Modulation of the Bifurcation in Radiative-Convective Equilibrium by Gray-Zone Cloud and Turbulence Parameterizations

Xiaoming Shi¹ and Yiyuan Fan²

¹Division of Environment & Sustainability, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

²Division of Environment and Sustainability, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

November 24, 2022

Abstract

This study investigates the mechanisms by which small-scale turbulence and cloud physics determine the organization of largescale convection in radiative-convective equilibrium (RCE), an idealization of the tropical atmosphere. Under uniform forcings similar to typical tropical conditions, the atmosphere in RCE might spontaneously separate into dry and moist regions on scales of 100-1000 km, with convective clouds aggregating into a cluster in the latter. This phenomenon is known as convective self-aggregation. Herein, we demonstrate that subtle changes in assumptions related to cloud physics and turbulence on scales of ~1 km can dictate the emergence or suppression of convective self-aggregation, resulting from a bifurcation of the dynamical system. The bifurcation occurs when a small dry patch forms in the domain and is sustained because it contributes to negative effective diffusivity of the circulation. Cloud-radiation feedbacks and turbulence circulation interactions govern the formation of such dry patches, thereby modulating the bifurcation. This sensitive dependence on subgrid process models might be a fundamental barrier to climate predictability in light of inherent uncertainties in microscale processes. Because without the capability to include exact representations of those processes in climate models, slight differences in the different approximations used by modelers can lead to qualitative changes in climate predictions, at least for some processes.

Modulation of the Bifurcation in Radiative-Convective Equilibrium by Gray-Zone Cloud and Turbulence Parameterizations

Xiaoming Shi¹and Yiyuan Fan¹

¹Division of Environment and Sustainability, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong, China

Key Points:

1

2

3

4

5

6

⁷ Convective sen-aggregation in the radiative-convective equilibrium exit	nons nonnnear depen-
8 dence on cloud and turbulence parameterizations.	
• Its emergence or suppression is governed by a bifurcation of the dynamic sensitive to subgrid processes in the gray zone.	mical system, which is
• Bifurcation mechanisms might be barriers to climate predictability due ties in cloud and turbulence parameterizations.	to inherent uncertain-

Corresponding author: Xiaoming Shi, shixm@ust.hk

13 Abstract

This study investigates the mechanisms by which small-scale turbulence and cloud physics determine 14 the organization of large-scale convection in radiative-convective equilibrium (RCE), an idealiza-15 tion of the tropical atmosphere. Under uniform forcings similar to typical tropical conditions, the 16 atmosphere in RCE might spontaneously separate into dry and moist regions on scales of 100-1000 17 km, with convective clouds aggregating into a cluster in the latter. This phenomenon is known 18 as convective self-aggregation. Herein, we demonstrate that subtle changes in assumptions related 19 to cloud physics and turbulence on scales of $\leq 1 \text{ km}$ can dictate the emergence or suppression of 20 convective self-aggregation, resulting from a bifurcation of the dynamical system. The bifurcation 21 occurs when a small dry patch forms in the domain and is sustained because it contributes to negative 22 effective diffusivity of the circulation. Cloud-radiation feedbacks and turbulence circulation interac-23 tions govern the formation of such dry patches, thereby modulating the bifurcation. This sensitive 24 dependence on subgrid process models might be a fundamental barrier to climate predictability in 25 light of inherent uncertainties in microscale processes. Because without the capability to include 26 exact representations of those processes in climate models, slight differences in the different approx-27 imations used by modelers can lead to qualitative changes in climate predictions, at least for some 28 processes. 29

³⁰ Plain Language Summary

The tropical atmosphere may self-organize into well-separated moist and dry regions, with 31 clustered clouds and rain in the former and clear-sky and dry air in the latter. This phenomenon 32 is called convective self-aggregation and could dramatically impact tropical weather and climate by 33 changing rainfall patterns and clouds distribution. However, we found that in an advanced cloud-34 resolving model, the atmosphere may either stay in a homogeneous state or exhibit self-aggregation, 35 depending on how clouds and turbulence are represented. All physical models we chose are state-of-36 the-art physical models, yet the equilibrium state of the atmosphere is susceptible to subtle details of 37 the cloud and turbulence models. This sensitivity to cloud and turbulence representations may pose 38 another kind of "butterfly effect". Here, instead of facing uncertainties in initial conditions, what can 39 potentially lead to qualitative, dramatic differences in predictions are the relatively small uncertainties 40 in the physical models of cloud and turbulence. To the extent that our cloud and turbulence models 41 can only be imperfect approximations and therefore have inherent uncertainties, the quest to reduce 42 the disagreement between different climate models may eventually meet fundamental barriers. 43

44 **1 Introduction**

Thanks to the advances in computing power, modern numerical weather prediction (NWP) has 45 achieved regional resolutions of ~1 km (Lean et al., 2008; Seity et al., 2011; Raynaud & Bouttier, 2017). Global simulations at kilometer-scale resolutions are also actively achieved by the modeling 47 community (Wedi et al., 2020). However, grid spacings of such sizes also inhibit weather and 48 climate models according to phenomena, such as turbulence in the gray zone (also known as terra 49 incognita). The gray zone refers to situations in which the model mesh cannot fully resolve turbulence 50 and in which turbulence cannot be assumed to be mostly unresolved and in a quasi-equilibrium state 51 (Wyngaard, 2004; Chow et al., 2019). Since such assumptions form the basis of traditional turbulence 52 models in large-eddy simulations (LES) and NWP models, both LES-type and NWP-type turbulence 53 models may underperform in the gray zone. 54

Another challenge facing modern weather and climate models is the representation of mi-55 croscale cloud physics (Morrison et al., 2020). Kilometer-scale grid spacings are far from sufficient 56 to resolve cloud particles per se; however, they can partially resolve cloud cells, at least for deep 57 convection. In such convection-permitting simulations (CPM), turbulent motions and cloud droplets 58 are expected to exhibit more direct interactions; thus, cloud physics could have a significant impact 59 on the simulated weather system (Morrison et al., 2011; Bryan & Morrison, 2012; Adams-Selin 60 et al., 2013). For this reason, more sophisticated cloud physics schemes have been implemented 61 in recent CPM systems (Benjamin et al., 2016; Milbrandt et al., 2016). Yet, due to uncertainties 62

in microphysical process rates, especially those of ice phase processes, further research into cloud
 microphysics is required (Morrison et al., 2020).

In this study, we investigate the dependence of convection organization in CPM simulations of radiative-convective equilibrium (RCE). RCE is a widely accepted idealization for the tropical atmosphere; indeed, its use can be traced back to an early single-column model study by Manabe and Strickler in 1964 (Manabe & Strickler, 1964). Modern RCE studies have used CPMs as a tool to evaluate an extensive list of tropical dynamics problems, such as the hydrological cycle (Romps, 2011), tropical cyclogenesis (Wing et al., 2016), atmospheric boundary layer (D. Yang, 2018), and others (Wing et al., 2018).

