The Quadratic Magnetic Gradient and Complete Geometry of Magnetic Field Lines Deduced from Multiple Spacecraft Measurements

Chao Shen¹, Chi Zhang², Zhaojin Rong², Zuyin Pu³, Malcolm W Dunlop⁴, Christopher Philippe Escoubet⁵, C. T. Russell⁶, Gang Zeng⁷, Nian Ren⁸, James L Burch⁹, and Yufei Zhou⁸

¹Harbin Institute of Technology
²Key Laboratory of Earth and Planetary Physics, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences
³Peking University
⁴Beihang University
⁵ESA / ESTEC
⁶University of California
⁷Jingchu University of Technology
⁸School of Science
⁹Southwest Research Institute

November 23, 2022

Abstract

Topological configurations of the magnetic field play key roles in the evolution of space plasmas. This paper presents a novel algorithm that can estimate the quadratic magnetic gradient as well as the complete geometrical features of magnetic field lines, based on magnetic field and current density measurements by a multiple spacecraft constellation at 4 or more points. The explicit estimators for the linear and quadratic gradients, the apparent velocity of the magnetic structure and the curvature and torsion of the magnetic field lines can be obtained with well predicted accuracies. The feasibility and accuracy of the method have been verified with thorough tests. The algorithm has been successfully applied to exhibit the geometrical structure of a flux rope. This algorithm has wide applications for uncovering a variety of magnetic configurations in space plasmas.

1	The Quadratic Magnetic Gradient and Complete Geometry of
2	Magnetic Field Lines Deduced from Multiple Spacecraft
3	Measurements
4	
5	Chao Shen ¹ , Chi Zhang ^{2,3} , Zhaojin Rong ^{2,3} , Zuyin Pu ⁴ , M. Dunlop ^{5, 6} , C. Philippe
6	Escoubet ⁷ , C. T. Russell ⁸ , Gang Zeng ⁹ , Nian Ren ¹ , J. L. Burch ¹⁰ , Yufei Zhou ¹
7	¹ School of Science, Harbin Institute of Technology, Shenzhen, 518055, China
8	² Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029,
9	China
10	³ College of Earth Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
11	⁴ School of Earth and Space Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China
12	⁵ School of Space and Environment, Beihang University, Beijing, China
13	⁶ Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, DIDCOT, Oxfordshire OX11 0QX, United
14	Kingdom
15	⁷ ESA/ESTEC (SCI-SC), Postbus 299, Keplerlaan, 1, 2200 AG Noordwijk, The
16	Netherlands
17	⁸ University of California, Los Angeles, 603 Charles Young Drive, Los Angeles, CA
18	90095-1567, USA
19	⁹ School of Mathematics and Physics, Jingchu University of Technology, Jingmen,
20	China
21	¹⁰ Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, TX, USA
22	

23 Corresponding author: Chao Shen (shenchao@hit.edu.cn)

25	Key Points:
26	An explicit algorithm for the quadratic magnetic gradient based on multi-point
27	measurements with iterations is presented for the first time
28	
29	The algorithm is applicable for both steady and unsteady structures, and the obtained
30	linear magnetic gradient has second order accuracy
31	
32	The complete geometry of the magnetic field lines has been obtained, for the first
33	time, based on multi-point measurements
34	
35	
36	Key Words:
37	Multiple Spacecraft Measurements, Iteration, Quadratic Magnetic Gradient,
38	Geometry of Magnetic Field Lines, Curvature, Torsion, Current Density, Magnetic
39	Flux Ropes
40	
41	
42	
43	

45 Abstract

47	Topological configurations of the magnetic field play key roles in the evolution of
48	space plasmas. This paper presents a novel algorithm that can estimate the quadratic
49	magnetic gradient as well as the complete geometrical features of magnetic field lines,
50	based on magnetic field and current density measurements by a multiple spacecraft
51	constellation at 4 or more points. The explicit estimators for the linear and quadratic
52	gradients, the apparent velocity of the magnetic structure and the curvature and
53	torsion of the magnetic field lines can be obtained with well predicted accuracies. The
54	feasibility and accuracy of the method have been verified with thorough tests. The
55	algorithm has been successfully applied to exhibit the geometrical structure of a flux
56	rope. This algorithm has wide applications for uncovering a variety of magnetic
57	configurations in space plasmas.
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	

67

68 The magnetic field plays a key role in the dynamical evolution of space plasmas; it traps and stores plasma particles, and controls the transfer, conversion and release of 69 70 the energies. The Magnetic field can form various structures, where the magnetic field lines can be bending and twisting. At the present time full imaging of the magnetic 71 field has not been achieved. Therefore, it is very important to estimate the magnetic 72 gradients at every order, as well as the geometrical features (curvature and torsion) of 73 74 the magnetic field lines (MFLs), from the in situ observations. Although we have successfully deduced the first order magnetic gradient and the curvature from multiple 75 76 S/C magnetic measurements, it is still not solved how to estimate the high order 77 magnetic gradients and the torsion of MFLs. The research reported here has, for the put forward a novel explicit algorithm, which can acquire the quadratic 78 first time, magnetic gradient and the torsion of MFLs with the 4-point magnetic field and current 79 80 density measurements as the input. This algorithm has stable accuracies and can be applied effectively to analyze the observations of MMS. This method can find a 81 82 plenty of applications in space exploration and research. 83

- 84
- 85
- 86

89 **1. Introduction**

90

A magnetic field can trap plasma populations; control the transfer, conversion 91 92 and release of energy in planetary magnetospheres; play a key role in the spatial distribution of the plasmas and development of instabilities, as well as controlling the 93 evolution of substorms and storms. The measurement of the magnetic field in space 94 has been carried out by a limited number of sometime collocated spacecraft placed in 95 96 various locations. It is therefore important and possible to establish the continuous distribution of the magnetic field, based on multi-point magnetic observations. With 97 two point measurements the gradient of the magnetic field along the spacecraft (S/C)98 99 separation line can be obtained; With three point magnetic measurements, the magnetic gradient within the S/C constellation plane can be yielded; while with four 100 or more point magnetic measurements, the three dimensional linear magnetic gradient 101 102 can be estimated (McComas et al., 1986; Harvey, 1998; Chanteur, 1998; Vogt et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2012a, b; Dunlop et al., 2015; Dunlop et al., 2016; Dunlop et al., 103 2018; Dunlop et al., 2020). In order to get the quadratic magnetic gradient, 10 S/C 104 magnetic measurements are needed (Chanteur, 1998). 105

In the past, magnetic measurements have been performed with two S/C (ISEE-1/2, DSP, RBSP, ARTEMIS, etc.) [Ogilvie et al., 1977; Liu et al., 2005; Shen et al., 2005; Angelopoulos, 2008], three S/C constellations (THEMIS, Swarm) [Angelopoulos, 2008; Friis-Christensen et al., 2006], and four S/C constellations (Cluster and MMS) [Escoubet et al., 2001; Balogh et al., 2001; Burch et al., 2016;
Russell et al., 2016]. However, presently 10 S/C magnetic field observations in space
are on the drawing boards. Deducing the various orders of magnetic gradients fully
with a limited number of S/C observations remains an important question.

Attempts to partially solve this problem, have used physical constraints to assist 114 the complete determination of the magnetic gradients [Vogt et al., 2009]. The 115 symmetries in plasma structures and the electromagnetic field laws can also be useful. 116 It has been found by Shen et al., [2012a] that, for a force-free magnetic structure in 117 118 which the current is field-aligned, the 3 dimensional (3-D) magnetic gradient can be completely obtained with 3 spacecraft magnetic measurements. In their derivation, 119 Ampere's law $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{j}$ and the solenoidal condition of the magnetic field 120 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ are used to reduce the equations. Furthermore, if the force-free magnetic 121 structure is steady and moving with a known relative velocity, only two S/C magnetic 122 observations are needed to gain the complete 9 components of the linear magnetic 123 124 gradient [Shen et al., 2012b]. Liu et al. (2019) have suggested a method to get the nonlinear distribution of the magnetic field in a stable plasma structure by fitting the 125 second-order Taylor expansion based on 4 S/C magnetic measurements and one S/C 126 current density observations. Torbert et al. (2020) have successfully obtained the 3 D 127 distribution of the magnetic field by using the 4 point magnetic and particle/current 128 density measurements of MMS. In their exploration, they have applied a fitting 129 method to the magnetic field to the third order in magnetic gradient, named the 130

132

"25-parameter fit". However, there still exists no explicit solution to the determination of the quadratic magnetic gradient based on multiple spacecraft measurements.

With multiple S/C magnetic observations, geometrical features of the magnetic 133 field lines can be obtained [Shen et al., 2003, 2008a, b, 2011, 2014; Rong et al., 2011; 134 Lavraud et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2018]. The geometry of the magnetic field lines 135 (MFLs) so obtained includes the tangential direction (just the direction of the 136 magnetic vector), principal direction (along the curvature vector), binormal vector 137 (the normal of the osculation plane of one MFL), curvature and torsion. However, 138 139 the torsion of the MFLs has not been obtained in these previous methods. The reason for this is that the torsion of the MFLs depends on the quadratic magnetic gradient, 140 which needs 10 point S/C magnetic measurements [Chanteur, 1998] to be deduced. 141 142 Therefore, it is necessary to explore the calculation of the torsion of MFLs based on observations of a limited number of S/C, in order to learn this more complete of 143 MFLs in space. 144

This problem is addressed herein, where an explicit algorithm has been derived to estimate the quadratic magnetic gradient as well as the complete geometrical parameters of the MFLs based on measurements with a limited number of spacecraft. This approach has a wide range of applications for analyzing the magnetic structure in space plasmas.

150

151 **2.** The estimators for the linear and quadratic gradients of magnetic field

It is very important to obtain the quadratic gradient of the magnetic field. With it, we can grasp more accurately the structure of the magnetic field and, uncover the complete geometrical structure of the MFLs, including the Frenet coordinates and curvature, as well as the torsion. In this section, we obtain the explicit estimator of the quadratic magnetic gradient based on magnetic field and current density measurements from a multi-S/C constellation.

159 We present the derivations of this algorithm as follows.

160

161 The configuration of the four-spacecraft constellation (Cluster or MMS) is162 illustrated in Figure 1.



164

Figure 1. The exploration on the magnetic field in space in the S/C constellation frame of reference. (x_1, x_2, x_3) are the Cartesian coordinates in the S/C constellation reference frame. The S/C constellation is composed of four spacecraft (the number of spacecraft can be more 4), whose barycenter is at the point C. The apparent motional velocity of the magnetic field structure relative to the S/C constellation reference is

V. Conversely, the velocity of the S/C constellation relative to the proper reference of
the magnetic field structure is V'=-V.

172

In the S/C constellation frame of reference, the simultaneous position vectors of the four spacecraft are $\mathbf{r}_{\alpha}(\alpha=1,2,3,4)$ and the position vector of the barycenter of the four S/C is

176
$$\mathbf{r}_{c} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} \mathbf{r}_{\alpha} .$$
 (1)

177 In this study, the Greek subscripts or superscripts apply to spacecraft, and 178 α , β , γ , ...=1, 2, 3, 4; while the Latin subscript c indicates the barycenter.

The apparent motional velocity of the magnetic field structure relative to the S/C 179 constellation reference frame is denoted as V, which may vary from point to point 180 [Hamrin, et al.(2008)]. The velocity of the S/C constellation relative to the proper 181 reference frame of the magnetic field structure is V' = -V. We establish the 182 Cartesian coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) in the S/C constellation reference, and choose the 183 x_3 axis along the direction of $\mathbf{V'} = -\mathbf{V}$ with its basis $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_3 = -\mathbf{V}/\mathbf{V}$. The 184 configuration of the S/C constellation is characterized by the volume tensor, which is 185 defined [Harvey, 1998; Shen et al., 2003] as 186

187
$$\mathbf{R}_{kj} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} (\mathbf{r}_{\alpha k} - \mathbf{r}_{ck}) (\mathbf{r}_{\alpha j} - \mathbf{r}_{cj}).$$
(2)

188 We have applied some Latin subscripts or superscripts (other than c) to denote

189 Cartesian coordinates with i, j, k, e, m, n=1, 2, 3 and p, q, s, r=1, 2.

191 (i) The linear gradients of the magnetic field and current density at the

192 barycenter

196

As the MMS S/C cross a magnetic structure, the four S/C measure the magnetic field with high accuracy and time resolution [Russell et al. 2014; Burch et al. 2015]. The magnetic field observed by the α th S/C at position \mathbf{r}_{α} is

$$\mathbf{B}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}), \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$
(3)

197 The MMS S/C can measure the distributions of ions and electrons with an 198 efficient accuracy to yield the local current density [Torbert et al., 2015, 2020] as

199
$$\mathbf{j}_{\alpha}(t) = \mathbf{j}(t, \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}), \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$
 (4)

To obtain the magnetic field and its first order gradient at the barycenter of the 200 MMS constellation, we first neglect the second order magnetic gradient under the 201 202 linear approximation. With four S/C, simultaneous magnetic observations, the magnetic field and its linear gradient at the barycenter of the S/C constellation can be 203 obtained with the previous methods established by Harvey (1998) and Chanteur 204 205 (1998). In order to suppress the fluctuating components in the magnetic field and obtain the magnetic gradient at higher accuracy, we make use of the time series of the 206 magnetic observations by the four S/C to get the magnetic gradient with the method 207 first put forward by De Keyser, et al. (2007). In their approach, the time series data of 208 209 the four S/C do not need to be synchronized. Appendix A gives the explicit estimator of the linear gradient of magnetic field in space and time from this approach. 210

Based on equations (A14) and (A15) in Appendix A, the magnetic field and its first order derivatives at the barycenter of the MMS constellation under the linear 212 213 approximation are as follows.

214
$$B_{i}(t_{c}, \mathbf{r}_{c}) = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{a=1}^{4n} B_{i}(t_{a}, \mathbf{r}_{a}), \qquad (5)$$

215
$$\nabla_{\nu} B_{i}(\mathbf{t}_{c},\mathbf{r}_{c}) = \mathbf{R}_{\nu\mu}^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{a=1}^{4n} \left(x_{(a)}^{\mu} - x_{0}^{\mu} \right) B_{i}(\mathbf{t}_{a},\mathbf{r}_{a}).$$
(6)

And the above formulas in the vector format are 216

217
$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t}_{c},\mathbf{r}_{c}) = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{a=1}^{4n} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t}_{a},\mathbf{r}_{a}), \qquad (7)$$

218
$$\nabla_{\nu} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t}_{c},\mathbf{r}_{c}) = \mathbf{R}_{\nu\mu}^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{a=1}^{4n} \left(x_{(a)}^{\mu} - x_{0}^{\mu} \right) \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t}_{a},\mathbf{r}_{a}).$$
(8)

In the above formulas (5)-(8), the general volume tensor $R^{\mu\nu}$ in spacetime is 219 defined by (A9). These equations will yield the time series of magnetic field $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t}_{c},\mathbf{r}_{c})$, 220 its time derivative $\partial_t \mathbf{B}(t_c, \mathbf{r}_c)$ and first order gradient $\nabla \mathbf{B}(t_c, \mathbf{r}_c)$ at the barycenter 221 of the S/C constellation. 222

223 In the above formulas (5)-(8), the accuracy is found to first order due to omission of the second order gradients. We will correct the magnetic field and its first order 224 225 derivatives at the barycenter with the second order derivatives of the magnetic field according to Appendix A and will further obtain the corrected quadratic magnetic 226 gradient by iteration (see (vii) later). The corrected magnetic field and its first order 227 gradient at the barycenter will then have second order accuracy. 228

In this investigation, we have neglected the magnetic gradients with orders 229 higher than two, so that the current density can be regarded as linearly varying. 230

According to the Equations (A14) and (A15) in Appendix A, the current density at

the barycenter is

233
$$\mathbf{j}_{c} = \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{t}_{c}, \mathbf{r}_{c}) = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{a=1}^{4n} \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{t}_{a}, \mathbf{r}_{a}), \qquad (9)$$

and the linear gradient of the current density at the barycenter is

235
$$\nabla_{\nu} \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{t}_{c}, \mathbf{r}_{c}) = \mathbf{R}_{\nu\mu}^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{a=1}^{4n} \left(x_{(a)}^{\mu} - x_{0}^{\mu} \right) \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{t}_{a}, \mathbf{r}_{a}), \qquad (10)$$

236 of which the component form is

237
$$\nabla_{\nu} j_{k}(\mathbf{t}_{c}, \mathbf{r}_{c}) = \mathbf{R}_{\nu\mu}^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{a=1}^{4n} \left(x_{(a)}^{\mu} - x_{0}^{\mu} \right) j_{k}\left(\mathbf{t}_{a}, \mathbf{r}_{a} \right).$$
(10')

Generally, the electron and ion measurements have different time resolutions. So that the electron and ion current densities and their linear gradients at the barycenter can be first calculated separately with Equations (9) and (10), and finally added to obtain the total current density and its linear gradient at the barycenter.

