Evident cooling effects of surface wetlands to mitigate climate change - a study of the Prairie Pothole Region

Zhe Zhang^{1,1,1}, Fei Chen^{2,2,2}, Michael Barlage³, Lauren E Bortolotti^{4,4,4}, James Famiglietti^{1,1,1}, Zhenhua Li^{1,1,1}, Xiao Ma^{5,5,5}, Yanping Li^{1,1,1}, and Michael Barlage^{2,2}

¹University of Saskatchewan ²National Center for Atmospheric Research (UCAR) ³National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) ⁴Ducks Unlimited Canada ⁵Global Institute for Water Security

December 1, 2022

Abstract

Wetlands are an important land type – they provide vital ecosystem services such as regulating floods, storing carbon, and providing wildlife habitat. The ability to simulate their spatial extent and hydrological processes is important for valuing wetlands' function. The purpose of this study is to dynamically simulate wetlands' hydrological processes and their feedback to the regional climate in the Prairie Pothole Region (PPR) of North America, where a large number of wetlands exist. In this study, we incorporated a wetland scheme into the Noah-MP Land Surface Model with two major modifications: (1) modifying the sub-grid saturation fraction for spatial wetland extent; (2) incorporating a dynamic water storage to simulate hydrological processes. This scheme was tested at a fen site in central Saskatchewan, Canada and applied regionally in the PPR with 13-year climate forcing produced by a high-resolution convection-permitting model. The differences between wetland and no-wetland simulations are significant, with increasing latent heat and evapotranspiration while decreasing sensible heat and runoff. Finally, the dynamic wetland scheme was tested using the coupled WRF model, showing an evident cooling effect of 1~3 in summer where wetlands are abundant. In particular, the wetland simulation shows reduction in the number of hot days for more than 10 days over the summer of 2006, when a long-lasting heatwave occurred. This research has great implications for land surface/regional climate modeling, as well as wetland conservation, for valuing wetlands in providing a moisture source and mitigating extreme heatwaves, especially under climate change.

Z. Zhang^{1,2}, F. Chen³, M. Barlage³, L.E. Bortolotti^{1,4}, J. Famiglietti^{1,2}, Z. Li², X. Ma^{1,2}, Y. Li^{1,2*}

¹ School of Environment and Sustainablity, University of Saskatchewan, SK, Canada

² Global Institute for Water Security, University of Saskatchewan, SK, Canada

³ Research Application Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA

⁴ Institute for Wetland and Waterfowl Research, Ducks Unlimited Canada, Stonewall, MB, Canada

Corresponding author: Dr. Yanping Li (yanping.li@usask.ca)

Key Points:

• An updated parameterization for wetlands reasonably captures spatial extent and seasonal variation in the Prairie Pothole Region.

- Implementing this wetland parameterization in Noah-MP LSM shows strong impacts on surface energy and water budget.
- Wetlands' effects on regional climate is strong and evident, especially in cooling summer temperatures, greatly mitigating heat stress from heatwaves.

Abstract

Wetlands are an important land type – they provide vital ecosystem services such as regulating floods, storing carbon, and providing wildlife habitat. The ability to simulate their spatial extent and hydrological processes is important for valuing wetlands' function. The purpose of this study is to dynamically simulate wetlands' hydrological processes and their feedback to regional climate in the Prairie Pothole Region (PPR) of North America, where a large number of wetlands exist. In this study, we incorporated a wetland scheme into the Noah-MP Land Surface Model with two major modifications: (1) modifying the sub-grid saturation fraction for spatial wetland extent; (2) incorporating a dynamic water storage to simulate hydrological processes. This scheme was tested at a fen site in central Saskatchewan, Canada and applied regionally in the PPR with 13-year climate forcing produced by a high-resolution convection-permitting model. The differences between wetland and no-wetland simulations are significant, with increasing latent heat and evapotranspiration while decreasing sensible heat and runoff. Finally, the dynamic wetland scheme was tested using the coupled WRF model, showing an evident cooling effect of 1^{3} in summer where wetlands are abundant. In particular, the wetland simulation shows reduction in the number of hot days for more than 10 days over the summer of 2006, when a long-lasting heatwave occurred. This research has great implications for land surface/regional climate modeling, as well as wetland conservation, for valuing wetlands in providing a moisture source and mitigating extreme heatwaves, especially under climate change.

Plain Language Summary

A large number of wetlands exist in the Prairie Pothole Region (PPR) across U.S. and Canada. These wetlands are important to our environment as they can provide flood control and cool the temperature, but they are poorly represented in previous land surface model studies. In this study, we updated a dynamic wetland module in the Noah-MP land surface model to reasonably estimate wetland extent and seasonal variation in the PPR. This wetland module shows significant impacts to the surface energy and water balance and, hence, regional temperature. The results show that wetland features would effectively cool the air temperature 1^{^3} in summer, especially for regions with high wetland coverage. The implication of this study is very useful for wetland conservation agencies and climate scientists, as this cooling effect could potentially mitigate heat stress under climate change.

1 Introduction

Wetlands are important and unique ecosystems that play vital roles in Earth's ecosystem balance and biodiversity. Although wetlands occupy a small portion of the global land surface (6 %), they store about one third of terrestrial carbon (Lehner and Döll, 2004; Mitra et al., 2005; Mitsch and Gosselink, 2007). Moreover, due to their unique productivity, wetlands support a wide variety of plants, birds, and amphibians, and are areas of high biodiversity (The Ramsar Convention, 2007). Wetlands are natural reservoirs to prevent flooding, especially in high latitude and mountainous regions (Hayashi et al., 2016; Pattison-Williams et al., 2018). After springtime snowmelt or heavy rainfall, surface runoff can be stored in wetlands, effectively reducing the peak flow and delaying the peak time of flooding, hence, mitigating flooding impacts. From a climate regulation perspective, the presence of surface water and the moisture of wetland soils can effectively store surface energy and favor energy partitioning to latent heat flux over sensible heat. Specifically, greater partitioning of latent heat flux over sensible heat flux in wetland water bodies decreases summer temperature (Bonan, 1995) and reduces daily air temperature variability (Hostetler et al. 1993). This land-atmosphere interaction is analogous to the soil moisture-temperature feedback (Seneviratnes et al., 2010), inducing a cooling effect to surrounding environments.

The North American Prairie Pothole Region (PPR contains millions of small wetlands, known as "potholes", due to its unique geology, hydrology, and climate conditions. The retreat of continental ice sheets over 11,

000 years ago left glacial deposition upon the landscape, forming millions of depressions. These depressions are isolated from large river networks and are poorly hydraulically connected. The cold winters allow snow to accumulate over cold seasons, and springtime runoff and seasonal rainfall are major water inputs to these wetlands. Over the warm season, evaporation exceeds precipitation, drying surface water and exposing the underlying soils. The persistence and storage of wetland ponds depend on receiving seasonal rainfall and connection with shallow groundwater. Under extremely wet conditions, strong rainfall or sudden snowmelt increases the water level of wetlands, exceeding the maximum capacity. Several filled wetlands will spill water to other surrounding wetlands, a "fill-and-spill" process, and form a largely connected wetland complex (van der Kamp and Hayashi, 2009; Mekonnen et al., 2014; Vanderhoof et al., 2018). These complex interactions between climate, wetland, and groundwater make it challenging to simulate in traditional hydrological models and land surface models (LSMs).

Given their importance to global and regional environments, the need to represent wetland physics in earth system models (ESMs) and LSMs has emerged in recent decades. In the Community Land Model (Oleson et al., 2008) and Noah-MP LSM (Niu et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2011), a relationship has been established between grid cell saturated fraction and the depth of groundwater, based on the TOPMODEL hydrological model (Beven and Kirkby, 1979) and its application in LSMs (Famiglietti and Wood, 1991, 1994a). This method assumes the sub-grid representation of grid cell saturation is based on a redistribution of water table depth, given the variation of slope and contributing areas in the grid cell. A sub-grid saturated fraction $F_{\rm sat}$ is defined for the local water table at the surface and can be used for runoff generation as saturationed excess runoff. While this may be sufficient estimation over a large grid resolution in many GCM models (~50-100 km), it is not sufficiently detailed for high-resolution regional simulation (~5-10 km). Despite its limitations, TOPMODEL- based $F_{\rm sat}$ is widely used in many LSMs and ESMs, particularly in representing global wetland extents. The discrepancies in projecting wetland extents have significant implications for modeled CH₄ emissions, as summarized in a wetland CH₄ inter-comparison modeling project (WETCHIMP, Wania et al., 2013).

On the other hand, many models have incorporated surface water storage schemes to represent the dynamics of lakes and wetlands to investigate their impacts on the energy and water cycles. For example, Pitman (1991) incorporated a sub-grid scheme for water surfaces and their contribution to latent and sensible heat as the weighted average over the fraction of water, vegetated and bare ground surface in a coarse resolution (2 °) GCM. The Variable Infiltration Capacity model (VIC, Liang et al., 1994) has developed a dynamic lake and wetland scheme to study the impacts of surface water heterogeneity on energy and water balance (Bowling and Lettenmaier, 2010). Results show that incorporating wetlands increases the annual ET by 5% and decreases runoff by 2 12% in the U.S. Midwest region. Latent heat fluxes also increase, with corresponding decreases in sensible heat fluxes . Despite robust results in surface energy and water balance, this research is not coupled with regional climate models, therefore omitting the feedback from wetlands to temperature and precipitation.

The purpose of this study is to quantify the impacts of wetlands on the surface energy and water balances, as well as their feedback to regional climate in a high-resolution convection-permitting regional climate model (CPRCM, Prein et al., 2015). For this purpose, we have established three steps: (1) Develop a physical process-based parameterization of sub-grid wetland extent and a dynamic wetland storage scheme; (2) Explore the impacts of inclusion of this wetland parameterization on the surface energy and water balance in offline regional land-surface hydrology simulations using Noah-MP; (3) Investigate the interactions between the wetland hydrological cycle and its feedback to regional climate using a coupled Weather Research & Forecasting (WRF, Skamarock et al., 2019) and Noah-MP model system. In particular, we want to investigate the potential cooling effect of surface wetlands in mitigating summertime heat stress, especially during the widespread high-intensity heatwave of 2006 in Southern Canada and the U.S.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Global Inundation Extent from Multiple Satellites (GIEMS-2)

The 1993-2007 Global Inundation Extent from Multiple Satellites (GIEMS-2) is a unique dataset that provides estimates of surface water extent and dynamics, based on a collection of satellite observations (https://lerma.obspm.fr/spip.php?article91&lang=en). The satellite data are used to calculate monthly-mean inundated fractions of equal-area grid cells (0.25°x0.25° at the equator), taking into account the contribution of vegetation (Prigent et al., 2001, 2007, 2012; Papa et al., 2010). Such estimates use both passive and active microwave measurements, along with visible and near-infrared reflectance to capitalize on their complementary strengths, to extract maximum information about inundation characteristics, and to minimize problems related to one instrument only. The technique is globally applicable without any tuning for particular environments. The GIEMS data have been widely used to evaluate surface wetland extents in multiple GCM intercomparison studies for simulating wetland extents (Wania et al., 2012; Melton et al., 2012).

2.2 Convection-permitting regional climate simulation

Convection-permitting models (CPMs) are atmospheric models whose grid spacing is fine enough (usually < 5-km) to permit convection and resolve mesoscale orography (Rasmussen et al., 2011; Prein et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2017). Long-term high-resolution climate downscaling using CPMs provides important added value to improve precipitation forecasts, which is critical to surface wetland hydrology, as well as for resolving fine-scale land surface heterogeneity (Kenden et al., 2017).

The WRF convection-permitting regional climate simulation over the Contiguous U.S. (CONUS WRF, Liu et al., 2017) provides the opportunity for long-term (13-year), high-resolution (4-km) land surface modeling (Zhang et al., 2020). The CONUS WRF consists of simulations for the current climate and for future climate using the Pseudo Global Warming (PGW) method (Schär et al., 1996, Rasmussen et al. 2011). The current climate simulation is a retrospective run from 2000-10-01 to 2013-10-01, forced by ERA-Interim (Dee et al., 2011) as boundary and initial conditions. For the future simulations, a delta climate perturbation, derived from the 19-model ensemble in the CMIP5 project under RCP8.5 scenario at the end of the 21st century, is added upon the ERA-Interim forcing. The future simulation represents an equivalent 13-year period at the end of the 21st century. The CONUS WRF forcing has been used in multiple climate, hydrology, and land surface studies (Zhang et al., 2020; Fang et al., 2021). In this study, we use CONUS WRF forcing in the PPR for offline land-surface model regional simulations to study the impacts of incorporating a surface wetland scheme on the regional energy and water balance in the PPR.

2.3 Application of TOPMODEL in LSMs

TOPMODEL (TOPography based hydrological MODEL) is a rainfall-runoff model that uses topography data to reflect dynamic process response in downslope hydrology, especially in runoff generation on variable contributing areas (Beven and Kirkby, 1979; Beven et al., 2020). Its basic assumption is that the runoff generation response to steady state rainfall is proportional to the spatial variation of moisture content in a drainage basin and can be characterized by its topographic variation, characterized by digital topography analysis. In the model, a topographic index is defined, $\Lambda = ln(\frac{a}{\tau \alpha \vee \beta})$, where a is the area draining through a point from upslope and tan β is the local slope angle. High index values are likely to saturate first, hence, they indicate potential subsurface or surface contributing areas (Beven, 1997).

The simplicity of the model comes from the assumption that all the points of the same value of the index respond similarly in the catchment. Therefore, it is not necessary to calculate all the points in a catchment, but rather to integrate the hydrologic response of each interval of index values in a representative distribution function. At steady state, a critical threshold value for the local topographic index (Λ_{cri}) can be obtained when local water table depth is at the surface, compared to the grid cell mean water table depth. Hence, a subgrid fraction F_{sat} can be defined by integrating the topographic index interval from this critical value to the maximum, following its probability distribution function:

$$F_{\rm sat} = \int_{\Lambda_{\rm out}}^{\infty} p df(\Lambda) d\Lambda$$
 (1)

This probability distribution function was assumed to be a three-parameter gamma distribution by Sivapalan et al. (1987).

This F_{sat} fraction is an important parameter in partitioning surface water using the saturation runoff mechanism, i.e., the F_{sat} portion of the surface water from rainfall and snowmelt becomes surface runoff and the remaining $(1-F_{\text{sat}})$ becomes infiltration. The sub-grid F_{sat} is also critical in controlling surface energy balance and land-atmosphere interactions (Famiglietti and Wood, 1994a&b). In Famiglietti and Wood (1994a&b), a Soil-Vegetation-Atmosphere Transfer Scheme (SVATS) is applied at local-, catchment- and macro-scales to demonstrate the sub-grid soil moisture heterogeneity in controlling both evapotranspiration and runoff. The total evapotranspiration over the sub-grid topographic index in a grid cell is the integration of the potential evaporation from saturated portion to drier land surface outside the transitional region, where evapotranspiration is restricted by active vegetation and soil moisture (Famiglietti and Wood 1994a). This framework for incorporating TOPMODEL into LSMs (TOPLATS) was utilized in the NASA GISS land surface model (Stieglitz et al., 1997) and the NASA Catchment Land Surface Model (CLSM, Koster et al., 2000; Bechtold et al., 2018) among others.

