# The Warm-period Daily Precipitation Extremes Scaling with Temperature in Eastern China

Danqing Huang<sup>1</sup>, Jian ZHU<sup>2</sup>, and Xiaowen Tang<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nanjing University

<sup>2</sup>State Key Laboratory of Hydrology-Water Resources and Hydraulic Engineering, Hohai University

<sup>3</sup>College of Atmospheric Sounding, Chengdu University of Information Technology

November 21, 2022

#### Abstract

Using station and reanalysis datasets, the application of C-C scaling in understanding the relation between precipitation extremes and temperature in eastern China has been examined. The results show that the C-C scaling could be used to understand the daily precipitation extreme intensity increase with  $T_m$ , as it is below ~25°C. However, as  $T_m$  exceeds 25°C, the daily precipitation extremes would decrease with  $T_m$ , particularly for South China. The change in the variation as  $T_m$  exceeds 25°C may be attributed to the negative scaling of precipitation efficiency and vertical velocity with  $T_m$ . The sharp increase in the convective inhibition, decrease in the temperature advection, can partly explain the negative scaling of precipitation efficiency and  $T_m$ , vertical velocity and  $T_m$  as it exceeds 25°C, respectively. Our results show physical image linking the precipitation extremes under a warmer future.

1	
2	The Warm-period Daily Precipitation Extremes Scaling with
3	<b>Temperature in Eastern China</b>
4	
5	Danqing Huang <sup>1*</sup> , Jian Zhu <sup>2</sup> , and Xiaowen Tang <sup>3</sup>
6	1 School of Atmospheric Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, China
7 8	2 College of Hydrology and Water Resources, Hohai University, Nanjing 210098, China
9	3 College of Atmospheric Sounding, Chengdu University of Information Technology,
10	Chengdu 610225, China
11 12 13 14 15	Submitted to <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> Date: August 26, 2020
17	
18	
19	*Corresponding author:
20	Dr. Danqing Huang
21	School of Atmospheric Sciences
22	Nanjing University
23	Nanjing 210023
24	The People's Republic of China
25	E-mail: huangdq@nju.edu.cn

# Abstract

27 Using station and reanalysis datasets, the application of C-C scaling in understanding 28 the relation between precipitation extremes and temperature in eastern China has been 29 examined. The results show that the C-C scaling could be used to understand the daily 30 precipitation extreme intensity increase with  $T_m$ , as it is below ~25°C. However, as  $T_m$ 31 exceeds 25°C, the daily precipitation extremes would decrease with T<sub>m</sub>, particularly 32 for South China. The change in the variation as T<sub>m</sub> exceeds 25°C may be attributed to 33 the negative scaling of precipitation efficiency and vertical velocity with T<sub>m</sub>. The 34 sharp increase in the convective inhibition, decrease in the temperature advection, can 35 partly explain the negative scaling of precipitation efficiency and T<sub>m</sub>, vertical velocity and T<sub>m</sub> as it exceeds 25°C, respectively. Our results show physical image linking the 36 37 precipitation extremes and temperature variation, which would likely help 38 understanding the variations in precipitation extremes under a warmer future.

39

40

#### 41 **Key Points**:

42 1, The relation between the precipitation extremes and temperature as it exceeds
43 ~25°C deviates from the C-C scaling, particularly in South China.

44 2, The conceptual model indicates that this deviation may be attributed to the negative45 scaling of precipitation efficiency/vertical velocity with temperature.

3, The increase in convective inhibition, decrease in temperature advection with
temperature, can partly explain the negative scaling of precipitation efficiency,
vertical velocity and temperature, respectively.

49

# 50 1. Introduction

The variation of precipitation extremes by anthropogenic climate change is of great concern for the society [*Min et al.*, 2011; *Zhang et al.*, 2013; *Drobinski et al.*, 2016; *Prein et al.*, 2017b; *Baker et al.*, 2018]. Although, the response of precipitation extremes to warming is one of the key uncertainties associated with climate change [*Hawkins and Sutton*, 2009; *Huang et al.*, 2013, 2018], it is generally agreed that the increasing warming could modify precipitation characteristics in terms of the amount, frequency and intensity [*Huang et al.*, 2019].

58 The association between precipitation extremes and temperature, termed as 59 'scaling', is theoretically linked to the Clausius–Clapeyron (C–C) relationship, which 60 predicts roughly a 7% (C-C scaling) increase in the daily precipitation extremes 61 associated with atmospheric warming [Trenberth et al., 2003; Pall et al., 2007; Bui et 62 al., 2019]. Generally, observations and simulations with climate models have reported a variety of scaling rates between daily precipitation extremes and temperature, 63 64 including strong C-C scaling in Europe [Trenberth et al., 2003; Lenderink and Meijgaard, 2008, 2010; Berg et al., 2013; Schroeer and Kirchengast, 2018], but weak 65 66 C-C scaling in North America [Mishra et al., 2012; Lepore et al., 2015] or even negative rates in the tropics [O'Gorman, 2012; Drobinski et al., 2016; Yin et al., 2018]. 67 68 Thus, the rate of increase in the extreme daily precipitation intensity was not 69 necessarily consistent with the C-C scaling. We should also notice that the relation is 70 complicated as the temporal resolution of measurement of precipitation data changes (e.g., hourly or 5- minutes station data). As daily mean temperature is below ~25°C, 71 72 the rate of increase in hourly precipitation extremes is > 7% (even 14%, as super-C-C 73 scaling) per warming degree [Westra et al., 2014; Prein et al., 2017b]. Besides of the 74 positive relation between daily precipitation extremes and temperature, when

