Stress transfer in the western boundary of Bayan Har Block in Tibet Plateau from the 2008 to 2020 Yutian Earthquake sequence in China

Ke Jia¹, Shiyong Zhou², Jiancang Zhuang³, and Changsheng Jiang⁴

¹Northwestern Polytechnical University ²Peking University ³Institute of Statistical Mathematics ⁴China Earthquake Administration

November 22, 2022

Abstract

Eight M[?]7.0 earthquakes have occurred around Bayan Har block since 2000, resulting huge casualties and countless economic loss. Specifically, four M[?]6.0 earthquakes occurred near the western boundary of Bayan Har block from 2008 to 2020. Stress interactions among them are comprehensively investigated by applying ETAS (epidemic-type aftershock sequence) model and calculating dCFS (Coulomb failure stress change). The viscoelastic dCFS induced by proceeding Yutian earthquakes on hypocenters of 2012, 2014 and 2020 Yutian earthquakes are -1.5004×10^{-4} , 3.5820×10^{-3} and 1.4770×10^{-1} MPa, respectively. The background probabilities of 2008, 2012, 2014 and 2020 Yutian earthquakes are 0.87, 0.97, 1.5×10^{-3} and 8.7×10^{-5} , respectively. Combining those two kinds of evidence, we conclude that 2008 and 2012 Yutian earthquakes are more like background earthquakes and 2014 and 2020 Yutian earthquakes are triggered by proceeding Yutian earthquakes.

1	Stress transfer in the western boundary of Bayan Har Block								
2	j	in Tibet Plateau from the 2008 to 2020 Yutian Earthquake							
3	sequence in China								
4									
5	Ke Jia ^{1*} , Shiyong Zhou ² , Jiancang Zhuang ³ , Changsheng Jiang ⁴								
6									
7	1 School of Automation, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710129, China								
8	2 School of Earth and Space Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China								
9	3 The Institute of Statistical Mathematics, 10-3 Midori-Cho, Tachikawa, Tokyo 190-8562,								
10	Japan								
11	4 Institute of Geophysics, China Earthquake Administration, No.5 Minzu Daxue Nan Road,								
12	Haidian District, Beijing 100086, China								
13	*Corresponding author: jk@nwpu.edu.cn								
14									
15	Ke	y points:							
16	1.	Four M>=6.0 occurred in an intersection of multiple groups of large strike-slip active							
17		faults with different strikes from 2008 to 2020.							
18	2.	Stress interactions between those four earthquakes are investigated.							
19	3.	The statistical insight provides a good cross reference for triggering mechanism due to its							
20		objectivity and small uncertainties.							
21									
22									
23									
24									
25 26									
23 24 25 26									

27 Abstract

Eight M \geq 7.0 earthquakes have occurred around Bayan Har block since 2000, resulting huge 28 29 casualties and countless economic loss. Specifically, four M≥6.0 earthquakes occurred near 30 the western boundary of Bayan Har block from 2008 to 2020. Stress interactions among them 31 are comprehensively investigated by applying ETAS (epidemic-type aftershock sequence) 32 model and calculating dCFS (Coulomb failure stress change). The viscoelastic dCFS induced 33 by proceeding Yutian earthquakes on hypocenters of 2012, 2014 and 2020 Yutian earthquakes are -1.5004×10^{-4} , 3.5820×10^{-3} and 1.4770×10^{-1} MPa, respectively. The background 34 probabilities of 2008, 2012, 2014 and 2020 Yutian earthquakes are 0.87, 0.97, 1.5×10^{-3} and 35 8.7×10⁻⁵, respectively. Combining those two kinds of evidence, we conclude that 2008 and 36 37 2012 Yutian earthquakes are more like background earthquakes and 2014 and 2020 Yutian 38 earthquakes are triggered by proceeding Yutian earthquakes.

39

40 Plain Language Summary

41 Along the boundaries of Bayan Har block, there have be eight big earthquakes since 2000, 42 resulting huge casualties and countless economic loss. At its western boundary, four 43 earthquakes with magnitude larger than 6.0 occurred since 2008 in a small but complex 44 region (Yutian region). A large earthquake could be triggered by other strong earthquakes or 45 occurred due to tectonic loading. Whether those four large earthquakes were triggered is an 46 important question to assess regional seismic hazard. We use two kinds of methods to address 47 this issue. One is direct calculation of stress transfer among those earthquakes and another 48 one is estimation of probabilities being a background event (an event is driven by tectonic 49 loading) using statistically analysis from observed earthquake catalog. We find that the stress 50 transfer from proceeding major earthquakes to 2012 Yutian earthquake is small, while that to 51 2014 and 2020 Yutian earthquake are moderate. The background probabilities of 2008 and 52 2012 Yutian earthquakes are high, while background probabilities of 2014 and 2020 Yutian earthquakes are very low. Thus, we conclude that 2008 and 2012 Yutian earthquakes are more 53 54 like background earthquakes and 2014 and 2020 Yutian earthquakes are triggered by 55 proceeding Yutian earthquakes.

