Iridium Communications Satellite Constellation Data for Study of Earth's Magnetic Field

Brian Anderson¹, Regupathi Angappan², Ankit Barik², Sarah K Vines¹, Sabine Stanley², Pietro N Bernasconi¹, Haje Korth¹, and Robin J Barnes¹

¹The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory ²The Johns Hopkins University

November 23, 2022

Abstract

Characterization of Earth's magnetic field is key to understanding the dynamics of core flows and the dynamo. Satellite measurements of the magnetic field normally use precise magnetometers on a few spacecraft to acquire data over the entire globe over periods of months to years. The advent of commercial satellite constellations of tens to hundreds of satellites may offer complementary observations, even with low-precision magnetometers, providing rapid global coverage. Here we assess whether the magnetic field data from the Iridium Communications constellation of 66 low Earth orbiting satellites can be used to determine the geometry of Earth's main field. The Iridium satellites are in near polar, 86° inclination, 780 km altitude, circular orbits, with 11 satellites in each of six orbit planes evenly spaced in longitude. We use data from the first-generation Iridium satellites, launched in the late 1990s, and acquired for scientific analysis beginning in January 2010. Although digitized with 30 nT resolution, the uncertainties in the data are random errors so that the statistics of 300,000 samples/day allow determination of the average magnetic field in 9° latitude by 9° longitude bins to about 3 nT. The data reduction, inter-calibration, quiet interval selection, and uncertainty assessment are described. Time series of spherical harmonic coefficients are used to identify artifacts and derive maps of corrected residuals at the average Iridium orbit altitude. From 2010 to 2015 the evolution of the field agrees on average between Iridium and the CHAOS 7.4 model to within 30 nT standard deviation, or 5 nT/yr.

1	Iridium Communications Satellite Constellation Data for Study of Earth's Magnetic Field
2	
3	Brian J. Anderson ¹ , Regupathi Angappan ² , Ankit Barik ² , Sarah K. Vines ¹ , Sabine Stanley ² ,
4	Pietro N. Bernasconi ¹ , Haje Korth ¹ , and Robin J. Barnes ¹
5	
6	1. The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, MD.
7	2. Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD.
8	
9	
10	Submitted to Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems (G3) 4 November 2020

11 Revised: 14 April 2021

12 Key points

- 13 Iridium satellite avionics magnetometers provide global coverage in measurement of Earth's
- 14 magnetic field.
- 15 Coverage from 86° S to 86° N latitude with $\sim 2^{\circ}$ longitude/latitude resolution is achieved in two
- 16 hours.
- 17 Uncertainties as low as 3 nT in the global mapped fields are achieved for each of 262
- 18 geomagnetically quiet days from 2010 through 2015.
- 19

20 Abstract

Characterization of Earth's magnetic field is key to understanding the dynamics of core flows 21 and the dynamo. Satellite measurements of the magnetic field normally use precise 22 magnetometers on a few spacecraft to acquire data over the entire globe over periods of months 23 to years. The advent of commercial satellite constellations of tens to hundreds of satellites may 24 25 offer complementary observations, even with low-precision magnetometers, providing rapid global coverage. Here we assess whether the magnetic field data from the Iridium 26 Communications constellation of 66 low Earth orbiting satellites can be used to determine the 27 28 geometry of Earth's main field. The Iridium satellites are in near polar, 86° inclination, 780 km altitude, circular orbits, with 11 satellites in each of six orbit planes evenly spaced in longitude. 29 We use data from the first-generation Iridium satellites, launched in the late 1990s, and acquired 30 for scientific analysis beginning in January 2010. Although digitized with 30 nT resolution, the 31 uncertainties in the data are random errors so that the statistics of 300,000 samples/day allow 32 determination of the average magnetic field in 9° latitude by 9° longitude bins to about 3 nT. The 33 data reduction, inter-calibration, quiet interval selection, and uncertainty assessment are 34 described. Time series of spherical harmonic coefficients are used to identify artifacts and derive 35 maps of corrected residuals at the average Iridium orbit altitude. From 2010 to 2015 the 36 evolution of the field agrees on average between Iridium and the CHAOS 7.4 model to within 30 37 nT standard deviation, or ~5 nT/yr. 38

40 Plain Language Summary

The shape of Earth's magnetic field is used for navigation, resource exploration, and space 41 hazard predictions. Changes in the field provide clues to motions of the molten iron core 1800 42 43 miles (~3000 km) deep. In recent years the magnetic poles have moved rapidly, making it especially important to track the field. Advances in satellite and launch technologies have 44 45 enabled launches of satellites in greater numbers than ever before to near-Earth space. The 66 Iridium Communications satellites, launched originally in the late 1990s and recently replaced 46 with the Iridium NEXT constellation, have operated continuously since 1997 and are planned to 47 continue to 2030 or beyond. Each original and NEXT Iridium satellite is equipped with a 48 magnetometer as part of the satellite systems. We developed techniques to analyze magnetic 49 field data from these satellites to detect small changes in Earth's magnetic field. The large 50 51 number of Iridium satellites and their orbits allow global coverage of Earth in as little as two hours and we show that these data can be used to track changes in Earth's magnetic field more 52 than ten times faster than ever before. This offers numerous practical and scientific benefits to 53 understand the interior workings of our home planet. 54

- 56 **Index Terms** (up to five):
- 57 All from 1500 GEOMAGNETISM AND PALEOMAGNETISM
- 58 1541 Satellite magnetics: main field, crustal field, external field
- 59 1545 Spatial variations: all harmonics and anomalies
- 60 1555 Time variations: diurnal to decadal
- 61 1594 Instruments and techniques
- 62
- 63 Keywords (up to six): Geomagnetism, Iridium Communications Inc., satellite constellation,
- 64 space magnetometry, geodynamo, magnetic field

66 **1. Introduction**

The magnetic field of the Earth yields clues to the dynamics of the present-day core 67 dynamo and its history through magnetization in the crust (cf. Aubert et al., 2010). The internally 68 generated magnetic field of the Earth arises predominantly from the dynamo in the outer core, 69 and the structure and intensity of the field above the core is given by a potential field 70 71 extrapolated from the core-mantle boundary (CMB) ~3000 km below the surface (cf. Roberts and King, 2013). The dynamo field typically varies by ~1% per decade and includes wave-like 72 features at middle-to-low latitudes extending and propagating in longitude (cf. Finlay et al., 73 74 2010a). Sub-annual variations have also been observed and identified as geomagnetic jerks that exhibit rapid changes in the first and second time derivatives in the secular variation at a fixed 75 observatory (Mandea et al., 2010; Brown et al., 2013; Finlay et al., 2016). While the mantle is 76 not a dominant source of magnetic field, induction of currents in the mantle on time scales of 77 hours to days in response to correspondingly abrupt changes in the external magnetospheric 78 currents (e.g. magnetopause and ring currents) may be present, though this has not yet been 79 extensively documented (Civet et al., 2015). Crustal fields are 'permanent' on geologic time 80 scales shorter than crustal formation and circulation (tectonic) and are useful as magnetic imprint 81 82 markers. These crustal fields are best resolved in satellite observations at the lowest attainable orbit altitudes (~300 to 400 km) as the depth is comparatively shallow (<10 km) and the 83 horizontal length scales are small (1 to 500 km). 84 85 Precise characterization of the main magnetic field, actively generated internally by the

dynamo together with contributions from remanent magnetic field, actively generated internally by the many government, commercial, and scientific users (cf. *Mandea and Purucker*, 2018) motivating continuous maintenance of the World Magnetic Model (WMM) by the National Centers for

Environmental Information (NCEI) (*Chulliat et al.*, 2020)). The interaction of the magnetized 89 solar wind with the Earth's magnetic field produces various current systems and the resulting 90 magnetic signals on the ground and at satellites in low-Earth orbit (LEO, cf. Milan et al., 2017) 91 92 must be considered for precise study of the internally generated field (cf. Baumjohann and Nakamura 2009; Raeder et al., 2017; Olson and Stolle, 2017). In addition, the dynamical 93 94 interaction of neutral thermospheric winds with plasma populations in the ionosphere drives currents, and hence magnetic fields, that must be considered in analyses of ground and LEO 95 magnetometer observations (e.g., Yamazaki and Maute, 2017). The range of spatial distributions 96 97 and temporal variations of external sources complicates studies of the main field and makes precise characterization of the global field a challenging inversion problem (cf. Sabaka et al., 98 2018). 99

Variations in the magnetic field of the Earth arise from changes in the fluid outer core (cf. 100 Hulot et al., 2010b). Recently the magnetic pole motions have accelerated such that linear 101 extrapolation for the field secular variation is not sufficient to meet operation requirements for 102 main field models and intermediate model releases have been necessary (cf. Mandea and 103 Purucker, 2018; Witze, 2019). Scientific interest in sub-decadal time scales of main field 104 105 variations is growing as well. Processes occurring at these time scales relate to the nature and characteristics of geomagnetic jerks, their spatial scales, global distribution, and prevalence to 106 inform and constrain the core fluid dynamics from which they arise (Bloxham et al., 2002; 107 108 Mandea et al., 2010; Brown et al., 2013). Similarly, waves in the magnetic field have also been inferred (Hori et al., 2015; Buffet et al., 2016; Aubert and Finlay, 2019) and diagnosing the 109 wavelengths and group speeds are key to determining their origins. There is therefore 110

considerable practical and fundamental scientific interest in augmenting present capabilities to 111 measure Earth's field on sub-decadal and even sub-annual time scales. 112

- To date the most accurate and reliable results for the main field have been obtained using 113 combinations of data from globally distributed ground magnetic observatories and precision 114 magnetic field measurements from satellites in LEO. The first precise LEO magnetic mapping 115 116 mission was MAGSAT, conducted in 1979 and 1980 (Langel et al., 1982). After a hiatus of nearly two decades, this was followed by missions using precise magnetic mapping 117 instrumentation including the Oersted satellite launched in early 1999, the CHAMP satellite 118 119 launched in July of 2000, and SAC-C launched in November 2000 (cf. Olsen et al., 2010). Most recently the set of three Swarm satellites were launched in November 2013 (Olsen et al., 2013). 120 The Swarm mission conducts multi-point precision magnetic field mapping, and they remain 121 operational with an expected lifetime to at least 2024. Recent derivations of the main field from 122 Swarm yield high-resolution maps of the crustal field and magnetic potential representations of 123 the core field, and can resolve time scales as short as about six months (*Finlay et al.*, 2016). 124 The central challenge of precise global magnetic mapping from LEO is that the satellite 125 observations are obtained along one or two orbital planes in inertial space. Separating internal, 126 ionospheric, and magnetospheric induction sources requires accounting for each of these 127 contributions. Because of orbital precession, polar LEO orbits span all local times in 128 approximately six months depending on the precise inclination. This coverage makes global 129 130 mapping somewhat challenging for time scales shorter than about six months without convolving
- local time period signals with seasonal variations. Notwithstanding these challenges, powerful
- inversion techniques have been developed to simultaneously account for all of these 132

131

133	contributions (cf. Sabaka et al., 2018). These state-of-the-art techniques have yielded remarkable
134	advances including unprecedented characterization of the ocean induction fields.
135	Nonetheless, the inversions remain under-determined and are subject to substantial
136	covariance among the large number of parameters (>10,000) used in the fits. Such inversions
137	always benefit from additional observations and it is therefore of interest to explore avenues to
138	augment the available database. The development and launches of commercial satellite
139	constellations into LEO for communications began in the late 1990s and offer an opportunity for
140	unprecedented orbital coverage over the Earth. While these constellations were not designed to
141	support science-grade magnetic field measurements, the satellites in these constellations that
142	carry commercial magnetometers may still offer real benefit for Earth's main field science. In
143	this paper, we consider whether magnetic field data acquired from the Iridium Communications
144	satellite constellation might provide another set of observational constraints for main field
145	characterization. This constellation consists of 66 satellites in the communication network and
146	additional on-orbit spares, all in near polar (86° inclination), 780 km altitude orbits. The Iridium
147	Communications satellites launched in 1997-1998 (Block 1) and replaced by the Iridium NEXT
148	constellation (2017-2019) carry engineering magnetometers to support satellite operations.
149	Moreover, the Iridium orbits are distributed over six orbit planes, 11 satellites in each plane,
150	spaced evenly in longitude by 30°. Thus, the constellation provides dense global coverage of all
151	latitudes and longitudes in as short a time as two hours.
152	Magnetometer data from the Block 1 Iridium satellites were first used for science
153	analysis to study the Birkeland field-aligned currents which generate magnetic signals above the
154	ionosphere as great as ~2000 nT (Anderson et al., 2000; Waters et al., 2001) and more recently
155	for the Active Magnetosphere and Planetary Electrodynamics Response Experiment (AMPERE)

under which the data transmission to the ground was increased 10 to 100-fold to allow

157 measurement of the large-scale Birkeland currents every ten minutes (*Clausen et al.*, 2012;

158 *Anderson et al.*, 2014; *Waters et al.*, 2020).

Although the data from the body-mounted Iridium magnetometers are of substantially 159 lower quality than acquired from precision instruments, non-science magnetometer data can be 160 161 calibrated using orbital data to contribute to Earth main field studies (cf. Alken et al., 2020). For satellite constellations in particular, the continuous global coverage of all local times and number 162 of observations warrants an assessment of the potential utility of these data for the 163 164 characterization of Earth's magnetic field. The comparatively high altitude and low resolution imply that the data are unlikely to contribute to understanding of the crustal field, but the crustal 165 field has been found to be largely static and has been extensively analyzed (cf. Langlais et al., 166 2010; Olsen et al., 2017). The Iridium data therefore are most applicable for specifying the 167 external field and in studies of the dynamics of the field originating from the core. Motivated by 168 the prospects for such unprecedented global coverage in magnetic field observations, we use 169 magnetic field data from the Iridium Communications constellation originally acquired and 170 processed for AMPERE to assess whether useful, independent information on Earth's main field 171 172 can be extracted. The AMPERE data from Block 1 give one sample every 19.44 seconds (~1.2° along track spacing) and the constellation's configuration achieves global sampling with $\sim 2.25^{\circ}$ 173 longitude separation mapping the globe every two hours. 174

In this paper, we present an initial analysis and data reduction of the Block 1, original, Iridium magnetometer data from January 2010 through November 2015 to identify artifacts and erroneous signals in the data and to quantify the characteristics of the core field that might be resolved using these observations. **Section 2** provides a detailed description of the magnetic field

data and processing developed for AMPERE, as well as the modification of the standard

180 AMPERE data processing for main field studies. Section 3 discusses the selection of

181 geomagnetically quiet intervals for analysis. **Section 4** presents the analysis of these data for

182 Earth's field together with initial global maps. Detailed analyses yielding time series of spherical

harmonics for January 2010 through November 2015 are given in Section 5. The results are

summarized and opportunities for applications are discussed in Section 6.

185

186 2. Opportunity of Iridium Magnetic Field Observations

The satellites constituting the Iridium Communications network are illustrated in **Figure 1.** The 66 satellites in Iridium network orbits are distributed over six orbit planes, with 11 satellites in each plane. The orbit planes are evenly spaced in longitude by 30° and the satellites within each plane are also evenly spaced along the orbit track, corresponding to 9-minute (33° orbit angle) separations in ~100-minute period orbits. Additional satellites in each orbit plane serve as on-orbit spares.

The first generation of Iridium satellites, denoted as Block 1, were launched starting in 193 1997 and continued to operate until 2019, after launch of the constellation of Iridium NEXT 194 satellites was completed. The avionics systems of both the Block 1 and NEXT Iridium satellites 195 include a vector magnetometer. Each of the Block 1 Iridium satellites carried an Ithaco IM-103 196 197 vector fluxgate magnetometer as part of the attitude control system. The magnetometers had intrinsic noise below 0.1 nT/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ at 1 Hz, absolute accuracy of 0.5% of full scale, and linearity to 198 1 part in 10⁴. They were read out every 90 ms with 30-nT digitization onboard for closed-loop 199 attitude control. The flight software system was initially configured only to support downlink 200 rates for engineering monitoring, ~ 200 s between samples corresponding to $\sim 12^{\circ}$ in latitude. 201

Although the Iridium avionics magnetometers have digitization, sampling cadence, and 202 performance substantially coarser than typical science instrumentation (cf. Acuna et al., 2002), 203 they provide resolution sufficient to detect signals of Earth's Birkeland currents that are typically 204 ~300 nT and up to 2000 nT, with a signal to noise ratio of about 10 (Anderson et al., 2000). It is 205 worth noting that the original detection and studies of Earth's Birkeland currents were conducted 206 207 using the attitude magnetometer on the Triad satellite (cf. *Iijima and Potemra*, 1976), so the application of utility magnetometers for science has been well demonstrated. However, the 208 coverage afforded by the Iridium Communications constellation enables a dramatic advance in 209 210 understanding the dynamics of Birkeland currents.

To take advantage of the global-scale, continuous coverage provided by the Iridium constellation configuration, the AMPERE dataset was developed (*Anderson et al.*, 2000; 2014; *Waters et al.*, 2001). This required new flight software to be implemented on the Iridium Block 1 satellites to downlink magnetic field samples at 19.44 s (standard rate) or 2.16 s (high rate) intervals from every satellite in the communications network. Test data were acquired starting in October 2009, and complete AMPERE data were collected beginning 1 January 2010 and have continued to the present.

Processing to produce AMPERE data was developed to ingest, merge, and correct
magnetometer data and attitude estimates from each individual satellite to yield time series and
gridded maps of de-trended, inter-calibrated magnetic field perturbations reflecting signatures of
field-aligned, Birkeland, currents flowing between the ionosphere and magnetosphere (cf. *Waters et al.*, 2020 for details on inversion techniques for AMPERE). Available AMPERE data
spans January 2010 through September 2017. The NEXT magnetometer data are being calibrated

and processing for science products is in process. The present analysis uses AMPERE data from
the Iridium Block 1 satellites from 2010 through 2015.

The global coverage of the magnetic field observations from the Iridium 226 Communications constellation is dramatically different from prior LEO observations of Earth's 227 magnetic field (cf. Olsen et al., 2010, 2013). In the nine minutes between successive Iridium 228 satellite passage over a given geographic latitude, the Earth rotates 2.3°. In two hours, the Earth 229 rotates 30°, so that all longitudes pass under one of the Iridium constellation orbit planes. The 230 sampling interval of 19.44 s corresponds to an along track distance of 1.16° around the orbit, 231 corresponding to the approximate maximum latitude spacing in the near-polar orbits at the 232 equator. Thus, in as little as two hours the observations blanket the Earth with magnetic field 233 samples spaced by 2.3° in longitude and 1.2° in latitude between 86.4° S and 86.4° N. This 234 235 coverage also spans all local times with 2-hour spacing so that the external current sources are 236 simultaneously tracked and their effects effectively averaged in local time at every geographic longitude over one day. 237

238 The motivation to increase the magnetic field data returned from the Iridium satellites was to track and study the dynamics of Birkeland currents reflecting the solar wind-239 magnetosphere interaction (cf. Milan et al., 2017; Coxon et al., 2018). During development of 240 241 AMPERE science data processing, discrepancies between geographically registered magnetic 242 field data and the IGRF-11 main field model (Finlay et al., 2010b) were noted but not analyzed in detail since the objective for AMPERE was to remove main field signals to extract the 243 Birkeland current signatures. The simple expedient of a one-quarter orbit period high-pass filter 244 was used to remove remaining residuals (cf. Anderson et al., 2001). Discrepancies between polar 245 cap filtered observations during geomagnetic active times, however, indicate that this approach is 246

not ideal (cf. *Knipp et al.*, 2014) and motivated re-examination of the main field signals in the
AMPERE data. The extensive coverage of the data allowed examination of consistency in
patterns in departures from IGRF-11 over days, months, and years. There was a surprisingly
consistent evolution of the global patterns given the low expectations for the instrumentation
stability and accuracy. The results described here were motivated to determine the extent to
which these data may be used to provide a novel means of monitoring changes in the coregenerated field.

