Flow regulates biological NO3 - and N2O production in a turbid sub-tropical stream

Naomi Wells¹ and Bradley Eyre¹

¹Southern Cross University

November 23, 2022

Abstract

Streams play a critical role in attenuating the excess reactive nitrogen generated from human activities. These systems can consequently also emit significant amounts of N2O, a potent greenhouse gas. Models and manipulative experiments now suggest that hydrology regulates the balance between nitrogen removal and N2O production. We aimed to empirically test this hypothesis by measuring changes in the concentration and isotopic composition of NO3- (δ 18O, δ 15N) and N2O (δ 18O, δ 15N, site preference) in hyporheic sediments and surface water of a 30 m reach over eight days of falling stream discharge (2.7 to 1.8 m3 s-1). The stream was persistently heterotrophic (productivity/respiration: 0.005 - 0.2), while changes in conductivity, δ 18O-H2O, and 222Rn indicated that hyporheic mixing decreased and net groundwater inputs increased as discharge declined. The shallow groundwater had high inorganic N concentrations (2 - 10 mg l-1), but increased groundwater inputs could not fully explain the concurrent increases in NO3- (1 - 3 mg N l-1) and N2O (700 to 1000 % saturation) in the surface water. Biologically, rather than solely hydrologically, regulated stream nitrogen export was confirmed by changes in N2O and NO3-isotopic composition. However, isotope patterns indicated that nitrification, not denitrification, increased surface water NO3- and N2O concentrations as hyporheic exchange decreased. These findings empirically demonstrate how flow dynamics regulate biological NO3- production as well as transport, with implications for predicting aquatic N2O emissions.

Hosted file

essoar.10506161.1.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/545712/articles/602125-flow-regulates-biological-no3-and-n2o-production-in-a-turbid-sub-tropical-stream

1	Flow regulates biological NO3 ⁻ and N2O production in a turbid sub-tropical stream
2	
3	
4	Naomi S. Wells ^{1*} , Bradley Eyre ¹
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	¹ Centre for Coastal Biogeochemistry, School of Environment, Science & Engineering,
10	Southern Cross University, PO Box 157, East Lismore, 2480 NSW, Australia
11	
12	*Author for correspondence: <u>naomi.wells@scu.edu.au</u>
13	
14	Under review at Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26 Abstract

Streams play a critical role in attenuating the excess reactive nitrogen generated from 27 human activities. These systems can consequently also emit significant amounts of N₂O, a 28 29 potent greenhouse gas. Models and manipulative experiments now suggest that hydrology 30 regulates the balance between nitrogen removal and N₂O production. We aimed to empirically test this hypothesis by measuring changes in the concentration and isotopic 31 composition of NO₃⁻ (δ^{18} O, δ^{15} N) and N₂O (δ^{18} O, δ^{15} N, site preference) in hyporheic 32 33 sediments and surface water of a 30 m reach over eight days of falling stream discharge (2.7 to 1.8 m³ s⁻¹). The stream was persistently heterotrophic (productivity/respiration: 0.005 -34 0.2), while changes in conductivity, δ^{18} O-H₂O, and ²²²Rn indicated that hyporheic mixing 35 decreased and net groundwater inputs increased as discharge declined. The shallow 36 groundwater had high inorganic N concentrations $(2 - 10 \text{ mg l}^{-1})$, but increased groundwater 37 inputs could not fully explain the concurrent increases in NO_{3⁻} $(1 - 3 \text{ mg N l}^{-1})$ and N₂O (700 38 to 1000 % saturation) in the surface water. Biologically, rather than solely hydrologically, 39 40 regulated stream nitrogen export was confirmed by changes in N_2O and NO_3^- isotopic composition. However, isotope patterns indicated that nitrification, not denitrification, 41 42 increased surface water NO₃⁻ and N₂O concentrations as hyporheic exchange decreased. These findings empirically demonstrate how flow dynamics regulate biological NO₃⁻ 43 44 production as well as transport, with implications for predicting aquatic N₂O emissions. 45 **Keywords:** stable isotopes, isotopomers, hyporheic zone, surface water – groundwater 46 interactions, freshwater tidal zone, sub-tropics 47 48

49

51 **1. Introduction**

Excess reactive nitrogen (N) generated from human activities like agriculture and 52 fossil fuel combustion causes a range of environmental damages, from biodiversity loss 53 through to global climate change (Fowler et al., 2013; Galloway et al., 2008). Streams and 54 rivers play a critical role in regulating these damages as they determine the form and quantity 55 of N transported from land to sea (Boyer et al., 2006; McCrackin et al., 2014; Tysmans et al., 56 57 2013). However, the same conditions that maximise reactive N removal may also promote the release of nitrous oxide (N₂O), an ozone depleting greenhouse gas with 298 times the 58 59 warming potential of carbon dioxide (CO₂) (Alexander et al., 2009; Quick et al., 2019; Turner et al., 2015). Evaluations of the biological and hydrological controls on reactive N removal in 60 streams must therefore be expanded to account for potential trade-offs with N₂O emissions. 61 62 At the global scale, waterways with higher surface water nitrate (NO_3^{-}) concentrations tend to emit more N₂O (Hu et al., 2016; Murray et al., 2015). However, it is 63 not yet clear whether this correlation is causal, as within-reach studies frequently show 64 decoupling of NO₃⁻ and N₂O fluxes (e.g., Comer-Warner et al., 2020b). This disconnect 65 reflects the complexity of N cycling, which can be both assimilated and/or converted via 66 dissimilatory microbial pathways through seven redox states. Nitrous oxide is an intermediate 67 product of both microbial N oxidation (nitrification, $NH_3 \rightarrow NO_3$) and reduction 68 69 (denitrification, $NO_3 \rightarrow N_2$), plus other minor microbial processes (Quick et al., 2019). 70 Positive correlation between NO₃⁻ and N₂O can thus be produced by either, 1) nitrificationlimited N₂O and NO₃⁻ production, or, 2) NO₃⁻-limited denitrification producing N₂O. 71 Stream N₂O emissions are often assumed to come primarily from denitrification 72 73 (Beaulieu et al., 2011; Rosamond et al., 2012). Typically O₂ saturated surface waters confine 74 denitrification to anoxic zones in sediments and suspended particles (Reisinger et al., 2016;

75 Seitzinger et al., 2006; Xia et al., 2017), so stream denitrification depends on both the extent

76 of anoxic zone formation (capacity) and efficiency of NO₃⁻ transport to these anoxic zones (opportunity). Capacity is affected by C availability, sediment composition, and biological 77 productivity (Christensen et al., 1990; Covino et al., 2018; Eyre and Ferguson, 2009; 78 79 Trimmer et al., 2012). Stream hydrology controls the opportunity for N removal: slower flow 80 velocities increase time for reactions to occur (Alexander et al., 2009; Briggs et al., 2014; Marcé et al., 2018), while vertical hyporheic zone surface water – groundwater mixing moves 81 82 NO₃⁻ through anoxic zones (Gomez-Velez et al., 2015; Krause et al., 2017). However, N₂O fluxes are only inconsistently explained by denitrification capacity factors like NO₃⁻ 83 84 concentrations (Hu et al., 2016), NO₃⁻ consumption rates (Comer-Warner et al., 2020b; 85 Laursen and Seitzinger, 2004), or O₂ (Baulch et al., 2012; Clough et al., 2007; Reading et al., 2017; Rosamond et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2018). New models propose overcoming these 86 inconsistencies by focusing on hydrology (opportunity). These predict that stream N2O is 87 88 highest under unstable or intermediate flow conditions, when N₂O is stripped from anoxic NO_3^- reduction zones before it can be reduced to N_2 (Marzadri et al., 2017; Quick et al., 89 90 2016). This fits with growing evidence that both opportunity and capacity must be accounted 91 for to predict assimilatory stream N removal (Covino et al., 2018). Yet difficulty quantifying 92 the hyporheic dynamics underpinning redox-dependent N cycling means large uncertainties persist in estimates of both stream NO₃⁻ removal and N₂O emissions (Hampton et al., 2020). 93 94 The aim of this study was to empirically test whether the same mechanisms control 95 stream export of NO_3^- and N_2O . Dropping stream flow during a diurnal metabolism study provided a unique opportunity to test the hypothesis that opportunity (hydrology), more than 96 capacity (biology), regulates stream N₂O emissions and NO₃⁻ removal. We measured changes 97 98 in the concentration and natural abundance isotopic composition of NO_3^- and N_2O in the 99 surface waters and porewaters (5 cm depth and 20 cm depth) of a stream both during the 100 night v day (changing capacity) and over time as the stream height fell (changing

101	opportunity). This approach is based on evidence that nitrification and denitrification
102	systematically discriminate against light isotopes (Boshers et al., 2019; Casciotti et al., 2003;
103	Granger and Wankel, 2016) so that NO ₃ ⁻ isotopic composition ($\delta^{18}O$, $\delta^{15}N$) reflects stream N
104	cycling (Cohen et al., 2012; Wells et al., 2016). Similarly, N ₂ O isotopes ($\delta^{15}N$, $\delta^{18}O$) and
105	isotopomers (intramolecular site preference of ¹⁵ N, SP) help constrain the relative influence
106	of NO_3^- reduction, NH_3 oxidation, and N_2O reduction on N_2O fluxes (Ostrom and Ostrom,
107	2017; Well et al., 2012).

108

109 2. Materials & Methods

—1.

110 2.1 Site description

111 The study was carried out in Monaltrie / Gundurimba Creek, which drains into the 112 Wilson River in Lismore, New South Wales, Australia (28°50'57"S, 153°16'27"E) (Fig. 1). 113 The stream is tidal with muddy sediments. The site is underlain by fractured basalt, including unconfined shallow aquifers, and sharp topography constrains water flow paths (Brodie et al., 114 115 2007; Brodie et al., 2003). The region has a semi-tropical climate with warm, wet summers and cool, dry winters, with mean temperatures ranging from 13°C to 28°C. Mean annual 116 rainfall is 1,200 mm rain. Effluent from a nearby wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) 117 discharges into Monaltrie Creek and the shallow groundwater upstream from the study site 118 119 (Fig. 1), leading to elevated DIN concentrations in both the surface and groundwater (Table 120 1). Local shallow groundwater is $\sim 21^{\circ}$ C and the surface water between 19 and 27 °C. 121 2.2 Experimental design 122

Surface water (*SW*), surface sediment porewaters (*S*), and hyporheic zone water (*HZ*)
were sampled at nine locations across a 30 m stream reach over five 24 h periods spanning
eight days in April 2017 (late summer). We logged changes in N₂O, as well as supporting

chemical (O₂, CO₂, CH₄, conductivity) and hydrologic (radon, ²²²Rn, as a proxy for 126 groundwater inputs, as well as temperature, flow velocity, and stream height) parameters 127 every $1 \text{ s}^{-1} - 30 \text{ min}^{-1}$ in the centre of the sampled reach. This intensive local sampling design 128 129 enabled us to identify 'hot spot' zones of surface water -groundwater interactions and directly 130 relate subsurface processes to temporal surface dynamics. Discrete samplings were timed to the O₂ maxima and minima of each day in order to fully capture stream N variability (Baulch 131 132 et al., 2012; Christensen et al., 1990): samples were collected at 02:00 and 14:00 on D1, D2, and D4, then at low and high tides for D6 and D8 after reviewing O2 data. Climate data (wind 133 134 speed, air temperature, rainfall) was downloaded from the Bureau of Meteorology station (http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/dwo/IDCJDW2074.latest.shtml, accessed 16/06/2017). 135

136

137 2.2.1 Discrete samples

Surface water samples were collected by filling a 1 L bottle and then sub-sampling 138 into vials using a 20 ml syringe (Fig. 1). For the surface sediments (S), diffusive equilibration 139 140 in thin-film (DET) probes were degassed for 8 hr in a 0.01M NaCl solution purged with N_2 , 141 then installed to 5 cm depth six hours prior to sampling (Huang et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2019). Probes were collected prior to the surface grab samples and stored wrapped in 142 cellophane. Hyporheic samples were collected from piezometers (20 cm internal diameter) 143 144 installed 20 cm below the sediment surface. A 50 ml syringe was used to collect HZ water, 145 with the first 50 ml discarded to purge the well. The SW and HZ samples were either passed through 0.22 μ m syringe tip filters (polyethersulfone, Minisart) for inorganic nutrient (PO₄³⁻, 146 NO_3^- , and NH_4^+) and NO_3^- dual isotope analyses, or passed through a 0.45 µm syringe tip 147 148 filter (polyethersulfone, Minisart) into a pre-combusted 40 ml glass vial sealed with a Teflon septa for organic carbon (DOC) analysis. Samples were frozen at -20°C until analysis. 149 Additional surface water samples for δ^{15} N-N₂O, δ^{18} O-N₂O, and SP-N₂O were collected in 150

151 500 ml glass crimp-top bottles (Wheaton). These were stored in the dark at 4°C, and analysed 152 within one month of collection. Conductivity (Eutech Instruments) in *HZ* and *SW* samples, 153 and temperature and O_2 (Hach LDO) in *HZ* samples, were measured in the field prior to 154 filtering. The DET probes were cut along the sediment – surface water line, placed into a 155 sterile plastic vial, and frozen at -20°C until analysis.

