitability. While Aedes aegypti is projected to

311 witness significant changes in many parts of the country, substantial changes in distribution of

Aedes albopictus are mostly limited to a few regions in the north east and Jammu & Kashmir

313 regions.

The suitability for *Aedes albopictus* is not expected to change substantially in the country, though some local changes in suitability are visible from the logistic distribution and change maps. Under RCP 2.6, the suitability for *Aedes albopictus* increases gradually in the upper

Brahmaputra valley of Assam, with as much as 40% and 122% increase in geographic area of

very high suitability in the 2050s and 2070s respectively. Minor reduction in suitability is also

- observed in the terai regions of Uttarakhand (5-12%). Similar changes are projected in RCP 4.5.
 However, under RCP 8.5 significant increase in suitability is projected in Meghalaya and lower
- Brahmaputra valley (11-19%), in addition to the upper Brahmaputra valley. Suitability for *Aedes*
- *albopictus* does not change significantly in future years in the semi-arid and arid regions and the
- 323 central highlands under all three scenarios of climate change. Reduced suitability in terai region
- of Uttarakhand under future years is likely due to a decline in rainfall in the region under most
- climate change scenarios, projected in the precipitation of wettest quarter (bio 16), precipitation of driest quarter (bio 17) and the precipitation of the warmest quarter (bio 18) variables. On the
- of driest quarter (bio 17) and the precipitation of the warmest quarter (bio 18) variables. On the other hand, increasing precipitation of the warmest quarter (bio 18) in the north east under all
- future scenarios is associated with an increase in suitability for *Aedes albopictus*. Unlike *Aedes*

329 *aegypti*, which have adapted to urban environments and can grow in household containers, *Aedes*

albopictus is more dependent on water availability, and is therefore sensitive to changes in

precipitation under future scenarios (Mogi *et al.*, 2015).

332 3.4 Projected Range Expansion of Vectors

The binary outputs generated by using the maximum test for sensitivity and specificity 333 (MaxTSS) as the presence threshold (Figure 4), project an expansion in the distribution of Aedes 334 aegypti at the edges of the Thar desert in Rajasthan, by 2030s, 2050s and 2070s. This expansion 335 is most prominent in the RCP 8.5 scenario, and by 2070s, almost all of Rajasthan is projected to 336 be suitable for Aedes aegypti. Earlier studies have also observed the persistence of Aedes aegypti 337 in arid urban environments (Kaul and Rastogi, 1997; Marinho et al., 2016). Their close 338 association with human habitats, tendency to breed in small containers and ability of eggs to 339 withstand dessication have been theorized as the possible causes for this (Reinhold et al., 2018; 340 341 Coalson *et al.*, 2018). Minor increase in range of *Aedes aegypti* is also projected in the upper Himalayas of Arunachal Pradesh. 342

343 On the other hand, the results project a substantial expansion of *Aedes albopictus* in the Leh (Ladakh) regions comprising of the upper and trans-Himalayas (Figure 4). Aedes albopictus 344 has been established as a cold adapted species (Reinhold et al., 2018). Under present conditions 345 it is already predicted to have a sizeable population in the lesser Himalayan region of Jammu and 346 Kashmir. Climate change is projected to increase temperatures by approximately 1.5 - 2 °C by 347 2030s, 2.75 - 3.2 °C in 2050s and 2.15 - 5 °C in 2070s in the Himalayan region under different 348 349 climate cange scenarios (based on data used for the study), which is likely to accelerate the developmental cycle of Aedes mosquitoes. Significant increase in range of Aedes albopictus is 350

also projected in the Jaisalmer district of Rajasthan.



352

Projected range expansion of (a) Aedes aegypti and (b) Aedes albopictus in future years under different climate change scenarios using the maximum of sensitivity and specificity as the

356 *threshold values for vector range.*

357 4 Discussion and Conclusions

In India, several studies have been undertaken on the projected scenario of malaria and 358 dengue with respect to climate change (Dhiman et al., 2011; Sarkar et al., 2019), while there are 359 negligible studies on the altered distribution of vectors (Ogden et al., 2014; Kraemer et al., 360 2019). Furthermore, the alarming rise in dengue in the last decade has received relatively less 361 attention (Gupta and Reddy, 2013). The present study has found widespread distribution of 362 dengue vectors in India, with a significant risk of expansion in some parts of Thar desert and 363 upper Himalayas, due to climate change. In north east India as well as the western coastline, both 364 Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus have high prevalence, which implies that the risk of dengue 365 is high, though the reported cases of dengue do not reflect this (NVBDCP, 2020). Such areas 366 warrant constant monitoring and increased surveillance for dengue incidence. Aedes aegypti was 367 found more prevalent in the Deccan plateau and the semi-arid regions of Gujarat and Rajasthan, 368 while Aedes albopictus in the eastern coastline. 369

