

On the generation of Pi2 pulsations due to plasma flow patterns around magnetosheath jets

Christos Katsavrias¹, Savvas Raptis², Ioannis A. Daglis³, Tomas Karlsson⁴, Marina Georgiou³, and Georgios Balasis⁵

¹University of Athens

²Royal Institute of Technology

³National and Kapodistrian University of Athens


⁴KTH Royal Institute of Technology


⁵National Observatory of Athens

November 22, 2022

Abstract

We report observations of a magnetosheath jet followed by a period of decelerated background plasma. During this period, THEMIS-A magnetometer showed abrupt disturbances which, in the wavelet spectrum, appeared as prominent and irregular pulsations in two frequency bands (7.6–9.2 and 12–17 mHz) within the Pi2 range. The observations suggest—for the first time to our knowledge—that these pulsations were locally generated by the abrupt magnetic field changes driven by the jet’s interaction with the ambient magnetosheath plasma. Furthermore, similar pulsations, detected by THEMIS-D inside the magnetosphere with a 140 seconds time-lag (which corresponds to the propagation time of a disturbance travelling with Alfvénic speed), are shown to be directly associated with the ones in the magnetosheath, which raises the question of how exactly these pulsations are propagated through the magnetopause.





On the generation of Pi2 pulsations due to plasma flow patterns around magnetosheath jets

Ch. Katsavrias¹, S. Raptis², I.A. Daglis^{1,3}, T. Karlsson², M. Georgiou¹, and
G. Balasis⁴

¹Department of Physics, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

²Division of Space and Plasma Physics, School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, KTH

Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden

³Hellenic Space Center, Athens, Greece

⁴National Observatory of Athens, Greece

Key Points:

- Pi2 pulsations in two frequency bands (7.6–9.2 and 12–17 mHz) detected in the magnetosheath.
- These pulsations were locally generated by the Jet’s After-Flow.
- Similar pulsations inside the magnetosphere are directly associated with the ones in the magnetosheath.

Abstract

We report observations of a magnetosheath jet followed by a period of decelerated background plasma. During this period, THEMIS-A magnetometer showed abrupt disturbances which, in the wavelet spectrum, appeared as prominent and irregular pulsations in two frequency bands (7.6–9.2 and 12–17 mHz) within the Pi2 range. The observations suggest—for the first time to our knowledge—that these pulsations were locally generated by the abrupt magnetic field changes driven by the jet’s interaction with the ambient magnetosheath plasma. Furthermore, similar pulsations, detected by THEMIS-D inside the magnetosphere with a 140 seconds time-lag (which corresponds to the propagation time of a disturbance travelling with Alfvénic speed), are shown to be directly associated with the ones in the magnetosheath, which raises the question of how exactly these pulsations are propagated through the magnetopause.

1 Introduction

The Earth’s magnetosheath – the region downstream of the bow shock – contains decelerated and compressed solar wind plasma exhibiting strong fluctuations in velocity, density, and associated magnetic field. Especially the magnetosheath downstream of the quasi-parallel shock, where the angle between the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) and the bow shock normal vector is less than 45 degrees, is particularly turbulent even during steady solar wind conditions. Furthermore, it is permeated both by waves that have been transmitted through the bow shock, as well as by fluctuations that have been generated locally (Lucek et al., 2005; Blanco-Cano et al., 2006).

Jets in the magnetosheath are transient localized enhancements in dynamic pressure typically caused by increases in plasma velocity, density or both (Archer et al., 2012; Plaschke et al., 2013; Karlsson et al., 2015). They are found more frequently downstream of the quasi-parallel shock but are still observed in the quasi-perpendicular magnetosheath (Vuorinen et al., 2019; Raptis, Karlsson, et al., 2020; Raptis, Amini, Ragia-Giamini, et al., 2020). However, the jets found in the quasi-parallel region are typically faster and more energetic and as a result may have a more significant magnetospheric effect (Plaschke et al., 2018). During the past decade, several studies have indicated their importance to magnetospheric dynamics. Jets have been associated with triggering localized magnetopause reconnection (Hietala et al., 2018), driving various wave species (Plaschke & Glassmeier, 2011; Archer et al., 2013; Karlsson et al., 2018), causing direct plasma pen-

etration in the magnetosphere (Karlsson et al., 2012; Dmitriev & Suvorova, 2015) and exciting surface eigenmodes through collision with the magnetopause (Archer et al., 2019).