242

243 (ii) The second order time derivative of the magnetic field and the first order

244 time derivative of the magnetic gradient

With the time series of magnetic field $\mathbf{B}(t_c, \mathbf{r}_c)$ and its first order time derivative

246 $\partial_t \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t}_c, \mathbf{r}_c)$ at the barycenter obtained in (i), it is easy to get the second order time

247 derivative of magnetic field
$$\partial_t \partial_t \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t}_c, \mathbf{r}_c)$$
 at the barycenter, where $\partial_t \equiv \partial/\partial t$

The gradient of the time derivative of the magnetic field is equivalent to the time derivative of the magnetic gradient, i.e.,

250
$$\nabla_{j}\partial_{t}B_{i}(t,\mathbf{r}) = \partial_{t}\left[\nabla_{j}B_{i}(t,\mathbf{r})\right].$$
(11)

251 Therefore, at the central point (t_c, \mathbf{r}_c) ,

252
$$\nabla_{j}\partial_{t}B_{i}(t_{c},\mathbf{r}_{c}) = \partial_{t}\nabla_{j}B_{i}(t_{c},\mathbf{r}_{c}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{c}} \Big[\nabla_{j}B_{i}(t_{c},\mathbf{r}_{c})\Big].$$
(12)

254 (iii) The transformations between the temporal and spatial gradients of the

255 magnetic field in different reference frames

This approach will make use of the proper reference frame of the magnetic 256 structure so as to determine the second order gradient in the direction of the apparent 257 motion of the magnetic structure, i.e., the longitudinal quadratic gradient of the 258 magnetic field. To do this, we need to find the apparent velocity V of the magnetic 259 structure relative to the spacecraft constellation. For space plasmas, this relative 260 velocity is much less than the speed of the light in vacuum, i.e., V << c. Shi et al. 261 (2006) have first obtained the velocity of the magnetic structure relative to the 262 spacecraft with the temporal and spatial variation rates of the magnetic field under 263 the assumption of stationarity. Hamrin et al. (2008) have obtained the apparent 264 velocity of the magnetic structure using a proper reference frame. Here we give a 265 concise discussion on the transformations between the temporal and spatial gradients 266 of the magnetic field in different reference frames. 267

The time and space coordinates (t, \mathbf{r}) in the S/C constellation reference frame and the corresponding time and space coordinates (t', \mathbf{r}') in the proper reference frame of the magnetic structure obey the Galilean transformations, i.e., t'=t, $\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{V}t$ (see also Figure 1). (The Eulerian description is applied in each reference frame.) The magnetic fields observed in the S/C constellation frame and the proper frame of the magnetic structure are $\mathbf{B}(t,\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathbf{B}'(t',\mathbf{r}')$, respectively. As $V \ll c$, 274 $\mathbf{B}(t,\mathbf{r})=\mathbf{B}'(t',\mathbf{r}')$. It is obvious that the magnetic gradient in these two reference 275 frames are also identical, i.e.,

276
$$\nabla \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}) = \nabla' \mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{t}',\mathbf{r}'). \tag{13}$$

277 The relationship between the time derivative of the magnetic field in the S/C

constellation, $\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}(t,\mathbf{r})}{\partial t}$, and time derivative of the magnetic field in the proper

279 reference frame of the magnetic structure,
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{t}', \mathbf{r}')}{\partial \mathbf{t}'}$$
, is

280
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r})}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{t}',\mathbf{r}')}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}'}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{t}',\mathbf{r}')}{\partial t'} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}'}{\partial t} \cdot \nabla' \mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{t}',\mathbf{r}'),$$

281 or

282
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r})}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{t}',\mathbf{r}')}{\partial t'} \cdot \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}).$$
(14)

283 Which is the same formula as given by Song and Russell (1999) and Shi et al.

284 (2006).

In the proper reference frame of the magnetic structure, $\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}'(t', \mathbf{r}')}{\partial t'} = 0$, thus

286
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{t}} = -\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r})\cdot\nabla\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}).$$
(15)

287 At the barycenter of the S/C constellation,

288
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}_{c})}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}_{c})\cdot\nabla\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}_{c}) \quad . \tag{16}$$

289 The component form of the above formula is

290
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_{j}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}_{c})}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{V}_{i}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}_{c})\cdot\nabla_{i}\mathbf{B}_{j}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}_{c}) \quad . \tag{16'}$$

The above equation has a unique solution of the apparent velocity and a proper reference frame can be found only if $|\nabla \mathbf{B}(t, \mathbf{r})| \neq 0$. Thus the apparent velocity of the magnetic structure relative to the S/C constellation is (Shi et al., 2006; Hamrin et al., 2008)

 $\mathbf{V}_{i}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}_{c}) = -\mathbf{V}_{i}'(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}_{c}) = -\partial_{t}\mathbf{B}_{j}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}_{c})\cdot\left(\nabla\mathbf{B}\right)_{ji}^{-1}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}_{c}).$ (17)

It is noted that the apparent velocity of the magnetic structure can vary with time. The formula (17) is applicable for magnetic structures with $V \ll c$, whether steady or unsteady. V/V is a characteristic, directional vector, so that we can define -V/V as the directional vector of the x_3 axis in the S/C constellation reference

300 frame, i.e.,
$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{3} = -\mathbf{V}/\mathbf{V}$$
.

We can further investigate the transformation between the time derivatives of the magnetic gradients in the two different reference frames. Similarly to the linear magnetic gradients in the formula (13), the quadratic magnetic gradients in the S/C constellation frame and the proper frame of the magnetic structure are identical, i.e.,

305
$$\nabla \nabla \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{r}) = \nabla' \nabla' \mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{t}', \mathbf{r}').$$
(18)

The relationship between the time derivative of the magnetic gradient in the S/C constellation frame, $\partial_t \nabla \mathbf{B}(t, \mathbf{r})$, and the time derivative of the magnetic gradient in the proper frame of the magnetic structure, $\partial_{t'} \nabla' \mathbf{B}'(t', \mathbf{r}')$, satisfies

309
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \mathbf{B}(t, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla' \mathbf{B}'(t', \mathbf{r}')$$

310
$$= \frac{\partial t'}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \nabla' \mathbf{B}' (t', \mathbf{r}') + \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}'}{\partial t} \cdot \nabla' \nabla' \mathbf{B}' (t', \mathbf{r}')$$

311
$$= \nabla' \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \mathbf{B}'(t', \mathbf{r}') - \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla' \nabla' \mathbf{B}'(t', \mathbf{r}').$$
(19)

312 Considering $\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{t}', \mathbf{r}')}{\partial \mathbf{t}'} = 0$ in the proper reference frame and the equation (18), this

313 reduces to

14
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \mathbf{B}(t, \mathbf{r}) = -\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \nabla \mathbf{B}(t, \mathbf{r}), \qquad (20)$$



which is the formula relating the time derivative of the linear magnetic gradient to the quadratic magnetic gradient in the S/C constellation reference frame. With this general formula the gradient of the linear magnetic gradient in the direction of apparent velocity is readily obtained as shown below in (**iv**).

319

320 (iv) The longitudinal gradient of $\nabla \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}_{c})$

Based on Equation (20), the gradient of the linear magnetic gradient along the direction at the barycenter \mathbf{r}_c satisfies

323
$$\mathbf{V}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}\nabla \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}_{c}) = \partial_{t}\nabla \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}_{c}), \qquad (21)$$

324 or

325
$$\partial_{3}\partial_{k}B_{m}(t,\mathbf{r}_{c}) = \frac{1}{V}\partial_{t}\partial_{k}B_{m}(t,\mathbf{r}_{c}). \qquad (22)$$

The right hand side of the above equation can be obtained from Equation (12), so that 9 components of the quadratic magnetic gradient can be obtained. Formula (22) is applicable for both steady and unsteady magnetic structures.

Furthermore, due to the symmetry of the quadratic gradient,

$$\nabla_{p}\nabla_{3}B_{1} = \nabla_{3}\nabla_{p}B_{1}, \qquad (23)$$

of which the right hand side is given by Equation (20), so that 6 more components of 331 only 332 the quadratic magnetic gradient can be obtained. Now $\nabla_{p}\nabla_{q}B_{1}(p,q=1,2, 1=1,2,3)$ are to be found, which involve $4 \times 3 = 12$ components. 333 Considering the symmetry of the quadratic magnetic gradient, $\nabla_p \nabla_q B_1 = \nabla_q \nabla_p B_1$, only 334 $3 \times 3 = 9$ of these components are independent. 335

The gradient of the current density will be needed for the estimation of the remainingcomponents of the quadratic magnetic gradient.

338

339

340 (v) Three components and two constraints for the quadratic magnetic gradient

341

using the gradient of current density

- From Ampere's law, we get the constraints that
- 343 $\nabla(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = \nabla \mathbf{j},$

with which we can obtain some components of the quadratic magnetic gradient if $\nabla \mathbf{j}$ 344 is known (for simplicity, we replace $\mu_0 \mathbf{j}$ by \mathbf{j} .). If the electromagnetic fields are 345 $\mathbf{j} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{c}^{-2} \partial \mathbf{E} / \partial t$, with the electric displacement current strongly varying, 346 included. However, in this investigation we only consider the slow-varying 347 electromagnetic fields with the limitation $|\nabla \times \mathbf{B}| >> c^{-2} |\partial \mathbf{E} / \partial t|$, which is commonly 348 satisfied in large scale space plasmas. The component equation $\partial_3 (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = \partial_3 \mathbf{j}$ is not 349 an independent constraint due to Eq. (22). It is a surplus condition, which we have not 350 used because Eq. (22) can yield the longitudinal gradient directly already. 351 Furthermore, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = 0$, so that the gradient of the current density only 352 provides 9-3-1=5 independent constraints. 353

The transverse quadratic gradient of the longitudinal magnetic field, i.e., the quadratic gradient of the magnetic component B_3 in the plane orthogonal to the direction of motion (or x_3 direction) satisfies

357
$$\partial_{p}\partial_{q}B_{3} = \partial_{p}\left(\partial_{[q}B_{3]} + \partial_{3}B_{q}\right) = \partial_{p}\left(\varepsilon_{lq3}j_{l} + \partial_{3}B_{q}\right), \qquad (24)$$

Where again Ampere's law $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{j}$ has been used. Thus, Equation (24) leads to

$$\partial_{p}\partial_{q}B_{3}(t,\mathbf{r}_{c}) = \mathcal{E}_{lq3}\partial_{p}j_{l}(t,\mathbf{r}_{c}) + \partial_{3}\partial_{p}B_{q}(t,\mathbf{r}_{c}), \qquad (25)$$

where $\partial_p j_q$ is used. The above formula yields the transverse quadratic magnetic gradient of the longitudinal magnetic field and contains 3 independent components of the quadratic magnetic gradient at the barycenter.

There are still 6 components of the quadratic magnetic gradient remaining to be determined, i.e., $\partial_p \partial_q B_s(t, \mathbf{r}_c)$, which are the transverse quadratic gradients of the transverse magnetic field.

366 Two additional constraints can be obtained from

367
$$\partial_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{j}_3 = \partial_{\mathbf{p}} \left(\partial_1 \mathbf{B}_2 - \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_1 \right), (\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} = 1, 2), \text{ i.e.},$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_1 \partial_1 \mathbf{B}_2 - \partial_1 \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_1 = \partial_1 \mathbf{j}_3 \\ \partial_2 \partial_1 \mathbf{B}_2 - \partial_2 \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_1 = \partial_2 \mathbf{j}_3 \end{cases}$$
(26)
(27)

369 which is at the barycenter.

Based on Ampere's law, therefore, 3 more components of the quadratic magnetic gradient and 2 constraints on it can be obtained with the gradient of current density as shown in the formulas (25), (26) and (27).

Now 4 constraints are to be found for the complete determination of the

374 quadratic magnetic gradient.

375

376 (xi) The last four constraints

377 The magnetic field is divergence-free, i.e., $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$. Therefore

$$\partial_{i}\partial_{k}B_{k} = 0.$$
 (28)

It is noted that the sum over k is made in the above formula. Because $\partial_3 \partial_k B_k = 0$ is a dependent constraint in Equation (22), there are only two independent constraints, i.e., $\partial_p \partial_k B_k = 0$, (p, q = 1, 2). So that

382
$$\partial_1 \partial_1 \mathbf{B}_1 + \partial_1 \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_2 = -\partial_1 \partial_3 \mathbf{B}_3,$$
 (29)

383
$$\partial_2 \partial_1 \mathbf{B}_1 + \partial_2 \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_2 = -\partial_2 \partial_3 \mathbf{B}_3,$$
 (30)

384 where $\partial_{p}\partial_{3}B_{3} = \partial_{3}\partial_{p}B_{3} = \frac{1}{V}\partial_{p}\partial_{t}B_{3}$ according to Eq. (22).

385 There are therefore only two constraints left to be found.

Using magnetic rotation analysis (MRA) [Shen et al., 2007, see also Appendix B], the remaining two constraints can be obtained from the properties of the magnetic field. As shown in Appendix B, based on MRA, the magnetic rotation tensor has three characteristic directions ($\hat{\mathbf{X}}_1$, $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_2$, $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_3$), as illustrated here in Figure 2. The coordinate line \mathbf{X}_3 is along $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_3$. In the third characteristic direction $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_3$, the magnetic unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \frac{\mathbf{B}}{B}$ has no rotation, and the square of the magnetic rotation rate is

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{b}}}{\partial X_3} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{b}}}{\partial X_3} = 0 \quad . \tag{31}$$

393 So that

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{b}}{\partial X_3} = 0 \quad . \tag{32}$$

395 Since $\frac{\partial \mathbf{b}}{\partial X_3} = 0$ at each point of the coordinate line X_3 (as indicated in Figure 2),

396 we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X_3} \frac{\partial \mathbf{b}}{\partial X_3} = 0.$$
(33)



Figure 2. Illustration of the characteristic direction at which the magnetic rotationminimizes.