156

157 2.2.2 Continuous measurements

Loggers were installed at B2 (Fig. 1). Flow velocity (v) and water depth (h) were 158 159 logged every 10 min using an ultrasonic Doppler instrument (Starflow, Unidata) placed on the sediment surface, and water chemistry, including DO, logged every 30 minutes using a 160 Hydrolab HL4 installed 20 cm below the water surface. Other dissolved gasses (²²²Rn, CO₂, 161 162 CH₄, and N₂O) were measured by continuously pumping water from 20 cm below the surface 163 through a showerhead exchanger (Durridge). Air from the exchanger was pumped through a Drierite column to remove moisture, and then to either a Picarro G2308 (N₂O, CH₄), LI-COR 164 LI-820 (CO₂), or a Durridge RAD7 (²²²Rn). Carbon dioxide and N₂O concentrations were 165 logged ~1 s⁻¹ and ²²²Rn every 10 min. Measurement precision was 0.05% for N₂O. Picarro 166 stability was confirmed prior to use using N₂ (0 ppm N₂O) and N₂O (28 ppm) gasses. The LI-167 COR was calibrated prior to deployment (0 ppm, 1000 ppm), and had 1 ppm precision. 168

169

170 2.3 Sample analyses

171 Inorganic nutrient concentrations were measured using a Lachat Flow Injection 172 Analyser, see Eyre and Pont (2003) for details. Note NO_3^- was measured as $NO_3^-+NO_2^-$ and 173 NH_4^+ as $NH_3+NH_4^+$. DOC concentrations were measured via continuous flow wet oxidation 174 on an Aurora 1030W TOC analyser. For *S* samples, DET gels were extracted overnight using

175	10 ml deionised water, which was then analysed for NO_3^- and NH_4^+ concentrations and NO_3^-
176	dual isotope composition (Comer-Warner et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2016).
177	Water isotopes (δ^{18} O-H ₂ O) in <i>SW</i> and <i>HZ</i> samples was measured on a High
178	Temperature Conversion Elemental Analyzer (Thermo-Fisher TC-EA) coupled to an IRMS
179	(Thermo-Fisher Delta-V plus) via a Thermo-Fisher ConFlo IV. Samples were measured 5x (1
180	μ L injection) to account for carryover, and calibrated using VSMOW2 ($\delta^{18}O = 0.0\%$) and
181	SLAP2 ($\delta^{18}O = -55.5\%$) standards. Dual NO ₃ ⁻ + NO ₂ ⁻ isotopes ($\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{18}O$) were
182	measured using a Pseudomonas aureofaciens culture genetically modified to block N2O
183	reduction to quantitatively convert NO_x^- to N_2O (McIlvin and Casciotti, 2011). Sample
184	batches were prepared along with triplicate culture blanks and the internationally certified
185	standards USGS34, USGS32, and USGS35. The produced $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{18}O$ composition of the
186	produced N_2O was measured using a Thermo Fisher Delta V Plus IRMS fitted with a custom
187	gas bench (Thermo Fisher Gasbench II). The isotopic composition of $SW N_2O$ ($\delta^{15}N-N_2O$,
188	δ^{18} O-N ₂ O, and SP, where SP = ^{α} N - ^{β} N) was measured after injecting a 50 ml helium
189	headspace into the 500 ml sample bottles, allowing samples to equilibrate for >2 hr, and
190	adjusting the gas bench for manual injection (Murray et al., 2018). Data were corrected
191	offline as described in Toyoda and Yoshida (1999), and calibrated from triplicate

193

192

2.4 Data analysis 194

Analyses were carried out in R v 4.0 / R Studio v 1.3.959. Stream discharge (Q, m³ s⁻ 195 ¹) was calculated from the logged flow velocity (v_{i} in m s⁻¹) and reach cross-sectional area 196 (width \times *h*). Downstream discharge of DOC and NO₃⁻ was calculated as the measured 197 concentration (g m⁻³) × Q (m³ s⁻¹) for the 3 h around sample collection. Water chemistry 198 differences were determined using mixed models, with sample locations as repeated 199

measurements of three internationally-verified N_2O isotope standards (Mohn et al., 2014).

200 measures, time and depth as continuous variables, and width and length locations as fixed 201 factors, using lmer4 and merTools (Bates et al., 2015; Knowles and Frederick, 2019). Due to 202 sample numbers, tests were run separately for each depth layer. Figures were generated with 203 ggplot2, patchwork, and ggpubr (Kassambara, 2020; Pedersen, 2019; Wickham, 2016).

204

205 2.4.1 Biogenic gasses

Gross primary productivity (GPP) and ecosystem respiration (ER) were estimated as functions of light using a maximum likelihood estimation model from streamMetabolizer R (Appling et al., 2018). Estimates were based on measured O_2 concentrations, water temperatures, Q, h, and the estimated solar radiation for the location × time.

N₂O, CO₂, and CH₄ data were block-averaged at 1 min⁻¹ intervals, and dissolved 210 concentrations calculated based on the partial pressure (μ atm) and solubility (K_0 , in mol atm⁻¹ 211 1⁻¹) calculated for each gas for a given temperature and conductivity. Dissolved ²²²Rn 212 concentrations (dpm l⁻¹) were calculated as per Santos et al. (2012), dissolved N₂O (µg N l⁻¹) 213 using the K_0 defined in Weiss and Price (1980), and CO₂ (mg l⁻¹) as per Wanninkhof (2014). 214 Time-lags created by variable gas equilibration times were applied to the data using values 215 previously calculated for this sampling set-up (15 min for N₂O and CO₂, 30 min for ²²²Rn) 216 (Erler et al., 2015; Maher et al., 2013; Santos et al., 2012). The transfer velocity between the 217 surface water and air (k), which is regulated by v and h (Hall and Ulseth, 2019), was 218 219 calculated for each 1 min of data using three of the empirical equations outlined in (Raymond et al., 2012) and used to calculate mean daily water-to-air N₂O fluxes (Suppl. Mat. 1). 220 Dissolved N₂O isotope and isotopomer values were corrected for mixing with 221 atmospheric N₂O to ensure that variations in concentrations did not bias the data, as 222 suggested by (Thuss et al., 2014). This was done using a two-pool mixing model (Eq. 1): 223 224 (Eq. 1) $\delta_{measured} = f_{air}\delta_{air} + f_{stream}\delta_{stream}$

$$1 = f_{air} + f_{stream}$$

where f_{air} is defined based on N₂O saturation, making this a conservative estimate of two-way air-water mixing (Eq. 2):

228 (Eq. 2)
$$f_{air} = \frac{0.32 \times K_0}{N20_{measured}}$$

where 0.32 is the partial pressure of N₂O in the air and N₂O_{measured} is the measured partial pressure dissolved in the surface water. We then solved Eq. 1 assuming that 'air' N₂O had the concentration and isotopic composition of tropospheric N₂O: δ^{15} N-N₂O = 7.0 ‰, δ^{18} O-N₂O = 43.7 ‰, SP = 18.7 ‰ (Yoshida and Toyoda, 2000). Dissolved δ^{18} O-N₂O values were normalised to surface water δ^{18} O-H₂O values (Lewicka-Szczebak et al., 2017), to correct for O exchange during N₂O production (Snider et al., 2009; Snider et al., 2013).

235

236 2.4.2 Surface water – groundwater interactions

Two approaches helped constrain surface water – groundwater mixing. First, the proportion of surface water in the hyporheic zone was estimated from conductivity (Eq. 3):

239 (Eq. 3):
$$f_{sw} = \frac{c_{GW} - c_{HZ}}{c_{GW} - c_{SW}}$$

where conductivity measured in sub-surface wells (C_{HZ}) is compared to the range of 240 conductivity range reported for shallow site groundwater (C_{GW} ; Table 1) and measured in the 241 242 surface water (C_{SW}). Eq. 3 was solved for each sampling point using the mean \pm SD of each parameter. Second, the measured diurnal ²²²Rn fluctuations were used to approximate 243 groundwater inputs to the surface water. This approach is based on the knowledge that 244 relatively short surface water ²²²Rn residence times mean that measured concentrations must 245 come from subsurface inputs (Burnett et al., 2010; Khadka et al., 2017). The groundwater 246 flux into the surface water (Q_{GW} , in m³ s⁻¹) was estimated by mass balance (Eq. 4): 247

248 (Eq. 4):
$$Q_{GW} = \frac{F_{decay} + F_{air} + Q_{low} Rn_{low} - Q_{high} Rn_{high}}{Rn_{GW}}$$

where F_{decay} is ²²²Rn removal due to radioactive decay and F_{air} is the ²²²Rn water-air flux, 249 calculated using constants and parameterisations from Burnett et al. (2010), and the measured 250 differences between ²²²Rn fluxes at low ($Q_{low} \times Rn_{low}$, in m³ s⁻¹ × dpm m⁻³) and high ($Q_{high} \times Rn_{low}$) 251 Rn_{high}) tides approximate upstream ²²²Rn inputs v. downstream exports, respectively. This 252 defines Q_{GW} as the ²²²Rn flux not explained by hydrology. Eq. 4 was solved for each 24 h 253 sampling period using the maximum and minimum ²²²Rn concentrations (230,000 dpm m⁻³ 254 and 20,000 dpm m⁻³ for local shallow groundwater (Atkins et al., 2013; Gatland et al., 2014). 255 Note that end-member uncertainty means that Eq. 3 and Eq. 4 help constrain, but do not 256 257 precisely quantify, changes in surface water – groundwater interactions over time.

258

259 **3. Results**

260 3.1 Hydrology

Stream height fluctuated tidally by ~0.1 m. Daily v peaks (up to ~2 m s⁻¹) coincided 261 dips in h minima (Fig. 2) and conductivity (r = 0.4 - 0.7, p < 0.001). Surface water was 21 ± 10^{-10} 262 0.5 °C. Over time k_{600} values ranged from 1.3 ± 0.3 m d⁻¹ to 0.73 ± 0.3 m d⁻¹ (Suppl. Mat. 263 Table S1). Over time h declined from 1.1 to 0.86 m (p<0.001), Q decreased from 3 m³ s⁻¹ to 264 ~2 m³ s⁻¹ (p<0.001), and conductivity increased (p<0.001; Suppl. Mat. Table S3). Surface 265 water δ^{18} O-H₂O decreased over time (F = 17, p<0.001) (Table 2, Fig. 2). The ²²²Rn × 266 conductivity relationship differed between days (F = 83, p < 0.001), with the slope becoming 267 more negative from D1 (x = -0.008, p < 0.001, $r^2 = 0.19$) to D6 (x = -0.07, p < 0.001, $r^2 = 0.34$), 268 and the estimated marginal mean increased from 3.7 dpm l^{-1} (D1, D2) to 4.1 dpm l^{-1} (Fig. 2). 269 Solving Eq. 4 for the daily 222 Rn and Q fluctuations indicated that groundwater contributions 270 271 to surface water increased from 35 ± 30 % on D1 to 61 ± 50 % on D8 (Table 3). Temperature in HZ (22°C) did not differ significantly from SW, nor between night 272

and day (Table 1). The δ^{18} O-H₂O values differed between surface and subsurface waters (F =

4, p < 0.05). Subsurface δ^{18} O-H₂O values decreased over time (F = 3.3, p < 0.01), particularly along the north bank, where values tended to be most negative (location: F = 7.8, p < 0.05; date × location: F = 3.4, p = 0.06) (Table 2). Conductivity was greater in *HZ* than *SW* (F = 300, p < 0.001; Table 2). *HZ* conductivity increased over time ($680 \pm 50 \mu$ S cm⁻¹ to 1,200 μ S cm⁻¹; F = 160, p < 0.001), particularly along the north bank (F = 9.3, p < 0.05; date × location: F = 30, p < 0.001). Mass balances based on *HZ* and *SW* conductivity (Eq. 3) show surface water contributions to the subsurface decreased from 83 % on D1 to 62 % on D8 (Table 3).

282 3.2 Biogenic gasses (O₂, CO₂, N₂O)

Surface water O_2 concentrations varied diurnally from $1.6 \pm 0.2 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ (20%) 283 saturation) to 1.4 ± 0.2 mg l⁻¹ (15% saturation) (p < 0.001), and decreased from 1.5 ± 0.4 on 284 D1 to 1.4 ± 0.1 mg O₂ l⁻¹ on D8 (*p*<0.001; Fig. 2). Best-fit metabolism models accounted for 285 between 60% (D4) and 90% (D6) of diurnal O₂ fluctuations (Suppl. Mat. Fig. S1). Model 286 estimated GPP was 1.3 (0.7 – 3) g O_2 m⁻² d⁻¹ and ER was -28 (-60 - -3) g O_2 m⁻² d⁻¹, 287 producing a GPP/ER ratio of 0.08 (0.03 - 0.1) over the study period (Table 3). 288 Daily dissolved CO₂ negatively correlated with O₂ fluctuations (r = -0.36, p < 0.001; 289 Fig. 2). Over time CO₂ increased from 3.1 ± 0.06 mg C l⁻¹ to 3.2 ± 0.03 mg C l⁻¹ (p < 0.001; 290 Fig. 2) and the CH₄:CO₂ concentration ratio decreased from 0.013 to 0.0088 (p<0.001; 291 Suppl. Mat Fig. S2). Daily N₂O fluctuations negatively correlated with h (r = -0.79, r = -0.79)292 p < 0.001), ²²²Rn (r = -0.067, p < 0.05), and O₂ (r = -0.39, p < 0.001), and positively correlated 293 with conductivity (r = 0.89, p < 0.001). Concentrations increased over time from 1.4 µg N₂O-294 N l⁻¹ on D1 to 2.6 μ g N₂O-N l⁻¹ on D8 (p<0.001; Fig. 2), as did estimated marginal means 295 296 relative to conductivity (690 \pm 2 % saturation on D2, 930 \pm 3 % saturation on D8). The relationship between N₂O and O₂ saturations changed over time (F = 280, p<0.001) from 297 negative on D1 and D2 (r = -0.6) to positive on D4 – D8 (r = 0.1). 298

299

300 3.3 Stream nutrients

301	Nutrient (DOC, PO_4^{3-} , NO_3^{-} , NH_4^+) concentrations did not differ significantly
302	between 'low O_2 ' and 'high O_2 ' sampling points in either the SW, S, or HZ samples. Data are
303	therefore presented as the daily mean for each 24 h period. Surface waters NO ₃ -
304	concentrations increased over time (Suppl. Mat. Table S8), while DOC, and NH_4^+
305	concentrations decreased (Table 2). Nitrate was 50% of SW inorganic N on D1 v. 80% on D8.
306	Nitrate concentrations tended to be highest along the south bank, both in absolute terms and
307	relative to conductivity (Table 2). Ammonium tended to be highest along the north bank and
308	towards the top of the reach (Fig. 3). Nitrate was always higher in SW than
309	Surface sediment (S) NO_3^- concentrations decreased from 0.62 mg N l ⁻¹ on D1 to 0.39
310	mg N l ⁻¹ on D8 (F = 6.6, p <0.05), while S NH ₄ ⁺ concentrations remained relatively consistent
311	over time (Fig. 3; Suppl. Mat. Table S8). This zone had the highest NH_4^+ concentrations ($S >$
312	$HZ > SW$) and lowest NO ₃ ⁻ concentrations ($S < HZ < SW$) (Fig. 3). Spatially, $S \text{ NH}_4^+$ was
313	highest in the centre, while NO_3^- increased from the north bank (0.34 ± 0.3 mg N l ⁻¹) to centre
314	$(0.39 \pm 0.3 \text{ mg N l}^{-1})$ to south bank $(0.57 \pm 0.4 \text{ mg N l}^{-1})$ (F = 4.0, p<0.05; Fig. 3).
315	Deeper sediment (HZ) NO ₃ ⁻ concentrations increased over time along the south bank
316	and downstream, while NH_4^+ increased upstream along the north bank (Fig. 3). Over time
317	NO ₃ ⁻ :conductivity ratios and DOC concentrations increased at all <i>HZ</i> sites (Table 2).
318	Ammonium concentrations were highest along the north bank (2.3 \pm 2 mg N l ⁻¹), where NO ₃ ⁻
319	concentrations were lowest (0.12 \pm 0.2 mg N l ⁻¹). The HZ NO ₃ ⁻ concentrations were lower
320	upstream (0.48 ± 0.5 mg N l ⁻¹) than downstream (1.1 ± 0.8 mg N l ⁻¹) (p <0.01, Fig. 3), while
321	DOC concentrations were highest along the north bank (Table 2).
322	

324 3.4.1 Nitrate (δ^{18} O, δ^{15} N)