An interesting phenomenon in CPM simulations of RCE is that with uniform incoming solar 72 radiation and sea surface temperature (SST) as boundary conditions, the simulation domain can 73 sometimes exhibit a nonhomogeneous distribution of convective clouds. This process is referred to as 74 convection self-aggregation, in which anomalously dry regions appear and convection spontaneously 75 organizes into a single cluster (Bretherton et al., 2005). Self-aggregation in RCE is generally 76 understood as the result of cloud-radiation interactions and surface enthalpy flux feedback (Bretherton 77 et al., 2005; Emanuel et al., 2014; Beucler & Cronin, 2016). However, some authors have suggested 78 that without radiation or surface enthalpy flux feedbacks, self-aggregation can still occur due to the 79 effects of latent heating in the generation of available potential energy (D. Yang, 2019). 80

Here, we use RCE to explore the impact of turbulence models and cloud microphysics on the 81 simulation of convection in the gray zone. Two state-of-the-art cloud microphysics schemes and two classic turbulence models are utilized in Cloud Model 1 (CM1) (Bryan & Fritsch, 2002) to conduct 83 four experiments with a 2×2 factorial design. We find that there is no definite answer with regard to which microphysics or turbulence scheme favors convection self-aggregation. Instead, turbulence 85 (microphysics) schemes can either support or suppress the development of self-aggregation, depending on with which microphysics (turbulence) scheme it is combined. We demonstrate that distinct 87 behavior in simulations reflects a bifurcation of the underlying dynamical system. Homogeneous 88 and clustered cloud distributions indicate different equilibrium states of the RCE, the latter of which 89 forms and becomes accessible as the parameters of the dynamical system vary. Although cloud processes and turbulence are microscale phenomena, they modulate the macroscale parameters of 91 the dynamical system of the atmosphere, thereby governing the probability of bifurcation. 92

2 Model and Methods

94

2.1 Experimental Design

Our numerical experiments used the Cloud Model 1 (CM1) (Bryan & Fritsch, 2002) Release 20.2. The simulation domain is $1080 \times 1080 \,\mathrm{km}^2$ in the horizontal directions and 28 km in the vertical direction. The horizontal grid spacing is 3 km, and the vertical grid spacing ranges from 50 m near the surface to 500 m in the upper levels. The simulation has a uniform SST fixed at 301 K. Incoming solar radiation at the top of the atmosphere exhibits no diurnal cycle and is fixed at 650.83 W m⁻², with a zenith angle of 50.5°. Numerical experiments were integrated for 100 days. A fifth-order scheme was adopted for computing horizontal and vertical advections. For the computation of scalar advection, the fifth-order weighted essentially nonoscillatory scheme was employed.

We adopted two PBL turbulence schemes and two cloud microphysics schemes to complete a 103 total of four experiments. The microphysics schemes used were the Morrison (M) (Morrison et al., 104 2009) and Thompson (T) (Thompson et al., 2008) schemes. The former of which is a two-moment 105 bulk microphysical approach that predict both the mass and number concentrations of cloud and 106 precipitation species; and the latter has double-moment ice and rain. The PBL turbulence schemes 107 include a Louis-type scheme (L) and an EDMF scheme (E). The former is a variant of the classic 108 Smagorinsky scheme and considers only vertical mixing (Bryan & Rotunno, 2009); the latter was 109 developed and adopted for operational weather forecast models (Hong & Pan, 1996). It can model 110 counter-gradient (i.e., nonlocal) mixing and allows the vertical mass flux to penetrate the top of 111

the PBL and influence layers above the PBL. Combinations of these options yielded a total of four experiments: ML, TL, ME, and TE.

114

119

123

128

130

2.2 Mesoscale Blocks and Low-Pass Filter

¹¹⁵ We extensively used block averaging in our analysis to focus on mesoscale organization. ¹¹⁶ Data from mesoscale blocks refer to the average results of horizontal partitioning of the simulation ¹¹⁷ domain into 15×15 (72×72 km²) blocks and the horizontal averaging of data within each block. ¹¹⁸ This procedure followed the analysis detailed in (Bretherton et al., 2005).

2.3 Frozen Moist Static Energy (MSE)

Frozen MSE is conserved during moist adiabatic processes and is used in this study to indicate the state of an atmosphere column. We simply refer it as MSE throughout the text. The MSE (h_f) is defined as follows,

$$h_f = c_p T + g Z + L_v q_v - L_f q_i, \tag{1}$$

where c_p denotes the specific heat of air at constant pressure, *T* is the temperature, *g* is the gravitational constant, *Z* is the geopotential height, L_v and L_f are the latent heat of vaporization and sublimation, respectively, and qv and qi are the specific ratios of water vapor and cloud ice, respectively. For conciseness, we refer frozen MSE as MSE throughout the article. The vertically integrated MSE is

$$H = \int \rho h_f \, dz,\tag{2}$$

and the budget equation of column MSE is

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = F_{SE} + R_{SW} + R_{LW} + A, \tag{3}$$

where F_{SE} denotes surface enthalpy flux, R_{SW} and R_{LW} are net heating to the column by shortwave and longwave radiations, respectively, and *A* is the advection term representing the net convergence of *H* due to circulation. Subtracting the horizontal mean from Equation (3) and multiplying the remaining equation by the deviation of column MSE yield the budget equation for column MSE variance as follows:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial[H'^2]}{\partial t} = [H'F'_{SE}] + [H'R'_{SW}] + [H'R'_{LW}] + [H'A'], \tag{4}$$

where the prime indicates deviations from horizontal mean and the square brackets denote the horizontal average.

139

144

150

136

2.4 Linearization of Column MSE Equation

Here we derive a linearized column MSE budget equation, which is used for statility analysis later. Following the approach detailed in Bretherton et al. (2005), the deviation of radiative forcing from the horizontal mean of RCE can be parameterized as a function of precipitation anomaly (P'), which represents the degree of cloudiness that dominates radiation perturbations,

$$R' = R'_{SW} + R'_{LW} = C_R L_v P', (5)$$

where C_R denotes the strength of the cloud-radiation feedback. In Bretherton et al. (2005), the surface enthalpy flux anomaly was parameterized as a function of the precipitation anomaly as gustiness induces more surface evaporation. However, as will be shown in Fig. 3.1, evaporation in extremely dry regions can also be large due to the low relative humidity of the ambient air. Thus, we conceptually parameterize the surface enthalpy flux anomaly as a sum of two terms as follows:

$$F_{SE} = C_{S1}L_{\nu}P' + C_{S2}H_{*}r'$$
(6)

where H_* denotes the column MSE when an entire column is saturated, r' is the column relative humidity (CRH) anomaly, $H' = H_*r'$, and CS1 and CS2 are the sensitivity of surface enthalpy flux to gustiness and relative humidity, respectively. The first term dominates in moist regions, whereas the second term dominates in dry regions. Subsequently, we seek an expression of P' in terms of r'. Because Bretherton et al. (2005) has demonstrated that precipitation in the RCE has an approximate exponential dependence on CRH, we can express precipitation as follows:

$$P = P_{\rm RCE} \exp(r') \tag{7}$$

where P_{RCE} denotes the mean precipitation in the RCE, enabling the precipitation anomaly to be approximated as follows:

$$P' = P_{\text{RCE}}(e^{r'} - 1) \approx r' P_{\text{RCE}},\tag{8}$$

where r' is assumed to be small. Furthermore, we approximate the advection term by effective eddy diffusion as follows:

$$A' = \nu \nabla^2 H',\tag{9}$$

Combining Equations (3) and (5)–(9), we can express the linearized budget equation for H' as follows:

$$\frac{\partial H'}{\partial t} = (\beta_S + \beta_R)H' + \nu \nabla^2 H', \tag{10}$$

in which,

157

160

163

164

165

168

171

$$\beta_S = C_{S1} \frac{LP_{\text{RCE}}}{H_*} + C_{S2}, \qquad \beta_R = C_R \frac{LP_{\text{RCE}}}{H_*}, \tag{11}$$

are the strengths of surface enthalpy flux feedback and radiation feedback.