401

402 Since the magnetic unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ obeys $\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} = 1$, the above constraint contains only 403 two independent component equations, which can be chosen as

404
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_3} \frac{B_p}{B} = 0, \ p=1, 2.$$
(34)

405

406 The three characteristic directions $(\hat{\mathbf{X}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{X}}_2, \hat{\mathbf{X}}_3)$ have a relationship with the base 407 vectors $(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_2, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_3)$ of the S/C coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) , as follows:

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{i} = a_{ij}\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{j}, \qquad (35)$$

409 where the coefficients $a_{ij} = \hat{\mathbf{X}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}_j = \cos\left[\angle (\hat{\mathbf{X}}_i, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_j)\right]$. If we assume a vector

410
$$\mathbf{X} = x_i \hat{\mathbf{x}}_i = X_j \hat{\mathbf{X}}_j$$
, then $x_i = X_j \hat{\mathbf{X}}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}_i = a_{ji} X_j$.

411 The first order partial derivative obeys:

412
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X_3} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \cdot \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial X_3} = a_{3k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$$
,

413 and he second order partial derivative obeys:

414
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{X}_{3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{X}_{3}} = a_{3k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \left(a_{3j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \right) = a_{3k} a_{3j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}$$

415 Generally, $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_3$ is varying slowly in space and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} a_{3j}$ is a small quantity, thus

416 $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} a_{3j}$ is omitted in the above equations. Therefore, Equation (34) reduces to

417
$$a_{3k}a_{3j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\left(\frac{\mathbf{B}_{p}}{\mathbf{B}}\right) = 0, \ p=1, 2.$$
(36)

418 Finally, we show below that we can find $\partial_p \partial_q \mathbf{B}_s(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{r}_c)$ by combining the

We also can investigate the formula (36) in more detail. For simplicity, we can adjust the coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) . We keep the x_3 axis unchanged with its basis $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_3 = -\mathbf{V}/\mathbf{V}$, and rotate x_1 and x_2 axes around the x_3 axis such that the coordinate base vector $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1$ is orthogonal to both $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_3$ and $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_3$, i.e.,

424
$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{1} = \frac{\mathbf{X}_{3} \times \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{3}}{\left| \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{3} \times \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{3} \right|}, \qquad (37)$$

425 (and as illustrated in Figure 2). Thus

426 $a_{31} = \hat{\mathbf{X}}_3 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}_1 = 0$.

427 Then the formula (36) becomes

428
$$a_{32}^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{B}_p}{\mathbf{B}} \right) = -a_{33}^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{B}_p}{\mathbf{B}} \right) - 2a_{33}a_{32}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{B}_p}{\mathbf{B}} \right).$$
(38)

All the terms in the right hand side of the above equation are known. With the formula(59) developed in the next section, we can express the second order gradients of the

components of the magnetic unit vector on the two sides of Eq. (38) in terms of the 431

magnetic gradients. With the formula (59), we get 432

$$433 \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{B}_p}{\mathbf{B}} \right) = \mathbf{B}^{-1} \partial_2 \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_p - \mathbf{B}^{-3} \mathbf{B}_p \mathbf{B}_i \partial_2 \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_i - 2\mathbf{B}^{-2} \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_p \partial_2 \mathbf{B} + 3\mathbf{B}^{-3} \mathbf{B}_p \partial_2 \mathbf{B} \partial_2 \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}^{-3} \mathbf{B}_p \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_i \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_i$$

(39)

435 or

,

436
$$\partial_{2}\partial_{2}\left(\frac{\mathbf{B}_{p}}{\mathbf{B}}\right) = \left(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\partial_{2}\partial_{2}\mathbf{B}_{p} - \mathbf{B}^{-3}\mathbf{B}_{p}\mathbf{B}_{s}\partial_{2}\partial_{2}\mathbf{B}_{s}\right) + \left(-\mathbf{B}^{-3}\mathbf{B}_{p}\mathbf{B}_{3}\partial_{2}\partial_{2}\mathbf{B}_{3} - 2\mathbf{B}^{-2}\partial_{2}\mathbf{B}_{p}\partial_{2}\mathbf{B} + 3\mathbf{B}^{-3}\mathbf{B}_{p}\partial_{2}\mathbf{B}\partial_{2}\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}^{-3}\mathbf{B}_{p}\partial_{2}\mathbf{B}_{i}\partial_{2}\mathbf{B}_{i}\right)$$
(39')

The second expression on the right hand side is known already. Substituting (39') 437

439

$$B^{-1}\partial_{2}\partial_{2}B_{p} - \sum_{s=1}^{2} B^{-3}B_{p}B_{s}\partial_{2}\partial_{2}B_{s} = -\frac{a_{33}^{2}}{a_{32}^{2}}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{3}^{2}}\left(\frac{B_{p}}{B}\right) - \frac{2a_{33}}{a_{32}}\partial_{3}\partial_{2}\frac{B_{p}}{B}$$

$$-\left[-B^{-3}B_{p}B_{3}\partial_{2}\partial_{2}B_{3} - 2B^{-2}\partial_{2}B_{p}\partial_{2}B + 3B^{-3}B_{p}\partial_{2}B\partial_{2}B - B^{-3}B_{p}\partial_{2}B_{i}\partial_{2}B_{i}\right]$$

$$(40)$$

440

where p=1, 2. All the terms in the right hand side of the above equation can be 441

- 442 determined with (59), (8), (22), (23) and (24).
- 443 Therefore, combining equations (26), (27), (29), (30) and (40), we can determine $\partial_{\mathbf{p}}\partial_{\mathbf{q}}\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c}}).$ 444

Actually, with the two equations in the formula (40), we can completely find the 445 solution $\partial_2 \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_{s}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{r}_{c})$, (s=1, 2). 446

- Furthermore, with the formulas (30) and (27), we can get $\partial_1 \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_s(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{r}_c), (s=1, 2),$ 447
- i.e., 448

449
$$\partial_1 \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_1 = \partial_2 \partial_1 \mathbf{B}_1 = -\partial_2 \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_2 - \partial_2 \partial_3 \mathbf{B}_3 \quad , \tag{41}$$

450 and

451
$$\partial_1 \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_2 = \partial_2 \partial_1 \mathbf{B}_2 = \partial_2 \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_1 + \partial_2 \mathbf{j}_3.$$
 (42)

452 The above two equations are valid at the barycenter.

453 In addition, from the equation (29) and (26), we can obtain $\partial_1 \partial_1 B_s(t, \mathbf{r}_c)$, (s=1,

454 2), i.e.,

455 $\partial_1 \partial_1 \mathbf{B}_1 = -\partial_1 \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_2 - \partial_1 \partial_3 \mathbf{B}_3,$ (43)

456 and

457
$$\partial_1 \partial_1 \mathbf{B}_2 = \partial_1 \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_1 + \partial_1 \mathbf{j}_3. \tag{44}$$

458 The above two equations are also valid at the barycenter.

459 So far, we have obtained all the components of the quadratic gradient $(\nabla \nabla \mathbf{B})_{c}$

460 at the barycenter. The accuracy of the quadratic gradient is to first order, just as

that for the magnetic gradient.

462

463 (vii) Recalculating the magnetic gradients by iteration

In order to enhance the accuracy of the magnetic quantities, we can correct the estimate of the field and its linear gradient at the barycenter with the quadratic magnetic gradient obtained above (based on the formulae (A8) and (A13) in Appendix A). Subsequently, we can further go through the above steps (ii) - (vi) to get the corrected quadratic magnetic gradient with better accuracy.

469 The procedure is as follows:

470 The magnetic field measured by the four spacecraft is

471
$$B_{i}(t_{a},\mathbf{r}_{a}) = B_{i}(t_{c},\mathbf{r}_{c}) + \Delta x_{a}^{\nu} \nabla_{\nu} B_{i}(t_{c},\mathbf{r}_{c}) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x_{a}^{\nu} \Delta x_{a}^{\lambda} \nabla_{\nu} \nabla_{\lambda} B_{i}(t_{c},\mathbf{r}_{c}) .$$
(45)

Based on the formula (A8) in Appendix A, we obtain the magnetic field at thebarycenter, corrected by the quadratic magnetic gradient, as:

474
$$\mathbf{B}_{i}(\mathbf{t}_{c},\mathbf{r}_{c}) = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{a=1}^{4n} \mathbf{B}_{i}(\mathbf{t}_{a},\mathbf{r}_{a}) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R}^{\nu \lambda} \nabla_{\nu} \nabla_{\lambda} \mathbf{B}_{i}(\mathbf{t}_{c},\mathbf{r}_{c}), \qquad (46)$$

475 where, the general volume tensor $R^{\nu\lambda}$ is as defined in (A9).

From the formula (A13) in Appendix A, we get the first order magnetic gradientat the barycenter corrected from the quadratic magnetic gradient as

$$478 \qquad \nabla_{\nu}B_{i}(\mathbf{t}_{c},\mathbf{r}_{c}) = \left(\mathbf{R}^{-1}\right)_{\nu\mu} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a}^{N} \left(x_{(a)}^{\mu} - x_{c}^{\mu}\right) B_{i}\left(\mathbf{t}_{a},\mathbf{r}_{a}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{R}^{-1}\right)_{\nu\mu} R^{\mu\sigma\lambda} \nabla_{\sigma} \nabla_{\lambda} B_{i}(\mathbf{t}_{c},\mathbf{r}_{c}).$$

479 (47)

Furthermore, we can perform the above steps (ii) - (vi) to obtain the corrected quadratic magnetic gradient using these updated estimates. The quadratic magnetic gradient obtained in this iterative sense has a higher accuracy, while errors in the magnetic field, its linear gradient and the apparent velocity of the magnetic structure at the barycenter, are of second order in L/D, where L is the size of the S/C constellation and D is the characteristic scale of the magnetic structure.

486

487 To summarise this algorithm, we proceed as follows

(a) Estimate the magnetic field \mathbf{B}_{c} ; the first order magnetic gradient $(\nabla \mathbf{B})_{c}$, and the time variation rate $\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}\right)_{c}$ of the magnetic field, at the barycenter and under the linear

490 approximation; as in Eqs. (7) and (8).

491 Estimate the gradient of the current density at the barycenter $\nabla \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{r}_{c})$, as in Eq. (10).

492 (b) Determine the apparent velocity **V** using the time variation rate $\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}\right)_c$ of the

- 493 magnetic field and the first order magnetic gradient $(\nabla \mathbf{B})_c$ and define the x_3
- 494 coordinate with $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_3 = -\mathbf{V} / \mathbf{V}$; determine the three characteristic directions
- 495 $(\hat{\mathbf{X}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{X}}_2, \hat{\mathbf{X}}_3)$ using MRA, and define the coordinate base vector $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1 = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{X}}_3 \times \hat{\mathbf{x}}_3}{|\hat{\mathbf{X}}_3 \times \hat{\mathbf{x}}_3|}$,
- 496 such as to fix the Cartesian coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) in the spacecraft constellation 497 reference frame.
- 498 (c) Calculate the time variation rate $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \mathbf{B})_c$ of the linear magnetic gradient at the 499 barycenter, so as to obtain the components of the quadratic magnetic gradient 500 $(\nabla_3 \nabla \mathbf{B})_c$ and $(\nabla \nabla_3 \mathbf{B})_c$, as in Eqs. (22) and (23).
- 501 (d) Combine Ampere's law and the first order gradient of the current density
- 502 $\nabla \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{r}_{c})$ to calculate the transverse quadratic magnetic gradient of \mathbf{B}_{3} , i.e.

503
$$\nabla_{p} \nabla_{q} B_{3}(p,q=1,2)$$
, as in Eq. (25).

- (e) Solve the equations $\frac{\partial}{\partial X_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_3} \hat{\mathbf{b}} = 0$, derived by MRA, so as to obtain the
- 505 components: $\partial_2 \partial_2 B_p(p=1,2)$.

506 (f) Determine the remaining four components of the quadratic magnetic gradient,

507
$$(\partial_1 \partial_2 \mathbf{B}_p)_c = (\partial_2 \partial_1 \mathbf{B}_p)_c$$
 and $(\partial_1 \partial_1 \mathbf{B}_p)_c$, $(p = 1, 2)$, using the equation $\nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) = 0$

derived from the divergence free condition of the magnetic field and the equation

509
$$\nabla (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = \nabla \mathbf{j}$$
 from Ampere's law, as in Eqs. (41) - (44).

- 510 (g) Revise the magnetic field \mathbf{B}_{c} and the first order magnetic gradient $(\nabla \mathbf{B})_{c}$ with
- the quadratic magnetic gradient $\mathbf{G}^{(2)} = (\nabla \nabla \mathbf{B})_{c}$ obtained initially, as in the formulas
- 512 (46) and (47), and perform the above steps (b) (f) once again, so as to get the

513 corrected quadratic magnetic gradient $(\nabla \nabla \mathbf{B})_c$, as well as the corrected apparent 514 velocity **V** of the magnetic structure.

515 It should be noted that, the magnetic field, the linear magnetic gradient and the 516 quadratic magnetic gradient are all identical in different reference frames. We will test 517 all these estimators in detail in Section 4.

Given the magnetic field \mathbf{B}_{c} , the first order magnetic gradient $(\nabla \mathbf{B})_{c}$ and the quadratic magnetic gradient $(\nabla \nabla \mathbf{B})_{c}$, the complete geometry of the magnetic field lines of the magnetic structure can be determined. We will find the estimators for the geometrical parameters of the MFLs in the next section.

522

523 3. Determining the complete geometry of magnetic field lines based on multiple 524 S/C measurements

525

The geometry of the MFLs plays a critical role in the evolution of the space plasmas. In this section, we will extract the estimators for the complete geometry of the MFLs, from the linear and quadratic gradients of the magnetic field estimated in Section 2.

530

531 3.1 The natural coordinates and curvature of the MFLs



Figure 3. Demonstration on the geometry of the magnetic field lines. $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{B} / B$ is the magnetic unit vector; $\mathbf{\kappa}$ is the curvature vector of the magnetic field line, $\hat{\mathbf{K}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{N}}$ are the principal normal and binormal, respectively. The magnetic field line is also twisting with torsion.

538

The directional magnetic unit vector is $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{B} / B$, which is also the tangential vector of the MFLs. The MFLs are usually turning, and the bending of MFLs is characterized by the curvature vector, i.e.,

542
$$\mathbf{\kappa} = \frac{d\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{ds} = (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla)\hat{\mathbf{b}}, \qquad (48)$$

543 where 's' is the arc length along the MFLs.

544 Shen et al., (2003, 2011) first presented the estimator of the curvature of MFLs,

which has found many applications in multi-point data analysis. Here a brief

description of it is given and we will then investigate further the complete geometry

547 of the MFLs as well as the explicit estimators.

548 The gradient of the magnetic field
$$(\nabla \mathbf{B})_{c}$$
 at the barycenter from

549 multi-spacecraft measurements has already been expressed in Section 2.