Due to its computational simplicity, the $F_{\rm sat}$ fraction is also very popular to represent surface wetland extent in large-scale global models (Gedney and Cox, 2003; Ringeval er al., 2011). The temporal and spatial variation of $F_{\rm sat}$ is based on groundwater dynamics interacting with soil moisture, simulating the expansion and shrinkage of surface wetlands. Although the meaning of saturation is not necessarily the same as inundation of wetland soils, this fractional area to some degree reflects the wetness conditions in a given grid cell, as well as its function partitioning surface water in "saturation excess" runoff generation. Thus, it has been widely applied in various LSMs and multiple modeling studies simulating wetland extents (WETCHIMP, Wania et al., 2013; Melton et al., 2013).

In the Noah-MP LSM, the energy balance is calculated separately for two sub-grid semitiles: a fractional vegetated area (F_{veg}) and a fraction bare ground area ($1-F_{\text{veg}}$). In this semitile scheme, shortwave radiation transfer is computed over the entire grid, while longwave radiation, sensible and latent heat flux, and ground heat flux are computed separately over these two tiles. As such, these two tiles in a Noah-MP grid neglect the large extent and seasonal variability of open-water wetlands. The total latent (LH) and sensible heat (SH) of these two semitiles are aggregated in a weighted function:

$$LH = F_{\text{veg}} \left(\text{LE}_{\text{gv}} + \text{LE}_{v} \right) + \left(1 - F_{\text{veg}} \right) \text{LE}_{\text{gb}}(2)$$

 $SH = F_{\rm veg} \left(SH_{\rm gv} + SH_v \right) + \left(1 - F_{\rm veg} \right) SH_{\rm gb}(3)$

Where the subscript v represents the vegetation canopy, gv is ground under canopy and gb is the bare ground flux.

Additionally, the TOPMODEL-based runoff generation model is utilized for surface water partitioning: F_{sat} portion of the surface available water (Q_{insurf}) from snowmelt or precipitation becomes surface runoff (R_{srf}) and $(1-F_{\text{sat}})$ portion becomes infiltration (Q_{infil}) . In Niu and Yang (2005), the probability distribution function of F_{sat} in equation (1) is replaced by an exponential function of the water table depth $(Z_{\nabla}, \text{ equation})$ (6)) and has been utilized in both CLM (Oleson et al., 2008) and Noah-MP LSM (Niu et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2011). F_{satmx} is the maximum saturated fraction in a grid cell derived from digital elevation model (DEM).

$$R_{\rm srf} = Q_{\rm insurf} * F_{\rm sat} (4)$$
$$Q_{\rm infil} = Q_{\rm insurf} * (1 - F_{\rm sat})(5)$$
$$F_{\rm sat} = F_{\rm satmx} * exp(-0.5 * f * (Z_{\nabla} - 2)) (6)$$

However, the above water balance setting does not reflect dynamic water movement in prairie wetlands. These wetland depressions actively receive surface water from snowmelt and rainfall, but there is no surface water storage process in Noah-MP, so that the simulated surface runoff component will leave the model grid. Additionally, this setting further neglects evaporation from the wetland surface to the atmosphere and discharge to surrounding wetlands in the fill-and-spill process. Therefore, a dynamic surface wetland storage scheme, incorporating both sub-grid energy and water balance, is needed to represent the complex hydrological processes in the prairie wetland landscape and their potential feedback to the atmosphere.

2.4 Modifying $F_{\rm sat}$ fraction to represent we tlands

The original TOPMODEL-based F_{sat} , based on an exponential function of water table depth, does not reasonably reflect the magnitude and seasonal variation of wetland extent in the Prairies. Figures 1 and 2 show the spatial distribution and temporal evolution of the inundation fraction from GIEMS and Noah-MP simulated F_{sat} fraction in the PPR region from 2000 to 2014. It is clear that the modeled F_{sat} has underestimated the maximum extent while overestimating the minimum extent. This is because of two reasons: (1) the parameter F_{satmx} is a fixed value (0.38) for the global mean; and, (2) the seasonally frozen soil and glacial till with low hydraulic conductivity prevent direct groundwater connection with surface water, hence the water table dynamic is not a good indicator of surface water extent in the PPR. Detailed reasons for this discrepancy are provided in the discussion section.



Figure 1. Spatial distribution of surface water extent from GIEMS (top) and Noah-MP modeled F_{sat} (bottom) in the Prairie Pothole Region (black outline).



Figure 2. Temporal evolution of the inundation fraction from GIEMS and Noah-MP modelled F_{sat} in the Prairie Pothole Region.

Therefore, we propose a new formula for the saturated fraction F_{sat} , based on the first layer soil saturation, instead of water table depth:

$$F_{\text{sat}} = F_{\text{satmx}} * \left(\frac{SH_2O - \text{SM}_{\text{wlt}}}{\text{SM}_{\text{ref}} - \text{SM}_{\text{wlt}}}\right)(7)$$

~

The first layer soil moisture (SH_2O) responds more rapidly to surface hydrological processes, such as snowmelt infiltration and evapotranspiration, than groundwater level. F_{sat} is determined by the maximum saturated fraction (F_{satmx}) and a relative soil moisture saturation condition, normalized by the soil moisture wilting point (SM_{wlt}) and field capacity (SM_{ref}). This assumes the mean soil moisture saturation in the first layer soil can empirically represent spatial heterogeneity of soil saturation at the sub-grid scale.

2.5 Implementing the surface wetland storage scheme

~

 $\langle \alpha \rangle$

In this study, we incorporate a sub-grid bucket-style surface water storage scheme to represent the surface water dynamics in Prairie Pothole wetlands in North America by capturing three important processes in its water balance: (1) wetland storage receives water from snowmelt runoff and rainfall; (2) water in wetland storage would evaporate at the potential rate, calculated using the Penman equation in equation (10); (3) when the water exceeds the wetland maximum storage capacity (W_{cap}), it will spill out and become the outflow term. This wetland storage scheme operates at the sub-grid scale and uses F_{sat} to determine the inflow of water input from precipitation and snowmelt and contributes to the latent heat flux as a weighted average over all three sub-grid types, similar to the treatment in Pitman (1991). The sensible heat flux is calculated as the residual term from the energy balance equation.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\text{insur}} &= Q_{\text{snowmelt}} + Q_{\text{rain}} (8) \\ Q_{\text{inflow}} &= Q_{\text{insur}} * F_{\text{sat}} (9) \\ Q_{\text{evap}} &= \frac{mR_n + \rho c_p(e)g_a}{\lambda_v(m+\gamma)} (10) \\ \text{LH}_{\text{all}} &= (1 - F_{\text{sat}}) (F_{\text{veg}} (\text{LE}_{g,v} + \text{LE}_v) + (1 - F_{\text{veg}}) \text{LE}_{g,b}) + F_{\text{sat}} * Q_{\text{evap}} \lambda_v (11) \\ Q_{\text{outflow}} &= max (Q_{\text{inflow}} - W_{\text{cap}}, 0) (12) \\ W_{\text{surf}} &= Q_{\text{inflow}} - Q_{\text{evap}} * F_{\text{sat}} - Q_{\text{outflow}} (13) \end{aligned}$$

In many traditional LSM treatments, surface runoff is treated as a drainage term that leaves the grid cell and is lost to the water balance. In our new scheme, the surface runoff from snowmelt and rainfall becomes the inflow to surface water storage (Q_{inflow}). The water in surface wetlands evaporates to the atmosphere at the potential rate, calculated by the Penman equation. The outflow is a result of total water exceeding the maximum water storage (W_{cap}), characterizing the "fill-and-spill" process. Note this surface wetland storage scheme is not connected to other wetland storage or a river network, so that the outflow term will leave the grid point and is lost to the water balance, as parameterized in the default Noah-MP. The change of surface water storage(W_{surf}) is calculated by the net balance of inflow, evaporation, and outflow.

Figure 3 illustrates the difference between the default Noah-MP and the modified surface runoff scheme in this study. The left-hand side shows the default Noah-MP surface runoff scheme based on the TOPMODEL saturation-excess concept. The inflow from rain and snowmelt (Q_{insur}) will be partitioned into infiltration (in the 1- F_{sat} portion), which enters soil moisture, and to surface runoff (in the F_{sat} portion), which eventually leaves the grid cell. The right-hand side shows the two modifications in our study: (1) the modified F_{sat} parameterization based on first layer soil saturation; (2) creating a surface water storage W_{cap} representing surface wetland dynamics. The F_{sat} portion of the inflow will now be collected within the W_{cap} storage and evaporate to the atmosphere with a weighted function. The water amount exceeding the maximum capacity will become the outflow from the wetland (also referred to as the new runoff term, R_{srf}).



Figure 3. Simple diagram demonstrating the modifications in this study, which includes the modification of surface saturated fraction and incorporating a surface wetland storage scheme in the Noah-MP Land Surface Model.

2.6 Simulation design

Three sets of numerical simulations are conducted to study impacts of representing wetlands on the simulated energy and water balance in the Noah-MP LSM, as well as feedback to the regional climate in the coupled WRF system. A summary of these three simulations is in Table 1.

The first set of simulations is a single-point test, driven by observed forcing, in a half-water/half-vegetation fen site in central Saskatchewan. This is to study the impacts of modifying the F_{sat} parameterization and the sensitivity of dynamical storage and its impacts on the energy/water balance.

The second set of simulations is on the regional scale in the PPR, driven by a 4-km WRF regional climate simulation (CONUS WRF, Liu et al., 2017). In this simulation, we constrain the maximum F_{satmx} by satellite observation data (GIEMS) and combine the surface water storage with fine-scale 90-m DEM (MERIT data:http://hydro.iis.utokyo.ac.jp/~yamadai/MERIT_DEM/). The purpose of this offline simulation is to investigate the implementation on a regional scale, with respect to spatial heterogeneity of F_{satmx} and W_{cap} .

The third set is the coupled WRF regional climate simulation for three summers with strong inter-annual variability: 2005 (wet), 2006 (dry), and 2007 (normal). This is to study the impacts of surface wetland dynamics and their feedback to regional climate, in particular under a high-resolution convection-permitting configuration. It is also noteworthy that in the summer of 2006, an intense and prolonged heatwave occurred in the Central U.S. and Southern Canada from mid-July to early August.

Table 1. Summary of the three simulations conducted in this study.

Simulation design	Location	Period	Purpose
Single-point Noah-MP	Fen site, SK	2003/01/01-2010/12/31	Exam the sensitivity of $F_{\rm sat}$ formula
Offline regional Noah-MP	PPR region	2000/10/01-2013/10/01	Incorporate spatially varied F_{sature}
Coupled regional WRF	PPR region	2005-2007, three summers from Apr to Aug	Conduct coupled WRF-NoahMP-V

3 Results

3.1 Implementation and sensitivity tests on a single-point LSM

We first performed a single-point LSM simulation in the fen site in North Saskatchewan. Two modifications were tested: first, the modified F_{sat} formula and, second, a sensitivity test for surface water dynamics with various storage capacities. Figure 4 shows the F_{sat} parameter, energy and water balance in the fen site simulated by Noah-MP. In Figure 4a, the default F_{sat} formula using the exponential function of the water table depth fails to represent the large magnitude and strong seasonal variation, as shown by the GIEMS

data. The modified formula using the first layer of soil moisture improves both the magnitude and seasonal cycle of the F_{sat} parameter. This larger F_{sat} effectively changes the surface water partitioning, by increasing the surface runoff, which leaves the grid point water balance, and reducing the infiltration to soil moisture, which further reduces ET and underground runoff (Figure 4b). Furthermore, the increased F_{sat} reduces latent heat fluxes and enhances sensible heat fluxes from March to September, with the strongest decrease in July (Figure 4c).

The surface wetland scheme (Section 2.4) collects the increased surface runoff in wetland storage and allows evaporation to the atmosphere. The scheme's contribution to surface water and energy balance depends on its storage capacity. Figure 4d shows the sensitivity of water storage in a wetland with four different capacities (WS=0, 5, 50 and 500 mm). When wetland storage = 5 mm ("WS=5"), the wetland water would be depleted during the summer, while with larger capacity (WS=50 or 500), the water storage from the previous year can be sustained through dry seasons. Greater water-holding capacity allows greater contribution to evaporation and reduces surface runoff (Figure 4e). The changing storage capacity has little impact on underground runoff. Moreover, greater storage capacity allows greater latent heat flux and less sensible heat flux. The effect threshold lies between WS=5 and WS=50, as water may be dried in smaller capacities, while the contribution is similar between WS=50 and WS=500.



Figure 4. Single-point simulation of F_{sat} modification (a-c) and incorporation of dynamic wetland storage (d-f) in a fen site in central Saskatchewan: (a) surface saturated fraction from default (DEF) and modified (MOD) formula and GIEMS inundation extent, (b) surface water balance in ET, surface and underground runoff, (c) surface energy balance in sensible and latent heat fluxes; (d) water level change with variable levels of wetland storage, (e) surface water balance in ET, surface and underground runoff, (f) surface energy balance in sensible and latent heat fluxes.

3.2 Regional-scale land model simulation constrained by spatially varied parameters

To simulate wetland dynamics at regional scales, it is essential to constrain two spatially varied parameters, F_{satmx} and the storage capacity, W_{cap} , as they are critical to wetland energy and water balance shown in the last section. Figure 5 shows the spatial map of F_{satmx} and W_{cap} in the PPR. Here, F_{satmx} is derived from the GIEMS inundation fraction to represent the sub-grid maximum saturation, and W_{cap} is derived from the MERIT 90-m DEM and aggregated to a 4-km resolution grid (same resolution as in CONUS WRF meteorological forcing).

$$W_{\rm cap} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min(\left(H_i - H\right), 0)(14)$$

 H_i represents the 90-m elevation and H is the mean elevation for a 4-km grid, such that W_{cap} represents the collective topographical variation in the depressional area from 90-m DEM and aggregated into the 4-km grid.





Figure 5. Map of maximum saturation (F_{satmx}) and wetland storage capacity (W_{cap}) in the Prairie Pothole Region, derived from the Global Inundation Extent from Multiple Satellites (GIEMS) product and MERIT 90-m DEM, respectively.

The high F_{satmx} regions are located in the Northeast part of the domain, near Lake Winnipeg in Manitoba and the Red River Valley. These regions also correspond with the low W_{cap} regions.

Two 13-year offline Noah-MP simulations were conducted: one with the default setting and one with the new wetland scheme. The 13-year average surface water balance (surface runoff and ET) and energy balance (sensible heat and latent heat) are shown in Figure 6. Figure 6a shows substantial wetland water storage availability – more than 200 mm average over the summer months, in the north domain and in the south-eastern PPR in the Red River valley. In the central and western PPR, wetland storage is generally less than 100 mm, with some deeper storage in large water bodies surrounding lakes and rivers.

