The morphology of simulated trade-wind congestus clouds under wind shear

Kevin Christopher Helfer^{1,1} and Louise Nuijens^{1,1}

¹Delft University of Technology

November 30, 2022

Abstract

A growing body of literature investigates convective organisation, but few studies to date have sought to investigate how wind shear plays a role in the spatial organization of shallow (trade-wind) convection. The present study hence investigates the morphology of precipitating marine cumulus convection using large-eddy-simulation experiments with zonal forward and backward shear and without shear. One set of simulations includes evaporation of precipitation, promoting for cold-pool development, and another set inhibits evaporation of precipitation and thus cold-pool formation. Without (or with only weak) subcloud-layer shear, conditions are unfavourable for convective deepening, as clouds remain stationary relative to their subcloud-layer roots so that precipitative downdrafts interfere with emerging updrafts. Under subcloud-layer forward shear, where the wind strengthens with height (a condition that is commonly found in the trades), clouds move at greater speed than their roots, and precipitation falls downwind away from emerging updrafts. Forward shear in the subcloud layer appears to promote the development of stronger subcloud circulations, with greater divergence in the cold-pool area downwind of the original cell and larger convergence and stronger uplift at the gust front boundary. As clouds shear forward, a larger fraction of precipitation falls outside of clouds, leading to more moistening within the cold pool (gust front).

The morphology of simulated trade-wind convection and cold pools under wind shear

K. C. Helfer¹, L. Nuijens¹

⁴ ¹Department of Geoscience and Remote Sensing, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands

Key Points:

1

2

3

5

6	•	Without cold pools, new convection is triggered upwind of existing cells, and with
7		cold pools, new convection is triggered downwind.
8	•	In the absence of (or with only weak) subcloud-layer shear, rain falls into emerg-
9		ing updrafts, hindering the development of convection and cold pools.

Uplift at the cold-pool gust fronts is strongest under subcloud-layer forward shear
 (increasing wind speed with height).

Corresponding author: Kevin Helfer, k.c.helfer.tud@posteo.net

12 Abstract

A growing body of literature investigates convective organisation, but few studies to date 13 have sought to investigate how wind shear plays a role in the spatial organization of shal-14 low (trade-wind) convection. The present study hence investigates the morphology of 15 precipitating marine cumulus convection using large-eddy-simulation experiments with 16 zonal forward and backward shear and without shear. One set of simulations includes 17 evaporation of precipitation, promoting for cold-pool development, and another set in-18 hibits evaporation of precipitation and thus cold-pool formation. Without (or with only 19 weak) subcloud-layer shear, conditions are unfavourable for convective deepening, as clouds 20 remain stationary relative to their subcloud-layer roots so that precipitative downdrafts 21 interfere with emerging updrafts. Under subcloud-layer forward shear, where the wind 22 strengthens with height (a condition that is commonly found in the trades), clouds move 23 at greater speed than their roots, and precipitation falls downwind away from emerg-24 ing updrafts. Forward shear in the subcloud layer appears to promote the development 25 of stronger subcloud circulations, with greater divergence in the cold-pool area down-26 wind of the original cell and larger convergence and stronger uplift at the gust front bound-27 ary. As clouds shear forward, a larger fraction of precipitation falls outside of clouds, lead-28 ing to more moistening within the cold pool (gust front). 29

30

Plain Language Summary

The most common type of clouds in Earth's trade wind-regions are precipitating 31 cumulus clouds with tops up to 4 km height. The precipitation from such clouds is fre-32 quent and intense enough to cause so-called cold pools: cold dried air that spreads out 33 laterally near the surface in a circular fashion triggering new clouds in arc-like patterns. 34 We used a high-resolution atmospheric model to investigate how the morphology of such 35 clouds and the associated cold pools is affected by vertical changes in the wind speed (shear). 36 When the wind speed at the surface and at cloud base is the same, clouds remain above 37 their 'roots', and downward-moving air associated with rain falls into those cloud roots, 38 which hinders the consequent deepening of these clouds. When the wind speed increases 39 from the surface to cloud base (which it often does), clouds move away from their roots, 40 which separates the location of updrafts and downdrafts, allowing for the development 41 of deeper clouds. Formation of new clouds at the edge of cold pools depends on the shear 42

too. Even when we artificially inhibit the development of cold pools, deep clouds (with
tops up to 10 km) still develop in our model simulations.

45 1 Introduction

Triggered by the World Climate Research Programme's grand challenge on clouds, 46 circulation and climate sensitivity (Bony et al., 2015), tremendous research efforts have 47 been undertaken in recent years to study maritime shallow clouds, with an increasing 48 interest in their organisation. A culmination was the EUREC⁴A field campaign in 2020 49 (Stevens et al., 2021), which also motivated the successful classification of trade-wind 50 cloud patterns by their visual appearance from space into classes called fish, flower, sugar 51 and gravel (Stevens et al., 2019). This classification indicates that the dominant pattern 52 of trade-wind convection is not the unorganised, non-precipitating cumulus humilis cloud 53 (sugar) but rather the somewhat deeper, precipitating congestus (gravel) that may have 54 a stratiform outflow (flower) at greater heights (Schulz et al., 2021, in review). This find-55 ing motivates us to shed more light specifically on cumulus congestus clouds from large-56 eddy simulations (LES) using a set-up that differs from the traditional BOMEX and ATEX 57 cases that have been intensely used in the past decades (Nuijens & Siebesma, 2019). 58

Surface wind speed (and to lesser extent wind shear) is considered as one of the 59 predictors of the aforementioned cloud patterns (Bony et al., 2020; Schulz et al., 2021, 60 in review). Helfer et al. (2020) (hereafter: HNRS20) ran idealised large-eddy simulations 61 (LES) to investigate the effect of wind shear on trade-wind cumulus convection, differ-62 entiating between backward shear (BS), where surface winds weaken with height, and 63 forward shear (FS), where surface winds strengthen with height. Indicative of their rep-64 resentativeness of the trades, these simulations are dominated by clouds that resemble 65 gravel, which sometimes have stratiform outflows near clouds tops that resemble flow-66 ers. A main result in HNRS20 is that any absolute amount of wind shear limits the strength 67 of cloud updrafts because of a stronger downward-oriented pressure perturbation force 68 (as found in studies of deep convection, e.g. Peters et al., 2019). As a consequence, cloud 69 deepening is hampered in the presence of shear. However, under FS, convection appears 70 to have a tendency to grow deeper, which seems related to this system's enhanced po-71 tential to aggregate column moisture on mesoscales. Another noteworthy observation 72 of HNRS20 is that wind anomalies within cold pools depend on the direction of the shear. 73 This may hint at a possible role of downdrafts introducing different cloud-layer momen-74