56

57 **1. Introduction**

58 Stress interaction among major earthquakes have been paid more and more attentions. A lot of 59 case studies (e.g., the 1992 Landers and 1999 Hector Mine earthquake in the US (Freed and 60 Lin, 2001); the 2008 Wenchuan, 2013 Lushan and 2017 Jiuzhaigou earthquake in China (Jia et al., 2014; Jia et al., 2018; Wan and Shen, 2010; Wang et al., 2014b); the 2010-2011 61 62 Canterbury Earthquake Sequence in New Zealand (Quigley et al., 2016); the foreshock and 63 mainshock of the 2019 Ridgecrest earthquake in the US (Pope and Mooney, 2020; Ramos et al., 2020)) have been investigated under the framework of Coulomb failure hypothesis, which 64 65 assumes positive dCFS promotes occurrence of earthquake and negative dCFS delays that. Meanwhile, many researchers have shown that the calculated dCFSs are positively correlated 66 67 with observed seismicity rate changes (Harris, 1998; Jia et al., 2014; Kroll et al., 2017; Pollitz and Cattania, 2017; Stein, 1999; Toda et al., 2012; Toda et al., 2005; Zhuang et al., 68 2005). That means observed seismicity increases in regions with positive dCFSs and 69 70 decreases in regions with negative dCFSs. In this way, calculations of dCFS and seismicity rate changes could help to clarify stress interactions between earthquakes and faults, and 71 72 further to evaluate seismic hazard.

73

74 The recent June 26, 2020 Mw 6.3 Yutian earthquake (hereafter 20YT) occurred in western Kunlun mountains, NW Tibet, China. Three other M≥6.0 earthquakes occurred near the 75 76 epicenter of the 20YT since 2008. The March 20, 2008 Mw 7.1 Yutian earthquake (hereafter 08YT), one of the largest normal earthquakes on the continents, occurred 94 km away to the 77 78 west from the epicenter of 20YT. The August 12, 2012 Mw 6.2 Yutian earthquake (hereafter 79 12YT) and the February 12, 2014 Mw 6.9 Yutian earthquake (hereafter 14YT) occurred 25 80 km and 61 km away to the north from the epicenter of 20YT (Figure 1). The source region of those four earthquakes at the western boundary of the Bayan Har block experiences an 81 82 extensive stress field bounded by two strike-slip fault system: the Altyn Tagh fault in the 83 north and the Longmu-Gozha Co fault in the south (Taylor and Yin, 2009), which further

- 84 relates to collision of India plate and Eurasia plate. This area is the intersection of multiple
- groups of large strike-slip active fault belts with different strikes. The 08YT, 12 YT, 14 YT
- and 20YT sequence provides an opportunity to case study of the Coulomb failure hypothesis
- and help to evaluate the seismic hazard of Bayan Har block.
- 88



Figure 1 Tectonic settings of the Yutian region and Bayan Har block. (a) The gray filled circles represent earthquakes with $M \ge 3.5$ from 1 January 1970 to 31 December 2007. The color filled circles are earthquakes with $M \ge 3.5$ from 1 January 2008 to 23 August 2020, and colors represent their occurrence time. The four red stars represent the epicenters of the 2008,

94 2012, 2014 and 2020 Yutian earthquakes, and their focal mechanisms are from Global 95 Centroid Moment Tensor. The black lines represent major faults (*Zhang et al.*, 2003). (b) Nine 96 major earthquakes ($M \ge 7.0$ except for the Yutian region) occurred at boundaries of the Bayan 97 Har block since 2000. The red dashed polygon represents region of (a). (c) Red solid polygon 98 represents region of (b) with respect to mainland China.

99

100 Previous studies have shown stress triggering between 08YT and 14YT (Li et al., 2015; Wang 101 et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2016) and seismicity rate change following 08YT, 12YT and 14YT 102 (Jiang et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2016). Using a three-dimensional viscoelastic finite element 103 model, Li et al. (2015) showed that dCFS induced by 08YT on slip direction of the 14YT exceeded 0.01 MPa (the earthquake triggering threshold). They implied an apparent triggering 104 105 effect of 08YT to 14YT and suggested the 14YT was advanced 21.4-24.9 years by the 08YT 106 (Li et al., 2015). Zhao et al. (2016) claimed that the 14YT located at triggering zone caused 107 by the 08YT and observed spatial distributions of aftershocks of those two major events were 108 well correlated with positive dCFS. Wang et al. (2017) examined coseismic, postseismic and 109 interseismic dCFSs induced by 08 YT on the 14 YT and implied a triggering relationship 110 between them.