Recently, it has been shown that jets can modify the properties of the ambient plasma in the magnetosheath. Specifically, they may stir the plasma, pushing the slower ambient magnetosheath plasma out of their way. This way the jet creates anomalous flows around it, causing the surrounding plasma to perform a vortical motion. This interaction can cause the background magnetosheath to get significantly decelerated and make the background magnetic field more aligned with the jet’s velocity (Karimabadi et al., 2014; Plaschke et al., 2017; Plaschke & Hietala, 2018; Plaschke et al., 2020).

Here we report—for the first time to our knowledge—Pi2 pulsations generated locally in the magnetosheath at the wake of a jet. We show that these pulsations are later observed inside the magnetosphere. In what follows we present a structure of this paper. In section 2 a brief introduction to the data sets and methods used for this study is presented. We then (section 3) present the detailed observations from both spacecraft at the magnetosheath and inside the magnetosphere. In the detailed discussion that follows (section 4), we present a working hypothesis for the generation of the pulsations. Finally, we present the conclusions (section 5) based on our interpretation of these multipoint observations.

2 Data and Methods

We use 3-sec resolution measurements of the magnetic field vector from the THEMIS A and D fluxgate magnetometers (Auster et al., 2008). We also use 3-sec resolution data of ion flux energy spectrum and velocity vector from the Electrostatic Analyzer (McFadden et al., 2008) on board the same THEMIS probes. Complementary 1-min measurements of solar wind speed and interplanetary magnetic field are obtained from the NASA OMNIWeb database as propagated values at the bowshock nose (<http://omniweb.gsfc.nasa.gov/>). For the estimation of the magnetic coordinates we used the International Radiation Belt Environment Modelling (IRBEM) library (Bourdarie & O’Brien, 2009) and the TS96 (Tsyganenko & Stern, 1996) external magnetic field model.

For the spectral analysis of the magnetic field measurements we make use of the Continuous Wavelet Transform (CWT—see also Torrence and Compo (1998)) using as mother wavelet the Morlet wavelet (Morlet, 1983) similar to Katsavrias et al. (2015, 2019).

Along with the wavelet power spectrum, the global wavelet spectrum is also used which corresponds to the average of the wavelet power spectral density in a specific frequency (f):

$$\overline{W(f)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \|W_n(f)\| \quad (1)$$

where n stands for a localized time index and N corresponds to the length of the time-series. The global wavelet spectrum generally exhibits similar features (and shape) as the corresponding Fourier spectrum.

Furthermore we make use of the Cross-Wavelet Transform (XWT) and the Wavelet Coherence (WTC) following Katsavrias et al. (2016). The Cross Wavelet Transform (henceforward XWT—see also Grinsted et al. (2004) between two time-series X and Y and their corresponding CWTs is defined as:

$$W_n^{XY}(f) = W_n^X(f) \cdot W_n^Y(f)^* \quad (2)$$

while the phase relationship between the two variables is then defined as:

$$\Phi = \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\text{im}(|W_n^{XY}(f)|)}{\text{re}(|W_n^{XY}(f)|)} \right] \quad (3)$$

As shown, the XWT examines the causal relationship in the time-frequency space between two time series searching for regions of high common power and consistent phase relationship.

Finally, the wavelet coherence (hence forward WTC) is an estimator of the confidence level for each detection of a timespace region of consistent phase relationship even if the common power is low. The measure of wavelet coherence closely resembles a localized correlation coefficient in timefrequency space and varies between 0 and 1, corresponding to non-coherent and highly coherent phase relationship, respectively. The statistical significance level of the WTC is estimated using Monte Carlo methods.

3 Detailed Event Analysis

On February 13, 2013 near noon, THEMIS-A (THA) was located in the dayside magnetosheath close to the bowshock ($R \approx 12 R_E$ and $MLT \approx 10.5$), while THEMIS-D (THD) was located inside the dayside magnetosphere near the geostationary orbit ($L \approx 7$ and $MLT \approx 12$), both following an inbound orbit.

Figure 1 shows (a) 1-min High Resolution OMNI (HRO) magnetic field measurements in GSE/GSM coordinates, (b) the IMF cone angle (θ_{cone}), and (c–e) the magnetopause, bow shock and satellite (THA, THD) positions for 11:16, 11:32 and 11:39 respectively. The missing data from 11:28 to 11:31 have been interpolated to provide a full characterization of the close to the bow shock conditions. For the modeling of the magnetopause and the bow shock the model of Chao et al. (2002) has been used. Special indication is made for the quasi-parallel (Qpar) bow shock (blue: $\theta_{Bn} < 45^\circ$), while the quasi-perpendicular (Qperp) was separated in two different regions (red: $\theta_{Bn} > 55^\circ$ and magenta: $45^\circ < \theta_{Bn} < 55^\circ$). This was done to show the transition region between the Qpar and Qperp configuration which can still have significant foreshock properties (Wilson, 2016; Karlsson et al., 2021) and corresponds to the area where a field-aligned beam (FAB) is commonly observed. Note that the solar wind speed (not shown here) remained constant at ≈ 360 km/s during the whole time-period shown in figure 1.

