Frequency Dependent Mantle Viscoelasticity via the Complex Viscosity: cases from Antarctica and Western North America

Harriet C.P. Lau¹, Jacqueline Austermann², Benjamin Kamine Holtzman³, Cameron Book⁴, Christopher Havlin⁵, Emily Hopper⁶, and Andrew J Lloyd³

¹University of California, Berkeley
²Columbia University
³Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia Univ.
⁴University of California Berkeley
⁵University of Illinois
⁶Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University

November 22, 2022

Abstract

Studies of glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA) often use paleoshorelines and present-day deformation to constrain the viscosity of the mantle and the thickness of the lithosphere. However, different studies focused on similar locations have resulted in different estimates of these physical properties even when considering the same model of viscoelastic deformation. We argue that these different estimates infer apparent viscosities and apparent lithospheric thicknesses, dependent on the timescale of deformation. We use recently derived relationships between these frequency dependent apparent quantities and the underlying thermodynamic conditions to produce predictions of mantle viscosity and lithospheric thickness across a broad spectrum of geophysical timescales for three locations (Western North America, Amundsen Sea, and the Antarctic Peninsula). Our predictions require the selfconsistent consideration of elastic, viscous, and transient deformation and also include non-linear steady state deformation, which have been determined by several laboraties. We demonstrate that these frequency dependent predictions of apparent lithospheric thickness and viscosity display a significant range and that they align to first order with estimates from GIA studies on different timescales. Looking forward, we suggest that observationally based studies could move towards a framework of determining the frequency trend in apparent quantities – rather than single, frequency independent values of viscosity – to gain deeper insight into the rheological behavior of Earth materials.

1 2 3 4	Frequency Dependent Mantle Viscoelasticity via the Complex Viscosity: cases from Antarctica and Western North America						
5 6	 H.C.P. Lau¹, J. Austermann², B.K. Holtzman², C. Book¹, C. Havlin³, Emily Hopper², and A. J. Lloyd² 						
7	¹ Earth and Planetary Science, University of California, Berkeley. CA. USA.						
8	² Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, NY. USA.						
9	³ University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, IL. USA.						
10	Corresponding author: Harriet C.P. Lau (hcplau@berkeley.edu)						
11							
12	Key Points:						
13 14	• Differing estimates of viscosity and plate thicknesses are observed from various loading processes across Antarctica and North America						
15 16	• Using new theory and laboratory laws, frequency dependent viscosity and plate thickness are predicted for Antarctic and North America						
17 18 19 20 21	• Our results indicate that these predictions of viscosity and plate thickness can significantly contribute to the observed discrepancies						

23 Abstract

24

Studies of glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA) often use paleoshorelines and present-day 25 deformation to constrain the viscosity of the mantle and the thickness of the lithosphere. 26 However, different studies focused on similar locations have resulted in different estimates of 27 28 these physical properties even when considering the same model of viscoelastic deformation. We argue that these different estimates infer apparent viscosities and apparent lithospheric 29 thicknesses, dependent on the timescale of deformation. We use recently derived relationships 30 between these frequency dependent apparent quantities and the underlying thermodynamic 31 conditions to produce predictions of mantle viscosity and lithospheric thickness across a broad 32 spectrum of geophysical timescales for three locations (Western North America, Amundsen Sea, 33 and the Antarctic Peninsula). Our predictions require the self-consistent consideration of elastic, 34 viscous, and transient deformation and also include non-linear steady state deformation, which 35 have been determined by several laboraties. We demonstrate that these frequency dependent 36 predictions of apparent lithospheric thickness and viscosity display a significant range and that 37 they align to first order with estimates from GIA studies on different timescales. Looking 38 forward, we suggest that observationally based studies could move towards a framework of 39 determining the frequency trend in apparent quantities – rather than single, frequency 40 41 independent values of viscosity - to gain deeper insight into the rheological behavior of Earth materials. 42

43

44 **1 Introduction**

The growth and decay of ice sheets over the Pleistocene represent large variations in Earth's 45 climate system and induces significant deformation of Earth's solid surface and subsurface. This 46 deformation (including associated changes to Earth's gravity field and rotation), in response to 47 the redistribution of ice and ocean mass, is known as 'glacial isostatic adjustment' (GIA). Areas 48 that were formerly covered by or close to major ice sheets during the last glacial period, such as 49 North America and Antarctica, continue to experience the highest rates of GIA-related 50 51 deformation, even though ice has retreated partially or entirely (e.g., Sella et al., 2007). Pertinent 52 to understanding this solid Earth deformation (and, as a consequence, related climatological feedbacks) is knowledge of the subsurface viscoelastic structure. 53

- 54
- 55 The variation of GIA responses to the wide variety of mass perturbations is well studied (Nield et
- ⁵⁶ al., 2014; Samrat et al., 2020; Barletta et al., 2018, Ivins et al., 2011; Creveling et al., 2017;
- 57 Wolstencroft et al., 2015) and analyses are often cast in terms of two controlling Earth
- 58 parameters: the asthenospheric viscosity (η_{AST}) and the thickness of the lithosphere (z_{LAB}). Fig.
- 59 1 displays estimates of these two parameters from a selection of regionally overlapping

geophysical studies that observed GIA responses to rapid ice sheet collapses (Barletta et al., 60 2018), unloading of ancient lakes (Austermann et al., 2020), glacial-interglacial scale melting 61 (Creveling et al., 2017), and postseismic relaxation (Pollitz et al., 2000). Figs 1(a,b) reveal 62 seemingly perplexing inconsistencies between the inferred values for these parameters even 63 within similar study regions (see Fig. 1(c,d) for general locations, where "W-NA", "W-ANT", 64 and "ANT-P" refer to Western North America, Western Antarctica, and Antartic Peninsula, 65 respectively). Indeed, lateral variations in viscoelastic properties are expected as a consequence 66 of longterm convection. Within similar locations, however, viscosity estimates from different 67 kinds of measurements can still give rise to very different values. This apparent discrepancy can 68 arise from two main sources: (i) differences in how the process samples interior structure; and 69 (ii) differences in the nature – more specifically, the stress and time dependence – of the GIA 70 71 response to mass perturbations (note that the studies in Fig. 1 span a wide range of timescales). 72

While the combination of lateral variations and subsurface sampling are significant contributors 73 74 to these discrepancy (van der Waal et al., 2015; Crawford et al., 2018) and we address these 75 issues in a first order manner, our focus here is (ii), the timescale-dependent nature of GIA. For each of these regions, by investigating predictions the frequency dependent behavior using a new 76 77 theoretical framework (Lau & Holtzman, 2019) and constraints based on seismic observations and laboratory experiments, we aim to demonstrate that the consideration of timescales can also 78 result in substantial variations in estimated values of η_{AST} and z_{LAB} . These forward predictions 79 80 are independent of the GIA observations, which allows us to investigate to what extent transient rheology can reconcile estimates of η_{AST} and z_{LAB} within the three regions shown in Fig. 1. 81

82

Lateral and depth-dependent viscosity variations have been studied extensively in the literature 83 84 (e.g., Hager et al., 1985; Nakada & Lambeck, 1989; Peltier, 2004; van der Wal et al., 2013; Peltier et al., 2015;). While relatively new to GIA considerations (e.g., Caron et al., 2017; Ivins 85 86 et al., 2020), the timescale-dependent behavior of viscosity has long been shown in experimental studies of the mechanical behavior of rock. The endmember elastic (and anharmonic) and 87 viscous properties of rock have been well characterized in the experimental and theoretical rock 88 physics community (e.g., Stixrude and Lithgow-Bertelloni, 2005; Hirth and Kohlstedt, 2004). In 89 between these endmembers is the *anelastic* or *transient* regime, which is currently being 90

explored by several laboratories working on olivine samples (Faul and Jackson, 2015; Sundberg

92 and Cooper, 2010) and analogue materials (Takei, 2017). This work is building towards a clearer

picture of the grain-scale processes that govern macroscopic transient creep, including both

94 diffusion- and dislocation- (both linear and non-linear) related grain boundary processes (e.g.,

Hansen et al., 2020). (See Havlin et al. (2020) for a summary of these processes.) From these

96 microphysical mechanisms, distinct frequency-dependent rheological properties of rock are

- 97 predicted.
- 98

In order to incorporate these frequency-dependent properties into geophysical forward 99 predictions, they are described by phenomenological parameterizations via combinations of 100 springs and viscous dashpots that, in isolation, characterize elastic and viscous behavior, 101 102 respectively. The simplest arrangement is a single spring-dashpot pair in series, known as the Maxwell model (see Fig. 2). This is the most common viscoelastic model considered in GIA 103 104 studies. Additional transient elements can be added to the Maxwell model to account for anelastic effects, giving rise to more complex models such as the Andrade model (Sundberg and 105 106 Cooper, 2010), the Extended Burgers model (Faul and Jackson, 2015), and relaxation function 107 fitting approaches (Takei, 2017; McCarthy et al., 2011) (Fig. 3). In addition, complexity can 108 arise from non-linear (stress-dependent) effects (Hirth and Kohlstedt, 2004; Hansen et al., 2020). Transient behavior in form of a Burger's rheology has been considered in some GIA studies 109 110 (e.g., Caron et al., 2017) in which observations are used to constrain the two viscosities of the two distinct dashpots (Fig. 2). Comparisons between model predictions including steady-state 111 non-linear effects and observations of deglacial sea level have also been considered in GIA (e.g. 112 van der Wal et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2019). 113

114

In this study, we will quantify and test the role of frequency dependent viscoelastic deformation in three specific study regions (Fig. 1). In contrast to other GIA studies, we will not use GIA observations to infer the viscosity/ies of distinct dashpot/s, but instead apply the theoretical framework introduced by Lau and Holtzman (2019) to predict the continuous frequency dependent behavior for the different regions based on seismic observations and laboratory experiments. Specifically, we derive the complex viscosities, $\eta^*(\omega)$ (which is a measure of