325	Nitrate isotopes did not differ significantly night v day / high v low tide in either SW,
326	S, or HZ, so data is presented as the mean for each 24 h period. The δ^{15} N-NO ₃ ⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO ₃ ⁻
327	values varied significantly over the reach width in <i>S</i> and <i>HZ</i> (not SW), but did not vary over
328	reach length at any depth (Suppl. Mat. Table S8). δ^{15} N-NO ₃ ⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO ₃ ⁻ had distinct
329	patterns over time (Fig. 4). On D1 near-bank δ^{15} N-NO ₃ ⁻ was +7 ‰ higher in <i>HZ</i> than <i>S</i> , but
330	this reversed on D4 – D8 with values 0.6 ‰ higher in S than HZ (Fig. 4). In contrast, S δ^{18} O-
331	NO_3^- values were consistently higher than both SW and HZ (Fig. 4). Over time S $\delta^{18}O-NO_3^-$
332	increased, especially along the north bank (F = 8.6, p <0.01), so the difference between S and
333	$SW \delta^{18}$ O-NO ₃ ⁻ went from 9.8 ‰ (D1, D2) to 11 ‰ (D6, D8) (Fig. 5).
334	Surface water NO ₃ ⁻ concentrations correlated with δ^{15} N-NO ₃ - ($r = 0.63, p < 0.001$), but
335	not δ^{18} O-NO ₃ ⁻ . Over time SW δ^{15} N-NO ₃ ⁻ increased (12 ± 0.5 ‰ to 13 ± 0.2 ‰) while δ^{18} O-
336	NO_3^- decreased (3.9 ± 3 ‰ to 0.35 ± 2 ‰) (Fig. 4). Surface sediment $\delta^{18}O$ - NO_3^- values were
337	highest along the north bank (13 ± 4 ‰) and δ^{15} N-NO ₃ ⁻ values highest in the centre (16 ± 7
338	‰). Over time south bank <i>S</i> δ^{15} N-NO ₃ ⁻ increased (7.7 ± 2 ‰ to 20 ± 6 ‰) and δ^{18} O-NO ₃ ⁻
339	decreased (16 ± 5 ‰ to 10 ± 2 ‰; Fig. 4). In <i>HZ</i> the δ^{18} O-NO ₃ ⁻ , but not δ^{15} N-NO ₃ ⁻ , decreased
340	from the north bank (9.2 \pm 6 ‰) to centre (4.9 \pm 6 ‰) to south bank (4.0 \pm 2 ‰). Over time
341	$HZ \delta^{15}$ N-NO ₃ ⁻ decreased from 15 ± 10 ‰ on D1 to 13 ± 1 ‰ on D6 (Fig. 5). Accordingly,
342	the linear relationship between δ^{18} O-NO ₃ ⁻ v δ^{15} N-NO ₃ ⁻ was never strong, but shifted from
343	weakly negative in SW (slope = -0.7, p <0.001, r^2 = 0.04) to more clearly negative in S (slope
344	= -0.3, p <0.001, r^2 = 0.14), to positive in <i>HZ</i> (slope = 0.5, p <0.001, r^2 = 0.19).
345	
346	3.4.2 N ₂ O (δ^{18} O, δ^{15} N, SP)

347 Nitrous oxide isotopes (δ^{18} O, δ^{15} N, and SP), measured at the nine *SW* sampling 348 locations, differed neither between day/night samplings nor between locations (Suppl. Mat.

Fig. S3). Over time δ^{15} N-N₂O values decreased from 1.5 ± 2 ‰ to -0.99 ± 0.9 ‰ (F = 26, 349 p < 0.001; Fig. 6). The δ^{18} O-N₂O values were lower on D1 (52 ± 6 ‰) than D2 – D8 (57 ± 4 350 %) (F = 20, p < 0.001), while SP increased from 15% on D1 to 31% on D8 (F = 200, 351 p < 0.001). Changes in δ^{18} O-N₂O related inversely to δ^{15} N-N₂O (y = 16 - 0.26x, p < 0.001, r² = 352 0.45) and positively to SP (y = -56 + 1.3x, p < 0.001, $r^2 = 0.61$) (Fig. 6). Consequently, the 353 difference between δ^{18} O-N₂O and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ at each site increased over time from 49‰ to 354 58‰ (F = 15, p < 0.001), while δ^{15} N-N₂O decreased relative to the corresponding δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ 355 from -10‰ on D1 to -14‰ on D8 (F = 90, p < 0.001). 356

357

358 4. Discussion

359 4.1 Hydrologic setting

360 Tides often dominant daily water chemistry fluctuations at the marine end of estuaries (Call et al., 2015), but were expected to be secondary to internal productivity in this nutrient-361 rich freshwater zone ~100 km inland (Knights et al., 2017). The distinctly tidal fluctuations in 362 depth, v and conductivity (Fig. 2) are likely made more apparent due turbidity masking any 363 diurnal metabolism. The fact that daily 222 Rn fluctuated with v and h also suggests the tidal 364 shifts in hydraulic pressure could be 'pumping' the subsurface and influence hyporheic 365 exchange (Barnes et al., 2019; Bianchin et al., 2011). However, these daily fluctuations are 366 overprinted by continuous declines in h and Q (Fig. 2, Table 2). Decreasing Q, which could 367 368 promote internal N reactions over downstream discharge (Ocampo et al., 2006; Raymond et al., 2016; Wollheim et al., 2018), is clear. Yet the h and v shifts could also alter microbial N 369 transformations vis-à-vis subsurface exchange (Hester et al., 2019; Krause et al., 2017; 370 371 Lewandowski et al., 2019; Singh et al., 2019). Multiple lines of evidence suggest that the connection between surface water and 372

372 Multiple lines of evidence suggest that the connection between surface water and 373 groundwater changed over the study period. The increased surface water ²²²Rn (Table 3) fits 374 expectations that groundwater inputs decrease during high flow events and then increase as the system returns to baseflow (Looman et al., 2016; Schubert and Paschke, 2015; Webb et 375 al., 2017). However, this is complicated by the concurrent dampening tidal ²²²Rn fluctuations, 376 which suggest weakening tide-driven pumping, and thus vertical mixing (Call et al., 2015). 377 Decreased vertical mixing during the return to baseflow conditions is supported by, first, the 378 growing spatial variability in subsurface δ^{18} O-H₂O, as incomplete mixing is needed for 379 380 isotopically distinct pools to develop (Dudley-Southern and Binley, 2015), and, second, increased difference between surface and subsurface conductivities (Table 3). Thus falling v 381 382 and h led to both more groundwater dominated surface waters and dampened vertical exchange within the channel. This provides a strong framework for empirically evaluating 383 hydrologic regulations of stream NO₃⁻ and N₂O processing. 384

385

386 4.2 Biological setting (metabolism)

Diurnal light variations that shift streams from net respiration (O₂ consuming) at night 387 to net photosynthesis (O₂ producing) during the day (Bernhardt et al., 2018; Parker et al., 388 389 2005) can impact N cycling (Martí et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2019). Previous work shows that 390 NO_3^{-1} concentrations similar to those measured here can fuel biological growth to the extent that O₂ fluctuates >100% between night and day (Clough et al., 2007; Harrison et al., 2005). 391 392 Yet despite the warm temperatures and high nutrient concentrations, daily O₂ varied by only 393 5% (Fig. 2). Notably, unlike other systems where muted diurnal O₂ signals reflected low biological activity (e.g., Baulch et al., 2012), here the low O₂ (~ 20% saturation) and high 394 395 CH₄:CO₂ ratios instead indicate strong heterotrophy (Stanley et al., 2016). This fits with 396 metabolism model estimates that reach respiration was 5-20 times higher than productivity 397 (Table 3). A strongly heterotrophic system is reasonable given the observed low water clarity 398 that would inhibit photoautotrophic activity (see photos in Fig. 1, Fig. 7) and high nutrient

399 loads to fuel respiration. This contradicts the expectation that increased nutrients + light fuel plant growth in highly impacted waterways (Tank et al., 2010). However, we observed a 400 similar turbidity-driven productivity drop in other highly modified subtropical waterways 401 402 (Wells and Eyre, 2019; Wells et al., 2020). The markedly low productivity here thus provides 403 a critical contrast to previous metabolism × N investigations, which found light fluctuations drove N uptake vis-à-vis primary productivity (Fork and Heffernan, 2014; Hall and Tank, 404 405 2003; Reisinger et al., 2019). For our purposes the key implications of the apparently lightlimited metabolic regimen are that, 1) assimilatory phototrophic N demand will be minimal, 406 407 and, 2) low O₂ and high C create favourable denitrification conditions.

408

409 4.3 Nitrate

The increasing surface water NO_3^- concentrations over time contradict the hypothesis that *Q* regulates solute export by decreasing in-stream processing (Alexander et al., 2009; Raymond et al., 2016; Wollheim et al., 2018). Downstream NO_3^- export actually increased from 250 to 410 kg N d⁻¹ despite *Q* decreasing by 30% (Fig. 7). Similar NO_3^- increases following hydrologic 'pulses' were previously observed (Chen et al., 2020; McKee et al., 2000; Ocampo et al., 2006), but it is unclear whether this is due to either new NO_3^- sources entering the system or changing internal N cycling.

417

418 4.3.1. Hydrologic NO_3^- sources

Increased solute export following high-flow events can come from either overland
flow or increased groundwater inputs (Ocampo et al., 2006; Webb et al., 2017). A new
upstream source emerging during this study's narrow sampling window can be reasonably
ruled out due to the magnitude of the established WWTP source and lack of branching
tributaries (Fig. 1). However, infiltration of shallow, N-rich groundwater (Table 1) could

affect surface water NO₃⁻. Significant groundwater NO₃⁻ inputs aligns with evidence that 424 groundwaters comprised a greater proportion of stream flow over time (Table 3), and is 425 supported by the spatial distribution of streambed N. The highest sediment N concentrations 426 cluster along the north bank near the groundwater plume (Fig. 1, Fig. 3) and NO_3^- depth 427 profiles are inverted relative to what is created when surface water is the sole NO₃⁻ source 428 (Kessler et al., 2013; Schutte et al., 2015). However, the significance of groundwater N to the 429 430 measured surface water concentrations would depend on the extent of denitrification during transport through the sediments (Trauth and Fleckenstein, 2017; Trauth et al., 2014). Changes 431 432 in NO₃⁻ isotopic composition constrain this factor: conservative groundwater-to-surface water transport (no sediment denitrification) would cause SW NO₃⁻ to become isotopically similar 433 $HZ NO_3^{-}$ as the groundwater contribution increased, while reactive transport (incomplete 434 sediment denitrification) would fractionate upwelling NO₃⁻ and cause SW NO₃⁻ to become 435 isotopically heavier than $HZ NO_3^-$ (Wells et al., 2019). Neither scenario is reflected in the 436 relatively low SW δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values that persisted throughout the study (Fig. 437 5). Instead, the increasing isotopic depletion, rather than enrichment or equivalence, of SW 438 NO_3^- relative to subsurface NO_3^- shows that the net increase in upwelling groundwater was 439 not the main driver of increasing surface water NO3⁻. This indicates that changing within-440 441 stream cycling, rather than external inputs, regulated NO₃⁻ concentrations.

442

443 4.3.2. Biological NO_3^- cycling

Relatively warm water temperatures and high substrate concentrations would provide ample 'capacity' for a range of N transformations within the sampled reach. However, the apparently light-limited stream productivity also negated the photoautotrophic nutrient demand, which would cause diel surface water NO_3^- concentration fluctuations (Appling and Heffernan, 2014; Chamberlin et al., 2019; Cohen et al., 2012). This finding is important as it

suggests that dissimilatory microbial pathways, rather than assimilation and mineralisation byalgae and plants, are the likely drivers of stream N dynamics.

451 Increasing surface water NO_3^- export could come from either decreased removal (denitrification) or increased production (nitrification). The changed flow dynamics and 452 hyporheic exchange would affect the opportunity for both to occur (Azizian et al., 2017; 453 Briggs et al., 2014; Harvey et al., 2013; Naranjo et al., 2015). Denitrification can be limited 454 455 under high flows when there is insufficient time for anoxic zones to form (Kessler et al., 2013; Zarnetske et al., 2012). Nitrification is maximised under 'intermediate' flows that limit 456 457 the extent of anoxic sites (Azizian et al., 2017; Zarnetske et al., 2012). Declining hyporheic exchange, which regulates stream denitrification by transporting NO₃⁻ to denitrifying zones 458 (Gomez-Velez et al., 2015), could also shift the nitrification-denitrification balance. 459

Nitrate isotopes help tease apart the relative importance of decreasing denitrification v 460 increasing nitrification on surface water NO₃⁻ fluxes. First, denitrification causes a 461 characteristic parallel enrichment in δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ v δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ (Granger and Wankel, 2016; 462 Wells et al., 2019), which was not evident here (Fig. 4). Second, nitrification can be 463 constrained based on δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values because nitrifiers incorporate O from the surrounding 464 water and dissolved O_2 . Assuming these are incorporated at a ratio of $2 \times O - H_2O$ to 1 x $O - O_2$ 465 (Kendall, 1998), and using measured δ^{18} O-H₂O values and 23.5% for δ^{18} O-O₂ (Kroopnick 466 and Craig, 1972), here nitrification-produced δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values would be ~5‰ (Fig. 5). 467 However, this common parameterisation likely over-estimates δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ as it does not 468 account for fractionation during incorporation or exchange with surrounding H₂O (Buchwald 469 et al., 2012). Thus any within-stream nitrification here likely produced δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values 470 471 between -10‰ (Fang et al., 2012) and -4‰ (Boshers et al., 2019). Although admittedly broad. surface water δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ clearly shifted to this 'nitrification' range over time while 472

473 sediment δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values remained relatively enriched (Fig. 5). In-situ production, rather 474 than decreased removal, thus likely increased surface water NO₃⁻ export over time.

Increasing nitrification as hyporheic exchange declined is reasonable in this setting. 475 First, the low light conditions would favour nitrification. Aquatic nitrification is generally 476 highest when turbidity or depth inhibit phytoplankton growth, removing competition for any 477 available NH₄⁺ (Smith et al., 2014; Stephens et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2019). Second, stream 478 479 sediments would provide an ample NH₄⁺ supply to the overlying water (Fig. 3), regardless of the potential ~10% bias associated with the S DET probes (Briggs et al., 2015; Comer-480 481 Warner et al., 2020a). While very high, the porewater concentrations fit within the $\sim 30 - 100$ mg NH4⁺-N l⁻¹ range of other sewage-impacted (Gupta and Karuppiah, 1996; Palmer-Felgate 482 et al., 2010) and hypereutrophic (Morgan et al., 2012) systems. We therefore proposed that 483 484 decreased hyporheic mixing increased NO₃⁻ release into the surface water (net nitrification) by minimising transport between oxic (NO_3^- rich) and anoxic (denitrifying) microsites in the 485 subsurface (Harvey et al., 2013; Kessler et al., 2013). 486

487

488 4.4 Surface water N₂O cycling

489 Increasing N₂O emissions could result from decreased reduction or increased production via either denitrifying or nitrifying pathways. However, like NO₃⁻ export, stream 490 491 N₂O emissions are often assumed to be regulated by denitrification (Beaulieu et al., 2011; 492 Hampton et al., 2020). This is partially based on inverse O₂:N₂O relationships observed 493 between days, seasons, and systems (Ji et al., 2018; Laursen and Seitzinger, 2004; Rosamond 494 et al., 2012; Venkiteswaran et al., 2014). Here a similar negative O₂:N₂O relationship was 495 found on the first two sampling dates (Fig. 2). This relationship could reflect stream biology, 496 with sediment oxygenation at high tide inhibiting denitrification (Barnes et al., 2019; Knights 497 et al., 2017)). Alternatively, tidal flushing of N₂O rich, O₂ poor porewaters at low tide would

498produce the same pattern (Reading et al., 2017). It then follows that the shift towards a499positive $O_2:N_2O$ relationship that emerged on the subsequent three sampling dates (Fig. 2)500was due to either a shift away from denitrification-driven (O_2 -limited) N_2O production or501tidal flushing decreasing along with hyporheic exchange.

