Nordic Seas Heat Loss, Atlantic Inflow, and Arctic Sea Ice cover over the last century

Lars H. Smedsrud^{1,1}, Ailin Brakstad^{1,1}, Erica Madonna^{1,1}, Morven Muilwijk^{1,1}, Siv K. Lauvset^{2,2}, Clemens Spensberger^{1,1}, Andreas Born^{1,1}, Tor Eldevik^{1,1}, Helge Drange^{1,1}, Emil Jeansson^{3,3}, Camille Li^{1,1}, Are Olsen^{1,1}, Øystein Skagseth^{4,4}, Donald A Slater^{5,5}, Fiammetta Straneo^{6,6}, Kjetil Våge^{1,1}, and Marius [°] Arthun^{1,1}

¹University of Bergen ²NORCE Norwegian Research Centre ³NORCE ⁴Institute for Marine Research, Bergen, Norway ⁵St. Andrews or University of Edinburg ⁶Scripps Institution of Oceanography, UCSD, USA

November 30, 2022

Abstract

Poleward ocean heat transport is a key process in the earth system. We detail and review the northward Atlantic Water (AW) flow, Arctic Ocean heat transport, and heat loss to the atmosphere since 1900 in relation to sea ice cover. Our synthesis is largely based on a sea ice-ocean model forced by a reanalysis atmosphere (1900-2018) corroborated by a comprehensive hydrographic database (1950-), AW inflow observations (1996-), and other long-term time series of sea ice extent (1900-), glacier retreat (1984-) and Barents Sea hydrography (1900-). The Arctic Ocean, including the Nordic and Barents Seas, has warmed since the 1970s. This warming is congruent with increased ocean heat transport and sea ice loss and has contributed to the retreat of marine-terminating glaciers on Greenland. Heat loss to the atmosphere is largest in the Nordic Seas (60% of total) with large variability linked to the frequency of Cold Air Outbreaks and cyclones in the region, but there is no long-term statistically significant trend. Heat loss from the Barents Sea (~30%) and Arctic seas farther north (~10%) is overall smaller, but exhibit large positive trends. The AW inflow, total heat loss to the atmosphere, and dense outflow have all increased since 1900. These are consistently related through theoretical scaling, but the AW inflow increase is also wind-driven. The Arctic Ocean CO2 uptake has increased by ~30% over the last century - consistent with Arctic sea ice loss allowing stronger air-sea interaction and is ~8% of the global uptake.

1	Nordic Seas Heat Loss, Atlantic Inflow, and Arctic Sea Ice cover over the last century
2	
3 4 5 6 7	Lars H. Smedsrud ¹ *, Morven Muilwijk ¹ *, Ailin Brakstad ¹ *, Erica Madonna ¹ *, Siv K. Lauvset ² *, Clemens Spensberger ¹ *, Andreas Born ³ *, Tor Eldevik ¹ *, Helge Drange ¹ *, Emil Jeansson ² *, Camille Li ¹ *, Are Olsen ¹ *, Øystein Skagseth ⁴ *, Donald A. Slater ⁵ , Fiamma Straneo ⁶ , Kjetil Våge ¹ * and Marius Årthun ¹ *.
8	
9	¹ Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen, Norway.
10	² NORCE Norwegian Research Centre, Bergen Norway.
11	³ Department for Earth Science, University of Bergen, Norway.
12	⁴ Institute for Marine Research, Bergen, Norway.
13	³ St. Andrews or University of Edinburg, Scotland, United Kingdom.
14	^o Scripps Institution of Oceanography, UCSD, USA.
15	* Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Corresponding author: Lars H. Smedsrud (Lars.Smedsrud@uib.no)
24	Key Points:
25	• Nordic Seas heat loss dominates the mean Arctic Ocean heat loss and its variability.
26 27	• Atlantic Water volume and heat transport has increased over the last century consistently with increased wind forcing and heat loss.
28 29	• Ocean heat transport anomalies affect Greenland melting, Arctic sea ice, water transformations, and Arctic CO ₂ uptake.
30	
31	

32 Abstract

33 Poleward ocean heat transport is a key process in the earth system. We detail and review the

- northward Atlantic Water (AW) flow, Arctic Ocean heat transport, and heat loss to the
- atmosphere since 1900 in relation to sea ice cover. Our synthesis is largely based on a sea ice-
- 36 ocean model forced by a reanalysis atmosphere (1900-2018) corroborated by a
- 37 comprehensive hydrographic database (1950-), AW inflow observations (1996-), and other
- long-term time series of sea ice extent (1900-), glacier retreat (1984-) and Barents Sea
- 39 hydrography (1900-). The Arctic Ocean, including the Nordic and Barents Seas, has warmed
- 40 since the 1970s. This warming is congruent with increased ocean heat transport and sea ice
- 41 loss and has contributed to the retreat of marine-terminating glaciers on Greenland. Heat loss
- to the atmosphere is largest in the Nordic Seas (60% of total) with large variability linked to
- the frequency of Cold Air Outbreaks and cyclones in the region, but there is no long-term
- 44 statistically significant trend. Heat loss from the Barents Sea (~30%) and Arctic seas farther
- 45 north ($\sim 10\%$) is overall smaller, but exhibit large positive trends. The AW inflow, total heat
- 46 loss to the atmosphere, and dense outflow have all increased since 1900. These are
- 47 consistently related through theoretical scaling, but the AW inflow increase is also wind-
- 48 driven. The Arctic Ocean CO2 uptake has increased by ~30% over the last century -
- 49 consistent with Arctic sea ice loss allowing stronger air-sea interaction and is $\sim 8\%$ of the
- 50 global uptake.
- 51

52 Plain Language Summary

The major flow to and from the Arctic Ocean occurs across the Greenland-Scotland Ridge. 53 The inflow is mostly warm Atlantic Water (AW) flowing northwards and cooling gradually. 54 After completing different loops within the Arctic Ocean, portions of this water eventually 55 flows south as cold freshened Polar Water at the surface and cold, dense Overflow Water at 56 57 depth. We review and synthesize how the AW cooling evolved over the last century in relation to the Arctic sea ice cover. In the mean 60% of the heat loss occurred in the Nordic 58 Seas, 30% in the Barents Sea, and only 10% in the Arctic seas further north. Arctic sea ice 59 decrease the last century created more open water and permitted stronger ocean heat loss. 60 The ocean volume and heat transport also increased, consistently with increased heat loss, 61 and increased wind forcing. Ocean temperatures have generally increased in many areas 62 during the last 50 years, and on Greenland this drove the retreat of marine-terminating 63 64 glaciers. Variability in ocean heat loss to the atmosphere was primarily driven by Cold Air Outbreaks and cyclones in the Nordic and Barents Seas, and explain variability in Arctic 65 Ocean CO₂ uptake, being $\sim 8\%$ of the global uptake. 66

67 **1 Introduction and focus**

The individual seas of the Arctic all lose heat to the atmosphere when the yearly average is 68 calculated. The heat loss and associated Atlantic Water (AW) circulation (Fig. 1) have been 69 widely studied due to their important consequences for each regional sea, the Arctic climate 70 as a whole, and the Global Ocean circulation. The actual surface heat flux is only measured in 71 short periods over a limited area and varies over time and region in profound ways. The main 72 goal of this paper is to quantify and describe this heat loss, why it has increased over the last 73 74 century, and how it relates to sea ice cover, CO₂-uptake, and atmospheric circulation, as well as the general warming trend from climate change. While it has been known for more than 75 100 years that AW is the primary heat source for the Arctic Ocean (Helland-Hansen and 76 Nansen, 1909), much of the variability, trends, and related consequences are still 77 undetermined. 78

79 A most important consequence of ocean heat loss is that when sea water cools, it becomes denser. The heat loss in the Arctic Ocean is thus the primary driver of the transformation of 80 the warm inflowing water into dense water that fills the North Atlantic at depth (Mauritzen 81 1996; Pemberton et al., 2015; Gebbie & Huybers, 2011; Chafik & Rossby, 2019;). The 82 cooling also increases the CO₂ solubility, such that the Arctic Ocean is an important sink of 83 CO2 (Takahashi et al., 2009). If the water column is strongly stratified or the surface water 84 sufficiently fresh, cooling leads to sea ice formation, which dramatically changes energy, 85 momentum, and biogeochemistry fluxes between the ocean and the atmosphere. So the heat 86 loss dictates variability in the Arctic sea ice cover, but it also works the other way with sea 87 ice regulating the heat loss. If less heat is lost to the atmosphere, the heat remaining in the 88 89 ocean can result in increased melting of sea ice further downstream or increased melting of marine-terminating glaciers with potential implications for ice discharge from the Greenland 90 91 Ice Sheet (e.g., Lindeman et al., 2020; Mouginot et al., 2015). Ocean temperatures on the Greenland shelf are above 0°C, and variability in ocean temperature drives the advance and 92 93 retreat of marine-terminating glaciers (Straneo & Heimbach, 2013). Finally, the heat loss itself is driven by atmospheric conditions, which are clearly modulated by temporal and 94 spatial changes of the wind field in different regions (Simonsen & Haugan, 1996). We 95 hereafter use the term 'heat loss' for the spatially integrated surface heat flux over a region 96 like the Nordic Seas in TW (terawatt = 10^{12} W), and use the term 'heat flux', meaning the 97 specific value at the surface for a smaller area or an observation in the unit W/m^2 (Table 1). 98

Our region of interest is the interconnected ocean north of the Bering Strait and the 99 Greenland-Scotland Ridge (GSR), the Arctic gateways to the Pacific and Atlantic oceans, 100 respectively. We prefer to term this collection of seas the Arctic Ocean (Fig. 2), which is 101 consistent with the official Arctic Ocean definition of the International Hydrographic Office 102 (IHO 1953; Jakobsson & Macnab, 2006). We divide the Arctic Ocean into three regional seas 103 that have fundamentally different behavior when it comes to heat loss and ocean transport; 104 the Nordic Seas, the Barents Sea, and the remaining area termed the Polar Sea (Hopkins, 105 1991). The Nordic Seas include the Greenland, Iceland, and Norwegian Seas. The Polar Sea 106 107 covers the Beaufort, Chukchi, East Siberian, Laptev and Kara Seas, as well as the two main deep Arctic basins (Canadian and Eurasian Basin, Fig. 2). Some authors use the term 'Central 108 Arctic Ocean' for what is termed the Polar Sea here. We thus exclude the Baffin and Hudson 109 Bays west of Greenland as they are not well connected with the remaining Arctic Ocean 110 (Hopkins, 1991). The name 'Arctic Mediterranean' has also been used for what we term the 111 Arctic Ocean here, especially in oceanographic literature, starting with Sverdrup et al. (1942). 112 The Arctic Ocean acts like a double estuary (Fig. 1). This implies that AW is the main inflow 113 and two major outflows: fresh Polar Water (PW) at the surface and dense Overflow Water 114 (OW) in the deep (Eldevik & Nilsen, 2013). The concept of the Arctic Ocean as a double 115 estuary dates back to Stigebrandt (1981), who also estimated the two main outflows across 116 the GSR. From observations of the AW inflow, a total (net) transport of 8.0 ± 0.7 Sv across 117 the GSR has been estimated (between 1993 and 2017; Østerhus et al., 2019; Tsubouchi et al., 118 2020). The two secondary inflows are relatively minor, bringing 0.8 Sy through the Bering 119 Strait (Woodgate et al., 2006), and ~0.1 Sv from river runoff (Carmack et al., 2016). The 120 total inflow is balanced by a net southward flow of PW through the Canadian Archipelago 121 and the southward flow of both PW and OW across the GSR (Fig. 1). A recent estimate 122 (1993-2016) indicates 2.7 Sv outflow of PW and 5.6 Sv of OW (Tsubouchi et al., 2020). 123 As will be shown, one of our main findings is that the Arctic Ocean heat loss and the Ocean 124 Heat Transport (OHT) into the Arctic Ocean were smaller in the early part of the last century 125 than in recent decades. The following increase in heat loss to the atmosphere has occurred in 126 127 parallel with the overall warming trend and loss of Arctic sea ice. What has caused the heat loss and transport to increase, and what are the consequences? Our focus here is to review 128 current knowledge of the variability and influences of AW inflow. We are guided by a 129 century-scale model simulation corroborated by observations, and synthesize to what extent 130 the inflow trend and variability from 1900 to present influences Nordic Seas heat loss, 131

132 properties of the deep water properties and outflows, Arctic CO₂ uptake, Greenland Glaciers,

133 and Arctic sea ice cover (Fig. 1).

To determine these possible influences, we need to establish the relevant long-term means 134 and trends and then investigate the physical mechanisms contributing to the simulated and 135 observed changes. We start with a review of relevant conditions in Section 2. Realizing we 136 need to examine the variability over the last century in a consistent way, we next describe the 137 methods used to do this (Section 3). Naturally, observational coverage has increased over 138 time, and only a few time series go back to the early 1900s, so simulations must be used the 139 further back one goes. Section 4 presents our new estimates of the centennial mean values 140 (1900–2000), before we dive into the variability and trends over time. The new results are 141 142 discussed in Section 5 in light of existing knowledge (Section 2). We conclude on the implications of the Arctic Ocean heat loss variability in Section 6 and speculate about present 143 144 trends persisting into the future.

145

146 **2 Review of relevant processes and conditions**

147 Over the last 100 years, estimates of Arctic Ocean heat loss to the atmosphere have evolved substantially. Thanks to the early Arctic explorer-oceanographers and a long history of 148 149 fishery-related surveys, there are century-long observational records in the region that document how these waters have changed over time. Mosby (1962) reported the mean 150 151 hydrographic properties, volume, and heat budgets of the regional seas based on observations from the Maud Expedition (1918-1925) onwards. Many estimates were close to present 152 values, and the AW inflow was identified as the largest heat source. However, as we will 153 present here, the AW inflow volume estimate of 3.6 Sv across the GSR was probably about 154 half of the correct value, and the 90 TW heat loss of the Polar Sea much too high (Mosby 155 156 1962). Bjerknes (1964) documented the existence of large year-to-year fluctuations in the North Atlantic and Nordic Seas temperature related to radiation, air-sea heat fluxes, and 157 OHT. Bjerknes (1964) found that the atmosphere generally forces the ocean via the 158 exchanges of heat and momentum, but also that ocean temperatures can influence the 159 thermodynamics of the atmosphere. 160

161 It has also been evident for a long time that the North Atlantic dominates northward OHT in a

162 global perspective. This dominance was consistently quantified to be 15 Sv and ~600 TW

across 45°N based on global hydrographic data by Ganachaud & Wunsch (2000). Recently

- Lozier et al. (2019) found that a similar volume makes it as far north as 58°N, but the OHT
- has here lowered to ~450 TW, and there is substantial wind-driven variability.
- 166 2.1 Atmospheric forcing of heat loss

The general regional circulation within the Arctic Ocean is driven by wind stress 167 (Timmermanns & Marshall 2020). We focus on the AW inflow and transformation by surface 168 heat loss for this review (Fig. 1), and not the internal circulation. In the annual mean, the 169 atmosphere north of 60°N loses about 2500 TW of heat to space (Trenberth & Fasullo 2017; 170 171 Trenberth et al., 2019). This loss is balanced by northward heat transport in the atmosphere and ocean. The bulk of the heat transport happens in the atmosphere, while the OHT is on the 172 order of 500 ±100 TW or 20% (Trenberth & Fasullo 2017, Trenberth et al., 2019). The AW 173 OHT variability since 2000 across 26°N is about \pm 20%, and uncertainties are thus large for 174 this OHT estimate (Trenberth & Fasullo 2017). The possibility of large variability across 175 multiple time scales, sparked interest in this review. A large portion of the OHT is lost to the 176 Arctic atmosphere, mostly in the Nordic and Barents Seas (Serreze et al. 2007). On long time 177 scales, when the climate is at equilibrium, the OHT and ocean heat loss to the atmosphere 178 will balance. 179

180 There is a pronounced seasonal cycle in the ocean heat loss around the annual mean, driven predominantly by radiation (Serreze et al., 2007). Indeed, it is only from September to March 181 182 that the ocean loses heat to the atmosphere (Serreze et al., 2007; Mayer et al., 2019); from April to August, the ocean gains heat on average. The downward surface heat flux peaks in 183 July at around 100 W/m² (Serreze et al. 2007), while the upward heat loss is more evenly 184 distributed throughout winter. In addition to the seasonal cycle, ocean heat loss exhibits 185 variability on a range of other time scales. There are large year-to-year (interannual) 186 variations, owing mainly to the large internal variability of atmospheric heat transport (Fan et 187 al., 2015; Mayer et al., 2019). On decadal scales, Bjerknes (1964) hypothesized that there is 188 strong compensation between the ocean and atmospheric heat transport. This mechanism, 189 called Bjerknes compensation, was later confirmed for decadal and even longer time scales 190 (e.g., Shaffrey & Sutton 2006; Outten et al., 2018), but on year-to-year time scales, the 191

atmosphere and ocean heat transports vary relatively independently (Shaffrey and Sutton2006).

The reason for the large variability in atmospheric heat transport is that much of it is 194 associated with weather events (Overland et al. 1996), reflecting the chaotic nature of the 195 atmosphere. Weather dominates the mid-latitude atmospheric variability on times scales from 196 daily to interannual, causing fluctuations in the position and strength of the North Atlantic jet 197 stream and storm track (e.g., Woollings et al., 2010). From a synoptic perspective, the 198 importance of single weather events for the atmospheric heat transport to high latitudes is 199 best demonstrated by the phenomenon known as warm moist intrusions (e.g., Woods et al., 200 201 2013). These intrusions are relatively narrow and predominantly meridional air streams that transport warm and moist airmasses into the Arctic. Such air streams are typically associated 202 with atmospheric blocking events (Woods et al., 2013), or sequences of extratropical 203 cyclones (Binder et al., 2018; Messori et al., 2018). However, the exact relationship between 204 the synoptic and large-scale circulation features that drive heat and moisture transport to the 205 Arctic remains a topic of active research (Papritz & Dunn-Sigouin 2020, Madonna et al. 206 207 2020). Consequently, the atmospheric meridional heat transport distribution is strongly skewed, with a few intense events contributing a considerable fraction of the seasonal 208 average transport (Messori et al., 2017). 209

Analogous to the meridional heat transport, much of the ocean heat loss is also associated 210 211 with individual weather events. For this reason, time-mean surface flux values can be 212 misleading in the mid and high-latitudes, because much of the time-mean exchange occurs in brief bursts, and winds during these events differ considerably from the time average (Ogawa 213 214 & Spengler 2019). For example, Condron & Renfrew (2013) show that bursts in surface fluxes associated with polar lows contribute substantially to the climatological water mass 215 216 transformation, although they are both small scale (typically < 300 km) and short-lived (typically < 24h). Polar lows are often embedded in cold-air outbreaks (CAOs, Terpstra et al., 217 2021) that move polar air masses off the sea-ice or cold continents and over relatively warm 218 water, leading to locally intense ocean cooling (Papritz & Spengler 2017). Further, CAOs are 219 220 often linked to extratropical cyclones (Kolstad et al., 2009; Fletcher et al., 2016; Papritz

2017) that have strong winds and are generally hotspots of air-ice-sea interactions (Sorteberg
& Kvingedal 2006; Sampe & Xie 2007).

Slower modes of atmospheric variability also influence day-to-day weather and heat loss 223 (Lorenz & Hartmann 2003). This variability can, to some extent, be captured by slower 224 varying components of the atmosphere, such as the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) or the 225 Pacific North America pattern (PNA). The NAO represents a latitudinal shift of the North 226 Atlantic storm track (Hurrell 1995; Woollings et al. 2010). This shift is only weakly related to 227 the atmospheric heat transport towards high latitudes (Ruggieri et al., 2020), but it captures 228 the variability in the occurrence of pertinent weather events, such as CAOs (Kolstad et al. 229 230 2009, Papritz 2017). The PNA is associated with atmospheric blocking over the eastern North Pacific (Renwick & Wallace 1996, Moore et al., 2010), and thus represents variations in the 231 occurrence of warm moist intrusions into the Arctic from the Pacific side (L'Heureux et al., 232 2008). These variability indices capture a considerable fraction of the atmospheric variability 233 from monthly to multidecadal scales, but trends remain difficult to assess (Woollings et al., 234 2014). 235

236 Given the relevance of both the NAO and the PNA for air-ice-sea interactions in the Arctic, it

is tempting to consider their combined effects using the dominant pattern of atmospheric

238 variability over the entire northern extratropics, the Arctic Oscillation or Northern Annular

239 Mode. However, the NAO and the PNA are largely uncorrelated and physically unrelated,

240 making their combination of limited use when trying to understand regional climate (Deser

241 2000; Ambaum et al. 2001; Huth & Beranová 2021).

242 2.2 Cryospheric links towards ocean heat anomalies

Arctic sea ice loss is now apparent throughout the year, but the amount of loss varies 243 depending on season and region (Onarheim et al., 2018). Diminishing sea ice has a number of 244 important consequences for marine ecology and navigation (Meier et al., 2014; Stocker et al., 245 2020; Lannuzel et al., 2020), plays a part in Arctic Amplification (Pithan & Mauritsen 2014), 246 247 and, by decreasing surface albedo, acts as a positive feed-back on global warming (Pistone et al., 2019). To first order, there is a nearly linear relationship between the global atmospheric 248 CO₂ concentration, increased long-wave radiation and Arctic sea-ice extent (Notz & Stroeve, 249 2016) appearing in both observations and coupled climate simulations. During late spring, 250 251 summer, and early fall, the largest ice loss is found inside the Polar Sea, causing a profound change in surface fluxes there (Perovich et al., 2007). The additional solar heat gained by the 252

the cold seasons, resulting in a small net change in the annual mean heat fluxes. So there is an

253 ocean during this time of year is lost to the atmosphere before and during sea ice formation in

255 increase in the annual cycle of summer heat gain and winter heat loss within the Polar Sea,

but there has until today been little change in the net annual heat loss (Onarheim et al., 2018).

257 This is different for the regions experiencing reduced winter sea ice, which up to now has

258 mostly occurred in the Greenland and Barents Seas (Onarheim et al., 2018).

