# Convective mass-flux from long term radar reflectivities over Darwin, Australia

Alessandro Carlo Maria Savazzi<sup>1</sup>, Christian Jakob<sup>2</sup>, and Pier Siebesma<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Delft University of Technology <sup>2</sup>Monash University <sup>3</sup>TU Delft

November 23, 2022

#### Abstract

Most cumulus parametrizations today make use of a simple conceptual model of convection, called the mass-flux approach. This approach depicts convection as an ensemble of updrafts and downdrafts occurring within a model grid-box. The aim of this study is to determine convective mass-fluxes and their constituents on the scale of a 100 km GCM grid-box from a C-band polarimetric radar and thereafter investigate the relative role of area fraction and vertical velocity in determining the shape and magnitude of bulk mass-flux profiles. We make use of observational estimates of these quantities spanning 13 wet seasons in the tropical region of Darwin. Following a bulk approach, the results show that the distribution of mass-flux is positively skewed and its mean profile peaks at 4 km. This is the result of constant area fractions and increasing vertical velocities below that level. Above 4 km, in-cloud vertical velocity plays a marginal role compared to the convective area fraction in controlling mass-flux profiles.

## Convective mass-flux from long term radar reflectivities over Darwin, Australia

Alessandro C. M. Savazzi<sup>1</sup>, Christian Jakob<sup>2,3</sup>, A. Pier Siebesma<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geoscience and Remote Sensing, Delft University of Technology, Delft, the Netherlands <sup>2</sup>School of Earth, Atmosphere and Environment, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia <sup>3</sup>ARC Centre of Excellence for Climate Extremes, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia <sup>4</sup>Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI), De Bilt, the Netherlands

#### **8 Key Points:**

3

9	• An observationally based data set of convective mass-flux spanning more than a
10	decade is developed and analysed.
11	• The data set provides for the first time long-term observational estimates of net
12	entrainment.
13	• There is strong bulk entrainment in the lowest 4 km of the atmosphere and strong
14	detrainment above that level.

Corresponding author: Alessandro Savazzi, A.C.M.Savazzi@tudelft.nl

#### 15 Abstract

Most cumulus parametrizations today make use of a simple conceptual model of convec-16 tion, called the mass-flux approach. This approach depicts convection as an ensemble 17 of updrafts and downdrafts occurring within a model grid-box. The aim of this study 18 is to determine convective mass-fluxes and their constituents on the scale of a 100 km 19 GCM grid-box from a C-band polarimetric radar and thereafter investigate the relative 20 role of area fraction and vertical velocity in determining the shape and magnitude of bulk 21 mass-flux profiles. We make use of observational estimates of these quantities spanning 22 13 wet seasons in the tropical region of Darwin. Following a bulk approach, the results 23 show that the distribution of mass-flux is positively skewed and its mean profile peaks 24 at 4 km. This is the result of constant area fractions and increasing vertical velocities 25 below that level. Above 4 km, in-cloud vertical velocity plays a marginal role compared 26 to the convective area fraction in controlling mass-flux profiles. 27

### 1 Introduction

28

It has long been recognised that deep convective systems play a key role in regulating the large scale circulations and thermal structure of the atmosphere in the tropics (Riehl & Malkus, 1958, 1979; Emanuel et al., 1994; Simpson et al., 1998; de Rooy et al., 2008; Labbouz et al., 2018). Despite this, the underlying physical processes connected to convective clouds and their response to a warmer climate are not yet fully understood.

Cumulus convection is observed to organise into strong narrow updrafts which cover 34 a small horizontal fraction of the large-scale atmosphere (e.g., Oerlemans, 1986; Davies 35 et al., 2013; Louf et al., 2019). Because of the limited spatial resolution of General Cir-36 culation Models (GCMs), atmospheric convection occurs at a sub-grid scale and, thus, 37 must be parameterized (Arakawa, 2004). As a result, progress in simulating clouds and 38 precipitation in GCMs strongly relates to improvements in the cumulus cloud param-39 eterizations and their coupling to boundary layer and cloud processes (e.g., Bechtold et 40 al., 2008; Jakob, 2010). 41

Most cumulus parametrizations today make use of a simple conceptual model of 42 convection, called the mass-flux approach (e.g., Ooyama, 1971; Yanai et al., 1973; Arakawa 43 et al., 1974; Tiedtke, 1989). This approach depicts convection as an ensemble of updrafts 44 and downdrafts occurring within a model grid-box. The area covered by the up- and down-45 drafts is assumed to be small compared to the grid-size. The mass-flux for an individ-46 ual draft is defined as the product of the air density, the fractional area covered by the 47 draft and the vertical velocity inside it. Most commonly, parametrizations apply the so-48 called bulk-mass-flux approach (e.g., Tiedtke, 1989; Gregory & Rowntree, 1990), where 49 only the average properties of the cloud ensemble are considered. The alternative spec-50 tral approach, where different cloud types are assumed to co-exist or even compete with 51 each other (e.g., Arakawa et al., 1974; Wagner & Graf, 2010), while available, is less com-52 monly used due to computational constraints. The essential assumption in either approach 53 is that the vertical transport of any scalar is well represented by the product of the mass-54 flux and the bulk updraft scalar excess with respect to the updraft environment. 55

While conceptually simple, the evaluation of mass-flux approaches is challenging 56 as it requires concurrent knowledge of the fractional area covered by convective clouds 57 and the vertical velocity inside the clouds in domain of roughly 100 km at a side to match 58 current global climate model resolutions. As a result, much of the evaluation and param-59 eter estimation for mass-flux schemes has relied on the use Cloud-Resolving and Large-60 Eddy Simulation Models (e.g., A. P. Siebesma et al., 1996; de Rooy et al., 2008; A. Siebesma 61 et al., 2020). These model experiments are often limited to short temporal extent and 62 idealised atmospheric conditions. Furthermore, they show limits in reproducing convec-63 tive organisation and taking into account the large scale conditions (Schalkwijk et al., 64 2015; Schemann et al., 2020). 65

The few observational estimates of mass-fluxes that exist for deep convection, are 66 based on short-term field campaigns. Early on, heat and water budget analyses applied 67 to radiosonde-arrays were combined with some simple assumptions to provide indirect 68 estimates of the bulk-properties of convective mass-fluxes in both deep (e.g., Yanai et 69 al., 1973) and shallow convection (e.g., Nitta & Esbensen, 1974; Nitta, 1975). Direct mea-70 surements of vertical velocities in convection became available from aircraft campaigns 71 (e.g., Byers & Braham, 1949; Marwitz, 1973; LeMone & Zipser, 1980; Anderson et al., 72 2005; May et al., 2008). While providing several breakthroughs in our understanding of 73 tropical convection, the small-scale nature of these observations and the absence of con-74 current knowledge of the distribution of clouds over a large area prevents their use in 75 evaluating the mass-flux concept. 76

More recently, long-time radar observations from both space and the ground have 77 been used to extensively study the behaviour of tropical convection and its relationship 78 to state of the larger-scale atmosphere. Examples include the Tropical Rainfall Measure-79 ment Mission (TRMM, (Kummerow et al., 1998)) data sets to study convective char-80 acteristics, such as convective vigour (Zipser, 2003), types of convective systems (Nesbitt 81 et al., 2000; Jr. et al., 2015) and the role of stratiform processes in tropical rainfall (Schumacher 82 & Houze, 2003). Recent studies using a more than a decade long calibrated radar data 83 set acquired at Darwin, Australia, (Jackson et al., 2018) specifically retrieved the frac-84 tional area covered by convection and related it to precipitation and the large-scale sate 85 of the atmosphere (e.g., Davies et al., 2013; Louf et al., 2019). They found that convec-86 tive rainfall in the radar domain ( $\approx 130$  km radius) was very strongly related to the area 87 fraction of active convective cells and that this area fraction was generally small. This 88 important finding indicated that predicting area fraction is an important step in determining precipitation and hence the overall heating in a convecting column. 90

Long-term measures of vertical velocity are not as trivial to obtain as for area frac-91 tion. Nevertheless, they are essential for a better evaluation of the mass-flux approach 92 in GCMs. Some recent studies (e.g., Giangrande et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2015; Gian-93 grande et al., 2016) used wind profiler retrievals to attain long-term measurements. A 94 limitation of these studies is the set of assumptions made to use observations at a point 95 location to describe mass-flux at the scale of a GCM grid-box. To overcome this limi-96 tation, a later study from Kumar et al. (2016) proposed a parametric equation of ver-97 tical velocity inside convective updrafts as a function of radar reflectivity products: the 98 0-dBZ echo top height (ETH) and the height-weighted reflectivity index  $(Z_{HWT})$ . The 99 present work makes use of this parametric approach to determine mass-flux and, in turn, 100 the rate of mixing between the updraught and the environment (entrainment/detrainment) 101 (Arakawa et al., 1974). 102

Observations on a scale of 100 km from a scanning C-band dual-polarisation radar 103 (CPOL; Keenan et al. (1998)) in Darwin, are used in this paper. The Darwin region ex-104 periences a tropical climate with a dry and a wet season, the latter typically starts in 105 late November or early December and lasts until late April. The brings with it heavy 106 monsoonal downpours and cyclone activity. Its unique topography and the availability 107 of a comprehensive long-term observational record, make the Darwin region an ideal lo-108 cation to study different regimes of tropical convection (Kumar et al., 2012; Davies et 109 110 al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2015, 2016).

The aim of this study is to provide observationally based profiles of convective massflux, net fractional entrainment and their constituents using the C-band dual-polarisation radar (CPOL; Keenan et al. (1998)). With the quantities attained, a second goal is to investigate the relative role of area fraction and vertical velocity in determining the magnitude and shape of mass-flux profiles.

The article is organised as follows. In section 2 the data set used for the study is introduced. Section 3 describes the mass-flux equation and the assumptions used to de-



Figure 1. Schematic representation of a scene. The left panel shows three groups of rainy pixels (clouds) classified at  $z_{\rm b} = 2.5$  km: two are convective (wavy patter) and one is classified as stratiform (brick pattern). On the right panel a cross section taken within the convective clouds shows the vertical extent of convective pixels from 2.5 km to the respective 0-dBZ echo top height. The dashed lines mark the 7 and 15 km levels.

rive this quantity. Section 4 presents the main results of the analysis for the full data set (sections 4.1 and 4.2) and for composites of radar scenes with different cloud top heights (section 4.3).

#### 121 **2 Data**

This study uses 13 wet seasons of data gathered by a C-Band Polarimetric radar (CPOL) located near Darwin, Australia (12.25°S, 131.04°E) (Keenan et al., 1998). The measurements cover the period of October 2001 to April 2015, with a gap in 2008, when the radar antenna and receiver needed replacement.

Measurements are available with a time resolution of 10 minutes, which is the time 126 needed for the radar to perform a full three-dimensional scan. In this work each full radar 127 scan will be referred to as a scene. Only measurements within the range 20 - 120 km128 from the radar location are considered. This accounts for both the cone of silence around 129 the radar and minimises any range-dependence issues far away from the radar (Kumar 130 et al., 2013). The data is interpolated onto a three-dimensional regular grid with a 2.5 131 km x 2.5 km horizontal spacing and 0.25 km vertical spacing ( $\Delta z$ ) ranging from 2.5 km 132 to 13.5 km above the surface. 133

134

For each vertical column defined by the grid, the data set includes:

a) A convective/stratiform classification for each rainy grid point. Radar pixels are 135 classified as either convective or stratiform using the algorithm of Steiner et al. (1995). 136 This method classifies pixels with large values of radar reflectivity at 2.5 km height as 137 convective. It also classifies sufficiently intense precipitation next to a convective pixel 138 as convective. Other precipitating radar pixels are classified as stratiform. The left panel 139 of Figure 1 helps visualising the outcome of this classification in an simplified gridded 140 domain. The wavy pattern is for convective pixels and the brick pattern is for stratiform 141 pixels. This study makes use of the convective pixel information only. 142

b) The 0-dBZ echo top height (ETH). This is the height where 0 dBZ at the top of a column of consecutive reflectivity values greater than zero is reached. This has been shown to be a reasonable proxy of cloud top height as demonstrated by Casey et al. (2012)
and Kumar et al. (2013). Convective columns, a proxy for convective clouds, are then
defined as the vertical region from 2.5 km above the surface to the 0-dBZ height for each
convective pixel. This is visualised in the right panel of Figure 1.

c) The height-weighted reflectivity index  $(Z_{HWT})$ . Kumar et al. (2016) found that the intensity of convection, expressed through the vertical velocity in convective drafts, is strongly related to a vertical integral measure of radar reflectivity in convective pixels. They defined a height-weighted index as

$$Z_{HWT} = \log_{10} \sum_{k} Z \cdot z_k, \tag{1}$$

where Z is the radar reflectivity and z is the height in km. Compared to a simple sum of the reflectivity in linear units,  $Z_{HWT}$  results to be better linked to vertical velocity throughout the evolution of a convective cell. The height-weighting in equation (1) gives additional weight to the upper part of updrafts, in which velocities tend to be stronger, while reflectivities tend to be lower due to the presence of ice.