temperature exceeds ~25°C, the intensity of precipitation extremes at different time-scales (daily, hourly, 5-minites) consistently begins to decrease [*Lenderink and Meijgaard*, 2010; *Utsumi et al.*, 2011; *Huang et al.*, 2017, 2019]. Thus, the different intensification rate of precipitation extremes in different regions and different temporal resolution of precipitation data demonstrates the complexity of the issue in application of the C-C scaling [e.g., *Zhang et al.*, 2017].

81 Eastern China is already very sensitive to climate change at short and long-time 82 scales. Dominated by the Asian monsoon system [Zhou et al., 2009], eastern China is 83 vulnerable to precipitation extremes, which show significant regional discrepancy 84 [Zhai et al., 1999, 2005; Wang et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2016]. Generally, precipitation 85 extremes have frequently occurred over Yangtze River Basin [Wang and Zhou, 2005; 86 Zhai et al., 2005; Fu et al., 2013] and South China [Yao et al., 2008] and rarely 87 occurred over Sichuan Basin [Zhai et al., 2005]. The intensity of precipitation 88 extremes are strong in South China, while northern part of China (north to 35°N) has 89 been recently suffered from some destructive precipitation extremes [Li et al., 2016; 90 Lyu et al., 2016]. Most previous studies on the precipitation extremes in China have 91 focused on the definitions, tendency, typical large-scale circulations, projections, 92 detection of human influence, etc [Sun and Ao, 2013; Zhou et al., 2014; Chen and Sun, 93 2017]. However, less study has paid attention to theoretical explanation on the 94 sensitivity of extreme rainfall to atmospheric temperature. Some studies have focused 95 on some specific regions, as South China [Sun et al., 2013], Anhui Province [Huang 96 et al., 2017]. Although the C-C scaling can explain the relation between daily 97 precipitation extremes and temperature, they consistently show the deviation from the C-C scaling, particularly for different regions. 98

99

Many factors can contribute to departure from C-C scaling: temporal and spatial

100 averaging, choice of scaling temperature [Bui et al., 2019], the precipitable water and 101 vertical velocity [Kunkel et al., 2020], precipitation efficiency [Huang et al., 2019], 102 dynamical conditions [Drobinski et al., 2016], the precipitation types [Berg et al., 103 2013], and etc.. Particularly for the negative scaling of daily precipitation extremes on temperature exceeding ~25 °C, it may due to the decrease in relative humidity 104 105 [Barbero et al., 2018], duration of precipitation events [Utsumi et al., 2011], 106 intermittency of rainfall at higher temperature [Schleiss, 2018], and the negative 107 scaling of precipitation efficiency and temperature [Huang et al., 2019], etc. Since the 108 examination of C-C scaling in the relationship between the extreme daily precipitation 109 intensity and temperature across eastern China and the possible reasons are still 110 uncertain, here, we aimed to answer the following two questions using both of 111 synoptic observations and reanalysis datasets: 1) Is the precipitation extremes scaling 112 with temperature in different sub-regions over eastern China following the C-C 113 scaling? 2) If it remains deviate from the C-C scaling, how to understand the 114 deviation?

115

116 **2. Data and methods** 

117 **2.1 Data** 

118 The datasets used in this study are:

(1) The daily precipitation and mean air temperature of 2420 quality-controlled
stations (Fig. 1a) over China during the period of 1979-2014 provided by China
Meteorological Administration (<u>http://data.cma.cn/site/index.html</u>). Particularly,
we focused four sub-regions of eastern China (Fig.1a): Northeastern China
(110°-135°E, 43°-54°N), North China (110°-122°E, 33°-53°N), Yangtze-Huaihe

124 River Basin (110°-122°E, 28°-34°N), South China (110°-122°E, 20°-27°N).

125 (2) The European Centre for the Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) interim reanalysis (~0.75°×~0.75°) (ERA-Interim, Dee et al. 2011). The 126 127 ERA-Interim reanalysis data has a good performance in describing precipitation 128 and circulations in East Asia [Lin et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2017] 129 and it also has the ability to understand the C-C scaling of daily precipitation 130 extremes and temperature [Huang et al., 2019]. The daily variables of mean 131 temperature, specific humidity, sea level pressure and precipitation on the period 132 of 1979-2014 are used.

