The Southern Annular Mode in 6th Coupled Model Intercomparison Project models

Olaf Morgenstern¹

¹NIWA

November 21, 2022

Abstract

We analyze trends in the Southern Annular Mode in CMIP6 simulations. For the period 1957-2014, simulated linear trends are generally consistent with two observational references but seasonally in disagreement with two other reconstructions of the SAM. Using a regression analysis applied to model simulations with interactive ozone chemistry, a strengthening of the SAM in summer is attributed completely to ozone depletion because a further strengthening influence due to long-lived greenhouse gases is fully counterbalanced by a weakening influence due to stratospheric ozone increases associated with these greenhouse gas increases. Ignoring such ozone feedbacks would yield comparable contributions from these two influences, an incorrect result. In winter, trends are smaller but an influence of greenhouse gas-mediated ozone feedbacks is also identified. The regression analysis furthermore yields significant differences in the attribution of SAM changes to the two influences between models with and without interactive ozone chemistry, with ozone depletion and GHG increases playing seasonally a stronger and weaker, respectively, role in the chemistry models versus the no-chemistry ones.

The Southern Annular Mode in 6th Coupled Model Intercomparison Project models

1

2

3

4

O. Morgenstern¹

¹National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA), Wellington, New Zealand

Key Points: The influences of ozone changes and greenhouses gases on the Southern Annular Mode are analyzed in CMIP6 simulations. Ozone depletion exerts a stronger and GHGs a weaker influence on the SAM in chemistry versus no-chemistry models. Three sensitivity experiments are explained considering an impact of GHGs onto the SAM resulting from ozone changes.

Corresponding author: Olaf Morgenstern, olaf.morgenstern@niwa.co.nz

12 Abstract

We analyze trends in the Southern Annular Mode in CMIP6 simulations. For the pe-13 riod 1957-2014, simulated linear trends are generally consistent with two observational 14 references but seasonally in disagreement with two other reconstructions of the SAM. 15 Using a regression analysis applied to model simulations with interactive ozone chem-16 istry, a strengthening of the SAM in summer is attributed completely to ozone deple-17 tion because a further strengthening influence due to long-lived greenhouse gases is fully 18 counterbalanced by a weakening influence due to stratospheric ozone increases associ-19 ated with these greenhouse gas increases. Ignoring such ozone feedbacks would yield com-20 parable contributions from these two influences, an incorrect result. In winter, trends 21 are smaller but an influence of greenhouse gas-mediated ozone feedbacks is also identi-22 fied. The regression analysis furthermore yields significant differences in the attribution 23 of SAM changes to the two influences between models with and without interactive ozone 24 chemistry, with ozone depletion and GHG increases playing seasonally a stronger and 25 weaker, respectively, role in the chemistry models versus the no-chemistry ones. 26

27 1 Introduction

The Southern Annular Mode (SAM) is the leading mode of variability of the Southern Hemisphere (Gong & Wang, 1999). In essence, it constitutes a see-saw of atmospheric mass between Southern high and middle latitudes, with corresponding expressions in surface pressure, the position and strength of the westerly jet, temperature, and precipitation. Its development under global climate change is a leading concern to countries in the Southern Hemisphere.

The SAM is subject to a variety of external influences, the leading of which are in-34 creasing anthropogenic greenhouse gases (GHGs) and ozone depletion due to chloroflu-35 orocarbons and other anthopogenic ozone-depleting substances (ODSs, e.g. Arblaster 36 & Meehl, 2006; Kang et al., 2011; Thompson et al., 2011). Previous studies have iden-37 tified that ozone depletion is the leading cause of of a strengthening of the SAM during 38 austral summer (DJF, e.g. Son et al., 2009, 2010; Eyring et al., 2013; Gillett & Fyfe, 2013; 39 Son et al., 2018). In other seasons, however, trends are smaller and other influences play 40 a role (Marshall, 2003; Arblaster & Meehl, 2006). Difficulties here include that the SAM 41 exhibits considerable internal variability obscuring to some extent external influences. 42 Observational references for the period before the onset of the satellite era are based on 43 ground-based measurements which are sparse or even nonexistent, leaving large areas 44 of the footprint of the mode without any long-term observations extending into the 19^{th} 45 century. This is particularly so for the Antarctic continent and the remote Southern Ocean 46

There is a considerable range of responses of climate models to ODS and GHG changes. 47 Despite the role of ozone depletion in driving trends in the summertime SAM (Thompson 48 et al., 2011), some previous studies have found that modelling ozone interactively in global 49 climate models does not lead to a significantly different trend in the SAM versus pre-50 scribing ozone from a precomputed climatology (Son et al., 2018), the method pursued 51 in most contemporary climate models. However, aspects of variability of the SAM, and 52 particularly its extreme states (Dennison et al., 2015), are affected by the type of rep-53 resentation of ozone (Haase & Matthes, 2019; Haase et al., 2020), and also there are in-54 teractions between greenhouse gases, ozone, and the SAM that would not be represented 55 in models that use prescribed ozone (Morgenstern et al., 2014). The few models partic-56 ipating in the 5th Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) which had interac-57 tive stratospheric chemistry were characterized by various deficiencies in their simula-58 tions of ozone and hence did not produce obviously higher-quality projections of other 59 climate variables versus models that used simpler representations of ozone (Evring et al., 60 2013).61

The 6th Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP6) brings together the lat-62 est generation of climate models to produce simulations informing the upcoming 6th As-63 sessment Report of IPCC (Eyring et al., 2016). These models have generally undergone 64 further development since CMIP5. Also there is a much more diverse range of sensitiv-65 ity experiments available than under CMIP5, targeting a large variety of forcing types 66 and processes aimed at better characterizing and improving understanding of how mod-67 els respond to forcings. Using three of these experiments and many "historical" all-forcings 68 simulations, here we will conduct a seasonally resolved attribution of trends in the SAM 69 to the two leading influences, ODSs and GHGs. 70

71 2 Definition of the SAM

While not perfectly "annular", the SAM is characterized by a large zonally symmetric component. Hence for simplicity we here follow Gong and Wang (1999) and define the SAM index to be the difference in monthly- and zonal-mean sea-level pressure (psl), or for above-surface features geopotential height on pressure levels (zg), between 40°S and 65°S. For every simulation the SAM index is smoothed with a three-months boxcar filter (such that the SAM index represents the seasonal mean centred on a given month). The first and last months of each dataset are invalidated.

The results are, for every model, month of the year, and simulation covered by that
 model, a timeline of seasonal SAM indices covering 1850-2014 (1851-2014 for DJF, 1850-2013 for NDJ).

⁸² 3 Method of data analysis



Figure 1. (solid) Equivalent CO_2 (CO_2^{eq} , in ppmv) and (dotted) equivalent chlorine (CI^{eq} , in ppbv) in the historical simulations of CMIP6. See text for definitions of both quantities. Nondimensional scaling (far left axis) is used in the regression analysis.

For the purposes of attributing any long-term trends in these data using a multiplelinear regression analysis, we form two regressor functions (Morgenstern et al., 2018), both using forcing data provided by Meinshausen et al. (2017):

Equivalent chlorine (Cl^{eq}) is the sum of the abundances of all chlorinated or brominated ODS gases weighted with the numbers of chlorine and bromine atoms per molecule, and additionally multiplying any bromine source gas by a factor of 60 to account for the larger per-atom depletion of ozone caused by bromine than by

⁹⁰ chlorine (Newman et al., 2007). Cl^{eq} is shifted by four years to account for the time ⁹¹ it takes for the ODSs to be delivered into the stratosphere.

Equivaent CO₂ (CO₂^{eq}) is the sum of the surface abundances of all long-lived GHGs
 weighted by their specific radiative efficiencies divided by that of CO₂, with specific radiative efficiency coefficients taken from table 8.A.1 of AR5 (Myhre et al., 2013).

