Superposed Epoch Analysis of Nighttime Magnetic Perturbation Events Observed in Arctic Canada

Mark J. Engebretson¹, Lidiya Y. Ahmed², Vyacheslav A. Pilipenko³, Erik S. Steinmetz⁴, Mark B. Moldwin⁵, Martin Connors⁶, David H Boteler⁷, James M. Weygand⁸, Shane Coyle⁹, Shinichi Ohtani¹⁰, Jesper W. Gjerloev¹¹, and Christopher T. Russell¹²

¹Department of Physics, Augsburg University
²NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center
³Space Research Institute
⁴Department of Computer Science, Augsburg University
⁵University of Michigan-Ann Arbor
⁶Athabasca University
⁷Natural Resources Canada
⁸Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics
⁹Virginia Tech
¹⁰The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory
¹¹APL-JHU
¹²University of California Los Angeles

November 23, 2022

Abstract

Rapid changes of magnetic fields associated with nighttime magnetic perturbation events (MPEs) with amplitudes $|\Delta B|$ of hundreds of nT and 5-10 min duration can induce geomagnetically-induced currents (GICs) that can harm technological systems. Here we present superposed epoch analyses of large nighttime MPEs (|dB/dt| [?] 6 nT/s) observed during 2015 and 2017 at five stations in Arctic Canada ranging from 64.7° to 75.2° in corrected geomagnetic latitude (MLAT) as functions of the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF), solar wind dynamic pressure, density, and velocity, and the SML, SMU, and SYM/H geomagnetic activity indices. Analyses were produced for premidnight and postmidnight events and for three ranges of time after the most recent substorm onset: A) 0-30 min, B) 30-60 min, and C) >60 min. Of the solar wind and IMF parameters studied, only the IMF Bz component showed any consistent temporal variations prior to MPEs: a 1-2 hour wide 1-3 nT negative minimum at all stations beginning ~30 to 80 min before premidnight MPEs, and minima that were less consistent but often deeper before postmidnight MPEs. Median, 25th, and 75th percentile SuperMAG auroral indices SML (SMU) showed drops (rises) before pre- and post-midnight type A MPEs, but most of the MPEs in categories B and C did not coincide with largescale peaks in ionospheric electrojets. Median SYM/H indices were flat near -30 nT for premidnight events and showed no consistent temporal association with any MPE events. More disturbed values of IMF Bz, Psw, Nsw, SML, SMU, and SYM/H appeared postmidnight than premidnight.

1	Superposed Epoch Analysis of Nighttime Magnetic
2	
3	Perturbation Events Observed in Arctic Canada
1	
4	
6	
7	
8	Mark J. Engebretson ¹ , Lidiya Y. Ahmed ^{1,2} , Viacheslav A. Pilipenko ^{1,3} , Erik S. Steinmetz ¹ , Mark B.
9	Moldwin⁴, Martin G. Connors⁵, David H. Boteler⁶, James M. Weygand7, Shane Coyleଃ, Shin
10	Ohtani ⁹ , Jesper Gjerloev ⁹ , and Christopher T. Russell ⁷
11	
12	¹ Department of Physics, Augsburg University, Minneapolis, MN
13	² Now at NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD
14	³ Space Research Institute, Moscow, Russia
15	⁴ Department of Climate and Space Sciences and Engineering, Ann Arbor, MI
16	⁵ Athabasca University Observatories, Athabasca University, Athabasca, AB, Canada
17	⁶ Geomagnetic Laboratory, Natural Resources Canada, Ottawa, ON, Canada
18	⁷ Department of Earth Planetary and Space Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, CA
19	⁸ Bradley Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA
20	⁹ Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, MD
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	submitted to the Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics April 19, 2021

31 Key Words: magnetic perturbation events, geomagnetically induced currents, GIC, substorms,

32 geomagnetic storms, magnetic indices

33

34 Key Points:

35 Superposed epoch analyses of 2 years of observations of ≥ 6 nT/s magnetic perturbation events

36 (MPEs) from 5 high latitude Arctic stations.

37

Of the solar wind and IMF parameters studied, only IMF Bz showed any consistent pattern: adrop and rise prior to MPE occurrence.

40

Most of the MPEs that occurred more than 30 minutes after a substorm onset did not coincide
with peaks in the westward electrojet.

43

44 Abstract

45 Rapid changes of magnetic fields associated with nighttime magnetic perturbation events (MPEs) with amplitudes $|\Delta B|$ of hundreds of nT and 5-10 min duration can induce 46 47 geomagnetically-induced currents (GICs) that can harm technological systems. Here we present 48 superposed epoch analyses of large nighttime MPEs ($|dB/dt| \ge 6 \text{ nT/s}$) observed during 2015 and 2017 at five stations in Arctic Canada ranging from 64.7° to 75.2° in corrected geomagnetic 49 50 latitude (MLAT) as functions of the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF), solar wind dynamic 51 pressure, density, and velocity, and the SML, SMU, and SYM/H geomagnetic activity indices. Analyses were produced for premidnight and postmidnight events and for three ranges of time 52 after the most recent substorm onset: A) 0-30 min, B) 30-60 min, and C) >60 min. Of the solar 53 54 wind and IMF parameters studied, only the IMF Bz component showed any consistent temporal 55 variations prior to MPEs: a 1-2 hour wide 1-3 nT negative minimum at all stations beginning \sim 30 to 80 min before premidnight MPEs, and minima that were less consistent but often 56 deeper before postmidnight MPEs. Median, 25th, and 75th percentile SuperMAG auroral indices 57 58 SML (SMU) showed drops (rises) before pre- and post-midnight type A MPEs, but most of the 59 MPEs in categories B and C did not coincide with large-scale peaks in ionospheric electrojets. 60 Median SYM/H indices were flat near -30 nT for premidnight events and showed no consistent

temporal association with any MPE events. More disturbed values of IMF Bz, Psw, Nsw, SML,
SMU, and SYM/H appeared postmidnight than premidnight.

- 63
- 64

65 1. Introduction

66

The threat to both spaceborne and ground-based technological systems posed by extreme events in Earth's space environment has led in recent years to numerous observational and modeling studies of the impact of dynamical processes in the solar corona that are conveyed to Earth via the solar wind plasma and interplanetary magnetic field that interact with Earth's magnetosphere and ionosphere.

72 The influence of extreme solar phenomena on Earth was first documented for the 73 Carrington event of 1859 (Carrington, 1860), in which a large and complex set of solar flares caused not only widespread auroral displays but also disturbances in telegraph systems over a 74 large portion of Earth. Observations of similarly rare events since then, such as recent studies 75 of the great magnetic storms of May 1921 and March 1989 and their effects (Hapgood, 2019; 76 Love, 2019; Boteler, 2019) have shown in more detail the ways in which "space weather" can 77 78 have deleterious impacts on large-scale human technological systems, even to the extent of 79 causing a blackout of the entire Hydro-Quebec electrical grid.

80 The mechanisms by which these externally driven events caused dangerous electrical currents at Earth's surface are now known to be rapid variations in Earth's geomagnetic field -81 large unipolar or bipolar solitary pulses predominantly in the premidnight sector or Pi3 or Ps6 82 pulsations in the postmidnight sector - with typical amplitudes $|\Delta B|$ of hundreds of nT and 5-10 83 84 min duration - (Viljanen 1997; Boteler, 1998; Viljanen et al. 2001, Pulkkinen 2017; Belakhovsky et al., 2018; Yagova et al., 2018; Vorobev et al., 2019; and Engebretson et al., 2020). Knipp 85 86 (2015) presented an annotated bibliography of studies of these geomagnetically induced 87 currents (GICs), and Ngwira and Pulkkinen (2019) provided an introduction to a collection of 88 recent studies of GIC events. Improved understanding of the physical mechanisms that 89 produce large, rapid, and localized variations in Earth's magnetic field has developed through

both observational studies such as those cited above and through computer simulations (e.g.
Wintoft et al., 2015; Honkonen et al., 2018; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2020; Welling et al., 2020;
and Marshalko et al., 2021). Recent efforts in the U.S. to support focused research, warning,
and mitigation efforts have been documented by Knipp and Gannon (2019).

94 The regions in which most rapid variations in Earth's geomagnetic field are located are 95 under the auroral zone, which is typically located between 60° and 75° in corrected geomagnetic latitude (MLAT). Because this "auroral oval" expands during major geomagnetic 96 97 storms, large, rapid magnetic field perturbation events can extend to middle latitudes where 98 denser networks of electrically conductive structures (high voltage power lines and pipelines) 99 exist. The extreme events during which large magnetic perturbation events (MPEs) occur at middle latitudes are rather rare, and many observational and modeling studies of extreme 100 101 MPEs have focused on these large geomagnetic storms or the substorms embedded within 102 them. To date, however, a detailed understanding of the chain of physical processes that cause 103 them remains elusive, and accurate predictions of their occurrence remain unattainable.

104 Viljanen and Tanskanen (2011) and Engebretson et al. (2019a,b) have noted that 105 extreme MPEs occur much more often at more typical auroral latitudes, so that a large set of 106 such events can be compiled for detailed statistical and event studies, using data from arrays of ground-based magnetometers and auroral imagers. Three years ago we began a survey of > 6 107 108 nT/s MPEs observed at high latitude stations during 2015 and 2017 in eastern Arctic Canada, part of 4 different magnetometer arrays. Any event with dB/dt > 5 nT/s is understood to be 109 large enough to cause magnetic induction hazards (Boteler, 2001, Woodroffe et al., 2016), so 110 these events (more than 50 per year at most of these stations) were all above the "danger" 111 threshold. Although the high latitude sites in Arctic Canada used in this paper are not 112 susceptible to GIC effects because of the absence of long electrical power lines or pipelines, the 113 physical mechanisms involving transient ionospheric currents that produce MPEs and GICs are 114 115 most likely to be the same under more expanded auroral oval conditions.

116 Two papers reporting results from this work were published in 2019 (Engebretson et al., 117 2019a,b): the first paper presented statistical results using data from these magnetometers, 118 and the second presented 3 case studies using auroral imagers and spacecraft data as well. A

third JGR paper (Engebretson et al., 2021, henceforth referred to as paper 3), as well as another
study that compared MPEs observed in the Arctic and Antarctic using some of these stations as
well as stations in Greenland (Engebretson et al., 2020), showed several differences in
characteristics between premidnight and postmidnight MPEs. At least some of the
postmidnight events were associated with auroral omega bands, as also noted by Viljanen et al.
(2001) and Apatenkov et al. (2020).

125 In this study we build on the data base of large nighttime MPEs used in paper 3 to present a superposed epoch analysis of these MPEs as functions of the interplanetary magnetic 126 127 field, the dynamic pressure, density, and velocity of the solar wind (from the OMNI database) time shifted to the nose of the Earth's bow shock, and the SML, SMU, and SYM/H geomagnetic 128 129 activity indices. Because our previous studies noted that a substantial fraction of these events 130 did not occur in close proximity to substorm onsets, analysis plots were produced separately at 131 each station not only for premidnight and postmidnight MPEs, but also for three ranges of time 132 after the most recent substorm onset: A) 0-30 min, B) 30-60 min, and C) >60 min.

By providing detailed information on the temporal dependence of these events as 133 134 functions of both external variables and geomagnetic activity indices, we provide statistical 135 associations that may be helpful for understanding or at least circumscribing the physical mechanisms involved in their generation. Section 2 describes the data used in this study and 136 137 the procedure used to identify and quantify MPEs. Sections 3 and 4 present superposed epoch analyses of each of the above external variables and of geomagnetic activity indices, 138 respectively. Section 5 summarizes these observations and discusses their implications in the 139 light of other recent studies, and section 6 presents our conclusions and remaining open 140 141 questions.

142

143 2. Magnetometer Data Set and Prior Studies

144

Vector magnetometer data used in this study were recorded during 2015 and 2017 at
five stations in the MACCS (<u>https://doi.org/10.48322/sydj-ab90</u>, Engebretson et al., 1995),
CANMOS (Nikitina et al., 2016), and AUTUMNX (Connors et al., 2016) arrays in Arctic Canada

with corrected geomagnetic latitude (MLAT) ranging from 64.7° to 75.2°, as detailed in Table 1 and Figure 1. Using a semi-automated process (described in detail in Engebretson et al., 2019a) we identified all the MPEs with derivative amplitudes ($|dB/dt| \ge 6 nT/s$). For each event we also recorded the values of the magnitude and vector components of the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF), solar wind pressure, number density, and speed, the SYM/H index, and the SuperMAG versions (SML and SMU) of the AL and AU auroral activity indices.

