Research on Amplitude and Polarization Characteristics of Array Ground Penetrating Radar in Three-dimensional Space

Yi Chen¹, Fan Cui¹, and Yongqi Zhang¹

¹China University of Mining and Technology (Beijing)

November 24, 2022

Abstract

A conventional single dipole antenna will make the antenna power gain effect poor, and the energy of the effective excitation signal and scattering signal reflected from underground detection target(such as interface, metal target) is also weak, problems such as shallow detection depth, low target resolution, and weak signal anti-interference ability will occur. Array radar uses a multi-emission and multi-acceptance method to detect underground targets-multiple transmitting antennas simultaneously excite electromagnetic pulse signals of the same center frequency, and the electromagnetic energy is superimposed on each other. When electromagnetic waves encounter the target, obvious polarization can occur. In this paper, the complex frequency shift (CFS) perfectly matched layer (PML) is used as the absorbing boundary condition, which can effectively absorb outwardly propagating electromagnetic waves to simulate an infinite three-dimensional space. Use VTK to visualize the propagation wave field of electromagnetic waves in the three-dimensional model space, track the full waveform of electromagnetic waves in the three-dimensional model space, track the full waveform of electromagnetic waves in the three-dimensional model space, track the full waveform of electromagnetic waves in the three-dimensional model space, and analyze the polarization characteristics of electromagnetic waves under different observation methods. In order to improve the low efficiency of FDTD numerical calculation, GPU is used in the simulation to accelerate the calculation of the iterative solution of FDTD. By comparing the simulation data of the array radar and the forward data of the single-shot single-receive ground penetrating radar, it is concluded that the array radar observation method has advantages in improving the echo signal, enhancing the polarization characteristics, and improving the target resolution and accuracy.

1	Chen Yi(Orcid ID:0000-0001-8777-2828)
2	Cui Fan(Orcid ID:0000-0001-5102-8394)
3	
4	
5	
6	Research on Amplitude and Polarization
7	Characteristics of Array Ground Penetrating Radar in
8	Three-dimensional Space
9	
10	Chen Yi ^{1,2,3} ,Cui Fan1 ^{1,2,3} ,Zhang Yongqi ^{1,2}
11	
12	1School of Geosciences and Surveying Engineering, China University of Mining and
13	Technology (Beijing), Beijing, China
14	2State Key Laboratory of Coal Resources and Safe Mining, China University of Mining and
15	Technology (Beijing), Beijing, China
16	3Beijing Key Laboratory for Precise Mining of Intergrown Energy and Resources, Beijing,
17	China.
18	
19	
20	Corresponding author:Chen Yi(cy18729242764@163.com)
21	
22	

Abstract:

31 A conventional single dipole antenna will make the antenna power gain effect poor, and the 32 energy of the effective excitation signal and scattering signal reflected from underground detection 33 target(such as interface, metal target) is also weak, problems such as shallow detection depth, low 34 target resolution, and weak signal anti-interference ability will occur. Array radar uses a 35 multi-emission and multi-acceptance method to detect underground targets-multiple transmitting 36 antennas simultaneously excite electromagnetic pulse signals of the same center frequency, and 37 the electromagnetic energy is superimposed on each other. When electromagnetic waves 38 encounter the target, obvious polarization can occur.In this paper,the complex frequency shift 39 (CFS) perfectly matched layer (PML) is used as the absorbing boundary condition, which can 40 effectively absorb outwardly propagating electromagnetic waves to simulate an infinite three-dimensional space.Use VTK to visualize the propagation wave field of electromagnetic 41 waves in the three-dimensional model space, track the full waveform of electromagnetic waves in 42 43 the three-dimensional model space, and analyze the polarization characteristics of electromagnetic 44 waves under different observation methods. In order to improve the low efficiency of FDTD 45 numerical calculation, GPU is used in the simulation to accelerate the calculation of the iterative 46 solution of FDTD. By comparing the simulation data of the array radar and the forward data of the 47 single-shot single-receive ground penetrating radar, it is concluded that the array radar observation 48 method has advantages in improving the echo signal, enhancing the polarization characteristics, 49 and improving the target resolution and accuracy.

50 Keywords: array radar, finite difference time domain (FDTD), VTK, complex
51 frequency shift perfect matching layer (CFS-PML), GPU.

52

Introduction:

54 In recent years, ground penetrating radar technology has been widely used in underground 55 engineering detection due to its high precision, convenience, efficiency, flexibility and non-destructiveness^[1]. With the increasing accuracy of engineering quality requirements, more and 56 57 more GPR (Ground Penetrating Radar) technologies are used in the detection of small structures.At present, the commonly used ground penetrating radar detection technology is 58 59 reflection detection, which mainly involves profile ground penetrating radar detection technology, Array radar detection technology^[2], wide-angle ground penetrating radar detection technology, etc. 60 Geophysical prospecting needs to obtain high-efficiency and high-quality detection data but the 61 62 efficiency of the profile method is low and the wide-angle method is mainly used to obtain the 63 speed of underground radar waves. The array radar can not only improve the detection efficiency 64 and signal-to-noise ratio, and realize the underground Multiple coverage of data can also display 65 the visible cross-sectional position of the underground target object, which helps to identify the 66 underground structure.