111

However, roles of the 12YT and 20YT in stress interaction with other Yutian earthquakes 112 113 have not been investigated. More importantly, whether the occurrence of the 20YT is 114 triggered by proceeding Yutian earthquakes or a background event may lead different 115 evaluation of regional seismic hazard. If the 20YT is a triggered event, the local stress level 116 may be still in a condition of post-seismic releasing or adjustment. On the other hand, an 117 independent 20YT implies a continuous tectonic loading and releasing. Especially for a 118 relatively small region, occurrences of four M \geq 6.0 earthquakes within 13 years are rare and 119 provide an opportunity to study stress interactions (both static and viscoelastic stress changes) among them. Furthermore, together with seismicity analysis, those two kinds of evidence 120 121 could be useful to understand occurrence of the 20YT and seismic hazard of Bayan Har block. 122

123 Here in this manuscript, the seismicity rate changes in Yutian region from 2008 to 2020, the

124 dCFS at hypocenter of the 20YT induced by the proceeding Yutian earthquakes (08YT, 12YT 125 and 14YT), and the correlations between them are comprehensively investigated. The ETAS 126 model and stochastic declustering method are used to obtain the background seismicity rate 127 change. The co-seismic (elastic) and post-seismic (viscoelastic) dCFS are calculated in an 128 elastic/viscoelastic layered half-space. Uncertainties of dCFS are investigated by considering different friction coefficients. Based on the results of dCFS and seismicity analysis, we 129 130 discuss several key points and draw our conclusion.

- 131
- 132

2. Detection of seismicity rate changes by using the ETAS model

133

2.1 Space-time ETAS model

The space-time ETAS model, proposed by Ogata (1998), combines several classic statistical 134 135 laws in seismicity, including the Omori-Utsu law, productivity law and Gutenberg-Richter law. 136 The ETAS model assumes each earthquake could trigger its own aftershocks with an ability corresponding to its magnitude. The ETAS model has been successfully applied in many 137 regions and become a standard model to analyze spatial and temporal seismicity (Jia et al., 138 139 2014; Jia et al., 2018; Ogata, 2004; Ogata and Zhuang, 2006; Zhuang et al., 2005; Zhuang et 140 al., 2004). Details of the space-time ETAS model could be referred to Ogata and Zhuang 141 (2006).

142

After obtaining final estimations of parameters of the ETAS model, the background 143 144 probability (an event is regarded as a background event with a probability) of the jth event 145 φ_i , can be estimated by

146
$$\varphi_j = \frac{\mu(x_j, y_j)}{\lambda(t_j, x_j, y_j)},$$
 (1)

where $\mu(x_i, y_i)$ is the background and total seismicity rate at the location of *j*th event and 147 $\lambda(t_j, x_j, y_j)$ is the total seismicity rate at the location and occurrence time of *j*th event. 148 149

150 2.2 Fitting ETAS model

A polygon region (80.0°-83.5°E, 34.5°-37.0°N) is selected to present the Yutian region for 151 7 / 20

model fitting and seismicity analysis. The selected region should contain enough number of 152 153 events for model fitting, and avoids influence from other large earthquakes or faults at the 154 same time. The time range is from 1 January 1980 to 23 August 2020 covering occurrences of all the four M \geq 6.0 earthquakes (Figure S1). The cut-off magnitude of seismic catalog is 155 carefully selected because using an incomplete catalog may lead instable estimation of model 156 parameters (Ogata, 1998; Utsu et al., 1995). Harte (2015) and Sornette and Werner (2005) 157 also declaimed that parameter estimation may contain bias by using a larger cut-off 158 159 magnitude than the triggering boundary magnitude. Thus, we choose the complete magnitude 160 as the cut-off magnitude to minimize abovementioned problems. The maximum curvature 161 technique (Wiemer, 2001; Wiemer and Wyss, 2000) is applied in the Yutian region to estimate the temporal complete magnitude (Figure S2). From Figure S2, the complete magnitude is 162 163 above 3.5 from 1980 to 2020, and the we choose the complete magnitude with 3.5.

164

The catalog used in this study is downloaded from China Earthquake Data Center (CEDC, 165 http://data.earthquake.cn/index.html), and events above complete magnitude are selected 166 167 within spatiotemporal range to fit the ETAS model by maximum likelihood method. The final estimations of model parameters for Yutian region are $\hat{A} = 0.466$ (events), $\hat{c} = 0.045$ (day), 168 $\hat{\alpha} = 1.075 \,(\text{m}^{-1}), \quad p = 1.143, \quad \hat{D} = 2.181 \times 10^{-2} \,(\text{deg}^2), \quad \hat{q} = 2.537, \text{ and } \quad \hat{\gamma} = 0.116 \,(\text{m}^{-1}).$

170

169

171 2.3 Background seismicity rate in the Yutian region

Background probabilities of earthquakes with Mw 26.0 are listed in Table S1 and 172 spatiotemporal distributions of background probabilities are shown in Figure S3. From Figure 173 174 S3, a lot of aftershocks have been effectively distinguished. The background probabilities of 08YT, 12YT, 14YT and 20YT are 0.87, 0.97, 1.5×10⁻³ and 8.7×10⁻⁵, respectively. This implies 175 that the 08YT and 12YT are more like background earthquakes and 14YT and 20YT are 176 177 triggered events in a view of ETAS model.