Both regression functions are normalized such that the functions equal 0 at the start of 96 the record (1850) and increase to 1 in 2014 (figure 1). $Cl^{eq} = 0$ until about 1950 fol-97 lowed by a ramp-up in the 1970s to 1990s to values exceeding 1 and a slow decay in the 98 21^{st} century, reflecting the removal of ODSs from the atmosphere after emissions of ODSs 99 have mostly ceased. By contrast, CO_2^{eq} is increasing throughout the "historical" period, 100 with substantial increases already between 1850 and 1950 and a speed-up in the latter 101 decades. The shapes of these two functions mean that any trend in the SAM index dur-102 ing 1850-1950 will be reflected in a projection onto CO_2^{eq} . 103

We thus determine, using least-squares linear regression, coefficients S_0 , S_1 , and S_2 in the function

$$S(m,y) = S_0(m) + S_1(m) CO_2^{eq}(y) + S_2(m) Cl^{eq}(y) + \epsilon(m,y)$$
(1)

such that the residual ϵ is minimized in the root-mean-square metric. Here S is an ob-106 served or modelled SAM index, a function of month m and calendar year y. We inter-107 pret the terms as S_0 standing for the baseline mean seasonal cycle of the SAM index in 108 preindustrial times, S_1 the change in the SAM index driven by long-lived greenhouse gases, 109 reflecting in particular any trends in the first 100 years when $Cl^{eq} = 0$, S_2 the change 110 in the SAM index driven by ODSs which have been elevated from the 1960s onwards, 111 and $S_1 + S_2$ the total strengthening due to both anthropogenic causes in 2014. Both 112 S_1 and S_2 are seasonally resolved for the 12 overlapping seasons of the year. 113

¹¹⁴ We furthermore model the residual ϵ using an analogous least-squares regression ¹¹⁵ approach:

$$\epsilon^{2}(m,y) = E_{0}(m) + E_{1}(m) \operatorname{CO}_{2}^{eq}(y) + E_{2}(m) \operatorname{Cl}^{eq}(y) + \mu(m,y)$$
(2)

In this approach, ϵ^2 is assumed to also vary with the two regressor functions. Where the regression fit produces negative numbers for any model or season (which would indicate the regression fit is poor) we replace equation 2 with $E_1(m) = E_2(m) = 0$, $E_0(m) = \epsilon^2(m, .)$ (i.e. the regression becomes a constant in time). For the ensemble-mean SAM indices derived from "historical" simulations detailed below, this is the case only for one month each in two models (FIO-ESM-2-0 in November, NorCPM1 in March; see below).

If the regression model (equation 1) was perfect and ϵ was normally distributed, the variance terms would scale with the inverse of the ensemble size n where single-model ensemble means are considered: $E_i \sim 1/n$.

The regression model developed above is complemented with a simpler linear trend analysis conducted on the period since 1957 when permanent meteorological observations started in Antarctica. If T_{ij} is the linear trend in ensemble member j of model i, and σ_{ij} its uncertainty at 68% confidence, then

$$p_{ij}(T) = G\left(\frac{T - T_{ij}}{\sigma_{ij}}\right) \tag{3}$$

is the probability that a given trend T is larger than the best-estimate trend, T_{ij} . Here, G is the Gaussian integral,

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} \exp\left(-\xi^2\right) \mathrm{d}\xi.$$
(4)

We thus form the weighted mean of this distribution over all CMIP6 historical sim-ulations

$$P(T) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} p_{ij}(T)$$
(5)

Here P(T) is the cumulative probability distribution function that any given trend T ex-133 ceeds the trend derived from a random CMIP6 model simulation, thus accounting for 134 model as well as statistical uncertainties in these trends. Here m is the number of mod-135 els in the ensemble and n_i is the number of historical simulations provided by model *i*. 136 The trends T for which P(T) evaluates to 0.5, 0.16, 0.84, 0.025 and 0.975 mark the multi-137 model mean and the 68 and 95% uncertainty ranges of the combined distribution of trends 138 in the CMIP6 ensemble. Unlike other forms of averaging, this method does not inher-139 ently reduce the statistical uncertainty as ensemble sizes or model numbers increase, mean-140 ing that the thus obtained uncertainty range of trends remain comparable to those de-141 rived from observations. We also note that in equation 5 every model enters the aver-142 aging with equal weight, irrespective of n_i . 143

144

P(T) is evaluated separately for all 12 overlapping seasons of the year.

¹⁴⁵ 4 Data and models

Models used in the below analysis are listed in table 1. We use almost all such sim-146 ulations available at the time of download for the "historical", hist-GHG, hist-stratO3, 147 and hist-1950HC experiments (for definitions of the experiments see below). To limit model 148 redundancy, some model variants that are nearly identical to one of the models used here 149 are not included, for example high-resolution versions of some models. Also models for 150 which only one historical simulation is available are generally not used, with the excep-151 tion of GFDL-CM4 which is retained because it is the basis of the chemistry-model GFDL-152 ESM4. In total, we consider 282 historical, 61 hist-GHG, 28 hist-stratO3, and 8 hist-1950HC 153 simulations. 154

155

Briefly, the experiments are characterized as follows:

- "historical": This all-forcings experiment covering 1850-2014 is conducted by all CMIP6 models. Models and simulations used here are listed in table 1.
- "hist-1950HC": This experiment is identical to "historical" except it covers only
 159 1950-2014 and ODSs are kept at their 1950 abundances. The five models partic ipating in this experiment all have interactive stratospheric ozone chemistry, thus
 consistently representing the impact of ODSs.
- "hist-GHG": In this experiments all forcings are kept at their 1850 values except for greenhouse gases. Ozone is also kept invariant in this experiment. Ten models have participated in this experiment.
- "hist-stratO3": In this experiment all forcings are kept at their 1850 status except for stratospheric ozone which follows the CMIP6 ozone climatology. This experiment covers 1850-2020.
- In addition to CMIP6 simulations, we also consider various observational references
 for the SAM (table 2).

Figure 2 shows that even in the decades since 1957 some disagreements occur between the observational references. Apart from some offsets between the datasets, in particular HadSLP2 displays stronger increases in all seasons in the 21st century than the other datasets. In summer (DJF), NOAA-20CR appears to agree well with CERA-20C, but less so in winter (JJA). In the 19th century, some substantial discrepancies appear between the two datasets covering this period (HadSLP2 and NOAA-20CR), reflecting

Model	reference	historical	hist-GHG	hist-stratO3
ACCESS-ESM1-5	Ziehn et al. (2020)	1-10	1-3	
AWI-CM-1-1-MR	Semmler et al. (2020)	1-5		
BCC-CSM2-MR	Wu et al. (2019)	1-3	1-3	
BCC-ESM1	Wu et al. (2020)	1-3		
CAMS-CSM1-0	Chen et al. (2019)	1-2		
CanESM5	Swart et al. (2019)	1-25	1-25	1-10
CESM2	Danabasoglu et al. (2020)	1-11	1-3	
CIESM	Lin et al. (2019)	1-3		
CNRM-CM6-1	Voldoire et al. (2019)	1-30	1,3-10	
E3SM-1-0	Golaz et al. (2019)	1-5		
EC-Earth3	Wyser and et al (2020)	2-4,7,10,12,14,16-25		
FIO-ESM-2-0	Bao et al. (2020)	1-3		
GFDL-CM4	Held et al. (2019)	1		
GISS-E2-1-G	Kelley et al. (2020)	1-10, 101-102	1-5	1-5
HadGEM3-GC31-LL	Williams et al. (2017)	1-4	1-4	
INM-CM5-0	Volodin and Gritsun (2018)	1-10		
IPSL-CM6A-LR	Boucher et al. (2020)	1-32	1,4,6,7,9,10	1-10
KACE-1-0-G	Lee et al. (2020)	1-3		
MIROC6	Tatebe et al. (2019)	1-50	1-3	1-3
MIROC-ES2L	Hajima et al. (2020)	1-10		
MPI-ESM1-2-LR	Müller et al. (2018)	1-10		
NorCPM1	Bethke et al. (2019)	1-30		
NorESM2-LM	Seland et al. (2020)	1-3		
			hist-1950HC	
CESM2-WACCM	Gettelman et al. (2019)	1-3	1	
CNRM-ESM2-1	Séférian et al. (2019)	1-5,7-8	1-2	
GFDL-ESM4	Dunne et al. (2020)	1-3	1	
GISS-E2-1-Gchem	Kelley et al. (2020)	1-6,8-10	1	
MRI-ESM2-0	Yukimoto et al. (2019)	1-5	1,3,5	
UKESM1-0-LL	Sellar et al. (2019)	1-4,8-14,16-19		
Table 1 CMID6 mod	lala considered hore for reference	a and historical hist C	UC hist	

Table 1. CMIP6 models considered here, key references, and historical, hist-GHG, hist-stratO3, and hist-1950HC run numbers used in the below analysis. The first 23 models(ACCESS-ESM1-5 to NorESM2-LM) do not use fully interactive ozone; most use CMIP6 pre-scribed ozone. The bottom six models (CESM2-WACCM to UKESM1-0-LL) use fully interactiveformulations of ozone involving transporting and calculating the chemistry of stratospheric ozone.We rename here GISS-E2-1-G to "GISS-E2-1-Gchem" for simulations using interactive ozonechemistry.

the paucity of data coverage during this period. A substantial strengthening of the SAM index is evident from the 1950s onwards.

