# Characteristics of Kelvin-Helmholtz Waves as Observed by the MMS from September 2015 to March 2020

Rachel C Rice<sup>1,1</sup>, Katariina Nykyri<sup>1,1</sup>, Xuanye Ma<sup>1,1</sup>, and Brandon Lee Burkholder<sup>1,1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University

November 30, 2022

#### Abstract

The Magnetospheric Multiscale (MMS) mission has presented a new opportunity to study the fine scale structures and phenomena of the Earth's magnetosphere, including cross scale processes associated with the Kelvin-Helmholtz Instability (KHI), but such studies of the KHI and its secondary processes will require a database of MMS encounters with Kelvin-Helmholtz (KH) waves. Here we present an overview of 45 MMS observations of the KHI from September 2015 to March 2020. Growth rates and unstable solid angles for each of the 45 events were calculated using a new technique to automatically detect plasma regions on either side of the magnetopause boundary. There was no apparent correlation between solar wind conditions during the KHI and its growth rate and unstable solid angle, which is not surprising as KH waves were observed downstream of their source region. We note all KHI were observed for solar wind flow speeds between 295 km/s and 610 km/s, likely due to a filtering effect of the instability onset criteria and plasma compressibility. Two-dimensional Magnetohydrodynamic (2D MHD) simulations were compared with two of the observed MMS events. Comparison of the observations with the 2D MHD simulations indicates that the new region sorting method is reliable and robust. The ability to automatically detect separate plasma regions on either side of a moving boundary and determine the KHI growth rate may prove useful for future work identifying and studying secondary processes associated with the KHI.

# Characteristics of Kelvin-Helmholtz Waves as Observed by the MMS from September 2015 to March 2020

# Rachel Rice<sup>1</sup>, Katariina Nykyri<sup>1</sup>, Xuanye Ma<sup>1</sup>, Brandon Burkholder<sup>2</sup>

4	$^{1}\mathrm{Embry}\text{-Riddle}$ Aeronautical University, Department of Physical Sciences, Center for Space and
5	Atmospheric Research
6	$^2 \mathrm{University}$ of Maryland Baltimore County, Goddard Planetary Heliophysics Institute

# Key Points:

3

7

8	- A survey of MMS data from September 2015 to March 2020 identified 45 Kelvin-
9	Helmholtz wave events.
10	• Events are observed for the full range of solar wind conditions. Growth rates are
11	independent of solar wind conditions.
12	• A new method is developed for the automatic detection of magnetosheath and mag
13	netospheric regions within the KHI.

 $Corresponding \ author: \ Rachel \ Rice, \ \texttt{ricer4@my.erau.edu}$ 

#### 14 Abstract

The Magnetospheric Multiscale (MMS) mission has presented a new opportunity to study 15 the fine scale structures and phenomena of the Earth's magnetosphere, including cross 16 scale processes associated with the Kelvin-Helmholtz Instability (KHI), but such stud-17 ies of the KHI and its secondary processes will require a database of MMS encounters 18 with Kelvin-Helmholtz (KH) waves. Here we present an overview of 45 MMS observa-19 tions of the KHI from September 2015 to March 2020. Growth rates and unstable solid 20 angles for each of the 45 events were calculated using a new technique to automatically 21 detect plasma regions on either side of the magnetopause boundary. There was no ap-22 parent correlation between solar wind conditions during the KHI and its growth rate and 23 unstable solid angle, which is not surprising as KH waves were observed downstream of 24 their source region. We note all KHI were observed for solar wind flow speeds between 25 295 km/s and 610 km/s, likely due to a filtering effect of the instability onset criteria and 26 plasma compressibility. Two-dimensional Magnetohydrodynamic (2D MHD) simulations 27 were compared with two of the observed MMS events. Comparison of the observations 28 with the 2D MHD simulations indicates that the new region sorting method is reliable 29 and robust. The ability to automatically detect separate plasma regions on either side 30 of a moving boundary and determine the KHI growth rate may prove useful for future 31 work identifying and studying secondary processes associated with the KHI. 32

#### **1 Introduction**

The ways in which the solar wind (SW) couples to the Earth's magnetosphere and 34 its impacts on local space weather is a fundamental question of space physics. Several 35 mechanisms operating at the magnetopause boundary, such as magnetic reconnection 36 [Paschmann et al., 1979; Sonnerup et al., 1981; Gosling et al., 1986; Burch and Phan, 37 2016] and viscous interactions [Axford and Hines, 1961; Otto and Fairfield, 2000; Fair-38 field et al., 2000], are responsible for the transfer of mass and energy from the solar wind 39 to the magnetosphere. Understanding the detailed effects of these processes is vital to 40 predict and help prevent negative outcomes from space weather. Consider as an exam-41 ple, the dawn-dusk asymmetry of the magnetosphere plasma sheet. 42

<sup>43</sup> Observations from Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) and Time
 <sup>44</sup> History of Events and Macroscale Interactions during Substorm (THEMIS) spacecraft
 <sup>45</sup> have established that the cold component ions of the plasma sheet are 30-40% hotter in

the dawn flank than in the dusk [Hasegawa et al., 2003; Wing et al., 2005]. Dimmock et al. 46 [2015] conducted a statistical study of the magnetosheath source population as observed 47 by THEMIS spacecraft over seven years, which showed ions in the dawn flank are on av-48 erage 10-15% hotter than those in the dusk flank. This asymmetry is more pronounced 49 under fast (> 400 km/s) SW conditions [Dimmock et al., 2015]. However, even during 50 fast SW, the asymmetry of the magnetosheath source plasma is insufficient to produce 51 the observed asymmetry in the plasma sheet. MHD simulations were unable to repro-52 duce the observed sheath asymmetry, but it was apparent in hybrid models, suggesting 53 a kinetic scale mechanism is responsible for asymmetrically driving the heating of cold 54 component ions in the sheath [Dimmock et al., 2015]. 55

Several physical mechanisms have been proposed as drivers of the observed plasma 56 sheet asymmetry. The Kelvin-Helmholtz instability (KHI), which occurs regularly at the 57 magnetopause boundary, is one such mechanism [Otto and Fairfield, 2000; Fairfield et al., 58 2000; Nykyri et al., 2003; Hasegawa et al., 2004; Nykyri et al., 2006; Taylor et al., 2008; 59 Foullon et al., 2008; Merkin et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2014a,b; Nykyri et al., 60 2017; Ma et al., 2017; Sorathia et al., 2019]. The KHI occurs in regions of large shear 61 flow [Chandrasekhar, 1961], such as the boundary between the shocked SW (the mag-62 netosheath) and the relatively stagnant magnetosphere [Miura and Pritchett, 1982]. Long 63 established as a source for momentum and energy transport from the SW to the mag-64 netosphere [Miura, 1984, 1987], later simulations and observations have shown non-linear 65 stages of the KHI are also capable of reconnection and mass transport [Nykyri and Otto, 66 2001, 2004; Nykyri et al., 2006; Haseqawa et al., 2009] and ion heating via kinetic wave 67 modes within the vortex [Moore et al., 2016, 2017]. Compressional waves, like Kelvin-68 Helmholtz or ultra-low frequency (ULF) waves, can also lead to kinetic Alfvén wave (KAW) 69 generation via mode conversion [Johnson et al., 2001; Chaston et al., 2007]. Recent work 70 by Nykyri et al. [2021] has suggested that KAWs associated with the KHI can contribute 71 to parallel electron heating, but in that case, were insufficient to account for the total 72 observed electron heating. Identifying the detailed mechanism or mechanisms driving 73 electron scale waves within the KHI and quantifying their contribution to electron heat-74 ing is still an open question. 75

<sup>76</sup> Observations have shown the KHI may form on both the dawn and dusk flanks un-<sup>77</sup> der any orientation of the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) [*Kavosi and Reader*, 2015], <sup>78</sup> but simulations have shown a preference for dawn flank formation when the IMF is in

-3-

a Parker Spiral (PS) orientation [Nykyri, 2013; Adamson et al., 2016]. Work by Henry 79 et al. [2017] analyzed the events presented in Kavosi and Reader [2015] and confirmed 80 this preference observationally. Henry et al. [2017] also confirmed a preference for KHI 81 formation at the dusk flank for high solar wind speeds under northward IMF (NIMF). 82 As PS is the most statistically common IMF orientation, it follows that the associated 83 preference for dawn-side KHI development would also be statistically more common. Such 84 asymmetry in the formation of KHI, combined with Kelvin-Helmholtz (KH) driven sec-85 ondary processes like reconnection and kinetic scale waves, make the KHI a strong can-86 didate to drive the dawn-dusk asymmetry of cold-component ions in the plasma sheet. 87

The launch of the Magnetospheric Multiscale (MMS) satellites presents a new op-88 portunity to extend this study of the KHI and its associated secondary processes to smaller 89 scales with higher resolution measurements. Within months of its launch, MMS had en-90 countered KHI [Eriksson et al., 2016]. The event reported by Eriksson et al. [2016] has 91 been the subject of several case studies: Li et al. [2016] found evidence of Alfvénic ion 92 jets and electron mixing due to reconnection at the trailing edge of the vortex; Wilder 93 et al. [2016] noted compressed current sheets and evidence of ion-acoustic waves, and Stawarz et al. [2016] took advantage of MMS's high temporal and spatial resolutions to study tur-95 bulence generated by the KHI. These secondary processes would contribute to ion heat-96 ing and plasma transfer across the magnetopause boundary. 97

Case studies are useful in identifying the fine-scale secondary processes associated 98 with the KHI, but statistical studies are necessary to fully understand their role and quan-99 tify their contribution to heating and driving the plasma sheet asymmetry. It is there-100 fore imperative, as a first step, to build a database of MMS encounters with KHI. Com-101 parison of the location, duration, and prevailing IMF conditions of many events with the 102 growth rates and unstable solid angles can help establish patterns which may prove in-103 formative in understanding the role KHI plays in magnetospheric dynamics (e.g., in gen-104 erating dawn-dusk asymmetries via secondary, "cross-scale" processes or affecting the 105 radiation belt electron populations via ULF wave generation or magnetopause shadow-106 ing). 107

In this paper we present a list of MMS encounters with the KHI and the physical characteristics of each, which may be used for future studies of small scale secondary processes. The MMS instrumentation and observational signatures used to identify the KHI

-4-

encounters are described in Section 2.1 and 2.2, respectively. Growth rates and the unstable solid angle used to characterize the KHI are derived in Section 2.3. Section 2.4 details the methodology used to separate magnetosheath and magnetospheric regions of the observed events, in order to caclulate the growth rates and unstable solid angle for each event. Results of these calculations are presented in Section 3. The methodology was also tested using 2-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic simulations as described in Section 4. Conclusions are presented and discussed in Section 5.