-3-

tum in the surface and subcloud layers. In modelling studies of deep convective cold pools, 75 convective momentum transport (CMT) has been found to significantly influence cold-76 pool winds (Mahoney et al., 2009; Grant et al., 2020). HNRS20 speculated about the 77 role of wind shear in the triggering of new convection at cold-pool edges. 78

It has long been known that cold-pool edges can trigger secondary convection (e.g. 79 Zipser, 1969; Warner et al., 1979; Intrieri et al., 1990; Weckwerth & Wakimoto, 1992) 80 for which several (not necessarily mutually exclusive) mechanisms are being discussed 81 in the literature. A purely thermodynamic mechanism involves enhanced moisture and 82 thus buoyancy at the edges of cold pools, favouring convection (Tompkins, 2001; Seifert 83 & Heus, 2013; Romps & Jeevanjee, 2016). Using a cloud-resolving model, Tompkins (2001) 84 showed that during the development of deep convective cold pools, evaporation of pre-85 cipitation cools and moistens the boundary layer. The cold pool's gust front is conse-86 quently moister than the cold-pool centre. The lowered temperature can quickly recover, 87 which removes nearly all convective inhibition (CIN) and allows new convection to de-88 velop in response to minimal lifting. In the reduced entrainment 'near environment' hy-89 pothesis (Böing et al., 2012; Schlemmer & Hohenegger, 2014), the interplay of moisture 90 aggregation at cold-pool edges (as opposed to depletion of moisture inside cold pools) 91 and vertical uplift at the leading edge of the cold pool's gravity current promotes the for-92 mation of wider, and thus deeper clouds less affected by entrainment. Gaining ground 93 in recent literature is the dynamical or mechanical mechanism, whereby the leading edge 94 of the cold pool's spreading gravity current is associated with a band of horizontal con-95 vergence in the wind field, which triggers uplift (Xue et al., 2008; Böing et al., 2012; Li 96 et al., 2014; Torri et al., 2015; Meyer & Haerter, 2020). As moist near-surface air is lifted 97 to higher levels above the level of free convection (LFC), it can moisten the upper bound-98 ary layer and lower troposphere, and trigger new convective events. This forced uplift 99 may be enhanced by the collision of two or more cold-pool fronts (e.g. Feng et al., 2015; 100 Meyer & Haerter, 2020). 101

106

107

In their LES study of a specific RICO day, Li et al. (2014) found little evidence that supports a thermodynamic mechanism for shallow convection. Inspired by studies on midlatitude squall lines (Rotunno et al., 1988; Weisman & Rotunno, 2004), they pointed out a possible role of wind shear in the tilting of updrafts and clouds, which decides whether precipitation can fall into pre-existing cold pools and possibly strengthen them. In their simulations, the vorticity of the cold-pool boundary is weaker than that of the ambient

-4-

wind profile, and the updraft thus tilts away from the cold pool, gaining access to converged moisture at the cold-pool boundary, which is advantageous for convective development. Hence, it seems plausible that this process could help explain the cloud-top-height
differences between FS and BS that were reported in HNRS20. A recent study by Mulholland
et al. (2021) focusing on squall-line deep convection also notes that forced uplift is larger
under stronger subcloud-layer shear as it helps larger mass fluxes and deeper clouds.

In our present study, we aim to address why cloud deepening may be inhibited more under BS than under FS in the presence and absence of cold pools. We describe the morphology of shallow convective systems under shear in idealised large-domain LES with and without the evaporation of precipitation. By turning off evaporation, we limit the formation of cold pools and thus the organization of convection in arc-shaped bands surrounding cold pools. We utilised a computational domain of 50×50 km², which is sufficiently large for cold-pool organisation (Seifert & Heus, 2013).

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In the following section, we shortly review the simulation set-up as well as the additional simulations we ran for the present paper. We then present the results in a twofold manner. First, we discuss the effects of wind shear on cold pools and the triggering of new convection at their fronts. Second, we ask how clouds behave under wind shear before cold pools emerge, by analysing simulations in which cold-pool formation is suppressed. Finally, we discuss and summarise our findings in a concluding section.

¹²⁸ 2 Experimental design

We utilised the same experimental set-up as in HNRS20 and only point out its most 129 important aspects here. Using version 4.2 of the Dutch Atmospheric Large-Eddy Sim-130 ulation model (DALES; Heus et al., 2010), we simulated an idealised shallow cumulus 131 case, typical of the North Atlantic trades (Fig. 1). Our domain has a size of $50.4 \times 50.4 \times$ 132 17.9 km^3 , with a grid spacing of 100 m in the horizontal and a non-uniform vertical grid 133 (stretched from 10 m at the surface to 190 m at the top). Simulations were run for 48 h, 134 to allow for the development of sufficient precipitation. Advection was computed by a 135 5th-order scheme in the horizontal and a 2nd-order scheme in the vertical, and a Galilean 136 transform was performed to reduce advective errors. We deployed a single-moment mi-137 crophysics scheme that includes ice and allows for precipitation (Grabowski, 1998; Böing 138

-5-



Figure 1: (a–c) Profiles of (a) equivalent potential temperature θ_e , (b) relative humidity and (c) the zonal wind components u. Dotted lines are initial profiles and solid lines indicate profiles that are averaged over the last 10 hours of the STD simulations. Orange stands for forward shear (FS), black for no shear (NS), green for backward shear (BS) and purple profiles are the same in all simulations. This colour coding is the same for all other figures. (d) Schematic of the directional conventions used in this paper: downwind is in the negative x-direction, upwind in the positive x-direction.

et al., 2012). The model uses an isotropic eddy-diffusivity approach to parametrise subgrid turbulence.

For the sensible and latent surface heat fluxes, we prescribed SHF = 15.3 W m⁻² 141 and $LHF = 225.2 \text{ W m}^{-2}$, respectively. These values allow for the development of the 142 cloud species that we are interested in: cumulus congestus, which are somewhat deeper 143 than shallow cumuli. The use of constant fluxes removes interactions between cold pools 144 and surface fluxes, including those that could enhance or inhibit thermodynamic mech-145 anisms of triggering convection. While over land interactive surface enthalpy fluxes are 146 crucial for cold-pool modelling, Gentine et al. (2016) suggested that over oceans they only 147 matter for cold pools of scales much larger than our domain. The surface momentum 148 flux was computed interactively by the model, which implies that simulations that de-149 velop stronger surface winds (e.g. under FS) also develop larger surface friction. Inter-150 actions between the density current and surface friction may matter for setting the scales 151 of cold pools and organisation (Stephan, 2021), but are not explored here. We applied 152 a constant radiative cooling rate of -2.5 K/d to the liquid water potential temperature 153



Figure 2: Time series of (a) the surface friction velocity u_* and (b) the domain-averaged total wind speed at 5 m height U_s . As explained in Fig. 1, orange indicates forward shear (FS), black no shear (NS) and green backward shear (BS), while solid lines indicate the standard (STD) runs and dashed lines the no-cold-pool (NCP) runs. The line colours and types are the same in all following figures, unless indicated otherwise.