67 Ground-penetrating radar (GPR) numerical simulation is not only an effective means to study the propagation law of electromagnetic waves in the complex medium of shallow underground, 68 but also an important basis for research on reverse time migration and full waveform inversion^[3], 69 70 GPR electromagnetic waves in underground structures Numerical simulation of propagation helps to better explain the real GPR profile^[4]. Many numerical simulation methods for ground 71 penetrating radar have been developed at home and abroad, and they are generally divided into 72 two categories: geometric ray method (ray tracing method)^{[5][6]}(Cai and McMechan,1995; 73 Huang,etc,2011)and wave equation method. Wave equation method includes finite difference time 74 domain (FDTD)^{[7][8][9]}, finite element time domain (FETD)^{[10][11]}, pseudospectral time domain 75 (PSTD)^[12] and Fourier method^[13] etc.Compared with the geometric ray method, the 76 electromagnetic wave field calculated by the wave equation method not only contains the 77 78 kinematic information of electromagnetic wave propagation, but also contains a wealth of wave 79 information, which can provide more evidence for the study of electromagnetic wave propagation 80 mechanism and the interpretation of complex structures. The finite difference time domain (FDTD) method^{[14][15][16]} proposed by KSYee in 1966 is a method to differentiate Maxwell' s equations and 81

calculate the electric field and magnetic field in space under certain conditions. This method has
the advantages of simple principle and convenient program implementation. Therefore, this article
uses the FDTD method to simulate the electromagnetic wave of the array radar.

85 Secondly, the improvement of ground penetrating radar performance and functions has 86 always been the goal pursued by the radar engineering community.For ground penetrating radar, it is not only necessary to clarify where the target is, but also to answer what kind of target it is. This 87 88 requires the ground penetrating radar to be able to capture the target's size, shape, attitude and 89 other parameter information.Due to the vector nature of electromagnetic waves, polarization 90 characteristics can solve the problem of target characteristic signals and enrich the capture of 91 target information. Therefore, as early as 1946, G. Sinclair of the Ohio State University Antenna 92 Laboratory in the United States proposed to use the "polarized scattering matrix" to characterize 93 the polarized scattering characteristics of radar targets^[17],marking the beginning of radar polarization research.In 1970, J.R. Huynen explained the internal connection between the 94 polarization scattering matrix elements and the target structure properties^[18], point out the 95 96 possibility of using polarization information for target classification and recognition. In 1986, Guili 97 published a long review on polarization characteristics research^[19], marking that the development 98 of radar polarization research is basically becoming mature. After that, Zeng Zhaofa and others 99 from Jilin University conducted an analysis of the polarization field characteristics of the array ground penetrating radar signal^[20], compared the polarization characteristics and signal stability of 100 101 the area array radar and the conventional single-shot single-receive radar, and obtained the area 102 array radar in the recognition The polarization characteristics of the target body are better, and the 103 target signal is more stable.

Finally, a three-dimensional model is established to scan the underground target with a multi-send and multi-receive array antenna group; and the GPU^{[21][22[23]} increases the computing speed to greatly improve the cumbersome and long-time FDTD operations. The polarization characteristic field of electromagnetic waves is tracked on any z-plane, and the polarization characteristics and amplitude characteristics of conventional single-shot single-receive radar and array radar are compared.

111 Method and principle:

112 **1 Principle of 3D FDTD method:**

113 This paper uses the finite difference time domain method to simulate the motion law of 114 electromagnetic waves in three-dimensional space.Considering a passive region in space whose 115 medium parameters do not change with time, Maxwell's equations evolve into:

116
$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times E - \frac{\rho}{\mu} H \qquad (1)$$
$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla \times H - \frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon} E \qquad (2)$$

117 6 coupled partial differential equations can be obtained in three-dimensional space:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial H_x}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial E_y}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial y} - \rho H_x \right) & (3) \\ \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial z} - \rho H_y \right) & (4) \\ \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial E_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x} - \rho H_z \right) & (5) \\ \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\partial H_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial x} - \sigma E_y \right) & (6) \\ \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\partial H_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial y} - \sigma E_z \right) & (7) \\ \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\partial H_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial z} - \sigma E_x \right) & (8) \end{cases}$$

118

119 Where E is the electric field strength, H is the magnetic field strength,
$$\mathcal{E}$$
 is the dielectric
120 constant, σ is the dielectric conductivity, μ is the magnetic permeability, and ρ is the magnetic
121 resistivity for calculating the magnetic loss. Perform Yee difference solution to 6 coupled partial
122 differential equations, and establish a difference grid in space. The grid nodes correspond to a set
123 of corresponding integer labels one to one.

124
$$(i, j, k) = (i\Delta x, j\Delta y, k\Delta z)$$
 (9)

125 The value of any function $F^{n}(x, y, z)$ at this point at time $n\Delta t$ can be expressed as

126
$$F^{n}(i, j, k) = F(i\Delta x, j\Delta y, k\Delta z)$$
(10)

127 Where $\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta z$ are the spatial steps of the rectangular grid along the x, y, and z 128 directions respectively, and Δt is the time step. Yee uses the central difference to replace the 129 differential coordinates of time and space, which has second-order accuracy:

$$\frac{\partial F(i,j,k)}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{F^n(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k) + F^n(i-\frac{1}{2},j,k)}{\Delta x} + O(\Delta x^2) \quad (11)$$

130

$$\frac{\partial F(i,j,k)}{\partial t} = \frac{F^{n+\frac{1}{2}}(i,j,k) + F^{n-\frac{1}{2}}(i,j,k)}{\Delta x} + O(\Delta t^2)$$
(12)

In the time domain, the electric field and magnetic field are calculated alternately and
iteratively with a difference of half a step. Turn the six coupled partial differential equations into
difference equations: at time n:

$$\frac{\partial H_x^n(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2})}{\partial t} = \frac{H^{n+\frac{1}{2}}(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}) - H^{n-\frac{1}{2}}(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2})}{\Delta t}$$
$$H_x = \frac{H^{n+\frac{1}{2}}(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}) + H^{n-\frac{1}{2}}(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2})}{2}$$

134

$$\frac{\partial E_{y}}{\partial z} = \frac{E_{y}^{n}(i, j + \frac{1}{2}, k + \frac{1}{2}) - E_{y}^{n}(i, j + \frac{1}{2}, k)}{\Delta z}$$
$$\frac{\partial E_{z}}{\partial y} = \frac{E_{z}^{n}(i, j, k + \frac{1}{2}) - E_{z}^{n}(i, j + 1, k + \frac{1}{2})}{\Delta y}$$
(13)

