Complex permittivity formulation for induced polarization effect in transient electromagnetics

Marco Oliva-Gutiérrez
1 and Luis Alonso Gallardo 2

 1 Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya $^{2}\mathrm{CICESE}$

November 22, 2022

Abstract

We have studied the relevance of permittivity as an indicator of the IP effect in transient electromagnetics. The approach is based on a mathematical formulation of a dielectric model, where we assume that the free electrons of the induced current are weakly bound electrons included in a complex frequency-dependent permittivity. We combine this dielectric function to Maxwell's equations in order to obtain a modified Helmholtz equation whose new term contains the polarization parameters of the medium. The resultant convolution in Ohm's Law was solved by the concept of memory variables transforming the convolution into a set of time-dependent ODE, where the storage of the full-time series of the electric field is no longer needed. The final model allows any Cole-Cole Model (CCM) in the electromagnetic fields through the Debye Function Expansion (DFE) and makes it possible to incorporate additional information into the canonical property of conductivity through the dispersive permittivity.

Complex permittivity formulation for induced polarization effect in transient electromagnetics

M. Oliva-Gutiérrez 1,2,3* , L. A. Gallardo¹

¹División de Ciencias de la Tierra, CICESE ¹Ensenada, BC, 22860, México ²Now at Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC) and ³Centre Internacional de Mètodes Numèrics en Enginyeria (CIMNE) ^{2,3}08034, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

9 Key Points:

1

2

3

10	•	Dielectric function is a dispersive total permittivity related to susceptibility and
11		implicitly bears the medium's conductivity information
12	•	Permittivity at low frequency with induced polarization effect may provide dif-
13		ferent information beyond that given by the conductivity only

 $^{^{*}\}mathrm{Gran}$ Capità S/N, UPC Campus Nord, Edifici
 C1, 08034 Barcelona, Spain

Corresponding author: Marco Oliva-Gutiérrez, maoliva@cimne.upc.edu

Abstract 14

We have studied the relevance of permittivity as an indicator of the IP effect in tran-15 sient electromagnetics. The approach is based on a mathematical formulation of a di-16 electric model, where we assume that the free electrons of the induced current are weakly 17 bound electrons included in a complex frequency-dependent permittivity. We combine 18 this dielectric function to Maxwell's equations in order to obtain a modified Helmholtz 19 equation whose new term contains the polarization parameters of the medium. The re-20 sultant convolution in Ohm's Law was solved by the concept of memory variables trans-21 forming the convolution into a set of time-dependent ODE, where the storage of the full-22 time series of the electric field is no longer needed. The final model allows any Cole-Cole 23 Model (CCM) in the electromagnetic fields through the Debye Function Expansion (DFE) 24 and makes it possible to incorporate additional information into the canonical property 25 of conductivity through the dispersive permittivity. 26

Plain Language Summary 27

In geophysical exploration, the observation of a natural phenomenon is based on 28 measuring physical properties such as electrical conductivity. This property brings es-29 sential information about the solid and fluid phases of earth and materials. Its measure 30 is carried out by low-frequency electromagnetic devices such as coils and antennas widely 31 used in environmental studies worldwide. Those systems are not only sensitive to con-32 ductivity but other electromagnetic properties such as electrical permittivity. Is in this 33 area where our main contribution lie, we propose a novel mathematical model to quan-34 tify the contribution of both properties, and whit it, identify the natural phenomenon 35 called induced polarization, which is an electrochemical process due to electrical conduc-36 tion and the chemical reaction that takes place in some rocks and minerals. The com-37 bined contribution of these properties in the transient electromagnetic methods has been 38 barely studied before. The approach introduced here provides novel information for un-39 derstanding more complex phenomena on the earth. 40

1 Introduction 41

In recent years, the Induced Polarization (IP) technique, also known as complex 42 resistivity, has been widely used in mineral exploration (J. C. Wynn, 1988; Flores & Peralta-43 Ortega, 2009; Kwan et al., 2015), reservoir studies (Davydycheva et al., 2006; Burtman 44 & Zhdanov, 2015), environmental applications (Slater & Lesmes, 2002; Kemna et al., 2004) 45 and to detect alteration zones in geothermal studies (Lévy et al., 2019). Remarkable, all 46 these scenarios comprise electrolytes immersed in electronic conducting media. 47