The surface water and energy balance in the PPR are greatly altered by the presence of surface wetlands and the differences between WS and DEF simulation follow their spatial distribution. The presence of surface wetlands generally holds inflow water from rain and snowmelt, reducing surface runoff while increasing evaporation by about $100^{2}00$ mm in summer months. The water in the saturated fraction of the grid cell creates an open water surface, reducing (increasing) the sensible (latent) heat fluxes by about $0^{5}0$ W/m2 in summer months. These impacts on surface energy and water compensate for each other, and the presence of open water storage may induce potential feedback to the atmosphere through land-atmosphere interactions, which we will discuss in next section.



Figure 6. 13-year summertime (MJJA) mean wetland storage level (a); and difference between wetland storage (WS) and default (DEF) simulations in surface runoff (b), evapotranspiration (ET, c), sensible heat flux (d), and latent heat flux (e).

3.3 Regional climate simulation with coupled wetland dynamics

To study the feedback from wetlands to regional climate, we performed two coupled WRF-wetland simulations for the summers of 2005, 2006, and 2007. The first, default (DEF) simulation includes the shallow groundwater scheme from Miguez-Macho et al. (2007). The second simulation (WS simulation) incorporates the wetland scheme upon the shallow groundwater scheme. These simulations start from April and run through August, with the first month as the spin-up period. Our analysis focuses on the temperature and precipitation from May to August for these three years, especially in 2006 when an intense summer heatwave occurred from mid-July to early August in the Central U.S. and Southern Canada.

Figure 7 shows the monthly temperature from station observation, model biases from two simulations, and the cooling effect induced by the WS scheme in 2006. It is clear that a warm bias exists in the southern part of the domain, ranging from 2 in the Central U.S. to 1 in the Western Canadian Prairies. This warm bias is particularly getting stronger in July and August. The WS simulation shows a significant cooling effect in the Northeast portion of the domain, where the saturated fraction is high. The cooling in temperature ranges from less than 1 in May to about 1^2 in July. This cooling signal is evident in high-Fsat regions in the domain in all three-year simulations.



Figure 7. Monthly temperature from station observation, temperature biases from default (DEF) and wetland scheme (WS) simulations, and the cooling effect induced by the WS in the summer (May-August) for three-year simulations.

In the summer of 2006, a record-breaking heatwave hit the major part of the U.S. and Southern Canada. The extreme heat conditions can be represented by the number of "hot days" during the summer, with the daily maximum temperature exceeding the 90th percentile of the 30-year climatology. We summed the number of hot days from May to August in 2006 from two simulations and the results are shown in Figure 8. Through these four months, the hottest region is in the southeast of the domain in Nebraska, Iowa, Kansas and Missouri – with more than 40 hot days – while in the Northern Great Plains and Canadian Prairies, the hot days are about 10~20 days. The WS simulation shows that wetlands could effectively reduce the number of hot days by about 10 days in the entire domain. Two regions receive greater impacts from wetlands, including southern Manitoba and the area between Nebraska and Iowa. This result manifests the important role of wetlands in mitigating climate change, especially in extreme heat events.



Figure 8. Number of hot days in default (DEF) and wetland scheme (WS) simulations and the reduction in hot days from WS to DEF.

Figure 9 shows the wetland cooling effect on temperature versus its maximum saturated fraction for the domain. The F_{satmx} parameter generally corresponds to highly saturated regions with high water availability. The cooling effect from wetlands is evident for almost every month in the simulation period and is stronger in June and July than in May and August. The strongest effect is shown in 2005 July of almost 1.5 cooler. There exists a linear relationship between F_{satmx} and TEMP, especially in 2005 and 2007 — the larger the F_{satmx} , the stronger the cooling effect is. This pattern is not as clear in 2006, indicating that the wetland cooling effect relies on the available water input from precipitation: under normal and wet conditions, the greater the F_{satmx} , the more water can be stored in wetland storage, the more surface energy partitioned into latent heat, hence the stronger the cooling effect. However, in the 2006 dry conditions, there is not sufficient precipitation to fill these wetlands, limiting the wetlands' cooling effects and evaporation, regardless of F_{satmx} values. This wetland cooling effect is analogous to the classic soil moisture-temperature feedback in land-atmosphere interactions (Senviratnes et al., 2010; Perkins, 2015).



Figure 9. Scatter plot of TEMP (DEF-WS,) against the F_{satmx} , maximum saturated fraction in grid cell, from three-year summer monthly data.

Compared to the uniform cooling effect on temperature, the feedback of wetlands to regional precipitation

is more ambiguous. Figure 10 shows the monthly precipitation from observation, model biases from two simulations and their difference in three-year summers. The precipitation bias corresponds well with the temperature bias in Figure 7, with a significant dry bias in the southeast part of the domain while the precipitation is not as obvious in the Canadian Prairies. The WS simulations show little difference in precipitation from the DEF simulation and the signals of changes are almost random. This patchy precipitation signal is shown in all three-year simulations and for the accumulated period of four months.



Figure 10. Monthly precipitation from station observations, precipitation biases from the default (DEF) and wetland scheme (WS) simulations, and the precipitation difference between WS and DEF simulations in the summer (May-August) for three-year simulations.

4 Discussion

LSMs and coupled ESMs, reasonable representations of wetland spatial extents and dynamic water storage are challenging in light of data scarcity, coarse model resolution, and insufficient understanding of the physical processes (Ringeval et al., 2012). However, because wetland extents play a key role in landatmosphere interactions and carbon feedback to the climate system, researchers have long been interested in estimating wetland extents in hydrology-climate simulations from global to regional scales. For example, the WETCHIMP project gathered 10 participating GCMs for simulating global wetland extents and their CH₄ emissions (Wania et al., 2013; Melton et al., 2013). Many of these GCMs used prescribed wetland maps from global surveys or remote sensing products, such as the Global Lake and Wetland Database (Lehner and Döll, 2004) and GIEMS (Prigent et al., 2007), or used the TOPMODEL-based F_{sat} to simulate a subgrid "saturated" fraction to represent wetlands extents.

Although the TOPMODEL method can simulate some spatial heterogeneity and temporal dynamics of wetland extent, it generally underestimates both the maximum value and the seasonal variability. As we showed in Section 2.1, the TOPMODEL-based method in Noah-MP simulates a much lower $F_{\rm sat}$ value than the highly dynamic GIEMS product. Here we provide two possible reasons for the discrepancy between TOPMODEL method requires "steady state" precipitation and soil moisture heterogeneity, which is more likely in wet, relatively shallow soils on moderate slopes (Beven and Kirkby, 1979; Kirkby et al., 2021). However, this is not the case in the Prairie Pothole Region, where the climate is usually semi-arid and the large-scale topography is flat with small-scale variation. (2) Another possible reason for this discrepancy is that the TOPMODEL method calculates a critical topographic index value when the local water table is at the surface; this value is used to determine the $F_{\rm sat}$ fraction through the integration of its probability distribution function. However, in the PPR, frozen soils in wintertime prevent interaction between the soil moisture and groundwater (Ireson et al., 2013). Therefore, in the TOPMODEL method, the exponential function will simulate less seasonal variation in the surface water dynamics. A large portion of global wetlands and peatlands are located in high latitude regions where winter soil freezing is very common.

In our modification of the F_{sat} formulation, we used the first layer of soil saturation to indicate the sub-grid spatial extent of the saturated portion – the extent of wetlands. This method empirically assumes the grid cell mean soil moisture saturation can be translated into a spatial fraction for surface saturation and shows a highly variable F_{sat} value compared to the default TOPMODEL method, in terms of the maximum and minimum extent, and seasonality (Section 3.1). Moreover, we also incorporate a spatially varied maximum F_{satmx} map from the GIEMS product to replace the default global mean value (0.38) in Noah-MP and WRF. Both these modifications improve the spatial heterogeneity and the temporal dynamics of wetland extents in the PPR.

Additionally, we incorporated a dynamic surface water storage scheme to simulate the hydrological processes in wetlands. Although this scheme is simple, we aim to capture three important processes – the filling of wetlands by snowmelt and rainfall, the evaporation of wetland water into the atmosphere, and the excess water spilling to surface runoff. These three processes are the key components in the wetland water and energy cycle during the warm season open-water period. Our results showed increase of ET with a decrease of surface runoff and an increase of latent heat with decreases of sensible heat. This finding aligns with our expectations, as well as with previous VIC model wetland and lake simulations in the U.S. Midwest region (Mishra and Cherkauer et al., 2010).

Moreover, our scheme provides greater potential to explore wetlands' feedback to the atmosphere in coupled WRF-NoahMP-Wetland simulation. In the default simulation, which already includes the MMF groundwater scheme (Barlage et al., 2015, 2021), warm biases still exist at about 1~3 degrees in the U.S. Great Plains. Without the groundwater scheme, the summertime warm biases could be as high as 4~6 degrees. By adding the wetland scheme on top of the MMF groundwater scheme, the warm biases in the U.S. can be further reduced by 0.5~1.5 degrees, but it also introduces 1-degree cool biases in Southern Manitoba, where wetland extents are large. While the temperature cooling effect is evident, wetland feedback to precipitation is less clear and is more ambiguous. A previous study using WRF with a prescribed soil moisture threshold to indicate wetlands in the Great Plains at coarser resolution (12-km) also showed a temperature cooling effect, but the precipitation effect was negligible (Capehart et al., 2012).

One of the highlights of this study is the wetland cooling effect to the atmospheric temperature. Previous studies have documented this effect in detail, but they have been specific to different wetland characteristics and dominant vegetation types (Pitman, 1991; Bonan, 1995). In our study, we used general open-water storage to characterize wetland interactions with the atmosphere, omitting these variations in specific wetland types but gaining more generic conclusions in a much larger region. The wetland cooling effect on temperature, especially during extreme heatwave events, echoes a previous study in the Central U.S. where we found land surface characteristics could effectively reduce the frequency, intensity, and duration of extreme

heatwaves (Zhang et al., 2018). However, more pronounced cooling occur in non-heatwave years (2005 and 2007) than in 2006, because the cooling effect also depends on water availability, hence, cannot be too dry.

In recent years, the tradeoffs between agriculture and wetland conservation has been a serious topic of discussion among the public, universities, and government agencies. It has been shown that the agricultural land expansion at the cost of wetland drainage increases the risk of emerging flooding in springtime (Dumanski et al., 2015; Pattison-Williams et al., 2018). Wetland drainage also results in increased nutrient export (Badiou et al., 2018; Wilson et al., 2019) and carbon release to the atmosphere (Badiou et al., 2011). This study suggests that the loss of wetlands for croplands also reduces resilience to drought and high temperature, which may cause crop failures due to water and heat stress (Hatifield, 2016).

However, the loss of wetlands to agricultural, industrial and residential land is not confined to the PPR but are common problems worldwide and require humans' attention (The Rasmar Convention 2007; Nature Geoscience, 2021). These land use modifications not only threaten the local environment but also contribute to the global carbon balance and eventually cause problems for human beings. Understanding the effects of development is challenging. It is hoped that these threats to the future can inspire future studies on wetlands for their hydrological, climatic, ecological, environmental functions and that solutions can be found for humans to interact with nature peacefully and sustainably.

5 Conclusions

Wetlands play a crucial role in Earth systems for their climaticand hydrologicalfunctions. However, reasonably representing the spatial extent and dynamics of small-scale wetlands has been challenging to LSMs and coupled ESMs. This is particularly important and urgent in the PPR as the wetlands are critical to the region's ecology and the hydrological conditions are complex. In this research, we developed a wetland scheme with two modifications to represent wetland dynamics in the Noah-MP LSM. One is modifying the sub-grid saturation fraction to indicate the spatial wetland extent based on grid cell soil moisture. Another is incorporating a dynamic surface water storage scheme to represent the hydrological processes in wetlands. This new wetland scheme is incorporated in single-point, offline regional simulation, and coupled WRF simulation in the PPR.

The single-point simulation showed that the modified sub-grid F_{sat} using the first layer soil saturation reasonably mimics the magnitude and seasonality of surface saturation condition in the PPR, compared to the default TOPMODEL-based formula. The modified increased F_{sat} formula partitions more water to surface runoff than infiltration to soil moisture. The enhanced surface inflow is then collected by the surface storage, mimicking the capacity of wetland depressions, with water exceeding the capacity as fill and spill outflow. The single-point simulation also shows that the wetland modification of surface energy and water balance depends on its maximum capacity. For shallow-storage wetlands, both spring inflow and summer evaporation demand would exceed their maximum capacity, limiting their function in energy and water exchange with the atmosphere.

In the offline regional simulation in the PPR, two spatially varied parameters are incorporated, the maximum F_{satmx} fraction and maximum storage capacity W_{cap} . The results show that the model-simulated wetlands are located in the Northeast portion of the PPR domain, where F_{satmx} is high but maximum capacity is shallow. By incorporating the wetland scheme, the summertime evaporation and latent heat fluxes are evidently increased, with decreasing surface runoff and sensible heat fluxes.

Finally, we examine the wetlands' feedback to regional temperature and precipitation in the coupled WRF-NoahMP-Wetland model. A cooling effect, induced by the presence of wetlands, is evident in all three years' summer for about 0.5¹ in a dry year (2006) and 0.7^{1.5} in a wet year (2005), occurring where the wetland fraction is high. This cooling is the result of wetlands altering energy balance partitioning, increasing latent heat fluxes while reducing sensible heat fluxes. The cooling effect is strongest in July and weakest in May, consistent with the theory of evaporation being energy limited in early summer but transitioning to water limited in mid-summer. In the summer of 2006, when an extreme heatwave hit the Central U.S. and Southern Canada, the presence of wetlands could profoundly reduce the number of extreme hot days by more than

10 during the summer period, effectively reducing the heat stress to human comfort. On the other hand, wetland scheme impacts on regional precipitation do not manifest in an obvious spatial pattern, including both positive and negative effects on precipitation.

Our results show that the presence of wetlands could be beneficial to many sectors by regulating surface runoff during flooding and cooling atmospheric temperatures during heatwaves. These highlights should inspire future studies to understand wetlands' value in regional environments and the Earth system, especially those that have been neglected at the cost of human expansion.

Acknowledgments

Z. Zhang, Z. Li and Y. Li acknowledge the financial support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery Grant, and Global Water Futures Program, Canada First Research Excellence Fund and Global Institute for Water Security (GIWS). Z. Zhang was funded by a Mitacs Accelerate Fellowship funded by Ducks Unlimited Canada's Institute for Wetland and Waterfowl Research. This project was supported by grants from Wildlife Habitat Canada, Bass Pro Shops Cabela's Outdoor Fund, and the Alberta NAWMP Partnership.

Data Availability Statement

The CONUS WRF simulation over the contiguous US (Liu et al., 2017) can be accessed at https://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds612.0/TS1. The simulations data in this study, including the single-point, offline, and coupled WRF simulation for the Prairie Pothole Region can be accessed in a FAIR compliant repository at osf.io:

https://osf.io/nckxy/?view_only=3fa18c1a466a46f1a414ecdaa0c24d67.

The Noah-MP model is driven by the NCAR high-resolution land data assimilation system (Chen et al., 2007) and can be downloaded from https://github.com/NCAR/hrldas/. The Noah-MP LSM can be accessed from https://github.com/NCAR/noahmp.