254

Large changes in annual mean heat loss in the regions experiencing reduced winter sea ice 259 cover may be expected – both for trends and inter-annual variability. A clear relationship 260 between OHT and sea ice cover variability has been established for the Barents Sea (Årthun 261 et al., 2012, Smedsrud et al., 2013, Muilwijk et al., 2019). Here an increased OHT leads to 262 reduced winter sea ice cover, stronger ocean heat loss, and increased dense water production. 263 There is evidence that a similar mechanism is now at play north of Svalbard (Ivanov et al., 264 265 2016) and in the Eastern Eurasian Basin (Polyakov et al., 2017). Increased AW inflow leads to less sea ice cover also in the western Nordic Seas, based on simulations (Årthun & Eldevik 266 2016) and observations covering the last decades (Selyuzhenok et al., 2020). As a result, the 267 East Greenland Current flowing southward along the Greenland slope is now partially 268 exposed to the atmosphere in winter so that water mass transformation directly within the 269 boundary current may occur (Våge et al., 2018). These new areas of open water allow for 270 more local heat loss and dense-water formation and may alter the properties and composition 271 of the OW at depth. However, while the loss of winter sea ice may cool the ocean more 272 locally, it also stops brine from being released during ice growth. The overall and net effect 273 of less winter ice on dense-water formation is thus not obvious. Deep convection will only 274 occur under strong heat loss if the surface is sufficiently saline and is thus dependant on 275 stratification often reflected in winter Sea Surface Salinity (SSS). 276

Northeastern Greenland forms the western boundary of the Nordic Seas. Numerous tidewater 277 glaciers here are in contact with the ocean in narrow fjords that connect to the continental 278 shelf (Straneo et al., 2012). These marine-terminating glaciers deliver both liquid freshwater 279 and icebergs to the ocean. In the northeast region of the Greenland Ice Sheet, the annual flux 280 of ice into the ocean is estimated to be approximately 35×10^{12} kg (Mouginot et al., 2019), 281 equivalent to around 0.001 Sv of freshwater. This ice either melts near the glacier calving 282 front (including underneath any remaining ice shelf) or as icebergs close to the coast. The 283 bulk of the heat needed to melt this ice is supplied by the Nordic Seas. Based on the above 284 285 annual ice flux (Mouginot et al., 2019), an estimate of the ocean heat needed to melt the

annual ice flux is less than 1 TW. This is small relative to the overall cooling of the AW 286 within the Nordic Seas. To obtain the total freshwater input from Greenland, this ice 287 discharge must be added to the liquid freshwater discharge from the net surface melt. 288 Over the 1960-1990 period, the total (liquid plus solid) freshwater discharge from Greenland 289 into the Nordic Seas has been estimated to be $107\pm8 \text{ km}^3/\text{yr}$ (~0.003 Sv) (Bamber et al., 290 2012). In recent years (2007-2016), this has increased by approximately 24 km³/yr (i.e., an 291 additional 0.008 Sv each year; Bamber et al., 2018). It remains an active area of research to 292 assess the potential impact of this freshwater on the shelf and large-scale ocean dynamics 293 (e.g., Gillard et al., 2016). Greenland's tidewater glaciers also respond dynamically to the 294 ocean through the melting of their calving fronts and floating ice shelves. Recent decades 295 have seen widespread retreat and increased sea level contribution from Greenland's tidewater 296 glaciers. Numerous processes may contribute to this retreat, but the current consensus 297 298 suggests that the dominant driver is ocean warming (Straneo & Heimbach, 2013). In 299 northeast Greenland specifically, variability in AW properties is understood to control the melting of Greenland's largest remaining ice shelf at 79 °N (Wilson & Straneo, 2015; 300 Schaffer et al., 2020) and has been implicated in the recent collapse of the adjacent ice shelf 301 at Zachariae Isstrom (Mouginot et al., 2015). Quantifying past variability in the Nordic Seas 302 thus provides essential context for understanding northeast Greenland ice sheet dynamics. 303

304 2.3 Ocean

The Arctic Ocean can largely be viewed as an enclosed basin – the Arctic Mediterranean (Eldevik, T. & Nilsen, 2013) – with the GSR as the gateway to the Atlantic in the south (Fig. 2). Consequently, the oceanographic conditions in the Nordic Seas are heavily influenced by the northward-flowing Norwegian Atlantic Current transporting warm, saline AW across the GSR (Orvik & Niiler, 2002; Østerhus et al., 2019). The Norwegian Sea accordingly exhibits pronounced variability in ocean heat content on interannual to decadal timescales associated with changes in the properties and transport of AW into the region (Mork et al., 2014;

312 Yashayaev & Seidov 2015; Asbjørnsen et al., 2019).

313 2.3.1 Atlantic inflow to the Nordic Seas

314 The atmospheric forcing is instrumental in driving the ocean circulation in two ways. Firstly,

315 heat loss to the atmosphere cools the AW inflow within the enclosed Arctic Ocean and

densifies the water as it progresses northward on the eastern side of the Nordic Seas and

317 circulates cyclonically in the Arctic boundary current (Mauritzen et al., 1996; Eldevik et al.,

2009). This cooling thus contributes to the mean circulation, but variability in cooling may 318 consequently also drive variability in flow. Secondly, surface wind stress both drives the 319 mean cyclonic circulation (Nøst & Isachsen, 2013; Timmermans & Marshall, 2020) as well 320 as inflow variability. Wind forcing clearly influences the short-term AW inflow variability 321 across the GSR (Nilsen et al, 2003; Bringedal et al, 2018). Interannual variability in the 322 Nordic Seas inflow has also been linked to large-scale wind forcing associated with the North 323 Atlantic Oscillation (NAO; e.g., Zhang et al., 2004; Sandø et al., 2012; Muilwijk et al., 2018 324 Bringedal et al., 2018). The relationship between AW inflow and NAO also holds for longer 325 timescales, an increasingly positive phase of the NAO related to increased AW inflow from 326 1965-1996 (Dickson et al., 2000). Several studies have also demonstrated the importance of 327 North Atlantic gyre dynamics in affecting the properties and transport of AW across the GSR 328 (Hatun et al., 2005; Langehaug et al., 2012; Kenigson & Timmermans 2021; Asbjørnsen et 329 al., 2021). A weak subpolar gyre is associated with a northwestward shifted subpolar front, 330 331 higher poleward transport of subtropical waters in the North Atlantic Current, and a warmer and more saline GSR inflow. In the real world and climate model simulations, wind forcing 332 and heat loss combine to drive the full variability of the flow and water mass transformations 333 in the region. 334

335 2.3.2 Heat fluxes and cooling of the Atlantic inflow

Our understanding of the cooling of AW as it circulates the Arctic Ocean has improved over 336 the last decades. Using re-analysis of the atmosphere, Simonsen & Haugan (1996) 337 highlighted the Barents Sea as an area of effective heat loss to the atmosphere (42–162 TW) 338 in addition to the Nordic Seas (220-250 TW) but also documented large uncertainties in the 339 parameterizations used to determine the surface fluxes. There have been quite limited efforts 340 341 on how the heat loss has developed over decades. Dickson et al. (2000) found some downstream consequences of increased AW inflow in terms of sea ice loss and increased 342 ocean temperature. Mork et al. (2014) found a Nordic Seas warming of 0.3 W/m² since 1950 343 and argued that air-sea heat fluxes explained about half of the interannual variability in ocean 344 heat content in the Atlantic domain of the Nordic Seas. This was supported by Muilwijk et al. 345 (2018), who further showed that the heat fluxes effectively damp OHT anomalies, but also 346 that the wind-forced AW volume transport change in relationship with the NAO, especially 347 in the 1930s. Yashayaev & Seidov (2015) summarized variability after 1950 from observed 348 349 hydrography in the Nordic and Barents Seas, and found that fluctuations in AW properties dominate on decadal and longer time scales. NAO and the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation 350

(AMO) correlate, with low AMO values forced by high NAO and a related high heat loss in 351 the Labrador Sea, and the AW temperature and salinity signals are lagged along the Nordic 352 Seas inflow path (Yashayaev & Seidov, 2015). Asbjørnsen et al. (2019) documented that the 353 AW inflow is the primary contributor to heat content variability within the Nordic Seas after 354 the 1990s and highlighted the possibility for related long-term predictions. The above 355 described AW variability further propagates from the Nordic Seas and through the Barents 356 Sea into the Polar Sea, as Polyakov et al. (2004) and Polyakov et al., (2009) described. 357 Despite well-documented spatial and temporal variations of AW properties, an overview of 358 359 20th century variability of AW flow, properties, and consequences concerning ongoing global warming is not established. New relevant results will therefore be presented in section 360 4. 361

362 2.3.3 Analytical AW inflow and relation to surface heat fluxes

A central question for the regional dynamics and thermodynamics is the relationship between 363 the Arctic Ocean heat loss and the mass, heat and fresh water flows in the region. Pemberton 364 et al. (2015) analyzed a steady-state numerical solution and found that large surface heat 365 fluxes (~70 W/m²) in the southwestern Barents Sea is key for water mass transformation 366 within the Polar Sea. They concluded that surface freshwater is important for transformations 367 occurring below salinities of 30 g/kg but that the net transformation at such low salinities is 368 negligible. Spall (2004) presented an analytical solution based on an idealized circular basin 369 with sloping bottom, resembling the real Arctic Ocean with the main inflow across the GSR 370 (Fig. 2) - forced by heat loss only. He found that in the absence of topographical or far-field 371 (AW inflow) temperature changes, the overturning, inflow volume, and heat transport all 372 373 scale with the overall mean heat loss Q at the surface. The Arctic Ocean heat flux is on the order of 15 W/m² (Table 1), yielding a heat loss of about 200 TW over the total area of 12.3 374 mill km² (Fig. 3, Table 1). 375

The inflow volume across the GSR can be directly expressed using the mean velocity V_{in} over the $H_{in} = 500$ m deep sill and the L = 105 km wide slope. We generally expect an increase in OHT with more heat loss over the Arctic Ocean, and Spall (2004) finds that the inflow (in m³/s) can be expressed as

380 Eq (1)
$$V_{in} * L * H_{in} = \frac{H_{in}}{\rho_0} \sqrt{\frac{R \, L \, \alpha \, g \, Q}{2 \, f \, c_p \, c}}.$$

Here R is the Arctic Ocean radius, f the Coriolis parameter, α the thermal expansion 381 coefficient, c_p the specific heat capacity, g gravitational acceleration, c an empirical eddy 382 mixing efficiency and ρ_0 a mean density. Because the slope and the sill depth, together with 383 the other parameters, are constant in time, the inflow volume and speed are solely dependent 384 385 on the density in the basin, through the thermal wind relationship and governed by Q. Representative values for the Arctic Ocean are a radius R = 2000 km (Fig. 1), and a Coriolis 386 parameter f for 80°N. Physical constants are the thermal expansion coefficient $\alpha =$ 387 0.2 $kg/(m^{3}\circ C)$, the specific heat capacity $c_p = 3985 J/(kg^{\circ}C)$, the gravitational 388 acceleration g = 9.8 m/s², an empirical eddy mixing efficiency c = 0.025, and a mean 389 density $\rho_0 = 1027 \frac{kg}{m^3}$. These values give a total inflow of 8.5 to 11.5 Sv for the range of 390 Q between 10 and 20 W/m², equivalent to a change in integrated heat loss from 125 TW to 391 250 TW (Eq. 1). A similar dependency between AW inflow and mean heat loss results from 392 the analytical diagnostic by Eldevik & Nilsen (2013) who also accounted for the freshwater 393 budget. In their solution, an increased heat flux of 10 W/m² results in +4 Sv of increased AW 394 395 inflow.

The AW inflow is gradually cooled and densified as it progresses northward with the rim 396 current system in the Arctic Ocean (Mauritzen et al., 1996; Eldevik et al., 2009). As the AW 397 flows around the basin, downwelling occurs along the boundary current, and much of the 398 volume leaves the basin as OW at depth. The remaining volume exits at the surface on the 399 western side as freshened PW. Spall (2004) concluded that in high latitude regions, and in 400 particular in small basins, the majority of the heat is transported by the near-surface gyre 401 circulation while deep overturning plays a smaller role. The division between the horizontal 402 gyre and vertical overturning circulation is more equal further equatorward in the subpolar 403 North Atlantic (Böning & Bryan 1996; Lozier et al., 2019). 404

The AW inflow downstream of the GSR is thus a warm boundary current that cools as it travels northward (Spall 2004), but in nature, it also freshens along the perimeter of the Arctic Ocean (Mauritzen 1996). Given that vertical profiles of density are available, the speed of the baroclinic component of such a boundary current V_{bc} in one location can be found following Jakhelln (1936) and Werenskiold (1935):

410 Eq (2)
$$V_{bc} = \frac{g}{f \rho_{ref}} \int_{-h}^{o} \int_{-h}^{z} [\rho(-h) - \rho(z)] dz' dz$$

411 Here ρ_{ref} is a reference density, and the integration depth is h. Repeated CTD observations

- within the boundary current can be used to estimate the baroclinic transport strength as has
- 413 been demonstrated for the northward AW flow across the Svinøy section just north of the
- 414 GSR (Orvik et al., 2001). An AW inflow that is less dense (i.e., warmer and/or fresher) or
- 415 deeper would thus lead to a stronger boundary current.
- 416 2.3.4 Transformation of AW into OW and PW

The AW inflow across the GSR may undergo a variety of transformations within the Arctic 417 Ocean before returning south. Some AW returns southwards without undergoing much 418 419 cooling, forming what is known as the AW outflow (Table 3). Rossby et al. (2018) observed ~3 Sv of AW returning south between Iceland, the Faroes and Shetland. A small amount of 420 421 AW also flows south in the eastern part of Denmark Strait (Mastropole et al., 2017). The remaining GSR outflow is either fresh and cold PW in the East Greenland Current, or the 422 denser OW spilling across the ridge between Greenland and Shetland (Østerhus et al. 2019). 423 Dense OW is transported towards the GSR along different pathways. To the Denmark Strait 424 the OW comes with the East Greenland Current (Mauritzen, 1996) and the North Icelandic 425 Jet flowing westward along the north slope of Iceland (Jónsson & Valdimarsson 2004; Våge 426 et al., 2011; Semper et al., 2019). The Faroe-Shetland Channel OW has a contribution 427 flowing southward from the Norwegian Sea (Eldevik et al. 2009; Chafik et al., 2020) and the 428 Iceland Faroe Slope Jet arriving from the west (Semper et al., 2020). Much of the dense OW 429 experiences the final heat loss in the interior Iceland and Greenland Seas (Swift & Aagaard 430 1981; Marshall & Schott 1999), with recent studies pointing more towards the Greenland Sea 431 432 as the active region (Våge et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2020).

433 Deep convection in the Greenland Sea used to produce the coldest and densest bottom waters

434 in the Arctic Ocean due to the combined effect of severe winter cooling and sea ice formation

435 (Helland-Hansen & Nansen, 1909; Aagaard et al., 1985). However, since the early 1980s,

- 436 only convection to intermediate depths (<2000 m) has been observed (Karstensen et al.,
- 437 2005; Latarius & Quadfasel, 2016; Lauvset et al., 2018; Brakstad et al., 2019). A main reason
- 438 for this change is the retreat of the sea ice edge toward Greenland (Visbeck et al., 1995). The
- 439 retreating sea ice has led to reduced brine release over the central Greenland Sea since the
- 440 late 1970s, and in combination with reduced atmospheric cooling, this may limit the
- formation of intermediate water masses and OW supply (Moore et al., 2015). This has not yet
- 442 occurred because a concurrent increase in salt advected in with the AW has increased upper
- 443 ocean density (Glessmer et al. 2015; Lauvset et al., 2018; Brakstad et al., 2019). The salt

increase has resulted in enhanced ventilation of intermediate waters in the Greenland Sea
since the mid 1990s (Lauvset et al., 2018). In the last 10 years, the trend has reversed (Mork
et al., 2019), and convection in the Greenland Sea could become increasingly vulnerable to
inter-annual changes in ocean heat loss.

Consistent with this study's focus on ocean heat loss, we mostly analyze the Atlantic sector 448 of the Arctic and explicitly leave out many of the processes and variations on the Pacific side. 449 There are indeed wind-related changes within the Beaufort Gyre that have prominent effects 450 on freshwater storage (Johnson et al., 2018), but there is little variability in heat loss and 451 storage. The Beaufort Gyre is characterized by anti-cyclonic ocean circulation and sea ice 452 453 drift (Timmermans & Marshall, 2020), but the heat loss is small because it is ice-covered throughout winter (Fig. 4). For the main heat-loss region, the Nordic Seas (Fig. 2), Glessmer 454 et al. (2015) inferred from observations and model simulations (1950-2010) that anomalous 455 freshwater content is relatively unaffected by what is transported southward with the East 456 Greenland Current but rather relates to salinity anomalies arriving with the Atlantic inflow. 457

458 2.4 CO₂ Uptake in relation to heat loss

459 Arctic Ocean CO₂ uptake was first determined by Lundberg & Haugan (1996). Based on volume flows and inorganic carbon observations, they inferred a net uptake of 110 Mt C/yr. 460 Similar approaches have subsequently been applied to the individual seas based on more 461 recent data. Based on observations from the late 1990s and early 2000s, MacGilchrist et al. 462 (2014) inferred a net uptake in the Polar Ocean and the Barents Sea of 166 Mt C/yr, while 463 Jeansson et al. (2011) determined a net Nordic Seas uptake of 190 Mt C/yr. The CO₂ uptake 464 has also been estimated from observations of the CO₂ partial pressure in the ocean surface, 465 which allows for direct computation of the air-sea CO₂ flux as described by e.g., Takahasi et 466 al. (2009). For the Barents sea, Omar et al. (2007) determined flux densities in the range of 467 468 3.4 mmol C/(m^2d) (winter) to 21 mmol C/(m^2d) (fall), these estimates were extrapolated to the entire Barents Sea by Kivimäe et al., (2010) yielding a net uptake of 58 Mt C/yr. East of 469 the Barents Sea, CO₂ outgassing may occur, a consequence of the decomposition of terrestrial 470 organic matter supplied by the large Siberian rivers (Anderson et al., 2009). Across the 471 Bering Strait, however, the Chukchi sea is highly undersaturated in summer because of ample 472 biological productivity, and the uptake of CO₂ has been estimated to 13 Mt C/yr over the ice-473 free season (Pipko et al., 2015), much of which is exported to the halocline and deeper waters 474 over the winter. Air-sea fluxes over the western Arctic coastal ocean, including the Chukchi 475

and Beaufort Seas were recently estimated by Evans et al. (2015). They found the region to 476 be a sink of approximately 11 Mt C/yr, with flux densities ranging from 3 mmol C/(m^2d) in 477 winter, to 20 mmol $C/(m^2d)$ in summer. While sea-ice cover restricts the winter uptake, Evans 478 et al. (2015) observed that the waters were nevertheless only modestly undersaturated in this 479 season, such that disappearance of the sea ice might not lead to ample uptake of CO₂ in 480 winter in these regions. Towards the east, on the other hand, over the Eurasian basin and into 481 the Barents Sea, waters beneath the sea ice are strongly undersaturated (Fransson et al., 482 2017), and here the uptake will increase as the sea ice extent decreases. We thus speculate 483 484 that the CO₂ uptake in the west and east Polar Sea may show contrasting responses to sea ice 485 loss.

Reviewing available literature at the time, Bates and Mathis (2009) determined net annual air-sea flux in the Polar and Barents seas to between 66 and 199 Mt C/yr. Recently, Yasunaka et al. (2018) mapped all available pCO₂ observations in this region and determined an annual uptake of 180 ± 130 Mt C/yr over 1997-2014, including also the Bering Sea. We extracted fluxes for the Polar Sea and Barents sea as defined here (Fig. 1) from the mapped data published by Yasunaka et al. (2018) and obtained a mean flux of 149 ± 107 Mt C/yr.

For the Nordic Seas, the maps presented by Yasunaka et al. (2018) show annual 492 average flux densities 8-16 mmol $C/(m^2d)$ in the west, while they are a bit lower in the east, 493 494 4-8 mmol C/(m^2d). This is in agreement with flux densities reported by Skjelvan et al. (1999) based on pioneering pCO₂ measurements conducted in the mid-1990s: 15-19 mmol $C/(m^2d)$ 495 in the Greenland Sea, and 9 mmol C/(m²d) in the Norwegian Sea. A total Nordic Seas uptake 496 of 90±10 Mt C/yr was estimated by Skjelvan et al. (2005) based on available literature and 497 data then. This is in good agreement with an estimate obtained for this region by extracting 498 data from Yasunaka et al. (2018): 98 ± 71 Mt C/yr for 1997-2014. The uncertainty was 499 derived by assuming the same signal-to-noise ratio as derived for the Polar and Barens seas 500 by Yasunaka et al. (2018). This gives a total uptake of (149+98=) 247 Mt C/yr for the Arctic 501 Ocean as defined here. This is quite a bit less than the sum of the estimates by MacGilchrist 502 et al. (2014) and Jeansson et al. (2011) mentioned above, 300 Mt C/yr, reflecting the ample 503 504 uncertainties in all of these numbers.

