Value of flow forecast for power system analytics

Nathalie Voisin¹, Jordan Kern², Thomas Mosier³, Konstantinos Oikonomou⁴, Sean Turner⁵, Andrew Wood⁶, and Hongxiang Yan⁷

$^{1}PNNL$

²University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
³Idaho National Laboratory
⁴Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
⁵Singapore University of Technology and Design
⁶National Center for Atmospheric Research
⁷Portland State University

November 25, 2022

Abstract

Most hydropower utilities rely on flow forecasts to manage the water resources of their reservoir systems and to help marketers and schedulers make efficient use of power generating resources. Flow forecast providers and dam operators typically assess the value of flow forecasts by assessing the skill of the forecasts in a verification exercise. Although there are many flow forecasting approaches available—from physics-based approaches associated with statistical pre and post processors and data assimilation, to emerging machine-learning based approaches—there is little consensus on how to choose the best forecast product. Nor are there established methods for translating forecast skill—a summary statistic amalgamating multiple types of errors —to forecast value (benefits or avoided cost) as perceived by a marketer or scheduler. In this work we develop such an approach by combining a water resources management model with a power grid model. Flow forecasts are developed at 85 locations for a varying range of skills, from perfect, to persistent and in-between. Using reservoir and power grid simulations over the Western U.S., we propagate flow forecasts through the power grid model, mapping flow forecast skill to regional hydropower revenues, production costs and carbon emissions. We develop a deeper understanding of the influence of regional and seasonal differences in markets and hydrologic dynamics on forecast value. We discuss future research directions to integrate hydrologic forecasts into decision-making at the utility and wider system scale.



Value of Flow Forecast for Power System Analytics

2020 AGU General Meeting December 15, 2020

N. Voisin*, J. Kern, T. Mosier, K. Oikonomou, S. Turner, A. Wood, H. Yan





AGU FALL MEETING



Flow forecast value for water management has been demonstrated





Advances in Water Resources Volume 103, May 2017, Pages 51-63



Climate, stream flow prediction and water management in northeast Brazil: societal trends and forecast value Kenneth Broad ^{Co}, Alexander Pfaff, Renzo Taddei, A<u>Sankarasubramanian</u>, Up<u>manu Lall</u> & <u>Franciso de Assis</u> 33 Citations / 5 Altmetric / Metrics

The valuation of flow forecasts is however limited to enhanced river services within a watershed



Rockies

Desert Southwest

Pacific

31 - 300 301 - 3,000 3,001 - 30,000

(AnnGen MWH) Transmission > 500kV

Northern

Southern California

California

Great Basir

Mexico

Northwest

Hydropower rarely serves local load. Hydropower provides cost effective flexible operations (reserve, regulation, ramping, etc). What is the value of flow forecast for grid services?

Valuation mostly based on enhanced river

> • Hydropower generation & revenues • Agriculture yield & revenues





Science questions

- What is the value of flow forecast for the power grid?
- What have we learned about how flow forecasts influence power systems models to:
 - ✓ Guide the development of flow forecast products specific to grid services
 - Advance science in hydropower and grid operations under forecast uncertainty?





A Western U.S. Case Study & the CAPOW model

Contribution of hydropower to grid services might be regional



Installed generating capacity by regional and by technology



Corridor





Su, Y., Kern, J. D., Denaro, S., Hill, J., Reed, P., Sun, Y., ... Characklis, G. W. (2020). An open source model for guantifying risks in bulk electric power systems from spatially and temporally correlated hydrometeorological processes. Environmental Modelling & Software, 126, 104667. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2020.104667



A zonal representation that supports 10,000s of runs



Experimental approach



- ullet

	Fixed Schedule (follow daily rules)	HTC Schedule (flexible & foresight of grid needs)
ice		

Metrics: Regional System Cost **Regional Electricity Prices**



- Change in electricity prices
 - ~ changes in revenues
 - map with traditional flow forecast valuation approach for hydropower
 - capture any complex interactions between forecast skill and price variations

- Changes in system cost
 - avoided cost
 - value to utilities with mixed generation portfolio and system operators









In California, the value of flow forecast propagates mostly into changes in revenue.



Large Regional Impact: direct relationship between changes in generation and revenues.

Low system-wide impact: HTC enhances value of forecast by further decreasing the system cost (0.3%)



Fixed schedule, Perfect wrt Persistence HTC scheduling, Perfect wrt Persistence





In the Northwest, the value of flow forecast propagates mostly into changes in avoided cost. And the type of unit commitment for hydropower plays a large role in this valuation process!



Conflicting regional impact: prices are impacted by the forecast. An HTC scheduling enhances price fluctuations and potentially decrease revenues.

High system-wide impact:

Combined with HTC, forecast reduce price volatility, system cost and CO2 emission significantly.



Fixed schedule. Perfect wrt Persistence HTC scheduling, Perfect wrt Persistence





Conclusions

- The valuation of flow forecast for power systems requires a production cost model in some regions
 - Over California, the value of flow forecasts remains mostly regional measured in generation and revenues.
 - Over the Pacific Northwest, flow forecasts influence both regional and system-wide dynamics (revenues, prices, system-cost).
- For regions with system-wide impact, dual / coordinated optimization schemes can balance regional and system-wide benefits.
- The cost of errors remains a challenge to assess with existing tools.

Thank you Nathalie.Voisin@pnnl.gov