170 **3 Results**

3.1 Distinct Equilibrium States

Figure 1 presents the distribution of convection at the end of each of the four simulation, ML, 172 TL, ME, and TE. In the TL and ME simulations, convection self-organizes into an elongated cluster. 173 Relatively low outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) in the TL experiment suggests that the deep 174 convection in TL is more intense and produces colder cloud tops compared with that in ME (Fig. 175 1F and G). Contrarily, ML and TE did not exhibit self-aggregation by day 100. The distributions 176 of convection and clouds are highly homogeneous in ML. In TE, however, deep convection appears 177 to be suppressed in some parts of the domain, whereas the overall distribution of convection is still 178 random. We integrated ML and TE until day 150, finding that ML exhibits no sign of self-aggregation 179 by this time, whereas TE exhibits partial self-aggregation. 180

As described in previous literature, convection self-aggregation features the formation of 181 anomalously dry regions surrounding the convection cluster. This characteristic can be observed 182 clearly in the distribution of vertically integrated frozen moist static energy (MSE) in Fig. 1E-H. The 183 variability of MSE in a column is primarily controlled by the amount of moisture, i.e., the contours in Fig. 1F and H indicate sharp contrasts in water content between regions occupied by convection 185 clusters and their surroundings. In ML, there is almost no column MSE gradient. In TE, a small 186 dry patch (upper-right corner of Fig. 1H) was formed by day 100. This small dry patch enlarged in 187 the continued simulation until it occupied approximately a quarter of the domain by day 150. Thus, the TE experiment is potentially capable of causing convection to self-organize into a single cluster; 189 however, the growth of column MSE gradients is suppressed and slow. 190

As mentioned above, the most surprising aspect in those four experiments is the nonlinear dependence of convection organization on the representation of microscale processes. It is not possible to unambiguously demonstrate whether one turbulence (or microphysics) scheme favors convection self-aggregation while the other does not. In Section 4, we argue that these intriguing results depend on how macroscale characteristic parameters of the atmosphere are influenced by microscale processes, i.e., whether or not bifurcation occurs.

Another interesting result, as presented in Fig. 1A-D, is the dependence of surface evaporation on convection organization. In ML and TE, surface moisture flux is more intense below convection,



Figure 1. Distribution of convection at the end of the simulations. (**A-D**) Distribution of vertical velocity (*w*) (green to red color by volume rendering) and surface evaporation ("qvflux") (blue to white at the bottom of the domain) in the four experiments on day 100. (**E-H**) Distribution of outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) (color shading) and vertically integrated frozen moist static energy (MSE) (contours) in the four experiments on day 100. MSE contours are based on values from the mesoscale block and are given at intervals of $1 \times 10^7 \, \text{J m}^{-2}$.

which causes gustiness and enhances surface evaporation. However, in TL and ME, although the
 effect of gustiness still exists, the domain-scale variability of surface moisture flux is dominated by
 the construct between dry and wet regions. Due to their low atmospheric relative humidity, dry
 regions exhibit a much stronger surface evaporative flux than regions under deep convection.

3.2 Column MSE Variance Budget

The development of a horizontal gradient in column MSE enables us to quantify the process of convection self-aggregation with variance of column MSE (Fig. 2A). Simulations were initialized



Figure 2. Variance of vertically integrated MSE (**A**) and its budget (**B**-**E**). The processes contributing to the tendency of the vertically integrated MSE include surface enthalpy flux (**B**), shortwave radiation (**C**), longwave radiation (**D**), and horizontal advection (**E**). The column MSE and budget terms are mesoscale block values. In (**E**), the semi-transparent curves denote 6-hourly data of the advection contribution, whereas the solid curves represent daily data with sub-daily variability filtered out.

from fields with very small amounts of random perturbations in potential temperature below 1 km. During the first 5 days, random deep convection bursts and column MSE variance increased from $10^{6} J^{2}m^{-4}$ to $10^{11}-10^{12} J^{2}m^{-4}$. After this initial burst of convection, the self-aggregated simulations (TL and ME) exhibited continued growth of column MSE variance, reaching magnitudes of $10^{15} J^{2}m^{-4}$, whereas the other two simulations exhibited very slow or no further growth.

Following Wing and Emanuel (2014), the time tendency of column MSE variance can be partitioned into contributions from four processes, namely, surface enthalpy flux, shortwave radiation, longwave radiation, and advection [Equation (4)]. Figure 2B–E presents the contributions of those processes. Surface enthalpy flux contributions (Fig. 2B) are weakly positive for the ML and TE simulations, which have no significant self-aggregation, and mostly negative for the TL and ME runs. Notably, the ME simulation exhibits a significant positive surface flux contribution prior to day 40. The sign of the surface enthalpy flux contribution depends on whether the domain-scale variability of evaporation is dominated by gustiness or the relative humidity of the air. Gustiness exhibits a positive correlation with convection in space. Thus, it produces a positive contribution. Contrarily, relative humidity evaporation correlation is negative in space.

Radiation contributions (Fig. 2C and D) are mostly positive, with larger magnitudes in the TL and ME simulations that exhibit self-aggregation. However, the details of those two experiments are different. In TL, the contributions from longwave radiation are several times larger than those from shortwave radiation. In ME, longwave radiation dominates before day 50, after which shortwave radiation contributes more and remains positive even when longwave contributions oscillate between opposite signs after day 75. The sign of the radiative contribution depends on whether clouds produce relative heating (positive) or cooling (negative) in moist regions.

The advective contribution depends on whether circulation causes the convergence of MSE into moist or dry columns. It exhibits significant high-frequency variability. After filtering out sub-daily signals, the advective contributions are always negative in ML and TE. In TL and ME experiments, advection suppresses the growth of column MSE variance at the beginning and supports it throughout the intermediate stages; in later stages, the advection term oscillates between positive and negative signs but is negative on average.

Overall, we found that, in self-aggregated simulations, radiative processes promote convection 235 self-aggregation, although the partitioning between shortwave and longwave contributions varies. 236 Surface enthalpy flux played a significant role in the ME run prior to day 35, but otherwise opposed 237 convection clustering in ME and TL. Advection promoted convection self-aggregation throughout 238 the intermediate stages, and notably, the positive contributions started at approximately the same 239 time as surface enthalpy flux contributions became negative. For ML and TE, it seems plausible to 240 consider that self-aggregation did not occur as the radiative and surface flux forcings were not strong 241 enough. However, it seems also reasonable that no self-aggregation resulted from the opposing effect 242 of the advection term. 243

3.3 Linear Stability Analysis

prognostic variable:

247 248

To evaluate the fundamental causes of self-aggregation, we considered linear stability analysis. Because $H' = H_*r'$, Equation (10) can also be written as follows with the CRH anomaly r' as

$$\frac{\partial r'}{\partial t} = (\beta_S + \beta_R)r' + v\nabla^2 r', \qquad (12)$$

This is a reaction-diffusion equation and allows us to conduct linear stability analysis if we assume that the coefficients are constant.

Figure 3A-D, E-H, and I-L shows how radiative heating, surface enthalpy flux, and advective contribution anomalies, respectively, depend on column MSE anomaly between days 10 and 30 in the simulations. The predominant feature in these plots is the complexity of the relations between the represented variables. For the fixed values of MSE anomalies (or the Laplacian of anomalies), various terms exhibit notable amplitudes of variability. For example, when the column MSE anomaly is zero, the radiative heating anomaly can vary between -20 and 20Wm⁻², with an approximately homogeneous probability distribution between -10 and 10Wm⁻². These results reflect the randomness of the relationship between convection and column MSE.