As a key goal of this work is to study vertical profiles of convective mass-flux (see below), only scenes where convection occurs in at least one pixel and covers at least two vertical layers are chosen for analysis. It is worth noting that the CPOL radar only detects precipitation-size particles. As a result, non-precipitating clouds are not included in the analysis and all results relate to precipitating convection only.

#### <sup>164</sup> **3** Estimation of convective mass-flux

153

177

The goal of this study is to use the observations described above to estimate bulk 165 mass-flux profiles for every convective scene in the data set. For simplicity, downdrafts 166 are disregarded so that the resulting mass-flux only refers to positive upward vertical ve-167 locities inside convection. As the data set provides a very high time resolution of ten min-168 utes, sequences of radar scenes will not be independent of each other. As this is a first 169 attempt at exploiting the radar record to study mass-flux characteristics, a simple di-170 agnostic approach is used, that is each scene is treated as independent and information 171 about the life cycle of convective cells is not taken into account. This matches common 172 practice in cumulus parametrisations used in weather and climate models. As mass-fluxes 173 cannot be directly measured, the present study applies the approach proposed by Kumar 174 175 et al. (2016), in which the mass-flux is computed from observational estimates of area fraction and vertical velocity within each radar scene, as 176

$$M_t(z) = \rho(z)w_t(z)a_t(z), \tag{2}$$

where  $\rho(z)$  is the density,  $w_t(z)$  is the vertical velocity averaged over all convective clouds 178 (see below), and  $a_t(z)$  is the fractional area of the radar scene that is covered by con-179 vective clouds. Note that the vertical velocity w and the cloud fraction a are functions 180 of both height and time. Since the density  $\rho$  does not vary strongly with time we use a 181 climatological time independent profile derived from a variational analysis algorithm (Zhang 182 & Lin, 1997) applied to the ECMWF Interim Reanalysis (Dee et al., 2011) for the Dar-183 win region (Davies et al., 2013; Louf et al., 2019). The estimation of area fraction and 184 vertical velocity is described next. 185

#### **3.1 Estimation of area fraction**

The area fraction covered by convective clouds as a function of height is estimated by combining the results of the pixel rainfall classification algorithm with the estimate of cloud top height for each pixel. As discussed above, reflectivity at the lowest level (2.5 <sup>190</sup> km height) for each radar scene is used to classify each pixel as either convective or strat-<sup>191</sup> iform using the algorithm by Steiner et al. (1995). Dividing the area of convective pix-

els by the total radar scene area provides the convective area fraction at the 2.5km-level.

Next, the 0-dBZ cloud top estimate for all convective pixels is used to determine 193 the cloud depth at each individual convective pixel. It is worth noting that cloud depth 194 can vary strongly from pixel to pixel. From the cloud-top information it is then straight-195 forward to calculate the number of pixels that are convective at each height above the 196 2.5km-level and from that the convective area fraction as a function of height,  $a_t(z)$ . As 197 the cloud top algorithm requires consecutive layers of reflectivity above the 0-dBZ thresh-198 old for a layer to be classified as cloud, the fractional area can only stay constant or de-199 crease with height. Once more, it is important to remember that the area fraction refers 200 only to grid cells where precipitating convection occurs and that grid cells with strat-201 iform clouds do not contribute to the cloud fraction. 202

#### 203

#### 3.2 Estimation of updraft vertical velocity

To estimate the updraft vertical velocity a statistical model is applied that relates velocity to cloud depth and reflectivity, as proposed by Kumar et al. (2015, 2016). The model was developed using retrievals of in-cloud vertical motion from dual frequency windprofiler observations within the CPOL radar domain (Williams, 2012). The main equations of the statistical model as it is applied here are presented below. For a detailed description, parameter estimation and evaluation of the statistical model the reader is referred to Kumar et al. (2016).

The model starts by using the 0-dBZ echo top height to classify convective pixels into three cumulus modes. It then assigns an idealised updraft wind profile shape (see equation (3)) to each radar pixel depending on its 0-dBZ echo top height.

214

The idealised updraft wind profiles for the three cumulus modes are defined as

$$w_{\rm u}(z) = \begin{cases} 0.404z + 0.9922, & \text{for ETH} \le 7 \text{km} \\ -0.0016z^4 + 0.0519z^3 - 0.571z^2 + 2.7z - 2.7351, & \text{for } 7 < \text{ETH} \le 15 \text{km} \\ -0.0454z^2 + 1.0889z - 0.8963 & \text{for ETH} > 15 \text{km} \end{cases}$$
(3)

Having determined the shape of the vertical velocity profile as a function of echo top height at a single pixel, its magnitude needs to be estimated. This is achieved by scaling the profiles from equation (3) with a reflectivity-dependent scaling factor such that the vertical velocity at each convective pixel, i, and time, t, is given by:

$$w_{i,t}(z) = w_{\mathbf{u}}(z)T_Z(z). \tag{4}$$

221

222

224

The scaling factor,  $T_Z(z)$ , is expressed as

$$T_{\mathbf{z}}(z) = \left(\frac{w_{\rm res} + \overline{w}_{\rm u}}{\overline{w}_{\rm u}}\right) \left(\frac{w_{\rm u}(z)^{0.5}}{\overline{w}_{\rm u}^{0.5}}\right),\tag{5}$$

where  $\overline{w}_{u}$  is the column-mean updraft velocity estimated from equation (3).  $\overline{w}_{u}$  reads

$$\overline{w}_{u} = \frac{\sum_{k} w_{u,k} \cdot \Delta z}{\text{ETH} - z_{b}},\tag{6}$$

where the summation is over all discrete levels, spaced  $\Delta z = 0.25$  km, from  $z_{\rm b} = 2.5$  km to ETH.

Importantly, a reflectivity-dependent velocity,  $w_{\rm res}$  is introduced as

$$w_{\rm res} = a + bZ_{\rm HWT},\tag{7}$$

where a and b are empirical coefficients and their formulation was suggested by Kumar 229 et al. (2016) as  $a = 4.3911 - 1.2381 \cdot \text{ETH}$  and  $b = -0.06064 + 0.02095 \cdot \text{ETH}$ , where 230 ETH is expressed in km. 231

 $w_{\rm res}$  quantifies the intuitive assumption that the vertical motion at convective pix-232 els with larger reflectivity (note that a height-weighted integral of reflectivity is used) 233 is likely stronger leading to more intense rainfall. 234

#### 3.3 Estimation of the bulk mass-flux

Once a vertical velocity profile for each convective grid cell has been obtained us-236 ing the method above, the bulk vertical velocity is calculated by averaging over all con-237 vective pixels at each height z to yield 238

$$w_t(z) = \frac{\sum_i w_{i,t}(z)}{N_c(z)},\tag{8}$$

where  $N_{\rm c}$  is the number of convective pixels at height z. 240

Combining the result of equation (8) with the estimate of area fraction discussed 241 in section 3.1 then allows the calculation of the bulk mass-flux,  $M_t$ , at every level using 242 equation (2). 243

#### 4 Results 244

#### 245

257

227

228

235

239

#### 4.1 Mass-flux and its components

Combining the estimates of area fraction and bulk vertical motion described in sec-246 tion 3 allows for the calculation of the bulk convective mass-flux for every 10-minute radar 247 scene that contains convective pixels. Figure 2 shows the distribution of all components 248 of the mass-flux as from equation (2). Panel (a) depicts the distribution of mass-flux  $M_t$ , 249 (b) of the convective area fraction  $a_t$ , (c) of the bulk vertical velocity  $w_t$  and (d) of the 250 product of density and vertical velocity  $\rho \cdot w_t$ . In each panel, the solid line depicts the 251 mean value, the dashed line show the median and the dotted lines are the 25 and 75 per-252 centiles of the total mass-flux distribution. Note that all percentiles are calculated sep-253 arately for each vertical level and that the following set of equations hold at every level 254 z: 255

256

 $\rho \langle w \rangle \langle a \rangle$ 

(9)

 $\langle M \rangle$ 258

where the chevrons refer to the temporal average of a quantity and T is the total num-259 ber of scenes. 260

The bulk mass-flux profiles (Figure 2a) show a well-known shape, with an increase 261 in mass-flux at low levels, a peak at about 4-5 km above the surface followed by a steady decrease above that level (Yanai et al., 1973; Emanuel et al., 1994; Betts, 1975). The peak 263 mean value of mass-flux is about  $0.017 \,\mathrm{kg}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ . The distribution shows a pronounced 264 skewness at all levels, with the median values being much smaller than the mean. The 265



**Figure 2.** Temporal mean (solid), median (dashed), lower and upper quartiles (dotted) of bulk mass-flux (a), convective area fraction (b), bulk vertical velocity (c) and the product of density and bulk vertical velocity (d) for the entire data set.

peak median value is  $0.0075 \,\mathrm{kg}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$  and it occurs slightly lower in the atmosphere 266 than the peak mean value. The profile associated with the lower quartile indicates the 267 prevalence of convective clouds with tops below 8 km and very weak mass-fluxes. The 268 upper quartile shows a peak value near  $0.025 \,\mathrm{kg}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$  with a more rapid decrease of 269 mass-flux above the peak level than the mean or median. The pronounced skewness of 270 the distribution suggests that, for the large majority of the radar scenes, mass-flux is small. 271 The few occasions with very strong mass-fluxes skew the mean towards larger values. This 272 confirms the well-known fact that strong convection is a sporadic occurrence (Houze Jr, 273 1973).274

Decomposing the bulk mass-flux into contributions from the convective area fraction (Figure 2b) and bulk velocity (Figure 2c) provides a first insight into how the massflux profiles attain their distinct shape. First, it is evident that the skewness of the bulk mass-flux distribution is a result of a skewed distribution of convective area fraction, while for the vertical velocity median and mean values are almost identical.

It is worth remembering that, a decrease in area fraction indicates a loss of convective pixels with weak in-cloud vertical motion. Therefore, the increase of the bulkvertical velocity with height is not only a result of in-cloud buoyancy (as would be the case for a single cloud) but also a result of fewer but stronger updraft that constitute the ensemble mean.

The increase in mass-flux below 4 km is associated with an increase in bulk ver-285 tical velocity. The convective area fraction is almost constant below 4 km, leading the 286 in-cloud mass-flux  $(\rho \cdot w)$  to dominate the shape of the area average mass-flux. Between 287 4 and 6 km, the bulk vertical velocity does not increase significantly and the reduction 288 of fractional area is more pronounced compared to lower levels. As a result, the mass-289 flux decreases with height in this 2 km layer. Above 6 km, both vertical velocity and in-290 cloud mass-flux are increasing with height. Nevertheless, a rapid decrease of bulk mass-291 flux is observed as a result of a rapid decrease in convective area fraction. This impor-292 tant characteristic of the observed cloud ensemble is a direct result of fewer and fewer 293 clouds reaching the upper levels of the atmosphere. The small discontinuity at 7 km is 294 a direct result of the vertical velocity model for different cloud depth (see equation (3)) 295 not matching between cloud types at this level. 296

Given the large skewness of the bulk mass-flux distributions it is worth investigat-297 ing its behaviour near the upper tail of the distribution. For this purpose Figure 3 shows 298 the mean (solid line) and the 90th, 95th and 99th percentiles (dotted lines) of the bulk-299 mass-flux, convective area fraction and bulk vertical velocity. The figure also shows four 300 individual profiles chosen as having the maximum mass-flux of all scenes at 2.5 km (blue). 301 5 km (red), 10 km (green) and 13.5 km (orange). The figure strongly supports the no-302 tion that it is the convective area fraction that largely determines the magnitude of the 303 bulk mass-flux. For example, the scene with the strongest mass-flux at 2.5 km (blue line) 304 also shows an exceptionally large area fraction at this level but its value of vertical ve-305 locity is not particularly strong. 306

There is also a noteworthy difference between the shape of the strongest bulk mass-307 flux profiles and those of the mean or median. Whereas the mean profile decreases with 308 height, the strongest individual mass-flux profiles remain constant or even increase slightly 309 with height. Figure 3 reveals that this is the result of an almost constant convective area 310 fraction to a great depth in the atmosphere. The fact that this is not visible in the 95th 311 or even 99th percentile of the distribution does indicate that this bulk mass-flux behaviour 312 is very rare. Given the strong connection of bulk mass-flux to area average rainfall (Louf 313 et al., 2019) these events are likely the most extreme rainfall events in the region (in an 314 area average sense) and their difference in mass-flux behaviour warrants further study 315 in the future. 316



**Figure 3.** Temporal mean (black solid), 90th, 95th, 99th percentiles (black dotted) and the strongest mass-fluxes at various heights (see text). The three panels show bulk mass-flux (a), area fraction (b) and vertical velocity (c).

