Numerical and analytical modeling of flow partitioning in partially saturated fracture networks.

Jannes Kordilla¹, Alexandre M Tartakovsky², and Marco Dentz³

¹University of Göttingen ²Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (DOE) ³IDAEA-CSIC

November 21, 2022

Abstract

Infiltration processes in fractured-porous media remain a crucial, yet not very well understood component of recharge and vulnerability assessment. Under partially-saturated conditions flows in fractures, percolating fracture networks and fault zones contribute to the fastest spectrum of infiltration velocities via preferential pathways. Specifically, the partitioning dynamics at fracture intersections determine the magnitude of flow fragmentation into vertical and horizontal components and hence the bulk flow velocity and dispersion of fracture networks. In this work we derive an analytical solution for the partitioning processes based on smoothed particle hydrodynamics simulations and laboratory studies. The developed transfer function allows to efficiently simulate flow through arbitrary long wide aperture fracture networks with simple cubic structure via linear response theory and convolution of a given input signal. We derive a non-dimensional bulk flow velocity ($\ulletlde{v}\$) and dispersion coefficient ($\ulletlde{D}\$) to characterize the system in terms of dimensionless horizontal and vertical time scales $\ulletlde{v}\$ and $\ulletlde{v}\$ based on shoothed particle hydrodynamics for the fluid and geometrical parameters, while the non-dimensional velocity exhibits a characteristic $\ulletlde{v}\$ is to strongly depend on the horizontal time scale and converges towards a constant value of $\ulletlde{v}\$ is $\ulletlde{v}\$ scaling. Given that hydraulic information is often only available at limited places within (fractured-porous) aquifer system, such as boreholes or springs, our study intends to provide a rudimentary analytical concept to potentially reconstruct internal fracture network geometries from external boundary information, e.g., the dispersive properties of discharge (groundwater level fluctuations).

Numerical and analytical modeling of flow partitioning in partially saturated fracture networks.

Jannes Kordilla¹, Marco Dentz², Alexandre M. Tartakovsky^{3,4}

4	$^{1}\mathrm{University}$ of Goettingen, Geoscientific Centre, Goettingen, Germany
5	$^2 \mathrm{Institute}$ of Environmental Assessment and Water Research (IDAEA), Spanish National Research
6	Council (CSIC), Barcelona, Spain
7	$^{3}\mathrm{Department}$ of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,
8	Urbana, IL, USA
9	⁴ Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, USA

¹⁰ Key Points:

1

2

3

11	• Horizontal fractures control dispersion during infiltration events within vertical
12	preferential pathway
13	• Partitioning dynamics at intersections control uptake and release from sub-vertical
14	fractures
15	• An analytical solution is derived and the dynamics upscaled via convolution to
16	obtain non-dimensional characteristics

Corresponding author: Jannes Kordilla, jkordil@gwdg.de

17 Abstract

Infiltration processes in fractured-porous media remain a crucial, yet not very well un-18 derstood component of recharge and vulnerability assessment. Under partially-saturated 19 conditions flows in fractures, percolating fracture networks, and fault zones contribute 20 to the fastest spectrum of infiltration velocities via preferential pathways. Specifically, 21 the partitioning dynamics at fracture intersections determine the magnitude of flow frag-22 mentation into vertical and horizontal components and hence the bulk flow velocity and 23 dispersion of fracture networks. In this work, we derive an approximate analytical so-24 lution for the partitioning process and validate it using smoothed particle hydrodynam-25 ics simulations and laboratory studies. The developed transfer function allows to effi-26 ciently simulate flow through fracture networks with simple cubic structure and arbitrary 27 number of fractures and aperture sizes via linear response theory and convolution of a 28 given input signal. We derive a non-dimensional bulk flow velocity (\tilde{v}) and dispersion 29 coefficient (\widetilde{D}) to characterize fracture networks in terms of dimensionless horizontal and 30 vertical time scales τ_m and τ_0 . The dispersion coefficient is shown to strongly depend 31 on the horizontal time scale and converges towards a constant value of 0.08 within rea-32 sonable fluid and geometrical parameter ranges, while the non-dimensional velocity ex-33 hibits a characteristic $\tilde{v} \sim \tau_m^{-1/2}$ scaling. Given that hydraulic information is often only 34 available at limited places within (fractured-porous) aquifer system, such as boreholes 35 or springs, our study intends to provide a rudimentary analytical concept to potentially 36 reconstruct internal fracture network geometries from external boundary information, 37 e.g., the dispersive properties of discharge (groundwater level fluctuations). 38

³⁹ 1 Introduction

Estimation of infiltration and recharge remains one of the most important challenges 40 in modern hydrogeology (Scanlon & Cook, 2002; Scanlon et al., 2006) and is directly re-41 lated to important topics such as integrated water resources management (Engelhardt 42 et al., 2013; Alkhatib et al., 2019), safety of nuclear waste repositories (Bodvarsson et 43 al., 1997; Tsang et al., 2015), and storage, release and degradation of nitrate and other 44 agrochemical products (Ascott et al., 2016, 2017; Kurtzman et al., 2013; Wang et al., 45 2013). In contrast to the long-prevailing opinion that fractures (or, generally speaking, 46 highly permeable heterogeneities embedded in porous media) do not transmit water un-47 der non-equilibrium conditions due to the strong capillary forces in the adjacent matrix 48

(Singhal & Gupta, 2010), arrival times recorded in field and laboratory experiments strongly 49 suggest the existence of rapid preferential flow along fractures, fracture networks, and 50 fault zones (Zhou et al., 2006; Dahan et al., 2000; Weisbrod et al., 2000). The dynamic 51 activation of preferential flow domains within the vadose zone controls the short- and 52 long term hydraulic response of the groundwater to precipitation signals (Nimmo & Perkins, 53 2018) and hence affects the magnitude and temporal distribution of recharge. This is even 54 more critical given the current predictions of climate-change-induced erratic and poten-55 tially extreme precipitation patterns (Black, 2009) that require precise estimation and 56 management of limited recharge volumes, even more so in systems with thick vadose zones 57 (Dvory et al., 2016; El-Hakim & Bakalowicz, 2007). 58

Despite the importance of the vadose zone for infiltration processes, both with re-59 spect to volumetric extent and share of the total aquifer volume, modeling approaches 60 often do not (and can not due to missing information) consider the complexity of fractured-61 porous media to model the delay in arrival times and hence dispersion of an input sig-62 nal. The complexity arises from geological heterogeneities that provide continuous path-63 ways on various scales for rapid percolation and transport within fractures. In karst sys-64 tems, precipitation is commonly partitioned into diffuse and preferential components, 65 where the latter is commonly linked to direct infiltration in the surrounding area of sur-66 face depressions, dry valleys and dolines (Kordilla et al., 2012; Williams, 2008; Sauter, 67 1992; Gunn, 1981). Fault zones may cut across several geological units and provide catch-68 ment scale preferential flow paths in the form of strongly connected clusters of fractures 69 (Bodvarsson et al., 1997; Flint et al., 2001; H. H. Liu et al., 2004). Tectonically induced 70 stress fields and stress field changes generally promote the formation of local disconti-71 nuities, such as fractures, joints and fault zones in consolidated porous rocks (Ford & 72 Williams, 2013; Neslon, 2001). What sets such features apart from typical pore space 73 geometries is their strong anisotropic character, i.e., their length or spatial extent is or-74 ders of magnitude larger than their aperture. When fractures are connected, they can 75 form percolating clusters (Berkowitz & Scher, 1995; Adler et al., 2013) that can reach 76 length scales far beyond the thickness of individual geological layers/units and poten-77 tially extend across the entire vadose zone. Similar features can be observed in soil sys-78 tems, a type of material the heterogeneity is commonly associated with macropores (worm-79 holes), which can also form percolating clusters (Jarvis, 1998; Hussain et al., 2019; Nimmo, 80 2010).81

-3-

Assessing recharge dynamics in fractured-porous systems on the field scale is dif-82 ficult (Scanlon & Cook, 2002). Phreatic zone techniques assess recharge at the water ta-83 ble or at springs (e.g., tracers, water table fluctuations, Cook & Solomon, 1997; Nimmo 84 & Perkins, 2018), hence, the estimates can potentially reflect catchment scale dynam-85 ics or at least sub-catchment recharge processes within the hydraulic influence area of 86 the measurement point. In contrast, vadose zone techniques rely on measurements above 87 the groundwater table, (e.g., lysimeters, Darcy's law, tracers, Heppner et al., 2007; Ross-88 man et al., 2014; Chambers et al., 2019). They allow a rather localized quantification 89 of recharge or water content and only integrate a limited volume above the point of mea-90 surement as infiltration commonly occurs nearly vertical. As most of these methods rely 91 on rather simple assumptions about the internal systems geometry and percolation pro-92 cesses, the predictive power and temporal resolution is often limited (Scanlon & Cook, 93 2002). 94

In order to shed light on the complex infiltration processes, laboratory scale exper-95 iments have been a promising addition to the former investigation methods as they al-96 low to isolate important processes under well controlled conditions that are often impos-97 sible to observe in-situ. Small-scale laboratory experiments for gravity-driven partially-98 saturated flow often exhibit erratic or chaotic flow dynamics (Su et al., 2001; Dragila & 99 Weisbrod, 2004; Nicholl & Glass, 2005; T. Wood & Huang, 2015). In general, flow modes 100 on the wall of wide fractures evolve with increasing flow rates from thin adsorbed films 101 to droplets and rivulets to wavy surface films (Jones et al., 2017; Dippenaar & Van Rooy, 102 2016; Dragila & Wheatcraft, 2001; Ghezzehei, 2004). Different flow modes may also co-103 exist. Consequently, experimental results are difficult to cast into meaningful frameworks. 104 This especially concerns the complex flow dynamics at fracture intersections, which act 105 as critical relay points controlling: (1) the overall connectivity of fracture networks (Adler 106 et al., 2013); (2) the flow partitioning dynamics between connected fracture elements (Xue 107 et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2019; Dragila & Weisbrod, 2004); and, ultimately, (3) the dis-108 tribution of flow modes on fracture surfaces (Dippenaar & Van Rooy, 2016; Jones et al., 109 2017; Shigorina et al., 2019), which, in turn, can affect the interaction between porous 110 matrix and fracture (Tokunaga & Wan, 1997; Tokunaga, 2009). Here, the term "parti-111 tioning" refers to the process of fluid redistribution at a fracture intersection, which de-112 pends on the relation between capillary, inertial, and viscous forces (Nicholl & Glass, 2005) 113

-4-

and complexities such as velocity-dependent contact angles (Xue et al., 2020; Yang et
al., 2019).

In terms of fracture aperture, numerical and laboratory studies of unsaturated flow 116 in fractures have covered various length scales, from sub-millimeter scales (Glass et al., 117 2003; Ji et al., 2004, 2006; Nicholl & Glass, 2005), over ranges close to the capillary-inertial 118 transition around 0.7mm (T. R. Wood et al., 2002, 2005), to apertures well within the 119 inertial-dominated regime (Tokunaga & Wan, 1997, 2001; Dragila & Weisbrod, 2004; Tar-120 takovsky & Meakin, 2005a, 2005b; Huang et al., 2005; M. Liu et al., 2007). Studies of 121 free surface flow on a fracture plane without an intersection have been conducted by Shigorina 122 et al. (2019); Kordilla et al. (2013); Hayden et al. (2012); Ghezzehei (2004). 123

Depending on the experimental setup, studies have focused either on the partition-124 ing process at fracture intersections (Dragila & Weisbrod, 2004) or the (long-term) bulk 125 system response (Ebel & Nimmo, 2013; Nimmo, 2010), which both form an integral part 126 of understanding preferential flow dynamics through fractured systems. For the former 127 case, a single fracture intersection of a horizontal and a vertical fracture is often "con-128 structed", for example, by breaking glass plates, which results in a quasi-two-dimensional 129 setup (Ji et al., 2006). Modifications to this setup include experiments with a slight off-130 set at the fracture intersection or T-shaped intersections at various degrees of rotation 131 (T. R. Wood et al., 2005; Xue et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2019). Intersections resembling 132 an inverted Y-structure have been studied for example by Dragila and Weisbrod (2004), 133 M. Liu et al. (2007) and Tartakovsky and Meakin (2005a). The combination of several, 134 commonly cross-shaped, fracture intersections allows to study flow convergence, i.e., the 135 deviation from classical volume-effective diffusive flow dynamics, typical for non-fracture 136 porous media. Studies of this kind have been conducted by T. R. Wood et al. (2002, 2005); 137 T. Wood and Huang (2015); Glass et al. (2003); LaViolette et al. (2003), often reach-138 ing timescales of several minutes or days. In the study by Glass et al. (2003), fractures 139 are embedded into an impermeable matrix, while the other authors constructed their frac-140 ture networks from geological materials. Incorporation of the porous matrix can be con-141 sidered another important classification parameter of fracture-scale studies. For large-142 aperture fractures, i.e., inertial-dominated flow systems that limit the contact time be-143 tween fracture flow and matrix flow and/or a low-permeable matrix, the effect of ma-144 trix storage may be neglected. This can be observed in fractured karst systems, where 145 fractures are often enlarged by dissolution (Dijk et al., 2002; Benson, 2001) or fractured 146

-5-

crystalline rocks with extremely low matrix porosity and a severely limited advective po-tential.