Nonetheless, we can still compute the expectation of the forcing terms for each bin of column MSE anomalies (or their Laplacian) based on their probability distribution functions (PDFs), which are presented as the black lines in Fig. 3A-L. In general, this shows that radiation anomalies are more sensitive than surface enthalpy flux anomalies, with the exception of the ME simulation, where surface flux sensitivity is smaller but nonetheless comparable to radiative heating anomalies. The



Figure 3. Feedback and circulation strengths for the linearized governing equation. Dependence of the radiative forcing anomaly (**A-D**), the surface enthalpy flux anomaly (**E-H**), and the advection anomaly (**I-L**) on the Laplacian of MSE anomalies between day 10 and 30 of the simulations. (**M-O**) Regressed coefficients based on 5-day periods.

sensitivities of radiative heating and surface enthalpy flux are mostly positive, such that radiation 264 and surface flux correlate with cloudiness and gustiness, respectively, and tend to make moist (high 265 MSE) columns more moist and dry columns drier. However, exceptions are observed in very dry (low 266 MSE) columns, where the sensitivity may become negative. In ML and ME, radiative heating tends 267 to increase as column MSE decreases near the left end of the diagrams, probably due to the spread of 268 cirrus clouds into the dry regions. In TL, which exhibits a significant fraction of dry regions, surface 269 enthalpy fluxes exhibit weak negative correlations with column MSE for dry columns, probably 270 indicating the effect of the relative humidity of air on evaporation. Advection contributions exhibit 271 mostly positive correlations with the Laplacian of column MSE, implying that advection tends to 272 "diffuse" MSE from moist regions to dry regions, suppressing the development of separation between 273 moist and dry columns. However, an interesting result presented in Fig. 3I-L is that eddy diffusivity 274 can become negative for anomalously dry regions. Dry (low MSE) anomalies generally correspond 275 to higher values of the Laplacian of column MSE. Thus, the right-hand side parts of Fig. 3J and K 276 suggest that the values of effective eddy diffusivity are highly uncertain in dry regions and negative 277 on average. 278

Despite the involved complexities, if we assume that the coefficients in Equation (12) are spatially uniform values that slowly change with time, we can regress the forcing terms onto the column MSE anomalies or their Laplacian and estimate the linear stability of Equation (12). The results of this regression are presented in Fig. 3M-O.

For cases in which the coefficients in Equation (12) are assumed to be constant, their solutions are the linear superposition of normal modes. By substituting the wave solution

$$r' = A_{kl} \exp(ikx + ily + \sigma t) \tag{13}$$

into Equation (12), it can be found that the growth rate of the normal mode is

$$\sigma = (\beta_S + \beta_R) - \nu(k^2 + l^2) \tag{14}$$

This implies that the mode is unstable when the sum of terms in the first pair of brackets is larger than the last term. Equation (14) indicates that there is a critical wavenumber,

$$k_c = \sqrt{\frac{\beta_S + \beta_R}{\nu}} \tag{15}$$

above which the corresponding mode becomes stable. This criterion means that perturbation is
 needed to have a sufficient spatial scale (low wavenumber); otherwise, diffusion is too strong to
 allow perturbation to grow.

Figure 3M-O presents the regression coefficients for each 5-day period. This demonstrates that 294 at the beginning of the simulations, coefficient values suggest that the critical wavelength is $\sim 70\Delta x$, 295 where $\Delta x = 3 \text{ km}$ is the horizontal grid spacing in the simulations. This wavelength is smaller than 296 the domain size, $360\Delta x$, such that all simulations can potentially become unstable if large-scale 207 perturbations occur. Indeed, although the ML and TE experiments did not develop self-aggregation 298 during the 100 days of simulation tested, TE eventually exhibited aggregation behavior when the 299 time between days 100 and 150 was integrated. We also verified that seeding a quadrant of the ML 300 domain with a 30% water vapor deficit relative to its initial condition causes the dry area to grow 301 and increase to 4/3 of the domain within 50 days. 302

Figure 3M-O demonstrates that TL and ME are destabilized by decreases in the effective diffusivity of the flow. In both simulations, the regression value of ν decreases with time, which is becoming close to zero or even negative. With such small diffusivity values, the critical wavenumber becomes very large, which means that small-scale perturbations can also be unstable and grow over time.

Physically, negative diffusivity corresponds to the formation of dry patches in the simulation 308 domain. When dry patches form, the cloudiness of the corresponding regions is significantly reduced; thus, the radiative feedback is also weakened (Fig. 3B), causing parameter β_R to become small but 310 still positive. Parameter β_S , which is related to surface flux, becomes negative as dry patches form 311 due to the dependence of surface flux on moisture deficits (Fig. 3N). During the later stages of 312 simulations, β_R and β_S become significantly smaller in the TL and ME runs, which exhibit self-313 aggregation, than in the ML and TE runs, which do not. Thus, we cannot argue that ML and TE did 314 not exhibit self-aggregation as their radiative and surface flux feedbacks are not sufficiently strong. 315 Instead, we suggest that their eddy diffusivity did not fall to values small enough to destabilize 316 small-scale perturbations. 317

4 Bifurcation and Physical Parameterizations

322

285

290

Since Equation (13) is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian operator, we can heuristically express the diffusion term as a linear function of r' as follows:

$$\frac{dr'}{dt} = (\beta_S + \beta_R)r' + \nu k_0^2 r', \tag{16}$$

where k_0 denotes the characteristic wavenumber of the flow. In this ODE, assuming that the coefficients in brackets are constant, we conclude only that the stability of the system changes when the ratio of coefficients crosses one. However, from the above analysis, we know that the RCE is in a metastable state without self-aggregation. In Fig. 3M-O, we observe that when air columns become significantly dry or moist, β_R and β_S become smaller or even negative. Such radiative and surface flux feedbacks can be qualitatively represented by parameterizing the coefficients as a nonlinear function of CRH as follows:

$$\beta_S + \beta_R = C(0.81\tilde{r}^2 - \tilde{r}^4), \tag{17}$$

where C is a constant and \tilde{r} is a scaled CRH perturbation that falls between approximately -1 and 1.

Substituting this expression into Equation (16) yields

330

332

333

$$\frac{d\tilde{r}}{dt} = (0.81\tilde{r}^2 - \tilde{r}^4)\tilde{r} + \alpha\tilde{r},$$
(18)

in which the constant *C* and scaling factor related to \tilde{r} are absorbed into the definition of time and $\alpha = \nu k_0^2 C^{-1}$. In this heuristic model, the radiative and surface flux forcing terms are infinitesimal when the perturbation is small, reflecting the effect of the randomness of cloudiness and gustiness (Fig. 3A-H). They exhibit positive (negative) forcings as CRH increases (decreases); however, when the CRH anomaly becomes too large (small), the forcing weakens (strengthens) (see black curve in Fig. 4A). The decreasing (increasing) trend of the forcing term at extremely high (low) values of \tilde{r}



Figure 4. Bifurcation in the heuristic model. (A) Shape and amplitude of the radiative and surface flux forcing term (black curve) and the damping term (colored straight lines). (B) Fixed points of the model as a function of $-\alpha$; solid lines indicate stable fixed points, and dashed lines indicate unstable fixed points. (C) The potential function V in the model as a function of $-\alpha$.

reflects the fact that other physical constraints in nature prevent a column from approaching 100% (0%) CRH, which may actually rely on a change in the damping coefficient α in extreme conditions. Here, we parameterize this effect in the forcing term for convenience. Such a simplification does not qualitatively change the explanation of bifurcation behavior detailed below.