#### 118 2 Methodology

119

# 2.1 MMS Instrumentation

Observational data reported here is level 2 survey data from MMS1 [Burch et al., 120 2016]. Spacecraft separations are at most 230 km, and most often between 20 and 50 km, 121 well below the typical size of the KHI, thus all spacecraft are expected to observe the 122 same signatures and a single craft is sufficient to identify the KHI. Ion energy spectra 123 and ion and electron moments are taken from the Fast Plasma Investigation (FPI) [Pol-124 lock et al., 2016]. The Flux Gate Magnetometer (FGM) provides the DC magnetic field 125 [Russell et al., 2016; Torbert et al., 2016]. Data file versions used are v3.3.0.cdf for FPI 126 and v4.18.0.cdf for FGM. SW data are taken from the OMNI database [King and Pa-127 pitashvili, 2005]. 128

129

#### 2.2 Observational Signatures & Identification of the KHI

Between September 2015 and March 2020, MMS made thousands of full and partial crossings of the magnetopause. In order to narrow the search field, we limited ourselves to magnetopause crossings which were noted to be unstable in the MMS event database. Approximately 100 unique intervals were tagged as potentially containing KHI activity. These crossings were checked by eye to determine if they exhibited the characteristics of the KHI. These characteristic signatures are as follows:

136

• Quasi-periodic fluctuations in omnidirectional ion energy;

<sup>137</sup> When MMS crosses a stable magnetopause boundary, we expect to see a smooth tran-

sition from plasma with energy typical of the magnetosheath to plasma with typical mag-

<sup>139</sup> netospheric energy (or vice versa). When the boundary is not stable, this transition will

-5-

# Confidential manuscript submitted to JGR-Space Physics

140	not be smooth, and may show alternating regions of plasma with energies typical of the
141	magnetosheath and magnetosphere, as well as mixed energies due to plasma mixing in
142	the KH vortex. For the case of a boundary disturbed by a periodic instability like the
143	KHI, these alternating regions should also be relatively periodic.
144	• Quasi-periodic, anti-correlated fluctuations in ion density and temperature;
145	The periodic observation of the magnetosheath and mangetospheric regions will also be
146	evident in the ion density and temperature, as MMS alternately encounters regions of
147	plasma from the cold, dense magnetosheath and the hot, tenuous magnetosphere.
148	• Velocity shear(s) on the order of 100s of km/s;
149	Large velocity shears are common at the flank magentopause, where the magnetosphere
150	is relatively stagnant and the magnetosheath plasma is accelerating from low speeds im-
151	mediately after the shock to "catch up" with the SW speed further downtail $[Dimmock$
152	and Nykyri, 2013]. Large velocity shears are also a necessary condition for the develop-
153	ment of the KHI [Chandrasekhar, 1961; Miura, 1984, 1987].
154	• Fluctuations in the total magnetic field;
155	The total strength of the magnetic field will vary as the KH vortex compresses the field
156	lines.
157	• Bipolar variations in the normal component of the magnetic field
158	Fluctuations in the magnetic field should appear as bipolar variations in the normal com-
159	ponent as the vortex twists the field lines. Changes in the normal component and to-
160	tal magnetic field help distinguish the KHI from a shifting boundary, such as a response
161	to SW dynamic pressure variations.
162	• Fluctuations in total pressure, specifically decreases corresponding to the center
163	of the KH vortex, where $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{N}}$ is near 0.
164	The rotational nature of the KHI creates an outward force which is balanced by a pres-
165	sure gradient, resulting in a decrease of total pressure at the center of the vortex. KHI

 $_{166}$  events thus show a lower total pressure near the center of the vortex (where  $B_N$  is zero)

-6-

and higher pressure in the spine region. This signature allows us to distinguish the KHI from a flux transfer event (FTE) in which total pressure typically increases when  $B_N$ is zero [Nykyri et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2016]. We note that MMS will not always observe this particular signature, depending on the path MMS takes through the instability.

Twisting at the boundary is also evident in a comparison of the normal component 172 with the total bulk velocity. At a quiet boundary, plasma bulk velocity is generally tan-173 gential to the boundary. As a KHI twists the boundary, the normal component of the 174 velocity increases. We compare the maximum absolute value of the normal velocity com-175 ponent to the total velocity at the time of observation. For a well developed vortex, the 176 maximum value of the normal velocity should be a significant fraction of the total ve-177 locity. The ratio of the maximum normal velocity to the total velocity for each event is 178 presented in Section 3 179

To obtain the normal component of the field, observed magnetic field data is ro-180 tated into boundary normal (LMN) coordinates using the maximum variance of the elec-181 tric field (MVA-E) technique. The general method for variance analysis techniques is given 182 in Sonnerup and Scheible [1998]. Nykyri et al. [2011a,b] showed the single spacecraft MVA-183 E technique is sufficient for identification of the boundary normal direction when the plasma 184 bulk velocity and magnetic field are primarily tangential to the boundary, as is typically 185 the case during KHI. It is also used here, rather than a multi-spacecraft method, to al-186 low for automation of the analysis. For MVA-E, the direction in which the convective 187  $(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})$  electric field variance is maximized (i.e., the direction of the maximum eigen-188 vector of the variance matrix) is taken as the normal direction,  $\mathbf{N}$ . The 180° ambigu-189 ity in the normal direction is resolved by requiring the unit normal be positive pointing 190 outward from the magnetosphere. Tangential directions, L and M, are defined by the 191 intermediate and minimum eigenvectors of the MVA-E matrix, but are not relevant to 192 the current analysis. 193

All of the above signatures are present in the two example cases shown in Figures 1 and 2. The first five signatures are present in all identified events listed in Table 1. The final signature is dependent on the MMS trajectory through the KHI, and may or may not be visible in the observational data for any given event.

-7-

Figure 1 shows MMS1 survey level observations from 06:00 to 07:00 UT on 15 Oc-198 tober 2015, the availability of burst mode data for portions of the interval is indicated 199 with a blue bar at the top of the figure. MMS passed through the dusk flank of the day-200 side magnetopause during strongly duskward IMF. The omni-directional ion energy spec-201 trogram in panel (a) shows the expected quasi-periodic variations throughout the inter-202 val, which are well matched by anti-correlated changes in ion density and temperature 203 (c). A velocity shear on the order of 200 km/s is visible near 06:26 UT in panel (d). The 204 GSM magnetic field in panel (e) shows 20-40nT fluctuations characteristic of the KHI 205 from 06:26 to 06:39 UT and again near from 06:48 to 06:55 UT. These fluctuations are 206 also present as bipolar variations in the normal component of the magnetic field (f). De-207 creases in total pressure (g) are visible starting around 06:27 UT and continuing through 208 06:48 UT. The decreases of total pressure correspond with times at which the normal 209 magnetic field component is near 0, particularly from 06:35-06:40 UT. 210

Survey mode MMS1 observations of another KHI encounter from 16:35 to 19:07 217 UT on 26 September 2017 are shown in Figure 2. The blue bar at top again indicates 218 burst mode data is available for portions of the interval. MMS crossed the dusk flank 219 tail magnetopause while the IMF was in a PS orientation with a strong northward com-220 ponent. Quasi-periodic fluctuations in omni directional ion spectra are observable through-221 out the interval in panel (a) and are accompanied by anti-correlated variations in ion den-222 sity and temperature (c). Velocity shears (d) on the order of 200 km/s occur regularly 223 throughout the interval. Panel (e) shows fluctuations around 10 nT in the total mag-224 netic field, which are also visible as bipolar signatures in the normal component of the 225 magnetic field (f). Decreases in total pressure (g) of approximately 0.1 nPa correspond 226 well with times when BN is near 0. 227

Table 1 summarizes the 45 MMS encounters with the KHI between September 2015 232 and March 2020. In this time period MMS observed more KH events on the dusk side 233 magnetopause (29) than on the dawn-side (16). Events are evenly distributed between 234 the dayside and tail magnetopause: 22(23) events occur sunward(tailward) of the ter-235 minator. KHI in the tail are all observed in or after May 2017, which is primarily due 236 to a sampling effect of the MMS orbit change from Phase One, which targeted the day-237 side magnetopause, to Phase Two, which targeted the tail. The observed events ranged 238 in duration from as little as 10 minutes to nearly 13 hours. Burst mode data is available 239

for portions of all 45 events, which will be useful for future studies of smaller scale processes within the KHI.

SW data from OMNI is available for 44 of the 45 events, which occur under a va-242 riety of IMF orientations and solar wind conditions. We consider the planar and  $B_Z$  com-243 ponents separately. At the time at which MMS first observes the KHI, the planar com-244 ponents of the IMF show a preference for PS (17). Less common are radial, duskward, 245 dawnward (8 each), and ortho-Parker Spiral (OPS) (3) orientations. For the duration 246 of each event, the planar components of the average IMF configurations show a prefer-247 ence for the PS orientation (17), followed by radial and dawnward (8 each) orientation. 248 Duskward (6) and OPS (5) orientations are less common. At event onset, the  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{Z}}$  com-249 ponent of the IMF was more often northward (27) than southward (17). This preference 250 for NIMF orientation holds true for the duration of each event: 26 (18) of the events oc-251 curred under average  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{Z}}$  positive (negative). The IMF vectors and values of the SW con-252 ditions for each event are available in the Supplement. SW parameters are discussed and 253 correlated with KHI growth rates in Section 3. 254

Having identified MMS encounters with the KHI, we next calculate the growth rate
and unstable solid angle of each event and compare the results with the prevailing solar wind and IMF properties.

#### 258

#### 2.3 Instability Growth Rate & Unstable Solid Angle

Assuming an infinitely thin boundary layer, a region unstable to the KHI will sat isfy the KH instability criteria

$$[\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{v_1} - \mathbf{v_2})]^2 \ge \frac{n_1 + n_2}{4\pi m_0 n_1 n_2} [(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B_1})^2 + (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B_2})^2]$$
(1)

where  $\mathbf{v}_i$ ,  $n_i$ , and  $\mathbf{B}_i$  are the the velocity, density, and magnetic field on either side of the velocity shear layer and  $\mathbf{k}$  is the wave vector [*Chandrasekhar*, 1961].

Equation 1 may be rearranged to determine the normalized growth rate of the KHI in a particular region, which is defined as

$$Q/k = \sqrt{a_1 a_2 (\mathbf{\Delta v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}})^2 - a_1 (\mathbf{v_{A1}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}})^2 - a_2 (\mathbf{v_{A2}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}})^2}$$
(2)

where  $a_i$  is a density parameter for either side of the boundary, defined by  $a_i = \rho_i/(\rho_1 + \rho_2)$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{i}}$  is the Alfvén velocity, and  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$  is the unit wave vector (thus the growth rate is normalized to the wavelength), pointing in the direction of maximum growth. We use only **Table 1.** The date, onset time, duration, GSM location, and estimated wavelength of 45 KHI events observed by MMS from September 2015 to March 2020.

Burst mode data is available for portions of all events.

Event	Onset	Duration	GSM Location	KH Wave-	Event	Onset	Duration	GSM Location	KH Wave-
Number, Date	Time [UT]	[min]	$[R_E]$	length $[R_E]$	Number, Date	Time [UT]	[min]	$[R_E]$	length $[R_E]$
01, 08-Sep-15	00:60	170	[5.0, 7.4, -4.5]	2.80	24, 19 May-17	23:58	107	[-17.8, -16.6, -2.1]	20.72
02, 15-Sep-15	10:45	240	[5.1, 8.7, -5.5]	5.00	25, 20 May-17	02:00	150	[-17.6, -17.4, -0.6]	26.65
03, 11-Oct-15	10:30	30	[8.7, 6.5, -4.7]	3.71	26, 20  Sep-17	22:32	43	[-10.8, 20.9, 1.3]	8.20
04, 15-Oct-15	00:90	60	[9.0, 4.1, -2.3]	2.29	27, 26 Sep-17	16:35	152	[-9.3, 19.6, -0.9]	6.47
05, 17-Oct-15	16:00	28	[6.4, 7.8, -4.1]	4.94	28, 16 Oct-17	14:30	50	[-4.0, 18.6, -2.7]	7.71
06, 18-Oct-15	15:00	25	[7.2, 7.5, -4.4]	8.18	29, 30 Oct-17	19:05	35	[-0.6, 17.3, 1.6]	4.20
07, 22-Dec-15	22:15	35	[7.9, -5.7, -1.8]	2.58	30, 02 Nov-17	17:25	50	[-0.9, 14.8, 0.8]	6.38
08, 11-Jan-16	20.52	18	[6.2, -7.6, -3.4]	1.99	31, 03 May-18	00:15	35	[-9.3, -17.5, -2.3]	8.43
09, 19-Jan-16	19:57	38	[5.3, -8.2, -3.9]	3.25	32, 18 Sep-18	15:50	25	[-14.1, 20.6, -1.0]	5.17
10, 05-Feb- $16$	18.55	35	[3.3, -9.3, -5.0]	5.97	33, 24 Sep-18	14:10	195	[-14.1, 20.3, -1.6]	19.35
11, 07-Feb- $16$	03:45	55	[7.0, -6.9, -3.5]	4.20	34, 02 Oct-18	23:45	35	[-10.8,  22.5,  2.1]	11.25
12, 18-Feb-16	19:30	70	[2.5, -9.7, -6.3]	6.81	35, 04 Oct-18	17:25	10	[-0.8, 16.2, -0.2]	2.50
13, 25-Feb-16	18.55	70	[1.3, -9.9, -6.5]	2.26	36, 13  Apr-19	07:45	30	[-0.6, -17.5, 2.4]	9.68
14, 26-Sep-16	14:15	20	[2.7, 8.5, -5.4]	11.85	37, 03 Jun-19	23:05	75	[-2.2, -14.9, -3.8]	7.46
15, 27-Sep-16	19:50	20	[0.3, 11.5, -3.4]	2.62	38, 25 Sep-19	13:45	765	[-16.7, 22.0, -0.2]	12.33
16, 04-Oct-16	18:20	20	[1.8, 11.2, -3.6]	9.51	39, 02 Oct-19	08:15	165	[-9.9, 21.5, -4.5]	8.54
17, 10-Oct-16	14:40	60	[4.3, 9.3, -5.0]	9.43	40, 02 Oct-19	16:00	80	[-12.9, 23.5, -2.1]	13.03
18, 24-Oct-16	10.50	30	[6.8,  6.1,  -4.3]	1.09	41, 02 Oct-19	21:40	25	[-14.6, 24.0, 1.1]	7.11
19, 04-Nov-16	11:45	75	[8.1, 7.2, -3.8]	2.28	42, 06 Oct-19	14:50	175	[-14.8, 24.4, -4.2]	17.10
20, 03-May-17	02:00	150	[-12.9, -19.7, -3.9]	17.39	43, 15 Oct-19	19:00	75	[1.2,  12.8,  2.9]	8.81
21, 08-May-17	13:00	110	[-14.8, -17.2, 0.3]	11.50	44, 22 Oct-19	22:00	20	[1.8, 15.3, 3.8]	3.76
22, 11-May-17	12:00	150	[-15.6, -18.2, 1.4]	18.47	45, 12 Nov-19	20:30	75	[6.7, 11.8, 5.2]	7.04
23, 11-May-17	15:44	31	[-15.3, -19.2, -0.3]	7.75					

