# Observations of Modulation of Ion flux in the Coma of Comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko

Raymond Goldstein<sup>1</sup>, James L Burch<sup>1</sup>, Kristie Llera<sup>1</sup>, Katherin Altweg<sup>2</sup>, Martin Rubin<sup>3</sup>, Anders I. Eriksson<sup>4</sup>, and Hans Nilsson<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Southwest Research Institute
<sup>2</sup>Physikalishes Institute of bern
<sup>3</sup>University of Bern
<sup>4</sup>Swedish Institute of Space Physics

November 24, 2022

#### Abstract

In early June 2015 the Ion and Electron Sensor (IES) on board the Rosetta spacecraft (SC) observed troughs in the ion measurements at about 200 km from the comet. The troughs coincided with measurement results of two other instruments on board Rosetta: the peaks of the neutral gas density measured by the Rosetta Orbiter Spectrometer for Ion and Neutral Analysis (ROSINA) and the peaks of the electron density measured by the Langmuir and Mutual Impedence Probe Instruments, (LAP and MIP) and the most negative levels of the Spacecraft potential also measured by LAP. We propose that the dips in the ion measurements are the result of charge exchange reactions between the ions and the neutral population emitted by the comet nucleus. Measurements from the Ion Composition Analyzer (ICA) on board Rosetta show that these ions are mostly water ions.

### Observations of Modulation of Ion flux in the Coma of Comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko

1

2

3

## R. Goldstein<sup>1</sup>, J. L. Burch<sup>1</sup>, K. Llera<sup>1</sup>, K. Altweg<sup>2</sup>, M. Rubin<sup>2</sup>, A. I. Eriksson<sup>3</sup>, and H. Nilsson<sup>4</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup>Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, TX 78111, USA <sup>2</sup>Physikalishes Institut of Bern, Bern, Switzerland <sup>3</sup>Swedish Institute of Space Physics, Uppsala, Sweden <sup>4</sup>Swedish Institute of Space Physics, Kiruna, Sweden 6 7 8 **Key Points:** 9 • In early June 2015 troughs appeared in measurements of ion flux when Rosetta was about 10 200 km from the comet and about 1.5 au from the sun. 11 • These troughs correspond to the peaks in the neutral density produced by the nucleus. 12 • We believe that the troughs are the result of charge exchange reactions between the cometary 13 ions and the neutrals, reducing the flux of water ions at the peaks of neutrals. 14

Corresponding author: Raymond Goldstein, rgoldstein@swri.edu

#### 15 Abstract

In early June 2015 the Ion and Electron Sensor (IES) on board the Rosetta spacecraft (SC) 16 observed troughs in the ion measurements at about 200 km from the comet and about 1.5 au 17 from the sun. These troughs had a periodicity of about 6 hrs, one half the rotation period of 18 the comet. The troughs coincided with measurement results of two other instruments on board 19 Rosetta: the peaks of the neutral gas density measured by the Rosetta Orbiter Spectrometer 20 for Ion and Neutral Analysis (ROSINA) and the peaks of the electron density measured by 21 the Langmuir and Mutual Impedence Probe Instruments, (LAP and MIP) and the most neg-22 ative levels of the Spacecraft potential also measured by LAP. We propose that the dips in the 23 ion measurements are the result of charge exchange reactions between the ions and the neu-24 tral population emitted by the comet nucleus. This interaction converts the  $\leq$  keV ions to neu-25 trals near that energy, and ions of the higher energy to neutrals of higher energy. Measurements 26 from the Ion Composition Analyzer (ICA) on board Rosetta show that these ions are mostly 27

28 water ions.