505 Many processes influence the Arctic Ocean CO₂ uptake, primary production and organic

506 matter remineralization (Arrigo & van Dijken, 2015); biogeochemical processes during sea-

507 ice formation and melting (Rysgaard et al., 2013); and the delivery of excess alkalinity with

riverine run-off (Olafsson et al., 2021). However, the most important process is the heat loss,

which cools the water and increases CO₂ solubility. Watson et al. (1995) stated this
relationship between heat loss and CO₂ uptake as:

511 Eq (3) CO₂ uptake =
$$\frac{-Q DIC \tau}{c_p R_f}$$
,

where *DIC* is Dissolved Inorganic Carbon concentration, τ is the isochemical pCO₂ 512 temperature dependency (Takahashi et al, 1993), and R_f the Revelle factor. Q is the heat loss 513 and c_p the heat capacity as in Eq (1). We return to this way of estimating the CO₂ uptake 514 when we have derived the centennial heat loss values. For now, we simply evaluate the 515 theoretical and observed increase in DIC that occurs as the AW cools and overturns in the 516 Arctic Ocean. The temperature of inflowing AW is ~7.5 °C at the GSR, while the 517 temperature of the OW is ~0.5°C. This cooling can increase the DIC solubility of about 60 518 µmol/kg. Such an increase in *DIC* is present in available observations: Using the *DIC* 519 concentrations of the inflowing AW and outflowing OW tabulated by Jeansson et al. (2011) 520 and correcting for their anthropogenic carbon content and dilution as the salinity declines 521 from ~35.2 (AW inflow) to ~34.9 (OW), we find a difference in DIC of 61 µmol/kg. This is 522 523 not associated with a large gradient in nutrients (only ~0.1 µmol/kg in phosphate), and as such, it mostly reflects uptake of CO₂ from the atmosphere. If this solubility-generated DIC 524 525 increase is combined with a present-day inflow of AW and outflow of colder OW and PW of ~8 Sv, this amounts to a total uptake of ~200 Mt C/yr, and can explain most of Arctic 526 Ocean CO₂ uptake as reviewed above. The present-day uptake as estimated from 527 observations is thus consistent with simple analytical scaling, but the longer-term changes of 528 the CO₂ uptake are unknown and therefore a primary focus in section 4. 529

530

531 **3 Methods**

NorESM simulations: Many of our new results stem from simulations with the Norwegian 532 Earth System Model (NorESM). The main set of simulations analyzed are the global ocean-533 ice fields of the NorESM forced by a reanalysis atmosphere from 1900-2018. The general 534 model description is provided by Bentsen et al. (2013), while the specific forcing-setup for 535 1900-2009 is described in He et al. (2016). The ocean model BLOM (an extensively updated 536 version of the Miami Isopycnic Coordinate Model, MICOM, Bleck et al., 1992) is isopycnic 537 with 51 interior layers, referenced to a pressure of 2000 dbar, and a surface mixed layer 538 divided into two non-isopycnic layers. The sea ice component is CICE4 (Hunke et al., 2008). 539

A tripolar grid is used, which allows for higher spatial resolution in high latitudes. At the 540 equator, the grid resolution is one degree zonally and 1/4 degree meridionally. The grid 541 gradually becomes more isotropic as latitude increases: the typical horizontal resolution in the 542 Nordic Seas is approximately 40 km. This limited resolution means that eddies are not 543 resolved, and the width of a slope current will be larger in the simulations than in nature. The 544 ocean-ice model is forced by the 20th century atmospheric reanalysis forcing (20CRv2; 545 Compo et al., 2011), which was adjusted by satellite observations and corrected using the 546 Coordinated Ocean-ice Reference Experiments phase-II (He et al. 2016). The forcing consists 547 548 of momentum fluxes (wind stress), heat fluxes (radiative and turbulent components), and fresh water fluxes (precipitation, evaporation, and river runoff). The wind stress, heat (latent 549 and sensible), and moisture (evaporation) fluxes are computed using bulk formulas (Large & 550 Yeager 2004) and the 20CRv2 air and surface temperature, humidity, winds, air density, 551 ocean current, and fractional sea-ice cover (He et al., 2016). No restoring is applied to SST, 552 but salinity in the mixed layer is relaxed towards a monthly-mean SSS climatology (He et al., 553 2016). The ocean model is initialized with zero velocity, and the initial potential temperature 554 555 and salinity are taken from the January-mean climatology of the World Ocean Atlas (Levitus et al. 1998), with the modified data of the Polar Science Center Hydrographic Climatology 556 557 (PHC3.0; updated from Steele et al., 2001) in the Arctic. The model forcing started in 1871, and the first 30 years until 1900 is considered a spin-up period. 558 An updated version of NorESM (NorESM2-LM, Bentsen et al., 2019) forced by the Japanese 559 Re-Analysis (JRA55-do; Tsujino et al., 2018) is available for 1958-2018 and is used for the 560

years after 2010. These updated simulations are provided as part of the CMIP6 contribution

- ⁵⁶² for the OMIP2 (Ocean Model Intercomparison Project; Griffies et al., 2016) experiments.
- 563 The NorESM simulations were already evaluated towards hydrography along the AW inflow
- path (Ilicak et al., 2016). Overall, the simulation captures the observed variability well
- 565 (Muilwijk et al., 2018), with further evaluation presented here.

566 The overturning and horizontal gyre contributions to the OHT across the GSR were

- 567 calculated based on the NorESM simulated velocity and temperature. The overturning part is
- the circulation related to the deep overflows, while the horizontal gyre circulation
- solution encompasses both PW and AW surface layer outflow. The decomposition was done by
- 570 calculating the overturning part of OHT using along-section averages of across-section
- velocity and temperature. This analysis follows the GSR along the model grid of NorESM
- and is equivalent to zonal averages in the more common calculation of meridional heat

transport (Bryden & Imawaki, 2001). Our results are thus more representative for the GSR 573 but are not directly comparable with previous estimates using zonal averages that cut across 574 the GSR (e.g., Li & Born, 2019). The results shown here are based on monthly average 575 values of temperature and across-section flow, so that heat flux on shorter time scales, from 576 transient eddies, is neglected. From this data, we calculated both the total OHT and the 577 overturning component as mentioned above, while defining the difference between the two as 578 the gyre component. Thus, our gyre component can be further decomposed and also includes 579 diffusive transports, which we expect to be very small (Fanning & Weaver, 1997). 580

Diagnostics to capture variability in atmospheric forcing: The 20CRv2 reanalysis is also 581 582 analyzed directly for detecting weather events such as cyclones and CAOs. Extratropical cyclones are a key component of the atmospheric dynamics in the mid- and high latitudes, 583 while CAOs are important for heat exchanges between the ocean and the atmosphere. We use 584 feature detection algorithms to identify these features. Cyclones are detected as closed 585 contours of SLP minima using the detection scheme of Wernli & Schwierz (2006). For 586 detecting CAO events, we use the definition of Papritz and Spengler (2017) and require at 587 least a "moderate" intensity according to their classification ($\theta_{SST} - \theta_{850 \text{ hPa}} > 4 \text{ K}$). We 588 remove the linear trend and select the 15 highest and lowest years of Nordic Seas heat loss 589 from the reanalysis for further analysis. In a first step, we analyze the relation between ocean 590 591 heat loss and the occurrence of these weather events. As a second step, we embed these feature-based results in the context of atmospheric variability patterns. We derive these 592 variability patterns through an analysis of Empirical Orthogonal Functions (EOF's) of 593 monthly mean sea level pressure for the North Atlantic sector (90°W-40°E, 20-80°N) and the 594 extended winter season November through April. The first three EOF's correspond to the 595 NAO, the East Atlantic pattern, and the Scandinavian pattern as expected and shortly 596 described in section 2.1. All analyses are performed separately for each ensemble member of 597 the 20CRv2, and there are 56 ensemble members. 598

599 **Available observations:** We employ hydrographic observations (temperature and salinity 600 profiles) from 1950 to 2019 from two different data sets. The first data set, used in Huang et 601 al. (2020), covers the period 1980-2019 and is a collection from various archives, including 602 the Unified Database for Arctic and Subarctic Hydrography (UDASH, Behrendt et al., 2018). 603 The second data set, called NISE (Norwegian Iceland Seas Experiment, Nilsen et al., 2008), 604 is a combination of data from several archives from 1900 to 2006. Due to very few 605 observations in the first half of the 20th century, we restricted our observational analysis to 606 1950 onwards. Duplicates between the two databases are removed for the overlapping time

- 607 period. To look at how thermohaline water mass properties transform within the Nordic Seas,
- 608 we extracted profiles from various standard sections following the cyclonic boundary
- 609 circulation (see Fig. 6) and from the Iceland and Greenland Sea gyres (defined according to
- Moore et al., 2015). Various water masses were identified using the following criteria:
- 611 Atlantic Water (AW) and Returning Atlantic Water (RAW) by the depth of maximum
- temperature below 100 m (\pm 50 m); Overflow Water (OW) by density above 27.8 kg/m³ and
- above the sill depths (650 m for the Denmark Strait and 840 m for the Faroe Shetland
- 614 Channel); Intermediate Water (IW) by the typical mixed-layer depths 150-350 m in the
- 615 Iceland Sea (Våge et al., 2015) and 500-1500 m in the Greenland Sea (Brakstad et al., 2019).
- 616 Timeseries of annual mean temperature and salinity for each geographical region and water
- 617 mass were then used to estimate linear trends.
- 618 Additionally, we use available observations from the Svinøy section in the Norwegian Sea
- between 1996 and 2018 (NMDC, 2020), the Kola section in the Barents Sea (ICES, 2020,
- 620 location shown in Figure 4), and wind observations from the Norwegian Climate Service
- 621 Centre (NCSC, 2020). The simulated sea ice cover is compared to Arctic sea ice
- reconstructions from HadISST (Rayner et al., 2003), NSIDC (Walsh et al., 2017), and
- 623 PIOMAS-20C (Schweiger et al., 2019).
- 624 CO₂ observations and new estimates: There are few observations of CO₂ and CO₂ fluxes in the Arctic Ocean, and the only available observations-based gap-filled data product covers 625 1997-2017 (Yasunaka et al., 2018). In addition, the NorESM simulations used in this study 626 do not include biogeochemistry. Because we expect CO₂ fluxes to be proportional to both 627 heat loss and sea ice loss, we overcome this challenge by using basin-wide annual averages of 628 simulated heat loss and sea ice concentration (SIC) as predictors to extrapolate the basin-wide 629 CO₂ fluxes back to 1900 (Table 2, Sec. 2.4). Given that there is only a 12-year overlap 630 between the observation-based CO₂ fluxes and the centennial NorESM run forced with 631 20CRv2, we additionally use the simulation forced by the JRA55-do reanalysis product for 632 the period 1958-2018 to determine regression coefficients. These simulations compare well 633 634 without significant biases, supporting a combination of the two. The analysis shows that CO₂ fluxes in the Nordic Seas scale with the heat flux, in the Polar Sea the CO₂ fluxes scale with 635 the sea ice concentration, while in the Barents Sea, a combination of sea ice concentration, 636 sea surface salinity, and heat flux is necessary to explain the CO₂ flux. Other factors than 637 these also have importance for CO₂ fluxes. Previous work (e.g., Lauvset et al., 2013; Chierici 638

et al., 2009) shows that it can be useful to include chlorophyll as a proxy for biological

- 640 production. Without including such biological or biogeochemical predictors, we find that our
- algorithms only explain 42-48% of the total variance (Table 2). It should also be noted that
- there is a known, observable interannual to multidecadal variability in the ocean carbon sink
- 643 (e.g. Landschützer et al., 2016; Fröb et al., 2019), the drivers of which are not fully
- understood or explained (DeVries et al., 2017; McKinley et al., 2020). However, because we
- can only explain about half the variance in the observations we make no attempt to use our extrapolated data to describe long-term variability in CO_2 flux, but focus on regional
- 647 differences and trends.

Ocean-Ice Sheet interaction: The heat lost to melting Greenland's marine-terminating 648 glaciers and icebergs is not directly represented in NorESM in the absence of an interactive 649 ice sheet model. The freshwater fluxes from Greenland are thus prescribed in a similar 650 651 manner as Arctic rivers using mean values before 1958, and values from Bamber et al. (2018) 652 onwards. The modest magnitude of this heat loss (~1 TW) suggests that the direct impact of the ice sheet on the Nordic Seas heat budget is small. Importantly, the Nordic Seas heat 653 content impact on the ice sheet may be significant and has been quantified using simulated 654 ocean temperatures over the NE Greenland continental shelf. We use the parameterization 655 described by Slater et al. (2019) to quantify the advance and retreat of Greenland's glaciers 656 driven by oceanic forcing. The parameterization utilizes a summer liquid freshwater flux per 657 glacier (F) from the regional climate model MAR (Fettweis et al., 2017) together with mean 658 annual ocean thermal forcing (TF), calculated as the ocean temperature above the in-situ 659 freezing point between 200 and 500 m depth. Glacier terminus change is then calculated as 660 $\Delta L = \kappa \Delta (F 0.4 \text{ TF})$, where κ is a sensitivity parameter (Slater et al., 2019). The projections of 661 terminus position are compared with a compilation of observations since 1984 from King et 662 al. (2020). 663

664

665 **4 Results**

We first present the baseline centennial mean values of the Arctic heat transport and air-sea exchange of heat. Then we proceed with the trends and variations following the AW flow from the Nordic Seas and onwards to the Barents and Polar Seas, where it meets the sea ice. The AW has cooled around 6°C at this stage, and it is still sufficiently saline to yield highdensity water masses that eventually flow southwards back to the Atlantic Ocean across the GSR as OW or RAW. Some of the AW has contributed to the melting of sea ice and glaciers,

or it is mixed with river water becoming sufficiently fresh to exit the GSR at the surface in

673 the East Greenland Current as fresher PW. Observations are included to the extent available,

674 complementing and providing evaluation of the simulations.

675 4.1 The Centennial Means (1900 – 2000)

Surface cooling: The warm northward-flowing AW is cooled by the overlying atmosphere. 676 The heat is transferred to the atmospheric boundary layer as sensible, latent, and radiative 677 fluxes and ultimately radiates out to space as long-wave radiation. Because the winter season 678 is generally colder and longer the higher the latitude, one might expect the heat fluxes to be 679 larger in the Polar Sea than further south. This is not the case. Heat loss from the Polar Sea is 680 effectively restricted by the nearly permanently ice-covered sea. The Nordic Seas lose the 681 most heat with a centennial annual mean of 115 TW (Fig. 2) based on an average surface heat 682 flux of 45 W/m² (Table 1; all the heat loss and surface flux values presented here are 683 simulated annual means, unless otherwise specified). The Barents Sea has a smaller surface 684 685 area and a lower surface heat flux (38 W/m^2), so the centennial mean heat loss adds up to 57 TW. Furthermore, the much larger area of the Polar Sea has a surface flux of fewer than 2 686

 W/m^2 , resulting in a heat loss of only 16 TW (Fig. 2).

688

Sea ice prevents heat loss in two ways. Firstly it forms an effective insulating layer by its low 689 thermal conductivity. Secondly, when sea ice forms at the surface, the latent heat is released 690 691 into the atmosphere, and is entirely used to grow the sea ice. This means that the ocean temperature only decreases at the time and location where the sea ice melts. In the Polar Sea 692 surface layer during winter, the temperature is already at the freezing-point, and cannot get 693 694 colder. A volume flux of about 2000 km³/yr of the Polar Sea ice drifts southward through the Fram Strait into the Nordic Seas with the East Greenland Current and melts there; a process 695 termed sea ice export. The simulated exported annual sea ice area is close to 1 mill km² 696 (indicated in Fig. 2), about 10% higher than the area export estimated from pressure 697 observations over the last 80 years (Smedsrud et al. 2017). The heat gained by the Polar Sea 698 atmosphere during this sea ice formation thus cools the Nordic Seas when it melts. The heat 699 700 transport carried by this sea ice export is estimated to approximately 17 TW, so the exported latent heat and the direct Polar Sea heat loss are comparable in magnitude. The atmosphere 701 702 above the Polar Sea thus gains about 33 TW; the exported 17 TW of sea ice in addition to the 16 TW directly lost from the ocean. In the centennial mean the Nordic Seas are additionally 703

cooled by the melting of this imported sea ice (Fig.2), adding to the heat extracted by the

⁷⁰⁵ local Nordic atmosphere. Regionally in the Nordic Seas, the heat flux is larger in the east in

the region of the warm AW inflow than in the west over the colder PW outflow (Fig. 4),

707 consistent with warmer or more voluminous currents giving up more heat in general

(Mauritzen 1996; Eldevik et al. 2009), and what, e.g., Segtnan et al. (2011) found for the

- 709 1990s.
- 710

The Nordic Seas heat loss has remained quite constant over time, with a small, insignificant 711 712 long-term trend (Fig. 3, Table 1). In contrast, large increases in heat loss have occurred since 1900 in the Barents and Polar Seas and are addressed in section 4.2. Such simulated heat loss 713 values are essentially not possible to evaluate towards the short-term and small-scale 714 observations. This does not imply that they are fundamentally more uncertain than the 715 simulated temperature or SIC that can be evaluated – just that we do not have a perfect grip 716 of that uncertainty. Based on comparisons for the present day (2002-2017) between NorESM 717 and the Arctic subpolar gyre state estimate (Nguyen et al., 2021) we estimate the heat loss 718 uncertainty to be of order ± 10 TW, similar to that found in Smedsrud et al. (2013). The 719 simulations reflect AW inflow and water mass transformation well. The integrated heat loss 720 721 values and trends must also be close to that of the real world, although the spatial distribution could be shifted because of a cold ocean bias discussed later. We mostly present long-term 722 trends of annual mean properties, so the uncertainties of these means are substantially lower 723 than the monthly mean values in any smaller area. 724

725

Ocean Temperature and Sea Ice Extent : The temperature of the AW inflowing across the 726 GSR is close to 8 °C, and clearly the warmest water in the Arctic Ocean. The highest AW 727 temperature is found at the surface in the Nordic Seas, but inside the Polar Sea, the maximum 728 is located below the fresher and colder surface layer. The two AW branches entering the 729 Polar Sea are clearly visible in the SST (not shown) and the surface heat flux (Fig. 4) fields, 730 with one branch flowing eastwards into the Barents Sea and one flowing northwards west of 731 Svalbard (West Spitsbergen Current). The only other poleward-flowing water mass is the 732 Pacific Water in the Bering Strait, but temperatures are much lower, and the surface is sea 733 ice-covered in the centennial mean (Fig. 4). On the Pacific side, the centennial mean sea ice 734 edge is located at 60°N, well south of the Bering Strait. On the Atlantic side, it ranges from 735 60°N in the west to 80°N near Svalbard and about 70°N in the Barents Sea (Fig. 4). This 736

enormous latitudinal range has a dynamical explanation: the unevenly distributed poleward
transport of ocean and atmospheric heat.

The Ocean Heat Transport (OHT): The OHT towards the Arctic Ocean (179 TW) is close to that of the surface cooling (187 TW), and is dominated by the net heat transport across the GSR (172 TW). The centennial mean AW volume inflow across the GSR is +9.5 Sv (Fig. 2, Table 3). The Pacific inflow is +0.7 Sv, and most of this leaves the Arctic Ocean through the Canadian Archipelago, which has a net southward volume transport of -1.7 Sv. The volume budget is closed by the net southward transport across the GSR of -8.5 Sv. With this closed volume budget, a simulated Arctic OHT value of 179 TW is obtained (Fig. 5). This combined

746 OHT, independent of a reference temperature, is the heat flux convergence.

Heat transport for the individual straits requires, however, a reference temperature. Because 747 0° C is close to the simulated mean temperature of the Arctic Ocean (not shown) and a 748 representative temperature of the cold water flowing southward across the GSR (Fig. 9), we 749 adopt 0°C as our reference temperature. This follows e.g. Årthun et al., 2012 for Barents Sea 750 OHT and Rossby et al., 2018 discussing OHT across the GSR. We also use the term 'heat 751 transport' and the TW unit for the individual strait values (Table 3). Other authors, especially 752 those using observed values where a closed volume budget is more challenging, prefer to use 753 the term 'temperature flux' and the 'unit' [TW - equivalents]. Referenced to 0 °C the GSR 754 heat transport is +172 TW, the Bering Strait has a transport of +0.9 TW, and there is a net 755 756 positive contribution from the Canadian Archipelago of +6.6 TW (Fig. 5). About half of the 757 heat transport across the GSR is due to the overturning circulation related to the deep overflows, with the remainder coming from the horizontal gyre circulation (Fig. 5). A 758 759 noticeable and important overall Arctic OHT increase from roughly 150 TW (1900-1920) to 200 TW (1980-2000) should be mentioned, mostly governed by the heat transport across the 760 761 GSR (Fig. 5). Further details about OHT within the Arctic Ocean, Fram Strait and the Barents Sea Opening, are given by Muilwijk et al. (2018). 762

Hydrography and dense water formation: The inflowing AW is transformed into denser but also fresher water. This means that cooling is the ultimate driver of densification. The progressive observed cooling and freshening from AW to OW are clearly illustrated in Fig. 6. The transformation falls along a close to the linear line in T-S space, showing a gradual cooling and freshening along with the cyclonic flow of AW from the Faroe-Shetland Channel towards Fram Strait and southwards again along the east coast of Greenland. By the time the OW spills across the GSR, the water has cooled by roughly 7 °C compared to the AW inflow.
More than 60% of this cooling has occurred before the AW subducts beneath the fresh PW in

Fram Strait, and the transformed AW is sufficiently dense to contribute to the GSR overflow.

772 Dense water formed in the Iceland and Greenland Seas during winter additionally contributes

to the OW as described in Section 2.3.

The hydrographic properties at the GSR of both inflowing AW and outflowing OW are quite 774 well represented in NorESM (Fig. 6). In general, the largest bias is found in salinity. A 775 typical example after the completed AW transformation is the observed and simulated 776 Iceland Sea Intermediate Water that differ by about 0.15 in salinity but matches well in 777 778 temperature. We also note that the cooling of the AW as it progresses northwards appears to be too strong in NorESM (Ilicak et al., 2016), but this bias only appears north of the GSR. At 779 the Barents Sea Opening, the simulated mean temperature is about 1.0 °C lower (Fig. 6) and 780 salinity 0.1 lower than observed values. A probable explanation for this deficiency is the 781 course resolution of the model leading to too much mixing with the colder and fresher coastal 782 waters (Docquier et al., 2020). A too slow (under-resolved) boundary current will also lose 783 too much heat. Ilicak et al. (2016) found that NorESM is too diffuse and loses the AW heat 784 and salt too quickly as it flows northwards, and conclude that is likely due to a lack of 785 parameterized physics in the vertical mixing process and/or description of water mass 786 exchange between the shelves and deep basins. North of the Fram Strait and the Barents Sea, 787 NorESM has excessive cold water spilling into the Polar Sea through the St. Anna Trough, 788 mixing extensively with the AW. Despite some regional biases, transformation from a density 789 of ~27.4 kg/m³ (inflowing AW) to ~28.0 kg/m³ (outflowing OW) is realistically captured, and 790 simulated trends and anomalies are independent of the mean state. 791

The atmospheric circulation and heat loss: The surface heat flux is largest over the 792 793 northward-flowing AW between the GSR and the sea ice (Fig. 4). The heat loss increases towards the north in Fram Strait west of Svalbard and in the Barents Sea. The spatial pattern 794 795 of this heat loss north of 60°N is very similar between 20CRv2 and NorESM, and this is reassuring as the two have quite different sea ice cover distributions. The annual mean heat 796 797 fluxes in the individual seas are somewhat different from the simulated heat loss (Fig. 3), which is mainly caused by the active ocean and sea ice components of the NorESM (not 798 799 shown). The NorESM generally simulates higher Arctic sea ice concentrations in the period

prior to 1950, as we will later discuss for the Barents Sea. This is also the case for the Nordic
Seas and the Polar Sea.