proton data to determine the values in Equation 2 as the low mass electrons have no meaningful influence on the growth rate, and minor ion species are not abundant enough to
contribute significantly.

Note Equation 2 is an upper limit of the growth rate for an observed event due to 271 the assumption of an infinitely thin boundary, which is not true for the magnetopause. 272 Equations 1 and 2 also assume an incompressible plasma, yet for very high (> 600 km/s)273 SW speeds, the compressibility is generally sufficient to stabilize the development of the 274 KHI. Due to these assumptions, the growth rate as determined by Equation 2 is an over-275 estimate of the growth rate for an observed KHI. It must also be noted that MMS is un-276 likely to observe the source region of the KHI and local conditions may not match those 277 of the source region. The difference in growth rate from the source region to the obser-278 vation point is not predictable from observations. 279

In order to compare the growth rates for KHI events observed at various locations 280 and under a variety of SW and IMF conditions, we make it unitless via normalization 281 to the local fast mode speed,  $v_{fm} = \sqrt{v_A^2 + c_s^2}$ . Both magnetic tension and compress-282 ibility have stabilizing effects on the KHI. Likewise, the fast mode speed is dependent 283 on magnetic tension via the Alfvén velocity,  $v_A$ , and compressibility via the sound speed, 284  $c_s$ . Further, Miura and Pritchett [1982] showed the KHI growth rate is strongly corre-285 lated to the fast mode speed, and is stable for  $Q/k > v_{fm}$ , thus it is more physically 286 meaningful to normalize to the fast mode speed than another characteristic speed. 287

It is also important to note, our expression of the fast mode speed here is an upper limit which assumes the magnetic field is perpendicular to the bulk velocity. When the field and velocity are parallel, the larger of the sound or Alfvén speed is used as the fast mode speed. This means the unitless growth rate we present is a lower bound, and may be larger depending upon the relative geometry of the magnetic field and bulk velocity.

The fast mode speed is not equal in the magnetosheath (sub-index msh) and magnetosphere (sub-index msp), so we normalize to the mean of the two, such that

$$Q_{unitless} = \frac{Q/k}{v_{fm}}$$

294 where  $v_{fm} = \frac{1}{2}(v_{fmmsh} + v_{fmmsp}).$ 

In Equation 2 the direction of  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$  is chosen to maximize the normalized growth rate, but many directions of  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$  may satisfy the instability criteria. This range of angles capable of satisfying the instability criteria can be used to determine just how susceptible a region is to the development of the KHI.

The KHI may propagate in any direction  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$  for which Q/k is real (the right hand side of Equation 2 is positive under the square root). If we express  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$  in terms of the spherical angles  $\phi$  and  $\theta$ , the percent of the  $4\pi$  solid angle that satisfies the KHI instability criteria at a given location may be calculated. We term this percentage the "unstable solid angle" [*Burkholder et al.*, 2020; *Nykyri et al.*, 2021]. Events with larger unstable solid angles are likely to be KHI.

Growth rate alone is not a sufficient parameter to characterize the KHI; cases with 305 small growth rates can be indicative of a source region further upstream, such that the 306 KHI has already created a more diffuse boundary layer. The KHI is a convective insta-307 bility which dissipates stored energy as it develops, thus growth rate and the unstable 308 solid angle are maximized just prior to the formation of the KH vortex. The nature of 309 in-situ observations, however, dictates we cannot identify a KHI until it is relatively well 310 developed. Thus small growth rates and unstable solid angles are not necessarily counter-311 indicative of the presence of the KHI, but may instead be features of later stage KH waves. 312

As a secondary check for events with low growth rates, we plot tailward velocity 313 as a function of density to see if the KHI vortex had rolled over, examples of which are 314 seen in Figure 3. As the KHI develops, it may form non-linear vortices in which low den-315 sity magnetospheric plasma becomes trapped and is dragged tailward with magnetosheath-316 like velocities. This is seen in observations as low density plasma (typically associated 317 with the magnetosphere) flowing tailward with the magnetosheath [Haseqawa et al., 2006; 318 Taylor et al., 2012, and is apparent as points in the lower left quadrant of Figure 3. For 319 the 15 October 2015 event, ions do not show signatures of roll-over, indicating the KHI 320 is in an earlier phase of development. For the 26 September 2017 event, ions with magnetosphere-321 like density flowing with magnetosheath-like velocities are present, indicating the KHI 322 has rolled over to form a well-developed vortex. 323

Another indicator of vortex roll-over within the KHI is a comparison of the normal component with the total bulk velocity. At a quiet boundary, plasma bulk velocity is generally tangential to the boundary. As a KHI twists the boundary, the normal

-12-

component of the velocity increases. We compare the maximum absolute value of the 334

normal velocity component to the total velocity at the time of observation. For a well 335

developed vortex, the maximum value of the normal velocity should be a significant frac-336 tion of the total velocity.

Results for the growth rate, unitless growth rate, unstable solid angle, and relative 338 value of normal velocity are presented in Section 3. 339

340

337

## 2.4 Automated Region Sorting

Calculation of the growth rate and unstable solid angle requires the identification 341 of separate regions of magnetosheath and magnetospheric plasma on either side of the 342 magnetopause boundary. This is made difficult by the plasma mixing inherent within 343 KH waves. In case studies it is common to select a few minutes of data in the pure mag-344 netosheath and magnetosphere regions well away from the unstable boundary area. This 345 is not, however, the most robust or efficient way to handle region identification for the 346 many cases necessary for a statistical study. Instead, we seek to automate the process 347 of separating the magnetosheath and magnetosphere regions. 348

The unperturbed flank magnetosheath is characterized by cold, dense plasma flow-349 ing tailward at high speeds with the shocked SW. In contrast the magnetospheric plasma 350 near the flanks is hot, tenuous, and relatively stagnant. Thus, a combination of density, 351 temperature, and the X-component of the bulk velocity may be used to separate data 352 from the magnetosheath and magnetosphere regions. The isolated data provides the mean 353 values of density, velocity, etc. in each region which are used in the calculation of the 354 growth rates and unstable solid angle. 355

The magnetosheath is identified by the product of ion density and tailward veloc-356 ity divided by the average ion temperature,  $nv_{tail}/T$ . The GSM-X velocity component, 357  $v_X$ , is measured to be large and negative in the sheath and small, either positive or neg-358 359 ative, in the magnetosphere. To simplify our parameter, we shift the tailward velocity to be strictly positive with a minimum value at 0, such that  $v_{tail} = |v_X - \max(v_X)|$ . 360 The resulting parameter,  $nv_{tail}/T$ , is thus large in the magnetosheath and small in the 361 magnetosphere. We identify the sheath as any region in which the value of  $nv_{tail}/T$  is 362 greater than 1.5 times the magnetopause value. The magnetopause value is defined as 363 the mean of the largest 12.5% and smallest 12.5% of all  $nv_{tail}/T$  values (for a total of 364

25% of available data) for each event. This method allows us to reliably identify the magnetosheath regions near the KHI while avoiding the inclusion of mixed and transition regions in our calculations of the KHI growth rate and unstable solid angle (see the Supplementary Information for details justifying the data ranges and cutoff values presented here).

The  $nv_{tail}/T$  parameter does not, however, reliably isolate magnetospheric plasma. 370 Instead, we use the ion specific entropy,  $S = T/n^{2/3}$ , to identify magnetospheric regions 371 within each KHI event. The hot, tenuous magnetosphere has much higher specific en-372 tropy than the magnetosheath, so we may follow the same procedure as employed for 373 isolating the magnetosheath with specific entropy in place of the  $nv_{tail}/T$  parameter to 374 separate the magnetosphere. That is, any region with specific entropy 1.5 times greater 375 than the magnetopause value is considered to be the magnetosphere. Again the mag-376 netopause value is the mean of the largest 12.5% and smallest 12.5% (25% total) of all 377 entropy values for the event. This allows for reliable determination of the magnetospheric 378 regions near the KHI without including mixed and transition plasma regions (see Sup-379 plementary Information). 380

The results of this region sorting method are are depicted in panel b of Figures 1 381 and 2. Red (blue) bars represent regions of magnetosphere (magnetosheath) plasma. The 382 green bar identifies regions of mixed plasma. In both example events, the identified re-383 gions are in good agreement with omnidirectional ion energy spectrograms and the ion 384 density and temperature measurements. In Figure 3 red and blue points also represent 385 the magnetosphere and magnetosheath respectively. In the 2017 case, rolled-over plasma 386 is considered mixed, despite having density more characteristic of the magnetosphere. 387 This is a good indicator that our method of automatically separating regions is select-388 ing only pure magnetosheath and magnetospheric plasmas and excluding regions where 389 the KHI has already caused mixing. 390

Having isolated the separate regions, we then calculate mean values of density, temperature, velocity, and magnetic field on either side of the boundary. These values are checked to ensure they fall within typical ranges for the magnetosheath and magnetosphere before they are used in calculation of the growth rate and unstable solid angle. The new method was also tested using simulation data, and provided good agreement with known values (see Section 4 and Supplementary Information).