Figure 2, originally presented in paper 3, shows the number and amplitude of MPEs at each station as a function of their time delay after the most recent substorm onset (obtained from the SuperMAG substorm list using criteria detailed by Newell and Gjerloev, 2011). The two vertical blue bars separate the 3 time delay ranges. Roughly 10% of events at each station occurred more than 2 hours after substorm onset.

159 All of the \geq 6 nT/s perturbation events observed at these stations fell into the magnetic 160 local time(MLT) range from 17 to 07 MLT. These events are displayed as a function of MLT in 161 two ways in Figure 3 (also originally presented in paper 3). Figure 3a shows the number of occurrences of these MPEs at each station grouped in 1-hour MLT bins and sorted by magnetic 162 163 latitude. Different symbols are used to designate events based on the time of MPE occurrence 164 after the closest prior substorm onset: plus signs for $\Delta t_{so} \leq 30$ min, open squares for Δt_{so} between 30 and 60 min, and open triangles for $\Delta t_{so} \ge 60$ min. Two populations are evident in 165 166 this figure: a broad "premidnight" distribution extending from dusk to shortly after midnight (17 to 1 MLT) that appears at all latitudes shown, and a "postmidnight" distribution in the 167 168 midnight to dawn sector (2 to 7 MLT) that is prominent only at the lower latitude stations. The local time distributions in Figure 3a are consistent with the local time distribution of GICs in 169 Figure 12 of Pulkkinen et al. (2003), which was based on an updated and extended version of 170 171 the data originally presented by Viljanen et al. (2001). Both show two peaks: a broad premidnight one that extends to 1 MLT, and a smaller postmidnight one. The distribution of 172 173 substorm onsets determined from IMAGE-FUV observations shown in Figure 2 of Frey et al. 174 (2004) was similar to Figure 3a only in being confined to nighttime MLT hours; it was more tightly peaked between 21 and 01 MLT and had no secondary peak at later MLT. 175

Figure 3b shows the distribution of MPE derivative amplitudes (the maximum |dB/dt| during each event) at these same stations. MPE amplitudes were larger in the "premidnight" population at higher latitudes, but their amplitudes were similar in the two MLT ranges at the two lower latitude stations.

180 As Figure 3 shows, the number of "postmidnight" MPEs at the three most poleward 181 stations was much lower than at the two more equatorward stations. Table 2 presents the 182 numerical and percentage distributions of both "premidnight" and "postmidnight" MPEs in the 183 six MLT and Δt_{so} categories used throughout this paper.

184

3. Superposed Epoch Analysis: External Influences

186

187 Because activity in Earth's magnetosphere is driven in large part by external influences, 188 it has been helpful to investigate the time-dependent response of many magnetospheric 189 phenomena such as geomagnetic storms, substorms, and various categories of wave phenomena to levels of and variations in the solar wind plasma and IMF that impinge on it. In 190 191 this section we use the superposed epoch technique (Chree, 1913; Lühr et al., 1998) to 192 investigate both the levels and temporal variations of the dynamic pressure (Psw), number 193 density (Nsw), and velocity (Vsw) of the solar wind, and the magnitude |B| of the IMF, its 194 individual components Bx, By, and Bz in the GSM (geocentric solar magnetic) coordinate system, and its azimuthal angle in the ecliptic plane. 195

Each superposed epoch plot in sections 3 and 4 shows the value of a given external variable over an 8-hour period, from 4 hours before the occurrence of an MPE to 4 hours afterward. Plots with only black traces show median values at each station for MPE events in each of the six MLT and Δt_{so} categories described above (no plots are shown if the number of events in a given category was less than 5). Color plots, presented for selected stations and/or categories, show all instances of the given variable or index as thin black traces, as well as their median (yellow) and 25th and 75th percentiles (red).

203

3.1. Solar Wind Pressure, Density, and Velocity

Figure 4 shows median values of the solar wind dynamic pressure Psw for all five stations and in all six categories for which the number of events was \geq 5. Median Psw values were nearly flat between 2 and 3 nPa for all 3 premidnight categories, slightly larger than the two-year median Psw value of 1.95 nPa. Postmidnight median Psw values were larger (3 to nearly 15 nPa), more variable (partly because of the smaller number of events in panels b6-b7 and c6-c7), and exhibited a slight increase 30-120 min prior to t = 0 for events in categories A and B.

212 Medians of Psw, however, do not convey information about the distributions of their 213 values; in nearly every subcategory shown in Figure 4 at least one Psw trace had values 214 exceeding 10 nPa. Figure 5 shows two such examples, corresponding to panels a2 and a5 of 215 Figure 4. Although most MPEs occurred when Psw values were near or only slightly above the 216 yearly mean, a small number occurred during intervals of large and highly fluctuating Psw.

Figure 6 shows median Nsw values in a format similar to that of Figure 4. Median Nsw values were nearly flat from 4 hours before to 4 hours after premidnight MPE occurrences at all five stations, but with values ranging from 3.5 to 5.5 cm⁻³, compared to the two-year median Nsw value of 4.5 cm⁻³. For the considerably fewer postmidnight events (most at lower latitude), median Nsw values were higher (5 to 8 cm⁻³) and more variable but exhibited no consistent temporal pattern.

Example plots of all Nsw traces during category A events at CDR and KJPK are shown in Figure 7. Highly variable traces that exceeded 20 cm⁻³ were observed in a few cases, but many more traces remained below 3 cm⁻³ and were nearly steady.

226 Median Vsw values, shown in Figure 8, were between 450 and 650 km/s at all stations 227 both premidnight and postmidnight (somewhat above the two-year median Vsw value of 422 228 km/s). They showed no trends during the 8-hour interval about premidnight MPEs at the three 229 most poleward stations, but with slight gradual drops at the two lowest latitude stations. 230 Postmidnight Vsw values were more variable but with no consistent temporal pattern.

Example plots of all Vsw traces during category A events at CDR and KJPK are shown in Figure 9. Very few Vsw traces exceeded 700 km/s, and again these revealed no consistent temporal pattern; most of the individual traces shown were rather flat over the 8-hour interval.

Figure 9b shows a gap in Vsw traces near 550 km/s, which is partly obscured by the yellow median trace. One puzzling detail is that occurrence minima such as this, in the velocity range from ~500 to 600 km/s, appeared at several stations and in several event categories (not shown). We do not yet have an explanation for this gap.

238

239 3.2. Interplanetary Magnetic Field

240 Of the solar wind and IMF parameters studied, only IMF Bz showed any consistent pattern prior to MPE occurrence. Figure 10 shows that premidnight median Bz values in 241 242 categories A and B at all 5 stations had a 1-2 hour wide 1-3 nT negative minimum beginning ~30 to 80 min before t = 0 and rising to or beyond t = 0. The minima did <u>not</u> correspond to the time 243 of substorm onset. Negative medians preceded premidnight category C events as well, but 244 they were nearer 0 nT at the two higher latitude stations, and were successively more negative 245 246 with lower latitude. Median minima that were less consistent but often deeper preceded 247 postmidnight MPEs

Plots from two representative stations showing all Bz traces (Figures 11 and 12) indicate that this pattern held for the 25th and 75th percentile traces in most cases as well as for the medians, but that not every Bz trace was negative prior to MPE occurrence or showed a similar time dependence. Very similar patterns held for the all-trace Bz plots for the other three stations as well (not shown).

253 In contrast to the substantial negative excursions of IMF Bz medians prior to MPE occurrences in most panels of Figure 10, fewer identifiable patterns appeared in superposed 254 epoch plots of IMF Bx and By. Figures S1 and S2, in the same format as Figure 10, show the 255 median traces of IMF Bx and By for all six MLT and time delay categories. Premidnight category 256 257 A panels showed a 1-2 nT rise and slight fall in Bx during the 4 hours before MPE occurrence and a corresponding 1-2 nT fall and slight rise in By, but no consistent pattern was evident in 258 259 most panels prior to postmidnight MPEs or later premidnight MPEs. The magnitudes of both Bx 260 and By were also somewhat larger for postmidnight than premidnight events (note the larger 261 vertical scales).

262 Figure 13 shows superposed epoch plots of all IMF Bx and By values and the median and 263 25th and 75th percentiles in premidnight category A MPEs observed at Cape Dorset. The traces for both components were centered roughly near 0, but the median in Bx was < 0, and that in 264 By was > 0, consistent with a Parker-Spiral oriented IMF vector directed toward Earth. 265 266 However, the IMF Bx and By traces in Figure 13 also show a large range of values, both positive and negative, such that the 25th and 75th percentiles have opposite signs. Examination of similar 267 268 plots of Bx and By traces at all five stations and all six categories (not shown) revealed that the 269 25th and 75th percentile traces had opposite signs for most or all of the 8 hour interval in nearly 270 every case.

271 Figure S3 shows the medians of the x-y vector component of the IMF (in the ecliptic plane) for all six MLT and time delay categories. A Parker-Spiral orientation directed Earthward 272 273 was observed consistently for category A premidnight events (panels a1-a5) and was often 274 observed during category B premidnight events (panels b1-b5), but the directions were much 275 more varied and at times with ortho-Parker-Spiral orientation for category C premidnight events (panels c1-c5) and for all postmidnight events (panels a5-a6, b5-b6, and c5-c6). The 276 277 median IMF orientation during premidnight category A and B events was not only in the Parker-278 spiral direction, but was also oriented predominantly in the direction toward Earth. The implications of these patterns are unclear, but may provide clues for further study. The 279 280 magnitudes of postmidnight median vectors were also larger than premidnight ones, consistent with the larger medians in Bx and By. 281

282 In order to determine the influence of large IMF By events on MPE occurrence, we compared 156 events during 2015 compiled by Shane Coyle of Virginia Tech 283 (doi:10.5281/zenodo.4657235) when the IMF vector was within ± 30 degrees of the GSM Y-axis, 284 285 |By|was > 6 nT, and events lasted longer than 30 minutes, to the times of MPE occurrences at 286 3 stations during that year. Only one of these MPEs (62 at CDR, 67 at IQA, and 71 at KJPK) 287 occurred during the time of the large IMF By events. This suggests that MPEs require IMF 288 conditions prior or during their occurrence that are dominated by negative IMF Bz, and thus 289 any effects of large IMF By orientations to promote asymmetry between hemispheres might 290 not apply strongly to these nighttime impulsive events.

291 Figure S4 shows that median IMF |B| values were nearly flat from 4 hours before to 4 292 hours after premidnight MPE occurrences at all five stations; there was no consistent pattern to the small deviations observed at the two stations with the smallest numbers of events (RBY and 293 KJPK). Premidnight |B| values were between 5 and 6 nT at the four most poleward stations 294 295 (only slightly higher than the two-year median |B| value of 5.16 nT) and somewhat higher at 296 KJPK (between 5 and 8 nT). In contrast, median |B| traces for postmidnight MPEs were more 297 variable and dropped gradually from between 8 and 12 nT to between 5 and 8 nT during the 8hour interval. Figure 14, which shows plots of all IMF|B|traces for category A premidnight 298 299 MPEs at Cape Dorset and Kuujuarapik, again indicates the wide range of IMF magnitudes and the lack of any consistent temporal patterns before, during, and after MPEs occurring within 30 300 minutes of substorm onsets. A similarly wide range of magnitudes was observed at each 301 302 station and in all six categories.

303

304

4. Superposed Epoch Analysis: Geomagnetic Activity Indices

305

Because geomagnetic activity indices are derived from previous or at best near real time observations of activity such as geomagnetic storms or substorms, they of course cannot be used as predictors of such activity. However, superposed epoch plots of global indices may reveal temporal patterns in activity that can aid in understanding the causal chain leading to their occurrence. In this section we present such plots for three indices: SME, SML, and SYM/ H.

312

313 4.1 SML and SMU

Like the more traditional AL and AU auroral electrojet indices, the SuperMAG versions of the these indices, SML and SMU respectively, measure aspects of auroral power (Newell and Gjerloev, 2011a,b), but they are based on data from a much larger set of ground observatories. SML and SMU measure the strength of the westward (eastward) electrojet, respectively, and rapid and moderately sustained decreases in SML are used to identify substorm onsets.