#### **Plain Language Summary**

The Rosetta spacecraft (SC) carried a number of instruments to measure the properties 30 of the gas surrounding the nucleus. Included in these were plasma instruments to measure the 31 characteristics of the charged particles. The Ion and Electron Sensor (IES) was one of them. 32 Also on board were the Rosetta Orbiter Spectrometer for Ion and Neutral Analysis (ROSINA), 33 the Ion Composition Analyzer (ICA) and the Langmuir and Mutual Impedence Probe instruments (LAP and MIP). This paper discusses some of the results of measurements by these in-35 struments and their relation to each other. It was found that the neutral gas emitted by the comet 36 nucleus and the resulting positively charged ions interact in such a way to produce dips in the 37 ion density as a result of what is called "charge exchange", in which an electric charge is trans-38 ferred from one ion to another or to a neutral particle. 30

#### 40 **1 Introduction**

The Rosetta spacecraft (SC) arrived at comet Churyumov-Gerasimenko (CG) in August 41 2014 and remained in its vicinity until September 2016, when it landed on the comet and ter-42 minated the mission. Perihelion occurred in September 2015 at 1.24 au from the sun. Early 43 measurement of plasma at CG showed primarily low energy  $H_2O^+$ , such as reported by Nilsson 44 et al. (2015), Broiles et al. (2015), and Goldstein et al. (2015). These ions are the result of a 45 combination of solar UV photoionization and electron impact collisions (see Galand et al. (2016)). 46 At this early period in the mission, the energy of the ions produced was near that of the neu-47 trals emitted by the nucleus, that is, the order of 1 eV (Gulkis et al., 2015). This energy was 48 too low to be detected by either ICA (Nilsson et al., 2015) or IES, but because the SC poten-49 tial was normally negative near CG (as much as -20V) these low energy positive ions were 50 attracted to the SC and appeared at the lowest end of the energy scale of these instruments. 51

As the comet increased its activity the coma became more complex (Galand et al., 2016). 52 A feature of a comet coma is the ion-neutral interaction known as charge exchange. Charge 53 exchange reactions at comets have been studied at least as far back as the Giotto mission to 54 comet Halley (Fuselier et al., 1991). Studies of charge exchange between solar wind and CG 55 coma species include Burch et al. (2015), Mandt et al. (2019), and Wedlund et al. (2019). Note 56 that there are no traces of the solar wind over the period of approximately from the middle 57 of March to the middle of December 2015 because the density of the CG coma had grown 58 sufficiently to deflect the solar wind away from the comet, forming a cavity (Behar et al., 2017), 59 (Williamson et al., 2020), (Nilsson et al., 2020). 60



**Figure 1.** Comparison of results of measurements of IES ion flux, ROSINA neutral density, and LAP-MIP electron density and spacecraft potential during the period 6-8 June 2015. The vertical black line indicates the correspondence of the features of all data sets at one trough, as example. The vertical red line indicates a similar correspondence for the peak preceding the black line (approximately 22:12:25 UT on 7 June 2015). Due to the operational modes used, the time resolution in the two lower panels is much lower during June 7 than in the adjacent days. (Data are from AMDA.)

#### 61 2 Analysis

The present paper discusses analysis of data for the period 6-8 June 2015, shown in Fig. 62 1, at about 200 km from CG and 1.5 au from the sun. This period is part of the solar wind 63 exclusion period so the ion interactions to be described do not include solar wind but only coma 64 ingredients, although picked up ions are important. Reading from top to bottom in Fig. 1 are 65 the ion flux measured by the Ion and Electron Sensor, IES (Burch et al., 2007), the neutral den-66 sity from the Rosetta Orbiter Spectrometer for Ion and Neutral Analysis, COmet Pressure Sen-67 sor ROSINA COPS (Balsiger et al., 2007), and the cross-calibrated electron density and SC 68 potential from the Langmuir probe, LAP (Eriksson et al., 2007) and the Mutual Impedence 69 Probe, MIP (Trotignon et al., 2020). (Data for this Figure were provided by the AMDA Sci-70 ence and Analysis online System. See the Acknowledgement Section for more details.) The 71 notable features of Fig. 1 are the periodic troughs in the ion flux measurements, with a pe-72 riod near half the Rosetta rotation rate, the coincidence of those troughs with the peaks of the 73 neutral and electron density, and with the dips in the SC potential. A vertical black line is su-74 perimposed on the plots at approximately 22:12:25 on 7 June to indicate for one case the co-75 incidence of an IES trough and the extrema of the other data. We believe that the troughs in 76 the IES ions are a result of charge exchange between the ions and neutrals, changing the ions 77 to energetic neutrals and the neutrals to low energy ions. A vertical red line is superimposed 78 on the peak preceding the trough previously mentioned. 79