 θ_l . Large-scale subsidence was calculated interactively, using a weak-temperature-gradient approach (WTG; Daleu et al., 2012). The total water specific humidity q_t was nudged towards its initial profile above 4 km with a time scale of 6 h to avoid spurious moisture tendencies.

To investigate the dependence of shallow convection and cold pools on vertical wind 158 shear, we ran experiments with different wind profiles (Fig. 1c). As discussed by HNRS20, 159 backward shear, where surface easterlies weaken with height and turn westerlies even-160 tually, is by far the most common in the North Atlantic trades. However, forward shear, 161 where surface easterlies strengthen with height, occasionally occurs as well, in particu-162 lar in July and August. The analysis of HNRS20 revealed distinct differences in the ef-163 fect that shear has on convection when it is forward as opposed to backward. The au-164 thors further showed that the strength of shear does not play a major role. Hence, we 165 here investigated three different zonal wind profiles with either no shear (NS, black line 166 in Fig. 1c), backward shear (BS, green, $\partial_z u = 3.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$) or forward shear (FS, 167 orange, $\partial_z u = -3.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$). (Note that our BS and FS cases correspond to the 168 BS-4X and FS-4X cases of HNRS20, respectively.) These wind profiles were used as both 169 the initial profiles and the geostrophic forcing. We did not prescribe any meridional wind 170 (v = 0). In the calculation of the Coriolis acceleration, we take a latitude of 15° N. 171

It is important to realise that the wind profiles that develop during the course of 172 the simulation differ from the initial profiles and the geostrophic forcing. After the ini-173 tialisation of the simulation, the winds evolve to reach an equilibrium after about 24 h 174 and stay approximately constant thereafter (Fig. 2). Figure 1 shows the profiles from 175 the end of the simulation with solid lines and the initial profiles with dotted lines. This 176 reveals that in the subcloud layer, forward shear occurs even in the BS case, which is also 177 a common feature of the trades (e.g. Holland & Rasmusson, 1973). The presence of for-178 ward shear in the subcloud layer is important throughout this paper. 179

In addition to one set of standard runs with each of the three wind profiles (labelled STD), we performed another set of experiments in which we suppressed the formation of cold pools (labelled NCP, no cold pools). To this end, we turned off the evaporation of precipitation in the LES, which Böing et al. (2012) showed to be very effective. All precipitation in these simulations reaches the surface, and no latent cooling due to the evaporation of rain occurs, which is a crucial ingredient for the formation of cold pools (e.g. Khairoutdinov & Randall, 2006).

187

3 Cold pools under shear

188

3.1 Cold-pool structure and behaviour

All our standard simulations (STD) are characterised by the gravel type of organisation including cold pools (Fig. 3). In Fig. 3, we present top-down views of the computational domain, showcasing the different structure of cold pools in our three shear cases. In these snapshots, the mean wind ($\sim u$) blows from right to left (east to west), and hence, the left is referred to as downwind, the right as upwind (see also Fig. 1d) and north would be at the top.

Cold-pool formation starts with the precipitative downdraft (rain shaft) of a deep-195 enough cloud. Near the surface, the cold and dense air mass spreads out laterally as a 196 gravity current, which is reflected by the diverging wind patterns shown in Fig. 3a-c. In 197 those snapshots, red areas have (total) wind speeds faster than the slab average and are 198 most prominently found at the downwind front of the cold pool, where the gust front 199 adds up to the mean wind speed. Conversely, on the upwind side of the cold pools, the 200 cold-pool front moves against the mean wind, leading to slower total wind speeds (shown 201 in blue). 202



Figure 3: Snapshots of the LES domains during exemplary cold-pool events in the (a, d) FS-STD, (b, e) NS-STD and (c, f) BS-STD case. The colourmaps in the *x-y* cross section show (a–c) total wind speed deviations U' and (d–f) equivalent potential temperature deviations θ'_e (both from the slab average) at the lowest model level (5 m). The grey outlines indicate strong updrafts in the subcloud layer (w = 1 m/s at 400 m), and the green outlines indicate surface precipitation ($q_r > 0$). The snapshots were taken around 40 h. The cross sections of Fig. 4 are marked in purple.

The cold pools have a characteristic thermodynamic signature (Fig. 3d-f). Very 203 low values of equivalent potential temperature θ_e (which combines information about the 204 temperature and the relative humidity) are found in the centre of the cold pool, indi-205 cating that the air mass has its origin at higher altitudes where the air is cold and dry 206 (see Fig. 1). The outermost edges of the cold pool, especially on the upwind edge, have 207 high values of equivalent potential temperature, which indicates the presence of moist 208 air. Because the surface fluxes are held fixed, the spatial differences in temperature and 209 humidity may be more persistent than in nature. While in the NS and FS cases, cold 210 pools of significant size and strength occur (like the ones in Fig. 3a and b), they are much 211 smaller in the BS case (Fig. 3c). As we will later elaborate, they also occur more rarely 212 in the BS and the FS cases. 213

Similar to what observations show, our cold pools are usually not symmetric in their appearance. Visual inspection of a large number of scenes from our simulations shows that new convection (strong subcloud-layer updrafts indicated in grey in Fig. 3) is preferably triggered at the downwind edge of the cold pools (i.e. on the left in the panels of Fig. 3), where strong winds and presumably large horizontal convergence lead to mechanical uplift (Mulholland et al., 2021).

We further investigate the vertical cloud and boundary-layer structure accompa-220 nying the exemplary cold pools from Fig. 3 by presenting vertical x-z cross section (Fig. 4). 221 In each panel in Fig. 4, a strong precipitative downdraft is located near the right edge 222 of the excerpt, but note that in the FS and BS cases, precipitation is or has already ceased 223 there (see Fig. 4a, e, i). Focusing on the NS-STD case (middle row), the cold pool it-224 self is visible as a low-temperature tongue (in terms of equivalent potential temperature 225 θ_e) extending from the right edge of the snapshot to nearly the x = 1 km mark (Fig. 4f). 226 Ahead of this cold pool (downwind), updrafts and new clouds (secondary convection) 227 are developing near cloud base (Fig. 4e). Similar signatures of w and θ_e can be seen in 228 the FS and BS cases. An important ingredient in the triggering of new convection by 229 cold pools is the convergence that occurs at its downwind gust front (see Fig. 3a-c). Hor-230 izontal convergence, $C_h = -\partial_x u - \partial_y v$, between the front and the ambient wind is largest 231 near $x = 1 \dots 2$ km in Fig. 4c, g, k, where vertical uplift is also strong (Fig 4a, e, i). 232 In the FS and NS cases, there is also greater zonal wind shear in the density current (up-233 wind tilting of the cold pool boundary) as reflected by positive values of the meridional 234 vorticity, defined as: $\omega_y = \partial_z u - \partial_x w$ (Fig 4d, h, l). In the mean or ambient wind, the 235



Figure 4: Snapshots of exemplary cold-pool fronts in the (a–d) FS-STD, (e–h) NS-STD and (i–l) BS-STD cases. The colourmaps in the *x-z* slices show (left column) the vertical velocity *w* and (right column) the equivalent potential temperature anomaly θ'_e . In each panel, the black outlines indicate clouds (i.e. the $q_l = 0$ isoline), the dotted areas indicate precipitation. The location of each snapshot is marked in purple in Fig. 3. Each panel is 6 km wide, averaged over 1 km in the meridional direction and taken from around 40 h (the same times as Fig. 3).