133

# 134 **2.2 Methods**

Precipitation efficiency (E) measures the percentage of moisture in the air converting into precipitation. It is calculated as dividing daily precipitation by daily total precipitable water vapor [*Tuller*, 1973].

Using both synoptic observations and the ERA-Interim reanalysis, the scaling of precipitation extremes with daily mean temperature has been analyzed, following the two-step analysis procedure in *Lenderink and Meijgaard* [2008]. First, we stratified the daily precipitation data based on daily mean temperature in bins of 2°C width. Second, we calculated the 90-95% and 95-99% of the distribution in each bin of precipitation events. To avoid the effect of snow, only precipitation events with daily mean temperature above 5°C are selected.

145

Following Chen et al. [2020], the convective inhibition (in J/kg) is calculated as,

$$CIN = R_d \int_{P(LFC)}^{p(SFC)} (T_{vp} - T_{ve}) dln(p)$$

146 Where  $R_d$  is the gas constant of dry air, and p is the air pressure. Here,

147  $T_{vp}$  and  $T_{ve}$ , the virtual temperature of the lifted parcel and the environment 148 respectively, are used to account for the effect of water vapor on air density [*Doswell* 149 *and Rasmussen*, 1994]. The definitions of CIN is widely recognized and consistent 150 with many previous studies [e.g. *Dai et al.*, 1999; *Riemann-Campe et al.*, 2009; *Prein* 151 *et al.*, 2017a].

152

### 153 **3. Results**

# 154 **3.1 Scaling of precipitation extremes with temperature**

155 Figure 1 shows the dependency of different percentiles of daily precipitation 156 extremes on daily mean temperature (T<sub>m</sub>) in eastern China by using synoptic 157 observations (middle panels). In eastern China, while T<sub>m</sub> is below ~25°C, daily precipitation extremes increase with the increase of T<sub>m</sub>, following the rate of the C-C 158 159 scaling (the grey dot lines), ~7%. However, precipitation extremes start to decrease when T<sub>m</sub> exceeds ~25°C, particularly in YHRB and SC. This behavior is robust 160 161 throughout the domain for the extreme percentiles (90-95%, 95-99%). Actually, this is also robust for the percentiles above 70% in eastern China [Huang et al., 2019] and 162 the percentiles between 20%-80% in the French Mediterranean region [Drobinski et 163 164 al., 2016]. In fact, temperature when the slope change is different for each regions. 165 There are ~21 °C, 24 °C, 25 °C and 26 °C for NEC, NC, YHRB and SC, respectively. 166 This may partly due to the climatology in different sub-regions. The ERA-Interim 167 reanalysis can also capture the relation between the daily precipitation extremes and T<sub>m</sub> in eastern China (right panels), which is also proved by some recent studies for 168 169 Europe and North America [e.g., Lenderink et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017]. Thus, in 170 the eastern China, both of the synoptic observations and reanalysis datasets confirm 171 that the C-C scaling could indicate the daily precipitation extreme intensity increasing with  $T_m$  below ~25°C. On the contrast, as  $T_m$  exceeds 25°C, the daily precipitation extremes would decrease with  $T_m$ . We should also notice the regional differences, mostly for the changing temperature and rate of the decreasing slope. This negative relation between precipitation extremes and  $T_m$  has deviated from the C-C scaling.

176

# 177 **3.2 Understanding the scaling of precipitation extremes with temperature**

In 1996, *Charles A. Doswell III et al.* [1996] has introduced a conceptual model
of precipitation extremes,

$$180 P = Ewq (1)$$

181 Where *P* is the precipitation intensity, *E* is the precipitation efficiency, *w* is the 182 vertical velocity, *q* is the mixing ratio of the rising air. This means rising air should 183 have a substantial water vapor content and a rapid ascent rate if a significant 184 precipitation rate is to develop. Differentiating the conceptual model (1) with respect 185 to a temperature T gives,

186 
$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial T} = q \cdot w \cdot \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} + q \cdot E \cdot \frac{\partial w}{\partial T} + w \cdot E \cdot \frac{\partial q}{\partial T}$$
(2)

187 Changes in precipitation efficiency and vertical velocity with temperature could 188 partly explain the departures from C-C scaling. Following *Emori and Brown* [2005], 189 we choose vertical pressure velocity at 500hPa (W500) and total precipitable water 190 vapor as w and q in equation (2), respectively. Thus, we individually focus on the  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial T}$ , 191  $\frac{\partial w}{\partial T}$  and  $\frac{\partial q}{\partial T}$ , which is shown in Figure 2.

On the one hand, the dependency of different percentiles of daily precipitation efficiency and  $T_m$  (Fig. 2a-d) shows quite consistent results in that between W500 and  $T_m$  (Fig. 2e-h) in the four sub-regions. As  $T_m$  is below 25°C, the precipitation efficiency slowly increases and the W500 is quite stable as  $T_m$  increases. Similarly, the precipitation efficiency and W500 decreases as  $T_m$  exceeds 25°C. Thus, the out-of-phase relationship between precipitation extremes and  $T_m$  may be partly explained by that between precipitation efficiency and  $T_m$ , and vertical velocity and  $T_m$ .