121 viscosity or viscous dissipation), of the viscoelastic models spanning geophysically relevant

122 frequencies. With this approach we will treat viscoelastic rheology in a manner akin to mapping

123 attenuation, $Q^{-1}(\omega)$, as a function of frequency within the fields of seismology and Earth tides

(Shito et al., 2004; Benjamin et al., 2006; Lekic et al., 2009; Lau and Faul, 2019). In order to

compare our viscosity predictions to results from prior GIA studies we first determine the

126 frequency content of the time-domain GIA data and then test whether the predicted values of

both η_{AST} and z_{LAB} as a function of frequency can explain, at least in part, some of the variation

- 128 observed in these estimates.
- 129

130 2 Theoretical Background and Methodology

131

In the following, Section (2.1) will introduce the theoretical treatment of the complex viscosity 132 and how we can use this to produce frequency dependent estimates of lithospheric thickness and 133 asthenospheric viscosity. We will then, in (2.2), apply these ideas to the Western US and 134 135 Antarctica – where the observational studies listed in Fig. 1 are located. This will consist of two parts: first, we estimate the thermodynamic subsurface structure at the selected locations and use 136 137 this to determine the mechanical properties through laboratory-derived constitutive laws. We will then apply the formalism described in (2.1) to produce frequency dependent predictions of z_{LAB} 138 and η_{AST} across these settings. Finally, in (2.3), we will turn to the observational estimates listed 139 in Fig. 1. We will briefly summarize how they were determined and map the time-domain 140 observationally based estimates to their distinct frequency bands. This will allow comparison 141 between our predicted frequency trends of z_{LAB} and η_{AST} against these observations. 142

- 143
- 144

2.1 Rheological Background & Complex Viscosity

145

146 Lau & Holtzman (2019) introduced the complex viscosity, $\eta^*(\omega)$, parameter and its potential use

in geophysical processes involving viscoelasticity. (It is more commonly used within the

- 148 materials science literature, e.g., Gunasekaran & Ak, 2002.) Here we will show how $\eta^*(\omega)$
- relates to more familiar parameters used in viscoelastic theory. In the time domain, for a linear
- 150 viscoelastic material, one can determine the strain, ε , under small increments of stress, σ , via

$$\varepsilon(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} J(t - t') d\sigma(t')$$
[1]

where J(t) is the so-called creep function, which is the response of the material to a Heaviside function of stress (Nowick & Berry, 1972). Under different experimental conditions J(t) may be determined to fit various functional forms. Equivalently, one may use the frequency domain $J^*(\omega)$, which may be found by taking the Fourier transform of J(t). A closely related parameter is the complex modulus, $M^*(\omega)$, where $M^*(\omega) = [J^*(\omega)]^{-1}$ and for any of the spring-dashpot arrangements shown in Fig. 2, one may derive $M^*(\omega)$, which will have a distinct trend for any viscoelastic model.

159

In Fig. 2, all the viscoelastic models exhibit three regimes of deformation, partitioned by their 160 frequency limits. The elastic regime occurs at infinite frequency limit $(f \rightarrow \infty)$ where no energy 161 is dissipated, and deformation is both instantaneous and fully recoverable. The anelastic regime, 162 when $0 < f < \infty$, refers to deformation that remains fully recoverable but time dependent. 163 Finally, the viscous, or steady-state regime, when $f \rightarrow 0$, wherein deformation is fully 164 dissipative and is no longer recoverable. The total deformation is a combination of these 165 behaviors and ultimately determined by the thermodynamic state. The spring $(f \rightarrow \infty)$ and 166 dashpot $(f \rightarrow 0)$ that bookend this range of behavior involve the unrelaxed modulus, M_{∞} , 167 associated with the isolated spring in series and at zero frequency, the steady state isolated 168 dashpot in series, η_0 . (The subscripts denote their frequency limit.) These purely elastic and 169 viscous limits are relatively well agreed upon (e.g., Stixrude & Lithgow-Bertelloni, 2005; Hirth 170 & Kohlstedt, 2004; Hansen et al., 2011) but in between, the transient/anelastic elements are less 171 so with many combinations suggested. Determining the trajectory of rheological behavior 172 between these endmembers, i.e., determining $M^*(0 < \omega < \infty)$, remains a goal of many 173 laboratory studies (for review, see Faul & Jackson, 2015; Takei, 2017) but has also been 174 explored in geophysical observations which we discuss next. 175 176 The Re[$M^*(\omega)$] reduces with decreasing frequency, causing dispersion, while Im[$M^*(\omega)$] 177

178 captures the dissipative effects. Within seismology, dispersion manifests as the reduction of

seismic wave-speeds at lower frequencies (e.g., Kanamori & Anderson, 1977). Similarly,

180 attenuation, $Q^{-1}(\omega) = \text{Im}[M^*(\omega)]/\text{Re}[M^*(\omega)]$, increases with lower frequency (e.g., across the

181 seismic band: Shito et al., 2004, Lekić et al., 2009; across the seismic and geodetic band:

Benjamin et al., 2006; Lau & Faul, 2019). In Fig. 3(a) we show a schematic figure of how Re[$M^*(\omega)$] and $Q^{-1}(\omega)$ are sampled by seismic waves of different frequency.

185 We hope to extend this analogy to GIA studies, by using a more appropriate parameter, η^* . The 186 relationship between $\eta^*(\omega)$ and $M^*(\omega)$ is

187

$$\eta^*(\omega) = -i \frac{M^*(\omega)}{\omega},$$

188 and upon inspection, one can see that η^* has the same units as viscosity, where the real and imaginary parts have now been switched relative to M^* . No matter the arrangement of springs 189 and dashpots (Fig. 2), just as with M^* , a continuous function across frequency may be derived 190 for η^* . Just as the dispersion of wave-speed captures Re[$M^*(\omega)$], we argue that estimated 191 viscosities determined by different observations are sampling $\|\eta^*(\omega)\|$ at their respective 192 frequency bands (Fig. 3b), where we have plotted $\|\eta^*(\omega)\|$ of a Maxwell viscoelastic model. 193 This trend may be interpreted as an indication of the degree of viscous dissipation at a given 194 195 forcing frequency (Lau & Holtzman, 2019).

196

197 Assuming we have determined the continuous form of $\eta^*(\omega)$ given an appropriate viscoelastic 198 model (Fig. 2), we introduce two simple parameters, the *apparent viscosity*, $\tilde{\eta}(\omega)$ and the 199 *apparent lithospheric thickness* $\tilde{z}_{LAB}(\omega)$, where the former is simply

200

$$\widetilde{\eta}^*(\omega) \equiv \|\eta^*(\omega)\| = \sqrt{\operatorname{Re}[\eta^*(\omega)]^2 + \operatorname{Im}[\eta^*(\omega)]^2}.$$

The conceptual step we have taken in this study is to *reinterpret* what the studies collated in Fig. 1 termed η (or more specifically, as they applied Maxwell models, η_0) as apparent viscosity $\tilde{\eta}(\omega_{obs})$, where ω_{obs} is the frequency of observation (in practicality, this is a frequency band, Section 2.3). In the same vein, we interpret LAB depths as *apparent lithospheric thickness* or *apparent LAB depths*, $\tilde{z}_{LAB}(\omega_{obs})$. This parameter is explored in greater detail in Lau et al. (2020) and we only briefly describe the method by which we determine \tilde{z}_{LAB} below.

207

First, we define the Maxwell time, $\tau_{\rm M}$, of any viscoelastic media as η_0/M_{∞} . Thus, considering

- 209 only depth (z) dependent variation in structure, we have $\tau_{\rm M}(z)$. If we are interested in $z_{\rm LAB}$ at a
- 210 given frequency, e.g., $1/1000 \text{ y}^{-1}$, we find the depth at which the value of τ_{M}^{-1} is equivalent to
- 1000^{-1} y⁻¹. This essentially marks the transition from elastic to viscous behavior at a given

212 frequency. This definition breaks down at frequencies higher than the Maxwell frequency, where

213 we propose that z_{LAB} becomes essentially frequency independent. At these high frequencies, the

notion of a plate itself becomes unclear. We note that this is just one definition of many that

215 | exist for the LAB, though this definition highlights the frequency dependence of z_{LAB} .

216

217 218

2.2 Predicting of Apparent Lithospheric Thickness and Asthenospheric Viscosity in Anarctica and western North America

We focus on three regions matching those in Fig. 1: Western North America (W-NA), Western 219 Antarctica (W-ANT), and the Antarctic Peninsula (ANT-P). For each location we determine 220 221 depth dependent profiles of upper mantle mechanical properties across the full spectrum in frequency relevant to geophysical timescales. This includes implementing elastic, anelastic, and 222 viscous constitutive laws in a self-consistent manner, using the recently released software library 223 known as the "Very Broadband Rheology" (VBR) calculator (https://vbr-calc.github.io/vbr/; 224 225 Havlin et al., 2020). This software library takes thermodynamic conditions and a chosen composition as input and applies chosen constitutive laws to predict $M^*(\omega)$. From $M^*(\omega)$ we 226 may extract $\tilde{z}_{\text{LAB}}(\omega)$ and $\tilde{\eta}_{\text{AST}}(\omega)$. Hereafter, we use the term *combined constitutive laws* when 227 referring to the full-spectrum constitutive law which ties together elastic, anelastic and viscous 228 constitutive laws and for all the figures and calculations within the main text, we use the laws of 229 Stixrude & Lithgow-Bertelloni (2005), MacCarthy et al. (2011), and Hirth & Kohlstedt (2004), 230 respectively. We explore how our predictions change when different anelastic laws are applied 231 in the Supporting Information. 232

233

234 The self-consistency in the combined constitutive law is important to explain: The individual constitutive laws (multiple sets for elastic, viscous and anelastic) are derived from different 235 laboratories, which have different scalings from laboratory- to earth-conditions and are all 236 implemented within the VBR calculator, to facilitate comparison. Different anelastic models 237 238 incorporate the elastic and viscous laws differently (Havlin et al., 2020), leading to different degrees of self-consistency in the combined constitutive laws, a topic beyond the scope of this 239 240 paper. In this paper, we use one combined constitutive law to infer thermodynamic state from measurements made in the seismic band and then use that same law to extrapolate across the 241

- entire geophysical spectrum to predict wideband mechanical behavior. Thus, our first step is to
- 243 determine the thermodynamic conditions (temperature and pressure, *T* and *P*, respectively)
- beneath these regions. The entire workflow is summarized in Fig. 4.