254

255 **3. AMPERE Data Processing and Science Products Overview**

The AMPERE data processing flow is presented to set the context for its application to main field characterization. It is useful to consider some examples of AMPERE results from geomagnetically active and quiet conditions to illustrate the character of the Iridium Block 1 data and the data processing and calibration processes applied to these data. One key aspect of the rapid coverage over the entire Earth that Iridium provides is the opportunity to identify data intervals for conditions with the lowest possible contributions from magnetospheric and ionospheric currents driven by interaction with the solar wind.

On the Iridium Block 1 satellites the magnetic field data were used as one input to the attitude determination process and were calibrated using uploaded tables to enable this on-board closed-loop process. The target attitude knowledge accuracy was $\sim 0.1^{\circ}$, sufficient to maintain the inter-satellite communication links upon which the network depended. To specify the scale of the uncertainty that the attitude accuracy implies, we note that a 0.1° attitude error corresponds to an error in the magnetic field measurement of 80 nT perpendicular to the field direction at the altitude of the Iridium satellites. The accuracies needed for auroral science are higher than those

required for on-board operations, so post-processing calibrations were used to improve the
estimates of the observed field for AMPERE science (*Anderson et al.*, 2000). The attitude and
measurement accuracies for study of Earth's magnetic field and the variations in the coregenerated field are substantially more stringent than the requirements for AMPERE,
necessitating additional processing and analysis to identify artifacts in the data and determine
signals most reliably attributed to the main field.

It turns out that the errors in the data are randomly distributed and it is only because the 276 constellation provides a large number of observations that one can determine the mean values to 277 278 greater precision than the uncertainty of the individual samples. Below we adopt a grid in latitude and longitude with bins extending 9° in longitude and 9° in latitude for a total of 800 279 280 bins. In one day, the 66 Iridium satellites returned, on an average, 4,440 samples from each space 281 vehicle (SV) for a total of 293,000 measurements distributed over all latitudes and longitudes, so the number of samples in each $9^\circ \ge 9^\circ$ bin is ~360. The statistical error in the mean of 282 measurements with uncertainties of 80 nT is therefore a factor of 20 lower, or ~4 nT. This 283 284 estimate illustrates how the quantity of data returned and the dense coverage provided by the constellation compensate both for the attitude knowledge accuracy and the coarse digitization. 285 This initial estimate is borne out in the analysis and statistics presented below. 286

The magnetometer post-processing calibration requires determination of 12 different parameters related to the orientations of the three sensing axes (six angles), three offsets or zero levels, and three gain adjustment factors (cf. *Plaschke et al.*, 2018 and references therein). For non-spinning spacecraft in LEO, approaches have been developed to co-estimate a non-linear solution for these parameters together with core model coefficients (cf. *Alken et al.*, 2020). For AMPERE, we adopted a simpler, linear approach to deriving calibrated perturbations relative to

a reference model from the reported observations. This was used to derive the perturbation inputs
to the science product processing described in detail by *Waters et al.* (2020).

The AMPERE pre-processing proceeds as follows. First, we write $\mathbf{B}_{SC}(t)$ to denote the 295 data returned by the magnetometer in spacecraft coordinates (SC) at the time t, converted to 296 engineering units using a preliminary scale factor. Spacecraft coordinates are defined as +X in 297 298 the body direction that is nominally ram facing, +Z as the body direction nominally nadir, and +Y in the body direction nominally in the orbit normal direction. The spacecraft and 299 magnetometer coordinates are identical to within mounting and internal magnetometer 300 301 orientation designs. Departures of the body orientation from these nominal directions are provided in the attitude data in terms of roll, pitch, and yaw angles and these angles are used in 302 transforming between body (magnetometer) coordinates and geophysical systems. The scale 303 factors for Block 1 analysis are those applied on-board the satellite at the time of acquisition. The 304 reference model for Earth's main field in geographic coordinates is written as **B**_{model-GEO}. In this 305 paper, the reference model is IGRF-11 evaluated at the satellite location of each measurement 306 with a constant secular variation (Finlay et al., 2010b), but we refer to this with the general term 307 'model' since the analysis can use any reference model. The next step in the analysis is to 308 309 evaluate the reference model at the location and date-time of each magnetometer sample, denoted $\mathbf{B}_{\text{model-GEO}}(\mathbf{r}(t), t)$, where $\mathbf{r}(t)$ is the location of the satellite at the time t. Using the 310 spacecraft attitude, denoted as a four-element quaternion, $\mathbf{q}(t)$, we construct a rotation matrix 311 312 from GEO coordinates into the SC frame, denoted $A_{GEO-SC}(\mathbf{q}(t))$. We then transform the reference model into the SC frame 313

314 $\mathbf{B}_{\text{model-SC}}(\mathbf{r}(t), \mathbf{q}(t), t) = \underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{GEO-SC}}(\mathbf{q}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\text{model-GEO}}(\mathbf{r}(t), t), \tag{1}$

and calculate the residual between the observed field and the model in the SC frame

$$\Delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{SC}}(t) = \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{SC}}(t) - \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{model-SC}}(\mathbf{r}(t), \mathbf{q}(t), t). \tag{2}$$

316

Note that because the magnetometer and spacecraft coordinates are identical, an additional rotation from the SC frame into the magnetometer frame is not needed. The calibration is then derived by fitting each component of $\Delta B_{SC}(t)$ to the model field using linear regression. We use an entire day of data to determine best fits to $\Delta B_{SC}(t)$ in the form

321
$$\Delta \mathbf{B}_{\text{SC-fit}}(t) = \mathbf{B}_0 + \underline{\mathbf{M}} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\text{model-SC}}(\mathbf{r}(t), \mathbf{q}(t), t)$$
(3)

where the offset vector, \mathbf{B}_0 , and matrix, $\underline{\mathbf{M}}$, are constants for each day. We do not require that these values be the same between different days. The fit can be obtained in closed form since it is a simple linear fit, so it is a fast calculation, which is not an insignificant consideration when processing data from up to 75 satellites. The residual magnetic field signal that cannot be expressed in terms of linear correlations to the reference model is then

327
$$\delta \mathbf{B}_{\rm SC}(t) = \Delta \mathbf{B}_{\rm SC}(t) - \Delta \mathbf{B}_{\rm SC-fit}(t). \tag{4}$$

To see how this relates to a calibration applied to the $\mathbf{B}_{SC}(t)$ to obtain a best estimate for a calibrated $\mathbf{B}_{SC}'(t)$, we expand equation (4) to

330
$$\delta \mathbf{B}_{\rm SC}(t) = \mathbf{B}_{\rm SC}(t) - \{ \mathbf{B}_0 + (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}) \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\rm model-SC}(\mathbf{r}(t), \mathbf{q}(t), t) \},$$
(5)

331 where <u>I</u> is the identity matrix. Given that the residual, $\delta B_{SC}(t)$ has minimum standard deviation 332 for this form of the calibration, the conversion from $B_{SC}(t)$ to calibrated data $B_{SC}'(t)$ is given by

333
$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{SC}}'(t) = (\mathbf{\underline{I}} + \mathbf{\underline{M}})^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{SC}}(t) - \mathbf{B}_0).$$
(6)

Written this way, it is clear that \mathbf{B}_0 is the offset vector and $(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M})^{-1}$ is the calibration matrix. The matrix elements can be expressed in terms of transformations to orthogonalize the sensing axes,

rotate from the effective magnetometer frame into the spacecraft frame, and to apply gain 336 corrections to each axis to yield a true vector (cf. *Plaschke et al.*, 2019). Note however that any 337 signals related to sensor or electronics cross-talk between axes is not distinguished from 338 orthogonality corrections so the interpretation of the calibration matrix is to some extent 339 ambiguous. Because it is more efficient and hence faster, while preserving the information given 340 341 by a non-linear inversion for the orthogonalization parameters that determine the matrix, we leave the calibration in the matrix form since our only interest is in transforming to the best 342 estimate true vector field measurement. 343

Results showing the sequence in processing from \mathbf{B}_{SC} to $\mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{B}_{SC}$ for 24 May 2010 344 and Iridium Satellite Vehicle 30, denoted SV030, are shown in Figure 2 for the entire day. To 345 346 more clearly see features of the data at each step in the processing, a subset of the data is shown in **Figure 3** for the first four hours of the day. **Figures 2** and 3 also show the filtered δB_{SC} that 347 are used as the inputs to subsequent AMPERE science processing. Comparing the B_{SC} data with 348 349 the residuals, ΔB_{SC} , there are clear orbit period signals with amplitudes of about 1 to 2% of the original signal. The calibration reduces the residuals to less than about 100 to 200 nT amplitude, 350 making the Birkeland current signatures much more prominent as spikes in the δB_{SC} time series 351 352 (denoted as δB), especially in the cross-track or Y component. Signals having periods roughly half to one quarter of the orbit period remain in the δB_{SC} time series, and with a source for these 353 signals not initially identified. To extract the Birkeland current signals more clearly, we therefore 354 355 applied a 25-minute period high pass filter to δB_{SC} . The filtered result is shown in the bottom row as $\delta B_{\text{filtered}}$. The filtering reduced the baseline residuals by about a factor of two without 356 obviously distorting the Birkeland current signals. This allowed production of the first version of 357

AMPERE science products (*Waters et al.*, 2001, 2020), which have been applied to a range of questions in auroral and magnetospheric science (cf. *Coxon et al.*, 2018).

360 During active times when the auroral zones expand equatorward, as far as 40° co-latitude, 361 the 25-minute period can be comparable to the time it takes a polar orbiting satellite to traverse the auroral zone. Substantial discrepancies between $\delta B_{\text{filtered}}$ data from near-conjunctions of 362 Iridium satellites do occur (Knipp et al., 2014) that turn out to be due to distortions from this 363 filter. Revisions to the processing are in development to eliminate the filtering step to mitigate 364 this distortion. The data used here for study of the Earth's field are the δB_{SC} before this filtering. 365 The AMPERE products provide an important measure of geomagnetic disturbance and 366 are used here to identify periods of particularly quiet conditions. It is therefore useful to discuss 367 the AMPERE processing to illustrate the relationship between quiet conditions and the input data 368 for the main field analysis. Examples of AMPERE products from two 10-minute intervals during 369 a geomagnetically active period on 29 May 2010 are shown in Figure 4, for 03:30-03:40 UT 370 (top) and 12:00-12:10 UT (bottom). These data products and tools to generate graphics used here 371 are available via the AMPERE web page (http://ampere.jhuapl.edu). This moderate geomagnetic 372 373 storm was driven by an interplanetary magnetic cloud with a southward interplanetary magnetic 374 field (IMF) of -13 nT. The auroral electrojet index, AE, reached over 1500 nT and the minimum equatorial storm disturbance index, $D_{\rm st}$, was near -60 nT. The horizontal filtered δB , denoted 375 δB_{\perp} , is shown in the left panel by colored arrows. The center panels show the orthogonal 376 377 function fit to δB , labeled $\delta B_{\perp-\text{fit}}$, as described in *Waters et al.* (2020). The anti-sunward magnetic perturbations in the dawn and dusk sectors associated with the Birkeland currents are 378 379 clear, and the basic Region 1/Region 2 current polarities are evident (cf. *Iijima and Potemra*, 1976). Currents in the polar cap at latitudes $>80^{\circ}$ (in the 12:00 – 12:10 UT interval) are not 380

considered reliable, as they result from discrepancies in the δB_{\perp} near the orbit plane crossing point. Measurements near the orbit plane crossing point can exacerbate errors in the δB_{\perp} owing to the small separations between tracks, resulting in spurious filamentary currents. Consistent with the bottom rows of **Figures 2** and **3**, the δB_{\perp} equatorward of the Birkeland currents are below ~100 nT in magnitude.

The total Birkeland current, I_{Tot} , is a convenient measure of the intensity of this high-386 latitude externally-driven current system and is readily calculated from the AMPERE current 387 density distributions. As described in Anderson et al. (2014), this calculation is done by setting a 388 minimum current density magnitude, $J_{r,min} = 0.16 \,\mu A/m^2$, and then separately integrating the 389 upward and downward J_r whose magnitudes exceed $J_{r,min}$ to obtain $I_{Up,h}$ and $I_{Down,h}$, where 'h' is 390 either N or S to indicate the polar hemisphere being integrated. The threshold magnitude $J_{r,min}$ 391 392 was determined from the noise level in J_r during very quiet geomagnetic conditions and reflects 393 the end-to-end noise in the data and AMPERE analysis process. The thresholding minimizes contributions from lower latitude noise spread over large areas which would otherwise be a 394 395 significant contribution and thereby allows one to evaluate the integrals for IUp,h and IDown,h without imposing arbitrary latitude boundaries. The total current flowing in the Birkeland system 396 is defined as 397

398
$$I_{\text{Tot,h}} = \frac{1}{2}(I_{\text{Up,h}} - I_{\text{Down,h}}),$$
 (7)

and the net current as

400
$$I_{\text{Net,h}} = I_{\text{Up,h}} + I_{\text{Down,h}}.$$
 (8)

401 The $I_{\text{Up,N}}$ and $I_{\text{Down,N}}$ for the 3:30-3:40 UT interval were 6.08 million Amperes (MA) and -6.12 402 MA, respectively, yielding an $I_{\text{Net,N}}$ of -0.04 MA. For the 12:00-12:10 UT interval $I_{\text{Up,N}}$ and

403	$I_{\text{Down,N}}$ were 9.25 MA and -8.83 MA, and $I_{\text{Net,N}}$ was +0.42 MA, about 5% of $I_{\text{Tot,N}}$. The small
404	$I_{\text{Net,N}}$ values are taken in the AMPERE results as uncertainties in $I_{\text{Tot,N}}$. Inter-hemispheric
405	currents that have been reported at low latitudes (<i>Lühr et al.</i> , 2019) range up to 10s of nA/m^2 and
406	occur well equatorward of the auroral zones. Inter-hemispheric currents in the auroral zone
407	Birkeland currents are thought to range between 0.1 and 0.4 μ A/m ² , (<i>Lyatskaya et al.</i> , 2014)
408	comparable to the variability we find in $I_{\text{Net,h}}$.

409

410 **4. Quiet Day Selection**

411 As illustrated in Figure 4, the coverage of the Iridium constellation allows us to impose strict limits on geomagnetic activity to select intervals as free of external signals as possible. To 412 413 illustrate how intervals of quiet geomagnetic activity were identified and quantified, Figure 5 414 shows the IMF observed by the Advanced Composition Explorer (ACE) spacecraft at the Sun-Earth Lagrange point 1 (L1) together with three sets of geomagnetic disturbance measures for an 415 eight day interval in 2010, from May 22nd through the 29th, which includes the time intervals 416 shown in Figure 4. The three components of the IMF in solar ecliptic (SE) coordinates are 417 shown in Figure 5a color coded as light blue, turquoise, and magenta for $B_{X,IMF}$, $B_{Y,IMF}$, and 418 $B_{Z,IMF}$, respectively. The IMF magnitude (B_{IMF}) and the negative of the magnitude ($-B_{IMF}$) are 419 420 shown in thick and thin black traces, respectively. The magnetic cloud that was responsible for the geomagnetic storm on the 2010 May 29 first arrived at L1 around 1800 UT on 2010 May 28 421 422 with peak negative $B_{Z,IMF}$ occurring between 0300 to 1200 UT on the 2010 May 29. The second, third, and fourth panels of Figure 5 show the measures of geomagnetic 423

disturbance used in this study for selection of "quiet" periods. Both the AE and H-index datasetsare available from the Geomagnetic Data Service of the Kyoto World Data Center for

Geomagnetism, Kyoto, Japan, (http://wdc.kugi.kyoto-u.ac.jp/wdc/Sec3.html). The AE index 426 indicates high-latitude magnetic perturbations generally resulting from auroral electrojets, while 427 the SymH and AsyH indices provide a proxy for equatorial magnetic perturbations related to 428 429 enhancements in the Earth's ring current. The 24-hour running averages of I_{Tot,N}, I_{Tot,S}, AE, SymH, and AsyH were used to construct a composite measure of geomagnetic activity. The IMF 430 431 data are shown here for context to illustrate that the active periods correspond to strongly southward IMF as expected, but these data are not needed for the quiet condition determination. 432 The three 24-hour intervals highlighted by light yellow rectangles in Figure 5b-d indicate a set of 433 434 quiet intervals selected for analysis of the main field.

To select quiet 24-hour periods, we first constructed normalized quantities from the disturbance measures shown in Figure 5. The running 24-hour average of a quantity, g, is denoted by angle brackets, $\langle g \rangle$. We calculated a total current from the 24-hour averages

$$I_{\text{Tot}} = \langle I_{\text{Tot},N} \rangle + \langle I_{\text{Tot},S} \rangle.$$
(9)

Using both <*I*_{Tot,N}> and <*I*_{Tot,S}> rather than just one hemisphere has the advantage of muting
seasonal influence on the Birkeland currents driven by polar ionospheric illumination variations.
We also used both SymH and AsyH since these indices represent different sets of external
currents: SymH primarily represents the symmetric ring current and symmetric magnetospheric
compressions, while AsyH reflects the storm-time asymmetric ring current, at times with
substantial contribution of from the cross-tail current. We therefore calculated

$$H = |\langle SymH \rangle| + |\langle AsyH \rangle|, \qquad (10)$$

to capture all of these effects. We then normalize the I_{Tot} , <AE>, and H values by constructing zdistributions for each using one month of data to define the distributions. For example, from a 448 month of I_{Tot} values we evaluated the average, m_{ITot} , and the standard deviation, σ_{ITot} , and 449 calculated a normalized value as

450
$$V_{\text{Itot}} = (I_{\text{Tot}} - m_{\text{ITot}}) / \sigma_{\text{ITot}}, \qquad (11)$$

451 known as the z-score. This was similarly done for $\langle AE \rangle$ and H to obtain V_{AE} and V_{H} ,

respectively. We then took the average of these three normalized disturbance parameters toderive a single composite disturbance parameter, *Q*,

454
$$Q = (V_{\text{Itot}} + V_{\text{AE}} + V_{\text{H}})/3,$$
 (12)

which is positive (negative) for conditions that are more (less) disturbed than the average taking
into account Birkeland currents, auroral electrojets, and ring current-tail-compression dynamics.