Substituting (13) into the partial differential equation (3) and simplifying to obtain therecurrence equation of the magnetic field x component:

$$H_{x}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1 - \frac{\rho(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2})\Delta t}{2\mu(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2})}}{1 + \frac{\rho(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2})\Delta t}{2\mu(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2})\Delta t}} H_{x}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{\rho(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2})\Delta t}{2\mu(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2})}$$

$$\frac{\Delta t}{\mu(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2})} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \{\rho(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2})\Delta t/[2\mu(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2})]\}}}{\frac{1}{\lambda z}} \times \left\{ \frac{E_{y}^{n}(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}) - E_{y}^{n}(i,j+\frac{1}{2},k)}{\Delta z} + \frac{E_{z}^{n}(i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}) - E_{z}^{n}(i,j+1,k+\frac{1}{2})}{\Delta y} \right\}$$
(14)

In the same way, the z component and y component of the magnetic field can be obtained.

139 When solving, the electric field is faster than the magnetic field by 1/2 time step:

$$\frac{\partial E_{x}}{\partial t} = \frac{E_{x}^{n+1}(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k) - E_{x}^{n}(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k)}{\Delta t/2}$$

$$E_{x} = \frac{E_{x}^{n+1}(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k) + E_{x}^{n}(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k)}{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial H_{z}}{\partial y} = \frac{H_{z}^{n+1/2}(i+\frac{1}{2},j+\frac{1}{2},k) - H_{z}^{n+1/2}(i+\frac{1}{2},j-\frac{1}{2},k)}{\Delta y}$$

$$\frac{\partial H_{y}}{\partial z} = \frac{H_{z}^{n+1/2}(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k+\frac{1}{2}) - H_{z}^{n+1/2}(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k-\frac{1}{2})}{\Delta y}$$
(15)

140

141 Substituting equation (15) into the

142

$$E_{x}^{n+1}(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k) = \frac{1 - \frac{\sigma(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k)\Delta t}{2\varepsilon(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k)}}{\frac{1 - \frac{\sigma(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k)\Delta t}{2\varepsilon(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k)\Delta t}}{1 + \frac{\sigma(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k)\Delta t}{2\varepsilon(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k)}} \cdot E_{x}^{n}(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k) + \frac{\frac{\Delta t}{\varepsilon(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k)} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \{\sigma(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k)\Delta t/[2\varepsilon(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k)]\}}}{\frac{1}{1 + \{\sigma(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k)\Delta t/[2\varepsilon(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k)]\}}} \times \left\{ \frac{H_{z}^{n+1/2}(i+\frac{1}{2},j+\frac{1}{2},k) - H_{z}^{n+1/2}(i+\frac{1}{2},j-\frac{1}{2},k)}{\Delta y} + \frac{H_{y}^{n+1/2}(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k-\frac{1}{2}) - H_{y}^{n+1/2}(i+\frac{1}{2},j,k+\frac{1}{2})}{\Delta z} \right\}$$
(16)

144 In the same way, the recurrence equations of the y and z components of the electric field can145 be obtained.

146 2 First-order complex frequency shift (CFS-PML) perfectly matched

147 layer absorption boundary conditions

148 The wave equation is changed in the complex coordinate in the PML layer. Taking the x 149 direction as an example, the transformation relationship of the frequency domain coordinate in 150 PML is:

151
$$\tilde{x}(x) = x - \frac{i}{\omega} \int_0^x d_x(s) ds \qquad (17)$$

152

154
$$\partial \tilde{x} = \frac{i\omega}{i\omega + dx} \partial x = \frac{1}{s_x} \partial x \qquad (18)$$

155 Where: Sx is the complex stretch function; x is the original coordinate; \tilde{x} is the 156 coordinate after transformation; ω is the circular frequency, dx is the attenuation coefficient.

157 The general form of the complex frequency shift stretching function obtained from equation

158 (19) is:

159
$$s_x = K_x + \frac{d_x}{\alpha_x + i\omega}$$
(20)

160 Use $\overline{s}_x(t)$ to represent the inverse Fourier transform of $\frac{1}{s_x}$ to convert frequency domain

161 coordinates into time domain coordinates.

162
$$\partial \tilde{x} = \bar{s}_x(t) * \partial x$$
 (21)

163 Perform inverse Fourier transform on equation (20)and get:

164
$$\overline{s}_x(t) = \frac{\delta(t)}{K_x} - \frac{d_x}{K_x^2} H(t) e^{-(dx/K_x + \alpha_x)}$$

165 Where $\delta(t)$ is the impulse function; H(t) is the unit step function.

166
$$\zeta_x(t) = -\frac{d_x}{K_x^2} H(t) e^{-(dx/Kx + \alpha x)t}$$

167
$$\tilde{\partial}_{x} = \frac{1}{K_{x}} \partial_{x} + \zeta_{x}(x) * \partial_{x} \qquad (22)$$

168 The convolution at discrete time $n\Delta t$ is:

$$\psi_x^{n\Delta t} = (\zeta_x * \partial_x)^{n\Delta t} = \int_0^{n\Delta t} (\partial_x)^{n\Delta t} \zeta_x(\tau) d\tau = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \int_{m\Delta t}^{(m+1)\Delta t} (\partial_x)^{(n\Delta t-\tau)} \zeta_x(\tau) d\tau$$
(23)

When the electric and magnetic fields of electromagnetic waves are calculated usingalternating grids, there are:

172
$$\psi_{x}^{n\Delta t} = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (\partial_{x})^{((n-(m+1/2))\Delta t)} \int_{m\Delta t}^{(m+1)\Delta t} \zeta_{x}(\tau) d\tau = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} Z_{x}(m)(\partial_{x})^{((n-(m+1/2))\Delta t)}$$
(24)

173

Where:

174
$$Z_x(m) = \int_{m\Delta t}^{(m+1)\Delta t} \zeta_x(\tau) d\tau = -\frac{d_x}{K_x^2} \int_{m\Delta t}^{(m+1)\Delta t} e^{-(dx/Kx + \alpha x)\tau} d\tau = a_x e^{-(dx/Kx + \alpha x)m\Delta t}$$

$$a_x = \frac{d_x}{K_x(d_x + K_x\alpha_x)}(b_x - 1); b_x = e^{-(d_x/K_x + \alpha_x)\Delta t}$$

Equation (24) can be simplified as:

and

177
$$\psi_x^{n\Delta t} = b_x \psi_x^{(n-1)\Delta t} + a_x (\partial_x)^{(n-1/2)\Delta t}$$
(25)

Perform normal iterative calculations in the model area, and use formula (22) to perform
coordinate transformation calculations in PML:

180
$$\partial \bar{x} = \frac{1}{K_x} \partial_x + \psi_x \qquad (26)$$

181 Among them, ψ_x can be obtained by recursive formula (25). Carry out coordinate 182 transformation on formula (25) and formula (26) so that the recurrence formula can be calculated 183 alternately in time:

184
$$\partial_x^{n-1/2} = \frac{1}{K_x} \partial_x^{n-1/2} + \psi_x^{n-1/2} = \frac{1}{K_x} \partial_x^{n-1/2} + \frac{\psi_x^n + \psi_x^{n-1}}{2}$$
(27)

185

Substituting formula (25) into formula (27) can get:

186
$$\partial_x^{n-1/2} = \frac{1}{K_x} \partial_x^{n-1/2} + \frac{(b_x+1)\psi_x^{n-1} + a_x(\partial_x)^{n-1/2}}{2}$$
(28)

In the same way, the PML recursive convolution equation of complex frequency shift in y and z directions can be obtained. It is very effective to use the first-order complex frequency shift perfect matching layer (CFS-PML) as the absorbing boundary condition, as shown in Figure 1-a: The electromagnetic wave enters the perfect matching layer without reflection at the boundary and is attenuated. When the conventional perfectly matched layer is used as the absorption boundary condition, the electromagnetic wave will reflect at the boundary and return to the study area, as shown in Figure 1-b.





Figure.1-a CFS - PML absorption boundary condition





197

Figure 1-b PML absorption boundary condition

3. Comparison of three-dimensional full wavefield numerical simulation of array radar and single-shot single-receive radar data

200 3.1 Model description:

Set the size of the model area in the x, y, and z directions to 0.5m. The upper part is air medium with a thickness of 0.1m, and the lower part is a double-layer uniform half space. The first layer is filled with concrete with a thickness of 0.15m. The dielectric constant is 6.0, the conductivity is 0.001S/m, the relative permeability is 1.0, and the magnetic loss is $0\Omega/m$. A metal sphere with a sphere center of $0.25m\times0.25m\times0.125m$ and a radius of 0.05m is buried in the second layer of soil medium. As shown in the figure, an 8×8 array radar is arranged on the

concrete surface. The transmitting antenna and receiving antenna of the array radar are arranged in 207 a straight line along the y axis. The spatial position of the first transmitting antenna is 208 209 0.035m×0.035m×0.40m,the spatial position of the first receiving antenna is 210 $0.085 \text{m} \times 0.035 \text{m} \times 0.40 \text{m}$, and the distance between each transmitting antenna is 0.05m. The distance is 0.05m, and the transceiver distance is 0.05m. The 8 transmitting antennas use Hertzian 211 212 dipoles polarized in the z direction as the excitation source, which uses a Ricker wavelet waveform with a dominant frequency of 900 MHz and a maximum amplitude scaling of 1 213 214 ampere.Divide Yee grids in the x, y, and z directions. The spatial step of each grid is 0.004m.The transmitting antenna and the receiving antenna are stepped along the x-axis at the same time. Each 215 step is 0.004m, and 97 channels of data are scanned. Time window given 9ns.The first-order 216 complex frequency shift perfectly matched layer (CFS-PML) is used as the absorbing boundary 217 218 condition, and the thickness of the fully matched layer on the six sides of the model is 6 spatial 219 steps. The medium parameters of the single-shot single-receive model are the same as those of the 220 array radar, and the spatial position relationship of the model is the same. The excitation point and the receiving point are located at 0.25m×0.40m, 0.085m×0.250m×0.40m, and the other 221 222 parameters are consistent with the array Radar model. The spatial position relationship of the 223 model is shown in Figure 2-a. It took 17 minutes and 32 seconds to run the model under Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-9300H @2.4GHz CPU, and it took 8 minutes and 52 seconds to add the GTX 1650 224 GPU for auxiliary calculations. Use GPU assisted FDTD loop iteration, the solution saves 8 225 226 minutes and 40 seconds.





228

Figure 2-a Three-dimensional model structure diagram

230 3.2 Comparison and analysis of amplitude characteristics:

The ray path of electromagnetic wave propagation is shown in Figure 2-b, eight transmitting antennas simultaneously emit high-frequency pulsed electromagnetic waves, the electromagnetic waves emitted by each transmitting antenna can be received by each receiving antennas after interfering with each other in space. Multi-point coverage can be achieved when reflection occurs in the underground stratum.