#### **4.2 Vertical derivatives**

321

٦

To gain more insight into the vertical structure of the bulk-mass flux, the fractional change of the mass-flux with height can be related to the fractional change in area fraction and vertical velocity, using equation (2) as

$$\frac{1}{M_t}\frac{\partial M_t}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{a_t}\frac{\partial a_t}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho w_t}\frac{\partial(\rho w_t)}{\partial z}.$$
(10)

In mass-flux models, the fractional change of mass-flux has been related to the fractional entrainment and detrainment rates,  $\epsilon$  and  $\delta$ , as (Tiedtke, 1989):

$$\frac{1}{M}\frac{\partial M}{\partial z} = \epsilon - \delta. \tag{11}$$

The fractional entrainment  $\epsilon$  describes the inflow of environmental air into the cloudy 325 updraft, while the fractional detrainment  $\delta$  describes the outflow of cloudy air into the 326 environment. Whereas there have been numerous studies that determined fractional en-327 trainment and detrainment rates from numerical simulations (e.g. A. P. Siebesma et al. 328 (1996); Lin et al. (1997); Carpenter et al. (1998)), to the best of our knowledge, there 329 have been no direct observational estimates of the net effect of these mixing processes 330 for deep convection. Applying equation (10) to the radar data set provides a first esti-331 mate of the net effect of these two processes based on long-term radar observations. 332

Using the date of the mass-flux, the area fraction and the vertical velocity, all three 333 terms in equation (10) can be determined and their temporal averages are displayed in 334 Figure 4. There are several distinct regions in the profile of the vertical derivative of the 335 mass-flux (Figure 4(a)). Below roughly 4 km, the derivatives are positive. This is the 336 result of a strongly positive vertical derivative of the vertical velocity term (Figure 4(c)), 337 while the median of the vertical derivative of area fraction is zero in this region (Figure 338 4(b)). Above 4 km, the vertical derivative of the mass flux is negative. The derivative 339 is fairly constant between five and ten kilometres and then increases in magnitude. This 340 is is the result of a steadily strengthening negative vertical gradient in normalised area 341 fraction, while the bulk vertical velocity gradients are small. We note once again that 342 the spike observed at 7 km in Figure 4(c) is an artefact of the switching of calculation 343 of the updraft vertical velocity for clouds deeper than 7 km from that for shallower ones 344 (equation (3)). While this limits our interpretation of the vertical velocity evolution it-345 self, the absence of a similar spike in the mass-flux derivative in Figure 4(a) demonstrates 346 that the results for the overall mass-flux evolution are dominated by the much more di-347 rectly observed convective area fraction. 348

As discussed above, the fractional change of mass-flux represents the net effect of 349 entrainment and detrainment on the bulk mass-flux. (e.g., Tiedtke, 1989; A. P. Siebesma, 350 1996; de Rooy et al., 2012). When positive, there is net gain of mass in cloudy air through 351 entrainment from the environment, while negative values indicate a net transfer of cloudy 352 mass to the environment through detrainment. Our results show that, on average, con-353 vective cloud ensembles in the study area experience a strong and steady net entrain-354 ment from their base to about 4 km, moderate net detrainment between 4 and 10 km 355 and strong net detrainment above 10 km. We note that this behaviour represents the 356 entire cloud ensemble and is likely a combination of different behaviours of clouds of vary-357 ing depth. To investigate this further, the next section will divide the data set into scenes 358 that are characterised by clouds of different depth to investigate how different "cloud modes" 359 might shape the overall mass-flux profile in Figure 2. 360



**Figure 4.** Temporal mean (solid), median (dashed), and upper and lower quartile (dotted) of the normalised vertical derivative of mass-flux (a), of area fraction (b) and of the product of density and vertical velocity (c) as a function of height.

### 4.3 Composite analysis by maximum Echo Top Height

361

We take advantage of the echo top height (ETH) information in our data set to di-362 vide the radar scenes based on the maximum echo top height  $(ETH_{max})$  found in each 363 of them. Following the study of Kumar et al. (2013) we choose the threshold values of 364 7 km and 15 km to define three classes of scenes:  $ETH_{max} \leq 7km, 7km < ETH_{max} \leq$ 365 15km, and  $ETH_{max} > 15km$ . We then analyze the composite mass-flux behaviour for 366 each of these three classes to see how they may shape the overall mass-flux profiles dis-367 cussed above. We adopt the terminology of Kumar et al. (2013) and refer to these scenes 368 as congestus, deep and overshooting. We use this nomenclature in a loose sense and note 369 that unlike in Kumar et al. (2013), who classified individual clouds in this way, we as-370 sign the name to an entire scene if the maximum height reached by any cloud in the scene 371 fulfils the criterion that defines the class. 372

Figure 5 shows the ETH distributions for all convective pixels for the entire data 373 set (Figure 5(a)) and for three sub-groups of scenes selected based on the maximum ETH 374 found in them (Figure 5(b-d)). The overall ETH distribution (Figure 5(a)) is quite broad 375 with ETH between 6 and 12 km almost equally likely. There are small peaks at 7 and 376 9 km, respectively. Selecting scenes where the maximum ETH in the scene is below 7 377 km by definition eliminates all pixels with higher ETH. 21% of the scenes in our data 378 set fall into this category. They represent relatively shallow congestus cloud fields. We 379 note that these clouds still contain sufficient precipitation to be detected by the radar 380 and should not be confused with non-precipitating shallow cumulus clouds, which are 381 not detectable by the radar. The ETH distribution for the congestus class shows a rapid 382 decrease of cloud top likelihood from the minimum detectable value (2.5 km) to the max-383 384 imum (7km) (Figure 5(b)). This is consistent with a strong reduction of cloud area with height that is frequently reported in shallow cumulus fields (Brown et al., 2002; A. P. Siebesma 385 et al., 2003; VanZanten et al., 2011), which according to our results translates to con-386 gestus cloud fields as well. 387

The shape of the distribution of deep convective cloud fields is very similar to the 388 overall distribution except for the drop-off in likelihood which occurs at lower ETH and 389 the lack of clouds deeper that 15 km, which has been introduced by the definition of deep 390 convective scenes (Figure 5(c)). This similarity is in large part due to the fact that 63%391 of all scenes fall into this category. Remarkably, while by definition the maximum ETH 392 in the scene is above 7 km, the distribution still peaks at 7 km. This indicates that even 393 in cloud fields that contain deep convection, the most frequently observed clouds in the 394 scene have moderate ETH between 6 and 8 km. 395

Scenes that contain overshooting convection constitute 16% of the total data set. The ETH distribution in the presence of overshooting clouds is distinctly different from all other categories (Figure 5(d)). The maximum likelihood of ETH shifts upward to values between 12 and 13 km, indicating the presences of a relatively large number of deep clouds (Figure 3). These scenes therefore represent the most wide-spread vigorous deep convection found in our data set.

Having separated all radar scenes into maximum ETH categories, we now analyse 402 the vertical structure of the mass-flux, its components and their vertical derivatives (Fig-403 ure 6). Each class of radar scenes is represented by the same colours used in Figure 5. 404 There is a very clear separation in mass-flux strength between the categories (Figure 6(a)). 405 The congestus class is characterised by very small mass-fluxes while scenes with overshooting convection show very large mass-fluxes. The deep class shows moderate values 407 of mass-flux. It is evident that the main difference between classes comes from the con-408 vective area fraction (Figure 6(b)), while the updraft velocities (Figure 6(c)) are of sim-409 ilar order of magnitude in all classes, with the overshooting class showing values roughly 410 1 m/s larger than those for the deep class. 411



Figure 5. Frequency distribution of 0-dBZ ETH, used as a proxy for the height of convection in a pixel. In (a) all scenes of the data set are used, (b) refers to scenes where the tallest convective cell is lower than 7 km: Composite 1, (c) is obtained using scenes with the maximum extent of convection between 7 and 15 km: Composite 2, (d) is obtained from scenes with convective cells rising taller than 15 km: Composite 3.



**Figure 6.** Vertical profiles of bulk mass-flux (a), area fraction (b) and vertical velocity (c) as well as their normalised vertical derivatives (d-f) for the congestus (blue), deep (green) and overshooting (red) classes of radar scenes. Solid lines indicate the median and dashed lines the 25th and 75th percentile, respectively.

There are notable differences in the vertical structure of mass-flux between the three 412 classes. In congestus convection, the maximum mass flux is found at the lowest level in 413 the data set (2.5 km) and is decreasing with height at all levels above with a rate that 414 increases with height (Figure 6(d)). Once again, this behaviour is largely the result of 415 a rapid decrease of area fraction with height (Figure 6(e)) accompanied by a weakly de-416 creasing velocity component (Figure 6(f)). For both deep and overshooting convection, 417 the mass-flux increases with height below 4 km with a larger rate found in overshoot-418 ing convection. This larger rate of mass-flux increase at low levels is the result of larger 419 rates of increase in the vertical velocity component, while the vertical derivatives of area 420 fraction are close to zero for both deep and overshooting cases. Above 4 km the verti-421

cal derivative of mass-flux is negative for both deep and overshooting convection mostly 422 owing to negative derivatives for area fraction. Notably, the values for deep convection 423 are several times larger than those for overshooting convection, indicating a more rapid 424 decrease of mass-flux in the middle to upper troposphere. The apparent acceleration of 425 the updrafts between 8 and 12 km is also slightly larger in the overshooting case. We 426 note again that this "acceleration" in the bulk velocity is likely the result of the loss of 427 weaker clouds from the ensemble and does not necessarily indicate an increase of veloc-428 ity in individual clouds. 429

#### 430 5 Conclusions

The aim of this study was to derive convective mass-fluxes and their constituents 431 on the scale of a GCM grid-box from a C-band polarimetric radar and thereafter inves-432 tigate the relative role of area fraction and vertical velocity in determining mass-flux. 433 We made use of observational estimates of mass-flux spanning 13 wet seasons in the trop-434 ical region of Darwin. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first time that such long-435 standing measurements are exploited to retrieve a climatology of mass-flux. The excep-436 tionally comprehensive data set allowed to elucidate on the statistical distribution of these 437 profiles. 438

The analysis showed that, in this area, the ensemble mass-flux of precipitating con-439 vective clouds peaks at 4 km. Its distribution is positively skewed with the tail highlight-440 ing the presence of rare but very strong mass-fluxes. It was also found that the skewed 441 distribution and the magnitude of mass-flux are largely determined by the fractional area 442 covered by convection, while the in-cloud vertical velocity plays a less significant role. 443 A similar result was reported in a study by Kumar et al. (2015) where it is stated that 444 mass-flux is most strongly regulated by area fraction, although vertical velocity revealed 445 non-negligible properties related to cloud dynamics. These results encourage parame-446 terization methods that aim to estimate area fraction and vertical velocity separately 447 (de Rooy & Pier Siebesma, 2010; Peters et al., 2013, 2017; A. Siebesma et al., 2020). Our 448 results also suggested that, in a cloud ensemble, information on the vertical rate of change 449 of the area fraction can reduce the need for parameterizing detrainment. 450

Figure 7 summarises the results of this study showing together the mean vertical derivatives of mass-flux (solid), area fraction (dash-dot) and in-cloud mass-flux (dash). The reader is reminded of equation (10) which implies that the solid line in Figure 7 results from combining the dashed line with the dash-dotted line. Each panel includes profiles from all scenes (black) and one of the three classes of scenes defined in section 4.3: congestus (blue), deep (green), overshooting (red).

All panels prove that the vertical rate of change of mass-flux (solid) mimics the vertical rate of change of convective area fraction (dash-dot), except for the layer below 4 km in scenes with deep or overshooting clouds. By separating the data set into composites according to the tallest convective cell, it was possible to demonstrate that the most common cloud field (63% of the scenes) presents the maximum 0-dBz ETH between 7 and 15 km. Remarkably, even in such cloud fields, containing deep convection, the most frequently observed clouds have moderate ETH between 6 and 8 km.