457 The time series for Q were then used to determine quiet 24-hour intervals. We then identified the quietest 7 periods in each month. This was done by finding the minimum *Q*, 458 logging it, removing all *Q*-values within this period, and then searching for a new minimum *Q* in 459 the remaining data until 7 non-overlapping 24-hour periods were identified and logged. To 460 ensure that there is at least some quiet data from every month, we also selected the three quietest 461 periods in each month. Then, because not all months were equally quiet, we collected from the 462 remaining periods, the 12 second quietest ones for each quarter of the year centered on solstice 463 or equinox months (i.e., Nov-Jan, Feb-Apr, May-Jul, Aug-Oct). Thereafter we selected the 464 quietest 4 from these 12. Altogether, the above selection criteria yielded 262 quiet 24-hour 465 periods for January 2010 through November 2015. As of this writing, 8 months of Iridium Block 466 1 magnetometer data during this span are not currently available. Hence, data for August and 467 468 September 2013, June and July 2014, and November 2014 through February 2015 are not included in the analysis. For quarters with missing months, the number of additional quiet 469

periods were reduced to 2 periods if only two months were available or 1 period if only one month was available. No quarter was devoid of data. The three quiet periods occurring during the interval marked in **Figure 5** by the yellow boxes were 2010-05-22/12:00 - 2010-05-23/12:00; 2010-05-23/21:00 - 2010-05-24/21:00; and 2010-05-27/03:00 - 2010-05-28/03:00. **Table S1** in the Supporting Information lists all 262 intervals together with parameters used to derive the zscores and the final values for *Q* for each interval.

It is instructive to contrast these quiet periods with the moderate storm time interval 476 shown in Figure 4. The examples of Figure 6, in the same format as Figure 4, are for 2010 May 477 478 23 at 02:00-02:10 UT (top) during the quietest interval of the 8-day span shown in Figure 5 and for 2010 May 27 at 12:00-12:10 UT (bottom) during the least quiet of the three identified quiet 479 480 periods. The first interval exhibits a cluster of perturbations near noon around 80°N magnetic latitude, typical of Birkeland currents during northward IMF (cf. Anderson et al., 2008), but 481 482 there are no systematic signals equatorward of $\sim 70^{\circ}$ N magnetic latitude. The relatively small signals, <100 nT, at lower latitudes are typical for uncorrectable, that is, unidentified, noise and 483 variations consistent with vehicle attitude uncertainty. For the case of 2010 May 27 at 12:00-484 12:10 UT, there are evident R1/2 currents poleward of ~67°N, at significantly higher latitudes 485 than the active time currents in **Figure 4** but with signals below ~ 300 nT, which are less than 486 $\sim 1/5^{\text{th}}$ of the more active time signals. This 2010 May 27 interval in Figure 6 represents the most 487 active conditions included in the quiet interval database, while the 2010 May 23 case in Figure 6 488 is more typical of the quiet conditions for the database. 489

490

491 5. Data Pre-Processing for Earth's Main Field

The first step in pre-processing the calibrated Iridium data for study of Earth's main field 492 is to transform the data into geographic coordinates and assess whether the data seem to be 493 ordered by geographic location. The second step is to examine the distributions of the residuals 494 to assess whether the errors appear to be random, and to evaluate their averages in suitable 495 latitude-longitude ranges and estimate the errors in the means for each bin. The first indication 496 497 that the Iridium constellation data may record useful information on Earth's main magnetic field was the presence of consistent patterns when plotting the residuals transformed to spherical 498 geographic coordinates, δB_r (radial), δB_{θ} (polar angle positive southward), and δB_{ϕ} (azimuthal 499 positive eastward), and registered in geographic latitude and longitude. Two examples of the 500 residuals obtained from the two nearly consecutive quiet intervals shown in Figure 5 are shown 501 502 in Figure 7. The plot shows all of the samples from every satellite, totaling ~290,000 points, 503 plotted as colored dots for 2010-05-22/12:00 - 2010-05-23/12:00 on the left and 2010-05-23/21:00 - 2010-05-24/21:00 on the right. One significant point to note is that the distributions 504 of δB in Figure 7 are not a random mixture of positive and negative values but appear to be 505 organized into coherent regions. For example, the δB_r pattern for both quiet periods show several 506 broad, 60°-wide longitude bands of positive values, one from -90° to -30° E and 0° to 30° N 507 latitude, another from 0° to 90° E and –45° to 0° N, and a third from about 120° to 180° E and 0° 508 to 60° N. The δB_{θ} distributions show a broad positive band in the southern hemisphere and a 509 region of northward (negative) field from -90° to 0° E and 20° to 60° N. In addition, these 510 general patterns are consistent between the two quiet periods. The signals that are the least 511 consistent between the two days are at high latitudes, poleward of 70° N in δB_{ϕ} in the northern 512 hemisphere, arising from the Birkeland current signals (cf. Figure 6). 513

514	Results from two additional consecutive quiet periods from November 2015 are shown in
515	Figure 8. These intervals from 2015-11-21/06:00 – 2015-11-22/06:00 and 2015-11-22/06:00 –
516	2015-11-23/06:00 exhibit larger residuals with very clear patterns consistent between the two
517	days, but ones that are quite different from the patterns shown in Figure 7 . In δB_r distributions
518	there are three regions of positive residuals, one between $40^\circ - 70^\circ$ N and -180° to -90° E, a
519	second centered on -45° E and 10° N spanning about 40° in longitude and latitude, and a third
520	roughly 'U'-shaped region from 30° E to 180° E with a strongest band at about 50° S spanning
521	60° to 120° E. In the equatorial zone, 30° S to 30° N, the δB_r has a roughly 3-wave structure with
522	longitude. The δB_{θ} distributions show intense positive residuals at high southern latitudes,
523	poleward of 60° S from 30° E to 120° E, a broad zone of moderately positive residuals
524	from -180° E to 0° E south of about 30° N, and an arc of negative δB_{θ} from ~70° N at -180° E
525	extending across 0° E at 60° N and to 20° S at 90° E. The slightly sinusoidal shaped high-latitude
526	Birkeland current signatures are most evident in the southern polar region in δB_{ϕ} poleward of 60°
527	S, but δB_{ϕ} also exhibits a roughly wave-like pattern of residuals across all longitudes extending
528	from about 30° S to 30° N. The peaks in the equatorial δB_{ϕ} residuals correspond roughly to
529	midpoints between extrema in a similar 3-wave pattern in the δB_r . As with the pair of quiet
530	intervals from May 2010, these two periods in November 2015 illustrate a highly coherent
531	pattern in the δB when registered in geographic latitude and longitude, as well as a remarkable
532	consistency between the two periods. The magnitudes of the residuals are substantially greater in
533	November 2015 than they were in May 2010, indicating that the secular variation extrapolation
534	from 1 January 2010 used in the IGRF-11 model may be departing more substantially in the later
535	years. The remarkable feature of these examples is that these global maps were obtained in just a
536	single day of observations and yield highly consistent results.

To assess the statistical uncertainties and confidence of the mean perturbations in the 537 geographical patterns found in Figures 7 and 8, we first divided the observations into 20 latitude 538 and 40 longitude bins, each 9° in latitude by 9° in longitude. The bin size is a trade-off between 539 maximizing the statistics in each bin, which favors larger bins, and retaining enough spatial 540 541 resolution to resolve wavelengths at least as short as the distance from the core to the satellite 542 altitude. For Iridium altitude, the core is about 3800 km below the satellites, and this wavelength corresponds to an azimuthal order of ~12 at the equator. The 9° x 9° bin size allows a harmonic 543 decomposition up to degree and order 20. Given the average number of samples obtained in one 544 545 day by the 66 Iridium Block 1 satellites, a typical number of \sim 360 samples comprise each latitude-longitude bin. All of the δB measurements within each bin are averaged for each quiet 546 period. Because the Iridium satellites are in near polar orbits, the number of samples in each bin 547 is nearly uniform with latitude even though the area of the bins decreases toward the poles. The 548 bin averages for the 2010 May 22-23 and 2010 May 23-24 quiet intervals are shown in Figure 9. 549 Similarly, averages for 2015 November 21-22 and 2015 November 22-23 are shown in Figure 550 10. For both sets of quiet periods, the bin averages reveal that the patterns from the individual 551 552 observations throughout the satellite orbits are consistently present in the means. The perturbation regions are also more clearly evident, and there is consistency, even in relatively 553 554 small-scale features (i.e., below 20° in latitude and longitude), between successive days. To assess the residual distributions relative to the means we examined the distribution of 555 all residuals for individual quiet periods. As an example of this assessment, the distributions for 556 all measurements of δB_r , δB_{θ} , and δB_{φ} are shown for a quiet interval from 2015 November 21 – 557 558 22 in Figure 11. The Gaussian fit to each distribution very closely follows the actual data distribution, indicating that the data are primarily normally distributed. Similar Gaussian 559

distributions are obtained when considering the averaged data within each latitude-longitude bin. The normal character of the distributions is consistent with a random error due to the attitude determination uncertainty of ~ 80 nT. The standard deviations for each latitude-longitude bin for 2010 -05-22 /12:00 -2010-05-23/12:00 and for 2015-11-21/06:00 - 2015-11-22/06:00 are shown in **Figure 12**. The standard deviations within each bin range from about 40 nT to 100 nT with the highest values in the polar latitudes (i.e., higher than $\pm 60^{\circ}$), corresponding to the auroral zones and so reflecting the variability of the natural signals there.

For potential use in specifying the main field, the standard deviation of measurements in 567 568 each bin is less important than the uncertainty of the mean. With about 350 points in each bin, the standard error in the mean is roughly a factor of 18 smaller than the standard deviation. Maps 569 of the standard errors in the mean are shown in Figure 13 for the same two intervals and in the 570 571 same format. The standard errors are generally below 3 nT for the May 2010 case and between 3 and 5 nT for the November 2015 case shown in Figure 12. The increase in the standard errors is 572 primarily due to the fact that there were somewhat fewer satellites operating in fine attitude 573 control mode as the Block 1 satellites were experiencing degraded performance of some 574 subsystems, and hence there were somewhat fewer magnetic field measurements in the analysis. 575 576

577 6. Spherical Harmonic Fitting

To examine the temporal behavior of the patterns in the residuals we constructed spherical harmonic representations of each quiet period and investigated the time dependence of the harmonic coefficients. The spherical harmonic functions $Y_{\text{Im}}(\theta, \phi)$ are orthonormal basis functions on a spherical surface which means the following:

582
$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^{\pi} \sin(\theta) d\theta Y_{l_1 m_1} Y_{l_2 m_2}^* = \delta_{l_1 l_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2}$$
(13)

where * denotes the complex conjugate and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta function. Expressing Y_{lm} in terms of the associated Legendre function, $P_{lm}(x)$,

585
$$Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi) = a_{lm}P_{lm}(\cos\theta)e^{im\varphi}$$
(14)

586 where the $a_{\rm lm}$ are the normalization coefficients, one can also write

587
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin(\theta) \, d\theta \, a_{l_1 m_1} P_{l_1 m_1}(\cos\theta) \cos(m_1 \phi) \, a_{l_2 m_2} P_{l_2 m_2}(\cos\theta) \cos(m_2 \phi) = \delta_{l_1 l_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} \quad (15a)$$

588
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin(\theta) d\theta \, a_{l_1 m_1} P_{l_1 m_1}(\cos\theta) \sin(m_1 \phi) a_{l_2 m_2} P_{l_2 m_2}(\cos\theta) \sin(m_2 \phi) = \delta_{l_1 l_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2}$$
(15b)

which explicitly separates the sine and cosine terms. Here we use the convention that m = 0 to l(rather than m = -l to l), so the normalization coefficients are

591
$$a_{l0} = \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)}{4\pi}} \text{ for } m = 0$$
 (16a)

592
$$a_{lm} = \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(l-m)!}{2\pi(l+m)!}} \text{ for } m > 0.$$
(16b)

The convenience of equation 15 is that it allows one to calculate the coefficients contributing to the patterns of the residuals directly from convolution integrals. Given the maps for $\delta B_r(\theta, \phi, t_i)$, $\delta B_{\theta}(\theta, \phi, t_i)$, and $\delta B_{\phi}(\theta, \phi, t_i)$ for each quiet interval, denoted t_i , the harmonic coefficients for each pattern are given by

597
$$\mathbf{c}_{lm}(t_i) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^{\pi} \sin(\theta) d\theta \, \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{B}(\theta, \phi, t_i) a_{lm} P_{lm}(\cos\theta) \cos(m\phi) \tag{17a}$$

598
$$\mathbf{s}_{lm}(t_i) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^{\pi} \sin(\theta) d\theta \, \mathbf{\delta B}(\theta, \phi, t_i) a_{lm} P_{lm}(\cos\theta) \sin(m\phi). \tag{17b}$$

599	These integrals were evaluated by summing the average δB in each 9° by 9° bin multiplied by
600	the spherical harmonic evaluated at the bin center latitude and longitude and multiplied by the
601	bin solid angle. The integrals are evaluated using a discrete sum which was checked with a unity
602	argument in the integrand which yielded 4π to within 0.1%. The coefficient values are mostly
603	below 10 nT and all below \sim 50 nT, so the errors in the coefficients are typically less than 0.01
604	nT and all less than 0.05 nT. The convolution also assumes that all of the data are from the same
605	spherical shell, which is not strictly true. The Iridium satellites are in slightly eccentric orbits: the
606	maximum and minimum altitudes differ from the mean by 9 km, a difference in geocentric
607	distance of 0.13%. For the low degree coefficients for which the amplitudes reach 50 nT, this
608	leads to errors not larger than ~0.2 nT. For $l = 13$, the maximum error from the spherical shell
609	approximation increases to 1.9% but the coefficients are all below 5 nT so the errors in the
610	results are below 0.1 nT. The bin angular sizes allow for evaluation of coefficients up to degree
611	and order 20, but the time series in the coefficients above degree 13 did not exhibit systematic
612	trends above the noise level in the results over the five years analyzed here.
613	The coefficients given by these convolution integrals are the coefficients of the expansion
614	of the patterns in each component in terms of spherical harmonics and must be distinguished
615	from the conventional Gauss coefficients that are used to express the Earth's field in IGRF,
616	WMM, and other main field models. Neither a radial dependence nor constraints that the
617	coefficients in Equation 17a-b correspond to physical solutions for Earth's field are implied. For
618	instance, there is no constraint that the $\mathbf{c}_{00}(t_i)$ be zero, which allows for identification of spurious
619	signals in the results. The $\mathbf{c}_{lm}(t_i)$ and $\mathbf{s}_{lm}(t_i)$ are a convenient way to represent the patterns for each
620	quiet period and allow us to examine the time variation of the coefficients to identify systematic
621	behavior of different angular and temporal scales. From the time series of the coefficients,

artifacts in the dataset can be pinpointed and removed from the $c_{lm}(t_i)$ and $s_{lm}(t_i)$. Revised maps of field perturbations, from which unphysical artifacts are subtracted can also be reconstructed.

As an example, the time series of $c_{lm}(t_i)$ and $s_{lm}(t_i)$ for l = 2 over the entire span of the 624 quiet interval data are shown in Figure 14. The figure also shows the $c_{r,00}$ time series with gray 625 lines and open circles. Because c_{r00} corresponds to a magnetic charge it is clearly unphysical and 626 627 we use the time series of $c_{r,00}$ as one indicator of artifacts in the signals. One of the most striking features of the time series are annual and shorter period (~ 8 months) variations in the coefficient 628 amplitudes, primarily in the m = 0 coefficients. The annual signal is most clear in the $c_{\phi,20}$ (black 629 dots and lines in Figure 14f). (Note that hereinafter we omit the (t_i) for simplicity although the 630 time series is always implied.) The shorter period, 8-month signal, is clearest in $c_{r,20}$ (black dots 631 632 and lines in Figure 14b). We note that the s_{20} are identically zero by definition. Other non-zero coefficients indicate variations at similar periods, for example $c_{0,20}$, $s_{r,21}$, $s_{0,21}$, and $c_{0,22}$. Other 633 coefficients show very little of these periodicities and exhibit slower trends, indicative of 634 635 departures from secular variation, for example in $c_{r,22}$, $s_{r,21}$, $s_{r,22}$, $c_{\phi,21}$, and $s_{\phi,22}$. The amplitudes of the slow variations and of the periodic signals are all on the order of ~10 to 80 nT, down to 636 637 levels below the magnetometer digitization of 30 nT, consistent with the several nT statistical errors of the means of the average field in each latitude-longitude bin. 638

The 12-month period suggests a variation in magnetometer response with season, that is, with mean solar exposure around the orbit. The 86° inclination orbits have an 8-month local time precession period, so that this is the periodicity in the local time of orbital ascending/descending node. The 8-month period variation in $c_{r,20}$ suggests that there is a bias in the magnetometer response with the solar illumination history around the orbit and this is confirmed by a very similar signal in $c_{r,00}$. A possible contribution to this bias is the temperature calibration for the

magnetometers, which was applied in Iridium pre-processing on board the satellites. However, 645 we found no systematic variation of the δB_{SC} with magnetometer temperature, consistent with 646 the correct application of this calibration. Nonetheless, a response with the annual and precession 647 648 periods is evident in many coefficients and might be related to temperature gradients at the 649 magnetometer or other dynamic thermal characteristics of the vehicles. With the data available at this time it is not possible to fully diagnose what causes these signals, but the correlation with the 650 651 8-month orbit and 12-month seasonal periods imply that these signals are most likely artifacts, 652 and in an abundance of caution we treat them as such. That artifacts are present in the data was 653 clear as the $c_{q,00}$ were not identically zero. Particularly for $c_{r,00}$, the c_{00} have amplitudes and periods comparable to those of Figure 12. 654

The presence of a monopole signal may seem alarming at first, although one must 655 remember that the convolution approach applies no physical constraints on the coefficients. In 656 fact, the l = 0 terms are useful diagnostics. The $c_{r,00}$ signals are attributed to offsets in $\delta B_{r,SC}$: 657 since the spacecraft fly maintaining a nadir orientation, the r-component is always radial and 658 hence an error in the zero level will appear in $c_{r,00}$. It is worth noting that the calibration approach 659 which identifies the zero levels from the time series data can give a spurious baseline since the 660 convolution integral of Equation 16 for l = 0 is essentially a mean, weighted by the solid angle 661 since Y_{00} is a constant. Hence, the time series analysis for the offsets and $c_{r,00}$ are actually 662 different, and this accounts for the residual artifact in $c_{r,00}$ arising from time variations in the zero 663 level around the orbit. If the instrument zero levels were constant, the time series offset would be 664 correct and the convolution results would be zero. This information therefore serves as a 665 diagnostic of these orbit variation artifacts. 666

The $c_{r,00}$ and any other signals at 12- or 8-month periods and their harmonics are 667 considered as artifacts and were removed as follows. Great care was used in preparing the time 668 series of the $c_{\rm lm}$ and $s_{\rm lm}$ for spectral analysis with the objective to notch filter only the frequencies 669 of the orbital period artifacts and then reconstruct the time series without disturbing the slower 670 trends or introducing distortions from windowing. The first step was to detrend the time series by 671 fitting them with a 5th order polynomial fit and then subtracting this fit. This same fit was added 672 back in to preserve these non-periodic trends after removing the periodic signal artifacts. The 673 second step was to construct longer time series from the detrended c_{lm} and s_{lm} by reflecting the 674 675 original time series about the first and last time sample. We denote the span of the original time series as T_{data} . This yielded a pseudo time series that is three times longer than the original but 676 which could be windowed, notch filtered, and inverted back to a time series without applying 677 any windowing distortion to the original time series in the center third of the new pseudo time 678 series. The mirroring ensures that the extension of the original time series did not introduce 679 discontinuities that would have generated artificial harmonic series in the Fourier transforms. An 680 example of this mirrored pseudo time series is shown in the top red trace of Figure 15, for $c_{r,20}$. 681 The first step in the Fourier analysis was the application of the fast Fourier transform 682 (FFT) window shown by the gray trace in the top of Figure 15. The ends of the window are half-683 cosines extending $0.8T_{data}$ from the ends. The center of the window is constant at 1 from $-0.2T_{data}$ 684 to $1.2T_{data}$. The data multiplied by this window function are shown by the blue trace in Figure 685 686 **15**. This windowed time series was then converted into a continuous, evenly sampled time series by interpolating to a 3-hour spaced time series, corresponding to the smallest time increment in 687 688 the original quiet interval selection (cf. Section 4 above). The resulting windowed and 689 oversampled data was then transformed using an FFT. To produce the "notch" filter, the Fourier

coefficients nearest the 12-month and 8-month periods and their harmonics (up to the sixth 690 harmonic), along with one frequency bin above and below those nearest bins, were set to zero. 691 The notched transform was then inverted to obtain the filtered residual signal shown by the light 692 green trace in Figure 15. The fraction of frequency bins notched in this way was less than 10%693 of the number of frequencies, so that the fraction of true signal removed was not larger than 10% 694 695 even though the contamination signal is much larger than this. In addition, the notched Fourier coefficients were extracted and transformed to the time domain to construct a time series of this 696 artifact signal. The thick, black trace labeled 'Notch filt.' in Figure 15 shows the time series of 697 698 the signal that was removed. The signal in the $c_{r,20}$ at the 8- and 12-month periods and harmonics is a large fraction of the original signal, but for the majority of the c_{lm} and s_{lm} the notch filtered 699 signal is much smaller. 700

After notch filtering to remove artifacts related to orbital dynamics, the filtered residual 701 c_{lm} and s_{lm} were compared to the filtered residual $c_{r,00}$. To do this comparison, the same filtering 702 process was first applied to the $c_{r,00}$, and where the residual signals in the filtered $c_{r,00}$ were 703 considered to be erroneous as well. We then evaluated and subtracted from the filtered c_{lm} and 704 s_{lm} the linear correlation between the filtered $c_{r,00}$ and the filtered c_{lm} and s_{lm} , where the slope of 705 the linear fit is denoted by 'k' in Figure 15. This subtracted signal for $c_{r,20}$ is shown by the 706 orange trace overlaid on the light green filter residual signal in Figure 15. This resulting signal 707 shows that a substantial fraction even of the filtered signal in this case was highly correlated with 708 709 the filtered $c_{r,00}$. The total correction, arising from the sum of the notch filter signal with the correlated $c_{r,00}$ signal, is shown by the thin black trace Figure 15, labeled 'Net correction'. The 710 final corrected time series of $c_{r,20}$ with this correction subtracted is shown in the bottom dark 711 712 green trace, labeled as 'Corrected $c_{r,20}$ '.