200 On the other hand, as expected, governed by the C-C equation, the atmospheric 201 moisture increases with T<sub>m</sub> following the C-C scaling (Fig.2i-1). In fact, we can notice 202 that the relation still reverses like that between precipitation extremes and T<sub>m</sub>, but the turning point is ~27 °C of T<sub>m</sub>. The reversal in the scaling may be due to moisture 203 limitations at higher temperatures as seen in previous studies [Bao et al., 2017; 204 205 Barbero et al., 2018]. Thus, we may infer that the C-C scaling can largely explain the 206 relation between precipitation extremes and T<sub>m</sub> below 25 °C. As T<sub>m</sub> exceeds 25°C, the 207 reverse relation may be partly due to the negative relation between the precipitation 208 efficiency (vertical motion) and T<sub>m</sub>.

With respect to 
$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial T}$$
 (Fig.2a-d) and  $\frac{\partial w}{\partial T}$  (Fig.2e-h), the possible factors have  
been further investigated. In fact, the precipitation efficiency has significant negative  
correlated with the convective inhibition (CIN) [*Market et al.*, 2003]. This significant  
negative relationship between precipitation efficiency and CIN is also confirmed in  
eastern China, particularly as the CIN exceeds 50 J/kg (Fig.3a) and also found in  
sub-regions (Figure not shown). The relation between the CIN and T<sub>m</sub> in four  
sub-regions has been further examined (Fig.3c, e, g, i). These results were categorized  
into two major groups. In the northern part of China (NEC, Fig.3c and NC, Fig.3e),  
the CIN increases as T<sub>m</sub> increases for NEC and NC. On the contrast, the relation  
between T<sub>m</sub> and CIN are complicated in the southern part of China (YHRB and SC).  
The CIN has increased as the T<sub>m</sub> increases, except the T<sub>m</sub> is between 25°C-27°C.

220 Particularly, in SC, as T<sub>m</sub> is higher than 27°C, CIN significantly increases as T<sub>m</sub> rises. 221 Thus, the significant increases in CIN in the high T<sub>m</sub> would favor the decrease in the 222 precipitation efficiency. In fact, this relationship is more obvious in South China than 223 other regions. As well, uncertainties also exists in southern part of China as the T<sub>m</sub> is 224 between 25°C-27°C. These would remind us the importance of regional difference. 225 The positive correlation between the vertical velocity and temperature advection  $(-\vec{V} \cdot \nabla T)$  has been established by the quasi-geostrophic omega equation [Jonathan E 226 227 Martin, 2006], suggesting that the warm advection would favor the ascend motion, 228 and vice versa. This positive relation is well established in eastern China (Fig.3b) and 229 also found in sub-regions (Figure not shown). Similarly, we further investigated the 230 relation between the temperature advection and T<sub>m</sub> in the four sub-regions (Fig.3d, f, 231 h, j). Generally, as T<sub>m</sub> is below 20°C, the temperature advection shows not much 232 tendency with the increases of the T<sub>m</sub>, while as T<sub>m</sub> is above 20 °C, the slope is 233 negative, indicating the temperature advection decreases as the T<sub>m</sub> increases. There 234 are also regional differences, suggesting more significant negative slope in YHRB 235 (Fig.3h) and South China (Fig.3j), compared to other regions. Due to the positive 236 relation between the vertical velocity and temperature advection, this negative slope 237 between the temperature advection and T<sub>m</sub> would contribute to that between the 238 vertical velocity and T<sub>m</sub>.

239

# 240 **4. Conclusion and discussion**

In eastern China, the C-C scaling could predict the daily precipitation extreme intensity increase with  $T_m$ , as the  $T_m$  is below 25°C. However, as  $T_m$  exceeds 25°C, the daily precipitation extremes would decrease with  $T_m$ , particularly for daily precipitation extremes in South China. This negative relation between theprecipitation extremes and temperature deviates from the C-C scaling.

246 Traced to the conceptual model of precipitation extremes, besides of the water 247 vapor, the vertical velocity, precipitation efficiency scaling with the temperature may 248 result in the deviation as T<sub>m</sub> exceeds 25°C. In fact, the water vapor, vertical velocity, 249 precipitation efficiency consistently increase as the T<sub>m</sub> increases. Therefore, the C-C scaling can largely explain the relation between precipitation extremes and T<sub>m</sub> below 250 251 25 °C. As T<sub>m</sub> exceeds 25°C, precipitation efficiency and vertical velocity significantly 252 decrease with temperature rising, which can contribute to the departures from C-C 253 scaling. Additionally, as T<sub>m</sub> exceeds 25°C, the sharp increase in CIN, decrease in the 254 temperature advection with T<sub>m</sub> may result in the negative relation between the 255 precipitation efficiency and T<sub>m</sub>, the vertical velocity and T<sub>m</sub>, respectively.