During 11:37–11:39 UT, THEMIS-A, which was located in the dayside magnetosheath close to the bowshock, observed a moderate magnetosheath jet with maximum speed of ~ 213 km/s at approximately 11:38 UT (figure 2b). Note that the average ambient V_x was approximately -78 km/s which means that the jet exhibited an enhancement in V_x by a factor of 2.7, while the average ambient V_y was approximately -41 km/s. Right after the jet, the V_x component of speed dropped to a zero average, with occasionally sunward direction, until approximately 11:46 UT, when another jet occurred. Henceforward we will refer to the 11:39–11:46 UT time-period, which corresponds to the wake of the jet as After-Flow (AF). With the beginning of the AF, all three components of the magnetic field (figure 2c) exhibited strong disturbances which faded with the beginning of the second jet at approximately 11:46 UT. Panel 2d shows a characteristic high energy ion population which indicates the presence of a significant ion foreshock and therefore shows that THA resides downstream of the quasi-parallel bow shock. This is in agreement with the IMF rotation and the changes in foreshock configuration shown in figure




Figure 1. OMINWeb propagated values at the bowshock nose during February 13, 2013.

Top to bottom: (a) interplanetary magnetic field vector in GSE coordinates, (b) solar wind cone angle. The vertical dotted lines correspond to three different timestamps shown in panels (c–e).

(c) is at 11:16, (d) at 11:32 and (e) at 11:39. Each of these panels show approximate boundary positions (magnetopause, bow shock) along with different configuration of the bow shock (quasi-parallel, quasi-perpendicular). The positions of THA and THD are also indicated.

1e. Note that we do not discuss any results close to or after 11:50 UT since THEMIS-A has a short transition from the magnetosheath to the upstream solar wind.

Panel 2e shows the wavelet spectrum of the total magnetic field magnitude measured by THA, accompanied by the global spectrum (left panel) while the red dashed line corresponds to the 95% confidence level. The frequency range of the spectrum covers the 0.5–30 mHz range which corresponds to the Pc4–Pc6 frequency range. As shown, the spectrum exhibits a prominent peak at 2.1 mHz (as indicated by the global spectrum) which spans the time-period 11:23 until 11:57 UT with power at $\approx 1000 nT^2/Hz$. This peak roughly coincides with the beginning of the quasi-parallel configuration of the magnetosheath. Furthermore, the wavelet spectrum exhibits prominent pulsations in two frequency bands (7.6–9.2 and 12–17 mHz as indicated by the global spectrum). These frequency bands coincide with the AF duration and exhibit global power that exceeds the $100 nT^2/Hz$ value. Note that, even though, low-amplitude disturbances occur throughout the whole Qpar configuration, they are considerably below the 95% confidence level. This behavior is consistent even if we filter the time-series in the 7–30 mHz frequency range (see also figure S1 in the supplementary material) where the amplitude of the oscillations is approximately two times greater during the AF. The white dashed line in panel 2d corresponds to the expected frequency of upstream ULF waves generated in the ion foreshock. The estimation of the upstream wave frequency follows the empirical model by Takahashi et al. (1984):

$$f_{UW}[mHz] = 7.6 \cdot B_0[nT] \cdot \cos^2(\theta_{cone}) \quad (4)$$

where B_0 is the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) strength and θ_{cone} is the IMF cone angle calculated from the 1-min HRO data. As shown, none of the exhibited Pi2 pulsations can be explained by the estimated upstream wave frequency.

Panels 2f and g show the time-series and the wavelet spectrum of the total magnetic field magnitude measured by THD, accompanied by the global spectrum (left panel) while the red dashed line corresponds to the 95% confidence level. As shown, there are clear oscillations that coincide with the jet and the AF occurrence. In detail, the wavelet spectrum of THD—which shows many similarities with the one of THA—exhibits a prominent peak at 2 mHz, roughly at the 11:30–11:55 UT period, which coincides with the 2.1