Figures 15 and 17 show superposed epoch plots of all SML and SMU values,

respectively, as well as medians and 25th and 75th percentiles from Cape Dorset, Salluit, and
 Kuujuarapik. Similar plots from Repulse Bay and Iqaluit closely resembled those from Cape
 Dorset (not shown).

323 Panels a1-a3, b1-b3, and c1-c3 of Figure 15 show that a wide range of SML values for 324 premidnight events at each station appeared throughout the 8-hour periods shown, with a large 325 number of values near 0 both before and after t = 0 but also with some large negative values, extending 326 to even -1600 nT, in most panels. However, very similar temporal patterns appeared in the median and both the 25th and 75th percentile traces of category A premidnight events (panels a1-a3): a modest slow 327 328 rise from -4 to -1.5 h was followed by a much steeper decrease with increasingly negative slope from -329 1.5 h to t = 0 h, with a median amplitude drop of ~400 nT. After a more gradual rise after t = 0 SML 330 values returned to earlier levels after ~2 h. A large number of traces had minima between ~-15 min and +30 min, suggesting that many of the MPEs in this category coincided approximately with the 331 times of the most intense westward electrojets. It is consistent with the time delays shown in 332 333 Figure 2 that only a few MPEs coincided with onsets (0-30 min prior), which are associated with 334 rapid but step-like drops in SML.

335 Figure 16 provides a zoomed-in histogram view of the distribution of minima in each 336 SML trace at Cape Dorset and Kuujuarapik in the range from -30 to +30 min epoch time for 337 category A premidnight events. Panel (a) shows a nearly symmetric distribution at Cape Dorset 338 between -5 and +5 min and peaked at 0 min, with very few events beyond ± 10 min. Panel (b) 339 shows a distribution that was skewed 2-3 minutes toward later times but again had very few 340 events beyond ±10 min. Both panels confirm that MPEs and minima in SML during category A premidnight events very often occurred within ~10 minutes of each other, but also shows that 341 they were only occasionally simultaneous. This time range is consistent with observations that 342 343 large MPEs often occur during the downward (upward) slopes before (after) the times of minima of 5-10 min duration negative spikes in Bx (cf. example event waveforms Figures 3, 6, 344 and 9 of Engebretson et al., 2019b). 345

Panels b1-b3 of Figure 15 show that for category B premidnight events minima in the medians and 25th and 75th percentile traces occurred ~30 min before t = 0 at CDR and SALU, and 15-25 min at KJPK, so most of these MPEs did not coincide with peaks in the westward

electrojet or with substorm onsets. Very few large negative peaks appeared in the traces in panels c1-c3 for category C premidnight events, and none of the most negative ones occurred near t = 0. The traces, median, and the 25th and 75th percentile traces in these panels also were much less variable than those for categories A and B events.

353 Panels a4-a6 and b4-b6 of Figure 15 show that postmidnight SML temporal patterns at 354 each station were similar to their premidnight counterparts in each category, but with ~2 times 355 more negative values. They also showed much more variability, due in part to the smaller 356 number of postmidnight events at each station. In contrast to the relatively flat premidnight 357 category C panels c1-c3, postmidnight panels c4-c6 showed large negative peaks for ~2 hours 358 prior to t = 0 and occasional large negative peaks throughout the 8-hour period shown. The 359 medians and both percentiles tended toward 0 nT from -1 h to slightly past t = 0, again suggesting little or no temporal correlation between these MPEs and simultaneously increased 360 361 electrojet activity. Premidnight median minima were deeper for category A MPEs than for 362 premidnight categories with larger time delays, but postmidnight minima in the median and 363 both percentiles were at some stations deepest for categories with larger time delays, but as noted above the latter minima did not occur at t = 0. 364

365 We further note that those SML traces in premidnight panels a1-a3 that were near 0 nT prior to t = -5 min dropped sharply by t = 0. Similar drops began slightly earlier in premidnight 366 367 panels b1-b3, and all of the SML traces in postmidnight panels a4-a6 and b4-b6 dropped to below -200 nT by t = 0. These rapid drops may reflect the rapid development of the localized 368 369 currents that drive MPEs. Of course, based on this information alone one cannot distinguish between currents that are stationary (but with amplitudes rapidly changing in time) from 370 rapidly moving current structures that may have more constant amplitude. A denser array of 371 372 ground stations and a different type of analysis would be needed to distinguish between these two extremes. 373

The SMU traces in Figure 17 again showed category-dependent variations, but with some important differences in their time dependence from those in SML. Premidnight category A SMU traces (panels a1-a3) peaked 10-15 min after the MPEs, while rises in category B traces were broader in time and reached their maximum values 15-20 min before the MPEs, and category C

378 traces at 2 of the 3 stations shown maximized from 10 to 40 min after the MPEs. The 379 postmidnight patterns for SMU also differed considerably from those for SML. Whereas panels a4-a6 of Figure 15 showed SML minima in medians and both 25^{th} and 75^{th} percentiles at t = 0, the median SMU 380 381 traces in panels a4-a5 of Figure 16 were essentially flat, and the trace in panel a6 had a modest peak 382 centered at t = +30 min. Peaks in median SMU values appeared in panels b4-b6 of Figure 16 at or 383 slightly after t = 0, while minima in median SML values in panels b4-b6 of Figure 15 appeared from 15 to 384 45 min before t = 0. The relative increases of peaks in median SMU values in panels b4-b6 and c5-c6 in 385 Figure 16, however, were similar to the relative decreases of peaks in median SML in panels b4-b6 and 386 c5-c6 of Figure 15. The behavior of the SML and SMU traces in category A near 0 nT before t = 0 also 387 differed: Nearly all SML traces in panels a1-a6 of Figure 15 dropped to -150 nT or below at t = 0, but the 388 lowest SMU traces in panels a1-a2 and a4-a5 of Figure 17 were nearly flat and the lowest SMU traces in 389 panels a3 and a6 (for MPEs at KJPK) increased only slightly.

Panels a1-a3, b1-b3, and c1-c3 of Figure 17 show the vast majority of SMU traces for 390 391 premidnight events were below 200 nT throughout the 8-hour periods shown, with only those at and above the 75^{th} percentile in panel a1 exceeding that value within ±30 minutes of t = 0. From -4 to -1 h 392 393 and +1 to + 4h the median SMU values were relatively constant and 50 to 100 nT higher in all three 394 premidnight categories at KJPK than at CDR or SALU. The relative scale of increases in SMU panels a1-395 a3 of Figure 16 was only ~half as large as the relative scale of decreases in SML in panels a1-a3 of Figure 396 15, and the relative scale of SMU increases in panels b1-b3 and c1-c3 of Figure 16 was also smaller than 397 those of SML decreases in panels b1-b3 and c1-c3 of Figure 15.

398

399 4.2. SYM/H

The SYM/H index (lyemori et al., 2010), like the older DST index developed by Sugiura 400 and Poros (1971), describes longitudinally symmetric geomagnetic disturbances at mid-401 402 latitudes, but with higher time resolution - 1 minute vs. 1 hour (Wanliss and Showalter, 2006). 403 Figure 18 shows superposed epoch plots of all SYM/H traces and the median, 25th, and 75th percentiles in premidnight category A MPEs observed at CDR and KJPK. Their wide range of 404 values, from \leq -150 to > +30 nT, was typical of those in all categories at all five stations. The 405 pattern of SYM/H traces at CDR shown in Figure 18a was very similar to the category A 406 distributions at RBY, IQA, and SALU (not shown): they were distributed rather uniformly 407 408 between -40 and +10 nT, with only a small number of traces being below -50 nT. Most of the

409 traces at KJPK were more negative (between 0 and -70 nT) but were not as clearly dominant in410 a limited range.

Figure S5 shows the medians of SYM/H for all six MLT and time delay categories. 411 Premidnight median values were near -20 nT at the four most poleward stations (panels a1-a4, 412 413 b1-b4 and c1-c4), but nearer to -30 at KJPK (panels a1, b1, and c1). The medians in most 414 premidnight panels showed temporal variations of up to ± 3 nT, but these showed little consistency between stations. The medians for all of the postmidnight MPEs at SALU and KJPK 415 showed a 10-20 nT negative trend before MPE occurrence and were lower (-30 to -50 nT) near 416 417 and after t = 0, regardless of delay after substorm onset. The larger short-term variations of the medians in panels b6, b7, c6, and c7 are due to the smaller number of events in these 418 categories. Most of the few postmidnight MPEs the three higher latitude stations RBY, CDR, 419 and IQA (not shown) showed similar trends. 420

421

422 5. Summary of Observations

423

This study has presented a superposed epoch analysis of the occurrence of large MPEs as a function of properties of the solar wind, the IMF, and three global geomagnetic activity indices. In order to help identify possible physical mechanisms responsible for their occurrence, analyses were performed separately for premidnight events (1700-0100 MLT) and postmidnight events (0200-0700 MLT), and in both MLT sectors for three ranges of time delay after the most recent substorm onset.

430 Here we briefly summarize the patterns identified by this analysis.

Median Psw traces for premidnight events were between 2 and 3 nPa and nearly
 constant in time, but slightly above the 2-year median value of 1.95 nPa for all of 2015
 and 2017. Postmidnight Psw traces were somewhat higher, between 3 and 5 nPa, and
 variable only for categories with 6 or fewer events. The few traces in most categories
 and most stations that were above 10 nPa revealed no consistent temporal pattern.
 Median premidnight Nsw and Vsw traces were again mostly flat, but with slightly more

437 scatter before, during, and after MPEs. Premidnight Nsw medians ranged from 3.5 to

5.5 cm⁻³, straddling the 2-year median value of 4.5 cm⁻³ for all of 2015 and 2017. Nsw 438 values well above 20 cm⁻³ were observed in a few premidnight cases, but many more 439 Nsw traces remained below 3 cm⁻³. Postmidnight Nsw medians were considerably 440 higher (5 to 8 cm⁻³) and more variable but again exhibited no temporal pattern. 441 442 3. Both premidnight and postmidnight Vsw medians ranged from 450 to 650 km/s, 443 somewhat above the 2-year value of 422 km/s. Premidnight Vsw medians had scatter similar to that of the Nsw medians; those at the three higher latitude stations were flat 444 (no temporal trends). Premidnight Vsw medians at the two lower latitude stations 445 showed slight gradual drops over the 8-hr interval. Postmidnight Vsw medians were 446 more variable but showed no consistent temporal pattern. Very few Vsw traces 447 associated with premidnight events (Figure 9) exceeded 700 km/s, and again these 448 revealed no consistent temporal pattern; most of the individual traces shown were 449 450 rather flat over the 8-hour interval.

4. Of the IMF parameters studied, only IMF Bz showed any consistent pattern prior to MPE
occurrence. Although there were some exceptions, median, 25th, and 75th percentile Bz
traces had a 1-2 hour wide 1-3 nT negative minimum at all stations beginning ~30 to 80
min before premidnight MPEs. Minima that were less consistent but often deeper
preceded postmidnight MPEs.

456 5. Median traces for IMF Bx and IMF By were variable (± 1-2 nT) for all event categories but showed no consistent temporal patterns. Premidnight category A Bx and By median 457 traces were often consistent with a garden-hose IMF orientation, but premidnight 458 category B and C traces and postmidnight traces in all three categories were not. For 459 most stations and categories, however, the 25th percentile trace was <0 and the 75th 460 461 percentile trace >0. These several features suggest that the signs of Bx and By did not exert any significant influence over the occurrence of MPEs. It is notable, however, that 462 463 MPE occurrence was suppressed during extended intervals when By was the largest of 464 the three components of the IMF.