An analysis of the normalised vertical derivative of mass-flux further allowed to elucidate on the mixing process of clouds with the environment (i.e. net entrainment or net detrainment). In fact, positive values in Figure 7 indicate net fractional entrainment while negative values correspond to net fractional detrainment. From the considered ensembles of precipitating convective clouds it is possible to distinguish two regions in the vertical dimension: the first, below 4 km, is dominated by net fractional entrainment; the second, above 4 km, is dominated by net fractional detrainment. The region above 4 km



**Figure 7.** For each composite (Composite 1 (a), Composite 2 (b), Composite 3 (c)), mean profiles of all terms in equation (10) compared with means attained using all scenes (black lines). Solid line refers to  $\frac{1}{M} \frac{\partial M}{\partial z}$ , dash-dot line refers to  $\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial A}{\partial z}$ , dashed line refers to  $\frac{1}{\rho w} \frac{\partial \rho w}{\partial z}$ .

is further divided into two layers. From 4 to 10 km an intermediate reduction rate of massflux is observed, while above 10 km mass-flux reduces more rapidly.

As this is a first attempt at characterising mass-flux from radar reflectivities, we 473 adopted a simple bulk approach where all convective cells in the field are lumped together 474 and the profiles of the ensemble are studied. Although this approach does not provide 475 information on single clouds and their individual mass-flux profiles, the bulk approach 476 was chosen because it is widely used in current GCMs. Furthermore, the methods of this 477 work do not exclude the possibility of performing a similar analysis with a spectral ap-478 proach. In fact, CPOL radar data are spatially distributed and individual clouds can be 479 identified within a scene (Kumar et al., 2013; Louf et al., 2019). 480

Despite the great potential of deriving mass-flux from radar reflectivity, this study is based on a single radar location and further investigation is needed before extending our conclusions to other regions of the Earth. Future works should also focus on accounting for the effect of non-precipitating convection as this can sensibly alter the total massflux of a cloud field, especially in what we called congestus scenes.

#### 486 Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the contribution of Louise Nuijens. The radar data set used in this study was provided by Valentin Louf and it is available in the Atmospheric Radiation Measurement program archive (https:// www.arm.gov/). Christian Jakob acknowledges funding from The Australian Research Councils Centre of Excellence for Climate Extremes (CE170100023).

#### 492 **References**

493	Anderson, N. F., Grainger, C. A., & Stith, J. L. (2005, may). Characteristics of
494	Strong Updrafts in Precipitation Systems over the Central Tropical Pacific
495	Ocean and in the Amazon. Journal of Applied Meteorology, 44(5), 731–738.
496	Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAM2231.1
497	doi: 10.1175/JAM2231.1
498	Arakawa, A. (2004, jul). The cumulus parameterization problem: Past,
499	present, and future. Journal of Climate, 17(13), 2493–2525. Retrieved
500	from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0442{\%
501	}282004{\%}29017{\%}3C2493{\%}3ARATCPP{\%}3E2.0.CO{\%}3B2 doi:
502	$10.1175/1520-0442(2004)017\langle 2493: RATCPP \rangle 2.0.CO; 2$
503	Arakawa, A., Schubert, W. H., Arakawa, A., & Schubert, W. H. (1974, apr). In-
504	teraction of a Cumulus Cloud Ensemble with the Large-Scale Environment,
505	Part I. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 31(3), 674–701. Retrieved
506	from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0469{\%
507	}281974{\%}29031{\%}3C0674{\%}3AIOACCE{\%}3E2.0.CO{\%}3B2 doi:
508	10.1175/1520-0469(1974)031(0674:IOACCE)2.0.CO;2
509	Bechtold, P., Koehler, M., Jung, T., Doblas-Reyes, F., Leutbecher, M., Rodwell,
510	M. J., Balsamo, G. (2008). Advances in simulating atmospheric variability
511	with the ECMWF model: From synoptic to decadal time-scales. Quarterly
512	Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 134 (634), 1337 – 1351. Retrieved
513	from http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/qj.289 doi: 10.1002/qj.289
514	Betts, A. K. (1975). Parametric interpretation of trade-wind cumulus budget stud-
515	ies. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 32(10), 1934–1945.
516	Brown, A., Cederwall, R., Chlond, A., Duynkerke, P., Golaz, JC., Khairoutdinov,
517	M., others (2002). Large-eddy simulation of the diurnal cycle of shallow
518	cumulus convection over land. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorologi-
519	cal Society: A journal of the atmospheric sciences, applied meteorology and
520	$physical\ oceanography,\ 128 (582),\ 1075{-}1093.$