178 5 Results

179

5.1 Synoptic analysis of the SAM in CMIP6 simulations

In a first step we consider the seasonally resolved linear trend in the CMIP6 simulations and the observations for the period of 1957-2014. We stipulate that before 1957, there were no continuous meteorological observations made in Antarctica and therefore SAM reconstructions become more uncertain. Figure 3 shows that the CMIP6 simula-



Figure 2. SAM index (hPa) as derived from Marshall (2003), ERA5, NCEP/NCAR, CERA-20C (ensemble mean), NOAA-20CR, and HadSLP2 observational references, for the four seasons.

Reference	literature	Ens. size	Coverage
ERA5	Simmons et al. (2020)	1	1979-2014
NCEP/NCAR	Kalnay et al. (1996)	1	1948-2014
CERA-20C	Laloyaux et al. (2018)	10	1901-2010
NOAA-20CRv2c	Compo et al. (2011)	1	1851 - 2014
Marshall index	Marshall (2003)	1	1957 - 2014
HadSLP2	Allan and Ansell (2006)	1	1850-2014

Table 2. Global gridded SLP reconstructions used here. The first four are reanalysis products. ERA5 and NCEP/NCAR are reanalyses using a wide variety of observations, whereas CERA-20C and NOAA-20CRv2c are using surface observations only. HadSLP2 is a gridded interpolation of SLP observations using station and ship data. The Marshall index is a reconstruction of the SAM index using observations from twelve stations only.

tions for 1957-2014 exhibit a linear strengthening trend of around 0.08 ± 0.05 hPa a⁻¹ 184 in summer but only around 0.03 ± 0.04 hPa a⁻¹ in winter. (Uncertainties are for the 185 68% confidence level.) In summer, these trends are in general agreement with the ob-186 servational references (although all four references show stronger best-estimate trends 187 than the CMIP6 mean), but in winter, only the Marshall index and NOAA-20CR are 188 consistent with this ensemble, whereas HadSLP2 and particularly NCEP/NCAR (Marshall, 189 2003) have trends that are very unlikely to be consistent with the CMIP6 distribution 190 of trends. Given the simplicity of construction of the Marshall (2003) index, and the fact 191 that HadSLP2 shows anomalously high values also for the most recent decades charac-192 terized by comparatively excellent data coverage, in the following we will only consider 193 the NOAA-20CR reanalysis for the regression analysis outlined above which uses the full 194 165-year historical simulations. We note however persistent reservations also about the 195 quality of this dataset for the first century (1851-1956) for which few actual southern high-196 latitude observations have entered this reanalysis. 197

Moving now to analyzing the SAM index for the whole "historical" period in the 198 CMIP6 ensemble for the austral summer and winter seasons (figure 4), it is clear that 199 the regression model (equation 1) well approximates the multi-model mean "historical" 200 evolution of the SAM index for all subsets of models displayed. In summer, all four model 201 subsets in the multi-model mean (MMM) exhibit about the same strengthening in 2014 202 $(\sim 4 \text{ hPa})$ which is larger than in winter (figure 4). In summer, the groups are in gen-203 eral agreement with NOAA-20CR which also shows strengthening, albeit of slightly larger 204 magnitude than the multi-model mean. The regression analysis as well as the hist-1950HC 205 experiment (for the chemistry models) indicate that the strengthening in summer is caused 206 by the growth in ODSs from about 1960 onwards. However, the hist-GHG experiment indicates substantial strengthening (exceeding ~ 2 hPa in the MMM) also when all forc-208 ings other than the GHGs and particularly ozone are held invariant, and the hist-stratO3 209 experiment yields a strengthening in summer which is smaller than in the equivalent his-210 torical ensemble. We will discuss all of these findings in more detail in section 6. 211

For the chemistry group, the amount of strengthening found here aligns broadly with the amount of Southern-Hemisphere ozone depletion, with models simulating strong ozone loss (UKESM1, CNRM-ESM2-1) showing a larger strengthening than those with comparatively weak ozone loss (MRI-ESM2, CESM2-WACCM; Morgenstern et al., 2020).

In winter, all model groups indicate much weaker strengthening than in summer which however is in disagreement with an ~6 hPa strengthening in NOAA-20CR (figure 4). Given the good agreement between the trends in NOAA-20CR and the CMIP6 models for the period post-1957 during which much of the anthropogenic forcing was established (figure 3), it is plausible that the disagreement found here is an artefact of the



Figure 3. Contours: Weighted mean cumulative probability distribution function P for the SAM trend (equation 5). The 0.5 contour of P is the best-estimate linear trend in the SAM index derived from CMIP6 historical simulations. The other contours mark its 68 and 95% confidence intervals. Lines: trends in observational datasets. Solid: Marshall (2003) index. Dash-dot: NOAA-20CR. Dashed: HadSLP2. Dotted. NCEP/NCAR.

221	NOAA-20CR data. The regression analysis suggests only a small to no role for ozone de-
222	pletion. Both the strong influence of ozone depletion in summer and the weak one in win-
223	ter are in agreement with previous studies (Son et al., 2010; Morgenstern et al., 2014,
224	2018).

In the following sections we will address three questions, motivated by this anal-ysis:

- Are the CMIP6 model simulations, as an ensemble, consistent with NOAA-20CR
 under the regression analysis laid out above?
 - 2. Are there any statistically robust differences between the chemistry and no-chemistry groups of models?
- 3. How can we reconcile the apparently contradictory findings regarding what is driving the summertime SAM derived from the hist-1950HC, hist-GHG, and hist-stratO3 experiments?
- 234

229

230

5.2 Validation of the CMIP6 historical simulations versus NOAA-20CR

A fundamental problem here is that there are hundreds of CMIP6 "historical" simulations used here, but only one realization produced by nature, approximated by NOAA-



Figure 4. Sea-level pressure based SAM index for (left) austral summer (DJF) and (right) winter (JJA) for (top) all no-chemistry models, baseline-adjusted so the index averages to 0 over 1851-1950. Thin coloured lines: Single-model ensembles means. Thick solid black: Multi-model mean. Thick light blue: Regression fit to multi-model mean. Thick green: Regression component S_1 just due GHGs. '+': NOAA-20CR. Black dashed: Regression fit to NOAA-20CR. 2nd panel: Same for the six chemistry models. Thick dark blue: Multi-model mean for the hist-1950HC experiment. (2nd row) Same, for the chemistry models. Thick blue: hist-1950HC multi-model mean (MMM). (3rd row) Same, for the nine no-chemistry models that have participated in hist-GHG. Thick blue: hist-GHG MMM. (bottom): Same, for four no-chemistry models that have participated in hist-stratO3. Thick blue: hist-stratO3 MMM.

20CR. We thus need to adequately consider natural variability when comparing obser-237 vations to CMIP6 simulations. Figure 5 shows density plots for the three regression co-238 efficients and their sum S_1+S_2 as derived from individual historical CMIP6 simulations, 239 with every simulation weighted with n_i^{-1} , with n_i being the number of simulations in 240 the historical ensemble of model i. An inspection of the results for individual models (not 241 shown) indicates that the spread in S_0 evident in figure 5 is not the result of natural vari-242 ability (i.e. spread within single-model ensembles) but rather reflects the differences in 243 the base states of individual models that are seen in all ensemble members with little 244 random variability. However, the models generally well reflect the two maxima in spring 245 and autumn (known in New Zealand as the "windy seasons"), in agreement with NOAA-246 20CR. 247



Figure 5. Density plot (number of models/hPa) of the distribution of regression coefficients S_0 , S_1 , S_2 , and $S_1 + S_2$ derived from the CMIP6 historical ensemble. Solid lines: Boundary of the CMIP6 range. Dashed: NOAA-20CR. For every month of the year, we count the number of regression coefficients derived from the CMIP6 ensemble, weighted by the inverse of ensemble size, n^{-1} , that fall into bins sized 0.8 hPa each.

For the component S_1 of the SAM that goes with CO_2^{eq} , during austral summer 248 (DJF) the CMIP6 simulations are roughly evenly divided between positive and negative 249 values, but in austral winter, they favour a positive contribution to the SAM (i.e. increas-250 ing GHGs drive a strengthening of the SAM). An inspection of individual model results 251 shows that the spread in S_1 has contributions both due to natural variability and inter-252 model disagreements. NOAA-20CR is generally within the CMIP6 range for all months 253 but in different seasons (JJA, NDJ) tracks close to both ends of the range spanned by 254 the CMIP6 models. 255

256 For the component S_2 reflecting the influence of ODSs, the CMIP6 historical ensemble shows predominantly positive influences during summer (i.e. ODSs driving a sum-257 mertime strengthening of the SAM), in agreement with NOAA-20CR. During winter, 258 CMIP6 favours a negative influence (weakening) of ODSs on the SAM, but a substan-259 tial fraction of simulations also show a strengthening. NOAA-20CR is generally in agree-260 ment with this behaviour. S_2 exhibits a generally better agreement between NOAA-20CR 261 and the CMIP6 models than S_1 , likely because ODSs only started to increase around 262 the time of the onset of measurements in Antarctica, and thus trends driven by ODS in-263 creases are better captured by observations than earlier, possibly spurious trends pro-264 jecting onto GHG increases in NOAA-20CR which occurred before these observations 265 started. 266

The sum of the regression coefficients S_1+S_2 shows less variability than the two coefficients individually. This is the result of non-zero correlation between the two indices. $S_1 + S_2$ is therefore more robustly diagnosed from both the references and the models than both indices individually. The much larger wintertime strengthening in NOAA-271 20CR, which is outside the range spanned by the CMIP6 ensemble, is evident here as well.