465
 6. Median vectors of the IMF in the ecliptic plane give a complementary perspective on the
 466
 IMF Bx and By components, although they also do not show the great variety of vector

467 series associated with individual events. The median vectors for premidnight category A MPEs at all five stations were consistently not only in the Parker-Spiral orientation but 468 almost always directed Earthward. The median vectors for Category B premidnight 469 MPEs often but not always followed this pattern, but the median vectors for the other 470 471 categories were highly variable and their vectors were larger for postmidnight events. 472 7. Median IMF |B| traces were nearly flat at all five stations for all three premidnight 473 categories, with values between 5 and 6 nT (only slightly above the two-year median of 5.16 nT) at the four most poleward stations, and somewhat higher at KJPK, while the 474 475 postmidnight values were significantly higher and dropped gradually during the 8-hour interval. |B| values ranged widely in all categories at all stations, 476

8. Superposed epoch traces of the SML index showed the most variety of behavior as a 477 function of category of any parameter studied here. As was the case for IMF Bz, 478 479 although a number of highly disturbed traces were observed, the medians and 25th and 480 75th percentile traces were very similar to each other in every category except those 481 with \leq 6 events. However, the temporal variations differed strongly between categories A, B, and C. The minimum values of SML category A median and percentile traces 482 483 occurred very near to the time of MPE occurrence for both premidnight and postmidnight events, suggesting a close connection to intensification of large-scale 484 485 westward electrojets as part of the substorm process. A detailed comparison of the time of SML minima relative to MPE occurrences for premidnight MPEs at two stations 486 showed that most minima occurred within \pm 5 - 10 minutes, consistent with the typical 487 duration of the large negative spikes in B_x that are so often associated with large 488 derivatives. For category B events, however, the minimum values of the SML traces 489 490 occurred from 15 to 45 minutes before the time of MPEs. For postmidnight category C events the minimum values of these traces occurred even earlier (~1 h) relative to the 491 492 time of MPEs, while for premidnight MPEs the medians and most percentile traces were 493 nearly flat. The patterns for categories B and C suggest that local rather than more spatially extended increases in ionospheric currents were often associated with these 494 495 MPE occurrences.

496 9. Median and percentile SMU traces of showed less temporal variability than SML traces, 497 but their maxima also varied in time relative to MPE occurrence for categories A, B, and C. Premidnight category A SMU traces peaked 10-15 min after the MPEs, while rises in 498 category B traces were broader in time and reached their maximum values 15-20 min 499 500 before the MPEs and category C traces at 2 of the 3 stations exhibited only very weak 501 maxima from 10 to 40 min after the MPEs. Postmidnight category A SMU traces showed 502 inconsistent temporal variations, while postmidnight category B and C traces showed peaks near the time of MPE occurrence, suggesting that at least some of these MPEs 503 504 may have been associated with eastward electrojets.

50510. SYM/H medians were relatively flat near -20 nT for premidnight events at the four most506poleward stations, and ~10 nT lower at KJPK. Individual traces in all categories varied507widely in level, from \ge 30 nT to \le -150 nT, but most were distributed rather uniformly508between -40 and + 10 nT, with no consistent temporal pattern. However, the medians509for the postmidnight MPEs at all stations showed a negative 10-20 nT trend in SYM/H510before MPE occurrence; many but not all of the individual traces also showed this511negative trend.

- 512
- 513 6. Discussion and Conclusions
- 514

515 The superposed epoch analysis presented here of upstream solar wind and IMF 516 parameters as well as global geomagnetic indices provides additional evidence of the 517 complexity of circumstances under which large localized magnetic perturbations that can cause 518 GICs can occur.

519 Our separation of nighttime MPEs into 6 categories based on MLT and time of 520 occurrence after the most recent substorm onset has shown a nearly consistent temporal 521 pattern in only one upstream parameter, the north-south component of the IMF (IMF Bz): 522 most MPEs at all five stations and in all six categories were preceded by drops and rises in the 523 median IMF Bz component ~1 hour prior to MPE occurrence. We can thus confirm the findings 524 of two other recent studies that long or even somewhat shorter intervals of southward IMF

525 favored the occurrence of these events. Rogers et al. (2020), using 125 ground-based 526 magnetometers, found that most very large cluster peaks of events with $|dB_{\mu}/dt|$ amplitude exceeding the 99.97th percentile at auroral latitudes (55° < MLAT < 75°) in the 20 to 24 h MLT 527 sector occurred during times when IMF Bz was negative, and that occurrences between 3 and 6 528 529 MLT were also enhanced under conditions of negative Bz. Dimmock et al. (2020), in a study 530 using 17 years of observations of large dB/dt events recorded in ground magnetometer data 531 obtained by the IMAGE magnetometer network in the Baltic and Fennoscandian region, found that the largest derivatives occurred during times when the IMF Bz component was strongly 532 533 southward. They linked these conditions to times of substorm activity, but also noted that 534 rapidly varying small-scale currents provided significant contributions to the derivatives of the 535 magnetic field.

536 Our superposed epoch study did reveal a modest number of individual exceptions to 537 this ~1 hour drop and rise pattern in IMF Bz values. However, it is important to note some of the limitations of the OMNI data set, based on solar wind monitoring from spacecraft near the 538 L1 libration point, as reviewed by, e.g., Borovsky (2017) and Walsh et al. (2019). First, there are 539 540 uncertainties in propagation timing from the upstream monitor(s) near the L1 libration point to 541 Earth's magnetosphere; these can be on the order of 10-15 min. These timing variations would act to smooth out small-scale (<15 minute) features in the solar wind and IMF that were not 542 543 temporally correlated with MPE events, and slightly broaden the signatures of features that were temporally correlated with them. 544

Second, and of more relevance for IMF Bz variations, some solar wind plasma monitored by L1 monitors does not reach the Earth. The solar wind/IMF plasma has a spaghetti-like structure (Borovsky, 2008) that has a transverse scale size relative to the direction of the IMF with medians of 45 R_{E} (Richardson and Paularena, 2001) or 70 R_{E} (Borovsky, 2008).

549 Consequently, the large halo orbits of these L1 monitors at times place them more than a scale 550 size away from the Sun-Earth line. These factors, coupled with the directional variability of the 551 solar wind velocity vector, indicate that the observations on which OMNI data are based do not 552 always correspond to the solar wind flux tube(s) that impinge on Earth's magnetosphere. 553 Walsh et al. (2019) and Bier et al. (2014) showed examples during which the measured IMF

orientation was significantly different at Wind and ACE, and Bier et al. (2014) and Wang et al.
(2016) showed better correlations between magnetospheric phenomena (Pc3-4 waves and
poleward moving aurora forms, respectively) and IMF measurements from near-Earth solar
wind monitors such as THEMIS, Geotail, and Cluster than those measured and time-shifted from
the L1 region by Wind and/or ACE. it is thus possible that the small number of intervals of
positive IMF B_z identified in our superposed epoch analysis near and shortly before the time of
MPEs may be examples of such cases.

561 Several observational studies have concluded that substorms are often associated with 562 strong localized magnetic perturbations (Viljanen et al., 2006, Pulkkinen et al., 2003, 2015, Ngwira et al., 2015, 2018). Our results concur, to the extent that the majority of MPEs in both 563 MLT ranges (64 and 66%) in our data set occurred within 30 min of a substorm onset (category 564 565 A), and on average these MPEs occurred close to the times of minima in SML (and by inference 566 close to the times of maxima in nighttime westward electrojets). However, the remaining 34-567 36% were much less closely linked to substorms or large-scale electrojets. Negative IMF Bz values also preceded most MPEs that occurred long after the most recent substorm onset, and 568 569 under conditions when the SML index was much less disturbed. A recent study by Freeman et 570 al. (2019) found a similar result: In data from 3 stations in the UK over two solar cycles (only) 54–56% of all extreme rate of change values occurred during substorm expansion or recovery 571 572 phases.

The consistency with which substorms might drive MPEs was addressed in paper 3, in a 573 comparison of the number of substorm onsets and ≥ 6 nT/s MPE onsets during 2015 and 2017 574 between 2330 and 0600 UT (the interval when most of both onsets and MPEs occurred at these 575 five stations) in order to estimate the percentages of substorm onsets after which no MPE 576 577 occurred within 60 minutes. These ranged from 75 to 92%, indicating that most substorms were not associated with any large MPEs. Ngwira et al. (2018) came to a similar conclusion: 578 579 only a small fraction of substorms typically lead to extreme geomagnetic fluctuations. We 580 conclude that neither the occurrence of a substorm nor of a drop and rise in IMF Bz (based on 581 the OMNI data set) can provide accurate temporal predictions of all MPE occurrences.

582 The medians of several other upstream parameters, such as the IMF magnitude, solar 583 wind pressure, and velocity, showed little or no temporal variations during the 8 hours before, 584 during, or after MPE occurrence, even though some individual traces were quite large and/or variable. Of these four parameters, the lack of temporal correlation with Psw may seem to be 585 586 the most surprising, for two reasons. First, there is a well-documented association between 587 interplanetary shocks and sudden impulses (large transient changes in geomagnetic fields on 588 the dayside) and consequent large changes in geomagnetic fields (Araki, 1994; Villante and 589 Piersanti, 2012; Oliveira and Raeder, 2015). However, dayside MPEs, which are often 590 associated with sudden impulses stimulated by large solar wind-induced magnetospheric 591 compressions, were not included in our database. As was noted in paper 3, the nighttime MPE 592 occurrences at each station were compared with the list of interplanetary shocks compiled by 593 Oliveira et al. (2018) in order to identify externally triggered events. Only one nighttime MPE event 594 coincided with a shock event within 30 minutes, and was removed from our database. The 595 occurrence of EMIC waves also correlates strongly with increases in Psw (e.g., Anderson and 596 Hamilton, 1993; Usanova et al., 2012; Tetrick et al., 2017) and Psw has thus been used to 597 parameterize EMIC wave occurrence in the VERB model (Drozdov et al., 2020). Superposed 598 epoch analyses by Tetrick et al. (2017) showed that even nightside EMIC wave occurrences tended to follow increases in Psw, consistent with event studies by Meurant et al. (2003), Lee et 599 al. (2005, 2007), Zhang et al. (2005, 2008), and Søraas et al. (2013). We speculate that the 600 601 reason that nightside EMIC waves can be triggered by increases in Psw while nightside MPEs are not is that MPEs are in some way triggered not in the inner magnetosphere but in the 602 magnetotail. 603

Our superposed epoch analyses of the global SML, SMU, and SYM/H indices have also shown complex temporal relationships to MPE occurrences that in several cases have been anticipated in earlier studies. In a majority of category A events (occurring within 30 min following a substorm onset) minima in SML events coincided within a few min with MPE occurrences (Figures 15 and 16). This may imply either that (a) the current system that causes most premidnight MPEs is the substorm-related westward auroral electrojet, or (b) the process that causes premidnight MPEs tends to occur near the peak of substorm intensity. However,

611 for category B premidnight events there was a time delay of ~30 min between less well-defined median and 25th and 75th percentile minima in SML and the time of MPE occurrence, and for 612 category C events the medians and 25th and 75th percentiles of SML were nearly flat at CDR and 613 SALU, and their minima in SML at KJPK were weak and occurred even earlier relative to t = 0. 614 615 Thus our grouping of MPEs into categories A, B, and C has both suggested not only a strong 616 connection between SML (and the westward electrojet) for events occurring within 30 min of 617 substorm onset, but also little or no close temporal connection between SML and the smaller 618 number of MPEs in categories B and C.

Two recent studies (Huttunen et al., 2002; Pulkkinen et al., 2003) of GIC events that occurred during the April 6-7 2000 magnetic storm came to conclusions consistent with these patterns: although most of the peak GIC events during the storm were clearly related to substorm intensifications, there were no common characteristics discernible in substorm behavior that could be associated with all the GIC peaks. In particular, both very localized ionospheric current structures (extremely localized and short-lived electrojet activations) and relatively large-scale propagating structures were observed during the peaks in GIC.

Another feature of interest in panels A1-A6 of Figure 15 is the wide range of minima in SML near t = 0 for category A events, from below -1600 nT to -100 nT, even though all of the MPEs included in these panels had large (≥ 6 nT/s) derivatives. Several earlier studies (e.g., Viljanen, 1997; Viljanen et al., 2006; and Engebretson et al., 2019a) reported a similar lack of good correlation between ΔB and dB/dt amplitudes during large MPEs. This lack can be attributed to two characteristics of the observed MPEs: their short duration relative to the full ΔB excursion, and their greater variability in direction.

The significantly larger range in SML values for postmidnight events than for premidnight events in all three time delay categories (also shown in Figure 15) is more difficult to understand. As Engebretson et al. (2020) suggested in regard to the MPEs associated with an interval of omega bands, it is possible that two separate and highly localized magnetotailmagnetosphere-ionosphere coupling mechanisms may be responsible for generating the large, rapid geomagnetic perturbations that generate premidnight and postmidnight GICs, respectively.