Figure 2. THEMIS A and D observations in the magnetosheath and in the magnetosphere, respectively. Top to bottom: (a) Ion density and pressure, (b) THA velocity vector in GSM coordinates, (c) THA magnetic field vector in GSM coordinates, (d) THA energy spectrum, (e) wavelet spectrum of the total magnetic field magnitude measured by THA, (f) total magnetic field magnitude measured by THD inside the magnetosphere and (g) wavelet spectrum of the THD total magnetic field magnitude. Each wavelet spectrum is accompanied by the global spectrum and its 95% confidence level (black solid and dashed red lines in the left panels, respectively). Note that, in both spectra, the frequency axis is inverted with lower frequencies shown at the top of the axis. The black solid line and the black contours in the wavelet spectra correspond to the cone of influence and the 95% confidence level, respectively. The vertical dashed lines correspond to the duration of the jet and its After-Flow (AF). The white dashed lines in the wavelet spectra correspond to the expected frequency of upstream waves while the black dashed lines in the THD spectra correspond to the estimates of the fundamental and the five first harmonics of the field line resonances at the spacecrafts' location.

mHz exhibited in the magnetosheath. Furthermore, the wavelet spectrum exhibits prominent pulsations in two frequency bands (7–9.7 and 14–20 mHz as indicated by the global spectrum). These frequency bands are in very good agreement with the ones exhibited in the magnetosheath during the AF. They show the same duration and lag at ≈ 2.5 minutes. Note that even though these frequency bands appear below the 95% confidence level, they are above it at the filtered time-series (figure S1 in the supplementary material) indicating that they are statistically significant.

Following Archer et al. (2013), we have estimated the field line resonance (FLRs) frequencies using the time of flight approximation:

$$f_{FLR} = \left[2 \cdot \int \frac{ds}{V_A} \right]^{-1} \quad (5)$$

where f_{FLR} is the fundamental FLR frequency, V_A is the Alfvén speed, and the integration is carried out over the entire length of the field line which is estimated using the TS96 model. For the electron density estimation we used a power law distribution:

$$\rho(L, r) = \rho_0(L) \left[\frac{L}{r} \right]^m \quad (6)$$

where r is the geocentric radial distance, L is the equatorial distance to the field line, ρ_0 is the equatorial mass density inferred from THD (since it is very close to the equatorial plane), and the exponent m is taken to be 2 (Denton, 2002). The dashed black lines in figure 2f indicate the fundamental and the first 5 harmonics of the FLR at the spacecraft location. As shown, the two prominent frequency bands correspond to the second and fifth harmonics. Note that using various external magnetic field models changed the results by approximately 0.5 mHz at all L -shells (see also figure S2 in the supplementary material). Similarly, changing the exponent of the density distribution had negligible effect on the results. Thus, we can assume that the estimated FLRs are broadly correct, even though we do not require precise calculations in this study.

4 Discussion

As shown in the previous section, on February 13, 2013, THEMIS-A observed a magnetosheath jet at 11:38 UT which was followed by a period of slowed (occasionally sun-

ward directed) ambient plasma which we characterized as After-Flow (AF). The properties of the AF plasma region are very close to the properties one expects from an ambient plasma interacting with a high-speed velocity jet, with de-acceleration of the background plasma and anomalous (possibly even sunward) flow (Plaschke & Hietala, 2018; Plaschke et al., 2020). Moreover, and in agreement with expectations, jets generally do modify the magnetic field on their passage. Indeed, during this period, THEMIS-A magnetometer showed abrupt disturbances which, in the wavelet spectrum, appeared as prominent and irregular pulsations in the Pi2 frequency range and in two frequency bands (7.6–9.2 and 12–17 mHz). Note that Pi2 pulsations are a well-known example of waves triggered by dB/dt , and/or sudden changes in the magnetic field configuration (Keiling & Takahashi, 2011). Especially in the Earth’s magnetosphere, they have been associated with other fast plasma flows appearing in the plasma sheet, the Bursty Bulk Flows (BBFs) (Angelopoulos et al., 1992; Kepko et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2015). Of course there can be no straightforward comparison between the highly turbulent magnetosheath plasma and the plasma sheet, nevertheless, there are some similarities in terms of a fast plasma flow abruptly modifying the magnetic field, which in turn gives birth to Pi2 pulsations.

Another possible origin of these pulsations could be the magnetosheath downstream of the quasi-parallel shock (Schwartz et al., 1996). Nevertheless, both the unfiltered and the filtered magnetic field spectra exhibited these pulsations with significant power (above the 95% confidence level) during the AF duration, only. On the contrary, continuous pulsations in the Pc5 frequency range, which were also observed, roughly covered the whole quasi-parallel magnetosheath. Finally, Pi2 pulsations could have been originated by the upstream waves generated in the foreshock region (Clausen et al., 2009; Wilson, 2016). Nevertheless, the estimated upstream wave frequencies were considerably below the frequency range under examination, which renders the foreshock generated Pi2 pulsations an unlikely scenario.