502 The N₂O isotope data confirmed that in-stream biological production changed over time as N₂O emissions increased (Fig. 6, Fig. 7). Increasingly negative δ^{15} N-N₂O values over 503 time could be caused by either increasing nitrification (^{15}N enrichment factor, $^{15}\varepsilon$, of -56‰ 504 for NH₂OH \rightarrow N₂O) relative to bacterial denitrification (¹⁵ ε = -20‰ for NO₂⁻ \rightarrow N₂O) or a 505 decline in N₂O reduction to N₂, which progressively enriches the residual N₂O pool (${}^{15}\varepsilon = -6$ 506 -7 ‰) (Denk et al., 2017; Lewicka-Szczebak et al., 2017). Yet here the simultaneous 507 increases in SP and δ^{18} O-N₂O clearly confirm that increased N₂O over time was produced by 508 509 net nitrification increasing, not denitrification or N₂O reduction (Fig. 5). This is because, 1) 510 nitrification produces N₂O with higher SP (~30, (Frame and Casciotti, 2010)) than microbial denitrification (SP ~0, (Haslun et al., 2018)), 2) the SP v. δ^{18} O-N₂O slope of ~2 is greater 511 512 than the ~0.5 produced by N_2O reduction (Lewicka-Szczebak et al., 2017), and, 3) the inverse, rather than parallel, relationship between δ^{15} N-N₂O and δ^{18} O-N₂O rules out N₂O 513 reduction (Snider et al., 2013). Denitrification (or flushing N₂O from denitrifying sediment 514 zones) controlled surface water N_2O when Q and hyporheic exchange were high, but as water 515 516 levels dropped nitrification became the dominant N₂O source. The importance of nitrification 517 was surprising given the high C, low O₂, and high NO₃⁻ conditions, but adds to similar findings from a hypoxic lake (Salk et al., 2016) to suggest that nitrification-driven N₂O 518 emissions may be more widespread in low O₂ aquatic systems than previously assumed. 519 520

521 4.5 Implications for understanding stream N₂O emissions

Nitrous oxide emissions from the sampled reach were relatively high. Yet the 522 calculated fluxes were between ~ 200% to <50% of those reported from streams with 523 524 comparable surface water NO₃⁻ concentrations (Clough et al., 2007; Harrison and Matson, 2003; McMahon and Dennehy, 1999). Notably, the two sites with relatively low N₂O 525 emissions (Clough et al., 2007; Harrison and Matson, 2003) had strong diurnal O₂ and N₂O 526 fluctuations. Turbid and low O2 waterways like Monaltrie Creek are ubiquitous across the 527 528 global tropics (Julian et al., 2013) and the Australian continent (Bormans et al., 2004; Oliver et al., 2010). Yet surveying the literature collated here indicates that scientific understanding 529 530 of stream N₂O (and N) dynamics is primarily based on clear, temperate, northern hemisphere streams < 1 m deep. The role of photoautotrophic N substrate competition in regulating N₂O 531 emissions should be more carefully constrained. 532

533 The data here provides direct confirmation of the hypothesis that stream hydrology (opportunity) controls biogenic N₂O emissions (Marzadri et al., 2017; Quick et al., 2016). 534 While many models have been used to assess how flow fluctuations affect stream N cycling 535 (e.g., Azizian et al., 2017; Hester et al., 2019; Rahimi et al., 2015), this study provides one of 536 the first in-situ validations of these interactions. However, while we confirmed that lower 537 flows can make stream reaches become more 'transforming' and less 'transporting' 538 (O'Donnell and Hotchkiss, 2019), we found this shift enhanced NO₃⁻ and N₂O production 539 540 rather than removal. Such nitrification-driven N₂O and NO₃⁻ fluxes has implications for 541 aquatic N_2O modelling: it suggests that the frequently observed positive correlations between NO_3^{-} and N_2O could reflect microbial coproduction during in-stream nitrification rather than 542 an 'emissions factor' of downstream NO₃⁻ transport (Kroeze et al., 2005). 543

544

545

547 Acknowledgements

548	Research was funded by a Southern Cross University Seed Grant to NSW, with additional
549	support from ARC LP150100519. Invaluable field assistance from: Michelle Simone, Jian-
550	Jhih Chen, Elisabeth Deschaseaux, Charly Moras, Joanne Oakes, Natasha Carlson-Perret,
551	Jessica Riekenberg, and Philip Riekenberg. Paul Kelly & Lea Taylor helped organise and
552	build field equipment. Matheus Carvahlo de Carvahlo, Natasha Carlson-Perret, Iain
553	Alexander, and Dirk Erler assisted with sample analyses. Leslie Jianyin Huang and William
554	Bennett (Griffith University) built the DET probes. Conversations with Marie Kurz (Drexel
555	University) improved the study design and interpretation. Author contributions: NSW and
556	BDE conceived the study. NSW carried out the study, with support from volunteers named
557	above. NSW and BDE analysed the data, and NSW wrote the manuscript with input from
558	BDE.
559	
560	
560 561	Research data
560 561 562	Research data Data from loggers (including dissolved gas concentrations) and daily sampling (including
560 561 562 563	Research data Data from loggers (including dissolved gas concentrations) and daily sampling (including isotope data) are available for download: <u>https://figshare.com/s/d94125b33e7bd6be5bd8</u> and
 560 561 562 563 564 	Research data Data from loggers (including dissolved gas concentrations) and daily sampling (including isotope data) are available for download: <u>https://figshare.com/s/d94125b33e7bd6be5bd8</u> and <u>https://figshare.com/s/da127a3af8e6c2eeec25</u> . <i>Note these data links are supplied for</i>
 560 561 562 563 564 565 	Research data Data from loggers (including dissolved gas concentrations) and daily sampling (including isotope data) are available for download: <u>https://figshare.com/s/d94125b33e7bd6be5bd8</u> and <u>https://figshare.com/s/da127a3af8e6c2eeec25</u> . <i>Note these data links are supplied for</i> <i>reviewing purposes only. Links will be updated to DOIs once the manuscript is accepted.</i>
560 561 562 563 564 565 566	Research data Data from loggers (including dissolved gas concentrations) and daily sampling (including isotope data) are available for download: <u>https://figshare.com/s/d94125b33e7bd6be5bd8</u> and <u>https://figshare.com/s/da127a3af8e6c2eeec25</u> . <i>Note these data links are supplied for</i> <i>reviewing purposes only. Links will be updated to DOIs once the manuscript is accepted.</i>
 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 	Research data Data from loggers (including dissolved gas concentrations) and daily sampling (including isotope data) are available for download: <u>https://figshare.com/s/d94125b33e7bd6be5bd8</u> and <u>https://figshare.com/s/da127a3af8e6c2eeec25</u> . <i>Note these data links are supplied for</i> <i>reviewing purposes only. Links will be updated to DOIs once the manuscript is accepted.</i>
560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568	Research data Data from loggers (including dissolved gas concentrations) and daily sampling (including isotope data) are available for download: <u>https://figshare.com/s/d94125b33e7bd6be5bd8</u> and <u>https://figshare.com/s/da127a3af8e6c2eeec25</u> . <i>Note these data links are supplied for</i> <i>reviewing purposes only. Links will be updated to DOIs once the manuscript is accepted.</i>
560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569	Research data Data from loggers (including dissolved gas concentrations) and daily sampling (including isotope data) are available for download: <u>https://figshare.com/s/d94125b33e7bd6be5bd8</u> and <u>https://figshare.com/s/da127a3af8e6c2eeec25</u> . <i>Note these data links are supplied for</i> <i>reviewing purposes only. Links will be updated to DOIs once the manuscript is accepted.</i>
560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570	Research data Data from loggers (including dissolved gas concentrations) and daily sampling (including isotope data) are available for download: <u>https://figshare.com/s/d94125b33e7bd6be5bd8</u> and <u>https://figshare.com/s/da127a3af8e6c2eeec25</u> . <i>Note these data links are supplied for</i> <i>reviewing purposes only. Links will be updated to DOIs once the manuscript is accepted.</i>

572 Tables

Table 1 Summary of water chemistry recorded during routine monitoring of, 1) surface water at the study site, and, 2) eight groundwater wells

574 installed at either shallow (0.05 m) or deep (0.2 m) depths in the unconfined aquifer between the WWTP and the study site (Fig. 1). Values

575 represent the mean (\pm SD) of samples collected quarterly over the four years prior to the present study.

	DO	Conductivity	DOC	NH₄⁺	NO ₃ -
	mg ŀ¹	µS cm⁻¹	mg l¹	mg N ŀ¹	mg N l ⁻¹
Surface water	4.9 (1)	510 (200)	8.4 (5)	0.45 (0.3)	1.4 (1)
Shallow groundwater	2.8 (1)	4,400 (3,000)	17 (10)	0.99 (1)	0.20 (0.4)
Deep groundwater	1.9 (1)	2,700 (1,000)	8.0 (7)	6.7 (6)	0.78 (3)

591 **Table 2** Changes in the δ^{18} O-H₂O composition, temperature (T), conductivity (Cond.), mass ratio of NO₃⁻ to conductivity (NO₃⁻:Cond.), and

592 dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in surface water and hyporheic water collected over three locations along the north bank, centre, and south bank

593 of a stream reach (Fig. 1). Samples were collected at the surface water O₂ maxima and minima* over five days (D1, D2, D4, D6, D8) as stream

594	discharge fell; re	ported values re	present the mean	(SD) of six	unique sam	ples.
,	· · · · ·					

		Surface water					Hyporheic Zone				
		δ¹⁸O-H 2 O ‰ <i>v. VSMOW</i>	T ℃	Cond. μS cm ⁻¹	NO₃⁻:Cond. μg Ν μS ⁻¹	DOC mg l ⁻¹	δ ¹⁸ O-H ₂ O ^(c) ‰ v. VSMOW	T ℃	Cond. µS cm⁻¹	NO₃⁻:Cond. μg Ν μS⁻¹	DOC mg l ⁻¹
D1	North bank	-3.6 (0.06)		270 (30)	3.7 (0.9)	1.0 (0.01)	-3.7 (0.7)	23 (1)	710 (70)	0.52 (0.4)	5.9 (2)
	Centre	-3.7 (0.02)		260 (20)	4.7 (0.5)	0.98 (0.07)	-3.6 (0.6)	22 (0.6)	670 (20)	1.2 (0.7)	3.6 (2)
	South bank	-3.7 (0.02)	21 (0.9)	260 (4)	4.9 (0.2)	0.95 (0.07)	-3.5 (1)	21 (0.1)	670 (20)	1.4 (0.3)	3.3 (2)
D2	North bank	-3.7 (1)		270 (10)	5.8 (0.3)	0.94 (0.1)	-4.2 (0.3)	22 (3)	600 (100)	0.25 (0.3)	3.6 (1)
	Centre	-3.7 (0.7)		270 (10)	6.0 (0.3)	0.95 (0.2)	-3.1 (0.9)	23 (0.4)	620 (100)	2.2 (1)	2.2 (0.7)
	South bank	-3.3 (0.8)	21 (0.3)	280 (6)	6.3 (0.3)	0.89 (0.1)	-3.6 (0.5)	23 (0.4)	600 (70)	2.5 (0.6)	2.0 (1)
D4	North bank	-3.8 (0.5)		300 (20)	5.5 (0.5)	0.75 (0.08)	-4.3 (1)	21 (2)	680 (100)	0.08 (0.1)	3.1 (0.7)
	Centre	-3.6 (0.4)	22 (0.3)	290 (20)	5.6 (0.4)	0.77 (0.05)	-3.8 (0.4)	21 (2)	650 (60)	1.7 (1)	2.0 (0.3)
	South bank	-3.9 (0.3)		280 (60)	7.0 (3)	0.77 (0.02)	-3.9 (0.9)	21 (2)	620 (60)	2.6 (0.7)	2.1 (0.9)
D6	North bank	-4.8 (0.5)		300 (9)	6.4 (0.4)	0.78 (0.08)	-5.6 (0.6)	23 (0.9)	1,100 (200)	0.05 (0.03)	9.5 (5)
	Centre	-4.4 (1)	21 (0.5)	290 (7)	6.4 (0.3)	0.75 (0.03)	-4.9 (0.5)	23 (2)	930 (50)	1.4 (0.9)	2.9 (1)
	South bank	-5.0 (0.7)		300 (10)	6.5 (0.2)	0.75 (0.05)	-4.1 (1)	23 (0.4)	910 (20)	1.7 (0.4)	2.7 (1)
D8	North bank	-4.8 (1)		350 (6)	7.1 (0.2)	0.73 (0.05)	-4.4 (0.7)	22 (0.9)	1,700 (200)	0.02 (0.02)	8.9 (2)
	Centre	-4.8 (2)	21 (0.6)	350 (8)	7.1 (0.2)	0.71 (0.05)	-3.9 (1)	22 (0.7)	1,000 (200)	1.5 (1)	3.5 (2)
	South bank	-4.6 (0.8)		350 (4)	7.1 (0.1)	0.72 (0.05)	-3.0 (2)	22 (1)	1,000 (200)	1.8 (1)	3.5 (3)

*Samples were targeted at night v day (D1 - D4) and low v high tides (D6 - D8)

597 **Table 3:** Summary of stream metabolism (productivity / respiration ratio, P/R), the fractional contribution to groundwater to surface water (f_{GW}),

and fractional contribution of surface water back into the hyporheic zone (f_{sw}). The P/R ratio was modelled based on surface water O₂

599 concentrations measured in the centre of the reach (see Suppl. Mat. 2). Values of f_{GW} were calculated using surface water ²²²Rn concentrations

 f_{sw} logged in the reach centre, and f_{sw} by comparing conductivity measured twice per sampling date at nine locations in the surface water and 20 cm below the sediment surface (*HZ*) to that of local groundwater, where uncertainty ranges are based on maximum and minimum end-member

602 values (see Suppl. Mat. 3).

603

Date	P/R	f _{GW}	f _{sw}
D1	0.05	0.35 (0.05 – 0.65)	0.83 (0.73 – 0.87)
D2	0.2	0.32 (0.02 – 0.62)	0.86 (0.75 – 0.92)
D4	0.1	0.54 (0.14 – 0.94)	0.86 (0.75 – 0.91)
D6	0.005	0.58 (0.08 – 1.1)	0.72 (0.51 – 0.82)
D8	0.03	0.61 (0.11 – 1.1)	0.62 (0.24 – 0.82)

605 **Figure captions**

Fig. 1 Samples were collected from Monaltrie Creek (b), a tributary of the Wilson River near

607 Lismore, NSW (a). The sampled reach (red box) is downstream of a wastewater treatment

608 plant (WWTP) that discharges inorganic N (DIN) into the surface and groundwater. Samples

609 were collected from the surface water (*SW*), surface sediments (*S*), and deeper hyporheic

610 zone (*HZ*) from the north bank, centre, and south bank of the channel at three locations (b).