We conclude from this analysis that (a) during summer the CMIP6 simulations are broadly in agreement with NOAA-20CR regarding the influences of ODSs and GHGs and their combination, whereas (b) during winter the total best-estimate strengthening of the SAM evident in NOAA-20CR is irreconcilable with the CMIP6 ensemble. Given the better agreement between CMIP6 and NOAA-20CR, in all seasons, for the SAM strengthening in 1957-2014 (figure 5), we conjecture that this may well reflect spurious variations in the NOAA-20CR reanalysis for the period before 1957.

Next we perform a formal analysis of differences between the behaviours of chemistryand no-chemistry models, with a particular emphasis on an uncertainty calculation.

282

5.3 Chemistry- versus no-chemistry models

In comparing the two model groups, we consider two sources of statistical uncer-283 tainty: The first is the random-noise uncertainty associated with the regression approach 284 itself. To account for this, for every model (chemistry and no-chemistry alike), we pro-285 duce 1000 synthetic variant realizations of each single-model ensemble-mean SAM in-286 dex using a Monte-Carlo simulation approach outlined in more detail in the appendix. 287 From these synthetic realizations, for each model 1000 synthetic regression coefficients 288 $s_0^{i,j}(m), s_1^{i,j}(m)$, and $s_2^{i,j}(m)$ are derived which now reflect the statistical uncertainties 289 in the regression coefficients of the SAM for each model. Here i denotes the model, j the 290 Monte-Carlo realization, and m as before the 12 overlapping seasons of the year. 291

We then form the six-model mean and statistical uncertainty range of this data from the six chemistry models. Here the uncertainty only refers to the statistical component reflecting natural variability, which due to the 6-model averaging is smaller than for individual models.

For the no-chemistry group, we note that there are 100,947 distinct 6-model sub-296 sets that can be formed from the 23 no-chemistry models. We thus form those 100,947 297 six-model averages of the s_0 , s_1 , and s_2 coefficients. Multiplied by the 1000 Monte-Carlo 298 realizations each, this yields a total of 100,947,000 regression realizations. The distribution functions of s_0 , s_1 , and s_2 define the uncertainties in 6-model-mean regression co-300 efficients derived from a randomly chosen 6-model subset of the no-chemistry models. 301 This analysis is accounting for statistical/random noise as well as model-selection un-302 certainties. The presence of both these types of uncertainties in the no-chemistry group 303 means that the no-chemistry 6-model-mean coefficients are subject to larger total un-304 certainties than those of the chemistry group (see below). 305

We then, for both ensembles, reduce the 1000 and 100 million realizations, respec-306 tively, to their means and 2.5, 16, 84, and 97.5 percentiles, representing the one- and two-307 standard deviation uncertainty bounds of the 6-model-mean regression parameters (fig-308 ures 6 and 7). For the baseline SAM index S_0 , there are relatively small differences be-309 tween the two model sets although the large inter-model differences noted before pro-310 duce a substantial spread in the uncertainty range for the no-chemistry ensemble which 311 is absent in the chemistry group (as there is only one such ensemble possible, character-312 ized by a very small random uncertainty). Except during austral spring, the SAM in the 313 chemistry group tends to be slightly stronger than in the no-chemistry group with a prob-314 ability exceeding 60% in February and September and a tendency for chemistry mod-315 els to have a weaker S_0 in May-June than the no-chemistry models (figure 7). 316

The GHG influence S_1 is generally stronger in the no-chemistry group (with prob-317 abilities of 90% or larger during summer and autumn (DJFMAM)). During summer (DJF) 318 there is a disagreement in sign, with no-chemistry models favouring a strengthening in-319 fluence of GHGs but chemistry models favouring a weakening. The ODS influence S_2 320 is stronger throughout most of the year in the chemistry versus no-chemistry group, with 321 particularly large differences occurring in summer and autumn when the probability ex-322 ceeds 90%. In winter, chemistry models show a zero influence but no-chemistry models 323 display a weakening influence of ODSs. Both are much weaker than the GHG influence 324 and insignificant at the 68% confidence level. 325

As for the combination of both influences $S_1 + S_2$, no-chemistry models (with a probability of 60 to 90%, depending on season) favour a larger strengthening than the chemistry models, although the difference is always less than 1 hPa.

329

5.4 Deep coupling of the SAM

In the preceding sections we have only studied the surface expression of the SAM. Here we discuss briefly what the trends and the regression analysis yield when applied to the SAM index derived from geopotential height (zg) fields. In particular we will contrast the chemistry models with their near-equivalent no-chemistry variants (CESM2, CNRM-CM6-1, GFDL-CM4, GISS-E2-1-G, HadGEM3-GC31-LL; table 1).

The 1957-2014 linear trends in the SAM (figure 8) show in all models (both chem-335 istry and equivalent no-chemistry models) a maximum in the strengthening of the SAM 336 in late spring between 100 and 10 hPa. In the chemistry models, the amplitude of this 337 maximum depends on the amount of ozone depletion simulated, with UKESM1-1-LL and 338 MRI-ESM2-0 simulating the largest and smallest trends in zg for relatively large and small 339 amounts of Southern-Hemisphere ozone loss, respectively (Morgenstern et al., 2020). The 340 strengthening disappears when halocarbons are suppressed in the hist-1950HC exper-341 342 iment; they are replaced with insignificant trends of inconsistent sign. In four out of five cases where this comparison is possible, spring/summer trends in zg are larger in the chem-343 istry models than in their no-chemistry equivalents. Only the CESM2 / CESM2-WACCM 344 pair exhibits about the same strengthening. The hist-GHG experiment (where ozone is 345 prescribed and GHGs provide the only forcing) in some cases (CESM2/CESM2-WACCM, 346



Figure 6. Thick black: Mean parameters S_0 , S_1 , S_2 , and $S_1 + S_2$ from the no-chemistry model ensemble. Dark and light blue: Their 68 and 95% confidence intervals. Solid red: Mean parameters S_0 , S_1 , S_2 , and $S_1 + S_2$ from the chemistry model ensemble. Dotted red: Their 68 and 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 7. Probabilities P that the multi-model mean of (black) S_0 (blue) S_1 (olive) S_2 and (light green) $S_1 + S_2$ is larger for the chemistry group of models than for a randomly chosen 6-model no-chemistry group.

GFDL-CM4/GFDL-ESM4-1, GISS-E2-1-G/GISS-E2-1-Gchem) the trends are larger than in hist-1950HC (where ozone is interactive), but this is not the case for all models.

Extending now the regression analysis (covering 1850-2014) to zg, for five of the 349 six chemistry models (except for MRI-ESM2-0) the regression analysis (figure 9) shows 350 that ozone depletion is driving a strengthening of the SAM during spring and summer, 351 but there is a sizeable offset due to increasing GHGs. In MRI-ESM2-0 a weak strength-352 ening of the SAM associated with the small ozone depletion characterizing this model 353 is not partially offset by a weakening influence of GHGs. There is substantial anticor-354 relation between the influences of both forcings also in other seasons. The no-chemistry 355 equivalents largely show similar behaviour although in two of the models (GISS-E2-1-356 G, HadGEM3-GC31-LL) the offsetting effect maximizes earlier in the year and is not per-357 fectly aligned with the ozone depletion season, unlike in most chemistry models. How-358 ever, in all cases, deep coupling is evident whereby relatively large zg trends in the strato-359 sphere, with a delay of a few months, drive corresponding zg trends in the troposphere 360 in summer which then manifest as the trends in psl discussed above. 361

362 6 Discussion

Validations of multi-model climate experiments versus observations, and compar-363 isons of different groups of models, require a careful consideration of statistical uncer-364 tainty bounds. This is particularly the case for the SAM which for the period before the 365 onset of routine meteorological measurements in Antarctica is subject to substantial un-366 certainties. For this reason evaluations of trends in the SAM are often restricted to re-367 cent decades only, and often only involve calculating linear trends. In the face of large 368 random variability and considerable differences in model behaviour, such approaches can 369 result in substantial uncertainties in the resultant trends and consequently only weak 370 conclusions about any drivers of such trends. 371



Figure 8. 1957-2014 trends in the ensemble-mean geopotential height fields (m a⁻1) in the chemistry models and their no-chemistry equivalents (where available). '+' symbols denote insignificant trends (small '+': at the 68% confidence levels; large '+': 95% significance). (left) No-chemistry, historical. (2nd colum) No-chemistry, hist-GHG. (3rd column) Chemistry, historical. (right) Chemistry, hist-1950HC.