245

246

2.2.1 Determining the Thermodynamic Conditions

247

To estimate the subsurface thermodynamic structure, **S**, beneath each of our regions, we approximated each by a simple plate model characterized by a conductive lid of thickness z_{LID} , above which heat is lost via conduction and beneath which the temperature follows that of an adiabat characterized by a potential temperature T_{P} . (Note that z_{LID} is not necessarily equivalent to z_{LAB} depending on the definition used by the different studies we include.) This estimation occurs in two steps.

254

First, we used seismic tomographic models to extract the observed asthenospheric shear wave-255 speed, $v_{\rm S}$, for each region: Shen & Ritzwoller (2016) for W-NA and Lloyd et al. (2020) for all of 256 Antarctica. For each region, we extracted a single v_s value by horizontally averaging over a 257 radius of 50 km surrounding the stars (Figs 1(c,d)) and vertically averaging between the shaded 258 259 orange shaded region (Figs 5a-c, subpanels i-ii), a region encapsulating the asthenosphere just beneath the plate. (We note that we tested the lateral averaging affects by repeating the 260 261 calculations over radii spanning 50-200 km and our conclusions remain the same, though this length-scale may be regionally dependent (e.g., Lau et al., 2018).) Using this tomographic $v_{\rm S}$ 262 value (see circle in Figs 5a, subpanels i) at its reported seismic band (see each reference), we 263 determined the temperature at the associated depth by using the VBR calculator. For this 264 purpose, the VBR maps state variables **S** to mechanical properties (e.g., $v_{\rm S}$ at the appropriate 265 frequency), and then using Bayesian inference, finds the best fitting set of state variables given 266 the seismic input from tomography (Havlin et al., 2020). Extrapolating the temperature value 267 along the adiabatic gradient to the surface provides us with the associated $T_{\rm P}$ (Havlin et al., 268 2020). The simplification of characterizing each region as a plate model means that both $T_{\rm P}$ 269 270 along with the adiabatic gradient are sufficient to describe the asthenospheric thermal profile for

each region. The smoothness of the v_s profiles from these tomography models (Fig. 5, subpanels i) suggests that such an approximation is reasonable for these regions.

273

274 With the asthenospheric thermal profile constrained for each region, we then create a suite of plate profiles, \mathbf{P}_i (where i = 1, 2, ... N), where each *i*-th profile requires the following parameters 275 as input: homogenous grain size g_i , melt fraction ϕ_i , water content X_{H2O} , and major composition 276 X_{maj} . Each profile \mathbf{P}_i is determined by solving the 1-D transient heat equation with a conductive 277 lid until steady state is reached for a given *i*-th set of parameters. To produce N profiles, we vary 278 the following parameters: g = [0.001, 0.004, ..., 0.03] m, and $\phi = [0.0005, ..., 0.03]$; while 279 holding the compositions constant, i.e., $X_{H2O} = 0$, $X_{maj} = olivine$ (90% forsterite). We also then 280 vary the thickness of the conductive lid, between [50, 60, ..., 250] km. Thus far, this procedure 281 is shown by steps (1) and (2) in Fig. 4. 282

283

In the second step, we set out to determine the plate profile with the best fitting z_{LID} (step (3) in 284 Fig. 5). We use observed values of LAB depths, or z_{LAB}^{obs} , derived from the seismic studies of 285 Hopper & Fischer (2018) for W-NA and An et al. (2015) for all of Antarctica. We treated each 286 differently as $z_{\text{LAB}}^{\text{obs}}$ has different definitions within the two models. (The definition of the 287 lithosphere is not universal, as discussed in detail by Lau et al. (2020) and further provides 288 motivation for the notion of apparent plate thickness.). We reproduced each study's version of 289 $z_{\text{LAB}}^{\text{obs}}$ from our N plate models. For the Antarctic lithospheric model, $z_{\text{LAB}}^{\text{obs}}$ coincides with the 290 intersection of the base of the conductive plate and the adiabatic gradient (estimated from 291 seismic data), and thus, z_{LID} , which we impose for each \mathbf{P}_i , and $z_{\text{LAB}}^{\text{obs}}$ are the same. For W-NA, 292 Hopper & Fischer (2018) define the LAB as the most negative $\partial v_S / \partial z$ value. Hence, for W-NA, 293 for our suite of **P**, the VBR calculator predicted the $v_{\rm S}$ profile at the appropriate seismic 294 frequency bands using the combined constitutive laws from which we extract $\partial v_S / \partial z$ and find 295 296 the depth at which this value is most negative.

297

With these LAB constraints we use Bayesian inference (see Havlin et al., 2020, for more details) to produce posterior probability distributions of the thickness of the conductive lid and each state variable we vary. We assumed that prior knowledge for each state variable is represented by a

uniform probability density function over the ranges we have stated. We ascribe a uniform 301 uncertainty distribution of $\pm 5\%$ and ± 5 km for the observed $v_{\rm S}$ and $z_{\rm LAB}^{\rm obs}$. As a result, for each 302 region, we select the model with the highest joint probability distribution. The best fitting v_s 303 profiles are shown in Figs 5(a-c), subpanels (ii). For reference, in Figs 5(a-c), subpanels (ii), the 304 circles mark the v_s values of the resulting best fitting models within the depth range that they 305 were required to fit the observed profiles (shaded region). The corresponding temperature 306 307 profiles, determined by a combination of assuming an adiabatic gradient and thickness of the conductive lid, are shown in Figs. 5(a-c), subpanels (iii) (black lines). In Fig. S1 we show the 308 309 resulting joint probability distributions for each region, highlighting the trade-offs between various parameters. 310

311

312 **2.2.2 Determining apparent viscosity and apparent lithospheric thickness**

313

Having identified the best fitting thermodynamic conditions, i.e., $S_{i=best}$, for each region, we used the VBR calculator with this input to predict several mechanical parameters using the combined constitutive law, including the complex modulus ($M^*(z, \omega)$), complex viscosity ($\eta^*(z, \omega)$), and attenuation $Q^{-1}(z, \omega)$ (shown in Fig. S2). This corresponds to step (4) in the flowchart (Fig. 4).

319

From Section 2.1 it is now straightforward to see how, from $M^*(z, \omega)$, one can extract $\tilde{\eta}_{AST}(\omega)$ and \tilde{z}_{LAB} . In order to do this, we determine η^* from M^* , and the results of these predictions are shown in Figs 5(a-c), subpanels (iii-v). For the anelastic regime, where more uncertainty exists across different constitutive laws, we applied several other laws shown in Fig. S3 to explore this uncertainty (analogous to results of Fig. 5, using experimental laws of Faul & Jackson (2015) and the premelting model of Yamauchi and Takei (2016) (see also Takei, 2017).

- 326
- 327

2.3 Determining the Frequency Content of Observationally Derived $\tilde{\eta}_{AST}$ and \tilde{z}_{LAB}

328

329 Observationally derived estimates of lithospheric thickness and viscosity are generally obtained

- by combining knowledge of past load changes (ice sheets or lakes) with observations of
- deformation (GPS or reconstructions of paleo-water level) assuming a viscoelastic model. The

estimates we have used for comparison against our VBR-driven profiles are all parameters 332 reported from studies with various observational constraints (mostly geodetic and geologic), and 333 thus are subject to a range of simplifying assumptions. The estimates of both z_{LAB} and η_{AST} 334 have been compiled from the following investigations for each region (listed in order of 335 decreasing timescale): For Western North America, estimates were derived from paleo sea-level 336 indicators that measure GIA during Marine Isotope Stages 5a and 5c (~80 and ~100 ky BP, 337 respectively) (Creveling et al., 2017), paleo-shorelines recording rebound at Lake Bonneville and 338 Lake Provo (~14 ky BP) (Austermann et al., 2020), and geodetic observations of postseismic 339 relaxation after the 1992 Landers earthquake (Pollitz et al., 2000). In addition, we included the 340 341 z_{LAB} estimate inferred from GPS estimates of the postseismic relaxation following the 2010 El Mayor-Cucapah earthquake (Dickinson-Lovell et al., 2018). For Western Antarctica, Barletta et 342 al. (2018) used GPS derived measures of decadal-scale rebound at the Amundsen Sea 343 Embayment. Finally, for the Antarctic Peninsula, decadal (Nield et al., 2014; Samrat et al., 2020) 344 and centennial (Ivins et al., 2011; Wolstencroft et al., 2015) responses to ice mass change, once 345 more, measured by GPS, were used to derive viscosity estimates. 346

347

These studies result in different estimates of z_{LAB} and η (Fig. 1) and as described in the Introduction, these variations may in part arise from the differing thermodynamic conditions of the subsurface structure and how the GIA process samples this. In the most ideal scenario we would consider only observations that sample exactly the same earth structure, but only on different timescales. In reality, these kind of observations do not yet exist and we can only minimize the effect of sampling different earth structure. To do this, we have chosen to focus on studies that cover similar geographic regions and mostly sample the asthenosphere.