Despite these research efforts the gap between small-scale process understanding 149 and larger-scale application is still limited. In our recent work (Noffz et al., 2018), we 150 demonstrated how to model breakthrough behavior in terms of discharge at the bottom 151 of arbitrary long stacks of sugar-cube fracture arrays (Barenblatt et al., 1960) via lin-152 ear response theory and convolution of input signals, whereas the transfer function has 153 been obtained empirically for a given setup of a wide aperture vertical surface intersected 154 by a horizontal one. However, it is desirable to obtain the form of the transfer function 155 a priori using information about the internal geometry as well as fluid properties and fluid-156 solid interaction characteristics. Therefore, in this work, we provide an analytical solu-157 tion for the transfer function and validate it using numerical simulations. The analyt-158 ical solution describes the horizontal fracture infiltration until critical pressure thresh-159 olds trigger the breakthrough and dynamics are governed by Washburn-type flows and 160 is conceptually based on the numerical studies and former laboratory studies (Noffz et 161 al., 2018). Vertical flows are approximated by a film flow model. Finally, we employ lin-162 ear response theory to model flow through arbitrary numbers of fracture intersections 163 with explicit geometry and derive non-dimensional dispersion and velocity parameters 164 (D,\tilde{v}) that depend on the dimensionless horizontal and vertical fracture time scales (τ_m,τ_0) . 165 Flows are shown to converge to a near-constant dispersion coefficient with increasing τ_m , 166 while non-dimensional velocities scale as $\tilde{v} \sim \tau_m^{-1/2}$ within feasible critical Reynolds num-167 ber ranges. 168

169

2 SPH model for simulating flow in fracture networks

We use a two-dimensional SPH model to analyze complex flow partitioning at frac-170 ture intersections. SPH is a Lagrangian meshless method able to simulate complex flows 171 with highly dynamic interfaces and is especially suited for the simulation of free-surface 172 (pseudo-multiphase) liquid flows with continuous gas phase, effects of surface tension, 173 and static/dynamic contact angles. We use a two-dimensional version of the massively 174 parallel three-dimensional code of (Kordilla et al., 2017) that has been extended with 175 an alternative formulation of the no-slip boundary condition. A detailed description of 176 the SPH free flow model and its implementation in a parallel code, the reader is referred 177

to Kordilla et al. (2017) and references therein. The SPH equations are summarized in

179 Appendix A.

Here, we validate the SPH code for two classical static and dynamic flow cases that
 are related to the processes encountered in our application of flow in fractures, Poiseuille
 flow in a parallel plate system and capillary rise in a vertical tube.

183

2.1 Poiseuille Flow

In this section we demonstrate that for sufficiently large values of the friction coefficient β in the SPH momentum conservation equations (A3) and (A6), the SPH method recovers the solution of the NS equations subject to no-slip boundary condition at the fluid-solid boundary. Specifically, we use the SPH code with β ranging from 1×10^{-1} to 1×10^2 kg m²s⁻¹ to simulate a two-dimensional Poiseuille flow problem and validate the SPH solutions for velocity against the analytical solution for the no-slip boundary condition (Sigalotti et al., 2003)

$$v_x(y,t) = \frac{\mathbf{g}}{2\nu}(y^2 - d^2) + \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{16(-1)^n d^2 \mathbf{g}}{\nu \pi^3 (2n+1)^3} \cos\left[\frac{(2n+1)\pi y}{2d}\right] \exp\left[-\frac{(2n+1)^2 \pi^2 \nu t}{4d^2}\right], \quad (1)$$

where the center is located at y = 0, d = L/2 such that the solid boundaries are located at $y = \pm d$.

Flow is simulated using the following parameter set: The inter-particle spacing is $\Delta x = 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}, L = 200 \Delta x = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}, \rho = 1000 \text{ kg m}^{-3}, \mu = 1.25 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kg m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and a body force of $g = 1.25 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m s}^{-2}$ is applied parallel to the x-direction. Five layers of boundary particles are placed at y = 0 and y = L to ensure kernel consistency. For the given parameter set this yields a Reynolds number of $Re = \frac{v_x^{\infty} L \rho}{\mu} = 1.0$, where v_x^{∞} is the maximum steady-state velocity.

Results indicate that the SPH solution converges to the exact no-slip solution for $\beta > 10$ (which corresponds to the artificial slip length $\lambda < L/100$) with an error on the order of 1.5% or lower. This holds for all time steps during the initial acceleration of the fluid within the capillary.



Figure 1. Comparison of the SPH model with the time-dependent solution for Poiseuille flow in a parallel plate system. The right figure shows the absolute percentage error which is below $\approx 1.5\%$ for sufficiently large β (> 10), i.e., proper no-slip conditions.

¹⁹⁶ 2.2 Capillary Rise in a Tube

Here we simulate capillary rise in tubes of varying radius and compare the equilibrium fluid column height to the classical theory of Jurin (1718) and extended theories of Legait and de Gennes (1984) and Barozzi and Angeli (2014).

The classical theory of capillary rise is based on the parallel plate concept:

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = \frac{\Delta P}{h(t)} \frac{(2r)^2}{12\mu} \tag{2}$$

Here r is the radius of the fracture, and h the height of the triple contact line from the water surface. The total pressure in a two-dimensional systems consists of the capillary pressure and the pressure due to the weight of the water column

$$P_c^{2D} = \frac{\sigma cos(\theta_0)}{r} \qquad \qquad P_h = \Delta P g h(t) \tag{3}$$

Plugging the total pressure $\Delta P = \Delta P_c^{2D} - P_h$ into Eq. 2 and for dh/dt = 0 the maximum rise becomes:

$$\Delta h = \frac{\sigma \cos(\theta_0)}{r\rho g} \tag{4}$$

As the curvature of the meniscus slightly depends on h a common extension of Eq. 4 is given by (Legait & de Gennes, 1984) as:

$$\Delta h = \frac{1 - \kappa^2 r^2 0.175}{\kappa^2 r} \qquad \qquad \kappa = \left(\frac{\rho g}{\sigma \cos(\theta_0)}\right)^{1/2} \tag{5}$$

Barozzi and Angeli (2014) extend the solution by adding a correction term that accounts for the additional fluid volume over the apex of the meniscus

$$\Delta h = \frac{\sigma \cos(\theta_0)}{r\rho g} - \frac{r}{3\cos(\theta)} \tag{6}$$

The SPH simulations are run with an inter-particle spacing of $\Delta x = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ m, 203 a density of $\rho = 1000 \,\mathrm{kg}\,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ and a body force of $g = 9.81 \,\mathrm{m}\,\mathrm{s}^{-2}$ applied in normal 204 direction to the bottom boundary. The viscosity is $\mu = 0.001 \,\mathrm{kg \, m^{-1} s^{-1}}$ and the no-205 slip condition is enforced with $\beta = 25$. The speed of sound is set to $c_0 = 3 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$. In-206 teraction forces are set to $s_{sf} = 0.015$ and $s_{ff} = 0.02$, which yields a surface tension 207 of $\sigma = 0.0742 \,\mathrm{kg}\,\mathrm{s}^{-2}$ and a static contact angle of $\theta_0 = 69^\circ$. The domain has a width 208 of $L_x = 800\Delta x = 4.0$ cm. The height of the capillary is $L_y = 340\Delta x = 1.7$ cm and is 209 placed $\Delta L_y = 60 \Delta x = 3 \,\mathrm{mm}$ above the bottom boundary. Mirror boundaries are ap-210 plied in x-direction. All solid boundaries are five particles thick to ensure kernel consis-211 tency. Simulation are initiated with a flat fluid surface covering the domain with an ini-212 tial height of $145\Delta x = 7.25$ mm. The aperture of the capillary is varied in a range of 213 $1.5 \,\mathrm{mm}$ to $3.5 \,\mathrm{mm}$. 214

Simulations are run until an equilibrium is established and the maximum height is reached within the capillary. In order to measure Δh we determine the minimum height h_{min} of the fluid as the average of the water height $20\Delta x$ away from the left and right mirror boundary (see Fig. 2). The maximum height h_{max} of the fluid column is measured at the outer part of the capillary meniscus and hence we obtain $\Delta h = h_{max} - h_{min}$. The contact angles at equilibrium are obtained from a circle fit using the Pratt method (Pratt, 1987)(Fig. 3, left).

Results of the SPH simulations and theoretical results are shown in Fig. 3. Numerical results are in good agreement with the theoretical predictions and lie in between the predictions of Jurin (1718), Legait and de Gennes (1984) and Barozzi and Angeli (2014).



Figure 2. 2D simulations of capillary rise shown at steady-state conditions. The insets show the upper fluid front. The height of the capillary is $L_c = 1.7$ cm, the width of the domain is $L_w = 4.0$ cm with periodic boundary conditions in the x-direction.



Figure 3. (Left) Fluid-air interfaces for all capillary sizes and the respective circle fit using the Pratt method. (Right) Theoretical predictions of the capillary rise h_c are plotted using the average contact angle of all simulations.

²²⁵ **3 Results and Discussion**

In the following subsections we (1) conceptualize the flow partitioning at a T-type fracture intersection, (2) derive an analytical transfer function for the partitioning including fluid movement on the vertical surfaces, (3) provide an upscaling solution via convolution and linear response theory, and finally (4) derive expression for arrival times and bulk dispersion that are then (5) analyzed in non-dimensional form to provide a comprehensive picture of the larger scale infiltration dynamics and its relation to the internal geometry.

233

3.1 Rivulet flow partitioning at a fracture intersection

In this section we derive a solution for the partitioning dynamics of rivulet flow down a vertical plane intersected by a horizontal smooth fracture (see Fig. 4) and compare it to our SPH model results. We consider the threshold at which critical capillary pressures within the horizontal fracture are high enough to route flow further down onto the vertical surface. At this point flow in the horizontal fracture transitions from a linear plugflow type into a Washburn-type flow regime.

The flow rate $Q_h(t)$ $(m^2 s^{-1})$ in the horizontal fracture is approximately given by the Darcy law:

$$Q_h(t) = \frac{k(P_{in}(t) - P_f)}{\mu l(t)},$$
(7)

where t is time from the moment water entered the horizontal fracture, $k = a^2/12 \ (m^2)$, a is the aperture (m), μ is the viscosity, P_f and $P_{in}(t)$ are the pressures at the invading front (point 1 in Fig. 4(1)) and the horizontal fracture entrance (point 2 in Fig. 4(1)), respectively, and l(t) is the distance from the front to the fracture entrance.

From the Young-Laplace law, the pressure at the invading front is

$$P_f = P_{air} - \frac{\sigma}{R} = P_{air} - \sigma \frac{2\cos(\theta)}{a},\tag{8}$$

where σ is the surface water-air surface tension, P_{air} is the air pressure, and $R = \frac{a}{2\cos\theta}$ is the front curvature, and θ is the contact angle.