Assimilation of IP phenomenon in electromagnetic modeling is most commonly im-48 plemented in frequency-domain using galvanic sources and applying a well-known Cole-49 Cole relaxation Model (CCM) (Cole & Cole, 1941), which was originally introduced in 50 geophysics by Pelton et al. (1978) as a frequency-dependent complex impedance: 51

$$Z(\omega) = R_0 \left[1 - m \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + [i\omega\tau]^c} \right) \right],\tag{1}$$

which depends on four parameters: the chargeability (m), time relaxation (τ) , the fre-52 quency exponent (c) and the resistivity in direct current (R_0) . Despite the fact that there 53 are more complete relaxation models, CCM explains well enough the dispersive behav-54 ior of the electric resistivity with a relatively simple mathematical expression. 55

Regarding inductive sources, and independently of the acquisition domain, most 56 of the electromagnetic data are transformed into the frequency domain to explain the 57

IP effect mainly because the relaxation model in equation (1), is posed in that domain, 58

despite the computational burden brought by the Fourier transform (Marchant et al.,
2018). By contrast, there are very few developments of IP modeling directly in the timedomain systems due to the mathematical complexity that arises from the temporal variation in conductivity, which results in a convolution and fractional derivatives in Ohm's
Law. To overcome this difficulty, there have been some developments not only in geophysics, but also in the physics of dielectrics and antennas.

In geophysics, Smith et al. (1988) decomposed the total electromagnetic response 65 into fundamental and polarization responses to show that the polarization response can 66 be written approximately as a convolution. Differently, Kelley and Luebbers (1996) pro-67 posed a piecewise linear recursive convolution based on the trapezoidal rule. Their ef-68 ficient algorithm is implemented in the high frequency area for the Debye dispersion model, 69 but it could be implemented in geophysical applications. Siushansian and Lovetri (1997) 70 posed a quasi-trapezoidal-based algorithm and a Prony's method to evaluate the con-71 volution integral in a time dependent susceptibility term. More recently, Zaslavsky and 72 Druskin (2010) suggested a new algorithm for the solution of time domain Maxwell equa-73 tions in dispersive media based on the generalization of the rational Krylov subspace ap-74 proach for the solution of non-dispersive Maxwell's systems. Years letter, Marchant et 75 al. (2014) rewrote Ohm's law in terms of Cole-Cole parameters and a expanded Debye 76 model using Padè approximation avoiding the computation of the convolution integral 77 and fractional derivatives in an implicit FDTD scheme. Commer et al. (2017) implemented 78 the Debye expansion to fit a Cole-Cole Model and used memory variables to avoid in-79 tegral convolution in an explicit FDTD Dufort-Frankel scheme of a system of coupled 80 Maxwell's equations. In the same year Cai et al. (2017) used a truncated Padè approx-81 imation with adaptive selection of the central frequency to expand the Cole-Cole model 82 in frequency domain and solve the vector Helmholtz equation for the total electric field. 83 Recently, Ji et al. (2018) used a frequency-domain error minimization and least-squares 84 optimization to approximate the fractional-order system and a recursive method to com-85 pute the convolution using an implicit FDTD scheme for a system of coupled Maxwell's 86 equations. All of these works are based conventionally on the use of conductivity. 87

In this work, we propose a theoretical EM model which incorporates IP effect di-88 rectly in the time-domain electromagnetic signal by a modified vector Helmholtz equa-89 tion. The model is based on the simplest theory which explains the IP phenomenon, as, 90 the charging and discharging processes of a capacitor. We have introduced a dielectric 91 function, which is essentially a frequency-dependent total permittivity, in terms of a Cole-92 Cole model approximation using a Debye function. We introduced this approximation 93 into the Maxwell's Equations and derive a new electromagnetic mode, which comprises 94 not only diffusive but also reactive processes. 95

Finally, we conclude that with this model, it is possible to quantify polarization mechanisms due to current conduction governed by the conductivity and displacement currents characterized by the permittivity as it occurs in capacitors.