From all the above and considering the Pi2 pulsations are isolated to the AF region only, we can conclude that the local magnetic field disturbance generated by the jet’s interaction with the ambient plasma is the most possible cause of the observed pulsations. Furthermore, these Pi2 frequency bands were later detected in the magnetosphere by THEMIS-D. Thus, in what follows we will focus our discussion on the Pi2 frequency range during the AF.




Figure 3. Lomb-Scargle periodogram for the total magnetic field magnitude measured by THA at the magnetosheath (top panel) and THD in the magnetosphere (bottom panel). The horizontal red lines correspond to the 95% confidence level. The black boxes highlight the frequency local maxima.

Figure 3 shows the Lomb-Scargle periodogram in the 7–28 mHz frequency range for the total magnetic field magnitude measured by THA at the magnetosheath (top panel) and THD in the magnetosphere (bottom panel) accompanied by their 95% confidence level. The use of the Lomb/Scargle periodogram (Scargle, 1982), is complementary to the wavelet spectrum as the discrete periodicities in the former sometimes correspond to a range of periodicities in the latter. As shown, the two periodograms exhibit a remarkable similarity and, furthermore, are in good agreement with the corresponding wavelet spectra. In detail, the two periodograms exhibit local peaks that exceed the 95% confidence level at two frequency bands. The first band corresponds to 7.8–9.1 mHz (peak at 8.3 mHz) and 7.6–9.7 mHz (peak at 8.7 mHz) at the magnetosheath and magnetosphere, respectively. The second band corresponds to 16.1–18.2 mHz (peak at 17.2 mHz) and 15.8–16.7 mHz (peak at 16.3 mHz) at the magnetosheath and magnetosphere, respectively.

Figure 4 shows the cross-wavelet and phase coherence between the pulsations in the magnetosheath and in the magnetosphere in the 7–30 mHz frequency range. Similar to the Lomb-Scargle periodograms, the XWT (middle panel of figure 4) exhibits common power in two frequency bands with peak frequency at 8.5 and 16.3 mHz which is




Figure 4. Cross-wavelet (middle panel) and phase coherence (right panel) between the pulsations in the magnetosheath and in the magnetosphere in the 7–30 mHz frequency range. The left panel corresponds to the global spectrum. Note that the frequency axis is inverted with lower frequencies shown at the top of the axis. The colour-bar of the WTC corresponds to the confidence level of the phase, obtained by the Monte-Carlo test, and the arrows appearing correspond to a confidence level greater than 0.6. The arrows point to the phase relationship of the two data series in timefrequency space: (1) arrows pointing to the right indicate in-phase behaviour; (2) arrows pointing to the left indicate anti-phase behaviour; (3) arrows pointing downward indicate that the first dataset is leading the second by 90 degrees. The vertical black lines mark the duration of the jet’s afterflow (AF).

limited inside the AF duration and, moreover, exhibits a statistically significant phase coherence (right panel of figure 4). In detail, the phase between the two signals is ≈ 60 and 120 degrees (which corresponds to an estimate of 140 seconds) for the 8.5 and 16.3 mHz, respectively. Note that this propagation time is in good agreement with the estimated propagation time of a disturbance travelling with Alfvénic speed from the corresponding position of THA and THD.

All the above indicate that the Pi2 pulsations detected in the magnetosphere are directly associated with those observed in the magnetosheath. Nevertheless, a question arises from these results concerning the way these pulsations are propagated through the magnetopause. Archer et al. (2019) showed that impulses on the boundary can generate a standing waves or eigenmodes of the magnetopause surface, which can later on propagate to the inner magnetosphere. Nevertheless, we have indicated that the Pi2 pulsations observed in this study are locally generated in the magnetosheath by the interaction of the jet with the ambient plasma, since they are not found within the jet itself. Moreover, Archer et al. (2013) showed that the magnetopause acts like a low-pass filter, favoring the excitation of Pc56 pulsations in the compressional components of the magnetic field, while in this study we refer to Pi2 frequencies. Finally, even though a direct penetration of these pulsations through the magnetopause is a possible explanation, this scenario requires much further investigation which is out of the scope of this study.

5 Conclusions

On February 13, 2013 near noon, THEMIS-A, which was located in the dayside magnetosheath, observed a magnetosheath jet downstream of the quasi-parallel bow shock. Right after the jet, the After-Flow (AF) was associated with Pi2 pulsations in two frequency bands (7.6–9.2 and 12–17 mHz). It is the first time—to our knowledge—such a wave activity is detected in the magnetosheath. Our results indicate that these pulsations were locally generated, possibly due to the sudden changes in the magnetic field driven by the jet’s interaction with the ambient magnetosheath plasma. Furthermore, these pulsations were also detected inside the magnetosphere with a 140 seconds time-lag, which raises the question of how exactly these pulsations are propagated through the magnetopause.