713	The corrected c_{lm} and s_{lm} , resampled at the dates of the original data and to which the
714	long-term trends have been added back in (removed before frequency analysis and notch
715	filtering), are denoted by a prime as $\mathbf{c}_{lm'}$ and $\mathbf{s}_{lm'}$. The $\mathbf{c}_{lm'}$ and $\mathbf{s}_{lm'}$ for $l = 2$ are shown in Figure
716	16 using the same format as Figure 14. The most prominent features of these corrected time
717	series are now the slow trends evident in the power (Figure 16a), with the largest slow variation
718	in $s_{r,21}$, and also present in most of the coefficient time series. The noisiest time series are $c_{\theta,20}$
719	and $s_{0,21}$ which exhibit ~40 nT and ~20 nT peak-to-peak variations, respectively, between just a
720	few samples. These variations are likely spurious but not corrected with the process
721	implemented, as these signals did not display any clear periodicities and so were not clearly
722	attributable to any particular source. The other time series have peak-to-peak noise levels of
723	between 5 nT and 20 nT which we consider the limit of the present Iridium Block 1 data and the
724	processing described here. The long-term trends appear to be well resolved and the rapid
725	variations between successive quiet periods could be mitigated with modest low pass filtering to
726	resolve variations on time scales as short as one or two months.
727	To assess how much artifact signals contribute to the patterns of the δB shown in Figures
728	7 – 10, we used the $c_{lm'}$ and $s_{lm'}$ up through $l = 13$ to reconstruct the δB maps. The results of the
729	reconstructed δB maps from the c_{lm} and $s_{\text{lm}},$ before artifact correction, are shown in the left hand
730	columns of Figure 17 and Figure 18 for 2010-05-23/21:00 – 2010-05-24/21:00 and 2015-11-
731	$21/06:00 - 2015-11-22/06:00$, respectively. The reconstructed δB maps agree very closely with
732	the maps of the binned averages (Figure 9 right hand column and Figure 10 left hand column).
733	The reconstructed δB maps from the $c_{lm'}$ and $s_{lm'}$, after artifact correction, are shown in the center
734	columns of Figures 17 and 18. In both cases the filtered coefficient results retain the patterns in
735	the original binned data with relatively small changes. For example, for the 2010 May 23 - 24
case near 10° to 30° N latitude there is a positive δB_r signal across all longitudes which is not discernible in the filtered map. Thus, the obvious periodicities in some of the c_{lm} and s_{lm} were not significant contributors to the original coherence in the geographically registered residuals relative to IGRF-11.

To check whether the Iridium results are consistent with independent models, we subtracted the IGRF-11 model from the CHAOS 7.4 model (*Finlay et al.*, 2020;

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3352398), both at 780 km altitude. These results are shown in the

right hand columns of **Figure 17** and **Figure 18**. Considering the November 2015 case first, all

three components of the field have similar patterns in the Iridium and CHAOS 7.4 residuals. The

linear regression coefficient for δB_r between the corrected Iridium and CHAOS 7.4 residuals is

746 0.82. The standard deviations of the Iridium residuals are 52 nT, 31 nT, and 36 nT for r, θ , and ϕ ,

respectively, while for the CHAOS7.4 residuals the standard deviations are 59 nT, 36 nT, and 40

nT, for *r*, θ , and ϕ , respectively. For May 2010, the correspondence between the corrected

749 Iridium residuals and the CHAOS 7.4 residuals is not as strong. The linear regression coefficient

for δB_r is lower, 0.41, and the standard deviations in δB are also different: for the corrected

Iridium residuals they are 34 nT, 24 nT, and 19 nT, for r, θ , and ϕ , respectively, whereas for the

752 CHAOS 7.4 residuals they are 17 nT, 11 nT, and 10 nT, r, θ , and ϕ , respectively. To compare the

change from May 2010 to November 2015 we took the difference in residuals, the November

2015 residuals minus those from May 2010. The statistics of the changes in δB residuals are

similar, with standard deviations in r, θ , and ϕ , respectively, of 43 nT, 29 nT, and 33 nT from

Iridium and 46 nT, 27 nT, and 32 nT for CHAOS7.4. The Iridium and CHAOS 7.4 changes in

57 \delta B are well correlated with linear regression coefficients of 0.89, 0.48, and 0.78 for *r*, θ , and ϕ ,

respectively. The standard deviations in the Iridium residuals minus the CHAOS 7.4 residuals

759	are 21, 29, and 22 nT, in r, θ , and ϕ , respectively. Over the 5.5-year baseline, this suggests that
760	the annual variations agree on average to ~ 5 nT/yr at the 1-sigma level.

To compare the evolution of residual patterns over the six-year interval analyzed, Figure 761 762 19 shows maps of the δB_r , corresponding to the top center and right panels of Figures 17 and 18, for six dates close to 1 August separated by one year. The correlation between the δB_r patterns is 763 generally high and increases over time although there are some systematic differences. Both 764 765 Iridium and CHAOS have prominent positive δB_r features near -60° lon. near the equator and near +60° lon. and -45° lat., although this feature is not initially as strong in the CHAOS-derived 766 maps as in the Iridium residuals. These two positive δB_r features are separated by a band of 767 negative δB_r extending from -20° lon. and -60° lat. to the equator and 0° lon. in the CHAOS 768 maps for all years, but they are nearly contiguous positive δB_r regions in the Iridium results until 769 770 2014. Both patterns show the development of a positive δB_r feature between -180° and -120° lon. between 50° and 80° lat., although it is a bit narrower in latitude in the Iridium results. This 771 772 feature is present in all of the CHAOS maps but not initially in the Iridium results. The prominent negative δB_r feature near 100° lon. and extending between -20° and +45° lat. develops 773 774 in both sets of residuals. Initially, the Iridium results have a double peaked δB_r feature centered near 145° lon. between the equator and 70° lat. which is not present in the CHAOS residuals but 775 by August 2014 this feature in the Iridium patterns has merged with the positive δB_r region at 776 more southern latitudes to form a shape similar to the CHAOS residuals in the southeastern 777 778 positive δB_r feature. The results for δB_{θ} and δB_{ϕ} are presented in Figures S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information and exhibit essentially the same high degree of correspondence. 779

781

7. Discussion and Conclusions

Analysis of magnetometer data from the Iridium Communications Block 1 satellites 782 revealed coherent signatures and distributions in the departures of the calibrated observations 783 relative to the IGRF-11 model when registered in geographic coordinates. Although there are 784 substantial standard deviations (up to \sim 80 nT) in the localized latitude-longitude ranges used for 785 the field mapping analysis (9° latitude by 9° longitude solid angle bins), the values are consistent 786 787 with uncertainties in the Iridium Block 1 attitude determination system. The magnetic field 788 residuals form Gaussian distributions consistent with a random error in the data. The large 789 number of measurements in each solid angle bin afforded by the constellation in one day (~350 independent measurements) therefore imply standard errors in the mean of 2 to 4 nT, possibly 790 791 low enough to yield information about Earth's main magnetic field. This level of sensitivity is 792 sufficient for detecting secular variations and geomagnetic jerks related to variations in the magnetic field at the Earth's core-mantle boundary. The Iridium Block 1 constellation data 793 794 therefore offer the promise of revealing the global behavior of Earth's field on time scales shorter than ever before resolved. 795

The global coverage allows a tight constraint on geomagnetically quiet periods, yielding 796 797 262 very quiet 24-hour intervals from the full dataset used for this study, spanning from January 2010 through November 2015. To study the time behavior of the magnetic field patterns, the 798 799 patterns from the quiet dataset were convolved with spherical harmonic orthogonal functions to directly calculate the cosine and sine harmonic function coefficients. The time series of these 800 801 coefficients were then used to assess the time dependence of each component of the signal. This 802 revealed both gradual variations in the field, indicative of a discrepancy in the predicted and actual secular variation of the field as well as a gradual acceleration of the field relative to a 803

secular variation, and shorter period variations matching annual and orbit local time precession 804 periods. The precession and seasonal signals are attributed to artifacts in the magnetic field data 805 arising from thermal gradients or other unidentified magnetic contaminations. Fourier analysis of 806 the spherical harmonic coefficients allowed quantification and removal of these signals, as well 807 as identification of components proportional to unphysical magnetic signals (i.e., the monopole 808 809 term in the harmonic expansion). After removal of all of these artifacts, the patterns in the magnetic maps retained the basic features initially found in the original, registered data, 810 811 indicating that these basic patterns are not readily associated with artificial signals. Because of 812 the global nature of the observations, it is difficult to attribute the persistent geographically fixed patterns to external current systems. 813

The resultant reconstructed maps of perturbations over the 262 quiet intervals are a 814 potential resource for study of the dynamics of Earth's magnetic field. The series of maps are 815 essentially time series of magnetic field residuals at 800 virtual geomagnetic observatories (cf. 816 Mandea and Olsen, 2006; Olsen and Mandea, 2007) albeit at an irregularly spaced set of quiet 817 days. These time series represent what we consider to be the best data product of the Block 1 818 Iridium magnetic field data for core field science. There are various potential values of this novel 819 820 data product. First, it is an independent estimation of Earth's field that does not use the regularization techniques employed in other studies. Second, it provides global maps of the field 821 on much shorter time scales than previously possible. Third, it can augment standard techniques 822 823 for co-estimating the field as an additional regularization constraint, thereby potentially enhancing standard techniques for deriving the changes in Earth's core field. 824 825 There are of course limitations with this dataset owing to the fact that the Iridium Block 1

instrumentation and spacecraft were never designed for high-precision science applications. Very

importantly, the approach as described here does not provide an estimate of the field intensity but 827 yields only the shape of the field relative to the mean intensity of the model field used for the 828 calibration step in the analysis. A co-estimation analysis might potentially overcome this 829 limitation, but the stability of the magnetometer calibration is a major challenge as the 830 magnetometers are not thermally stable or precisely calibrated instruments. Moreover, on-board 831 832 calibrations were changed throughout the lifetime of the Block 1 satellites to update operational performance, but these calibration records are not complete. The corrections applied in this 833 analysis subsume these calibration updates and do not provide a record of calibration stability. 834 835 Additionally, artifact analysis performed in this study suggests that orbit variations in the temperature and/or thermal environment remained after the application of the pre-flight 836 temperature calibration. However, analysis of the residual correlation with temperature indicated 837 that there was no remaining signature of temperature dependence, and so the thermal 838 environment behavior possibly contributing to artifacts in the dataset may be due to some other 839 effect such as a temperature gradient. As seen in comparisons between the original, binned 840 magnetic field residuals and the corrected, reconstructed residuals, the consistency of the 841 patterns, independent of the set of satellites in different local times, points to a real, natural 842 843 source for the coherency in the patterns rather than artifacts in the analysis.

Even with these substantial limitations in mind, the global nature of the observations and persistent consistency of the patterns suggest that future analyses with these data may prove valuable. First, the residual maps derived here can be compared against other main field estimates such as WMM, IGRF-2015, or CHAOS-6 and later generations of the CHAOS model. Comparison of the residuals from these models vis-à-vis IGRF-11 may provide insight into whether the present derived data products afford new useful information. Independent of these

comparisons, the short cadence and global coverage of the data product lends itself naturally to
the study of the more rapid variations of the core-generated field, such as geomagnetic jerks. The
dataset is particularly attractive for this application as it provides the first opportunity to
characterize the global distribution of jerk signals to assess their temporal and spatial signatures
independently.

Iridium NEXT data being collected for the continuation of the AMPERE dataset are presently in the calibration development phase, but the higher precision of the attitude sensors on the NEXT satellites suggest that the uncertainty due to attitude knowledge errors may be substantially lower. An assessment of the Iridium NEXT data for potential application to the continued study of the geomagnetic field is therefore future work that may be of great utility.

Acknowledgements: The collection and processing of data for AMPERE was supported by the 861 National Science Foundation under grants ATM-0739864 and ATM-1420184 to The Johns 862 Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory (JHU/APL). B. J. Anderson is grateful for 863 support for this work from JHU/APL Sabbatical Fellowship program for analysis support in 864 coordination with the Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences of The Johns Hopkins 865 866 University. The data and data products used for this study are posted via the AMPERE facility server via http://ampere.jhuapl.edu and include the Iridium residuals relative to IGRF-11 as well 867 as the vector magnetic residuals corresponding to the 800 virtual geomagnetic observatories for 868 869 each of the 262 24-hour quiet intervals generated for the study. This research project (or part of this research project) was conducted using computational resources (and/or scientific computing 870 services) at the Maryland Advanced Research Computing Center (MARCC). 871

872

873 **References**

- 874 Acuña, M. H (2002), Space-based magnetometers, *Rev. Sci. Instr.*, **73**, 3717-3736,
- doi:10.1063/1.1510570.
- Alken, P., N. Olsen, and C. C. Finlay (2020), Co-estimation of geomagnetic field and in-orbit
- fluxgate magnetometer calibration parameters, *Planets and Space*, **72:49**,
- doi:10.1186/s40623-020-01163-9.
- Anderson, B. J., K. Takahashi, B. A. Toth (2000), Sensing global Birkeland currents with
 Iridium® engineering magnetometer data, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27, 4045-4048.
- Anderson, B. J., H. Korth, C. L. Waters, D. L. Green, and P. Stauning (2008), Statistical
- Birkeland current distributions from magnetic field observations by the Iridium constellation, *Annales Geophys.*, 26, 671-687, doi:10.5194/angeo-26-671-2008.
- Anderson, B. J., H. Korth, C. L. Waters, D. L. Green, V. G. Merkin, R. J. Barnes, and L. P.
- 885 Dyrud (2014), Development of large-scale Birkeland currents determined from the Active
- 886 Magnetosphere and Planetary Electrodynamics Response Experiment, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,
- **41**, 3017-3025, doi:10.1002/2014GL059941.
- Anderson, B. J., C. N. Olson, H. Korth, R. J. Barnes, C. L. Waters, and S. K Vines (2018),
- 889 Temporal and spatial development of global Birkeland currents, J. Geophys. Res. Space
- 890 *Physics*, **123**, JGRA54317, doi:10.1029/2018JA025254.
- Aubert, J. and C. C. Finlay (2019), Geomagnetic jerks and rapid hydromagnetic waves focusing
- at Earth's core surface, *Nature Geo. Sci.*, **12**, 393–398, doi:10.1038/s41561-019-0355-1.
- Aubert J., J. A. Tarduno, and C. L. Johnson (2010), Observations and Models of the Long-Term
- Evolution of Earth's Magnetic Field, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **155**, 337-370, doi:10.1007/s11214-010-
- **895 9684-5**.

- Baumjohann, W. and R. Nakamura (2009), Magnetospheric contributions to the terrestrial
 magnetic field, in *Treatise on Geophysics*, ed. by M. Kono, G. Schubert, Elsevier,
- 898 Amsterdam, pp. 77–92.
- Bloxham, J., Zatman, S., & Dumberry, M. (2002). The origin of geomagnetic jerks. *Nature*,
 420(6911), 65–68. doi:10.1038/nature01134.
- Brown, W. J., J. E. Mound, and P. W. Livermore (2013), Jerks abound: An analysis of
- geomagnetic observatory data from 1957 to 2008. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* 223, 62–76,
 doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2013.06.001.
- 904 Buffet, B., N. Knezek, and R. Holme (2016), Evidence forMAC waves at the top of Earth's core
- and implications for variations in length of day, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 204, 1789–1800,
 doi:10.1093/gji/ggv552.
- 907 Chulliat, A., W. Brown, P. Alken, C. Beggan, M. Nair, G. Cox, A. Woods, S. Macmillan, B.
- 908 Meyer, and M. Paniccia, The US/UK World Magnetic Model for 2020-2025: Technical
- 909 Report, National Centers for Environmental Information, NOAA, doi:10.25923/ytk1-yx35,

910 2020.

- 911 Civet, F., E. Thébault, O. Verhoeven, B. Langlais, and D. Saturnino (2015), Electrical
- 912 conductivity of the Earth's mantle from the first Swarm magnetic field measurements,
- 913 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **42**, 3338–3346, doi:10.1002/2015GL063397.
- Clausen, L. B. N., J. B. H. Baker, J. M. Ruohoniemi, S. E. Milan, and B. J. Anderson (2012),
- Dynamics of the region 1 Birkeland current oval derived from the Active Magnetosphere and
- 916 Planetary Electrodynamics Response Experiment (AMPERE), J. Geophys. Res., 117,
- 917 A06233, doi:10.1029/2012JA017666.