355

In order to compare our predictions of $\tilde{\eta}_{AST}(\omega)$ and $\tilde{z}_{LAB}(\omega)$ to the observations presented in Fig. 1, we first reinterpreted these viscosities and lithospheric thicknesses as *apparent* quantities. Next, we must determine their frequency content, which requires us to consider two important timescales associated with each observation: τ_{dur} , the duration over which the loading/unloading event in question occurred, and τ_{del} , the time delay between the end of the event and when the observation of solid Earth deformation was taken. For example, z_{LAB} and η estimates from the the loading of Lake Bonneville (Austermann et al., 2020) occurred across a timespan ~4,000 y

363 $(\tau_{dur} \sim 4,000 \text{ y})$, and measurements of rebound are recorded as dated shorelines during the

- loading period, and as such, we assign $\tau_{del} \sim 0$ y. (We note that the long-term GIA deformation
- associated with the Laurentide ice sheet was still ongoing during the late unloading, we consider
- this secular deformation as background. In that study, the authors considered the two processes
- distinct.) These designations of τ_{del} and τ_{dur} tabulated and briefly explained for each
- observation in Table S1 are approximate but our aim is to cover a wide enough frequency band
- to provide the most conservative estimate possible. With these two timescales we assign each
- process a frequency band, $[f_{low}, f_{upp}]$, applying an empirical relationship based on replicating
- the loading history and measurement of deformation, as described below.
- 372

In Section 2.1 we introduced how the creep function, *J*, relates the strain of a material to an 373 applied stress (Eq. 1). In order to replicate a generic loading history and measurement of 374 deformation, we apply the stress history shown in Fig. 6(a), where we have schematically 375 depicted the timespans of τ_{dur} and τ_{del} . In order to estimate the frequency content within this 376 377 deformation response, we require knowledge of J(t) and $J^*(\omega)$. This is not always straightforward (e.g., Nowick & Berry, 1972) and so we turn to the 1-D Andrade model, J_{An} 378 (Fig. 2). We choose the Andrade model since it can capture the full spectrum of viscoelastic 379 (elastic, transient, viscous) behavior with few model parameters (Cooper, 2002) and its 380 expression for $J_{An}^{*}(\omega)$ is known, where 381

$$J_{\rm An}(t) = \frac{1 + t/\tau_{\rm M}}{M_{\infty}} + \beta t^n$$

382 and

$$J_{\rm An}^*(\omega) = J_{\infty} + \beta \Gamma(1+n) \omega^{-n} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) - i\beta \Gamma(1+n) \omega^{-n} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\eta_0 \omega}$$

(Faul and Jackson, 2015). Our aim is to use these analytical expressions to fit those that have been output by the VBR so that we can mimic loading histories for these viscoelastic models and readily move between the time and frequency domain. To do so, for all regions, we chose *n* as 1/3 (Cooper, 2002), used the VBR output values of τ_M , M_∞ associated with each region, and solved for the best fitting β value via a grid search. More specifically, we determined apparent viscosities from Andrade models with many values of β and selected the model that reproduced the apparent viscosity trends from the VBR, where Fig. 6b shows our final fits for each region. 390 The solid lines are reproduced from Fig. 5 (subpanels v). With the simple time domain

expression for J(t) we can readily perform the convolution in Eq. 1.

392

401

393 We apply the linearly increasing load for a period of τ_{dur} depicted in Fig. 6(a) and perform many tests across the range $(10^{-2} \le \tau_{dur} \le 10^6)$ years, effectively varying the stress rate, and 394 convolve this with the best fitting $J_{An}(t)$ expressions. In order to emulate measurements made 395 by the unloading/loading scenarios in our dataset, we determine the resulting strain rate, $\dot{\varepsilon}$ from 396 Eq. 1. We make $\dot{\varepsilon}$ values at various values of τ_{del} across the range $(10^{-4}\tau_{dur} \le \tau_{del} \le 10^{4}\tau_{dur})$ 397 years, a range that covers all scenarios. Using the strain rate, we estimate the viscosity assuming 398 399 a Maxwell viscoelastic model, η_{est} , and that we know M_{∞} and $\sigma(t)$ (all assumptions made by the studies included here). For the simple 1-D case, 400

$$\eta_{\rm est} = \sigma \left(\dot{\varepsilon} - \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{M_{\infty}} \right)^{-1}$$

As argued previously, these viscosity estimates are capturing the *apparent* viscosity of the 402 underlying Andrade model, i.e., $\tilde{\eta}_{An}$, at its respective frequency. In order to map out the 403 frequency band for which values of η_{est} capture we first consider f_{upp} . For f_{upp} we know that, 404 given the timescale of any process, the highest possible frequency must be bound by τ_{dur}^{-1} . The 405 Fourier transform of a linear trend is dominated by low frequencies and longer values of τ_{del} will 406 407 result in any relatively high frequency response to diminish. But just how low is this bound? For f_{low} we find the frequency for which $\tilde{\eta}_{\text{An}}$ (derived from $\tilde{J}_{\text{An}}^*(\omega)$) is equivalent to η_{est} . For each 408 observation, we show the frequency band dictated by τ_{dur} and τ_{del} in Fig. 6(c-e). 409

- 410
- 411

412 **3 Results and Discussion**

413 3.1 Reconciling observational estimates of asthenospheric viscosity and plate 414 thickness

Fig. 1 displays differing estimates of what studies report as measures of elastic plate thickness and Maxwell viscosity at the listed locations. These differences may be due to the variations in thermodynamic setting and/or forcing timescale dependent effects. In Fig. 5, we divide these observation-driven estimates into their respective regions and account for the broad

thermodynamic conditions (to reduce the effect of the former) and recast these estimates as

frequency dependent apparent viscosities (to highlight the latter). By doing this, we see that the

frequency dependence of the forcing may play a substantial role. In all locations the apparent viscosity decreases as a function of increasing frequency, while \tilde{z}_{LAB} increases with increasing

422 viscosity decreases as a function of increasing frequency, while \tilde{z}_{LAB} increases with increasing 423 frequency. At high frequency, we find that \tilde{z}_{LAB} is at a maximum as one must go to hotter

423 frequency. At high frequency, we find that \tilde{z}_{LAB} is at a maximum as one must go to hotter

424 conditions (i.e., deeper depths) for this elastic-to-viscous transition to occur. Toward longer

425 timescales, \tilde{z}_{LAB} relaxes to shallower depths (Fig. 5, subpanels (iv)).

426

427 In Fig. 5, we have also placed the apparent viscosities and plate thicknesses obtained from observational estimates (Fig. 1) in order to compare them against our modeled predictions. 428 Across all regions some of the observations of $\tilde{\eta}_{AST}$ (subpanels (iii)) fit our predicted values well 429 (colored lines) and some fall within the shaded regions, which we discuss in the next section. A 430 slightly less clear picture is seen with \tilde{z}_{LAB} , where qualitative trends agree but several 431 observations do not align with the predictions of \tilde{z}_{LAB} (colored lines). Where \tilde{z}_{LAB} observations 432 and predictions match reasonably well are at W-ANT and W-NA (with some falling within the 433 shaded region). Uncertainties in \tilde{z}_{LAB} estimates in the ANT-P region are quite large and do not 434 overlap across the same frequency bands. For this region, it is important to consider that the 435 continental lithosphere is comprised of a narrow peninsula rather than a large continental interior 436 and observations made at ANT-P (which, across the studies included, span the stretch of the 437 peninsula) may be sampling aspects of both the lithosphere beneath the narrow peninsula and the 438 surrounding oceanic region. In addition, slightly beneath the depth range we consider, there is a 439 subducting slab that may also affect GIA (Lloyd et al., 2020). Our simple depth dependent 440 441 profiles may not sufficiently capture this complexity and/or z_{LAB} measurements are not well 442 constrained by the type of observation used.

443

While lateral variations in thermodynamics and the potential variability in the sampled subsurface of each observation can contribute to the variability in z_{LAB} and η_{AST} within each region, our comparison between these observation-driven estimates and VBR determined predictions of frequency dependent parameters convincingly illustrates that such processes are not only important to consider, but can in fact explain some of the variation in GIA based estimates. We therefore suggest moving towards an estimation framework that aims to map out

the continuous frequency trend of $\tilde{\eta}(\omega)$ through observations of different frequency – akin to mapping out the frequency dependence of $Q^{-1}(\omega)$ in seismic studies (Fig.3). If a complete trend may be mapped, more concrete inferences on the underlying viscoelastic model may be made. However, unlike the seismic application, quantifying the frequency content for any given timedomain GIA process is not trivial and while we propose one approach here (section 2.3), we argue that further work is required to better understand this relationship. In the next section, we discuss the deformational processes that may be responsible for these values of $\tilde{\eta}(\omega)$.