Acknowledgments

This research is co-financed by Greece and the European Union (European Social Fund - ESF) through the Operational Programme "Human Resources Development, Education and Lifelong Learning 2014-2020" in the context of the project ULFpulse (MIS: 5048130). SR and TK acknowledge support from SNSA grant 90/17. The authors acknowledge the THEMIS/FGM and THEMIS/ESA teams for the use of the corresponding data sets which can be found online in http://themis.ssl.berkeley.edu/data_products/index.php and the developers of the International Radiation Belt Environment Modeling (IRBEM) library.

References

- Angelopoulos, V., Baumjohann, W., Kennel, C. F., Coroniti, F. V., Kivelson, M. G., Pellat, R., ... Paschmann, G. (1992). Bursty bulk flows in the inner central plasma sheet. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 97(A4), 4027. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1029/91ja02701> doi: 10.1029/91ja02701
- Archer, M. O., Hietala, H., Hartinger, M. D., Plaschke, F., & Angelopoulos, V. (2019, February). Direct observations of a surface eigenmode of the dayside magnetopause. *Nature Communications*, 10(1). Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-08134-5> doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-08134-5
- Archer, M. O., Horbury, T. S., & Eastwood, J. P. (2012, May). Magnetosheath pressure pulses: Generation downstream of the bow shock from solar wind discontinuities. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 117(A5), n/a–n/a. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011ja017468> doi: 10.1029/2011ja017468
- Archer, M. O., Horbury, T. S., Eastwood, J. P., Weygand, J. M., & Yeoman, T. K. (2013, September). Magnetospheric response to magnetosheath pressure pulses: A low-pass filter effect. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 118(9), 5454–5466. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgra.50519> doi: 10.1002/jgra.50519
- Auster, H. U., Glassmeier, K. H., Magnes, W., Aydogar, O., Baumjohann, W., Constantinescu, D., ... Wiedemann, M. (2008, May). The THEMIS fluxgate magnetometer. *Space Science Reviews*, 141(1-4), 235–264. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-008-9365-9> doi:

- 10.1007/s11214-008-9365-9
- Blanco-Cano, X., Omidi, N., & Russell, C. T. (2006, October). Macrostructure of collisionless bow shocks: 2. ULF waves in the foreshock and magnetosheath. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 111(A10). Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005ja011421> doi: 10.1029/2005ja011421
- Bourdarie, S., & O'Brien, T. (2009, April). International radiation belt environment modelling library. *Space Research Today*, 174, 27–28. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.srt.2009.03.006> doi: 10.1016/j.srt.2009.03.006
- Chao, J., Wu, D., Lin, C.-H., Yang, Y.-H., Wang, X., Kessel, M., ... Lepping, R. (2002). Models for the size and shape of the earth's magnetopause and bow shock. In *Space weather study using multipoint techniques, proceedings of the COSPAR colloquium* (pp. 127–135). Elsevier. Retrieved from [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0964-2749\(02\)80212-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0964-2749(02)80212-8) doi: 10.1016/S0964-2749(02)80212-8
- Clausen, L. B. N., Yeoman, T. K., Fear, R. C., Behlke, R., Lucek, E. A., & Engebretson, M. J. (2009, January). First simultaneous measurements of waves generated at the bow shock in the solar wind, the magnetosphere and on the ground. *Annales Geophysicae*, 27(1), 357–371. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-27-357-2009> doi: 10.5194/angeo-27-357-2009
- Denton, R. E. (2002). Magnetospheric electron density model inferred from polar plasma wave data. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 107(A11). Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001ja009136> doi: 10.1029/2001ja009136
- Dmitriev, A., & Suvorova, A. (2015). Large-scale jets in the magnetosheath and plasma penetration across the magnetopause: Themis observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 120(6), 4423–4437.
- Grinsted, A., Moore, J. C., & Jevrejeva, S. (2004, November). Application of the cross wavelet transform and wavelet coherence to geophysical time series. *Non-linear Processes in Geophysics*, 11(5/6), 561–566. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.5194/npg-11-561-2004> doi: 10.5194/npg-11-561-2004
- Hietala, H., Phan, T. D., Angelopoulos, V., Oieroset, M., Archer, M. O., Karlsson, T., & Plaschke, F. (2018, February). In situ observations of a magnetosheath high-speed jet triggering magnetopause reconnection. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(4), 1732–1740. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1002/>