Initially, all flow in the vertical fracture is diverted to (imbibed into) the horizontal fracture, i.e., $Q_h(t) = Q_0$, as shown in Fig. 4(1). Later, flow partitions, i.e., flow is both penetrating the horizontal fracture and flowing down the wall of the vertical fracture segment, as depicted in Fig. 4(2). When flow is partitioned, $P_{in}(t) = P_{air}$ (point



Figure 4. Conceptual model for the partitioning process at a fracture intersection. Flows on the vertical surfaces are bounded by one fracture wall only assuming wide aperture conditions. Breakthrough occurs at time t_c after which the horizontal imbibition scales as $l \sim t^{0.5}$.

3 in Fig. 4(2)). In the following analysis, we assume that partitioning occurs instantaneously at time $t = t_c$. Then, Eq. 7 can be rewritten as

$$Q_h(t) = \begin{cases} Q_0, & t \le t_c, \\ \frac{2k\sigma\cos(\theta)}{a\mu l(t)}, & t > t_c. \end{cases}$$
(9)

The front position in the horizontal fracture at the time of partitioning, is obtained by setting

$$Q_0 = \frac{2k\sigma\cos(\theta)}{a\mu l_c}.$$
(10)

Thus, we obtain

$$l_c = \frac{2k\sigma \cos(\theta)}{a\mu Q_0}.$$
(11)

The velocity of the displacing fluid for $t < t_c$ is equal to Q_0/a . At times t_c , the penetration depth is given by $Q_0 t_c/a = l_c$ and thus we obtain for t_c

$$t_c = \frac{l_c a}{Q_0} = \frac{2k\sigma\cos(\theta)}{\mu Q_0^2}.$$
(12)

The penetration depth $l(t) \sim t$ increases linearly with time for $t < t_c$ and according to $l(t) \sim \sqrt{t}$ for $t > t_c$, see Appendix B. Thus, we approximate the penetration depth by matching the linear and square root behaviors at t_c as follows,

$$l(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{Q_0 t}{a}, & t \le t_c, \\ l_c \sqrt{t/t_c}, & t > t_c. \end{cases}$$
(13)

Figure 5 shows l_c and t_c , computed from Eq. 11 and Eq. 12 and direct SPH sim-246 ulations, as a function of the flow rate Q_{in} for three horizontal apertures (2.5, 3, and 3.5) 247 mm). SPH simulations for the fracture aperture 2.5 mm and three different flow rates 248 and shown in Fig. 6. In SPH simulations, we use an inter-particle spacing of $\Delta x = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ m, 249 a density of $\rho = 1000 \,\mathrm{kg \, m^{-3}}$, and a body force of $g = 9.81 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-2}}$ applied normal to 250 the horizontal fracture plane. The surface tension is $\sigma = 0.0742 \,\mathrm{kg \, s^{-2}}$ with the inter-251 action parameters $s_{ff} = 0.015$ and $s_{sf} = 0.0125$ and speed of sound $c_0 = 3 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$. 252 The viscosity is slightly increased to $\mu = 0.005 \,\mathrm{kg} \,\mathrm{m}^{-1} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ to limit the required length 253 of the horizontal fracture (and hence computation time), which was set to L = 0.25 m. 254 The no-slip boundary condition is enforced with $\beta = 25$ (see Fig. 1). For the flow rates 255 between $Q_0 = 3 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{m^2 \, s^{-1}}$ and $8 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{m^2 \, s^{-1}}$ and fracture apertures between a =256 2.5 mm and 3.5 mm, the critical penetration length can be observed within the chosen 257 fracture length. In order to avoid erratic partitioning behavior at the fracture intersec-258 tions (i.e., bypassing droplets) we initiate the simulations with a rivulet on the upper 259 vertical surface which is already in contact with the horizontal fracture aperture at the 260 start of the simulation. While under certain conditions this may prevent other partition-261 ing patterns (e.g. droplets, snapping rivulets) at the intersection, Noffz et al. (2018) demon-262 strated with laboratory experiments that this behavior is to be expected at consecutive 263 fracture intersections. Independent of the initial flow mode (rivulets, droplet), they found 264 that after the first fracture intersection the flow on vertical walls was dominated by rivulets. 265 Figure 5 demonstrates that our SPH simulations are in good agreement with the ana-266 lytical predictions of Eq. 11 and Eq. 12 for small fracture apertures (2.5 and 3 mm) and 267 larger Q_0 but slightly deviate for larger apertures (3.5 mm) and smaller Q_0 , resulting 268 in the maximum error of $\sim 12\%$. We partially attribute this to the fact that contact an-269 gles θ changes during the water penetration into the horizontal fracture (e.g., (Popescu 270 et al., 2008)). In our analytical model, we disregard dynamic variations in the contact 271 angle and compute θ as an average of the contact angles right after the onset of fracture 272

-13-



Figure 5. Critical transition times t_c and critical length l_c of the SPH model and the respective analytical solutions (black lines, Eq. 11 and 12). Contact angles are taken as averages of the angle at initial fracture penetration and the angle at t_c .

penetration and close to t_c . Yet, Fig. 5 demonstrates that our analytical solutions pro-

vide an overall good approximation of the partitioning dynamics.

3.2 Analytical solution for the transfer function

We now derive analytical solutions for the l(t), the front position (or the depth of penetration) in the horizontal fracture and Q(t), the outflow rate below the horizontal fracture junction where fluid is discharged.

Given that the inflow consists of (1) a linear penetration phase and (2) a Washburntype penetration period, we obtain an analytical solution as follows

$$\frac{dl}{dt} = v_f(t) = \frac{Q_0}{a} \begin{cases} 1, & t \le t_c, \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t}{t_c}\right)^{-1/2}, & t_c < t < t_{max}. \end{cases}$$
(14)

 $v_f(t)$ is the Washburn-type flow velocity after the critical time t_c and t_{max} the time at which the horizontal fracture is fully saturated and all fluid is channeled further down into the vertical fracture segment.

Figure 7 shows l(t) and dl(t)/dt obtained from Eq. 14 and the SPH simulations shown in Fig. 6 for an aperture a = 2.5 mm and an inflow rate of $Q = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The early time behavior is characterized by a plug-flow regime and hence $l(t) \sim t$, whereas after the critical time $t_c = 5.54$ s the inflow scales as $l(t) \sim \sqrt{t}$ (grey lines show both scaling regimes). The analytical solution for the penetration velocity dl/dt can describe

both regimes (before and after the critical time t_c) and is in very good agreement with 287 the numerical result. A slight deviation can be observed right after the onset of the Wash-288 burn behavior at time t_c , where the channeling into the lower vertical fracture is initi-289 ated. Here, a very brief build up of fluid at the fracture intersection occurs until a crit-290 ical contact angle is reached and fluid flow downwards. Figure 6 shows three partition-291 ing types, which ultimately depend on the critical time t_c . For smaller t_c , the breakthrough 292 process is a rather fast process ("full partitioning"), while for larger t_c , the build up of 293 fluid on the vertical surface (see Fig. 6, left row, middle) slightly disperses the breakthrough, 294 yet a clear sequential progression of plug flow followed by Washburn flow in the horizon-295 tal fracture can be observed. The process of fluid build up is not explicitly considered 296 in our solution and is likely to induce the small temporary drop of the inflow velocity 297 right after t_c . However, at later times the velocity correctly converges towards the $l(t) \sim$ 298 $t^{0.5}$ scaling. The cutoff at t_{max} is not shown here as the simulations are stopped when 299 flow reaches the end of the horizontal fracture such that dl/dt = 0. It should be noted 300 that for very small t_c or large Q_0 , flow may not exhibit the clear dynamics of sequen-301 tial partitioning and a breakthrough can occur right away even before the theoretical time 302 t_c due to effects of inertia, which we do not consider. Yet, for the covered range of flow 303 rates our model is in very good agreement with the theoretical solution. 304

In order to model the response of the system to a constant input signal Q_0 we obtain the outflow rate Q leaving the system:

$$Q = Q_0 - v_f(t)a. (15)$$

Thus, the dimensionless flow rate is

$$F(t) = \frac{Q(t)}{Q_0} = H(t - t_c) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t}{t_c}\right)^{-1/2} \mathbb{I}(t_c < t < t_{max}).$$
(16)

Next, we define the normalized transfer function as

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{dF(t)}{dt}.$$
(17)

The transfer function for a plug flow type regime followed by a Washburn type behavior has the form

$$\varphi_{pw}(t) = \frac{dF}{dt} = \delta(t - t_c) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t}{t_c}\right)^{-1/2} \left[\delta(t - t_{max}) - \delta(t - t_c)\right] + \frac{1}{4t_c} \left(\frac{t}{t_c}\right)^{-3/2}, I(t_c < t < t_{max})$$
(18)



Figure 6. Partitioning regimes at the horizontal fracture intersection (shown for an aperture of a = 2.5 mm) for three different flow rates (increasing from left to right) and at three time steps. Three regimes can be distinguished: (1) Sequential partitioning, (2) delayed partitioning and (3) full partitioning. A detailed description of the regimes can be found in the text. The insets show the detailed view of the fluid-air interface at the invading fluid front and at the fracture intersection.



Figure 7. SPH simulations (a = 2.5 mm, $Q_0 = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$) correctly recover the linear (plug-flow) and Washburn behavior. The inflow velocity dl/dt and the analytical solution (Eq. 14) are in very good agreement. Note that the fluid front did not fully penetrate the horizontal fracture in the simulations, hence the cutoff at t_{max} (l = const., dl/dt = 0) is not visible here.

where δ is the Dirac delta function. In order to numerically integrate the transfer function we replace the Dirac delta function in Eq. 18 by

$$\delta \approx \delta_n(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Delta t}, & -\frac{\Delta t}{2} < t < \frac{\Delta t}{2} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(19)

where $\Delta t = 0.1$. Figure 8 shows the normalized outflow rate Q/Q_0 (exact and approximate solution) and its derivative, the normalized transfer function $\varphi = Q_0^{-1} dQ/dt$. The outflow Q/Q_0 is zero at first (all fluid is filling the horizontal fracture) until the critical time t_c , where partitioning sets in and inflow is characterized by a Washburn behavior. Finally, when the horizontal fracture is fully saturated at t_{max} , the outflow Q/Q_0 reaches its maximum value, i.e., $Q = Q_0$ and $Q/Q_0 = 1$.

311 **3.3**

3.3 Extension of the transfer function

In the previous section, we focused on the process of horizontal fracture inflow and partitioning, however, we did not consider the effect of additional vertical surfaces above or below the fracture intersection, which affect the system response and hence the transfer function. In the following, we extend the transfer function based on classical Nusselt film flow approximations (Nusselt, 1916), which assume a constant film thickness.



Figure 8. Normalized outflow rate and transfer function $\varphi(t) = Q_0^{-1} dQ/dt$ for a system with a = 2.5 mm and $Q_0 = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$. The approximate solution for the outflow rate employs an replacement function for the Dirac function (Eq. 19).