We appreciate Dr. Catherine Prigent for her help and support in this study and providing the GIEMS data for surface water inundation. The GIEMS data can be accessed in https://lerma.obspm.fr/spip.php?article91&lang=fr.

References

Badiou, P., McDougal, R., Pennock, D., & Clark, B. (2011). Greenhouse gas emissions and carbon sequestration potential in restored wetlands of the Canadian prairie pothole region. Wetlands Ecology and Management, 19(3), 237–256. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11273-011-9214-6

Badiou, P., Page, B., & Akinremi, W. (2018). Phosphorus Retention in Intact and Drained Prairie Wetland Basins: Implications for Nutrient Export. Journal of Environmental Quality, 47(4), 902–913. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2017.08.0336

Barlage, M., Tewari, M., Chen, F., Miguez-Macho, G., Yang, Z. L., & Niu, G. Y. (2015). The effect of groundwater interaction in North American regional climate simulations with WRF/Noah-MP. Climatic Change, 129(3–4), 485–498. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-014-1308-8

Barlage, M., Chen, F., Rasmussen, R., Zhang, Z. & Miguez-Macho, G. The importance of scale-dependent groundwater processes in land-atmosphere interactions over the central United States. Geophys. Res. Lett. (2021) doi:10.1029/2020GL092171.

Bechtold, M., De Lannoy, G. J. M., Koster, R. D., Reichle, R. H., Mahanama, S. P., Bleuten, W., Bourgault, M. A., Brümmer, C., Burdun, I., Desai, A. R., Devito, K., Grünwald, T., Grygoruk, M., Humphreys, E. R., Klatt, J., Kurbatova, J., Lohila, A., Munir, T. M., Nilsson, M. B., ... Tiemeyer, B. (2019). PEAT-CLSM: A Specific Treatment of Peatland Hydrology in the NASA Catchment Land Surface Model. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(7), 2130–2162. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001574

Beven, K. J., & Kirkby, M. J. (1979). A physically based, variable contributing area model of basin hydrology / Un modèle à base physique de zone d'appel variable de l'hydrologie du bassin versant. Hydrological Sciences Bulletin, 24(1), 43–69.https://doi.org/10.1080/026266667909491834

Beven, K. (1997). TOPMODEL: A critique. Hydrological Processes, 11(9), 1069–1085. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(199707)11:9<1069::AID-HYP545>3.0.CO;2-O

Beven, K. J., Kirkby, M. J., Freer, J. E. & Lamb, R. A history of TOPMODEL. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 25, 527–549 (2021).

Bonan, G. B. (1995). Sensitivity of a GCM Simulation to Inclusion of Inland Water Surfaces. Journal of Climate, 8(11), 2691–2704. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1995)008<2691:SOAGST>2.0.CO;2

Bowling, L. C., & Lettenmaier, D. P. (2010). Modeling the effects of lakes and wetlands on the water balance of arctic environments. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 11(2), 276–295. https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JHM1084.1

Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., ... Vitart, F. (2011). The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 137(656), 553–597. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.828

Dumanski, S., Pomeroy, J. W., & Westbrook, C. J. (2015). Hydrological regime changes in a Canadian Prairie basin. Hydrological Processes, 29(18), 3893–3904. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10567

Fang, X., & Pomeroy, J. (2020). Diagnosis of future changes in hydrology for a Canadian Rocky Mountain headwater basin. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions, 1–40. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2019-640

Famiglietti, J. S. & Wood, E. F. (1991). Evapotranspiration and Runoff from Large Land Areas: Land Surface Hydrology for Atmospheric General Circulation Models, Surveys in Geophysics, 12, 179-204.

Famiglietti, J. S. & Wood, E. F. Multiscale modeling of spatially variable water and energy balance processes. Water Resour. Res. 30, 3061–3078 (1994a).

Famiglietti, J. S. & Wood, E. F. Application of multiscale water and energy balance models on a tallgrass prairie. Water Resour. Res. 30, 3079–3093 (1994b).

Gardner, Royal C. and Connolly, Kim Diana, The Ramsar Convention on Wetlands: Assessment of International Designations Within the United States (2007). Environmental Law Review, 37, 1089, Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=983546

Gedney, N., & Cox, P. M. (2003). The Sensitivity of Global Climate Model Simulations to the Representation of Soil Moisture Heterogeneity. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 4(6), 1265–1275.https://doi.org/10.1175/1525-7541(2003)004<1265:TSOGCM>2.0.CO;2

Hatfield, J. L. (2016). Increased Temperatures Have Dramatic Effects on Growth and Grain Yield of Three Maize Hybrids. Agricultural & Environmental Letters, 1(1), 150006. https://doi.org/10.2134/ael2015.10.0006

Hayashi, M., van der Kamp, G., & Rosenberry, D. O. (2016). Hydrology of Prairie Wetlands: Understanding the Integrated Surface-Water and Groundwater Processes. Wetlands, 36, 237– 254.https://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-016-0797-9

Hostetler, S. W., Bates, G. T., & Giorgi, F. (1993). Interactive coupling of a lake thermal model with a regional climate model. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 98(D3), 5045–5057.https://doi.org/10.1029/92JD02843

Ireson, A. M., van der Kamp, G., Ferguson, G., Nachshon, U. & Wheater, H. S. Hydrogeological processes in seasonally frozen northern latitudes: understanding, gaps and challenges. Hydrogeol. J. 21, 53–66 (2013).

Ireson, A. M. et al. The changing water cycle: the Boreal Plains ecozone of Western Canada. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Water 2, 505–521 (2015).

Koster, R. D., Suarez, M. J., Ducharne, A., Stieglitz, M., & Kumar, P. (2000). A catchment-based approach to modeling land surface processes in a general circulation model: 1. Model structure. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 105(D20), 24809–24822. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900327

Lehner, B., & Döll, P. (2004). Development and validation of a global database of lakes, reservoirs and wetlands. Journal of Hydrology, 296(1–4), 1–22.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.03.028

Liang, X., Lettenmaier, D. P., Wood, E. F., & Burges, S. J. (1994). A simple hydrologically based model of land surface water and energy fluxes for general circulation models. Journal of Geophysical Research, 99(D7), 14415. https://doi.org/10.1029/94JD00483

Liu, C. et al. Continental-scale convection-permitting modeling of the current and future climate of North America. Clim. Dyn. 49, 71–95 (2017).

Melton, J. R., Wania, R., Hodson, E. L., Poulter, B., Ringeval, B., Spahni, R., et al. (2013). Present state of global wetland extent and wetland methane modelling: conclusions from a model inter-comparison project (WETCHIMP). Biogeosciences, 10(2), 753–788. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-753-2013

Miguez-Macho, G., Fan, Y., Weaver, C. P., Walko, R. & Robock, A. Incorporating water table dynamics in climate modeling: 2. Formulation, validation, and soil moisture simulation. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 112, 1–16 (2007).

Mishra, V., Cherkauer, K. A., & Bowling, L. C. (2010). Parameterization of lakes and wetlands for energy and water balance studies in the great lakes region. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 11(5), 1057–1082. https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JHM1207.1

Mitra, S., Wassmann, R., Vlek, P. L. G. (2005) An appraisal of global wetland area and its organic carbon stock. Curr Sci 88:25–35

Mitsch WJ, Gosselink JG (2007) Wetlands, 4th edn. Wiley, Hoboken

Valuing wetlands. (2021). Nature Geoscience, 14(3), 111-111. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00713-4

Niu, G.-Y., Yang, Z.-L., Dickinson, R. E., & Gulden, L. E. (2005). A simple TOPMODEL-based runoff parameterization (SIMTOP) for use in global climate models. Journal of Geophysical Research, 110(D21), D21106. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006111

Niu, G.-Y., Yang, Z.-L., Mitchell, K. E., Chen, F., Ek, M. B., Barlage, M., et al. (2011). The community Noah land surface model with multiparameterization options (Noah-MP): 1. Model description and evaluation with local-scale measurements. Journal of Geophysical Research, 116(D12), D12109. https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD015139

Oleson, K. W., Niu, G.-Y., Yang, Z.-L., Lawrence, D. M., Thornton, P. E., Lawrence, P. J., Stöckli, R., Dickinson, R. E., Bonan, G. B., Levis, S., Dai, A., & Qian, T. (2008). Improvements to the Community Land Model and their impact on the hydrological cycle. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 113(G1), n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JG000563

Pattison-Williams, J. K., Pomeroy, J. W., Badiou, P., & Gabor, S. (2018). Wetlands, Flood Control and Ecosystem Services in the Smith Creek Drainage Basin: A Case Study in Saskatchewan, Canada. Ecological Economics, 147, 36–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.12.026

Perkins, S. E. (2015). A review on the scientific understanding of heatwaves-Their measurement, driving mechanisms, and changes at the global scale. Atmospheric Research, 164–165, 242–267.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2015.05.014

Pitman, A. (1991). A simple parameterization of sub-grid scale open water for climate models. Climate Dynamics, 6(2), 99–112. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00209983

Prigent, C., Matthews, E., Aires, F., and Rossow, W. B. (2001). Remote sensing of global wetland dynamics with multiple satellite data sets, Geo. Res. Lett., 28, 4631-4634

Prigent, C., Papa, F., Aires, F., Rossow, W. B., & Matthews, E. (2007). Global inundation dynamics inferred from multiple satellite observations, 1993–2000. Journal of Geophysical Research, 112(D12), D12107. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007847

Prigent, C., Papa, F., Aires, F., Jimenez, C., Rossow, W. B., & Matthews, E. (2012). Changes in land surface water dynamics since the 1990s and relation to population pressure. Geophysical Research Letters, 39(8), n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051276

Ringeval, B., Decharme, B., Piao, S. L., Ciais, P., Papa, F., de Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Prigent, C., Friedlingstein, P., Gouttevin, I., Koven, C., & Ducharne, A. (2012). Modelling sub-grid wetland in the ORCHIDEE global land surface model: evaluation against river discharges and remotely sensed data. Geoscientific Model Development, 5(4), 941–962. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-941-2012

Sivapalan, M., Beven, K., & Wood, E. F. (1987). On hydrologic similarity: 2. A scaled model of storm runoff production. Water Resources Research, 23(12), 2266–2278. https://doi.org/10.1029/WR023i012p02266

Stieglitz, M., D. Rind, J. Famiglietti & C. Rosenzwieg (1997), An Efficient Approach to Modeling the Topographic Control of Surface Hydrology for Regional and Global Climate Modeling, J. Clim., 10, 118-137.

van der Kamp, G., & Hayashi, M. (2009). Groundwater-wetland ecosystem interaction in the semiarid glaciated plains of North America. Hydrogeology Journal, 17(1), 203–214. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-008-0367-1

Wania, R., Melton, J. R., Hodson, E. L., Poulter, B., Ringeval, B., Spahni, R., et al. (2013). Present state of global wetland extent and wetland methane modelling: methodology of a model inter-comparison project (WETCHIMP). Geoscientific Model Development, 6(3), 617–641.https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-6-617-2013

Zhang, Z., Li, Y., Chen, F., Barlage, M. & Li, Z. Evaluation of convection-permitting WRF CONUS simulation on the relationship between soil moisture and heatwaves. *Clim. Dyn.* (2018) doi:10.1007/s00382-018-4508-5.

Zhang, Z., Li, Y., Barlage, M., Chen, F., Miguez-Macho, G., Ireson, A., & Li, Z. (2020). Modeling groundwater responses to climate change in the Prairie Pothole Region. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 24(2), 655–672. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-655-2020

Figures and Tables





Figure 1. Spatial distribution of surface water extent from GIEMS (top) and Noah-MP modeled F_{sat} (bottom), on the maximum, minimum and mean extent.



Figure 2. Temporal evolution of the inundation fraction from GIEMS and modelled F_{sat} in the PPR region.



Figure 3. Simple diagram demonstrating the modifications in this study, which includes the modification of surface saturated fraction and the incorporation of a surface wetland storage scheme in Noah-MP LSM.



Figure 4. Single-point simulation of F_{sat} modification (a-c) and incorporating dynamic wetland storage (d-f) in Fen site in central Saskatchewan: (a) surface saturated fraction from default and modified formula and GIEMS inundation extent, (b) surface water balance in ET, surface and underground runoff, (c) surface energy balance in sensible and latent heat fluxes; (d) water level change in wetland storage, (e) surface water balance in ET, surface and underground runoff, (f) surface energy balance in sensible and latent heat fluxes.



Figure 5. Spatial map of F_{satmx} and W_{cap} in the PPR region, derived from GIEMS product and MERIT DEM, respectively.



Figure 6. 13-year summertime (MJJA) mean wetland storage level (a); and difference between WS and DEF simulations in surface runoff (b), evapotranspiration (ET, c), sensible heat flux (d), and latent heat flux (e).



Figure 7. Monthly temperature from station observation, temperature biases from two simulations, and the cooling effect induced by WS in the summer for three-year simulations.



Figure 8. Number of hot days in two simulations and the reduction in hot days from WS to DEF.



Figure 9. Scatter plot of TEMP (DEF-WS,) against the F_{satmx} , maximum saturated fraction in grid cell, from three-year summer monthly data.



Figure 10. Monthly precipitation from station observation, precipitation biases from two simulations, and the precipitation difference between WS and DEF simulations in the summer for three-year simulations.

Table 1. Summary of the three simulations conducted in this study.

Simulation design	Location	Period	Purpose
Single-point Noah-MP	Fen site, SK	20030101-20101231	Exam the sensitivity of $F_{\rm sat}$ formula
Offline regional Noah-MP	PPR region	20001001-20131001	Incorporate spatially varied F_{sature}
Coupled regional WRF	PPR region	2005-2007, three summers from Apr to Aug	Conduct coupled WRF-NoahMP-

Evident cooling effects of wetlands to mitigate climate change – a study of the Prairie
 Pothole Region

3

Z. Zhang^{1,2}, F. Chen³, M. Barlage³, L.E. Bortolotti^{1,4}, J. Famiglietti^{1,2}, Z. Li², X. Ma^{1,2}, Y. Li^{1,2*}

6

- ⁷ ¹ School of Environment and Sustainablity, University of Saskatchewan, SK, Canada
- ⁸ ² Global Institute for Water Security, University of Saskatchewan, SK, Canada
- ⁹ ³ Research Application Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO,
- 10 USA
- ⁴ Institute for Wetland and Waterfowl Research, Ducks Unlimited Canada, Stonewall, MB,
- 12 Canada

13

- 14 Corresponding author: Dr. Yanping Li (<u>yanping.li@usask.ca</u>)
- 15

16 Key Points:

- An updated parameterization for wetlands reasonably captures spatial extent and seasonal
 variation in the Prairie Pothole Region.
- Implementing this wetland parameterization in Noah-MP LSM shows strong impacts on surface energy and water budget.
- Wetlands' effects on regional climate is strong and evident, especially in cooling summer temperatures, greatly mitigating heat stress from heatwaves.