611 Shading in (a) represents mean $NH_4^+ + NO_3^-$ concentrations measured during routine

612 monitoring of the shallow groundwater (black triangles) and creek (circles) the year prior to

613 the study; the arrow indicates the groundwater flow direction.

614

615 Fig. 2 Changes in surface water conditions over eight days in a highly eutrophic stream in

616 sub-tropical NSW, Australia: (a) stream height and flow velocity, v; (b) ²²²Rn and

617 conductivity; (c) dissolved O₂ (DO) and CO₂; (d) N₂O and NO₃⁻ concentrations. Parameters

618 were measured over five diurnal cycles (D1, D2, D4, D6, D8). Stream height, *v*, and ²²²Rn

619 were recorded every 5 min, CO₂ and N₂O every 1 sec, and DO every 15 min. Nitrate

620 concentrations were measured in samples collected from nine locations across the reach twice

621 every 24 h. Gaussian smoothing (black lines) was applied to the *v* and ²²²Rn data (black

622 points; a, b). Dashed red lines indicate water sampling times.

623

Fig. 3 Changes the NH₄⁺ (a, b, c) and NO₃⁻ (d, e, f) concentrations of the surface water (*SW*), top 5 cm of the sediments (*S*), and hyporheic zone (*HZ*; 30 cm below the sediment surface) on over an eight-day sampling period (D1 - D8) in a stream reach during falling discharge conditions. Samples were collected from near the north bank, centre, and south bank of the stream at three locations along the reach (water flows A \rightarrow C). Shaded areas are interpolated between sampling points (black circles; *n* = 2). Note different scale for *S* NH₄⁺ concentrations (*), and the exaggerated vertical scale. See Suppl. Mat. Tables S5 – S7 for D2 and D6 data
and Suppl. Mat Table S8 for outputs from statistical tests.

632

Fig. 4 The NO₃⁻ isotopic composition (δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ v. δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻) in the surface water (*SW*: a, 633 b, c), surface sediments (S: d, e, f) and hyporheic zone (HZ: g, h, i) of a subtropical stream 634 over eight days of falling water levels (from D1, black circles, to D8, light grey circles). 635 636 Samples were collected near the north bank (a, d, g), centre (b, e, h), and south bank (c, f, i). Points represent the mean (\pm SD) of samples from three locations along the length of the 30 637 638 m reach, which were collected twice over each 24 h period (n = 6). Coloured rectangles show the mean \pm SD range for the whole sampling period. Mixed model analysis (F and p values) 639 for δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ and δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ variations over the stream width and time are shown. 640

641

Fig. 5 The δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ (a), δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ (b), and NO₃⁻ concentrations (c) at 5 cm and 20 cm depth in the sediments (brown) and in the surface water (blue), as measured during high flow conditions (D1) and then eight days later after water levels fell (D8). Dashed lines in (b) indicate the theoretical δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ composition produced from in-situ nitrification (S1: mixing 2×O-H₂O and 1×O-O₂; S2: mixing + kinetic fractionation; outputs from S3, mixing + kinetic fractionation + exchange fell below the scale of the plot). Surface water N₂O concentrations (mean ± SD over three hours around each sampling point) are also shown (c).

Fig. 6 The isotopic composition of surface water N₂O, measured five times over eight days as stream flow declined (D1 \rightarrow D8). Each δ^{15} N-N₂O, δ^{18} O-N₂O and ¹⁵N site preference (SP) value represent the mean \pm SD of samples collected at nine locations across the width and length of a stream reach; measurements were carried out twice during each 24-hr period. The δ^{18} O-N₂O values are corrected for mixing with atmospheric N₂O (Eq. 1); crosses represent

uncorrected values. Solid lines represent the best-fit of the linear regression between δ^{18} O-N₂O and SP (a; y = -56 + 1.3x, p<0.001, $r^2 = 0.61$) and δ^{15} N-N₂O and δ^{18} O-N₂O (b; y = 16 -0.26x, p < 0.001, $r^2 = 0.45$), with grey shading over the 95% CI. Dashed lines indicate the SP v δ^{18} O-N₂O (a) and δ^{15} N-N₂O v δ^{18} O-N₂O (b) relationships produced during N₂O reduction to N₂ (Lewicka-Szczebak et al., 2017; Ostrom et al., 2007). The mean surface water N₂O saturation for the three hours around each sampling point is shown (blue points and line). **Fig. 7** Over eight days the surface water depth and discharge (*Q*) decreased, causing surface waters to become more groundwater (yellow) dominated as it returned toward baseflow, and

less surface water (brown) reached the hyporheic sediment zone (orange). The combination

of decreased exchange and increased residence time enhanced biological oxidation of the

 $\label{eq:large} {\rm large \ sediment \ NH_4^+ \ pool, \ increasing \ water-air \ N_2O \ emissions \ and \ downstream \ NO_3^-}$

discharge. Photos are from two days prior to D1 (left) and on D6 (right), credit: N.S. Wells.

680 Figures

Figure 1:



Fig. 1 Samples were collected from Monaltrie Creek (b), a tributary of the Wilson River near Lismore, NSW (a). The sampled reach (red box) is downstream of a wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) that discharges inorganic N (DIN) into the surface and groundwater. Samples were collected from the surface water (SW), surface sediments (S), and deeper hyporheic zone (HZ) from the north bank, centre, and south bank of the channel at three locations (b). Shading in (a) represents mean $NH_4^+ + NO_3^-$ concentrations measured during routine monitoring of the shallow groundwater (black triangles) and creek (circles) the year prior to the study; the arrow indicates the groundwater flow direction.



Fig. 2 Changes in surface water conditions over eight days in a highly eutrophic stream in 697 sub-tropical NSW, Australia: (a) stream height and flow velocity, v; (b) ²²²Rn and 698 conductivity; (c) dissolved O_2 (DO) and CO_2 ; (d) N_2O and NO_3^- concentrations. Parameters 699 700 were measured over five diurnal cycles (D1, D2, D4, D6, D8). Stream height, v, and ²²²Rn were recorded every 5 min, CO₂ and N₂O every 1 sec, and DO every 15 min. Nitrate 701 concentrations were measured in samples collected from nine locations across the reach twice 702 every 24 h. Gaussian smoothing (black lines) was applied to the v and ²²²Rn data (black 703 points; a, b). Dashed red lines indicate water sampling times. 704

705 *Figure 3*



Fig. 3 Changes in NH₄⁺ (a, b, c) and NO₃⁻ (d, e, f) concentrations of the surface water (*SW*), top 5 cm of the sediments (*S*), and hyporheic zone (*HZ*; 30 cm below the sediment surface) on over an eight-day sampling period (D1 - D8) in a stream reach during falling discharge conditions. Samples were collected from near the north bank, centre, and south bank of the stream at three locations along the reach (water flows A \rightarrow C). Shaded areas are interpolated between sampling points (black circles; *n* = 2). Note the difference in scale for *S* NH₄⁺ concentrations (*), and the exaggerated vertical scale. See Suppl. Mat. Tables S5 – S7 for D2 and D6 data and Suppl. Mat Table S8 for outputs from statistical tests.

712 Figure 4



→ D1 → D2 → D4 → D6 → D8



722





Fig. 5 The δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ (a), δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ (b), and NO₃⁻ concentrations (c) at 5 cm and 20 cm depth in the sediments (brown) and in the surface water (blue), as measured during high flow conditions (D1) and then eight days later after water levels fell (D8). Dashed lines in (b) indicate theoretical δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ composition produced from in-situ nitrification (S1: mixing 2×O-H₂O and 1×O-O₂; S2: mixing + kinetic fractionation; S3: mixing + kinetic fractionation + exchange produce $\delta^{18} O\text{-}NO_3^-$ equal to surrounding $\delta^{18} O\text{-}H_2 O).$ Surface water $N_2 O$ concentrations (mean \pm SD over three hours around each sampling point) are also shown (c).

738 Figure 6



739

Fig. 6 The isotopic composition of surface water N₂O, measured over eight days as stream 740 flow declined (D1 \rightarrow D8). Each δ^{15} N-N₂O, δ^{18} O-N₂O and 15 N site preference (SP) value 741 represent the mean \pm SD of samples collected at nine locations across the width and length of 742 743 a stream reach; measurements were carried out twice during each 24-hr period. The δ^{18} O-N₂O values are corrected for mixing with atmospheric N₂O (Eq. 1); crosses represent 744 uncorrected values. Solid lines represent the best-fit of the linear regression between δ^{18} O-745 N₂O and SP (a; y = -56 + 1.3x, p < 0.001, $r^2 = 0.61$) and δ^{15} N-N₂O and δ^{18} O-N₂O (b; y = 16 -746 0.26x, p < 0.001, $r^2 = 0.45$), with grey shading over the 95% CI. Dashed lines indicate the SP 747 v δ^{18} O-N₂O (a) and δ^{15} N-N₂O v δ^{18} O-N₂O (b) relationships produced during N₂O reduction 748 749 to N₂ (Lewicka-Szczebak et al., 2017; Ostrom et al., 2007). The mean surface water N₂O 750 saturation for the three hours around each sampling point is shown (blue points and line). 751



Fig. 7 Over eight days the surface water depth and discharge (Q) decreased, causing surface waters to become more groundwater (yellow) dominated as it returned toward baseflow, and less surface water (brown) reached the hyporheic sediment zone (orange). The combination of decreased exchange and increased residence time enhanced biological oxidation of the large sediment NH₄⁺ pool, increasing water-air N₂O emissions and downstream NO₃⁻ discharge. Photos are from two days prior to D1 (left) and on D6 (right), credit: N.S. Wells.

769 **References**

- Alexander, R.B., Bohlke, J.K., Boyer, E.W., David, M.B., Harvey, J.W., Mulholland, P.J., Seitzinger,
 S.P., Tobias, C.R., Tonitto, C. and Wollheim, W.M. (2009) Dynamic modeling of nitrogen
 losses in river networks unravels the coupled effects of hydrological and biogeochemical
 processes. *Biogeochem.* 93, 91-116.
- Appling, A.P., Hall Jr., R.O., Yackulic, C.B. and Arroita, M. (2018) Overcoming equifinality:
 Leveraging long time series for stream metabolism estimation. J. Geophys. Res- Biogeosci.
 123, 624-645.
- Appling, A.P. and Heffernan, J.B. (2014) Nutrient limitation and physiology mediate the fine-scale
 (de)coupling of biogeochemical cycles. *Am. Nat.* 184, 384-406.
- Atkins, M.L., Santos, I.R., Ruiz-Halpern, S. and Maher, D.T. (2013) Carbon dioxide dynamics driven
 by groundwater discharge in a coastal floodplain creek. *J. Hydrol.* 493, 30-42.
- Azizian, M., Boano, F., Cook, P.L.M., Detwiler, R.L., Rippy, M.A. and Grant, S.B. (2017) Ambient
 groundwater flow diminishes nitrate processing in the hyporheic zone of streams. *Water Resour. Res.* 53, 3941-3967.
- Barnes, R.T., Sawyer, A.H., Tight, D.M., Wallace, C.D. and Hastings, M.G. (2019) Hydrogeologic
 controls of surface water-groundwater nitrogen dynamics within a tidal freshwater zone. J.
 Geophys. Res- Biogeosci. 124.
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. and Walker, S. (2015) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using
 lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* 67, 48.
- Baulch, H.M., Dillon, P.J., Maranger, R., Venkiteswaran, J.J., Wilson, H.F. and Schiff, S.L. (2012)
 Night and day: short-term variation in nitrogen chemistry and nitrous oxide emissions from
 streams. *Freshwater Biol.* 57, 509-525.
- Beaulieu, J.J., Tank, J.L., Hamilton, S.K., Wollheim, W.M., Hall, R.O., Mulholland, P.J., Peterson,
 B.J., Ashkenas, L.R., Cooper, L.W., Dahm, C.N., Dodds, W.K., Grimm, N.B., Johnson, S.L.,
 McDowell, W.H., Poole, G.C., Valett, H.M., Arango, C.P., Bernot, M.J., Burgin, A.J.,
 Crenshaw, C.L., Helton, A.M., Johnson, L.T., O'Brien, J.M., Potter, J.D., Sheibley, R.W.,
 Sobota, D.J. and Thomas, S.M. (2011) Nitrous oxide emission from denitrification in stream
 and river networks. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 108, 214-219.
- Bernhardt, E.S., Heffernan, J.B., Grimm, N.B., Stanley, E.H., Harvey, J.W., Arroita, M., Appling,
 A.P., Cohen, M.J., McDowell, W.H., Hall, R.O., Read, J.S., Roberts, B.J., Stets, E.G. and
 Yackulic, C.B. (2018) The metabolic regimes of flowing waters. *Limnol. Oceanograph.* 63,
 S99-S118.
- Bianchin, M.S., Smith, L. and Beckie, R.D. (2011) Defining the hyporheic zone in a large tidally
 influenced river. *J. Hydrol.* 406, 16-29.
- Bormans, M., Ford, P.W., Fabbro, L. and Hancock, G. (2004) Onset and persistence of cyanobacterial
 blooms in a large impounded tropical river, Australia. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 55,
 1-15.
- Boshers, D.S., Granger, J., Tobias, C.R., Böhlke, J.K. and Smith, R.L. (2019) Constraining the
 oxygen isotopic composition of nitrate produced by nitrification. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 53,
 1206-1216.
- Boyer, E.W., Howarth, R.W., Galloway, J.N., Dentener, F.J., Green, P.A. and Vörösmarty, C.J.
 (2006) Riverine nitrogen export from the continents to the coasts. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*20, n/a-n/a.
- Briggs, M.A., Day-Lewis, F.D., Zarnetske, J.P. and Harvey, J.W. (2015) A physical explanation for
 the development of redox microzones in hyporheic flow. *Geophysical Research Letters* 42,
 4402-4410.
- Briggs, M.A., Lautz, L.K. and Hare, D.K. (2014) Residence time control on hot moments of net
 nitrate production and uptake in the hyporheic zone. *Hydrol. Process.* 28, 3741-3751.
- Brodie, R., Sundaram, B., Tottenham, R., Hostetler, S. and Ransley, T. (2007) An overview of tools
 for assessing groundwater-surface water connectivity Bureau of Rural Sciences. Bureau of
 Rural Sciences, Canberra