237

Figure 2-b Electromagnetic wave propagation ray path diagram

According to the principle of interference and superposition of electromagnetic waves: the radiated electromagnetic field generated by the current distribution J in a uniform medium can be expressed as:

242
$$E = -j\omega A - j\frac{1}{\omega\mu\varepsilon}\nabla(\nabla \cdot A)$$
(29)

243
$$H = \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times A \tag{30}$$

In the formula, A is called the magnetic vector potential, which satisfies the followingHelmholtz equation:

$$\nabla^2 A + k^2 A = -\mu J \tag{31}$$

247 When the current density J is distributed along the z-direction line as $zJ_z(z')$ and the length 248 of the distribution line is L, then at the far-field observation point P(x,y,z), the solution of the 249 Helmholtz equation is:

250
$$A = z \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \int_{L} \frac{J_{z}(z')e^{-jkR}}{R} dz'$$
(32)

251

252

When multiple dipole antennas are used for radiation, the current can be discretized into the

sum of N small parts in total:

$$L = \sum_{n=1}^{N} dl_n \tag{33}$$

Use z_n to represent the center coordinates of a small part dl_n of each dipole strip, if dl_n is small enough, the current density of each dipole antenna is uniform and constant in each small part, It can be expressed as $J(z_1^{'}), J(z_2^{'}), J(z_3^{'}), ..., J(z_N^{'})$. The formula (32) can be expressed as:

258
$$A = \sum_{n=1}^{N} A_n = z \sum_{n=1}^{N} A_{zn} = z \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{J(z_n) dl_n e^{-jkR_n}}{R_n}$$
(34)

In the formula, $J(z_n)dl_n$ represents the total current on a dipole, which is:

260
$$I_n = J(z_n) dl_n$$
 $n = 1, 2, 3... N$ (35)

261 Substituting formula (35) into formula (34) has:

262
$$A = \sum_{n=1}^{N} A_n = z \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{I_n e^{-j\omega R_n}}{R_n}$$
(36)

It can be seen from equation (36) that the total radiation field vector potential in space can be expressed as the superposition of N radiation field magnetic vector potentials. Therefore, the E and H of the total radiation electromagnetic field are also formed by the superposition of N parts, namely:

$$E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} E_n$$
$$H = \sum_{n=1}^{N} H_n$$

268 Simultaneously move the transmitting antenna and the receiving antenna array along the x-axis, scanning 97 channels of data, and extracting the first Ey component field data of the first 269 270 receiving antenna of the 8×8 array radar as shown in Figure 3.Simultaneously move the 271 transmitting antenna and the receiving antenna array along with the x-axis direction, scanning 97 272 channels of data, and extracting the first Ey component field data of the first receiving antenna of 273 the 8×8 array, as shown in Figure 3. The single-emitting single-receiving radar moves the 274 transmitting antenna and the receiving antenna along the same path, and also scans 97 channels of 275 data to obtain the first Ey component field data as shown in Figure 4.According to the principle of 276 electromagnetic interference and superposition, Since the array radar uses multiple transmitting 277 antennas to transmit high-frequency pulse electromagnetic waves at the same time, the 278 electromagnetic wave energy emitted by each antenna is superimposed on each other, resulting in 279 a significant increase in the amplitude value. The 8×8 array radar scan data increases the reflected 280 echo energy from the target body compared with single transmission and single reception, and more clearly shows the reflection from the ground and the metal ball. 281





283

Figure 3 The waveform time history of rec1-Ey component field of 8×8 array radar



285 Figure.4 Waveform history of Ey component field of single-dispatch and single-receiver radar

286 Decompose the incident coefficient and reflection coefficient terms of the target radar in the287 cross section:

$$rc(\theta, \theta_{t}) = \xi(\theta_{t})\xi(\theta_{t}) \qquad (37)$$

289 Where θ_{t} is the incident direction angle and θ_{t} is the reflection direction angle, due to the 290 single-shot single-receiving radar has a small offset, therefore, $\theta_{t} \approx \theta_{t}, \xi(\theta_{t}) \approx \xi(\theta_{t})$. The reflection 291 coefficient of the receiving antenna observing the target in the θ_{t} direction is:

292
$$T_{\text{single}}(\theta_r) = \int_V \xi_x(\theta_r) \xi_x(\theta_r) dx = \int_V \xi_x^2(\theta_r) dx \qquad (38)$$

293 Where T_{single} is the reflection coefficient under the single-shot single-receive radar 294 observation mode, V is the target body and x is a certain point on the target body. When the 295 antenna frequency and transmission waveform of the multi-transmit and multi-receive array radar 296 are the same, the transmitting array signal will be superimposed in space and form a plane wave. 297 Then the reflection coefficient of the receiving antenna in the θ_r direction is:

298
$$T_{\text{mulit}}(\theta_r) = \int_{V} \xi_x(\theta_r) \sum_{m=1}^n \xi_x(\theta_r) dx \qquad (39)$$

When the antenna center frequency is the same as the transmit waveform, the transmit array signals are superimposed in space to form a plane beam. Then the reflection coefficient of the receiving antenna observing the target in the θ_r direction is:

302
$$T_{\text{mulit}}(\theta_r) = \int_{V} [\xi_x(\theta_r) \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} (\xi_x \theta_r)] dx \qquad (40)$$

Where T_{mulit} is the reflection coefficient under the multi-transmit and multi-receive 303 304 observation mode, n is the total number of transmitting antennas. It can be seen that the size of the 305 reflection coefficient has a linear relationship with the number of transmitting antennas. When 306 there are multiple transmitting antennas, the reflection coefficient will be increased to enhance the 307 echo signal of the target body.Combine the 97-channel scan data, extract the first receiving 308 antenna data, and separate the 97-channel scan Ey component waveform to obtain a vertical 309 cross-sectional profile along the depth z direction. According to equation (30), in the single-shot 310 single-receiving radar observation mode, the electromagnetic wave attenuates rapidly at the first 311 layer of medium, and the reflected electromagnetic fluctuation of the metal ball target is not 312 obvious. Figure 5 shows the Ey component waveform profile of 97 channels of single-transmit and single-receive radar. The Ey component waveform profile of 8×8 array radar data is shown in 313 314 Figure 6.Because multiple transmitting antennas of the array radar simultaneously excite electromagnetic waves of the same center frequency during observation, the electromagnetic 315

energy is superimposed on each other, and at the same depth, the amplitude value will increase by

317 about 10 times.Electromagnetic waves occur in the lower stratum and the position of the metal

318 ball Obvious wave reflection phenomenon.