The velocity profile of flow down an inclined plane in the x direction is governed by

$$\frac{d^2 v_x}{dy^2} = -\frac{\rho g sin(\alpha)}{\mu},\tag{20}$$

where y is the direction normal to the surface and α is the inclination angle from the horizontal. The boundary conditions are established via a no-slip condition at y = 0, i.e., $v_x(0) = 0$, and the normal viscous stress being zero at the free surface y = h,

$$\left. \frac{dv_x}{dy} \right|_{y=h} = 0. \tag{21}$$

The solution of this problem is

$$v_x(y) = \frac{\rho g sin(\alpha)}{2\mu} y(2h - y).$$
(22)

The volumetric flux down the plane is then calculated as

$$Q = \int_0^h v_x dy = \frac{\rho g sin(\alpha) h^3}{3\mu}$$
(23)

and, hence, the maximum film height for a given Q is

$$h = \left(\frac{3\mu Q}{\rho g sin(\alpha)}\right)^{1/3}.$$
(24)

The depth-averaged velocity can be obtained as

$$\bar{v} = \frac{Q}{h} = \int_0^h v_x dy \,. \tag{25}$$

The effect of the upper vertical surfaces is simply a delay in the first arrival, i.e., a positive shift in the transfer function by Δt_{up}^{v} , given that $Q = Q_0$. Using Eq. 25 we can then simply compute Δt_{up}^{v} as

$$\Delta t_{up}^v = L_{up}^v \frac{h}{Q_0} = \frac{L_{up}^v}{\bar{v}_{up}} \tag{26}$$

where L_{up}^{v} is the total length of the upper vertical surface. On the lower vertical surface a similar shift in the transfer function is induced, however, here the outflow rate is initially $Q_c = Q(t_c)$. It should be noted that here t_c is the critical time since the beginning of the fracture penetration. For the sake of simplicity, we neglect the increase in Q after the breakthrough at t_c and assume that the flow velocity on the lower vertical surface depends on the breakthrough flow rate Q_c . The flow rate at the critical breakthrough is obtained via Eqs. 14 and 15 as

$$Q_c = Q_0 - v_c a, \tag{27}$$

where $v_c = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} v_f(t = t_c + \epsilon)$. We then obtain the time Δt_{low}^v as

$$\Delta t_{low}^v = L_{low}^v \frac{h}{Q_c} = \frac{L_{low}^v}{\bar{v}_{low}} \tag{28}$$

and define the total shift induced by the upper and lower vertical surfaces as

$$\Delta T = \Delta t_{up}^v + \Delta t_{low}^v \,. \tag{29}$$

The cutoff at time t_{max} , when the horizontal fracture is fully saturated can be computed by setting $l(t_{max}) = l_{max}$, which gives

$$t_{max} = t_c (l_{max}/l_c)^2 \tag{30}$$

The flow rate at the cutoff time t_{max} can be evaluated using Eq. 14 with $v_{max}(t = t_{max} - \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x})$ yielding the flow rate

$$Q_{max} = Q_0 - v_{max}a. aga{31}$$

We are now able to compute the full transfer function including the residence times on the upper and lower vertical surfaces as well as the cutoff at full fracture saturation. In the next chapter, we extend this analysis to model discharge through arbitrary large stacks of fracture intersections via linear response theory.

321

3.4 Analytical percolation model for fracture cascades

Following Noffz et al. (2018), we employ the transfer function in the context of linear response theory (Jury et al., 1986) to model the outflow rate $Q_n(t)$ at the bottom of the vertical surface intersected by n horizontal fractures. The considered geometry and its properties with respect to the transformation of an input signal Q_0 serves as a proxy for consecutive routing through further fracture intersections of similar geometry. The outflow rate can be found as a convolution of the input signal

$$Q_n(t) = Q_0 \int_0^t dt_1 \varphi_{pw}(t - t_1) \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \varphi_{pw}(t_1 - t_2) \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} dt_n \varphi_{pw}(t_n), \qquad (32)$$

or

$$Q_n(t) = \int_0^t \varphi_{pw}(t - t') Q_{n-1}(t') dt'$$
(33)

Note that for n = 1, the outflow rate $Q_1 = Q$ is given by Eq. 15.

Figure 9 shows an example for the computed outflow rates $Q_n(t)$ for a system of 323 n = 1, 25 and 50 fractures employing Eq. 32 and the transfer function Eq. 18, i.e. $t_{max} > 100$ 324 t_c , with the Dirac delta approximation Eq. 19. Here, the maximum horizontal fracture 325 length is $L_{max} = 0.3 \,\mathrm{m}$, the aperture $a = 2.5 \,\mathrm{mm}$, the static contact angle $\theta_0 = 69.0^{\circ}$, 326 the density $\rho = 1000 \,\mathrm{kg \, m^{-3}}$, the surface tension $\sigma = 0.0742 \,\mathrm{kg \, s^{-2}}$, viscosity $\mu = 0.005 \,\mathrm{kg \, m^{-1} s^{-1}}$ 327 and the inflow rate $Q_0 = 5 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{m}^2 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The upper and lower vertical surface have 328 a length of $L_{up}^v = L_{low}^v = 0.2 \,\mathrm{m}$. Figure 10 shows the outflow rate for a system with 329 $L_{max} = 0.05 \,\mathrm{m}$ where flow is dominated by a plug flow behavior, i.e. $t_{max} > t_c$. As 330 expected, the mean breakthrough velocity is higher and the maximum outflow rate Q_0 331 is reached faster due to the stronger dispersive effect of deeper horizontal fractures. 332

333

3.5 Arrival times and dispersion

The distribution of residence times after n horizontal fractures is defined by

$$f_n(t) = \frac{1}{Q_0} \frac{dQ_n(t)}{dt} = \int_0^t dt_1 \varphi_{pw,p}(t-t') \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \varphi_{pw,p}(t_1-t_2) \dots$$
$$\int_0^{t_{n-2}} dt_{n-1} \varphi_{pw,p}(t_{n-2}-t_{n-1}) \varphi_{pw,p}(t_{n-1})$$
(34)

and its Laplace transform is given by

$$f_n^*(\lambda) = \varphi_{pm,p}^*(\lambda)^n. \tag{35}$$

The first and second moments of the travel time are given by

$$m_j = (-1)^j \left. \frac{d^j f_n^*(\lambda)}{d\lambda^j} \right|_{\lambda=0}$$
(36)



Figure 9. Application of the transfer function Eq. 18 with Eq. 19 and the convolution Eq. 32 to a system of n = 1, 25 and 50 fractures and $L_{max} = 0.3$ m where $t_{max} > t_c$ (further parameters, see text). The solution takes into account the shift in time of Δt_{up}^v and Δt_{low}^v



Figure 10. Application of the transfer function Eq. 18 with Eq. 19 and the convolution Eq. 32 to a system of n = 1, 25, 50 and 100 fractures and $L_{max} = 0.05 \text{ m}$ where $t_{max} < t_c$ (further parameters, see text). The solution takes into account the shift in time of Δt_{up}^v and Δt_{low}^v .

for j = 1, 2, or

$$m_1 = -n\varphi^*(\lambda)^{n-1} \frac{d\varphi^*(\lambda)}{d\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0}$$
(37)

$$m_2 = n\varphi^*(\lambda)^{n-1} \frac{d^2\varphi^*(\lambda)}{d\lambda^2} \Big|_{\lambda=0} + n(n-1)\varphi^*(\lambda)^{n-2} \left[\frac{d\varphi^*(\lambda)}{d\lambda}\right]^2 \Big|_{\lambda=0}.$$
 (38)

Thus, for the mean and the variance of residence time we obtain

$$m_{1} = -n \frac{d\varphi^{*}(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0}$$

$$\sigma^{2} = n \frac{d^{2}\varphi^{*}(\lambda)}{d\lambda^{2}} \Big|_{\lambda=0} - n \left[\frac{d\varphi^{*}(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \right]^{2} \Big|_{\lambda=0}.$$
(39)

This means that the first moment and the variance are given by

$$m_1 = nh_1,$$
 $\sigma^2 = n(h_2 - h_1^2),$ (40)

where h_1 and h_2 are the first and second moments of the residence time for a single fracture. They are given by (see Appendix C)

$$h_1 = t_c \left(\frac{t_{max}}{t_c}\right)^{3/2} \tag{41}$$

$$h_2 = t_c^2 \left[\frac{1}{3} + \left(\frac{t_{max}}{t_c} \right)^{3/2} \right].$$
 (42)

In order to determine the fluid arrival times after n fractures, we add a constant time shift ΔT to the residence time in a single horizontal fracture. Thus, the quantities h_1 and h_2 are modified as

$$h_{1T} = h_1 + \Delta T \tag{43}$$

$$h_{2T} = h_2 + 2h_1\Delta T + \Delta T^2. \tag{44}$$

We non-dimensionalize time with respect to the critical time t_c such that

$$\tilde{h}_1 = \frac{h_1}{t_c} = \tau_m^{1/2} \tag{45}$$

$$\widetilde{h}_2 = \frac{h_2}{t_c^2} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\tau_m^{3/2},\tag{46}$$

where $\tau_m = t_{max}/t_c$ is found from Eq. 30 as

$$\tau_m = (l_{max}/l_c)^2. \tag{47}$$

The arrival time moments are non-dimensionalized accordingly as

$$\tilde{h}_{1T} = \tau_m^{1/2} + \tau_0 \tag{48}$$

$$\widetilde{h}_{2T} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\tau_m^{3/2} + 2\tau_m^{1/2}\tau_0 + \tau_0^2.$$
(49)

where the dimensionless $\tau_0 = \Delta T/t_c$ (Eq. 12 and 29) is given by

$$\tau_0 = \frac{\Delta z}{2} \frac{[3\mu Q_0/\rho g \sin(\alpha)]^{1/3} 6\mu Q_0}{a^3 \sigma \cos(\theta)} (1 + 2^{2/3}).$$
(50)

The equivalent flow velocity and dispersion coefficients are given in terms of the mean m_1 and variance σ^2 of the arrival times at a plane at $z = n\Delta z$, where Δz is the spacing between horizontal fractures,

$$v = \frac{n\Delta z}{m_1} \tag{51}$$

$$D = \frac{v^3 \sigma^2}{2n\Delta z}.$$
(52)

We non-dimensionalize lengths by Δz and obtain

336

$$\widetilde{v} = \frac{1}{\widetilde{h}_{1T}} = \frac{1}{\tau_m^{1/2} + \tau_0}$$
(53)

$$\widetilde{D} = \frac{1}{2\widetilde{h}_{1T}^3} \left(\widetilde{h}_{2T} - \widetilde{h}_{1T}^2 \right) = \frac{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\tau_m^{3/2} - \tau_m}{2\left(\tau_m^{1/2} + \tau_0\right)^3}.$$
(54)

In the following, we study the behavior of the non-dimensional dispersion coefficient \tilde{D} and the flow velocity \tilde{v} as functions of the non-dimensional times τ_m and τ_0 .

3.6 Dimensionless analysis of flow through a fracture network

To investigate the effect of non-dimensional times τ_m and τ_0 on the dimensionless flow velocity \tilde{v} and dispersion coefficient \tilde{D} (Eq. 53 and Eq. 54), we conduct a multi-parameter study. The minimum and maximum values of τ_m and τ_0 are computed for all parameter combinations of Q_0 , l_{max} , θ_0 , a, and Δz . We vary Q_0 in the range of 1×10^{-6} to $1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, which yields a maximum film thickness on the vertical surfaces of 1.5 mm according to Eq. 24. The horizontal fracture depth l_{max} ranges from 0.01 to 4 m with an aperture aof 0.5 to 10 mm. The static contact angle θ_0 is chosen to vary from 5° to 85°, i.e., corresponds to a wetting regime. Finally, the vertical fracture spacing Δz ranges from 0.1 to 25 m. For the given parameter ranges, τ_m can take values between 8.1×10^{-6} and 1.4×10^5 , and τ_m between 3.2×10^{-15} and 6.46. While the above chosen parameters are within feasible ranges, we further limit the relevant range of τ_m and τ_0 by constraining the Reynolds numbers within the horizontal fracture. Here we calculate the critical Reynolds numbers as

$$Re_c = \frac{\rho v_c a}{\mu} \tag{55}$$

where the characteristic velocity v_c is computed from the critical length and time

$$v_c = \frac{l_c}{l_t} \tag{56}$$

We chose a maximum value of $Re_c = 150$ to stay within the steady non-linear lami-337 nar flow regime as for example studied by Dybbs and Edwards (1984). 338 Figures 11 and 12 show the non-dimensional dispersion D and flow velocity \tilde{v} plot-339 ted versus the dimensionless times τ_m and τ_0 over the whole chosen parameter space. 340 The color-coded circles represent the critical Reynolds number Re_c for each parameter 341 combination scaled from 0.1 to 150, where blue corresponds to lower values. Recall, that 342 τ_m encodes the timescale related to the imbibition process in the horizontal fractures, 343 and τ_0 the timescale for flow on the vertical fracture. Figure 11 (left) shows the depen-344 dence of the non-dimensional dispersion coefficient \tilde{D} on the horizontal fracture timescale 345 τ_m for several values of the vertical fracture timescale τ_0 . In general, \tilde{D} is increasing with 346 higher values of τ_m and approaches a constant maximum of D = 0.08 for $\tau_m > 10^5$. 347 Within the maximum ranges defined for the Reynolds number, only values of $\tau_0 < 1$ 348 are close to reaching this constant maximum, while for $\tau_0 > 1$, the dispersion is increas-349 ing for the considered range of τ_m . The smaller initial gradient of $\Delta D/\Delta \tau_m$ (e.g., $\tau_0 =$ 350 7.5) is caused by the non-linear Washburn dynamics within the horizontal fracture. For 351 smaller values of τ_m , the initial rapid (potentially plug-flow type when $t_{max} < t_c$) in-352 filtration dominates the bulk flow, while for higher values of τ_m , the classical \sqrt{t} scal-353 ing comes into effect and causes stronger dispersion of the breakthrough signal. Further, 354 this example demonstrates how the ratio of τ_m and τ_0 affects the non-dimensional dis-355 persion coefficient. Increasing the ratio of τ_0/τ_m strengthens the dominance of the ver-356 tical flow paths and hence decreases the overall dispersion, which in our model entirely 357 stems from the horizontal fracture imbibition. However, it should be noted that this ef-358 fect is negligible for values of $\tau_0 < 10^{-5}$ (Re_c restricted) and already vanishes for $\tau_0 < 10^{-5}$ 359 0.1. This is similar to the behavior displayed by the dimensional example (Figs. 9 and 360 10), where the number of fractures and, hence, the magnitude of horizontal imbibition 361 (inversely related to the fracture spacing Δz) is positively correlated with the dispersion 362 and for plug-flow-regime dynamics, no dispersion occurs ($t_{max} > t_c$, equivalent to a very 363 high ratio of τ_0/τ_m or low values of τ_m). 364