178



Background seismicity(events/degree²/yr)

180

Figure 2 Estimated spatial background seismicity in Yutian region. White circles represent earthquake with magnitude larger than 3.5 from 1970 to 2020. Four white stars indicate 08YT, 12YT, 14YT and 20YT. Black lines are active faults. Region A and B are marked with green dashed lines for seismicity analysis. Note that the background seismicity rate is represented by the logarithm scale.

The spatial background seismicity is shown in Figure 2. The epicenters of 08YT, 12YT, 14YT and 20YT suffer highest background seismicity (approximately 0.1 M \geq 3.5 events/degree²/yr), where the fault traces are also dense. The spatial background seismicity in Yutian region shows a relatively high level resulting from the complex stepover zone with multiple normal faults (*Bie and Ryder*, 2014; *Tapponnier et al.*, 2001; *Xu et al.*, 2013).

193 The cumulative background probabilities of earthquakes S(t), proposed by *Zhuang et al.* 194 (2005), is written as

195

196

197

$$S(t) = \sum_{t_j < t} \varphi_j \tag{2}$$

198

199

We assume that the background seismicity rate is time-invariant in a stable region, thus S(t)constantly increases with time. Changes in slope of S(t) curve imply changes in background seismicity rate: an increased slope represents an activation of the background seismicity and a decreased slope means background quiescence.





Figure 3 Cumulative number of earthquakes and background probabilities for the Yutian region (a), region A (b) and region B (c) marked in Figure 2. The black line represents cumulative number of all earthquakes in the study region from 1985 to 2020 and the dashed

line represents cumulative number of background probabilities. The four vertical dashed lines indicate occurrence time of the 08YT, 12YT, 14YT and 20YT from left to right.

207

206

208 Figure 3 shows cumulative number of earthquakes and background probabilities in the Yutian 209 region and two sub-regions marked in Figure 2. From Figure 3(a), it could be seen that the 210 cumulative number of earthquakes in Yutian region dramatically increases after each major 211 earthquake, while the rate of background probability keeps stable from 1990 to 2020 and is 212 not affected by the major earthquakes. This finding suggests that the Yutian region 213 experiences a constant background seismicity under a stable tectonic environment. The 214 relationship between those four major Yutian earthquakes will be discussed later along with 215 the calculations of dCFS. Region A indicates the fault zone of those four Yutian earthquakes, 216 experiencing a constant background seismicity rate (Figure 3(b)). Region B shows a decrease 217 of background seismicity after the 08YT, which might be caused by a negative dCFS induced by 08YT (Figure 4(a) and (b)). 218

219

220 **3.** Coulomb Failure Stress change

To further investigate stress interaction among the 2008, 2012, 2014 and 2020 Yutian earthquake, we calculate the static (coseismic) and viscoelastic (postseismic) Coulomb stress changes induced by proceeding events. The lower crust and upper mantle are considered to be layered and viscoelastic. The proposed viscoelastic model contains an elastic upper crust, a viscoelastic lower crust with viscosity to be $1 \times 10^{18} Pa\Box s$ and a viscoelastic upper mantle with a viscosity value of $1 \times 10^{20} Pa\Box s$, respectively (*Jia et al.*, 2012; *Xiong et al.*, 2010).

227

228 3.1 Method of calculation of dCFS

Given the shear stress change $d\tau_{shear}$ (positive in the sense of motion of the relevant fault) and the normal stress change $d\tau_{normal}$ (positive for extension), the dCFS can be calculated by (*Harris*, 1998; *Steacy et al.*, 2005),

232
$$dCFS = d\tau_{shear} + \mu \left(d\tau_{normal} - (\beta/3) \sum d\tau_{ii} \right)$$
(3)

where μ is the friction coefficient and β is Skempton's coefficient. If the medium is homogeneous and the fault zone materials are ductile, as discussed in *Harris* (1998) (formula (3), (4) and (5) therein), the dCFS could be simply calculated using,

236
$$dCFS = d\tau_{shear} + \mu' d\tau_{normal}$$
(4)

237 where μ' is the apparent coefficient of friction and $\mu' = \mu(1-\beta)$.

238

It is noteworthy that the static and viscoelastic stress changes induced by the 2008/2012/2014
Yutian earthquakes are mainly considered in this manuscript. Other possible mechanisms (e.g.,
dynamic stress changes, stress changes driven by afterslips or fluid emigration), which also
may contribute to seismicity rate changes, have not been considered.

243

3.2 Stress interaction among four Yutian earthquakes

245 We have comprehensively investigated stress transfer among the 08YT, 12YT, 14YT and 246 20YT. That means both static and viscoelastic dCFSs induced by proceeding Yutian 247 earthquakes are calculated at hypocenters of later Yutian earthquakes (Table 1). The finite 248 fault source model of the 2008 Yutian earthquake, provided by *Elliott et al.* (2010), is jointly 249 inverted by the InSAR and body wave data. The finite fault source model of the 2014 Yutian 250 earthquake is estimated by inverting teleseismic data (Zhang et al., 2014). The synthetic slip 251 model 2012 Yutian earthquake is estimated based on the empirical relations of Wells and 252 Coppersmith (1994), because of lack of published finite fault model. The resolving depths of 253 12YT, 14YT and 20YT are 15 km, 20km and 15km, respectively. The project planes are 254 selected from focal mechanisms of GCMT. The friction coefficient is set to be 0.4 and 255 Skempton's coefficient is set to be 0.5. We have also tested different values of friction 256 coefficient in Supplementary Information (Table S2-S3, Figure S4-S6) and discussed 257 uncertainties in Discussion section.