**Figure 2.** Color contour plot of the IES ion flux in the region around the trough indicated by the vertical black line in Fig. 1. The sun is in the direction of azimuth bins 3-11 and CG is in the direction of the boundary between bins 13 and 14. The elevation range of the data is steps 4-8 ( $25^{\circ}$  to  $-5^{\circ}$ ).

Figs. 4 and 5 of Galand et al. (2016) for early October 2014 at 20 km from CG are analogous to Fig. 1 here except the latter data are at 200 km from CG and in the solar wind-free cavity so solar wind does not appear. The solar wind protons were the most evident ions in 2014, in contrast to the water ions appearing in Fig. 1 in 2015. But in both cases ionization of the neutrals from the comet increases the local electron density (also shown in Galand et al. (2016)) which in turn drives the SC potential more negative (Johansson et al., 2020).

Figs. 2 and 3 are color polar plots of the IES ion flux shown at the trough and peak, resp., 86 in the region of the vertical black and red lines, resp., in Fig. 1. The time and IES elevation 87 ranges ( $25^{\circ}$  to  $-5^{\circ}$ ) are given in the titles. The specific elevation range ( was chosen based on 88 the IES elevation measurements for the period of interest (not shown here). The azimuth bin 89 is numbered around the circumference and the  $Log_{10}$  energy (eV) is given along the sides of 90 the Fig. In each of these Figs. the sun is toward azimuth bins 3-11 and CG is in the direc-91 tion from the dividing line between azimuths 13 an 14. In each case there is a high flux of 92 keV ions from the solar direction as well as a more directionally distributed contribution. The 93 highest energy signature may be the result of charged nanograins ((Burch et al., 2015) that are 94 heavier than the ions and would appear with a higher energy signature. But there is also a low 95 flux over a wide energy range from the CG direction. These may be ions produced locally from 96 the neutrals emitted from the nucleus. Since solar wind does not appear in the IES measure-97 ments, as noted, we do not expect an actual solar contribution but the interplanetary magnetic 98 field is present (see e.g., Goetz et al. (2019)) so pickup ions may be part of the IES measure-99 ments. The recent papers by Williamson et al. (2020) and Nilsson et al. (2020) explain how 100 ion pickup is possible when the solar wind is absent, because, in part, of the magnetic pres-101 sure. Another explanation of this is that in the solar wind-free region the pickup ions take over 102 the role of the solar wind ions in terms of carrying the momentum from the solar wind into 103 the solar wind cavity. Thus there are similarities to the case shown by Galand et al. (2016), 104 with protons replaced by pickup ions. 105



**Figure 3.** Color contour plot of the IES ion flux around the peak, indicated by the vertical red line, earlier than the vertical black line in Fig. 1. The directions of the sun and CG and elevation range are as in Fig.2.

Results of ion density measurements on 7 June 2015 by the Ion Composition Analyzer (ICA) (Nilsson et al., 2015) on board Rosetta are shown in Fig. 4. Ions of energy  $\geq 60 \text{ eV}$ (pickup ions) are plotted in the nC curve and those of energy < 60 eV are in the nClow curve. These ions have been identified as primarily H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>.