- 918 Coxon, J. C., S. E. Milan, and B. J. Anderson (2018), A Review of Birkeland Current Research
- 919 using AMPERE, in *Electric Currents in Geospace and Beyond*, *Geophysical Monograph*
- 920 235, eds. A. Keiling, O. Marghitu, and M. Wheatland, American Geophysical Union, John
- 921 Wiley & Sons, Inc., ISBN:978-1-119-32449-2.
- 922 Finlay C. C., M. Dumberry, A. Chulliat, and M. A. Pais (2010a), Short Timescale Core
- 923 Dynamics: Theory and Observations, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **155**, 177-218, doi:10.1007/s11214924 010-9691-6.
- 925 Finlay, C. C., S. Maus, C. D. Beggan, et al. (2010b), International Geomagnetic Reference Field:
- 926 the eleventh generation, *Geophys. J.*, **183**, 1216-1230, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-
- 927 246X.2010.04804.x.
- 928 Finlay C. C., N. Olsen, S. Kotsiaros, N. Gillet, and L. Tøffner-Clausen (2016), Recent
- geomagnetic secular variation from Swarm and ground observatories as estimated in the
- 930 CHAOS-6 geomagnetic field model, *Earth, Planets and Space*, 68:112, doi:10.1186/s40623-
- 931 016-0486-1.
- 932 Finlay, C.C., C. Kloss, N. Olsen, M. Hammer, L. Toeffner-Clausen, A. Grayver, and A.
- 933 Kuvshinov (2020), The CHAOS-7 geomagnetic field model and observed changes in the
- South Atlantic Anomaly, *Earth Planets and Space*, **72**, doi:10.1186/s40623-020-01252-9.
- Hori, K., C. A. Jones, and R. J. Teed (2015), Slow magnetic Rossby waves in the Earth's core, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 6622–6629, doi:10.1002/2015GL064733.
- 937 Hulot, G., C.C. Finlay, C. G. Constable, N. Olsen, and M. Mandea (2010), The Magnetic Field
- 938 of Planet Earth, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **152**: 159–222, doi:10.1007/s11214-010-9644-0.
- 939 Iijima, T., and T. A. Potemra (1976), The amplitude distribution of field-aligned currents at
- 940 northern high latitudes observed by Triad, J. Geophys. Res., 81, 2165-2174.

- 941 Knipp, D. J., T. Matsuo, L. Kilcommons, A. Richmond, B. Anderson, H. Korth, R. Redmon, B.
- 942 Mero, and N. Parrish (2014), Comparison of magnetic perturbation data from LEO satellite
- 943 constellations: Statistics of DMSP and AMPERE, *Space Weather*, **12**, 2-23,
- 944 doi:10.1002/2013SW000987.
- Langel, R., G. Ousley, J. Berbert, J. Murphy, and M. Settle (1982), The MAGSAT mission, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 9, 243-245, doi:10.1029/GL009i004p00243.
- 947 Langlais, B., V. Lesur, M. E. Purucker, J. E. Connerney, and M. Madnea (2010), Crustal
- Magnetic Fields of Terrestrial Planets, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **152**, 223-249, doi:10.1007/s11214-
- 949 009-9557-y.
- 250 Lühr, H., G. N. Kervalishvili, C. Stolle, J. Rauberg, and I. Michaelis, (2019), Average
- 951 characteristics of low-latitude interhemispheric and F region dynamo currents deduced from
 952 the swarm satellite constellation, *J. Geophys. Res.: Space Phys.*, **124**,
- 953 doi:10.1029/2019JA027419.
- 954 Lyatskaya, S., G. V. Khazanov, and E. Zesta (2014), Interhemispheric field-aligned currents:
- 955 Simulation results, J. Geophys. Res.: Space Phys., 119, 5600–5612,
- 956 doi:10.1002/2013JA019558.
- 957 Mandea, M., R. Holme, A. Pais, K. Pinheiro, A. Jackson, and G. Verbanac (2010), Geomagnetic
- 958 jerks: Rapid core field variations and core dynamics, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **155**, 147–175,
- 959 doi:10.1007/s11214-010-9663-x.
- 960 Mandea, M., and M. Purucker (2018), The Varying Core Magnetic Field from a Space Weather
- 961 Perspective, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **214**:11, doi:10.1007/s11214-017-0443-8.
- 962 Milan, S. E., L. B. N. Clausen, J. C. Coxon, J. A. Carter, M.-T. Walach, K. Laundal, N.
- 963 Østgaard, P. Tenfjord, J. Reistad, K. Snekvik, H. Korth, and B. J. Anderson (2017),

964	Overview of Solar Wind-Magnetosphere-Ionosphere-Atmosphere Coupling and the
965	Generation of Magnetospheric Currents, Space Sci. Rev., 206, 547-573, doi:10.1007/s11214-
966	017-0333-0.
967	Mandea, M. and N. Olsen (2006), A new approach to directly determine the secular variation from
968	magnetic satellite observations, Geophys. Res. Lett. 33, 1-5, doi:10.1029/2006GL026616.
969	Olsen, N. and M. Mandea (2007), Investigation of a secular variation impulse using satellite data: The
970	2003 geomagnetic jerk. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 255, 94-105, doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2006.12.008.
971	Olsen, N., D. Ravat, C. C. Finlay and L. K. Kother (2017), LCS-1: a high-resolution global
972	model of the lithospheric magnetic field derived from CHAMP and Swarm satellite
973	observations, Geophys. J. Int., 211, 1461-1477, doi:10.1093/gji/ggx381.
974	Olsen, N., G. Hulot, and T. J. Sabaka (2010), Measuring the Earth's Magnetic Field from Space:
975	Concepts of Past, Present and Future Missions, Space Sci. Rev., 155, 65-93,
976	doi:10.1007/s11214-010-9676-5.
977	Olsen, N., et al. (2013), The Swarm Satellite Constellation Application and Research Facility
978	(SCARF) and Swarm data products, Earth Planets Space, 65, 1189–1200,
979	doi:10.5047/eps.2013.07.001.
980	Olsen N., C. C. Finlay , S. Kotsiaros, and L. Tøffner-Clausen (2016), A model of Earth's
981	magnetic field derived from 2 years of Swarm satellite constellation data, Earth, Planets and
982	Space, 68:124, doi:10.1186/s40623-016-0488-z.
983	Olsen, N. and C. Stolle (2017), Magnetic Signatures of Ionospheric and Magnetospheric Current
984	Systems During Geomagnetic Quiet Conditions - An Overview, Space Sci. Rev., 206, 5–25,
985	doi:10.1007/s11214-016-0279-7.
986	Plaschke, F., HU. Auster, D. Fischer, KH. Fronacon, W. Magnes, I. Richter, D.
987	Constantinescu, and Y. Nartita (2019), Advanced calibration of magnetometers on spin-

- 988 stabilized spacecraft based on parameter decoupling, *Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.*, 8,
- 989 63–76, 2019, https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-8-63-2019.
- 990 Raeder, J., W.D. Cramer, K. Germaschewski, and J. Jensen (2017), Using OpenGGCM to
- 991 Compute and Separate Magnetosphere Magnetic Perturbations Measured on Board Low
- 992 Earth Orbiting Satellites, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **206**, 601–620, doi:10.1007/s11214-016-0304-x.
- Roberts, P. H. and E. M. King (2013), On the genesis of the Earth's magnetism, *Rep. Prog.*
- 994 *Phys.*, **76**, eid:096801 (55pp), doi:10.1088/0034-4885/76/9/096801.
- 995 Sabaka T. J., L. Tøffner-Clausen, N. Olsen, and C. C. Finlay (2018), A comprehensive model of
- Earth's magnetic field determined from 4 years of Swarm satellite observations, *Earth*,
- 997 *Planets and Space* 70:130, doi:10.1186/s40623-018-0896-3.
- Waters, C. L., B. J. Anderson, and K. Liou (2001), Estimation of global field aligned currents
 using Iridium magnetometer data, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 2165-2168,
- 1000 doi:10.1029/2000GL012725.
- 1001 Waters, C. L., B. J. Anderson, D. L. Green, H. Korth, R. J. Barnes and H. Vanhamäki (2020),
- 1002 Science Data Products for AMPERE, in *Ionospheric Multi-Spacecraft Analysis Tools, ISSI*
- 1003 Scientific Report Series 17, M. W. Dunlop and H. Lühr (eds.), pp. 141-165, doi:10.1007/978-
- **1004 3-030-26732-2_7**.
- 1005 Witze, A. (2019), Earth's magnetic field is acting up and geologists don't know why, *Nature*,
 1006 565, 143-144, doi:10.1038/d41586-019-00007-1.
- 1007 Yamazaki, Y. and A. Maute (2017), Sq and EEJ A Review on the Daily Variation of the
- 1008 Geomagnetic Field Caused by Ionospheric Dynamo Currents, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **206**, 299–405,
- 1009 doi:10.1007/s11214-016-0282-z.

Figures



Figure 1. Schematic depiction of Block 1 Iridium Communications satellite communications network configuration in low Earth orbit (LEO) at 780 km altitude and 86° inclination. The satellites are configured in six orbit planes with 11 satellites in each plane constituting the communication network from which magnetometer data were acquired for AMPERE beginning 1 Jan 2010. The light blue solid lines are the orbit planes and the yellow dashed lines depict the radio links between orbit planes.



Figure 2. One day of data from Iridium Satellite Vehicle 30 (denoted SV030) in satellite body coordinates showing results at four stages of data processing. From left to right: the columns show the magnetic field components in the X-axis (along track in the ram direction, parallel to the satellite velocity, v, in magenta); Y-axis (cross track in the $v \times r$ direction, in turquoise); and Z-axis (nadir, -r direction, in black). From top to bottom, the rows show: magnetometer readings converted to engineering units, **B**_{SC}; magnetic field residual after subtracting the IGRF-2010 model with secular variations (**B**_{IGRF}), Δ **B**; residual corrected for offsets, misalignment, and orientation using multi-linear regression between Δ **B** and **B**_{IGRF}, δ **B**; and the corrected residual after applying a high-pass filter with a cut-off period of 25 minutes (~1/4 of an orbit period), δ **B**_{filtered}.



Figure 3. Magnetic field data from Iridium Satellite Vehicle 30 (SV030) for the first four hours of 24 May 2010 in the same format as **Figure 2**, showing slightly more than two orbits of data. The residual signals in δB show signals occurring over roughly an orbit period and twice an orbit period, most clearly in the along-track and cross-track components. Short-period spikes in the along and cross-track components most evident in the δB filtered time series at ~00:08 UT, ~01:00 UT, ~01:45 UT, and ~03:25 UT are due to Birkeland currents.



Figure 4. Example 10-minute intervals of AMPERE processing steps and products from two intervals during geomagnetic activity on 29 May 2010, 03:30-03:40 UT (top) and 12:00-12:10 UT (bottom). Panels show the view looking down from above the north magnetic pole to 40° magnetic latitude, with magnetic noon at the top and dusk to the left. (Left) horizontal magnetic perturbations (δB_{\perp}) along each orbit track, with arrows colored differently for different satellites in the direction of δB_{\perp} and scaled by 500 nT; (middle) continuous fit to the δB_{\perp} data ($\delta B_{\perp-\text{fit}}$) using harmonic functions customized to be normalized over the latitude range shown and evaluated at every hour in local time and degree in latitude; (right) radial electric current density, J_r , calculated as $\nabla \times \delta B_{\perp-\text{fit}}/\mu_0$, where red is upward (positive) J_r , blue is downward (negative) J_r , and the color saturation is set to 1 $\mu A/m^2$.



Figure 5. Eight-day interval of the parameters and sliding 24-hour averages used to identify geomagnetically quiet intervals, together with the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) observed by the Advanced Composition Explorer (ACE) spacecraft at the Earth-Sun Lagrange point 1 (L1). From top to bottom the panels show: (a) IMF data; (b) total Birkeland currents (Itot) in the northern (black) and southern (blue) hemisphere and their running 24-hour averages together with the net current (magenta, torquoise, and gold lines, respectively); (c) auroral electroject (AE) index (black) and its running 24-hour average (magenta); (d) symmetric (SymH) and asymmetric (AsyH) H-indices (black and blue, respectively) and their 24-hour running averages (magenta and turquoise, respectively). Three of the quiet periods occurred during this interval and are indicated by the yellow boxes in the bottom three panels: 2010-05-22/12:00 - 2010-05-23/21:00 - 2010-05-24/21:00; and 2010-05-27/03:00 - 2010-05-28/03:00.



Figure 6. Example 10-minute intervals of AMPERE products in the same format as **Figure 4** from 23 May 2010 at 02:00-02:10 UT (top) during the quietest 24-hour period in **Figure 5** and 27 May 2010 at 12:00-12:10 UT (bottom) during the most active interval during the third quiet 24-hour period in **Figure 5**.



Figure 7. Calibrated magnetic field residuals from all Iridium Block 1 satellites in geographic spherical coordinates versus geographic latitude and longitude for 2010-05-22/12:00 – 2010-05-23/12:00 on the left and 2010-05-23/21:00 – 2010-05-24/21:00 on the right. The panels show all of the samples from every satellite, ~290,000 points, plotted as colored dots. From top to bottom the panels show δB_r , δB_{θ} , and δB_{ϕ} , all using the same color scale.



Figure 8. Calibrated magnetic field residuals from all Iridium Block 1 satellites in the same format as **Figure 7** for 2015-11-21/06:00 - 2015-11-22/06:00 on the left and 2015-11-22/06:00 - 2015-11-23/06:00 on the right. As for Figure 7, but more evident here owing to the larger residual magnitudes, the dots are small enough that the white space between tracks of points are predominantly blank spaces between tracks of samples.



Figure 9. Global maps of averaged magnetic field residuals relative to IGRF-11 in geographic spherical coordinates from all Iridium Block 1 satellite observations in 9° by 9° latitudelongitude bins. Left panels show results for the 24-hour quiet period starting at 12:00 UT on 22 May 2010 and the right panels show results for the 24-hour quiet period immediately following, starting at 21:00 UT on 23 May 2010.



Figure 10. Global maps of averaged magnetic field residuals in the same format as Figure 9. Left panels show results for the 24-hour quiet period starting at 06:00 UT on 21 November 2015 and the right panels show results for the next 24-hour quiet period, starting at 06:00 UT on 22 November 2015.



Figure 11. Histogram distributions of residuals for δB_r , δB_θ , and δB_ϕ for the geomagnetically quiet period from 2015-11-21/06:00 to 2015-11-22/06:00. The probability distribution for each component (gray bars) is fit with a Gaussian distribution (black line). The standard deviation of each fits is given in each panel.



Figure 12. Standard deviations, σ , of the magnetic field residuals within each latitude-longitude bin for the 2010-05-22/12:00 – 2010-05-23/12:00 (left panels) and 2015-11-21/06:00 – 2015-11-22/06:00 quiet intervals (right panels). The standard deviation value for each component for both quiet periods is indicated by the color scale.



Figure 13. Standard error of the mean, σ_m , of the averaged magnetic field residuals within each latitude-longitude bin for the 2010-05-22/12:00 – 2010-05-23/12:00 (left panels) and 2015-11-21/06:00 – 2015-11-22/06:00 quiet intervals (right panels). The standard error value for each component for both quiet periods is indicated by the color scale.



Figure 14. Time series of the spherical harmonic coefficients $\mathbf{c}_{lm}(t_i)$ and $\mathbf{s}_{lm}(t_i)$ for l = 2. Top panel (a) shows the total power in nT² summed over m = 0, 1, and 2 for all components (black), r only (magenta), θ only (turquoise), and ϕ only (gray). The bottom six panels show in order from top: $c_{r,lm}$, $s_{r,lm}$ (b and c), $c_{\theta,lm}$, $s_{\theta,lm}$ (d and e), and $c_{\phi,lm}$, $s_{\phi,lm}$ (f and g). The color coding in the bottom six panels are black for m = 0, dark red for m = 1, and lighter red for m = 2. Panel 2 also shows the l = 0 cosine coefficient, c_{r00} , in gray, which is an unphysical signal.



Figure 15. Correction analysis sequence for $c_{r,20}$. Vertical grey lines indicate the time span of the original input data (i.e., 0 to 2190 days from 1 January 2010). From top to bottom the traces show: detrended and mirrored time series (red, 'Input c_{r20} ') together with the custom time window function (grey, 'FFT Window'); windowed extended time series data (blue, 'Windowed') and notch filter signal (black, 'Notch filt.'); time series with notch filtering applied, i.e., the residual between the blue and black traces (light green, 'Filter residual') and the linear correlation with the $c_{r,00}$ time series where *k* is the slope fit coefficient (orange, 'k×filtered c_{r00} '); net correction for the input time series (thin black line, 'Net correction'); and the net signal with identified artifacts removed (dark green, 'Corrected c_{r20} ').



Figure 16. Time series of the filtered spherical harmonic coefficients $\mathbf{c}_{lm'}(t_i)$ and $\mathbf{s}_{lm'}(t_i)$ for l = 2 after applying the notch and $c_{r,00'}$ correlation corrections, in the same format as **Figure 14**.



Figure 17. Magnetic field residuals relative to IGRF-11 reconstructed from the spherical harmonic coefficient time series and of the CHAOS 7.4 model relative to IGRF-11 versus geographic latitude and longitude for the quiet period of 2010-05-23/21:00 UT to 2010-05-24/21:00 UT. The columns show the original spherical harmonic fit on the left, the filtered spherical harmonic results in the center, and the residual of CHAOS 7.4 relative to IGRF-11 on the right. From top to bottom the rows show maps for the δB_r , δB_{θ} , and δB_{ϕ} magnetic field components.



Figure 18. Magnetic field residuals relative to IGRF-11 reconstructed from the spherical harmonic coefficient time series and of CHAOS 7.4 for 2015-11-21/06:00 UT to 2015-11-22/06:00 UT in the same format as **Figure 17**.



Figure 19. Maps of the radial component magnetic residuals, δB_r , relative to IGRF-11 from the filtered spherical harmonic coefficient time series and of CHAOS 7.4 minus IGRF-11 for six different quiet days, one for each year from 2010 through 2015. Dates were chosen to be close to 1 August of each year so the interval between successive maps is approximately one year. The Iridium results are shown in the first and third columns ('Filtered SH-Fit') and the CHAOS 7.4 results in the second and fourth columns ('CHAOS 7.4 - IGRF 11'). The Iridium and CHAOS 7.4 results are shown side-by side for each date in columns one and two for 19 July 2010, 2 August 2011, and 31 July 2012, and in columns three and four for 21 July 2013, 10 August 2014, and 19 July 2015. Corresponding figures for the polar and azimuthal components, δB_{θ} and δB_{ϕ} , are provided in the supplementary material.



Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems (G3)

Supporting Information for

Iridium Communications Satellite Constellation Data for Study of Earth's Magnetic Field

Brian J. Anderson¹, Regupathi Angappan², Ankit Barik², Sarah K. Vines¹, Sabine Stanley², Pietro N. Bernasconi¹, Haje Korth¹, and Robin J. Barnes¹

1. The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, MD.

2. Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD.

Contents of this file

Figures S1 and S2

Additional Supporting Information (Files uploaded separately)

Caption for Table S1

Introduction

The two Supporting Information figures are in the same format as Figure 19 and compare differences between the IGRF-11 magnetic field model to the Iridium data and CHAOS 7.4 model for the polar angle, ∂B_{θ} , and azimuthal, ∂B_{ϕ} , components. The Supporting Information Table S1 is the list of the 262 24-hour quiet intervals used for the analysis. The table includes the date and time range of each interval together with the geomagnetic activity measures and the derived activity indices (z-scores) used to rank and select the quietest intervals.



