ICESat-2/ATLAS Instrument Linear System Impulse Response

Anthony Martino¹, Christopher T Field², and Luis Ramos-Izquierdo¹

¹National Aeronautics and Space Administration ²Hexagon US Federal

November 26, 2022

Abstract

Ideally, the response of the Advanced Topographic Laser Altimeter System (ATLAS) instrument on the Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite-2 (ICESat-2) observatory to returns from a flat target at a fixed distance would be a single peak. However, ICESat-2 profiles from very flat surfaces display extra features below the presumed Earth surface. This paper identifies the multiple reflections within the ATLAS receiver that create those extra pulses. We describe their sources, compare their measured position to optical ray trace predictions, and discuss their relative amplitude. We then explore the possibility of using afterpulses to extract surface elevation information from highly saturated returns, in which the first return pulse is distorted and displaced by nonlinear effects in the detector.

ICESat-2/ATLAS Instrument Linear System Impulse Response 2 Christopher T. Field^{1,2†}, Anthony J. Martino², and Luis Ramos-Izquierdo² 3 ¹Hexagon US Federal, Chantilly, VA, United States 4 ²NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, United States. 5 6 Corresponding author: Christopher T. Field (Christopher.T.Field@NASA.gov) and Anthony. J. Martino (Anthony.J.Martino@NASA.gov) 7 †Now at Aurora Engineering, Orono, ME, United States 8 9 **Key Points:** ICESat-2 instrument impulse response contains predictable afterpulses • 10 Afterpulses can be used to estimate characteristics of distorted first pulses • 11 12

1

Formatted: Numbering: Continuous

13 Abstract

14 Ideally, the response of the Advanced Topographic Laser Altimeter System (ATLAS) instrument 15 on the Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite–2 (ICESat-2) observatory to returns from a flat

on the Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite–2 (ICESat-2) observatory to returns from a fl target at a fixed distance would be a single peak. However, ICESat-2 profiles from very flat

surfaces display extra features below the presumed Earth surface. This paper identifies the

multiple reflections within the ATLAS receiver that create those extra pulses. We describe their

sources, compare their measured position to optical ray trace predictions, and discuss their

relative amplitude. We then explore the possibility of using afterpulses to extract surface

21 elevation information from highly saturated returns, in which the first return pulse is distorted

22 and displaced by nonlinear effects in the detector.

23 1 Introduction

The Advanced Topographic Laser Altimeter System (ATLAS) instrument on the Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite–2 (ICESat-2) observatory uses laser light and single-photonsensitive photomultipler tubes to measure surface elevation (Martino, et al., 2019; Neumann, et al., 2019). The cited papers describe the design and operation of ATLAS. This paper provides a closer look at one particular characteristic of the instrument that has produced artifacts visible in on-orbit data: its linear system impulse response.

ATLAS provides for monitoring changes in start or receiver signal delays and impulse 30 response shapes by routing a very small amount of the outgoing laser light through optical fibers, 31 called the Transmitter Echo Path (TEP), to detectors for two of the six beams (on two of the 32 three photon-counting electronics cards). The intensity of light through this path has been 33 adjusted so that one photon is detected about every 10 to 20 laser shots. The times of flight of 34 TEP photons are measured from the same start pulse as times of flight of return photons, so 35 changes in TEP time of flight can be used to correct for gradual changes in the bias of return 36 times of flight. Many hundreds of TEP photons (many thousands of shots) are required to yield 37 millimeter-range precision. 38

Multiple reflections in the receiver system result in some collected photons arriving at the detectors "late". Thus the impulse responses for TEP and return contain several afterpulses in addition to the first pulse. The afterpulses can appear as a false surface under the true surface when the terrain is very flat, over water surfaces, or especially when specular surface reflections generate very strong returns.

Because the optical paths for TEP photons and return photons are different, the impulse
 responses for the two photon sources are also slightly different. This paper reports on the
 ATLAS system impulse responses both sources of photons, and it describes the locations and
 amplitudes of the afterpulses relative to the first pulse.