640 Finally, a wide range of SYM/H values appeared in the individual traces at each station before, during, and after premidnight MPEs, but their median values were nearly flat and 641 consistent with quiet or moderate nonstorm conditions (near -20 nT at 4 stations and near -30 642 nT at KJPK, the latter presumably related to conditions when a more expanded auroral oval was 643 644 overhead). These observations are consistent with the results shown in Figure 5 of paper 3: 645 although the probability of MPE occurrence for a given range of SYM/H was higher for more 646 negative values, at all 5 stations the total number of MPE occurrences peaked during quiet conditions (SYM/H between -20 and -30 nT). Only for postmidnight MPEs was a negative trend 647 648 observed before t = 0; the mechanisms contributing to this trend are currently unknown.

649 In regard to the use of geomagnetic indices in general, Kozyreva et al. (2018) noted that the location and timing of large $|dB_{H}/dt|$ events were not well predicted by geomagnetic index 650 651 statistics, and Dimmock et al. (2019) noted in their detailed study of magnetic perturbations 652 and GICs during 7 and 8 September 2017 that the peak GIC did not occur during the intervals of 653 the largest depression in the Dst index or of any clear upstream trigger. They noted that unusually large GIC amplitudes could be associated with westward and eastward electrojets, 654 655 but also that the fine structures of geomagnetic variations which drive GICs are extremely 656 difficult to predict, and further that global geomagnetic indices are not ideal metrics to 657 determine the occurrence or amplitude of GICs.

In summary, the detailed observations presented here provide further evidence of the difficulty in accurately predicting the occurrence of all MPEs and their associated GICs, to say nothing of their specific locations, using observations of external parameters (IMF and solar wind) or global magnetic activity indices. Pulkkinen et al. (2006) reached an even stronger conclusion: although the likelihood of large amplitude fluctuations certainly increases during times of overall geomagnetic activity, "the temporal behavior of the time derivative of the ground magnetic field may not be predictable in a deterministic sense."

Many studies have suggested that mesoscale or small-scale structures in the magnetotail such as bursty bulk flows and dipolarizing flux bundles are closely related to the localized auroral structures associated with many MPEs, but to our knowledge only very recent studies by Nishimura et al. (2020) and Wei et al. (2021) have provided evidence of such

- 669 relations. It is hoped that future multispacecraft and multimission observational studies will be
- able to determine whether such a relationship exists, and if so, whether such observations will
- be able to provide a predictive capability for all or nearly all MPEs and their associated GICs.
- 672

673 Acknowledgments

674

This research was supported by NSF grants AGS-1651263 and AGS-2013648 to Augsburg
University, AGS-1654044 and AGS-2013433 to the University of Michigan, PLR-1543364, AGS2027210,
and AGS-2027168.to Virginia Tech, and at UCLA by the MMS project. MC thanks NSERC for
research support and the Canadian Space Agency for support of AUTUMNX. The spherical
elementary currents produced by J. M. Weygand were made possible by NASA grants
80NSSC18K1220, 80NSSC18K1227, 80NSSC20K1364, 80NSSC18K0570, and NASA Contract
80GSFC17C0018.

682

683 Data Availability Statement

684

685 MACCS magnetometer data are available at

- 686 <u>http://space.augsburg.edu/maccs/requestdatafile.jsp</u>, AUTUMNX magnetometer data are
- 687 available in IAGA 2002 ASCII format at
- 688 <u>http://autumn.athabascau.ca/autumnxquery2.php?year=2015&mon=01&day=01</u>
- and CANMOS magnetometer data, provided by the Geological Survey of Canada, are available
- 690 in IAGA 2002 ASCII format at <u>http://geomag.nrcan.gc.ca/data-donnee/sd-en.php</u>. GOES 13
- 691 magnetometer data are available at <u>https://satdat.ngdc.noaa.gov/sem/goes/data/new_full/</u>.
- 692 THEMIS auroral imager data are available at the website (<u>http://themis.ssl.berkeley.edu</u>).
- 693 OMNI solar wind and interplanetary magnetic field data time shifted to the nose of the Earth's
- 694 bow shock and SYM/H index data are available at the Goddard Space Flight Center Space
- 695 Physics Data Facility at https://cdaweb.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/index.html/. The SME index is
- 696 available at http://supermag.jhuapl.edu/indices/, and the SuperMAG substorm

697 database is available online at http://supermag.jhuapl.edu/substorms/. Jesper Gjerloev is 698 SuperMAG Principal Investigator. These SuperMAG products are derived from magnetometer data from INTERMAGNET, Alan Thomson; USGS, Jeffrey J. Love; CARISMA, PI Ian Mann; 699 CANMOS, Geomagnetism Unit of the Geological Survey of Canada; The S-RAMP Database, PI K. 700 701 Yumoto and Dr. K. Shiokawa; The SPIDR database; AARI, PI Oleg Troshichev; The MACCS 702 program, PI M. Engebretson; GIMA; MEASURE, UCLA IGPP and Florida Institute of Technology; 703 SAMBA, PI Eftyhia Zesta; 210 Chain, PI K. Yumoto; SAMNET, PI Farideh Honary; IMAGE, PI Liisa Juusola; Finnish Meteorological Institute, PI Liisa Juusola; Sodankylä Geophysical Observatory, 704 705 PI Tero Raita; UiT the Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø Geophysical Observatory, PI Magnar 706 G. Johnsen; GFZ German Research Centre For Geosciences, PI Jürgen Matzka; Institute of 707 Geophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, PI Anne Neska and Jan Reda; Polar Geophysical 708 Institute, PI Alexander Yahnin and Yarolav Sakharov; Geological Survey of Sweden, PI Gerhard 709 Schwarz; Swedish Institute of Space Physics, PI Masatoshi Yamauchi; AUTUMN, PI Martin Connors; DTU Space, PI Dr. Thom R. Edwards and Anna Willer; PENGUIn; South Pole and 710 McMurdo Magnetometer, PIs Louis J. Lanzerotti and Allan T. Weatherwax; ICESTAR; 711 712 RAPIDMAG; British Antarctic Survey; McMAC, PI Dr. Peter Chi; BGS, PI Dr. Susan Macmillan; 713 Pushkov Institute of Terrestrial Magnetism, Ionosphere and Radio Wave Propagation (IZMIRAN);; MFGI, PI B. Heilig; Institute of Geophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, PI Anne 714 715 Neska and Jan Reda; and University of L'Aquila, PI M. Vellante; BCMT, V. Lesur and A. Chambodut; Data obtained in cooperation with Geoscience Australia, PI Marina Costelloe; 716 717 AALPIP, co-PIs Bob Clauer and Michael Hartinger; SuperMAG, Data obtained in cooperation with the Australian Bureau of Meteorology, PI Richard Marshall. 718 719

720 References

721

Apatenkov, S. V., Pilipenko, V. A., Gordeev, E. I., Viljanen, A., Juusola, L., Belakhovsky, V. B., and
Selivanov, V. N. (2020), Auroral omega bands are a significant cause of large
geomagnetically induced currents, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47, e2019GL086677,
doi:10.1029/2019GL086677

726 Anderson, B. J. and D. C. Hamilton (1993), Electromagnetic ion cyclotron waves stimulated by

- modest magnetospheric compressions, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 98, 1136911382, doi:10.1029/93JA00605
- 729 Araki, T. (1994), A physical model of the geomagnetic sudden commencement, in Solar Wind
- 730 Sources of Magnetospheric Ultra-Low-Frequency Waves, edited by M. J. Engebretson, K.
- Takahashi, and M. Scholer, Geophysical. Monograph Series, 81, 183-200,
- 732 doi:10.1029/GM081p0183
- Belakhovsky V.B., Sakharov Y.A., Pilipenko V.A., and Selivanov V.N. (2018), Characteristics of the
 variability of a geomagnetic field for studying the impact of the magnetic storms and
 substorms on electrical energy systems, *Izvestiya*. *Physics of the Solid Earth*, 54, 52–65,
- 736 doi:10.1134/S1069351318010032
- 737 Bier, E. A., N. Owusu, M. J. Engebretson, J. L. Posch, M. R. Lessard, and V. A. Pilipenko (2014),
- Investigating the IMF cone angle control of Pc3-4 pulsations observed on the ground, J. *Geophys. Res. Space Physics*, 119, 1797–1813, doi:10.1002/2013JA019637
- 740 Borovsky, J. E. (2008), Flux tube texture of the solar wind: Strands of the magnetic carpet at 1
- 741 AU?, Journal of Geophysical Research, 113, A08110, doi:10.1029/2007JA012684
- 742 Borovsky, J. E. (2017), The spatial structure of the oncoming solar wind at Earth and the
- 743 shortcomings of a solar wind monitor at L1, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar -
- 744 Terrestrial Physics, 177, 2-11, doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2017.03.014
- 745 Boteler, D. H., R. J. Pirjola, and H Nevanlinna (1998), The effects of geomagnetic disturbances
- on electrical systems at the Earth's surface (1998), *Advances in Space Research*, 22, 1727, doi:10.1016/S0273-1177(97)01096-X
- Boteler, D. H. (2001), Assessment of geomagnetic hazard to power systems in Canada, Natural
 Hazards, 23, 101–120, doi:10.1023/A:1011194414259
- Boteler, D. H. (2019), A 21st century view of the March 1989 magnetic storm, Space Weather,
- 751 17, doi:10.1029/2019SW002278
- 752 Chree, C. (1913), Some phenomena of sunspots and of terrestrial magnetism at Kew
- 753 observatory, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Series A, 212, 75–116, doi:10.1098/rsta.1913.0003
- Connors, M., Schofield, I., Reiter, K., Chi, P. J., Rowe, K. M., and Russell, C. T. (2016), The
 - 26

- AUTUMNX magnetometer meridian chain in Québec, Canada, Earth, Planets and Space,
- 756 68, doi:10.1186/s40623-015-0354-4
- Dimmock, A. P., Rosenqvist, L., Hall, J.-O., Viljanen, A., Yordanova, E., Honkonen, I., et al. (2019),
 The GIC and geomagnetic response over Fennoscandia to the 7–8 September 2017
- 759 geomagnetic storm, *Space Weather*, 17, 989–1010, doi:10.1029/2018SW002132
- 760 Dimmock, A. P., Rosenqvist, L., Welling, D. T., Viljanen, A., Honkonen, I., Boynton, R. J., and
- 761 Yordanova, E. (2020), On the regional variability of d*B*/dt and its significance to GIC,
- 762 Space Weather, 18, e2020SW002497, doi:10.1029/2020SW002497
- 763 Drozdov, A. Y., Usanova, M. E., Hudson, M. K., Allison, H. J., and Shprits, Y. Y. (2020), The role of
- hiss, chorus, and EMIC waves in the modeling of the dynamics of the multi–MeV
- radiation belt electrons, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 125,
- 766 e2020JA028282, doi:10.1029/2020JA028282
- 767 Engebretson, M. J., W. J. Hughes, J. L. Alford, E. Zesta, L. J. Cahill, Jr., R. L. Arnoldy, and G. D.
- Reeves (1995), Magnetometer array for cusp and cleft studies observations of the spatial extent of broadband ULF magnetic pulsations at cusp/cleft latitudes, *Journal of*

770 *Geophysical Research*, 100, 19371-19386, doi:10.1029/95JA00768

- 771 Engebretson, M. J., Pilipenko, V. A., Ahmed, L. Y., Posch, J. L., Steinmetz, E. S., Moldwin, M. B.,
- Connors, M. G., Weygand, J. M., Mann, I. R., Boteler, D. H., Russell, C. T., and Vorobev,
- A. V. (2019a), Nighttime magnetic perturbation events observed in Arctic Canada: 1.
- 574 Survey and statistical analysis, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 124,
- 775 7442-7458, doi:10.1029/2019JA026794
- 776 Engebretson, M. J., Steinmetz, E. S., Posch, J. L., Pilipenko, V. A., Moldwin, M. B., Connors, M.
- G., Boteler, D. H., Mann, I. R., Hartinger, M. D., Weygand, J. M., Lyons, L. R., Nishimura,
- Y., Singer, H. J., Ohtani, S., Russell, C. T., Fazakerley, A., and Kistler, L. M. (2019b),
- 779 Nighttime magnetic perturbation events observed in Arctic Canada: 2. Multiple-
- instrument observations, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 124, 7459-
- 781 7476, doi:10.1029/2019JA026797
- 782 Engebretson, M. J., K. R. Kirkevold, E. S. Steinmetz, V. A. Pilipenko, M. B. Moldwin, B. A.
- 783 McCuen, C. R. Clauer, M. D. Hartinger, S. Coyle, H. Opgenoorth, A. Schillings, A. N. Willer,