550 The gradient of the magnetic strength $B=|\mathbf{B}|$ is

551
$$\nabla_{i}B = \frac{1}{2B}\nabla_{i}B^{2} = \frac{1}{B}B_{j}\nabla_{i}B_{j}, \qquad (49)$$

s52 while at the barycenter of the S/C constellation,

553
$$\left(\nabla_{i}B\right)_{c} = B_{c}^{-1}B_{cj}\left(\nabla_{i}B_{j}\right)_{c}.$$
 (50)

554 Similarly, the gradient of the unit magnetic vector $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ is

555
$$\nabla_i b_j = \nabla_i \frac{B_j}{B} = B^{-1} \nabla_i B_j - B^{-2} B_j \nabla_i B.$$
 (51)

556 With Eq (49), the above formula (51) becomes

557
$$\nabla_i b_j = B^{-1} \nabla_i B_j - B^{-1} b_j b_m \nabla_i B_m.$$
 (52)

558 Hence, the gradient of the unit magnetic vector $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ at the barycenter is

559
$$\left(\nabla_{i}b_{j}\right)_{c} = B^{-1}\left(\nabla_{i}B_{j}\right)_{c} - B^{-1}b_{j}b_{m}\left(\nabla_{i}B_{m}\right)_{c}.$$
 (53)

All the coefficients on the right hand side of the above formula involve values at the

barycenter (Shen, et al., 2003):
$$(\mathbf{B}_{i})_{c} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha i}$$
, $(\mathbf{b}_{i})_{c} = \mathbf{B}_{ci} / |\mathbf{B}_{c}|$. The formula (53)

obeys the condition that:
$$\mathbf{b}_j (\nabla_i \mathbf{b}_j)_c = 0$$
, which is required by the constraint

563 $\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} = 1$.

564 The curvature of the MFLs at the barycenter is therefore

565
$$\kappa_{cj} = b_i \left(\nabla_i b_j \right)_c = B^{-1} b_i \left(\nabla_i B_j \right)_c - B^{-1} b_i b_j b_m \left(\nabla_i B_m \right)_c.$$
(54)

All the coefficients on the right hand side of the above formula involve values at the

567 barycenter. The formula (54) is the estimator of the curvature of the MFLs based on

the multi-S/C magnetic measurements. It is noted that there can be no field line

crossing through the point where B=0; thus, there is no need to calculate the curvature from formula (54). It is noted that formula (54) satisfies $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{c} \cdot \mathbf{\kappa}_{c} = \mathbf{b}_{cj} \kappa_{cj} = 0$, indicating

that the obtained curvature vector is orthogonal to the magnetic field.

572 The radius of the curvature of the MFLs is

$$\mathbf{R}_{c} = 1/\kappa_{c} \,. \tag{55}$$

574 The principal normal vector of the MFLs is

$$\hat{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{\kappa}_{c} / |\mathbf{\kappa}_{c}|.$$
(56)

576 The binormal vector of the MFLs is

577
$$\hat{\mathbf{N}} = \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{K}} = \frac{\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{\kappa}_{c}}{\kappa_{c}}, \qquad (57)$$

The above expressions collectively describe the estimators of the magnetic 578 curvature analysis approach [Shen et al., 2003; 2011], where $\{\hat{\mathbf{b}}, \hat{\mathbf{K}}, \hat{\mathbf{N}}\}$ constitute 579 the natural coordinates, or the Frenet frame (trihedron). The unit magnetic vector $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$, 580 principal normal \hat{K} and binormal \hat{N} are orthogonal to each other. 581 Usually, the MFLs not only bend, but also twist, such as the helical MFLs 582 manifested in a flux rope. The twist of the MFLs can be described quantitatively by 583 the torsion. In order to get the complete geometry of the MFLs, therefore, the torsion 584 should be known. The torsion of the MFLs is defined as 585

586
$$\tau \equiv \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{b}}{\mathrm{ds}^2} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\mathrm{d} \mathbf{\kappa}}{\mathrm{ds}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}} = -\frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbf{\kappa} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d} \mathbf{N}}{\mathrm{ds}} \,. \tag{58}$$

Therefore, the quadratic gradient of the magnetic field $\nabla \nabla \mathbf{B}$ is essential for the calculation of the torsion of the MFLs.

590	quadratic gradient of the unit magnetic vector $\nabla \nabla \hat{\mathbf{b}}$; as well as with the quadratic
591	magnetic gradient $\nabla \nabla \mathbf{B}$.
592	To do this, we need to first deduce the expression of the quadratic gradient of the
593	unit magnetic vector in terms of the linear and quadratic magnetic gradients.
594	The quadratic gradient of the unit magnetic vector $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ is
595	$\nabla_{k}\nabla_{i}b_{j} = \nabla_{k}\left(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\nabla_{i}\mathbf{B}_{j} - \mathbf{B}^{-1}b_{j}b_{l}\nabla_{i}\mathbf{B}_{l}\right)$
596	$= \nabla_{k} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \cdot \nabla_{i} \mathbf{B}_{j} + \mathbf{B}^{-1} \nabla_{k} \nabla_{i} \mathbf{B}_{j} - \nabla_{k} \left(\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}_{j} \mathbf{b}_{l} \right) \cdot \nabla_{i} \mathbf{B}_{l} - \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}_{j} \mathbf{b}_{l} \nabla_{k} \nabla_{i} \mathbf{B}_{l}$
597	$= -\mathbf{B}^{-2}\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{j}} + \mathbf{B}^{-1}\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\nabla_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{j}} + \mathbf{B}^{-2}\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{l}}\nabla_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{l}} - \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{l}}\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{j}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{l}} - \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{j}}\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{l}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{l}} - \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{l}}\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\nabla_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{l}}$
598	$= -B^{-2}\nabla_{k}B\cdot\nabla_{i}B_{j}+B^{-1}\nabla_{k}\nabla_{i}B_{j}+3B^{-2}b_{j}b_{l}\nabla_{k}B\nabla_{i}B_{l}$ $-B^{-2}b_{l}\nabla_{k}B_{j}\nabla_{i}B_{l}-B^{-2}b_{j}\nabla_{k}B_{l}\cdot\nabla_{i}B_{l}-B^{-1}b_{j}b_{l}\nabla_{k}\nabla_{i}B_{l}.$ (59)

We now investigate the relationship between the torsion of the MFLs and the

599 Thus the estimator of the quadratic gradient of $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ at the barycenter is expressed as

$$(\nabla_{k}\nabla_{i}b_{j})_{c} = -B^{-2}(\nabla_{k}B)_{c}(\nabla_{i}B_{j})_{c} + 3B^{-2}b_{j}b_{m}(\nabla_{k}B)_{c}(\nabla_{i}B_{m})_{c} - B^{-2}b_{m}(\nabla_{k}B_{j})_{c}(\nabla_{i}B_{m})_{c}$$

$$-\mathbf{B}^{-2}\mathbf{b}_{j}(\nabla_{k}\mathbf{B}_{m})_{c}\cdot(\nabla_{i}\mathbf{B}_{m})_{c}+\mathbf{B}^{-1}(\nabla_{k}\nabla_{i}\mathbf{B}_{j})_{c}-\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}_{j}\mathbf{b}_{m}(\nabla_{k}\nabla_{i}\mathbf{B}_{m})_{c}.$$
 (60)

Based on this definition, the torsion of the MFLs is

589

603
$$\tau = \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{d\kappa}{ds} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbf{b}_j \partial_j (\mathbf{b}_k \partial_k \mathbf{b}_i) \mathbf{N}_i$$

604
$$= \frac{1}{\kappa} \left(b_j \partial_j b_k \cdot \partial_k b_i + b_j b_k \partial_j \partial_k b_i \right) N_i \quad .$$
 (61)

So that the torsion of the MFLs at the barycenter of the S/C constellation is

606
$$\tau_{c} = \kappa^{-1} \mathbf{N}_{i} \cdot \left[\mathbf{b}_{j} \left(\partial_{j} \mathbf{b}_{k} \right)_{c} \cdot \left(\partial_{k} \mathbf{b}_{i} \right)_{c} + \mathbf{b}_{j} \mathbf{b}_{k} \left(\partial_{j} \partial_{k} \mathbf{b}_{i} \right)_{c} \right]$$
(62)

The above formula is one of the estimators of the torsion of the MFLs that is

608 dependent on the linear and quadratic gradients of the unit magnetic vector $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$.

By substituting Eqs (52) and (59) into Eq (61), the torsion of the MFLs is obtained as

611
$$\tau = \kappa^{-1} \mathbf{B}^{-3} \mathbf{N}_{j} \mathbf{B}_{i} \partial_{k} \mathbf{B}_{j} + \kappa^{-1} \mathbf{B}^{-3} \mathbf{N}_{j} \mathbf{B}_{k} \partial_{k} \partial_{j} \mathbf{B}_{j}, \qquad (63)$$

612 where the condition $b_i N_i = 0$ has been used. Appendix C presents another

verification of the expression (63) for clarity. It seems that the formula (63) is

614 invalid as B=0 or $\kappa = 0$. However, there is no field line as B=0, while for

615 $\kappa = 0$, the field line is a straight line and its torsion has no fixed value, and thus

616 is meaningless.

617 Therefore, the torsion of the MFLs at the barycenter can be written as

618
$$\tau_{c} = \kappa^{-1} B^{-3} N_{j} B_{i} \left(\partial_{i} B_{k} \right)_{c} \left(\partial_{k} B_{j} \right)_{c} + \kappa^{-1} B^{-3} N_{j} B_{k} B_{i} \left(\partial_{k} \partial_{i} B_{j} \right)_{c}.$$
(64)

All the coefficients on the right hand side of the above formula involve values atthe barycenter. Formula (64) is another estimator of the torsion of the MFLs,

621 expressed in terms of the linear and quadratic gradients of the magnetic field.

The two different estimators of the torsion of the MFLs (62) and (64) are

623 obviously equivalent.

624

```
625 4. Tests
```

626

In this section, the estimators put forward in Sections 2 and 3 will be tested for model current sheets and flux ropes, which can occur in the magnetosphere, in order to verify the validity and accuracy of this approach. A one-dimensional Harris current sheet model (Harris, 1962) and a Lundquist-Lepping cylindrical force-free flux rope model (Lundquist, 1950) are used for these two typical structures, respectively. Appendices D and E present, analytically, the geometrical features of these two kinds of magnetic structures. The tests below have shown that the estimators of the quadratic magnetic gradients and the complete geometry of the MFLs are obtained with good accuracy compared to the models, so we expect they can find wide applications in investigating the magnetic structures and configurations in space plasmas with multi-S/C measurements.

638

639 **4.1 The steps needed for this comparison**

640 The operative calculating steps can be summarized as follows:

641 (a) Deriving the first-order gradients of **B** and **J**.

With four-point measurements, the temporal and spatial gradients of **the** magnetic field ($\nabla \mathbf{B}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$) and the current density ($\nabla \mathbf{J}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t}$) are readily deduced by the least-squares gradient calculation as outlined in Appendix A. The temporal variation $\frac{\partial \nabla \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$ can also be inferred by differential calculations.

646 (b) Determining the velocity of the magnetic structures relative to the SCs.

647 Once the time series of $\nabla \mathbf{B}$, $\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$ are obtained, the velocity of the magnetic 648 structures relative to the S/C constellation can be derived by Equation (15), 649 $\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} = 0$. Therefore, the velocity of the spacecraft is $\mathbf{V}' = -\mathbf{V}$.

650 (c) Constructing the local coordinates $\{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3\}$.

According to above statements, $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3$ is defined as the direction of the relative velocity

of the spacecraft to the magnetic structure, i.e., $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 = \mathbf{V}_1 \mathbf{V}_1$. We can then apply MRA

analysis to derive the minimum rotation direction of the magnetic field ($\hat{\mathbf{X}}_3$) and the $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1$ can be set as $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 = \hat{\mathbf{X}}_3 \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3$. Finally, $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2$ completes the right-handed system.

655 (d) Deriving $\nabla \nabla \mathbf{B}$ and $\nabla \nabla \hat{\mathbf{b}}$ and calculating the torsion of MFLs.

After expressing these parameters (**B**, ∇ **B**, ∇ **J**, $\frac{\partial \nabla \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$ and **V**) in the local coordinates, we then can derive the quadratic gradient of magnetic field and the magnetic unit vector, $\nabla \nabla \mathbf{B}$ and $\nabla \nabla \hat{\mathbf{b}}$ by following the steps stated in Section 2. Furthermore, the torsion of magnetic field line can be obtained by Equation (62) or (64).

(e) Performing iterative operations to obtain more accurate results.

The estimates of the magnetic field and the first-order gradient of magnetic field at the barycenter of the four S/C can be modified by Equation (45) and (47), in order to repeat the same procedure as in steps (a)-(d) above.

665

666 4.2 One-dimensional Harris Current Sheet

For the one-dimensional Harris current sheet, the magnetic field can be 667 formulated as Equation (D1) in Appendix D. In this test, the parameters of the current 668 sheet are $B_0 = 50$ nT, $B_y = 10$ nT, $B_z = 20$ nT, $h = R_E$. As shown in Figure 4a, we set an 669 arbitrary S/C constellation trajectory from (2, 2, 2) R_E to (-2, -2, -2) R_E during 100 670 seconds. The S/C constellation is assumed to be a regular tetrahedron with a 671 separation of L=100 km. The analytic values of the magnetic field and the current 672 density at the barycenter of the four S/Cs are shown in panels (b) and (c) in Figure 4, 673 respectively. 674

In this test, we have set n=10, and make N=4n=40 points to calculate the spatial 675 and temporal gradient of the magnetic field at the barycenter of the S/C constellation 676 with the method in Appendix A. Therefore, we can get the spatial gradient of the 677 vector field within the interval 5-95s. Furthermore, the temporal and spatial scale 678 corresponds to the time resolution of the field sampling (i.e. T=1s) and the 679 characteristic size of tetrahedron (L=100 km). The magnetic field and the non-zero 680 component $\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial z}$ of the linear magnetic gradient at the barycenter are derived with 681 the formulas (5) and (6) and shown in Figure 4b and 4d, respectively, which are in 682 good agreement with their analytic values as given by Appendix A (the circles 683 represent the results derived by the method, while the black solid line denotes the 684 analytic results derived by theoretical formula). The current density at the barycenter 685 can also be derived with $\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial z}$ by Ampere's law ($\mathbf{j} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$) and is shown in Figure 686 4c. Those values are again consistent with the analytic values. The apparent velocity 687 of the current sheet relative to the S/C constellation can be derived by formula (15). 688 As shown in Figure 4e, the velocity Vz of S/C relative to the current sheet is within 689 the range 252~260 km/s (0.0408~0.0398 Re/s), while the actual velocity is 257 km/s 690 (0.0404 Re/s). Thus, the maximum relative error of the deduced velocity is 691 $\frac{0.0006}{0.0404} \approx 1.5\%$, which is approximately the order of L/h(~0.016). 692

693 With the derived linear magnetic gradient and current density gradient, the 694 quadratic magnetic gradient of this current sheet model can be readily obtained. It 695 should be noted that, among the components of the quadratic magnetic gradient, only

696
$$\frac{\partial^2 B_x}{\partial z^2}$$
 is non-zero, while $\frac{\partial^2 b_x}{\partial z^2}, \frac{\partial^2 b_y}{\partial z^2}, \frac{\partial^2 b_z}{\partial z^2}$ are non-zero among the components of

697 the quadratic gradient of magnetic unit vectors. The test is therefore focused on these 698 components. Evidently, from Figure 4(g-j), there is extremely good agreement 699 between the results obtained by the technique and the analytic values. As illustrated in

Figure 4,
$$\frac{\partial^2 B_x}{\partial z^2}$$
 (Figure 4f) and $\frac{\partial^2 b_x}{\partial z^2}$ (Figure 4g) have bipolar signatures around the

center of current sheet and are equal to zero at the center, while $\frac{\partial^2 b_y}{\partial z^2}$ (Figure 4h) and

702 $\frac{\partial^2 b_z}{\partial z^2}$ (Figure 4i) show left-right symmetry around the current sheet center and reach 703 a minimum at the center. These results are reasonable and in good agreement with the 704 analytic results.