#### <sup>397</sup> **3** Observational Results

Having separated the magnetosheath and magnetospheric regions of each event, 398 growth rates (GR), unitless growth rates (UGR), and unstable solid angles (USA) are 399 calculated. Results for all 45 events are listed in Table 2. GR range from 3.93 to 103.16 400 km/s. When normalized to the fast mode speed, UGR range from 0.005 to 0.325, but 401 more typically are between 0.010 and 0.200. That is, the KHI typically develops at 1-402 20% of the local fast mode speed; only 1 event falls below this range and 7 above it. USA 403 range from 0.06 to 39.51. At its maximum, the normal component of velocity often ac-404 counts for more than 60%, and occasionally all, of the total velocity, indicating the ob-405 served KH waves have significantly twisted the boundary. Events with strongly twisted 406 boundaries are good candidates for future studies of reconnection and other secondary 407 processes driven by the KHI. 408

GR, UGR, and USA show some dependence on location, as can be seen in Figure 414 4. The locations of the KHI events observed by MMS are plotted in the GSM X-Y (left 415 column), X-Z (middle column), and Y-Z (right column) planes and color coded accord-416 ing to the GR (top row), UGR (middle row), and USA (bottom row). KHI observed near 417 the sub-solar point tend to have lower GR than those observed further along the mag-418 netopause, particularly those observed along the tail. This is still apparent even when 419 growth rates are normalized to the local fast mode speeds. This is likely due to the low 420 velocity shear near the subsolar point. Immediately after the bow shock, the magnetosheath 421 plasma is slowed significantly from SW speeds, and the shear between the sheath and 422 magnetosphere is much lower than further downtail, where the magnetosheath plasma 423 has accelerated and returned to values of SW velocity. The low velocity shear near the 424 subsolar point will result in lower GR and UGR, as can be seen from Equation 2. 425

USA shows a similar pattern as the GR and UGR, with larger values observed further down tail. Again, this can be explained by the large velocity shears encountered along the tail magnetopause. On the dayside, the shocked solar wind of the magnetosheath is still accelerating back up to SW speed after encountering the obstacle of earth's magnetosphere and bow shock, thus velocity shears between the sheath and magnetosphere are smaller. Further down tail, the magnetosheath plasma has re-achieved the high SW flow speed, thus increasing the shear between the two regions. For larger velocity shears,

409	Table 2.(	Growth rates (GR), unitless growth rates (UGR), unstable solid angles (USA), and
410	the relative v	value of the maximum normal velocity component for each of the 45 KHI events
411	observed by	MMS from September 2015 to March 2020. At its maximum, the normal velocity
412	component is	s a significant fraction of the total velocity for most events. The asterisk indicates
413	the high-latit	tude event studied by Nykyri et al. [2021] and Michael et al. [2021]

Event	GR	UGR	USA	$v_{Nmax}$	Event	$\operatorname{GR}$	UGR	USA	$v_{Nmax}$
Number, Date	$[\rm km/s]$		[%]	$/v_{tot}$	Number, Date	$[\rm km/s]$		[%]	$/v_{tot}$
01, 08-Sep-15	81.63	0.081	6.37	0.96	24, 19-May-17	90.54	0.186	29.00	0.93
02, 15-Sep-15	16.27	0.019	0.82	0.99	25, 20-May-17	47.42	0.066	30.22	0.75
03, 11-Oct-15	15.68	0.016	0.42	0.58	26, 20-Sep-17	53.99	0.145	18.75	0.19
04, 15-Oct-15	8.83	0.007	0.11	0.85	27, 26-Sep-17	52.01	0.189	24.23	0.83
05, 17-Oct-15	25.05	0.032	4.01	0.92	28, 16-Oct-17	26.03	0.047	6.74	0.79
06, 18-Oct-15	52.31	0.063	9.07	0.83	29, 30-Oct-17	11.51	0.023	4.70	0.97
07, 22-Dec-15	10.41	0.010	0.29	0.83	30, 02-Nov-17	39.55	0.109	5.95	0.67
08, 11-Jan-16	17.47	0.015	0.27	0.89	31, 03-May-18	95.59	0.325	23.37	0.97
09, 19-Jan-16	13.78	0.025	0.12	0.52	32, 18-Sep-18	40.87	0.090	9.96	0.91
10, 05-Feb-16	22.31	0.028	5.74	0.93	33, 24-Sep-18	71.16	0.227	36.91	0.73
11, 07-Feb-16	13.36	0.019	0.16	0.66	34, 02-Oct-18	41.17	0.111	10.18	0.65
12, 18-Feb-16	34.90	0.038	8.96	1.00	35, 04-Oct-18	31.26	0.081	6.16	0.50
13, 25-Feb-16*	5.01	0.012	0.08	0.69	36, 13-Apr-19	48.93	0.089	15.66	0.76
14, 26-Sep-16	51.46	0.068	7.26	0.99	37, 03-Jun-19	42.25	0.108	16.63	0.94
15, 27-Sep-16	84.07	0.117	8.37	0.96	38, 25-Sep-19	74.22	0.198	28.04	0.91
16, 04-Oct-16	54.67	0.063	7.17	0.70	39, 02-Oct-19	29.28	0.083	6.10	0.58
17, 10-Oct-16	43.30	0.059	8.98	0.75	40, 02-Oct-19	96.46	0.209	26.71	0.82
18, 24-Oct-16	3.93	0.005	0.06	0.71	41, 02-Oct-19	37.12	0.111	18.09	0.52
19, 04-Nov-16	16.78	0.019	0.78	0.95	42, 06-Oct-19	82.43	0.210	34.49	0.98
20, 03-May-17	56.65	0.197	39.51	0.85	43, 15-Oct-19	94.08	0.296	18.37	0.98
21, 08-May-17	84.15	0.278	29.87	1.00	44, 22-Oct-19	52.52	0.110	12.00	1.00
22, 11-May-17	45.56	0.103	12.07	0.87	45, 12-Nov-19	103.16	0.250	14.34	0.90
23, 11-May-17	49.99	0.198	13.33	0.33					

the stabilizing effects of the magnetic field are less influential in the development of KHI,
and a larger solid angle is thus unstable to the growth of the KHI.

<sup>439</sup> A cluster of KHI events occur at high southern magnetic latitudes (GSM-Z <  $-4.5R_E$ ), <sup>440</sup> showing the KHI is not limited to lower latitudes. This is a new finding, as previous mis-<sup>441</sup> sions, such as THEMIS, remained at lower magnetic latitudes. Only three prior stud-<sup>442</sup> ies, two using Cluster data [*Hwang et al.*, 2012; *Ma et al.*, 2016], and one using MMS data <sup>443</sup> [*Nykyri et al.*, 2021; *Michael et al.*, 2021] (marked with asterisk in Table 2), have been <sup>444</sup> conducted on the KHI at high latitudes near the dawn and dusk flanks of the high-altitude <sup>445</sup> cusps.

Figure 5 depicts the GR (top), UGR (middle), and USA (bottom), of 44 of the 45 events as a function of SW density (far left), temperature (center left), flow speed (center), Alfvén Mach number (center right), and IMF magnitude (far right) taken from OMNI data. OMNI data was not available for one event. The color bar indicates the event number, so each event is shown with the same color in all plots for direct comparison.

451 SW density ranges from 2.6 to 17.0 /cc. Observed events are well distributed over 452 the density range, and no relationship is apparent between density and GR or USA. Tem-453 peratures generally range from 0.7 to 31.4 eV, with one outlier event occurring with a 454 SW temperature of 61.0 eV. Most events are observed for SW temperatures less than 455 20 eV, but no trend in GR, UGR, or USA is apparent.

There is an apparent selection window in the solar wind flow speed, with all events 456 occurring when solar wind flow is between 295 and 610 km/s. This fits with expectations 457 that low velocity shears between the sheath and magnetosphere are not unstable to the 458 KHI, and compressibility effects for very large shears stabilize the KHI [Miura and Pritch-459 ett, 1982]. Within this selection window there is no correlation between SW flow speed 460 and GR, UGR, or USA. Alfvén Mach numbers also show no clear relationship to GR, 461 UGR, or USA. Events are observed for Alfvén Mach numbers between 3.8 and 26.3, though 462 most events occur when the Mach Number is below 20. 463

IMF magnitude for all but one event is greater than 1.5 nT and less than 11.2 nT.
The outlier event occurred for an average IMF magnitude of 20.8 nT [*Eriksson et al.*,
2016]. Events are otherwise evenly distributed throughout the range of IMF magnitudes
with no apparent relationship to GR, UGR or USA.

We also compare the solar wind conditions for which KHI is observed to the so-468 lar wind conditions throughout the entire 4.5 year interval from September 2015 to March 469 2020 in Figure 6. MMS observes KHI for the full range of solar wind conditions. Den-470 sity, temperature, flow speed, and Alfvén Mach number values during KH intervals oc-471 cur with similar frequency as in the full time range with only slight deviations. The most 472 pronounced difference is in SW flow speed. KHI intervals overrepresent high SW speeds, 473 particularly between 350 and 450 km/s. This is unsurprising, as KH develop preferen-474 tially for high (> 400 km/s) SW speeds and compressibility at very high speeds (> 600 km/s)475 km/s can have a stabilizing effect. Given the distribution of SW speeds during the 4.5 476 year interval, the apparent selection window in SW speed is probably not significant, as 477 the solar wind speed is not often below 300 km/s or above 600 km/s. 478

As can be seen in Figure 7, IMF magnitude during KH intervals is nearly identi-483 cal to the observations in the complete time range, with a small decrease around the most 484 common strength and an increase at very large IMF (this is due to the outlier event oc-485 curring for IMF  $\approx 20$  [Eriksson et al., 2016]). The planar IMF components show no sig-486 nificant or conclusive variation from the full time range to the KHI intervals. For the  $B_Z$ 487 component of the IMF, KHI intervals tend to occur more for NIMF than southward IMF 488 (SIMF). This is likely due to subsolar reconnection during SIMF, which creates a more 489 diffuse boundary layer which is less prone to the development of the KHI. 490

The SW conditions and IMF orientations help explain the observation of more KHI on the dusk side of the magnetopause than on the dawn side. *Henry et al.* [2017] found dusk flank formation to be more common both for high SW speeds (> 400 km/s) and NIMF orientations. 25 of the 45 events occur when SW speed was high, and 29 of the 45 events had IMF orientations with positive  $B_Z$  components.

#### 499 4 Comparison with Simulations

To verify our method of isolating regions on either side of the boundary is robust, it was applied to parameters generated by two dimensional MHD simulations of the KHI. A simulation case for a KHI developing under NIMF conditions was tested using initial conditions comparable to those of the event on 08 September 2015. A second simulation case used initial conditions similar to those of the 18 October 2015 event for the KHI developing on the dusk flank under Parker Spiral IMF (PSIMF) orientation. The simulations, after *Ma et al.* [2019], solve the full set of resistive Hall-MHD equations using a leapfrog scheme [*Potter*, 1973; *Birn*, 1980; *Otto*, 1990]. We normalize all physical quantities to their typical scale, for example, the length *L* is normalized to  $L_0$ , the half width of the initial sheared flow; number density to  $n_0$ , the magnetic field to  $B_0$ , velocity to the Alfvén velocity,  $v_A = B/\sqrt{\mu_0 \rho_0}$ ; and the time to the Alfvén transit time  $T_A = L_0/v_A$ . Exact values of the normalizations for both simulation cases are listed in the Supplement.

A cut is taken through the simulation box at every time step. Data from these cuts 513 are separated into distinct regions using the method described in Section 2.4, then used 514 to calculate GR and USA. The GR as a function of time is shown in blue in panel (a) 515 of Figures 8 and 9 for the NIMF and PSIMF cases respectively. The GR of the obser-516 vation case on which the simulations are based is also shown in black, and the simula-517 tion GR, as determined by the slope of the linear portion a plot of  $\ln(v_{\perp})$  as a function 518 of time, is shown in green. Examples of the density at various time steps show the de-519 velopment of the KHI (panels b-f). The cuts used for calculations are shown in red in 520 the same panels. 521

As can be seen in Figures 8 and 9, the KHI growth rate increased from its initial value until the cut through the simulation captured vortex roll-over. After roll-over is observed, growth rate decreases sharply then increases towards its initial level as the instability dissipates. All of this is consistent with expectations: the free energy available to drive the KHI peaks before the vortex forms. The KHI then dissipates the energy.

In the NIMF case, GR calculated using Equation 2 are significantly greater than the simulation GR. This is to be expected as Equation 2 assumes an infinitely thin boundary layer and incompressible plasma; the simulation GR is free from these assumptions. In contrast, the simulation GR is larger, though very near, than the GR determined using Equation 2 for the PSIMF case. This may be due to other assumptions made in the simulation (e.g. pressure is not constant, beta is smaller than observed).

Within the first few time steps, the simulation matches well with the observed GR for the NIMF case. The GR of the event the NIMF simulation is based on is 81.63 km/s. The initial GR for the simulation is 82.74 km/s, and remains within 5 km/s of the observed GR for more than 80 time steps. That is, the first 20% of the simulation is in rough agreement with the observation. The PSIMF simulation shows equally good, if not better, agreement with the observed event on which it is based. The observed event has a GR of 52.41 km/s, and the initial GR value for the simulation is 52.44 km/s. The GR of the simulation remains within 5km/s of the observation's GR for more than 230 time steps, or nearly 60% of the simulation.