- 2017gl076525 doi: 10.1002/2017gl076525
- Karimabadi, H., Roytershteyn, V., Vu, H. X., Omelchenko, Y. A., Scudder, J.,
Daughton, W., ... Geveci, B. (2014, June). The link between shocks, turbulence,
and magnetic reconnection in collisionless plasmas. *Physics of Plasmas*,
21(6), 062308. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4882875> doi:
10.1063/1.4882875
- Karlsson, T., Brenning, N., Nilsson, H., Trotignon, J.-G., Vallières, X., & Facsko, G.
(2012, March). Localized density enhancements in the magnetosheath: Three-
dimensional morphology and possible importance for impulsive penetration.
Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 117(A3), n/a–n/a. Retrieved
from <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011ja017059> doi: 10.1029/2011ja017059
- Karlsson, T., Kullen, A., Liljeblad, E., Brenning, N., Nilsson, H., Gunell, H., &
Hamrin, M. (2015, September). On the origin of magnetosheath plasmoids and
their relation to magnetosheath jets. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space
Physics*, 120(9), 7390–7403. Retrieved from [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/2015ja021487)
2015ja021487 doi: 10.1002/2015ja021487
- Karlsson, T., Plaschke, F., Hietala, H., Archer, M., Blanco-Cano, X., Kajdič, P.,
... Gershman, D. J. (2018, April). Investigating the anatomy of magne-
tosheath jets – MMS observations. *Annales Geophysicae*, 36(2), 655–677.
Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-36-655-2018> doi:
10.5194/angeo-36-655-2018
- Karlsson, T., Raptis, S., Trollvik, H., & Nilsson, H. (2021). Classifying the magne-
tosheath behind the quasi-parallel and quasi-perpendicular bow shock by local
measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Under Review)*.
- Katsavrias, C., Daglis, I. A., Turner, D. L., Sandberg, I., Papadimitriou, C., Geor-
giou, M., & Balasis, G. (2015). Nonstorm loss of relativistic electrons in the
outer radiation belt. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(24), 10,521–10,530.
Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015GL066773)
10.1002/2015GL066773 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066773>
- Katsavrias, C., Hillaris, A., & Preka-Papadema, P. (2016, May). A wavelet based
approach to solar–terrestrial coupling. *Advances in Space Research*, 57(10),
2234–2244. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2016.03.001>
doi: 10.1016/j.asr.2016.03.001

- 361 Katsavrias, C., Sandberg, I., Li, W., Podladchikova, O., Daglis, I., Papadimitriou,
362 C., ... Aminalragia-Giamini, S. (2019, June). Highly relativistic electron flux
363 enhancement during the weak geomagnetic storm of april–may 2017. *Journal*
364 *of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 124(6), 4402–4413. Retrieved from
365 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019ja026743> doi: 10.1029/2019ja026743
- 366 Keiling, A., & Takahashi, K. (2011, October). Review of pi2 models. *Space Science*
367 *Reviews*, 161(1-4), 63–148. Retrieved from [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-011-9818-4)
368 [-011-9818-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-011-9818-4) doi: 10.1007/s11214-011-9818-4
- 369 Kepko, L., Kivelson, M. G., & Yumoto, K. (2001, February). Flow bursts, braking,
370 and pi2 pulsations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 106(A2),
371 1903–1915. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000ja000158> doi: 10
372 .1029/2000ja000158
- 373 Lucek, E., Constantinescu, D., Goldstein, M., Pickett, J., Pinçon, J., Sahraoui, F.,
374 ... Walker, S. (2005). The magnetosheath. *Space Science Reviews*, 118(1),
375 95–152.
- 376 McFadden, J. P., Carlson, C. W., Larson, D., Ludlam, M., Abiad, R., Elliott, B.,
377 ... Angelopoulos, V. (2008, October). The THEMIS ESA plasma in-
378 strument and in-flight calibration. *Space Science Reviews*, 141(1-4), 277–
379 302. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-008-9440-2> doi:
380 10.1007/s11214-008-9440-2
- 381 Morlet, J. (1983). Sampling theory and wave propagation. In *Issues in acoustic sig-*
382 *nal — image processing and recognition* (pp. 233–261). Springer Berlin Heidel-
383 berg. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-82002-1_12 doi:
384 10.1007/978-3-642-82002-1_12
- 385 Plaschke, F., & Glassmeier, K.-H. (2011, October). Properties of standing kruskal-
386 schwarzchild-modes at the magnetopause. *Annales Geophysicae*, 29(10),
387 1793–1807. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-29-1793-2011>
388 doi: 10.5194/angeo-29-1793-2011
- 389 Plaschke, F., & Hietala, H. (2018, May). Plasma flow patterns in and around
390 magnetosheath jets. *Annales Geophysicae*, 36(3), 695–703. Retrieved
391 from <https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-36-695-2018> doi: 10.5194/
392 angeo-36-695-2018
- 393 Plaschke, F., Hietala, H., & Angelopoulos, V. (2013, October). Anti-sunward high-