256 Several questions still remain and need further analyses. First, the relative contributions of the vertical velocity and precipitation efficiency to the deviation 257 258 should be quantitative distinguished. Second, we have found obvious regional distinctions (northern part and southern part of eastern China), which may due to the 259 260 large-scale circulations, different kinds of precipitation extremes, dynamical 261 conditions and etc. Third, we have attempted the similar analyses on the sub-daily 262 datasets. However, our results show that the hourly precipitation extremes over the 263 eastern China do not seem to exhibit super C-C scaling, unlike what was found in 264 other observational studies (Figure not shown). These possible reasons should also be further investigated. Considering these would likely help us to understand the 265 266 variations in different time-scale precipitation extremes under a warmer future.

267

268 Acknowledgements This study is sponsored by the National Key Research and

269	Development Program of China (Grant No. 2016YFA0600701) and the Jiangsu						
270	Collaborative Innovation Center for Climate Change. All datasets used herein are in						
271	the public domains and citations are provided within the text, including (1) The daily						
272	quality-controlled stations over China (available at <u>http://data.cma.cn/site/index.html</u> );						
273	(2) The ERA-Interim datasets (available at						
274	https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/datasets/reanalysis-datasets/era-interim)						
275							
276	References:						
278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306	<ul> <li>Baker, H. S., R. J. Millar, D. J. Karoly, U. Beyerle, P. Benoit, D. Mitchell, H. Shiogama, S. Sparrow, T. Woollings, and M. R. Allen (2018), Higher CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations increase extreme event risk in a 1.5°C world, <i>Nat. Clim. Chang.</i>, 267–283, doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0190-1.</li> <li>Bao, J., S. C. Sherwood, L. V. Alexander, and J. P. Evans (2017), Future increases in extreme precipitation exceed observed scaling rates, <i>Nat. Clim. Chang.</i>, 7(2), 128–132, doi:10.1038/nclimate3201.</li> <li>Barbero, R., S. Westra, G. Lenderink, and H. J. Fowler (2018), Temperature-extreme precipitation scaling: a two-way causality?, <i>Int. J. Climatol.</i>, <i>38</i>, 1274–1279, doi:10.1002/joc.5370.</li> <li>Berg, P., C. Moseley, and J. O. Haerter (2013), Strong increase in convective precipitation in response to higher temperatures, <i>Nat. Geosci.</i>, <i>6</i>(3), 181–185, doi:10.1038/ngeo1731.</li> <li>Bui, A., F. Johnson, and C. Wasko (2019), The relationship of atmospheric air temperature and dew point temperature to extreme rainfall The relationship of atmospheric air temperature and dew point temperature to extreme rainfall, <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i>, <i>14</i>, 074025.</li> <li>Charles A. Doswell III, H. E. Brooks, and R. A. Maddox (1996), Flash flood forecasting: An ingredients-based methodology, <i>Weather Forecast.</i>, <i>11</i>, 560–581.</li> <li>Chen, H., and J. Sun (2017), Contribution of human influence to increased daily precipitation extremes over China, <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i>, <i>44</i>(5), 2436–2444, doi:10.1002/2016GL072439.</li> <li>Chen, J., A. Dai, Y. Zhang, and K. L. Rasmussen (2020), Changes in convective available potential energy and convective inhibition under global warming, <i>J. Clim.</i>, <i>33</i>(6), 2025–2050, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0461.1.</li> <li>Dai, A., F. Giorgi, and K. E. Trenberth (1999), Observed and model-simulated diurnal cycles of precipitation over the contiguous United States, <i>J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.</i>, <i>104</i>(D6), 6377–6402, doi:10.1029/98JD02720.</li> </ul>						
307 308 309	Dee, D. P. et al. (2011), The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, <i>Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.</i> , <i>137</i> , 553–597, doi:10.1002/qj.828.						
	12						