We have further obtained the geometry of the current sheet deduced by the 705 method. The deduced curvature and torsion of the MFLs in the Harris current sheet 706 are shown in Figure 4j and 4k. The magnetic curvature reaches a maximum at the 707 center of current sheet, which indicates that the MFLs of the Harris current sheet bend 708 most at the center. The torsion of the magnetic field line stays almost at zero, 709 710 implying the MFLs in the Harris current sheet is planar (this agrees with the theoretical calculations in Appendix D). The order of the absolute error in the torsion 711 is very small and less than $10^{-11} R_E^{-1}$. This check is a very good validation of the new 712 method. 713

After completing the above steps, iterative operation and error analysis are necessary and we will discuss these later.


717 Figure 4: The comparison between the properties of 1-D Harris current sheet deduced form the estimators and those from the analytic calculations based on Appendix D. 718 Panel (a) shows the current sheet configuration and the S/C trajectory in the current 719 720 sheet reference frame; Panel (b), (c) show the variation of magnetic field and current density, respectively; Panel (d) is the time series of the gradient of magnetic field; 721 Panel (e) denotes the relative velocity of S/Cs to the current sheet; Panel (f) represents 722 the quadratic gradient of magnetic field; Panel (g), (h), (i) denote the time series of the 723 quadratic gradient of unit magnetic vector bx, by, bz, respectively. The magnetic field 724

line curvature and torsion are displayed in Panel (j), (k), respectively. The vertical
black dashed line in each panel represents the center of current sheet. The black solid
lines in each panel are the accurate or theoretical results. The circles are the results
obtained by the new method.

729

730 **4.3 Two-dimensional Force-free Flux Ropes**

In this section, we attempt to investigate the complete geometry of magnetic field lines for a classic force-free flux rope model. In this model, the three components of the magnetic vector in cylindrical coordinates can be expressed (Lundquist, 1950) as:

$$B_{r} = 0, B_{\omega} = B_{0}J_{1}(\alpha r), B_{z} = B_{0}J_{0}(\alpha r),$$
(65)

where *r* is the distance from the central axis, α is the characteristic scale of the flux rope, and *J* is the Bessel function. In this test, we adopt $B_0 = 60 \text{ nT}$, $\alpha = 1/R_E$, The trajectory of the SC is set to be from (-2, 0, 0) R_E to (2, 0, 0) R_E during 100 seconds and is shown in Figure 5a. The average magnetic field measured by four S/C is illustrated in Figure 5b, the bipolar signature of By and the enhancement of Bz around the flux rope's center is apparent.

By repeating the same procedures as in Section 4.2, the quadratic magnetic gradient can be readily acquired (Figure 5c, 5d, 5e, 5f, 5g). One can find that the results derived by the method are in good agreement with the analytic results obtained in Appendix E. The variations of curvature and torsion of the MFLs confirm that the magnetic topological structure is different from those of the current sheet (Figure 5h, 5i). It can also be seen from Figure 5h and 5i that the curvature of the MFLs contains a minimum, and the torsion of the MFLs contains a maximum, at the center. This indicates that the straighter and more twisted the MFLs, the nearer to the center of flux rope, implying the non-planar and helical structure of the flux rope. This test shows that the results obtained by the approach are in good agreement with the analytical results, indicating that the estimators obtained in Sections 2 and 3 are reliable and applicable.





Figure 5: The properties of MFLs of 2-D flux rope. The relative path of S/Cs to the 757 magnetic structure is sketched in Panel (a). Panel (b) shows the variation of the 758 magnetic field; Panel (c), (d), (e), (f), (g) denote the time series of the quadratic 759 gradient of magnetic field. The magnetic field line curvature and torsion are displayed 760 in Panel (h) and (i). The vertical black dashed line in each panel represents the center 761

of flux rope. The circles and black solid lines represent the results inferred by ourmethod and the accurate results, respectively.

764

765 **4.4 Error Analysis**

The errors of the estimators put forward in this study may arise from two types of sources: the underlying measurement errors and the truncation errors. The key measurement errors include the error in the measured magnetic field **B** and that of the current density **j** derived from the plasma moment data (which will be seen in the application in Section 5). The truncation errors arise from terms beyond the differential order considered here and represent neglected behaviour of the magnetic structure and plasmas.

The spatial truncation errors can be approximately measured by L/D, where D is the typical spatial size of magnetic structure and L is the size of tetrahedron of four SC. When L/D is very small, the truncation errors are generally small. However, as L/D grows large, the truncation errors may become significant. The iterative operation allows us to attempt to get more accurate and reliable results.

Figure 6 compares the results of the calculations made with no iteration; with the first and second iterations, and theoretical calculation with L/D=0.3. It can be seen that the iterations yield more accurate results. However, the second iteration in these examples did not produce better results than the first iteration.





Figure 6: The comparison of those results with no iteration, first iteration and second iteration. The format of this figure is just the same as that of Figure 5. The red circles in each panel denote the result of no iteration, while the green and blue circles mark the result from the first iteration and second iteration, respectively. The black solid lines represent analytic results.

Figure 7 displays the variations of the relative errors of the results with L/D. The relative error is defined as $\langle \frac{X_{method} - X_{real}}{X_{real}} \rangle$, where X_{method} represents the results obtained with our method and X_{real} denotes the analytical results from the model. It is seen from Figure 7(a), (b), (c), (d), (e) that the relative errors of the linear magnetic gradient, apparent velocity and curvature of the MFLs are of first order in L/D for no iteration calculations, but they are of second order in L/D after the first and second
iterations. Nevertheless, the relative errors of the components of the quadratic
magnetic gradient and the torsion of MFLs are all of first order in L/D (Figure 7f, g, h,
I, j), although after the first or second iterations they are improved.

Through the above analysis, one can conclude that the most accurate results are those derived by at least one iteration, especially when L/D is larger than 0.5. Thus, it is necessary to perform the first iteration when L/D is larger than 0.5.



Figure 7: The relative errors (y) of the various calculated parameters of the flux rope for different L/D (x). The red solid lines in each panel are the calculation results with no iteration, while the green, blue lines represent the calculation results with the first

and second iterations, respectively. The format of this figure is the same as that ofFigure 5.

809

810 **5. Application: Magnetic Flux Rope**

811

In this section, we have applied the approach developed in Sections 2 and 3 to 812 investigate the magnetic structure and geometry of a magnetic flux rope at 813 magnetopause, observed by MMS during 2015-10-16 13:04:33-13:04:35, which is the 814 815 second of two sequential flux ropes reported by Eastwood et al., (2016), and has been analyzed by many researchers (e.g., Zhang et al., 2020). Here, we have used the 816 high-resolution magnetic field data measured by the fluxgate magnetometer, operating 817 818 at 128 vectors per second in burst-mode (Russell et al. 2014; Burch et al. 2015), and the plasma data provided by FPI (Fast Plasma Investigation, measuring electrons at 819 cadence of 30ms and ions at cadence of 150 ms) (Torbert, et al. 2015; Pollock et al. 820 821 2016). To calculate the quadratic magnetic gradient, the plasma moments are interpolated to obtain a 1/128 s time resolution to match that of the magnetic field 822 data and to derive the current density. Note that the MMS constellation is often nearly 823 a regular tetrahedron with its separation scale of $L \approx 20$ km during this time interval. 824 Typically, there are many waves affecting the magnetic field at various 825 frequencies in space plasmas. If we calculated the time variation rates of the magnetic 826 field and the linear and quadratic magnetic gradients directly, the errors caused by 827

these waves would be so large that we would miss the underlying global features of

the magnetic structure. To get rid of the influence of the waves, the magnetic field 829 (Figure 8a) and current density (Figure 8b-8d) data have been filtered by a low-pass 830 831 filter to eliminate disturbances with frequencies higher than 1Hz from the data. In order to apply the method in Appendix A to calculate the temporal and spatial 832 gradients of the magnetic field and current density, we have adopted n=10 time points 833 on each spacecraft to form a set of data. Thus, there are in total N=4n=40 points in a 834 group of data. With this approach, the calculated temporal and spatial gradients of the 835 magnetic field and current density have rather high accuracy. 836

837 We have derived the magnetic rotation features of the flux rope by using the MRA method illustrated in Appendix B (Shen et al., 2007). Figure 8e shows the time 838 series of the magnetic minimum rotation direction $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_3$, which is approximately stable 839 840 and nearly parallel to GSE +Y direction. Assuming the flux rope is cylindrically symmetric, $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_3$ could be approximately regarded as the orientation $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ of the flux 841 rope axis, i.e., $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \hat{\mathbf{X}}_3$. The helical angle of the MFLs can be defined as 842 $\beta = asin(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})$. As shown in Figure 8f, the helical angle β reaches its maximum 843 value ($\sim 89^{\circ}$) at the time ~ 34.1 s, implying that the MFLs lie basically along the axis 844 orientation in the central part of the flux rope. The apparent velocity of the flux rope 845 can be calculated by formula (17), and is illustrated in Figure 8g. One can find that, 846 the apparent velocity at the leading edge of flux rope is larger than that at the trailing 847 edge, suggesting that the flux rope is decelerating and not stable during this interval. 848 Assuming that the flux rope is steady and has a force-free magnetic field, Eastwood et 849 al., (2016) have derived the parameters of this flux rope, and estimate that the velocity 850

is [-206.976, -19.8, -162.88] km/s in GSE, as derived by timing analysis, the axis orientation is [-0.012, 0.989, -0.149] in GSE and the radius is ~550km. From our analysis, it is shown that the mean velocity is ~ [-141.408, -47.58, -96] km/s and the axis orientation is [-0.0889, 0.9367, -0.3386] in GSE during the interval (13:04:33.5-13:04:35), when the flux rope is nearly steady. Considering the complicated motion and structure of flux rope and the different data processing approaches applied, the small discrepancy among the results is not surprising.

858



Figure 8: The parameters of the flux rope observed by MMS3 on 16 Oct. 2015. Panel
(a) shows the magnetic field at the barycenter of tetrahedron; Panel (b), (c) and (d)
display the components of the current density at the four S/C derived by plasma data;

Panel (e) denotes the minimum rotation direction of the MFLs, which is approximately the axis direction of the flux rope; Panel (f) represents the variation of the helical angle; Panel (g) shows the apparent velocity of the flux rope relative to the MMS constellation.

867

By using the estimators in Sections 2 and 3, the magnetic gradients and geometry 868 of the flux rope can be obtained and these are demonstrated in Figure 9. The total 27 869 components of the quadratic gradient of magnetic field have been obtained with the 870 871 estimators in Section 2, which are illustrated in panels (a)-(i) of Figure 9. It can be found that the order of the quadratic gradient of the magnetic field is generally less 872 than 10^{-2} nT/km², while that of the first-order magnetic gradient is ~ 10^{-1} nT/km. The 873 874 complete geometry of the MFLs in the flux rope can be derived by the estimators in Section 3, which is illustrated in Figure 9j-l. It can be seen that the curvature of MFLs 875 reaches its minimum value of $\sim 0.80 \times 10^{-3}$ /km (Figure 9j) and the torsion reaches its 876 maximum value of ~ 0.012 /km² (Figure 91) at ~ 34.1 sec, when the helical angle is the 877 largest (Figure 8f). These features indicate that this flux rope is a typical one and is 878 consistent with the 2-D flux rope model in Appendix E. The maximum curvature of 879 the MFLs is about $\sim 3.0*10^{-3}$ /km, while accordingly the minimum radius of the 880 curvature of the MFLs is~330km. We can choose this as the characteristic scale of the 881 flux rope, i.e., D=330km. Furthermore, assuming the flux rope has a cylindrical 882 helical structure, the torsion of MFLs can also be obtained directly from the curvature 883 and helical angle from formula E9 in Appendix E. From Figure 91, it can be seen that 884

the results obtained by both techniques show good agreement with each other. Obviously, the magnetic field lines in this flux rope are right-hand spirals generally. These results verify the effectiveness and applicability of the estimators given in Sections 2 and 3. Since $L/D \approx 20/330 \approx 0.06$, we do not need to perform the iteration in this case because the accuracy of the linear results with no iteration is already very high.





Figure 9: The magnetic structure of the flux rope on 16 Oct. 2015. Panel (a)-(i) show all the 27 components of the quadratic gradient of magnetic field, where the red, green and blue lines represent the partial derivative ∂x , ∂y , ∂z , respectively; Panel (j) gives

896	the time series of the curvature of the MFLs; Panel (k) represents the binormal
897	direction of the MFLs; Panel (1) shows the torsion of the MFLs, with its value
898	calculated by the magnetic gradients represented by the red line, and that drawn from
899	the cylindrical symmetry approximation denoted by the black line.
900	
901	6. Summary and Discussions
902	
903	The quadratic magnetic gradient is a key parameter of the magnetic field, with
904	which the fine structure of a magnetic structure can be revealed; as well as the
905	twisting property of the magnetic field. However, up to now, the quadratic magnetic
906	gradient from multi-S/C constellation measurements has not been explicitly
907	calculated. Chanteur (1998) showed that in order to get the quadratic magnetic
908	gradient from multi-point magnetic observations, in general, the number of S/C in
909	the constellation has to be equal to or larger than 10, which is difficult to realize in
910	present space exploration. Fortunately, the MMS constellation can not only provide
911	rather accurate 4-point magnetic field, but can also produce very good 4-point
912	current density estimates from particle measurements, such as to allow the quadratic
913	magnetic gradient problem to be solved in the manner discussed here.
914	This paper provides a method to obtain the linear and quadratic magnetic
915	gradients as well as the apparent velocity of the magnetic structure based on the 4
916	point magnetic field and current density observations and give their explicit
917	estimators. Furthermore, the complete geometry of the magnetic field lines is

918	revealed on the bases of these linear and quadratic magnetic gradients, and the
919	estimator for the torsion of the MFLs is given. Simple, but relevant, tests on this
920	novel algorithm have been made for a Harris current sheet and a force-free flux rope
921	model, and the effectiveness and accuracy of these estimators have been verified.
922	
923	In this approach, the physical quantities to be determined are as follows: the
924	magnetic field \mathbf{B}_{c} (3 parameters); the linear magnetic gradient $(\nabla \mathbf{B})_{c}$ (9 parameters);
925	quadratic magnetic gradient $(\nabla \nabla \mathbf{B})_c$ (6×3=18 parameters), and the apparent
926	velocity of the magnetic structure V (3 parameters); resulting in a total of
927	3+9+18+3=33 undetermined parameters.
928	On the other hand, the input conditions for this algorithm are: the time series of
929	magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_{\alpha}(t)$ at 4 points (3×4=12 parameters); the transformation
930	relationships $\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}$ (3 independent constraint equations) and
931	$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \mathbf{B} = -\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \nabla \mathbf{B} (3 \times 3 = 9 \text{ independent constraint equations}); \text{ the formula}$
932	$\nabla (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = \nabla \mathbf{j}$, derived from Ampere's law (2×3-1=5 independent constraints); the
933	equation $\nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) = 0$, from the solenoidal condition of the magnetic field (3-1=2)
934	independent constraints), and finally the constraint equations $\frac{\partial}{\partial X_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_3} b_p = 0$, as
935	deduced from MRA (2 independent constraints); resulting in a total of
936	12+3+9+5+2+2=33 independent parameters or constraints.
937	We note that the contribution of the current density measurements in this
938	approach is the first order gradient of the current density, which is related to the
939	quadratic magnetic gradient by Ampere's law. Considering the conservation of the

current density $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = 0$ and $\partial_3 \nabla \mathbf{B}$ already obtained from the constraint equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \mathbf{B} = -\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \nabla \mathbf{B}$, the constraint equation $\nabla (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = \nabla \mathbf{j}$ yields only $2 \times 3 \cdot 1 = 5$ independent constraints $(\partial_3 (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = \partial_3 \mathbf{j}$ is not independent). Similarly, $\nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) = 0$ provides only $3 \cdot 1 = 2$ independent constraints. Therefore, the linear and quadratic magnetic gradients, and the apparent velocity of

the magnetic structure, can be completely determined based on the 4-point magnetic

field and current density measured by the MMS constellation.