- 457
- 458

3.2 Grainscale deformation processes and their manifestation in GIA

459

The steady-state viscosity profiles (Fig. 5; colored bold lines, subpanels (iii)) of each region 460 show the structure most relevant to mantle convection timescales. The horizontal-colored line 461 shows the depth at which \tilde{z}_{LAB} occurs at the zero-frequency limit. This can be thought of as the 462 true thickness of the plate – which, by many definitions with the literature, is the base of the top 463 thermal boundary layer of mantle convection, above which conduction is the mode of heat loss 464 (Fisher et al., 2010). However, as Lau et al. (2020) argue, many studies infer apparent plate 465 thickness at the frequency of the unloading process (colored bold lines, subpanels (iv)). For 466 example, changes in seismic velocity gradients, seismic anisotropy measurements, receiver 467 functions and attenuation data (Hopper & Fischer, 2018; Mancinelli et al., 2017) have been used 468 to infer \tilde{z}_{LAB} at frequencies of ~(0.01-0.1) Hz. This inference of \tilde{z}_{LAB} lies towards the far right of 469 subpanels (iv) (grey bar). The physical relationship between the seismic LAB and the convective 470 LAB is discussed in more detail in Lau et al. (2020). As can be seen, moving towards lower 471 frequency \tilde{z}_{LAB} relaxes to significantly shallower depths as the asthenosphere beneath becomes 472 increasingly viscous, impinging on the rigid plate above. These panels demonstrate that one 473 cannot assume that LAB values inferred on seismic timescales are appropriate for processes 474 acting on convection timescales. For example, in W-NA the LAB ranges from 75, 50, to 35 km 475 when probed at the seismic, ice age, and convective timescales, respectively. An analogous 476 discussion based on the Effective Elastic Thickness of plates at different timescales may be found 477 in Watts et al. (2013). 478

480 Now examining $\tilde{\eta}_{AST}(\omega)$ (colored bold lines, subpanels (v)), we see a significant increase

towards lower frequency spanning several orders of magnitude for all regions. At the high

482 frequency extreme, $\tilde{\eta}(\omega \to \infty)$ tends towards zero, as we move towards the purely elastic regime

483 of deformation. Once more, the *apparent viscosity* cannot be mistaken for η_0 ; this latter value is

reached at low frequencies, depicted by the plateau of $\tilde{\eta}(\omega)$ on the low frequency end of our plots. So, what might we infer from these results about the activation of certain deformation

- 486 mechanisms?
- 487

488 **3.2.1 Diffusion Creep**

489

For the solid lines in Fig. 5, the underlying mechanism is diffusion creep. In GIA, the most 490 commonly adopted viscoelastic model used to phenomenologically describe such creep is the 491 Maxwell model (as is the case with all the observations included here). However, such a model 492 493 does not capture *transient* diffusion creep, arising from stress concentrations at grain edges, driving diffusive flow of matter through grain boundaries or sub-grain boundaries, causing those 494 stress concentrations to relax (e.g. Cooper, 2002 and references therein). This process is 495 considered to cause the so-called *High Temperature Background* ("HTB") attenuation. 496 Additional dissipative mechanisms that can be superposed onto the HTB in the linear anelastic 497 regime include elastically accommodated grain boundary sliding, melt squirt, dislocation 498 damping (at constant dislocation density), and other processes (e.g. Cooper, 2002; Havlin et al, 499 2020). Transient diffusion creep relaxes to steady-state, diffusionally accommodated grain 500 boundary sliding (Cooper, 2002; Faul & Jackson, 2015). These processes can be activated at 501 502 different frequencies depending on the thermodynamic state, and the associated dissipation is often parameterized within the experimental community by the intrinsic attenuation, $Q^{-1}(\omega)$ 503 504 (i.e., the fractional average energy dissipated per cycle of oscillation).

505

Here, we can assess here the degree to which transient creep may contribute to the trend in $\tilde{\eta}(\omega)$ captured by our selected observations. The solid color lines in subpanels (iv) and (v) capture the trend of the HTB model (where, as well as the elastic and viscous endmembers, we include HTB transient diffusion only – in the case of the Main Text examples, the latter is the constitutive law

of McCarthy et al. (2014)). The dashed colored lines are identical but with no transient creep

511 component, representing a Maxwell model with the same η_0 and M_{∞} values. The departure in $\tilde{\eta}$

512 between the full and equivalent Maxwell models clearly occurs across a frequency band spanned

513 by most of the processes we consider. While these differences in $\tilde{\eta}$ seem slight in these figures,

the ratio between these two models can be as low as \sim 50%, emphasized in the plots of

normalized eta* in Lau et al, 2020. Our data here cannot distinguish the difference between the

516 two trends of $\tilde{\eta}$, but future studies may be designed to identify the degree of HTB transient creep

517 and explore additional dissipative mechanisms in such deformation.

518

519 3.2.2 Dislocation Creep

520

Diffusion creep rate is linear in stress and relevant at low levels of both strain and stress, where deformation *probes* the microstructure but does not modify it. Processes like GIA and seismic wave propagation are characterized by small strains ($\sim 10^{-5}$) and low stresses ($\sim kPa$), such that it is possible that transient diffusion creep dominates any transient response. and likely that grain sizes are not modified by those processes. However, crystallographic fabrics of xenoliths and ubiquitous seismic anisotropy in the upper mantle implicate an important role for dislocation creep at least at convective time scales.

528

A non-linear transient regime may be reached in which a forcing process produces dislocations, 529 modifying the dislocation density *during* the process and affecting the transient response (e.g., 530 Farla et al., 2012; Cooper et al., 2016; Thieme et al., 2018). At increasing levels of stress, 531 dislocation density increases, causing rock to weaken. If the forcing level (applied stress) is 532 constant or changing slowly enough (quasi-static), dislocation density can be considered constant 533 and the dislocation creep rate is steady state, as characterized by numerous laboratory studies. 534 While full self-consistency regarding the role of dislocation creep would incorporate both the 535 transient and the steady state roles of dislocations, such a composite constitutive model across 536 the range of conditions of interest here does not yet exist, though much research is underway 537 (Hansen et al., 2020). 538

Towards estimating the potential effects of dislocation creep in the wide-band responses 540 considered here, we can easily incorporate steady state dislocation creep into the current 541 framework without considering the transient role of dislocations. This extra mechanism is 542 phenomenologically represented by an additional steady-state, stress-dependent dashpot, labeled 543 η_{disl} , in series with the linear Maxwell steady-state dashpot, η_0 (Fig. 2). The effective steady-544 state stress is dominated by the dashpot with the lower viscosity. In subpanels (iii-v) of Fig. 5, 545 shaded regions reflect the effect of steady-state dislocation creep (Hirth & Kohlstedt, 2005), and 546 547 encompass variations in η_0 , \tilde{z}_{LAB} , and $\tilde{\eta}_{\text{AST}}$ from stresses (σ) ranging where ($0 \le \sigma \le 10$) MPa (where colored bold lines and fine gray lines coincide with 0 and 1 MPa, respectively). As shown 548 by these regions, macroscopically, there is a reduction in all parameters in Fig. 5 and the 549 transition of \tilde{z}_{LAB} is shifted to higher frequency as the effective Maxwell time is now reduced. 550 We note that, in certain parts of the plate, GIA processes reach such levels of stress (in Fig. S4 551 we show the deviatoric stress beneath the ice sheet over a representative GIA cycle). Since 552 several of the observations fall within these shaded regions, it is possible that nonlinear 553 deformation is occurring to explain these estimates of $\tilde{\eta}_{AST}$ and \tilde{z}_{LAB} . 554

555

556

557 **3.3 Implications for ice mass change and sea-level change**

558

Ice mass change, both past and present, span a wide frequency spectrum, and we show here that
so too does the variation in the solid Earth's response to such perturbations. Based on our results
we suggest that by reinterpreting estimates of viscosity and plate thickness as *apparent viscosities* and *apparent plate thicknesses* these seemingly diverging values may be reconciled.
We also show that laboratory-based constitutive laws suggest that transient creep plays some role
across the span of our observations (from rapid to ~10 ky timescales).
Ultimately, ignoring deformational mechanisms acting across the wide frequency range of GIA

567 processes may lead to misestimation of the sea-level response, whether those include rapid ice

⁵⁶⁸ collapse, where studies typically invoke a purely elastic Earth (e.g., Gomez et al., 2010) or solid

- 569 Earth responses modeled purely as Maxwell viscoelastic solids. For example, following our results
- shown in Fig. 6(b), we predict that if the same amount of ice retreat in the Amundsen Sea area

occurred over 1, 10, 100, and 1000 y, the asthenospheric apparent viscosity would be $\sim 10^{18}$, $\sim 6 \times$

572 10^{18} , $\sim 10^{19}$, $\sim 6 \times 10^{19}$ Pa s. This may have implications for the stabilizing effect of GIA on the

573 Antarctic ice sheet, which affects predictions of future sea level change.

574

A further compounding factor on all timescales is that high frequency and high magnitude melt 575 576 events will result in high strain rates that may require the consideration of non-linear rheology that, as discussed previously, involves changes in the dislocation structure driven by these large 577 external stresses. It is unclear how such extraneous stress regimes might alter GIA during these 578 events, but one might expect that the apparent viscosity would be significantly reduced due to 579 580 stress magnitude and the relatively high frequency of such intense melting events. So far nonlinear rheologies have only been considered in isolation (van der Waal et al., 2013, Huang et al., 581 2019), but our preliminary calculations presented here show that these effects have repercussions 582 across a wide frequency band. 583

584

585 4 Conclusions

586

The adoption of the Maxwell viscoelastic models from the early semi-analytical techniques derived (Wu & Peltier, 1982; Mitrovica & Milne, 2003) offered an elegant means to solve a complicated viscoelastic system and fit a whole range of sea-level and geodetic observations. The realization, however, that temperature effects alone result in lateral variations in viscosity that span orders of magnitude required a distinct departure from these semi-analytic techniques and a movement towards computationally demanding finite-element methods that continues today (e.g., Zhong et al., 2003; Latychev et al., 2005; van der Waal et al., 2005).