Figure S1. Maps of the polar angle component magnetic residuals, ∂B_{θ} , relative to IGRF-11 from the filtered spherical harmonic coefficient time series and of CHAOS 7.4 minus IGRF-11 for six different quiet days, one for each year from 2010 through 2015. The Iridium results are shown in the first and third columns ('Filtered SH-Fit') and the CHAOS 7.4 results in the second and fourth columns ('CHAOS 7.4 - IGRF 11'). The Iridium and CHAOS 7.4 results are shown side-by side for each date in columns one and two for 19 July 2010, 2 August 2011, and 31 July 2012, and in columns three and four for 21 July 2013, 10 August 2014, and 19 July 2015.



Figure S2. Maps of the azimuthal component magnetic residuals, δB_{ϕ} , relative to IGRF-11 from the filtered spherical harmonic coefficient time series and of CHAOS 7.4 minus IGRF-11 for six different quiet days, in the same format as Figure S1.

 Table S1.
 Table lists all 262 24-hour quiet intervals selected for the main field analysis.
 Table
 columns are as follows: start date and time of interval, 'Quiet 24-hr begin'; end date and time of interval, 'Quiet 24-hr end'; average AE index, 'avg_AE'; average northern hemisphere Birkeland current from AMPERE, 'avg_iN'; average southern hemisphere Birkeland current from AMPERE, 'avg_iS'; average symH index, avg_sH; average asyH index, avg_aH; average over the month for the interval of the sum of northern and southern hemisphere total Birkeland currents from AMPERE, mon_i; standard deviation of sum of northern plus southern hemisphere total Birkeland current for the month of the interval, sd_i; monthly average of the sum of the absolute values of symH and asyH, monH; standard deviation of the sum of the absolute values of symH and asyH for the month, sd_H; monthly averaged AE index, monAE; standard deviation of AE index for the month of the interval, sd_AE; z-score of the interval averaged AE for the month, V_AE; z-score of the interval averaged total Birkeland current, V_i; z-score of the interval averaged sum of the absolute values of symH and asyH, V_H; the net activity index computed as the average of the AE, Birkeland current, and sym/asyH z-scores, Q_indx. All values for the AE, symH, and asyH indices are in nT. All values for total Birkeland currents are in mega-Amperes (MA).

Quiet 24-hr begin	Quiet 24-hr end	avg_A	E avg_iN	avg_iS	avg_sł	H avg_a⊦	l mon_i	sd_i	monH	sd_H	monAE	sd_AE	V_AE	V_i	V_H	Q_indx
01/01/2010 00:00	01/02/2010 00:00	9.2	0.459	0.352	1.4	10.9	1.109	0.843	14.1	9.2	21.7	38.7	-0.323	-0.353	-0.198	-0.292
01/06/2010 18:00	01/07/2010 18:00	12.2	0.374	0.446	-0.5	8.1	1.109	0.843	14.1	9.2	21.7	38.7	-0.245	-0.343	-0.611	-0.400
01/08/2010 09:00	01/09/2010 09:00	13.3	0.513	0.334	-3.3	8.7	1.109	0.843	14.1	9.2	21.7	38.7	-0.217	-0.311	-0.233	-0.253
02/08/2010 15:00	02/09/2010 15:00	26.0	0.335	0.798	-0.4	16.0	1.208	1.162	17.9	10.9	41.1	63.4	-0.239	-0.065	-0.140	-0.148
02/20/2010 06:00	02/21/2010 06:00	17.8	0.163	0.452	-3.2	9.4	1.208	1.162	17.9	10.9	41.1	63.4	-0.368	-0.510	-0.491	-0.457
02/26/2010 15:00	02/27/2010 15:00	28.1	0.138	0.301	-4.3	12.5	1.208	1.162	17.9	10.9	41.1	63.4	-0.206	-0.662	-0.107	-0.325
02/27/2010 21:00	02/28/2010 21:00	36.4	0.316	0.433	4.2	10.3	1.208	1.162	17.9	10.9	41.1	63.4	-0.075	-0.395	-0.308	-0.259
03/08/2010 21:00	03/09/2010 21:00	34.4	0.484	0.530	0.7	8.9	1.335	0.761	13.9	5.7	56.3	45.6	-0.481	-0.422	-0.747	-0.550
03/18/2010 06:00	03/19/2010 06:00	37.6	0.536	0.573	-0.7	14.0	1.335	0.761	13.9	5.7	56.3	45.6	-0.411	-0.297	0.142	-0.189
03/21/2010 15:00	03/22/2010 15:00	30.2	0.259	0.332	-1.2	5.5	1.335	0.761	13.9	5.7	56.3	45.6	-0.573	-0.978	-1.263	-0.938
03/23/2010 12:00	03/24/2010 12:00	35.7	0.326	0.340	2.5	8.5	1.335	0.761	13.9	5.7	56.3	45.6	-0.452	-0.879	-0.489	-0.607
03/27/2010 03:00	03/28/2010 03:00	43.8	0.558	0.482	4.2	10.3	1.335	0.761	13.9	5.7	56.3	45.6	-0.274	-0.388	0.110	-0.184
04/16/2010 18:00	04/17/2010 18:00	36.9	0.520	0.356	-6.7	10.8	1.237	2.124	16.9	24.1	57.6	172.4	-0.120	-0.170	0.026	-0.088
04/18/2010 06:00	04/19/2010 06:00	50.0	0.657	0.448	-2.0	12.0	1.237	2.124	16.9	24.1	57.6	172.4	-0.044	-0.062	-0.120	-0.076
04/25/2010 09:00	04/26/2010 09:00	38.3	0.479	0.295	-1.1	8.6	1.237	2.124	16.9	24.1	57.6	172.4	-0.112	-0.218	-0.302	-0.210
04/27/2010 06:00	04/28/2010 06:00	49.2	0.703	0.326	1.6	6.9	1.237	2.124	16.9	24.1	57.6	172.4	-0.049	-0.098	-0.350	-0.166
05/13/2010 15:00	05/14/2010 15:00	39.7	0.675	0.259	-1.6	10.5	1.208	2.231	14.3	21.9	60.5	151.2	-0.138	-0.123	-0.098	-0.120
05/15/2010 15:00	05/16/2010 15:00	44.3	0.617	0.355	-1.6	9.5	1.208	2.231	14.3	21.9	60.5	151.2	-0.108	-0.106	-0.144	-0.119
05/22/2010 12:00	05/23/2010 12:00	37.4	0.825	0.261	-0.2	7.4	1.208	2.231	14.3	21.9	60.5	151.2	-0.153	-0.055	-0.300	-0.169
05/23/2010 21:00	05/24/2010 21:00	39.3	0.625	0.190	1.4	10.3	1.208	2.231	14.3	21.9	60.5	151.2	-0.140	-0.176	-0.114	-0.143
05/27/2010 03:00	05/28/2010 03:00	59.4	0.744	0.276	-1.7	8.8	1.208	2.231	14.3	21.9	60.5	151.2	-0.007	-0.084	-0.169	-0.087
06/12/2010 06:00	06/13/2010 06:00	49.8	0.933	0.379	-1.1	10.2	1.673	1.345	16.1	14.4	75.3	102.2	-0.249	-0.268	-0.327	-0.281
06/19/2010 21:00	06/20/2010 21:00	45.2	0.974	0.303	-3.9	10.3	1.673	1.345	16.1	14.4	75.3	102.2	-0.295	-0.294	-0.129	-0.239
06/21/2010 09:00	06/22/2010 09:00	40.7	1.234	0.196	0.3	13.8	1.673	1.345	16.1	14.4	75.3	102.2	-0.338	-0.181	-0.136	-0.218
07/06/2010 12:00	07/07/2010 12:00	42.5	0.778	0.325	-3.6	10.2	1.360	1.045	16.1	7.1	59.4	73.7	-0.229	-0.246	-0.322	-0.266
07/10/2010 12:00	07/11/2010 12:00	42.3	0.879	0.364	0.5	11.4	1.360	1.045	16.1	7.1	59.4	73.7	-0.232	-0.112	-0.576	-0.307
07/16/2010 15:00	07/17/2010 15:00	43.1	0.647	0.243	-4.8	9.3	1.360	1.045	16.1	7.1	59.4	73.7	-0.222	-0.450	-0.283	-0.318
07/17/2010 15:00	07/18/2010 15:00	42.5	0.818	0.302	-2.4	8.3	1.360	1.045	16.1	7.1	59.4	73.7	-0.229	-0.230	-0.757	-0.405
07/18/2010 15:00	07/19/2010 15:00	40.0	0.671	0.301	-0.7	12.0	1.360	1.045	16.1	7.1	59.4	73.7	-0.264	-0.371	-0.480	-0.372
08/19/2010 12:00	08/20/2010 12:00	34.9	0.886	0.405	-4.7	11.6	1.732	1.826	17.7	17.9	67.7	107.3	-0.307	-0.242	-0.075	-0.208
08/29/2010 15:00	08/30/2010 15:00	33.2	0.488	0.293	-5.0	8.8	1.732	1.826	17.7	17.9	67.7	107.3	-0.322	-0.521	-0.218	-0.354
08/30/2010 18:00	08/31/2010 18:00	34.8	0.487	0.344	-1.3	10.0	1.732	1.826	17.7	17.9	67.7	107.3	-0.307	-0.493	-0.355	-0.385
08/31/2010 12:00	09/01/2010 12:00	35.3	0.760	0.414	-0.6	10.3	0.937	1.089	13.6	8.5	41.4	65.8	-0.093	0.218	-0.312	-0.062
09/03/2010 21:00	09/04/2010 21:00	36.9	0.466	0.228	-3.8	11.0	0.937	1.089	13.6	8.5	41.4	65.8	-0.067	-0.223	0.144	-0.049

09/04/2010 21:00	09/05/2010 21:00	43.5	0.635	0.332	-0.6	9.5	0.937	1.089	13.6	8.5	41.4	65.8	0.032	0.028	-0.413	-0.118
09/11/2010 06:00	09/12/2010 06:00	31.8	0.326	0.208	-3.5	7.4	0.937	1.089	13.6	8.5	41.4	65.8	-0.146	-0.370	-0.322	-0.279
09/12/2010 09:00	09/13/2010 09:00	34.0	0.497	0.290	0.1	11.1	0.937	1.089	13.6	8.5	41.4	65.8	-0.112	-0.138	-0.283	-0.178
09/22/2010 06:00	09/23/2010 06:00	34.5	0.455	0.414	-1.2	6.9	0.937	1.089	13.6	8.5	41.4	65.8	-0.105	-0.062	-0.645	-0.271
10/01/2010 18:00	10/02/2010 18:00	20.8	0.250	0.271	-5.0	7.2	1.142	1.525	15.7	16.4	33.3	94.4	-0.133	-0.407	-0.214	-0.251
10/03/2010 12:00	10/04/2010 12:00	25.4	0.359	0.323	-0.9	3.9	1.142	1.525	15.7	16.4	33.3	94.4	-0.084	-0.302	-0.665	-0.350
10/14/2010 09:00	10/15/2010 09:00	23.7	0.283	0.354	0.6	7.0	1.142	1.525	15.7	16.4	33.3	94.4	-0.102	-0.331	-0.494	-0.309
10/31/2010 12:00	11/01/2010 12:00	24.3	0.348	0.687	1.8	12.0	1.380	1.000	14.5	11.2	44.4	63.7	-0.315	-0.345	-0.063	-0.241
11/01/2010 06:00	11/02/2010 06:00	26.4	0.336	0.643	1.6	9.2	1.380	1.000	14.5	11.2	44.4	63.7	-0.283	-0.401	-0.335	-0.340
11/06/2010 15:00	11/07/2010 15:00	28.1	0.296	0.548	-0.4	10.1	1.380	1.000	14.5	11.2	44.4	63.7	-0.257	-0.536	-0.362	-0.385
11/09/2010 15:00	11/10/2010 15:00	34.6	0.420	0.755	-4.7	7.6	1.380	1.000	14.5	11.2	44.4	63.7	-0.155	-0.205	-0.196	-0.185
11/19/2010 06:00	11/20/2010 06:00	25.8	0.329	0.848	-1.9	8.2	1.380	1.000	14.5	11.2	44.4	63.7	-0.292	-0.203	-0.404	-0.300
12/02/2010 06:00	12/03/2010 06:00	23.2	0.406	0.659	-2.6	10.9	1.162	1.038	12.8	10.1	34.5	61.3	-0.184	-0.093	0.067	-0.070
12/03/2010 06:00	12/04/2010 06:00	19.2	0.359	0.645	3.2	6.2	1.162	1.038	12.8	10.1	34.5	61.3	-0.249	-0.152	-0.331	-0.244
12/04/2010 21:00	12/05/2010 21:00	35.3	0.271	0.678	0.0	9.1	1.162	1.038	12.8	10.1	34.5	61.3	0.013	-0.205	-0.365	-0.186
12/09/2010 09:00	12/10/2010 09:00	28.3	0.307	0.607	-1.5	7.9	1.162	1.038	12.8	10.1	34.5	61.3	-0.102	-0.239	-0.337	-0.226
12/11/2010 00:00	12/12/2010 00:00	14.0	0.368	0.674	0.4	5.2	1.162	1.038	12.8	10.1	34.5	61.3	-0.334	-0.116	-0.721	-0.390
12/22/2010 09:00	12/23/2010 09:00	24.0	0.323	0.618	-4.7	8.9	1.162	1.038	12.8	10.1	34.5	61.3	-0.171	-0.213	0.078	-0.102
01/04/2011 21:00	01/05/2011 21:00	44.6	0.699	0.861	-0.5	8.3	1.689	0.845	13.5	7.9	59.8	41.9	-0.364	-0.153	-0.585	-0.367
01/23/2011 15:00	01/24/2011 15:00	37.4	0.444	0.743	4.9	11.1	1.689	0.845	13.5	7.9	59.8	41.9	-0.537	-0.594	0.321	-0.270
01/30/2011 03:00	01/31/2011 03:00	16.1	0.365	0.378	2.3	9.0	1.689	0.845	13.5	7.9	59.8	41.9	-1.045	-1.120	-0.279	-0.814
02/03/2011 03:00	02/04/2011 03:00	29.5	0.400	0.448	-4.8	12.5	1.321	1.436	15.5	11.9	41.4	77.5	-0.154	-0.329	0.150	-0.111
02/13/2011 09:00	02/14/2011 09:00	25.4	0.513	0.424	3.3	11.0	1.321	1.436	15.5	11.9	41.4	77.5	-0.207	-0.267	-0.099	-0.191
02/17/2011 03:00	02/18/2011 03:00	35.0	0.436	0.404	-1.0	12.1	1.321	1.436	15.5	11.9	41.4	77.5	-0.083	-0.335	-0.204	-0.207
02/24/2011 00:00	02/25/2011 00:00	33.8	0.511	0.408	0.2	12.7	1.321	1.436	15.5	11.9	41.4	77.5	-0.099	-0.280	-0.217	-0.199
02/27/2011 03:00	02/28/2011 03:00	41.3	0.662	0.419	-0.3	7.1	1.321	1.436	15.5	11.9	41.4	77.5	-0.002	-0.167	-0.682	-0.283
03/14/2011 18:00	03/15/2011 18:00	25.5	0.587	0.294	-7.6	8.7	1.286	2.250	13.8	23.6	40.2	147.0	-0.100	-0.180	0.108	-0.057
03/15/2011 18:00	03/16/2011 18:00	24.9	0.503	0.227	0.4	7.6	1.286	2.250	13.8	23.6	40.2	147.0	-0.104	-0.247	-0.246	-0.199
03/16/2011 18:00	03/17/2011 18:00	29.0	0.767	0.394	4.8	8.4	1.286	2.250	13.8	23.6	40.2	147.0	-0.076	-0.056	-0.023	-0.051
03/26/2011 06:00	03/27/2011 06:00	29.2	0.528	0.139	-0.2	9.3	1.286	2.250	13.8	23.6	40.2	147.0	-0.074	-0.275	-0.179	-0.176
03/27/2011 06:00	03/28/2011 06:00	36.2	0.829	0.310	0.7	10.3	1.286	2.250	13.8	23.6	40.2	147.0	-0.027	-0.065	-0.116	-0.069
04/25/2011 06:00	04/26/2011 06:00	48.9	1.014	0.251	-5.6	9.6	1.533	1.640	16.7	15.6	73.7	112.6	-0.220	-0.163	-0.102	-0.162
04/26/2011 15:00	04/27/2011 15:00	44.4	0.812	0.193	0.0	11.1	1.533	1.640	16.7	15.6	73.7	112.6	-0.260	-0.322	-0.359	-0.313
04/27/2011 18:00	04/28/2011 18:00	44.4	0.971	0.192	5.0	11.4	1.533	1.640	16.7	15.6	73.7	112.6	-0.261	-0.226	-0.024	-0.170
05/09/2011 03:00	05/10/2011 03:00	53.6	0.995	0.302	1.2	11.7	1.710	1.753	16.3	20.0	71.7	141.9	-0.128	-0.236	-0.170	-0.178
05/12/2011 12:00	05/13/2011 12:00	63.8	0.880	0.289	0.2	14.2	1.710	1.753	16.3	20.0	71.7	141.9	-0.056	-0.309	-0.095	-0.153
------------------	------------------	------	-------	-------	-------	------	-------	-------	------	------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	--------
05/13/2011 21:00	05/14/2011 21:00	57.6	1.177	0.408	6.2	9.7	1.710	1.753	16.3	20.0	71.7	141.9	-0.100	-0.071	-0.023	-0.065
05/19/2011 12:00	05/20/2011 12:00	52.4	1.009	0.271	-0.7	11.2	1.710	1.753	16.3	20.0	71.7	141.9	-0.136	-0.245	-0.222	-0.201
05/20/2011 12:00	05/21/2011 12:00	57.7	1.012	0.377	5.4	13.2	1.710	1.753	16.3	20.0	71.7	141.9	-0.098	-0.183	0.113	-0.056
05/22/2011 09:00	05/23/2011 09:00	52.8	1.061	0.240	2.2	10.6	1.710	1.753	16.3	20.0	71.7	141.9	-0.133	-0.233	-0.177	-0.181
05/25/2011 03:00	05/26/2011 03:00	72.1	1.396	0.384	0.6	11.0	1.710	1.753	16.3	20.0	71.7	141.9	0.003	0.040	-0.238	-0.065
06/03/2011 21:00	06/04/2011 21:00	81.4	1.082	0.458	-2.7	11.9	2.102	1.344	18.4	10.5	106.5	100.1	-0.251	-0.418	-0.364	-0.344
06/18/2011 06:00	06/19/2011 06:00	68.0	1.074	0.486	-2.0	11.0	2.102	1.344	18.4	10.5	106.5	100.1	-0.384	-0.403	-0.512	-0.433
06/28/2011 06:00	06/29/2011 06:00	42.1	0.807	0.335	-0.3	9.3	2.102	1.344	18.4	10.5	106.5	100.1	-0.643	-0.714	-0.838	-0.732
07/16/2011 09:00	07/17/2011 09:00	72.2	0.934	0.620	3.0	11.2	2.211	1.266	18.9	10.8	102.9	93.4	-0.328	-0.519	-0.436	-0.428
07/27/2011 06:00	07/28/2011 06:00	58.0	0.768	0.500	-6.5	8.6	2.211	1.266	18.9	10.8	102.9	93.4	-0.481	-0.745	-0.353	-0.526
07/28/2011 06:00	07/29/2011 06:00	47.9	0.765	0.378	0.6	9.0	2.211	1.266	18.9	10.8	102.9	93.4	-0.588	-0.844	-0.861	-0.764
08/02/2011 18:00	08/03/2011 18:00	50.7	0.601	0.376	-3.2	9.5	1.453	1.448	17.4	19.6	68.9	82.8	-0.220	-0.329	-0.243	-0.264
08/18/2011 21:00	08/19/2011 21:00	37.9	0.516	0.387	-2.1	13.0	1.453	1.448	17.4	19.6	68.9	82.8	-0.375	-0.380	-0.119	-0.291
08/19/2011 21:00	08/20/2011 21:00	60.4	0.777	0.583	-0.5	10.9	1.453	1.448	17.4	19.6	68.9	82.8	-0.103	-0.064	-0.304	-0.157
08/21/2011 00:00	08/22/2011 00:00	43.4	0.675	0.473	-0.1	7.4	1.453	1.448	17.4	19.6	68.9	82.8	-0.308	-0.211	-0.505	-0.341
08/31/2011 03:00	09/01/2011 03:00	51.6	0.485	0.503	-0.9	10.4	1.453	1.448	17.4	19.6	68.9	82.8	-0.208	-0.321	-0.313	-0.281
08/31/2011 12:00	09/01/2011 12:00	49.5	0.522	0.519	0.5	11.6	1.453	1.448	17.4	19.6	68.9	82.8	-0.235	-0.285	-0.270	-0.263
09/08/2011 12:00	09/09/2011 12:00	39.4	0.528	0.531	5.1	12.6	1.644	2.313	20.5	22.8	64.2	144.0	-0.172	-0.253	-0.124	-0.183
09/23/2011 06:00	09/24/2011 06:00	30.9	0.505	0.500	0.0	13.5	1.644	2.313	20.5	22.8	64.2	144.0	-0.231	-0.276	-0.309	-0.272
10/10/2011 12:00	10/11/2011 12:00	34.9	0.472	0.561	-0.2	12.9	1.605	1.793	14.6	20.1	47.0	83.6	-0.145	-0.319	-0.075	-0.180
10/13/2011 21:00	10/14/2011 21:00	33.6	0.524	0.692	2.7	8.8	1.605	1.793	14.6	20.1	47.0	83.6	-0.160	-0.217	-0.159	-0.179
10/18/2011 00:00	10/19/2011 00:00	56.6	0.650	0.782	-0.3	8.3	1.605	1.793	14.6	20.1	47.0	83.6	0.115	-0.096	-0.301	-0.094
10/22/2011 03:00	10/23/2011 03:00	29.1	0.434	0.773	0.4	9.6	1.605	1.793	14.6	20.1	47.0	83.6	-0.214	-0.222	-0.230	-0.222
10/28/2011 12:00	10/29/2011 12:00	25.5	0.354	0.364	-13.4	11.2	1.605	1.793	14.6	20.1	47.0	83.6	-0.257	-0.495	0.496	-0.085
11/06/2011 03:00	11/07/2011 03:00	28.6	0.540	0.684	0.9	10.9	1.651	1.532	13.4	16.7	30.5	90.5	-0.021	-0.279	-0.094	-0.131
11/09/2011 15:00	11/10/2011 15:00	27.9	0.440	0.481	-5.0	10.3	1.651	1.532	13.4	16.7	30.5	90.5	-0.029	-0.477	0.115	-0.130
11/12/2011 18:00	11/13/2011 18:00	28.9	0.482	0.552	-4.5	9.4	1.651	1.532	13.4	16.7	30.5	90.5	-0.017	-0.403	0.034	-0.129
11/18/2011 09:00	11/19/2011 09:00	24.4	0.515	0.761	0.1	10.4	1.651	1.532	13.4	16.7	30.5	90.5	-0.068	-0.245	-0.172	-0.161
11/19/2011 09:00	11/20/2011 09:00	19.0	0.325	0.482	2.2	7.3	1.651	1.532	13.4	16.7	30.5	90.5	-0.128	-0.551	-0.233	-0.304
12/06/2011 00:00	12/07/2011 00:00	18.7	0.453	0.448	-4.0	8.7	1.253	0.994	15.1	8.5	24.7	43.7	-0.137	-0.354	-0.295	-0.262
12/15/2011 12:00	12/16/2011 12:00	19.9	0.504	0.724	-4.5	11.3	1.253	0.994	15.1	8.5	24.7	43.7	-0.108	-0.025	0.080	-0.018
12/16/2011 12:00	12/17/2011 12:00	14.6	0.373	0.339	0.2	9.5	1.253	0.994	15.1	8.5	24.7	43.7	-0.231	-0.544	-0.642	-0.472
12/25/2011 12:00	12/26/2011 12:00	17.2	0.561	0.499	-4.6	8.5	1.253	0.994	15.1	8.5	24.7	43.7	-0.171	-0.194	-0.250	-0.205
12/26/2011 21:00	12/27/2011 21:00	17.0	0.512	0.326	0.9	13.1	1.253	0.994	15.1	8.5	24.7	43.7	-0.175	-0.418	-0.140	-0.244