23 Abstract

Wetlands are an important land type - they provide vital ecosystem services such as regulating 24 floods, storing carbon, and providing wildlife habitat. The ability to simulate their spatial extent 25 and hydrological processes is important for valuing wetlands' function. The purpose of this study 26 is to dynamically simulate wetlands' hydrological processes and their feedback to regional climate 27 28 in the Prairie Pothole Region (PPR) of North America, where a large number of wetlands exist. In this study, we incorporated a wetland scheme into the Noah-MP Land Surface Model with two 29 major modifications: (1) modifying the sub-grid saturation fraction for spatial wetland extent; (2) 30 incorporating a dynamic water storage to simulate hydrological processes. This scheme was tested 31 at a fen site in central Saskatchewan, Canada and applied regionally in the PPR with 13-year 32 climate forcing produced by a high-resolution convection-permitting model. The differences 33 34 between wetland and no-wetland simulations are significant, with increasing latent heat and evapotranspiration while decreasing sensible heat and runoff. Finally, the dynamic wetland scheme 35 was tested using the coupled WRF model, showing an evident cooling effect of 1~3°C in summer 36 where wetlands are abundant. In particular, the wetland simulation shows reduction in the number 37 of hot days for more than 10 days over the summer of 2006, when a long-lasting heatwave 38 occurred. This research has great implications for land surface/regional climate modeling, as well 39 as wetland conservation, for valuing wetlands in providing a moisture source and mitigating 40 41 extreme heatwaves, especially under climate change.

42

43 Plain Language Summary

A large number of wetlands exist in the Prairie Pothole Region (PPR) across U.S. and Canada. 44 These wetlands are important to our environment as they can provide flood control and cool the 45 temperature, but they are poorly represented in previous land surface model studies. In this study, 46 we updated a dynamic wetland module in the Noah-MP land surface model to reasonably estimate 47 wetland extent and seasonal variation in the PPR. This wetland module shows significant impacts 48 to the surface energy and water balance and, hence, regional temperature. The results show that 49 wetland features would effectively cool the air temperature $1 \sim 3$ °C in summer, especially for 50 regions with high wetland coverage. The implication of this study is very useful for wetland 51 conservation agencies and climate scientists, as this cooling effect could potentially mitigate heat 52 stress under climate change. 53

55 **1 Introduction**

56 Wetlands are important and unique ecosystems that play vital roles in Earth's ecosystem balance

and biodiversity. Although wetlands occupy a small portion of the global land surface (~6%), they store about one third of terrestrial carbon (Lehner and Döll, 2004; Mitra et al., 2005; Mitsch and

- 59 Gosselink, 2007). Moreover, due to their unique productivity, wetlands support a wide variety of
- 60 plants, birds, and amphibians, and are areas of high biodiversity (The Ramsar Convention, 2007).
- 61 Wetlands are natural reservoirs to prevent flooding, especially in high latitude and mountainous 62 regions (Hayashi et al., 2016; Pattison-Williams et al., 2018). After springtime snowmelt or heavy
- rainfall, surface runoff can be stored in wetlands, effectively reducing the peak flow and delaying
 the peak time of flooding, hence, mitigating flooding impacts. From a climate regulation
- 65 perspective, the presence of surface water and the moisture of wetland soils can effectively store
- surface energy and favor energy partitioning to latent heat flux over sensible heat. Specifically, greater partitioning of latent heat flux over sensible heat flux in wetland water bodies decreases

67 greater partitioning of latent heat flux over sensible heat flux in wetland water bodies decreases 68 summer temperature (Bonan, 1995) and reduces daily air temperature variability (Hostetler et al.

69 1993). This land-atmosphere interaction is analogous to the soil moisture-temperature feedback

(Seneviratnes et al., 2010), inducing a cooling effect to surrounding environments.

The North American Prairie Pothole Region (PPR contains millions of small wetlands, known as 71 "potholes", due to its unique geology, hydrology, and climate conditions. The retreat of continental 72 ice sheets over 11, 000 years ago left glacial deposition upon the landscape, forming millions of 73 depressions. These depressions are isolated from large river networks and are poorly hydraulically 74 75 connected. The cold winters allow snow to accumulate over cold seasons, and springtime runoff and seasonal rainfall are major water inputs to these wetlands. Over the warm season, evaporation 76 77 exceeds precipitation, drying surface water and exposing the underlying soils. The persistence and 78 storage of wetland ponds depend on receiving seasonal rainfall and connection with shallow 79 groundwater. Under extremely wet conditions, strong rainfall or sudden snowmelt increases the water level of wetlands, exceeding the maximum capacity. Several filled wetlands will spill water 80 81 to other surrounding wetlands, a "fill-and-spill" process, and form a largely connected wetland complex (van der Kamp and Hayashi, 2009; Mekonnen et al., 2014; Vanderhoof et al., 2018). 82 These complex interactions between climate, wetland, and groundwater make it challenging to 83

simulate in traditional hydrological models and land surface models (LSMs).

- 65 Given their importance to global and regional environments, the need to represent wetland physics 66 in earth system models (ESMs) and LSMs has emerged in recent decades. In the Community Land
- in earth system models (ESMs) and LSMs has emerged in recent decades. In the Community Land
 Model (Oleson et al., 2008) and Noah-MP LSM (Niu et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2011), a relationship
- has been established between grid cell saturated fraction and the depth of groundwater, based on
- the TOPMODEL hydrological model (Beven and Kirkby, 1979) and its application in LSMs
- 90 (Famiglietti and Wood, 1991, 1994a). This method assumes the sub-grid representation of grid 91 cell saturation is based on a redistribution of water table depth, given the variation of slope and
- contributing areas in the grid cell. A sub-grid saturated fraction F_{sat} is defined for the local water
- table at the surface and can be used for runoff generation as saturationed excess runoff. While this
- may be sufficient estimation over a large grid resolution in many GCM models (~50-100 km), it
- 95 is not sufficiently detailed for high-resolution regional simulation (~5-10 km). Despite its
- 96 limitations, TOPMODEL- based F_{sat} is widely used in many LSMs and ESMs, particularly in
- 97 representing global wetland extents. The discrepancies in projecting wetland extents have
- 98 significant implications for modeled CH₄ emissions, as summarized in a wetland CH₄ inter-99 comparison modeling project (WETCHIMP, Wania et al., 2013, Melton et al., 2013).

100 On the other hand, many models have incorporated surface water storage schemes to represent the

- dynamics of lakes and wetlands to investigate their impacts on the energy and water cycles. For example, Pitman (1991) incorporated a sub-grid scheme for water surfaces and their contribution
- example, Pitman (1991) incorporated a sub-grid scheme for water surfaces and their contribution to latent and sensible heat as the weighted average over the fraction of water, vegetated and bare
- ground surface in a coarse resolution ($\sim 2^{\circ}$) GCM. The Variable Infiltration Capacity model (VIC,
- 105 Liang et al., 1994) has developed a dynamic lake and wetland scheme to study the impacts of
- 106 surface water heterogeneity on energy and water balance (Bowling and Lettenmaier, 2010).
- 107 Results show that incorporating wetlands increases the annual ET by 5% and decreases runoff by
- $108 \sim 12\%$ in the U.S. Midwest region. Latent heat fluxes also increase, with corresponding decreases
- in sensible heat fluxes . Despite robust results in surface energy and water balance, this research
- is not coupled with regional climate models, therefore omitting the feedback from wetlands to
- 111 temperature and precipitation.
- 112 The purpose of this study is to quantify the impacts of wetlands on the surface energy and water
- balances, as well as their feedback to regional climate in a high-resolution convection-permitting
- regional climate model (CPRCM, Prein et al., 2015). For this purpose, we have established three steps: (1) Develop a physical process-based parameterization of sub-grid wetland extent and a dynamic wetland storage scheme; (2) Explore the impacts of inclusion of this wetland
- parameterization on the surface energy and water balance in offline regional land-surface hydrology simulations using Noah-MP; (3) Investigate the interactions between the wetland
- 119 hydrological cycle and its feedback to regional climate using a coupled Weather Research &
- 120 Forecasting (WRF, Skamarock et al., 2019) and Noah-MP model system. In particular, we want
- 121 to investigate the potential cooling effect of surface wetlands in mitigating summertime heat stress,
- especially during the widespread high-intensity heatwave of 2006 in Southern Canada and the U.S.
- 123

2 Materials and Methods 124

- 2.1 Global Inundation Extent from Multiple Satellites (GIEMS-2) 125
- The 1993-2007 Global Inundation Extent from Multiple Satellites (GIEMS-2) is a unique dataset 126
- that provides estimates of surface water extent and dynamics, based on a collection of satellite 127
- observations (https://lerma.obspm.fr/spip.php?article91&lang=en). The satellite data are used to 128 calculate monthly-mean inundated fractions of equal-area grid cells (0.25°x0.25° at the equator),
- 129 taking into account the contribution of vegetation (Prigent et al., 2001, 2007, 2012; Papa et al., 130
- 2010). Such estimates use both passive and active microwave measurements, along with visible 131
- and near-infrared reflectance to capitalize on their complementary strengths, to extract maximum 132
- information about inundation characteristics, and to minimize problems related to one instrument 133
- only. The technique is globally applicable without any tuning for particular environments. The 134
- GIEMS data have been widely used to evaluate surface wetland extents in multiple GCM 135
- intercomparison studies for simulating wetland extents (Wania et al., 2012; Melton et al., 2012). 136
- 137
- 138 2.2 Convection-permitting regional climate simulation
- Convection-permitting models (CPMs) are atmospheric models whose grid spacing is fine enough 139
- (usually < 5-km) to permit convection and resolve mesoscale orography (Rasmussen et al., 2011; 140
- Prein et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2017). Long-term high-resolution climate downscaling using CPMs 141
- provides important added value to improve precipitation forecasts, which is critical to surface 142
- wetland hydrology, as well as for resolving fine-scale land surface heterogeneity (Kenden et al., 143
- 144 2017).
- The WRF convection-permitting regional climate simulation over the Contiguous U.S. (CONUS 145
- 146 WRF, Liu et al., 2017) provides the opportunity for long-term (13-year), high-resolution (4-km)
- land surface modeling (Zhang et al., 2020). The CONUS WRF consists of simulations for the 147
- current climate and for future climate using the Pseudo Global Warming (PGW) method (Schär 148
- et al., 1996, Rasmussen et al. 2011). The current climate simulation is a retrospective run from 149 2000-10-01 to 2013-10-01, forced by ERA-Interim (Dee et al., 2011) as boundary and initial 150
- conditions. For the future simulations, a delta climate perturbation, derived from the 19-model 151
- ensemble in the CMIP5 project under RCP8.5 scenario at the end of the 21st century, is added 152
- upon the ERA-Interim forcing. The future simulation represents an equivalent 13-year period at 153
- the end of the 21st century. The CONUS WRF forcing has been used in multiple climate, 154
- hydrology, and land surface studies (Zhang et al., 2020; Fang et al., 2021). In this study, we use 155
- CONUS WRF forcing in the PPR for offline land-surface model regional simulations to study the 156
- impacts of incorporating a surface wetland scheme on the regional energy and water balance in the 157
- PPR. 158
- 2.3 Application of TOPMODEL in LSMs 159
- TOPMODEL (TOPography based hydrological MODEL) is a rainfall-runoff model that uses 160 topography data to reflect dynamic process response in downslope hydrology, especially in runoff 161
- generation on variable contributing areas (Beven and Kirkby, 1979; Beven et al., 2020). Its basic 162
- assumption is that the runoff generation response to steady state rainfall is proportional to the 163
- spatial variation of moisture content in a drainage basin and can be characterized by its topographic 164
- variation, characterized by digital topography analysis. In the model, a topographic index is 165 defined, $\Lambda = ln\left(\frac{a}{tan\beta}\right)$, where a is the area draining through a point from upslope and $tan\beta$ is the 166

local slope angle. High index values are likely to saturate first, hence, they indicate potential
subsurface or surface contributing areas (Beven, 1997).

169

The simplicity of the model comes from the assumption that all the points of the same value of the 170 index respond similarly in the catchment. Therefore, it is not necessary to calculate all the points 171 in a catchment, but rather to integrate the hydrologic response of each interval of index values in 172 a representative distribution function. At steady state, a critical threshold value for the local 173 topographic index (Λ_{cri}) can be obtained when local water table depth is at the surface, compared 174 to the grid cell mean water table depth. Hence, a subgrid fraction F_{sat} can be defined by integrating 175 the topographic index interval from this critical value to the maximum, following its probability 176 distribution function: 177

- 178
- 179
- 180

 $F_{sat} = \int_{\Lambda_{cri}}^{\infty} p df(\Lambda) d\Lambda$ (1)

This probability distribution function was assumed to be a three-parameter gamma distributionby Sivapalan et al. (1987).

183

This F_{sat} fraction is an important parameter in partitioning surface water using the saturation 184 runoff mechanism, i.e., the F_{sat} portion of the surface water from rainfall and snowmelt becomes 185 surface runoff and the remaining $(1-F_{sat})$ becomes infiltration. The sub-grid F_{sat} is also critical in 186 controlling surface energy balance and land-atmosphere interactions (Famiglietti and Wood, 187 188 1994a&b). In Famiglietti and Wood (1994a&b), a Soil-Vegetation-Atmosphere Transfer Scheme (SVATS) is applied at local-, catchment- and macro-scales to demonstrate the sub-grid soil 189 moisture heterogeneity in controlling both evapotranspiration and runoff. The total 190 evapotranspiration over the sub-grid topographic index in a grid cell is the integration of the 191 potential evaporation from saturated portion to drier land surface outside the transitional region, 192 where evapotranspiration is restricted by active vegetation and soil moisture (Famiglietti and 193 Wood 1994a). This framework for incorporating TOPMODEL into LSMs (TOPLATS) was 194 195 utilized in the NASA GISS land surface model (Stieglitz et al., 1997) and the NASA Catchment 196 Land Surface Model (CLSM, Koster et al., 2000; Bechtold et al., 2018) among others.

197

Due to its computational simplicity, the F_{sat} fraction is also very popular to represent surface 198 wetland extent in large-scale global models (Gedney and Cox, 2003; Ringeval er al., 2011). The 199 temporal and spatial variation of F_{sat} is based on groundwater dynamics interacting with soil 200 201 moisture, simulating the expansion and shrinkage of surface wetlands. Although the meaning of saturation is not necessarily the same as inundation of wetland soils, this fractional area to some 202 degree reflects the wetness conditions in a given grid cell, as well as its function partitioning 203 surface water in "saturation excess" runoff generation. Thus, it has been widely applied in various 204 LSMs and multiple modeling studies simulating wetland extents (WETCHIMP, Wania et al., 2013; 205 206 Melton et al., 2013).