⁹⁹ 2 Derivation of the dielectric model

In addition to the widely accepted polarization mechanisms (membrane and grain 100 polarization), Fuller and Ward (1970) define an interfacial or space charge polarization, 101 that can provide important information about oil and gas reservoirs. Also, the existence 102 of the orientational or dipolar polarization is now accepted and associated to a perma-103 nent dipole moments in the material such as water molecules. Both mechanisms give strong 104 responses in permittivity and have important applications. For example, in petrophysics. 105 Table 1 gives a short summary of the different and common types of polarization mech-106 anisms. 107

Table 1. Polarization mechanisms in materials

Polarization	Based on σ	Based on ε
Electronic		
Orientational	v	\checkmark
Interfacial		\checkmark

In general, the IP effect thrives on the fact that the Earth behaves not only as a 108 conductor but also as a poor dielectric; in which a significant amount of energy of the 109 electromagnetic field is lost through different layers of the subsurface that in low-frequency 110 process, the displacement current is small enough to be neglected. However, our hypoth-111 esis is that this small contribution of energy in the presence of the IP effect may have 112 relevant information since it can contribute to identifying grain/membrane (usually de-113 termined through conductivity) from interfacial/orientational polarization where per-114 mittivity becomes more relevant. To explain this, we propose a function that relates both 115 properties and, when it is introduced into Maxwell's equations, can add the IP effect in 116 the electromagnetic signal. Hence, let us start with the dispersive form of Maxwell's equa-117 tions in the frequency domain with a source term \mathbf{J}_S : 118

$$\nabla \times \left[\frac{1}{\mu}\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r},\omega) - \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r},\omega)\right] = \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r},\omega) + i\omega\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r},\omega) + \mathbf{J}_S(\mathbf{r},\omega), \qquad (2)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = -i\omega \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r},\omega). \tag{3}$$

where **J** and **D**, are the conduction and displacement current density respectively. In the general case, these currents in inhomogeneous media can be related to the electric field through (Ward & Hohmann, 1988):

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = \left[\sigma'(\mathbf{r},\omega) + i\sigma''(\mathbf{r},\omega)\right] \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = \sigma(\mathbf{r},\omega)\mathbf{E}.$$
(4)

122 and

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = \left[\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r},\omega) - i\varepsilon''(\mathbf{r},\omega)\right] \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = \varepsilon(\mathbf{r},\omega)\mathbf{E}.$$
(5)

¹²³ where the conductivity (σ) and permittivity (ε) in equations (4) and (5) are the ¹²⁴ frequency-dependent conductivity and permittivity respectively. Remarkably, σ can be ¹²⁵ defined, for instance, by the Cole-Cole model approximated through a Debye Function ¹²⁶ Expansion $(\tilde{\sigma}_D)$ (Kelley et al., 2007):

$$\sigma(\mathbf{r},\omega) = \sigma_{cc}(\mathbf{r},\omega) \approx \tilde{\sigma}_{D}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = \sigma_{\infty}(\mathbf{r}) + (\sigma_{0}(\mathbf{r}) - \sigma_{\infty}(\mathbf{r})) \sum_{p=1}^{N_{D}} \frac{\gamma_{p}(\mathbf{r})}{1 + i\omega\tau_{p}(\mathbf{r})}.$$
 (6)

¹²⁷ where the parameter σ_0 , σ_∞ , τ_p and γ_p are the polarization parameter of the medium ¹²⁸ which are spatial dependent and are respectively defined as follows: low-frequency con-¹²⁹ ductivity (DC), high-frequency conductivity, weighted relaxation time and dimension-¹³⁰ less weighted term equivalent to c exponent in the original CCM (Tarasov & Titov, 2007). ¹³¹ From these parameters, the chargeability is defined as $m = \frac{\sigma_0 - \sigma_\infty}{\sigma_\infty}$. The *pth* weighted ¹³² parameter goes from 1 to total number of Debye function (N_D) in the sum. From now on, we write $\eta = (\sigma_0 - \sigma_\infty)$ in equation (6) for simplicity. We have chosen this Debye model due to its corresponding exponential function in time domain, given by:

$$\sigma_{D}(\mathbf{r},t) = \sigma_{\infty}(\mathbf{r})\delta(t) + \left(\sigma_{0}(\mathbf{r}) - \sigma_{\infty}(\mathbf{r})\right)\sum_{p=1}^{N_{D}}\frac{\gamma_{p}(\mathbf{r})}{\tau_{p}(\mathbf{r})} e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\tau_{p}(\mathbf{r})}\right)}H(t)$$
(7)

where $\delta(t)$ is the Dirac delta function, and H(t) the Heaviside function. Equation (7) is the key term in most of the time domain electromagnetic dispersive formulations.

Regarding the frequency-dependent total permittivity, (ε) can be posed in terms of the electric susceptibility (χ) of the material, which in a dispersive medium (Orfanidis, 2016), is defined as:

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \kappa(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = \varepsilon_0 (1 + \chi(\mathbf{r}, \omega)), \tag{8}$$

where κ is the relative permittivity. Therefore, we can write (5) as:

$$D(\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \kappa(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \mathbf{E} = \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E}(\omega) + \mathbf{P}(\omega), \tag{9}$$

where \mathbf{P} represents the dielectric polarization of the material. Therefore, equation (9) shows that the electric susceptibility, electric field and polarization are closely related.