01/04/2012 06:00	01/05/2012 06:00	24.7	0.532	0.541	-7.6	13.5	1.971	1.645	17.7	17.9	59.5	91.4	-0.381	-0.546	0.191	-0.245
01/14/2012 15:00	01/15/2012 15:00	22.4	0.699	0.599	0.6	13.5	1.971	1.645	17.7	17.9	59.5	91.4	-0.407	-0.409	-0.199	-0.338
01/19/2012 00:00	01/20/2012 00:00	45.6	0.851	0.956	-0.6	12.4	1.971	1.645	17.7	17.9	59.5	91.4	-0.152	-0.100	-0.258	-0.170
02/11/2012 03:00	02/12/2012 03:00	59.2	0.929	0.839	4.4	11.6	2.075	1.730	17.0	15.6	77.2	105.4	-0.171	-0.177	-0.066	-0.138
02/16/2012 09:00	02/17/2012 09:00	45.6	0.626	0.642	-8.4	11.3	2.075	1.730	17.0	15.6	77.2	105.4	-0.300	-0.466	0.173	-0.198
02/17/2012 09:00	02/18/2012 09:00	21.1	0.341	0.347	3.3	8.0	2.075	1.730	17.0	15.6	77.2	105.4	-0.532	-0.802	-0.371	-0.568
02/22/2012 21:00	02/23/2012 21:00	35.9	0.494	0.516	-3.6	13.5	2.075	1.730	17.0	15.6	77.2	105.4	-0.392	-0.616	-0.003	-0.337
03/21/2012 21:00	03/22/2012 21:00	57.4	0.725	0.716	-2.9	13.1	2.343	2.830	21.1	30.3	108.7	167.8	-0.306	-0.319	-0.167	-0.264
03/25/2012 12:00	03/26/2012 12:00	54.4	0.705	0.584	0.2	9.2	2.343	2.830	21.1	30.3	108.7	167.8	-0.324	-0.372	-0.386	-0.361
03/28/2012 21:00	03/29/2012 21:00	45.6	0.673	0.538	-5.5	11.7	2.343	2.830	21.1	30.3	108.7	167.8	-0.376	-0.400	-0.127	-0.301
03/30/2012 12:00	03/31/2012 12:00	40.5	0.566	0.549	3.3	14.6	2.343	2.830	21.1	30.3	108.7	167.8	-0.406	-0.434	-0.108	-0.316
04/05/2012 21:00	04/06/2012 21:00	37.4	0.758	0.479	-4.8	10.4	1.820	2.103	17.6	21.4	92.3	131.7	-0.418	-0.277	-0.115	-0.270
04/08/2012 18:00	04/09/2012 18:00	45.7	0.495	0.394	-0.3	14.6	1.820	2.103	17.6	21.4	92.3	131.7	-0.354	-0.443	-0.127	-0.308
04/15/2012 21:00	04/16/2012 21:00	69.7	0.683	0.521	-0.8	11.4	1.820	2.103	17.6	21.4	92.3	131.7	-0.172	-0.293	-0.251	-0.239
04/20/2012 15:00	04/21/2012 15:00	58.2	1.005	0.645	-0.1	12.7	1.820	2.103	17.6	21.4	92.3	131.7	-0.260	-0.081	-0.224	-0.188
04/29/2012 21:00	04/30/2012 21:00	62.5	0.960	0.570	-3.4	13.2	1.820	2.103	17.6	21.4	92.3	131.7	-0.227	-0.138	-0.045	-0.137
05/04/2012 03:00	05/05/2012 03:00	39.0	0.803	0.318	-8.9	9.3	1.728	1.733	18.8	14.1	75.4	116.6	-0.312	-0.350	-0.042	-0.235
05/05/2012 03:00	05/06/2012 03:00	62.9	1.057	0.520	-4.8	10.0	1.728	1.733	18.8	14.1	75.4	116.6	-0.108	-0.087	-0.280	-0.158
05/06/2012 21:00	05/07/2012 21:00	45.9	0.758	0.316	2.4	14.0	1.728	1.733	18.8	14.1	75.4	116.6	-0.254	-0.377	-0.174	-0.268
05/21/2012 03:00	05/22/2012 03:00	69.4	0.871	0.491	-3.0	9.8	1.728	1.733	18.8	14.1	75.4	116.6	-0.052	-0.211	-0.421	-0.228
05/27/2012 06:00	05/28/2012 06:00	61.5	0.684	0.347	-7.0	11.8	1.728	1.733	18.8	14.1	75.4	116.6	-0.120	-0.402	0.002	-0.173
05/28/2012 18:00	05/29/2012 18:00	76.3	1.296	0.589	-0.3	11.6	1.728	1.733	18.8	14.1	75.4	116.6	0.008	0.091	-0.490	-0.131
06/13/2012 09:00	06/14/2012 09:00	77.2	1.308	0.452	0.3	16.3	1.754	2.456	20.6	16.7	81.0	160.2	-0.024	0.002	-0.239	-0.087
06/14/2012 12:00	06/15/2012 12:00	61.0	1.117	0.357	6.5	12.3	1.754	2.456	20.6	16.7	81.0	160.2	-0.125	-0.114	-0.109	-0.116
06/21/2012 06:00	06/22/2012 06:00	56.9	1.092	0.471	-1.0	12.1	1.754	2.456	20.6	16.7	81.0	160.2	-0.150	-0.078	-0.447	-0.225
06/22/2012 15:00	06/23/2012 15:00	69.2	1.060	0.438	0.8	14.0	1.754	2.456	20.6	16.7	81.0	160.2	-0.073	-0.104	-0.348	-0.175
07/13/2012 09:00	07/14/2012 09:00	79.0	1.058	0.496	-0.5	14.6	2.264	3.377	21.7	29.9	109.4	217.3	-0.140	-0.210	-0.220	-0.190
07/26/2012 12:00	07/27/2012 12:00	38.3	0.892	0.266	5.6	11.2	2.264	3.377	21.7	29.9	109.4	217.3	-0.327	-0.328	-0.163	-0.273
07/31/2012 03:00	08/01/2012 03:00	84.3	1.215	0.433	-9.3	11.8	2.264	3.377	21.7	29.9	109.4	217.3	-0.116	-0.182	-0.021	-0.106
08/10/2012 09:00	08/11/2012 09:00	44.6	1.035	0.282	-1.0	13.0	1.835	1.169	21.0	8.6	86.9	81.0	-0.522	-0.443	-0.816	-0.594
08/29/2012 18:00	08/30/2012 18:00	43.9	0.742	0.379	-4.8	15.8	1.835	1.169	21.0	8.6	86.9	81.0	-0.530	-0.611	-0.053	-0.398
08/30/2012 21:00	08/31/2012 21:00	42.1	0.593	0.271	-1.2	9.8	1.835	1.169	21.0	8.6	86.9	81.0	-0.553	-0.831	-1.166	-0.850
09/10/2012 15:00	09/11/2012 15:00	37.2	0.742	0.229	-5.1	14.9	1.355	2.608	16.5	24.4	47.0	159.6	-0.062	-0.147	0.146	-0.021
09/13/2012 21:00	09/14/2012 21:00	43.6	0.986	0.517	1.8	14.6	1.355	2.608	16.5	24.4	47.0	159.6	-0.022	0.057	-0.002	0.011
09/23/2012 03:00	09/24/2012 03:00	29.9	0.484	0.274	-3.4	8.8	1.355	2.608	16.5	24.4	47.0	159.6	-0.107	-0.229	-0.173	-0.170

09/24/2012 06:00	09/25/2012 06:00	35.2	0.827	0.443	0.1	12.6	1.355	2.608	16.5	24.4	47.0	159.6	-0.074	-0.033	-0.151	-0.086
09/25/2012 06:00	09/26/2012 06:00	38.9	0.749	0.408	0.2	11.2	1.355	2.608	16.5	24.4	47.0	159.6	-0.051	-0.076	-0.208	-0.111
09/28/2012 00:00	09/29/2012 00:00	38.7	0.499	0.328	-0.1	13.3	1.355	2.608	16.5	24.4	47.0	159.6	-0.052	-0.202	-0.126	-0.127
10/19/2012 18:00	10/20/2012 18:00	36.1	0.378	0.356	-4.9	10.4	1.410	2.661	16.9	31.9	52.2	147.9	-0.109	-0.254	-0.049	-0.137
10/20/2012 18:00	10/21/2012 18:00	36.8	0.682	0.669	-3.9	10.9	1.410	2.661	16.9	31.9	52.2	147.9	-0.104	-0.022	-0.067	-0.064
10/22/2012 03:00	10/23/2012 03:00	33.4	0.529	0.559	3.0	7.2	1.410	2.661	16.9	31.9	52.2	147.9	-0.127	-0.121	-0.211	-0.153
10/30/2012 06:00	10/31/2012 06:00	34.9	0.631	0.523	4.1	8.9	1.410	2.661	16.9	31.9	52.2	147.9	-0.117	-0.096	-0.122	-0.112
11/08/2012 03:00	11/09/2012 03:00	31.9	0.480	0.532	-0.1	9.6	1.400	2.336	15.7	22.0	39.7	120.9	-0.064	-0.166	-0.272	-0.168
11/09/2012 09:00	11/10/2012 09:00	25.3	0.373	0.307	3.7	13.6	1.400	2.336	15.7	22.0	39.7	120.9	-0.119	-0.308	0.069	-0.119
11/27/2012 21:00	11/28/2012 21:00	30.3	0.491	0.843	-0.4	8.1	1.400	2.336	15.7	22.0	39.7	120.9	-0.078	-0.028	-0.332	-0.146
12/06/2012 06:00	12/07/2012 06:00	16.6	0.270	0.594	-4.5	11.3	1.135	1.019	15.2	6.4	23.7	54.2	-0.131	-0.266	0.074	-0.108
12/07/2012 06:00	12/08/2012 06:00	18.3	0.234	0.580	0.7	12.2	1.135	1.019	15.2	6.4	23.7	54.2	-0.099	-0.315	-0.374	-0.263
12/10/2012 21:00	12/11/2012 21:00	24.2	0.339	0.778	0.2	13.2	1.135	1.019	15.2	6.4	23.7	54.2	0.009	-0.018	-0.290	-0.100
12/13/2012 18:00	12/14/2012 18:00	30.6	0.578	0.933	0.6	9.7	1.135	1.019	15.2	6.4	23.7	54.2	0.127	0.369	-0.780	-0.095
12/22/2012 12:00	12/23/2012 12:00	22.0	0.329	0.499	-3.4	12.7	1.135	1.019	15.2	6.4	23.7	54.2	-0.031	-0.301	0.129	-0.068
12/27/2012 12:00	12/28/2012 12:00	19.4	0.356	0.697	-0.4	12.9	1.135	1.019	15.2	6.4	23.7	54.2	-0.079	-0.080	-0.303	-0.154
01/01/2013 00:00	01/02/2013 00:00	15.7	0.435	0.527	-0.1	13.4	1.332	1.452	18.6	11.7	26.2	71.5	-0.147	-0.255	-0.432	-0.278
01/22/2013 18:00	01/23/2013 18:00	21.9	0.225	0.694	-3.4	12.5	1.332	1.452	18.6	11.7	26.2	71.5	-0.061	-0.284	-0.228	-0.191
01/30/2013 21:00	01/31/2013 21:00	19.8	0.293	0.603	-0.2	12.8	1.332	1.452	18.6	11.7	26.2	71.5	-0.090	-0.300	-0.478	-0.289
02/05/2013 18:00	02/06/2013 18:00	22.9	0.268	0.627	1.8	10.0	1.889	1.094	16.4	10.2	68.0	67.3	-0.669	-0.909	-0.445	-0.674
02/11/2013 06:00	02/12/2013 06:00	57.4	0.608	0.881	-1.7	9.9	1.889	1.094	16.4	10.2	68.0	67.3	-0.157	-0.366	-0.466	-0.330
02/24/2013 21:00	02/25/2013 21:00	35.0	0.529	0.689	1.7	16.1	1.889	1.094	16.4	10.2	68.0	67.3	-0.490	-0.613	0.140	-0.321
03/06/2013 06:00	03/07/2013 06:00	28.9	0.397	0.325	0.6	14.6	1.406	2.173	17.4	27.5	58.4	138.2	-0.213	-0.315	-0.084	-0.204
03/07/2013 18:00	03/08/2013 18:00	32.1	0.512	0.421	0.0	8.7	1.406	2.173	17.4	27.5	58.4	138.2	-0.190	-0.218	-0.318	-0.242
03/11/2013 09:00	03/12/2013 09:00	44.9	0.545	0.515	4.3	10.9	1.406	2.173	17.4	27.5	58.4	138.2	-0.098	-0.159	-0.082	-0.113
03/13/2013 09:00	03/14/2013 09:00	50.3	0.554	0.486	5.1	11.7	1.406	2.173	17.4	27.5	58.4	138.2	-0.059	-0.168	-0.023	-0.083
03/26/2013 03:00	03/27/2013 03:00	38.7	0.478	0.273	-2.2	13.1	1.406	2.173	17.4	27.5	58.4	138.2	-0.143	-0.301	-0.078	-0.174
04/15/2013 15:00	04/16/2013 15:00	37.9	0.733	0.238	-2.3	13.8	1.316	1.536	16.4	12.3	51.9	93.3	-0.150	-0.225	-0.031	-0.135
04/17/2013 15:00	04/18/2013 15:00	48.0	0.853	0.304	-3.5	9.5	1.316	1.536	16.4	12.3	51.9	93.3	-0.041	-0.104	-0.278	-0.141
04/18/2013 21:00	04/19/2013 21:00	31.7	0.749	0.184	-1.6	10.4	1.316	1.536	16.4	12.3	51.9	93.3	-0.216	-0.249	-0.356	-0.274
04/20/2013 18:00	04/21/2013 18:00	37.2	0.687	0.125	2.6	11.0	1.316	1.536	16.4	12.3	51.9	93.3	-0.158	-0.328	-0.224	-0.237
04/22/2013 06:00	04/23/2013 06:00	45.1	0.884	0.229	5.6	11.2	1.316	1.536	16.4	12.3	51.9	93.3	-0.072	-0.132	0.033	-0.057
05/03/2013 03:00	05/04/2013 03:00	89.8	1.193	0.381	-12.1	13.4	3.015	2.155	24.6	17.9	152.7	160.8	-0.392	-0.669	0.051	-0.336
05/08/2013 21:00	05/09/2013 21:00	97.1	1.612	0.626	2.0	11.8	3.015	2.155	24.6	17.9	152.7	160.8	-0.346	-0.361	-0.598	-0.435
05/12/2013 06:00	05/13/2013 06:00	78.2	1.104	0.391	4.9	19.4	3.015	2.155	24.6	17.9	152.7	160.8	-0.464	-0.705	-0.018	-0.396