207

In the Noah-MP LSM, the energy balance is calculated separately for two sub-grid semitiles: a

- fractional vegetated area (F_{veg}) and a fraction bare ground area $(1-F_{veg})$. In this semitile scheme,
- shortwave radiation transfer is computed over the entire grid, while longwave radiation, sensible
- and latent heat flux, and ground heat flux are computed separately over these two tiles. As such,

these two tiles in a Noah-MP grid neglect the large extent and seasonal variability of open-water 212 wetlands. The total latent (LH) and sensible heat (SH) of these two semitiles are aggregated in a 213 weighted function: 214

- 215
- 216

$$LH = F_{veg} (LE_{gv} + LE_{v}) + (1 - F_{veg}) LE_{gb} (2)$$

$$SH = F_{veg} (SH_{gv} + SH_{v}) + (1 - F_{veg}) SH_{gb} (3)$$

217 218

221

Where the subscript v represents the vegetation canopy, gv is ground under canopy and gb is the 219 bare ground flux. 220

Additionally, the TOPMODEL-based runoff generation model is utilized for surface water 222 partitioning: F_{sat} portion of the surface available water (Q_{insurf}) from snowmelt or precipitation 223 becomes surface runoff (R_{srf}) and $(1-F_{sat})$ portion becomes infiltration (Q_{infil}) . In Niu and Yang 224 (2005), the probability distribution function of F_{sat} in equation (1) is replaced by an exponential 225 function of the water table depth (Z_{∇} , equation (6)) and has been utilized in both CLM (Oleson et 226 al., 2008) and Noah-MP LSM (Niu et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2011). Fsatmx is the maximum 227 saturated fraction in a grid cell derived from digital elevation model (DEM). 228

229 230

$$\begin{aligned} R_{srf} &= Q_{insurf} * F_{sat} \quad (4) \\ Q_{infil} &= Q_{insurf} * (1 - F_{sat}) \quad (5) \\ F_{sat} &= F_{satmx} * exp \left(-0.5 * f * (Z_{\nabla} - 2) \right) \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

232 233

However, the above water balance setting does not reflect dynamic water movement in prairie 234 wetlands. These wetland depressions actively receive surface water from snowmelt and rainfall, 235 but there is no surface water storage process in Noah-MP, so that the simulated surface runoff 236 component will leave the model grid. Additionally, this setting further neglects evaporation from 237 the wetland surface to the atmosphere and discharge to surrounding wetlands in the fill-and-spill 238 process. Therefore, a dynamic surface wetland storage scheme, incorporating both sub-grid energy 239 and water balance, is needed to represent the complex hydrological processes in the prairie wetland 240 landscape and their potential feedback to the atmosphere. 241

242

2.4 Modifying F_{sat} fraction to represent wetlands 243

The original TOPMODEL-based F_{sat} , based on an exponential function of water table depth, does 244 not reasonably reflect the magnitude and seasonal variation of wetland extent in the Prairies. 245 246 Figures 1 and 2 show the spatial distribution and temporal evolution of the inundation fraction from GIEMS and Noah-MP simulated F_{sat} fraction in the PPR region from 2000 to 2014. It is 247 clear that the modeled F_{sat} has underestimated the maximum extent while overestimating the 248 minimum extent. This is because of two reasons: (1) the parameter F_{satmx} is a fixed value (0.38) 249 for the global mean; and, (2) the seasonally frozen soil and glacial till with low hydraulic 250 conductivity prevent direct groundwater connection with surface water, hence the water table 251 dynamic is not a good indicator of surface water extent in the PPR. Detailed reasons for this 252 discrepancy are provided in the discussion section. 253



261 262

Therefore, we propose a new formula for the saturated fraction F_{sat} , based on the first layer soil saturation, instead of water table depth:

 $F_{sat} = F_{satmx} * \left(\frac{SH_2O - SM_{wlt}}{SM_{ref} - SM_{wlt}}\right) \quad (7)$

265

266

267 268

269

270

271

272

The first layer soil moisture (SH_2O) responds more rapidly to surface hydrological processes, such as snowmelt infiltration and evapotranspiration, than groundwater level. F_{sat} is determined by the maximum saturated fraction (F_{satmx}) and a relative soil moisture saturation condition, normalized by the soil moisture wilting point (SM_{wlt}) and field capacity (SM_{ref}) . This assumes the mean soil moisture saturation in the first layer soil can empirically represent spatial heterogeneity of soil

saturation at the sub-grid scale.

2.5 Implementing the surface wetland storage scheme 275

276 In this study, we incorporate a sub-grid bucket-style surface water storage scheme to represent the surface water dynamics in Prairie Pothole wetlands in North America by capturing three important 277 processes in its water balance: (1) wetland storage receives water from snowmelt runoff and 278 rainfall; (2) water in wetland storage would evaporate at the potential rate, calculated using the 279 Penman equation in equation (10); (3) when the water exceeds the wetland maximum storage 280 capacity (W_{cap}) , it will spill out and become the outflow term. This wetland storage scheme 281 operates at the sub-grid scale and uses F_{sat} to determine the inflow of water input from 282 precipitation and snowmelt and contributes to the latent heat flux as a weighted average over all 283 three sub-grid types, similar to the treatment in Pitman (1991). The sensible heat flux is calculated 284 as the residual term from the energy balance equation. 285

286

$$Q_{insur} = Q_{snowmelt} + Q_{rain} \quad (8)$$

$$Q_{inflow} = Q_{insur} * F_{sat} \quad (9)$$

289

$$Q_{evap} = \frac{mR_n + \rho c_p(\Delta e)g_a}{\lambda_v(m+\gamma)} \quad (10)$$

290
$$LH_{all} = (1 - F_{sat})(F_{veg}(LE_{g,v} + LE_v) + (1 - F_{veg})LE_{g,b}) + F_{sat} * Q_{evap}\lambda_v(11)$$
291
$$Q_{outflow} = max(Q_{inflow} - W_{cap}, 0) \quad (12)$$

$$\Delta W_{surf} = Q_{inflow} - Q_{evap} * F_{sat} - Q_{outflow}$$
(13)

293

In many traditional LSM treatments, surface runoff is treated as a drainage term that leaves the 294 grid cell and is lost to the water balance. In our new scheme, the surface runoff from snowmelt 295 and rainfall becomes the inflow to surface water storage (Q_{inflow}) . The water in surface wetlands 296 evaporates to the atmosphere at the potential rate, calculated by the Penman equation. The outflow 297 298 is a result of total water exceeding the maximum water storage (W_{cap}) , characterizing the "filland-spill" process. Note this surface wetland storage scheme is not connected to other wetland 299 storage or a river network, so that the outflow term will leave the grid point and is lost to the water 300 balance, as parameterized in the default Noah-MP. The change of surface water storage (ΔW_{surf}) 301 is calculated by the net balance of inflow, evaporation, and outflow. 302

303

Figure 3 illustrates the difference between the default Noah-MP and the modified surface runoff 304 scheme in this study. The left-hand side shows the default Noah-MP surface runoff scheme based 305 on the TOPMODEL saturation-excess concept. The inflow from rain and snowmelt (Q_{insur}) will 306 be partitioned into infiltration (in the 1- F_{sat} portion), which enters soil moisture, and to surface 307 runoff (in the F_{sat} portion), which eventually leaves the grid cell. The right-hand side shows the 308 two modifications in our study: (1) the modified F_{sat} parameterization based on first layer soil 309 saturation; (2) creating a surface water storage W_{cap} representing surface wetland dynamics. The 310

 F_{sat} portion of the inflow will now be collected within the W_{cap} storage and evaporate to the 311

312 atmosphere with a weighted function. The water amount exceeding the maximum capacity will

313 become the outflow from the wetland (also referred to as the new runoff term, R_{srf}).



- 314 315
- Figure 3. Simple diagram demonstrating the modifications in this study, which includes the modification of surface
- 316 saturated fraction and incorporating a surface wetland storage scheme in the Noah-MP Land Surface Model.
- 317

318 2.6 Simulation design

Three sets of numerical simulations are conducted to study impacts of representing wetlands on the simulated energy and water balance in the Noah-MP LSM, as well as feedback to the regional

climate in the coupled WRF system. A summary of these three simulations is in Table 1.

322

The first set of simulations is a single-point test, driven by observed forcing, in a half-water/halfvegetation fen site in central Saskatchewan. This is to study the impacts of modifying the F_{sat} parameterization and the sensitivity of dynamical storage and its impacts on the energy/water balance.

327

The second set of simulations is on the regional scale in the PPR, driven by a 4-km WRF regional climate simulation (CONUS WRF, Liu et al., 2017). In this simulation, we constrain the maximum F_{satmx} by satellite observation data (GIEMS) and combine the surface water storage with finescale 90-m DEM (MERIT data:http://hydro.iis.utokyo.ac.jp/~yamadai/MERIT_DEM/). The purpose of this offline simulation is to investigate the implementation on a regional scale, with

- respect to spatial heterogeneity of F_{satmx} and W_{cap} .
- 334

The third set is the coupled WRF regional climate simulation for three summers with strong interannual variability: 2005 (wet), 2006 (dry), and 2007 (normal). This is to study the impacts of surface wetland dynamics and their feedback to regional climate, in particular under a highresolution convection-permitting configuration. It is also noteworthy that in the summer of 2006, an intense and prolonged heatwave occurred in the Central U.S. and Southern Canada from mid-July to early August.

- 341
- 342

343	Table 1. Summary	y of the three	simulations	conducted in this study.	

Simulation design	Location	Period	Purpose
Single-point Noah-MP	Fen site,	2003/01/01-	Exam the sensitivity of F_{sat}
	SK	2010/12/31	formula and different levels of
			storage
Offline regional	PPR	2000/10/01-	Incorporate spatially varied
Noah-MP	region	2013/10/01	F_{satmx} and W_{cap} parameters in
			the PPR
Coupled regional	PPR	2005-2007, three	Conduct coupled WRF-
WRF	region	summers from Apr to	NoahMP-Wetland simulation
		Aug	and study the feedback to
			temperature

345 **3 Results**

346 3.1 Implementation and sensitivity tests on a single-point LSM

We first performed a single-point LSM simulation in the fen site in North Saskatchewan. Two 347 modifications were tested: first, the modified F_{sat} formula and, second, a sensitivity test for 348 surface water dynamics with various storage capacities. Figure 4 shows the F_{sat} parameter, energy 349 and water balance in the fen site simulated by Noah-MP. In Figure 4a, the default F_{sat} formula 350 using the exponential function of the water table depth fails to represent the large magnitude and 351 strong seasonal variation, as shown by the GIEMS data. The modified formula using the first layer 352 of soil moisture improves both the magnitude and seasonal cycle of the F_{sat} parameter. This larger 353 F_{sat} effectively changes the surface water partitioning, by increasing the surface runoff, which 354 leaves the grid point water balance, and reducing the infiltration to soil moisture, which further 355 reduces ET and underground runoff (Figure 4b). Furthermore, the increased F_{sat} reduces latent 356 heat fluxes and enhances sensible heat fluxes from March to September, with the strongest 357 decrease in July (Figure 4c). 358

359

The surface wetland scheme (Section 2.4) collects the increased surface runoff in wetland storage and allows evaporation to the atmosphere. The scheme's contribution to surface water and energy

balance depends on its storage capacity. Figure 4d shows the sensitivity of water storage in a wetland with four different capacities (WS=0, 5, 50 and 500 mm). When wetland storage = 5 mm ("WS=5"), the wetland water would be depleted during the summer, while with larger capacity (WS=50 or 500), the water storage from the previous year can be sustained through dry seasons.

366 Greater water-holding capacity allows greater contribution to evaporation and reduces surface

367 runoff (Figure 4e). The changing storage capacity has little impact on underground runoff.

Moreover, greater storage capacity also allows greater latent heat flux and less sensible heat flux.

369 The effect threshold lies between WS=5 and WS=50, as water may be dried in smaller capacities,

³⁷⁰ while the contribution is similar between WS=50 and WS=500.



372 373

Figure 4. Single-point simulation of F_{sat} modification (a-c) and incorporation of dynamic wetland storage (d-f) in a fen site in central Saskatchewan: (a) surface saturated fraction from default (DEF) and modified (MOD) formula and 374 375 GIEMS inundation extent, (b) surface water balance in ET, surface and underground runoff, (c) surface energy balance 376 in sensible and latent heat fluxes; (d) water level change with variable levels of wetland storage, (e) surface water 377 balance in ET, surface and underground runoff, (f) surface energy balance in sensible and latent heat fluxes.

379 3.2 Regional-scale land model simulation constrained by spatially varied parameters

To simulate wetland dynamics at regional scales, it is essential to constrain two spatially varied 380 parameters, F_{satmx} and the storage capacity, W_{cap} , as they are critical to wetland energy and water 381 balance shown in the last section. Figure 5 shows the spatial map of F_{satmx} and W_{cap} in the PPR. 382 Here, F_{satmx} is derived from the GIEMS inundation fraction to represent the sub-grid maximum 383 saturation, and W_{cap} is derived from the MERIT 90-m DEM and aggregated to a 4-km resolution 384 grid (same resolution as in CONUS WRF meteorological forcing). 385

$$W_{cap} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min((H_i - \overline{H}), 0)$$
 (14)

 H_i represents the 90-m elevation and \overline{H} is the mean elevation for a 4-km grid, such that W_{cap} represents the collective topographical variation in the depressional area from 90-m DEM and aggregated into the 4-km grid.

392



393 $110^{\circ}W \ 105^{\circ}W \ 100^{\circ}W \ 95^{\circ}W$ $110^{\circ}W \ 105^{\circ}W \ 100^{\circ}W \ 95^{\circ}W$ 394 Figure 5. Map of maximum saturation (F_{satmx}) and wetland storage capacity (W_{cap}) in the Prairie Pothole Region, 395 derived from the Global Inundation Extent from Multiple Satellites (GIEMS) product and MERIT 90-m DEM, 396 respectively.

397

The high F_{satmx} regions are located in the Northeast part of the domain, near Lake Winnipeg in Manitoba and the Red River Valley. These regions also correspond with the low W_{cap} regions.

400

Two 13-year offline Noah-MP simulations were conducted: one with the default setting and one with the new wetland scheme. The 13-year average surface water balance (surface runoff and ET) and energy balance (sensible heat and latent heat) are shown in Figure 6. Figure 6a shows substantial wetland water storage availability – more than 200 mm average over the summer months, in the north domain and in the southeastern PPR in the Red River valley. In the central and western PPR, wetland storage is generally less than 100 mm, with some deeper storage in large water bodies surrounding lakes and rivers.

408

The surface water and energy balance in the PPR are greatly altered by the presence of surface 409 wetlands and the differences between WS and DEF simulation follow their spatial distribution. 410 The presence of surface wetlands generally holds inflow water from rain and snowmelt, reducing 411 surface runoff while increasing evaporation by about 100~200 mm in summer months. The water 412 in the saturated fraction of the grid cell creates an open water surface, reducing (increasing) the 413 sensible (latent) heat fluxes by about 0~50 W/m2 in summer months. These impacts on surface 414 energy and water compensate for each other, and the presence of open water storage may induce 415 potential feedback to the atmosphere through land-atmosphere interactions, which we will discuss 416 417 in next section.



418

Figure 6. 13-year summertime (MJJA) mean wetland storage level (a); and difference between wetland storage (WS) and default (DEF) simulations in surface runoff (b), evapotranspiration (ET, c), sensible heat flux (d), and latent heat

421 flux (e).