Figure 9. Regression coefficients S_1 and S_2 derived from the ensemble-mean geopotential height fields (m) in the chemistry models and their no-chemistry equivalents (where available). (left column) S_1 , no-chemistry models. (2nd column) S_2 , no-chemistry. (3rd column) S_1 , chemistry. (right column) S_2 , chemistry.

Experiment	factors	DJF	JJA
historical hist-1950HC hist-GHG hist-stratO3	ODS + dGHG + iGHG dGHG + iGHG dGHG ODS + iGHG	$ \begin{array}{c} 3.7 \\ 0 \\ 2.6 \\ 2.5 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.7 \\ 1.7 \\ 2 \\ -0.5 \end{array} $
	ODS dGHG iGHG	$\begin{array}{r} 3.7 \text{ to } 5.1 \\ 1.2 \text{ to } 2.6 \\ -2.6 \text{ to } -1.2 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 0 \text{ to } 0.2 \\ 2 \text{ to } 2.2 \\ -0.3 \text{ to } -0.5 \end{array} $

Table 3. Approximate MMM SAM strengthening (hPa) in the four experiments in 2014, discerned from figure 4, and factors present in those experiments. Magnitudes of the factors as derived from the experiments.

To advance in the face of these issues, we here pursue an approach that (a) max-372 imizes the usage of available simulations (i.e., we use almost all available CMIP6 histor-373 ical simulations). (b) Using a regression model, we consider the whole "historical" pe-374 riod (1850-2014). The regression model accounts for the leading anthropogenic influences 375 that modulate the SAM on decadal-to-century timescales. (c) In comparing the mean 376 behaviour of two different groups of models (with and without interactive ozone) we form 377 all possible subsets of the larger group that are of equal size to the smaller group. This 378 ensures strict comparability. 379

For the period 1957-2014, during which continuous Antarctic meteorological ob-380 servations exist, we find a mean strengthening trend in the CMIP6 ensemble which in 381 summer is consistent with four observational datasets. In winter however two of these 382 datasets (HadSLP2, NCEP/NCAR) exhibit spuriously large trends and are thus removed 383 from further analysis. The remaining two datasets (Marshall (2003) and NOAA-20CR) 384 are consistent with each other and with the distribution of trends in the CMIP6 ensem-385 ble. This makes NOAA-20CR our primary observational reference dataset as it extends 386 back to 1851. 387

Forced multidecadal SAM variations in the four experiments analyzed here can-388 not be reconciled using the traditional approach of only accounting for ODS and GHG 389 influences. The hist-1950HC experiment clearly shows that in the absence of ozone de-390 pletion, the models in the mean exhibit no strengthening in DJF. In this two-factor frame-391 work this would be in contradiction with the hist-GHG experiment which does produce 392 a significant MMM growth of the SAM index. Following Morgenstern et al. (2014), we 393 therefore stipulate that a three-factor approach is needed to explain this, comprising the factors ozone depletion (ODS), greenhouse-gas induced warming (the "direct GHG" ef-395 fect, dGHG), and the impact on dynamics of greenhouse-gas induced ozone changes (the 396 "indirect GHG" effect, iGHG). These factors are variously taking effect in the four ex-397 periments considered here (table 3). 398

Table 3 represents an overdetermined system of four linear equations for the three factors ODS, dGHG, and iGHG. There are four three-equation subsets, one of which has no solution. The other three yield the ranges as indicated in the lower three lines of table 3.

The three-factor qualitative model better explains the findings than the traditional two-factor approach. In summer ozone depletion dominates the other influences in the CMIP6 ensemble. However, the GHG influence is negligible because of a cancellation of two non-negligible terms, dGHG and iGHG, which each are about a third to half the size of the ODS influence. In winter, the ODS influence is small, and there is some offset of dGHG by iGHG. These findings are corroborated by our upper-level analysis (figure 8)
which indicates for the hist-1950HC experiment essentially zero trends in the SAM index during the ozone-depletion season but persistent positive trends in the index for the
hist-GHG experiment. The results corroborate Morgenstern et al. (2014) however now
using a multi-model analysis.

The analysis presented here fundamentally relies on the presence of a subset of models with interactive ozone chemistry having completed the hist-1950HC experiment. In the hypothetical absence of this experiment, the "contradiction" mentioned above would be reduced to some nonlinearity between the historical, hist-GHG and hist-stratO3 experiments (i.e. the summertime strengthening in hist-GHG and hist-stratO3 not adding up to that in the historical experiment; table 3), which however would not be clear indication of a missing factor in the attribution analysis.

We also find some statistically robust differences in behaviour between the 6-model 420 chemistry and the 23-model no-chemistry ensembles. Corroborating previous literature 421 on the differences between chemistry- and no-chemistry models (Haase & Matthes, 2019; 422 Haase et al., 2020), we find stronger influences of ozone depletion offset by weaker in-423 fluences of increasing GHGs in the chemistry group, in most seasons. A comparison of 424 the chemistry models with their no-chemistry equivalents suggests that indeed the SAM 425 strengthening in the stratosphere is mostly weaker in the no-chemistry counterparts; this 426 behaviour has previously been attributed to misalignment of the ozone hole with the dy-427 namical polar vortex in no-chemistry models, causing a systematically weaker polar vor-428 tex and a weaker influence of ozone depletion also on the tropospheric SAM (Haase & 429 Matthes, 2019). 430

In summary, our study illustrates that using only no-chemistry models for attribution of trends in the SAM carries the risk of erroneous conclusions. CMIP6 marks the first time that a sizeable set of fully coupled climate models, with and without ozone chemistry, has been applied to a range of sensitivity scenarios required to identify and quantify the factors that drive the SAM. The results of our analysis align well but also advance on previous analyses of the SAM.

Appendix A The Monte-Carlo based comparison of chemistry and nochemistry models

The method used to compute the data in figure 6 is laid in more detail here.

• For all models *i*, we form the single-model ensemble-means of the SAM index.

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

- The ensemble-mean SAM indices are decomposed into their regression components S_0^i to S_2^i , and the variance ϵ^2 is decomposed into its components E_0^i to E_2^i following equations 1 and 2.
- Based on these regression functions, for every model 1000 synthetic random realizations $z^{ij}(m, y)$ are produced. (*j* stands for one of the 1000 random realizations, and *m* and *y* are the month and year as before.) This process is illustrated in figure A1 for the HadGEM3-GC31-LL model. Note that the spread in figure A1 reduced with increasing ensemble size.
- The random realizations $z^{ij}(m, y)$ are again expanded according to equation 1 to derive regressions coefficients $s_0^{ij}(m)$, $s_1^{ij}(m)$, and s_2^{ij} .
- We now group the models into 6 chemistry and 23 no-chemistry models. For the chemistry group, we form the 6-model means of s_0 , s_1 , and s_2 , resulting for every calendar month in 1000 random realizations for those 6-model means. From these, we derive their mean across the 1000 realizations and their 2.5, 16, 84, and 97.5 percentiles, marking the 68 and 95% confidence intervals as displayed in figure 6.

For the no-chemistry subset of models, we form all 23!/(6! · 17!) = 100,947 six-model subsets. For every one of the subsets we follow the same process as above for the chemistry models, resulting in 100,947,000 six-model mean realizations of the regression coefficients for the no-chemistry group. We again reduce these to their means and 2.5, 16, 84, and 97.5 percentiles as above.