Time-of-flight values from multiple shots are often aggregated in a histogram to produce a waveform-like display. The background photoevent rate can be estimated as the base or floor of the histogram. The location of scattering targets can be identified as peaks, also referred to as "pulses" in this paper. The minimum pulse width is the transmitted pulse width, about 1.25 ns; surface roughness often widens it. A fit to an exponentially-modified Gaussian (EMG) function is used to extract a single time of flight from each peak/pulse. (Greeley et. al, 2019; Lacouture & Cousineau, 2008; Roland, 1985)

55 2 System Impulse Response

56 2.1 System Impulse Response

Figure 1a shows the ATLAS small-signal (single photon per shot) response to a flat target, in this case the a lateral-transfer retroreflector called the Zero-Range Target that was used during pre-launch Integration and Test (I&T) to calibrate the absolute range measurement. The first big peak is the return from the Zero Range Target (1.5 photoevents from the target per shot), while the second, third, and (very small) fourth peaks (AP 1, AP 2, and AP3) are afterpulses generated by optical reflections in the ATLAS receiver system. The solid red line is three Exponentially Modified Gaussian functions plus a constant fit to the background level. The

histogram is computed in 50-ps bins and smoothed over 7 bins (a 350-ps window).





Figure 1. Small-signal system impulse response data collected for 10 minutes during
integration and testing on 2 March 2016 starting at 19:50 during the Zero-Range Test. (a) Beam
3 response from surface reflections (blue dots) with three fitted Exponentially Modified Gaussian
functions (red line), showing the first pulse and afterpulses. The elevated background level lasts
for several hundred ns. (b) Beam 1 TEP response.

66 67

71 72

There are four features of particular note. First, after the first pulse there are two nearly 73 equal-amplitude afterpulses about the same shape as the first pulse, although three to four orders 74 of magnitude weaker than the first pulse. Second, the histogram floor (background) is different 75 before the first pulse than between or after the pulses. This suggests that the ATLAS detectors 76 have a long tail of internally generated events generated at random times, or, less likely, there is 77 some residual laser emission after the main laser pulse. This has implications for estimation of 78 79 background solar rate as it is traditional to define the background as the event rate from "below" 80 the ground because that would normally ensure there was no atmosphere backscattered laser

81 light. Third, the received pulse shape seems to be described well as an Exponentially Modified

82 Gaussian plus an exponentially decaying component, which we have not tried to model as it

starts more than three orders of magnitude below the peak. Fourth, the fitting function we used
 sets the same background rate before and after the pulse.

Figure 1b shows the TEP system impulse resonse. The third pulse, which we call "Echo", is nearly the same amplitude as the intial pulse and is followed by two more afterpulses.

The histograms shown in Figure 1 were taken at the same time with the slightly more than 7 million shots in the data set. The horizontal axis of each plot has been adjusted so that the first peak's centroid is at the origin. The TEP peak is about an order of magnitude smaller than Return peak from the Zero-Range Target. This difference in incident photon rate might explain why the floor in Figure 1b returns to its previous level while the floor in Figure 1a does not.

³¹ willy the moor in Figure 10 features to its previous level while the moor in Figure 14 does no

92 These responses were measured using detector bank B (Detector Bank A has been in use

continuously during the first two years in orbit) and the original lasers, which were replaced
 before launch. However, the data still accurately describes the instrument's behavior on orbit.

95



96

- 97 **Figure 2**. Schematic showing the receiver optical paths that produce the surface return and its
- afterpulses and the TEP, Echo, and their afterpulses. Some optical surfaces that do not
- 99 contribute to observed effects are omitted for clarity.

100 2.2 Surface return receiver optical system

The top half of Figure 2 shows the multiple paths within the ATLAS receiver system that ground return photons follow from the telescope to the detector, creating the first pulse and several afterpulses. There are four optical components of interest: fiber 1(with ends at planes 1 and 2) between the telescope and the receiver optical bandpass filters, the bandpass filters (planes 4a-d), fiber 2 between the filters and the detectors (with ends at planes 5 and 6), and the photomultiplier detectors (plane 7).