Aedes aegypti is projected to witness more widespread increase in distribution under RCP 2.6 in 2030s and 2050s, whereas marginal reduction is observed in most parts of the country

³⁵³ Figure 4

under RCP 4.5 and 8.5. By 2070s, RCP 8.5 demonstrates a significant increase in suitability for 372 Aedes aegypti in the eastern parts of the country. In contrast, the suitability for Aedes albopictus 373 remains largely similar in most parts of the country by 2030s. Increase in the abundance of Aedes 374 375 albopictus is projected in southern India, upper Himalayan regions of Leh (Ladakh) and Arunachal Pradesh by 2050s under RCP 8.5, and by 2070s. Aedes albopictus has been identified 376

as a cold-adapted species in earlier studies (Tippelt et al., 2020). 377

The states which regularly report high incidence of dengue, namely Gujarat, Maharashtra, 378 Punjab and Karnataka (NVBDCP, 2020) are also predicted to have very high distribution of 379 Aedes aegypti and/or Aedes albopictus. On the other hand, the model outputs are in disagreement 380 with dengue incidence in the states of Rajasthan and north-eastern parts (NVBDCP, 2020). In 381 Rajasthan, the distribution of both the vectors is low but the incidence of dengue is high i.e. 382 Rajasthan ranked four in dengue incidence in the country in 2019 (NVBDCP, 2020). A study 383 undertaken in 1997 (Kaul and Rastogi, 1997) found perennial prevalence of Aedes aegypti in 384 Rajasthan (Kaul and Rastogi, 1997) which could not be captured by our models. The water 385 storage practices in dry parts of Rajasthan were perhaps not captured by the climatic variables 386 suitable for Aedes. In North eastern states, it is just the opposite, which can be explained by 387 oversampling efforts in the north eastern states (NVBDCP, 2020). Further studies are warranted 388 to ascertain the reasons for low incidence in north eastern states as well as the future risk of 389 390 dengue in view of climate change.

A striking observation in our study was that temperature related factors (bio3, bio4, bio6) 391 392 contributed more significantly to the suitability of Aedes aegypti, whereas precipitation related factors (bio16, bio17, bio18) contributed more significantly to the suitability of Aedes albopictus. 393 This difference is most likely a result of the differences in habitat preference of the two species. 394 As discussed previously, breeding of Aedes aegypti in household containers enables it to breed in 395 low precipitation conditions due to water storage practices of the community. At the same time, 396 Aedes albopictus has a larger temperature tolerance (Tippelt et al., 2020), due to which 397 398 precipitation is a more significant limiting factor for Aedes albopictus.

Our study provides insights on baseline as well as projected distribution of Aedes aegypti 399 400 and Aedes albopictus in India. The models are based on the assumption that there are no other dispersal limitations for the two vectors, therefore, may not represent the real scenario as the 401 actual realized niche of the species may differ based on local factors (such as the water storage 402 practices) which cannot be captured by country-wide models. Moreover, variability in resolution 403 of sampling can introduce bias to model results, as observed in the north east. 404

The areas with projected expansion in range warrant strengthened efforts for 405 entomological as well as dengue surveillance. The projected maps thus generated may be useful 406 in guiding the ground surveillance efforts in projected areas of distribution of both the vectors. 407

Acknowledgements 408

409 We express our thanks to the Climate Change Programme Division of Department of Science and Technology, Government of India for financial support and to Director, ICMR-410 National Institute of Malaria Research, Delhi for necessary facilities. 411

Competing Interests 412

The authors declare that there are no competing interests. 413

414 **Open Research**

- 415 Primary occurrence locations of Aedine vectors in India was obtained from the GBIF database
- 416 (https://www.gbif.org/). The GBIF occurrences dataset used for *Aedes aegypti* is available at
- 417 (https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.b63mgt) and that for *Aedes albopictus* is available at
- 418 (<u>https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.jub5cx</u>). The occurrence datasets include data from a large scale
- study that compiled occurrence coordinates from literature upto 2014 (Kraemer *et al.*, 2015).
- 420 An extensive literature survey was conducted to find Aedes occurrences in literature published
- 421 after 2014. The data of these occurrences has been published in the dryad data repository
- 422 (Dhiman and Hussain, 2021) and is available from the doi:
- 423 https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.6wwpzgmzq
- 424 Data for baseline and projected (RCP2.6, RCP4.5 and RCP 8.5) bioclimatic variables was
- 425 obtained from WorldClim (Fick and Hijmans, 2017) at 2.5 arc minutes resolution. Future
- 426 projections of climate change thus obtained, were based on the CNRM-CM6-1 (Voldoire et al.,
- 427 2019) general circulation model developed from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project
- 428 Phase 6 (CMIP-6) (Eyring et al., 2016).