521	Byers, H. R., & Braham, R. R. (1949). The thunderstorm: report of the Thunder-
522	storm Project. US Government Printing Office.
523	Carpenter, R., Droegemeier, K., & Blyth, A. M. (1998, dec). Entrain-
524	ment and Detrainment in Numerically Simulated Cumulus Conges-
525	tus Clouds. Part II: Cloud Budgets. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-
526	0469(1998)055 < 3433: EADINS > 2.0.CO; 2. doi: $10.1175/1520-0469(1998)$
527	$055\langle 3433:EADINS\rangle 2.0.CO;2$
528	Casey, S. P. F., Fetzer, E. J., & Kahn, B. H. (2012, feb). Revised identifica-
529	tion of tropical oceanic cumulus congestus as viewed by CloudSat. Atmo-
530	spheric Chemistry and Physics, 12(3), 1587–1595. Retrieved from https://
531	www.atmos-chem-phys.net/12/1587/2012/ doi: $10.5194/acp$ -12-1587-2012
532	Davies, L., Jakob, C., May, P., Kumar, V. V., & Xie, S. (2013, oct). Relationships
533	between the large-scale atmosphere and the small-scale convective state for
534	Darwin, Australia. Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, $118(20)$ ,
535	11534-11545. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/jgrd.50645
536	doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50645
537	Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi,
538	S., Vitart, F. (2011, apr). The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configura-
539	tion and performance of the data assimilation system. Quarterly Journal
540	of the Royal Meteorological Society, 137(656), 553–597. Retrieved from
541	http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/qj.828 doi: 10.1002/qj.828
542	de Rooy, W. C., Bechtold, P., Fröhlich, K., Hohenegger, C., Jonker, H., Mironov, D.,
543	Yano, J. I. (2012). Entrainment and detrainment in cumulus convection:
544	An overview. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 139(670),
545	1–19. doi: 10.1002/qj.1959
546	de Rooy, W. C., & Pier Siebesma, A. (2010). Analytical expressions for entrainment
547	and detrainment in cumulus convection. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteo-
548	rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640
548 549	rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640 de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., & Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb).
548 549 550	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb).</li> <li>A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly</li> <li>Worther Parison 126(2), 560, 576. Detrained from https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.640</li> </ul>
548 549 550 551	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb).</li> <li>A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc</li> </ul>
548 549 550 551 552	<pre>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640 de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</pre>
548 549 550 551 552 553	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb).</li> <li>A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in converting atmospheres. Overtarily Journal of the Bound Meteore</li> </ul>
548 549 550 551 552 553 554	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb).</li> <li>A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale circulations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society. 120(510) 1111 1142. Botnigued from http://doi.wil.ou.org/</li> </ul>
548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb).</li> <li>A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc</li> <li>.org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale circulations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/</li> <li>10.1002/cii 40712051902. doi: 10.1002/cii 40712051902</li> </ul>
548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Ciangrando, S. F. Collis, S. Straka, J. Protet, A. Williama, C. &amp; Krueger</li> </ul>
548 559 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Collis, S., Straka, J., Protat, A., Williams, C., &amp; Krueger, S. (2013, oct)</li> </ul>
548 550 551 552 553 555 555 555 555 555	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Collis, S., Straka, J., Protat, A., Williams, C., &amp; Krueger, S. (2013, oct). A Summary of Convective-Core Vertical Velocity Prop- artias Using ABM LIHE Wind Profilers in Oklahoma.</li> </ul>
548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 559	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Collis, S., Straka, J., Protat, A., Williams, C., &amp; Krueger, S. (2013, oct). A Summary of Convective-Core Vertical Velocity Prop- erties Using ARM UHF Wind Profilers in Oklahoma. Journal of Ap- nlied Meteorology and Climatology 52(10) 2278-2295. Betrieved from</li> </ul>
548 549 550 551 552 554 555 556 557 558 559 560	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Collis, S., Straka, J., Protat, A., Williams, C., &amp; Krueger, S. (2013, oct). A Summary of Convective-Core Vertical Velocity Prop- erties Using ARM UHF Wind Profilers in Oklahoma. Journal of Ap- plied Meteorology and Climatology, 52(10), 2278-2295. Retrieved from http://iournals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/IAMC-D-12-0185.1</li> </ul>
548 559 550 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560–576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111–1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Collis, S., Straka, J., Protat, A., Williams, C., &amp; Krueger, S. (2013, oct). A Summary of Convective-Core Vertical Velocity Prop- erties Using ARM UHF Wind Profilers in Oklahoma. Journal of Ap- plied Meteorology and Climatology, 52(10), 2278–2295. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1 doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1</li> </ul>
548 550 551 552 553 555 555 555 555 555 555 556 559 560 561 562	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Collis, S., Straka, J., Protat, A., Williams, C., &amp; Krueger, S. (2013, oct). A Summary of Convective-Core Vertical Velocity Prop- erties Using ARM UHF Wind Profilers in Oklahoma. Journal of Ap- plied Meteorology and Climatology, 52(10), 2278-2295. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1 doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E. Toto, T. Jensen, M. P. Bartholomew, M. J. Feng, Z. Pro-</li> </ul>
548 550 551 552 553 555 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Collis, S., Straka, J., Protat, A., Williams, C., &amp; Krueger, S. (2013, oct). A Summary of Convective-Core Vertical Velocity Prop- erties Using ARM UHF Wind Profilers in Oklahoma. Journal of Ap- plied Meteorology and Climatology, 52(10), 2278-2295. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1 doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Toto, T., Jensen, M. P., Bartholomew, M. J., Feng, Z., Pro- tat, A., Machado, L. (2016, nov). Convective cloud vertical velocity</li> </ul>
548 549 550 551 552 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Collis, S., Straka, J., Protat, A., Williams, C., &amp; Krueger, S. (2013, oct). A Summary of Convective-Core Vertical Velocity Prop- erties Using ARM UHF Wind Profilers in Oklahoma. Journal of Ap- plied Meteorology and Climatology, 52(10), 2278-2295. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1 doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Toto, T., Jensen, M. P., Bartholomew, M. J., Feng, Z., Pro- tat, A., Machado, L. (2016, nov). Convective cloud vertical velocity and massflux characteristics from radar wind profiler observations during</li> </ul>
548 549 550 551 552 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Collis, S., Straka, J., Protat, A., Williams, C., &amp; Krueger, S. (2013, oct). A Summary of Convective-Core Vertical Velocity Prop- erties Using ARM UHF Wind Profilers in Oklahoma. Journal of Ap- plied Meteorology and Climatology, 52(10), 2278-2295. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1 doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Toto, T., Jensen, M. P., Bartholomew, M. J., Feng, Z., Pro- tat, A., Machado, L. (2016, nov). Convective cloud vertical velocity and massflux characteristics from radar wind profiler observations during GoAmazon2014/5. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121(21).</li> </ul>
548 549 550 551 552 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Collis, S., Straka, J., Protat, A., Williams, C., &amp; Krueger, S. (2013, oct). A Summary of Convective-Core Vertical Velocity Prop- erties Using ARM UHF Wind Profilers in Oklahoma. Journal of Ap- plied Meteorology and Climatology, 52(10), 2278-2295. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1 doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Toto, T., Jensen, M. P., Bartholomew, M. J., Feng, Z., Pro- tat, A., Machado, L. (2016, nov). Convective cloud vertical velocity and massflux characteristics from radar wind profiler observations during GoAmazon2014/5. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121(21), 12.891-12.913. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/</li> </ul>
548 550 551 552 553 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 563 564 563 564 565 566 566	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Collis, S., Straka, J., Protat, A., Williams, C., &amp; Krueger, S. (2013, oct). A Summary of Convective-Core Vertical Velocity Prop- erties Using ARM UHF Wind Profilers in Oklahoma. Journal of Ap- plied Meteorology and Climatology, 52(10), 2278-2295. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1 doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Toto, T., Jensen, M. P., Bartholomew, M. J., Feng, Z., Pro- tat, A., Machado, L. (2016, nov). Convective cloud vertical velocity and massflux characteristics from radar wind profiler observations during GoAmazon2014/5. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121(21), 12,891-12,913. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/ 10.1002/2016JD025303 doi: 10.1002/2016JD025303</li> </ul>
548 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 566 567 568	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Collis, S., Straka, J., Protat, A., Williams, C., &amp; Krueger, S. (2013, oct). A Summary of Convective-Core Vertical Velocity Prop- erties Using ARM UHF Wind Profilers in Oklahoma. Journal of Ap- plied Meteorology and Climatology, 52(10), 2278-2295. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1 doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Toto, T., Jensen, M. P., Bartholomew, M. J., Feng, Z., Pro- tat, A., Machado, L. (2016, nov). Convective cloud vertical velocity and massflux characteristics from radar wind profiler observations during GoAmazon2014/5. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121(21), 12,891-12,913. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/ 10.1002/2016JD025303 doi: 10.1002/2016JD025303</li> <li>Gregory, D., &amp; Rowntree, P. R. (1990). A Mass Flux Convection Scheme with</li> </ul>
548 550 551 552 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 566 567 568 569 569	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Collis, S., Straka, J., Protat, A., Williams, C., &amp; Krueger, S. (2013, oct). A Summary of Convective-Core Vertical Velocity Prop- erties Using ARM UHF Wind Profilers in Oklahoma. Journal of Ap- plied Meteorology and Climatology, 52(10), 2278-2295. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1 doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Toto, T., Jensen, M. P., Bartholomew, M. J., Feng, Z., Pro- tat, A., Machado, L. (2016, nov). Convective cloud vertical velocity and massflux characteristics from radar wind profiler observations during GoAmazon2014/5. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121(21), 12,891-12,913. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/ 10.1002/2016JD025303 doi: 10.1002/2016JD025303</li> <li>Gregory, D., &amp; Rowntree, P. R. (1990). A Mass Flux Convection Scheme with Representation of Cloud Ensemble Characteristics and Stability-Dependent</li> </ul>
548 549 550 551 552 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 565 566 566 567 568 569 570 571	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Collis, S., Straka, J., Protat, A., Williams, C., &amp; Krueger, S. (2013, oct). A Summary of Convective-Core Vertical Velocity Prop- erties Using ARM UHF Wind Profilers in Oklahoma. Journal of Ap- plied Meteorology and Climatology, 52(10), 2278-2295. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1 doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Toto, T., Jensen, M. P., Bartholomew, M. J., Feng, Z., Pro- tat, A., Machado, L. (2016, nov). Convective cloud vertical velocity and massflux characteristics from radar wind profiler observations during GoAmazon2014/5. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121(21), 12,891-12,913. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/ 10.1002/2016JD025303 doi: 10.1002/2016JD025303</li> <li>Gregory, D., &amp; Rowntree, P. R. (1990). A Mass Flux Convection Scheme with Representation of Cloud Ensemble Characteristics and Stability-Dependent Closure. Monthly Weather Review, 118(7), 1483 - 1506. Retrieved from</li> </ul>
548 549 550 551 552 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 566 567 568 569 569 570 571	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Collis, S., Straka, J., Protat, A., Williams, C., &amp; Krueger, S. (2013, oct). A Summary of Convective-Core Vertical Velocity Prop- erties Using ARM UHF Wind Profilers in Oklahoma. Journal of Ap- plied Meteorology and Climatology, 52(10), 2278-2295. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1 doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Toto, T., Jensen, M. P., Bartholomew, M. J., Feng, Z., Pro- tat, A., Machado, L. (2016, nov). Convective cloud vertical velocity and massflux characteristics from radar wind profiler observations during GoAmazon2014/5. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121(21), 12,891-12,913. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/ 10.1002/2016JD025303 doi: 10.1002/2016JD025303</li> <li>Gregory, D., &amp; Rowntree, P. R. (1990). A Mass Flux Convection Scheme with Representation of Cloud Ensemble Characteristics and Stability-Dependent Closure. Monthly Weather Review, 118(7), 1483 - 1506. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1990)118&lt;1483:AMFCSW&gt;2.0.C0;2</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>548</li> <li>550</li> <li>551</li> <li>552</li> <li>553</li> <li>555</li> <li>556</li> <li>557</li> <li>558</li> <li>559</li> <li>560</li> <li>561</li> <li>563</li> <li>564</li> <li>565</li> <li>566</li> <li>567</li> <li>568</li> <li>569</li> <li>570</li> <li>571</li> <li>572</li> <li>573</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Collis, S., Straka, J., Protat, A., Williams, C., &amp; Krueger, S. (2013, oct). A Summary of Convective-Core Vertical Velocity Prop- erties Using ARM UHF Wind Profilers in Oklahoma. Journal of Ap- plied Meteorology and Climatology, 52(10), 2278-2295. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1 doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Toto, T., Jensen, M. P., Bartholomew, M. J., Feng, Z., Pro- tat, A., Machado, L. (2016, nov). Convective cloud vertical velocity and massflux characteristics from radar wind profiler observations during GoAmazon2014/5. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121(21), 12,891-12,913. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/ 10.1002/2016JD025303 doi: 10.1002/2016JD025303</li> <li>Gregory, D., &amp; Rowntree, P. R. (1990). A Mass Flux Convection Scheme with Representation of Cloud Ensemble Characteristics and Stability-Dependent Closure. Monthly Weather Review, 118(7), 1483 - 1506. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1990)118&lt;(1483:AMFCSW&gt;2.0.C0;2</li> </ul>
548       550       551       552       553       555       556       557       558       559       560       561       562       563       564       565       566       567       568       569       570       571       572       573       573	<ul> <li>rological Society. doi: 10.1002/qj.640</li> <li>de Rooy, W. C., Siebesma, A. P., de Rooy, W. C., &amp; Siebesma, A. P. (2008, feb). A Simple Parameterization for Detrainment in Shallow Cumulus. Monthly Weather Review, 136(2), 560-576. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc .org/doi/abs/10.1175/2007MWR2201.1 doi: 10.1175/2007MWR2201.1</li> <li>Emanuel, K. A., David Neelin, J., &amp; Bretherton, C. S. (1994, jul). On large-scale cir- culations in convecting atmospheres. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteoro- logical Society, 120(519), 1111-1143. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/qj.49712051902 doi: 10.1002/qj.49712051902</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Collis, S., Straka, J., Protat, A., Williams, C., &amp; Krueger, S. (2013, oct). A Summary of Convective-Core Vertical Velocity Prop- erties Using ARM UHF Wind Profilers in Oklahoma. Journal of Ap- plied Meteorology and Climatology, 52(10), 2278-2295. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1 doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0185.1</li> <li>Giangrande, S. E., Toto, T., Jensen, M. P., Bartholomew, M. J., Feng, Z., Pro- tat, A., Machado, L. (2016, nov). Convective cloud vertical velocity and massflux characteristics from radar wind profiler observations during GoAmazon2014/5. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121(21), 12,891-12,913. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/ 10.1002/2016JD025303 doi: 10.1002/2016JD025303</li> <li>Gregory, D., &amp; Rowntree, P. R. (1990). A Mass Flux Convection Scheme with Representation of Cloud Ensemble Characteristics and Stability-Dependent Closure. Monthly Weather Review, 118(7), 1483 - 1506. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1990)118(1483:AMFCSW&gt;2.0.C0;2</li> <li>Houze Jr, R. A. (1973). A climatological study of vertical transports by cumulus-</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>17 year climatology of the macrophysical properties of convection in Darwin. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18(23), 17687-17704. Retrieved from https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/18/17687/2018</li> <li>Jakob, C. (2010, jul). Accelerating progress in global atmospheric model development through improved parameterizations: Challenges, opportunities, and strategies. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 91(7), 869-875. doi: 10.1175/1009BAMS2898.1</li> <li>Jr, R. A. H., Rasmussen, K. L., Zuhaga, M. D., &amp; Brodzik, S. R. (2015). The Variable Nature of Convection in the Tropics and Subtropics: A Legacy of 16 Years of the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Satellite. Rev. Geophys., 53(3), n/a - n/a. doi: 10.1002/2015rg000488</li> <li>Keenan, T., Glasson, K., Cummings, F., Bird, T. S., Keeler, J., Lutz, J., Lutz, J. (1998, aug). The BMRC/NCAR C-Band Polarimetric (C-POI.) Radar System. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 15(4), 871-886. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520</li> <li>doi: 10.1175/1520-0426(1989015)(5071:TBNCBP)2.0.CO:2</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Davies, L. (2013, aug). The four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A C-band polarimetric radar perspective. Journal of Gophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118(15), 8375-8389. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/jgrid.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass-Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Observations at Davrin, Australia. Journal of the Atmosphere, 52(5), 1837-1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d14-0250.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Pruid, G., Kumar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar reflectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239-1257. doi: 10.1175/JAS-1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10</li></ul>	576	Jackson, R. C., Collis, S. M., Louf, V., Protat, A., & Majewski, L. (2018). A
<ul> <li>vin. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18(23), 17687-17704. Retrieved from https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/18/17687/2018/</li> <li>Jakob, C. (2010, jul). Accelerating progress in global atmospheric model devel- opment through improved parameterizations: Challenges, opportunities, and strategies. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 91(7), 869-875. doi: 10.1175/2009BAMS2898.1</li> <li>J.R. A. H., Rasmusen, K. L., Zuluaga, M. D., &amp; Brodzik, S. R. (2015). The Vari- able Nature of Convection in the Tropics and Subtropics: A Legacy of 16 Years of the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRIMM) Satellite. Rev. Geophys., 53(3), n/a – n/a. doi: 10.1002/2015rg000488</li> <li>Keenan, T., Glasson, K., Cummings, F., Bird, T. S., Keeler, J., Lutz, J., Lutz, J. (1998, aug). The BMRC/NCAR C-Band Polarimetric (CPOL) Radar System. Journal of Atmospheric and Occanic Technology, 15(4), 871-886. Retrieved from http://journals.mestoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520</li> <li>-042c(YL)221998(YL)2005(YL)30270-YUL)33270.007(YL)328</li> <li>doi: 10.1175/1520-0426(1998)015(0871-TBNCBP)2.0.CO;2</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Davies, L. (2013, aug). The four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A C-band polarimetric radar perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: At- mospheres, 118(1), 8375-8389. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/jgrd.50640 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass- Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profile Obser- vations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837–1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Pride, G., Kumar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar re- flectivities. Journal of Meepised Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li></ul>	577	17 year climatology of the macrophysical properties of convection in Dar-
<ul> <li>from https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/18/17687/2018/</li> <li>doi: 10.5194/acp-18-17687-2018</li> <li>Jakob, C. (2010, jul). Accelerating progress in global atmospheric model development through improved parameterizations: Challenges, opportunities, and strategies. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 91 (7), 809-875. doi: 10.1175/2009BAMS2898.1</li> <li>Jr., R. A. H., Rasmussen, K. L., Zuluaga, M. D., &amp; Brodzik, S. R. (2015). The Variable Nature of Convection in the Tropics and Subtropics: A Legacy of 16 Years of the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Satellite. Rev. Geophys., 53(3), n/a – n/a. doi: 10.1002/2015rg000488</li> <li>Keenan, T., Glasson, K., Cummings, F., Bird, T. S., Keeler, J., Lutz, J., Lutz, J. (1998, aug). The BMRC/NCAR C-Band Polarimetric (C-POL). Radar System. Journal of Atmospheric and Occanic Technology, 15(4), 871-882</li> <li>doi: 10.1175/1520-0426(1)998(015(0871:TBNCBP/2).0.CO.2</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Davies, L. (2013, aug). The four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A transpheres, 118(15), 837-8389. Retrieved from http://doi.ulley.com/10.1002/jgri.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Masse-Flux Characteristics of Topical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Observations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837-1855. doi: 10.1175/Ja8-414-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar reflectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239-1257. doi: 10.1175/JA8-1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/JMS-D-12-0160.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, app.) On the Effects of Large-Sciele Environment and Surface Types on Convective Clou</li></ul>	578	win. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18(23), 17687–17704. Retrieved
<ul> <li>10.5194/acp-18-17687-2018</li> <li>Jakob, C. (2010, jul). Accelerating progress in global atmospheric model development through improved parameterizations: Challenges, opportunities, and strategies. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 91(7), 869-875. doi: 10.1175/2009BAMS2898.1</li> <li>Jr., R. A. H., Rasmussen, K. L., Zuluaga, M. D., &amp; Brodzik, S. R. (2015). The Variable Nature of Convection in the Tropies and Subtropies: A Legacy of 16 Years of the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Satellite. Rev. Gcophys., 53(3), n/a - n/a. doi: 10.1002/2015rg000488</li> <li>Keenan, T., Glasson, K., Cummings, F., Bird, T. S., Keeler, J., Lutz, J., Lutz, J. (1998, aug). The BMRC/NCAR C-Band Polarimetric (C-POL) Radar System. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 15(4), 871-886. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0426(1998)015(0871:TBNCBP1(\lambda \lambda \</li></ul>	579	from https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/18/17687/2018/ doi:
<ul> <li>Jakob, C. (2010, jul). Accelerating progress in global atmospheric model development through improved parameterizations: Challenges, opportunities, and strategies. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 91(7), 869-875. doi: 10.1175/2009BAMS2898.1</li> <li>Jr., R. A. H., Rasmussen, K. L., Zuluaga, M. D., &amp; Brodzik, S. R. (2015). The Variable Nature of Convection in the Tropics and Subtropies: A Legacy of 16 Years of the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRNM) Satellite. Rev. Geophys., 53(3), n/n – n/a. doi: 10.1002/2015rg000488</li> <li>Keenan, T., Glasson, K., Cummings, F., Bird, T. S., Keeler, J., Lutz, J., Lutz, J. (1998, aug). The BMRC/NCAR C-Band Polarimetric (C-POL) Radar System. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 15(4), 871-886. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520</li> <li>Goi: 10.1175/1520-0426(V)32015(V)300871(V)304TBNCBP(V)322.0.C0;2</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Davies, L. (2013, aug). The four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A C-band polarimetric radar perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118(15), 8375-8389. Retrieved from http://doi.viley.com/ 10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass-Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Observations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837–1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convcetive mass flux from radar reflectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/jas-d14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Austra</li></ul>	580	10.5194/acp-18-17687-2018
<ul> <li>opment through improved parameterizations: Challenges, opportunities, and strategies. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 91(7), 869–875. doi: 10.1175/2009BAMS2898.1</li> <li>Jr., R. A. H., Rasmussen, K. L., Zuluaga, M. D., &amp; Brodzik, S. R. (2015). The Variable Nature of Convection in the Tropics and Subtropics: A Legacy of 16 Years of the Topical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Satellite. Rev. Geophys., 53(3), n/a – n/a. doi: 10.1002/2015rg000488</li> <li>Keenan, T., Glasson, K., Cummings, F., Bird, T. S., Keeler, J., Lutz, J., Lutz, J. (1998, aug). The BMRC/NCAR C-Band Polarimetric (C-POL) Radar System. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 15(4), 871–886. Retrieved from http://Journals.ametosc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520 -04264(\1)281998(\1)20015(\1)300871:TBNCBP\2).0.CO;2</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Davies, L. (2013, aug). The four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A C-band polarimetric radar prespective. Journal of Geophysical Research: At- mospheres, 118(15), 8375-8389. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/jgrd.50640 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Uillams, C. R. (2015). Mass- Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Obser- vations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837-1855. doi: 10.1175/jased-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 14(1), 1355-1374. Retrieved from http://journalia.ametsoc.org/ doi/abs/10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumarev, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Revi</li></ul>	581	Jakob, C. (2010, jul). Accelerating progress in global atmospheric model devel-
<ul> <li>strategies. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 91(7), 869–875. doi: 10.1175/2009BAMS2898.1</li> <li>Jr., R. A. H., Rasmussen, K. L., Zuluaga, M. D., &amp; Brodzik, S. R. (2015). The Variable Nature of Convection in the Tropics and Subtropics: A Legacy of 16 Years of the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Satellite. Rev. Geophys., 53(3), n/a - n/a. doi: 10.1002/2015rg000488</li> <li>Keenan, T., Glasson, K., Cummings, F., Bird, T. S., Keeler, J., Lutz, J., Lutz, J. (1998, aug). The BMRC/NCAR C-Band Polarimetric (C-POL) Radar System. Journal of Atmospheric and Occanic Technology, 15(4), 871–886. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520</li> <li>-042E(\YJ281998(\YJ29015(\YJ302017(\YJ30718)CBP)(\YJ302.0.C0(\YJ382) doi: 10.1175/1520-0426(1998)015(\S71TBNCBP)2.0.CO;2</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Davies, L. (2013, aug). The four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A C-band polarimetric radar perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118(15), 8375-839. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/jurd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass-Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Observations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837–1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-di-40259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar reflectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0166.1 doi: 10.1175/msr-di-200160.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darvin, Australia. Mouthyl Wethes on Convective Cloud Applied Meteorology and Cl</li></ul>	582	opment through improved parameterizations: Challenges, opportunities, and
<ul> <li>doi: 10.1175/2009BAMS2898.1</li> <li>Jr., R. A. H., Rasmussen, K. L., Zuluaga, M. D., &amp; Brodzik, S. R. (2015). The Variable Nature of Convection in the Tropics and Subtropics: A Legacy of 16 Years of the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Satellite. Rev. Geophys., 53(3), n/a – n/a. doi: 10.1002/2015rg000488</li> <li>Keenan, T., Glasson, K., Cummings, F., Bird, T. S., Keeler, J., Lutz, J., Lutz, J. (1998, aug). The BMRC/NCAR C-Band Polarimetric (C-POL) Radar System. Journal of Atmospheric and Occanic Technology, 15(4), 871–886. Retrieved from http://journals.metsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520 -0426(VJ)281998(VJ)29015(VJ)3C0871(VJ)3ATENCBP/VJ)3E2.0.C0(YJ)3B2 doi: 10.1175/1520-0426(1998)015(0871:TBNCBP)2.0.C0;2</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Davies, L. (2013, aug). The four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A C-band polarimetric radar perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118(15), 8375–8389. Retrieved from http://doi.viley.com/10.1002/jgrd.50640 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass-Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Observations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837–1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar reflectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/ doi/abs/10.1175/MR-D-12-016.1 doi: 10.1175/MS-D-17-0191.1</li> <l< td=""><td>583</td><td>strategies. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 91(7), 869–875.</td></l<></ul>	583	strategies. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 91(7), 869–875.
<ul> <li>Jr., R. A. H., Rasmussen, K. L., Zuluaga, M. D., &amp; Brodzik, S. R. (2015). The Variable Nature of Convection in the Tropics and Subtropics: A Legacy of 16 Years of the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Satellite. Rev. Geophys., 53(3), n/a - n/a. doi: 10.1002/2015rg000488</li> <li>Keenan, T., Glasson, K., Cummings, F., Bird, T. S., Keeler, J., Lutz, J., Lutz, J. (1998, aug). The BMRC/NCAR C-Band Polarimetric (C-POL) Radar System. Journal of Atmospheric and Occanic Technology, 15(4), 871-886. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520 -0426(1y281998(\V)29015(\V)3008714(\V)34TBNCBP\(\V)3E2.0.00(\V)3E2 doi: 10.1175/1520-0426(1y98)015(0871:TBNCBP\20.COC)2</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Davies, L. (2013, aug). The four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A C-band polarimetric radar perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118(15), 8375-8389. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/jgrd.50640 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass-Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Observations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837-1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Piuliams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar reflectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239-1257. doi: 10.1175/jJaNC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darvin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 14/(4), 1358-1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/JSWR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/jmwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J</li></ul>	584	doi: 10.1175/2009BAMS2898.1
<ul> <li>able Nature of Convection in the Tropics and Subtropics: A Legacy of 16 Years of the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Satellite. Rev. Geophys., 55(3), n/a - n/a. doi: 10.1002/2015rg000488</li> <li>Keenan, T., Glasson, K., Cummings, F., Bird, T. S., Keeler, J., Lutz, J., Lutz, J. (1998, aug). The BMRC/NCAR C-Band Polarimetric (C-POL) Radar System. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 15(4), 871-886. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520</li> <li>-0426(\%)281998(\%)29015(\%)3C0871(\%)3ATBNCBP(\%)3E2.0.C0(\%)3B2 doi: 10.1175/1520-0426(1998)015(0871:TBNCBP)2.0.C0(\?)</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Davies, L. (2013, aug). The four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A cospheres, 118(15), 8375-8389. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/jgrd.50640 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass-Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Observations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837-1855. doi: 10.1175/jasc-14-0250.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar referictivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239-1257. doi: 10.1175/JMAC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358-1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/ doi/abs/10.1175/MAC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Occanic Technol., 15, 509 - 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipli</li></ul>	585	Jr., R. A. H., Rasmussen, K. L., Zuluaga, M. D., & Brodzik, S. R. (2015). The Vari-
<ul> <li>of the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Satellite. Rev. Geophys., 53(3), n/a - n/a. doi: 10.1002/2015rg000488</li> <li>Keenan, T., Glasson, K., Cummings, F., Bird, T. S., Keeler, J., Lutz, J., Lutz, J. (1998, aug). The BMRC/NCAR C-Band Polarimetric (C-POL) Radar System. Journal of Atmospheric and Occanic Technology, 15(4), 871-886. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0426(1998)015(0871:TBNCBP)2.0.CO;2</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Davies, L. (2013, aug). The four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A C-band polarimetric radar perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118(15), 8375-8389. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass-Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Observations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837-1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Penide, C., Ruuniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar reflectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239-1257. doi: 10.1175/jas-d14.0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthy Weather Review, 1/1(4), 1358-1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametscc.org/ doi/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Occanic Technol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Repr</li></ul>	586	able Nature of Convection in the Tropics and Subtropics: A Legacy of 16 Years
<ul> <li>53(3), n/a - n/a. doi: 10.1002/2015rg000488</li> <li>Keenan, T., Glasson, K., Cummings, F., Bird, T. S., Keeler, J., Lutz, J., Lutz, J. (1998, aug). The BMRC/NCAR C-Band Polarimetric (C-POL) Radar System. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 15(4), 871-886. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0426(1998)015/0871:TBNCBP/2.0.CO;2</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Davies, L. (2013, aug). The four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A C-band polarimetric radar perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118(15), 8375-8389. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass-Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Cloud modes. (25), 1837-1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar reflectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239-1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358-1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/MR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/MM-D-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shine, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 15, 809 - 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the At</li></ul>	587	of the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Satellite. Rev. Geophys.,