Light from the surface is collected by the telescope and conveyed by fiber 1 to the optical 107 bandpass filters. While the filters reflect about 30% of the in-band light back toward the 108 telescope, most of the light continues through fiber 2 to the detector where it generates the first 109 pulse. This is the path depicted in blue by the top path in Figure 2. At the detector, about 85% of 110 the incident light is reflected back through fiber 2 to the filters. In the filter assembly, several 111 surfaces reflect some of this light back to the detector producing the first afterpulse (AP 1). This 112 is the second path, in green, shown in Figure 2. Because there are several reflective surfaces, AP 113 1 is a bit wider than the initial return. The second afterpulse (AP 2) is generated by the light 114 initially reflected from the filters into fiber 1 and reflected at plane 1 by the fiber end, shown in 115 116 blue as the third line in Figure 2. Afterpulse 3 is a composite of light that traveled by either of two paths (AP 3a and AP 3b) through both fibers a total of 3 times. 117

The strength of each afterpulse relative to the first pulse depends on the reflectance of each surface. The most variable reflectance values are at the antireflection (AR) coated fiber faces at plane 1, 2, 5, and 6, which have specified transmittance greater than 99%. The reflectance could vary over half an order of magnitude between components, but are expected to be constant over time. The fiber end at plane 1 (which generates AP 2 and AP 3 is the only one that directly impacts the relative amplitude of the afterpulses documented here.

Variations in the lengths of each beam's fibers cause the afterpulse intervals to be
 different for each of the 6 beams. Also, differences in the the precise value of reflectance at each
 surface (particularly antireflection-coated surfaces) make the magnitudes of the afterpulses
 relative to the first pulse different for each beam.

128 2.3 TEP receiver optical system

In the return path of the strong beams 1 and 3, a weak sample of the outgoing laser pulse 129 is directed from the TEP fiber shown at the bottom of Figure 2 toward the beamsplitter, which 130 reflects about 1% of the TEP fiber output to the input face of Fiber 1 (plane 2), from which less 131 than 1% of the light reflects to generate the first pulse, designated TEP, corresponding to the first 132 peak in Figure 1b. This path is shown in bottom red path in Figure 2. In the next path, shown in 133 yellow, second line from bottom, some of the light is reflected off the detector face, passes 134 through fiber 2 and is reflected off the filters to generate TEP AP 1, with the same interval 135 between TEP and TEP AP1 as between Return and AP 1. 136

Most of the light directed by the beamsplitter toward the telescope passes through fiber 1, as shown in red third line, where less than 1% is reflected at plane 1 back toward the detector where it generates the "Echo" pulse. The relative strength of the TEP and Echo is strongly dependent on the reflectivity of each end of fiber 1. There is an additional path, in yellow, that arrives slightly later than the Echo, but, because it experiences two fiber end reflections, is at most 1% of the Echo pulse and can be ignored. Note that the interval between the TEP and Echo

is defined by the length of fiber 1 (planes 1 and 2), while the interval between Return and AP 2
is defined by the slightly longer distance between planes 1 and 4. The difference is visible in
Figure 1.

145 FI

The light reflected at plane 1 arrives like a ground return, generating two afterpulses
analogous to AP 2 and AP 3. AP 2 also overlaps light that is initially reflected at plane 2 and
then makes two passes through fiber 1 and three passes through fiber 2.