- Brodie, R.S., Green, R. and Graham, M. (2003) Mapping groundwater-dependent ecosystems: a case
 study in the fractured basalt aquifers of the Alstonville Plateau, New South Wales, Australia.
- Buchwald, C., Santoro, A.E., McIlvin, M.R. and Casciotti, K.L. (2012) Oxygen isotopic composition
 of nitrate and nitrite produced by nitrifying cocultures and natural marine assemblages.
 Limnol. Oceanograph. 57, 1361-1375.
- Burnett, W.C., Peterson, R.N., Santos, I.R. and Hicks, R.W. (2010) Use of automated radon
 measurements for rapid assessment of groundwater flow into Florida streams. *J. Hydrol.* 380,
 298-304.
- Call, M., Maher, D.T., Santos, I.R., Ruiz-Halpern, S., Mangion, P., Sanders, C.J., Erler, D.V., Oakes,
 J.M., Rosentreter, J., Murray, R. and Eyre, B.D. (2015) Spatial and temporal variability of
 carbon dioxide and methane fluxes over semi-diurnal and spring-neap-spring timescales in a
 mangrove creek. *Geochim Cosmochim Ac* 150, 211-225.
- Casciotti, K.L., Sigman, D.M. and Ward, B.B. (2003) Linking diversity and stable isotope
 fractionation in ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. *Geomicrobiol. J.* 20, 335-353.
- Chamberlin, C.A., Bernhardt, E.S., Rosi, E.J. and Heffernan, J.B. (2019) Stoichiometry and daily
 rhythms: experimental evidence shows nutrient limitation decouples N uptake from
 photosynthesis. *Ecology* 100, e02822.
- Chen, X.L., Tague, C.L., Melack, J.M. and Keller, A.A. (2020) Sensitivity of nitrate concentration discharge patterns to soil nitrate distribution and drainage properties in the vertical dimension.
 Hydrol. Process., 17.
- Christensen, P.B., Nielsen, L.P., Sorensen, J. and Revsbech, N.P. (1990) Denitrification in nitrate-rich
 streams Diurnal and seasonal variation related to benthic oxygen-metabolism. *Limnol. Oceanograph.* 35, 640-651.
- Clough, T.J., Buckthought, L.E., Kelliher, F.M. and Sherlock, R.R. (2007) Diurnal fluctuations of
 dissolved nitrous oxide (N₂O) concentrations and estimates of N₂O emissions from a spring fed river: implications for IPCC methodology. *Global Change Biol.* 13, 1016-1027.
- Cohen, M.J., Heffernan, J.B., Albertin, A. and Martin, J.B. (2012) Inference of riverine nitrogen
 processing from longitudinal and diel variation in dual nitrate isotopes. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 117, 17.
- Comer-Warner, S., Knapp, J.L.A., Blaen, P., Klaar, M., Shelley, F., Zarnetske, J., Lee-Cullin, J.,
 Folegot, S., Kurz, M., Lewandowski, J., Harvey, J., Ward, A., Mendoza-Lera, C., Ullah, S.,
 Datry, T., Kettridge, N., Gooddy, D., Drummond, J., Martí, E., Milner, A., Hannah, D. and
 Krause, S. (2020a) The method controls the story Sampling method impacts on the detection
 of pore-water nitrogen concentrations in streambeds. *Sci. Total Environ.* **709**, 136075.
- Comer-Warner, S.A., Gooddy, D.C., Ullah, S., Glover, L., Kettridge, N., Wexler, S.K., Kaiser, J. and
 Krause, S. (2020b) Seasonal variability of sediment controls of nitrogen cycling in an
 agricultural stream. *Biogeochem.* 148, 31-48.
- Comer-Warner, S.A., Krause, S., Gooddy, D.C., Bennett, S.A., Wexler, S.K. and Kaiser, J. (2017)
 Opening opportunities for high-resolution isotope analysis Quantification of delta N15(NO3) and delta O-18(NO3) in diffusive equilibrium in thin-film passive samplers. *Anal. Chem.* 89, 4139-4146.
- Covino, T.P., Bernhardt, E.S. and Heffernan, J.B. (2018) Measuring and interpreting relationships
 between nutrient supply, demand, and limitation. *Freshwater Sci.* 37, 448-455.
- Benk, T.R.A., Mohn, J., Decock, C., Lewicka-Szczebak, D., Harris, E., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Kiese,
 R. and Wolf, B. (2017) The nitrogen cycle: A review of isotope effects and isotope modeling
 approaches. Soil Biol. Biochem. 105, 121-137.
- Budley-Southern, M. and Binley, A. (2015) Temporal responses of groundwater-surface water
 exchange to successive storm events. *Water Resour. Res.* 51, 1112-1126.
- Erler, D.V., Duncan, T.M., Murray, R., Maher, D.T., Santos, I.R., Gatland, J.R., Mangion, P. and
 Eyre, B.D. (2015) Applying cavity ring-down spectroscopy for the measurement of dissolved
 nitrous oxide concentrations and bulk nitrogen isotopic composition in aquatic systems:
 Correcting for interferences and field application. *Limnology & Oceanography: Methods* 13, 391-401.
- Eyre, B.D. and Ferguson, A.J.P. (2009) Denitrification efficiency for defining critical loads of carbon
 in shallow coastal ecosystems. *Hydrobiologia* 629, 137-146.

- Eyre, B.D. and Pont, D. (2003) Intra- and inter-annual variability in the different forms of diffuse
 nitrogen and phosphorus delivered to seven sub-tropical east Australian estuaries. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 57, 137-148.
- 879Fang, Y., Koba, K., Makabe, A., Zhu, F., Fan, S., Liu, X. and Yoh, M. (2012) Low δ^{18} O values of880nitrate produced from nitrification in temperate forest soils. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 8723-8818730.
- Fork, M.L. and Heffernan, J.B. (2014) Direct and indirect effects of dissolved organic matter source
 and concentration on denitrification in northern Florida rivers. *Ecosystems* 17, 14-28.
- Fowler, D., Coyle, M., Skiba, U., Sutton, M.A., Cape, J.N., Reis, S., Sheppard, L.J., Jenkins, A.,
 Grizzetti, B., Galloway, J.N., Vitousek, P., Leach, A., Bouwman, A.F., Butterbach-Bahl, K.,
 Dentener, F., Stevenson, D., Amann, M. and Voss, M. (2013) The global nitrogen cycle in the
 twenty-first century. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*368, 20130164.
- Frame, C.H. and Casciotti, K.L. (2010) Biogeochemical controls and isotopic signatures of nitrous
 oxide production by a marine ammonia-oxidizing bacterium. *Biogeosci.* 7, 2695-2709.
- Galloway, J.N., Townsend, A.R., Erisman, J.W., Bekunda, M., Cai, Z., Freney, J.R., Martinelli, L.A.,
 Seitzinger, S.P. and Sutton, M.A. (2008) Transformation of the nitrogen cycle: Recent trends,
 questions, and potential solutions. *Science* 320, 889-892.
- Gatland, J.R., Santos, I.R., Maher, D.T., Duncan, T.M. and Erler, D.V. (2014) Carbon dioxide and
 methane emissions from an artificially drained coastal wetland during a flood: Implications
 for wetland global warming potential. *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeosci.* 119, 1698-1716.
- Gomez-Velez, J.D., Harvey, J., Cardenas, M.B. and Kiel, B. (2015) Denitrification in the Mississippi
 River network controlled by flow through river bedforms. *Nat. Geosci.* 8, 941-U975.
- Granger, J. and Wankel, S.D. (2016) Isotopic overprinting of nitrification on denitrification as a
 ubiquitous and unifying feature of environmental nitrogen cycling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113, E6391-E6400.
- Gupta, G. and Karuppiah, M. (1996) Toxicity study of a Chesapeake Bay tributary Wicomico River.
 Chemosphere 32, 1193-1215.
- Hall, R.O. and Tank, J.L. (2003) Ecosystem metabolism controls nitrogen uptake in streams in Grand
 Teton National Park, Wyoming. *Limnol. Oceanograph.* 48, 1120-1128.
- Hall, R.O. and Ulseth, A.J. (2019) Gas exchange in streams and rivers. *WIREs Water* **0**, e1391.
- Hampton, T.B., Zarnetske, J.P., Briggs, M.A., Dehkordy, F.M., Singha, K., Day-Lewis, F.D., Harvey,
 J.W., Chowdhury, S.R. and Lane, J.W. (2020) Experimental shifts of hydrologic residence
 time in a sandy urban stream sediment-water interface alter nitrate removal and nitrous oxide
 fluxes. *Biogeochem.* 149, 195–219.
- Harrison, J. and Matson, P. (2003) Patterns and controls of nitrous oxide emissions from waters
 draining a subtropical agricultural valley. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* 17, 13.
- Harrison, J.A., Matson, P.A. and Fendorf, S.E. (2005) Effects of a diel oxygen cycle on nitrogen
 transformations and greenhouse gas emissions in a eutrophied subtropical stream. *Aquat. Sci.* 67, 308-315.
- Harvey, J.W., Bohlke, J.K., Voytek, M.A., Scott, D. and Tobias, C.R. (2013) Hyporheic zone
 denitrification: Controls on effective reaction depth and contribution to whole-stream mass
 balance. *Water Resour. Res.* 49, 6298-6316.
- Haslun, J.A., Ostrom, N.E., Hegg, E.L. and Ostrom, P.H. (2018) Estimation of isotope variation of
 N₂O during denitrification by Pseudomonas aureofaciens and Pseudomonas chlororaphis:
 implications for N₂O source apportionment. *Biogeosci.* 15, 3873-3882.
- Hester, E.T., Eastes, L.A. and Widdowson, M.A. (2019) Effect of surface water stage fluctuation on
 mixing-dependent hyporheic denitrification in riverbed dunes. *Water Resour. Res.* 55, 4668 4687.
- Hu, M.P., Chen, D.J. and Dahlgren, R.A. (2016) Modeling nitrous oxide emission from rivers: A
 global assessment. *Global Change Biol.* 22, 3566-3582.
- Huang, J., Bennett, W.W., Welsh, D.T., Li, T. and Teasdale, P.R. (2016) "Diffusive Gradients in Thin
 Films" techniques provide representative time-weighted average measurements of inorganic
 nutrients in dynamic freshwater systems. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 13446-13454.

- Huang, J.Y., Franklin, H., Teasdale, P.R., Burford, M.A., Kankanamge, N.R., Bennett, W.W. and
 Welsh, D.T. (2019) Comparison of DET, DGT and conventional porewater extractions for
 determining nutrient profiles and cycling in stream sediments. *Environ. Sci.-Process Impacts*21, 2128-2140.
- Ji, Q.X., Frey, C., Sun, X., Jackson, M., Lee, Y.S., Jayakumar, A., Cornwell, J.C. and Ward, B.B.
 (2018) Nitrogen and oxygen availabilities control water column nitrous oxide production
 during seasonal anoxia in the Chesapeake Bay. *Biogeosci.* 15, 6127-6138.
- Julian, J.P., Davies-Colley, R.J., Gallegos, C.L. and Tran, T.V. (2013) Optical water quality of inland
 waters: a landscape perspective. *Ann. Assoc. Am. Geogr.* 103, 309-318.
- Kassambara, A. (2020) ggpubr: 'ggplot2' Based Publication Ready Plots, R package version 0.3.0 ed,
 <u>https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggpubr.</u>
- Kendall, C. (1998) Tracing nitrogen sources and cycling in catchments, in: Kendall, C., Mcdonell, J.J.
 (Eds.), Isotope Tracers in Catchment Hydrology. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, pp. 519-576.
- Kessler, A.J., Glud, R.N., Cardenas, M.B. and Cook, P.L.M. (2013) Transport zonation limits coupled
 nitrification-denitrification in permeable sediments. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47, 13404-13411.
- Khadka, M.B., Martin, J.B. and Kurz, M.J. (2017) Synoptic estimates of diffuse groundwater seepage
 to a spring-fed karst river at high spatial resolution using an automated radon measurement
 technique. J. Hydrol. 544, 86-96.
- Knights, D., Sawyer, A.H., Barnes, R.T., Musial, C.T. and Bray, S. (2017) Tidal controls on riverbed
 denitrification along a tidal freshwater zone. *Water Resour. Res.* 53, 799-816.
- Knowles, J.E. and Frederick, C. (2019) merTools: Tools for Analyzing Mixed Effect Regression
 Models, R package version 0.5.0 ed, <u>https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=merTools.</u>
- Krause, S., Lewandowski, J., Grimm, N.B., Hannah, D.M., Pinay, G., McDonald, K., Martí, E.,
 Argerich, A., Pfister, L., Klaus, J., Battin, T., Larned, S.T., Schelker, J., Fleckenstein, J.,
 Schmidt, C., Rivett, M.O., Watts, G., Sabater, F., Sorolla, A. and Turk, V. (2017)
 Ecohydrological interfaces as hotspots of ecosystem processes. *Water Resour. Res.*, n/a-n/a.
- Kroeze, C., Dumont, E. and Seitzinger, S.P. (2005) New estimates of global emissions of N₂O from
 rivers and estuaries. *Environmental Sciences* 2, 159-165.
- Kroopnick, P. and Craig, H. (1972) Atmospheric oxygen: isotopic composition and solubility
 fractionation. *Science* 175, 54-55.
- Laursen, A.E. and Seitzinger, S.P. (2004) Diurnal patterns of denitrification, oxygen consumption and
 nitrous oxide production in rivers measured at the whole-reach scale. *Freshwater Biol.* 49,
 1448-1458.
- 964 Lewandowski, J., Arnon, S., Banks, E., Batelaan, O., Betterle, A., Broecker, T., Coll, C., Drummond, 965 J.D., Garcia, J.G., Galloway, J., Gomez-Velez, J., Grabowski, R.C., Herzog, S.P., 966 Hinkelmann, R., Hohne, A., Hollender, J., Horn, M.A., Jaeger, A., Krause, S., Prats, A.L., 967 Magliozzi, C., Meinikmann, K., Mojarrad, B.B., Mueller, B.M., Peralta-Maraver, I., Popp, 968 A.L., Posselt, M., Putschew, A., Radke, M., Raza, M., Riml, J., Robertson, A., Rutere, C., Schaper, J.L., Schirmer, M., Schulz, H., Shanafield, M., Singh, T., Ward, A.S., Wolke, P., 969 970 Worman, A. and Wu, L.W. (2019) Is the hyporheic zone relevant beyond the scientific 971 community? Water 11, 32.
- Lewicka-Szczebak, D., Augustin, J., Giesemann, A. and Well, R. (2017) Quantifying N₂O reduction
 to N₂ based on N₂O isotopocules validation with independent methods (helium incubation
 and ¹⁵N gas flux method). *Biogeosci.* 14, 22.
- Looman, A., Santos, I.R., Tait, D.R., Webb, J.R., Sullivan, C.A. and Maher, D.T. (2016) Carbon
 cycling and exports over diel and flood-recovery timescales in a subtropical rainforest
 headwater stream. *Sci. Total Environ.* 550, 645-657.
- Maher, D.T., Santos, I.R., Leuven, J.R.F.W., Oakes, J.M., Erler, D.V., Carvalho de Carvalho, M. and
 Eyre, B.D. (2013) Novel use of cavity ring-down spectroscopy to investigate aquatic carbon
 cycling from microbial to ecosystem scales. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47, 12938-12945.
- Marcé, R., von Schiller, D., Aguilera, R., Martí, E. and Bernal, S. (2018) Contribution of hydrologic
 opportunity and biogeochemical reactivity to the variability of nutrient retention in river
 networks. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* 32, 376-388.