258

259

Table 1 dCFS of four major Yutian earthquakes (MPa)

	08YT		12YT		14YT		Proceeding Yutian earthquake
	static	viscoelastic	static	viscoelastic	static	viscoelastic	Combined*
101/17	1.0267	-1.5004×	/	/	/	١	-1.5004×10 ⁻⁴
12 Y T	×10 ⁻³	10 ⁻⁴					
	-7.4685	2.6181×	3.1498	9.6390×			3.5820×10 ⁻³
14 Y I	×10 ⁻³	10-3	×10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻⁴	١	/	
20.VT	2.9825	7.3391×	3.4833	3.5089×	1.0658	1.0523×	1.4770×10^{-1}
20 Y I	×10 ⁻³	10-3	×10 ⁻²	10 ⁻²	×10 ⁻¹	10-1	

261 *"Combined" means viscoelastic dCFS induced by three proceeding Yutian earthquakes.

262

From Table 1, at the hypocenter of the 12YT, the static and viscoelastic dCFSs induced by 263 08YT are smaller (approximately 10^{-3} to 10^{-4} MPa) than the triggering threshold (0.01 MPa), 264 implying the stress interaction between those two events are weak. At the hypocenter of the 265 14YT, the static and viscoelastic dCFSs induced by 08YT are comparable with the triggering 266 threshold, which implies a weak interaction. The static and viscoelastic dCFSs induced by 267 12YT on 14YT are insignificant (approximately 10⁻⁴ MPa), implying the stress interaction 268 between them are very weak. As for the 20YT, the dCFS induced by the 08YT is small 269 (approximately 10^{-3} MPa), the dCFS induced by the 12YT is larger (approximately 10^{-2} MPa) 270 than triggering threshold and the dCFS induced by the 14YT is remarkable (approximately 271 10^{-1} MPa). This finding implies that the proceeding Yutian earthquakes contribute the 272 occurrence of 20YT. The largest triggering contribution comes from the 14YT (approximately 273 274 $71\% \approx 0.10523/0.1477$).



Figure 4 Spatial distribution of dCFS at hypocenter of 20YT induced by proceeding Yutian 14 / 20

earthquakes. (a), (c) and (e) show static (coseismic) dCFS induced by the 08YT, 12YT and
14YT. (b), (d) and (f) show viscoelastic (coseismic and postseismic) dCFS induced by the
08YT, 12YT and 14YT. (g) Combined dCFS induced by all three Yutian earthquakes (08YT,
12YT and 14YT). The black lines represent major faults, black stars indicate 08YT, 12YT and
14YT, and yellow star shows location of 20YT. The resolving depth is 15 km and strike, dip,
rake angles are 213°, 52°, -66° (GCMT), respectively.

284

285 The triggering contribution from 08YT, 12YT and 14YT to 20YT can also be clearly 286 identified from spatial distribution of dCFS (Figure 4). From Figure 4(a)-(g), the epicenter of the 20YT always fall into positive zone of dCFS. The uncertainties of dCFS impacted by 287 resolving depth and friction coefficient are also investigated in the Supplementary 288 289 Information, and the results are similar. The 08YT and 14YT generate a wider spatial stress 290 disturbance than 12YT due to their larger magnitudes. It is also interesting that several faults between epicenters of 08YT and 20YT experience a positive dCFS induced by 08YT and a 291 292 negative dCFS induced by 14YT at the same time. The seismic hazard in this region may be 293 paid more attention.

294

295 **4. Discussion**

296 4.1 Uncertainties of calculations of dCFSs

297 Large uncertainties of calculations of dCFSs caused by non-unique solutions of source slip 298 distribution and poor-constrained parameters (e.g., friction coefficient, resolving depth) may 299 weaken results based on dCFS (Jia et al., 2018; Steacy et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2014a). Jia et 300 al. (2018) have shown that large uncertainties of dCFS may lead different even conflicting 301 results in the case of 2008 Wenchuan and 2017 Jiuzhaigou earthquakes. One way to minimize 302 uncertainties is properly choosing source models and parameters. On the other hand, ranges of 303 uncertainties are also investigated by calculating dCFSs using different possible parameters. 304 As described in calculation of dCFS, the finite fault models of 08YT (*Elliott et al.*, 2010) and 305 14YT (Zhang et al., 2014) are chosen because they are best choices for representative models 306 of source slip distributions. As for 12YT, there is no other way to use a better source model

than the synthetic slip model estimated from the empirical relations of *Wells and Coppersmith* (1994). The uncertainties due to different choices of friction coefficient and resolving depth are investigated. A higher (0.8) or lower (0.2) value of friction coefficient does not remarkably influence results of dCFS for this case (Tabls S1 and S2, Figure S4 and S5). Calculations of using different resolving depths (10 km and 15 km) show that the resolving depth also does not change results of dCFS much (Figure S6). Thus, our conclusions based on dCFS are still valid considering uncertainties.