Given the inherent uncertainties when reconstructing the atmospheric state in the Arctic
based on limited surface observations during the first half of the 20th century, we do not
examine trends in atmospheric heat transport. Instead, we analyze which atmospheric features
drive the ocean heat loss and contribute to its large interannual variations over the regional
seas (section 4.2).

807 **CO₂ uptake:** Centennial mean CO₂ uptake for the Arctic Ocean (Table 1) is calculated based on the extrapolated basin-wide CO₂ fluxes (Table 2, Fig. 7). Just as for the heat loss, the 808 809 Nordic Seas dominate the total Arctic Ocean CO₂ uptake, but the CO₂ uptake in the three basins becomes more similar with time. This is likely due to the strong influence of sea ice 810 $loss - more open water - on CO_2$ uptake in the Barents and Polar Seas. The centennial mean 811 CO₂ uptake in the Arctic Ocean (191 MtC/yr, Table 1) is consistent with the back-of-the-812 envelope calculation presented in Section 2.4 and previous estimates (Yasunaka et al., 2018). 813 This suggests that heat loss is the major driver of the Arctic Ocean carbon sink and that 814 biological drawdown plays a smaller role. The Arctic Ocean CO₂ uptake estimated here 815 corresponds to ~8% of the global ocean CO₂ uptake of ~2500 MtC/yr (Friedlingstein et al., 816 2019). This is much larger than the area of 12.4 mill km² (3.4% of the total ocean area of 362 817 mill km²) would suggest, highlighting the importance of the Arctic Ocean as a major carbon 818 819 sink during the last century.

4.2 Variability and Trends (1900 - 2000)

With the long-term means established for the Nordic, Barents, and Polar Seas (Fig, 2), we
continue to describe variations and trends. We do this by first presenting the overall
variability in atmospheric forcing over the larger Arctic Ocean region. Our main focus, as
before, is on the Nordic Seas as the major heat loss variability occurs there (Fig. 3). After
that, we describe the various consequences and related AW and heat variability elsewhere
within the Arctic Ocean.

827 The atmospheric circulation and heat loss: Consistent with previous studies (e.g., Papritz 828 & Spengler 2017), pronounced ocean heat loss over the Nordic Seas is associated with an 829 increased frequency of CAOs (Fig. 8a). In absolute terms, the frequency of occurrence 830 increases from 10-15% of the extended winter season for low heat flux years to 20-25% of

the time for high heat flux years. Because the heat loss takes place during winter presented 831

results are for an extended winter for each calendar year (January-April, November, and 832

December). However, results for consecutive extended winter seasons (November-April) and 833

core months (December - February) are very similar. This highlights that our results are 834

insensitive to the definition of winter. 835

846

CAOs over the Nordic Seas are associated with more cyclones than average over Scandinavia 836

and the eastern part of the Nordic Seas (Fig. 8b), in accordance with Papritz & Grams (2018). 837

This is because cyclones situated in this region have their cold sector situated over the Nordic 838

Seas. In the cold sector, they advect cold air masses from the central Arctic and through Fram 839

Strait over the relatively warmer ocean, yielding more CAOs (Fig. 8a). Further, the increase 840

in cyclone activity over Scandinavia indicates a reduced frequency of Scandinavian 841

anticyclones and blocks linked to the negative phase of the Scandinavian pattern. The relation 842

843 can be quantified by the negative correlation between a Scandinavian pattern index time

844 series and the ocean heat loss of r = -0.48 (not shown).

While the Nordic Seas heat loss is related to more cyclones over Scandinavia, it is also 845 related to fewer cyclones between Greenland and Iceland (Fig. 8b). The reduction in cyclone

occurrence here of \sim 7% represents about one-fourth of the climatology (30%, blue contours). 847

Accordingly, the heat loss is correlated with the East Atlantic pattern (r = -0.49), which in its 848

849 negative phase is associated with fewer cyclones over, and to the west of the British Isles.

The ocean heat loss in the Nordic Seas exhibits a negative correlation also with the NAO, but 850

it is comparatively weak (r = -0.15) and not statistically significant. 851

Ocean Heat Transport: The OHT of AW across the GSR has varied due to changes in 852 volume transport and temperature over the last century. The primary reason for the steady 853 854 increase in OHT from +150 TW to +200 TW over the last century (Fig. 5) is an enhanced flow across the GSR of about +1 Sv, which on the outflow side is split into OW and PW into 855 equal parts (Fig. 9). The enhanced volume transport alone explains a linear trend of 28 856 TW/century while changes in temperature on their own would cause an increase of 17 857 TW/century. Both the overturning and gyre components contribute about equally to the 858 increase as expected from the similar trends in OW, and PW volume transports. No 859 significant trends in volume transport are found for the Canadian Archipelago and the Bering 860 Strait over the last century (not shown). The cause of this volume transport increase across 861 the GSR is attributed to Arctic Ocean heat loss and local wind forcing, as discussed in section 862 5. Both the OW and the PW have cooled slightly over the last century but appear to stabilize 863

or warm in recent decades (Fig. 9). For the AW returning south across the GSR, the AW
outflow, there has been no trend in volume, but a general small long-term warming. The GSR
AW outflow includes both AW flowing south in the Denmark Strait and recirculated in the

867 Faroe-Iceland and the Faroe-Scotland channel, and is therefore relatively warm.

Nordic Seas heat loss: The Nordic Seas heat loss has remained quite constant (Fig. 3) 868 despite a large increase in poleward OHT across GSR and a loss of Nordic Seas ice cover. 869 The century long heat loss trend of +6.2 TW/century (Table 1) is only +5% of the total heat 870 loss and thus quite small and not significant. This implies that the Nordic Seas have warmed 871 or that heat now reaches further poleward. Consistently, the increased GSR OHT mostly 872 continue into the Barents Sea with the retreating sea ice (not shown). Furthermore, there has 873 been a systematic warming in the simulated Nordic Seas since the 1970s of about +0.5°C 874 (volumetric mean, not shown). This warming is also consistent with a small reduction in the 875 876 Nordic Seas heat loss to the atmosphere of about 10 TW over the last 50 years (Fig. 3) The

reduced heat loss explains about half of the simulated warming.

The (annual mean) Nordic Seas ice cover dropped from ~700.000 km² around 1900 to 878 \sim 500.000 km² in the late 1970s. The sea ice cover has been quite stable since the 1980s with 879 values in the range 400.000 to 450.000 km². The main reason for the sea ice decrease is not a 880 reduced heat loss - as this has remained fairly stable (Fig. 3). The annual changes of Nordic 881 882 Seas heat loss are thus also unrelated to the sea ice area (r = -0.09); they are rather explained by variations in the atmospheric circulation as described above. This is consistent with most 883 of the heat loss occurring away from the sea ice covered areas over the warm AW in the east 884 (Fig. 4). There is only a small correlation between sea ice area and the net OHT (r = -0.27), 885 but there is a much larger correlation between sea ice area and the inflowing OHT across the 886 GSR (r = 0.77). The GSR OHT seems to drive a similar response for Nordic Sea ice as 887 documented in the Barents Sea with 10 TW of OHT leading to an ice loss of 70.000 km² 888 (Årthun et al., 2012, not shown). Reduced sea ice import from the Polar Sea has also 889 contributed to the Nordic Seas ice loss. Over the 1920-1950 period, this import was as high as 890 \sim 3000 km³/yr, largely caused by a thicker sea ice cover. The ice import dropped to \sim 2000 891 892 km³/yr towards 2000, and the correlation between sea ice import and the Nordic Sea ice area is r = 0.55. This decreased import of ice represents a drop in required heat for melting from 893 20 to 12 TW, a magnitude well within the range of annual variability of ± 20 TW (not shown). 894 Barents Sea heat loss has increased steadily over time (Fig. 3), with a very systematic 895 congruent increase in AW temperature and a decrease in sea ice cover (Fig. 10b). The 896

increased heat loss corresponds to an increase in the area-averaged surface heat flux from ~30 897 W/m² around 1900 to \sim 50 W/m² around 2000. This is first and foremost a consequence of sea 898 ice retreat, as there is a high correlation between the Barents Sea open water area and heat 899 loss (r = 0.86). Using a representative heat flux of the open water area (Fig. 4) of 100 W/m², 900 most of the increased cooling (+30 TW between 1900 and 2000, Fig. 3) can be explained by 901 the more extensive open water area (sea ice area of ~750.000 km² in 1900 decreasing to 902 ~450.000 km² in 2000, Fig. 10). This further supports earlier findings (Årthun et al., 2012; 903 Smedsrud et al., 2013, Muilwijk et al., 2019), concluding that the OHT is the main driver of 904 905 sea ice and heat flux variability in the Barents Sea, with positive OHT anomalies preventing sea ice formation and letting the heat escape to the atmosphere; "The Barents Sea Cooler" 906 (Skagseth et al., 2020). Consistent with Muilwijk et al. (2018), most of the increased Barents 907 Sea OHT is related to an increase in volume transport of about +1 Sv over the last century 908 (not shown). These changes occur at the same time as there are large observed changes in 909 ocean temperature in the southern Barents Sea (Kola section, Fig. 10a). Additionally, a 910 recent increase in AW inflow temperature has resulted in a steady increase of SST from the 911 912 early 2000s (Barton et al., 2018). The NorESM simulations capture this ice-ocean variability well, although the mean temperature is too low. 913

Polar Sea heat loss also increases steadily over time, with a tripling from 7 TW in 1900 to 914 around 21 TW in 2000. The annual mean heat flux remains below 3 W/m², mostly explained 915 by a long-lasting sea-ice cover and net sea ice growth. Open water area increased from 916 around 5% in the early period (1900-1920) to 20% after the 1990s; this corresponds to a loss 917 of about 1 mill km² of sea ice area. In the annual mean, this sea ice loss occurs directly north 918 of the land areas from Svalbard, along Siberia to Alaska (not shown). There is a small net 919 increase in OHT for Bering Strait and the Canadian Archipelago (Fig. 5), as well the 920 northward OHT through the Fram Strait and the Barents Sea (not shown). 921

Hydrography and dense water formation: The net AW-inflow increase across the GSR of
about 1 Sv over the last century was compensated by an equally large increase in the
southward outflow. Approximately 0.4 Sv of this increase can be assigned to the OW (Fig.
9), mainly to the OW spilling across the GSR in the Faroe Shetland Channel (not shown).
The southward transport of cold low salinity PW in the Denmark Strait has increased by 0.6
Sv, while no significant trend was found in the AW outflow (Fig. 9, Table 3). The simulated
positive trend in OW volume transport occurred together with a simulated negative trend in

OW temperature until the 1980s that is comparable to observations after 1950 (Fig. 6, 9).

Systematic cooling was also evident in the simulated upstream intermediate waters during the 930

same period (not shown). The largest temperature decrease (1°C for the Iceland Sea and 931

0.5°C for the Greenland Sea intermediate water) occurred between 1920 and 1960. This is 932

consistent with the large increase in atmospheric heat loss over the same time period (Fig. 3). 933

After the 1980s, the intermediate water masses started to warm. This occurred concurrently 934

with both increased AW inflow temperature and reduced atmospheric heat loss. A small but 935

persistent warming has also occurred in the OW after around 2000. 936

Greenland Ice Sheet melting: Variability in ocean temperature adjacent to the Greenland ice 937

sheet is understood to drive the advance and retreat of marine-terminating glaciers (e.g., 938

Straneo & Heimbach, 2013). Slater et al. (2019) developed a parameterization relating 939

940 tidewater glacier terminus position to ocean temperature on the continental shelf and to the

subglacial discharge of surface melt. The application of this parameterization to NE 941

942 Greenland allows us to quantify the impact of ocean variability on the regional ice sheet over the past century.

943

949

The parameterization suggests there have been sustained periods of both advance and retreat 944

945 over the past century (Fig. 11). According to the proposed parameterization, sustained retreat

occurred during 1900-1925 (Fig. 11b) during a period of increasing subglacial discharge but 946

stable ocean temperature (Fig. 11a). This is followed by ~50 years of advance during a period 947

of cooler ocean temperature and reduced subglacial discharge. From 1980 to the present, a 948 sustained retreat is projected in response to both ocean warming and increased subglacial

discharge. The response of glaciers to the ocean alone (Fig. 11b, blue) can be isolated by 950

applying the parameterization while holding subglacial discharge constant (Slater et al., 951

2019). Based on these results, the ocean variability alone explains about 50% of the marine-952

terminating glacier advance and retreat in NE Greenland over the past century. 953

Observations of tidewater glacier terminus position from satellite imagery since 1984 (King 954

et al., 2020) also show sustained retreat during this period and agree well with the projections 955

(Fig. 11b). The longer-term projected trends are also very consistent with terminus position 956

changes observed in southeast Greenland since 1931 based on historical and satellite imagery 957

(Bjørk et al., 2012). 958

CO₂ uptake: The calculated CO₂ fluxes from 1900-2009 (Fig. 7) show a rather stable uptake 959

in the Nordic Seas, with no discernible trend. This is consistent with the small (not 960

significant) trend in heat loss over the Nordic Seas in this time period (Fig. 3). However, the 961

gradual sea ice loss results in essentially a doubling of the ocean CO₂ uptake (fluxes) in both 962 the Barents and Polar Seas. According to our simple but physical extrapolations described in 963 section 3 (Table 2) the Barents Sea mean CO₂ flux increased from 47 to 60 MtC/yr from 964 1900 to 2009, while the mean Polar Sea CO₂ flux increased from 36 to 61 MtC/yr. The much 965 smaller Barents Sea has a larger overall uptake, reflecting both the larger areas of open water 966 and the strong cooling, but the total uptake is similar between Barents Sea and Polar Sea from 967 1960-2000 (Fig. 7). Because our algorithms (Table 2) only explain 42-48% of the variance in 968 observations, we make no attempt to use the extrapolated data to analyze variability in CO₂ 969 970 uptake over this period.

971 4.3 The last decades (2000 - 2018)

972 Atlantic Water Inflow volume: There are no observed trends in AW inflow volume across the Svinøy section west of Norway between 1996 and 2018. This is nicely captured by the 973 NorESM model (Fig. 12 b). The observed variability of the AW inflow in the eastern branch 974 at the Svinøy section is presented in Fig. 12 b) and is ± 0.5 Sv in the last 20 years. There is a 975 976 low positive correlation with the local wind forcing, suggesting a contribution from simple Ekman transport dynamics towards the Norwegian coast. The baroclinic transport of the 977 western branch at the Svinøy section was calculated based on Eq (2) with Coriolis parameter 978 f for 60°N, reference density $\rho_{ref} = 1027.5 \ kg/m^3$, integrating to a depth h=500 m. The 979 resulting mean baroclinic AW inflow value of this western branch was calculated from 123 980 CTD casts taken at one single location offshore of the slope current between 1996 and 2018. 981 This AW inflow is 4.1 Sv ± 0.1 Sv and was added to the observed AW volume of the inner 982 branch in Fig. 12 b). The de-seasoned standard deviation of the western branch baroclinic 983 transport is 0.9 Sv and is likely mostly due to eddy variability. 984

The halting Barents Sea Cooling Machine: New observations clearly indicate a major 985 change in the Barents Sea over the last 20 years. Fig. 10 shows a continued loss of annual sea 986 ice cover and continued warming. The sea ice loss has mostly occurred in the northeast, and 987 in this region there has also been an increased heat loss (Skagseth et al. 2020). In the 988 southwest, however, heat loss was substantially reduced in the 2000s, compared to the 1980s 989 and 1990s, to the extent that total Barents Sea heat loss decreased in the recent decades (Fig. 990 3). This has created warming of the dense water that exits to the Polar Sea via the St. Anna 991 Trough (Fig. 2). The major change is an increase in sensible heat flux over the southern 992 Barents Sea, while there were minor changes in both latent, shortwave, and long-wave 993

surface fluxes, based on the ERA-interim re-analysis (Skagseth et al., 2020). Asbjørnsen et al.
(2020) show that most of the recent change is caused by high AW OHT and reduced surface
heat loss.

997 Hydrography and dense water formation: Since the 1980s there has been persistent warming in the interior Iceland and Greenland seas with a rapid increase of 0.5°C and 0.7°C 998 from 2000 to 2018 (not shown). The long-term (1950-2019) trends for the OW are still 999 showing cooling (Fig. 6), but there is a small sign of observed OW warming after 2000 that is 1000 1001 also partly simulated by the NorESM. One main reason for this warming is the increased temperature of the AW inflow. The co-variability between the AW inflow and OW properties 1002 was thoroughly investigated by Eldevik et al. (2009) based on observations up to 2005, and 1003 our updated time series supports their main findings. They found that anomalies in 1004 temperature and salinity exiting the Denmark Strait have travelled along the rim of the Nordic 1005 1006 Seas from inflow to overflow, and concluded that the AW circulating in the Nordic seas is the 1007 main source for changes in OW. Additionally, Lauvset et al. (2018) found a strong 1008 correlation (r=0.72) between the AW temperature in the Faroe Shetland Channel and the near-surface temperature in the central Greenland Sea 3 years later. A similar correlation 1009 1010 (r=0.80) was found for salinity, which further supports that AW anomalies transfer into the Greenland Sea through lateral mixing or direct advection. The other main reason for the 1011 1012 observed intermediate water warming is a reduced wintertime heat loss. Moore et al., (2015) 1013 showed that the magnitude of the winter heat loss in the central Iceland and Greenland Seas has declined by 20% since 1979, mainly because the ice edge and the cold winds are further 1014 1015 away. There are thus different rates of warming in the atmosphere and ocean that at present 1016 may affect the Greenland Ice sheet.

1017 Greenland ice sheet: Simulated subsurface ocean temperature on the NE Greenland 1018 continental shelf has increased consistently since approximately 1980, but a particularly rapid 1019 increase of >0.75°C occurs between 2000 and 2017 (Fig. 11 a). The simulated subsurface ocean temperature exceeded +1°C in 2017 for the first time in over a century, and the mean 1020 temperature post-2000, at 0.63°C, is higher than during any 20-year period since 1900. The 1021 1022 tidewater glacier response has been a sustained retreat (Fig. 11 b), with a particularly rapid retreat of 0.48 km post-2000. Even if ocean temperatures now stabilize, tidewater glaciers in 1023 1024 NE Greenland may continue to retreat due to the long response time of tidewater glaciers to 1025 climate forcing. As such, in the absence of ocean temperatures returning to pre-2000 values,

tidewater glaciers in NE Greenland are likely to remain in a retreated or further retreated stateover the next decades.

The Arctic sea ice loss and CO₂ impact. The gap-filled data product for Arctic Ocean CO₂ 1028 1029 fluxes over the period 1997-2018 (Yasunaka et al., 2018) shows no significant trend in the Polar Sea CO₂ fluxes. However, in the Nordic Seas and the northern Barents Sea these 1030 estimates show that CO₂ uptake has strengthened. Interestingly the fluxes have weakened in 1031 the southern part of the Barents Sea, consistent with the observed local warming and smaller 1032 1033 heat loss (Skagseth et al., 2020). While both the Nordic Seas and the Barents Sea exhibit stronger CO₂ uptake, the mechanisms are different. In the Barents Sea, the increased CO₂ 1034 uptake is primarily a consequence of the sea ice loss (Fig. 10), and the present uptake has 1035 increased from the ~59 MtC/yr estimated in Smedsrud et al. (2013) to about 80 MtC/yr today 1036 1037 (Fig. 7). In the Nordic Seas, the increasing CO₂ uptake is instead due to increasing 1038 disequilibrium between pCO₂ in the atmosphere and in the mixed layer. In the Polar Sea, 1039 impacts of the retreating sea-ice edge on the CO₂ flux is evident in all regions that have lost 1040 ice the past few decades. There is in general strong correlation between CO₂ uptake and the number of ice-free days, and this pattern is expected to spread northwards as the ice retreats 1041 1042 further.

1043 **5 Discussion**

Our review and analysis presented five main results over the last century, summarized with 1044 1045 the simplified sketch in Fig. 1.; 1) A majority of the Arctic Ocean heat loss occurs in the Nordic Seas where the AW is warmest, and the variability is directly driven by the 1046 1047 atmosphere. 2) Production of dense water flowing southwards towards the North Atlantic across the GSR has remained fairly stable, but there is a small volume increase and recent 1048 1049 warming. 3) Increased Arctic Ocean heat loss has increased the overall CO_2 uptake. 4) 1050 Warming waters on Greenland's continental shelf affect melting of marine-terminating glaciers in NE Greenland. 5) The Arctic Ocean sea ice cover is shrinking and there is a 1051 related increase in OHT and ocean heat loss in the Barents and Polar Seas. We start by 1052 discussing the regional contrasts in the strongly coupled heat loss, OHT, and sea ice cover, 1053 1054 before venturing into the temporal changes.

Regional Arctic Ocean heat loss: Generally, the heat flux is larger in the east than in the
west, caused by the larger temperature contrast between the warm AW inflow and the cold
Arctic atmosphere (Fig. 4, Mauritzen 1996; Segtnan et al., 2011). The heat loss values are

1058 largely consistent with earlier estimates stating that the Nordic Seas dominate the heat loss

1059 but are in the lower range (Simonsen & Haugan 1996). Given that most earlier estimates are

1060 from recent decades and the large positive trends presented here - this is within expectations.