In Equation (18), α denotes the governing parameter, the inverse of which can be interpreted as a damping time scale. As presented in Fig. 4, when α is large, the amplitude of the damping term 345 is larger than the forcing term for any CRH perturbation. When α becomes smaller, four fixed points $(d\tilde{r}/dt = 0)$ other than the origin emerge in the diagram. When α is positive, the origin and the two 347 fixed points with larger amplitudes are stable fixed points; thus, they attract states surrounding them in the phase space. The other two fixed points, i.e., those with smaller amplitudes, are unstable. 349 When α crosses 0, the origin becomes unstable, the fixed points with larger amplitudes remain, and 350 the unstable fixed points with smaller nonzero amplitudes disappear. In this situation, the RCE state 351 with a uniform distribution of CRH is destabilized, and the system develops either a positive or 352 negative large-amplitude CRH anomaly. The point at $\alpha = 0$ therefore corresponds to a subcritical 353 bifurcation, across which the stability of the system dramatically changes. 354

355

356

376

We can derive a potential V for the right side of Equation (18) to gain further insight as follows:

$$\frac{d\tilde{r}}{dt} = -\frac{dV}{d\tilde{r}} \,. \tag{19}$$

The shape of the potential function (Fig. 4C) helps us appreciate the nature of this bifurcation. When the damping coefficient α is large, only one potential well exists at the zero CRH anomaly. As the damping weakens, two additional potential wells form at nonzero CRH anomaly values. However, those two wells are initially shallower than that at the origin; thus, the system still favors the zero CRH anomaly state when random perturbations exist. Eventually, when the damping becomes very weak, the potential well at the origin enters a metastable state, whereas the other two wells with nonzero CRH anomaly become the most stable, such that the system favors self-aggregation. When the damping coefficient α becomes negative, the potential well at the origin disappears.

The idea that convective self-aggregation corresponds to bifurcation was first proposed by 365 Emanuel et al. (2014). However, they proposed that the SST was the governing parameter of 366 the dynamical system. Here, focusing on the effects of physical parameterization schemes on 367 simulations, our governing parameter is α , which describes the ratio of damping sensitivity to that 368 of radiative and surface flux forcings. Although this heuristic model is simple, it approximates the 369 behavior of numerical simulations. The initial critical wavelengths are smaller than the domain 370 size, indicating the existence of metastable potential wells that correspond to self-aggregated states. 371 Without large-scale perturbations in the initial conditions, the state with the lowest potential has 372 a uniform distribution of convection and moisture. Later, between days 20 and 30, TL and ME 373 simulations develop near-zero or negative diffusivity, causing bifurcation to occur. The uniformly distributed convection then enters an unstable state, and self-aggregation occurs. 375

4.2 Dry Plumes and Self-Aggregation

From the analysis above, we argue that the reduction of effective flow diffusivity to near-zero or negative values marks a bifurcation point across which self-aggregated states become more stable. In this section, we investigate the physical process causing near-zero or negative diffusivity.

As documented by previous studies (Bretherton et al., 2005; C. J. Muller & Held, 2012; 380 C. Muller & Bony, 2015; B. Yang & Tan, 2020), the shallow circulation surrounding a dry patch 381 exports moist low-level air out of the dry columns and imports relatively dry air from the middle 382 and upper atmospheres. Thus, the formation of a dry patch is responsible for small or even negative 383 diffusivity in the flow. Figure 5B-C presents the mesoscale circulation (arrows) on the cross sections 384 of a growing dry patch. In three-dimensional space, it appears to be more appropriate to term the regions with low MSE "dry plumes," since the bulk of the negative MSE anomaly extends from the 386 top of the boundary layer to the mid-troposphere. Circulation in and around these dry plumes features 387 low-level divergence and upper-level convergence. The near-surface outflow air is dry relative to 388



Figure 5. Dry plume dynamics and evolution. (A) Vertically integrated MSE in the TL simulation at Day 50. (B) and (C) Cross sections at the same time across the dry patch indicated with white lines in (A). Color shading indicates MSE (10^3 J/kg), and arrows indicate mesoscale wind fields. (D–G) Depths of the deepest dry plumes in the four simulations as functions of time. Light-blue shading indicates the bottom and top of the dry plume with the lowest bottom height at a given time. One dry plume is defined as a connected region in which the water vapor mixing ratio is less than 70% of the horizontal mean. (H) Mean profiles of equivalent potential temperature (θ_e) between days 40 and 60 of the simulations.

near-surface air away from the dry plumes. However, by virtue of enhanced surface latent heat flux,
 when this air reaches the lateral boundaries of the dry plumes, its moisture content is very close to
 that of the air away from the dry region. In the upper levels, air flows into the dry columns. This
 inflow is not particularly dry compared with the horizontal mean of MSE. However, its MSE is lower
 than the outflow air in the boundary layer. Therefore, as described in previous studies, low-level
 circulation is primarily responsible for the divergence of MSE from the dry regions.

Figure 5D–G presents the maximum depths of dry plumes in all simulations. Dry plumes can easily form in the upper troposphere as the atmosphere is absolutely stable above 5 km (Fig. 5H). Thus, upper-level dry plumes extending from 5 to 11 km were found to exist throughout all simulations. The deepening of dry plumes in the TL simulation appeared to be smooth. However, in all other simulations, a significant resistance to the formation of low-level plumes was observed. In the ML simulation, the dry plume did not reach the ground. In the TE simulation, dry plumes reached the ground several times, but these plumes were short-lived and rapidly retracted to the upper troposphere. Stable deep dry plumes did not form in the TE simulation until after day 95.

The formation of deep dry plumes in the ME simulation appears to differ from that in the ML simulation. Upper troposphere dry plumes formed in the ME simulation after approximately day 3. Although deepening occurred a few times, it was not successful. Eventually, between days 25 and 38, dry plumes reached the ground, but the plume was cut off from the middle and upper troposphere. Around day 40, deep dry plumes penetrating the troposphere formed in the ME simulation and advection began to support the growth of self-aggregation (Fig. 2E).



Figure 6. Clustering of convection without dry-moist patch separation in the ME simulation. Outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) (color shading) and column MSE distribution (contours) in the ME simulation between days 30 and 36. As in Fig. 1, contours are low-pass-filtered to reflect mesoscale patterns and are provided at intervals of $1 \times 10^7 \, \text{Jm}^{-2}$.

Further examination of the results from the ME simulation between days 30 and 36 (Fig. 6) revealed that convective motions during this period formed a single cluster, although column MSE anomalies were still relatively weak. This suggests that, if self-aggregation is defined only as the clustering behavior of convection, instead of including the clear separation between dry and moist regions, it has already reached a peak by day 35 in the ME simulation. Figure 3M–O demonstrates that this early "aggregation" in the ME simulation may reflect interactions between convection, gustiness, and surface enthalpy flux.