429 **References**

- Aiello-Lammens ME, Boria RA, Radosavljevic A, Vilela B, & Anderson RP. spThin: an R
 package for spatial thinning of species occurrence records for use in ecological niche
 models. *Ecography (Cop.)*. 2015; 38(5);541–545. doi:10.1111/ecog.01132
- Allouche O, Tsoar A, & Kadmon R. Assessing the accuracy of species distribution models:
 prevalence, kappa and the true skill statistic (TSS). *J. Appl. Ecol.* 2006; 43(6);1223–1232.
 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2664.2006.01214.x
- Andrade AFA de, Velazco SJE, & De Marco Júnior P. ENMTML: An R package for a
 straightforward construction of complex ecological niche models. *Environ. Model. Softw.*2020: 125:104615_doi: 10.1016/j.envroft.2010.104615_
- 438 2020; 125;104615. doi: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2019.104615
- Chatterjee S, Chakraborty A, & Sinha SK. Spatial distribution & physicochemical
 characterization of the breeding habitats of Aedes aegypti in & around Kolkata, West
 Bengal, India. *Indian J. Med. Res.* 2015; 142(December);79–86. doi: 10.4103/09715916.176631
- Coalson JE, Richard D, Damian D, Townsend J, Smith K, & Ernst K. Human-environment
 interactions impact Aedes aegypti abundance in an urban desert setting. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.*2018; 73;37.doi: 10.1016/J.IJID.2018.04.3504
- Delatte H, Desvars A, Bouétard A, Bord S, Gimonneau G, Vourc'h G, & Fontenille D. Bloodfeeding behavior of Aedes albopictus, a vector of Chikungunya on La Réunion. *Vector- Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2010; 10(3);249–258. doi: 10.1089/vbz.2009.0026
- Dhiman RC, Chavan L, Pant M, & Pahwa S. National and regional impacts of climate change on
 malaria by 2030. *Curr. Sci.* 2011; 101(3);372–383.

- Dhiman RC, & Hussain SSA. Data from: Literature based Occurrence locations of Aedes aegypti
 and Aedes albopictus in India since 2015. *Dryad, Dataset* 2021;
- 453 https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.6wwpzgmzq
- Elith J, Phillips SJ, Hastie T, Dudík M, Chee YE, & Yates CJ. A statistical explanation of
 MaxEnt for ecologists. *Divers. Distrib.* 2011; 17(1);43–57. doi: 10.1111/j.14724642.2010.00725.x
- Eyring V, Bony S, Meehl GA, Senior CA, Stevens B, Stouffer RJ, & Taylor KE. Overview of
 the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and
 organization. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 2016; 9(5);1937–1958.doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016
- Farjana T, Tuno N, & Higa Y. Effects of temperature and diet on development and interspecies
 competition in Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus. *Med. Vet. Entomol.* 2012; 26(2);210–
 217.doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2915.2011.00971.x
- Fick SE, & Hijmans RJ. WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global
 land areas. *Int. J. Climatol.* 2017; 37(12);4302–4315.doi: 10.1002/joc.5086
- Gupta B, & Reddy BPN. Fight against dengue in India: Progresses and challenges. *Parasitol. Res.* 2013; 112(4);1367–1378.doi: 10.1007/s00436-013-3342-2
- Kaul SM, & Rastogi RM. Prevalence of Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus-Vectors of Dengue
 and DHF in India. *Dengue Bull.* 1997; 21;85.
- Kraemer MUG, Sinka ME, Duda KA, *et al.* The global compendium of Aedes aegypti and Ae.
 albopictus occurrence. *Sci. Data* 2015; 2(1);150035.doi: 10.1038/sdata.2015.35
- Kraemer MUG, Reiner RC, Brady OJ, *et al.* Past and future spread of the arbovirus vectors
 Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2019; 4(5);854–863.doi:
 10.1038/s41564-019-0376-y
- Lambrechts L, Paaijmans KP, Fansiri T, Carrington LB, Kramer LD, Thomas MB, & Scott TW.
 Impact of daily temperature fluctuations on dengue virus transmission by Aedes aegypti. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2011; 108(18);7460–7465.doi: 10.1073/pnas.1101377108
- Liu C, Berry PM, Dawson TP, & Pearson RG. Selecting thresholds of occurrence in the
 prediction of species distributions. *Ecography (Cop.)*. 2005; 28(3);385–393.doi:
 10.1111/j.0906-7590.2005.03957.x
- Marinho RA, Beserra EB, Bezerra-Gusmão MA, Porto V de S, Olinda RA, & dos Santos CAC.
 Effects of temperature on the life cycle, expansion, and dispersion of Aedes aegypti
 (Diptera: Culicidae) in three cities in Paraiba, Brazil. J. Vector Ecol. 2016; 41(1);1–10.doi:
 10.1111/jvec.12187
- Mogi M, Armbruster P, Tuno N, Campos R, & Eritja R. Simple Indices Provide Insight to
 Climate Attributes Delineating the Geographic Range of Aedes albopictus (Diptera:
 Culicidae) Prior to Worldwide Invasion. J. Med. Entomol. 2015; 52(4);647–657.doi:

- 487 10.1093/jme/tjv038
- 488 NVBDCP. Status Report on Dengue as on 31.12.10. 2010;
- 489 NVBDCP. Guidelines for Integrated Vector Management for Control of Dengue / Dengue
 490 Haemorrhagic Fever. Delhi.
- 491 NVBDCP. Dengue/DHF Situation in India.
- 492 Occdownload Gbif.Org. (2021) Occurrence Download [Dataset]. The Global Biodiversity
 493 Information Facility. https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.b63mgt
- 494 Occdownload Gbif.Org. (2020). Occurrence Download [Dataset]. The Global Biodiversity
 495 Information Facility. https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.jub5cx
- Ogden NH, Milka R, Caminade C, & Gachon P. Recent and projected future climatic suitability
 of North America for the Asian tiger mosquito Aedes albopictus. *Parasit. Vectors* 2014;
 7(1);532.doi: 10.1186/s13071-014-0532-4
- Paupy C, Delatte H, Bagny L, Corbel V, & Fontenille D. Aedes albopictus, an arbovirus vector:
 From the darkness to the light. *Microbes Infect*. 2009; 11(14–15);1177–1185.doi:
 10.1016/j.micinf.2009.05.005
- Philips SJ, Dudik M, & Schapire RE. Maxent software for modelling species niches and
 distributions (Version 3.4.1). 2004;
- Ponlawat A, & Harrington LC. Blood feeding patterns of Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus in
 Thailand. J. Med. Entomol. 2005; 42(5);844–849.doi: 10.1093/jmedent/42.5.844
- 506 R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. 2013;
- Reinhold JM, Lazzari CR, & Lahondère C. Effects of the environmental temperature on Aedes
 aegypti and Aedes albopictus mosquitoes: A review. *Insects* 2018; 9(4).doi:
 10.3390/insects9040158
- 510 RStudio Team. RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R. 2020;
- Sarkar S, Gangare V, Singh P, & Dhiman RC. Shift in Potential Malaria Transmission Areas in
 India, Using the Fuzzy-Based Climate Suitability Malaria Transmission (FCSMT) Model
- under Changing Climatic Conditions. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2019;
- 514 16(18);3474.doi: 10.3390/ijerph16183474
- Scott TW, & Takken W. Feeding strategies of anthropophilic mosquitoes result in increased risk
 of pathogen transmission. *Trends Parasitol*. 2012; 28(3);114–121.doi:
 10.1016/j.pt.2012.01.001
- Selvan PS, Jebanesan A, & Reetha D. Entomofaunal diversity of tree hole mosquitoes in
 Western and Eastern Ghats hill ranges of Tamilnadu, India. *Acta Trop.* 2016; 159:69–

- 520 82.doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2016.03.029
- Shil P, Sapkal GN, Patil AA, Gunjal SN, & Sudeep AB. Meteorological Parameters and
 Seasonal Variability of Mosquito Population in Pune Urban Zone, India: A Year-round
 Study, 2017. J. Mosq. Res. 2018; 8(3);18–28.doi: 10.5376/jmr.2018.08.0003
- Soni M, Bhattacharjee CK, Khan SA, & Dutta P. DNA barcoding as a complementary approach
 for species identification from dengue endemic regions of North East India. *Int. J. Mosq. Res.* 2018; 5(1);46–52.
- Tippelt L, Werner D, & Kampen H. Low temperature tolerance of three Aedes albopictus strains
 (Diptera: Culicidae) under constant and fluctuating temperature scenarios. *Parasites and Vectors* 2020; 13(1);587.doi: 10.1186/s13071-020-04386-7
- Townsend Peterson A, Papeş M, & Eaton M. Transferability and model evaluation in ecological
 niche modeling: A comparison of GARP and Maxent. *Ecography (Cop.)*. 2007; 30(4);550–
 560.doi: 10.1111/j.0906-7590.2007.05102.x
- Vijayakumar K, Sudheesh Kumar TK, Nujum ZT, Umarul F, & Kuriakose A. A study on
 container breeding mosquitoes with special reference to Aedes (Stegomyia) aegypti and
 Aedes albopictus in Thiruvananthapuram district, India. *J. Vector Borne Dis.* 2014;
 51(1);27–32.
- Voldoire A, Saint-Martin D, Sénési S, *et al.* Evaluation of CMIP6 DECK Experiments With
 CNRM-CM6-1. J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst. 2019; 11(7);2177–2213.doi:
 10.1029/2019MS001683
- 540 World Health Organization. WHO Fact Sheets: Dengue and severe dengue. 2020;