Figure 4: (continued) The colourmaps in the x-z slices show (left column) the horizontal convergence C_h and (right column) the meridional component of the vorticity ω_y .

subcloud-layer vorticity is instead negative (left edge of Fig. 4d, h, l), as winds tend to
increase with height away from the surface where they experience the strongest friction.
In the FS and NS cases, the density current is apparently much stronger (compared to
the BS case).

240

3.2 Convergence, vorticity and uplift at cold-pool fronts

The above figures are merely some exemplary snapshots, but we may analyse prob-241 ability density functions (PDFs) of the entire domain at specific heights to support these 242 impressions (Fig. 5). In addition, we construct composite profiles conditioned on all cold-243 pool gust fronts as well as the ambient environment (Fig. 6). To this end, we classify columns 244 as belonging to a cold pool if $\theta'_e < -2$ K at the lowest model level (where the prime 245 indicates anomalies with respect to the slab average). The equivalent potential temper-246 ature is a commonly used quantity to identify cold pools (e.g. Zuidema et al., 2012; Schlem-247 mer & Hohenegger, 2014). From this sample, we can identify the downwind gust front 248 through positive anomalies of the total wind speed U' (see Fig. 3a–c). We focus on the 249 period from 24 h to 36 h when convection is still shallow and cold-pool fractions are small. 250 Note that with our sampling approach it is not possible to capture profiles of convergence 251 and updrafts at the gust front because they are located outside the cold pool (see Fig. 4). 252

In the PDFs in Fig. 5, we find indications of more vigorous cold-pool gust fronts 253 in the FS and NS cases. The figure shows a similar frequency of negative anomalies of 254 θ_e in all STD cases (Fig. 5a) but more frequent large values of horizontal convergence 255 and divergence in the FS and NS cases (Fig. 5b). These can be attributed to larger wind-256 speed anomalies (Fig. 5f). The FS and NS cases also have stronger subcloud-layer up-257 drafts (Fig. 5c), which is in line with a more idealised study of deep convective cold pools 258 by Mulholland et al. (2021) who showed that low-level (forward) shear, which is pronounced 259 in our FS and NS cases, leads to stronger, deeper and wider squall-line updrafts as well 260 as an increased mass flux. 261

Li et al. (2014) pointed out that the vorticity contrast between the cold-pool front and the ambient wind profile sets the tilt of forced updrafts and therefore the degree to which they may tap into existing moist air in the cold pool front or in already moistened cloud air above the mixed layer and near cloud base (see their Fig. 15). With a more pronounced negative vorticity in the ambient wind (Fig. 5e), the updrafts are slanted for-

-13-



Figure 5: Probability density functions (PDFs) of (a) the equivalent potential temperature anomaly θ'_e at 100 m, (b) the horizontal convergence C_h at 100 m, (c) the vertical velocity w at 400 m, (d) the rain water specific humidity q_r at 5 m, (e) the meridional vorticity component ω_y at 100 m and (f) the zonal wind velocity anomaly u' at 100 m, all averaged over Hours 24–36 of each simulation. Solid lines indicate the standard simulations (STD) and dashed lines the no-cold-pools simulations (NCP).



Figure 6: Composite profiles of (a) total wind speed U, (b) meridional vorticity ω_y and (c) equivalent potential temperature θ_e sampled over cold-pool gust fronts ($\theta'_e < -2$ K and U' > 0 at the lowest model level; dotted lines) and the environment ($\theta'_e > -2$ K), all averaged over Hours 24–36 of the STD simulations.

ward more in the FS and NS cases than in the BS case, where the gust front has zero 267 vorticity over a much deeper layer (Fig. 6b). It is therefore unclear how a vorticity ar-268 gument alone (as in the original RKW theory; Thorpe et al., 1982; Rotunno et al., 1988; 269 Weisman & Rotunno, 2004) would lead to stronger updrafts in the FS and NS case, be-270 cause slanted updrafts are generally subjected to a stronger downward-oriented pressure 271 gradient force than updrafts that are upright. The FS case has a higher equivalent po-272 tential temperature in both the environment and the gust front (Fig. 6c), due to larger 273 absolute humidity (not shown), which may result from more evaporated precipitation 274 during Hours 12–24 of the simulation (see Fig. 7e), as in the FS case a larger fraction 275 of rain falls outside of clouds (discussed in Section 4). The extra humidity would aid cloud 276 development, but one can also imagine such differences to be quickly diminished in the 277 presence of surface-flux feedbacks (absent in our simulations). 278

The largest difference in the cold-pool structure among our shear cases appears to be in the near-surface wind speed. Figure 5f shows that the FS case, followed by the NS case, has larger negative and positive wind-speed anomalies. This is not only true for the STD runs with cold pools, but also in the NCP runs where no gust fronts develop. Along with the stronger updrafts and downdrafts (Fig. 5c), this implies that the FS case has stronger circulations (see also HNRS20). CMT might play a role here. In the presence of shear, vertical (convective) transport of momentum can introduce larger wind-

-15-

speed anomalies. Under FS, updrafts will carry slow surface winds, introducing conver-286 gence in a narrow updraft region through the depth of the mixed layer, while downdrafts 287 (which are displaced downwind from the updrafts under FS, as discussed below in Sec-288 tion 4) introduce faster winds and broad regions of divergence in the raining areas. The 289 downward transport of larger momentum may be even more pronounced in the presence 290 of rain evaporation, as suggested in studies of deep convection (Mahonev et al., 2009; 291 Grant et al., 2020). CMT can help sustain or even strengthen the cold-pool circulations 292 under FS. Under BS instead, the updrafts and downdrafts are not separated in space (Sec-293 tion 4), nor are the wind-speed anomalies introduced by transport very different. 294

Because our simulations were run with constant and homogenous surface fluxes, differences in forced uplift we observe (Fig. 5c) are not caused by thermodynamic fluxes, e.g. the mechanism proposed by Tompkins (2001). The only difference being wind shear, it thus appears likely that the underlying cause of stronger uplift in the FS and NS cases (as compared to BS) lies in the process of momentum transport.