For the current case we assume that the charge exchange interaction is mostly between neutral water molecules and  $H_2O^+$ , so we have simply

<sup>112</sup> 
$$H_2O_{Lo}+H_2O^+_{Hi}\rightarrow H_2O^+_{Lo}+H_2O_{Hi}.$$

where the subscripts "Hi" and "Lo" refer to high ( $\approx 1 \text{ keV}$ ) or low ( $\leq 1 \text{ eV}$ ) energies, 113 resp. On the left side, the "Low" neutral molecules are the newly emitted molecules from the 114 nucleus, while the "High" ions are existing ions in the coma (the peaks). On the right side, 115 the "Low" ions are the converted neutral water molecules and the "High" are the neutralized 116 energetic ions. In other words, in this symmetric collision the high and low energy molecules 117 exchange places. The cross section  $\sigma$  for this reaction is energy dependent and has been mea-118 sured by Lishawa et al. (1990). Unfortunately the highest collision energy for which data are 119 reported is about 57 eV. However, the cross section data appear to asymptote to about  $8x10^{-16} \text{cm}^2$ 120 at 1 keV and we have used this value in the analysis. 121

Figures 5 and 6 show the data of Figs. 2 and 3, resp., as line-plotted energy spectra. The integrated flux from these figures (see e.g. (Burch et al., 2015)) are  $2.54 \times 10^5$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> and  $3.3 \times 10^4$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, resp. The "missing" water ions at the location of the black line have presumably been converted by the charge exchange reaction given above to neutral water molecules. These are lost to IES. We can model the reaction by

$$W_r = W(1 - W_n D \sigma), (1)$$

where  $W_r$  is the flux of the remainder of the water ions that have not been neutralized (at the trough), W is the original water ion flux (from Fig. 1),  $W_n$  is the flux of neutral wa-



Figure 4. Results of the Ion Composition Analyzer (ICA) measurements of ion density on 7 June 2015. nC are ions  $\geq 60 \text{ eV}$  (pickup ions) and nClow are ions < 60 eV. The ions have been identified as primarily  $H_2O^+$ .



**Figure 5.** IES Ion Energy Spectrum for 19-21 UT, Day 158 2015, for elevation range 4-8 ( $25^{\circ}$  to  $-5^{\circ}$ ). This time interval corresponds to the peak in the flux indicated by the vertical red line in Fig. 1, shortly before the trough indicated by the vertical black line in Fig. 1.

ter molecules (also from Fig. 1), D is the distance of Rosetta to CG (200 km), and  $\sigma$  is the charge exchange cross section. We will compare the results of equation (1) with the direct measurement from Fig. 6. So

$$W_r = 2.54 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} (1 - 2 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3} \times 2 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-1} \times 8 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^{-2}) = 1.93 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}.$$

This quantity should be close to the ion flux at the trough location indicated by the vertical black line in Fig. 1, which we have estimated from Fig. 6 as  $1.6 \times 10^5 \ cm^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ . This is 15 percent less than the value calculated from Eq. (1). We consider this a reasonable agreement. This analysis is comparable to that of Eq. 4 in Burch et al. (2015).

#### **3 Summary and Conclusions**

1

We have identified a series of troughs in the IES measurements during the period 6-8 139 June 2015 of ions in the CG coma, with a periodicity of about 6 hrs while the Rosetta SC was 140 about 200 km from the nucleus. These troughs coincide in time with peaks in the neutral gas 141 measurements by the COPS (Comet Pressure Sensor) component of the ROSINA instrument 142 on board Rosetta and are thus approximately at one half the rotation period of the nucleus. 143 Measurements earlier in the mission by IES (Goldstein et al., 2015) and others (Galand et al., 144 2016) had observed peaks in the ion flux coincident with neutral gas peaks, which was un-145 derstood to be the result of solar UV or electron impact ionization producing ion peaks cor-146 related with the neutral peaks. We have interpreted the surprising correlation of neutral peaks 147 with decreases in ion flux as the result of a charge exchange reaction between the newly gen-148 erated neutral molecules and the existing coma. This reaction converts the low energy neu-149 trals to low energy ions (which are too low in energy to be detected by IES) and the higher 150 energy ions to neutrals of similar energy. 151



**Figure 6.** IES Ion Energy Spectrum for 22-23 UT, Day 158 2015, for elevations 4-8 ( $25^{\circ}$  to  $-5^{\circ}$ ). This time interval corresponds to the trough indicated by the vertical black line in Fig. 1.