For the Monte-Carlo analysis of SAM indices to be valid, we require an autocor-462 relation length of less than 1 year for the residual ϵ . This would ensure that the uncor-463 related random noise produced by the random-number generator is a good reflection of 464 what is produced by the models. We have checked that for all individual models this is 465 indeed the case. We furthermore require ϵ to be normally distributed. Following Morgenstern 466 et al. (2014), figure A2 indicates that the assumption of a normal distribution of the residual ϵ is good but not perfect. Relative to a perfect Gaussian distribution, large devia-468 tions from the mean in both directions (where G < 0.15 or G > 0.85) are slightly over-469 represented and small deviations (where 0.2 < G < 0.8) are underrepresented, across 470 practically all models. Morgenstern et al. (2014) found similar deviations from a nor-471 mal distribution in their analysis. 472

473 Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the World Climate Research Programme, which, through its Working 474 Group on Coupled Modelling, coordinated and promoted CMIP6. We thank the climate 475 modeling groups for producing and making available their model output, the Earth Sys-476 tem Grid Federation (ESGF) for archiving the data and providing access, and the multiple funding agencies who support CMIP6 and ESGF. Model and observational data 478 used in this study can be downloaded at https://esgf-node.llnl.gov/search/cmip6/, 479 https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/datasets/reanalysis-datasets/era5, https:// 480 psl.noaa.gov/data/gridded/data.ncep.reanalysis.html, https://www.ecmwf.int/ 481 en/forecasts/datasets/reanalysis-datasets/cera-20c, https://psl.noaa.gov/ 482 data/gridded/data.20thC_ReanV2c.html, https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/ 483 hadslp2/data/download.html, and https://legacy.bas.ac.uk/met/gjma/sam.html. The author acknowledges ECMWF, NOAA, the UK MetOffice, and the British Antarctic Survey for provision of these data. The author was supported by the NZ Government's 486 Strategic Science Investment Fund (SSIF) through the NIWA programme CACV. He ac-487 knowledges the contribution of NeSI high-performance computing facilities to the results 488 of this research. New Zealand's national facilities are provided by the New Zealand eScience 489 Infrastructure (NeSI) and funded jointly by NeSI's collaborator institutions and through 490 MBIE's Research Infrastructure programme. Scripts and data need to reproduce the anal-491 yses and figures in this paper can be found at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4145770. 492

493 **References**

- Allan, R., & Ansell, T. (2006). A new globally complete monthly historical gridded
 mean sea level pressure dataset (HadSLP2): 1850–2004. Journal of Climate,
 19, 5816–5842. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3937.1
- Arblaster, J. M., & Meehl, G. A. (2006). Contributions of external forcings to
 Southern Annular Mode trends. Journal of Climate, 19(12), 2896-2905. Re trieved from https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3774.1
- Bao, Y., Song, Z., & Qiao, F. (2020). FIO-ESM version 2.0: Model description and
 evaluation. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 125, e2019JC016036.
 Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC016036
- Bethke, I., Wang, Y., Counillon, F., Kimmritz, M., Fransner, F., Samuelsen, A., ...
 Keenlyside, N. (2019). NCC NorCPM1 model output prepared for CMIP6
 CMIP historical. Earth System Grid Federation. Retrieved from https://
 doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10894 doi: 10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10894



Figure A1. Example of Monte-Carlo based analysis. (top) Seasonal-mean SAM indices in DJF for the four "historical" simulations of HadGEM3-GC31-LL (hPa). (2nd panel) Ensemble mean. Note the reduced variance. (3rd panel) Regression expansion (solid) with one-standard deviation variance range (dashed). (bottom) Monte-Carlo based perturbations z^{ij} around the regression expansion. Only the first 10 realizations are shown here.



Figure A2. Cumulative probability distribution function (PDF) of the normalized regression residual $\epsilon/\sqrt{E_0 + E_1 \text{CO}_2^{eq} + E_2 \text{Cl}^{eq}}$ against a perfect Gaussian integral *G*. The PDF is evaluated individually for every model but across all seasons and across all historical simulations.

507	Boucher, O., Servonnat, J., Albright, A. L., Aumont, O., Balkanski, Y., Bas-
508	trikov, V., Vuichard, N. (2020). Presentation and evaluation of
509	the IPSL-CM6A-LR climate model. Journal of Advances in Model-
510	ing Earth Systems, 12(7), e2019MS002010. Retrieved from https://
511	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019MS002010
512	(e2019MS002010 10.1029/2019MS002010) doi: 10.1029/2019MS002010
513	Chen, X. L., Guo, Z., Zhou, T. J., & et al. (2019). Climate sensitivity and feedbacks
514	of a new coupled model CAMS-CSM to idealized CO_2 forcing: A comparison
515	with CMIP5 models. Journal of Meteorological Research, 33, 31-45. doi:
516	10.1007/s13351-019-8074-5
517	Compo, G. P., Whitaker, P. D., J. S. Sardeshmukh, Matsui, N., Allan, R. J., Yin,
518	X., Gleason, B. E., Worley, S. J. (2011). The Twentieth Century Reanal-
519	ysis Project. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 137, 1-28.
520	doi: 10.1002/qj.776
521	Danabasoglu, G., Lamarque, J., Bacmeister, J., Bailey, D. A., DuVivier, A. K.,
522	Edwards, J., & et al. (2020). The Community Earth System Model Ver-
523	sion 2 (CESM2). Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12,
524	e2019MS001916. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001916
525	Dennison, F. W., McDonald, A. J., & Morgenstern, O. (2015). The effect of ozone
526	depletion on the Southern Annular Mode and stratosphere-troposphere cou-
527	pling. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 120(13), 6305-6312.
528	Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/
529	10.1002/2014JD023009 doi: 10.1002/2014JD023009
530	Dunne, J. P., Horowitz, L. W., Adcroft, A. J., Ginoux, P., Held, I. M., John, J. G.,
531	Zhao, M. (2020). The GFDL Earth System Model version 4.1 (GFDL-
532	ESM4.1): Overall coupled model description and simulation characteristics.
533	Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12, e2019MS002015. Re-
534	trieved from https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS002015
535	Eyring, V., Arblaster, J. M., Cionni, I., Sedláček, J., Perlwitz, J., Young, P. J.,
536	Watanabe, S. (2013). Long-term ozone changes and associated climate im-
537	pacts in CMIP5 simulations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,

538	118(10), 5029-5060. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley
539	.com/do1/abs/10.1002/jgrd.50316 doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50316
540	Eyring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., &
541	Taylor, K. E. (2016). Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison
542	Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization. Geosci-
543	entific Model Development, 9(5), 1937–1958. Retrieved from https://
544	www.geosci-model-dev.net/9/1937/2016/ doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016
545	Gettelman, A., Mills, M. J., Kinnison, D. E., Garcia, R. R., Smith, A. K., Marsh,
546	D. R., Randel, W. J. (2019). The Whole Atmosphere Commu-
547	nity Climate Model version 6 (WACCM6). Journal of Geophysical Re-
548	search: Atmospheres, 124(23), 12380-12403. Retrieved from https://
549	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JD030943 doi:
550	10.1029/2019JD030943
551	Gillett, N. P., & Fyfe, J. C. (2013). Annular mode changes in the CMIP5 sim-
552	ulations. Geophysical Research Letters, $40(6)$, 1189-1193. Retrieved from
553 554	https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/grl.50249 doi: 10.1002/grl.50249
555	Golaz I Caldwell P M Van Roekel L P Petersen M R Tang O Wolfe
555	I D & et al (2019) The DOE E3SM coupled model version 1: Overview
550	and evaluation at standard resolution Journal of Advances in Modeling
557	Earth Systems 11 2089–2129 Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1029/
550	2018MS001603
555	Gong D & Wang S (1999) Definition of Antarctic Oscillation index Geo-
561	nhusical Research Letters 26(4) 459-462 Retrieved from https://agupubs
562	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/1999GL900003 doi: 10.1029/
563	1999GL900003
564	Haase S. Fricke, J. Kruschke, T. Wahl, S. & Matthes, K. (2020). Sensitivity
565	of the Southern Hemisphere tropospheric jet response to Antarctic ozone de-
566	pletion: prescribed versus interactive chemistry. Atmospheric Chemistry and
567	<i>Physics Discussions</i> , 2020, 1–33. Retrieved from https://acp.copernicus
568	.org/preprints/acp-2020-441/ doi: 10.5194/acp-2020-441
569	Haase, S., & Matthes, K. (2019). The importance of interactive chemistry for
570	stratosphere_troposphere coupling. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics.
571	19(5), 3417-3432. Retrieved from https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/
572	19/3417/2019/ doi: 10.5194/acp-19-3417-2019
573	Hajima, T., Watanabe, M., Yamamoto, A., Tatebe, H., Noguchi, M. A., Abe,
574	M Kawamiya, M. (2020). Development of the MIROC-ES2L Earth
575	system model and the evaluation of biogeochemical processes and feed-
576	backs. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i> , 13, 2197–2244. Retrieved from
577	https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-2197-2020
578	Held, I. M., Guo, H., Adcroft, A., Dunne, J. P., Horowitz, L. W., Krasting, J., &
579	et al. (2019). Structure and performance of GFDL's CM4.0 climate model.
580	Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 3691–3727. Retrieved
581	from https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001829
582	Kalnay, E., Kanamitsu, M., Kistler, R., Collins, W., Deaven, D., Gandin, L.,
583	Joseph, D. (1996, 03). The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. Bul-
584	letin of the American Meteorological Society, 77(3), 437-472. Retrieved from
585	https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1996)077<0437:TNYRP>2.0.CO;2
586	doi: 10.1175/1520-0477(1996)077(0437:TNYRP)2.0.CO;2
587	
588	Kang, S. M., Polvani, L. M., Fyte, J. C., & Sigmond, M. (2011). Impact of polar
	Kang, S. M., Polvani, L. M., Fyfe, J. C., & Sigmond, M. (2011). Impact of polar ozone depletion on subtropical precipitation. <i>Science</i> , <i>332</i> , (6032):951-4. doi:
589	Kang, S. M., Polvani, L. M., Fyfe, J. C., & Sigmond, M. (2011). Impact of polar ozone depletion on subtropical precipitation. <i>Science</i> , 332, (6032):951-4. doi: 10.1126/science.1202131
589 590	 Kang, S. M., Polvani, L. M., Fyfe, J. C., & Sigmond, M. (2011). Impact of polar ozone depletion on subtropical precipitation. <i>Science</i>, 332, (6032):951-4. doi: 10.1126/science.1202131 Kelley, M., Schmidt, G. A., Nazarenko, L., Bauer, S. E., Ruedy, R., Russell, G. L.,
589 590 591	 Kang, S. M., Polvani, L. M., Fyfe, J. C., & Sigmond, M. (2011). Impact of polar ozone depletion on subtropical precipitation. <i>Science</i>, 332, (6032):951-4. doi: 10.1126/science.1202131 Kelley, M., Schmidt, G. A., Nazarenko, L., Bauer, S. E., Ruedy, R., Russell, G. L., Yao, M. (2020). GISS-E2.1: Configurations and climatology. <i>Journal of</i>