As discussed in HNRS20, moisture aggregation and precipitation in our simulations 300 differ between the shear cases. In the time series in Fig. 7, we show the cold-pool frac-301 tion, defined as the area fraction where $\theta'_e < -2$ K on the lowest model level; the av-302 erage and maximum cloud-top height (CTH); deviations of moist static energy from the 303 domain mean within the moistest and driest quartiles (in terms of total water path) of 304 blocks of $12.6 \times 12.6 \text{ km}^2$ compared to the domain mean (as a measure for moisture ag-305 gregations; see Bretherton & Blossey, 2017); the domain-mean surface precipitation and 306 the cloud cover. Even on the first simulation day, around 16 h, the FS case begins to ag-307 gregate moisture (Fig. 7d) and develop deeper clouds (Fig. 7b, c), which rain more (Fig. 7e) 308 and form cold pools (Fig. 7a). This advantage of the FS case underlines that subcloud-309 layer forward shear seems to favour stronger circulations, more divergence in the cold 310 pool and more convergence and forced uplift at the outflow boundary. 311

The BS case instead seems to be at a disadvantage in the sense that it develops no deep clouds and significantly less cold pools (Fig. 7a–c). In the following section we wish to shed more light on this and look more closely at the triggering of convection in simulations in which cold pools are suppressed (NCP).

-16-



Figure 7: Time series of (a) the area fraction of cold pools ($\theta'_e < -2$ K) at the lowest model level, (b) average and (c) maximum cloud top height (CTH), (d) vertically integrated (up to 1 km) moist static energy anomalies $\langle h_m \rangle$ in the moistest and driest quartiles of 12.6 × 12.6 km² blocks, (e) surface precipitation and (f) cloud cover. The data are smoothed using a 3-hour running-average filter.



Figure 8: Slab-averaged profiles of (a) rain water specific humidity q_r , (b) the ratio of rainy grid points outside of clouds, (c) relative humidity, (d) equivalent potential temperature θ_e , (e) cloud fraction, (f) the variance of q_r , (g) zonal wind velocity u and (h) meridional wind velocity v, all averaged over the last ten hours of each simulation.

316

4 Sheared convection without cold pools

317

4.1 System development without evaporation of precipitation

Turning off the evaporation of precipitation (NCP runs) effectively suppresses cold pools (Fig. 7a), but moisture aggregation is still a common feature (Fig. 7d). Without cold pools, the thermodynamic structure of the simulated atmosphere is significantly different (Fig. 8). While the amount of rain in the cloud layer differs only little (Fig. 8a), surface precipitation is higher in the NCP runs than in the STD runs (see also Fig. 7e) because in the NCP runs all the rain reaches the surface, while in the STD runs, a large fraction evaporates in the subcloud layer (Fig. 8a). Consequently, in the NCP runs, more

grid points outside of clouds contain rain compared to the STD runs (Fig. 8b), while within 325 clouds, the ratio is unchanged (not shown). The lack of rain evaporation in the subcloud 326 layer leads to a decreased relative humidity there (Fig. 8c). This is caused by both the 327 lack of transfer of rain water to water vapour and by the lack of evaporative cooling, which 328 results in a warmer subcloud layer (Fig. 8d). Furthermore, we observe a higher cloud-329 base height (Fig. 8e) and a deeper mixed layer, for example evident in the temperature, 330 relative-humidity and zonal wind profiles (Fig. 8c, d, g), which contributes to the drier 331 boundary layer. Without evaporation of precipitation and thus cold pools, cloud tops 332 are not significantly lower, but convective deepening is delayed by some extent (Fig. 7b-333 c). 334

335

4.2 Convective structure along the shear vector

Exemplary snapshots of cloud systems from the NCP simulations (Fig. 9) suggest that under FS and NS, precipitation is falling downwind from the clouds and downwind from the subcloud-layer roots of the clouds, where new updrafts develop. Under BS, precipitation tends to fall near the existing subcloud-layer updraft, which would essentially inhibit the updraft.

We may attempt to quantify where in our shear cases rain shafts are located in re-341 lation to the bulk of the clouds and liquid water. To this end, we organise the domain 342 by column-integrated water vapour (CWV), where high CWV corresponds to regions where 343 moisture converges to form (deep) clouds. In some sense, mapping all grid points by CWV 344 allows us to create a cross section through the bulk water vapor and cloud structure, mov-345 ing from clear sky regions (low CWV) to cloud centers (high CWV). Figure 10 shows 346 the distribution of precipitation as a function of height and CWV. The shear cases have 347 somewhat different distributions of CWV, but nonetheless, differences in the distribu-348 tion of rain are visible. Under NS and even more under FS, the presence of rain in columns 349 with lower CWV is evident, whereas under BS, rain water below clouds is limited to the 350 columns with highest CWV. 351

The differences in the CWV-binned cloud and rain distributions do not reveal whether rain is located upwind or downwind of clouds. To quantify the precipitation's preferred direction with respect to the clouds, we perform an analysis of the cross-correlation of the cloud-water field with the rain-water field. The cross-correlation is a measure for the

-19-



Figure 9: Snapshots of exemplary clouds in the (a–b) FS-NCP, (c–d) NS-NCP and (e–f) BS-NCP cases. The colourmaps in the x-z slices show (left column) the vertical velocity w and (right column) the equivalent potential temperature anomaly θ_e . Just as Fig. 4, the black outlines indicate clouds (i.e. the $q_l = 0$ isoline), and the dotted areas indicate precipitation. Each panel is 5 km wide, averaged over 1 km in the meridional direction and taken from the late stages of the simulation (around 40 h) to allow for a comparison with Fig. 4.



Figure 10: Composite profiles of the fraction of rainy grid points $(q_r > 0)$ averaged over bins of column-integrated water vapour (CWV). All data are averaged from 30-minute output of the instantaneous 3D fields in the hours 12–18 of the NCP simulations.

similarity of two vectors as a function of shift relative to each other, which is commonly used in signal processing. Occasionally, it is also used in atmospheric science, for example to study coherent structures in the boundary layer (Schmidt & Schumann, 1989; Lohou et al., 2000). Generally, the cross-correlation of two discrete real functions f and gof length N is defined by:

$$X(\Delta) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} f(j)g(j+\Delta),$$
(1)

where Δ indicates the displacement (lag) of g with respect to f. We compute the crosscorrelation of every row i of the q_l field (at 1 km, i.e. near cloud base) with every other row of the q_r field (averaged over the subcloud layer up to 1 km) and sum up the resulting vectors. Making use of the periodicity of the fields (i.e. $N+i \cong i$), this yields a matrix,

$$X(\Delta_i, \Delta_j) = \sum_{i=0}^{N_i} \sum_{j=0}^{N_j} q_l(i, j) q_r(i + \Delta_i, j + \Delta_j),$$
(2)

with positive values where similarities between the two fields occur. The 'coordinates' 366 (Δ_i, Δ_j) of the centre of mass of this matrix are assumed to form a good measure of the 367 offset of the precipitation field with respect to the cloud field. The time series of these 368 coordinates in Fig. 11 shows a clear signal in the first 24 h of the simulations, especially 369 in the x-coordinate. During this time, there is a negative x-offset of the q_r field with re-370 spect to the q_l field in the FS and NS cases of up to 100 m (Fig. 11a). A negative off-371 set here means downwind. In the BS case, however, the x-offset is much weaker and of 372 inconsistent sign. Thus, in the FS and NS cases, rain falls downwind of clouds, while in 373

offset of subcloud q_r with respect to q_l at 1 km



Figure 11: Lateral offset in (a) x and (b) y of the rain water specific humidity field averaged over 0–1 km with respect to the liquid water specific humidity field at 1 km. The offset is computed from the centre of mass of the matrix that contains the sum of the cross-correlation vectors of each row of the q_l field with every other row of the q_r field (Eq. 2). The analysis is done on 30-minute output of the instantaneous 3D fields. For clarity, we only show the NCP simulations here.