We note the growth rate is dependent upon the geometry of the cut. The method of separating the two regions works best when the spacecraft spends a significant portion of the event duration on both sides of the boundary. Therefore, events in which MMS only skims the KHI or spends significantly more time in one region than the other may actually grow faster than our calculations would indicate. The dependence of GR on cut geometry are discussed in more detail in the Supplementary Information.

560

561

562

# 5 Conclusions and Discussion

### The main conclusions may be summarized as follows:

• MMS observed 45 clear KHI events from September 2015 to March 2020.

From September 2015 to March 2020 MMS observed more than 100 unique mixed regions which initially resembled the KHI. Further analysis of total pressure and boundarynormal rotated magnetic field showed 45 of these events likely to be the KHI. These 45 events, summarized in Table 1, occur under a variety of prevailing SW conditions and IMF orientations.

The 45 events presented here form the beginnings of a database for statistical studies of the KHI and its associated secondary processes. Burst mode data is available for portions of all the identified events. This is useful and necessary for future studies of secondary processes approaching the electron scale. The methods used here may also be applied to the MMS data from April 2020 to present to further extend the database of events for analysis.

• An automated method uses  $nv_{tail}/T$  and specific entropy to identify the magnetosheath and magnetospheric regions, respectively, within a KH wave event. This method consistently isolates the pure regions, and excludes mixed plasma, both for real satellite and simulated data.

-20-

# Confidential manuscript submitted to JGR-Space Physics

578	The identified magnetosheath and magnetospheric regions of each KHI event match
579	well with the omni-directional ion energy spectrogram and density and temperature time
580	series. Mean values of density, temperature, velocity, and magnetic field in the identi-
581	fied regions are consistent with expectations. Plots of the $\operatorname{GSM}-X$ velocity and density
582	show mixed regions are successfully avoided. See the Supplementary Information for more
583	details on the development of the presented method and rejected alternatives.
584	In simulations the density within the identified regions throughout the simulation
585	is within 0.15/cc of the initial value for the NIMF case and 0.25/cc of the initial value
586	for the PSIMF case. Thus our method of isolating the pure magnetosheath and mag-
587	netosphere is reliable and robust even for late stage KHI with roll-over and mixing.
588	When comparing the results of the simulation and the observation, we see good agree-
589	ment for the growth rate for the NIMF and PSIMF case. GR from the NIMF simula-
590	tion was within 5 km/s agreement with the observational case for $\approx 20\%$ of the simu-
591	lation, and the PS simulation was in agreement for nearly $60\%$ of the simulation.
592	• Plasma parameters from the automatically isolated regions were used to calculate
593	KHI GR, UGR, and USA for the 45 KHI events in our database.
594	GR, UGR normalized to the local fast mode speed, and USA for the 45 KHI events
595	in our database are reported in Table 2.
596	Growth rates range from a minimum of $3.93$ to $103.16$ km/s. When normalized to
597	the fast mode speed, the unitless growth rate ranges from 0.005 to 0.325 in the extremes.
598	with most events in the 0.01 to 0.20 range. That is, most of the observed KHI grow at
599	a speed that is between $1\%$ and $20\%$ of the local fast mode speed.
600	Two of the events have unstable solid angles less than 1% of the total $4\pi$ solid an-
601	gle. Unstable solid angles are between 1% and 10% for 23 events, and between 10% and
602	25% for 17 events. Three events have unstable solid angles greater than $25%$ of the to-
602	tal $4\pi$ solid angle. Larger solid angles are more common further down tail where the ve-
604	locity shear from the magnetocheath to the magnetochere is greater and thus the sta
004	bilizing officers of the magnetic field are loss influential
605	binzing energy of the magnetic neid are less influential.

We note a few of the observed events occur in apparently stable regions with very low growth rates (e.g: the high-latitude case on 25 Feb 2016 [*Nykyri et al.*, 2021; *Michael* 

-21-

et al., 2021; this does not preclude the observed events from being the KHI. Convective 608 instabilities, like the KHI, dissipate energy stored in unstable regions and systems. As 609 excess energy is dissipated, the region becomes more stable, thus maximum instability 610 and growth rates occur just prior to the formation of the instability. Because it is dif-611 ficult to identify the KHI in observational data until is relatively well developed and has 612 dissipated some of the excess free energy, observations will only be made after growth 613 rates have decreased from their maxima. We believe those events occurring in apparently 614 more stable regions may be later in development than faster growing KHI in less stable 615 areas. 616

We also note the path MMS takes through the KHI event can have a significant effect on the growth rate determination. Encounters which merely skim the KH vortex rather than passing directly through it may actually grow faster than our calculations would indicate.

• The KHI is observed when SW flow speeds are between 295 and 610 km/s. Within this flow speed selection window, KHI GR, UGR, and USA are independent of prevailing SW conditions.

Values of the GR, UGR, and USA for each event are listed in Table 2. As can be 624 seen in Figure 5, GR, UGR, and USA appear to be independent of SW conditions, with 625 the exception of SW flow speed. All of the observed events occurred when the SW speed 626 was between 295 and 610 km/s. At flow speeds much below 295 km/s the velocity shear 627 is too low to satisfy the KHI onset conditions (Equation 1). At SW speeds above 610 628 km/s the compressibility of the plasma will usually stabilize the KHI [Miura and Pritch-629 ett, 1982]. Within this selection window between 295 and 610 km/s however, flow speed 630 is not correlated with GR, UGR, or USA. However, as can be seen in Figure 6, this se-631 lection window may reflect the distribution of SW speed throughout the entire 4.5 year 632 time range considered in this study. 633

The database of MMS KHI observations presented here will be used in future studies of secondary processes associated with the KHI. The availability of burst mode data for all 45 events allows studies of secondary KHI processes to be extended to smaller spatial and temporal scales. The trends we have observed in the location and SW and IMF

-22-

conditions may also be used to simplify the search for and identification of future KHIevents.

#### 640 Acknowledgments

- <sup>641</sup> Funding for this work was provided by the National Science Foundation under grant num-
- ber 1707521 and by NASA under grants numbers NNZ17AI50G and NNX16AF89G. Thanks
- are owed to the entire MMS team, and especially to the FGM and FPI instrument groups.
- MMS data was retrieved from the MMS Science Data Center at lasp.Colorado.edu/mms/sdc/public.
- 645 OMNI solar wind data is available from NASA Goddard Space Flight Center's Space Physics
- <sup>646</sup> Data Facility at omniweb.gsfc.nasa.gov.

#### 647 **References**

- Adamson, E., K. Nykyri, and A. Otto (2016), The Kelvin-Helmholtz instability un-
- der Parker-spiral interplanetary magnetic field conditions at the magnetospheric flanks, *Advances in Space Research*, 58.
- Axford, W., and C. Hines (1961), A unifying theory of high-Latitude phenomena
   and geomagnetic storms, *Canadian Journal of Physics*, 39, 1433–1464.
- Birn, J. (1980), Computer studies of the dynamic evolution of the geomagnetic tail,

Journal of Geophysical Research, 85, 1214–1222.

- Burch, J. L., and T. D. Phan (2016), Magnetic reconnection and the dayside magnetopause: advances with MMS, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43, 8327–8338,
- <sup>657</sup> doi:10.1002/2016GL069787.
- Burch, J. L., T. E. Moore, R. B. Torbert, and B. L. Giles (2016), Magnetospheric
  Multiscale overview and science objectives, *Space Science Reviews*, 199, 5–21,
  doi:10.1007/s11214-015-0164-9.
- Burkholder, B. L., K. Nykyri, X. Ma, R. Rice, S. A. Fuselier, K. J. Trattner, K. R.
- Pritchard, J. L. Burch, and S. M. Petrinec (2020), Magnetospheric multiscale ob-
- servation of an electron diffusion region at high latitudes, *Geophysical Research*
- $_{664}$  Letters, 47(15), doi:10.1029/2020GL087268.
- <sup>665</sup> Chandrasekhar, S. (1961), Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic Stability, Oxford Uni <sup>666</sup> versity Press.
- <sup>667</sup> Chaston, C. C., M. Wilber, M. Fujimoto, M. L. Goldstein, M. Acuna, H. Réme,
- and A. Fazakerley (2007), Mode conversion of anomalous transport in Kelvin-

669	Helmholtz vortices and kinetic Alfvén waves at Earth's magnetopause, Physical
670	Review Letters, 99.
671	Dimmock, A. P., and K. Nykyri (2013), The statistical mapping of magnetosheath
672	plasma properties based on THEMIS measurements in the magnetosheath in-
673	terplanetary medium reference frame, Journal of Geophysical Research, 118,
674	4963–4876.
675	Dimmock, A. P., K. Nykyri, H. Karimabadi, A. Osmane, and T. I. Pulkkinen
676	(2015), A statistical study into the spatial distribution and dawn-dusk asym-
677	metry of dayside mangetosheath ion temperatures as a function of upstream
678	solar wind conditions, Journal of Geophysical Research, 120, 2767–2782, doi:
679	10.1002/2014JA020734.
680	Eriksson, S., B. Lavraud, F. D. Wilder, J. E. Stawarz, B. L. Giles, J. L. Burch,
681	W. Baumjohann, R. E. Ergun, PA. Lindqvist, W. Magnes, C. J. Pollock, C. R.
682	Russel, Y. Saito, R. J. Strangeway, R. B. Torbert, D. J. Gershmann, Y. V.
683	Khotyaintsev, J. C. Dorelli, S. J. Schwartz, L. Avanov, E. Grimes, Y. Vernisses,
684	A. P. Sturner, T. D. Phan, G. T. Marklund, T. E. Moore, W. R. Paterson, and
685	K. A. Goodrich (2016), Magnetospheric multiscale observations of magnetic recon-
686	nection associated with Kelvin-Helmholtz waves, Geophysical Research Letters, 43,
687	5606–5615, doi:10.1002/2016GL068783.
688	Fairfield, D. H., A. Otto, T. Mukai, S. Kokubun, R. P. Lepping, J. T. Steinberg,
689	A. J. Lazaurs, and T. Yamamoto (2000), Geotail observations of the Kelvin-
690	Helmholtz instability at the equatorial magnetotail boundary for parallel north-
691	ward fields, Journal of Geophysical Research, 105.
692	Foullon, C., C. J. Farrugia, A. N. Fazakerley, C. J. Owen, F. T. Gratton, and R. B.
693	Torbert (2008), Evolution of Kelvin-Helmholtz activity on the dusk flank magne-
694	topause, Journal of Geophysical Research, 113, doi:10.1029/2008JA013175.
695	Gosling, J. T., M. F. Thomsen, S. J. Bame, and C. T. Russell (1986), Accelerated
696	plasma flows at the near-tail magnetopause, Journal of Geophysical Research:
697	Space Physics, 91, doi:10.1029/JA091iA03p03029.
698	Hasegawa, H., M. Fujimoto, K. Maezawa, Y. Saito, and T. Mukai (2003), Geotail

- Hasegawa, H., M. Fujimoto, K. Maezawa, Y. Saito, and T. Mukai (2003), Geotail
  observation of the dayside outer boundary region: Interplanetary magnetic field
- observation of the dayside outer boundary region: Interplanetary magnetic fiel
- control and dawn-dusk asymmetry, Journal of Geophysical Research, 108, doi:
- <sup>701</sup> 10.1029/2002JA009667.