947

948 The calculations have been expressed as being carried out in the S/C constellation frame. The algorithm proceeds as follows. Firstly, under the linear 949 approximation, the temporal and spatial gradients of the magnetic field $(\nabla \mathbf{B}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t})$ and 950 of the current density $(\nabla \mathbf{J}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t})$ at the barycenter of the S/C constellation can be 951 obtained by the least-squares gradient calculations as demonstrated in Appendix A. 952 The time rate of change of the linear magnetic gradient, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \mathbf{B})_c$, and the second 953 954 order time derivative of the magnetic field can also be obtained. The apparent velocity of the magnetic structure relative to the S/C frame system can then be readily obtained 955 with the formula $\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}$, and also the gradient of the linear magnetic gradient 956 along the direction of motion, $(\nabla_3 \nabla \mathbf{B})_c$. With the constraint equation $\nabla (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = \nabla \mathbf{j}$, 957 the transverse quadratic magnetic gradient of the longitudinal magnetic field B_3 , 958 $\nabla_{p}\nabla_{q}B_{3}(p,q=1,2)$, can be found. Finally, the transverse quadratic magnetic 959 gradients of the transverse magnetic field, $\partial_{p}\partial_{q}B_{s}(t,\mathbf{r}_{c})$, can be obtained by using 960 the constraint equations $\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) = 0$, $\nabla(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = \nabla \mathbf{j}$, and magnetic rotation feature 961

962 $\frac{\partial}{\partial X_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_3} b_p = 0$. Therefore, all the 18 independent components of the quadratic

963 magnetic gradient can be calculated.

964	The quadratic magnetic gradient, obtained with no iteration, has a truncation
965	error of the first order in L/D because the linear approximation has been made. To
966	find a more accurate quadratic magnetic gradient, an iterative procedure can be
967	performed. In this procedure, the magnetic field, the linear magnetic gradient, and the
968	time derivative of the linear magnetic gradient are corrected by using the quadratic
969	magnetic gradient calculated initially and the above steps are then repeated so as to
970	achieve the components of the corrected quadratic magnetic gradient. After this first
971	iteration, the magnetic field, linear magnetic gradient, the apparent velocity of the
972	magnetic structure at the barycenter of the S/C tetrahedron all have their accuracies
973	improved significantly and have truncation errors in the second order of L/D, while
974	the accuracy of the quadratic magnetic gradient obtained is also enhanced.
975	This algorithm is valid for both steady and unsteady structures, whether the
976	magnetic structures are moving at a constant velocities or accelerating /decelerating. It
977	is noted that the magnetic field, linear and quadratic magnetic gradients are identical
978	for different inertial frames of reference.
979	With the magnetic field, linear and quadratic magnetic gradients found, the
980	complete geometry of the MFLs can be determined, including the natural coordinates
981	or Frenet coordinates (tangential unit vector, principal normal and binormal),
982	curvature and torsion. The corresponding estimators for the geometrical features have

983 been given.

984	The algorithm for estimating the quadratic magnetic gradient and the geometry
985	of the MFLs have been tested with the Harris current sheet and cylindrical flux rope,
986	and its correctness has been verified. It is found that, the errors of the linear quadratic
987	magnetic gradients, apparent velocity of the magnetic structure, and the geometrical
988	parameters are of first order in L/D when no iteration is made. If one iteration is
989	performed, the accuracies of the linear magnetic gradient, apparent velocity of the
990	magnetic structure, curvature of the MFLs are improved significantly and their errors
991	appear at the second order in L/D, while the accuracies of the quadratic magnetic
992	gradient and the torsion of the MFLs are also enhanced. To determine the first order
993	magnetic gradient and apparent relative velocity of the magnetic structure, this
994	algorithm is more accurate than the previous approaches based on the linearity
995	approximation (Harvey, 1998; Chanteur, 1998; Shi et al., 2006).
996	We have also applied the algorithm developed in this research to investigate the
997	magnetic structure of one flux rope measured by MMS (Eastwood et al., 2016),
998	showing good results. The applicability of this approach is therefore verified.
999	
1000	If the magnetic gradients with orders higher than two are neglected the
1001	magnetic field can be expressed as

1002
$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}_{c}) + (\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{c}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}_{c}) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{c}) \cdot \nabla \nabla \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{r}_{c}).$$
(65)

With the MMS magnetic field and current density measurements, the linear and
quadratic magnetic gradients at the barycenter are obtained, such that the local
spatial distribution of the magnetic field, as well as the MFLs, can be obtained.

- 1008 Acknowledgments. This work was supported by National Natural Science
- 1009 Foundation (NSFC) of China Grant (No. 41874190, 41922031 and 41774188). M. W.
- 1010 Dunlop is partly supported by Science & Technology Facilities Council (STFC)
- research grant ST/M001083/1, the NSFC grants 41574155 and 41431071, and the
- 1012 Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) grant NE/P016863/1. The authors are
- also thankful to the entire MMS team for providing the MMS data
- 1014 (<u>https://cdaweb.gsfc.nasa.gov</u>).

Appendix A: The explicit estimators for the linear gradients of field in space and
time

1033	De Keyser, et al. (2007) has put forward an algorithm for calculating the
1034	gradients in space and time of a field, which they called Least-Squares Gradient
1035	Calculation (LSGC). Here we will find the explicit estimator of the 4 dimensional
1036	linear gradients of a scalar field or one component of the vector field.
1037	Considering the 4 S/C of the constellation obtained time series of measurements
1038	on a certain physical quantity investigated, as illustrated in Figure 1 in Section 2. Here
1039	the S/C constellation reference frame is used. Assuming each S/C makes observations
1040	at n times, in total N=4n measurements are made by the constellation, which form a
1041	set of data. (It is supposed that, in this area of space time, the physical quantity
1042	concerned is approximately varying linearly, and the linear gradients of field in space
1043	and time are about homogeneous [De Keyser, et al., 2007].) In the S/C constellation
1044	coordinate system, the position of the observation point is
1045	$x_{(a)}^{\mu} = (x_a, y_a, z_a; t_a)(\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4)$. It is convenient to use the dimensionless length and
1046	time in the investigation. If the characteristic size of the S/C constellation is L and the
1047	time resolution of the observations is T, we can make the transformation:
1048	$x_a / L \rightarrow x_a$, $t_a / T \rightarrow t_a$. Obviously, in the S/C constellation reference frame, the four

1049 S/C are nearly motionless and their space coordinates $x_{(a)}^i = (x_a, y_a, z_a)$ do not

- 1050 change with time during typical structure crossing events.
- 1051

1052 In the S/C constellation reference frame, at the space time

1053
$$x_{(a)}^{\mu} = (x_a, y_a, z_a; t_a)(\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4)$$
, the physical quantity measured is $f(x_a^{\mu}) = f_{(a)}$, its

1054 gradients are $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\mu}} = \nabla_{\mu} f \equiv \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)$. The spacetime coordinates at the

1055 central point satisfy

1056
$$\sum_{a=1}^{N} \Delta x_{(a)}^{\mu} = \sum_{a=1}^{N} \left(x_{(a)}^{\mu} - x_{c}^{\mu} \right) = 0.$$
 (A1)

1057 Thus the spacetime coordinates at the central point are

1058
$$x_{\rm c}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\rm a=1}^{\rm N} x_{\rm (a)}^{\mu} \,. \tag{A2}$$

Here x_c^i are the space coordinates of the barycenter of the S/C constellation, which have fixed values and can be chosen as $x_c^i=0$. $x_c^4=t_c$ is the average time of the 4n observations.

1062

1063 The physical quantity $f_{(a)}$ measured at the point $x_{(a)}^{\mu}$ can be expanded around 1064 the central point x_{c}^{μ} as (Taylor expansion)

1065
$$f_{(a)} = f_c + \Delta x_a^{\nu} \nabla_{\nu} f_c + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x_a^{\nu} \Delta x_a^{\lambda} \nabla_{\nu} \nabla_{\lambda} f_c$$
(A3)

1066 Or

1067
$$f_{(a)} = f_{c} + \Delta x_{a}^{\nu} \mathbf{G}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x_{a}^{\nu} \Delta x_{a}^{\lambda} \mathbf{G}_{\nu\lambda}$$
(A3')

1068 Here, the first order gradient $G_v = (\nabla_v f)_c$, and the quadratic gradient

1069
$$\mathbf{G}_{\nu\lambda} = (\nabla_{\nu} \nabla_{\lambda} f)_c$$
. there are 5 parameters $(f_c, \mathbf{G}_{\nu} = (\nabla_{\nu} f)_c)$ to be determined.

1070 Construct the action

1071
$$S = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a} \left[f_{c} + \Delta x_{(a)}^{\nu} G_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x_{(a)}^{\nu} \Delta x_{(a)}^{\lambda} G_{\nu\lambda} - f_{(a)} \right]^{2}$$
(A4)

1072 To minimize it, let

1073
$$\delta S = 0 \tag{A5}$$

1074 Such as to obtain f_c and $G_v = \nabla_v f_c$ at the central point. The above equation leads to

1075
$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial f_c} = 0, \frac{\partial S}{\partial G_v} = 0, \frac{\partial S}{\partial G_{v\lambda}} = 0.$$
(A6)

1076 Since

1077

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial f_{c}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N} 2 \left[f_{c} + \Delta x_{(a)}^{\nu} G_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x_{(a)}^{\nu} \Delta x_{(a)}^{\lambda} G_{\nu\lambda} - f_{(i)} \right] \\
= 2 \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \left[f_{c} - f_{(a)} \right] + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \Delta x_{(a)}^{\nu} G_{\nu} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \Delta x_{(a)}^{\nu} \Delta x_{(a)}^{\lambda} G_{\nu\lambda} = 0$$
(A7)

1078 Considering Equation (A1), it reduces to

1079
$$f_{\rm c} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a} f_{(a)} - \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{a}^{N} \Delta x_{(a)}^{\nu} \Delta x_{(a)}^{\lambda} G_{\nu\lambda} .$$
(A8)

1080 or

1081
$$f_c = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a} f_{(a)} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R}^{\nu \lambda} G_{\nu \lambda} .$$
 (A8')

1082 Where the general volume tensor $R^{\mu\nu}$ is defined as

1083
$$\mathbf{R}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \Delta x_{(a)}^{\mu} \Delta x_{(a)}^{\nu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \left(x_{(a)}^{\mu} - x_{c}^{\mu} \right) \left(x_{(a)}^{\nu} - x_{c}^{\nu} \right). \tag{A9}$$

1084 Furthermore,

1085
$$0 = \frac{\delta S}{\delta G_{\mu}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N} 2 \left[f_{c} - f_{(a)} + \Delta x_{(a)}^{\nu} G_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x_{(a)}^{\nu} \Delta x_{(a)}^{\lambda} G_{\nu\lambda} \right] \nabla x_{(a)}^{\mu}$$
$$= -2 \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N} f_{(a)} \Delta x_{(a)}^{\mu} + 2R^{\mu\nu} G_{\nu} + R^{\mu\nu\lambda} G_{\nu\lambda}$$
(A10)

1086 where the 3 order tensor $R^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ is defined as

1087
$$\mathbf{R}^{\mu\nu\lambda} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \Delta x^{\mu}_{(a)} \Delta x^{\nu}_{(a)} \Delta x^{\lambda}_{(a)}.$$
(A11)

1088 From Equation (A10) we get

1089
$$\mathbf{R}^{\mu\nu}G_{\nu} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{a}^{N} \left(x_{(a)}^{\mu} - x_{c}^{\mu}\right)f_{a} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{R}^{\mu\nu\lambda}G_{\nu\lambda}.$$
 (A12)

1090 Thus the linear gradients at the central point are

1091
$$\mathbf{G}_{\nu} = (\nabla_{\nu} f)_{c} = \left(\mathbf{R}^{-1}\right)_{\nu\mu} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a}^{N} \left(x_{(a)}^{\mu} - x_{c}^{\mu}\right) f_{a} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{R}^{-1}\right)_{\nu\mu} \mathbf{R}^{\mu\sigma\lambda} \mathbf{G}_{\sigma\lambda} \,. \tag{A13}$$

1092 Here \mathbf{R}^{-1} satisfies $(\mathbf{R}^{-1})_{\nu\sigma} \mathbf{R}^{\sigma\lambda} = \mathbf{R}^{\lambda\sigma} (\mathbf{R}^{-1})_{\sigma\nu} = \delta_{\nu}^{\lambda}$. These are the first order gradients

1093 of the physical quantity in space and time at the central point.