Note that the definition of α in Equation (18) includes the coefficient C, which indicates the 416 amplitude of the radiative and surface flux forcing terms. Destabilization can occur in two ways, 417 either by enhancing the radiation and surface flux forcing terms or by reducing the effective diffusivity. 418 Strictly speaking, the first approach is not a bifurcation as it decreases α but cannot directly produce 419 negative α values. However, since perturbations in the simulation are of finite amplitudes instead of 420 being infinitesimal, it is likely that the surface enthalpy flux feedback in the ME simulation caused the 421 uniform state potential well to become shallower and decreased the potential of nonuniform states, 422 resulting in the emergence of a dry plume with of finite-amplitude perturbations and thus negative 423 diffusivity. 424

4.3 Cloud Microphysics

425

In this section, we investigate the role played by cloud microphysics parameterizations in bifurcation modulation. Figure 3A–D provides some clues. An important difference between the simulations using the Morrison microphysics scheme (ML and ME) and those using the Thompson scheme (TL and TE) is that a negative correlation develops at low MSE anomaly values for the period presented in Fig. 3. This local negative correlation between radiative heating and the MSE anomaly indicates that dry, cold areas tend to be covered by high cirrus clouds, which leads to lower radiative
 cooling and favors convection.

Figure 7 presents the mean profiles of cloud water, cloud ice, rain, and snow (including graupel) mixing ratios between days 10 and 30 in the simulations. Figure 7A and B presents the mean profiles when the entire domains are included. The Morrison scheme experiments (ML and ME) consistently predict more cirrus clouds near the tropopause, whereas the Thompson scheme experiments (TL and TE) predict more snow. Figure 7C and D presents the mean profiles when only the driest mesoscale blocks in each time slice are included. The ML simulation still exhibits a significantly larger amount of cloud ice compared with others.

All cloud and precipitation species can affect the radiation budget of the atmosphere. However, 440 due their smaller sizes, cloud ice particles are more efficient in altering radiative fluxes compared 441 with snow. Figure 7E and F presents the relationships between column integrated ice and snow mass 442 and corresponding OLR in ML and TL simulations. Those two panels do not demonstrate the same 443 distributions and relationships, possibly due to their differing predictions of liquid water below the 444 ice and snow. Nonetheless, Fig. 7E and F demonstrates that small amounts of change in cloud ice 445 mass can cause large changes in OLR; if caused by snow mass variability, a change in snow mass of 446 one order of magnitude is required. 447

Therefore, the critical difference between the Morrison and Thompson schemes is their prediction of cloud ice, which is influenced by how they partition condensate between cloud ice and snow, among other species. The Morrison scheme tends to produce more cirrus clouds, which results in a radiative heating anomaly that triggers convection. When cirrus clouds extend to dry blocks, their



Figure 7. Cloud and precipitation profiles and radiative effect. (**A**) and (**C**) Mean profiles of the mixing ratios of cloud water and ice. (**B**) and (**D**) Rain and snow (including graupel) in all-domain averaged blocks (**A**) and (**B**) and in only the driest mesoscale blocks (**C**) and (**D**). (**E**) and (**F**) Relationship between OLR and column integrated ice and snow (including graupel) from the entire domains. Data between day 10 and day 30 of the simulations are used for all panels.

radiative effects prevent the formation of dry plumes. Thus, in Fig. 5D, dry plumes form in the stable upper troposphere but never extend downward to the conditionally unstable lower troposphere.

Why did the ME simulation lead to the formation of dry patches? From Fig. 7C, we can 454 observe that the cirrus clouds in the ME run did not significantly intrude dry blocks. As argued in 455 the previous section, the formation of dry patches in the ME appears to result from the interactions 456 among convection, gustiness, and surface enthalpy fluxes. It is likely that the relative radiative 457 heating in the ME simulation developed a coupling with surface enthalpy flux, and together, these 458 phenomena caused the development of very intense convection cells in the ME. As a result, the 459 downdraft in the dry region in ME is also stronger than that in other simulations and was sufficient 460 to dissipate the cirrus cloud cover. 461

4.4 Turbulence Parameterization

462

The parameterization of turbulence in the planetary boundary layer (PBL) also played a significant role in bifurcation modulation in the RCE. As presented in Fig. 5H, the lower troposphere in the RCE is conditionally unstable. Once triggered, moist convection can bring moist, warm air upward, and tend to destroy dry plumes. It should be noted that Fig. 5H presents data between days 40 and 60 of the simulations, during which dry patches had already formed in the TL and ME simulations. In other words, the formation of dry patches does not alter the conditional unstable stratification in the lower troposphere of the RCE. Instead, their formation merely suppresses the triggering of moist convection.

Figure 8A–D demonstrates this relationship between the lower troposphere static stability and 471 dry patch formation. $\Delta \theta_e$ in Fig. 8 denotes changes in equivalent potential temperature from 1 472 to 4 km above the surface in each column of the simulation domains. In simulations without dry 473 patch formation (ML and TE), most columns have $\Delta \theta_e$ close to -10 K, indicating that the lower 171 troposphere is conditionally unstable. Under certain rare conditions, the lower troposphere becomes 475 moist neutral ($\Delta \theta_e = 0$). Such columns correspond to areas of intense convection. In the ME and TL 476 simulations, the distributions of $\Delta \theta_e$ develop into bimodal ones as dry patches form. Dry patches 477 correspond to the mode centered around -20 K, and regions with clustered convection form another 478 mode, which is close to moist neutral due to convection-driven vertical mixing. 479

The difference between the Louis-type and EDMF turbulence schemes is implied by the upper and lower boundaries of the PDFs in Fig. 8B and C. In the TL simulation, the minimum $\Delta\theta_e$ reaches -30 K during the later stage of the run, whereas the maximum rarely exceeds 0 K. Contrarily, $\Delta\theta_e$ in the ME simulation is larger than -20 K for most of the simulation and reaches -25 K only by the end of day 100. The maximum $\Delta\theta_e$ in the ME simulation exhibits significant probability for values larger than 0 K. These differences indicate that the EDMF scheme favors the triggering of more intense and frequent convection than the Louis-type scheme.

The essential difference between the Louis-type and EDMF schemes is that the EDMF scheme 487 can represent counter-gradient (i.e., nonlocal) vertical mixing instead of being restricted by local 488 down-gradient transport. Moreover, EDMF can extend its effects above the capping inversion of the 489 PBL. Thus, these two PBL schemes have significantly different capabilities for triggering convection in the conditionally unstable lower troposphere. Figure 8E–H presents the joint PDFs of turbulence 491 tendency due to PBL schemes and vertically integrated moisture anomalies between 0.5 and 1.5 km 492 above surface. Both with and without the formation of dry patches, the tendency due to the Louis-493 type scheme is very close to zero in this layer, which lies immediately above the boundary layer. 494 Contrarily, the EDMF scheme tends toward significant moistening. The EDMF scheme is also more 495 sensitive to moisture variability in this layer, such that its tendency increases (decreases) significantly 496 when the layer dries (moistens). 497

In summary, the TE experiment was demonstrated to be very slow in developing dry patches due to the effects of convection triggering in the EDMF scheme. For the same reason, when dry patches form in the TL and ME simulations, the latter has a relatively more stable lower troposphere than the former (Fig. 8B and C). Although the ML simulation does not exhibit significant moistening



Figure 8. Lower troposphere stability and PBL turbulence tendency. (A-D) Logarithm of PDFs of change in equivalent potential temperature (θ_e) from 1 to 4 km above the surface in mesoscale blocks. Black lines indicate the horizontal mean $\Delta \theta_e$ at a given time. Panels (E-H) show the time tendency of mesoscale block moisture integrated between 0.5 and 1.5 km above the surface in the PBL turbulence schemes for days 10 to 30. Black lines indicate the mean tendency for each bin of moisture anomaly.

and destabilization due to the PBL scheme, as discussed above, it did not form dry patches as a result
 of column heating anomalies related to cirrus clouds over dry blocks.