Figure 11 (right) demonstrates the dependence of the dimensionless flow velocity \tilde{v} on the horizontal fracture timescale τ_m for a range of τ_0 between 0 and 7.5. Two regimes

-24-

can be observed. For low values of τ_m , the velocity converges towards a constant value, 367 while for higher τ_m the non-dimensional velocity scales as $\tilde{v} \sim \tau_m^{-1/2}$ in accordance with 368 Eq. 53. This transition occurs at the time τ_m that increases with τ_0/τ_m due to the in-369 creased impact of vertical film flow dynamics and plug-flow type dynamics in the hor-370 izontal fracture. For $\tau_0 \leq 0.01$ the velocity scales as $\tilde{v} \sim \tau_m^{-1/2}$ over nearly the whole 371 range of feasible τ_m values, i.e., the average breakthrough velocities decline with increas-372 ing magnitude of the horizontal fracture imbibition (e.g., deeper or wider fractures, higher 373 static contact angles). For even lower values of $\tau_0 < 10^{-5}$ in the Re_c -restricted range, 374 a perfect $\tilde{v} \sim \tau_m^{-1/2}$ scaling governs the functional relation between non-dimensional 375 velocity and horizontal fracture imbibition timescale, and no regime transition occurs. 376

Next we discuss the dependence of \widetilde{D} and \widetilde{v} on the vertical fracture timescale τ_0 . Figure 12 (left) demonstrates the limited influence of the vertical fracture timescale τ_0 on the non-dimensional dispersion. Only for extreme end-members of the parameter range beyond $\tau_0 \approx 1$, the effect of vertical fracture flow is strong enough to counteract the dispersive action of the horizontal fracture and introduces a reduction in dispersion. Yet, within critical Re_c ranges \widetilde{D} is only a function of τ_m . For values of about $\tau_m > 10^3$ it converges towards the constant value of $\widetilde{D} \approx 0.08$.

Similarly, the non-dimensional velocity is independent of the vertical fracture flow timescale τ_0 within critical Re_c ranges, and is only dependent on the flow dynamics within the horizontal fracture encoded by τ_m with a $\tilde{v} \sim \tau_m^{-1/2}$ scaling behavior. It should be noted that this scaling holds for values of $\tau_m < 1$ (see Fig. 11, right), however, here the non-dimensional dispersion is $\tilde{D} = 0$ and flow is entirely governed by plug-flow in the horizontal fracture and film flow on the vertical surfaces.



Figure 11. Non-dimensional dispersion coefficient \tilde{D} and flow velocity \tilde{v} vs. the dimensionless horizontal fracture timescale τ_m . Colored circles represent critical Reynolds numbers Re_c scaled from 0.1 (blue) to 150 (yellow). Note that $\tau_m \geq 1$ for the left plot as the the strict analytical solution for the pure plug-flow regime ($t_{max} < t_c, t_{max}/t_c < 1$), does not cause any type of dispersion.



Figure 12. Non-dimensional dispersion coefficient \tilde{D} and flow velocity \tilde{v} vs. the dimensionless vertical fracture timescale τ_0 . Colored circles represent critical Reynolds numbers Re_c scaled from 0.1 (blue) to 150 (yellow).

³⁹⁰ 4 Conclusion and outlook

In this work, we developed an analytical solution for partially saturated flow through 391 an arbitrary large sugar-cube type fracture network consisting of wide aperture horizon-392 tal fractures intersected by a vertical fracture. Based on numerical observations using 393 an SPH code and former laboratory studies, we treat the partitioning dynamics at the 394 fracture intersection as a sequential process whereby the fluid is channeled from the up-395 per vertical surface into the horizontal fracture and finally onto the lower vertical frac-396 ture surface. Flow within the horizontal fracture is shown to follow plug-flow theory un-397 til critical pressure thresholds are exceeded. After the breakthrough, horizontal infiltra-398 tion is governed by a Washburn-type scaling until the maximum horizontal fracture depth 399 is reached and the outflow at the bottom of the system equals the inflow rate at the top. 400 In order to model flow through arbitrary large networks of the same internal structure, 401 we capture this process with an analytical transfer function and carry out a convolution 402 of the constant input signal following linear response theory. Given the complex param-403 eter space of fluid and geometric properties, we analyze the outflow dynamics in terms 404 of non-dimensional values of τ_m and τ_0 , that encode the timescales of flow in the hor-405 izontal and vertical fractures, and relate them to the non-dimensional dispersion coef-406 ficient \widetilde{D} and velocity \widetilde{v} . It is shown that within the feasible Reynolds number range, the 407 dimensionless dispersion coefficient converges to the values of $D \approx 0.8$ with increasing 408 τ_m and is nearly independent of τ_0 , i.e., the flow in the vertical fracture does not have 409 impact on the dispersion coefficient. Furthermore, the bulk flow velocities are charac-410 terized by a $\tilde{v} \sim \tau_m^{-1/2}$ scaling that holds for all relevant values of τ_m and is indepen-411 dent of τ_0 within critical Re_c ranges. 412

Our work demonstrates the importance of horizontal fractures as drivers for the 413 (lateral) dispersive action within a mainly vertically-oriented flow field. This conclusion 414 clearly deviates from the classical piston-flow dynamics that is often assumed in the field (continuum)-415 scale flow models in fractured-porous systems (Lange et al., 2010; Arbel et al., 2010). 416 Furthermore, our work sheds light on the relation between integral signals at outlet bound-417 aries (e.g., water table fluctuations within boreholes) and the internal system geometry 418 that transforms input signals (precipitation, recharge) and mainly contributes to its dis-419 persion and bulk velocity within the vadose zone. 420

In our analysis, we simplify the infiltration process in terms of the fracture-network 421 geometry as well as the partitioning process and flow mode occurrence. Our study as-422 sumes film flow on all vertical surfaces. This assumption is often made in studies related 423 to preferential flow in soil systems and the respective macropore structure (Nimmo & 424 Perkins, 2018; Nimmo, 2010, 2012; Germann et al., 2007; Bogner & Germann, 2019). How-425 ever, other flow modes such as flow rate-dependent droplets (slugs) and rivulets are likely 426 to occur on fracture surfaces (Jones et al., 2017; Dippenaar & Van Rooy, 2016; Ghezze-427 hei & Or, 2005; Dragila & Weisbrod, 2003, 2004) and are known to affect partitioning 428 at intersections (Xue et al., 2020; Kordilla et al., 2017; T. R. Wood et al., 2005). While 429 droplets are more likely to bypass intersections due to their extended height (as com-430 pared to films) and hence gravitational impact (Kordilla et al., 2017), we have also demon-431 strated that consecutive routing of droplet flows through arrays of horizontal fractures 432 will nearly always facilitate the formation of film (rivulet) flows on the vertical wide aper-433 ture surfaces after the first partitioning (Noffz et al., 2018). Subsequently, flow is mostly 434 channeled into horizontal fractures without bypass, supporting the assumption of sequen-435 tial flow dynamics made in this study. 436

As our study is limited to a two-dimensional fracture network, the observed depen-437 dence of the non-dimensional dispersion \widetilde{D} and velocity \widetilde{v} on the vertical and horizon-438 tal fracture flow timescales must be interpreted with care. For stable infiltration fronts, 439 the infiltration dynamics of three-dimensional systems can be accurately recovered with 440 two-dimensional models (e.g., Kordilla et al., 2017) using homogenization over the third 441 dimension. However, flow on vertical fracture surfaces tends to develop instabilities even 442 when these surfaces are perfectly smooth (Shigorina et al., 2019). Such front instabil-443 ities can contribute to fracture-specific channeling and additional dispersion. Front in-444 stabilities can develop in horizontal fractures as well, even though here the formation of 445 instabilities is not caused by gravitational pull but is mainly a result of viscous forces 446 and velocity variations due to changes in fracture aperture (roughness) and variations 447 of the capillary radius (Nicholl & Glass, 2005). 448

In contrast to other studies, we focus on the case of vertical fractures with wide apertures. Here, the term "wide" should be interpreted with respect to the probability of fluid wetting opposing sites of the vertical fracture. For contact angles in the range of 25° to 75° droplet heights (neglecting the dynamic flattening due to movement) would be on the order of 0.75 mm to 2.4 mm, hence, setting a lower limit where one-sided flow

-28-

would persist. Studies focusing on "narrow" vertical fractures often observe a slightly 454 wider range of partitioning patterns that stem from the erratic uptake and emittance 455 of potentially chaotic droplet patterns from T-type (Yang et al., 2019; Xue et al., 2020) 456 and X-type intersections, (e.g., T. R. Wood et al., 2005; T. Wood & Huang, 2015; Glass 457 et al., 2003). While the majority of fractures under common geological conditions will 458 belong to the "narrow" category, wide aperture fractures are more likely to be found in 459 the vadose zone where overburden pressure is limited and especially in karstic environ-460 ments where fractures can be affected by dissolution (Dahan et al., 2000, 1999). As most 461 studies are still focusing on individual intersections dynamics a unified theory for a broad 462 range of apertures and partitioning dynamics is still to be developed. 463

Upscaling of individual processes, such as the intersection uptake and partition-464 ing dynamics, remains one of the most challenging aspects in the current state of infil-465 tration dynamics in fractured-porous media. In this work, we demonstrated how to bridge 466 the gap between small-scale process and larger-scale bulk application by a simple con-467 volution and the analytical derivation of (non-dimensional) dispersion and velocity pa-468 rameters. In its current form, the model assumes that convolution occurs over an arbi-469 trary number of equally structured intersections. In principle, this could be extended to 470 sequences of intersections with dynamic properties by introducing parameter distribu-471 tions that reflect changes in the transfer function φ_{pw} and hence outflow $Q_n(t)$ over the 472 range of encountered fractures n (Eq. 32). However, while this would enhance the ap-473 plicability to natural geological systems, analytical forms of \widetilde{D} and \widetilde{v} would be more dif-474 ficult to derive. Inclusion of more complex partitioning of different flow mode dynam-475 ics, (e.g., droplets Xue et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2019) would be an interesting, yet highly 476 challenging extension as the uptake and release of such flows at intersections introduces 477 a highly erratic and chaotic component. 478

As our models assumes impermeable fracture walls, we can not model effects of porous matrix storage. This is justified for low-permeable porous systems, e.g. granites, or impermeable limestone surfaces and/or sufficiently small time scales. When considering the porous matrix, both the vertical fracture walls as well as the horizontal walls will retard the movement within the fracture, (e.g., Buscheck et al., 1991) and could be introduced via suitable storage (sink) terms into the transfer function.