1094 Under the linear approximation, the quadratic gradient is neglected, i.e., $G_{\nu\lambda} = 0$.

1095 From the formula (A8'), the physical quantity at the central point is

1096
$$f_0 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a} f_{(a)} \,. \tag{A14}$$

1097 From the formula (A13), the first order gradients of the physical quantity in space and1098 time are

1099
$$\mathbf{G}_{\nu} = (\nabla_{\nu} f)_{c} = \left(\mathbf{R}^{-1}\right)_{\nu\mu} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a}^{N} \left(x_{(a)}^{\mu} - x_{c}^{\mu}\right) f_{a} \,. \tag{A15}$$

1100

1101 Appendix B: Natures of the magnetic rotation tensor

1102

1103 In previous investigations [Shen et al., 2007; Shen et al., 2008a, b], the MRA

1104 (magnetic rotation analysis) method has been put forward to study the 3 dimensional

- 1105 rotational properties of the magnetic field. We may construct the magnetic rotational
- 1106 tensor **S** based on the gradient of the magnetic unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$, which is defined as

1107	$S_{ij} \equiv \nabla_i b_l \nabla_j b_l$. Because the tensor S is symmetrical ($S_{ij} = S_{ji}, i, j = 1, 2, 3$),	it has							
1108	three eigenvectors, $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_1$, $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_2$ and $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_3$, and three corresponding eigenvalues,	μ_1 , μ_2							
1109	and μ_3 with $\mu_1 \ge \mu_2 \ge \mu_3 \ge 0$. Actually, the third eigenvalue μ_3 is zero. Fadanelli,								
1110	et al. (2019) has presented one verification on this property of the magnetic rotational								
1111	tensor. To facilitate the understanding, here we can show another verification as the								
1112	following.								
1113	The length of $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ is 1, and $\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} = 1$, so that								
1114	$\nabla_i (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}) = (\nabla_i b_j) b_j = 0.$	(B1)							
1115	To ensure the existence of $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$, it is necessary that								
1116	$Det(\nabla_i b_j) = 0.$	(B2)							
1117	Based on its definition, the determinant of the magnetic rotation tensor is								
1118	$Det(S_{ij}) = Det(\nabla_i b_l) \cdot Det(\nabla_j b_l) = 0.$	(B3)							
1119	On the other hand,								
1120	$Det(S_{ij}) = \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3, \ \mu_1 \ge \mu_2 \ge \mu_3 \ge 0.$	(B4)							
1121	Thus equations (A3) and (A4) reduce to								
1122	$\mu_3 = 0$.	(B5)							
1123	So that the third eigenvalue μ_3 of the magnetic rotation tensor $S_{ij} = \nabla_i b_i \nabla_j b_j$	b_l is null							
1124	definitely.								
1125									
1126									
1127	Appendix C: Another verification on the formula of torsion of MFLs in	n terms							
1128	of magnetic gradients								

1130 Based on the definition, the torsion of the MFLs

 $\tau = \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\mathrm{d}\kappa}{\mathrm{ds}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}}$

1131

$$= \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{ds}} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{ds}} \frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mathrm{B}} \right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{ds}} \left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{B}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{B}}{\mathrm{ds}} + \mathbf{B} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{ds}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{B}} \right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}}$$

1132
$$= \frac{1}{\kappa} \left(\frac{1}{B} \frac{d^2 \mathbf{B}}{ds^2} + 2 \frac{d}{ds} \frac{1}{B} \cdot \frac{d \mathbf{B}}{ds} + \mathbf{B} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \frac{1}{B} \right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}} .$$
(C1)

1133 Due to
$$\mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}} = \mathbf{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}} = 0$$
, $\frac{d\mathbf{B}}{ds} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}} = \left(\mathbf{B}\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{ds} + \frac{d\mathbf{B}}{ds}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}} = \left(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{\kappa} + \frac{d\mathbf{B}}{ds}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}} = 0$, the

second and third terms at the left hand of the above formula disappear. Therefore

1135
$$\tau = \frac{1}{\kappa B} \frac{d^2 \mathbf{B}}{ds^2} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}} .$$
(C2)

1136 This gives the relationship between the torsion of the MFLs and the second order

1137 derivative of the magnetic field along the MFLs.

1138 Furthermore, the torsion of the MFLs becomes

1139 $\tau = \frac{1}{\kappa B} \hat{\mathbf{N}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{ds}} \left(\frac{1}{B} \mathbf{B}_{i} \partial_{i} \mathbf{B} \right)$

1140
$$= \frac{1}{\kappa B} \hat{\mathbf{N}} \cdot \left[\left(\frac{d}{ds} \frac{1}{B} \right) B_i \partial_i \mathbf{B} + \frac{1}{B} \left(\frac{d}{ds} B_i \right) \partial_i \mathbf{B} + \frac{1}{B} B_i \frac{d}{ds} \partial_i \mathbf{B} \right].$$
(C3)

1142
$$\hat{\mathbf{N}} \cdot (\mathbf{B}_{i} \partial_{i} \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{B} \hat{\mathbf{N}} \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \mathbf{B} = -\mathbf{B} \cdot \frac{d\hat{\mathbf{N}}}{ds} \cdot \mathbf{B} = -\mathbf{B} (-\tau \hat{\mathbf{K}}) \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$
. So that the torsion is

1143
$$\tau = \frac{1}{\kappa B} \hat{\mathbf{N}} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{B} \left(\frac{d}{ds} \mathbf{B}_{i} \right) \partial_{i} \mathbf{B} + \frac{1}{B} \mathbf{B}_{i} \frac{d}{ds} \partial_{i} \mathbf{B} \right]$$

1144
$$= \frac{1}{\kappa B^3} N_m B_n \partial_n B_i \partial_i B_m + \frac{1}{\kappa B^3} N_m B_i B_n \partial_n \partial_i B_m.$$
(C4)

1147 Appendix D: Geometry of the MFLs in 1 dimensional current sheets

1148

1149	It is assumed that the magnetic field in the 1 dimensional currents is
1150	$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_x \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x + \mathbf{B}_y \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y + \mathbf{B}_z \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$. Let the z axis to be along the normal to the 1 dimensional
1151	current sheets. The components of the magnetic field in the x and y directions are
1152	invariants, i.e., $\partial x = 0$, $\partial y = 0$. Therefore the components of the magnetic field in the
1153	Cartesian coordinates are

1154
$$\begin{cases} B_x = B_0 \eta(z) \\ B_y = \text{Const.} \\ B_z = \text{Const.} \end{cases}$$
 (D1)

1155 We may choose that $B_z \ge 0$, $B_0 > 0$, $\partial_z B_x = B_0 \eta'(z) > 0$. As for the Harris

1156 current sheets [Harris, 1962], $\eta(z) = \tanh(z/h)$, where h is the half width of the

- 1157 current sheets. The total magnetic strength is $\mathbf{B} = \left(\mathbf{B}_x^2 + \mathbf{B}_y^2 + \mathbf{B}_z^2\right)^{1/2}$.
- 1158 The curvature of the MFLs is

 $\mathbf{\kappa} = \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\mathbf{b}}$

1159

$$=\mathbf{B}^{-2} (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{B}^{-4} (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}^{2} \cdot \mathbf{B}$$

$$=\mathbf{B}^{-2} \mathbf{B}_{z} \partial_{z} \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{B}^{-4} \mathbf{B}_{z} \partial_{z} \mathbf{B}_{x}^{2} \cdot \mathbf{B}$$

$$=\mathbf{B}^{-2} \mathbf{B}_{z} \partial_{z} \mathbf{B}_{x} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} - \mathbf{B}^{-4} \mathbf{B}_{z} \mathbf{B}_{x} \partial_{z} \mathbf{B}_{x} \cdot \mathbf{B}$$

$$=\mathbf{B}^{-4} \mathbf{B}_{z} \partial_{z} \mathbf{B}_{x} \cdot (\mathbf{B}^{2} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} - \mathbf{B}_{x} \mathbf{B})$$

$$=\mathbf{B}^{-4} \mathbf{B}_{z} \partial_{z} \mathbf{B}_{x} \left[(\mathbf{B}_{y}^{2} + \mathbf{B}_{z}^{2}) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} - \mathbf{B}_{x} \mathbf{B}_{y} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} - \mathbf{B}_{x} \mathbf{B}_{z} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} \right]$$

1160

(D2)

1161 The value of the curvature is

1162
$$\kappa = \mathbf{B}^{-4} \mathbf{B}_z \partial_z \mathbf{B}_x \cdot \mathbf{B} \left(\mathbf{B}_y^2 + \mathbf{B}_z^2 \right)^{1/2} = \mathbf{B}^{-3} \mathbf{B}_z \left(\mathbf{B}_y^2 + \mathbf{B}_z^2 \right)^{1/2} \partial_z \mathbf{B}_x$$
 (D3)

- The radius of the curvature is $R_c = 1/\kappa$. 1163
- The principal normal vector is 1164

1165
$$\hat{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{\kappa}/\kappa$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{\kappa}/\kappa = \mathbf{B}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{B}_{y}^{2} + \mathbf{B}_{z}^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left[\left(\mathbf{B}_{y}^{2} + \mathbf{B}_{z}^{2} \right) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} - \mathbf{B}_{x} \mathbf{B}_{y} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} - \mathbf{B}_{x} \mathbf{B}_{z} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} \right] .$$
(D4)

The binormal vector is 1166

$$\hat{\mathbf{N}} = \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{K}}$$

$$= \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{B} \times \hat{\mathbf{K}}$$
1167
$$= \mathbf{B}^{-2} \left(\mathbf{B}_{y}^{2} + \mathbf{B}_{z}^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbf{B}_{x} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} + \mathbf{B}_{y} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} + \mathbf{B}_{z} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} \right) \times \left[\left(\mathbf{B}_{y}^{2} + \mathbf{B}_{z}^{2} \right) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} - \mathbf{B}_{x} \mathbf{B}_{y} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} - \mathbf{B}_{x} \mathbf{B}_{z} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} \right]$$

$$= \mathbf{B}^{-2} \left(\mathbf{B}_{y}^{2} + \mathbf{B}_{z}^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} \mathbf{B}_{z} \mathbf{B}^{2} - \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} \mathbf{B}_{y} \mathbf{B}^{2} \right)$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{B}_{y}^{2} + \mathbf{B}_{z}^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbf{B}_{z} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} - \mathbf{B}_{y} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} \right)$$
1168
.
(D5)

Therefore, the binormal of the MFLs is constant. Then, based on the definition (58), 1169

1171
$$\tau = -\frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbf{\kappa} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\mathbf{N}}}{\mathrm{ds}} = 0.$$
 (D6)

So that, the MFLs in the current sheets as formulated by (D1) are plane curves. 1172 For the asymmetric current sheet, $\eta(z) = \alpha + \tanh(z/h)$, $1 > \alpha > 0$. As for the shock 1173 fronts, $B_y = 0$, and $\eta(z) = \alpha + \tanh(z/h)$, $\alpha > 1$. For these cases, the MFLs are 1174

plane curves with zero torsion. 1175

1176

However, as shown in actual observations, the component B_y is not constant, 1177

- which maximises at the center of neutral sheets and is decreasing away from the 1178
- 1179 center of the current sheets [Rong, et al., 2012]. The MFLs in the magnetotail current
- sheets often have a shape of helix in the neutral sheets (Shen, et al., 2008a). 1180

Appendix E: Geometry of Cylindrical helical MFLs in magnetic flux ropes with
axial symmetry

1184

1185	Cylindrical spiral M	FLs are common in space plasmas,	, as seen in FTEs [Russell
------	----------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------

- and Elphic, 1979; Lee et al., 1985; Liu and Hu, 1988; Lockwood and Hapgood, 1998;
- 1187 Wang et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2018] or flux ropes caused by local magnetic
- reconnection processes [Sibeck, et al., 1984; Slavin et al., 1989; Kivelson et al., 1995;
- 1189 Slavin et al., 2003; Zong et al., 2004; Pu et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2007], fast tailward
- escaping plamoids [Slavin et al., 1989; Slavin et al., 1995], etc.

1191



1194 Figure E1 Demonstration on the cylindrical spiral MFLs.

1195

1196 As shown in Figure E1, polar coordinates are used. The central axis is along the z axis, 1197 the arc length is s, the distance from the central axis is r, and the azimuthal angle is 1198 ϕ . The radial unit vector is $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r$, and the azimuthal unit vector is $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi}$. The tangent 1199 vector of the MFLs is 1200 $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{B} / \mathbf{B} = \cos\beta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi} + \sin\beta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$, (E1) 1201 where β is the helix angle of the MFLs. The helical pitch is $\mathbf{p} = 2\pi r \tan\beta$. Define 1202 the rotation frequency $\omega \equiv d\phi/ds$. Then $\omega = \phi/s = 2\pi/(p/\sin\beta) = \cos\beta/r$. Thus,

1203
$$\frac{\mathrm{ds}}{\mathrm{d}\phi} = \frac{1}{\omega} = \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\cos\beta} \,. \tag{E2}$$

1204 The curvature of the MFLs is

1205
$$\mathbf{\kappa} = \frac{d\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{ds} = \frac{d\phi}{ds}\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{d\phi} = \omega\cos\beta\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi}}{d\phi} = -\omega\cos\beta\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{r}.$$
 (E3)

1206 Where, $\frac{d}{d\phi}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi} = -\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{r}$ is used. So that the curvature is

1207
$$\mathbf{\kappa} = -\omega \cos \beta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathrm{r}} \,. \tag{E3'}$$

1208 The value of the curvature is

1209
$$\kappa = \omega \cos \beta = r \omega^2 = r^{-1} \cos^2 \beta .$$
 (E4)

1210 The radius of curvature is

1211 $R_c = r(\cos\beta)^{-2}$. (E5)

1212 The principal vector of the helical MFLs is $\hat{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{\kappa} / \kappa = -\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{r}$, that is along the radial

1213 direction. The binormal \hat{N} is

1214
$$\hat{\mathbf{N}} = \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{K}} = \left(\cos\beta\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi} + \sin\beta\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z}\right) \times \left(-\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{r}\right) = \cos\beta\cdot\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} - \sin\beta\cdot\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi}.$$
 (E6)

1215 The variation rate of the binormal \hat{N} along the MFLs is

1216
$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{N}}}{ds} = \frac{d\phi}{ds} \cdot \frac{d\hat{\mathbf{N}}}{d\phi} = \omega (-\sin\beta) \frac{d\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi}}{d\phi} = \omega \sin\beta \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{r}.$$
 (E7)

1217 So that the torsion of the helical MFLs is

1218
$$\tau = -\hat{\mathbf{K}} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{N}}{ds} = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{r} \cdot \omega \sin\beta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{r} = \omega \sin\beta = r^{-1} \sin\beta \cos\beta = 2\pi p^{-1} \sin^{2}\beta$$
(E8)

1219 On the contrary, if the curvature κ and torsion τ of the cylindrical spiral 1220 MFLs have been measured, the helix angle, the distance from the central axis, the 1221 spiral pitch and the rotation frequency can be expressed as

1222
$$\tan \beta = \frac{\tau}{\kappa} = \tau R_{\rm c},$$

1223
$$\mathbf{r} = \kappa^{-1} \cos^2 \beta = \frac{\kappa}{\tau^2 + \kappa^2}, \quad (E10)$$

(E9)

1224
$$p = 2\pi r \tan \beta = \frac{2\pi \tau}{\tau^2 + \kappa^2}, \qquad (E11)$$

1225
$$\omega = \frac{\cos\beta}{r} = \sqrt{\tau^2 + \kappa^2} . \tag{E12}$$

1226 Any arbitrary magnetic field line can locally be fitted by a cylindrical spiral arc 1227 with the same curvature and torsion. The curvatures of the magnetic field lines are 1228 always non-negative. However, the torsion of one MFL can be either positive or 1229 negative. When $\tau > 0$, the helix angle $\beta > 0$, the magnetic field line is locally a 1230 right-hand cylindrical spiral; while $\tau < 0$, $\beta < 0$, it is a left-hand one.