- Martí, E., Feijoó, C., Vilches, C., Ferreiro, N., Gantes, P., Ranieri, C., Torremorell, A., Castro,
 M.C.R., Gultemiriam, M.L., Giorgi, A. and Sabater, F. (2020) Diel variation of nutrient
 retention is associated with metabolism for ammonium but not phosphorus in a lowland
 stream. *Freshwater Sci.* 0, 000-000.
- Marzadri, A., Dee, M.M., Tonina, D., Bellin, A. and Tank, J.L. (2017) Role of surface and subsurface
 processes in scaling N₂O emissions along riverine networks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114,
 4330-4335.
- McCrackin, M.L., Harrison, J.A. and Compton, J.E. (2014) Factors influencing export of dissolved
 inorganic nitrogen by major rivers: A new, seasonal, spatially explicit, global model. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* 28, 269-285.
- McIlvin, M.R. and Casciotti, K.L. (2011) Technical updates to the bacterial method for nitrate
 isotopic analyses. *Anal. Chem.* 83, 1850-1856.
- McKee, L., Eyre, B. and Hossain, S. (2000) Intra- and interannual export of nitrogen and phosphorus
 in the subtropical Richmond River catchment, Australia. *Hydrol. Process.* 14, 1787-1809.
- McMahon, P.B. and Dennehy, K.F. (1999) N₂O emissions from a nitrogen-enriched river. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 33, 21-25.
- Mohn, J., Wolf, B., Toyoda, S., Lin, C.-T., Liang, M.-C., Brüggemann, N., Wissel, H., Steiker, A.E.,
 Dyckmans, J., Szwec, L., Ostrom, N.E., Casciotti, K.L., Forbes, M., Giesemann, A., Well, R.,
 Doucett, R.R., Yarnes, C.T., Ridley, A.R., Kaiser, J. and Yoshida, N. (2014) Interlaboratory
 assessment of nitrous oxide isotopomer analysis by isotope ratio mass spectrometry and laser
 spectroscopy: current status and perspectives. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*28, 1995-2007.
- Morgan, B., Rate, A.W. and Burton, E.D. (2012) Water chemistry and nutrient release during the
 resuspension of FeS-rich sediments in a eutrophic estuarine system. *Sci. Total Environ.* 432,
 47-56.
- Murray, R., Erler, D., Rosentreter, J., Maher, D. and Eyre, B. (2018) A seasonal source and sink of
 nitrous oxide in mangroves: Insights from concentration, isotope, and isotopomer
 measurements. *Geochim Cosmochim Ac* 238, 169-192.
- Murray, R.H., Erler, D.V. and Eyre, B.D. (2015) Nitrous oxide fluxes in estuarine environments:
 Response to global change. *Global Change Biol.* 21, 3219-3245.
- 1014 Naranjo, R.C., Niswonger, R.G. and Davis, C.J. (2015) Mixing effects on nitrogen and oxygen
 1015 concentrations and the relationship to mean residence time in a hyporheic zone of a riffle-pool
 1016 sequence. *Water Resour. Res.* 51, 7202-7217.
- 1017 O'Donnell, B. and Hotchkiss, E.R. (2019) Coupling concentration- and process-discharge
 1018 relationships integrates water chemistry and metabolism in streams. *Water Resour. Res.* 55,
 1019 12.
- Ocampo, C.J., Oldham, C.E., Sivapalan, M. and Turner, J.V. (2006) Hydrological versus
 biogeochemical controls on catchment nitrate export: a test of the flushing mechanism.
 Hydrol. Process. 20, 4269-4286.
- 1023Oliver, R.L., Mitrovic, S.M. and Rees, C. (2010) Influence of salinity on light conditions and
phytoplankton growth in a turbid river. *River Research and Applications* 26, 894-903.
- Ostrom, N.E. and Ostrom, P.H. (2017) Mining the isotopic complexity of nitrous oxide: a review of
 challenges and opportunities. *Biogeochem.* 132, 359-372.
- 1027 Ostrom, N.E., Pitt, A., Sutka, R., Ostrom, P.H., Grandy, A.S., Huizinga, K.M. and Robertson, G.P.
 1028 (2007) Isotopologue effects during N₂O reduction in soils and in pure cultures of denitrifiers.
 1029 J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeosci. 112, 12.
- Palmer-Felgate, E.J., Mortimer, R.J.G., Krom, M.D. and Jarvie, H.P. (2010) Impact of point-source
 pollution on phosphorus and nitrogen cycling in stream-bed sediments. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 908-914.
- Parker, S.R., Poulson, S.R., Gammons, C.H. and DeGrandpre, M.D. (2005) Biogeochemical controls
 on diel cycling of stable isotopes of dissolved O₂ and dissolved inorganic carbon in the Big
 Hole River, Montana. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **39**, 7134-7140.
- 1036Pedersen, T.L. (2019) patchwork: The Composer of Plots, R package version 1.0.0 ed,1037https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=patchwork.

- Quick, A.M., Reeder, W.J., Farrell, T.B., Tonina, D., Feris, K.P. and Benner, S.G. (2016) Controls on
 nitrous oxide emissions from the hyporheic zones of streams. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50,
 11491-11500.
- Quick, A.M., Reeder, W.J., Farrell, T.B., Tonina, D., Feris, K.P. and Benner, S.G. (2019) Nitrous
 oxide from streams and rivers: A review of primary biogeochemical pathways and
 environmental variables. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 191, 224-262.
- Rahimi, M., Essaid, H.I. and Wilson, J.T. (2015) The role of dynamic surface water-groundwater
 exchange on streambed denitrification in a first-order, low-relief agricultural watershed.
 Water Resour. Res. 51, 9514-9538.
- Raymond, P.A., Saiers, J.E. and Sobczak, W.V. (2016) Hydrological and biogeochemical controls on
 watershed dissolved organic matter transport: pulse-shunt concept. *Ecology* 97, 5-16.
- Raymond, P.A., Zappa, C.J., Butman, D., Bott, T.L., Potter, J., Mulholland, P., Laursen, A.E.,
 McDowell, W.H. and Newbold, D. (2012) Scaling the gas transfer velocity and hydraulic
 geometry in streams and small rivers. *Limnology & Oceanography: Fluids and Environments* 2, 41-53.
- Reading, M.J., Santos, I.R., Maher, D.T., Jeffrey, L. and Tait, D.R. (2017) Shifting nitrous oxide
 source/sink behaviour in a subtropical estuary revealed by automated time series
 observations. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 194, 66-76.
- Reisinger, A.J., Doody, T.R., Groffman, P.M., Kaushal, S.S. and Rosi, E.J. (2019) Seeing the light:
 urban stream restoration affects stream metabolism and nitrate uptake via changes in canopy
 cover. *Ecol. Appl.* 29, 15.
- Reisinger, A.J., Tank, J.L., Hoellein, T.J. and Hall, R.O. (2016) Sediment, water column, and open channel denitrification in rivers measured using membrane-inlet mass spectrometry. J.
 Geophys. Res.-Biogeosci. 121, 1258-1274.
- Rosamond, M.S., Thuss, S.J. and Schiff, S.L. (2012) Dependence of riverine nitrous oxide emissions
 on dissolved oxygen levels. *Nat. Geosci.* 5, 715.
- Rosamond, M.S., Thuss, S.J., Schiff, S.L. and Elgood, R.J. (2011) Coupled cycles of dissolved
 oxygen and nitrous oxide in rivers along a trophic gradient in southern Ontario, Canada. J.
 Environ. Qual. 40, 256-270.
- Salk, K.R., Ostrom, P.H., Biddanda, B.A., Weinke, A.D., Kendall, S.T. and Ostrom, N.E. (2016)
 Ecosystem metabolism and greenhouse gas production in a mesotrophic northern temperate
 lake experiencing seasonal hypoxia. *Biogeochem.* 131, 303-319.
- Santos, I.R., Maher, D.T. and Eyre, B.D. (2012) Coupling automated radon and carbon dioxide
 measurements in coastal waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 7685-7691.
- Schubert, M. and Paschke, A. (2015) Radon, CO₂ and CH₄ as environmental tracers in groundwater/surface water interaction studies - comparative theoretical evaluation of the gas specific water/air phase transfer kinetics. *Eur. Phys. J.-Spec. Top.* 224, 709-715.
- Schutte, C.A., Joye, S.B., Wilson, A.M., Evans, T., Moore, W.S. and Casciotti, K. (2015) Intense
 nitrogen cycling in permeable intertidal sediment revealed by a nitrous oxide hot spot. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* 29, 1584-1598.
- Seitzinger, S., Harrison, J.A., Bohlke, J.K., Bouwman, A.F., Lowrance, R., Peterson, B., Tobias, C.
 and Van Drecht, G. (2006) Denitrification across landscapes and waterscapes: A synthesis.
 Ecol. Appl. 16, 2064-2090.
- Singh, T., Wu, L.W., Gomez-Velez, J.D., Lewandowski, J., Hannah, D.M. and Krause, S. (2019)
 Dynamic hyporheic zones: exploring the role of peak flow events on bedform-induced
 hyporheic exchange. *Water Resour. Res.* 55, 218-235.
- Smith, J.M., Chavez, F.P. and Francis, C.A. (2014) Ammonium uptake by phytoplankton regulates
 nitrification in the sunlit ocean. *PloS one* 9, 9.
- Snider, D.M., Schiff, S.L. and Spoelstra, J. (2009) N-15/N-14 and O-18/O-16 stable isotope ratios of
 nitrous oxide produced during denitrification in temperate forest soils. *Geochim Cosmochim* Ac 73, 877-888.
- 1089 Snider, D.M., Venkiteswaran, J.J., Schiff, S.L. and Spoelstra, J. (2013) A new mechanistic model of δ^{18} O-N₂O formation by denitrification. *Geochim Cosmochim Ac* **112**, 102-115.

- Stanley, E.H., Casson, N.J., Christel, S.T., Crawford, J.T., Loken, L.C. and Oliver, S.K. (2016) The
 ecology of methane in streams and rivers: patterns, controls, and global significance. *Ecol. Monogr.* 86, 146-171.
- Stephens, B.M., Wankel, S.D., Beman, J.M., Rabines, A.J., Allen, A.E. and Aluwihare, L.I. (2019)
 Euphotic zone nitrification in the California Current Ecosystem. *Limnol. Oceanograph.* 9999, 1-17.
- Tank, J.L., Rosi-Marshall, E.J., Griffiths, N.A., Entrekin, S.A. and Stephen, M.L. (2010) A review of
 allochthonous organic matter dynamics and metabolism in streams. J. N. Am. Benthol. Soc.
 29, 118-146.
- Thuss, S.J., Venkiteswaran, J.J. and Schiff, S.L. (2014) Proper interpretation of dissolved nitrous
 oxide isotopes, production pathways, and emissions requires a modelling approach. *PloS one* 9, 15.
- Toyoda, S. and Yoshida, N. (1999) Determination of nitrogen isotopomers of nitrous oxide on a
 modified isotope ratio mass spectrometer. *Anal. Chem.* 71, 4711-4718.
- Trauth, N. and Fleckenstein, J.H. (2017) Single discharge events increase reactive efficiency of the
 hyporheic zone. *Water Resour. Res.* 53, 779-798.
- Trauth, N., Schmidt, C., Vieweg, M., Maier, U. and Fleckenstein, J.H. (2014) Hyporheic transport and
 biogeochemical reactions in pool-riffle systems under varying ambient groundwater flow
 conditions. J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeosci. 119, 910-928.
- Trimmer, M., Grey, J., Heppell, C.M., Hildrew, A.G., Lansdown, K., Stahl, H. and Yvon-Durocher,
 G. (2012) River bed carbon and nitrogen cycling: State of play and some new directions. *Sci. Total Environ.* 434, 143-158.
- Turner, P.A., Griffis, T.J., Lee, X.H., Baker, J.M., Venterea, R.T. and Wood, J.D. (2015) Indirect
 nitrous oxide emissions from streams within the US Corn Belt scale with stream order. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, 9839-9843.
- Tysmans, D.J.J., Lohr, A.J., Kroeze, C., Ivens, W. and van Wijnen, J. (2013) Spatial and temporal
 variability of nutrient retention in river basins: A global inventory. *Ecol. Indic.* 34, 607-615.
- 1118 Venkiteswaran, J.J., Rosamond, M.S. and Schiff, S.L. (2014) Nonlinear response of riverine N₂O
 1119 fluxes to oxygen and temperature. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 1566-1573.
- Wanninkhof, R. (2014) Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean revisited.
 Limnology & Oceanography: Methods 12, 351-362.
- Webb, J.R., Santos, I.R., Robson, B., Macdonald, B., Jeffrey, L. and Maher, D.T. (2017) Constraining
 the annual groundwater contribution to the water balance of an agricultural floodplain using
 radon: The importance of floods. *Water Resour. Res.* 53, 544-562.
- Weiss, R.F. and Price, B.A. (1980) Nitrous-oxide solubility in water and seawater. *Mar. Chem.* 8, 347-359.
- Well, R., Eschenbach, W., Flessa, H., von der Heide, C. and Weymann, D. (2012) Are dual isotope
 and isotopomer ratios of N₂O useful indicators for N₂O turnover during denitrification in
 nitrate-contaminated aquifers? *Geochim Cosmochim Ac* **90**, 265-282.
- Wells, N.S., Baisden, W.T., Clough, T.J., Johnson-Beebout, S.E. and Elberling, B. (2019) Effects of
 denitrification and transport on the isotopic composition of nitrate (δ¹⁸O, δ¹⁵N) in freshwater
 systems. *Sci. Total Environ.* 651, 2228-2234.
- Wells, N.S., Baisden, W.T., Horton, T. and Clough, T.J. (2016) Spatial and temporal variations in nitrogen export from a New Zealand pastoral catchment revealed by stream water nitrate isotopic composition. *Water Resour. Res.* 52, 2480-2854.
- 1136 Wells, N.S. and Eyre, B.D. (2019) δ^{15} N patterns in three sub-tropical estuaries show switch from 1137 nitrogen 'reactors' to 'pipes' as degradation increases. *Limnol. Oceanograph.* **64**, 860-876.
- Wells, N.S., Maher, D.T., Huang, P., Erler, D.V., Maxwell, P., Hipsey, M.R. and Eyre, B.D. (2020)
 Land-use intensity alters both the source and fate of CO₂ within eight sub-tropical estuaries. *Geochim Cosmochim Ac* 268, 107-122.
- Wickham, H. (2016) ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York,
 <u>https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org</u>.
- Wollheim, W.M., Bernal, S., Burns, D.A., Czuba, J.A., Driscoll, C.T., Hansen, A.T., Hensley, R.T.,
 Hosen, J.D., Inamdar, S., Kaushal, S.S., Koenig, L.E., Lu, Y.H., Marzadri, A., Raymond,
 P.A., Scott, D., Stewart, R.J., Vidon, P.G. and Wohl, E. (2018) River network saturation

- 1146 concept: factors influencing the balance of biogeochemical supply and demand of river 1147 networks. *Biogeochem.* **141**, 503-521.
- Wu, S., Chen, J., Li, C., Kong, D.L., Yu, K., Liu, S.W. and Zou, J.W. (2018) Diel and seasonal
 nitrous oxide fluxes determined by floating chamber and gas transfer equation methods in
 agricultural irrigation watersheds in southeast China. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 190, 14.
- Xia, X.H., Liu, T., Yang, Z.F., Michalski, G., Liu, S.D., Jia, Z.M. and Zhang, S. (2017) Enhanced
 nitrogen loss from rivers through coupled nitrification-denitrification caused by suspended
 sediment. *Sci. Total Environ.* 579, 47-59.
- Xu, M.N., Li, X.L., Shi, D.L., Zhang, Y., Dai, M.H., Huang, T., Glibert, P.M. and Kao, S.J. (2019)
 Coupled effect of substrate and light on assimilation and oxidation of regenerated nitrogen in
 the euphotic ocean. *Limnol. Oceanograph.* 64, 1270-1283.
- Yang, X.Q., Jomaa, S., Buttner, O. and Rode, M. (2019) Autotrophic nitrate uptake in river networks:
 A modeling approach using continuous high-frequency data. *Water Res* 157, 258-268.
- Yoshida, N. and Toyoda, S. (2000) Constraining the atmospheric N₂O budget from intramolecular site
 preference in N₂O isotopomers. *Nature* 405, 330-334.
- Zarnetske, J.P., Haggerty, R., Wondzell, S.M., Bokil, V.A. and Gonzalez-Pinzon, R. (2012) Coupled
 transport and reaction kinetics control the nitrate source-sink function of hyporheic zones.
 Water Pasour, Pas. 49, 15
- 1163 *Water Resour. Res.* **48**, 15.