504 **5 Summary and Discussion**

Through a matrix of numerical experiments employing two cloud microphysics schemes and two boundary layer turbulence schemes, we demonstrated that the emergence of self-aggregation in the RCE is not simply tied to a certain kind of parameterization. Instead, self-aggregation, which is defined here as the formation and clear separation of dry and moist columns, is related to a bifurcation of the underlying dynamical system of the RCE.

In the linearized equation of vertically integrated MSE, the stability of the spatially uniform MSE state is governed by the strengths of radiative and surface enthalpy flux feedbacks as well as the effective eddy diffusivity of the flow. In all simulations, linear stability analysis suggests that sufficiently large-scale perturbations are unstable. However, without seeding large-scale perturbations in the initial conditions, such phenomena may not occur spontaneously. Critical for destabilizing
the uniform RCE state is the formation and maintenance of dry patches, which lead to the formation
of shallow circulation and lower the effective horizontal eddy diffusivity to zero or even negative
values. With near-zero eddy diffusivity, the RCE atmosphere can easily be destabilized by radiative
and surface enthalpy feedbacks, even though their strengths weaken with the spatial expansion of dry
patches.

⁵²⁰ By representing RCE dynamics with a heuristic ODE model, we demonstrated that self-⁵²¹ aggregation in RCE corresponds to the bifurcation of the dynamical system. When feedback strengths ⁵²² are relatively weak and diffusion is relatively strong, the uniform state has the lowest potential. When ⁵²³ diffusion weakens and dry patches form, anomalously moist states gain relatively lower potentials ⁵²⁴ and can enter a more stable state. When eddy diffusion becomes negative (i.e., a counter-gradient), ⁵²⁵ uniform moisture distribution becomes an unstable solution.

Thus, we show that bifurcation in the RCE is marked by the formation and maintenance of dry 526 patches, which may be more accurately termed dry plumes as they correspond to a three-dimensional 527 volume with anomalously low moisture. Different physical schemes can certainly alter feedback 528 strengths and thereby accelerate or decelerate relevant processes. However, our analysis suggests 529 that the role played by these schemes in creating or destroying dry plumes is more critical. Because 530 the lower troposphere in the RCE is conditionally unstable, the formation of dry plumes depends on 531 its capacity to suppress or trigger moist convection when dry plumes from the upper troposphere 532 extend to touch the ground, irrespective of the physics scheme used. The Morrison scheme tends to 533 produce more cirrus clouds, which introduce radiative heating anomalies over dry regions and trigger 534 convection. This, in turn, destroys dry plumes (ML). However, when an abundance of cloud ice combines with an active turbulence scheme, their coupling can generate intense convection clusters, 536 increasing downward flow and forcing the formation of a low-level dry plume (ME). The Thompson 537 scheme does not produce excessive ice over dry blocks. Therefore, when paired with the less-active 538 Louis PBL scheme, dry plumes form quite easily (TL). However, when paired with the EDMF 539 scheme, which models the counter-gradient, PBL-penetrating turbulence fluxes, i.e., low-level dry 540 plumes, were destroyed by the moist convection frequently triggered by the EDMF scheme (TE). 541

Our study increases the understanding of the complexity of physical parameterization in the 542 gray zone of weather and climate models. The nonlinear characteristics of the dynamics of the 543 tropical atmosphere enable the same kind of convection organization to be tuned via multiple pathways, i.e., through the modification of cloud microphysics, PBL turbulence, or both. The need 545 to unify turbulence and cloud parameterization has been recognized in recent years (Bodenschatz 546 et al., 2010; Bogenschutz & Krueger, 2013; Thayer-Calder et al., 2015). However, contrary to 547 previous studies, which highlight the interaction of turbulence and cloud physics at the microscale, our study documents how these two processes can, either by themselves or by interaction, influence 549 the macroscale organization of convection. In spite of the fact that they share the same prescribed 550 SST, the RCE states of our four simulations (Fig. 1) exhibit drastically different intensities and 551 distributions of albedo, OLR, precipitation, and evaporation. Thus, for both weather and climate prediction in the gray zone, the representation of microscale physical processes can modulate the 553 simulation of large-scale phenomena in notable ways. 554

The numerical simulations presented here used idealized setups; therefore, it is unknown whether and how the bifurcation mechanism outlined here may interact with preexisting large-scale perturbations in the tropical atmosphere. It is possible that large-scale perturbations are dominant over microscale processes (Durran & Gingrich, 2014), such that microphysics and turbulence play a lesser role in influencing the large-scale characteristics of the simulated atmosphere. However, we consider it more likely as we have learned that turbulence and cloud processes have dramatic impacts on large-scale climate (Bretherton, 2015; Schneider et al., 2017, 2019).

⁵⁶² Developing turbulence and cloud parameterizations for the gray zones are challenging tasks ⁵⁶³ that we need to confront for advancing our weather and climate models further. The bifurcation ⁵⁶⁴ mechanism discussed herein poses a possible fundamental barrier to our efforts to improve the repre-⁵⁶⁵ sentation of subgrid-scale processes. When large-scale circulation has a sensitive and discontinuous dependence on subgrid-scale processes, nonlinearity in their relationship may render parameter tuning very difficult. Furthermore, some fundamental limits might exist on the uncertainties of microscale process parameters that cannot be narrowed down, for example, due to the randomness of turbulence. In this situation, deterministic parameterizations may possess some limitations in their predicting capabilities because the fixed choices of parameters might rule out some qualitatively different system states from a model's solutions. As the NWP community did for overcoming initial condition uncertainties, ensemble-based approaches, (e.g., Grell & Freitas, 2014), may also be necessary for physical parameterizations to mitigate the nonlinear effects.

We are familiar with using Lorenz's iconic phrase "the butterfly effect" to describe the sen-574 sitive and possibly discontinuous dependence on initial conditions, which sets potential limits to a 575 system's predictability (Palmer et al., 2014). The nonlinear dependence on subgrid-scale process 576 parameters in our discussion herein is another kind of the butterfly effect. This second kind of 577 butterfly effect might represent fundamental barriers to the predictability of climate. We may never 578 represent the exact physics of cloud and turbulence in climate models due to computational cost, or 579 limitations of our knowledge, or both. Therefore, through bifurcation mechanisms, slight differences 580 in the approximations adopted by different modelers may always cause significant differences in the 581 predicted climates, at least for some processes. 582

583 Acknowledgments

We thank the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong SAR, China, University Grants Committee of Hong Kong for supporting the project (HKUST 26305720). The CM1 code was kindly provided by Dr. George Bryan and can be downloaded from https://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/people/bryan/cm1/. The namelist files used to run CM1 to produce the numerical experiments in this study can be found at https://github.com/shixm-cloud/rce-bifurcation.