The frequency-dependent total permittivity (also known as dielectric function) can be incorporated into Maxwell's equations to obtain a kind of vector Helmholtz equation which, provides better coupling of electromagnetic fields in the air (Newman & Alumbaugh, 1995).

In order to include the dielectric function, we take the curl in both sides of (3)

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = -i\omega\nabla \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r},\omega),\tag{10}$$

and substitute (2), (4) and (5) in (3), the equation (10) becomes:

147

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = -i\omega\mu \Big(\sigma(\mathbf{r},\omega) + i\omega\varepsilon_0\kappa(\mathbf{r},\omega)\Big)\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},\omega) - i\omega\mu \left[\mathbf{J}_S(\mathbf{r},\omega) + \mathbf{J}_M(\mathbf{r},\omega)\right], \quad (11)$$

where we introduce $\varepsilon_0 \kappa$ and the magnetic current $\mathbf{J}_M = \nabla \times \mathbf{M}$. We then rewrite the second term on the right-hand side of equation (11) as:

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = -i\omega\mu\varepsilon_0 \bigg(\frac{\sigma(\mathbf{r},\omega)}{i\omega\varepsilon_0} + \kappa(\mathbf{r},\omega)\bigg)i\omega\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},\omega) - i\omega\mu\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r},\omega),$$
(12)

being $\mathbf{J}_{ms} = \mathbf{J}_m + \mathbf{J}_s$ a magnetic source. From equation (12), we then define the di-

electric function, similarly to Ward and Hohmann (1988), Kuzmany (1998), Lesmes and

¹⁵³ Friedman (2005), Kaiser (2006), Maier (2007), Orfanidis (2016), as:

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \left(\kappa(\mathbf{r},\omega) + \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{r},\omega)}{i\omega\varepsilon_0} \right).$$
(13)

where $\sigma(\mathbf{r},\omega)$ is the spatial and frequency-dependent electrical conductivity defined by

 $_{155}$ (6). The separation of bound and free charges can be explain through equation (13).

- At low frequencies, ε usually describes the response of bound charges to a driving field,
- leading to an electric polarization and a consequent generation of displacement currents,
- as some authors has been studied (Lesmes & Frye, 2001), (Davydycheva et al., 2006),
- (J. Wynn & Fleming, 2012). On the other hand, σ describes the contribution of free charges
- to the current flow as typically done in DC current methods.

Substituting equation (13) in equation (12) and simplifying we have:

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = -i\omega\mu\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r},\omega)i\omega\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},\omega) - i\omega\mu\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r},\omega).$$
(14)

With the inverse Fourier transform, we define the compact form of the vector Helmholtz equation for the electric field with IP effect in a heterogeneous media:

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mu \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} * \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} \right) = -\mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t}, \tag{15}$$

where the dielectric function in time domain is defined in terms of Debye functions as follows:

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r},t) = \varepsilon_0 \mathscr{F}^{-1} \left[\kappa(\mathbf{r},\omega) + \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{r},\omega)}{i\omega\varepsilon_0} \right] \\ = \varepsilon_0 \left(\kappa(\mathbf{r},t)\delta(t) + \left(\sigma_\infty + \eta \sum_{p=1}^{D_f} \gamma_p \left(1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_p}} \right) \right) \frac{H(t)}{\varepsilon_0} \right)$$
(16)

 $_{^{166}}$ \qquad whose response requires the computation of the weighted-parameter γ_p and τ_p for any

given relaxation model (e.g. CCM). Using this expression we can compute the time deriva tive of (16), as:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} = \varepsilon(\mathbf{r},t)\dot{\delta}(t) + \sigma_{\infty}\delta(t) + \eta \sum_{p=1}^{D_f} \gamma_p \left[\delta(t) \left(1 - e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\tau_p}\right)}\right) - \frac{H(t)}{\tau_p} e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\tau_p}\right)}\right].$$
 (17)

Equation (17) is the polarization rate whose gives account of how the polarization effect changes in a lapse of time. Notably, this expression is fully analogue to the expression for the strain rate in continuum mechanics.