594

With a growing richness in datasets that capture increasingly subtle signals of ice melt, we believe the next level of complexity must be met. Our results outlined here have highlighted a potential pathway towards considering both thermodynamic variations within Earth's subsurface and the nature of the forcing (both frequency and stress) for GIA-related processes. We have applied a means of self-consistently interpreting observational results that span the full spectrum in frequency. There are several simplifications we have made in order to focus on this full spectrum behavior: we have broadly interpreted viscosity estimates – drawn from a diverse set of

studies each with their own assumptions and spatial sensitivities – as apparent viscosities of the

asthenosphere, and we have considered depth dependent plate profiles for each region,

neglecting lateral variations in thermodynamic environment and assuming that each region may

- be approximated as a plate model. (Though we emphasize that we were equally mindful of
- choosing observations that reflected these specific regions both geographically, and in depth.)
- Nevertheless, in doing so, we have demonstrated that our current understanding of Earth
- deformation, derived from microphysical investigations that operate on timescales appropriate
- 610 for the laboratory setting, shows significant promise in explaining much of the variability we

observe on the planetary scale and across timescales that capture Earth's long and nuanced

history. Looking to the future, we encourage both the inclusion of viscoelastic models in GIA

that move beyond the Maxwell model (e.g, Yuen et al., 1986; Ivins et al., 2020), the

determination of the frequency content within measurements of time-domain processes like GIA,

and the search to map out the continuous function $\tilde{\eta}(\omega)$, rather than discrete values of η_0 , to help

616 improve predictions of cryosphere-solid Earth responses as rates of ice sheet melting and

- 617 collapse increasingly occur on shorter timescales.
- 618

619 Acknowledgments

620 HL acknowledges support from UC Berkeley. All codes pertaining to this study are available

within the Zenodo reference, doi:10.5281/zenodo.3738485.

623 624 References 625 626 Abe-Ouchi, A., Saito, F., Kawamura, K., Raymo, M.E., et al. (2013) Insolation-driven 100,000year glacial cycles and hysteresis of ice-sheet volume. Nature. [Online] 500 (7461), 190-627 193. Available from: doi:10.1038/nature12374 [Accessed: 17 October 2017]. 628 Austermann, J., Chen, C.Y., Lau, H.C.P., Maloof, A.C., et al. (2020) Constraints on mantle 629 viscosity and Laurentide ice sheet evolution from pluvial paleolake shorelines in the 630 western United States. Earth and Planetary Science Letters. [Online] 532, 116006. 631 Available from: doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.116006. 632 633 Barletta, V.R., Bevis, M., Smith, B.E., Wilson, T., et al. (2018) Observed rapid bedrock uplift in amundsen sea embayment promotes ice-sheet stability. Science. [Online] 360 (6395), 1335-634 1339. Available from: doi:10.1126/science.aao1447. 635 Benjamin, D., Wahr, J., Ray, R.D., Egbert, G.D., et al. (2006) Constraints on mantle anelasticity 636 from geodetic observations, and implications for the J 2 anomaly. Geophysical Journal 637 International. [Online] 165 (1), 3–16. Available from: doi:10.1111/j.1365-638 639 246X.2006.02915.x [Accessed: 29 February 2016]. Caron, L., Métivier, L., Greff-Lefftz, M., Fleitout, L., et al. (2017) Inverting Glacial Isostatic 640 Adjustment signal using Bayesian framework and two linearly relaxing rheologies. 641 Geophysical Journal International. [Online] 209 (2), 1126–1147. Available from: 642 doi:10.1093/gji/ggx083. 643 Conrad, C.P. & Lithgow-Bertelloni, C. (2006) Influence of continental roots and asthenosphere 644 on plate-mantle coupling. Geophysical Research Letters. [Online] 33 (5), L05312. 645 Available from: doi:10.1029/2005GL025621 [Accessed: 4 February 2016]. 646 Cooper, R.F. (2002) Seismic Wave Attenuation: Energy Dissipation in Viscoelastic Crystalline 647 Solids. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry. [Online] 51 (1), 253–290. Available 648 649 from: doi:10.2138/gsrmg.51.1.253. Cooper, R.F., Stone, D.S. & Plookphol, T. (2016) Load relaxation of olivine single crystals. 650 Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth. [Online] 121 (10), 7193–7210. Available 651 from: doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013425. 652 Crawford, O., Al-Attar, D., Tromp, J., Mitrovica, J.X., et al. (2018) Quantifying the sensitivity of 653 post-glacial sea level change to laterally varying viscosity. Geophysical Journal 654 International. [Online] 214 (2), 1324–1363. Available from: doi:10.1093/gji/ggy184. 655 656 Creveling, J.R., Mitrovica, J.X., Clark, P.U., Waelbroeck, C., et al. (2017) Predicted bounds on peak global mean sea level during marine isotope stages 5a and 5c. Quaternary Science 657 Reviews. [Online] 163, 193–208. Available from: doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2017.03.003. 658

659 660 661 662	 Dickinson-Lovell, H., Huang, MH., Freed, A.M., Fielding, E., et al. (2018) Inferred rheological structure and mantle conditions from postseismic deformation following the 2010 Mw 7.2 El Mayor-Cucapah Earthquake. <i>Geophysical Journal International</i>. [Online] 213 (3), 1720–1730. Available from: doi:10.1093/gji/ggx546 [Accessed: 11 February 2020].
663 664 665	Dziewonski, A.M. (1981) Preliminary reference Earth model. <i>Physics of The Earth and Planetary Interiors</i> . [Online] 25 (4), 297–356. Available from: doi:10.1016/0031-9201(81)90046-7.
666	 Farla, R.J.M., Jackson, I., Fitz Gerald, J.D., Faul, U.H., et al. (2012) Dislocation Damping and
667	Anisotropic Seismic Wave Attenuation in Earth's Upper Mantle. <i>Science</i> . [Online]
668	336 (6079), 332 LP – 335. Available from: doi:10.1126/science.1218318.
669	 Faul, U. & Jackson, I. (2015) Transient Creep and Strain Energy Dissipation: An Experimental
670	Perspective. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences. [Online] 43 (1), 541–569.
671	Available from: doi:10.1146/annurev-earth-060313-054732 [Accessed: 9 November 2017].
672	Fischer, K.M., Ford, H.A., Abt, D.L. & Rychert, C.A. (2010) The Lithosphere-Asthenosphere
673	Boundary. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences. [Online] 38 (1), 551–575.
674	Available from: doi:10.1146/annurev-earth-040809-152438.
675	Gomez, N., Mitrovica, J.X., Huybers, P. & Clark, P.U. (2010) Sea level as a stabilizing factor for
676	marine-ice-sheet grounding lines. <i>Nature Geoscience</i> . [Online] 3 (12), 850–853. Available
677	from: doi:10.1038/ngeo1012.
678	Gunasekaran, S. & Ak, M.M. (2002) <i>Cheese Rheology and Texture</i> . [Online]. CRC Press.
679	Available from: <u>https://books.google.com/books?id=KBzNBQAAQBAJ</u> .
680	Hager, B.H., Clayton, R.W., Richards, M.A., Comer, R.P., et al. (1985) Lower mantle
681	heterogeneity, dynamic topography and the geoid. <i>Nature</i> . [Online] 313, 541–545.
682	Available from: http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1984lmhd.reptH [Accessed: 18 March
683	2014].
684	Hansen, L.N., Zimmerman, M.E. & Kohlstedt, D.L. (2011) Grain boundary sliding in San Carlos
685	olivine: Flow law parameters and crystallographic-preferred orientation. <i>Journal of</i>
686	<i>Geophysical Research: Solid Earth</i> . [Online] 116 (8). Available from:
687	doi:10.1029/2011JB008220.
688	Hansen, L.N., Wallis, D., Breithaupt, T., Thom, C.A., et al. (2020) Dislocation creep of olivine:
689	Low-temperature plasticity controls transient creep at high temperatures. <i>Journal of</i>
690	<i>Geophysical Research: Solid Earth</i> . (in review)
691 692 693	Havlin, C., Holtzman, B. & Hopper, E. (2020) Inference of thermodynamic state in the asthenosphere from anelastic properties, with applications to North American upper mantle. <i>Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors</i> . (in review)

Hirth, G. & Kohlstedt, D. (2004) Rheology of the upper mantle and the mantle wedge: A view
from the experimentalists. In: *Geophysical Monograph Series*. [Online]. Blackwell
Publishing Ltd. pp. 83–105. Available from: doi:10.1029/138GM06.

Hopper, E. & Fischer, K.M. (2018) The Changing Face of the Lithosphere-Asthenosphere
Boundary: Imaging Continental Scale Patterns in Upper Mantle Structure Across the
Contiguous U.S. With Sp Converted Waves. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*.
[Online] 19 (8), 2593–2614. Available from: doi:10.1029/2018GC007476 [Accessed: 11
February 2020].

Huang, P., Wu, P. & Steffen, H. (2019) In search of an ice history that is consistent with
composite rheology in Glacial Isostatic Adjustment modelling. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*. [Online] 517, 26–37. Available from:
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.04.011.

Ivins, E.R., Watkins, M.M., Yuan, D.N., Dietrich, R., et al. (2011) On-land ice loss and glacial
 isostatic adjustment at the Drake Passage: 2003-2009. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*. [Online] 116 (2). Available from: doi:10.1029/2010JB007607.

Ivins, E.R., Caron, L., Adhikari, S., Larour, E., et al. (2020) A linear viscoelasticity for decadal
to centennial time scale mantle deformation. *Reports on Progress in Physics*. [Online] 83
(10), 106801. Available from: doi:10.1088/1361-6633/aba346.

Kanamori, H. & Anderson, D.L. (1977) Importance of physical dispersion in surface wave and
free oscillation problems: Review. *Reviews of Geophysics*. [Online] 15 (1), 105. Available
from: doi:10.1029/RG015i001p00105 [Accessed: 20 March 2014].

Lau, H.C.P., Austermann, J., Mitrovica, J.X., Crawford, O., et al. (2018) Inferences of Mantle
Viscosity Based on Ice Age Data Sets: The Bias in Radial Viscosity Profiles Due to the
Neglect of Laterally Heterogeneous Viscosity Structure. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth.* [Online] 123 (9), 7237–7252. Available from:

719 doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB015740.

Lau, H.C.P. & Faul, U.H. (2019) Anelasticity from seismic to tidal timescales: Theory and
 observations. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*. [Online] 508, 18–29. Available from:
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.12.009.

Lau, H.C.P. & Holtzman, B.K. (2019) "Measures of Dissipation in Viscoelastic Media"
Extended: Toward Continuous Characterization Across Very Broad Geophysical Time
Scales. *Geophysical Research Letters*. [Online] 46 (16), 9544–9553. Available from:
doi:10.1029/2019GL083529 [Accessed: 11 February 2020].