702	Hasegawa, H., M. Fujimoto, TD. Phan, H. Réme, A. Balogh, M. W. Dunlop,
703	C. Hashimoto, and R. TanDokoro (2004), Transport of solar wind into Earth's
704	magnetosphere through rolled-up Kelvin-Helmholtz vortices, Nature, 430, 755–758.
705	Hasegawa, H., M. Fujimoto, K. Takagi, Y. Saito, T. Mukai, and H. Réme (2006),
706	Single-spacecraft detection of rolled-up Kelvin-Helmholtz vortices at the flank
707	magnetopause, Journal of Geophysical Research, 111, doi:10.1029/2006JA011728.
708	Hasegawa, H., A. Retinò, A. Vaivads, Y. Khotyaintsev, M. Andre, T. K. M. Naka-
709	mura, LL. Teh, B. U. O. Sonnerup, S. J. Schwartz, Y. Seki, M. Fujimoto,
710	Y. Saito, H. Reme, and P. Canu (2009), Kelvin-Helmholtz waves at the Earth's
711	magnetopause: multiscale development and associated reconnection, $Geophysical$
712	Research Letters, 114, doi:10.1029/2009JA014042.
713	Henry, Z. W., K. Nykyri, T. W. Moore, A. P. Dimmock, and X. Ma (2017), On the
714	dawn-dusk asymmetry of the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability between 2007 and 2013,
715	$Journal \ of \ Geophysical \ Research, \ 122, \ 11,888-11,900, \ {\rm doi:} 10.1002/2017 JA024548.$
716	Hwang, KJ., M. L. Goldstein, M. M. Kuznetsova, Y. Wang, A. F. Viñas, and
717	D. G. Sibeck (2012), The first in situ observation of kelvin-helmholtz waves at
718	high-latitude magnetopause during strongly dawnward interplanetary magnetic
719	field conditions, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 117(A8), doi:
720	10.1029/2011JA017256.
721	Johnson, J. R., C. Z. Cheng, and P. Song (2001), Signatures of mode conversion and
722	kinetic Alfvén waves at the magnetopause, Geophysical Research Letters, 28.
723	Kavosi, S., and J. Reader (2015), Ubiquity of Kelvin-Helmholtz waves at the Earth's
724	magnetopause, Nature Communications.
725	King, J. H., and N. E. Papitashvili (2005), Solar wind spatial scales in and com-
726	parisons of hourly wind and ACE plasma and magnetic field data, $Journal \ of$
727	Geophysical Research, 110, doi:10.1029/2004 JA010649.
728	Li, W., M. Andre, Y. V. Khotyaintsev, A. Vaivads, D. B. Graham, S. Toledo-
729	Redondo, C. Norgren, P. Henri, C. Wang, B. B. Tang, B. Lavraud, Y. Vernisse,
730	D. L. Turner, J. Burch, R. Torbet, W. Magnes, C. T. Russell, J. B. Blake,
731	B. Mauk, B. Giles, C. Pollock, J. Fennell, A. Jaynes, L. A. Avanov, J. C. Dorellie,
732	D. J. Gershman, W. R. Paterson, Y. Saito, and R. J. Strangeway (2016), Kinetic
733	evidence of magnetic reconnection due to Kelvin-Helmholtz waves, $Geophysical$
734	Research Letters, 43, 5635–5643, doi:10.1002/2016GL069192.

735	Lin, D., C. Wang, W. Li, B. Tang, X. Guo, and Z. Peng (2014), Properties of
736	Kelvin-Helmholtz waves at the magnetopause under northward interplanetary
737	magnetic field: statistical study, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics,
738	119, 7485–7494, doi:10.1002/2014JA020379.
739	Ma, X., A. Otto, and P. Delamere (2014a), Interaction of magnetic reconnection
740	and Kelvin-Helmholtz modes for large magnetic shear: 1. Kelvin-Helmholtz
741	trigger, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 119, 781–797, doi:
742	10.1002/2013JA019224.
743	Ma, X., A. Otto, and P. Delamere (2014b), Interation of magnetic reconnection and
744	Kelvin-Helmholtz modes for large magnetic shear: 2. reconnection trigger, $Journal$
745	of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 119, 808–820, doi:10.1002/2013JA019225.
746	Ma, X., A. Otto, P. A. Delamere, and H. Zhang (2016), Interaction between recon-
747	nection and Kelvin-Helmholtz at the high-latitude magnetopause, ${\it Advances}\ in$
748	Space Research, 58(2), 231–239.
749	Ma, X., P. Delamere, A. Otto, and B. Burkholder (2017), Plasma transport driven
750	by the three-dimensional Kelvin-Helmholtz instability, $Journal \ of \ Geophysical$
751	Research: Space Physics, 122, 10,382–10,395, doi:10.1002/2017JA024394.
752	Ma, X., P. Delamere, K. Nykyri, B. Burkholder, D. Neupane, and R. Rice (2019),
753	Comparison between fluid simulation with test particles and hybrid simulation for
754	the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics,
755	124, 6654-6668, doi:10.1029/2019JA026890.
756	Merkin, V. G., J. G. Lyon, and S. G. Claudepierre (2013), Kelvin-Helmholtz in-
757	stability of the magnetospheric boundary in a three-dimensional global MHD
758	simulation during northward IMF conditions, Journal of Geophysical Research:
759	Space Physics, 118, 5478–5496, doi:10.1002/jgra.50520.
760	Michael, A. T., K. A. Sorathia, V. G. Merkin, K. Nykyri, B. L. Burkholder, X. Ma,
761	A. Y. Ukhorskiy, and J. Garretson (2021), Modeling Kelvin-Helmholtz Instabil-
762	ity at the High-Latitude Boundary Layer in a Global Magnetosphere Simulation,
763	Geophysical Research Letters, 48(19), doi:10.1029/2021GL094002.
764	Miura, A. (1984), Anomalous transport by magnetohydrodynamic Kelvin-Helmholtz
765	instabilities in the solar wind-magnetosphere interaction, $Journal \ of \ Geophysical$
766	Research, 89, 801–818.

- Miura, A. (1987), Simulation of the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability at the magnetospheric boundary, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *92*, 3195–3206.
- Miura, A., and P. L. Pritchett (1982), Nonlocal stability analysis of the MHD
- Kelvin-Helmholtz instability in a compressible plasma, Journal of Geophysical *Research*, 87, 7431–7444.
- Moore, T. W., K. Nykyri, and A. P. Dimmock (2016), Cross-scale energy transport
  in space plasmas, *Nature Physics*.
- <sup>774</sup> Moore, T. W., K. Nykyri, and A. P. Dimmock (2017), Ion-scale wave properties
- and enhanced ion heating across the low-latitude boundary layer during Kelvin-
- Helmholtz instability, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 122,

11,128–11,153, doi:10.1002/2017JA024591.

- <sup>778</sup> Nykyri, K. (2013), Impact of MHD shock physics on magnetosheath asymmetry and
- Kelvin-Helmholtz instability, Journal of Geophysical Reseach: Space Physics, 118,
  5068–5081.
- Nykyri, K., and A. Otto (2001), Plasma transport at the magnetopause boundary
   due to reconnection in Kelvin-Helmholtz vortices, *Geophysical Research Letters*,
   28, 3565–3568.
- Nykyri, K., and A. Otto (2004), Influence of the Hall term on KH instability and
   reconnection inside KH vortices, Annales Geophysicae, 22, 935–949.
- Nykyri, K., A. Otto, J. Büchner, B. Nikutowski, W. Baumjohann, L. M. Kistler,
- and C. Mouikis (2003), Equator-S observations of boundary signatures: FTE's
- rss or Kelvin-Helmholtz waves?, in Earth's Low-Latitude Boundary Layer, Geophys-

*ical Monograph*, vol. 133, edited by P. T. Newell and R. Onsager, pp. 205–210,
 American Geophysical Union.

Nykyri, K., A. Otto, B. Lavraud, C. Mouikis, L. M. Kistler, A. Balogh, and

H. Réme (2006), Cluster observations of reconnection due to the Kelvin-Helmholtz

- instability at the dawnside magnetospheric flank, Annales Geophysicae, 24, 2619–
  2643.
- <sup>795</sup> Nykyri, K., A. Otto, E. Adamson, and J. Mumme (2011a), Cluster observations of
- a cusp diamagnetic cavity: structure, size, and dynamics, Journal of Geophysical
   *Research*, 116, doi:10.1029/2010JA015897.
- Nykyri, K., A. Otto, E. Adamson, and A. Tjulin (2011b), On the origin of fluc-
- <sup>799</sup> tuation in the cusp diamagnetic cavity, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116,

800	doi:10.1029/2010JA015888.
801	Nykyri, K., X. Ma, A. Dimmock, C. Foullon, A. Otto, and A. Osmane (2017), In-
802	fluence of velocity fluctuations on the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability and its as-
803	sociated mass transport, Journal of Geophysical Research, 122, 9489–9512, doi:
804	10.1002/2017JA024374.
805	Nykyri, K., X. Ma, B. Burkholder, R. Rice, J. R. Johnson, EK. Kim, P. Delamere,
806	A. Michael, K. Sorathia, D. Lin, S. Merkin, S. Fuselier, J. Broll, O. Le Contel,
807	D. Gershman, I. Cohen, B. Giles, R. J. Strangeway, C. T. Russell, and J. L. Burch
808	(2021), MMS observations of the multiscale wave structures and parallel elec-
809	tron heating in the vicinity of the southern exterior cusp, Journal of Geophysical
810	Research: Space Physics, 126(3), doi:10.1029/2019JA027698.
811	Otto, A. (1990), 3D resistive MHD computations of magnetospheric physics, $Com$ -
812	puter Physics Communications, 59, 185–195.
813	Otto, A., and D. H. Fairfield (2000), Kelvin-Helmholtz instability at the magnetotail
814	boundary: MHD simulation and comparison with Geotail observations, Journal of
815	Geophysical Research, 105, 21,175–21,190.
816	Paschmann, G., B. U. Ö. Sonnerup, I. Papamastorakis, G. Haerendel, S. J. Bame,
817	J. R. Asbridge, J. T. Gosling, C. T. Russell, and R. C. Elphric (1979), Plasma
818	acceleration at the Earth's magnetopause: evidence for reconnection, Nature, 282,
819	243–246.
820	Pollock, C., T. Moore, A. Jacques, J. Burch, U. Gliese, Y. Saito, T. Omoto,
821	L. Avanov, A. Barrie, V. Coffey, J. Dorelli, D. Gershman, B. Giles, T. Ros-
822	nack, C. Salo, S. Yokota, M. Adrian, C. Aoustin, C. Auletti, S. Aung, V. Bigio,
823	N. Cao, M. Chandler, D. Chornay, K. Christian, G. Clark, G. Collinson, T. Cor-
824	ris, A. D. L. Santos, R. Devlin, T. Diaz, T. Dickerson, C. Dickson, A. Diekmann,
825	F. Diggs, C. Duncan, A. Figueroa-Vinas, C. Firman, M. Freeman, N. Galassi,
826	K. Garcia, G. Goodhart, D. Guererro, J. Hageman, J. Hanley, E. Hemminger,
827	M. Holland, M. Hutchins, T. James, W. Jones, S. Kreisler, J. Kujawaski, V. Lavu,
828	J. Lobell, E. LeCompte, A. Lukemire, E. MacDonald, A. Mariano, T. Mukai,
829	K. Narayanan, Q. Nguyan, M. Onizuka, W. Paterson, S. Persyn, B. Piepgrass,
830	F. Cheey, A. Rager, T. Raghuram, A. Ramil, L. Reichenthal, H. Rodriguez,
831	J. Rouzaud, A. Rucker, Y. Saito, M. Samara, JA. Sauvaud, D. Schuster,
832	M. Shappirio, K. Shelton, D. Sher, D. Smith, K. Smith, S. Smith, D. Steinfeld,

- R. Szymkiewicz, K. Tanimoto, J. Taylor, C. Tucker, K. Tull, A. Uhl, J. Vloet,
- P. Walpole, S. Weidner, D. White, G. Winkert, P.-S. Yeh, and M. Zeuch (2016),
- Fast plasma investigation for Magnetospheric Multiscale, Space Science Reviews,
- <sup>836</sup> 199, 331–406, doi:10.1007/s11214-016-0245-4.
- Potter, D. (1973), *Computational Physics*, John Wiley and Sons.
- Russell, C. T., B. J. Anderson, W. Baumjohann, K. R. Bromund, D. Dearborn,
- D. Fischer, G. Le, H. K. Leinweber, D. Lenema, W. Magnes, J. D. Means, M. B.
- Moldwin, R. Nakamura, D. Pierce, F. Plaschke, K. M. Rowe, J. A. Slavin, R. J.
- Strangeway, R. Torbet, C. Hagen, I. Jernej, A. Valavanoglou, and I. Ricter (2016),
- The Magnetospheric Multiscale magnetometers, *Space Science Reviews*, 199, 189–
   256, doi:10.1007/s11214-014-0057-3.
- <sup>844</sup> Sonnerup, B. U. Ö., and M. Scheible (1998), Analysis Methods for Multi-Spacecraft
- Data, chap. Minimum and Maximum Variance Analysis, pp. 185–220, Interna tional Space Science Institute.
- <sup>847</sup> Sonnerup, B. U. Ö., G. Paschmann, I. Papamastorakis, N. Sckopke, G. Haerendel,
- <sup>848</sup> S. J. Bame, J. R. Asbridge, J. T. Gosling, and C. T. Russell (1981), Evidence for
- magnetic field reconnection at the Earth's magnetopause, Journal of Geophysical

<sup>850</sup> Research: Space Physics, 86, doi:10.1029/JA086iA12p10049.