423 3.3 Regional climate simulation with coupled wetland dynamics

To study the feedback from wetlands to regional climate, we performed two coupled WRF-wetland 424 simulations for the summers of 2005, 2006, and 2007. The first, default (DEF) simulation includes 425 the shallow groundwater scheme from Miguez-Macho et al. (2007). The second simulation (WS 426 simulation) incorporates the wetland scheme upon the shallow groundwater scheme. These 427 simulations start from April and run through August, with the first month as the spin-up period. 428 429 Our analysis focuses on the temperature and precipitation from May to August for these three 430 years, especially in 2006 when an intense summer heatwave occurred from mid-July to early August in the Central U.S. and Southern Canada. 431

432

433 Figure 7 shows the monthly temperature from station observation, model biases from two simulations, and the cooling effect induced by the WS scheme in 2006. It is clear that a warm bias 434 exists in the southern part of the domain, ranging from 2°C in the Central U.S. to 1°C in the Western 435 Canadian Prairies. This warm bias is particularly getting stronger in July and August. The WS 436 437 simulation shows a significant cooling effect in the Northeast portion of the domain, where the saturated fraction is high. The cooling in temperature ranges from less than 1°C in May to about 438 1~2°C in July. This cooling signal is evident in high-Fsat regions in the domain in all three-year 439 simulations. 440



442 443

Figure 7. Monthly temperature from station observation, temperature biases from default (DEF) and wetland scheme (WS) simulations, and the cooling effect induced by the WS in the summer (May-August) for three-year simulations.

In the summer of 2006, a record-breaking heatwave hit the major part of the U.S. and Southern 446 Canada. The extreme heat conditions can be represented by the number of "hot days" during the 447 448 summer, with the daily maximum temperature exceeding the 90th percentile of the 30-year climatology. We summed the number of hot days from May to August in 2006 from two 449 simulations and the results are shown in Figure 8. Through these four months, the hottest region 450 is in the southeast of the domain in Nebraska, Iowa, Kansas and Missouri – with more than 40 hot 451 days – while in the Northern Great Plains and Canadian Prairies, the hot days are about 10~20 452 days. The WS simulation shows that wetlands could effectively reduce the number of hot days by 453

about 10 days in the entire domain. Two regions receive greater impacts from wetlands, including
 southern Manitoba and the area between Nebraska and Iowa. This result manifests the important

456 role of wetlands in mitigating climate change, especially in extreme heat events.



458
458
458
459
458
459
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450
450

461

Figure 9 shows the wetland cooling effect on temperature versus its maximum saturated fraction 462 for the domain. The F_{satmx} parameter generally corresponds to highly saturated regions with high 463 water availability. The cooling effect from wetlands is evident for almost every month in the 464 simulation period and is stronger in June and July than in May and August. The strongest effect is 465 shown in 2005 July of almost 1.5 °C cooler. There exists a linear relationship between F_{satmx} and 466 Δ TEMP, especially in 2005 and 2007 — the larger the F_{satmx} , the stronger the cooling effect is. 467 This pattern is not as clear in 2006, indicating that the wetland cooling effect relies on the available 468 water input from precipitation: under normal and wet conditions, the greater the F_{satmx} , the more 469 water can be stored in wetland storage, the more surface energy partitioned into latent heat, hence 470 the stronger the cooling effect. However, in the 2006 dry conditions, there is not sufficient 471 precipitation to fill these wetlands, limiting the wetlands' cooling effects and evaporation, 472 regardless of F_{satmx} values. This wetland cooling effect is analogous to the classic soil moisture-473 temperature feedback in land-atmosphere interactions (Senviratnes et al., 2010; Perkins, 2015). 474 475



Figure 9. Scatter plot of Δ TEMP (DEF-WS, °C) against the F_{satmx} , maximum saturated fraction in grid cell, from three-year summer monthly data.

476

Compared to the uniform cooling effect on temperature, the feedback of wetlands to regional 480 precipitation is more ambiguous. Figure 10 shows the monthly precipitation from observation, 481 model biases from two simulations and their difference in three-year summers. The precipitation 482 bias corresponds well with the temperature bias in Figure 7, with a significant dry bias in the 483 southeast part of the domain while the precipitation is not as obvious in the Canadian Prairies. The 484 WS simulations show little difference in precipitation from the DEF simulation and the signals of 485 changes are almost random. This patchy precipitation signal is shown in all three-year simulations 486 and for the accumulated period of four months. 487



490 Figure 10. Monthly precipitation from station observations, precipitation biases from the default (DEF) and wetland 491 scheme (WS) simulations, and the precipitation difference between WS and DEF simulations in the summer (May-August) for three-year simulations.

492

494 4 Discussion

LSMs and coupled ESMs, reasonable representations of wetland spatial extents and dynamic water 495 storage are challenging in light of data scarcity, coarse model resolution, and insufficient 496 understanding of the physical processes (Ringeval et al., 2012). However, because wetland extents 497 play a key role in land-atmosphere interactions and carbon feedback to the climate system, 498 499 researchers have long been interested in estimating wetland extents in hydrology-climate simulations from global to regional scales. For example, the WETCHIMP project gathered 10 500 participating GCMs for simulating global wetland extents and their CH₄ emissions (Wania et al., 501 2013; Melton et al., 2013). Many of these GCMs used prescribed wetland maps from global 502 surveys or remote sensing products, such as the Global Lake and Wetland Database (Lehner and 503 Döll, 2004) and GIEMS (Prigent et al., 2007), or used the TOPMODEL-based F_{sat} to simulate a 504 subgrid "saturated" fraction to represent wetlands extents. 505

506

Although the TOPMODEL method can simulate some spatial heterogeneity and temporal 507 dynamics of wetland extent, it generally underestimates both the maximum value and the seasonal 508 variability. As we showed in Section 2.1, the TOPMODEL-based method in Noah-MP simulates 509 a much lower F_{sat} value than the highly dynamic GIEMS product. Here we provide two possible 510 511 reasons for the discrepancy between TOPMODEL F_{sat} and surface water dynamics from satellites. (1) The first underlying assumption of the TOPMODEL method requires "steady state" 512 precipitation and soil moisture heterogeneity, which is more likely in wet, relatively shallow soils 513 on moderate slopes (Beven and Kirkby, 1979; Kirkby et al., 2021). However, this is not the case 514 in the Prairie Pothole Region, where the climate is usually semi-arid and the large-scale topography 515 is flat with small-scale variation. (2) Another possible reason for this discrepancy is that the 516 TOPMODEL method calculates a critical topographic index value when the local water table is at 517 the surface; this value is used to determine the F_{sat} fraction through the integration of its 518 probability distribution function. However, in the PPR, frozen soils in wintertime prevent 519 520 interaction between the soil moisture and groundwater (Ireson et al., 2013). Therefore, in the 521 TOPMODEL method, the exponential function will simulate less seasonal variation in the surface water dynamics. A large portion of global wetlands and peatlands are located in high latitude 522 regions where winter soil freezing is very common. 523

524

525 In our modification of the F_{sat} formulation, we used the first layer of soil saturation to indicate the sub-grid spatial extent of the saturated portion - the extent of wetlands. This method empirically 526 assumes the grid cell mean soil moisture saturation can be translated into a spatial fraction for 527 surface saturation and shows a highly variable F_{sat} value compared to the default TOPMODEL 528 method, in terms of the maximum and minimum extent, and seasonality (Section 3.1). Moreover, 529 we also incorporate a spatially varied maximum F_{satmx} map from the GIEMS product to replace 530 the default global mean value (0.38) in Noah-MP and WRF. Both these modifications improve the 531 532 spatial heterogeneity and the temporal dynamics of wetland extents in the PPR.

533

Additionally, we incorporated a dynamic surface water storage scheme to simulate the hydrological processes in wetlands. Although this scheme is simple, we aim to capture three important processes – the filling of wetlands by snowmelt and rainfall, the evaporation of wetland water into the atmosphere, and the excess water spilling to surface runoff. These three processes are the key components in the wetland water and energy cycle during the warm season open-water period. Our results showed increase of ET with a decrease of surface runoff and an increase of 540 latent heat with decreases of sensible heat. This finding aligns with our expectations, as well as

- with previous VIC model wetland and lake simulations in the U.S. Midwest region (Mishra and
 Cherkauer et al., 2010).
- 542 Cherkauer543

Moreover, our scheme provides greater potential to explore wetlands' feedback to the atmosphere 544 in coupled WRF-NoahMP-Wetland simulation. In the default simulation, which already includes 545 the MMF groundwater scheme (Barlage et al., 2015, 2021), warm biases still exist at about 1~3 546 degrees in the U.S. Great Plains. Without the groundwater scheme, the summertime warm biases 547 could be as high as 4~6 degrees. By adding the wetland scheme on top of the MMF groundwater 548 scheme, the warm biases in the U.S. can be further reduced by 0.5~1.5 degrees, but it also 549 introduces 1-degree cool biases in Southern Manitoba, where wetland extents are large. While the 550 temperature cooling effect is evident, wetland feedback to precipitation is less clear and is more 551 ambiguous. A previous study using WRF with a prescribed soil moisture threshold to indicate 552 wetlands in the Great Plains at coarser resolution (12-km) also showed a temperature cooling 553 effect, but the precipitation effect was negligible (Capehart et al., 2012). 554

555

One of the highlights of this study is the wetland cooling effect to the atmospheric temperature. 556 Previous studies have documented this effect in detail, but they have been specific to different 557 wetland characteristics and dominant vegetation types (Pitman, 1991; Bonan, 1995). In our study, 558 we used general open-water storage to characterize wetland interactions with the atmosphere, 559 560 omitting these variations in specific wetland types but gaining more generic conclusions in a much larger region. The wetland cooling effect on temperature, especially during extreme heatwave 561 events, echoes a previous study in the Central U.S. where we found land surface characteristics 562 could effectively reduce the frequency, intensity, and duration of extreme heatwaves (Zhang et al., 563 2018). However, more pronounced cooling occur in non-heatwave years (2005 and 2007) than in 564 2006, because the cooling effect also depends on water availability, hence, cannot be too dry. 565

566

In recent years, the tradeoffs between agriculture and wetland conservation has been a serious 567 topic of discussion among the public, universities, and government agencies. It has been shown 568 that the agricultural land expansion at the cost of wetland drainage increases the risk of emerging 569 flooding in springtime (Dumanski et al., 2015; Pattison-Williams et al., 2018). Wetland drainage 570 also results in increased nutrient export (Badiou et al., 2018; Wilson et al., 2019) and carbon release 571 to the atmosphere (Badiou et al., 2011). This study suggests that the loss of wetlands for croplands 572 also reduces resilience to drought and high temperature, which may cause crop failures due to 573 water and heat stress (Hatifield, 2016). 574

575

However, the loss of wetlands to agricultural, industrial and residential land is not confined to the 576 PPR but are common problems worldwide and require humans' attention (The Rasmar Convention 577 2007; Nature Geoscience, 2021). These land use modifications not only threaten the local 578 environment but also contribute to the global carbon balance and eventually cause problems for 579 human beings. Understanding the effects of development is challenging. It is hoped that these 580 threats to the future can inspire future studies on wetlands for their hydrological, climatic, 581 ecological, environmental functions and that solutions can be found for humans to interact with 582 583 nature peacefully and sustainably.

585 **5 Conclusions**

586 Wetlands play a crucial role in Earth systems for their climaticand hydrologicalfunctions. However, reasonably representing the spatial extent and dynamics of small-scale wetlands has 587 been challenging to LSMs and coupled ESMs. This is particularly important and urgent in the PPR 588 as the wetlands are critical to the region's ecology and the hydrological conditions are complex. 589 In this research, we developed a wetland scheme with two modifications to represent wetland 590 dynamics in the Noah-MP LSM. One is modifying the sub-grid saturation fraction to indicate the 591 spatial wetland extent based on grid cell soil moisture. Another is incorporating a dynamic surface 592 water storage scheme to represent the hydrological processes in wetlands. This new wetland 593 scheme is incorporated in single-point, offline regional simulation, and coupled WRF simulation 594 595 in the PPR.

596

The single-point simulation showed that the modified sub-grid F_{sat} using the first layer soil 597 saturation reasonably mimics the magnitude and seasonality of surface saturation condition in the 598 PPR, compared to the default TOPMODEL-based formula. The modified increased F_{sat} formula 599 partitions more water to surface runoff than infiltration to soil moisture. The enhanced surface 600 601 inflow is then collected by the surface storage, mimicking the capacity of wetland depressions, with water exceeding the capacity as fill and spill outflow. The single-point simulation also shows 602 that the wetland modification of surface energy and water balance depends on its maximum 603 capacity. For shallow-storage wetlands, both spring inflow and summer evaporation demand 604 would exceed their maximum capacity, limiting their function in energy and water exchange with 605 the atmosphere. 606

607

In the offline regional simulation in the PPR, two spatially varied parameters are incorporated, the maximum F_{satmx} fraction and maximum storage capacity W_{cap} . The results show that the modelsimulated wetlands are located in the Northeast portion of the PPR domain, where F_{satmx} is high but maximum capacity is shallow. By incorporating the wetland scheme, the summertime evaporation and latent heat fluxes are evidently increased, with decreasing surface runoff and sensible heat fluxes.

614

Finally, we examine the wetlands' feedback to regional temperature and precipitation in the 615 coupled WRF-NoahMP-Wetland model. A cooling effect, induced by the presence of wetlands, is 616 evident in all three years' summer for about $0.5 \sim 1^{\circ}$ C in a dry year (2006) and $0.7 \sim 1.5^{\circ}$ C in a wet 617 year (2005), occurring where the wetland fraction is high. This cooling is the result of wetlands 618 altering energy balance partitioning, increasing latent heat fluxes while reducing sensible heat 619 fluxes. The cooling effect is strongest in July and weakest in May, consistent with the theory of 620 evaporation being energy limited in early summer but transitioning to water limited in mid-621 summer. In the summer of 2006, when an extreme heatwave hit the Central U.S. and Southern 622 Canada, the presence of wetlands could profoundly reduce the number of extreme hot days by 623 more than 10 during the summer period, effectively reducing the heat stress to human comfort. On 624 the other hand, wetland scheme impacts on regional precipitation do not manifest in an obvious 625 spatial pattern, including both positive and negative effects on precipitation. 626

627

628 Our results show that the presence of wetlands could be beneficial to many sectors by regulating 629 surface runoff during flooding and cooling atmospheric temperatures during heatwaves. These 630 highlights should inspire future studies to understand wetlands' value in regional environments

- and the Earth system, especially those that have been neglected at the cost of human expansion.
- 632

633 Acknowledgments

Z. Zhang, Z. Li and Y. Li acknowledge the financial support from the Natural Sciences and
Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery Grant, and Global Water Futures
Program, Canada First Research Excellence Fund and Global Institute for Water Security (GIWS).
Z. Zhang was funded by a Mitacs Accelerate Fellowship funded by Ducks Unlimited Canada's
Institute for Wetland and Waterfowl Research. This project was supported by grants from Wildlife

- Habitat Canada, Bass Pro Shops Cabela's Outdoor Fund, and the Alberta NAWMP Partnership.
- 640 641

642 Data Availability Statement

- The CONUS WRF simulation over the contiguous US (Liu et al., 2017) can be accessed at <u>https://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds612.0/TS1</u>. The simulations data in this study, including the single-point, offline, and coupled WRF simulation for the Prairie Pothole Region can be accessed
- 646 in a FAIR compliant repository at osf.io:
- 647 <u>https://osf.io/nckxy/?view_only=3fa18c1a466a46f1a414ecdaa0c24d67</u>.
- 648
- The Noah-MP model is driven by the NCAR high-resolution land data assimilation system (Chen
- et al., 2007) and can be downloaded from <u>https://github.com/NCAR/hrldas/</u>. The Noah-MP LSM can be accessed from <u>https://github.com/NCAR/noahmp</u>.
- 652

653 We appreciate Dr. Catherine Prigent for her help and support in this study and providing the 654 GIEMS data for surface water inundation. The GIEMS data can be accessed in 655 <u>https://lerma.obspm.fr/spip.php?article91&lang=fr</u>.