Lekić, V., Matas, J., Panning, M. & Romanowicz, B. (2009) Measurement and implications of
frequency dependence of attenuation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*. [Online] 282
(1–4), 285–293. Available from: doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2009.03.030 [Accessed: 17 October
2017].

- Liu, J., Milne, G.A., Kopp, R.E., Clark, P.U., et al. (2016) Sea-level constraints on the amplitude
 and source distribution of Meltwater Pulse 1A. *Nature Geoscience*. [Online] 9 (2), 130–134.
 Available from: doi:10.1038/ngeo2616.
- Lloyd, A.J., Wiens, D.A., Zhu, H., Tromp, J., et al. (2020) Seismic Structure of the Antarctic
 Upper Mantle Based on Adjoint Tomography. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth.* [Online] Available from: doi:10.1029/2019jb017823.
- Mancinelli, N.J., Fischer, K.M. & Dalton, C.A. (2017) How Sharp Is the Cratonic LithosphereAsthenosphere Transition? *Geophysical Research Letters*. [Online] 44 (20), 10,110189,197. Available from: doi:10.1002/2017GL074518.
- McCarthy, C., Takei, Y. & Hiraga, T. (2011) Experimental study of attenuation and dispersion
 over a broad frequency range: 2. The universal scaling of polycrystalline materials. *Journal* of Geophysical Research. [Online] 116 (B9), B09207. Available from:
- 743 doi:10.1029/2011JB008384 [Accessed: 15 May 2016].
- Mitrovica, J.X. & Milne, G.A. (2003) On post-glacial sea level: I. General theory. *Geophysical Journal International*. [Online] 154 (2), 253–267. Available from: doi:10.1046/j.1365-246X.2003.01942.x [Accessed: 11 February 2020].
- Nakada, M. & Lambeck, K. (1989) Late Pleistocene and Holocene sea-level change in the
 Australian region and mantle rheology. *Geophysical Journal International*. [Online] 96 (3),
 497–517. Available from: doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1989.tb06010.x [Accessed: 11
 February 2020].
- Nield, G.A., Barletta, V.R., Bordoni, A., King, M.A., et al. (2014) Rapid bedrock uplift in the
 Antarctic Peninsula explained by viscoelastic response to recent ice unloading. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*. [Online] 397, 32–41. Available from:
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.04.019.
- Nowick, A.S. & Berry, B.S. (1972) *Anelastic relaxation in crystalline solids*. Academic Press.
- Peltier, W.R. (2004) Global Glacial Isostasy and the Surface of the Ice-Age Earth: The ICE-5G
 (VM2) Model and GRACE. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*. [Online] 32
 (1), 111–149. Available from: doi:10.1146/annurev.earth.32.082503.144359 [Accessed: 6
 February 2015].
- Pollitz, F.F., Peltzer, G. & Bürgmann, R. (2000) Mobility of continental mantle: Evidence from
 postseismic geodetic observations following the 1992 Landers earthquake. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*. [Online] 105 (B4), 8035–8054. Available from:
 doi:10.1029/1999jb900380.
- Samrat, N.H., King, M.A., Watson, C., Hooper, A., et al. (2020) Reduced ice mass loss and
 three-dimensional viscoelastic deformation in northern Antarctic Peninsula inferred from

- GPS. *Geophysical Journal International*. [Online] 222 (2), 1013–1022. Available from:
 doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa229.
- Sella, G.F., Stein, S., Dixon, T.H., Craymer, M., et al. (2007) Observation of glacial isostatic
 adjustment in "stable" North America with GPS. *Geophysical Research Letters*. [Online] 34
 (2), L02306. Available from: doi:10.1029/2006GL027081 [Accessed: 1 March 2014].
- Shen, W. & Ritzwoller, M.H. (2016) Crustal and uppermost mantle structure beneath the United
 States. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*. [Online] 121 (6), 4306–4342.
 Available from: doi:10.1002/2016JB012887 [Accessed: 11 February 2020].
- Shito, A., Karato, S. & Park, J. (2004) Frequency dependence of Q in Earth's upper mantle
 inferred from continuous spectra of body waves. *Geophysical Research Letters*. [Online] 31
 (12), n/a-n/a. Available from: doi:10.1029/2004GL019582 [Accessed: 15 May 2016].
- Stixrude, L. & Lithgow-Bertelloni, C. (2005) Thermodynamics of mantle minerals I. Physical properties. *Geophysical Journal International*. [Online]. 162 (2) pp.610–632. Available
 from: doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2005.02642.x.
- Sundberg, M. & Cooper, R.F. (2010) A composite viscoelastic model for incorporating grain
 boundary sliding and transient diffusion creep; correlating creep and attenuation responses
 for materials with a fine grain size. *Philosophical Magazine*. [Online] 90 (20), 2817–2840.
 Available from: doi:10.1080/14786431003746656 [Accessed: 8 November 2017].
- Takei, Y. (2017) Effects of Partial Melting on Seismic Velocity and Attenuation: A New Insight
 from Experiments. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*. [Online] 45 (1), 447–
 470. Available from: doi:10.1146/annurev-earth-063016-015820 [Accessed: 11 February
 2020].
- Thieme, M., Demouchy, S., Mainprice, D., Barou, F., et al. (2018) Stress evolution and
 associated microstructure during transient creep of olivine at 1000–1200 °C. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*. [Online] 278, 34–46. Available from:
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2018.03.002.
- van der Wal, W., Barnhoorn, A., Stocchi, P., Gradmann, S., et al. (2013) Glacial isostatic
 adjustment model with composite 3-D Earth rheology for Fennoscandia. *Geophysical Journal International*. [Online] 194 (1), 61–77. Available from: doi:10.1093/gji/ggt099
 [Accessed: 12 September 2017].
- van der Wal, W., Whitehouse, P.L. & Schrama, E.J.O. (2015) Effect of GIA models with 3D
 composite mantle viscosity on GRACE mass balance estimates for Antarctica. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*. [Online] 414, 134–143. Available from:
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2015.01.001 [Accessed: 12 September 2017].
- Watts, A.B., Zhong, S.J. & Hunter, J. (2013) The Behavior of the Lithosphere on Seismic to
 Geologic Timescales. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*. [Online] 41 (1),

443–468. Available from: doi:10.1146/annurev-earth-042711-105457 [Accessed: 11
 February 2020].

Wolstencroft, M., King, M.A., Whitehouse, P.L., Bentley, M.J., et al. (2015) Uplift rates from a
new high-density GPS network in Palmer Land indicate significant late Holocene ice loss in
the southwestern Weddell Sea. *Geophysical Journal International*. [Online] 203 (1), 737–
754. Available from: doi:10.1093/gji/ggv327.

Wu, P. & Peltier, W.R. (1982) Viscous gravitational relaxation. *Geophysical Journal International*. [Online] 70 (2), 435–485. Available from: doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1982.tb04976.x [Accessed: 29 April 2015].

Yamauchi, H. & Takei, Y. (2016) Polycrystal anelasticity at near-solidus temperatures. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*. [Online] 121 (11), 7790–7820. Available from:
doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013316.

Yokoyama, Y., Lambeck, K., De Deckker, P., Johnston, P., et al. (2000) Timing of the Last
Glacial Maximum from observed sea-level minima. *Nature*. [Online] 406 (6797), 713–716.
Available from: doi:10.1038/35021035.

- Yuen, D.A., Sabadini, R.C.A., Gasperini, P. & Boschi, E. (1986) On transient rheology and
 glacial isostasy. *Journal of Geophysical Research*. [Online] 91 (B11), 11420. Available
 from: doi:10.1029/JB091iB11p11420 [Accessed: 11 February 2020].
- Zhong, S., Paulson, A. & Wahr, J. (2003) Three-dimensional finite-element modelling of Earth's
 viscoelastic deformation: effects of lateral variations in lithospheric thickness. *Geophysical Journal International*. [Online] 155 (2), 679–695. Available from: doi:10.1046/j.1365246X.2003.02084.x [Accessed: 11 March 2016].

824

825

826

Figure 1. Estimates and locations of plate thickness and upper mantle viscosity.

- 829 A compilation of (a) lithospheric/plate thickness, z_{LAB} , and (b) upper mantle viscosity, η , across
- 830 Western North America, W-NA (Creveling et al., 2017; Austermann et al., 2020; Dicinson-
- Lovell et al., 2018; Pollitz et al., 2000; Hopper and Fischer, 2018), Western Antarctica, W-ANT
- (Barletta et al., 2018; [An et al., 2015), and the Antarctic Peninsula, ANT-P (Wolstencroft et al.,
- 833 2015; Ivins et al., 2011; Nield et al., 2014; Samrat et al., 2020; An et al., 2015). These estimates
- are derived from seismic, post-seismic relaxation, lake rebound, and GIA data. (c,d)
- Approximate locations of the observation-driven estimates and the tomographic models used in
- 836 Section 2.2.1, where panels (c) and (d) show models at depths of 150 km of Shen & Ritzwoller
- 837 (2016) and Lloyd et al. (2020), respectively.





842 Figure 2. Phenomenological Viscoelastic Models.

843Depiction of 1-D phenomenological viscoelastic models. The dark gray circle symbolically

represents any combination of springs and dashpots that mimic transient deformation. Replacing

- the circle with any of the components linked by an arrow will form the commonly adopted
- models labelled. With the addition of steady state dislocation creep, the viscosity of the steady
- 847 state dashpot, η_0 , becomes stress, σ , dependent.
- 848





850 851

0.51

852

853

Figure 3. Mapping out dissipation parameters with geophysical observations

- (a) A schematic depiction of seismic wave-speed reduction (top panel) at lower frequencies due to dispersion (or Re[$M^*(\omega)$]) and the increase of attenuation, $Q^{-1}(\omega)$ (bottom panel) at lower
- frequencies. The boxes denote how these trends are sampled by seismic data at different
- frequencies. (b) The analog to (a) but how GIA processes may sample apparent viscosity, $\tilde{\eta}(\omega)$.
- 1000
- 861

(a) Seismic sampling of mechanical properties



(b) GIA sampling of mechanical properties



*note, these are not to scale

862 863 864

Figure 4. Methodology for determining viscoelastic structure.