the BS case, precipitation is located under clouds. Shear tilts clouds (resulting in a higher projected cloud cover, see Fig. 7f), which causes part of the rain to fall out of the sides of the clouds: downwind under FS and upwind under BS (as visible in Fig. 9). On the second day, the convection becomes more clustered and less random and the offset signal thus more inconsistent. The *y*-offset is more incoherent (Fig. 11b), suggesting a more random distribution of rain in the meridional direction, but this is not surprising given that the mean wind is in the zonal direction.

The tendency of new updrafts to emerge upwind of existing clouds in the FS and 381 NS cases and then tilt forward (see Fig. 9) is because the subcloud layer is characterised 382 by zonal forward shear (Fig. 8g). This means that clouds move faster than their roots 383 (subcloud-layer thermals), which literally stay behind and can continue to feed moisture 384 into the cloud layer right behind (upwind) of earlier cells. In the BS case, there is only 385 little shear in the subcloud layer, and the wind speed is similar near the ground and at 386 cloud base. This implies that the roots of thermals move at the same speed as the clouds 387 above, making them more vulnerable to precipitative downdrafts, inhibiting the updraft. 388



Figure 12: Conceptual picture of (a–b) the morphology of unorganised clouds and (c–d) the structure of cold pools in (a, c) the BS case, on the one hand, and (b, d) the FS and NS cases, on the other hand.

³⁸⁹ 5 Discussion and conclusion

In this paper, we used idealised LES experiments with and without cold pools and with different amounts of vertical wind shear, to investigate differences in cloud morphology and the structure of cold pools that develop due to wind shear and that may influence convective development and deepening. We find that shear has an influence on subcloudlayer circulations by separating updrafts from downdrafts, by setting the area and location of rain and rain evaporation, and thus the moistening of the subcloud layer, and by introducing different wind-speed anomalies through CMT, which may strengthen cir³⁹⁷ culations (divergence and convergence) and convective triggering. We summarise our find ³⁹⁸ ings in the schematic in Fig. 12:

- In the BS case, precipitative downdrafts are located near or upwind of existing clouds,
 which is also where new updrafts are located before cold pools are present (Fig. 12a).
 The precipitation hence hampers new and existing convective cells in their devel opment. In the FS and NS cases, precipitative downdrafts are located downwind,
 separated from the existing root and new updrafts (Fig. 12b, c).
- 2. Once cold pools are present, new convection is typically triggered downwind at
 the gust-front outflow boundary, where convergence triggers forced uplift (Fig. 12d–
 f). There is stronger horizontal convergence at the downwind gust front in the FS
 and NS cases. This facilitates the formation of stronger updrafts in these cases
 compared to the BS case.
- 3. In the FS and NS cases, the subcloud-layer is characterised by pronounced forward shear, which implies the presence of negative vorticity, which leads the updrafts to tilt more forward, possibly tapping into moister air ahead of the cold pool
 (Fig. 12e, f).
- 413
 4. Stronger wind-speed anomalies develop under FS and NS compared to BS, even
 before cold pools develop and in the complete absence of cold pools. This suggests
 that CMT facilitates the development of stronger subcloud-layer circulations by
 introducing stronger winds and thus stronger divergence in the (raining) downdraft area downwind of existing cells, while introducing relatively weaker winds
 and thus more convergence in the updraft regions.
- The mechanisms in the FS and NS cases are overall similar, as indicated in Fig. 12, 419 because both cases have subcloud-layer forward shear. However, there are still some dif-420 ferences between them. For example, the FS case has a tendency to develop more column-421 moisture aggregations and deeper clouds at an earlier point in the simulation because 422 this case has larger wind-speed anomalies and stronger updrafts, indicative of stronger 423 circulations. Furthermore, the FS case has a moister subcloud layer, because of more rain 424 evaporation. Preliminary analysis of simulations run on an even larger domain $(150 \times$ 425 150 km^2) support our findings here. On this large domain, the FS case develops deep 426 convection with tops > 10 km and a large number of cold pools within half a day, while 427 the BS clouds only reach 10 km after more than 40 h. 428

After a longer simulation time, the FS case looses its advantage over the NS case, 429 as cold-pool fractions and cloud-top heights are lower. As shown in HSRN2020, this can 430 be attributed to weaker cloud updrafts under FS (and BS) as compared to NS, due to 431 a slanting of the updraft and a stronger downward oriented pressure gradient force. Ad-432 ditionally, precipitative downdrafts get weaker under FS, because they are subjected to 433 more evaporation as they spread out over a larger area due to shear (Fig. 12f). Cold pools 434 in the NS case become more vigorous in this stage because precipitation remains con-435 centrated in narrow rain shafts. This is reflected by the significant increase of the vari-436 ance of q_r (while q_r itself only increases slightly) from the NS-NCP to the NS-STD case 437 (Fig. 8a, f), i.e. when convection transforms from more random organisation with pre-438 cipitation throughout the domain (low variance) to cold pools with narrow strong rain 439 shafts and dry areas surrounding them (high variance). On the other hand, cold pools 440 in the FS case are less vigorous because precipitation is spread out over larger areas, as 441 reflected in the similar variance of q_r in the FS-STD and FS-NCP cases (Fig. 8f). Fur-442 thermore, rain falling at the same downwind location where cold pools trigger new con-443 vection (see Fig. 4a) inhibits the FS case. The disadvantage of the BS case is diminished 444 by the relocation of convective triggering to locations upwind instead of downwind once 445 strong precipitative downdrafts lead to the formation of cold pools. 446

Overall, the cloud morphology is thus most favourable for convective deepening if forward shear is present in the subcloud layer (FS and NS cases) but no forward shear in the cloud layer (NS and BS cases). In the BS case, the low amount of shear in the subcloud layer and the presence of shear in the cloud layer is disadvantageous for cloud deepening, while in the FS case, only the cloud-layer shear forms a disadvantage. The NS case can ultimately develop the deepest clouds and most cold pools because it combines all advantages: forward shear in the subcloud layer and a lack of shear in the cloud layer.