784 T. R. Edwards, D. H. Boteler, A. J. Gerrard, M. P. Freeman, and M. C. Rose (2020), 785 Interhemispheric comparisons of large nighttime magnetic perturbation events relevant 786 to GICs, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 125, e2020JA028128, 787 doi:10.1029/2020JA028128 788 Engebretson, M. J., V. A. Pilipenko, E. S. Steinmetz, M. B. Moldwin, M. G. Connors, D. H. Boteler, 789 H. J. Singer, H. Opgenoorth, A. Schillings, S. Ohtani, J. Gjerloev, and C. T. Russell (2021), 790 Nighttime magnetic perturbation events observed in Arctic Canada: 3. Occurrence and amplitude as functions of magnetic latitude, local time, and magnetic disturbances, 791 Space Weather, 19, e2020SW002526, doi:10.1029/2020SW002526 792 793 Freeman, M. P., C. Forsyth, and I. J. Rae (2019), The influence of substorms on extreme rates of 794 change of the surface horizontal magnetic field in the United Kingdom, Space Weather, 795 17, 827 -844, doi:10.1029/2018SW002148 796 Frey, H. U., Mende, S. B., Angelopoulos, V. and Donovan, E.F. (2004), Substorm onset observations by IMAGE-FUV, Journal of Geophysical Research, 109, A10304, 797 798 doi:10.1029/2004JA010607 799 Hapgood, M. (2019), The great storm of May 1921: An exemplar of a dangerous space weather 800 event, Space Weather, 17, 950-975, doi:10.1029/2019SW002195 801 Honkonen, I., Kuvshinov, A., Rastätter, L., and Pulkkinen, A. (2018), Predicting global ground 802 geoelectric field with coupled geospace and three-dimensional geomagnetic induction 803 models, Space Weather, 16, 1028-1041, doi:10.1029/2018SW001859 Huttunen, K. E. J., Koskinen, H. E. J., Pulkkinen, T. I., Pulkkinen, A., Palmroth, M., Reeves, E. G. 804 805 D., and Singer, H. J. (2002), April 2000 magnetic storm: Solar wind driver and 806 magnetospheric response, Journal of Geophysical Research, 107, 1440, 807 doi:10.1029/2001JA009154 Iyemori, T., M. Takeda, M. Nose, Y. Odagi and H. Toh (2010) - Mid-latitude Geomagnetic Indices 808 809 ASY and SYM for 2009 (Provisional), Internal Report of Data Analysis Center for 810 Geomagnetism and Space Magnetism, Kyoto University, Japan 811 Knipp, D. J. (2015), Synthesis of Geomagnetically Induced Currents: Commentary and Research, 812 Space Weather, 13, 727–729, doi:10.1002/2015SW001317

Knipp, D. J., & Gannon, J. L. (2019), The 2019 National Space Weather Strategy and Action Plan
and Beyond, *Space Weather*, 17, 794–795, doi:10.1029/2019SW002254

815 Kozyreva O.V., Pilipenko, V,A., Belakhovsky, V.B., and Sakharov, Y,A. (2018), Ground

geomagnetic field and GIC response to March 17, 2015, storm, *Earth, Planets, and Space*, 70:157, doi:10.1186/s40623-018-0933-2

Lee, D.-Y., L. R. Lyons, and G. D. Reeves (2005), Comparison of geosynchronous energetic

- particle flux responses to solar wind dynamic pressure enhancements and substorms,
 Journal of Geophysical Research, 110, A09213, doi:10.1029/2005JA011091
- Lee, D.-Y., S. Ohtani, P. C. Brandt, and L. R. Lyons (2007), Energetic neutral atom response to
 solar wind dynamic pressure enhancements, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112,
 A09210, doi:10.1029/2007JA012399

Love, J. J., Hayakawa, H., & Cliver, E. W. (2019), Intensity and impact of the New York Railroad superstorm of May 1921, *Space Weather*, 17, 1281–1292, doi:10.1029/2019SW002250

Lühr, H., M. Rother, T. Iyemori, T. L. Hansen, and R. P. Lepping (1998), Superposed epoch
analysis applied to large-amplitude travelling convection vortices, *Ann. Geophys.*, 16,
743–753, doi:10.1007/s00585-998-0743-0.

829 Marshalko, E., M. Kruglyakov, A. Kuvshinov, L. Juusola, N. K. Kwagala, E. Sokolova, and V.

Pilipenko (2021), Comparing three approaches to the inducing source setting for the

ground electromagnetic field modeling due to space weather events, *Space Weather*,

832 19, e2020SW002657, doi:10.1029/2020SW002657

833 Meurant, M., J.-C. Gérard, B. Hubert, V. Coumans, C. Blockx, N. Østgaard, and S. B. Mende

834 (2003), Dynamics of global scale electron and proton precipitation induced by a solar

835 wind pressure pulse, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30, 2032,

836 doi:10.1029/2003GL018017

837 Mukhopadhyay, A., Welling, D. T., Liemohn, M. W., Ridley, A. J., Chakraborty, S., and Anderson,

838 B. J. (2020), Conductance Model for Extreme Events: Impact of auroral conductance on

space weather forecasts, *Space Weather*, 18, e2020SW002551,

840 doi:10.1029/2020SW002551

841 Newell, P. T., and Gjerloev, J. W. (2011a), Evaluation of SuperMAG auroral electrojet indices as

842 indicators of substorms and auroral power, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116,

843 A12211, doi:10.1029/2011JA016779

Newell, P. T., and Gjerloev, J. W. (2011), Evaluation of SuperMAG auroral electrojet indices as
 indicators of substorms and auroral power, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116,

846 A12211, doi:10.1029/2011JA016779

- 847 Ngwira, C. M., Pulkkinen, A. A., Bernabeu, E., Eichner, J., Viljanen, A., & Crowley, G. (2015),
- 848 Characteristics of extreme geoelectric fields and their possible causes: Localized peak 849 enhancements, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42, 6916–6921,
- 850 doi:10.1002/2015GL065061
- 851 Ngwira, C. M., Sibeck, D. G., Silveira, M. D. V., Georgiou, M., Weygand, J. M., Nishimura, Y., and
- Hampton, D. (2018), A study of intense local dBdt variations during two geomagnetic

storms, *Space Weather*, *16*, 676–693, doi:10.1029/2018SW001911

- Ngwira, C. M., and A. A. Pulkkinen (2019), An introduction to geomagnetically induced currents
- 855 (2019), in Geomagnetically Induced Currents from the Sun to the Power Grid,
- 856 Geophysical Monograph Series, 244, edited by J. L. Gannon, A. Swidinsky, and Z. Xu,
- 857 American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C., 3-14, doi:10.1002/9781119434412.ch1
- Nishimura, Y., Lyons, L. R., Gabrielse, C., Sivadas, N., Donovan, E. F., Varney, R. H., et al. (2020),
- 859 Extreme Magnetosphere-Ionosphere-Thermosphere Responses to the 5 April 2010
- 860 Supersubstorm, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 125(4), A09218,
- 861 doi:10.1029/2019JA027654
- 862 Nikitina, L., Trichtchenko, L., and Boteler, D. H. (2016), Assessment of extreme values in
- geomagnetic and geoelectric field variations for Canada. *Space Weather*, 14, 481–494,
 doi:10.1002/2016SW001386
- 865 Oliveira, D. M., and J. Raeder (2015), Impact angle control of interplanetary shock
- geoeffectiveness: A statistical study, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics,
- 867 120, 4313-4323, doi:10.1002/2015JA021147
- 868 Oliveira, D. M., Arel, D., Raeder, J., Zesta, E., Ngwira, C. M., Carter, B. A., et al. (2018),

869 Geomagnetically induced currents caused by interplanetary shocks with different

870 impact angles and speeds, *Space Weather*, 16, 636–647, doi:10.1029/2018SW001880

- Pulkkinen, A., Thomson, A., Clarke, E., and McKay, A. (2003), April 2000 geomagnetic storm:
- 872 Ionospheric drivers of large geomagnetically induced currents, Annales Geophysicae,
- 873 21(3), 709-717, doi:10.5194/angeo-21-709-2003
- Pulkkinen, A., Klimas, A., Vassiliadis, D., Uritsky, V., and Tanskanen, E. (2006), Spatiotemporal
- 875 scaling properties of the ground geomagnetic field variations, *Journal of Geophysical*
- 876 Research, 111, A03305, doi:10.1029/2006JA011294
- Pulkkinen, A., Bernabeu, E., Thomson, A., Viljanen, A., Pirjola, R., Boteler, D., et al. (2017),
- 878 Geomagnetically induced currents: Science, engineering, and applications readiness,
 879 Space Weather, 15, 828–856, doi:10.1002/2016SW001501
- Richardson, J. D., and K. I. Paularena (2001), Plasma and magnetic field correlations in the solar
 wind, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 239–251, doi:10.1029/2000JA000071
- 882 Rogers, Neil C., James A. Wild, Emma F. Eastoe, Jesper W. Gjerloev, and Alan W. P. Thomson
- 883 (2020), A global climatological model of extreme geomagnetic field fluctuations,
- Journal of Space Weather and Space Climate, 10, 5, doi:10.1051/swsc/2020008
- 885 Søraas, F., K. M. Laundal, and M. Usanova (2013), Coincident particle and optical observations
- of nightside subauroral proton precipitation, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space*Physics, 118, 1112–1122, doi:10.1002/jgra.50172
- Sugiura, M. and D.J. Poros (1971), Hourly values of equatorial Dst for years 1957 to 1970, Rep.
 X-645-71-278, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland.
- 890 Tetrick, S. S., M. J. Engebretson, J. L. Posch, C. N. Olson, C. W. Smith, R. E. Denton, S. A. Thaller,
- J. R. Wygant, G. D. Reeves, E. A. MacDonald and J. F. Fennell (2017), Location of intense
- 892 electromagnetic ion cyclotron (EMIC) wave events relative to the plasmapause: Van
- Allen Probes observations, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 122, 4064-
- 4088, doi:10.1002/2016JA023392
- Usanova, M. E., I. R. Mann, J. Bortnik, L. Shao, and V. Angelopoulos (2012), THEMIS
- 896 observations of electromagnetic ion cyclotron wave occurrence: Dependence on AE,
- 897 SYMH, and solar wind dynamic pressure, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 117, A10218,
 898 doi:10.1029/2012JA018049
- 899 Viljanen, A. (1997), The relation between geomagnetic variations and their time derivatives and
 - 31

900 implications for estimation of induction risks, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 24, 631–

901 634, doi:10.1029/97GL00538

- Viljanen, A., Nevanlinna, H., Pajunpää, K., and Pulkkinen, A. (2001), Time derivative of the
 horizontal geomagnetic field as an activity indicator, *Annales Geophysicae*, 19, 1107–
 1118, doi:10.5194/angeo-19-1107-2001
- Viljanen, A., Tanskanen, E. I., & Pulkkinen, A. (2006), Relation between substorm characteristics
 and rapid temporal variations of the ground magnetic field, *Annales Geophysicae*, *24*,
 725–733, doi:10.5194/angeo-24-725-2006
- Viljanen, A., and Tanskanen, E. (2011), Climatology of rapid geomagnetic variations at high
 latitudes over two solar cycles. *Annales Geophysicae*, *29*, 1783–1792, doi:10.5194/angeo29-1783-2011
- 911 Villante, U. and M. Persanti (2012), Sudden impulses in the magnetosphere and at ground,
- 912 Exploring the Solar Wind, edited by M. Lazar, IntechOpen, London, 399-416,
 913 doi:10.5772/36770
- Vorobev A. V., V. A. Pilipenko, Ya. A. Sakharov, and V. N. Selivanov (2019), Statistical relationships
 between variations of the geomagnetic field, auroral electrojet and geomagnetically induced
 currents, *Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, *5*, no.1, 35–42, doi:10.12737/stp-512019052018
- 917 Walsh, B. M., Bhakyapaibul, T., and Zou, Y. (2019), Quantifying the uncertainty of using solar
- 918 wind measurements for geospace inputs, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space*