319

Figure 5:Ey component waveform profile of 97 channels of single-shot and single-receiveradar



322



Figure 6:Ey component waveform profile of 97 channels of 8×8 array radar

324 Slice the array radar data volume to analyze the propagation characteristics of the array radar 325 electromagnetic wave in three-dimensional space. Figure 7 shows the vertical slice along the y 326 axis of the electric field (E) amplitude at 45 ns.In order to determine the spatial position of the 327 metal ball, the three-dimensional data volume of the array radar is sliced along the x-axis and y-axis, and the vertical slice diagram of the x- and y-axis at 45 ns is shown in Figure 8.From the figure, we can clearly know the spatial position of the metal ball and the wave field propagation characteristics of the electromagnetic wave of the array transmitting antenna when it encounters various strata and metal balls.The electromagnetic wave has obvious reflection phenomenon at the position of the metal ball, which forms the hyperbola echo signal.



333

Figure 7: Vertical slice of the amplitude of 8×8 array radar electric field (E) along the y-axis
at 45 ns



336

Figure 8 Vertical slice of the amplitude of 8×8 array radar electric field (E) along the x,y-axis
at 45 ns



340 The propagation of electromagnetic waves can be decomposed into a series of harmonics of

different frequencies. The propagation of harmonics can be approximated as plane wave propagation.The excitation source uses Hertzian dipoles polarized in the z direction, and plane waves will undergo linear polarization,A plane wave propagating along the z-axis, the electric field (E) is parallel to the xy plane, and the electric field (E) can be decomposed into two components, Ex and Ey, which is:

$$E = a_x E_x + a_y E_y \qquad (33)$$

347 Where a_x , a_y are the direction vectors in the x and y directions respectively, E represents 348 the sum of the vector field linearly polarized along the x direction and along the y direction.

Suppose the amplitude of E_x is E_{x0} , the amplitude of E_y is E_{y0} , and the lag phase is φ , and equation (33) is expressed as:

351
$$E(z) = a_x E_{x0} e^{-jkz} + a_y E_{y0} e^{-jkz} e^{-j\varphi}$$
(34)

According to equation (34), the transient expression of the electric field at time t in the z-plane is obtained:

$$E(z,t) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[a_{x}E_{x0} + a_{y}E_{y0}e^{-j\varphi}\right]e^{-jkz}e^{-j\omega t}\right\}$$
$$= a_{x}E_{x0}\cos(\omega t - kz) + a_{y}E_{y0}\cos(\omega t - kz - \varphi) \qquad (35)$$

Where k is the wave number, ω is the angular frequency, and j is the complex unit.From 355 equation (35), it can be seen that the polarization of the electric field at any time t in the z-plane is 356 related to the amplitude value. At time=45ns, z=0.35m, z=0.125m, take the plane wave field, At this 357 358 time, the electric field plane wave polarization of the array observation method is shown in Figure 9. Since the amplitude of the 8×8 array radar is approximately 10 times that of the single-shot 359 360 single-receive radar, according to formula (35), when the amplitude of the electric field increases, the electric field component along the x, y direction on the z-plane at any time t The amplitude 361 362 will be increased accordingly, and the polarization characteristics will be more obvious. It can be 363 seen in Figure 9 that the array observation method has a very good polarization effect in the xy 364 plane. Obvious polarization in the x and y directions occurs at the position of the metal ball in the x and y plane. The electric field is a plane wave in the Spread in the x, y plane, the size and shape 365

of the target can be judged according to the polarization component.Single-shot and single-receive conventional common-offset observation method. The plane wave spreads in the form of a circle from a center point on the x and y planes. As shown in Figure 10, there is no obvious directional polarization in the metal sphere plane phenomenon.





371

Figure.9 Polarization characteristic field of 8×8 array radar at 45ns

372



373

Figure.10 Polarization characteristic field of single-shot and single-receive radar at45ns

Tracking the full waveform of array radar and single-shot single-receiving radar in three-dimensional space (which is Ex, Ey, Ez, Hx, Hy, Hz six wavefields), in the conventional single-input single-output common offset observation mode, the target echo signal propagates back to the receiving antenna along the incident path of the transmitted signal, and the electromagnetic wave spreads downward in the form of a spherical surface, therefore, the time-distance curve of the received signal is hyperbolic. In an 8×8 array radar, each transmitting antenna generates approximately the same signal, and the transmitting and receiving antennas radiate and receive in an isotropic or half-space isotropic medium. The transmit beam synthesized by the transmit signal array is approximately a plane wave signal, as shown in Figure 11-1.



385

386

Figure 11-1 Schematic diagram of array radar wavefield reconstruction

387 Separate the electric field components of the conventional single-shot single-receiving radar 388 and the 8×8 array radar, and obtain the three electric field components of the single-shot 389 single-receiving radar as shown in Figure 11 (a, c, e). The x, y, and z components of the electric 390 field all have obvious reflected echo signals when they encounter the metal ball. the x component 391 of the electric field contributes a strong echo energy, and the reflected echo in the y, z direction is 392 not Obviously, the downward propagation energy of the electric field component in the y direction 393 is very strong. The schematic diagram of the three-component electromagnetic wave field 394 propagation of the electric field of the 8×8 array radar is shown in Figure 11 (b, d, f). It can be 395 clearly observed that the electromagnetic wave forms a plane beam during the downward 396 propagation process, compared to The single-shot and single-receive radar excitation method, the 397 three-component reflected echo signal of the electric field is obvious, and the contributions to the 398 reflected echo signal energy in the three directions of x, y, and z are basically the same. This is because multiple transmitting antennas emit at the same time to form a uniform coverage of multiple points, and multiple receiving antennas receive at the same time, forming multiple angles to illuminate the target body. On the same plane, the electromagnetic energy is better scattered, and the receiving antenna can receive To reflect information from different angles of the target.