In order to derive consistent and process-based infiltration functions for fractured-485 porous media, it is crucial to unify the various observed patterns for partitioning dynam-486 ics across the scientific community. This will require further studies on laboratory and 487 field scales to elucidate the shortcomings of each approach and obtain a suitable array 488 of methods adjusted for the respective study setting and data availability. Given the strong 489 impacts of climate-change-induced transformation of precipitation patterns (Black, 2009), 490 water resources management, specifically in arid and semi-arid regions, requires enhanced 491 models for recharge prediction that take into account the rapid preferential flow com-492 ponent that may substantially contribute to groundwater replenishment under high evap-493 otranspiration and short but extreme rainfall conditions (Pachauri et al., 2014). 494

⁴⁹⁵ **5** Data availability statement

All experimental data can be downloaded from https://data.goettingen-research
 -online.de/dataset.xhtml?persistentId=doi:10.25625/77DVJA

498 Acknowledgments

499 A. Tartakovsky was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Sci-

⁵⁰⁰ ence, Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research as part of the New Dimension

⁵⁰¹ Reduction Methods and Scalable Algorithms for Nonlinear Phenomena project.

502 Appendix A Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics Model

We employ the SPH method to model free surface flow of water described by the Navier-Stokes (NS) equations, including the momentum and conservation equations

$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = -\frac{\nabla P}{\rho} + \frac{\mu}{\rho} \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{g} \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0, \tag{A1}$$

respectively, subject to the Young boundary condition at the fluid-air-solid interface, the Young-Laplace boundary condition at the water-air interface and the no-slip boundary condition at the fluid-solid interface (Kordilla et al., 2017; Tartakovsky & Panchenko, 2016; Tartakovsky & Meakin, 2005a). Here, \mathbf{v} is the velocity, P the pressure, ρ the density, μ the viscosity and \mathbf{g} the gravitational acceleration.

To simplify the solution of the incompressible NS equations (A1), we employ the weakly compressible formulation where the continuity equation is replaced with its compressible form $d\rho/dt = -\rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$, and the equation of state is used to close the result-

⁵¹¹ ing compressible NS equations:

$$P(\rho) = c_0^2 \frac{\rho_0}{7} \left(\left[\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right]^7 - 1 \right) + P_0 \,, \tag{A2}$$

where ρ_0 is the reference water density, P_0 is a background pressure. The speed of sound c_0 is chosen such that $|\delta\rho|/\rho \leq 0.03$, where $|\delta\rho|$ is the maximum absolute change in density. This condition is sufficient for fluid to behave as an incompressible fluid and to obtain an accurate pressure field (Morris et al., 1997).

The SPH discretization of the weakly-compressible NS-equation is:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}_{i}}{dt} = \sum_{j \in s+f} m_{j} \left(\frac{P_{i}}{\rho_{i}^{2}} + \frac{P_{j}}{\rho_{j}^{2}} \right) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{ij} \frac{\partial W(\mathbf{r}_{ij}, h)}{\partial r_{ij}} + \sum_{j \in f} m_{j} \frac{\mu_{i} + \mu_{j}}{\rho_{i}\rho_{j}} \frac{\mathbf{v}_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \frac{\partial W(\mathbf{r}_{ij}, h)}{\partial r_{ij}} + \sum_{j \in s+f} \frac{1}{m_{j}} \mathbf{F}_{ij}^{I} + \sum_{k \in s} \mathbf{F}_{ik}^{B} + \mathbf{g},$$
(A3)

and

$$\frac{d\rho_i}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^N m_j \mathbf{v}_{ij} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{ij} \frac{\partial W(\mathbf{r}_{ij}, h)}{\partial r_{ij}} , \qquad (A4)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_{ij}/r_{ij}$ is the unit vector pointing from particle *i* to particle *j* and summations are over all fluid (f) and/or solid (s) particles and *W* is a two-dimensional Wendland kernel (Wendland, 1995) that establishes a smoothed interaction over the range *h* between particles. In order to simulate surface tension a additional pair-wise interaction term (Tartakovsky & Meakin, 2005a) is employed that consists of two overlapping cubic spline function W_1 and W_2 with a short-range repulsive and long-range attractive component controlled by coefficients *A* and *B* (Kordilla et al., 2013, 2017):

$$\mathbf{F}_{ij}^{I} = s \left[AW_{1}(r_{ij}, h_{1}) - BW_{2}(r_{ij}, h_{2}) \right] \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{ij} \,. \tag{A5}$$

 $_{516}$ The magnitude of the interaction force depends on the factor s which assumes values of

- s_{sf} for solid-fluid interactions and s_{ff} for fluid-fluid interactions. For values of $s_{sf} > s_{sf}$
- s_{ff} wetting conditions are enforced, while otherwise non-wetting fluids can be simulated.

No-slip conditions are enforced via a Robin-type volumetric force term following (Pan et al., 2014)

$$\mathbf{F}_{ik}^{B} = \beta \mathbf{v}_{i} \frac{m_{k}}{\rho_{i}\rho_{k}} (\mathbf{n}_{i} + \mathbf{n}_{k}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{ij} \frac{\partial W(\mathbf{r}_{ik}, h)}{\partial r_{ik}}$$
(A6)

where \mathbf{n}_i is the normal unit vector (see the definition in (Pan et al., 2014)), $\beta = \mu/\lambda$

is the friction coefficient and λ is the artificial slip length. For most fluids, the real slip

length is on the order of several nanometers, and using such a small λ would result in 521 a prohibitively small time step in the SPH method. We demonstrate in Section 2.1, that 522 the no-slip boundary condition can be accurately modeled by setting λ to be 100 times 523 smaller than the domain size. We note that in Eq. A3, the summation of the viscosity 524 term is only over fluid particles, while the no-slip condition is entirely enforced via Eq. A6. 525 The highly non-linear form of the equation of state A2 generates sufficiently high pres-526 sure (in addition to the repulsive part of the interaction force) to prevent fluid particles 527 from penetrating solid surfaces. 528

To integrate Eq. A3 a modified Velocity Verlet time-stepping scheme is employed and time steps are constraint as follows (Kordilla et al., 2017; Pan et al., 2014; Tartakovsky & Meakin, 2005c):

$$\Delta t \le \delta \frac{h}{c}, \qquad \Delta t \le \delta \min \sqrt{h|\mathbf{a}_i|}, \qquad \Delta t \le \delta \min \frac{\rho_i h^2}{\mu_i}, \qquad \Delta t \le \delta \min \frac{h(\rho_i + \rho_j)}{2\beta} \qquad (A7)$$

529 where $\delta = 0.1$.

530 Appendix B Spontaneous Imbibition

The volumetric flow rate through a fracture conceptualized as a parallel plate is governed by:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta l} \frac{a^3}{12\mu} W \tag{B1}$$

⁵³³, where l is the penetration depth (the length over which the pressure gradient ΔP acts), ⁵³⁴ a is the aperture and W is the fracture (unit) width. The change in volume over time ⁵³⁵ can be rewritten in terms of the penetration depth into the fracture

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = aW\frac{dl}{dt} \tag{B2}$$

536

Plugging this into Eq. B1 we obtain

$$\frac{dl}{dt} = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta l} \frac{a^2}{12\mu} \tag{B3}$$

The capillary pressure according to Youngs law in the case of a parallel plate is

$$\Delta P_c^{2D} = \frac{\sigma \cos(\theta_0)}{r} \tag{B4}$$

, where r is the radius of the fracture. Here we neglect the second principal radius which would otherwise yield, e.g. in the case of a tube geometry,

$$\Delta P_c^{3D} = \sigma \cos(\theta_0) \left(\frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}\right) \tag{B5}$$

Plugging this into Eq. B3 we obtain

$$\frac{dl}{dt} = \frac{\sigma \cos(\theta_0)a^2}{rl(t)12\mu} = \frac{\sigma \cos(\theta_0)(2r)^2}{rl(t)12\mu} = \frac{\sigma \cos(\theta_0)r}{l(t)3\mu}$$
(B6)

Separating the variables and integration yields

$$\int_{l(t_0)}^{l} l(t)dl = \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{\sigma \cos(\theta_0)r}{3\mu}dt$$
(B7)

and

537

$$\frac{1}{2}l(t)^2 = \frac{\sigma \cos(\theta_0)r}{3\mu}t + C \tag{B8}$$

such that the time-dependent penetration length becomes

$$l(t) = \left(\frac{2\sigma \cos(\theta_0)r}{3\mu}t\right)^{1/2} \tag{B9}$$

538 Appendix C Moments

In order to calculate the moments of $\varphi_{pw}(t)$ it is advantageous to write it as fol-

lows,

$$\varphi_{pw}(t) = \frac{dF}{dt} = \delta(t - t_c) + W(t) \left[\delta(t - t_{max}) - \delta(t - t_c)\right]$$
$$- W'(t)\mathbb{I}(t_c < t < t_{max})$$
(C1)

where we set

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t}{t_c}\right)^{-1/2} \tag{C2}$$

$$W' = -\frac{1}{4t_c} \left(\frac{t}{t_c}\right)^{-3/2} \tag{C3}$$

The zeroth moment is

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} dt \varphi(t) = 1 + [W(t_{max}) - W(t_c)] - [W(t_{max}) - W(t_c)] = 1.$$
(C4)

Now we determine the first moment:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} dt t \varphi(t) = t_c + [t_{max} W(t_{max}) - t_c W(t_c)] + \frac{\sqrt{t_c}}{4} \int_{t_c}^{t_{max}} dt t^{-1/2}$$
(C5)

$$= t_c + [t_{max}W(t_{max}) - t_cW(t_c)] + \frac{\sqrt{t_c}}{2}(\sqrt{t_{max}} - \sqrt{t_c})$$
(C6)

$$=t_c\sqrt{t_{max}/t_c}\tag{C7}$$

Now we determine the second moment:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} dt t^{2} \varphi(t) = t_{c}^{2} + [t_{max}^{2} W(t_{max}) - t_{c}^{2} W(t_{c})] + \frac{\sqrt{t_{c}}}{4} \int_{t_{c}}^{t_{max}} dt t^{1/2}$$
(C8)

$$= t_c^2 + [t_{max}^2 W(t_{max}) - t_c^2 W(t_c)] + \frac{\sqrt{t_c}}{6} (t_{max}^{3/2} - t_c^{3/2})$$
(C9)

$$= t_c^2 \left[\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} (t_{max}/t_c)^{3/2} \right]$$
(C10)

539 References

- Adler, P. M., Thovert, J. F., & Mourzenko, V. V. (2013). Fractured Porous Media (Vol. 9780199666). Oxford University Press. doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/ 9780199666515.001.0001
- Alkhatib, J., Engelhardt, I., Ribbe, L., & Sauter, M. (2019, jun). An inte grated approach for choosing suitable pumping strategies for a semi-arid
 region in Jordan using a groundwater model coupled with analytical hi-
- 546
 erarchy techniques.
 Hydrogeology Journal, 27(4), 1143–1157.
 Retrieved

 547
 from http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10040-019-01925-0
 doi:

 548
 10.1007/s10040-019-01925-0
- Arbel, Y., Greenbaum, N., Lange, J., & Inbar, M. (2010). Infiltration processes
 and flow rates in developed karst vadose zone using tracers in cave drips. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 35(14), 1682–1693. doi: 10.1002/esp.2010
- Ascott, M. J., Gooddy, D. C., Wang, L., Stuart, M. E., Lewis, M. A., Ward, R. S.,
- & Binley, A. M. (2017). Global patterns of nitrate storage in the vadose zone.
 Nature Communications, 8(1), 1–6. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/
 10.1038/s41467-017-01321-w doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01321-w
- Ascott, M. J., Wang, L., Stuart, M. E., Ward, R. S., & Hart, A. (2016). Quantification of nitrate storage in the vadose (unsaturated) zone: a missing component
 of terrestrial N budgets. *Hydrological Processes*, 30(12), 1903–1915. doi:
 10.1002/hyp.10748
- Barenblatt, G. I., Zheltov, I. P., & Kochina, I. N. (1960). Basic concepts in
 the theory of seepage of homogeneous liquids in fissured rocks [strata].
 Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, 24 (5), 1286–1303. doi:
- Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, 24(5), 1286–1303.
 doi:

 563
 10.1016/0021-8928(60)90107-6
 doi:
- Barozzi, G. S., & Angeli, D. (2014). A note on capillary rise in tubes. *Energy Proce- dia*, 45, 548–557. doi: 10.1016/j.egypro.2014.01.059

566	Benson, D. A. (2001). A model of water streaking down a wall. Water Resources Re-
567	search, $37(2)$, 427–430. doi: 10.1029/2000WR900309
568	Berkowitz, B., & Scher, H. (1995, jun). On Characterization of Anomalous Disper-
569	sion in Porous and Fractured Media. Water Resources Research, 31(6), 1461–
570	1466. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/95WR00483 doi: 10
571	.1029/95WR00483
572	Black, E. (2009, jul). The impact of climate change on daily precipitation statistics
573	in Jordan and Israel. Atmospheric Science Letters, $10(3)$, 192–200. Retrieved
574	from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/asl.233 doi: 10.1002/asl.233
575	Bodvarsson, G., Bandurraga, T., & Wu, Y. (1997). The site-scale unsaturated
576	zone model of Yucca Mountain, Nevada, for the viability assessment, Yucca
577	Mountain Characterization Project Report LBNL-40376, UC-814 (Tech. Rep.).
578	Berkeley, CA: Earth Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Labora-
579	tory.
580	Bogner, C., & Germann, P. (2019). Viscous Flow Approach to "Pushing Out Old
581	Water" from Undisturbed and Repacked Soil Columns. Vadose Zone Journal,
582	18(1), 1-10. doi: 10.2136/vzj2018.09.0168
583	Buscheck, T. A., Nitao, J. J., & Chesnut, D. A. (1991). The Impact of Episodic
584	Nonequilibrium Fracture-Matrix Flow on Repository Performance at the
585	Potential Yucca Mountain Site. MRS Proceedings, 257. doi: 10.1557/
586	proc-257-607
587	Chambers, L. A., Gooddy, D. C., & Binley, A. M. (2019). Use and application
588	of CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-113 and SF6 as environmental tracers of ground-
589	water residence time: A review. $Geoscience \ Frontiers, \ 10(5), \ 1643-1652.$
590	Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2018.02.017 doi:
591	10.1016/j.gsf.2018.02.017
592	Cook, P. G., & Solomon, D. K. (1997). Recent advances in dating young groundwa-
593	ter: Chlorofluorocarbons, 3H/3He and 85Kr. Journal of Hydrology, $191(1-4)$,
594	245–265. doi: 10.1016/S0022-1694(96)03051-X
595	Dahan, O., Nativ, R., Adar, E. M., Berkowitz, B., & Ronen, Z. (1999). Field ob-
596	servation of flow in a fracture intersecting unsaturated chalk. Water Resources
597	Research, $35(11)$, $3315-3326$. doi: $10.1029/1999WR900198$
598	Dahan, O., Nativ, R., Adar, E. M., Berkowitz, B., & Weisbrod, N. (2000). On frac-

-35-

599	tured structure and preferential flow in unstaturated chalk (Vol. 38) (No. 3).
600	Dijk, P. E., Berkowitz, B., & Yechieli, Y. (2002). Measurement and analysis of dis-
601	solution patterns in rock fractures. Water Resources Research, $38(2)$, 5–1–5–
602	12. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2001WR000246 doi: 10
603	.1029/2001 WR000246
604	Dippenaar, M. A., & Van Rooy, J. L. (2016). On the cubic law and variably sat-
605	urated flow through discrete open rough-walled discontinuities. International
606	Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 89 (December 2015), 200-
607	211. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmms.2016.09.011
608	doi: 10.1016/j.ijrmms.2016.09.011
609	Dragila, M. I., & Weisbrod, N. (2003). Parameters affecting maximum fluid trans-
610	port in large aperture fractures. Advances in Water Resources, $26(12)$, $1219-$
611	1228. doi: 10.1016/j.advwatres.2003.09.002
612	Dragila, M. I., & Weisbrod, N. (2004). Fluid motion through an unsatu-
613	rated fracture junction. Water Resources Research, $40(2)$, 1–11. doi:
614	10.1029/2003WR002588
615	Dragila, M. I., & Wheatcraft, S. (2001). Free Surface Films. In Conceptual mod-
616	els of flow and transport in the fractured vadose zone (pp. 217–241). National
617	Academies Press.
618	Dvory, N. Z., Livshitz, Y., Kuznetsov, M., Adar, E., & Yakirevich, A. (2016). The
619	effect of hydrogeological conditions on variability and dynamic of groundwater
620	recharge in a carbonate aquifer at local scale. Journal of Hydrology, 535 , $480-$
621	494. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.02.011
622	doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.02.011
623	Dybbs, A., & Edwards, R. (1984). A new look at porous media fluid mechan-
624	ics—Darcy to turbulent. In Fundamentals of transport phenomena in porous
625	<i>media</i> (pp. 199–256). Springer.
626	Ebel, B. A., & Nimmo, J. R. (2013). An Alternative Process Model of Preferential
627	Contaminant Travel Times in the Unsaturated Zone: Application to Rainier
628	Mesa and Shoshone Mountain, Nevada. Environmental Modeling and Assess-
629	ment, $18(3)$, $345-363$. doi: 10.1007/s10666-012-9349-8
630	El-Hakim, M., & Bakalowicz, M. (2007, feb). Significance and origin of very
631	large regulating power of some karst aquifers in the Middle East. Implica-

-36-

632	tion on karst aquifer classification. Journal of Hydrology, 333(2-4), 329–
633	339. Retrieved from https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/
634	S0022169406004768 doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2006.09.003
635	Engelhardt, I., Rausch, R., Lang, U., Al-Saud, M., & Schüth, C. (2013). Impact
636	of Preboreal to Subatlantic shifts in climate on groundwater resources on the
637	Arabian Peninsula. Environmental Earth Sciences, $69(2)$, 557–570. doi:
638	10.1007/s12665-013-2362-7
639	Flint, A. L., Flint, L. E., Bodvarsson, G. S., Kwicklis, E. M., & Fabryka-Martin,
640	J. (2001). Development of the conceptual model of unsaturated zone
641	hydrology at Yucca Mountain, Nevada. Conceptual Models of Flow and
642	Transport in the Fractured Vadose Zone, 247, 47–85\n374. doi: 10.1016/
643	S0022-1694(01)00358-4
644	Ford, D., & Williams, P. (2013). Karst Hydrogeology and Geomorphology. John Wi-
645	ley \& Sons. doi: 10.1002/9781118684986
646	Germann, P. F., Helbling, A., & Vadilonga, T. (2007). Rivulet Approach to Rates
647	of Preferential Infiltration. Valose Zone Journal, $6(2)$, 207. Retrieved from
648	https://www.soils.org/publications/vzj/abstracts/6/2/207 doi:
649	10.2136/vzj2006.0115
650	Ghezzehei, T. A. (2004). Constraints for flow regimes on smooth fracture surfaces.
651	Water Resources Research, $40(11)$. doi: 10.1029/2004WR003164
652	Ghezzehei, T. A., & Or, D. (2005). Liquid fragmentation and intermittent flow
653	regimes in unsaturated fractured media. Water Resources Research, $41(12)$, 1–
654	10. doi: 10.1029/2004WR003834
655	Glass, R. J., Nicholl, M. J., Rajaram, H., & Wood, T. R. (2003). Unsaturated flow
656	through fracture networks: Evolution of liquid phase structure, dynamics, and
657	the critical importance of fracture intersections. Water Resources Research,
658	39(12), 1-12. doi: 10.1029/2003WR002015
659	Gunn, J. (1981). Limestone solution rates and processes in the Waitomo District,
660	New Zealand. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, $6(5)$, 427–445. doi: 10
661	$.1002/{ m esp.3290060504}$
662	Hayden, K. M., Telyakovskiy, A. S., & Wheatcraft, S. W. (2012). A note on free-
663	surface films in fractures. Advances in Water Resources, 49, 72–75. Retrieved
664	from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.06.012

665	j.advwatres.2012.06.012
666	Heppner, C. S., Nimmo, J. R., Folmar, G. J., Gburek, W. J., & Risser, D. W.
667	(2007). Multiple-methods investigation of recharge at a humid-region frac-
668	tured rock site, Pennsylvania, USA. <i>Hydrogeology Journal</i> , 15(5), 915–927.
669	doi: 10.1007/s10040-006-0149-6
670	Huang, H., Meakin, P., & Liu, M. (2005). Computer simulation of two-phase
671	immiscible fluid motion in unsaturated complex fractures using a vol-
672	ume of fluid method. <i>Water Resources Research</i> , 41(12), 1–12. doi:
673	10.1029/2005 WR004204
674	Hussain, S. I., Frey, S. K., Blowes, D. W., Ptacek, C. J., Wilson, D., Mayer, K. U.,
675	Lapen, D. R. (2019). Reactive transport of manure-derived nitrogen in the
676	vadose zone: Consideration of macropore connectivity to subsurface receptors.
677	Vadose Zone Journal, 18(1), 2–18. doi: 10.2136/vzj2019.01.0002
678	Jarvis, N. (1998). Modeling the impact of preferential flow on nonpoint source pol-
679	lution. In H. Selim & L. Ma (Eds.), Physical nonequilibrium in soils: modeling
680	and application (pp. 195—-221). Ann Arbor Press Chelsea.
681	Ji, S. H., Nicholl, M. J., Glass, R. J., & Lee, K. K. (2004). Influence of a sim-
682	ple fracture intersection on density-driven immiscible flow: Wetting vs.
683	nonwetting flows. Geophysical Research Letters, 31(14), 10–13. doi:
684	10.1029/2004GL020045
685	Ji, SH., Nicholl, M. J., Glass, R. J., & Lee, KK. (2006). Influence of simple
686	fracture intersections with differing aperture on density-driven immiscible
687	flow: Wetting versus nonwetting flows. $Water Resources Research, 42(10),$
688	1-10. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2006WR004953 doi:
689	10.1029/2006WR004953
690	Jones, B. R., Brouwers, L. B., Van Tonder, W. D., & Dippenaar, M. A. (2017).
691	Assessing geotechnical centrifuge modelling in addressing variably saturated
692	flow in soil and fractured rock. Environmental Science and Pollution Research,
693	24(15), 13203-13223.doi: 10.1007/s11356-016-8333-2
694	Jurin, J. (1718). An account of some experiments shown before the Royal Society;
695	with an enquiry into the cause of some of the ascent and suspension of water
696	in capillary tubes. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London,
697	30, 739-747.