149 2.3 Comparison of modeled and measured delays

During a part of ATLAS thermal-vacuum testing, between 10 January and 12 January 150 2018, there was a temperature transition during which ATLAS was operating in the post-launch 151 configuration: Laser 2, Start Pulse Detector A, and photon-counting Detector Bank A. Taking a 152 portion of the data collected, computing the pulse centroids from the EMG fits, and averaging 153 over the 6 beams yields the nominal afterpulse intervals given in Table 1, which also lists the 154 ray-trace predicted intervals. Taking the ratio of the total number of events in each pulse to the 155 total events in the first pulse yields the measured afterpulse relative strengths, which are given 156 along with the ray trace predictions. The ray trace model assumed that light travels through 157 straight optical fibers; the transit time through the actual fibers, which curve around bends, is 158 slightly different (tens of picoseconds per meter) due to different mode distributions. The 159

qualitative similarity of the intervals and ratios suggests we have correctly identified the

161 important reflective surfaces.

162

| | Time from to afterp | first pulse ulse (ns) | Ratio of total afterpulse events to first pulse events | | |
|----------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|--------|--|
| | Measured | Model | Measured | Model | |
| AP 1 | 15.4 | 14.5 | 1.9e-3 | 3.1e3 | |
| AP 2 | 27.9 | 27.3 | 6.9e-4 | 3.1e-3 | |
| AP 3 | 43.0 | 41.7 | 7.4e-6 | 4.1e-5 | |
| TEP AP 1 | 15.5 | 14.5 | 1.5e-3 | 2.9e-3 | |
| Echo | 27.1 | 27.0 | 6.5e-1 | 7.3e-1 | |
| TEP AP 2 | 42.5 | 41.5 | 5.7e-4 | 2.2e-3 | |
| TEP AP 3 | 54.3 | 54.0 | 1.0e-3 | 3.5e-3 | |

163

Table 1. Comparison of the nominal time interval between the ground return and its
 afterpulses and the TEP and its afterpulses as observed and predicted by optical ray trace. Return
 intervals/ratios collected 11 January 2018 from 02:16 to 05:15.

167 **3 Precise afterpulse intervals and ratios**

Having correctly identified the afterpulse sources, they can be appropriately flagged in
 the ICESat-2 ATL03 data product (Neumann, T. A et al. 2020). However, a more precise

description of the afterpulse intervals and ratios may permit recovery of surface elevation from 170 returns too distorted by dead-time effects to be accurately measured. 171

Near-specular returns from flat, smooth surfaces can contain so many photons that many 172

of them arrive during receiver's dead time after the first photon detection. The result is that the 173

time-of-flight histogram of the first return is highly distorted (in some extreme cases even 174 bifurcated), and its centroid is displaced toward the leading edge by an unknown amount. The 175

afterpulses, which are several orders of magnitude weaker than the first pulse, are not so 176

distorted or displaced. Thus, they may provide a means by which the location and strength of the 177

first pulse can be estimated if the relationship between the first pulse and the afterpulses is 178

known well enough. 179

With that goal in mind, in Table 2 we report for each beam the interval between the first pulse 180

and each afterpulse and the ratio of the number of events in each afterpulse to the main pulse. 181

182

| Return | 1 st | 1 st Afterpulse | 2 nd | 2 nd | 3 rd Afterpulse | 3 rd | | |
|--------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Afterpulse | Ratio | Afterpulse | Afterpulse | Delay (ns) | Afterpulse | | |
| | Delay (ns) | | Delay (ns) | Ratio | | Ratio | | |
| 1 | 15.47 | 0.0013 | 27.91 | 0.00076 | 43.17 | 6e-6 | | |
| 2 | 15.21 | 0.0012 | 27.83 | 0.00019 | 43.77 | 1e-6 | | |
| 3 | 15.63 | 0.00095 | 27.66 | 0.00058 | 42.43 | 1e-6 | | |
| 4 | 15.53 | 0.0016 | 28.04 | 0.00072 | 42.08 | 7e-6 | | |
| 5 | 15.41 | 0.0017 | 28.04 | 0.00080 | 43.16 | 1e-6 | | |
| 6 | 15.46 | 0.0026 | 28.01 | 0.0011 | 43.25 | 2.8e-5 | | |
| TEP | 1 st | 1 st Afterpulse | Echo Pulse | Echo Pulse | 2 nd Afterpulse | 2 nd | 3 rd | 3 rd |
| | Afterpulse | Ratio | Delay (ns) | Ratio | Delay (ns) | Afterpulse | Afterpulse | Afterpulse |
| | Delay (ns) | | | | | Ratio | Delay (ns) | Ratio |
| 1 | 15.49 | 1.9e-3 | 27.33 | 0.86 | 42.72 | 8.8e-4 | 54.57 | 1.5e-3 |
| 3 | 15.52 | 1.2e-3 | 26.88 | 0.45 | 42.41 | 2.6e-4 | 53.99 | 5.7e-4 |