Although there have been many reports of the observation of charge exchange between 152 cometary products and the solar wind, we believe that this is the first report of observations 153 of charge exchange reactions between different components of a comet's coma. See also Mandt 154 et al. (2019). However, we do not understand why these reactions occurred only particularly 155 during a few days in June 2015 at 200 km from the nucleus and 1.5 au from the sun. The pres-156 ence of energetic ( $\geq 1$  keV) ions indicates a pickup process by the solar wind outside the cav-157 ity, with the ions frozen into the IMF that then caries them to the coma, even in the absence 158 of solar wind at the comet. (See Williamson et al. (2020) and Nilsson et al. (2020).) 159

#### 160 Acknowledgments

Rosetta is a European Space Agency (ESA) mission with contributions from member states 161 and the U.S. National Space Administration (NASA). The work on IES was supported, in part, 162 by NASA through contract number 1345493 with the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California 163 Institute of Technology. The work on ROSINA was supported by the State of Bern, the Swiss 164 National Science Foundation (SNSF, 200020-182418), and the European Space Agency PRODEX 165 Program. Work on ICA and LAP was supported by the Swedish National Space Board. We 166 thank the teams at Imperial College London and ESA who have been responsible for the op-167 eration of our instruments. The data used for this report are available from the ESA data archive: 168 https://archives.esac.esa.int/psa, and from the Small Bodies section of the NASA Planetary Data 169 System: pds-smallbodies.astro.umd.edu. The AMDA science analysis system was provided by 170 the Centre de Donnés de la Physique des Plasmas (CDPP), supported by CNRS, CNES, Ob-171 servatoire de Paris and Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France. 172