Supplemental Material

for

Flow regulates biological NO3⁻ and N2O production in a turbid sub-tropical stream

by

Naomi S. Wells & Bradley D. Eyre

submitted to

Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta

1. Gas transfer velocity

We calculated the gas transfer velocity of N_2O (k_{600} , in m d⁻¹) between the surface water and the air using three empirical parameterisations from (Raymond et al., 2012):

- (1) $k_{600} = (v \times S)^{0.89} \times h^{0.54} \times 5037$
- (2) $k_{600} = 929 \times (v \times S)^{0.75} \times Q^{0.011}$
- (3) $k_{600} = 4725 \times (v \times S)^{0.86} \times Q^{-0.14} \times h^{0.66}$

where v is the current velocity (m s⁻¹), h is the water depth (m), S is the streambed slope (unitless), and Q is discharge (m³ s⁻¹). These equations were used to calculate the net flux of N₂O between the air and the water column in .

Table S1 Stream gas transfer velocity (k_{600}) and N₂O flux over five 24 hr periods. Fluxes were calculated as both the arithmetic mean and the sum of values measured every 1 min⁻¹ for each 24 hr period, based on the mean of the three k_{600} calculations (outlined above) and the measured dissolved N₂O concentrations relative to air.

Sampling date	к 600 m d ⁻¹	mean N₂O flux mg N m⁻² d¹	total N ₂ O flux mg N m ⁻² d ¹
D1 (11/04/2017)	1.0 ± 0.8	$\textbf{0.58}\pm0.8$	0.21
D2 (12/04/2017)	$\textbf{0.66} \pm 0.3$	$\textbf{0.77}\pm0.5$	0.67
D4 (14/04/2017)	$\textbf{1.3}\pm0.3$	$\textbf{1.9}\pm0.5$	1.6
D6 (16/04/2017)	$\textbf{0.82}\pm0.1$	$\textbf{1.6} \pm 0.5$	1.3
D8 (18/04/2017)	$\textbf{0.73}\pm0.3$	1.8 0.9	1.6

2. Stream metabolism calculations



Fig. S1 The measured (black lines) and predicted (red dashed lines) dissolved O_2 concentrations in the surface water at the centre of the sampled reach. Predicted values are based on the best-fit mle solutions in the streamMetabolizer model (Appling et al., 2018). Agreement between measured and modelled values are shown for each 24 h period, based on Kendall correlation analysis.

Table S2 Stream gross primary productivity (GPP) and ecosystem respiration (ER) estimated using the streamMetabolizer R package, using a MLE model for a light saturation effect for GPP and constant ER. Positive fluxes indicate O_2 production, negative fluxes O_2 consumption. The ratio between GPP and ER (P/R) fluxes is also shown.

Sampling date	GPP g O ₂ m ⁻² d- ¹	ER g O ₂ m ⁻² d- ¹	P/R
D1 (11/04/2017)	2.8	-60 (-6555)	0.05
D2 (12/04/2017)	0.65	-3.3 (-3.33.3)	0.2
D4 (14/04/2017)	0.32	-2.7 (-2.72.6)	0.1
D6 (16/04/2017)	0.18	-39 (-3839)	0.005
D8 (18/04/2017)	3.0	-110	0.03

3. Groundwater – surface water mixing calculations

Table S3 The relative contribution of surface water at 20 cm below the streambed surface (f_{sw}) was calculated for each sampled day (D1 – D8) based on the measured conductivity (μ S cm⁻¹) in the surface water (*SW*) and hyporheic zone (*HZ*) and range of previously reported local groundwater conductivity (1000 – 5000 μ S cm⁻¹).

	D1	D2	D4	D6	D8
Conductivity SW	250	270	300	320	350
Conductivity HZ	680 (50)	610 (100)	650 (80)	990 (100)	1200 (300)
f _{sw} (mean)	0.83	0.86	0.86	0.72	0.62
f _{sw} (high)	0.87	0.92	0.91	0.82	0.82
f _{sw} (low)	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.51	0.24

Table S4 Inputs and outputs from the ²²²Rn mass balance used to estimate the flux (Q_{GW}) and fraction (f_{GW}) of groundwater in the reach surface water. Losses from radioactive decay (F_{decay}) and water-air evasion (F_{air}) were calculated as dpm reach⁻¹, based on h (m) and the stream reach surface area (m²), using equations from Khadka et al. (2017). Values are reported as mean (min – max) based the minimum and maximum local groundwater ²²²Rn concentrations for the range of parameters observed over 200 min around daily high (Q_{high} , Rn_{high}) and low (Q_{low} , Rn_{low}) tides.

	D1	D2	D4	D6	D8
F _{decay}	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.4	1.3
F _{air}	57,000	51,000	92,000	76,000	66,000
Q _{low}	0.05	2.2	5.5	2.0	0.96
	(-0.2 – 0.51)	(1.9 – 2.6)	(5.3 – 5.6)	(1.6 – 2.5)	(-0.034 – 1.6)
Rn _{low}	4.7	4.1	4.0	4.5	4.1
	(4.6 – 4.8)	(4.0 – 4.2)	(3.9 – 4.0)	(4.4 – 4.8)	(4.1 – 4.3)
Q _{high}	3.3	3.3	3.7	2.8	2.3
	(0.29 – 6.5)	(3.1 – 3.4)	(2.5 – 4.7)	(2.7 – 2.9)	(1.6 – 3.1)
Rn _{high}	2.9	2.7	3.5	3.8	3.6
	(1.9 – 3.3)	(2.1 – 3.2)	(3.2 – 3.7)	(3.7 – 3.8)	(3.6 – 3.7)
Q _{GW}	1.1	1.0	1.9	1.6	1.4
	(0.25 – 3.0)	(0.21 – 2.0)	(0.36 – 3.5)	(0.33 – 3.2)	(0.3 – 2.9)
f _{GW}	0.35 ± 0.3	0.32 ± 0.3	0.54 ± 0.4	0.58 ± 0.5	0.61 ± 0.5

4. Additional water chemistry figures and tables



Fig. S2 Changes in surface water temperature (a) and CH_4 (b) over eight days of falling water levels.



Fig. S3 Differences in the site preference (SP, a), $\delta^{15}N$ (b), and $\delta^{18}O$ (c) composition of surface water N₂O. Boxplots show the mean range of values measured for 10 sampling times over five days. Samples were collected across the width (north bank, centre, and south bank) at three locations along the length of a 50 m stream reach (A, B, C). Values are not corrected for atmospheric mixing.

Table S5 Surface water chemistry, measured at nine locations over the length (A, B, C) and width (north, centre, south) of a stream reach (*SW*). Data shown is the mean (standard deviation) for each sampling location measured 10 times over an eight day period. See Table S8 for statistical tests for the NO_3^- and NH_4^+ data.

Location		NH₄⁺ mg N /⁻¹	NO₃⁻ mg N /⁻¹	DOC mg C l ⁻¹	PO₄ ³- mg P / ¹		
А	North	0.98 (0.8)	1.7 (0.6)	9.4 (2)	0.19 (0.2)		
	Centre	0.66 (0.2)	1.7 (0.6)	9.5 (2)	0.18 (0.3)		
	South	0.72 (0.03)	1.9 (0.4)	9.5 (1)	0.12 (0.09)		
В	North	0.67 (0.07)	1.7 (0.5)	11 (2)	0.10 (0.04)		
	Centre	0.69 (0.06)	1.8 (0.5)	9.9 (1)	0.095 (0.01)		
	South	0.71 (0.04)	1.9 (0.4)	9.9 (1)	0.091 (0.01)		
С	North	0.86 (0.6)	1.8 (0.5)	10 (2)	0.13 (0.1)		
	Centre	0.69 (0.05)	1.9 (0.4)	10 (3)	0.094 (0.01)		
	South	0.70 (0.04)	1.9 (0.4)	9.9 (1)	0.096 (0.02)		

L	_ocation	NH₄ ⁺ <i>mg N l⁻</i> ¹	NO 3 ⁻ mg N ו
А	North	110 (70)	0.32 (0.2)
	Centre	130 (80)	0.23 (0.08)
	South	53 (30)	0.38 (0.2)
В	North	51 (40)	0.42 (0.5)
	Centre	120 (100)	0.51 (0.5)
	South	61 (70)	0.53 (0.3)
С	North	42 (20)	0.31 (0.2)
	Centre	110 (50)	0.38 (0.2)
	South	79 (30)	0.82 (0.5)
		-	

Table S6 Subsurface water chemistry, measured using DET probes inserted to 5 cm below the streambed surface (*S*). Data shown is the mean (standard deviation) for each sampling location measured 10 times over eight days. Note neither DOC nor PO_4^{3-} could be measured in the DET probes.

Location		NH₄⁺ mg N l⁻¹	NO₃⁻ mg N /¹	DOC mg C וֹ	PO₄ ³- mg P / ¹		
Α	North	4.7 (0.9)	0.047 (0.03)	92 (60)	0.066 (0.05)		
	Centre	1.1 (0.8)	0.27 (0.2)	53 (20)	0.073 (0.04)		
	South	1.0 (0.3)	1.1 (0.4)	51 (20)	0.21 (0.1)		
В	North	1.5 (0.7)	0.17 (0.2)	60 (30)	0.37 (0.2)		
	Centre	0.78 (0.08)	1.6 (0.4)	27 (5)	0.099 (0.03)		
	South	0.73 (0.05)	1.8 (0.4)	20 (4)	0.097 (0.03)		
С	North	0.74 (0.4)	0.14 (0.2)	70 (30)	0.23 (0.2)		
	Centre	0.75 (0.07)	1.7 (0.5)	23 (9)	0.17 (0.2)		
	South	1.3 (0.6)	1.5 (0.4)	27 (20)	0.26 (0.05)		

Table S7 Subsurface water chemistry, measured in peizometers at 20 cm below the streambed surface (*HZ*). Data shown is the mean (standarddeviation) for each sampling location measured 10 times over an eight day period; see Table S8 for statistical analyses of spatial and temporal patterns.

Table S8 Mixed model results (as Type II Wald F tests and 95% confidence intervals) for changes in NO₃⁻ concentrations, δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻, and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ over time. Sampling locations are treated as repeated measures within each (*SW*, *S*, *HZ*), which were evaluated separately. Specific sample locations are treated as random effects, and time (continuous) and locations, either width-wise (north, centre, south) and length-wise (A, B, C), as fixed factors. **Bold** text indicates significant differences (* = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01, *** = p < 0.001).

Depth	Parameter	Time		Width		Time*Width		Length		Time*Length	
SW	NO ₃ ⁻	410***	(7 – 9)	4.6	(-80 – 400)	1.3	(-3 – 1)	0.60	(-70 – 300)	0.40	(-3 – 1)
	NH_4^+	3.3	(-51)	1.7	(-70090)	3.5*	(0.3 – 6)	0.54	(-500 – 300)	0.86	(-3 – 5)
	δ ¹⁸ O-NO ₃ ⁻	24***	(-0.050.1)	0.18	(-3 – 2)	0.39	(-0.01 – 0.03)	0.48	(-2 – 3)	0.77	(-0.03 – 0.02)
	δ^{15} N-NO ₃ ⁻	93***	(0.008, 0.02)	0.75	(-0.08 - 0.9)	0.79	(-0.006 - 0.004)	1.9	(-1 – 0.3)	0.62	(-0.005 - 0.005)
S	NO ₃ -	1.9	(-2 – 2)	2.2	(-200 – 700)	0.83	(-5 – 2)	1.2	(-10 – 600)	0.78	(-5 – 3)
	NH₄ ⁺	3.3	(-51)	1.7	(-70090)	3.5*	(0.3 – 7)	0.54	(-500 – 300)	0.86	(-3 – 5)
	δ ¹⁸ O-NO ₃ ⁻	0.43	(-0.02 - 0.03)	0.91	(-6 – 6)	2.8*	(-0.08 – -0.004)	0.32	(-8 – 3)	0.82	(-0.02 – 0.06)
	δ ¹⁵ N-NO ₃ ⁻	9.6**	(-0.02 – 0.04)	1.1	(-8 – 6)	2.3	(-0.03 – 0.1)	0.47	(-4 – 8)	0.71	(-0.08 – 0.02)
ΗZ	NO ₃ ⁻	17***	(-3 – 0.2)	5.8*	(200 – 1000)	12***	(3 – 8)	0.78	(-800 – 2000)	4.98**	(1 – 6)
	NH₄ ⁺	24***	(4 – 10)	1.3	(-3000 - 800)	2.6	(-8-0.1)	1.0	(-3000 – 800)	1.8	(-8 – 0.7)
	δ ¹⁸ O-NO ₃ ⁻	1.1	(-0.005 - 0.06)	5.4*	(-8 – 3)	1.6	(-0.08 – 0.03)	0.31	(-7 – 5)	0.11	(-0.05 – 0.04)
	δ ¹⁵ N-NO ₃ ⁻	0.33	(-0.070.02)	4.5	(-11 – -3)	5.0**	(0.02 – 0.1)	1.0	(-10 – 0.8)	1.9	(-0.02 – 0.08)

References

- Appling, A.P., Hall Jr., R.O., Yackulic, C.B. and Arroita, M. (2018) Overcoming equifinality: Leveraging long time series for stream metabolism estimation. *J. Geophys. Res- Biogeosci.* 123, 624-645.
- Khadka, M.B., Martin, J.B. and Kurz, M.J. (2017) Synoptic estimates of diffuse groundwater seepage to a spring-fed karst river at high spatial resolution using an automated radon measurement technique. *J. Hydrol.* **544**, 86-96.
- Raymond, P.A., Zappa, C.J., Butman, D., Bott, T.L., Potter, J., Mulholland, P., Laursen, A.E., McDowell, W.H. and Newbold, D. (2012) Scaling the gas transfer velocity and hydraulic geometry in streams and small rivers. *Limnology & Oceanography: Fluids and Environments* 2, 41-53.