589 References

- Adams-Selin, R. D., van den Heever, S. C., & Johnson, R. H. (2013). Impact of graupel parameterization schemes on idealized bow echo simulations. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, *141*(4), 1241–1262.
- Benjamin, S. G., Weygandt, S. S., Brown, J. M., Hu, M., Alexander, C. R., Smirnova, T. G., ...
 others (2016). A north american hourly assimilation and model forecast cycle: The rapid
 refresh. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 144(4), 1669–1694.
- Beucler, T., & Cronin, T. W. (2016). Moisture-radiative cooling instability. J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 8(4), 1620–1640.
- Bodenschatz, E., Malinowski, S. P., Shaw, R. A., & Stratmann, F. (2010). Can we understand clouds
 without turbulence? *Science*, *327*(5968), 970–971.
- Bogenschutz, P. A., & Krueger, S. K. (2013). A simplified pdf parameterization of subgrid-scale clouds and turbulence for cloud-resolving models. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*, *5*(2), 195–211.
- Bretherton, C. S. (2015). Insights into low-latitude cloud feedbacks from high-resolution models. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A*, *373*(2054), 20140415.
- Bretherton, C. S., Blossey, P. N., & Khairoutdinov, M. (2005). An energy-balance analysis of deep convective self-aggregation above uniform sst. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, *62*(12), 4273–4292.
- Bryan, G. H., & Fritsch, J. M. (2002). A benchmark simulation for moist nonhydrostatic numerical
 models. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, *130*(12), 2917–2928.
- Bryan, G. H., & Morrison, H. (2012). Sensitivity of a simulated squall line to horizontal resolution and parameterization of microphysics. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, *140*(1), 202–225.
- Bryan, G. H., & Rotunno, R. (2009). The maximum intensity of tropical cyclones in axisymmetric numerical model simulations. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, *137*(6), 1770–1789.
- ⁶¹¹ Chow, F. K., Schär, C., Ban, N., Lundquist, K. A., Schlemmer, L., & Shi, X. (2019). Crossing ⁶¹² multiple gray zones in the transition from mesoscale to microscale simulation over complex ⁶¹³ terrain. *Atmosphere*, 10(5), 274.
- ⁶¹⁴ Durran, D. R., & Gingrich, M. (2014). Atmospheric predictability: Why butterflies are not of ⁶¹⁵ practical importance. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, *71*(7), 2476–2488.

Emanuel, K., Wing, A. A., & Vincent, E. M. (2014). Radiative-convective instability. J. Adv. Model. 616 Earth Syst., 6(1), 75–90. 617 Grell, G. A., & Freitas, S. R. (2014). A scale and aerosol aware stochastic convective parameterization 618 for weather and air quality modeling. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14(10), 5233-5250. 619 Hong, S.-Y., & Pan, H.-L. (1996). Nonlocal boundary layer vertical diffusion in a medium-range 620 forecast model. Mon. Wea. Rev., 124(10), 2322-2339. Lean, H. W., Clark, P. A., Dixon, M., Roberts, N. M., Fitch, A., Forbes, R., & Halliwell, C. (2008). 622 Characteristics of high-resolution versions of the met office unified model for forecasting 623 convection over the united kingdom. Mon. Wea. Rev., 136(9), 3408-3424. 624 Manabe, S., & Strickler, R. F. (1964). Thermal equilibrium of the atmosphere with a convective 625 adjustment. J. Atmos. Sci., 21(4), 361-385. 626 Milbrandt, J. A., Bélair, S., Faucher, M., Vallée, M., Carrera, M. L., & Glazer, A. (2016). The 627 pan-canadian high resolution (2.5 km) deterministic prediction system. Wea. Forecasting, 628 31(6), 1791–1816. 629 Morrison, H., Milbrandt, J., et al. (2011). Comparison of two-moment bulk microphysics schemes 630 in idealized supercell thunderstorm simulations. Mon. Wea. Rev., 139(4), 1103. Morrison, H., Thompson, G., & Tatarskii, V. (2009). Impact of cloud microphysics on the devel-632 opment of trailing stratiform precipitation in a simulated squall line: Comparison of one-and 633 two-moment schemes. Mon. Wea. Rev., 137(3), 991–1007. 634 Morrison, H., van Lier-Walqui, M., Fridlind, A. M., Grabowski, W. W., Harrington, J. Y., Hoose, C., 635 ... others (2020). Confronting the challenge of modeling cloud and precipitation microphysics. 636 J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 12(8), e2019MS001689. 637 Muller, C., & Bony, S. (2015). What favors convective aggregation and why? Geophys. Res. Lett., 638 42(13), 5626–5634. 639 Muller, C. J., & Held, I. M. (2012). Detailed investigation of the self-aggregation of convection in 640 cloud-resolving simulations. J. Atmos. Sci., 69(8), 2551-2565. Palmer, T., Döring, A., & Seregin, G. (2014). The real butterfly effect. Nonlinearity, 27(9), R123. 642 Raynaud, L., & Bouttier, F. (2017). The impact of horizontal resolution and ensemble size for 643 convective-scale probabilistic forecasts. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 143(709), 3037-3047. Romps, D. M. (2011). Response of tropical precipitation to global warming. J. Atmos. Sci., 68(1), 645 123-138. 646 Schneider, T., Kaul, C. M., & Pressel, K. G. (2019). Possible climate transitions from breakup of 647 stratocumulus decks under greenhouse warming. Nat. Geosci., 12(3), 163–167. 648 Schneider, T., Teixeira, J., Bretherton, C. S., Brient, F., Pressel, K. G., Schär, C., & Siebesma, A. P. 649 (2017). Climate goals and computing the future of clouds. Nat. Clim. Change, 7(1), 3–5. 650 Seity, Y., Brousseau, P., Malardel, S., Hello, G., Bénard, P., Bouttier, F., ... Masson, V. (2011). The 651 arome-france convective-scale operational model. Mon. Wea. Rev., 139(3), 976–991. 652 Thayer-Calder, K., Gettelman, A., Craig, C., Goldhaber, S., Bogenschutz, P. A., Chen, C.-C., 653 ... others (2015). A unified parameterization of clouds and turbulence using clubb and subcolumns in the community atmosphere model. Geosci. Model Dev., 8(12), 3801–3821. 655 Thompson, G., Field, P. R., Rasmussen, R. M., & Hall, W. D. (2008). Explicit forecasts of winter 656 precipitation using an improved bulk microphysics scheme. part ii: Implementation of a new 657 snow parameterization. Mon. Wea. Rev., 136(12), 5095–5115. 658 Wedi, N. P., Polichtchouk, I., Dueben, P., Anantharaj, V. G., Bauer, P., Boussetta, S., ... others 659 (2020). A baseline for global weather and climate simulations at 1 km resolution. J. Adv. 660 Model. Earth Syst., 12(11), e2020MS002192. 661 Wing, A. A., Camargo, S. J., & Sobel, A. H. (2016). Role of radiative-convective feedbacks in 662 spontaneous tropical cyclogenesis in idealized numerical simulations. J. Atmos. Sci., 73(7), 663 2633-2642. Wing, A. A., Emanuel, K., Holloway, C. E., & Muller, C. (2018). Convective self-aggregation in 665 numerical simulations: A review. In R. Pincus, D. Winker, S. Bony, & B. Stevens (Eds.), 666 Shallow clouds, water vapor, circulation, and climate sensitivity (pp. 1–25). Cham: Springer 667 International Publishing. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-77273-8 1 668 Wing, A. A., & Emanuel, K. A. (2014). Physical mechanisms controlling self-aggregation of 669 convection in idealized numerical modeling simulations. J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 6(1),

670

671

- Wyngaard, J. C. (2004). Toward numerical modeling in the "terra incognita". J. Atmos. Sci., 61(14),
 1816–1826.
- Yang, B., & Tan, Z.-M. (2020). The initiation of dry patches in cloud-resolving convective self aggregation simulations: Boundary layer dry-subsidence feedback. J. Atmos. Sci., 77(12),
 4129–4141.
- Yang, D. (2018). Boundary layer diabatic processes, the virtual effect, and convective self-aggregation. J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 10(9), 2163–2176.
- Yang, D. (2019). Convective heating leads to self-aggregation by generating available potential energy. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 46(17-18), 10687–10696.