#### 173 **References**

174	Balsiger, H., Altwegg, K., Bochsler, R. T., P., Rubin, M., Scherer, S., Wurz, P., Wollnik,
175	H. (2007). Rosina: Rosetta Orbiter Spectrometer for Ion and Neutral Analysis. SSR,
176	128(2), 745-801. doi: 10.1007/s11214-006-8335-3
177	Behar, E., Nilsson, H., Alho, M., Goetz, C., & Tsuritani, B. (2017). The birth and growth
178	of a solar wind cavity around a comet - Rosetta observations. MNRAS, 469(7), S396-
179	S403. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stx1871
180	Broiles, T. W., Burch, J. L., Clark, G., Koenders, C., Behar, E., Goldstein, R., Samara,
181	M. (2015). Rosetta observations of solar wind interaction with the comet churvumov-
182	gerasimenko. <i>aap.</i> 383(11). A21. doi: https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201526046
183	Burch, J. L., Cravens, T. E., Llera, K., Goldstein, R., Mokashi, P., Tzou, CY., & Broiles.
184	T. (2015). Charge exchange in cometary coma: Discovery of $H^-$ ions in the solar
185	wind close to comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko. <i>GRL</i> 42(13), 5125-5131. doi:
186	10.1002/2015GL064504
187	Burch I L. Goldstein R. Cravens T E. Gibson W C. Lundin R N. Pollock
100	C I Young D T (2007) RPC-IES: The Ion and Electron Sensor of the
100	Rosetta Plasma Consortium Space Science Reviews 128(2) 697-712 doi:
109	https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-006-0002-4
190	Eriksson A. I. Boström R. Gill R. Åhlán I. Jansson S. E. Blomberg I. G. (2007)
191	PPC I AP: The Posetta Langmuir Probe Instrument SSP 128(2) 720 744 doi: 10
192	1007/s11214 006 0002 2
193	Eucoliar S A Shallov E C Coldstain B E Coldstain D Neucobauar M In W H
194	Reme H (1001) Observations of solar wind ion charge exchange in the comet Halley
195	come Astronbusical Journal 370(10) 734 740 doi: 10.1086/170540
196	Colord M. Háritiar K. I. Odalstad F. Hanri P. Broilas T. W. Allan A. I. Wurz P.
197	(2016) Jonographeric plasma of comet 67D probed by Desette at 2 AU from the sup
198	(2010). Tomospheric plasma of comet $0/F$ probed by Rosenta at 5 AO from the sum. $MNPAS_{10}(2011)$ , S221, S251, doi: 10.1002/mpros/stu/2801
199	MINRAS, 402(11), 5551-5551. dol: 10.1095/IIIIIas/stw2691
200	mainer K. H. (2010) University high magnetic folds in the same of 67n/Churryman
201	Intelet, K. H. (2019). Unusually high magnetic fields in the conta of $0/p/Churyumov-Consciments during its high activity phase. AAB = 620(10), A28$
202	Gerasimenko during its nign-activity phase. AAP, 050(10), A58.
203	Goldstein, K., Burch, J. L., Mokasni, P., Brolles, I., Mandi, K., Hanley, J., webster, J. M.
204	(2015). The Rosetta Ion and Electron Sensor (IES) measurement of the development
205	of pickup ions from comet $0/P/Cnuryumov-Gerasimenko. GeokL, 42(5), 3093-3099.$
206	
207	Gulkis, S., Allen, M., von Allmen, P., Beaudin, G., Biver, N., Bockelee-Morvan, D.,
208	Spilker, 1. (2015). Subsurface properties and early activity of comet 6/P/Churyumov-
209	Gerasimenko. Science, $34/(1)$ , aaa/09. doi: 10.1126/science.aaa0/09
210	Johansson, F. L., Eriksson, A. I., Gilet, N., Henri, P., Wattieaux, G., S, M. G. G. T., M. G. G.
211	T. Taylor, Cipriani, F. (2020). A charging model for the rosetta spacecraft. AA,
212	642(10). doi: https://10.1051/0004-6361/202038592
213	Lishawa, C., Dressler, R. A., Gardner, J. A., Salter, R. H., & Murad, E. (1990). Cross
214	sections and product kinetic analysis of $H_2O^+$ - $H_2O$ collisions at suprathermal ener-
215	gies. Journal of Chemical Physics, 93(5), 3196-3206. doi: https://doi.org/10.1063/
216	1.458852
217	Mandt, K. E., Eriksson, A., Beth, A., Galand, M., & Vigren, E. (2019). Influence of colli-
218	sions on ion dynamics in the inner comae of four comets. AAP, 630(10), A48. doi: 10
219	.1051/0004-6361/201834828
220	Nilsson, H., Stenberg Wieser, G., Behar, E., Wedlund, CS., Gunell, H., Yamouchi, M.,
221	Rubin, M. (2015). Birth of a Comet Magnetosohere: a Spring of Water Ions. Science,
222	347(1), aaa0571. doi: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa0571
223	Nilsson, H., Williamson, H., Bergman, S., Wieser, G. S., Wieser, M., Behar, E., Goetz, C.
224	(2020). Average cometary ion flow pattern in the vicinity of comet 67P from moment
225	data. MNRAS, 498(10), 5263-5272. doi: 10.1093/mnras/staa2613
226	Trotignon, J. G., Michau, J. L., Lagoutte, D., Chabassière, M., Chalumeau, G., Colin, F.,
227	Zamora, P. (2020). RPC-MIP: the Mutual Impedance Probe of the Rosetta Plasma

Consolitum. DSN, 120(2). doi: https://10.1007/511211.000.0005.1		Consortium.	SSR,	128(2).	doi:	https://10	0.1007/s	s11214-	006-9005-1
---	--	-------------	------	---------	------	------------	----------	---------	------------

228

- Wedlund, C. S., Behar, E., Nilsson, H., Alho, M., Kallio, E., Gunell, H., ... Hoekstra, R.
- (2019). Solar wind charge exchange in cometary atmospheres. iii. Results from the
   Rosetta mission to comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko. *aap*, 630(10), A37. doi:
   10.1051/0004-6361/201834881
- Williamson, H. N., Stenberg Wieser, A. I., Eriksson, A. I., Richter, I., & Goetz, C. (2020).
   Momentum and Pressure Balance of a Comet Ionosphere. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(15), 2-22. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL088666