- 1231
- 1232
- 1233

1234

1237 **References**

- 1238 Angelopoulos, V. (2008). The THEMIS mission. Space Science Reviews, 141(1 4),
- 1239 5 34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214 008 9336 1</u>.
- 1240 Balogh, A., et al. (2001), The Cluster magnetic field investigation: overview of
- inflight performance and initial results, Ann. Geophys., 19, 1207.
- 1242 Burch, J. L., Moore, T. E., Torbert, R. B., & Giles, B. L. (2016). Magnetospheric
- 1243 Multiscale overview and science objectives. Space Science Reviews, 199(1 4),
- 1244 5 21. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214 015 0164 9.
- 1245 Chanteur, G. (1998), Spatial Interpolation for four spacecraft: Theory, in Analysis
- 1246 *Methods for Multi-Spacecraft Data*, edited by G. Paschmann and P. W.Daly, p. 349,
- 1247 ESA Publ. Div., Noordwijk, Netherlands.
- 1248 De Keyser, J., Dunlop, M. W., Darrouzet, F., and D'ecr'eau, P. M. E.: Least-squares
- 1249 gradient calculation from multi-point observations of scalar and vector fields:
- 1250 Methodology and applications with Cluster in the plasmasphere, Ann. Geophys.,
- 1251 25, 971–987, 2007, http://www.ann-geophys.net/25/971/2007/.
- 1252 Dunlop, M. W., and T. I. Woodward (1998), Multi-spacecraft discontinuity analysis:
- 1253 Orientation and motion, in Analysis Methods for Multi-Spacecraft Data, edited by
- G. Paschmann and P. W. Daly, p. 271, ESA Publications Division, Noordwijk, TheNetherlands.
- 1256 Dunlop, M. W., D. J. Southwood, K.-H. Glassmeier, and F. M. Neubauer, Analysis of
- 1257 multipoint magnetometer data, Adv. Space Res., 8, 273, 1988.

1258	Dunlop, M. W., YY. Yang, J-Y. Yang, H. Luhr, C. Shen, N. Olsen, QH. Zhang, Y.V.
1259	Bogdanova, JB. Cao, P. Ritter, K. Kauristie, A. Masson and R. Haagmans (2015),
1260	Multi-spacecraft current estimates at Swarm, J. Geophys. Res., 120,
1261	doi:10.1002/2015JA021707.
1262	Dunlop, M W, Haaland, S., Escoubet, P. And X-C Dong (2016), Commentary on
1263	accessing 3-D currents in space: Experiences from Cluster, J. Geophys. Res., 121,
1264	doi:10.1002/2016JA022668.
1265	Dunlop, M. W., S. Haaland, X-C. Dong, H. Middleton, P. Escoubet, Y-Y. Yang, Q-H
1266	Zhang, J-K. Shi and C.T. Russell (2018), Multi-point analysis of current structures
1267	and applications: Curlometer technique, in Electric Currents in Geospace and
1268	Beyond (eds A. Keiling, O. Marghitu, and M. Wheatland), John Wiley & Sons, Inc,
1269	Hoboken, N.J., AGU books, doi: 10.1002/9781119324522.ch4
1270	Dunlop, M. W. JY. Yang, Y-Y. Yang, H. Lühr and JB. Cao (2020), Multi-spacecraft
1271	current estimates at Swarm, in Multi-satellite data analysis, edited by M W Dunlop
1272	and H Luehr, ISSI scientific reports volume 17, Springer,
1273	DOI:10.1007/978-3-030-26732-2_5.
1274	Eastwood, J. P., T. D. Phan, P. A. Cassak et al. (2016), Ion-scale secondary flux ropes
1275	generated by magnetopause reconnection as resolved by MMS, Geophys. Res.

- 1276 Lett., 43, 4716–4724, doi:10.1002/2016GL068747.
- 1277 Escoubet, C. P., Fehringer, M., & Goldstein, M. (2001), Introduction: The Cluster
- 1278 mission, Annales Geophysicae, 19, 1197-1200,
- 1279 https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-19-197-2001.
- 1280 Fadanelli, S., B. Lavraud, F. Califano, et al. (2019). Four spacecraft measurements
- 1281 of the shape and dimensionality of magnetic structures in the near Earth plasma
- 1282 environment. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 124.
- 1283 https://doi.org/10.1029/ 2019JA026747

- 1284 Friis-Christensen, E., H. Lühr, and G. Hulot (2006), Swarm: A constellation to study
- the Earth's magnetic field, Earth Planets Space, 58, 351–358.
- 1286 Hamrin, M., K. Rönnmark, N. Börlin, J. Vedin, and A. Vaivads (2008), Gals gradient
- analysis by least squares, *Annales Geophysicae*, *26*(11), 3491-3499.
- 1288 Harris, E. G. (1962), On a plasma sheath separating regions of oppositely directed
- 1289 magnetic field, Nuovo Cimento XXIII, 115.
- 1290 Harvey, C. C. (1998), Spatial gradients and the volumetric tensor, in Analysis Methods
- 1291 for Multi-Spacecraft Data, edited by G. Paschmann and P. W. Daly, p. 307, ESA
- 1292 Publications Division, Noordwijk, The Netherlands.
- 1293 Kivelson, M. G., K. K. Khurana, R. J. W alker, L. Kepko, and D. Xu (1995), Flux
- ropes, interhemispheric conjugacy, and magnetospheric current closure, J.
 Geophys. Res., 10(A12), 27,341–27,350, doi:10.1029/96JA02220.
- 1296 Lavraud, B., Zhang, Y. C., Vernisse, Y., Gershman, D. J., Dorelli, J., Cassak, P. A., et al.
- 1297 (2016). Currents and associated electron scattering and bouncing near the diffusion
- region at Earth's magnetopause. Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 6036–6043.
- 1299 https://doi.org/10.1002/ 2016GL068359.
- 1300 Lee, L. C., Z. F. Fu, and S.-I. Akasofu (1985), A simulation study of forced
- 1301 reconnection processes and magnetospheric storms and substorms, J. Geophys.
- 1302 Res., 90(A11), 896–910, doi:10.1029/JA090iA11p10896.
- Liu, Y. Y., et al. (2019), SOTE: A nonlinear method for magnetic topology
 reconstruction in space plasmas, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*244.31.

- 1306 Liu, Z.-X., C. P. Escoubet, Z. Pu, H. Laakso, J. Q. Shi, C. Shen, M. Hapgood,
- 1307 (2005), The Double Star Mission, Ann Geophysicae, 23, 2707-2712, doi:
- 1308 10.5194/angeo-23-2707-2005.
- 1309 Liu, Z. X., and Y. D. Hu (1988), Local magnetic reconnection caused by vortices in the
- 1310 flow field, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **12**, 752.
- 1311 Liu, Y., Z. Y. Pu, et al. (2018), Ion-scale structures in flux ropes observed by MMS at
- the magnetopause (in Chinese), Chin. J. Space Sci., 38(2), 147-168.
- 1313 DOI:10.11728/cjss2018.02.147.
- 1314 Lockwood, M., and M. A. Hapgood (1998), On the cause of a magnetospheric flux
- 1315 transfer event, J. Geophys. Res., 103(A11), 26,453–26,478,
- 1316 doi:10.1029/98JA02244.
- McComas, C., T. Russell, R. C. Elphic, and S. J. Bame, The near-Earth cross-tail
 current sheet: Detailed ISEE 1 and 2 case studies, J. Geophys. Res., 91, 4287,
 1319 1986.
- 1320 Ogilvie, K. W., T. Von Rosenvinge, and A. C. Durney (1977), International
- 1321 Sun-Earth explorer: A three-spacecraft program, Science, 198, 131–138.
- 1322 Pu, Z.Y., Q.-G. Zong, T.A. Fritz, C.J. Xiao, Z.Y. Huang, S.Y. Fu, Q.Q. Shi, M.W.
- 1323 Dunlop, K.-H. Glassmeier, A. Balogh, P. Daly, H. Reme, J. Dandouras, J.B. Cao,
- 1324 Z.X. Liu, C. Shen, and J.K. Shi (2005), Multiple flux rope events at the
- high-latitude magnetopause: cluster/rapid observation on 26 January, 2001, Surv.
- 1326 Geophys. **26**(1–3), 193–214, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-005-1878-0</u>
- 1327 Rong, Z. J., W. X. Wan, C. Shen, X. Li, M. W. Dunlop, A. A. Petrukovich, T. L.

1328	Zhang, and I	E. Lucek	(2011),	Statistical	survey on	the mag	gnetic s	tructure in
1329	magnetotail	current	sheets	s, J.	Geophys.	Res.,	116,	A09218,
1330	doi:10.1029/2	011JA016	5489.					

- 1331 Rong, Z. J., W. X. Wan, C. Shen, X. Li, M. W. Dunlop, A. A.Petrukovich, L.-N.
- Hau, E. Lucek, H. Rème (2012), Profile of strong magnetic field By component in
- 1333 magnetotail current sheets, J. Geophys. Res., 117, A06216,
 1334 doi:10.1029/2011JA017402.
- 1335 Russell, C. T., Anderson, B. J., Baumjohann, W., Bromund, K. R., Dearborn, D.,
- 1336 Fischer, D., et al. (2016), The MagnetosphericMultiscale magnetometers, *Space*
- 1337 Science Reviews, 199(1-4), 189-256, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214 014 -</u>
- 1338 <u>0057 3</u>.
- 1339 Russell, C. T., and R. C. Elphic (1979), ISEE observations of flux transfer events at
- the dayside magnetopause, Geophys. Res. Lett., 6(1), 33–36,
- 1341 doi:10.1029/GL006i001p00033.
- 1342 Shen, C., X. Li, M. Dunlop, Z. X. Liu, A. Balogh, D. N. Baker, M. Hapgood, and X.
- 1343 Wang (2003), Analyses on the geometrical structure of magnetic field in the
- 1344 current sheet based on cluster measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 108(A5), 1168,
- 1345 doi:10.1029/2002JA009612.
- 1346 Shen, C., and Z. -X. Liu (2005), Double Star Project Master Science Operations Plan,
- 1347 Ann. Geophysicae, 23, 2851-2859, doi: 10.5194/angeo-23-2851-2005.Shen, C., X.
- 1348 Li, M. Dunlop, Q. Q. Shi, Z. X. Liu, E. Lucek, and Z. Q. Chen (2007), Magnetic

- field rotation analysis and the applications, J. Geophys. Res., 112, A06211,
- doi:10.1029/2005JA011584.
- 1351 Shen, C., Z. Liu, X. Li, M. W. Dunlop, E. A. Lucek, Z. Rong, Z. Chen, C. P. Escoubet,
- 1352 H. V. Malova, A. T. Y. Lui, A. N. Fazakerley, A. P. Walsh, and C. Mouikis
- 1353 (2008a), Flattened Current Sheet and its Evolution in Substorms, J. Geophys. Res.,
- 1354 113, A07S21,doi:10.1029/2007JA012812.
- 1355 Shen, C., Z. J. Rong, X. Li, Z. X. Liu, M. Dunlop, E. Lucek, H.V. Malova (2008b),
- 1356 Magnetic Configurations of Tail Tilted Current Sheets, Ann. Geophys., 26,
- 1357 3525–3543.
- 1358 Shen, C., et al. (2011), The magnetic configuration of the high-latitude cusp and
- dayside magnetopause under strongmagnetic shears, J. Geophys. Res., 116,
- 1360 A09228, doi:10.1029/2011JA016501.
- 1361 Shen, C., et al. (2012a), Spatial gradients from irregular, multiple-point spacecraft
- 1362 configurations, J. Geophys. Res., 117, A11207, doi:10.1029/2012JA018075.
- 1363 Shen, C., Z. J. Rong, and M. Dunlop (2012b), Determining the full magnetic field
- 1364 gradient from two spacecraft measurements under special constraints, J. Geophys.
- 1365 Res., 117, A10217, doi:10.1029/2012JA018063.
- 1366 Shen, C., et al. (2014), Direct calculation of the ring current distribution and magnetic
- 1367 structure seen by Cluster during geomagnetic storms, J. Geophys. Res.
- 1368 SpacePhysics, 119, doi:10.1002/2013JA019460.
- 1369 Shi, Q. Q., Shen, C., Dunlop, M. W., Pu, Z. Y., Zong, Q.-G., Liu, Z. X., Lucek, E., and
- 1370 Balogh, A., 2006, Motion of observed structures calculated from multi-point

- 1371 magnetic field measurements: Application to Cluster, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33,
- 1372 L08109, doi:10.1029/2005GL025073.
- 1373 Sibeck, D. G., G. L. Siscoe, J. A. Slavin, E. J. Smith, S. J. Bame, and F. L. Scarf
- 1374 (1984), Magnetotail flux ropes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 11(10), 1090–1093,
- 1375 doi:10.1029/GL011i010p01090.
- 1376 Slavin, J. A., et al. (1989), CDAW 8 observations of plasmoid signatures in the
- 1377 geomagnetic tail: An assessment, J. Geophys. Res., 94(A11), 15,153–15,175,
- doi:10.1029/JA094iA11p15153.
- 1379 Slavin, J. A., C. J. Owen, and M. M. Kuznetsova (1995), ISEE3 observations of
- 1380 plasmoids with flux rope magnetic topologies, Geophys. Res. Lett., 22(15),
- 1381 2061–2064, doi:10.1029/95GL01977.
- 1382 Slavin, J. A., et al. (2003), Cluster electric current density measurements within a
- 1383 magnetic flux rope in the plasma sheet, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30(7), 1362,
- doi:10.1029/2002GL016411.
- Song, P., and C. T. Russell (1999), Time series data analyses in space physics, *Space ence Reviews*, 87(3-4), 387-463.
- 1387 Torbert, R. B., Vaith, H., Granoff, M., Widholm, M., Gaidos, J. A., Briggs, B. H., et al.
- 1388 (2015). The electron drift instrument for MMS. Space Science Reviews, 199(1 4),
- 1389 283 305. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214 015 0182 7
- 1390 Torbert, R. B., Dors, I., Argall, M. R., Genestreti, K. J., Burch, J. L., Farrugia, C. J., et
- al. (2020). A new method of 3 D magnetic field reconstruction. Geophysical
- 1392 Research Letters, 47, e2019GL085542. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2019GL085542.
- Vogt, J., G. Paschmann, and G. Chanteur (2008), Reciprocal Vectors, in
 Multi-Spacecraft Analysis Methods Revisited, ISSI Sci. Rep., SR-008, edited by G.
 Paschmann and P. W. Daly, pp. 33–46, Kluwer Academic Pub., Dordrecht,
 Netherlands.
- Vogt, J., A. Albert, and O. Marghitu (2009), Analysis of three-spacecraft data using
 planar reciprocal vectors: Methodological framework and spatial gradient
 estimation, Ann. Geophys., 27, 3249–3273, doi:10.5194/angeo-27-3249-2009.
- 1400 Wang J., M. W. Dunlop, Z.Y. Pu, et al. (2007), TC1 and Cluster observation of an
- 1401 FTE on 4 January 2005: A close conjunction, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L03106,
 1402 doi:10.1029/2006GL028241.
- 1403 Xiao, C., W. Liu, C. Shen, H. Zhang, & Z. Rong (2018). Study on the curvature and
- 1404 gradient of the magnetic field in Earth's cusp region based on the magnetic
- 1405 curvature analysis method. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 123,

1406 3794–3805. https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JA025028

- 1407 Zhang, C., Rong, Z. J., Shen, C., Klinger. L., Gao. J. W., Slavin. J. A., Zhang, Y. C.,
- 1408 Cui. J., Wei. Y., (2020). Examining the magnetic geometry of magnetic flux ropes
- 1409 from the view of single-point analysis. *The Astrophysical Journal.*, doi:
- 1410 10.3847/1538-4357/abba16
- 1411 Zhang, Y. C., Z. X. Liu, C. Shen, A. Fazakerley, M. Dunlop, H. Reme, E. Lucek, A. P.
- Walsh, and L. Yao (2007). The magnetic structure of an earthward-moving flux
 rope observed by Cluster in the near-tail, *Ann. Geophys.*, 25, 1471-1476.
- 1414 Zong, Q. G., et al. (2004), Cluster observations of earthward flowing plasmoid in the

tail, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L18803, doi:10.1029/2004GL020692.