- 394 speed jets in the subsolar magnetosheath. *Annales Geophysicae*, 31(10), 1877–
395 1889. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-31-1877-2013> doi:
396 10.5194/angeo-31-1877-2013
- 397 Plaschke, F., Hietala, H., Archer, M., Blanco-Cano, X., Kajdič, P., Karlsson, T., ...
398 others (2018). Jets downstream of collisionless shocks. *Space Science Reviews*,
399 214(5), 1–77.
- 400 Plaschke, F., Jernej, M., Hietala, H., & Vuorinen, L. (2020). On the alignment of
401 velocity and magnetic fields within magnetosheath jets. In *Annales geophysicae*
402 (Vol. 38, pp. 287–296).
- 403 Plaschke, F., Karlsson, T., Hietala, H., Archer, M., Vörös, Z., Nakamura, R., ...
404 others (2017). Magnetosheath high-speed jets: Internal structure and inter-
405 action with ambient plasma. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*,
406 122(10), 10–157.
- 407 Raptis, S., Amini, S., Giamini, S., Karlsson, T., & Lindberg, M. (2020). Classifi-
408 cation of magnetosheath jets using neural networks and high resolution omni
409 (hro) data. *Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences*, 7, 24. Retrieved from
410 <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fspas.2020.00024> doi:
411 10.3389/fspas.2020.00024
- 412 Raptis, S., Karlsson, T., Plaschke, F., Kullen, A., & Lindqvist, P.-A. (2020). Clas-
413 sifying magnetosheath jets using mms: Statistical properties. *Journal of Geo-*
414 *physical Research: Space Physics*, 125(11), e2019JA027754.
- 415 Scargle, J. D. (1982, December). Studies in astronomical time series analysis. II -
416 statistical aspects of spectral analysis of unevenly spaced data. *The Astrophys-*
417 *ical Journal*, 263, 835. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1086/160554>
418 doi: 10.1086/160554
- 419 Schwartz, S. J., Burgess, D., & Moses, J. J. (1996, November). Low-frequency waves
420 in the earths magnetosheath: present status. *Annales Geophysicae*, 14(11),
421 1134–1150. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00585-996-1134-z>
422 doi: 10.1007/s00585-996-1134-z
- 423 Takahashi, K., McPherron, R. L., & Terasawa, T. (1984). Dependence of the
424 spectrum of pc 3–4 pulsations on the interplanetary magnetic field. *Journal*
425 *of Geophysical Research*, 89(A5), 2770. Retrieved from [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1029/ja089ia05p02770)
426 10.1029/ja089ia05p02770 doi: 10.1029/ja089ia05p02770

- 427 Torrence, C., & Compo, G. P. (1998, January). A practical guide to wavelet
428 analysis. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 79(1), 61–78.
429 Retrieved from [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477\(1998\)079<0061:
430 apgtwa>2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1998)079<0061:apgtwa>2.0.co;2) doi: 10.1175/1520-0477(1998)079<0061:apgtwa>2.0.co;2
- 431 Tsyganenko, N., & Stern, D. (1996). Modeling the global magnetic field of the
432 largescale birkeland current systems. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 101,
433 27187–27198. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1029/96JA02735> doi:
434 10.1029/96JA02735
- 435 Vuorinen, L., Hietala, H., & Plaschke, F. (2019). Jets in the magnetosheath: Imf
436 control of where they occur. In *Annales geophysicae* (Vol. 37, pp. 689–697).
- 437 Wang, G. Q., Ge, Y. S., Zhang, T. L., Nakamura, R., Volwerk, M., Baumjohann,
438 W., ... Lu, Q. M. (2015, August). A statistical analysis of pi2-band waves
439 in the plasma sheet and their relation to magnetospheric drivers. *Journal
440 of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 120(8), 6167–6175. Retrieved from
441 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014ja020753> doi: 10.1002/2014ja020753
- 442 Wilson, L. B. (2016, February). Low frequency waves at and upstream of collision-
443 less shocks. In *Low-frequency waves in space plasmas* (pp. 269–291). John Wi-
444 ley & Sons, Inc. Retrieved from [https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119055006
445 .ch16](https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119055006.ch16) doi: 10.1002/9781119055006.ch16