¹⁷² 3 IP effect contribution through memory variables

Equation (15) is an integro-differential equation with no trivial solution, for which, we have used the concept of memory variables as widely employed in seismic attenuation problems to transform the convolution into a set of first-order ordinary differential equations in time. Hence using the definition of convolution between two causal signals (f * g), where one of them is reversed and shifted a value ψ , resulting in the following convolution integral:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} * \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} = \int_{-\infty}^{t} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r},\psi)}{\partial \psi} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r},t-\psi)}{\partial t} \right) \right] d\psi, \tag{18}$$

¹⁷⁹ substituting equation (17) into the above integral and solving respect to shifted vari-¹⁸⁰ able ψ we obtain the general solution of the convolution between polarization and elec-¹⁸¹ tric field rates:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} * \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} = \varepsilon(\mathbf{r},t) \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t^2} + \sigma_{\infty} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} - \eta \sum_{p=1}^{D_f} \frac{\gamma_p}{\tau_p} \left(\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r},t) - \frac{\mathbf{M}_p(\mathbf{r},t)}{\tau_p} \right), \quad (19)$$

161

From the right-hand side of equation (19), we follow Carcione et al. (1988b) and define the memory variable function (\mathbf{M}_p) that stores the cumulative effect of the fulltime series of the electric field as:

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathbf{r},t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r},\psi) \, \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{t-\psi}{\tau_{\mathrm{p}}}\right)} d\psi.$$
(20)

Similar to Carcione et al. (1988a), Carcione et al. (1988b), Moczo et al. (2007), we transform the integral convolution into a differential equation, by taking the time derivative of the memory variable in equation (20):

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}_p(\mathbf{r},t)}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{-\infty}^t \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r},\psi) \ \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{t-\psi}{\tau_p}\right)} d\psi \right].$$
(21)

We then evaluate the right-hand side of the differential equation using the Leibniz integration rule, to obtain:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}_{p}(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} = \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r},t) - \frac{1}{\tau_{p}(\mathbf{r})} \mathbf{M}_{p}(\mathbf{r},t).$$
(22)

Finally, dropping the explicit dependence of space and time, we substitute the solution of the convolution (equation (19)) in equation (15) and obtain:

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{e} + \mu \left[\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{e}}{\partial t^2} + \sigma_\infty \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}}{\partial t} - \eta \sum_{p=1}^{D_f} \frac{\gamma_p}{\tau_p} \left(\mathbf{e} - \frac{\mathbf{M}_p}{\tau_p} \right) \right] = -\mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{j}}{\partial t}.$$
 (23)

We note that our procedure recovers the wave equation for the electric field in time domain (Ward & Hohmann, 1988, eq. 1.29) with an extra term to quantify the IP effect.

¹⁹⁴ The minus sign refers to the fact that the IP effect opposes the original EM signal.

Taking the first-order diffusion-reaction terms in equation (23) and with the ODE of the memory variable (22) we define the following system of coupled equations:

$$\mu \sigma_{\infty} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}}{\partial t} + \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{e} - \mu \eta \sum_{p=1}^{D_f} \frac{\gamma_p}{\tau_p} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}_p}{\partial t} = -\mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{j}}{\partial t}.$$
(24)

Equations (20), (22) and (24) fully describe the polarization response of the medium (cf. Carcione et al., 1988a) and are the objective to be solved in order to simulate the IP effect in the transient EM signal.

4 Validation test on a Cole-Cole model approximation

Being that our methodology is based on the use of the Debye model is not intrinsically dependent on any particular IP relaxation model; however, to validate our formulation we apply the methodology described in Kelley and Luebbers (2003) or Kelley et al. (2007) to approximate the well-known Cole-Cole model trough a weighted sum of linear functions. First, we set the Cole-Cole model (left-hand side of equation 25) through the real and imaginary part of the corresponding Debye function expansion (right-hand side of equation 25), writing it as follows:



Figure 1. Approximation of a Cole-Cole model (CCM) using 2, 6 and 10 terms on the Debye function expansion (DFE, $D_f = 10$). The reference Cole-Cole conductivity model is $\sigma_0 = 0.2$, $\sigma_{\infty} = 0.45$, $\tau = 1$ and c = 0.65.

$$\sigma_{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{m}{1 + (i\omega\tau)^c} \right) \approx \left[\sigma_{\infty} + \eta \sum_{p=1}^{D_f} \frac{\gamma_p^{Re}}{1 + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{r_p}}\right)^2} - i\eta \sum_{p=1}^{D_f} \gamma_p^{Im} \frac{\frac{\omega}{\omega_{r_p}}}{1 + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{r_p}}\right)^2} \right].$$
(25)