<ul> <li>Keenan, T., Glasson, K., Cummings, F., Bird, T. S., Keeler, J., Lutz, J., Lutz, J. (1998, aug). The BMRC/NCAR C-Band Polarimetric (C-POL) Radar System. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 15(4), 871–886. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0426(1998)015(0871:TBNCBP(X)34ED0CP(X)3E2 0.00(X)38E doi: 10.1175/1520-0426(1998)015(0871:TBNCBP(X)3E2 0.00(X)38E doi: 10.1175/1520-0426(1998)015(0871:TBNCBP)2.0.CO;2</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Davies, L. (2013, aug). The four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A C-band polarimetric radar perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118(15), 8375–8389. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/jgrd.50640 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass-Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Observations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837–1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0250.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar reflectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/MwR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2</li></ul>	588	53(3), n/a – n/a. doi: 10.1002/2015rg000488
<ul> <li>J. (1998, aug). The BMRC/NCAR C-Band Polarimetric (C-POL) Radar System. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 15(4), 871–886.</li> <li>Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520 -0426{\\$}281998{\\$}29015{\\$}3C0871{\\$}ATBNCEP{\\$}3E2.0.CO{\\$}2</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Davies, L. (2013, aug). The four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A C-band polarimetric radar perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: At- mospheres, 118(15), 8375-8389. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/jgrd.50640 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass- Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Obser- vations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837-1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar re- flectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239-1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358-1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/ doi/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech- nol., 15, 809 - 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observat</li></ul>	589	Keenan, T., Glasson, K., Cummings, F., Bird, T. S., Keeler, J., Lutz, J., Lutz,
<ul> <li>Systen. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 15(4), 871-886. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520- 0426(%y)281998(%y)20015(%y)300871(%J)318T0KDP(V(%)352.0.C0(%y)382 doi: 10.1175/1520-0426(1998)015(0871:TBNCBP)2.0.CO;2</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Davies, L. (2013, aug). The four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A C-band polarimetric radar perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: At- mospheres, 118(15), 8375-8389. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/jgrd.50640 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass- Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Obser- vations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837-1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar re- flectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239-1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358-1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/ doi/abs/10.1175/MRP-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shine, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanci Tech- nol., 15, 809 - 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the</li></ul>	590	J. (1998, aug). The BMRC/NCAR C-Band Polarimetric (C-POL) Radar
<ul> <li>Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520</li> <li>-0426{\%}281998{\%}280971{\%}300871{\%}300871{\%}320.002{</li> <li>kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Davies, L. (2013, aug). The four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A C-band polarimetric radar perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118(15), 8375–8389. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/jgrd.50640 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass-Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Observations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837–1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar reflectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMon</li></ul>	591	System. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 15(4), 871–886.
<ul> <li>-0426{\%}281998{\%}20015{\%}3000000000000000000000000000000000000</li></ul>	592	Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520
<ul> <li>doi: 10.1175/1520-0426(1998)015(0871:TBNCBP)2.0.CO;2</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Davies, L. (2013, aug). The four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A C-band polarimetric radar perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: At mospheres, 118(15), 8375–8389. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/jgrd.50640 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass- Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Obser- vations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837–1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar re- flectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/ doi/abs/10.1175/MRP-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Occanic Tech- nol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Raiad Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/ 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumu</li></ul>	593	-0426{\%}281998{\%}29015{\%}3C0871{\%}3ATBNCBP{\%}3E2.0.CO{\%}3B2
<ul> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Davies, L. (2013, aug). The four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A C-band polarimetric radar perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: At- mospheres, 118(15), 8375–8389. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/jgrd.50640 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass- Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Obser- vations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837–1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar re- flectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/ doi/abs/10.1175/MwR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech- nol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/ 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve- locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, In</li></ul>	594	doi: $10.1175/1520-0426(1998)015(0871:TBNCBP)2.0.CO;2$
<ul> <li>four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A</li> <li>C-band polarimetric radar perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: At- mospheres, 118(15), 8375–8389. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/ 10.1002/jgrd.50640 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass- Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Obser- vations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837–1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar re- flectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/ doi/abs/10.1175/WR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Occanic Tech- nol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/ 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve- locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037</li></ul>	595	Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., & Davies, L. (2013, aug). The
<ul> <li>C-band polarimetric radar perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118(15), 8375–8389. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/</li> <li>10.1002/jgrd.50640 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass-Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Observations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837–1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar reflectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/MR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/ 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, 007). Cumulonimbus Vertical Velocity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037(2444:CVVEIG)2.0.CO;2</li> <li>Lin, C., Arakawa, A. (197, apr). The Macroscopic E</li></ul>	596	four cumulus cloud modes and their progression during rainfall events: A
<ul> <li>mospheres, 118(15), 8375–8389. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/</li> <li>10.1002/jgrd.50640 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass-</li> <li>Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Observations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837–1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar reflectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Occanic Technol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Velocity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2</li> <li>Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., &amp; Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macroscopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II: Tes</li></ul>	597	C-band polarimetric radar perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: At-
<ul> <li>10.1002/jgrd.50640 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50640</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass- Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Obser- vations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837–1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar re- flectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/ doi/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech- nol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/ 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve- locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2.</li> <li>Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., &amp; Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macro- scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II: Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. http://dx.doi.org/1</li></ul>	598	mospheres, 118(15), 8375-8389. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/
<ul> <li>Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., &amp; Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass- Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Obser- vations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837-1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar re- flectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239-1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather <i>Review</i>, 141(4), 1358-1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/ doi/abs/10.1175/MwR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech- nol., 15, 809 - 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1509-1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/ 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve- locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG)2.0.CO;2.</li> <li>Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., &amp; Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macro- scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II: Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520- 0469(1997)054&lt;1044:TMEPOS&gt;2.0.CO;2. doi:</li></ul>	599	10.1002/jgrd.50640 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50640
<ul> <li>Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Observations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837–1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar reflectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/ 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Velocity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2.</li> <li>Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., &amp; Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macroscopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II: Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1997)054&lt;1044:TMEPOS&gt;2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)054&lt;1044:TMEPOS&gt;2.</li></ul>	600	Kumar, V. V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., May, P. T., & Williams, C. R. (2015). Mass-
<ul> <li>vations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72(5), 1837–1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar re- flectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/ doi/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech- nol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/ 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve- locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2.</li> <li>doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2.</li> <li>Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., &amp; Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macro- scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II: Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520- 0469(1997)054&lt;1044:TMEPOS&gt;2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)</li> </ul>	601	Flux Characteristics of Tropical Cumulus Clouds from Wind Profiler Obser-
<ul> <li>1837–1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar re- flectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/ doi/abs/10.1175/MR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech- nol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/ 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve- locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2.</li> <li>Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., &amp; Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macro- scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II: Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520- 0469(1997)054&lt;1044:TMEPOS&gt;2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)</li> </ul>	602	vations at Darwin, Australia. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, $72(5)$ ,
<ul> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L., &amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar re- flectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/ doi/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech- nol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/ 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve- locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2.</li> <li>Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., &amp; Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macro- scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II: Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520- 0469(1997)054&lt;1044:TMEPOS&gt;2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)</li> </ul>	603	1837–1855. doi: 10.1175/jas-d-14-0259.1
<ul> <li>&amp; May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar re-flectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Velocity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2.</li> <li>Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., &amp; Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macroscopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II: Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1997)054&lt;1044:TMEPOS&gt;2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)</li> </ul>	604	Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., Jakob, C., Williams, C. R., Rauniyar, S., Stephens, G. L.,
<ul> <li>flectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257. doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies, L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather <i>Review</i>, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/ doi/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech- nol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/ 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve- locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037(2444:CVVEIG\2.0.CO;2</li> <li>Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., &amp; Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macro- scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II: Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520- 0469(1997)054&lt;1044:TMEPOS&gt;2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)</li> </ul>	605	& May, P. T. (2016). The estimation of convective mass flux from radar re-
<ul> <li>doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1</li> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies,</li> <li>L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types</li> <li>on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather</li> <li>Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/</li> <li>doi/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical</li> <li>Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat,</li> <li>A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective</li> <li>Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization</li> <li>Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,</li> <li>75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/</li> <li>10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Velocity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux.</li> <li>http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2.</li> <li>doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037(2444:CVVEIG)2.0.CO;2</li> <li>Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., &amp; Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macroscopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II:</li> <li>Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1997)054&lt;1044:TMEPOS&gt;2.0.CO;2.</li> </ul>	606	flectivities. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(5), 1239–1257.
<ul> <li>Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., &amp; Davies,</li> <li>L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types</li> <li>on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather</li> <li>Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/</li> <li>doi/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical</li> <li>Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat,</li> <li>A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective</li> <li>Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization</li> <li>Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,</li> <li>75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/</li> <li>10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Velocity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux.</li> <li>http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2.</li> <li>doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2.</li> <li>Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., &amp; Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macroscopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II:</li> <li>Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1997)054&lt;1044:TMEPOS&gt;2.0.CO;2.</li> </ul>	607	doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0193.1
<ul> <li>L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/ doi/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech- nol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/ 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve- locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2.</li> <li>Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., &amp; Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macro- scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II: Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520- 0469(1997)054&lt;1044:TMEPOS&gt;2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)</li> </ul>	608	Kumar, V. V., Protat, A., May, P. T., Jakob, C., Penide, G., Kumar, S., & Davies,
510on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather611Review, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/612doi/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00160.1613Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., & Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical614Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech-615nol., 15, 809 - 817.616Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat,617A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective618Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization619Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,62075(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/62110.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1622LeMone, M. A., & Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve-623doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2.624http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2.625Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., & Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macro-626scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II:627cscipc Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II:628resting the Entraining-Plume Model. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-6290469(1997)054<1044:TMEPOS>2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)630054(1044:TMEPOS)2.0.CO;2	609	L. (2012, apr). On the Effects of Large-Scale Environment and Surface Types
611Review, $141(4)$ , $1358-1374$ . Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/612doi/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00160.1doi: $10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1$ 613Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., & Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical614Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech-615nol., $15$ , $809 - 817$ .616Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat,617A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective618Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization619Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,620 $75(5)$ , $1509-1524$ . Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/621 $10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1$ doi: $10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1$ 622LeMone, M. A., & Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve-623doi: $10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2.$ 624http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2.625Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., & Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macro-626scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II:627resting the Entraining-Plume Model. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1997)629 $0469(1997)054<1044:TMEPOS>2.0.CO;2.$ 630doi: $10.1175/1520-0469(1997)$ 630 $054(1044:TMEPOS)2.0.CO;2$	610	on Convective Cloud Characteristics over Darwin, Australia. Monthly Weather
<ul> <li>dol/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1</li> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech- nol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/ 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve- locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2.</li> <li>Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., &amp; Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macro- scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II: Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520- 0469(1997)054&lt;1044:TMEPOS&gt;2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997) 054(1044:TMEPOS)2.0.CO;2</li> </ul>	611	Revnew, 141(4), 1358–1374. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/
<ul> <li>Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., &amp; Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech- nol., 15, 809 – 817.</li> <li>Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat, A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 75(5), 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/ 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1</li> <li>LeMone, M. A., &amp; Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve- locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/J520-0469(1980)037&lt;2444:CVVEIG&gt;2.0.CO;2.</li> <li>Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., &amp; Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macro- scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II: Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520- 0469(1997)054&lt;1044:TMEPOS&gt;2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)</li> </ul>	612	doi/abs/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00160.1 doi: 10.1175/mwr-d-12-00160.1
614Ramfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package.J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech-615nol., 15, 809 - 817.616Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat,617A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective618Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization619Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,620 $75(5)$ , 1509-1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/62110.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1622LeMone, M. A., & Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve-623locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux.624http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2.625doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2626Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., & Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macro-627scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II:628Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-629 $0469(1997)054<1044:TMEPOS>2.0.CO;2.$ 630 $054\langle 1044:TMEPOS\rangle 2.0.CO;2$	613	Kummerow, C., Barnes, W., Kozu, T., Shiue, J., & Simpson, J. (1998). The Tropical
615 $nol., 15, 809 - 817.$ 616Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat,617A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective618Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization619Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,620 $75(5), 1509-1524.$ Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/62110.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1622LeMone, M. A., & Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov).Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve-623locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux.624 $http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2.$ 625doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2.626Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., & Arakawa, A. (1997, apr).The Macro-627scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II:628Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. $http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1997)$ 629 $0469(1997)054<1044:TMEPOS>2.0.CO;2.$ doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)630054(1044:TMEPOS)2.0.CO;2CO;2	614	Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) sensor package. J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech-
616Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat,617A. (2018, may). How Well Can We Represent the Spectrum of Convective618Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization619Variables and Radar Observations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,620 $75(5)$ , 1509–1524. Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/62110.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1 doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1622LeMone, M. A., & Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve-623locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux.624http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2.625doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2626Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., & Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macro-627scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II:628Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1997)629 $0469(1997)054<1044:TMEPOS>2.0.CO;2.$ 630 $054\langle 1044:TMEPOS\rangle 2.0.CO;2$	615	nol., 15, 809 - 817.
617A.(2018, may).How well Can we Represent the Spectrum of Convective618Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization619Variables and Radar Observations.Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,620 $75(5), 1509-1524.$ Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/62110.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1622LeMone, M. A., & Zipser, E. J.(1980, nov).Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve-623locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux.624http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2.625doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2626Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., & Arakawa, A.(1997, apr).627scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II:628Testing the Entraining-Plume Model.http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1997)629 $0469(1997)054<1044:TMEPOS>2.0.CO;2.$ doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)630054(1044:TMEPOS)2.0.CO;2doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)	616	Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Stier, P., Protat, A., Labbouz, L., Kipling, Z., Protat,
613Clouds in a Climate Model? Comparisons between Internal Parameterization619Variables and Radar Observations.Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,620 $75(5), 1509-1524.$ Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/62110.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1622LeMone, M. A., & Zipser, E. J.(1980, nov).Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve-623locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux.624 $http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2.$ 625doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2626Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., & Arakawa, A.(1997, apr).The Macro-627scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II:628Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. $http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1997))$ 629 $0469(1997)054<1044:TMEPOS>2.0.CO;2.$ doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)630 $054\langle 1044:TMEPOS\rangle 2.0.CO;2$ doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)	617	A. (2018, may). How well Can we Represent the Spectrum of Convective
619Variables and Radar Observations.Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,620 $75(5), 1509-1524.$ Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/621 $10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1$ doi: $10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1$ 622LeMone, M. A., & Zipser, E. J.(1980, nov).Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve-623locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux.624http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2.625doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2626Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., & Arakawa, A.(1997, apr).627scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II:628Testing the Entraining-Plume Model.http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1997)629 $0469(1997)054<1044:TMEPOS>2.0.CO;2.$ doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)630 $054\langle 1044:TMEPOS \rangle 2.0.CO;2.$ doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)	618	Variables and Radar Observations
1013(3), 1303-1324.Retrieved from Retp://journars.ametsoc.org/dol/62110.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0191.1622LeMone, M. A., & Zipser, E. J.(1980, nov).Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve-623locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux.624 $http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2.$ 625doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2626Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., & Arakawa, A.(1997, apr).627scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II:628Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. $http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1997)$ 629 $0469(1997)054<1044:TMEPOS>2.0.CO;2.$ doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)630054<1044:TMEPOS>2.0.CO;2doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)	619	75(5) 1500–1524 Botrioved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/
621       10.111/3/348 D 17 013111 doi: 10.111/3/3485D17013111         622       LeMone, M. A., & Zipser, E. J. (1980, nov). Cumulonimbus Vertical Ve-         623       locity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux.         624 $http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<22444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2.$ 625       doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2         626       Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., & Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macro-         627       scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II:         628       Testing the Entraining-Plume Model.          629 $0469(1997)054<1044:TMEPOS>2.0.CO;2.$ 630 $054\langle 1044:TMEPOS\rangle 2.0.CO;2$	620	10, 1175/18S-D-17-0191, 1, doi: 10, 1175/18S-D-17-0101, 1
622       Lemone, M. A., & Epser, E. J.       (1930, nov).       Common Comm	021	LaMono M A & Zipsor F I (1080 nov) Cumulonimbus Vortical Vo
623       http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2.         624       http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2.         625       doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2         626       Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., & Arakawa, A.       (1997, apr). The Macro-         627       scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II:         628       Testing the Entraining-Plume Model.        http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-         629       0469(1997)054<1044:TMEPOS>2.0.CO;2.       doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)         630       054<1044:TMEPOS>2.0.CO;2       doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)	622	locity Events in CATE Part I: Diameter Intensity and Mass Flux
624 $http://dx.doi.org/10.1176/16526.0469(1960)067(2444.0774167.5.6760,5.7         625       doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037(2444:CVVEIG)2.0.CO;2         626       Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., & Arakawa, A.       (1997, apr). The Macro-         627       scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II:         628       Testing the Entraining-Plume Model.       http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-         629       0469(1997)054<1044:TMEPOS>2.0.CO;2.       doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)         630       054\langle 1044:TMEPOS\rangle 2.0.CO;2       doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)   $	624	http://dr doi ora/10.1175/1520-0/69(1980)037<24/4:CVVEIG>2.0 CO:2
Lin, C., Arakawa, A., Lin, C., & Arakawa, A. (1997, apr). The Macro- scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II: Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. $http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-$ 0469(1997)054<1044:TMEPOS>2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997) 054(1044:TMEPOS)2.0.CO;2	625	doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037/2444·CVVEIG>2.0.CO.2
627scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble. Part II:628Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. $http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-$ 629 $0469(1997)054 < 1044:TMEPOS > 2.0.CO; 2.$ doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)630 $054\langle 1044:TMEPOS \rangle 2.0.CO; 2$ doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)	625	Lin C Arakawa A Lin C & Arakawa A $(1007 \text{ apr})$ The Macro
$a_{22}$ Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. $http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520 a_{22}$ $0469(1997)054 < 1044:TMEPOS > 2.0.CO; 2.doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)a_{30}054\langle 1044:TMEPOS \rangle 2.0.CO; 2$	627	scopic Entrainment Processes of Simulated Cumulus Ensemble Part II.
$\begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \hline $	628	Testing the Entraining-Plume Model. $http://dx doi org/10 1175/1590$ -
$_{630}$ 054(1044:TMEPOS)2.0.CO;2	629	0469(1997)054 < 1044; TMEPOS > 2.0, CO:2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)
	630	054(1044:TMEPOS)2.0.CO;2