314

315 4.2 Validation of Coulomb failure hypothesis

316 Stress interactions among multiple faults in a local scale region provide good opportunity to 317 test Coulomb failure hypothesis. Previous case studies have shown some successful examples 318 from view of stress transfer (Freed and Lin, 2001; Jia et al., 2014; Jia et al., 2018; Pope and 319 Mooney, 2020; Quigley et al., 2016). However, as mentioned above, calculations of dCFS 320 suffer large uncertainties and results are subjective in some degree (e.g., choices of source models and parameters are subjective). It is necessary to verify results of dCFS from another 321 322 perspective. The statistical insight (e.g., ETAS model) provides a good cross reference for its objectivity and small uncertainties. Background probabilities estimated from formula (1) 323 324 provide information of whether earthquakes are triggered or tectonic events. A low value 325 (close to zero) of background probability indicates a triggered event, which usually 326 experiences a positive dCFS induced by other major earthquakes. A high value (close to 1.0) 327 of background probability represents an independent event, which usually have no stress 328 interaction with other earthquakes and results from tectonic loading. Jia et al. (2018) have 329 conducted a statistical analysis in the Jiuzhaigou region to clarify the triggering relationship 330 of the 2008 Wenchuan and 2017 Jiuzhaigou earthquakes, which cannot be well deduced from 331 the results of dCFS. In this study, the background probability 12YT (0.97) coincides with positive dCFSs calculated on its hypocenter induced by other major earthquakes (-1.5004× 332 10^{-4} MPa). And, background probabilities of the 14YT (1.5×10^{-3}) and 20YT (8.7×10^{-5}) 333 334 coincide with positive dCFSs calculated on their hypocenters induced by proceeding Yutian earthquakes (0.003582 MPa for 14YT and 0.1477 MPa for 20YT). From those two different 335 16 / 20

kinds of perspective, the stress interaction among multiple faults or earthquakes can becomprehensively investigated.

338

339 **5.** Conclusion

The June 26, 2020 Mw 6.3 Yutian earthquake, occurred in western boundary of Bayan Har 340 block, is the fourth M6+ earthquake in this region since occurrence of 08YT. We have 341 342 investigated the stress interaction among the 08YT, 12YT, 14YT and 20YT by applying the ETAS model and calculating dCFS. The background probabilities of 08YT, 12YT, 14YT and 343 20YT are 0.87, 0.97, 1.5×10^{-3} and 8.7×10^{-5} , respectively, implying that the 08YT and 12YT 344 are more like background earthquakes and 14YT and 20YT are triggered events. The 345 epicenters of 08YT, 12YT, 14YT and 20YT are located at highest background seismicity 346 (approximately 0.1 M \ge 3.5 events/degree²/yr), where is a complex stepover zone with multiple 347 normal faults. The viscoelastic dCFS induced by proceeding Yutian earthquakes on 348 hypocenters of 12YT, 14YT and 20YT are -1.5004×10^{-4} , 3.5820×10^{-3} and 1.4770×10^{-1} MPa, 349 350 respectively. Those two kinds of evidence are consistent with each other, implying a local 351 stress interaction among those four Yutian earthquakes. For the Bayan Har block, both the 352 tectonic loading and local stress interaction along its boundary could lead to seismic activities. 353 As a result, the current tectonic movement of the Bayan Har block is relatively active, and the 354 seismic hazard along its boundaries should be paid more attention.

355

356 Acknowledgement

The catalog used in this study is from China Earthquake Data Center (CEDC, 357 358 http://data.earthquake.cn/index.html). The PSGRN/PSCMP code is provided by Prof. Rongjiang Wang. We benefit from discussion with Fuqiang Shi and Hurong Duan. This 359 360 research is jointly supported by the National Key R&D Program of China 361 (2018YFC1503400), the National Natural Science Foundation of China projects (41804048, U2039204), China Seismic Experimental Site (2019CSES0106), China Postdoctoral Science 362 363 Foundation (2020M673469), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (3102019ZDHKY12). Generic Mapping Tool mapping software (Wessel and 364

365 *Smith*, 1991) is used to prepare some figures.