The Cole-Cole model in the left-hand side of equation (25) must be sampled in a frequency range of interest (ω_i). We then aim to compute the corresponding real γ_p^{Re} and imaginary γ_p^{Im} weighted-parameters for the Debye Model by:

$$\gamma_p^{Re} = \left[\sum_{p=1}^{D_f} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{\omega_i}{\omega_{r_p}}\right)^2}\right)\right]^{-1} \left\{\frac{\sigma_{cc}'(\omega_i) - \sigma_{\infty}}{(\sigma_0 - \sigma_{\infty})}\right\},\tag{26}$$

$$\gamma_p^{Im} = \left[\sum_{p=1}^{D_f} \left(\frac{\frac{\omega_i}{\omega_{r_p}}}{1 + \left(\frac{\omega_i}{\omega_{r_p}}\right)^2}\right)\right]^{-1} \left\{\frac{\sigma_{cc}''(\omega_i)}{(\sigma_0 - \sigma_\infty)}\right\},\tag{27}$$

whose solution is obtained by simple linear regression. The fit between the real and imaginary part of the Cole-Cole model is shown in Figure 1, and their residuals in Figure 2.

Finally, we concatenate the vector solution γ_p^{Re} and γ_p^{Im} to compute the total weightedparameters γ_p for the equations in time (7) and (16) whose responses are shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. The γ_p parameters complete the solution in the coupled equation (24) to be implemented in a finite difference or finite element schemes.

As a result, we obtain a new approach to assimilate transient IP effects in an electromagnetic model based on a transient dielectric function as an alternative to the classical dispersive conductivity term which leads to a new Helmholtz equation. Since this



Figure 2. Residuals of real and imaginary part of the CCM approximation using 2, 6 and 10 Debye functions ($D_f = 10$). As more terms are added the residuals goes to zero.



Figure 3. Time-domain response of Debye Function Expansion (equation 7).



Figure 4. Time-domain response of the complex permittivity (equation 16).

approach considers both physical properties, it is theoretically feasible to identify, from
 the measured data, the different polarization mechanisms described in Table 1 at a rel atively low frequency as applied in conventional TEM acquisitions.

²²⁴ 5 Concluding remarks

The model developed herein, which uses the concept of the dielectric function that contains the polarization parameters of the medium and implicitly has the information of the electrical conductivity, is an alternative to analyze and obtain more information from the IP phenomenon by considering both conductivity and permittivity.

The concept of memory variables resulted in a versatile and useful mathematical tool to complete our procedure, leading to a novel PDE for geophysical electromagnetics. This new model considers both diffusion and reaction processes in a single PDE directly posed in the time domain. Furthermore, our methodology permits include any Cole-Cole model in the electromagnetic signal through the Debye Function Expansion, simplifying the mathematical procedure (in contrast to using the Cole-Cole model directly) and simultaneously, add any dispersive behavior in the electromagnetic fields.

We highlight that considering the dispersion in the electrical properties, more precisely, the dielectric function provides an entirely different view for the estimation of physical properties, such as the fluid temperature in a reservoir or the hydraulic permeability estimated from the IP parameters chargeability and relaxation time.

240 Acknowledgments

This research was funded by scholarship No.456059 with register number 613795 of the National Council of Science and Technology of Mexico (CONACYT). The authors acknowledge the funding of the GeMex project 2015-04-268074 through CONACYT-SENER sectorial funding. We want to recognize Carlos Flores for his valuable contributions and Victor Rico-Botero for his help to understand the permittivity concept, finally to Astrid Luna for her help in many times of discussing ideas and shaping arguments. No any new data was used in the present work. M Oliva-Gutiérrez dedicates this letter to MAOL.