408	and array radar at 45ns
409	a Single-shot and single-receive Ex component wave field
410	b 8×8 array radar Ex component wave field
411	c Single-shot and single-receive Ey component wave field
412	d 8×8 array radar Ey component wave field
413	e Single-shot and single-receive Ez component wave field
414	f 8×8 array radar Ez component wave field

415 Separate the magnetic field along the x, y, z directions in the three-dimensional space to obtain the 416 three components of the single-shot single-receiving radar magnetic field, as shown in Figure 12 (h, j, m). It can be observed that the single-shot single-receive magnetic field is also spherical The 417 form of propagating downwards, on the x component of the magnetic field, the reflected magnetic 418 419 component is very weak, almost approaching zero, and the y component of the magnetic field has 420 a more obvious reflected echo signal.Because the Hertzian dipole polarized along the z direction is 421 used as the excitation source, the z direction of the magnetic field forms a magnetic field signal as 422 shown in Figure 12 (m). This is because electromagnetic waves consist of electric and magnetic 423 fields that are perpendicular to each other. When the electromagnetic wave is polarized along the z-direction, it encounters a metal body, the electric field will be inside the metal body (conducting), 424 425 the magnetic field forms a left and right-hand polarization phenomenon, spreading around the metal ball. In the same way, separate the three-component diagram of the magnetic field of the 8×8 426 427 array radar, and obtain the three-component diagram of the magnetic field as shown in Figure 12 (i, 428 k, n). It can be clearly observed that the magnetic field also forms a plane beam and propagates 429 downward. At this time, the echo signal reflected by the target body can be observed in three 430 directions of the magnetic field.Especially for the electromagnetic wave around the small metal 431 sphere, the wave field is clearer, and the reflected echo signal is more obvious.











k

Figure 12 Recording diagram of each component of TM wave of single-shot single-receive radar 439 and array radar at 45ns 440



h Single-shot and single-receive Hx component wave field

442i 8×8 array radar Hx component wave field443j Single-shot and single-receive Hy component wave field444k 8×8 array radar Hy component wave field445m Single-shot and single-receive Hz component wave field446n 8×8 array radar Hz component wave field

447 Planar electromagnetic waves can always be decomposed into two orthogonal linearly 448 polarized waves. When a linearly polarized electromagnetic wave is emitted, the target has a depolarization effect after being scattered by a natural target, so there is not only the same effect in 449 450 the echo signal. The polarization component should also have a cross-polarization component. 451 When the polarization state of the receiving antenna and the echo are the same, the received echo 452 energy reaches the maximum value, and the array radar will have a full polarization effect in space, 453 so it can be better To capture the morphological characteristics of the target to enhance the echo 454 energy.Comparing the full waveforms of the electric field and magnetic field components of the array radar and the single-shot single-receiving radar in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12, it is found that the 455 array observation method can obtain relatively high signal stability in the direction of each 456 457 component of the electric field and the magnetic field compared with the conventional observation 458 method. The target signal has higher energy and better quality. The plane wave signal formed by 459 the array observation method covers all points of the target body, and the scattering signal of the 460 target body is better. After one scan, the size of the target body can be judged and a clearer 461 reflection signal can be obtained.

462

4 Conclusion

1. Array radar has a wide range of scanning at one time when detecting the target body, which can greatly improve the detection efficiency. The observation method of multiple transmitting antennas simultaneously stimulating electromagnetic waves increases the energy of echo signal greatly due to the overlapping of energy. Compare the detection mode of single dispatch and single reception radar, can achieve the same goal with better lighting. Make Sectional figure and Slice figure of the 3d array radar data at different positions, is a good way to explain a reflection of electromagnetic waves in different media effect, launch more receive more observation method, on the space to expand the detection efficiency. One scan can get the wave field propagation
characteristics of a wider underground medium.Feedback more comprehensive underground
formation structure information.

Use the complex frequency shift perfectly matched layer (CFS-PML) as the absorbing
boundary condition to effectively absorb electromagnetic waves at the boundary. This method is
not affected by the angle of incidence, It has a better electromagnetic wave absorption effect than
the traditional PML method.

3.Multi-transmitting and multi-receiving array radar observation method can combine any
transmitting antenna and receiving antenna, Each receiving antenna receives superimposed
electromagnetic waves from different transmitting antennas, The combination of transmitting
antenna and receiving antenna can be diversified, It is expected to scan the target body in three
dimensions.

482 4.Polarization can well describe the position and shape of the target body, Electromagnetic 483 waves can be better polarized under the array observation mode, also contains rich target body 484 information, Get more ideal detection data.However, due to the phase of multi-transmitting and 485 multi-receiving array ground penetrating radar, electromagnetic waves will interfere with different 486 degrees,therefore, The analysis and phase correction of the polarization characteristics of the array 487 ground penetrating radar in different media and different target shapes will be the next research 488 target.

489 Acknowledgments and data:

490 The Model file is stored in the Model File directory(https://doi.org/10.4121/12936149;Last access: September 2020) of 4 tu.ResearchData database(https://data.4tu.nl/account/home). The 491 492 Model output file calculated based on the Model is stored in the Kongdong merged.Out 493 directory(https://doi.org/10.4121/12935972;Last access :September 2020) of 4 Tu.ResearchData database(https://data.4tu.nl/account/home). The calculated 60 nanossecond wave field data and 494 Array 495 wave field propagation animation are stored in the radar wave field 496 animation(https://doi.org/10.4121/12936803,Last access: September 2020) of 4 tu.ResearchData 497 database(https://data.4tu.nl/account/home).The package, located under the Paraview file directory(https://doi.org/10.4121/12936899,Last access: September 2020) of four tu.ResearchData
databases(https://data.4tu.nl/account/home), uses version 5.8 of the software, available on its
official website at (https://www.paraview.org/). And special thanks to the editor for allowing the
deadline extension during the COVID-19 pandemic.