366

367 **References**

- 368 Bie, L., and I. Ryder (2014), Recent seismic and aseismic activity in the Ashikule stepover zone, NW
- 369 Tibet, *Geophysical Journal International*, 198(3), 1632-1643.
- 370 Elliott, J. R., R. J. Walters, P. C. England, J. A. Jackson, Z. Li, and B. Parsons (2010), Extension on the
- Tibetan plateau: recent normal faulting measured by InSAR and body wave seismology, *Geophysical Journal International*, 183(2), 503–535.
- Freed, A. M., and J. Lin (2001), Delayed triggering of the 1999 Hector Mine earthquake by viscoelastic stress transfer, *Nature*, *411*(6834), 180-183, doi:10.1038/35075548.
- Harris, R. A. (1998), Introduction to Special Section: Stress Triggers, Stress Shadows, and Implications
 for Seismic Hazard, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *103*(10), 24347-24358.
- Harte, D. (2015), Model parameter estimation bias induced by earthquake magnitude cut-off, *Geophysical Journal International*, 204(2), 1266-1287, doi:doi:10.1093/gji/ggv524.
- Jia, K., S. Zhou, and R. Wang (2012), Stress Interactions within the Strong Earthquake Sequence from
- 2001 to 2010 in the Bayankala Block of Eastern Tibet, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*,
 102(5), 2157-2164.
- Jia, K., S. Zhou, J. Zhuang, and C. Jiang (2014), Possibility of the Independence between the 2013
 Lushan Earthquake and the 2008 Wenchuan Earthquake on Longmen Shan Fault, Sichuan, China, *Seismological Research Letters*, 85(1), 60-67, doi:10.1785/0220130115.
- 385 Jia, K., S. Zhou, J. Zhuang, C. Jiang, Y. Guo, Z. Gao, and S. Gao (2018), Did the 2008 Mw 7.9
- Wenchuan earthquake trigger the occurrence of the 2017 Mw 6.5 Jiuzhaigou earthquake in Sichuan,
 China?, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *123*(4), 2965-2983.
- Jiang, C., L. Han, and L. Guo (2014), Parameter Characteristics in the Early Period of Three Earthquake Sequences in the Yutian, Xiniiang Since 2008, *Acta Seis-mologiea Sinica*, *36*(2), 165-174.
- 390 Kroll, K. A., K. B. Richards Dinger, J. H. Dieterich, and E. S. Cochran (2017), Delayed seismicity
- rate changes controlled by static stress transfer, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *122*(10),
 7951-7965.
- Li, Y., L. Chen, S. Liu, S. Yang, X. Yang, and G. Zhang (2015), Coseismic Coulomb stress changes caused by the Mw6.9 Yutian earthquake in 2014 and its correlation to the 2008 Mw7.2 Yutian earthquake, *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, *105*, 468-475.
- Ogata, Y. (1998), Space-Time Point-Process Models for Earthquake Occurrences, *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, *50*(2), 379-402, doi:10.1023/A:1003403601725.
- Ogata, Y. (2004), Space-time model for regional seismicity and detection of crustal stress changes,
 Journal of Geophysical Research, *109*(B3), 235-273.
- 400 Ogata, Y., and J. Zhuang (2006), Space-time ETAS models and an improved extension, *Tectonophysics*,
 413(1-2), 13-23, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2005.10.016.
- 402 Pollitz, F. F., and C. Cattania (2017), Connecting crustal seismicity and earthquake driven stress
- 403 evolution in Southern California, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 122(8), 6473-6490.
- 404 Pope, N., and W. D. Mooney (2020), Coulomb stress models for the 2019 Ridgecrest, California
- 405 earthquake sequence, *Tectonophysics*, 791, 228555.
- 406 Quigley, M. C., M. W. Hughes, B. A. Bradley, S. van Ballegooy, C. Reid, J. Morgenroth, T. Horton, B.