248 **References**

- Burtman, V., & Zhdanov, M. S. (2015). Induced polarization effect in reservoir
 rocks and its modeling based on generalized effective-medium theory. *Resource-Efficient Technologies*, 1(1), 34–48. doi: 10.1016/j.reffit.2015.06.008
- Cai, H., Hu, X., Xiong, B., & Zhdanov, M. S. (2017). Finite-element timedomain modeling of electromagnetic data in general dispersive medium using adaptive padé series. Computers & Geosciences, 109, 194 205. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2017.08.017
- Carcione, J. M., Kosloff, D., & Kosloff, R. (1988a). Wave propagation simulation in a linear viscoacoustic medium. *Geophysical Journal International*, 93(2), 393– 401. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246x.1988.tb02010.x
- Carcione, J. M., Kosloff, D., & Kosloff, R. (1988b). Wave propagation simulation
 in a linear viscoelastic medium. *Geophysical Journal International*, 95(3), 597–611. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246x.1988.tb06706.x
- Cole, K. S., & Cole, R. H. (1941). Dispersion and Absorption in Dielectrics I. Alternating Current Characteristics. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 9(4), 341–351. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1750906 doi: 10.1063/1
 .1750906
- Commer, M., Petrov, P. V., & Newman, G. A. (2017). FDTD modelling of induced
 polarization phenomena in transient electromagnetics. *Geophysical Journal In- ternational*, 209(1), 387–405. doi: 10.1093/gji/ggx023
- Davydycheva, S., Rykhlinski, N., & Legeido, P. (2006). Electrical-prospecting
 method for hydrocarbon search using the induced-polarization effect. *Geo- physics*, 71(4), 179–189. doi: 10.1190/1.2217367
- Flores, C., & Peralta-Ortega, S. A. (2009). Induced polarization with in-loop transient electromagnetic soundings: A case study of mineral discrimination at El
 Arco porphyry copper, Mexico. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 68(3), 423–436.
 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2009.03.009
- Fuller, B. D., & Ward, S. H. (1970). Linear System Description of the Electrical Pa rameters of Rocks. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience Electronics*, 8(1), 7-18.
 doi: 10.1109/TGE.1970.271447
- Ji, Y., Wu, Y., Guan, S., & Zhao, X. (2018). 3D numerical modeling of inducedpolarization electromagnetic response based on the finite-difference timedomain method. *Geophysics*, 83(6), E385-E398. doi: 10.1190/geo2017-0190.1
- ²⁸² Kaiser, K. (2006). *Electromagnetic shielding*. Boca Raton: CRC/Taylor & Francis.
- Kelley, D. F., Destan, T. J., & Luebbers, R. J. (2007). Debye function expansions
 of complex permittivity using a hybrid particle swarm-least squares optimiza tion approach. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 55(7),
 1999–2005. doi: 10.1109/TAP.2007.900230
- Kelley, D. F., & Luebbers, R. J. (1996). Piecewise linear recursive convolution for
 dispersive media using FDTD. Antennas and Propagation, IEEE Transactions
 on, 44 (6), 792–797. doi: 10.1109/8.509882
- 290Kelley, D. F., & Luebbers, R. J.(2003).Debye function expansions of empir-291ical models of complex permittivity for use in FDTD solutions.Anten-292nas and Propagation, IEEE Transactions on, 4, 372–375.doi: 10.1109/