631	Louf, V., Jakob, C., Protat, A., Bergemann, M., & Narsey, S. (2019, aug). The
632	Relationship of Cloud Number and Size With Their LargeScale Environment
633	in Deep Tropical Convection. Geophysical Research Letters, 46(15), 9203–9212.
634	doi: $10.1029/2019$ gl $083964$
635	Marwitz, J. D. (1973, oct). Trajectories Within the Weak Echo
636	Regions of Hailstorms. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-
637	0450(1973)012 < 1174: TWTWER > 2.0.CO; 2. doi: $10.1175/1520-0450(1973)$
638	$012\langle 1174: TWTWER \rangle 2.0.CO; 2$
639	May, P. T., Mather, J. H., Vaughan, G., Jakob, C., McFarquhar, G. M., Bower,
640	K. N., & Mace, G. G. (2008). The Tropical warm pool international
641	Cloud Experiment. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society. doi:
642	10.1175/BAMS-89-5-629
643	Nesbitt, S. W., Zipser, E. J., & Cecil, D. J. (2000). A census of precipitation
644	features in the tropics using trmm: Radar, ice scattering, and lightning obser-
645	vations. Journal of Climate, $13$ , $4087 - 4106$ .
646	Nitta, T. (1975, jan). Observational Determination of Cloud Mass Flux Distri-
647	butions. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, $32(1)$ , 73–91. Retrieved
648	from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0469{\%
649	}281975{\%}29032{\%}3C0073{\%}3AODOCMF{\%}3E2.0.CO{\%}3B2 doi:
650	10.1175/1520-0469(1975)032(0073:odocmf)2.0.co;2
651	Nitta, T., & Esbensen, S. K. (1974). Heat and moisture budget analyses using
652	BOMEX data. Monthly Weather Review, $102$ , $17 - 28$ .
653	Oerlemans, J. (1986). Convection in a conditionally unstable atmosphere: a re-
654	investigation of bjerknes' slice method. <i>Contributions to atmospheric physics</i> ,
655	59, 41-53.
656	Ooyama, K. (1971). A Theory on Parameterization of Cumulus Convection. Journal
657	of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II, 49A(0), 744–756. doi: 10.2151/
658	jmsj1965.49a.0_744
659	Peters, K., Crueger, T., Jakob, C., & Möbis, B. (2017). Improved MJO-simulation
660	in ECHAM6.3 by coupling a Stochastic Multicloud Model to the convec-
661	tion scheme. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, $9(1)$ , $193-$
662	219. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/2016MS000809 doi:
663	10.1002/2016MS000809
664	Peters, K., Jakob, C., & Davies, L. (2013). Stochastic behavior of tropical convec-
665	tion in observations and a multicloud model. Journal of the Atmospheric Sci-
666	ences, $70(11)$ , $3550 - 3575$ . doi: $10.1175/$ jas-d-13-031.1
667	Riehl, H., & Malkus, J. S. (1958). On the heat balance in the equatorial trough $C_{1}$
668	zone. $Geophysica(6), 503 - 538.$
669	Riehl, H., & Malkus, J. S. (1979). The heat balance of the equatorial trough zone,
670	revisited. Contrib. Atmos. Phys. (52,), 287–305.
671	Schalkwijk, J., Jonker, H. J. J., Siebesma, A. P., & Bosveld, F. C. (2015). A
672	year-long large-eddy simulation of the weather over cabauw: An overview.
673	Monthly Weather Review, 143(3), 828 - 844. Retrieved from https://
674	journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/mwre/143/3/mwr-d-14-00293.1.xml
675	doi: $10.1175/MWR-D-14-00293.1$
676	Schemann, V., Ebell, K., Pospichal, B., Neggers, R., Moseley, C., & Stevens, B.
677	(2020). Linking large-eddy simulations to local cloud observations. Jour-
678	nai of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12(12), e2020MS002209. Re-
679	trieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/dol/abs/
680	$10.1029/2020M5002209 \qquad (e2020M5002209 10.1029/2020M5002209) \qquad doi:$
681	$\frac{100002209}{1000078/1000029/2020000002209}$
682	by the TRMM Precipitation Radar * (Vol 16: Took Bon No. 11) Detrieved
683	from http://dooc.gefc.page.gov/: $doi: 10.1175/1590.0449(9009)016/1790.$
684	$\begin{array}{c} \text{from fittp://date.gstc.fiasa.gov/;}  \text{doi: 10.11(3/1320-0442(2003)010(1139))} \\ \text{from fittp://date.gstc.fiasa.gov/;} \end{array}$