310	Doswell, C. A., and E. N. Rasmussen (1994), The effect of neglecting the virtual
311	temperature correction on CAPE calculations, Weather Forecast., 9(4), 625–629,
312	doi:10.1175/1520-0434.
313	Drobinski, P., B. Alonzo, S. Bastin, N. Da Silva, and C. Muller (2016), Scaling of
314	precipitation extremes with temperature in the French Mediterranean region:
315	What explains the hook shape?, J. Geophys. Res., 121(7), 3100–3119,
316	doi:10.1002/2015JD023497.
317	Emori, S., and S. J. Brown (2005), Dynamic and thermodynamic changes in mean and
318	extreme precipitation under changed climate, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32(17), 1-5,
319	doi:10.1029/2005GL023272.
320	Fu, G., J. Yu, X. Yu, R. Ouyang, Y. Zhang, P. Wang, W. Liu, and L. Min (2013),
321	Temporal variation of extreme rainfall events in China, 1961–2009, J. Hydrol.,
322	487, 48–59, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.02.021.
323	Hawkins, E., and R. Sutton (2009), The Potential to Narrow Uncertainty in Regional
324	Climate Predictions, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 90(8), 1095–1107,
325	doi:10.1175/2009BAMS2607.1.
326	Huang, D., J. Zhu, Y. Zhang, and A. Huang (2013), Uncertainties on the simulated
327	summer precipitation over Eastern China from the CMIP5 models, J. Geophys.
328	Res. Atmos., 118, 9035–9047, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50695.
329	Huang, D., J. Zhu, Y. Zhang, Y. Huang, and X. Kuang (2016), Assessment of summer
330	monsoon precipitation derived from five reanalysis datasets over East Asia, Q. J.
331	R. Meteorol. Soc., 142, 108–119, doi:10.1002/qj.2634.
332	Huang, D., P. Yan, G. Liu, and J. Zhu (2017), Relationship between precipitation
333	extremes with temperature in the warm season in Anhui Province, Clim. Environ.
334	<i>Res.</i> (in Chinese), 22(5), 623–632.
335	Huang, D., P. Yan, J. Zhu, Y. Zhang, X. Kuang, and J. Cheng (2018), Uncertainty of
336	global summer precipitation in the CMIP5 models: a comparison between
337	high-resolution and low-resolution models, <i>Theor. Appl. Climatol.</i> , 132(1–2),
338	55–69, doi:10.1007/s00704-017-2078-9.
339	Huang, D., P. Yan, X. Xiao, J. Zhu, X. Tang, and A. Huang (2019), The tri-pole
340	relation among daily mean temperature, atmospheric moisture and precipitation
341	intensity over China, Glob. Planet. Change, 179, 1–9,
342	doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2019.04.016.
343	Kunkel, K. E., S. E. Stevens, L. E. Stevens, and T. R. Karl (2020), Observed
344	Climatological Relationships of Extreme Daily Precipitation Events with
345	Precipitable Water and Vertical Velocity in the Contiguous United States,
346	Geophys. Res. Lett., 47, doi:10.1029/2019GL086721.
347	Lenderink, G., and E. van Meijgaard (2010), Linking increases in hourly precipitation
348	extremes to atmospheric temperature and moisture changes, <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> ,
349	5, 025208, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/5/2/025208.
350	Lenderink, G., and E. Van Meijgaard (2008), Increase in hourly precipitation
351	extremes beyond expections from temperature changes, <i>Nature</i> , <i>1</i> , 511–514.
352	Lenderink, G., R. Barbero, J. M. Loriaux, and H. J. Fowler (2017), Super
353	Clausius-Clapeyron scaling of extreme hourly convective precipitation and its
354	relation to large-scale atmospheric conditions, J. Clim., 6037–6052,
355	doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0808.1.
356	Lepore, C., D. Veneziano, and A. Molini (2015), Temperature and CAPE Dependence
357	of Rainfall Extremes in the Eastern United States, <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i> ,
358	doi:10.1002/2014GL062247.