183

Table 2. For each beam, the time interval between the first pulse centroid and each
 afterpulse centroid and the fraction of the first pulse events present in each afterpulse. The 184 interpulse time and afterpulse ratios are median values. Uncertainties in interpulse intervals are 185 ± 50 ps and uncertainties in the ratios are ± 2 in the last significant digit. 186

- 187
- 188

189 **4** Conclusions

The ATLAS system impulse response has several extra peaks that complicate 190 interpretation of returns from flat surfaces by forming a "shadow" of the surface some distance 191 below it. We have identified the internal reflecting surfaces that generate those extra features, 192 and we have shown that their location as predicted by ray traces of the receiver optical system 193 matches the measured intervals. 194

We propose that over specular surfaces, these attenuated copies of the surface return 195 could be used to recover estimated surface elevations even when the initial return is too saturated 196 to yield a useful pulse shape. To that end, we have presented the interval between the initial 197 ground return and each afterpulse to 50 ps and reported the ratio of the number of photoevents in 198

each afterpulse to the number in the first pulse. 199

Acknowledgments, Samples, and Data 200

| 201 202 203 204 | Funding was provided by the NASA ICESat-2 Project Science Office. We thank the ATLAS integration and test team for the pre-launch data that we used. The source data files for this work are available on the ICESat-2 website (https://icesat-2.gsfc.nasa.gov). |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 205 | References |
| 206 207 208 209 | Greeley, A. P. Neumann, T. A., Kurtz, N. T., Markus, T., & Martino, A. J. (2019), Characterizing the System Impulse Response Function From Photon-Counting LiDAR Data. <i>IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON GEOSCIENCE AND REMOTE SENSING</i> , <i>57</i> (9), 6542–6551. doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2019.2907230 |
| 210 | |
| 211 212 213 | Lacouture, Y., & Cousineau, D. (2008), How to use MATLAB to fit the ex- Gaussian and other probability functions to a distribution of response times. <i>Tuts. Quant. Methods Psychol</i> , 4(1), 35–45. |
| 214 | |
| 215 216 217 | Martino, A. J., Neumann, T. A., Kurtz, N. T., McLennan, D., (2019), ICESat-2 mission overview and early performance. <i>Proc. SPIE 11151, Sensors, Systems, and Next-Generation Satellites, XXIII</i> (10),. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2534938</u> |
| 218 | |
| 219 220 221 222 | Neumann, T. A., Martino, A. J., Markus, T., Bae, S., Bock, M. R., Brenner, et al. (2019), The Ice, Cloud, and Land Elevation Satellite – 2 mission: A global geolocated photon product derived from the Advanced Topographic Laser Altimeter. <i>Remote Sensing of Environment</i> , 233. doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2019.111325 |
| 223 | |
| 224 225 226 227 228 | Neumann, T. A., Brenner, A, Hancock, D., Robbins, J., Saba, J., Harbeck, et al. (2020), ATLAS/ICESat-2 L2A Global Geolocated Photon Data, Version 3 Boulder, Colorado USA. NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.5067/ATLAS/ATL03.003</u> |

- Roland, D. (1985), Series for the exponentially modified Gaussian peak shape. *Analytical Chemistry*, 57(1), 388–388. doi: 10.1021/ac00279a094 229
- 230
- 231