1061 The centennial mean values are, however, still consistent with new estimates from ocean re-

analysis after 2001 (Mayer et al., 2019). These show consistent values with average heat

1063 fluxes of \sim 40 W/m² in the Barents Sea and values below 5 W/m² in the Polar Sea, similar to

1064 Table 1.

Temporal variability of heat loss: The overall Arctic heat loss increases over time (Fig. 3). 1065 The heat loss trends over the last century are mostly found in the Barents Sea and in the Polar 1066 1067 Sea, reflecting the sea ice retreat and expansion of open waters there (Fig. 10). The generally increasing open water area in the Arctic Ocean thus generally allows a larger heat loss to the 1068 1069 atmosphere, and the implied mean heat flux in the new open water area is 40 W/m² (not shown). There has also been a sea ice loss in the Nordic Seas - but only a small (and not 1070 1071 significant) trend in heat loss. The major explanation for the different heat-loss and sea ice relationship in the Nordic Seas is that the sea ice loss occurred in regions with cold surface 1072 1073 water. Regardless of the small heat loss trends in the Nordic Seas, it is here where the bulk of the heat loss takes place, as already suggested by Helland-Hansen & Nansen (1909). The 1074 1075 Nordic Seas also dominate the year-to-year variability, directly forced by the atmospheric 1076 circulation (Fig. 8). Consistent with other recent work (e.g., Papritz & Grams 2018), we find that in the years with most heat loss in the Nordic Seas, more cyclones than usual occur over 1077 Scandinavia (a negative SCA pattern) and drive winter-time bursts of cold air over open 1078 water (CAOs). 1079

1080 Temporal variability of Arctic Sea ice cover: The NorESM sea ice loss is similar to observation-based Arctic sea ice reconstructions (Walsh et al., 2017; Brennan et al., 2020) for 1081 1082 the time period after 1960. We focused on the Barents Sea ice cover variability (Fig. 10 b) as 1083 it is the region that mostly affects the heat loss trends. For the period before 1960, the 1084 NorESM Barents Sea ice cover has similar variability but overall larger values. These annual values are mostly reflecting the winter sea ice, as there is not much summer sea ice in the 1085 1086 Barents Sea (Onarheim et al., 2018). The observational coverage in winter is also relatively scarce prior to the 1960s (Walsh et al., 2017), and these values are at least in part reflecting 1087 the use of low climatic mean values from recent decades. As the NorESM values reflect 1088 1089 atmospheric forcing from the 20CRv2 that incorporate observations from available weather

1090 stations, it is not clear which of the sea ice estimates best reflect "observations". The NorESM fields are at least from simulations that conserve energy between the OHT, the sea 1091 ice, and the heat loss, but there are also uncertainties in parametrizations of surface fluxes. 1092 1093 The decreasing Barents sea ice cover is consistent with the available atmospheric forcing, and 1094 the ocean variability appears well captured as the independent temperatures of the Kola section reflect (Fig. 10a). We also know that there is a physical link between the strength of 1095 1096 the AW inflow, Barents Sea temperature, sea ice cover and heat loss (Smedsrud et al., 2013). The Barents Sea ice decline between 1900 and 1950 is thus consistent with the observed 1097 1098 increasing temperatures (Fig. 10a) that provides confidence in the simulated sea ice cover. The cold bias in the model described in Section 4.1 does not affect the variability. The 1099 simulated Barents sea ice loss is also consistent with new Arctic estimates over the last 1100 century (Schweiger et al., 2019), who found a significant decline in sea ice volume in the 1101 Atlantic sector from 1900 - 1940 related to early-twentieth-century warming. Muilwijk et al. 1102 (2018) found that this early warming was more related to a warm temperature anomaly in 1103 1104 contrast to the AW volume anomalies dominating later in the century.

1105 Heat loss and Ocean Heat Transport: The overall Arctic heat loss variability contributes to variations in OHT over time. The analyzed NorESM forced ice-ocean simulations apply both 1106 1107 wind and buoyancy forcing to drive the inflows and outflows, so we attempt to extract the 1108 heat loss contribution using a simplified analytical Arctic Ocean model (Eq. 1, Spall 2004). Figure 12 a) shows that the heat loss explains a large portion of the variability since 1900. A 1109 close to 50% increase of the overall Arctic heat loss Q is a close match to the simulated 1110 increase onwards from 1900 (150 \Rightarrow 225 TW, Fig. 3 or 12 \Rightarrow 18 W/m², Fig. 12 a). These heat 1111 1112 flux values lead to a surprisingly good fit with the NorESM values with an increased AW inflow from 9.5 to 11.0 Sv. An increase in the AW OHT has been found as a consequence of 1113 increased CO₂ forcing using a fully coupled climate model and could thus be expected (van 1114

- 1115 der Linden et al., 2019).
- 1116 It may appear surprising that the simple relationship by Spall (2004) can explain much of the
- 1117 variability in a forced complex climate model like the NorESM. Given these limitations such
- as the assumption of a perfectly circular basin, the representativeness of this relationship is
- spanned out using a range of plausible values: the radius of the basin R = [1900, 2100] km,
- slope width L = [90, 120] km, thermal expansion $\alpha = [0.18, 0.22]$, eddy mixing efficiency
- 1121 c = [0.22, 0.28], and the depth of the GSR H = [400, 600]m. The overall relationship
between the heat loss and the overall volume inflow remains clear and is also consistent with

1123 first order analytical diagnostic of the volume, heat, and salt budget (Eldevik & Nilsen 2013).

1124 The inflow strength is governed by the thermal wind equations and is a steady-state solution.

1125 Consistently there is a better fit for the Spall (2004) line with the 5-year means than the

annual values (Fig. 12 a). There is indeed some volume flow variability of order ± 1 Sv that is

away from the expected heat loss (flux) relationship, especially on the year-to-year basis. We,

1128 therefore, turn to the wind-driven variability below.

As discussed above, a majority of the OHT increase over the last century is explained by an 1129 increased AW volume inflow, as temperature changes were minor and the OHT across the 1130 1131 other Arctic straits remained stable. This is consistent with new short-term results from farther south in the subpolar North Atlantic, which also find the OHT to be primarily dictated 1132 1133 by AW inflow (Lozier et al, 2019). Recent work confirms a high OHT northwards through the Nordic Seas over the last decades. Eldevik & Nilsen (2013) estimated an Arctic Ocean 1134 1135 heat loss of 282 TW based on observed mean inflow and outflow temperature and volume. They ignored the contributions from the Bering Strait and Canadian Archipelago, so this is 1136 1137 broadly in line with our values after the 1990's (up to 250TW). Based on moored observations across the Arctic gateways and an inverse calculation, Tsubouchi et al. (2020) 1138 1139 estimated an increased Arctic OHT from ~ 290 TW in the 1990s to ~310 TW in the 2000s 1140 carried by both increased AW volume and temperature. Most of this heat (281 ±24 TW) is transported across the GSR. The NorESM numbers are lower, but consistent with a new state 1141 estimate for 2002-2017, suggesting a mean OHT of 223 TW across the GSR and a total 1142 Arctic Ocean heat loss of 239 TW (Nguyen et al 2020). Using primarily shipboard 1143 temperature and velocity measurements since 2008, Chafik & Rossby (2019) estimated a heat 1144 transport of 273 \pm 27 TW across the GSR. These numbers are ~50 TW higher than the 1145 comparable simulated northward OHT across the GSR (Fig. 5). So while the NorESM has 1146 inflowing AW transporting 285 TW, there is also ~100 TW transported out by the--3.3 Sv of 1147 AW outflow (Table 3), making the net long-term mean OHT as low as 172 TW. About -1.6 1148 Sv of the AW outflow occurs in the Faroe-Shetland channel (Fig. 2). This is twice the amount 1149 1150 found by Berx et al.(2013) from 1994-2011 but comparable to the estimate from Rossby et al. (2018) here. The rest of the outflowing AW is distributed in the Denmark Strait and east of 1151 1152 Shetland . The separation used between southward flowing AW and OW does influence the 1153 volume of outflowing AW, and some authors appear to vary this separation between the 1154 straits (Østerhus et al., 2019). We classified water denser than 1027.8 kg/m³ as OW (Fig. 9).

1155 Rossby et al., (2020) suggests that the OHT northwards across the GSR peaked in 2010 at

- ~ 270 TW, and predicts that it will reduce to ~ 210 TW in the decades ahead based on Atlantic
- 1157 SST variability 0-60°N (Atlantic Multidecadal Variability, Trenberth & Shea, 2006). Chafik
- 1158 & Rossby (2019) and Tsubouchi et al. (2020) thus both find that the overall OHT in recent
- decades is substantially larger than the simulated net OHT of \sim 200 TW (Fig. 5). Despite this
- disparity, we may conclude that the OHT has increased over the last century and appears to
- have peaked temporarily. This points to the importance of a continuous monitoring of thisinflow.

Wind forcing of the AW inflow variability: Several studies show a strong link between the 1163 1164 AW inflow and the large-scale wind forcing in the region. For example, Muilwijk et al. (2019) showed a clear relationship between NAO-type wind forcing in the Greenland Sea and 1165 1166 the AW volume transport northward. Also, Bringedal et al. (2018) analyzed AW inflow across the GSR over the instrumented period (1996-2016). They found that wind forcing 1167 1168 drives much of the seasonality and also interannual variability, but here overturning and buoyancy forcing must also be considered as the time scale increases. For monthly time 1169 1170 scales, there is a connection to the NAO for the inflow along the Norwegian coast over these 20 years, where the along-coast wind stress drives an Ekman transport towards the coast that 1171 1172 piles up water locally and drives a barotropic inflow (Eq. 2. in Bringedal et al., 2018). We 1173 have tested this relationship for the 1900-2000 period and find a consistent response of the simulated GSR inflow to the along-coast wind strength (Fig. 12 b). The correlation is high in 1174 the NorESM simulations (r=0.78), but lower and not significant for our new available 1175 observations in the Svinøy section (1996 - 2018). The increasing wind forcing thus partly 1176 explains the increased volume inflow across the GSR. There is no correlation between the 1177 1178 (annual mean) GSR wind forcing and the ocean heat loss north of the GSR, so these are 1179 independent drivers of the inflow. Orvik et al. (2001) calculated the mean value of the outer (western) branch at Svinøy based on hydrography and found a (1995-1998) mean of 3.4 Sv. 1180 An updated baroclinic estimate of this branch is 4.14 Sv. The observed values in Fig. 12 b) 1181 show variability of the eastern inner branch with +5.14 Sv added to represent this outer 1182 1183 branch and the +1 Sv inflow around Iceland.

Several studies have documented an increase in wind speed in some regions of the world ocean. A small overall increase in surface ocean flow speed of +1 cm/(s yr) was also found

for the 1992 to 2015 period (Wunsch, 2020) based on satellite sea level data. Young & Ribal

(2019) documented an increase in wind speed between 1985 and 2018 of about ~2 cm/(s yr) 1187 in the Southern Ocean and of about 1 cm/(s yr) in the North Atlantic. These values are 1188 comparable to the +2 m/s increase over the last 100 years in the 20CRv2 reanalysis west of 1189 Norway (Fig. 12 b). A long-term increased wind forcing for many locations in the Norwegian 1190 1191 Sea was also documented by Vikebø et al. (2003) for 1900-2000. They also found a consistent increase in wave height in this area but also noted a reduced wind forcing between 1192 1193 1880 and 1900. Wind observations were very limited before the 1950s, but we analyzed available observations from an island west of Bergen (Utsira) that is consistent with the 1194 1195 overall increase (Fig. 12 b), although there are some substantial data gaps. However, wind increases are not visible in recent reanalysis (e.g., ERA5) for the last 40 years (1979-2019), 1196 1197 and thus trends arise mainly from the early part of the century. The increase in wind speed along the Norwegian Sea and the related wind stress forcing on the ocean can thus explain 1198 part of the observed increase in the AW inflow and the OHT (Fig. 5). For the future, there is 1199 little consensus regarding expected changes in wind forcing, so we take this driver of OHT 1200 variability as natural climate variability. There are, for example, large inter-model differences 1201 in projected wind speed for the North Atlantic region, but also some consistent strengthening 1202 and squeezing of the zonal flow (Oudar et al., 2020). 1203

1204 Implications of Arctic heat loss, sea ice, and OHT: The discussion above summarized the 1205 combined consistent relationship between Arctic heat loss, the OHT, and the sea ice cover. Over the last century, the heat loss and OHT increased while the sea ice cover decreased. 1206 This relationship was perhaps expected based on analytical models and previous analysis but 1207 was quantified and presented in a consistent model framework here. Clearly, the inflowing 1208 AW OHT anomalies are not fully escaping to the atmosphere through cooling in the Nordic 1209 Seas, but some surplus heat is left and continues onwards into the Barents and Polar Seas. 1210 1211 Our main hypothesis listed in the introduction was that the inflowing OHT AW anomalies 1212 influence the; 1) Ocean heat loss 2) deep and intermediate water properties 3) Arctic Ocean CO2 uptake, 4) Greenland's marine-terminating glaciers, and 5) Arctic sea ice 1213 cover (Fig. 1). We established that there is an analytical relationship between the Arctic sea 1214 1215 ice cover, ocean heat loss and OHT; less sea ice allows a larger heat loss and accommodates a stronger OHT by the AW. Arctic sea ice loss is one of the well-established consequences of 1216 1217 global warming and increased CO₂ levels in the atmosphere (Notz & Stroeve, 2016), and the 1218 ocean heat loss and OHT, therefore, also change with global warming.

How would this 'heat-loss\sea-ice\OHT' relationship have played out in the absence of global 1219 warming? As natural climate variability is strong in the Arctic - Atlantic sector, we speculate 1220 that the wind forcing would then have dominated the variability. AW inflow is partly wind-1221 driven, and we found an increased wind-driven AW inflow (Fig. 12 b). This increased OHT 1222 would then alone also have contributed to ice loss, especially in the Barents Sea, as outlined 1223

1224 by Smedsrud et al. (2013).

1250

Warming AW and melting of Greenland marine-terminating glaciers: The warming on 1225 the NE Greenland shelf of about +0.5°C since the 1970s (Fig. 11 a) is quite typical for the 1226 other Arctic shelf seas. In the Barents Sea, the warming has been twice as large (Fig. 9), but 1227 1228 similar warming is otherwise simulated for all the Arctic shelf seas (not shown). The warming is also comparable to observations of AW temperature in the Fram Strait (79°N) 1229 1230 and in the West Spitsbergen current (76°N) indicating that AW is the advective source (Muilwijk et al., 2018). There is a large re-circulation of AW in the Fram Strait (Hatterman et 1231 1232 al., 2016), a water mass termed Return AW, and this has warmed about +1°C since the 1950s (Fig. 6). The simulated warming on the shelf (Fig. 11 a) is similar to that observed at the 1233 margins of the largest ice shelf in NE Greenland (Nioghalvfjerdsfjorden; Lindeman et al., 1234 2020; Mouginot et al., 2015). The warming of AW inflow at the GSR is smaller than the 1235 warming in Fram Strait (Fig. 6). This suggests that the relatively low Nordic Seas heat loss 1236 1237 since 2000 has played a role (Fig. 3). The simulated +0.5°C warming since the 1970s has clearly driven increased melting of marine-terminating glaciers, and the inferred retreat of 1238 ~0.5 km is substantial and about 50% of that observed (Fig. 11 b), consistent with additional 1239 retreat resulting from dynamic thinning of the glaciers in response to the forced retreat. The 1240 atmospheric warming, dictated by the 20CR forcing, is a clear manifestation of global 1241 1242 warming. It too, contributes to driving glacier retreat through the enhanced submarine melting associated with an increased release of surface melt at depth (Jenkins, 2011; Slater et 1243 al., 2016). According to the employed data-constrained parameterization (Slater et al., 2019), 1244 the ocean and atmospheric variability contribute in approximately equal parts to the glacier 1245 retreat (Fig. 11). 1246

1247 Heat fluxes and CO₂ uptake: The relationship between CO₂ flux and heat transport and loss is a consequence of the increased CO₂ solubility in colder waters, i.e., the larger the heat loss, 1248 the larger the CO₂ uptake. Using Eq (3) (Watson et al. 1995) and representative numbers for 1249 the early 20th century Arctic Ocean (Q = 160 TW; Atlantic inflow DIC = 2070 μ mol kg⁻¹

and $R_f = 11$) we find a heat loss driven CO₂ uptake of 120 Mt C yr⁻¹. This increases to 160 Mt 1251 yr⁻¹ for Q = 210 TW, which has been the value reached in the last decades (Fig. 3). The 1252 magnitude and increase of this heat loss inferred flux are somewhat smaller than the ~170 Mt 1253 yr^{-1} increasing to ~230 Mt yr^{-1} (Fig. 7). This might be related to the large uncertainties 1254 involved in this calculation; it is for example, highly sensitive to the exact heat flux value 1255 1256 used and also the complete neglect of biological and anthropogenic fluxes. Naturally also the regressions in Fig. 7 (Table 2) have their uncertainties. Nevertheless, the results from the 1257 three lines of evidence presented the solubility considerations (Sec. 2.3), Fig. 7, and Eq. (3) 1258 1259 with the simulated heat loss, give results of the same order of magnitude. Together theyshow that the bulk of the CO₂ uptake in the Arctic Ocean is driven by ocean cooling and that the 1260 increased cooling has caused a larger CO₂ uptake. 1261

One might ask whether the difference between the increase in annual CO2 uptake derived 1262 from the heat fluxes here (40 Mt yr⁻¹) and that derived from the regressions earlier (60 Mt yr⁻¹) 1263 ¹) is a consequence of the fact that the increased heat loss has occurred in the Barents and 1264 Polar Sea associated with the retreating sea ice. This exposes waters undersaturated with 1265 CO_2 to the atmosphere and enables primary production, which leads to a larger CO_2 uptake 1266 than anticipated from heat loss increases alone (Anderson & Kaltin, 2001). This might be the 1267 reason why the changes in Polar and Barents seas' CO₂ uptake since 1998 relates more 1268 1269 strongly to sea-ice cover than heat loss (Fig. 7). Disentangling the impacts of each specific process is best done with a fully coupled model, including carbon cycle components. Such 1270 studies should also consider the potential impacts of variations in the horizontal ocean carbon 1271 transports on the air-sea carbon flux in the Arctic Ocean; as these fluxes are much larger than 1272 the air-sea flux (Jeansson et al., 2011). More explicit accounting of changes in natural vs. 1273 anthropogenic carbon fluxes would also be worthwhile. 1274

1275 Heat transport anomalies and production of Overflow Water (OW): NorESM simulates mean properties and long-term trends of the dense waters flowing southward across the GSR 1276 reasonably well (Fig. 6). Since the mid-1990s, the observed OW transport has remained 1277 steady, but the temperature has increased (Hansen et al., 2016; Jochumsen et al., 2017; 1278 1279 Mastropole et al., 2017; Østerhus et al., 2019), this is well captured by the NorESM (Fig. 9). Between 1998 and 2002, the observed AW inflow temperature and volume transport 1280 increased, resulting in a 7% increase in OHT (Tsubouchi et al., 2020), qualitatively similar 1281 but not identical to the NorESM simulations (Fig. 5). The recent interior warming in the 1282

1283 Iceland and Greenland Seas after 2000 is also partly captured by NorESM (Fig. 6). The

- density of the intermediate waters has been stable over the same time period due to a
- 1285 compensating increase in salinity (Lauvset et al., 2018). This balance may imminently change
- 1286 as a result of the pronounced freshening of the inflowing AW (Mork et al., 2019), especially
- 1287 if the heat loss continues to decrease as could be expected in a warming climate (Moore et al.,
- 1288 2015). On the other hand, may the sea ice retreat lead to more favorable conditions for dense
- 1289 water formation at new locations (Lique & Thomas, 2018), as recently observed in the
- Barents Sea (Skagseth et al., 2020), along the East Greenland Current (Våge et al., 2018), and
- north of Svalbard (Pérez-Hernández et al., 2019; Athanase et al., 2020).

1292 6 Conclusion

Global Warming and Arctic sea ice loss have been ongoing and well documented for at least 1293 30 years. The Arctic sea ice loss is consistent with a larger loss of heat from the ocean to the 1294 atmosphere, mostly in the Barents and Polar Seas. This increased heat loss from the inflowing 1295 Atlantic Water (AW) is in itself connected to a larger inflow of AW. However, there has 1296 1297 additionally been an increased wind forcing of the AW inflow in the Nordic Seas, and the two together explain the long-term AW increase of about +1 Sv over the last century. This 1298 increased AW volume inflow is the main explanation for the increased heat transport to the 1299 Arctic Ocean from about 150 TW in 1900 to 200 TW today. The partitioning between 1300 overturning (dense water and Overflow Water (OW) formation) and the horizontal boundary 1301 1302 current (Polar Water (PW) formation) has remained roughly equal over the last century, but temperature variability plays a larger role in the overturning part. 1303

1304 The gradual cooling of the AW as it circulates the Arctic Ocean from its entry across the

1305 Greenland-Scotland Ridge (GSR) mostly occurs in the Nordic Seas. The year-to-year

1306 variability of this (winter) cooling is dictated by the atmospheric forcing manifested in the

1307 variability of occurrence of low-pressure systems over Scandinavia, which drive Cold Air

1308 Outbreaks (CAOs) with strong winds off the sea ice in the Polar Sea. The AW cooling in the

1309 Nordic Seas explains about 50% of the CO2 uptake of the entire Arctic Ocean, but the

1310 contribution from the Barents and Polar Seas is increasing with the diminishing sea ice cover.

1311 The sea ice cover of the Arctic is set to further decrease in the future. This will contribute to

1312 more open water and a larger ocean heat loss. Such an increased heat loss – unless

1313 compensated elsewhere – will again require a larger (baroclinic) inflow of AW and a larger

1314 Ocean Heat Transport (OHT). This heat transport takes place mostly in the horizontal inflow

- 1315 of AW on the eastern side of the GSR, and there has been a consistent increase in this
- 1316 boundary flow of about + 1 Sv over the last century, which is thus expected to continue to
- increase. Consistently we expect that the main processes illustrated in Fig. 1 are all set to
- 1318 increase; warming on the Arctic shelves, the ocean contribution to melting of glaciers on
- 1319 Greenland, melting of sea ice, and the future Arctic Ocean CO2 uptake.
- 1320 The future production of dense water is more uncertain, as it is wedged between the increased
- heat transported in and the larger heat loss at the surface. There is in addition, the natural
- 1322 climate variability exemplified here by the wind forcing of the AW and the CAOs. These
- 1323 fluctuations remain hard to dissect not to say predict, and a century of variability may not
- be long enough to properly disentangle the governing mechanisms.

1325 Acknowledgments

- 1326 This work was supported by the Bjerknes Center for Climate Research, the Norwegian
- 1327 Research Council through the Nansen Legacy Project (Grant#276730) and the U.S. Norway
- 1328 Fulbright Foundation. L. H. Smedsrud particularly thanks the Foundation for the Norwegian
- 1329 Arctic Chair grant 2019-20 that made much of this work possible.
- 1330 We acknowledge the World Climate Research Programme, which, through its Working
- 1331 Group on Coupled Modelling, coordinated and promoted CMIP6. We thank the NorESM
- 1332 Consortium for producing and making available their simulations, the ESGF for archiving
- and providing access, and the multiple funding agencies who support CMIP6 and ESGF. We
- 1334 would also like to thank all those who collected valuable observations over the last century
- 1335 that made this study possible.