- Sorathia, K. A., V. G. Merkin, A. Y. Ukhorskiy, R. C. Allen, K. Nykyri,
- and S. Wing (2019), Solar wind ion entry into the magnetosphere during
- northward IMF, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 124, doi:
- doi.org/10.1029/2019JA026728.
- Stawarz, J. E., S. Eriksson, F. D. Wilder, R. E. Ergun, S. J. Schwartz, A. Pou-
- quet, J. L. Burch, B. L. Giles, Y. Khotyaintsev, O. L. Contel, P.-A. Lindqvist,
- W. Magnes, C. J. Pollock, C. T. Russll, R. J. Strangeway, R. B. Torbert, L. A.
- Avanov, J. C. Dorelli, J. P. Eastwood, D. J. Gershman, K. A. Goodrich, D. M.
- Malaspina, G. T. Marklund, L. Mirioni, and A. P. Sturner (2016), Observations
- of turbulence in a Kelvin-Helmholtz event on 8 September 2015 by the Magneto-
- spheric Multiscale mission, Journal of Geophysical Research, 121, 11,021–11,034,
- doi:10.1002/10JA023458.
- Taylor, M. G. G. T., B. Lavraud, C. P. Escoubet, S. E. Milan, K. Nykyri, M. W.
- <sup>864</sup> Dunlop, J. A. Davies, R. H. W. Friedel, H. Frey, Y. V. Bogdanova, A. Asnes,
- H. Laasko, P. Trvincek, A. Masson, H. Opgenoorth, C. Vallat, A. N. Fazaker-

- ley, A. D. Lahiff, C. J. Owen, F. Pitout, Z. Pu, C. Shen, Q. G. Zong, H. Rme, 866 J. Scudder, and T. L. Zhang (2008), The plasma sheet and boundary layers un-867 der northward IMF: a multi-point and multi-instrument perspective, Advances in 868 Space Research, 41, 1619–1629. 869 Taylor, M. G. G. T., H. Hasegawa, B. Lavraud, T. Phan, C. P. Escobet, M. W. 870 Dunlop, Y. V. Bogdanova, A. L. Borg, M. Volwerk, J. Berchem, O. D. Constan-871 tinescu, J. P. Eastwood, A. Masson, H. Laakso, J. Soucek, A. N. Fazakerley, 872 H. Frey, E. V. Panov, C. Shen, J. K. Shi, D. G. Sibeck, Z. Y. Pu, J. Wang, and 873 J. A. Wild (2012), Spatial distribution of rolled up Kelvin-Helmholtz vortices at 874 Earth's dayside and flank magnetopause, Annales Geophysicae, 30, 1025–1035, 875 doi:10.5194/angeo-30-1025-2012. 876 Torbert, R. B., C. T. Russell, w. Magnes, R. E. Ergun, P.-A. Lindqvist, O. LeCon-877 tel, H. Vaith, J. Macri, S. Myers, D. Rau, J. Needell, B. King, M. Granoff, 878 M. Chutter, I. Dors, G. Olsson, Y. V. Khotyaintsev, A. Eriksson, C. A. Klet-879 zing, S. Bounds, B. Anderson, W. Baumjohann, M. Steller, K. Bromund, 880 G. Le, R. Nakamura, R. J. Strangeway, H. K. Leinweber, S. Tucker, J. West-881 fell, D. Fisher, F. Plaschke, J. Porter, and K. Lappalainen (2016), The FIELDS 882 instrument suite on MMS: scientific objectives, measurements, and data products, 883 Space Science Reviews, 199, 105–135, doi:10.1007/s11214=014-0109-8. 884 Wilder, F. D., R. E. Ergun, S. J. Schwartz, D. L. Newman, S. Eriksson, J. E. 885 Stawarz, M. V. Goldman, K. A. Goodrich, D. J. Gershman, D. M. Malaspina, 886 J. C. Holmes, A. P. Sturner, J. L. Burch, R. B. Torbert, P.-A. Lindqvist, G. T. 887 Marklund, Y. Khotyaintsev, R. J. Strangeway, C. T. Russel, C. J. Pollock, B. L. 888 Giles, J. C. Dorelli, L. A. Avanov, W. R. Patterson, F. Plaschke, and W. Magnes 889 (2016), Observations of large-amplitude, parallel, electrostatic waves associated 890 with the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability by the Magnetospheric Multiscale mission, 891 Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 8859–8866, doi:10.1002/2016GL070404. 892 Wing, S., J. R. Johnson, P. T. Newell, and C.-I. Meng (2005), Dawn-dusk asym-893 metries, ion spectra, and sources in the northward interplanetary magnetic field 894 plasma sheet, Journal of Geophysical Research, 110, doi:10.1029//2005JA011086. 895 Zhao, C., C. T. Russell, R. J. Strangeway, S. M. Petrinec, W. R. Paterson, M. Zhou, 896 B. J. Anderson, W. Baumjohann, K. R. Bromund, M. Chutter, D. Fischer, 897
- G. Le, R. Nakamura, F. Plaschke, J. A. Slavin, R. B. Torbert, and H. Y. Wei

- (2016), Force balance at the magnetopause determined with MMS: application
- to flux transfer events, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43, 11,941–11,947, doi:
- <sup>901</sup> 10.1002/2016GL071568.



Figure 1. MMS observations of (a) omnidirectional ion energies; (b) plasma region; (c) ion density (green) and temperature (black); (d) ion bulk velocity in GSM coordinates; (e) direct current magnetic field in GSM coordinates; (f) the normal component of the magnetic field; and (g) total pressure from 06:00 to 07:00 UT on 15 October 2015. Ion data is taken from the Fast Plasma Investigation (FPI) and magnetic field data is from the Flux Gate Magnetometer (FGM) aboard MMS1. Burst mode data is available for the intervals marked in blue above the panels.



Figure 2. MMS observations as in Figure 1 from 16:35 to 19:07 UT on 26 September 2017. Ion data is taken from the Fast Plasma Investigation (FPI) and magnetic field data is from the Flux Gate Magnetometer (FGM) aboard MMS1. Burst mode data is available for the intervals marked in blue at top.



Figure 3. MMS observations of tailward ion velocity as a function of ion density for 06:00-07:00 on 15 October 2015 (left) and 16:35-19:07 on 26 September 2017. Blue (red) points were identified as magnetosheath (magntospheric) plasma. Mixed and ambiguous regions are plotted in black. For the 2017 example event, ions show clear evidence of roll-over within the KHI vortex, low density plasma typically associated with the magnetosphere is moving tailward with the faster magnetosheath plasma, but this is not seen for the 2015 example event. The overall shape of both events however, is consistent with previous studies of the KHI.



Figure 4. Growth rates (GR, top row), unitless growth rates (UGR, middle row), and unstable solid angles (USA, bottom row) plotted with respect to the KHI's location along the magnetopause in GSM X-Y plane (left column), X-Z plane (middle column), and Y-Z plane (right column).







Figure 6. Normalized histograms of solar wind density (top left), temperature (top right),
speed (bottom left), and Alfvén Mach number (bottom right) for the complete time range considered in this study, 01 September 2015 to 31 March 2020 (black), and for the intervals during
which MMS observed the KHI (red).



Figure 7. Normalized histograms of IMF magnitude (top left) and normalized IMF components for the complete time range considered in this study, 01 September 2015 to 31 March 2020 (black), and for the intervals during which MMS observed the KHI (red).



Figure 8. Growth rates were calculated and plotted as a function of time (a) using data from 522 2D MHD simulations of a dusk flank KHI occurring during Northward IMF. Initial conditions 523 of the simulation are based on the event MMS observed on 08 September 2015. Density data 524 from several time steps within the simulation (b)-(f) show the development of the KHI. Cuts, 525 as indicated by the red line in panels (b)-(f), were taken through the instability at every simu-526 lation time step. The black line (a) indicates the growth rate for the MMS event on which the 527 simulation is based. The green line (a) indicates the theoretical growth rate for the simulation as 528 determined by the slope of the linear portion of  $\ln(v_{\perp})$  plotted as a function of time. 529

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 9. The KHI growth rates as in Figure 8 for a 2D MHD simulation of a dusk flank KHI occurring during Parker Spiral IMF orientation. Initial conditions of the simulation are based on the event MMS observed on 18 October 2015.

# Supporting Information for

# "Characteristics of Kelvin-Helmholtz Waves as Observed by the MMS from September 2015 to March 2020"

# Rachel Rice<sup>1</sup>, Katariina Nykyri<sup>1</sup>, Xuanye Ma<sup>1</sup>, Brandon Burkholder<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, Department of Physical Sciences, Center for Space and Atmospheric Research

<sup>2</sup>University of Maryland Baltimore County, Goddard Planetary Heliophysics Institute

## Contents

- 1. Automated Region Sorting
- 2. Figures 1 to 7
- 3. Tables 1 and 2

# Additional Supporting Information (Files uploaded separately)

1. Captions for large Tables 1 and 2

## **Automated Region Sorting**

The magnetosheath is characterized by cold, dense plasma flowing tailward with the shocked solar wind. The magnetosphere, on the other hand, is comprised of hot, tenuous plasma which is relatively stagnant. Thus, we have 3 plasma parameters, density, temperature, and tailward velocity, which may be used to easily and automatically distinguish the magnetosheath and magnetopause. Due to the mixing and heating which occurs within the KH vortex, and the possibility of reconnection dragging less dense magnetosphere tailward with sheath-like speeds, no one parameter will be sufficient to separate the regions. Instead, we look for a combination of two or three parameters which will allow for the automated identification of the magnetosheath and magnetosphere. Table 1 lists all of the parameters we considered and their relative values in each region.

Corresponding author: Rachel Rice, ricer4@my.erau.edu

Condisider a ratio of density and temperature, n/T. In the cold, dense magnetosheath, n/T is large. In the hot, tenuous magnetosheath, n/T is small.

In the magnetosheath the GSM-X component of velocity is typically large and negative, flowing with the shocked solar wind. The GSM-X component of velocity is typically small, either positive or negative, in the magnetosphere. For simplicity we define the tailward velocity such that it is strictly positive,  $v_{tail} = |v_X - \max v_x|$ . The product of density and tailward velocity,  $nv_{tail}$  is large in the magnetosheath and small in the magnetosphere.

We may combine the previous two parameters in to a single ratio,  $nv_{tail}/T$ . This is large in the magnetosheath and small in the magnetosphere.

Next consider specific entropy,  $S = T/n^{2/3}$ . In the cold dense magnetosheath S is small. In the hot, tenuous magnetosphere, S is large.

Recalling our definition of tailward velocity, we may also consider ratios of the specific entropy and tailward velocity,  $S/v_{tail}$  and  $v_{tail}/S$ . In the sheath,  $S/v_{tail}$  is small, and it is large in the magnetosphere. In the magnetosheath  $v_{tail}/S$  is large, and in the magnetosphere it is small.

When using the above parameters, we first determined a mean magnetopause value for each event. Then created cutoff values for each region based on the magnetopause value. Mean values of density and temperature in each isolated region were compared with typical values for the magnetosheath and magnetosphere. Mean values of density, velocity, and magnetic field were also used to calculate growth rates (GR), unitless growth rates (UGR), and unstable solid angles (USA).

In order to determine the magnetopause value, we first sort a given parameter in ascending order. A percentage of the largest and smallest values are collected, and the mean of these extreme values is labeled the magnetopause value, mp. We use a subset, rather than all, of the data for a given event, to avoid any effects from the spacecraft spending more time in one region than the other.