919 Physics, 124, 3291-3302, doi:10.1029/2019JA026507

- 920 Wang, B., Y. Nishimura, Y. Zou, L. R. Lyons, V. Angelopoulos, H. Frey, and S. Mende (2016),
- 921 Investigation of triggering of poleward moving auroral forms using satellite-imager
- coordinated observations, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 121, 10,92910,941, doi:10.1002/2016JA023128
- Wanliss, J. A., and K. M. Showalter (2006), High-resolution global storm index: Dst versus SYMH, Journal of Geophysical Research, 111, A02202, doi:10.1029/2005JA011034.
- 926 Wei, D., Dunlop, M. W., Yang, J., Dong, X., Yu, Y., and Wang, T. (2021), Intense dB/dt variations
- 927 driven by near-Earth bursty bulk flows (BBFs): A case study, *Geophysical Research*
- 928 *Letters*, 48, e2020GL091781, doi:10.1029/2020GL091781
- 929 Weigel, R. S., and D. N. Baker (2003), Probability distribution invariance of 1-minute auroral-

200 zone geomagnetic field fluctuations, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30, 2193,

931 doi:10.1029/2003GL018470

- Welling, D. T., Love, J. J., Rigler, E. J., Oliveira, D. M., Komar, C. M., and Morley, S. K. (2020),
 Numerical simulations of the geospace response to the arrival of an idealized perfect
 interplanetary coronal mass ejection, *Space Weather*, 18, doi:10.1029/2020SW002489
- 935 Wintoft, P., Wik, M., & Viljanen, A. (2015), Solar wind driven empirical forecast models of the
- time derivative of the ground magnetic field, *Journal of Space Weather and Space Climate*, 5, A7, doi:10.1051/swsc/2015008
- 938 Woodroffe, J. R., S. K. Morley, V. K. Jordanova, M. G. Henderson, M. M. Cowee, and J. Gjerloev
- 939 (2016), The latitudinal variation of geoelectromagnetic disturbances during large (Dst
- 940 ≤-100 nT) geomagnetic storms, *Space Weather*, 14, 668-681,
- 941 doi:10.1002/2016SW001376
- 942 Yagova N.V., Pilipenko V.A., Fedorov E.N., Lhamdon-tong A.D., and Gusev Yu.P. (2018),
- 943 Geomagnetically induced currents and space weather: Pi3 pulsations and extreme
- values of the time derivatives of the horizontal components of the geomagnetic field,
- 945 Izvestiya. Physics of the Solid Earth, 54, 749–763, doi:10.1134/S1069351318050130
- 246 Zhang, Y., L. Paxton, D. Morrison, B. Wolven, H. Kil, and S. Wing (2005), Nightside detached
- 947 auroras due to precipitating protons/ions during intense magnetic storms, *Journal of*
- 948 Geophysical Research, 110, A02206, doi:10.1029/2004JA010498
- 249 Zhang, Y., L. J. Paxton, and Y. Zheng (2008), Interplanetary shock induced ring current auroras,
- 950 Journal of Geophysical Research, 113, A01212, doi:10.1029/2007JA012554
- 951

Table 1. Locations of the magnetometer stations used in this study. Geographic and corrected
geomagnetic (CGM) latitude and longitude are shown, as well as the universal time (UT) of local
magnetic noon and the sampling rate.

Array	Station	Code	Geog.	Geog.	CGM	CGM	UT o	f Mag	Sar	npiii
			lat.	lon.	lat.	lon.	N	oon	Ra	ate (I
MACCS	Repulse Ba	y RBY	66.5°	273.8°	75.2°	-12.8°	17	7:47		2.0
	Cape Dorse	et CDR	64.2°	283.4°	72.7°	3.0°	16	5:58		2.0
CANMOS	Iqaluit	IQA	63.8°	291.5°	71.4°	15.1°	10	6:19		1.0
AUTUMNX	Salluit	SALU	62.2°	284.3°	70.7°	4.1°	16	5:54		2.0
	Kuujuarapil	k KJPK	55.3°	282.2°	64.7°	0.2°	17	7.06		2.0
Note: CGM co	oordinates w	vere calcu	lated f	or epoch	2015, ι	using				
http://sdnet.t	hayer.dartm	outh.edu	<u>/aacgn</u>	<u>n/aacgm</u>	calc.pl	np#AAC	<u>GM</u> .			
Table 2 Distr	ibution of "r	ore- and r	ostmid	lnight" >	6 nT/s	MPFs at	t each	station	as a fu	ncti
time between	the meet re	sont sub	torm o	nngni ≤	ount			"Dromidu	as a lu aicht"	
time between	the most re	cent subs	storm c	onset and	event	occurre	nce.	"Premidi	night	MPE
Include those	observed be	etween 1	/00 and	10100 M	LI, and	"postm	lidnig	ht" even	ts thos	se
hotwoon 0000	1 and (1700 N	4LI.								
Detween 0200			"-		,					
			<u>"Prer</u>	<u>nidnight'</u>	, 					
Station	RBY	<u>Y</u>	<u>"Prer</u> CDF	nidnight' R	<u>,</u> IQA	•	SA	LU	KJPK_	-
Station	RB) #	Y	<u>"Prer</u> CDF	nidnight' R %	<u>-</u> IQA #	%	<u>SA</u> #	<u>\LU</u> %	KJPK #	- %
Station		<u>/</u> 59	<u>"Prer</u> <u>CDF</u> # 105	nidnight' <u>R</u> % 70	<u>IQA</u> # 105	<u>%</u> 64	SA # 145	<u>\LU</u> % 66	<u>KJPK</u> # 43	- <u>%</u> 56
Station $\Delta t_{so} \le 30 \text{ min}$ $30 < \Delta t_{so} < 60$	RB) # 47 min 19	<u>Y</u> <u>%</u> 59 24	<u>"Prer</u> <u>CDF</u> # 105 28	nidnight' <u>}</u> 70 19	IQA # 105 26	<u>%</u> 64 16	<u>SA</u> # 145 38	NLU % 66 17	KJPK # 43 15	- <u>%</u> 56 19
Station $\Delta t_{so} \le 30 \text{ min}$ $30 < \Delta t_{so} < 60 \text{ min}$	RB) # 47 min 19 13	<u>7</u> 59 24 16	<u>"Prer</u> CDF # 105 28 18	nidnight' <u> %</u> 70 19 12	IQA # 105 26 32	% 64 16 20	<u>SA</u> # 145 38 39	<u>NLU %</u> 66 17 18	KJPK # 43 15 19	- <u>%</u> 56 19 25
Station Δt _{so} ≤ 30 min 30 < Δt _{so} < 60 Δt _{so} ≥ 60 min Sum	RB) # 47 min 19 13 79	<u>7</u> 59 24 16	<u>"Prer</u> CDF 105 28 18 151	nidnight' <u>R</u> <u>%</u> 70 19 12	<u>IQA</u> # 105 26 32 163	<u>%</u> 64 16 20	<u>SA</u> # 145 38 39 221	<u>%LU</u> 66 17 18	KJPK # 43 15 19 77	- <u>%</u> 56 19 25
<u>Station</u> Δt _{so} ≤ 30 min 30 < Δt _{so} < 60 Δt _{so} ≥ 60 min Sum Combined:	<u>RB\</u> # 47 min 19 13 79 : Δt _{so} ≤ 30	Y 59 24 16 min: <u>649</u>	<u>"Prer</u> CDF 105 28 18 151 <u>%</u> 30	<u>nidnight'</u> <u>%</u> 70 19 12 < Δt _{so} <60	IQA # 105 26 32 163 0 min:	<u>%</u> 64 16 20 <u>18%</u>	<u></u> # 145 38 39 221 Δt _{so} ≥	<u>%</u> 66 17 18 : 60 min:	KJPK # 43 15 19 77 17%	- 56 19 25
<u>Station</u> Δt _{so} ≤ 30 min 30 < Δt _{so} < 60 Δt _{so} ≥ 60 min Sum Combined:		Y 59 24 16 min: <u>649</u>	<u>"Prer</u> <u>CDF</u> 105 28 18 151 <u>%</u> 30 -	<u>nidnight'</u> <u>%</u> 70 19 12 < Δt _{so} <60	<u>IQA</u> # 105 26 32 163 0 min:	<u>%</u> 64 16 20 <u>18%</u>	<u></u> # 145 38 39 221 Δt _{so} ≥	<u>%10</u> 66 17 18 : 60 min:	KJPK # 43 15 19 77 <u>17%</u>	- 56 19 25
Station $\Delta t_{so} \le 30 \text{ min}$ $30 < \Delta t_{so} < 60$ $\Delta t_{so} \ge 60 \text{ min}$ Sum Combined: Station	<u>RB\</u> # 47 min 19 13 79 : Δt _{so} ≤ 30 <u>RB</u> \	<u>7</u> 59 24 16 min: <u>649</u>	<u>"Prer</u> CDF 105 28 18 151 <u>%</u> 30 - <u>"Post</u> <u>CDR</u>	nidnight' 70 19 12 < Δt _{so} <60 cmidnight	<u>IQA</u> # 105 26 32 163 0 min:	<u>%</u> 64 16 20 <u>18%</u>	<u></u> 145 38 39 221 Δt _{so} ≥ <u>SA</u>	<u>LU</u> 66 17 18 60 min:	<u>КЈРК</u> # 43 15 19 77 <u>17%</u> <u>КЈРК</u>	- <u>%</u> 56 19 25
Station $\Delta t_{so} \le 30 \text{ min}$ $30 < \Delta t_{so} < 60$ $\Delta t_{so} \ge 60 \text{ min}$ Sum Combined: Station	<u></u>	Y 59 24 16 9 min: <u>649</u> Y	<u>"Prer</u> CDF 105 28 18 151 <u>%</u> 30 <u>"Post</u> <u>CDR</u> #	<u>nidnight'</u> <u>%</u> 70 19 12 < Δt _{so} <60 cmidnight	<u>IQA</u> <u>105</u> 26 32 163 0 min: <u>"</u> <u>IQA</u> <u>#</u>	<u>%</u> 64 16 20 <u>18%</u> %	<u></u> 38 39 221 Δt _{so} ≥ <u>SA</u>	<u>LU</u> 66 17 18 : 60 min: LU %	KJPK # 43 15 19 77 <u>17%</u> KJPK #	- <u>%</u> 56 19 25
Station Δt _{so} ≤ 30 min 30 < Δ t _{so} < 60 Δ t _{so} ≥ 60 min Sum Combined: Station Δt _{so} ≤ 30 min		Y 59 24 16 min: <u>649</u> Y 75	<u>"Prer</u> <u>CDF</u> 105 28 18 151 <u>%</u> 30 - <u>"Post</u> <u>CDR</u> <u>#</u> 5	<u>nidnight'</u> <u> %</u> 70 19 12 < Δt _{so} < 60 cmidnight % 56	<u>IQA</u> # 105 26 32 163 0 min: <u>"</u> IQA # 7	<u>%</u> 64 20 <u>18%</u> <u>%</u> 70	<u>SA</u> 145 38 39 221 Δt _{so} ≥ <u>SA</u> # 18	<u>LU</u> 66 17 18 60 min: LU 56	KJPK # 43 15 19 77 <u>17%</u> KJPK # 31	- <u>%</u> 56 19 25 - <u>%</u> 74
Station <u>Station</u> $\Delta t_{so} ≤ 30 min$ $30 < \Delta t_{so} < 60$ $\Delta t_{so} ≥ 60 min$ Sum Combined: <u>Station</u> <u>Δt_{so} ≤ 30 min</u> $30 < \Delta t_{so} < 60$		<u>7</u> 59 24 16 min: <u>649</u> <u>75</u> 25	<u>"Prer</u> CDF 105 28 18 151 <u>%</u> 30 <u>"Post</u> <u>CDR</u> <u></u> 5 3	<u>nidnight'</u> <u> % 70 19 12 <Δt_{so} <60 cmidnight <u>% 56 33</u></u>	<u>IQA</u> # 105 26 32 163 0 min: <u>"</u> IQA # 7 3	% 64 16 20 18% % % 70 30	<u>SA</u> 145 38 39 221 Δt _{so} ≥ <u>SA</u> # 18 7	<u>LU</u> 66 17 18 : 60 min: <u>LU</u> 56 22	KJPK # 43 15 19 77 <u>17%</u> KJPK # 31 5	- <u>%</u> 56 19 25 - <u>%</u> 74 12
Station Δt _{so} ≤ 30 min 30 < Δt _{so} < 60 Δt _{so} ≥ 60 min Sum Combined: Station Δt _{so} ≤ 30 min 30 < Δt _{so} < 60 Δt _{so} ≥ 60 min		<u>Y</u> 59 24 16 9 min: <u>649</u> <u>Y</u> 75 25 0	<u>"Prer</u> CDF 105 28 18 151 <u>%</u> 30 <u>"Post</u> <u>CDR</u> <u></u> 5 3 1	<u>nidnight'</u> <u> % 70 19 12 <Δt_{so} <60 midnight 56 33 11</u>	<u>IQA</u> 105 26 32 163 0 min: <u>"</u> IQA # 7 3 0	% 64 16 20 18% % 70 30 0	<u>SA</u> 145 38 39 221 Δt₅₀ ≥ <u>SA</u> # 18 7 7	<u>LU</u> 66 17 18 60 min: <u>LU</u> 56 22 22	KJPK # 43 15 19 77 <u>17%</u> KJPK # 31 5 6	- <u>%</u> 56 19 25 74 12 14
Station $\Delta t_{so} \le 30 \text{ min}$ $30 < \Delta t_{so} < 60$ $\Delta t_{so} \ge 60 \text{ min}$ Sum Combined: $\underline{Station}$ $\Delta t_{so} \le 30 \text{ min}$ $30 < \Delta t_{so} < 60$ $\Delta t_{so} \ge 60 \text{ min}$ Sum		Y 59 24 16 min: <u>649</u> Y 75 25 0	<u>"Prer</u> CDF 105 28 18 151 ∞ 30 - <u>"Post</u> <u>CDR</u> # 5 3 1 9	nidnight' <u>%</u> 70 19 12 < Δt _{so} < 60 <u>cmidnight</u> <u>%</u> 56 33 11	<u>IQA</u> 105 26 32 163 0 min: <u>"</u> IQA <u>4</u> 7 3 0 10	% 64 16 20 18% % 70 30 0	<u>SA</u> 145 38 39 221 Δt _{so} ≥ <u>SA</u> 18 7 7 32	<u>LU</u> 66 17 18 : 60 min: <u>LU</u> 56 22 22	KJPK # 43 15 19 77 <u>17%</u> <u>17%</u> KJPK # 31 5 6 42	<u>%</u> 56 19 25 <u>%</u> 74 12 14
Station Δt _{so} ≤ 30 min 30 < Δt _{so} < 60 Δt _{so} ≥ 60 min Sum Combined: Station Δt _{so} ≤ 30 min 30 < Δt _{so} < 60 Δt _{so} ≥ 60 min Sum		<u>Y</u> 59 24 16 9 min: <u>649</u> <u>Y</u> 75 25 0	<u>"Prer</u> CDF 105 28 18 151 <u>%</u> 30 <u>"Post</u> <u>CDR</u> <u>#</u> 5 3 1 9	<u>nidnight'</u> <u>%</u> 70 19 12 <Δt _{so} <60 cmidnight <u>%</u> 56 33 11	<u>IQA</u> 105 26 32 163 0 min: <u>"</u> IQA # 7 3 0 10	% 64 16 20 18% % 70 30 0	<u>SA</u> 145 38 39 221 Δt _{so} ≥ <u>SA</u> # 18 7 7 32	<u>LU</u> 66 17 18 60 min: <u>LU</u> 56 22 22	KJPK # 43 15 19 77 <u>17%</u> <u>17%</u> <u>KJPK</u> # 31 5 6 42	- <u>%</u> 56 19 25 74 12 14
Station Δt _{so} ≤ 30 min 30 < Δt _{so} < 60 Δt _{so} ≥ 60 min Sum Combined: Station Δt _{so} ≤ 30 min 30 < Δt _{so} < 60 Δt _{so} ≥ 60 min Sum		<u>Y</u> 59 24 16 min: <u>649</u> <u>Y</u> 75 25 0 min: <u>669</u>	<u>"Prer</u> CDF 105 28 18 151 <u>%</u> 30 <u>"Post</u> <u>CDR</u> # 5 3 1 9	<u>nidnight'</u> <u>%</u> 70 19 12 < Δt _{so} < 60 cmidnight <u>%</u> 56 33 11 < Δt < 6	<u>IQA</u> 105 26 32 163 0 min: <u>"</u> <u>IQA</u> <u>#</u> 7 3 0 10 0 min:	% 64 16 20 18% % 70 30 0 20%	<u>SA</u> 145 38 39 221 Δt _{so} ≥ <u>SA</u> # 18 7 32	<u>×LU</u> 66 17 18 ± 60 min: <u>LU</u> % 56 22 22 ≥ 60 min	KJPK # 43 15 19 77 <u>17%</u> KJPK # 31 5 6 42 · 14%	- <u>%</u> 56 19 25 74 12 14