1336 Data Availability Statement

- 1337 Monthly fields from the NorESM2-LM for the period 1958-2018 (Bentsen et al., 2019) have
- been provided through the Ocean Model Intercomparison Project Phase 2 (OMIP2)
- experiment as part of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6, Eyring et
- al., 2016), and are available for download on the Earth System Grid Federation (ESGF)
- 1341 website: <u>https://esgf-node.llnl.gov/search/cmip6/</u>. Monthly fields of NorESM for the time
- 1342 period 1900-2009 are available upon request. 20CRv2c reanalysis data are freely available
- 1343 for download at <u>https://portal.nersc.gov/project/20C_Reanalysis/</u>. Kola section data is from
- 1344the Knipovich Polar Research Institute of Marine Fisheries and Oceanography available
- 1345 through ICES (International Council for Exploration of the Seas;
- 1346 <u>https://ocean.ices.dk/core/iroc</u>)

1347 Abbreviations

- 1348 20CRv2 20th Century atmospheric Reanalysis forcing, AMO Atlantic Multidecadal
- 1349 Oscillation, AW Atlantic Water, CAO Cold Air Outbreaks, DIC Dissolved Inorganic
- 1350 Carbon, EOF Empirical Orthogonal Functions, GSR Greenland-Scotland Ridge, IW –
- 1351 Intermediate Water, NAO North Atlantic Oscillation, NorESM Norwegian Earth System
- 1352 Model, OHT Ocean Heat Transport, OW Overflow Water, PNA Pacific North America
- 1353 pattern, PW Polar Water, RAW Return Atlantic Water, SIC Sea Ice Concentration, SSS -
- 1354 Sea Surface Salinity, SST Sea Surface Temperature.



Figure 1: Schematic overview of the relationship between the warm Atlantic Water inflow across the Greenland-Scotland Ridge and its influence on 1) Nordic Seas heat loss, 2) deep and dense water outflow, 3) CO₂ uptake, 4) Greenland melting, and 5) Arctic sea ice cover. The vertical red arrow illustrates the large cooling in the Nordic Seas, and the orange arrow the smaller cooling in the Polar Sea. The eastern half of the Arctic Ocean and the Barents Sea is not shown, but the area and bathymetry is correctly scaled. The cyan arrow represents the systematic sea ice drift towards the Fram Strait.

- 1364
- 1365





Figure 2: The mean simulated Arctic Ocean volume transport (Table 3) and heat loss. 1367 a) The northward (red arrows) and southward flows (blue arrows) are scaled so that the width 1368 represents volume transports in Sv. b) The heat loss in the Nordic Seas (red, area of 2.5 mill 1369 km²), the Barents Sea (black, 1.5 mill km²) and the Polar Sea (Green, 8.4 mill km²) in Tera 1370 Watts (1 TW = 1×10^{12} W). The cyan region represents the annual mean sea ice area export 1371 (~1 mill km²) from the Polar Sea to the Nordic Seas (white arrow). This heat is released to the 1372 Polar Sea atmosphere when the sea ice forms, with subsequent loss of heat from the Nordic 1373 Seas when the sea ice melts, contributing to the 115 TW cooling indicated in the figure. The 1374 Arctic Ocean is outlined (dark blue line) and is the sum of the colored regions. The division 1375 1376 lines between the individual seas follow standard oceanographic sections.





Figure 3: The simulated total annual heat loss of the Arctic Ocean (blue) and the threesubdomains (green, black and red) by NorESM.

1382 The simulated, annual mean ocean heat loss (TW) from the 20CR (1900-2009; solid lines)

and the JRA forced (2010-2018; dashed lines) runs, with colors from Fig. 2b. The mean

1384 cooling of the Arctic Ocean is 187 TW (Table 1). For the Nordic Seas the 15 years of highest

1385 (black crosses) and lowest (blue crosses) annual de-trended heat losses are indicated.



Figure 4: The simulated annual mean surface heat flux (W/m², warm colors) and Sea Ice
Concentration (SIC, percentage, cold colors) between 1900-2000.

1391 The centennial mean observed sea ice extent for September (blue line) and March (black line)

has been added from Walsh et al. (2017). The dotted blue line shows the location of the

1393 Greenland-Scotland Ridge (GSR) as used here and extended directly east along 60 °N from

1394 Shetland to Bergen. The dotted green line shows the location of the Kola Section as used in

1395 Figure 10 (ICES, 2020).





The contributions from the individual straits are calculated using 0 °C as reference, and show the Bering Strait inflow, the outflow through the Canadian Archipelago, and the inflow and outflow across the Greenland-Scotland Ridge (GSR). The centennial mean Arctic Ocean heat transport is 179 TW. The top line shows the Arctic Ocean total independent of a reference temperature. The dashed line is the total NorESM JRA forced version updated to 2018. The heat transport across the GSR has been decomposed into a horizontal gyre and a vertical overturning contribution.





1410 Geographical regions, with color coding, are marked on the map. The TS-range of each water mass is based on the frequency of occurrence and indicated by the colored patches outlining 1411 1412 60 percent of the observations. Color-filled dots show observed median values, and related arrows show the linear trends (1950-2019). Similarly, colored stars show simulated NorESM 1413 median values and the related arrows the linear trends (1950-2009). Vertical constraints for 1414 defining the water masses are as follow: Atlantic Water (AW) and Returning Atlantic Water 1415 (RAW) by the depth of maximum temperature below 100 m (\pm 50 m); Overflow Water (OW) 1416 by density above 27.8 kg/m³ and above the sill depths (650 m for the Denmark Strait and 840 1417 m for the Faroe Shetland Channel); Intermediate Water (IW) by the typical mixed-layer 1418 depths 150-350 m in the Iceland Sea and 500-1500 m in the Greenland Sea. Observations 1419 from Brakstad et al. (In Prep). 1420

1421





For the Barents and Polar Seas the most important parameter is the sea ice cover, whereas inthe Nordic Seas heat loss is best at explaining observed variability. The negative values show

1427 ocean uptake of CO₂. Areas used to convert fluxes into Mt C are from Table 1.

1428



Figure 8: Anomalous frequency of occurrence (%) of (a) cold air outbreaks (CAOs) and (b)
extratropical cyclones.

- 1432 Plots show the 15 years with the largest versus smallest Nordic Seas heat loss based on the
- 1433 detrended centennial time series (black and blue symbols in Fig. 3). Contour lines show the
- respective climatology with contours at 20 and 30 absolute % frequency of occurrence. The
- anomalies are based on 20CRv2c and for the extended winter season within the same
- 1436 calendar year (January through April, and then November and December).







a) shows the contribution (%) to GSR outflow as a function of temperature and salinity. The

1440 outflow is divided into three main water masses: Overflow Water (OW), Polar Water (PW)

1441 and outflowing Atlantic Water (AW), b) shows annual mean volume transport (Sv) and c)

1442 potential temperature (°C) for each water mass, with color coding as in a).



Figure 10: Simulated and observed Barents Sea temperature and sea ice variability since1445 1900.

(a) Observed (orange; ICES 2020) and simulated (black) annual mean temperature anomalies
(°C) relative to the 1900-2009 mean temperature of respectively 4.0 °C and 2,8 °C along the
Kola Section (Figure 4). (b) Annual mean sea ice area (10⁶ km²) in the Barents Sea from
NorESM and reconstructions based on observations or simulations (HadISST; Rayner et al.
2003, Walsh et al. 2017, and PIOMAS-20C; Schweiger et al. 2019).





(a) NorESM-simulated ocean temperature averaged over the NE Greenland continental shelf 1454 between the depths of 200 and 500 m (°C, blue, left axis) and simulated summer liquid 1455 1456 freshwater flux (subglacial discharge) from NE Greenland's marine-terminating glaciers $(m^3/s, red, right axis; Fettweis et al., 2017)$. (b) Simulated advance or retreat of NE 1457 Greenland's marine- terminating glaciers. The projected terminus position (km, black) is 1458 based on the parameterisation described by Slater et al. (2019), using the NorESM ocean 1459 1460 temperature and subglacial discharge shown in (a) as inputs. The blue line shows the projected terminus position when subglacial discharge is held constant at its mean 1900-2017 1461 value, and thus isolates the impact of the ocean on the glaciers. The red dashed line shows the 1462 observed terminus positions since 1984 (Slater et al. 2019). All values are averaged over all 1463 glaciers in the region and more negative position values indicate a more retreated glacier. 1464 1465







Simulated (NorESM) annual values and the 5-year means of the inflow (Sv) towards the
Arctic Ocean across the Greenland Scotland Ridge and the Bering Strait. The dashed line is
from Spall (2004), analytically derived from the heat loss (abscissa) and representative values
of the basin radius, Coriolis parameter, the slope width, and the 500 m inflow depth of the
GSR. The red envelope spans out inflow values based on varying these parameters as
explained in the text.



Figure 12 b): Inflow and wind forcing.

Circles show the simulated annual (spatial) mean values of along-coast wind speed (m/s) between the Faroes-Shetland and the Svinøy sections off the Norwegian west coast, and the overall poleward flow (Sv) across the GSR. The correlation coefficient is r=0.78. Larger crosses show decadal means. Color coding represent the simulation year. Observed volume transport from the eastern Svinøy branch (NMDC 2020, 1996-2016) and observed (bias-corrected) wind speed from Utsira (NCSC 2020) are included as orange triangles, using a constant addition of +5.14 Sv representing the outer branch (value of +4.14 Sv) and inflow west of Iceland (+1 Sv).

1493	
1494	List of Figures
1495	1. Schematic overview
1496	2. The Arctic Ocean Bathymetry + mean transport + heat loss
1497	3. The surface heat loss over time
1498	4. The centennial mean heat loss spatially + SIC
1499	5. Heat Transport Convergence and warming of Nordic Seas
1500	6. Observed and simulated Hydrography
1501	7. Simulated CO2 uptake
1502	8. Atmospheric circulation patterns
1503	9. Simulated changes in OW and PW waters
1504	10. Evaluation in the Barents Sea (AW mean temperature + Kola section + ice)
1505	11. Changes in Greenland glaciers due to AW temperature
1506	12. AW inflow as forced by heat loss and wind
1507	
1508	
1509	Table 1. Simulated centennial annual mean properties for the Arctic Ocean and the three

subdomains from the NorESM for 1900-2009. The heat loss is the heat flux multiplied by the area of each sea. The CO₂ uptake is estimated as described in the methods based on heat flux and Sea Ice Concentration (SIC). All values, including Sea Surface Temperature (SST) and Sea Surface Salinity (SSS) are averages over the seas shown in Fig. 1. Heat loss trends that are significant at the 95% level is indicated by a (*) p < 0.05. TW (Tera Watt = 10^{12} W).

1515

	Area	Heat Loss	Heat Flux	SIC	SST	SSS	CO ₂ Uptake	Heat Loss Trend/Century
Unit	[10 ⁶ km ²]	[TW]	$[W/m^2]$	[%]	[°C]	[g/kg]	Mt C/yr	TW/100 yr
Polar Sea	8.36	15.89	1.90	94.8	-1.6	31.3	55.7	11.9*
Barents Sea	1.47	56.54	38.10	52.8	0.9	34.2	66.7	27.7*
Nordic Seas	2.54	114.75	45.08	28.0	3.0	34.5	88.3	6.2
Arctic Ocean	12.38	186.80	15.08	75.7	-0.3	32.3	209.9	45.8*

Table 2. Applied regressions and associated statistics for calculating ocean CO2 uptake.

Region	Parameter	Function	R ²	p value		
Nordic Seas	Heat flux (HF)	<i>F</i> =0.0355*HF – 12.0352	0.44	0.018		
Barents Sea	HF+SSS+SIC	F=-0.0479*HF + 13.603*SSS + 0.2004*SIC - 479.556	0.42	0.024		
Polar Sea	SIC	F=0.0516*SIC - 6.0082	0.48	0.000		
SSS = sea surface salinity SIC = Sea ice concentration						

Table 3. Mean ocean transports in relevant Arctic sections (1900-2000). Positive volume1524transport values are northward. The Ocean Heat Transport (OHT) is relative to 0 °C for all1525sections. A positive OHT with a negative (southward) volume transport implies that the

temperature is lower than 0 °C. Numbers are rounded to the closest 0.1 Sv.

	Volume	OHT
Unit	[Sv]	[TW]
Bering Strait net	+0.7	+0.9
Canadian Archipelago net	-1.7	+6.6
GSR net transport	+1.0	+172
Arctic Ocean net	0.0	+179
GSR AW Inflow	+9.5	+285
GSR total outflow	-8.5	-113
GSR OW	-3.3	-9
GSR PW	-1.9	-3
GSR AW outflow	-3.3	-101

1533 **References**

Aagaard, K., Swift, J. H., & Carmack, E. C. (1985), Thermohaline circulation in the Arctic
Mediterranean Seas, J. Geophys. Res., 90 (C3), 4833–4846, doi:10.1029/JC090iC03p04833.

Ambaum, M. H., & Hoskins, B. J. (2002). The NAO troposphere–stratosphere connection.
 Journal of Climate, 15(14), 1969-1978, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2002)015

Anderson, L. G. & Kaltin, S. (2001) Carbon fluxes in the Arctic Ocean - potential impact by climate change, Polar Res, 20, 225-232, doi:10.3402/polar.v20i2.6521

- 1540 Anderson, L. G., Jutterstrom, S., Hjalmarsson, S., Wahlstrom, I., & Semiletov, I. P. (2009)
- 1541 Out-gassing of CO2 from Siberian Shelf seas by terrestrial organic matter decomposition,
- 1542 Geophys Res Lett, 36, doi:10.1029/2009GL040046
- Arrigo, K. R., & G. L. van Dijken (2015), Continued increases in Arctic Ocean primary
 production, Progress in Oceanography, 136, 60-70, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2015.05.002.
- 1545 Asbjørnsen, H., Årthun, M., Skagseth, Ø., & Eldevik, T. (2019). Mechanisms of ocean heat
- anomalies in the Norwegian Sea. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 124, 2908–2923,
 doi:10.1029/2018JC014649
- Asbjørnsen H., Årthun, M., Skagseth, Ø., & Eldevik, T. (2020). Mechanisms underlying
 recent Arctic Atlantification. Geophysical Research Letters, 47, e2020GL088036,
- 1550 doi:10.1029/2020GL088036
- Asbjørnsen, H., Johnson, H. L., & Årthun, M. (2021). Variable Nordic Seas inflow linked to shifts in North Atlantic circulation. Journal of Climate, 1-50, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0917.1
- Athanase et al. (2020) Atlantic Water Modification North of Svalbard in the Mercator
 Physical System From 2007 to 2020. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 125, 10,
- 1555 doi:10.1029/2020JC016463
- 1556 Barton, B. I., Lenn, Y. D., & Lique, C. (2018). Observed Atlantification of the Barents Sea
- 1557 causes the polar front to limit the expansion of winter sea ice. *Journal of Physical*
- 1558 Oceanography, 48(8), 1849-1866, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-18-0003.1
- Barnston, A. G., & Livezey, R. E. (1987) Classification, seasonality and persistence of lowfrequency atmospheric circulation patterns. Mon. Wea. Rev., 115:1083–1126,
- 1561 doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1987)115<1083:CSAPOL>2.0.CO;2
- Bamber, J., van den Broeke, M., Ettema, J., Lenaerts, J., & Rignot, E. (2012) Recent large
- 1563 increases in freshwater fluxes from Greenland into the North Atlantic. Geophysical Research
- 1564 Letters, 39, L19501, doi:10.1029/2012GL052552
- 1565 Bamber, J. L., Tedstone, A. J., King, M. D., Howat, I. M., Enderlin, E. M., van den Broeke,
- 1566 M. R., & Noel, B. (2018). Land ice freshwater budget of the Arctic and North Atlantic
- 1567 Oceans: 1. Data, methods, and results. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 123, 1827–
- 1568 1837, doi:10.1002/2017JC013605
- 1569

- 1570 Bates, N. R., & J. T. Mathis (2009), The Arctic Ocean marine carbon cycle: evaluation of air-
- 1571 sea CO2 exchanges, ocean acidification impacts and potential feedbacks, Biogeosciences,
- 1572 6(11), 2433-2459, doi:10.5194/bg-6-2433-2009.
- Behrendt, A., Sumata, H., Rabe, B., & Schauer, U. (2018). UDASH Unified Database for
 Arctic and Subarctic Hydrography, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 10, 1119–1138, doi:10.5194/essd10-1119-2018.
- Bentsen, M. et al. (2013). The Norwegian Earth System Model, NorESM1-M Part 1:
 Description and basic evaluation. Geoscientific Model Development Discussions, 5, 2843–
- 1578 2931, doi:10.5194/gmdd-5-2843-2012
- Bentsen, M. et al. (2019) NCC NorESM2-LM model output prepared for CMIP6 OMIP
 omip2. Version 20200401. Earth System Grid Federation, doi:10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.8089
- Berx, B., Hansen, B., Østerhus, S., Larsen, K.M., Sherwin, T. & Jochumsen, K. (2013)
- 1582 Combining in situ measurements and altimetry to estimate volume, heat and salt transport
- variability through the Faroe–Shetland Channel, Ocean Sci., 9, 639–654, doi:10.5194/os-9-
- 1584 639-2013
- 1585 Binder, H., Boettcher, M., Grams, C. M., Joos, H., Pfahl, S., & Wernli, H. (2017).
- 1586 Exceptional air mass transport and dynamical drivers of an extreme wintertime Arctic warm
- 1587 event. Geophysical Research Letters, 44(23), 12-028, doi: 10.1002/2017GL075841
- Bjerknes, J. (1964) Atlantic Air-Sea Interaction, Editor(s): H.E. Landsberg, J. Van Mieghem,
 Advances in Geophysics, Elsevier, 10, 1-82, doi:10.1016/S0065-2687(08)60005-9.
- 1590 Bjørk, A., Kjær, K., Korsgaard, N. et al. (2012) An aerial view of 80 years of climate-related
- 1591 glacier fluctuations in southeast Greenland. Nature Geosci 5, 427–432,
- 1592 doi:10.1038/ngeo1481
- 1593 Bleck, R., Rooth, C., Hu, D., & Smith, L. T. (1992) Salinity-driven Thermocline Transients
- in a Wind- and Thermohaline-forced Isopycnic Coordinate Model of the North Atlantic. J.
 Phys. Oceanogr., 22, 1486–1505, doi:10.1175/1520-0485(1992)0222.0.CO;2
- 1596 Böning C.W., & Bryan, F.O. (1996). Large-scale transport processes in high-resolution
- circulation models. in The Warmwatersphere of the North Atlantic Ocean, W. Krauss, Ed.,
 Gebrüder Borntraeger, 91–128.
- Brennan, M. K., Hakim, G. J., & Blanchard-Wrigglesworth, E. (2020). Arctic sea-ice
 variability during the instrumental era. Geophys. Res. Lett. 47, e2019GL086843,
 doi:10.1029/2019GL086843
- Bringedal, C., Eldevik, T., Skagseth, Ø., Spall, M., Østerhus, S. (2018). Structure and forcing
 of observed exchanges across the Greenland-Scotland Ridge. Journal of Climate, 31, 9881–
 9901, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0889.1
- Bryden, H. L. & Imawaki, S. (2001) Ocean Heat Transport. In Siedler G, Church J, Gould J
 (eds): Ocean circulation and climate: observing and modelling the global ocean, San Diego,
- 1607 USA. Academic Press, 455-474.