359 Li, H., J. Gao, Y. Zhang, X. Bai, P. Sun, and Y. Zhang (2016), Analysis of Yanan 360 Extreme Rainfall Characterstics and Impacts of Erosion Disasters on Terraces, J. 361 Soil Water Conserv. (in Chinese), 30(6), 79–84. 362 Lin, R., T. Zhou, and Y. Qian (2014), Evaluation of Global Monsoon Precipitation Changes based on Five Reanalysis Datasets, J. Clim., 27(3), 1271-1289, 363 364 doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00215.1. 365 Lyu, M., L. Sheng, Z. Zhang, and L. Zhang (2016), Distribution and accumulation of 366 soil carbon in temperate wetland, northeast China, Chinese Geogr. Sci., 26(3), 367 295-303, doi:10.1007/s11769-016-0809-y. 368 Market, P., S. Allen, R. Scofield, R. Kuligowski, and A. Gruber (2003), Precipitation 369 efficiency of warm season midwestern mesoscale convective systems, Weather 370 Forecast., 18, 1273-1285. Min, S.-K., X. Zhang, F. W. Zwiers, and G. C. Hegerl (2011), Human contribution to 371 372 more-intense precipitation extremes, Nature, 470(7334), 378-81, 373 doi:10.1038/nature09763. 374 Mishra, V., J. M. Wallace, and D. P. Lettenmaier (2012), Relationship between hourly extreme precipitation and local air temperature in the United States, Geophys. 375 376 Res. Lett., 39(16), doi:10.1029/2012GL052790. 377 O'Gorman, P. a. (2012), Sensitivity of tropical precipitation extremes to climate 378 change, Nat. Geosci., 5(10), 697-700, doi:10.1038/ngeo1568. 379 Pall, P., M. Allen, and D. Stone (2007), Testing the Clausius-Clapeyron constraint on 380 changes in extreme precipitation under CO<sub>2</sub> warming, Clim. Dyn., 28, 351–363, 381 doi:10.1007/s00382-006-0180-2. 382 Prein, A. F., C. Liu, K. Ikeda, S. B. Trier, R. M. Rasmussen, G. J. Holland, and M. P. 383 Clark (2017a), Increased rainfall volume from future convective storms in the 384 US, Nat. Clim. Chang., 7(12), 880-884, doi:10.1038/s41558-017-0007-7. Prein, A. F., R. M. Rasmussen, K. Ikeda, C. Liu, M. P. Clark, and G. J. Holland 385 386 (2017b), The future intensification of hourly precipitation extremes, *Nat. Clim.* 387 Chang., 7(1), 48-52. 388 Riemann-Campe, K., K. Fraedrich, and F. Lunkeit (2009), Global climatology of 389 Convective Available Potential Energy (CAPE) and Convective Inhibition (CIN) 390 in ERA-40 reanalysis, Atmos. Res., 93(1-3), 534-545, 391 doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2008.09.037. 392 Schleiss, M. (2018), How intermittency affects the rate at which rainfall extremes 393 respond to changes in temperature, Earth Syst. Dyn., 9, 955–968, 394 doi:doi.org/10.5194/esd-9-955-2018. 395 Schroeer, K., and G. Kirchengast (2018), Sensitivity of extreme precipitation to 396 temperature: the variability of scaling factors from a regional to local perspective, 397 *Clim. Dyn.*, 50(11–12), 3981–3994, doi:10.1007/s00382-017-3857-9. 398 Sun, J., and J. Ao (2013), Changes in precipitation and extreme precipitation in a 399 warming environment in China, Chinese Sci. Bull., 58(12), 1395-1401, 400 doi:10.1007/s11434-012-5542-z. 401 Sun, W., J. Li, and R. Yu (2013), An Analysis on Relation Between Warm Season 402 Precipitation Extremes and Surface Air Temperature in South China, Progressus 403 Inquistitiones Mutat. Clim. (in Chinese), 9(2), 96–101, 404 doi:10.3969/j.issn.1673-1719.2013.02.003. 405 Trenberth, K. E., A. Dai, R. R. M., and P. D. B. (2003), the changing character of 406 precipitation.pdf, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 1205-1217. 407 Tuller, S. E. (1973), Seasonal and annual precipitation efficiency in Canada,

408	Atmosphere (Basel)., 52-66, doi:10.1080/00046973.1973.9648348.				
409	Utsumi, N., S. Seto, S. Kanae, E. E. Maeda, and T. Oki (2011), Does higher surface				
410	temperature intensify extreme precipitation?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38(16),				
411	doi:10.1029/2011GL048426.				
412	Wang, G., D. Wang, K. E. Trenberth, A. Erfanian, M. Yu, M. G. Bosilovich, and D. T.				
413	Parr (2017), The peak structure and future changes of the relationships between				
414	extreme precipitation and temperature, Nat. Clim. Chang., 7,				
415	doi:10.1038/nclimate3239.				
416	Wang, H., J. Sun, H. Chen, Y. li Zhu, Y. Zhang, D. Jiang, X. Lang, K. Fan, E. Yu,				
417	and S. Yang (2012), Extreme climate in China: Facts, simulation and projection,				
418	Meteorol. Zeitschrift, 21(3), 279–304, doi:10.1127/0941-2948/2012/0330.				
419	Wang, Y., and L. Zhou (2005), Observed trends in extreme precipitation events in				
420	China during 1961-2001 and the associated changes in large-scale circulation,				
421	Geophys. Res. Lett., 32(9), 1-4, doi:10.1029/2005GL022574.				
422	Westra, S., H. J. Fowler, J. P. Evans, L. V Alexander, P. Berg, F. Johnson, E. J.				
423	Kendon, G. Lenderink, and N. M. Roberts (2014), Future changes to the intensity				
424	and frequency of short-duration extreme rainfall, Rev. Geophys., 52, 522–555,				
425	doi:10.1002/2014RG000464.				
426	Yao, C., S. Yang, W. Qian, Z. Lin, and M. Wen (2008), Regional summer				
427	precipitation events in Asia and their changes in the past decades, J. Geophys.				
428	Res., 113(D17), D17107, doi:10.1029/2007JD009603.				
429	Yin, J., G. Pierre, S. Zhou, S. C. Sullivan, R. Wang, Y. Zhang, and S. Guo (2018),				
430	large increase in global strom runoff extremes driven by climate and				
431	anthropogenic changes, Nat. Commun., doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06765-2.				
432	Zhai, P., A. Sun, F. Ren, X. Liu, B. Gao, and Q. Zhang (1999), Changes of climate				
433	extremes in China, Clim. Change, 42, 203–218, doi:10.1023/A:1005428602279.				
434	Zhai, P., X. Zhang, H. Wan, and X. Pan (2005), Trends in Total Precipitation and				
435	Frequency of Daily Precipitation Extremes over China, J. Clim., 18, 1096–1108.				
436	Zhang, X., H. Wan, F. W. Zwiers, G. C. Hegerl, and SK. Min (2013), Attributing				
437	intensification of precipitation extremes to human influence, Geophys. Res. Lett.,				
438	40(19), 5252–5257, doi:10.1002/grl.51010.				
439	Zhang, X., F. W. Zwiers, G. Li, H. Wan, and A. J. Cannon (2017), Complexity in				
440	estimating past and future extreme short-duration rainfall, Nat. Geosci., 10(4),				
441	255–259, doi:10.1038/ngeo2911.				
442	Zhou, B., Q. Han Wen, Y. Xu, L. Song, and X. Zhang (2014), Projected Changes in				
443	Temperature and Precipitation Extremes in China by the CMIP5 Multi-model				
444	Ensembles, J. Clim., 27, 6591–6611, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00761.1.				
445	Zhou, T., D. Gong, J. Li, and B. Li (2009), Detecting and understanding the				
446	multi-decadal variability of the East Asian Summer Monsoon – Recent progress				
447	and state of affairs, <i>Meteorol. Zeitschrift</i> , 18(4), 455–467,				
448	doi:10.1127/0941-2948/2009/0396.				
449	Zhu, J., D. Huang, Y. Dai, and X. Chen (2016), Recent heterogeneous warming and				
450	the associated summer precipitation over eastern China, Theor. Appl. Climatol.,				
451	123, 619–627, doi:10.1007/s00704-015-1380-7.				
452	Zhu, J., D. Huang, P. Yan, Y. Huang, and X. Kuang (2017), Can reanalysis datasets				
453	describe the persistent temperature and precipitation extremes over China?,				
454	<i>Theor. Appl. Climatol.</i> , <i>130</i> (1–2), 655–671, doi:10.1007/s00704-016-1912-9.				
455	Jonathan, E Martin, 2006. Mid-latitude atmospheric dynamics: A first course, Wiley,				
456	PP336.				