HNRS20 showed that simulations with interactive surface fluxes have a similar re-454 sponse to wind shear as those with constant surface fluxes, and preliminary analysis sug-455 gests that this is also the case for the cold-pool characteristics presented here. Further-456 more, Gentine et al. (2016) suggest that interactive surface fluxes are only of importance 457 for cold pools over land and much larger cold pools, but further work on this question 458 is ongoing (e.g. in the framework of $EUREC^4A$; Stevens et al., 2021). It should be noted 459 that a potential thermodynamic mechanism of triggering secondary convection (Tompkins, 460 2001) inherently requires interactive surface fluxes and was thus not investigated here. 461

-25-

- ⁴⁶² Exactly because such thermodynamic feedbacks are absent and the only difference is in
- ⁴⁶³ wind shear, our study provides evidence that the proposed mechanisms of triggering sec-
- ⁴⁶⁴ ondary convection through moisture convergence at cold-pool edges (e.g. Böing et al.,
- ⁴⁶⁵ 2012; Schlemmer & Hohenegger, 2014; Mulholland et al., 2021) and through mechani-
- cal uplift (e.g. Li et al., 2014; Meyer & Haerter, 2020) may be facilitated through CMT,
- ⁴⁶⁷ which is known to matter for deep convective organization. This underlines the notion
- that it is not a single mechanism that is responsible for the triggering of secondary con-
- vection at cold-pool gust fronts (Torri et al., 2015).

470 Acknowledgments

- 471 We would like to thank Paquita Zuidema for inspiring discussions on cold-pool dynam-
- ⁴⁷² ics, Simon Schneider for instructive explanations of the cross-correlation, Stephan de Roode
- 473 for guidance with DALES, as well as three anonymous reviewers for their constructive
- 474 comments that greatly improved the manuscript. This project has received funding from
- ⁴⁷⁵ the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 re-
- ⁴⁷⁶ search and innovation programme (Starting grant agreement no. 714918). DALES is open-
- 477 source software, which is distributed under the terms of the GNU GPL version 3. The
- exact version of the code as well as the input files used in this work are available via https://

doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4668479.

480 References

- Böing, S. J., Jonker, H. J. J., Siebesma, A. P., & Grabowski, W. W. (2012). Influence of the Subcloud Layer on the Development of a Deep Convective
 Ensemble. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 69(9), 2682–2698. doi:
 10.1175/JAS-D-11-0317.1
- Bony, S., Schulz, H., Vial, J., & Stevens, B. (2020). Sugar, Gravel, Fish, and
 Flowers: Dependence of Mesoscale Patterns of Trade-Wind Clouds on Environmental Conditions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(7), 1–9. doi:
 10.1029/2019GL085988
- Bony, S., Stevens, B., Frierson, D. M. W., Jakob, C., Kageyama, M., Pincus, R.,
 Webb, M. J. (2015). Clouds, circulation and climate sensitivity. *Nature Geoscience*, 8(4), 261–268. doi: 10.1038/ngeo2398
- ⁴⁹² Bretherton, C. S., & Blossey, P. N. (2017). Understanding Mesoscale Ag-

-26-

493	gregation of Shallow Cumulus Convection Using Large-Eddy Simulation.
494	Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 9(8), 2798-2821.doi:
495	10.1002/2017 MS000981
496	Daleu, C. L., Woolnough, S. J., & Plant, R. S. (2012). Cloud-Resolving Model
497	Simulations with One- and Two-Way Couplings via the Weak Temperature
498	Gradient Approximation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, $69(12)$, 3683 –
499	3699. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-12-058.1
500	Feng, Z., Hagos, S., Rowe, A. K., Burleyson, C. D., Martini, M. N., & Szoeke,
501	S. P. (2015). Mechanisms of convective cloud organization by cold pools
502	over tropical warm ocean during the <code>jscp;AMIE/DYNAMO;/scp;</code> field cam-
503	paign. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, $7(2)$, 357–381. doi:
504	10.1002/2014 MS000384
505	Gentine, P., Garelli, A., Park, S. B., Nie, J., Torri, G., & Kuang, Z. (2016). Role of
506	surface heat fluxes underneath cold pools. Geophysical Research Letters, $43(2)$,
507	874–883. doi: 10.1002/2015GL067262
508	Grabowski, W. W. (1998). Toward Cloud Resolving Modeling of Large-Scale Trop-
509	ical Circulations: A Simple Cloud Microphysics Parameterization. Journal of
510	the Atmospheric Sciences, $55(21)$, $3283-3298$. doi: $10.1175/1520-0469(1998)$
511	$055\langle 3283: TCRMOL \rangle 2.0.CO; 2$
512	Grant, L. D., Moncrieff, M. W., Lane, T. P., & Heever, S. C. (2020). Shear-Parallel
513	Tropical Convective Systems: Importance of Cold Pools and Wind Shear. ${\it Geo-}$
514	physical Research Letters, $47(12)$, 1–10. doi: 10.1029/2020GL087720
515	Helfer, K. C., Nuijens, L., de Roode, S. R., & Siebesma, A. P. (2020). How Wind
516	Shear Affects Trade-wind Cumulus Convection. Journal of Advances in Model-
517	ing Earth Systems, $12(12)$. doi: $10.1029/2020$ MS002183
518	Heus, T., van Heerwaarden, C. C., Jonker, H. J. J., Siebesma, A. P., Axelsen, S.,
519	van den Dries, K., Vilà-Guerau de Arellano, J. (2010). Formulation
520	of the Dutch Atmospheric Large-Eddy Simulation (DALES) and overview
521	of its applications. Geoscientific Model Development, $3(2)$, 415–444. doi:
522	10.5194/gmd-3-415-2010
523	Holland, J. Z., & Rasmusson, E. M. (1973). Measurements of the Atmo-
524	spheric Mass, Energy, and Momentum Budgets Over a 500-Kilometer
525	Square of Tropical Ocean. Monthly Weather Review, 101(1), 44–55. doi:

526	10.1175/1520-0493(1973)101(0044:MOTAME)2.3.CO;2
527	Intrieri, J. M., Bedard, A. J., & Hardesty, R. M. (1990). Details of Colliding Thun-
528	derstorm Outflows as Observed by Doppler Lidar. Journal of the Atmospheric
529	$Sciences,47(9),1081-1099.\ \ {\rm doi:}\ 10.1175/1520-0469(1990)047\langle 1081:{\rm DOCTOA}\rangle$
530	2.0.CO;2
531	Khairoutdinov, M., & Randall, D. (2006). High-resolution simulation of shallow-
532	to-deep convection transition over land. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,
533	63(12), 3421-3436.doi: 10.1175/JAS3810.1
534	Li, Z., Zuidema, P., & Zhu, P. (2014). Simulated convective invigoration processes
535	at trade wind cumulus cold pool boundaries. Journal of the Atmospheric Sci-
536	ences, $71(8)$, 2823–2841. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0184.1
537	Lohou, F., Druilhet, A., Campistron, B., Redelspergers, J. L., & Saïd, F. (2000).
538	Numerical study of the impact of coherent structures on vertical transfers in
539	the atmospheric boundary layer. Boundary-Layer Meteorology, $97(3)$, 361–383.
540	doi: 10.1023/A:1002641728075
541	Mahoney, K. M., Lackmann, G. M., & Parker, M. D. (2009). The Role of Mo-
542	mentum Transport in the Motion of a Quasi-Idealized Mesoscale Con-
543	vective System. Monthly Weather Review, 137(10), 3316–3338. doi:
544	10.1175/2009 MWR 2895.1
545	Meyer, B., & Haerter, J. O. (2020). Mechanical Forcing of Convection by Cold
546	Pools: Collisions and Energy Scaling. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth
547	Systems, $12(11)$. doi: 10.1029/2020MS002281
548	Mulholland, J. P., Peters, J. M., & Morrison, H. (2021). How does vertical wind
549	shear influence entrainment in squall lines? Journal of the Atmospheric Sci-
550	ences, 78(6), 1931–1946. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-20-0299.1
551	Nuijens, L., & Siebesma, A. P. (2019). Boundary Layer Clouds and Convection over
552	Subtropical Oceans in our Current and in a Warmer Climate. Current Climate
553	Change Reports, $5(2)$, 80–94. doi: 10.1007/s40641-019-00126-x
554	Peters, J. M., Hannah, W., & Morrison, H. (2019). The Influence of Vertical Wind
555	Shear on Moist Thermals. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 76(6), 1645–
556	1659. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-18-0296.1
557	Romps, D. M., & Jeevanjee, N. (2016). On the sizes and lifetimes of cold pools.
558	Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 142(696), 1517–1527.

-28-

559	doi: 10.1002/qj.2754
560	Rotunno, R., Klemp, J. B., & Weisman, M. L. (1988). A Theory for Strong, Long-
561	Lived Squall Lines. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, $45(3)$, $463-485$. doi:
562	$10.1175/1520\text{-}0469(1988)045\langle0463\text{:}\mathrm{ATFSLL}\rangle2.0.\mathrm{CO}\text{;}2$
563	Schlemmer, L., & Hohenegger, C. (2014). The Formation of Wider and Deeper
564	Clouds as a Result of Cold-Pool Dynamics. Journal of the Atmospheric Sci-
565	ences, 71(8), 2842–2858. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0170.1
566	Schmidt, H., & Schumann, U. (1989). Coherent structure of the convective bound-
567	ary layer derived from large-eddy simulations. Journal of Fluid Mechanics,
568	200(D11), 511-562. doi: 10.1017/S0022112089000753
569	Schulz, H., Eastman, R., & Stevens, B. (2021, in review). Characterization and Evo-
570	lution of Organized Shallow Convection in the Trades. Journal of Geophysical
571	Research. doi: 10.1002/essoar.10505836.1
572	Seifert, A., & Heus, T. (2013). Large-eddy simulation of organized precipitating
573	trade wind cumulus clouds. $Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13(11),$
574	5631–5645. doi: 10.5194/acp-13-5631-2013
575	Stephan, C. C. (2021). Mechanism for the Formation of Arc-Shaped Cloud Lines
576	over the Tropical Oceans. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 78(3), 817–
577	824. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-20-0129.1
578	Stevens, B., Bony, S., Brogniez, H., Hentgen, L., Hohenegger, C., Kiemle, C.,
579	Zuidema, P. (2019). Sugar, gravel, fish and flowers: Mesoscale cloud patterns
580	in the trade winds. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society,
581	146(726), 141–152. doi: 10.1002/qj.3662
582	Stevens, B., Bony, S., Farrell, D., Ament, F., Blyth, A., Fairall, C., Zöger,
583	M. (2021). EUREC ⁴ A. Earth System Science Data Discussions. doi:
584	10.5194/essd-2021-18
585	Thorpe, A. J., Miller, M. J., & Moncrieff, M. W. (1982). Two-dimensional con-
586	vection in non-constant shear: A model of mid-latitude squall lines. $Quarterly$
587	Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 108(458), 739–762. doi: 10.1002/
588	qj.49710845802
589	Tompkins, A. M. (2001). Organization of Tropical Convection in Low Vertical Wind
590	Shears: The Role of Cold Pools. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, $58(13)$,

-29-

1650–1672. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(2001)058
(1650:OOTCIL>2.0.CO;2

591

592	Torri, G., Kuang, Z., & Tian, Y. (2015). Mechanisms for convection triggering by
593	cold pools. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(6), 1943–1950. doi: 10.1002/
594	2015GL063227
595	Warner, C., Simpson, J., Martin, D. W., Suchman, D., Mosher, F. R., & Reink-
596	ing, R. F. (1979). Shallow Convection on Day 261 of GATE: Mesoscale
597	Arcs. Monthly Weather Review, 107(12), 1617–1635. doi: 10.1175/
598	$1520-0493(1979)107\langle 1617:SCODOG \rangle 2.0.CO; 2$
599	Weckwerth, T. M., & Wakimoto, R. M. (1992). The Initiation and Organization of
600	Convective Cells atop a Cold-Air Outflow Boundary. Monthly Weather Review,
601	$120(10), 2169-2187. \text{doi: } 10.1175/1520-0493(1992)120\langle 2169:\text{TIAOOC}\rangle 2.0.\text{CO};$
602	2
603	Weisman, M. L., & Rotunno, R. (2004). "A Theory for Strong Long-Lived Squall
604	Lines" Revisited. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 61(4), 361–382. doi: 10
605	$.1175/1520\text{-}0469(2004)061\langle 0361\text{:}\mathrm{ATFSLS}\rangle 2.0.\mathrm{CO}\text{;}2$
606	Xue, H., Feingold, G., & Stevens, B. (2008). Aerosol Effects on Clouds, Precipita-
607	tion, and the Organization of Shallow Cumulus Convection. Journal of the At -
608	mospheric Sciences, $65(2)$, 392–406. doi: 10.1175/2007 JAS2428.1
609	Zipser, E. J. (1969). The Role of Organized Unsaturated Convective Downdrafts
610	in the Structure and Rapid Decay of an Equatorial Disturbance. Journal of
611	Applied Meteorology, $8(5)$, 799–814. doi: $10.1175/1520-0450(1969)008(0799:$
612	TROOUC 2.0.CO;2
613	Zuidema, P., Li, Z., Hill, R. J., Bariteau, L., Rilling, B., Fairall, C., Hare, J.
614	(2012). On trade wind cumulus cold pools. Journal of the Atmospheric Sci-

615 ences, 69(1), 258–280. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-11-0143.1