- Bueh, C., & Nakamura, H. (2007). Scandinavian pattern and its climatic impact. Quarterly
- 1609 Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society: A journal of the atmospheric sciences, applied
- 1610 meteorology and physical oceanography, 133(629), 2117-2131, doi:10.1002/qj.173
- 1611 Brakstad, A., Våge, K., Håvik, L., & Moore, G.W.K. (2019): Water Mass Transformation in
- 1612 the Greenland Sea during the Period 1986–2016. JPO, 49 (1), 121–140, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-
- 1613 17-0273.1
- 1614 Carmack, E., Yamamoto-Kawai, M., Haine, T, Bacon, S. (2016). Freshwater and its role in
- 1615 the Arctic Marine System: Sources, disposition, storage, export, and physical and
- 1616 biogeochemical consequences in the Arctic and global oceans. Journal of Geophysical
- 1617 Research Biogeoscience, 121, 675–717, doi:10.1002/2015JG003140
- 1618 Cassou, C., Terray, L., Hurrell, J. W., & Deser, C. (2004). North Atlantic winter climate
 1619 regimes: Spatial asymmetry, stationarity with time, and oceanic forcing. Journal of Climate,
 1620 17(5), 1055-1068, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2004)017
- 1621 Chafik, L. & Rossby, T. (2019). Volume, heat, and freshwater divergences in the subpolar
- 1622 North Atlantic suggest the Nordic Seas as key to the state of the meridional overturning
- 1623 circulation. Geophys Res Lett, 46, 4799–4808, doi:10.1029/2019GL082110
- 1624 Chafik, L., Hátún, H., Kjellsson, J. et al. (2020) Discovery of an unrecognized pathway
 1625 carrying overflow waters toward the Faroe Bank Channel. Nat Communications, 11, 3721,
 1626 doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17426-8
- 1627 Chierici, M., Olsen, A., Johannessen, T., Trinañes, J., & Wanninkhof, R. (2009). Algorithms
- to estimate the carbon dioxide uptake in the northern North Atlantic using shipboard
- 1629 observations, satellite and ocean analysis data. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in
- 1630 Oceanography, 56(8-10), 630-639, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.12.014
- 1631 Compo, G.P., Whitaker, J.S., Sardeshmukh, P.D., Matsui, N., Allan, R.J., Yin, X., Gleason,
- 1632 B.E., Vose, R.S., Rutledge, G., Bessemoulin, P., Brönnimann, S., Brunet, M., Crouthamel,
- 1633 R.I., Grant, A.N., Groisman, P.Y., Jones, P.D., Kruk, M.C., Kruger, A.C., Marshall, G.J.,
- 1634 Maugeri, M., Mok, H.Y., Nordli, Ø., Ross, T.F., Trigo, R.M., Wang, X.L., Woodruff, S.D.
- and Worley, S.J. (2011), The Twentieth Century Reanalysis Project. Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.,
- 1636 137: 1-28, doi:10.1002/qj.776
- 1637 Condron, A., & Renfrew, I. A. (2013). The impact of polar mesoscale storms on northeast
 1638 Atlantic Ocean circulation. Nature Geoscience, 6(1), 34-37, doi:10.1038/ngeo1661
- Deser, C. (2000). On the teleconnectivity of the "Arctic Oscillation". Geophysical Research
 Letters, 27(6), 779-782, doi: 10.1029/1999GL010945
- 1641 DeVries, T., Holzer, M., & Primeau, F. (2017). Recent increase in oceanic carbon uptake
- 1642 driven by weaker upper-ocean overturning. Nature, 542(7640), 215-218,
- 1643 doi:10.1038/nature21068
- Dickson R. R. et al. (2000). The Arctic Ocean Response to the North Atlantic Oscillation. J.
 Clim, 13. 2671 2696, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2000)013<2671:TAORTT

- 1646 Docquier D., Fuentes-Franco R., Koenigk T. & Fichefet T. (2020) Sea Ice-Ocean Interactions
- 1647 in the Barents Sea Modeled at Different Resolutions, Frontiers in Earth Science, 8,
- 1648 doi:10.3389/feart.2020.00172.
- 1649 Eldevik, T., Nilsen, J.E.Ø., Iovino, D., Olsson, K.A., Sandø, A.B., Drange, H. (2009)
- Observed sources and variability of Nordic seas overflow. Nature Geoscience 2, 405-409,
 doi:10.1038/ngeo518.
- 1652 Eldevik, T. & Nilsen, J. E. Ø. (2013). The Arctic–Atlantic thermohaline circulation. Journal
 1653 of Climate, 26, 8698–8705, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00305.1
- 1654 Fan, S. M., Harris, L. M., & Horowitz, L. W. (2015). Atmospheric energy transport to the
- Arctic 1979–2012. Tellus A: Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography, 67(1), 25482,
 doi:10.3402/tellusa.v67.25482
- Fanning, A. F. & Weaver, A. J. (1997). A Horizontal Resolution and Parameter Sensitivity
 Study of Heat Transport in an Idealized Coupled Climate Model. Journal of Climate, 10,
 2469–2478, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1997)010<2469:AHRAPS>2.0.CO;2
- Fletcher, J., Mason, S., & Jakob, C. (2016). The climatology, meteorology, and boundary layer structure of marine cold air outbreaks in both hemispheres. Journal of Climate, 29(6),
- 1662 1999-2014, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0268.1
- Fransson, A., M. Chierici, I. Skjelvan, A. Olsen, P. Assmy, A. K. Peterson, G. Spreen, & B.
 Ward (2017), Effects of sea-ice and biogeochemical processes and storms on under-ice water
- 1665 fCO(2) during the winter-spring transition in the high Arctic Ocean: Implications for sea-air
- 1666 CO2 fluxes, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 122(7), 5566-5587, doi: 10.1002/2016jc012478.
- 1667 Friedlingstein, P. et al. (2019) Global Carbon Budget 2019, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 11, 1783–
 1668 1838, doi:10.5194/essd-11-1783-2019.
- 1669 Fröb, F., Olsen, A., Becker, M., Chafik, L., Johannessen, T., Reverdin, G., & Omar, A.
- (2019). Wintertime f CO2 Variability in the Subpolar North Atlantic Since 2004.
 Geophysical Research Letters, 46(3), 1580-1590, doi:10.1029/2018GL080554
- 1672 Ganachaud, A. & Wunsch, C. (2000) Improved estimates of global ocean circulation, heat
- transport and mixing from hydrographic data. Nature 408, 453–457 doi:10.1038/35044048
- 1674 Gebbie, G., & P. Huybers (2011) How is the ocean filled? *Geophys Res. Lett*, 38, L06604,
 1675 doi:10.1029/2011GL046769
- Gillard, L. C., X. Hu, P. G. Myers, & J. L. Bamber (2016) Meltwater pathways from marine
 terminating glaciers of the Greenland ice sheet, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 10,873–10,882,
 doi:10.1002/2016GL070969
- 1679
- Glessmer, M. S., Eldevik, T., Våge, K. Nilsen, J. E. Ø. & Behrens, E. (2014) Atlantic origin
 of observed and modelled freshwater anomalies in the Nordic Seas, Nature Geoscience,
 doi:10.1038/NGEO2259
- 1683
- 1684 Graversen, R.G. & Burtu, M. (2016), Arctic amplification enhanced by latent energy
- transport of atmospheric planetary waves. Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc., 142: 2046-2054,
- 1686 doi:10.1002/qj.2802

- 1687 Griffies, S. M., et al. (2016) OMIP contribution to CMIP6: experimental and diagnostic
- 1688 protocol for the physical component of the Ocean Model Intercomparison Project.
- 1689 Geoscientific Model Development, 3231-3296, doi:10.5194/gmd-9-3231-2016

Hansen et al. (2016) A stable Faroe Bank Channel overflow 1995-2015. Ocean Science, 12,
 1205-1220, doi:10.5194/os-12-1205-2016

- 1692 Hattermann, T., Isachsen, P. E., von Appen, W.-J., Albretsen, J., & Sundfjord, A. (2016)
- Eddy driven recirculation of Atlantic Water in Fram Strait, Geophysical Research Letters, 43,
 3406–3414, doi:10.1002/2016GL068323.
- Hátún, H., Sandø, A. B., Drange, H., Hansen, B., & Valdimarsson, H. (2005). Influence of
 the Atlantic subpolar gyre on the thermohaline circulation. Science, 309(5742), 1841-1844,
 doi: 10.1126/science.1114777
- He, Y. C., Drange, H., Gao, Y., & Bentsen, M. (2016). Simulated Atlantic Meridional
 Overturning Circulation in the 20th century with an ocean model forced by reanalysis-based
- atmospheric data sets. Ocean Modelling, 100, 31-48 doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2015.12.011
- Helland-Hansen, B., & Nansen, F. (1909). The Norwegian Sea: Its physical oceanography
 based upon the Norwegian researches 1900-1904. Kristiania: Det Mallingske bogtrykkeri.
- Hopkins, T. S. (1991) The GIN Sea A synthesis of its physical oceanography and literature
 review 1972-1985. Earth-Sci. Rev., 3, 1, 175-318. doi:10.1016/0012-8252(91)90001-V
- Huang, J., Pickart, R.S., Huang, R.X., Lin, P., Brakstad, A. & Xu, F. (2020) Sources and
 upstream pathways of the densest overflow water in the Nordic Seas. Nature
- 1707 Communications 11, 5389, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19050-y
- Hunke, E. C., Lipscomb, W. H., Turner, A. K., Jeffery, N., & Elliott, S. (2008). CICE: The
 Los Alamos sea ice model, documentation and software, version 4.0. Los Alamos National
 Laboratory Tech. Rep. Los Alamos, NM.
- Hurrell, J. W. (1995) Decadal Trends in the North Atlantic Oscillation: Regional
 Temperatures and Precipitation, Science, 269, doi:10.1126/science.269.5224.676
- Huth, R., & Beranová, R. (2021). How to recognize a true mode of atmospheric circulation
 variability. Earth and Space Science, 8(3), e2020EA001275, doi: 10.1029/2020EA001275
- ICES 2020, International Council for Exploration of the Seas, ICES report on Ocean climate,
 web: https://ocean.ices.dk/core/iroc
- 1717 Ilıcak, M. et al. (2016) An assessment of the Arctic Ocean in a suite of interannual CORE-II
- simulations. Part III: Hydrography and fluxes. Ocean Modelling 100, 141-161,
- 1719 doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2016.02.004
- 1720 IHO (1953) International Hydrographic Organization, Limits of Oceans and Seas, Special
 1721 Publication 28, 3'd edition.
- 1722 Ivanov, V., Alexeev, V., Koldunov, N. V., Repina, I., Sandø, A. B., Smedsrud, L. H. &
- 1723 Smirnov, A. (2016) Arctic Ocean heat impact on regional ice decay a suggested positive

- feedback , Journal of Physical Oceanography, 46, 1437-1456, doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-150144.1.
- Jakhelln, A. (1936) The Water Transport of Gradient Currents. Geofys. Publ., Vol. XI, p. 11.

Jakobsson, M. & Macnab, R. (2006) A comparison between GEBCO Sheet 5.17 and the

- International Bathymetric Chart of the Arctic Ocean, Marine Geophysical Researches, 27:
 35–48, doi 10.1007/s11001-005-7760-0
- 1730 Jeansson, E., Olsen, A., Eldevik, T., Skjelvan, I., Omar, A., et al. (2011), The Nordic Seas
- carbon budget: Sources, sinks and uncertainties. Glob. Biogeochem. Cyc., 25, 4,
- 1732 doi:10.1029/2010GB003961
- Jenkins, A. (2011): Convection-Driven Melting near the Grounding Lines of Ice Shelves and
 Tidewater Glaciers, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 41, 2279–2294, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-11-03.1.
- Jochumsen et al. (2017) Revised transport estimates of the Denmark Strait overflow. Journal
 of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 122, 4, doi:10.1002/2017JC012803.
- 1737 Johnson, H. L., Cornish, S. B., Kostov, Y., Beer, E. & Lique, C. (2018). Arctic Ocean
- freshwater content and its decadal memory of sea-level pressure. Geophysical Research
 Letters, 45, 4991–5001, doi:10.1029/2017GL076870
- 1740 Jónsson & Valdimarsson (2004) A new path for the Denmark Strait overflow water from the
- 1741 Iceland Sea to Denmark Strait. Geophysical Research Letters, 31, L03305,
- 1742 doi:10.1029/2003GL019214
- Karstensen et al., (2005). Water mass transformation in the Greenland Sea during the 1990s.
 Journal of Geophysical Research, 110, C7. doi:10.1029/2004JC002510
- Kenigson, J. S., & Timmermans, M. L. (2021). Nordic Seas Hydrography in the Context of Arctic and North Atlantic Ocean Dynamics. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 51(1), 101-
- 1747 114, doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-20-0071.1
- King, M.D., Howat, I.M., Candela, S.G. et al. (2020) Dynamic ice loss from the Greenland
- 1749 Ice Sheet driven by sustained glacier retreat. Commun Earth Environ 1, 1,
- 1750 doi:10.1038/s43247-020-0001-2
- 1751 Kolstad, E. W., T. J.Bracegirdle, & I. A.Seierstad (2009) Marine cold-air outbreaks in the
- 1752 North Atlantic: Temporal distribution and associations with large-scale atmospheric
- 1753 circulation. Climate Dyn., 33, 187–197, doi:10.1007/s00382-008-0431-5.
- L'Heureux, M. L., Kumar, A., Bell, G. D., Halpert, M. S., & Higgins, R. W. (2008). Role of
 the Pacific-North American (PNA) pattern in the 2007 Arctic sea ice decline. Geophysical
- 1756 Research Letters, 35(20), doi: 10.1029/2008GL035205
- 1757 Large, W., Yeager, S., 2004. Diurnal to Decadal Global Forcing For Ocean and Sea-Ice
- 1758 Models: The Data Sets and Flux Climatologies. NCAR technical note NCAR/TN- 460+STR
- 1759 Technical Report, CGD Division of the National Center for Atmospheric Research,
- 1760 doi:10.5065/D6KK98Q6.

- 1761 Landschützer, P., Gruber, N., & Bakker, D. C. (2016). Decadal variations and trends of the
- 1762 global ocean carbon sink. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 30(10), 1396-1417,
- 1763 doi:10.1002/2015GB005359
- Langehaug, H. R., Medhaug, I., Eldevik, T., & Otterå, O. H. (2012). Arctic/Atlantic
 exchanges via the subpolar gyre. Journal of Climate, 25(7), 2421-2439, doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D11-00085.1
- 1767 Lannuzel, D., Tedesco, L., Van Leeuwe, M., Campbell, K., Flores, H., Delille, B., & Brown,
- K. (2020). The future of Arctic sea-ice biogeochemistry and ice-associated ecosystems.
 Nature Climate Change, 1-10, doi:10.1038/s41558-020-00940-4
- Latarius, K. & Quadfasel, D. (2016) Water mass transformation in the deep basins of the
 Nordic Seas: Analyses of heat and freshwater budgets, Deep Sea Research Part 1, 114, 23-42,
 doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2016.04.012
- 1773 Lauvset, S. K., Chierici, M., Counillon, F., Omar, A., Nondal, G., Johannessen, T., & Olsen,
- A. (2013). Annual and seasonal fCO2 and air-sea CO2 fluxes in the Barents Sea. Journal of
- 1775 Marine Systems, 113, 62-74, doi: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2012.12.011
- 1776 Lauvset, S.K., Brakstad, A., Våge, K., Olsen, A., Jeansson, E., Mork, K.A. (2018) Continued
- 1777 warming, salinification and oxygenation of the Greenland Sea gyre. Tellus A 70, 1-9,
- 1778 doi:10.1080/16000870.2018.1476434.
- 1779 Levitus, S. (1998). World ocean database 1998.
- Lorenz, D. J., & Hartmann, D. L. (2003). Eddy–zonal flow feedback in the Northern
 Hemisphere winter. Journal of climate, 16(8), 1212-1227, doi: 10.1175/1520-0442(2003)16
- Lozier et al. (2019) A sea change in our view of overturning in the subpolar North Atlantic
 Science 363, 516–521, doi:10.1126/science.aau6592
- Li, C. & Born, A. (2019) Coupled atmosphere-ice-ocean dynamics in Dansgaard-Oeschger
 events, Quaternary Science Reviews 203, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2018.10.031
- Lique, C. & Thomas, M. D. (2018) Latitudinal shift of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning
 Circulation source regions under a warming climate. Nature Clim Change 8, 1013–1020,
 doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0316-5
- van der Linden, E. C., Le Bars, D., Bintanja, R. & Hazeleger, W. (2019) Oceanic heat
 transport into the Arctic under high and low CO2 forcing, Climate Dynamics (2019)
 53:4763–4780, doi:10.1007/s00382-019-04824-y
- Lindeman, M. R., Straneo, F., Wilson, N. J., Toole, J. M., Krishfield, R. A., Beaird, N. L., et
 al.(2020). Ocean circulation and variability beneath Nioghalvfjerdsbræ (79 North Glacier) ice
 tongue. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 125, e2020JC016091,
- 1795 doi:10.1029/2020JC016091
- Lundberg, L. & Haugan, P. M. (1996), A Nordic Seas Arctic Ocean carbon budget from
 volume flows and inorganic carbon data, Global Biogeochem Cy, 10(3), 493-510,
- 1798 doi:10.1029/96gb00359.
- 1799

- 1800 MacGilchrist, G. A., A. C. N. Garabato, T. Tsubouchi, S. Bacon, S. Torres-Valdes, & K.
- 1801 Azetsu-Scott (2014), The Arctic Ocean carbon sink, Deep-Sea Res Pt I, 86, 39-55, doi:
 10.1016/j.dsr.2014.01.002.

Marshall, J. & Schott, F. (1999) Open - ocean convection: Observations, theory, and models.
Reviews of Geophysics 37, 1-64, doi:10.1029/98RG02739.

- 1805 Mastropole, D., Pickart, R.S., Valdimarsson, H., Våge, K., Jochumsen, K., Girton, J. (2017)
- On the hydrography of Denmark Strait. Journal of Geophysical Research 122, 306-321,
 doi:10.1002/2016JC012007.

Mauritzen, C. (1996) Production of dense overflow waters feeding the North Atlantic across
 the Greenland-Scotland Ridge. Part 1: Evidence for a revised circulation scheme. Deep Sea

- 1810 Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap. 43, 769-806, doi:10.1016/0967-0637(96)00037-4.
- 1811 Mayer, M., S. Tietsche, L. Haimberger, T. Tsubouchi, J. Mayer, & H. Zuo (2019): An
- 1812 Improved Estimate of the Coupled Arctic Energy Budget. J. Climate, 32, 7915–7934,
- 1813 doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0233.1
- 1814 McKinley, G. A., Fay, A. R., Eddebbar, Y. A., Gloege, L., & Lovenduski, N. S. (2020).
- 1815 External forcing explains recent decadal variability of the ocean carbon sink. AGU Advances, 1816 1(2), e2019AV000149, doi:10.1029/2019AV000149
- 1816 1(2), e2019AV000149, doi:10.1029/2019AV000149
- 1817 Meier, W. N., et al. (2014), Arctic sea ice in transformation: A review of recent observed
- changes and impacts on biology and human activity, Rev. Geophys., 52, 185–217,
 doi:10.1002/2013RG000431.
- Messori, G., Geen, R., & Czaja, A. (2017). On the spatial and temporal variability of
 atmospheric heat transport in a hierarchy of models. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,
 74(7), 2163-2189, doi:10.1175/jas-d-16-0360.1
- Messori, G., Woods, C., & Caballero, R. (2018). On the drivers of wintertime temperature
 extremes in the high Arctic. Journal of Climate, 31(4), 1597-1618, doi: 10.1175/jcli-d-170386.1
- Michel, C., Rivière, G., Terray, L., & Joly, B. (2012). The dynamical link between surface
 cyclones, upper-tropospheric Rossby wave breaking and the life cycle of the Scandinavian
 blocking. Geophysical research letters, 39 (10) doi:10.1029/2012GL051682
- Moore, R. W., Martius, O., & Spengler, T. (2010). The modulation of the subtropical and
 extratropical atmosphere in the Pacific basin in response to the Madden–Julian oscillation.
 Monthly Weather Review, 138(7), 2761-2779, doi: 10.1175/2010MWR3194.1
- Moore, G.W.K., Våge, K., Pickart, R. S., & Renfrew, I. A. (2015). Decreasing intensity of
 open-ocean convection in the Greenland and Iceland seas, Nature Climate Change, 5, 877882, doi:10.1038/nclimate2688.
- 1835 Mork, K. A., Ø. Skagseth, V. Ivshin, V.Ozhigin, S. L. Hughes, & H. Valdimarsson (2014),
- Advective and atmospheric forced changes in heat and fresh water content in the Norwegian Sea, 1951–2010, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 6221–6228, doi:10.1002/2014GL061038.

- 1838 Mork, K. A., Skagseth, Ø. & Søiland, H. (2019) Recent warming and freshening of the
- 1839 Norwegian Sea observed by Argo data. J. Clim. 32, 3695–3705, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-180591.1.
- Mouginot, J., Rignot, E., Scheuchl, B., Fenty, I., Khazendar, A., Morlighem, M.,...Paden, J.
 (2015). Fast retreat of Zachariæ Isstrøm, northeast Greenland. Science, 350(6266), 1357–
 1361, doi:10.1126/science.aac7111
- 1844 Mouginot, J., Rignot, E., Bjørk, A. A., van den Broeke, M., Millan, R., Morlighem, M., Noël,
- B., Scheuchl, B. & Wood, M. (2019) Forty-six years of Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance
 from 1972 to 2018, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences May 2019, 116 (19)
- 1847 9239-9244; doi: 10.1073/pnas.1904242116
- Mosby, H. (1962). Water, Salt and Heat Balance of the North Polar Sea and the NorwegianSea. Vitenskapsakademiet.
- 1850 Muilwijk, M., Smedsrud, L. H., Ilicak, M., & Drange, H. (2018). Atlantic Water heat transport
- 1851 variability in the 20th century Arctic Ocean from a global ocean model and observations.
- 1852 JGR Oceans, 123, 8159–8179, doi:10.1029/2018JC014327
- 1853 Muilwijk, M., Ilicak, M., Cornish, S. B., Danilov, S., Gelderloos, R., Gerdes, R., et al.
- 1854 (2019). Arctic Ocean response to Greenland Sea wind anomalies in a suite of model
- simulations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 124, doi:10.1029/2019JC015101
- NCSC 2020, Norwegian Climate Service Centre, Observations and weather statistics, web:
 https://klimaservicesenter.no/observations/
- 1858 Nilsen, J. E. Ø., Y. Gao, H. Drange, T. Furevik, & M. Bentsen (2003) Simulated North
- Atlantic-Nordic Seas water mass exchanges in an isopycnic coordinate OGCM, Geophys.
 Res. Lett., 30(10), 1536, doi:10.1029/2002GL016597.
- 1861 Nguyen, A. T., Pillar, H., Ocaña, V., Bigdeli, A., Smith, T. A. & Heimbach, P. (2020) The
- 1862 Arctic Subpolar gyre sTate Estimate (ASTE): Description and assessment of a data-
- 1863 constrained, dynamically consistent ocean-sea ice estimate for 2002-2017, submitted to
- 1864 Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems (JAMES), doi:10.1002/essoar.10504669.3
- Nilsen, J. E. Ø., Hátún, H., Mork, K. A., Valdimarsson, H. (2008). The NISE Dataset.
 Technical Report 08-01. Faroese Fisheries Laboratory, Box 3051, Tórshavn Faroe Islands.
- 1867 NMDC 2020, Norwegian Marine Data Centre, Data sets, web: https://nmdc.no/nmdc/datasets
- Notz, D. & Stroeve, J. (2016). Observed Arctic sea-ice loss directly follows anthropogenic
 CO2 emission. Science, 354, 747–750, doi:10.1126/science.aag2345
- 1870 Nøst, O. A., & Isachsen, P. E. (2003). The large-scale time-mean ocean circulation in the
- 1871 Nordic Seas and Arctic Ocean estimated from simplified dynamics. Journal of Marine
- 1872 Research, 61(2), 175–210, doi:10.1357/002224003322005069
- 1873 Madonna, E., Hes, G., Li, C., Michel, C., & Siew, P. Y. F. (2020). Control of Barents Sea
- wintertime cyclone variability by large-scale atmospheric flow. Geophysical Research
 Letters, 47, e2020GL090322. doi:10.1029/2020GL090322