457		
458		

461



462

463 Figure 1 The distribution of the 2420 stations used in this study (a), and dependency of different 464 percentiles of daily precipitation extremes on daily mean temperature in Northeastern China (NEC, 465 b, c), North China (NC, d, e), Yangtze-Huaihe River Basin (YHRB, f, g) and South China (SC, h, i) 466 from station data (middle panel) and ERA-Interim reanalysis datasets (right panel). The red, blue, 467 purple and orange dots are for NEC, NC, YHRB and SC, respectively. Four sub-regions over eastern China: Northeastern China (NEC, 110°-135°E, 43°-54°N), North China (NC, 110°-122°E, 468 33°-53°N), Yangtze-Huaihe River Basin (YHRB,110°-122°E, 28°-34°N), South China (SC, 469 470 110°-122°E, 20°-27°N). Scatter points in (b-i) are computed from certain percentiles in each 471 temperature bin. Solid curves are computed by the 4th degree exponential polynomial-fitting of 472 precipitations. Colorful scatters and lines are for the different percentiles. Note the logarithmic 473 Y-axis. Each dot in (middle panel) and (left panel) means the logarithmic daily precipitation for a 474 certain station and a certain year in each sub-regions and sub-regional mean for a certain year 475 from ERA-Interim reanalysis datasets, respectively.



Figure 2 Dependency of different percentiles of daily precipitation efficiency (a-d, unit :%), vertical pressure velocity at 500hPa (e-h, unit:  $(-1)*10^{-2}$  Pa/s) and the vertical integrated moisture transport from 1000 to 300hPa (i-l, short for Q) on daily mean temperature in NEC (first panel), NC (second panel), YHRB (third panel) and SC (forth panels) based on ERA-Interim reanalysis datasets. Solid curves are computed by the 4th degree exponential polynomial-fitting of precipitation efficiency, W and Q. Colorful scatters and lines are for the different percentiles. Note the logarithmic Y-axis in (i-l). Each dot in Figure 2 means the sub-regional mean value for a certain year from ERA-Interim reanalysis datasets.



492

493 Figure 3 Dependency of different percentiles of daily precipitation efficiency (unit: %) on 494 convective inhibition (a, CIN, unit: J/kg) and daily vertical pressure velocity at 500hPa (unit:  $(-1)*10^{-2}$  Pa/s) on temperature advection at 500hPa (b, unit:  $10^{-5}$  m/s<sup>-2</sup>) in eastern China, and the 495 496 dependency of different percentiles of daily CIN (c, e, g, I, unit: J/kg) and daily temperature advection at 500 hPa (d, f, h, j, unit: 10<sup>-5</sup> m/s<sup>-2</sup>) on daily mean temperature in NEC (c, d), NC (e, f), 497 YHRB (g, h) and SC (i, j). Solid curves are computed by the 4th degree exponential 498 499 polynomial-fitting. Each dot in Figure 3 means the sub-regional mean value for a certain year 500 from ERA-Interim reanalysis datasets.