593	https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS002025
594	Laloyaux, P., de Boisseson, E., Balmaseda, M., Bidlot, JR., Brönnimann, S.,
595	Buizza, R., Schepers, D. (2018). CERA-20C: A coupled reanalysis of
596	the twentieth century. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, $10(5)$,
597	1172-1195. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/
598	doi/abs/10.1029/2018MS001273 doi: $10.1029/2018MS001273$
599	Lee, J., Kim, J., Sun, M., Kim, BH., Moon, H., Sung, H. M., Byun, YH.
600	(2020). Evaluation of the Korea Meteorological Administration Advanced
601	Community Earth-System model (K-ACE). Asia-Pacific Journal of Atmos-
602	spheric Science, 56, 381–395. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1007/
603	s13143-019-00144-7
604	Lin, YL., Huang, XM., Liang, YS., Qin, Y., Xu, SM., Huang, WY., Gong,
605	P. (2019). The Community Integrated Earth System Model (CIESM) from
606	Tsinghua University and its plan for CMIP6 experiments. Climate Change
607	Research, 15, 545-550. doi: 10.12006/j.issn.1673-1719.2019.166
608	Marshall, G. J. (2003, 12). Trends in the Southern Annular Mode from observa-
609	tions and reanalyses. Journal of Climate, 16(24), 4134-4143. Retrieved from
610	https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2003)016<4134:TITSAM>2.0.CO;2
611	doi: 10.1175/1520-0442(2003)016(4134:TITSAM)2.0.CO;2
612	Meinshausen, M., Vogel, E., Nauels, A., Lorbacher, K., Meinshausen, N., Etheridge,
613	D. M., Weiss, R. (2017). Historical greenhouse gas concentrations for
614	climate modelling (CMIP6). Geoscientific Model Development, 10, 2057–2116.
615	Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2057-2017
616	Morgenstern, O., O'Connor, F. M., Johnson, B. T., Zeng, G., Mulcahy, J. P.,
617	Williams, J., Kinnison, D. E. (2020). Reappraisal of the climate im-
618	pacts of ozone-depleting substances. $Geophysical Research Letters, 47(20),$
619	e2020GL088295. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary
620	.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020GL088295 (e2020GL088295
621	10.1029/2020GL088295) doi: $10.1029/2020$ GL088295
622	Morgenstern, O., Stone, K. A., Schofield, R., Akiyoshi, H., Yamashita, Y., Kin-
623	nison, D. E., Chipperfield, M. P. (2018). Ozone sensitivity to vary-
624	ing greenhouse gases and ozone-depleting substances in CCMI-1 simula-
625	tions. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 1091–1114. Retrieved from
626	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-1091-2018
627	Morgenstern, O., Zeng, G., Dean, S. M., Joshi, M., Abraham, N. L., & Osprey, A.
628	(2014). Direct and ozone-mediated forcing of the Southern Annular Mode by
629	greenhouse gases. Geophysical Research Letters, 41(24), 9050-9057. Retrieved
630	<pre>from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/</pre>
631	2014GL062140 doi: $10.1002/2014$ GL062140
632	Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, FM., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J.,
633	Zhang, H. (2013). Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing. In
634	Climate Change 2013 - The Physical Science Basis (chap. 8). Geneva,
635	Switzerland: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Re-
636	trieved from https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/
637	WG1AR5_Chapter08_FINAL.pdf
638	Müller, W. A., Jungclaus, J. H., Mauritsen, T., Baehr, J., Bittner, M., Budich,
639	R., Marotzke, J. (2018). A higher-resolution version of the Max Planck
640	Institute Earth System Model (MPI-ESM1.2-HR). Journal of Advances
641	in Modeling Earth Systems, 10(7), 1383-1413. Retrieved from https://
642	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2017MS001217 doi:
643	10.1029/2017 MS001217
644	Newman, P. A., Daniel, J. S., Waugh, D. W., & Nash, E. R. (2007). A new formula-
645	tion of equivalent effective stratospheric chlorine (EESC). Atmospheric Chem-
646	istry and Physics, 7(17), 4537-4552. Retrieved from https://acp.copernicus
	arg/articles/7/4537/2007/ doi: 10.5194/acp-7-4537-2007