- 407 Duffy, and J. R. Pettinga (2016), The 2010–2011 Canterbury earthquake sequence: Environmental 408 effects, seismic triggering thresholds and geologic legacy, *Tectonophysics*, 672, 228-274.
- 409 Ramos, M. D., J. C. Neo, P. Thakur, Y. Huang, and S. Wei (2020), Stress Changes on the Garlock fault
- 410 during and after the 2019 Ridgecrest Earthquake Sequence, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of
- 411 America.
- 412 Sornette, D., and M. J. Werner (2005), Constraints on the size of the smallest triggering earthquake
- 413 from the epidemic type aftershock sequence model, Båth's law, and observed aftershock sequences,
- 414 *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *110*(B8), B08304, doi:10.1029/2004JB003535.
- 415 Steacy, S., J. Gomberg, and M. Cocco (2005), Introduction to special section: Stress transfer,
- 416 earthquake triggering, and time-dependent seismic hazard, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *110*(B5),
 417 doi:10.1029/2005JB003692.
- 418 Steacy, S., D. Marsan, S. S. Nalbant, and J. McCloskey (2004), Sensitivity of static stress calculations
- to the earthquake slip distribution, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth (1978–2012),
 109(B4).
- 421 Stein, R. S. (1999), The role of stress transfer in earthquake occurrence, *Nature*, 402(6762), 605-609,
 422 doi:10.1038/45144.
- Tapponnier, P., X. Zhiqin, F. Roger, B. Meyer, N. Arnaud, G. Wittlinger, and Y. Jingsui (2001), Oblique
 stepwise rise and growth of the Tibet Plateau, *science*, *294*(5547), 1671-1677.
- Taylor, M., and A. Yin (2009), Active structures of the Himalayan-Tibetan orogen and their relationships to earthquake distribution, contemporary strain field, and Cenozoic volcanismActive structures on the Tibetan plateau and surrounding regions, *Geosphere*, 5(3), 199-214.
- Toda, S., R. S. Stein, G. C. Beroza, and D. Marsan (2012), Aftershocks halted by static stress shadows, *Nature Geoscience*, 5(6), 410-413.
- Toda, S., R. S. Stein, K. Richards-Dinger, and S. B. Bozkurt (2005), Forecasting the evolution of
 seismicity in southern California: Animations built on earthquake stress transfer, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *110*(B5), doi:10.1029/2004JB003415.
- Utsu, T., Y. Ogata, S. Ritsuko, and Matsu'ura (1995), The Centenary of the Omori Formula for a Decay
 Law of Aftershock Activity, *Journal of Physics of the Earth*, 43(1), 1-33.
- 435 Wan, Y., and Z.-K. Shen (2010), Static Coulomb stress changes on faults caused by the 2008 Mw 7.9
- 436 Wenchuan, China earthquake, *Tectonophysics*, 491(1–4), 105-118, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2010.03.017.
- 437 Wang, J., C. Xu, J. T. Freymueller, and Z. Li (2017), Probing Coulomb stress triggering effects for a
- 438 Mw > 6.0 earthquake sequence from 1997 to 2014 along the periphery of the Bayan Har block on
- the Tibetan Plateau, *Tectonophysics*, 694, 249-267, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.11.009</u>.
- 440 Wang, J., C. Xu, J. T. Freymueller, Z. Li, and W. Shen (2014a), Sensitivity of Coulomb stress change to 441 the parameters of the Coulomb failure model: A case study using the 2008 M w 7.9 Wenchuan
- 442 earthquake, Journal of Geophysical Research, 119(4), 3371–3392.
- 443 Wang, Y., F. Wang, M. Wang, Z. K. Shen, and Y. Wan (2014b), Coulomb Stress Change and Evolution
- 444 Induced by the 2008 Wenchuan Earthquake and its Delayed Triggering of the 2013 Mw 6.6 Lushan
- Earthquake, *Seismological Research Letters*, 85(1), 52-59.
- 446 Wells, D. L., and K. J. Coppersmith (1994), New empirical relationships among magnitude, rupture
- 447 length, rupture width, rupture area, and surface displacement, Bulletin of the seismological Society of
- 448 *America*, 84(4), 974-1002.
- 449 Wessel, P., and W. H. F. Smith (1991), Free software helps map and display data, Eos Trans. AGU,
- 450 72(41), 441-446, doi:10.1029/90eo00319.

- 451 Wiemer, S. (2001), A software package to analyze seismicity: ZMAP, Seismological Research Letters,
- 452 72(3), 373-382.
- 453 Wiemer, S., and M. Wyss (2000), Minimum Magnitude of Completeness in Earthquake Catalogs:
- Examples from Alaska, the Western United States, and Japan, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 90(4), 859-869.
- 456 Xiong, X., B. Shan, Y. Zheng, and R. Wang (2010), Stress transfer and its implication for earthquake
- 457 hazard on the Kunlun Fault, Tibet, *Tectonophysics*, 482(1–4), 216-225, 458 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2009.07.020.
- 459 Xu, X., X. Tan, G. Yu, G. Wu, W. Fang, J. Chen, H. Song, and J. Shen (2013), Normal-and oblique-slip
- of the 2008 Yutian earthquake: evidence for eastward block motion, northern Tibetan Plateau,
 Tectonophysics, 584, 152-165.
- 462 Zhang, P., Q. Deng, G. Zhang, J. Ma, W. Gan, W. Min, F. Mao, and Q. Wang (2003), Active tectonic
- blocks and strong earthquakes in the continent of China, *Science in China Series D: Earth Sciences (in Chinese)*, 46(2), 13-24.
- 465 Zhang, Y., L.-s. Xu, Y.-T. Chen, and R.-j. Wang (2014), Fast inversion for the rupture process of the 12
- 466 February 2014 Yutian MW6. 9 earthquake: Discussion on the impacts of focal mechanisms on rupture
- 467 process inversions, *Acta Seismologica Sinica*, *36*(2), 159-164.
- 468 Zhao, L., L. Zhao, and X. Xie (2016), Static Coulomb stress changes and seismicity rate in the source
- region of the 12 February, 2014 M W 7.0 Yutian earthquake in Xinjiang, China, *Chinese Journal of Geophysics*, 3732-3743.
- Zhuang, J., C.-P. Chang, Y. Ogata, and Y.-I. Chen (2005), A study on the background and clustering
 seismicity in the Taiwan region by using point process models, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *110*(B5),
 doi:10.1029/2004jb003157.
- 474 Zhuang, J., Y. Ogata, and D. Vere-Jones (2004), Analyzing earthquake clustering features by using
- 475 stochastic reconstruction, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 109(B5), B05301,
- 476 doi:10.1029/2003JB002879.
- 477