867 Schematic flowchart depicting the main steps in producing full spectrum viscoelastic structure at 868 each case region (Section 2.2.1).



Figure 5. Resulting Thermodynamic and Mechanical Properties of Case Regions.

- 876 For each region (a), (b), (c), subpanel (i) shows the shear wave-speed, v_S , profiles at select
- regions in Fig. 1(c,d) (averaged over a lateral radius of 50 km) from the tomographic models of $\frac{1}{2}$
- Shen & Ritzwoller (2016) (**a**) and Lloyd et al. (2020) (**b**,**c**). The orange shaded region marks the depth window across which we used the v_s data to constrain the sub-lithospheric temperature
- depth window across which we used the v_s data to constrain the sub-lithospheric temperature profile, where the circles mark the resulting averaged v_s to be fit. Subpanels (**ii-v**) are generated
- by the VBR fitting procedure (see Fig. 4 and Section 2.2.1), where (ii) shows the resulting best
- 882 fit v_s while (iii) shows the associated temperature profile (solid black line). The following
- corresponding mechanical properties are, in subpanel (iii) the steady state viscosity η_0 , where the
- horizontal lines mark the base of the lithosphere; (iv) the apparent plate thickness, \tilde{z}_{LAB} , and (v)
- the apparent asthenospheric viscosity $\tilde{\eta}_{AST}$, as a function of frequency, *f*. Subpanels (iv-v) have
- been averaged in depth across the asthenosphere and the shaded regions mark the effect of
- including steady state dislocation creep across a stress range ($0 \le \sigma \le 10$ MPa). The colored
- solid and gray lines coincide with $\sigma = 0$ MPa and $\sigma = 1$ MPa, respectively. Within subpanels
- (iv-v), we have placed the observationally derived estimates (boxes) from Fig. 1 where
- appropriate. The vertical gray shaded region spans the seismic band (within which we
- 891 constrained the thermodynamic conditions) for comparison.



895 Figure 6. Converting time domain observations to the frequency domain

(a) Schematic depiction of applied stress history, $\sigma(t)$, labelling the two relevant timescales,

897 τ_{dur} and τ_{del} . (b) The apparent viscosities for each region of the 1-D Andrade model that $\sigma(t)$ is applied to. The solid lines are reproduced from Fig. 5 (subpanels iii) and circles are the result of

finding the best fitting Andrade parameters for each region. (c-e) For a given τ_{dur} and τ_{del} pair,

the contours mark the frequency for which the estimated $\tilde{\eta}_{est}^*$ (assuming a Maxwell model) is

- 901 equivalent to $\tilde{\eta}_{An}^*$ (i.e., where $\tilde{\eta}_{est}^* = \tilde{\eta}_{An}^*(f_{An})$). The boxes are the associated ranges for each
- 902 observation we include (see Fig. 1).
- 903



904 905 906

900



Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth

Supporting Information for

Reconciling Estimates of Mantle Viscoselasticity via the Complex Viscosity: cases from Antarctica and Western USA

H.C.P. Lau¹, J. Austermann², B.K. Holtzman², C. Book¹, C. Havlin³, Emily Hopper², and A.J. Lloyd²

¹Earth and Planetary Science, University of California, Berkeley. CA. USA.
²Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, NY. USA.
³University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, IL. USA.
Corresponding author: Harriet C.P. Lau (<u>hcplau@berkeley.edu</u>)

Contents of this file

Figures S1: Joint Probability Distributions of Thermodynamic Conditions Figures S2: Additional Dissipation Parameters for Western North America and Antarctic Regions Figure S3: Apparent Quantities using other Constitutive Laws Figure and Text S4: Stress Evolution during GIA Table S1: Timescales Assigned to Observations

Introduction

The following material includes several supporting figures (Figs S1-S4), a supporting calculation and its explanation (Text S4), and a table summarizing the observations and the timescales assigned to them (Table S1).

Figure S1. Joint Posterior Probability Distributions of Thermodynamic Conditions

For each region (a-c), the subpanels (i-iii) display the joint posterior probability distributions (top panels) for fitting v_s within the asthenosphere (shaded bar in Fig. 5(a-c), subpanels (i-ii)) as a function of temperature, T, within that shaded region, grainsize, g, and melt fraction ϕ . The green dot is the maximum posterior probability. Subpanels (iv) display the posterior probability distribution of the thickness of the conductive lid, z_{LID} .



Figure S2. Additional Dissipation Parameters for Western North America and Antarctica Regions

Panels (a-c) are dissipation parameters for the regions Western North America, Western Antarctica, and Antarctic Peninsula, respectively. In all, the top panels show the complex modulus, M^* , where the solid likes are the real part (left vertical axis) and the dashed lines are the imaginary part (right vertical axis). The middle panels show the attenuation, or inverse quality factor, Q^{-1} , and the bottom panels show the quantity $\bar{\eta}^*$ (derived in Lau & Holtzman, 2019). Departures from unity in this quantity highlights the contribution of transient dissipation to the combined constitutive laws. The horizontal axes are shared by all panels, where frequency, f, is indicated by the bottom axes and the timescale is indicated by the top axes.



Figure S3. Apparent Quantities using other Constitutive Laws

For each region, (a)-(c), we have placed predictions of steady state viscosity (top subpanels), apparent lithospheric thickness (middle subpanels), and apparent asthenospheric viscosity (bottom subpanels), from the combined constitutive laws of: MacCarthy et al. (2011) (thick black line, as in Main Text); the Extended Burgers model of Faul and Jackson (2015) (solid colored lines); and the Relaxation Spectrum Fit of Takei (2017) with premelt effects (though melt-free) (dashed colored lines). These are analogous to Fig. 5 (Main Text) though we have not included the effects of steady state dislocation.



Text S4: Stress Evolution during GIA

To demonstrate the stress levels that can be reached in the asthenosphere, we perform a simple viscoelastic loading calculation adopting a Maxwell viscoelastic model. We use the formulation of Mitrovica & Milne (2003) though with simplifications: we assume longitudinal symmetry, no rotation, and that we only calculate solid earth deformation in response to ice growth (i.e., we do not consider the effects of the ocean); and thus only focus on the vicinity of the ice sheet. The input parameters include the elastic and density profile of PREM (Dziewonski & Anderson, 1981). We impose a lithosphere of 200 km thickness and values of η_0 of 5×10^{20} Pa s and 5×10^{21} Pa s across the upper mantle (200 to 670 km depth) and lower mantle (670 to 2900 km depth), respectively. The growth of an ice sheet of 1,000 m over ~ 5,000 years results in stress levels within the lithosphere and asthenosphere that reach ~MPa – sufficient to induce changes in dislocation structure. The results are summarized in Fig. S4.

Figure S4. Stress Evolution during GIA

(a) Maximum ice height as a function of time. (b) Ice profiles as a function of distance from the North Pole (x). Each line represents the profile at a given time indicated by the colorbar. The solid black lines are the associated bedrock elevations. (c) The stress profiles at different depth, z, slices, as a function of x. Each line represents the stress profile at a given time indicated by the color bar.



Table S1: Timescales Assigned to Observations

Tabulation of all the assigned values of τ_{dur} and τ_{del} for each observation and the associated explanation. For each value of τ_{dur} and τ_{del} listed, we consider $\pm 20\%$ of these values also.

Reference	Region	Process	$ au_{ m dur}; \ au_{ m del}$	Comments
Creveling et al. (2017)	W-NA	GIA	20,000 y; 5,000 y	GIA during Marine Isotope Stages 5a and 5c: the timing between these events (and associated glacial-interglacial load changes) is around 20,000 y; sea level is recorded over the course of the interstadial by sea level indicators across W-NA.
Austermann et al. (2020)	W-NA	Lake rebound	4,000 y; 0 y	Loading of lake Bonneville over a duration of ~4,000 y (prior its rapid unloading ~14 ky BP), as recorded by paleoshorelines synchronously with unloading.
Pollitz et al. (2000)	W-NA	Postseismic Relaxation	0.1 y; 3 y	1992 Landers earthquake and the relaxation measured by GPS three years following.
Dickinson- Lovell et al. (2018)	W-NA	Postseismic Relaxation	0.1 y; 0 y	2010 El Mayor-Cucapah earthquake and the relaxation measured by GPS immediately after.
Barletta et al. (2018)	W-ANT	GIA	102 y and 12 y; 0 y	Ice change in the Amundsen Bay region with two rates of ice loss between 1900-2002 and 2002-2014, measured from 2002 onwards.
Wolstencroft et al. (2015)	ANT-P	GIA	15,000 y; 5,000 y	Last glacial maximum, $\sim 21,000$ y BP, to $\sim 6,000$ y BP with deformation measured by GPS today.
Ivins et al. (2011)	ANT-P	GIA	200 y and 80 y; 700 y and 70 y	Deformation from the Little Ice Age (1030 CE – 1300 CE) and modern ice mass chance (1850 – 1930) recorded by GPS over a duration of 1993-2007.
Nield et al. (2015)	ANT-P	GIA	10 y; 7 y	Collapse of Larsen B ice shelf between 1993-2002, recorded by GPS stations from 2009.
Samrat et al. (2020)	ANT-P	GIA	15 y; 7 y	Ice mass loss of Larsen A and B ice shelves measured by GPS extended to up to 2018 from Nield et al. (3) .