06/10/2013 12:00	06/11/2013 12:00	83.0	1.688	0.524	-5.1	12.6	2.355	2.714	18.0	29.0	106.5	177.6	-0.132	-0.053	-0.007	-0.064
06/12/2013 09:00	06/13/2013 09:00	67.8	1.216	0.345	-6.4	12.7	2.355	2.714	18.0	29.0	106.5	177.6	-0.218	-0.293	0.038	-0.158
06/13/2013 18:00	06/14/2013 18:00	57.4	1.260	0.321	0.7	10.0	2.355	2.714	18.0	29.0	106.5	177.6	-0.277	-0.285	-0.248	-0.270
06/16/2013 00:00	06/17/2013 00:00	53.6	1.209	0.356	2.5	7.9	2.355	2.714	18.0	29.0	106.5	177.6	-0.298	-0.291	-0.262	-0.284
06/25/2013 21:00	06/26/2013 21:00	75.0	1.052	0.445	-10.1	14.7	2.355	2.714	18.0	29.0	106.5	177.6	-0.177	-0.316	0.237	-0.086
07/02/2013 12:00	07/03/2013 12:00	68.8	1.178	0.412	-6.2	12.0	2.046	2.760	19.0	23.4	106.0	209.0	-0.178	-0.165	-0.034	-0.126
07/03/2013 15:00	07/04/2013 15:00	78.7	1.372	0.361	-0.8	12.7	2.046	2.760	19.0	23.4	106.0	209.0	-0.131	-0.113	-0.237	-0.160
07/16/2013 21:00	07/17/2013 21:00	78.1	1.236	0.517	-0.3	13.6	2.046	2.760	19.0	23.4	106.0	209.0	-0.134	-0.106	-0.217	-0.152
07/20/2013 09:00	07/21/2013 09:00	69.1	0.946	0.490	-4.3	10.2	2.046	2.760	19.0	23.4	106.0	209.0	-0.177	-0.221	-0.192	-0.197
07/21/2013 09:00	07/22/2013 09:00	98.8	1.321	0.614	0.5	13.3	2.046	2.760	19.0	23.4	106.0	209.0	-0.034	-0.040	-0.218	-0.098
10/05/2013 12:06	10/06/2013 12:06	35.1	0.230	0.309	-5.7	8.7	1.075	2.216	17.1	19.0	36.1	116.6	-0.008	-0.242	-0.141	-0.130
10/23/2013 15:06	10/24/2013 15:06	31.3	0.333	0.646	3.7	11.2	1.075	2.216	17.1	19.0	36.1	116.6	-0.041	-0.043	-0.117	-0.067
10/24/2013 21:06	10/25/2013 21:06	29.8	0.352	0.728	3.8	8.1	1.075	2.216	17.1	19.0	36.1	116.6	-0.054	0.002	-0.275	-0.109
11/18/2013 12:00	11/19/2013 12:00	28.7	0.332	0.671	0.3	10.0	1.728	1.768	18.5	14.8	63.0	93.7	-0.367	-0.410	-0.558	-0.445
11/20/2013 21:00	11/21/2013 21:00	26.7	0.268	0.627	0.9	11.4	1.728	1.768	18.5	14.8	63.0	93.7	-0.387	-0.471	-0.422	-0.427
11/22/2013 03:00	11/23/2013 03:00	27.0	0.275	0.725	3.5	9.8	1.728	1.768	18.5	14.8	63.0	93.7	-0.385	-0.412	-0.351	-0.382
12/02/2013 03:00	12/03/2013 03:00	22.6	0.376	0.905	-5.6	13.2	1.346	1.261	15.0	10.7	35.7	54.1	-0.242	-0.052	0.359	0.022
12/12/2013 12:00	12/13/2013 12:00	34.1	0.467	0.700	-0.5	14.2	1.346	1.261	15.0	10.7	35.7	54.1	-0.029	-0.142	-0.033	-0.068
12/21/2013 15:00	12/22/2013 15:00	18.7	0.306	0.570	-0.1	8.8	1.346	1.261	15.0	10.7	35.7	54.1	-0.314	-0.373	-0.570	-0.419
12/22/2013 15:00	12/23/2013 15:00	25.7	0.407	0.674	2.7	7.2	1.346	1.261	15.0	10.7	35.7	54.1	-0.185	-0.210	-0.478	-0.291
12/23/2013 15:00	12/24/2013 15:00	26.4	0.431	0.538	4.3	8.9	1.346	1.261	15.0	10.7	35.7	54.1	-0.171	-0.299	-0.174	-0.215
12/26/2013 15:00	12/27/2013 15:00	18.9	0.327	0.581	0.1	7.2	1.346	1.261	15.0	10.7	35.7	54.1	-0.310	-0.347	-0.720	-0.459
12/28/2013 06:00	12/29/2013 06:00	40.3	0.661	1.011	2.5	11.8	1.346	1.261	15.0	10.7	35.7	54.1	0.086	0.259	-0.059	0.095
01/16/2014 18:00	01/17/2014 18:00	33.6	0.654	0.761	-0.8	10.0	1.578	1.340	19.6	9.7	44.0	77.7	-0.133	-0.122	-0.900	-0.385
01/18/2014 06:00	01/19/2014 06:00	24.7	0.516	0.452	0.9	11.0	1.578	1.340	19.6	9.7	44.0	77.7	-0.248	-0.455	-0.791	-0.498
01/19/2014 06:00	01/20/2014 06:00	31.7	0.389	0.306	-0.6	14.6	1.578	1.340	19.6	9.7	44.0	77.7	-0.158	-0.659	-0.449	-0.422
01/31/2014 12:00	02/01/2014 12:00	36.2	0.670	0.616	0.8	12.4	1.578	1.340	19.6	9.7	44.0	77.7	-0.100	-0.218	-0.654	-0.324
02/04/2014 12:00	02/05/2014 12:00	27.9	0.704	0.679	5.9	13.9	1.604	2.286	18.9	27.5	47.6	130.2	-0.152	-0.097	0.034	-0.072
02/12/2014 18:00	02/13/2014 18:00	32.1	0.642	0.766	1.6	12.3	1.604	2.286	18.9	27.5	47.6	130.2	-0.120	-0.086	-0.183	-0.129
02/13/2014 18:00	02/14/2014 18:00	20.3	0.430	0.408	5.2	9.5	1.604	2.286	18.9	27.5	47.6	130.2	-0.210	-0.335	-0.150	-0.232
02/26/2014 03:00	02/27/2014 03:00	33.8	0.704	0.477	-3.8	12.6	1.604	2.286	18.9	27.5	47.6	130.2	-0.106	-0.185	-0.091	-0.127
03/07/2014 03:00	03/08/2014 03:00	39.8	0.524	0.364	-3.4	10.3	1.421	0.878	15.3	9.3	56.2	39.6	-0.414	-0.607	-0.173	-0.398
03/09/2014 00:00	03/10/2014 00:00	33.2	0.530	0.399	2.8	10.5	1.421	0.878	15.3	9.3	56.2	39.6	-0.580	-0.560	-0.217	-0.452
03/15/2014 09:00	03/16/2014 09:00	54.2	0.746	0.454	-3.2	11.7	1.421	0.878	15.3	9.3	56.2	39.6	-0.050	-0.252	-0.044	-0.115
03/16/2014 09:00	03/17/2014 09:00	39.8	0.434	0.209	0.2	12.9	1.421	0.878	15.3	9.3	56.2	39.6	-0.415	-0.886	-0.224	-0.508

03/24/2014 00:00	03/25/2014 00:00	51.6	0.671	0.411	0.3	16.5	1.421	0.878	15.3	9.3	56.2	39.6	-0.115	-0.386	0.169	-0.111
04/10/2014 06:00	04/11/2014 06:00	47.8	0.793	0.382	-1.8	12.6	1.942	2.123	19.3	21.3	82.2	113.8	-0.303	-0.361	-0.227	-0.297
04/27/2014 03:00	04/28/2014 03:00	61.7	0.829	0.427	-2.7	12.6	1.942	2.123	19.3	21.3	82.2	113.8	-0.180	-0.323	-0.190	-0.231
04/28/2014 21:00	04/29/2014 21:00	64.4	0.723	0.445	0.1	15.9	1.942	2.123	19.3	21.3	82.2	113.8	-0.157	-0.365	-0.156	-0.226
05/02/2014 18:00	05/03/2014 18:00	43.3	0.506	0.264	-0.2	18.4	1.692	1.501	16.8	12.9	75.3	94.6	-0.339	-0.614	0.145	-0.269
05/20/2014 18:00	05/21/2014 18:00	46.9	0.922	0.401	0.9	11.4	1.692	1.501	16.8	12.9	75.3	94.6	-0.300	-0.246	-0.354	-0.300
05/30/2014 21:00	05/31/2014 21:00	53.8	1.234	0.441	0.1	12.8	1.692	1.501	16.8	12.9	75.3	94.6	-0.228	-0.011	-0.305	-0.181
08/09/2014 09:00	08/10/2014 09:00	72.4	1.107	0.454	-0.5	14.6	1.870	2.078	19.5	20.6	80.7	122.8	-0.068	-0.149	-0.219	-0.145
08/14/2014 09:00	08/15/2014 09:00	71.9	0.886	0.488	-4.4	12.9	1.870	2.078	19.5	20.6	80.7	122.8	-0.071	-0.239	-0.112	-0.141
08/15/2014 21:00	08/16/2014 21:00	50.8	0.657	0.394	-4.7	10.8	1.870	2.078	19.5	20.6	80.7	122.8	-0.244	-0.394	-0.195	-0.278
08/17/2014 15:00	08/18/2014 15:00	65.0	1.033	0.474	4.2	17.5	1.870	2.078	19.5	20.6	80.7	122.8	-0.128	-0.175	0.104	-0.066
08/24/2014 12:00	08/25/2014 12:00	50.5	0.674	0.390	-1.3	13.3	1.870	2.078	19.5	20.6	80.7	122.8	-0.246	-0.388	-0.235	-0.290
08/25/2014 15:00	08/26/2014 15:00	61.7	0.939	0.627	-0.7	12.0	1.870	2.078	19.5	20.6	80.7	122.8	-0.155	-0.146	-0.333	-0.211
09/07/2014 06:00	09/08/2014 06:00	52.4	0.942	0.499	1.8	13.7	2.174	1.487	21.3	10.4	86.7	102.0	-0.337	-0.493	-0.561	-0.464
09/15/2014 03:00	09/16/2014 03:00	31.2	0.667	0.313	-2.6	12.7	2.174	1.487	21.3	10.4	86.7	102.0	-0.545	-0.803	-0.576	-0.641
09/20/2014 21:00	09/21/2014 21:00	64.5	1.126	0.731	0.9	14.0	2.174	1.487	21.3	10.4	86.7	102.0	-0.218	-0.213	-0.613	-0.348
10/03/2014 03:00	10/04/2014 03:00	70.0	1.255	0.792	-3.1	11.7	2.441	1.416	22.1	14.7	94.9	98.8	-0.252	-0.278	-0.498	-0.343
10/04/2014 15:00	10/05/2014 15:00	44.2	1.086	1.005	6.2	10.8	2.441	1.416	22.1	14.7	94.9	98.8	-0.513	-0.247	-0.347	-0.369
10/07/2014 06:00	10/08/2014 06:00	39.4	0.755	0.567	-1.0	13.4	2.441	1.416	22.1	14.7	94.9	98.8	-0.562	-0.790	-0.527	-0.627
10/11/2014 15:00	10/12/2014 15:00	79.3	1.091	0.697	-3.0	12.6	2.441	1.416	22.1	14.7	94.9	98.8	-0.158	-0.461	-0.442	-0.354
03/09/2015 06:00	03/10/2015 06:00	40.9	0.578	0.800	0.9	15.5	2.757	3.028	19.4	41.6	106.6	130.0	-0.505	-0.455	-0.074	-0.345
03/10/2015 12:00	03/11/2015 12:00	66.2	0.892	1.059	6.7	10.7	2.757	3.028	19.4	41.6	106.6	130.0	-0.311	-0.266	-0.049	-0.208
03/12/2015 12:00	03/13/2015 12:00	69.3	0.871	0.863	-0.3	14.6	2.757	3.028	19.4	41.6	106.6	130.0	-0.287	-0.338	-0.109	-0.245
04/25/2015 00:00	04/26/2015 00:00	43.6	0.524	0.169	-0.2	10.4	1.780	2.333	21.7	22.1	79.9	135.5	-0.268	-0.466	-0.504	-0.413
04/26/2015 03:00	04/27/2015 03:00	52.4	0.787	0.316	5.1	9.3	1.780	2.333	21.7	22.1	79.9	135.5	-0.203	-0.290	-0.331	-0.275
04/30/2015 03:00	05/01/2015 03:00	67.1	1.328	0.463	3.7	12.0	1.780	2.333	21.7	22.1	79.9	135.5	-0.095	0.005	-0.277	-0.122
05/07/2015 00:00	05/08/2015 00:00	47.9	1.424	0.372	-6.5	14.4	2.107	2.109	17.3	17.6	77.8	128.1	-0.234	-0.147	0.205	-0.059
05/21/2015 18:00	05/22/2015 18:00	62.4	1.356	0.316	-6.6	9.5	2.107	2.109	17.3	17.6	77.8	128.1	-0.121	-0.206	-0.067	-0.131
05/22/2015 18:00	05/23/2015 18:00	56.5	1.119	0.279	-0.9	9.1	2.107	2.109	17.3	17.6	77.8	128.1	-0.167	-0.336	-0.415	-0.306
05/25/2015 00:00	05/26/2015 00:00	57.4	0.965	0.221	3.3	10.0	2.107	2.109	17.3	17.6	77.8	128.1	-0.159	-0.437	-0.227	-0.274
05/30/2015 15:00	05/31/2015 15:00	75.9	1.471	0.417	3.1	15.0	2.107	2.109	17.3	17.6	77.8	128.1	-0.015	-0.104	0.043	-0.025
06/02/2015 03:00	06/03/2015 03:00	50.4	1.164	0.220	1.1	12.6	1.977	2.728	23.0	39.6	73.1	162.2	-0.140	-0.217	-0.236	-0.198
06/03/2015 15:00	06/04/2015 15:00	54.0	0.804	0.172	0.7	10.6	1.977	2.728	23.0	39.6	73.1	162.2	-0.118	-0.367	-0.297	-0.261
06/04/2015 21:00	06/05/2015 21:00	51.2	0.915	0.180	3.0	12.4	1.977	2.728	23.0	39.6	73.1	162.2	-0.135	-0.323	-0.192	-0.217
06/19/2015 15:00	06/20/2015 15:00	50.3	1.299	0.407	-11.0	10.0	1.977	2.728	23.0	39.6	73.1	162.2	-0.140	-0.099	-0.051	-0.097

06/20/2015 15:00	06/21/2015 15:00	55.8	1.009	0.411	-1.9	12.9	1.977	2.728	23.0	39.6	73.1	162.2	-0.107	-0.204	-0.209	-0.173
07/02/2015 03:00	07/03/2015 03:00	71.1	1.045	0.358	-12.8	12.0	2.225	1.827	23.9	18.2	98.6	119.8	-0.229	-0.450	0.048	-0.210
07/03/2015 03:00	07/04/2015 03:00	62.7	1.078	0.283	-3.1	12.6	2.225	1.827	23.9	18.2	98.6	119.8	-0.300	-0.473	-0.455	-0.409
07/19/2015 06:00	07/20/2015 06:00	63.1	1.171	0.497	0.6	10.5	2.225	1.827	23.9	18.2	98.6	119.8	-0.296	-0.305	-0.705	-0.435
08/14/2015 00:00	08/15/2015 00:00	63.1	1.399	0.463	-2.6	19.3	2.794	3.047	25.9	31.2	149.0	176.5	-0.487	-0.306	-0.127	-0.307
08/30/2015 00:00	08/31/2015 00:00	78.3	1.092	0.726	-12.2	13.8	2.794	3.047	25.9	31.2	149.0	176.5	-0.401	-0.320	0.003	-0.239
08/31/2015 06:00	09/01/2015 06:00	84.7	1.207	0.730	-6.0	13.6	2.794	3.047	25.9	31.2	149.0	176.5	-0.365	-0.281	-0.200	-0.282
08/31/2015 12:00	09/01/2015 12:00	99.2	1.367	0.828	-4.8	14.9	2.794	3.047	25.9	31.2	149.0	176.5	-0.282	-0.197	-0.197	-0.225
09/01/2015 15:00	09/02/2015 15:00	75.5	1.089	0.681	-1.7	17.0	2.361	2.499	23.6	27.9	99.5	161.4	-0.149	-0.236	-0.173	-0.186
09/25/2015 15:00	09/26/2015 15:00	38.2	0.792	0.771	-5.1	17.3	2.361	2.499	23.6	27.9	99.5	161.4	-0.380	-0.319	-0.044	-0.248
09/26/2015 15:00	09/27/2015 15:00	74.6	1.163	1.018	-1.2	11.3	2.361	2.499	23.6	27.9	99.5	161.4	-0.154	-0.072	-0.398	-0.208
09/27/2015 15:00	09/28/2015 15:00	34.9	0.801	0.714	-0.8	10.4	2.361	2.499	23.6	27.9	99.5	161.4	-0.400	-0.339	-0.443	-0.394
09/29/2015 12:00	09/30/2015 12:00	33.7	0.439	0.547	0.5	9.9	2.361	2.499	23.6	27.9	99.5	161.4	-0.408	-0.550	-0.472	-0.477
10/19/2015 03:00	10/20/2015 03:00	59.3	0.669	0.860	-4.5	14.1	2.604	2.530	21.0	25.9	109.7	170.9	-0.295	-0.425	-0.093	-0.271
10/26/2015 00:00	10/27/2015 00:00	27.2	0.404	0.633	5.6	12.9	2.604	2.530	21.0	25.9	109.7	170.9	-0.483	-0.619	-0.093	-0.398
10/27/2015 00:00	10/28/2015 00:00	72.4	0.831	1.109	4.7	11.8	2.604	2.530	21.0	25.9	109.7	170.9	-0.218	-0.262	-0.171	-0.217
10/28/2015 00:00	10/29/2015 00:00	29.4	0.355	0.691	12.6	16.5	2.604	2.530	21.0	25.9	109.7	170.9	-0.470	-0.616	0.317	-0.256
11/21/2015 06:00	11/22/2015 06:00	38.4	0.623	1.313	-6.3	9.6	2.020	2.448	16.1	25.9	57.5	163.8	-0.117	-0.034	-0.006	-0.053
11/22/2015 06:00	11/23/2015 06:00	36.9	0.478	1.131	-3.6	8.4	2.020	2.448	16.1	25.9	57.5	163.8	-0.126	-0.168	-0.158	-0.151