293	APS.2003.1220199
294	Kemna, A., Binley, A., & Slater, L. (2004). Crosshole IP imaging for engineering
295	and environmental applications. $Geophysics, 69(1), 97-107$. Retrieved from
296	https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1649379 doi: 10.1190/1.1649379
297	Kuzmany, H. (1998). The dielectric function. In Solid-state spectroscopy: An in-
298	troduction (pp. 101–120). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. Re-
299	trieved from https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-03594-8_6 doi: 10.1007/
300	978-3-662-03594-8_6
301	Kwan, K., Prikhodko, A., Legault, J., Plastow, G., Xie, J., & Fisk, K. (2015). Air-
302	borne Inductive Induced Polarization Chargeability Mapping of VTEM Data.
303	ASEG Extended Abstracts, 2015. doi: 10.1071/ASEG2015ab104
304	Lesmes, D. P., & Friedman, S. P. (2005). Relationships between the electrical and
305	hydrogeological properties of rocks and soils. In Y. Rubin & S. S. Hubbard
306	(Eds.), <i>Hydrogeophysics</i> (pp. 87–128). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. doi:
307	10.1007/1-4020-3102-5_4
308	Lesmes, D. P., & Frye, K. M. (2001). Influence of pore fluid chemistry on the com-
309	plex conductivity and induced polarization responses of berea sandstone. Jour-
310	nal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 106(B3), 4079–4090. doi: 10.1029/
311	2000jb900392
312	Lévy, L., Maurya, P. K., Byrdina, S., Vandemeulebrouck, J., Sigmundsson, F.,
313	Árnason, K., Labazuy, P. (2019). Electrical resistivity tomography
314	and time-domain induced polarization field investigations of geothermal ar-
315	eas at Krafla, Iceland: comparison to borehole and laboratory frequency-
316	domain electrical observations. Geophysical Journal International, 218(3),
317	1469-1489. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz240 doi:
318	10.1093/gji/ggz240
319	Maier, S. A. (2007). Plasmonics: Fundamentals and Applications. Springer.
320	Marchant, D., Haber, E., & Oldenburg, D. W. (2014). Three-dimensional modeling
321	of IP effects in time-domain electromagnetic data. $Geophysics$, $79(6)$. doi: 10
322	.1190/geo2014-0060.1
323	Marchant, D., Kang, S., McMillian, M., & Haber, E. (2018). Modelling IP effects in
324	airborne time domain electromagnetics. ASEG Extended Abstracts, 2018(1), 1.
325	doi: 10.1071/aseg2018abm1_1e
326	Moczo, P., Robertsson, J. O. A., & Eisner, L. (2007). The Finite-Difference Time-
327	Domain Method for Modeling of Seismic Wave Propagation. In Advances
328	in wave propagation in heterogenous earth (pp. 421–516). Elsevier. doi:
329	10.1016/s0065-2687(06)48008-0
330	Newman, G. A., & Alumbaugh, D. L. (1995). Frequency-domain modelling of air-
331	borne electromagnetic responses using staggered finite differences. Geophysical
332	$Prospecting, \ 43(8), \ 1021-1042. \ \ doi: \ 10.1111/j.1365-2478.1995.tb00294.x$
333	Oliva-Gutiérrez, M. A. (2019). Forward modeling of Induced Polarization effect
334	using electric permittivity in electromagnetic data: Theoretical formulation
335	(MSc Thesis, Ensenada Center of Scientific Research and Higher Education,
336	CICESE). Retrieved from http://cicese.repositorioinstitucional.mx/
337	jspui/handle/1007/2618
338	Orfanidis, S. J. (2016). Electromagnetic Waves and Antennas. Rutgers University.
339	Retrieved from http://eceweb1.rutgers.edu/\~{}orfanidi/ewa/
340	Pelton, W. H., Ward, S. H., Hallof, P. G., Sill, W. R., & Nelson, P. H. (1978). Min-
341	eral discrimination and removal of inductive coupling with multifrequency IP.
342	Geophysics, $43(3)$, 588–609. doi: 10.1190/1.1440839
343	Siushansian, R., & Lovetri, J. (1997). Efficient evaluation of convolution inte-
344	grals arising in FDTD formulations of electromagnetic dispersive media.
345	Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications, $11(1)$, $101-117$. doi:
346	10.1163/156939397X00675
347	Slater, L. D., & Lesmes, D. (2002). IP interpretation in environmental investiga-

348	tions. Geophysics, 67(1), 77-88. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1190/
349	1.1451353 doi: 10.1190/1.1451353
350	Smith, R. S., Walker, P. W., Polzr, B. D., & West, G. F. (1988). The time-
351	domain electromagnetic response of polarizable bodies: an approximate
352	convolution algorithm. <i>Geophysical Prospecting</i> , 36(7), 772–785. doi:
353	10.1111/j.1365-2478.1988.tb02192.x
354	Tarasov, A., & Titov, K. (2007). Relaxation time distribution from time domain in-
355	duced polarization measurements. Geophysical Journal International, $170(1)$,
356	31–43. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2007.03376.x
357	Ward, S. H., & Hohmann, G. W. (1988, jan). Electromagnetic theory for geophysi-
358	cal applications. In <i>Electromagnetic methods in applied geophysics</i> (Vol. 1, pp.
359	130–311). Society of Exploration Geophysicists. doi: $10.1190/1.9781560802631$
360	.ch4
361	Wynn, J., & Fleming, J. (2012). Seawater capacitance-A promising proxy for map-
362	ping and characterizing drifting hydrocarbon plumes in the deep ocean. Ocean
363	Science, 8 , 1099-1104. doi: 10.5194/os-8-1099-2012
364	Wynn, J. C. (1988). Titanium geophysics: The application of induced polarization
365	to sea-floor mineral exploration. Geophysics, $53(3)$, $386-401$. doi: $10.1190/1$
366	.1442472
367	Zaslavsky, M., & Druskin, V. (2010). Solution of time-convolutionary maxwell's
368	equations using parameter-dependent krylov subspace reduction. Journal of
369	Computational Physics, 229(12), 4831–4839. doi: 10.1016/j.jcp.2010.03.019