656 **References**

- Badiou, P., McDougal, R., Pennock, D., & Clark, B. (2011). Greenhouse gas emissions and carbon
 sequestration potential in restored wetlands of the Canadian prairie pothole region. Wetlands
 Ecology and Management, 19(3), 237–256. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11273-011-9214-6
- Badiou, P., Page, B., & Akinremi, W. (2018). Phosphorus Retention in Intact and Drained Prairie
 Wetland Basins: Implications for Nutrient Export. Journal of Environmental Quality, 47(4),
 902–913. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2017.08.0336
- Barlage, M., Tewari, M., Chen, F., Miguez-Macho, G., Yang, Z. L., & Niu, G. Y. (2015). The
 effect of groundwater interaction in North American regional climate simulations with
 WRF/Noah-MP. Climatic Change, 129(3–4), 485–498. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-014-
- 666 <u>1308-8</u>
- Barlage, M., Chen, F., Rasmussen, R., Zhang, Z. & Miguez-Macho, G. The importance of scale dependent groundwater processes in land-atmosphere interactions over the central United
 States. Geophys. Res. Lett. (2021) doi:10.1029/2020GL092171.
- Bechtold, M., De Lannoy, G. J. M., Koster, R. D., Reichle, R. H., Mahanama, S. P., Bleuten, W.,
 Bourgault, M. A., Brümmer, C., Burdun, I., Desai, A. R., Devito, K., Grünwald, T., Grygoruk,
- M., Humphreys, E. R., Klatt, J., Kurbatova, J., Lohila, A., Munir, T. M., Nilsson, M. B., ...
- Tiemeyer, B. (2019). PEAT-CLSM: A Specific Treatment of Peatland Hydrology in the NASA
 Catchment Land Surface Model. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(7), 2130–
 2162. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001574
- Beven, K. J., & Kirkby, M. J. (1979). A physically based, variable contributing area model of basin
 hydrology / Un modèle à base physique de zone d'appel variable de l'hydrologie du bassin
 versant. Hydrological Sciences Bulletin, 24(1), 43–69.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667909491834
- Beven, K. (1997). TOPMODEL: A critique. Hydrological Processes, 11(9), 1069–1085.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(199707)11:9<1069::AID-HYP545>3.0.CO;2-O
- Beven, K. J., Kirkby, M. J., Freer, J. E. & Lamb, R. A history of TOPMODEL. Hydrol. Earth Syst.
 Sci. 25, 527–549 (2021).
- Bonan, G. B. (1995). Sensitivity of a GCM Simulation to Inclusion of Inland Water Surfaces.
 Journal of Climate, 8(11), 2691–2704. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1995)008<2691:SOAGST>2.0.CO;2
- Bowling, L. C., & Lettenmaier, D. P. (2010). Modeling the effects of lakes and wetlands on the
 water balance of arctic environments. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 11(2), 276–295.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JHM1084.1
- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U.,
 Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L.,
 Bidlat L. Barmann, N. Dalaal, C. Dragani, B. Eventes, M. Coor, A. L., Witert F. (2011).
- Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., ... Vitart, F. (2011).
 The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system.
 Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 137(656), 553–597.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.828
- Dumanski, S., Pomeroy, J. W., & Westbrook, C. J. (2015). Hydrological regime changes in a
 Canadian Prairie basin. Hydrological Processes, 29(18), 3893–3904.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10567</u>
- Fang, X., & Pomeroy, J. (2020). Diagnosis of future changes in hydrology for a Canadian Rocky
 Mountain headwater basin. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions, 1–40.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2019-640

- Famiglietti, J. S. & Wood, E. F. (1991). Evapotranspiration and Runoff from Large Land Areas:
- Land Surface Hydrology for Atmospheric General Circulation Models, Surveys in Geophysics,
 12, 179-204.
- Famiglietti, J. S. & Wood, E. F. Multiscale modeling of spatially variable water and energy balance
 processes. Water Resour. Res. 30, 3061–3078 (1994a).
- Famiglietti, J. S. & Wood, E. F. Application of multiscale water and energy balance models on a
 tallgrass prairie. Water Resour. Res. 30, 3079–3093 (1994b).
- Gardner, Royal C. and Connolly, Kim Diana, The Ramsar Convention on Wetlands: Assessment
 of International Designations Within the United States (2007). Environmental Law Review, 37,
 1089, Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=983546
- Gedney, N., & Cox, P. M. (2003). The Sensitivity of Global Climate Model Simulations to the
 Representation of Soil Moisture Heterogeneity. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 4(6), 1265–
 1275. https://doi.org/10.1175/1525-7541(2003)004<1265:TSOGCM>2.0.CO;2
- Hatfield, J. L. (2016). Increased Temperatures Have Dramatic Effects on Growth and Grain Yield
 of Three Maize Hybrids. Agricultural & Environmental Letters, 1(1), 150006.
 https://doi.org/10.2134/ael2015.10.0006
- Hayashi, M., van der Kamp, G., & Rosenberry, D. O. (2016). Hydrology of Prairie Wetlands:
 Understanding the Integrated Surface-Water and Groundwater Processes. Wetlands, 36, 237–
 254. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-016-0797-9
- Hostetler, S. W., Bates, G. T., & Giorgi, F. (1993). Interactive coupling of a lake thermal model
 with a regional climate model. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 98(D3), 5045–
 5057. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/92JD02843</u>
- Ireson, A. M., van der Kamp, G., Ferguson, G., Nachshon, U. & Wheater, H. S. Hydrogeological
 processes in seasonally frozen northern latitudes: understanding, gaps and challenges.
 Hydrogeol. J. 21, 53–66 (2013).
- Ireson, A. M. et al. The changing water cycle: the Boreal Plains ecozone of Western Canada. Wiley
 Interdiscip. Rev. Water 2, 505–521 (2015).
- Koster, R. D., Suarez, M. J., Ducharne, A., Stieglitz, M., & Kumar, P. (2000). A catchment-based approach to modeling land surface processes in a general circulation model: 1. Model structure.
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 105(D20), 24809–24822. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900327
- Lehner, B., & Döll, P. (2004). Development and validation of a global database of lakes, reservoirs
 and wetlands. Journal of Hydrology, 296(1-4), 1-22.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.03.028
- Liang, X., Lettenmaier, D. P., Wood, E. F., & Burges, S. J. (1994). A simple hydrologically based
 model of land surface water and energy fluxes for general circulation models. Journal of
 Geophysical Research, 99(D7), 14415. https://doi.org/10.1029/94JD00483
- Liu, C. et al. Continental-scale convection-permitting modeling of the current and future climate
 of North America. Clim. Dyn. 49, 71–95 (2017).
- Melton, J. R., Wania, R., Hodson, E. L., Poulter, B., Ringeval, B., Spahni, R., et al. (2013). Present state of global wetland extent and wetland methane modelling: conclusions from a model intercomparison project (WETCHIMP). Biogeosciences, 10(2), 753–788.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-753-2013</u>
- 745 Miguez-Macho, G., Fan, Y., Weaver, C. P., Walko, R. & Robock, A. Incorporating water table
- dynamics in climate modeling: 2. Formulation, validation, and soil moisture simulation. J.
 Geophys. Res. Atmos. 112, 1–16 (2007).

- Mishra, V., Cherkauer, K. A., & Bowling, L. C. (2010). Parameterization of lakes and wetlands
 for energy and water balance studies in the great lakes region. Journal of Hydrometeorology,
 11(5), 1057–1082. <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JHM1207.1</u>
- Mitra, S., Wassmann, R., Vlek, P. L. G. (2005) An appraisal of global wetland area and its organic
 carbon stock. Curr Sci 88:25–35
- 753 Mitsch WJ, Gosselink JG (2007) Wetlands, 4th edn. Wiley, Hoboken
- Valuing wetlands. (2021). Nature Geoscience, 14(3), 111–111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-</u>
 021-00713-4
- Niu, G.-Y., Yang, Z.-L., Dickinson, R. E., & Gulden, L. E. (2005). A simple TOPMODEL-based
 runoff parameterization (SIMTOP) for use in global climate models. Journal of Geophysical
 Research, 110(D21), D21106. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006111</u>
- Niu, G.-Y., Yang, Z.-L., Mitchell, K. E., Chen, F., Ek, M. B., Barlage, M., et al. (2011). The community Noah land surface model with multiparameterization options (Noah-MP): 1. Model description and evaluation with local-scale measurements. Journal of Geophysical Research, 116(D12), D12109. https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD015139
- Oleson, K. W., Niu, G.-Y., Yang, Z.-L., Lawrence, D. M., Thornton, P. E., Lawrence, P. J., Stöckli,
 R., Dickinson, R. E., Bonan, G. B., Levis, S., Dai, A., & Qian, T. (2008). Improvements to the
 Community Land Model and their impact on the hydrological cycle. Journal of Geophysical
 Research: Biogeosciences, 113(G1), n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JG000563
- Pattison-Williams, J. K., Pomeroy, J. W., Badiou, P., & Gabor, S. (2018). Wetlands, Flood Control
 and Ecosystem Services in the Smith Creek Drainage Basin: A Case Study in Saskatchewan,
 Canada. Ecological Economics, 147, 36–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.12.026
- Perkins, S. E. (2015). A review on the scientific understanding of heatwaves-Their measurement,
 driving mechanisms, and changes at the global scale. Atmospheric Research, 164–165, 242–
 267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2015.05.014
- Pitman, A. (1991). A simple parameterization of sub-grid scale open water for climate models.
 Climate Dynamics, 6(2), 99–112. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00209983
- Prigent, C., Matthews, E., Aires, F., and Rossow, W. B. (2001). Remote sensing of global wetland
 dynamics with multiple satellite data sets, Geo. Res. Lett., 28, 4631-4634
- Prigent, C., Papa, F., Aires, F., Rossow, W. B., & Matthews, E. (2007). Global inundation
 dynamics inferred from multiple satellite observations, 1993–2000. Journal of Geophysical
 Research, 112(D12), D12107. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007847
- Prigent, C., Papa, F., Aires, F., Jimenez, C., Rossow, W. B., & Matthews, E. (2012). Changes in
 land surface water dynamics since the 1990s and relation to population pressure. Geophysical
 Research Letters, 39(8), n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051276
- Ringeval, B., Decharme, B., Piao, S. L., Ciais, P., Papa, F., de Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Prigent, C.,
 Friedlingstein, P., Gouttevin, I., Koven, C., & Ducharne, A. (2012). Modelling sub-grid wetland
 in the ORCHIDEE global land surface model: evaluation against river discharges and remotely
 sensed data. Geoscientific Model Development, 5(4), 941–962. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-</u>
- 787 <u>941-2012</u>
- Sivapalan, M., Beven, K., & Wood, E. F. (1987). On hydrologic similarity: 2. A scaled model of
 storm runoff production. Water Resources Research, 23(12), 2266–2278.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/WR023i012p02266</u>
- 791 Stieglitz, M., D. Rind, J. Famiglietti & C. Rosenzwieg (1997), An Efficient Approach to Modeling
- the Topographic Control of Surface Hydrology for Regional and Global Climate Modeling, J.
- 793 Clim., 10, 118-137.

- van der Kamp, G., & Hayashi, M. (2009). Groundwater-wetland ecosystem interaction in the
 semiarid glaciated plains of North America. Hydrogeology Journal, 17(1), 203–214.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-008-0367-1
- Wania, R., Melton, J. R., Hodson, E. L., Poulter, B., Ringeval, B., Spahni, R., et al. (2013). Present state of global wetland extent and wetland methane modelling: methodology of a model inter comparison project (WETCHIMP). Geoscientific Model Development, 6(3), 617–641.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-6-617-2013
- Zhang, Z., Li, Y., Chen, F., Barlage, M. & Li, Z. Evaluation of convection-permitting WRF
 CONUS simulation on the relationship between soil moisture and heatwaves. *Clim. Dyn.* (2018)
 doi:10.1007/s00382-018-4508-5.
- Zhang, Z., Li, Y., Barlage, M., Chen, F., Miguez-Macho, G., Ireson, A., & Li, Z. (2020). Modeling
 groundwater responses to climate change in the Prairie Pothole Region. Hydrology and Earth
- 806 System Sciences, 24(2), 655–672. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-655-2020</u>









Figure 3. Simple diagram demonstrating the modifications in this study, which includes the

824 modification of surface saturated fraction and the incorporation of a surface wetland storage

scheme in Noah-MP LSM.



826

Figure 4. Single-point simulation of F_{sat} modification (a-c) and incorporating dynamic wetland storage (d-f) in Fen site in central Saskatchewan: (a) surface saturated fraction from default and modified formula and GIEMS inundation extent, (b) surface water balance in ET, surface and underground runoff, (c) surface energy balance in sensible and latent heat fluxes; (d) water level change in wetland storage, (e) surface water balance in ET, surface and underground runoff, (f) surface energy balance in sensible and latent heat fluxes.





(b)

55°N

50°N



Wetland map shows large storage (greater than 200 mm) in North and East of the PPR. In West PPR less than 100 mm (shallow)



(WS-DEF) Surface Runoff

50

(WS-DEF) ET

160 120

Figure 6. 13-year summertime (MJJA) mean wetland storage level (a); and difference between

- WS and DEF simulations in surface runoff (b), evapotranspiration (ET, c), sensible heat flux (d), and latent heat flux (e).
- 843







Figure 9. Scatter plot of Δ TEMP (DEF-WS, °C) against the F_{satmx} , maximum saturated fraction in grid cell, from three-year summer monthly data.

856



858

Figure 10. Monthly precipitation from station observation, precipitation biases from two simulations, and the precipitation difference between WS and DEF simulations in the summer for three-year simulations.

Simulation design	Location	Period	Purpose
Single-point Noah-MP	Fen site, SK	20030101-20101231	Exam the sensitivity of F_{sat} formula and different level of storage
Offline regional Noah-MP	PPR region	20001001-20131001	Incorporate spatially varied F_{satmx} and W_{cap} parameters in the PPR
Coupled regional WRF	PPR region	2005-2007, three summers from Apr to Aug	Conduct coupled WRF- NoahMP-Wetland simulation and study the feedback to temperature

Table 1. Summary of the three simulations conducted in this study.