698	Jury, W. A., Sposito, G., & White, R. E. (1986, feb). A Transfer Function Model
699	of Solute Transport Through Soil: 1. Fundamental Concepts. Water Resources
700	Research, 22(2), 243-247. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/
701	WR022i002p00243 doi: 10.1029/WR022i002p00243
702	Kordilla, J., Noffz, T., Dentz, M., Geyer, T., & Tartakovsky, A. M. (2017). Effect
703	of Unsaturated Flow Modes on Partitioning Dynamics of Gravity-Driven Flow
704	at a Simple Fracture Intersection: Laboratory Study and Three-Dimensional
705	Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics Simulations. Water Resources Research,
706	53(11), 9496-9518. doi: 10.1002/2016WR020236
707	Kordilla, J., Sauter, M., Reimann, T., & Geyer, T. (2012). Simulation of saturated
708	and unsaturated flow in karst systems at catchment scale using a double con-
709	tinuum approach. $Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 16(10), 3909–3923.$
710	doi: 10.5194/hess-16-3909-2012
711	Kordilla, J., Tartakovsky, A. M., & Geyer, T. (2013). Advances in Water Resources
712	A smoothed particle hydrodynamics model for droplet and film flow on smooth
713	and rough fracture surfaces. Advances in Water Resources, 59, 1–14. Re-
714	trieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2013.04.009 doi:
715	10.1016/j.advwatres.2013.04.009
716	Kurtzman, D., Shapira, R. H., Bar-Tal, A., Fine, P., & Russo, D. (2013). Ni-
717	trate fluxes to groundwater under citrus orchards in a Mediterranean cli-
718	mate: Observations, calibrated models, simulations and agro-hydrological
719	conclusions. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology, 151(3), 93–104. Re-
720	trieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2013.05.004 doi:
721	10.1016/j.jconhyd.2013.05.004
722	Lange, J., Arbel, Y., Grodek, T., & Greenbaum, N. (2010). Water percolation pro-
723	cess studies in a Mediterranean karst area. $Hydrological \ Processes, \ 24 (13),$
724	1866–1879. doi: $10.1002/hyp.7624$
725	LaViolette, R. A., Glass, R. J., Wood, T. R., McJunkin, T. R., Noah, K. S., Podgor-
726	ney, R. K., Stoner, D. L. (2003). Convergent flow observed in a laboratory-
727	scale unsaturated fracture system $\langle \sup \rangle 1 \langle \sup \rangle$. Geophysical Research
728	Letters, 30(2), 10-12. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/
729	2002GL015775 doi: 10.1029/2002GL015775
730	Legait, B., & de Gennes, PG. (1984). Capillary rise between closely spaced plates

731	: effect of Van der Waals forces. Journal de Physique Lettres, $45(13)$, 647–652.
732	doi: $10.1051/\text{jphyslet:}019840045013064700$
733	Liu, H. H., Salve, R., Wang, J. S., Bodvarsson, G. S., & Hudson, D. (2004).
734	Field investigation into unsaturated flow and transport in a fault: Model
735	analyses. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology, 74(1-4), 39–59. doi:
736	10.1016/j.jconhyd.2004.02.004
737	Liu, M., Meakin, P., & Huang, H. (2007). Dissipative particle dynamics simulation
738	of multiphase fluid flow in microchannels and microchannel networks. <i>Physics</i>
739	of Fluids, $19(3)$, 1–11. doi: 10.1063/1.2717182
740	Morris, J., Fox, P. J., & Zhu, Y. (1997). Modeling Low {Reynolds} Number In-
741	compressible Flows Using {SPH}. J. Comput. Phys., 136(1), 214–226. doi: 10
742	.1006/jcph.1997.5776
743	Neslon, R. (2001). Geologic Analysis of Naturally Fractured Reservoirs. Elsevier. doi:
744	10.1016/b978-0-88415-317-7.x5000-3
745	Nicholl, M. J., & Glass, R. J. (2005). Infiltration into an Analog Fracture. Va-
746	dose Zone Journal, 4(4), 1123. Retrieved from https://www.soils.org/
747	publications/vzj/abstracts/4/4/1123 doi: 10.2136/vzj2004.0110
748	Nimmo, J. R. (2010). Theory for Source-Responsive and Free-Surface Film Modeling
749	of Unsaturated Flow. Vadose Zone Journal, $9(2)$, 295. doi: 10.2136/vzj2009
750	.0085
751	Nimmo, J. R. (2012). Preferential flow occurs in unsaturated conditions. Hydrologi-
752	cal Processes, $26(5)$, 786–789. doi: 10.1002/hyp.8380
753	Nimmo, J. R., & Perkins, K. S. (2018). Episodic Master Recession Evaluation of
754	Groundwater and Streamflow Hydrographs for Water-Resource Estimation.
755	Vadose Zone Journal, 17(1), 180050. doi: 10.2136/vzj2018.03.0050
756	Noffz, T., Dentz, M., & Kordilla, J. (2018). Analogue fracture experiments and ana-
757	lytical modeling of unsaturated percolation dynamics in fracture cascades. Va -
758	dose Zone Journal. doi: 10.2136/vzj2018.08.0155
759	Nusselt, W. (1916). Die Oberflächenkondensation des Wasserdampfes. VDI -
760	$Zeitschriften, \ 60(27).$
761	Pachauri, R. K., Allen, M. R., Barros, V. R., Broome, J., Cramer, W., Christ, R.,
762	Dasgupta, P. (2014). Climate change 2014: synthesis report. Contribution
763	of Working Groups I, II and III to the fifth assessment report of the Inter-

764	governmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge: Cambridge University
765	Press.
766	Pan, W., Bao, J., & Tartakovsky, A. M. (2014). Smoothed particle hydrodynamics
767	continuous boundary force method for Navier-Stokes equations subject to a
768	Robin boundary condition. Journal of Computational Physics, 259, 242–259.
769	doi: 10.1016/j.jcp.2013.12.014
770	Popescu, M. N., Ralston, J., & Sedev, R. (2008). Capillary Rise with Velocity-
771	Dependent Dynamic Contact Angle. Langmuir, 24, 12710–12716. doi: 10
772	.1021/la801753t
773	Pratt, V. (1987). Direct least-squares fitting of algebraic surfaces. In <i>Proceedings</i>
774	$of\ the\ 14th\ annual\ conference\ on\ computer\ graphics\ and\ interactive\ techniques$
775	- siggraph '87 (pp. 145–152). New York, New York, USA: ACM Press. Re-
776	trieved from http://portal.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=37401.37420 doi:
777	10.1145/37401.37420
778	Rossman, N. R., Zlotnik, V. A., Rowe, C. M., & Szilagyi, J. (2014). Vadose zone lag
779	time and potential 21st century climate change effects on spatially distributed
780	groundwater recharge in the semi-arid Nebraska Sand Hills. Journal of Hy-
781	drology, 519(PA), 656-669. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/
782	j.jhydrol.2014.07.057 doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.07.057
783	Sauter, M. (1992). Quantification and Forecasting of Regional Groundwater Flow
784	and Transport in a Karst Aquifer (Gallusquelle, Malm, SW. Germany). Dis-
785	sertation (Vol. C13).
786	Scanlon, B. R., & Cook, P. G. (2002). Theme issue on groundwater recharge. Hydro-
787	geology Journal, 10(1), 3–4. doi: 10.1007/s10040-001-0175-3
788	Scanlon, B. R., Keese, K. E., Flint, A. L., Flint, L. E., Gaye, C. B., Edmunds,
789	W. M., & Simmers, I. (2006, oct). Global synthesis of groundwater recharge
790	in semiarid and arid regions. Hydrological Processes, $20(15)$, 3335–3370. doi:
791	10.1002/hyp.6335
792	Shigorina, E., Tartakovsky, A. M., & Kordilla, J. (2019). Investigation of gravity-
793	driven infiltration instabilities in smooth and rough fractures using a pairwise-
794	force smoothed particle hydrodynamics model. Valose Zone Journal, $18(1)$.
795	doi: 10.2136/vzj2018.08.0159
796	Sigalotti, L., Klapp, J., Sira, E., Melean, Y., & Hasmy, A. (2003). SPH simulations

-41-

797	of time-dependent Poiseuille flow at low Reynolds numbers. Journal of Compu-
798	tational Physics, 191(2), 622–638. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9991(03)00343-7
799	Singhal, B., & Gupta, R. (2010). Applied Hydrogeology of Fractured Rocks. Springer
800	Science $\&$ Business Media.
801	Su, G. W., Geller, J. T., Pruess, K., & Hunt, J. R. (2001). Solute transport along
802	preferential flow paths in unsaturated fractures. Water Resources Research,
803	37(10), 2481–2491. doi: 10.1029/2000WR000093
804	Tartakovsky, A. M., & Meakin, P. (2005a). Modeling of surface tension and con-
805	tact angles with smoothed particle hydrodynamics. Physical Review E - Statis-
806	tical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics, 72(2), 1–9. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE
807	.72.026301
808	Tartakovsky, A. M., & Meakin, P. (2005b). Simulation of Unsaturated Flow in Com-
809	plex Fractures Using Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics. Vadose Zone Jour-
810	nal, 4(3), 848. Retrieved from https://www.soils.org/publications/vzj/
811	abstracts/4/3/0848 doi: 10.2136/vzj2004.0178
812	Tartakovsky, A. M., & Meakin, P. (2005c). A smoothed particle hydrodynamics
813	model for miscible flow in three-dimensional fractures and the two-dimensional
814	Rayleigh-Taylor instability. Journal of Computational Physics, 207(2), 610–
815	624. doi: 10.1016/j.jcp.2005.02.001
816	Tartakovsky, A. M., & Panchenko, A. (2016). Pairwise Force Smoothed Parti-
817	cle Hydrodynamics model for multiphase flow: Surface tension and con-
818	tact line dynamics. Journal of Computational Physics, 305, 1119–1146.
819	Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2015.08.037 doi:
820	10.1016/j.jcp.2015.08.037
821	Tokunaga, T. K. (2009). Hydraulic properties of adsorbed water films in unsaturated
822	porous media. eScholarship University of California.
823	Tokunaga, T. K., & Wan, J. M. (1997). Water Film Flow Along Fracture Sur-
824	faces of Porous $t t Rock$. Water Resour. Res., 33(6), 1287–1295. Re-
825	trieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/97WR00473{\%}5Cnhttp://
826	www.agu.org/journals/wr/v033/i006/97WR00473/97WR00473.pdf doi:
827	10.1029/97wr 00473
828	Tokunaga, T. K., & Wan, J. M. (2001). Surface-zone flow along unsatu-
829	rated rock fractures. Water Resources Research, 37(2), 287–296. doi:

-42-

830	10.1029/2000WR900242
831	Tsang, CF., Neretnieks, I., & Tsang, Y. (2015). Hydrologic issues associated with
832	nuclear waste repositories. Water Resources Research, 51(9), 6923–6972. Re-
833	trieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/2015WR017641 doi: 10.1002/
834	2015WR017641
835	Wang, L., Butcher, A. S., Stuart, M. E., Gooddy, D. C., & Bloomfield, J. P. (2013).
836	The nitrate time bomb: A numerical way to investigate nitrate storage and lag
837	time in the unsaturated zone. Environmental Geochemistry and Health, $35(5)$,
838	667–681. doi: 10.1007/s10653-013-9550-y
839	Weisbrod, N., Nativ, R., Adar, E, M., & Ronen, D. (2000). Salt accumulation and
840	flushing in unsaturated fractures in an arid environment. Ground Water,
841	38(3), 452.
842	Wendland, H. (1995). Piecewise polynomial, positive definite and compactly sup-
843	ported radial functions of minimal degree. Advances in Computational Mathe-
844	matics, $4(1)$, 389–396. doi: 10.1007/BF02123482
845	Williams, P. W. (2008). The role of the epikarst in karst and cave hydrogeology: A
846	review. International Journal of Speleology, 37(1), 1–10. doi: 10.5038/1827
847	-806X.37.1.1
848	Wood, T., & Huang, H. (2015). Experimental and Modeling Studies of Episodic Air-
849	Water Two-Phase Flow in Fractures and Fracture Networks. In Fluid dynamics
850	in complex fractured-porous systems. Wiley.
851	Wood, T. R., Nicholl, M. J., & Glass, R. J. (2002). Fracture intersections as inte-
852	grators for unsaturated flow. $Geophysical Research Letters, 29(24), 44-1-44-1-44-1-44-1-44-1-44-1-44-1-44-$
853	4. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2002GL015551 doi: 10
854	.1029/2002GL015551
855	Wood, T. R., Nicholl, M. J., & Glass, R. J. (2005). Influence of fracture intersections
856	under unsaturated, low-flow conditions. Water Resources Research, $41(4)$, 1–
857	17. doi: $10.1029/2004$ WR003281
858	Xue, S., Yang, Z., Hu, R., & Chen, Y. (2020). Splitting Dynamics of Liq-
859	uid Slugs at a T-Junction. Water Resources Research, 56(8), 1–17. doi:
860	$10.1029/2020 \mathrm{wr} 027730$
861	Yang, Z., Xue, S., Zheng, X., & Chen, Y. (2019, aug). Partitioning Dynamics of
862	Gravity-Driven Unsaturated Flow Through Simple T-Shaped Fracture In-

-43-

- 863
 tersections.
 Water Resources Research, 55(8), 7130–7142.
 Retrieved from

 864
 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018WR024349
 doi:

 865
 10.1029/2018WR024349
- Zhou, Q., Salve, R., Liu, H. H., Wang, J. S., & Hudson, D. (2006). Analysis of a
 mesoscale infiltration and water seepage test in unsaturated fractured rock:
- Spatial variabilities and discrete fracture patterns. Journal of Contaminant
- ⁸⁶⁹ *Hydrology*, 87(1-2), 96–122. doi: 10.1016/j.jconhyd.2006.05.001