- Ogawa, F., & Spengler, T. (2019). Prevailing surface wind direction during air-sea heat
 exchange. Journal of Climate, 32(17), 5601-5617, doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0752.1
- Olafsson, J., Olafsdottir, S. R., Takahashi, T., Danielsen, M., & Arnarson, T. S. (2021).
 Enhancement of the North Atlantic CO2 sink by Arctic Waters. Biogeosciences, 18(5), 16891701, doi:10.5194/bg-18-1689-2021
- Omar, A. M., T. Johannessen, A. Olsen, S. Kaltin, & F. Rey (2007), Seasonal and interannual
 variability of the air-seaCO(2) flux in the Atlantic sector of the Barents Sea, Mar Chem,
- 1883 104(3-4), 203-213, doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2006.11.002.
- Onarheim, I.H., Eldevik, T., Smedsrud, L. H. & Stroeve, J. C. (2018). Seasonal and regional
 manifestation of Arctic sea ice loss. Journal of Climate, 31, 4917–4932, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D17-0427.1
- Orvik, K.A., Skagseth, Ø. & Mork, M. (2001) Atlantic inflow to the Nordic Seas: current
 structure and volume fluxes from moored current meters, VM-ADCP and SeaSoar-CTD
 observations, 1995–1999, Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers, 48, 4,
 937-957, doi:10.1016/S0967-0637(00)00038-8
- Orvik, K. A., & Niiler, P. (2002). Major pathways of Atlantic water in the northern North
 Atlantic and Nordic Seas toward Arctic. Geophysical Research Letters, 29(19), 2-1, doi:
- 1893 10.1029/2002GL015002
- Oudar, T., Cattiaux, J., & Douville, H. (2020). Drivers of the northern extratropical eddy driven jet change in CMIP5 and CMIP6 models. Geophysical Research Letters, 47,
 e2019GL086695, doi:10.1029/2019GL086695
- Outten, S., Esau, I., & Otterå, O. H. (2018). Bjerknes compensation in the CMIP5 climate
 models. Journal of Climate, 31(21), 8745-8760, doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0058.1
- Overland, J. E., Turet, P., & Oort, A. H. (1996). Regional variations of moist static energy
 flux into the Arctic. Journal of Climate, 9, 54–65, doi:10.1175/15200442(1996)009<0054:RVOMSE>2.0.CO;2
- Papritz, L., & Grams, C. M. (2018). Linking low-frequency large-scale circulation patterns to
 cold air outbreak formation in the northeastern North Atlantic. Geophysical Research Letters,
 45(5), 2542-2553, doi:10.1002/2017GL076921
- Papritz, L., & Spengler, T. (2017). A Lagrangian climatology of wintertime cold air
 outbreaks in the Irminger and Nordic seas and their role in shaping air-sea heat fluxes.
 Journal of Climate, 30, 2717–2737, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0605.1
- Papritz, L. (2017). Synoptic environments and characteristics of cold air outbreaks in the
 Irminger Sea. International Journal of Climatology, 37, 193-207, doi:10.1002/joc.4991
- 1910 Papritz, L., Dunn-Sigouin, E. (2020). What configuration of the atmospheric circulation
- 1911 drives extreme net and total moisture transport into the Arctic. Geophysical Research Letters,
- 1912 47, e2020GL089769. doi:10.1029/2020GL089769

- 1913 Pemberton, P., Nilsson, J., Hieronymus, M., & Meier, H. E. M. (2015). Arctic Ocean Water
- 1914 Mass Transformation in S–T Coordinates, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 45(4), 1025-
- 1915 1050, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-14-0197.1
- 1916 Pérez-Hernández et al. (2019) Structure, Transport, and Seasonality of the Atlantic Water
- 1917 Boundary Current North of Svalbard: Results From a Yearlong Mooring Array. Journal of
- 1918 Geophysical Research: Oceans, 124, 3, doi:10.1029/2018JC014759
- 1919 Perovich, D. K., Light, B., Eicken, H., Jones, K. F., Runciman, K., Nghiem, S. V. (2007).
- 1920 Increasing solar heating of the Arctic Ocean and adjacent seas, 1979–2005: Attribution and
- role in the ice-albedo feedback. Geophysical Research Letters, 34, L19505,
- 1922 doi:10.1029/2007GL031480.
- 1923 Peixoto, J., & Oort, A. H. (1992). Physics of Climate: American Institute of Physics.
- 1924 Pipko, I., S. Pugach, I. Repina, O. Dudarev, A. Charkin, & I. Semiletov (2015), Distribution
- 1925 and air-sea fluxes of carbon dioxide on the Chukchi Sea shelf, Izvestiya, Atmospheric and
- 1926 Oceanic Physics, 51, 1088-1102, doi: 10.1134/S0001433815090133.
- Pithan, F., Mauritsen, T. (2014). Arctic amplification dominated by temperature feedbacks in
 contemporary climate models. Nature Geoscience, 7, 181–184, doi:10.1038/ngeo2071
- Pistone, K., Eisenman, I., & Ramanathan, V. (2019). Radiative heating of an ice-free Arctic
 Ocean. Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 7474–7480 doi:10.1029/2019GL082914
- 1931 Polyakov, I. V., G. V. Alekseev, L. a. Timokhov, U. S. Bhatt, R. L. Colony, H. L.Simmons,
- 1932 D. Walsh, J. E. Walsh, and V. F. Zakharov (2004), Variability of the Intermediate Atlantic
- 1933 Water of the Arctic Ocean over the Last 100 Years, Journal of Climate, 17(23), 4485–4497,
- 1934 doi:10.1175/JCLI-3224.1.
- 1935 Polyakov, I. V., V. a. Alexeev, U. S. Bhatt, E. I. Polyakova, & X. Zhang (2009), North
- 1936 Atlantic warming: patterns of long-term trend and multidecadal variability, Climate
- 1937 Dynamics, 34(2-3), 439–457, doi:10.1007/s00382-008-0522-3.
- Polyakov et al.(2017) Greater role for Atlantic inflows on sea-ice loss in the Eurasian Basin
 of the Arctic Ocean, Science doi:10.1126/science.aai8204.
- 1940 Rayner, N. A., Parker, D. E., Horton, E. B., Folland, C. K., Alexander, L. V., Rowell, D.
- P., Kent, E. C., & Kaplan, A. (2003), Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and
 night marine air temperature since the late nineteenth century, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4407,
 doi:10.1029/2002JD002670, D14.
- 1944 Renwick, J. A., & Wallace, J. M. (1996). Relationships between North Pacific wintertime
 1945 blocking, El Niño, and the PNA pattern. Monthly weather review, 124(9), 2071-2076,
- 1946 doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1996)124
- 1947 Rossby, T., Flagg, C., Chafik, L., Harden, B., & Søiland, H. (2018). A direct estimate of
- volume, heat, and freshwater exchange across the Greenland-Iceland-Faroe-Scotland Ridge.
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 123, 7139–7153, doi:10.1029/2018JC014250
 - 68

Rossby, T., Chafik, L., & Houpert, L. (2020). What can hydrography tell us about the 1950 strength of the Nordic Seas MOC over the last 70 to 100 years?. Geophysical Research 1951 Letters, 47, e2020GL087456, doi:10.1029/2020GL087456 1952 1953 Ruggieri, P., Alvarez-Castro, M. C., Athanasiadis, P., Bellucci, A., Materia, S., & Gualdi, S. 1954 (2020). North Atlantic circulation regimes and heat transport by synoptic eddies. Journal of Climate, 33(11), 4769-4785, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0498.1 1955 Rysgaard, S., Sogaard, D. H., Cooper, M., Pucko, M., Lennert, K., Papakyriakou, T. N., 1956 1957 Wang, F., Geilfus, N. X., Glud, R. N., Ehn, J., McGinnis, D. F., Attard, K., Sievers, J., Deming, J. W., & Barber, D. (2013) Ikaite crystal distribution in winter sea ice and 1958 implications for CO2 system dynamics, The Cryosphere, 7, 707-718, doi:10.5194/tc-7-707-1959 2013 1960 1961 Sampe, T., & Xie, S. P. (2007). Mapping high sea winds from space: A global climatology. 1962 Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 88(12), 1965-1978, doi:10.1175/BAMS-1963 1964 88-12-1965 1965 Sandø, A. B., Nilsen, J. Ø., Eldevik, T., & Bentsen, M. (2012). Mechanisms for variable 1966 North Atlantic-Nordic seas exchanges. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 117(C12), 1967 1968 doi: 10.1029/2012JC008177 1969 1970 Shaffrey, L., & Sutton, R. (2006). Bjerknes compensation and the decadal variability of the energy transports in a coupled climate model. Journal of Climate, 19(7), 1167-1181, 1971 1972 doi:10.1175/JCLI3652.1 1973 Schaffer, J., Kanzow, T. von Appen, W. et al. (2020) Bathymetry constrains ocean heat 1974 1975 supply to Greenland's largest glacier tongue. Nat. Geosci, doi:10.1038/s41561-019-0529-x 1976 Schauer, U., & Beszczynska-Möller, A. (2009). Problems with estimation and interpretation 1977 of oceanic heat transport-conceptual remarks for the case of Fram Strait in the Arctic Ocean. 1978 1979 Ocean Science, 5(4), 487–494. https://doi.org/10.5194/os-5-487-2009 1980 1981 Schweiger, A.J., K.R. Wood, & J. Zhang (2019) Arctic Sea Ice Volume Variability over 1982 1901-2010: A Model-Based Reconstruction. J. Climate, 32, 4731-4752, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0008.1 1983 1984 1985 Segtnan, O. H., T. Furevik, & A. D. Jenkins (2011), Heat and freshwater budgets of the 1986 Nordic seas computed from atmospheric reanalysis and ocean observations, J. Geophys. Res., 116, C11003, doi:10.1029/2011JC006939. 1987 1988 1989 Seierstad, I. A., Stephenson, D. B., & Kvamstø, N. G. (2007). How useful are teleconnection 1990 patterns for explaining variability in extratropical storminess? Tellus A: Dynamic 1991 Meteorology and Oceanography, 59 (2), 170-181, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0870.2007.00226.x 1992 1993 Selyuzhenok, V., Bashmachnikov, I., Ricker, R., Vesman, A., & Bobylev, L. (2020) Sea ice 1994 volume variability and water temperature in the Greenland Sea. The Cryosphere, 14, 477-1995 495, doi:10.5194/tc-14-477-2020

- Semper, S., Våge, K., Pickart, R.S., Valdimarsson, H., Torres, D.J. & Jónsson, S. (2019) The
 emergence of the North Icelandic Jet and its evolution from northeast Iceland to Denmark
 Strait. Journal of Physical Oceanography, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-19-0088.1.
- Semper, S., Pickart, R.S., Våge, K., Larsen, K.M.H., Hátún, H. & Hansen, B. (2020) The
 Iceland-Faroe Slope Jet: a conduit for dense water toward the Faroe Bank Channel overflow.
 Nature Communications 11, 5390, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19049-5
- Serreze, M. C., A. P. Barrett, A. G. Slater, M. Steele, J. Zhang, & K. E. Trenberth (2007),
 The large-scale energy budget of the Arctic, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D11122,
 doi:10.1029/2006JD008230
- 2005
- Skagseth, Ø. T. Eldevik, M. Årthun, H. Asbjørnsen, V. Lien & L. H. Smedsrud (2020)
 Reduced efficiency of the Barents Sea cooling machine. Nature Climate Change, 2020,
 doi:10.1038/s41558-020-0772-6
- 2009
- Skjelvan, I., Johannesen, T. & Miller, L. A., (1999), Interannual variability of fCO2 in the
 Greenland and Norwegian Seas, Tellus, 51B, 477-489, doi:10.3402/tellusb.v51i2.16327
- 2012
 2013 Skjelvan, I., et al. (2005) A review of the inorganic carbon cycle of the Nordic Seas and
 2014 Barents Sea, 157-176 in The Nordic Seas: an integrated perspective, AGU Geophysical
- Monograph 158 (eds. H. Drange, T. Dokken, T. Furevik, R. Gerdes, and W. Berger), 2005 2016
- Slater, D. A., Straneo, F., Felikson, D., Little, C. M., Goelzer, H., Fettweis, X., & Holte, J.
 (2019) Estimating Greenland tidewater glacier retreat driven by submarine melting, The
 Cryosphere, 13, 2489–2509, doi:10.5194/tc-13-2489-2019.
- Slater, D. A., Goldberg, D. N., Nienow, P. W., & Cowton, T. R. (2016): Scalings for
 submarine melting at tidewater glaciers from buoyant plume theory, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 46,
 1839–1855, doi:0.1175/JPO-D-15-0132.1.
- Sorteberg, A., & Kvingedal, B. (2006). Atmospheric forcing on the Barents Sea winter ice
 extent. Journal of Climate, 19(19), 4772-4784, doi:10.1175/jcli3885.1
- Steele, M., Morley, R., & Ermold, W. (2001). PHC: A global ocean hydrography with a highquality Arctic Ocean. Journal of Climate, 14(9), 2079-2087, doi:10.1175/15200442(2001)014
- 2031
- Stigebrandt, A. (1981), A model for the thickness and salinity of the upper layer in the Arctic
 Ocean and the relationship between the ice thickness and some external parameters. J. Phys.
 Oceanogr., 11: 1407-1422, doi:10.1175/1520-0485(1981)011<1407:AMFTTA>2.0.CO;2
- Simonsen, K., & P. M. Haugan (1996), Heat budgets for the Arctic Mediterranean and sea
 surface heat flux parameterizations for the Nordic Seas, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 6553–6576,
 doi:10.1029/95JC03305
- 2039
- Smedsrud, L. H., R. Ingvaldsen, J. E. Ø. Nilsen, & Ø. Skagseth (2010), Heat in the Barents
 Sea: Transport, storage and surface fluxes, Ocean Sci., 6(1), 219–234, doi:10.5194/os-6-2192042
- 2043

- Smedsrud, L. H., et al. (2013), The role of the Barents Sea in the Arctic climate system, Rev.
 Geophys., 51, doi:10.1002/rog.20017.
- 2046
- 2047 Smedsrud, L.H., Halvorsen, M. H., Stroeve, J.C., Zhang, R., & Kloster, K. (2017)
- Fram Strait sea ice export variability and September Arctic sea ice extent over the last 80 years. The Cryosphere (2017), 11, 65-79, doi:10.5194/tc-11-65-2017
- 2050
- Straneo, F., Sutherland, D.A., Holland, D., Gladish, C., Hamilton, G.S., Johnson, H.L.,
 Rignot, E., Xu, Y. & Koppes, M. (2012) Characteristics of ocean waters reaching Greenland's
 glaciers. *Annals of Glaciology*, *53*(60), 202-210, doi:10.3189/2012AoG60A059
- 2054
- Straneo, F. & Heimbach, P. (2013) North Atlantic warming and the retreat of Greenland's
 outlet glaciers. Nature 504, 36–43 doi:10.1038/nature12854
- Stocker, A.N., Renner, A.H. & Knol-Kauffman, M., 2020. Sea ice variability and maritime
 activity around Svalbard in the period 2012–2019. *Scientific reports*, *10*(1), pp.1-12,
 doi:10.1038/s41598-020-74064-2
- Spall, M.A. (2004): Boundary Currents and Watermass Transformation in Marginal Seas. J.
 Phys. Oceanogr., 34, 1197–1213, doi:10.1175/1520-0485
- Sverdrup, H.U., Johnson, M.W., Fleming, R.H. (1942) The Oceans: Their Physics, Chemistry
 and General Biology. Prentice-Hall, New York, 1042 pp.
- Swift, J.H. & Aagaard, K. (1981) Seasonal transitions and water mass formation in the
 Iceland and Greenland seas. Deep-Sea Research A 28, 1107-1129, doi:10.1016/01980149(81)90050-9.
- 2068
- Takahashi, T., et al. (2009), Climatological mean and decadal change in surface ocean
 pCO(2), and net sea-air CO2 flux over the global oceans, Deep-Sea Res Pt Ii, 56(8-10), 554577, doi: 10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.12.009.
- 2072 Takahashi, T., Olafsson, J., Goddard, J. G., Chipman, D. W., & Sutherland, S. C. (1993)
- 2073 Seasonal-Variation of Co2 and Nutrients in the High-Latitude Surface Oceans a
- 2074 Comparative-Study, Global Biogeochem Cy, 7, 843-878, doi:10.1029/93GB02263
- Terpstra, A., Renfrew, I. A., & Sergeev, D. E. (2021). Characteristics of Cold-Air Outbreak
 Events and Associated Polar Mesoscale Cyclogenesis over the North Atlantic Region. Journal
 of Climate, 34(11), 4567-4584, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0595.1
- Timmermans, M.-L., & Marshall, J. (2020) Understanding Arctic Ocean circulation: A
 review of ocean dynamics in a changing climate. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans,
 125, e2018JC014378, doi:10.1029/2018JC014378
- Tsujino, Hiroyuki, et al. (2018) JRA-55 based surface dataset for driving ocean–sea-ice
 models (JRA55-do), Ocean Modelling 130, 79-139, doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2018.07.002
- Trenberth, K. E., & Shea, D. J. (2006). Atlantic hurricanes and natural variability in 2005.
 Geophysical Research Letters, 33, L12704, doi:10.1029/2006GL026894
- 2085 Trenberth, K. E., & Fasullo, J. T. (2017). Atlantic meridional heat transports computed from
- balancing Earth's energy locally. Geoph. Res. Lett., 44(4), 1919-1927,
- 2087 doi:10.1002/2016GL072475

Trenberth, K. E., Zhang, Y., Fasullo, J. T., & Cheng, L. (2019). Observation-based estimates
of global and basin ocean meridional heat transport time series. J. Climate, 32, 4567-4583,
doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0872.1

- 2091 Trigo, R.M., Valente, M.A., Trigo, I.F., Miranda, P.M.A., Ramos, A.M., Paredes, D. &
- García-Herrera, R. (2008). The Impact of North Atlantic Wind and Cyclone Trends on
 European Precipitation and Significant Wave Height in the Atlantic. Annals of the New York
- 2094 Academy of Sciences, 1146: 212-234, doi:10.1196/annals.1446.014
- Tsubouchi, T. et. al (2020) Increased ocean heat transport into the Nordic Seas and Arctic
 Ocean over the period 1993-2016. Nature Climate Change, doi:10.1038/s41558-020-00941-3
- Vikebø, F. et al. (2003) Wave height variations in the North Sea and on the Norwegian
 Continental Shelf, 1881–1999, Continental Shelf Research 23 (2003) 251–263,
- 2099 doi:10.1016/S0278-4343(02)00210-8
- Visbeck et al., 1995. Preconditioning the Greenland Sea for deep convection: ice formation
 and ice drift. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 100, C9, doi: 10.1029/95JC01611.
- 2102 Våge, K., Pickart, R.S., Spall, M.A., Valdimarsson, H., Jónsson, S., Torres, D.J., Østerhus,
- S., Eldevik, T. (2011) Significant role of the North Icelandic Jet in the formation of Denmark
 Strait overflow water. Nature Geoscience 4, 723-727, doi:10.1038/ngeo1234.
- Våge, K. et al. (2015) Water mass transformation in the Iceland Sea, Deep Sea Research,
 101, 98-109, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2015.04.001.
- Våge K., L. Papritz, L. Håvik, M.A. Spall, & G.W.K. Moore (2018) Ocean convection linked
 to the recent ice edge retreat along east Greenland. Nature Communications, 9,
 doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03468-6
- Walsh, J. E. F. Fetterer, J. S. Stewart, & W. L. Chapman (2017) A database for depicting
 Arctic sea ice variations back to 1850. Geogr. Rev., 107, 89–107, doi:10.1111/j.19310846.2016.12195.x.
- Watson, A.J., P.D. Nightingale, & D. J. Cooper (1995) Modelling atmosphere-ocean CO2
 transfer, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B, 348, 125-132, doi:10.1098/rstb.1995.0054
- 2115 Wernli, H. & C. Schwierz (2006) Surface Cyclones in the ERA-40 Dataset (1958–2001). Part
- I: Novel Identification Method and Global Climatology. J. Atmos. Sci., 63, 2486–2507,
- 2117 doi:10.1175/JAS3766.1
- 2118 Werenskiold, W. (1935) Coastal Currents. Geofys. Publ., Vol. X, p. 13.
- 2119 Wettstein, J. J., & Wallace, J. M. (2010). Observed patterns of month-to-month storm-track
- 2120 variability and their relationship to the background flow. Journal of the Atmospheric
- 2121 Sciences, 67(5), 1420-1437, doi:10.1175/2009JAS3194.1

- 2122 Wilson, N. J. & F. Straneo (2015), Water exchange between the continental shelf and the
- cavity beneath Nioghalvfjerdsbræ (79 North Glacier), Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 7648–7654,
 doi:10.1002/2015GL064944

Woodgate, R. A., Aagaard, K., Weingartner, T. J. (2006). Interannual changes in the Bering
Strait fluxes of volume, heat and freshwater between 1991 and 2004. Geophysical Research
Letters, 33, L15609, doi:10.1029/2006GL026931

- Woods, C., Caballero, R., & Svensson, G. (2013). Large-scale circulation associated with
 moisture intrusions into the Arctic during winter. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(17),
 4717-4721, doi: 10.1002/grl.50912
- Woollings, T., Hannachi, A., & Hoskins, B. (2010). Variability of the North Atlantic eddydriven jet stream. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 136(649), 856-868,
 doi:10.1002/qj.625Woollings, T., Czuchnicki, C., & Franzke, C. (2014). Twentieth century
 North Atlantic jet variability. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society,
- 2135 140(680), 783-791, doi:10.1002/qj.2197
- Wunsch, C., 2020: Is the Ocean Speeding Up? Ocean Surface Energy Trends. J. Phys.
 Oceanogr., 50, 3205–3217, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-20-0082.1.
- 2138 Yashayaev, I. & Seidov, D. (2015) The role of the Atlantic Water in multidecadal ocean
- variability in the Nordic and Barents Seas. Prog. Oceanogr. 132, 68–127,
- 2140 doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2014.11.009
- Yasunaka, S., et al. (2018) Arctic Ocean CO2 uptake: an improved multiyear estimate of the
 air–sea CO2 flux incorporating chlorophyll a concentrations, Biogeosciences, 15, 1643–1661,
 doi:10.5194/bg-15-1643-2018.
- Young, I. R. & Ribal, A. (2019) Multiplatform evaluation of global trends in wind speed and
 wave height, Science, Vol. 364, Issue 6440, pp. 548-552, doi: 10.1126/science.aav9527
- 2146 Zhang, J., Steele, M., Rothrock, D. A., & Lindsay, R. W. (2004). Increasing exchanges at
- Greenland-Scotland Ridge and their links with the North Atlantic Oscillation and Arctic sea ice. Geophysical research letters, 31(9), doi: 10.1029/2003GL019304
- 2149 Østerhus, S. et al. (2019) Arctic Mediterranean exchanges: a consistent volume budget and
- trends in transports from two decades of observations, Ocean Sci., 15, 379-399,
 doi:10.5194/os-15-379-2019
- 2152 Årthun, M., Eldevik, T., Smedsrud, L. H., Skagseth, Ø., & Ingvaldsen, R. (2012),
- 2153 Quantifying the influence of Atlantic heat on Barents Sea ice variability and retreat, J. Clim., 2154 25, 4736–4743, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00466.1.
- 2154 25, 4/36–4/43, doi:10.11/5/JCLI-D-11-00466.1.
- Årthun, M. & Eldevik, T. (2016) On Anomalous Ocean Heat Transport toward the Arctic and
 Associated Climate Predictability, J.lim. 29(2), 689–704, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0448.1