994 Figures





996

997

998 Figure 1. Map of ground magnetometer stations used for this study. Selected latitude and

999 longitude lines in geomagnetic coordinates are shown.



1002

Figure 2. Plot of the amplitude of the maximum |dB/dt| value in any nighttime MPE 1003 component observed at each station as a function of its delay Δt_{so} after the most recent 1004 1005 substorm onset: a) Repulse Bay, b) Cape Dorset, c) Iqaluit, d) Salluit, and e) Kuujuarapik. Only events with maximum derivative amplitude \geq 6 nT/s are shown. The horizontal dotted line 1006 indicates an amplitude of 12 nT/s (from Engebretson et al., 2021). 1007



1010 Figure 3. Panel a shows the number of occurrences of ≥ 6 nT/s nighttime MPEs observed at Repulse Bay, Cape Dorset, Igaluit, Salluit, and Kuujuarapik in 1-hour bins of magnetic local time 1011 1012 (MLT) from 17 h to 07 h, sorted by each station's magnetic latitude. The vertical scale is 1013 different for each station. Panel b shows the distribution of MPE derivative amplitude at these same stations, with a uniform vertical scale. Different symbols are used to designate events 1014 based on the time of MPE occurrence after the closest prior substorm onset: plus signs for Δt_{so} 1015 \leq 30 min, open squares for Δt_{so} between 30 and 60 min, and open triangles for $\Delta t_{so} \geq$ 60 min 1016 1017 (from Engebretson et al., 2021). 1018



Figure 4. Superposed epoch plots of the medians of solar wind pressure Psw as a function of time from 4 hours before to 4 hours after the time of MPEs. Premidnight panels a1-a5, b1-b5, and c1-c5 show medians for each of the 5 stations in the three Δt_{so} time delay ranges A, B, and C. Postmidnight panels a6-a7, b6-b7, and c6-c7 show corresponding medians for the two lowest latitude stations. Note the change of vertical scale for postmidnight events.



Figure 5. Superposed epoch plots of all events (black traces) and the median (yellow trace) of solar wind pressure Psw as a function of time from 4 hours before to 4 hours after the time of premidnight MPEs in time delay range A from (a) Cape Dorset and (b) Kuujuarapik.



1035 Figure 6. Superposed epoch plots of the medians of solar wind number density Nsw as a

1036 function of time from 4 hours before to 4 hours after the time of MPEs, as in Figure 4.



1039

Figure 7. Superposed epoch plots of all events (black traces) and the median (yellow trace) of solar wind number density Nsw as a function of time from 4 hours before to 4 hours after the time of premidnight MPEs in time delay range A from (a) Cape Dorset and (b) Kuujuarapik.



Figure 8. Superposed epoch plots of the medians of solar wind speed Vsw as a function of time

from 4 hours before to 4 hours after the time of MPEs, as in Figure 4.



1051 Figure 9. Superposed epoch plots of all events (black traces) and the median (yellow trace) of

solar wind speed Vsw as a function of time from 4 hours before to 4 hours after the time of

1053 premidnight MPEs in time delay range A from (a) Cape Dorset and (b) Kuujuarapik.



1057 Figure 10. Superposed epoch plots of the medians of the north-south component of the

1058 interplanetary magnetic field (IMF Bz) as a function of time from 4 hours before to 4 hours after

1059 the time of MPEs, as in Figure 4.



Figure 11. Superposed epoch plots of all IMF Bz traces (black), the median (yellow), and the 25th and 75th percentiles (red) as a function of time from 4 hours before to 4 hours after the time of premidnight MPEs in all available time delay and MLT categories observed at Cape Dorset. No medians or percentile traces are shown when the number of events \leq 6.



1068 1069

Figure 12. Superposed epoch plots of all IMF Bz traces (black), the median (yellow), and the 25th and 75th percentiles (red) as a function of time from 4 hours before to 4 hours after the time of premidnight MPEs in all available time delay and MLT categories observed at Salluit. No medians or percentile traces are shown when the number of events \leq 6.



1077 Figure 13. Superposed epoch plots of all IMF Bx (panel a) and By (panel b) values (black traces), the median (yellow traces), and the 25th and 75th percentiles (red traces) as a function of time 1078 from 4 hours before to 4 hours after the time of premidnight category A MPEs observed at 1079 1080 Cape Dorset.





1084 Figure 14. Superposed epoch plots of all IMF |B| values (black traces), the median (yellow 1085 traces), and the 25th and 75th percentiles (red traces) as a function of time from 4 hours before 1086 to 4 hours after the time of premidnight category A MPEs observed at (a) Cape Dorset and (b) Kuujuarapik. 1087



1090 Figure 15. Superposed epoch plots of all SML index values (black traces), the median (yellow 1091 traces), and the 25th and 75th percentiles (red traces) as a function of epoch time in all six MLT 1092 and Δ tso categories of MPEs observed at Cape Dorset, Salluit, and Kuujuarapik.



Figure 16. Plot of the relative time between SML minima and MPE occurrences for premidnight category A MPEs observed at (a) Cape Dorset and (b) Kuujuarapik during 2015 and 2017. Bars to the left of -15 indicate the number of events between -30 and -16 minutes, and bars to the right of +15 indicate the number of events between +16 and +30 minutes.



1100

1102 Figure 17. Superposed epoch plots of all SMU index values (black traces), the median (yellow

- 1103 traces), and the 25th and 75th percentiles (red traces) as a function of epoch time in all six MLT
- 1104 and Δtso categories of MPEs observed at Cape Dorset, Salluit, and Kuujuarapik.
- 1105





Figure 18. Superposed epoch plots of all SYM/H index values (black traces), the median (yellow 1108

traces), and the 25th and 75th percentiles (red traces) as a function of time from 4 hours before 1109

to 4 hours after the time of premidnight category A MPEs observed at (a) Cape Dorset and (b) 1110

Kuujuarapik. 1111



Journal of Geophysical Research - Space Physics

Supporting Information for

Superposed Epoch Analysis of Nighttime Magnetic Perturbation Events Observed in Arctic Canada

Mark J. Engebretson¹, Lidiya Y. Ahmed^{1,2}, Viacheslav A. Pilipenko^{1,3}, Erik S. Steinmetz¹, Mark B. Moldwin⁴, Martin G. Connors⁵, David H. Boteler⁶, James M. Weygand⁷, Shane Coyle⁸, Shin Ohtani⁹, Jesper Gjerloev⁹, and Christopher T. Russell⁷

¹Department of Physics, Augsburg University, Minneapolis, MN

²Now at NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD

³Space Research Institute, Moscow, Russia

⁴Department of Climate and Space Sciences and Engineering, Ann Arbor, MI

⁵Athabasca University Observatories, Athabasca University, Athabasca, AB, Canada

⁶Geomagnetic Laboratory, Natural Resources Canada, Ottawa, ON, Canada

⁷Department of Earth Planetary and Space Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, CA

⁸Bradley Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA

⁹Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, MD

Contents of this file

Figures S1 – S5



Figure S1. Superposed epoch plots of the medians of the Bx component of the IMF as a function of time from 4 hours before to 4 hours after the time of MPEs, as in Figure 4.



Figure S2. Superposed epoch plots of the medians of the By component of the IMF as a function of time from 4 hours before to 4 hours after the time of MPEs, as in Figure 4.



Figure S3. Superposed epoch plots of the medians of the component (in the GSM coordinate system) of the interplanetary magnetic field in the ecliptic plane for all six MLT and time delay categories, as a function of time from 4 hours before to 4 hours after the time of MPEs, as in Figure 4. As is indicated in the legend in the upper left panel, positive GSM Bx is upward, and positive GSM By is toward the left.



Figure S4. Superposed epoch plots of the medians of the magnitude of the interplanetary magnetic field |B| for all six MLT and time delay categories, as a function of time from 4 hours before to 4 hours after the time of MPEs, as in Figure 4.



Figure S5. Superposed epoch plots of the medians of the SYM/H index for all six MLT and time delay categories, as a function of time from 4 hours before to 4 hours after the time of MPEs, as in Figure 4.