The percentage of data used to determine mp was varied from including the largest and smallest 2.5% (5% total) of all data to including the largest and smallest 25% (50% total) of all available data points. Once mp is determined, we can then use it to set cutoff values defining the magnetosheath and magnetosphere. In the region in which a given parameter is expected to be large, cutoff values were varied from 1.0 \* mp to 1.9 \* mp. The most restrictive cutoff values (> 1.7 \* mp) were ruled out because they did not return a reasonable number of data points in both regions. Fore some events, no MMS observations fit the more restrictive criteria. The more relaxed cutoffs (< 1.3 \* mp), included too much mixed plasma from regions already strongly affected by the KHI. The inclusion of such mixed plasma had a significant but unpredictable effect on the final results. Marginal cutoff values from 1.4 \* mp to 1.6 \* mp all produce comparable results. Within this range of cutoff values, density and temperature show only small variations and match well with expected values for both the real space-craft and simulated data.

In the region in which a given parameter is expected to be small, cutoff values were varied from 0.1 \* mp to 1.0 \* mp. The more relaxed cutoffs (> 0.7 \* mp) included too much plasma already affected by mixing and heating processes in the KHI. The most restrictive cutoff values (< 0.3 \* mp) are too restrictive, yielding little to no plasma in the region. The marginal cutoff values (0.4 \* mp to 0.6 \* mp), again seem to be the best choice. However, the mean density and temperature of the regions identified using these cutoffs were not reasonable for any of our tested parameters. A check against simulation data also showed poor agreement with the known values. Thus, no parameter performed well to identify the region in which it is expected to be small.

The percentage of data used to determine mp and the cutoff values were varied in parallel. Plots of the density and temperature for each identified region were created for all combinations of mp and cutoff values. Figure 1 shows an example of these plots for the magnetosheath density of the KHI encounter on 15 October 2015. The parameters which are expected to be large in the sheath  $(n/T, nv_{tail}, nv_{tail}/T, \text{ and } v_{tail}/S)$ , return density values from  $\approx 9$  to 12 /cc, in line with expectations for the sheath. Parameters which are small in the magnetosheath (S and  $S/v_{tail}$ ) return density values less than 6 /cc, which is lower than expected for the typical sheath.

Likewise Figure 2 shows an example of these plots for the magnetosphere density of the KHI encounter on 15 October 2015. The parameters which are expected to be large in the magnetosphere (S and  $S/v_{tail}$ ), return density values  $\approx 0.5$  /cc, in line with expectations for the magnetosphere. Parameters which are small in the magnetosheath (n/T,  $nv_{tail}$ ,  $nv_{tail}/T$ , and  $v_{tail}/S$ ) return density values between 1 and 2 /cc, which is higher than expected for the typical magnetopshere.

We found of the percent of data used to determine the magnetopause value has only a small affect on the mean values of density (as can be seen in Figures 1 and 2) and temperature of each region and on the final calculations of GR, UGR, and USA. As such, we chose to use the smallest and largest 12.5% (25% total) of all data for a given parameter when determining the magnetopause value. This ensures we are not only considering outliers (as would be the case using too little data), but should not be strongly effected if the spacecraft spends more time on one side of the boundary than the other (as would happen if we used all available data).

Because no parameter performed well for the region in which it is expected to be small, we must use two separate parameters: one large in the magnetosheath and one large in the magnetosphere. We chose  $nv_{tail}/T$  as our sheath parameter and S as our magnetosphere parameter. Both of these parameters produce consistent results over the range of marginal cutoff values (1.4 \* mp to 1.6 \* mp), suggesting they are robust and not overly sensitive to the selection of our cutoff value. Thus, in order to balance the desire to select the most pure plasma from each region and the need to have a meaningful number of data points in each region, we settled on the cutoff value 1.5 \* mp.

Once selected, the parameters and cutoff values were also tested on simulation data. Both  $nv_{tail}/T$  and S performed well, isolating regions in which plasma parameters agreed well with the known values. This can be seen in Figure 3, where the density as determined by our method is plotted in blue for the duration of the simulations, and the known initial value is marked in black. The density of the regions isolated with these parameters is within 0.15/cc of the initial value for the duration of the NIMF simulation, and within 0.3/cc of the initial value for the duration of the PSIMF simulation, which indicates our methodology will work well even for the late stage KHI with significant mixing.

Our new methodology was also compared with a region sorting technique previously published in *Moore et al.* [2017]. In that study, histograms of the most common energy channel in each time step are used to determine the typical energy value of the magnetosheath and magnetosphere. The log mean average of energy in both regions is considered representative of the mixed plasma region. Each time step is sorted into the region to which its weighted mean energy is closest. For KHI where the magnetosheath and magnetospheric energies are well separated, this method works well and produces similar results as the new method presented here, as can be see in Figure 4 for the example events used in the main text. In all cases, the new method sorts more plasma into the mixed region than the Moore method, which we prefer as the resulting regions are more representative of the "pure" magnetosheath and magnetosphere.

We use the KHI event observed on 26 September 2017, shown in Figure 5, as an example of the selection of only pure magnetosheath. Plots of the MMS orbit show it skimming the the magnetopause boundary primarily on the magnetosheate side with only a brief excursion to the magnetosheath. Solar wind density is  $\approx 8$  /cc, yielding a pure magnetosheath density of  $\approx 32$  /cc according to MHD shock physics. MMS observes such high density only at the very end of the interval, suggesting that MMS observes pure sheath only at the end of the interval and is otherwise in mixed and magnetosheate plasma. As can be seen in Figure 6, the new method identifies only the portion of the MMS observes an early portion of the data as magnetosheath plasma based on its energy, but the density and temperature are more consistent with mixed plasma. This is preferable in our work, as our goal is to calculate GR, UGR, and USA using data from only pure magnetosheath and magnetosphere plasma.

In both simulations and real MMS data, it is important to remember the growth rate is dependent upon the path of the satellite through the instability (or the geometry of the cut in simulation space). Figure 7 demonstrates the effect of cut geometry on growth rate for both the NIMF and PSIMF simulations. Simulation data was recorded along four cut geometries as shown in the bottom panels of Figure 7. One cut is perpendicular to the boundary (black), one is parallel to the boundary on the magnetosheath side (cyan), another is parallel to the boundary on the magnetosheath side (cyan), another is perpendicular and parallel to the boundary (red). Data from each cut was used to calculate the KHI growth rate at every time step as shown in the top panels of Figure 7 (colors in the growth rate plot correspond with each cut). The perpendicular and intermediate cuts are able to capture pure plasma on either side of the boundary at all time steps, and produce similar results which match well with the observational values from the real events on which they are based. The parallel cuts do not capture both regions of plasma until the KHI is well developed, and as such produce much lower growth rates until later in the simulations.

Our method of separating the regions requires the satellite observes both the magnetosheath and magnetosphere, and works best when the regions are observed for roughly equal times. We can use our method to separate the regions in skimming cuts which observe much more of one region than the other, but such cuts are likely to underestimate the growth rate.

# Figures 1 to 7 Tables 1 and 2

Parameter	Magnetosheath	Magnetosphere
n/T	large	$\operatorname{small}$
$nv_{tail}$	large	small
$nv_{tail}/T$	large	small
S	small	large
$S/v_{tail}$	small	large
$v_{tail}/S$	large	$\operatorname{small}$

Table 1. Tested parameters and the relative values in the magnetosheath and magnetosphere.

Table 2. Normalization constants for the 2D MHD simulations.

Quantity	Northward	Parker spiral
Magnetic field $B_0$ (nT)	71.5	30.23
Number Density $n_0$ (/cc)	12.36	2.78
Length scale $L_0$ (km)	640	640
Velocity $V_A \ (\rm km/s)$	443	395.21
Time $t_0$ (s)	1.35	1.62

# Caption for Long Table 1

MMS observed 45 KHI from September 2015 to March 2020. Onset IMF orientation and magnitude, average IMF orientation and magnitude, solar wind flow speed, Alfvén Mach number, temperature, and density are determined using 1 minute OMNI data, which is available for 44 of the 45 events. Here, "onset" refers to the time at which KHI first observes the KHI, as we cannot predict how long the KHI may have been operating before MMS observes it. The OMNI data we report is convected to the bow shock nose, but not to the KHI observation point. Additional transit times to the observation point is estimated using the magnetosheath velocity, are typically small, and have little to no effect on the observed SW conditions.

#### Caption for Long Table 2

Boundary normal directions were determined using the maximum variance of the convective electric field (MVA-E) technique. The outward pointing normal for a stationary boundary is the direction of maximum variance in the  $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}$  electric field. The Minimum Faraday Residue (MFR) method determines the normal direction and velocity of a moving boundary. The normal direction is well determined when the maximum eigenvalue of the variance matrix is significantly larger than the intermediate eigenvalue for MVA-E, yielding an eigenvalue ratio of 5 or greater. Likewise, the MFR normal direction is well determined when the intermediate eigenvalue for is well determined when the intermediate eigenvalue of the residue matrix is significantly larger than the minimum eigenvalue. In all cases, the velocity of the boundary is small, as is expected for events in which the velocity is primarily tangential to the boundary, like the KHI. MVA-E and MFR thus produce similar normal directions, but MVA-E has larger eigenvalue ratios. For this reason, we use MVA-E in our analysis.

#### References

Moore, T. W., K. Nykyri, and A. P. Dimmock (2017), Ion-scale wave properties and enhanced ion heating across the low-latitude boundary layer during Kelvin-Helmholtz instability, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 122, 11,128–11,153, doi:10.1002/2017JA024591.

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

**MSH** Density

Figure 1. Magnetosheath density for all parameters and combinations of magnetopause values and cutoff values. The percent of data used to determine the magnetopause value increases from right to left on the X-axis. Cutoff values become more restrictive from bottom to top along the Y-axis. The parameters which are large in the sheath  $(n/T, nv_{tail}, nv_{tail}/T, and v_{tail}/S)$  return more reasonable values than the parameters which are small in the sheath  $(S \text{ and } S/v_{tail})$ .

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

**MSP** Density

Figure 2. Magnetosphere density for all parameters and combinations of magnetopause values and cutoff values. The percent of data used to determine the magnetopause value increases from right to left on the X-axis. Cutoff values become more restrictive from bottom to top along the Y-axis. The parameters which are large in the sheath (S and  $S/v_{tail}$ ) return more reasonable values than the parameters which are small in the sheath  $(n/T, nv_{tail}, nv_{tail}/T, and v_{tail}/S)$ .

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 3. Magnetosheath (top row) and magnetosphere (bottom row) density as determined using our automated region sorting method for the NIMF (left) and PSIMF (right) simulations are plotted as a function of simulation time in blue. For the duration of both simulations, these values match well with the known initial value in each region, shown in back.

![](_page_50_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure 4. Omnidirectional ion energy (top), ion density and temperature (upper middle), regions as determined using the method developed in this study (lower middle), and regions as determined using methods presented in *Moore et al.* [2017] (bottom). The new region sorting method places more plasma in the mixed regions than the Moore method, but the results are comparable.

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 5. MMS observations of the KHI event on 26 September 2016. Solar wind density was high, between 8 and 10 /cc, pushing the magnetopause boundary further in than the approximation shown. MMS skimmed the magnetopause boundary, primarily on the magnetospheric side, with a brief excursion to the pure magnetosheath at the end of the inteval.

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 6. MMS observations of the KHI event on 26 September 2016 and the regions sorted using the new method presented in this paper and *Moore et al.* [2017]. SW density and MHD shock physics dictate a sheath density of  $\approx 30$  /cc, as is observed at the end of the interval. The new region sorting method corresponds well with this expectation, but the Moore method also identifies an earlier timespan with about half the expected sheath density. The new method is better at isolate only the pure sheath and sphere regions, resulting in more plasma being classified as "mixed."

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 7. Cut geometry can have a significant effect on the growth rate. Cuts which spend nearly equal time on both sides of the boundary tend to have larger growth rates than cuts which merely skim the instability, spending significantly more time in one region than the other. For both the NIMF (left) and PSIMF (right) simulation, growth rates are calculated at each time step for the four cuts shown in the bottom panels. Colors in the growth rate plot correspond to the color of the cut shown in the simulation space.