648	Seland, O., Bentsen, M., Seland Graff, L., Olivié, D., Toniazzo, T., Gjermund-
649	sen, A., Schulz, M. (2020). The Norwegian Earth System Model,
650	NorESM2 – Evaluation of the CMIP6 DECK and historical simulations.
651	Geoscientific Model Development Discussions, in review. Retrieved from
652	https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2019-378
653	Sellar, A. A., Jones, C. G., Mulcahy, J. P., Tang, Y., Yool, A., Wiltshire, A.,
654	Zerroukat, M. (2019). UKESM1: Description and evaluation of the U.K. Earth
655	System Model. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4513-
656	4558. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/
657	10.1029/2019MS001739 doi: 10.1029/2019MS001739
658	Semmler, T., Danilov, S., Gierz, P., Goessling, H. F., Hegewald, J., Hinrichs, C.,
659	Jung, T. (2020). Simulations for CMIP6 with the AWI climate model
660	AWI-CM-1-1. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12(9),
661	e2019MS002009. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley
662	.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019MS002009 (e2019MS002009 2019MS002009) doi:
663	10.1029/2019MS002009
664	Simmons, A., Soci, C., Nicolas, J., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Dragani, R., Schep-
665	ers, D. (2020). Global stratospheric temperature bias and other strato-
666	spheric aspects of ERA5 and ERA5.1. ECMWF Technical Memo, 859. Re-
667	trieved from https://www.ecmwf.int/sites/default/files/elibrary/
668	2020/19362-global-stratospheric-temperature-bias-and-other
669	-stratospheric-aspects-era5-and-era51.pdf
670	Son, SW., Gerber, E. P., Perlwitz, J., Polyani, L. M., Gillett, N. P., Seo, K
671	H Yamashita, Y. (2010). Impact of stratospheric ozone on South-
672	ern Hemisphere circulation change: A multimodel assessment. Journal of
673	Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 115(D3). Retrieved from https://
674	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2010JD014271 doi:
675	10.1029/2010JD014271
676	Son, SW., Han, BR., Garfinkel, C. I., Kim, SY., Park, R., Abraham, N. L.,
677	Zeng, G. (2018). Tropospheric jet response to Antarctic ozone depletion: An
678	update with Chemistry-Climate Model Initiative (CCMI) models. Environ-
679	mental Research Letters, 13(5), 054024. Retrieved from https://doi.org/
680	10.1088%2F1748-9326%2Faabf21 doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/aabf21
681	Son, SW., Tandon, N. F., Polvani, L. M., & Waugh, D. W. (2009). Ozone hole and
682	Southern Hemisphere climate change. Geophysical Research Letters, 36(15).
683	Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrarv.wilev.com/doi/abs/
684	10.1029/2009GL038671 doi: 10.1029/2009GL038671
685	Swart, N. C., Cole, J. N. S., Kharin, V. V., Lazare, M., Scinocca, J. F., Gillett,
686	N. P., Winter, B. (2019). The Canadian Earth System Model version 5
687	(CanESM5.0.3). Geoscientific Model Development, 12(11), 4823–4873. Re-
688	trieved from https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/12/4823/2019/ doi:
689	
690	10.5194/gmd-12-4823-2019
050	10.5194/gmd-12-4823-2019 Séférian, B., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J.,
691	 10.5194/gmd-12-4823-2019 Séférian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., Madec, G. (2019). Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model. CNRM-ESM2-
691 692	 10.5194/gmd-12-4823-2019 Séférian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., Madec, G. (2019). Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model, CNRM-ESM2- 1: Role of earth system processes in present-day and future climate. Jour-
691 692 693	 10.5194/gmd-12-4823-2019 Séférian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., Madec, G. (2019). Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model, CNRM-ESM2- 1: Role of earth system processes in present-day and future climate. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4182-4227. Retrieved
691 692 693 694	 10.5194/gmd-12-4823-2019 Séférian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., Madec, G. (2019). Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model, CNRM-ESM2- 1: Role of earth system processes in present-day and future climate. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4182-4227. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/
691 692 693 694 695	 10.5194/gmd-12-4823-2019 Séférian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., Madec, G. (2019). Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model, CNRM-ESM2- 1: Role of earth system processes in present-day and future climate. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4182-4227. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/ 2019MS001791 doi: 10.1029/2019MS001791
691 692 693 694 695 696	 10.5194/gmd-12-4823-2019 Séférian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., Madec, G. (2019). Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model, CNRM-ESM2- 1: Role of earth system processes in present-day and future climate. Jour- nal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4182-4227. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/ 2019MS001791 doi: 10.1029/2019MS001791 Tatebe, H., Ogura, T., Nitta, T., Komuro, Y., Ogochi K. Takemura, T. Ki-
691 692 693 694 695 696	 10.5194/gmd-12-4823-2019 Séférian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., Madec, G. (2019). Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model, CNRM-ESM2- 1: Role of earth system processes in present-day and future climate. Jour- nal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4182-4227. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/ 2019MS001791 doi: 10.1029/2019MS001791 Tatebe, H., Ogura, T., Nitta, T., Komuro, Y., Ogochi, K., Takemura, T., Ki- moto, M. (2019). Description and basic evaluation of simulated mean state in-
691 692 693 694 695 696 696 697	 10.5194/gmd-12-4823-2019 Séférian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., Madec, G. (2019). Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model, CNRM-ESM2- 1: Role of earth system processes in present-day and future climate. Jour- nal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4182-4227. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/ 2019MS001791 doi: 10.1029/2019MS001791 Tatebe, H., Ogura, T., Nitta, T., Komuro, Y., Ogochi, K., Takemura, T., Ki- moto, M. (2019). Description and basic evaluation of simulated mean state, in- ternal variability, and climate sensitivity in MIROC6. Geoscientific Model De-
691 692 693 694 695 696 696 697 698	 10.5194/gmd-12-4823-2019 Séférian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., Madec, G. (2019). Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model, CNRM-ESM2- 1: Role of earth system processes in present-day and future climate. Jour- nal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4182-4227. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/ 2019MS001791 doi: 10.1029/2019MS001791 Tatebe, H., Ogura, T., Nitta, T., Komuro, Y., Ogochi, K., Takemura, T., Ki- moto, M. (2019). Description and basic evaluation of simulated mean state, in- ternal variability, and climate sensitivity in MIROC6. Geoscientific Model De- velopment, 12(7), 2727-2765. Retrieved from https://gmd.copernicus.org/
691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700	 10.5194/gmd-12-4823-2019 Séférian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., Madec, G. (2019). Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model, CNRM-ESM2- 1: Role of earth system processes in present-day and future climate. Jour- nal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4182-4227. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/ 2019MS001791 doi: 10.1029/2019MS001791 Tatebe, H., Ogura, T., Nitta, T., Komuro, Y., Ogochi, K., Takemura, T., Ki- moto, M. (2019). Description and basic evaluation of simulated mean state, in- ternal variability, and climate sensitivity in MIROC6. Geoscientific Model De- velopment, 12(7), 2727-2765. Retrieved from https://gmd.copernicus.org/ articles/12/2727/2019/ doi: 10.5194/gmd-12-2727-2019
691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700	 10.5194/gmd-12-4823-2019 Séférian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., Madec, G. (2019). Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model, CNRM-ESM2- 1: Role of earth system processes in present-day and future climate. Jour- nal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4182-4227. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/ 2019MS001791 doi: 10.1029/2019MS001791 Tatebe, H., Ogura, T., Nitta, T., Komuro, Y., Ogochi, K., Takemura, T., Ki- moto, M. (2019). Description and basic evaluation of simulated mean state, in- ternal variability, and climate sensitivity in MIROC6. Geoscientific Model De- velopment, 12(7), 2727-2765. Retrieved from https://gmd.copernicus.org/ articles/12/2727/2019/ doi: 10.5194/gmd-12-2727-2019 Thompson, D., Solomon, S., Kushner, P., England, M. H., Grise, K. M., & Karoly.
691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700 701	 10.5194/gmd-12-4823-2019 Séférian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., Madec, G. (2019). Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model, CNRM-ESM2- 1: Role of earth system processes in present-day and future climate. Jour- nal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4182-4227. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/ 2019MS001791 doi: 10.1029/2019MS001791 Tatebe, H., Ogura, T., Nitta, T., Komuro, Y., Ogochi, K., Takemura, T., Ki- moto, M. (2019). Description and basic evaluation of simulated mean state, in- ternal variability, and climate sensitivity in MIROC6. Geoscientific Model De- velopment, 12(7), 2727-2765. Retrieved from https://gmd.copernicus.org/ articles/12/2727/2019/ doi: 10.5194/gmd-12-2727-2019 Thompson, D., Solomon, S., Kushner, P., England, M. H., Grise, K. M., & Karoly, D. J. (2011). Signatures of the Antarctic ozone hole in Southern Hemisphere

703	surface climate change. Nature Geoscience, 4, 741–749. Retrieved from
704	https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1296
705	Voldoire, A., Saint-Martin, D., Sénési, S., D., B., Alias, A., & Chevallier, e. a., M.
706	(2019). Evaluation of CMIP6 DECK experiments with CNRM-CM6-1. Jour-
707	nal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 2177–2213. Retrieved from
708	https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001683
709	Volodin, E., & Gritsun, A. (2018). Simulation of observed climate changes in
710	1850–2014 with climate model INM-CM5. Earth System Dynamics, 9,
711	1235-1242. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-9-1235-2018
712	Williams, K. D., Copsey, D., Blockley, E. W., Bodas-Salcedo, A., Calvert, D.,
713	Comer, R., & et al (2017). The Met Office Global Coupled model 3.0
714	and 3.1 (GC3.0 and GC3.1) configurations. Journal of Advances in Model-
715	ing Earth Systems, 10, 357-380. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1002/
716	2017MS001115
717	Wu, T., Lu, Y., Fang, Y., Xin, X., Li, L., Li, W., Liu, X. (2019). The Beijing
718	Climate Center Climate System Model (BCC-CSM): the main progress from
719	CMIP5 to CMIP6. Geoscientific Model Development, 12, 1573–1600. Retrieved
720	from https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-1573-2019
721	Wu, T., Zhang, F., Zhang, J., Jie, W., Zhang, Y., Wu, F., Hu, A. (2020). Beijing
722	Climate Center Earth System Model version 1 (BCC-ESM1): Model descrip-
723	tion and evaluation of aerosol simulations. Geoscientific Model Development,
724	13, 977-1005. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-977-2020
725	Wyser, K., & et al. (2020). Warmer climate projections in EC-Earth3-Veg: the role
726	of changes in the greenhouse gas concentrations from CMIP5 to CMIP6. Envi-
727	ronmental Research Letters, 15, 054020.
728	Yukimoto, S., Kawai, H., Koshiro, T., Oshima, N., Yoshida, K., Urakawa, S.,
729	ISHII, M. (2019). The Meteorological Research Institute Earth System Model
730	Version 2.0, MRI-ESM2.0: Description and basic evaluation of the physical
731	component. Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan Ser. II. doi:
732	10.2151/ m jmsj.2019-051
733	Ziehn, T., Chamberlain, M. A., Law, R. M., Lenton, A., Bodman, R. W., Dix, M.,
734	Srbinovsky, J. (2020). The Australian Earth System Model: ACCESS-
735	ESM1.5. Journal of Southern Hemisphere Earth Systems Science. Retrieved
736	from https://doi.org/10.1071/ES19035