 $_{685}$  SRITTA $\rangle$ 2.0.CO;2

- Siebesma, A., Bony, S., Jakob, c., & Stevens, B. (2020).Parameterising clouds. 686 In Clouds and climate: Climate science's greatest challenge (p. 170217). Cam-687 bridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/9781107447738.007 688 (1996).On the mass flux approach for atmospheric convection. Siebesma, A. P. 689 Shinfield Park, Reading: ECMWF. Retrieved from https://www.ecmwf.int/ 690 node/12223 691 Siebesma, A. P., Bretherton, C. S., Brown, A., Chlond, A., Cuxart, J., Duynkerke, 692 P. G., ... others (2003).A large eddy simulation intercomparison study of 693 shallow cumulus convection. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 60(10), 694 1201 - 1219.695 Siebesma, A. P., Cuijpers, J. W. M., Siebesma, A. P., & Cuijpers, J. W. M. (1996.696 mar). Evaluation of Parametric Assumptions for Shallow Cumulus Convection. 697 http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1995)052 < 0650:EOPAFS > 2.0.CO; 2. doi:698 10.1175/1520-0469(1995)052(0650:EOPAFS)2.0.CO;2 699 Simpson, J., Halverson, J. B., Ferrier, B. S., Petersen, W. A., Simpson, R. H., 700 Blakeslee, R., & Durden, S. L. (1998). On the role of "hot towers" in trop-701 ical cyclone formation. Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics, 67(1-4), 15–35. 702 doi: 10.1007/BF01277500 703 Steiner, M., Houze, R. A., Yuter, S. E., Steiner, M., Jr., R. A. H., & Yuter, 704 Climatological Characterization of Three-Dimensional S. E. (1995, sep).705 Storm Structure from Operational Radar and Rain Gauge Data. Jour-706 nal of Applied Meteorology, 34(9), 1978–2007. Retrieved from http:// 70 journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0450{\%}281995{\% 708 }29034{\%}3C1978{\%}3ACCOTDS{\%}3E2.0.CO{\%}3B2 doi: 10.1175/ 709 1520-0450(1995)034(1978:CCOTDS)2.0.CO;2 710 Tiedtke, M. (1989).A comprehensive mass flux scheme for cumulus parameter-711 ization in large-scale models. Monthly Weather Review. doi: 10.1175/1520 712 -0493(1989)117(1779:ACMFSF)2.0.CO;2 713 VanZanten, M. C., Stevens, B., Nuijens, L., Siebesma, A. P., Ackerman, A., Burnet, 714 F., ... others (2011).Controls on precipitation and cloudiness in simula-715 tions of trade-wind cumulus as observed during rico. Journal of Advances in 716 Modeling Earth Systems, 3(2). 717 Wagner, T. M., & Graf, H. F. (2010). An ensemble cumulus convection parameteri-718 zation with explicit cloud treatment. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences. doi: 719 10.1175/2010JAS3485.1 720 Williams, C. R. (2012, oct).Vertical Air Motion Retrieved from Dual-Frequency 721 Profiler Observations. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 722 29(10), 1471-1480.Retrieved from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/ 723 abs/10.1175/JTECH-D-11-00176.1 doi: 10.1175/JTECH-D-11-00176.1 724 Yanai, M., Esbensen, S., Chu, J.-H., Yanai, M., Esbensen, S., & Chu, J.-H. (1973.725 Determination of Bulk Properties of Tropical Cloud Clusters from may). 726 Large-Scale Heat and Moisture Budgets. http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-727 0469(1973)030<0611:DOBPOT>2.0.CO;2. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1973) 728 030(0611:DOBPOT)2.0.CO;2 729 Zhang, M. H., & Lin, J. L. (1997). Constrained variational analysis of sounding data 730 based on column-integrated budgets of mass, heat, moisture, and momentum: 731 732 Approach and application to ARM measurements. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1997)054(1503:CVAOSD)2.0.CO;2 733 Some Views On "Hot Towers" after 50 Years of Tropical Zipser, E. J. (2003).734 Field Programs and Two Years of TRMM Data. In W.-K. Tao & R. Adler 735 (Eds.), Cloud systems, hurricanes, and the tropical rainfall measuring mission 736 (trmm): A tribute to dr. joanne simpson (pp. 49–58). Boston, MA: Amer-737
- r38
   ican Meteorological Society.
   Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1007/

   r39
   978-1-878220-63-9{\\_}5
   doi: 10.1007/978-1-878220-63-9\_5

Figure 1.



Figure 2a.



Figure 2b.



Figure 2c.



Figure 2d.



Figure 3a.



Figure 3b.



Figure 3c.



Figure 4a.



Figure 4b.



Figure 4c.



Figure 5a.



Figure 5b.



Figure 5c.



Figure 5d.



Figure 6a.



Figure 6b.



Figure 6c.



Figure 6d.



Figure 6e.



Figure 6f.



Figure 7a.



Figure 7b.



Figure 7c.