502

5. References

 [1] Li Hua, LU Guangyin, HE Xianqi, Deng Ke.Development history and prospect of ground penetrating radar [J]. Progress in geophysics,2010,25(04):1492-1502. https://doi.org/ CNKI:SUN:MDKT.0.1997-05-019.

[2] T. Jin, J. Lou and Z. Zhou, "Extraction of Landmine Features Using a Forward-L ooking Ground-Penetrating Radar With MIMO Array," in IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, vol. 50, no. 10, pp. 4135-4144, Oct. 2012. https://doi.org/doi: 10.11 09/TGRS.2012.2188803.

[3] Si-Xin L , Yan-Qian F , Lei F U , et al. Advances and numerical simulation of airborne ground penetrating radar[J]. Progress in Geophysics, 2012, 27(2):727-735.https://doi.org/10.6038/j.issn.1004-2903.2012.02.040.

[4] Chai M , Xiao T , Zhao G , et al. A Hybrid PSTD/ADI-CFDTD Method for Mi xed-Scale Electromagnetic Problems[J]. IEEE Transactions on Antennas & Propagation, 200 7, 55(5):p.1398-140.https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2007.895630.

[5] X .Zeng,G.A.McMechan, J .Cai ,and H .W .Chen," Comparison of ray and Fourie r methods for modeling monostatic ground penetrating radar profiles, " Geophysics, vol60, no. 6, p p . 1727 –1734, 1995.https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1443905.

[6] Huang Y, Zhang J, Liu Q H.2011.Three-dimensional GPR ray tracing based on wavefront expansion with irregular cells [J]. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Rem ote Sensing, 49(2): 679-687. https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2010.2061856.

[7] K. S. Yee, "Numerical solution of initial boundary value problems involving Max well's equations in isotropic media," IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat., vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 3

02-307,1966.https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.1966.1138693.

[8] D.-S. Feng and Q.-W. Dai, "GPR numerical simulation of full wave field based on UPML boundary condition of ADI-FDTD, "NDT & E International, vol.44,no.6, pp.495 –504,2011.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ndteint.2011.05.001.

[9] An, Q (An, Qiang); Hoorfar,etc.Range Coherence Factor for Down Range Sidelob es Suppression in Radar Imaging Through Multilayered Dielectric Media[J].IEEE ACCESS vol.7, no. 9,pp. 66910-66918.https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2911693.

[10] Xu Shi-che, LIU Bin. Forward magnetoelectromagnetic modeling of horizontal la yer with continuous variation of conductivity stratification [J].Journal of Geophysics,1995(0 2):262-268.https://doi.org/10.1088/0256-307X/12/7/010.

[11] Howlader M O F , Sattar T P . FDTD based numerical framework for ground p enetrating radar simulation[J]. Progress in Electromagnetics Research M, 2015, 44:127-138. https://doi.org/10.2528/PIERM15090304.

[12] Q. H. Liu, "The pseudospectral time-domain (PSTD) method: a new algorithm fo r solutions of Maxwell's equations," IEEE Antennas and Propagation Society International Symposium 1997. Digest, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, 1997, pp. 122-125 vol.1, doi: 10.110 9/APS.1997.630102.https://doi.org/10.1109/APS.1997.630102.

 [13] J. M. Carcione, S. Valle, and G. Lenzi, "GPR modelling by the Fourier method: improvement of the algorithm," Geophysical Prospecting, vol.47,no.6, pp.1015–1029, 19
 99.https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2478.1999.00151.x.

[14] K. S. Yee, "Numerical solution of initial boundary value problems involving Ma xwell's equations in isotropic media," IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat., vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 302-307, 1966.https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.1966.1138693.

[15] Oden C P , Wright D L , Powers M H , et al. Ground penetrating radar antenn a system analysis for prediction of earth material properties[C]// Antennas & Propagation Society International Symposium. IEEE, 2005.https://doi.org/10.1109/APS.2005.1552436.

[16] Bai Z , Ma X , Zhuansun X , et al. An efficient application of PML in fourth-

order precise integration time domain method for the numerical solution of Maxwell's equa tions[J]. Compel International Journal for Computation & Mathematics in Electrical & Elec tronic Engineering, 2014, 33(1-2):págs. 116-125.https://doi.org/10.1108/COMPEL-10-2012-02 72.

[17] Shangguan S , Qiu X , Yang J , et al. Extraction and Analysis of the Scattering Stability in Urban Areas Based on Dual-Polarization SAR Data[J]. IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, 2018.https://doi.org/10.1109/LGRS.2018.2873624.

[18] J R Huynen. Phenomenological theory of radar targets[D]. Netherlands: Technica1 University Delft, 1970.https://doi.org/doi:http://dx.doi.org/.

[19] Dino Giuli. Polarization diversity in radars[J]. Proceedings of IEEE, 1986, 74(2): 245-269.https://doi.org/10.1109/PROC.1986.13457.

[20] J. Xi, Z. Zeng, L. Huang, etc. Characteristics of the Signal Polarization Field in Array Type Ground Penetrating Radar[J]. Journal of Jilin University, 2017, 47(2):633-644..h ttps://doi.org/10.13278/j.cnki.jjuese.201702307.

[21] Song P , Zhang Z , Liang L , et al. Study on Optimization of Parallel Efficienc y of CPU-GPU Heterogeneous Parallelization for MOC Neutron Transport Calculation[J]. Y uanzineng Kexue Jishu/Atomic Energy ence and Technology, 2019, 53(11):2209-2217.https:/ /doi.org/10.7538/yzk.2019.youxian.0416.

[22] Wang S, Wang C, Cai Y, et al. A novel parallel finite element procedure for nonlinear dynamic problems using GPU and mixed-precision algorithm[J]. Engineering Computations, 2020, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print).https://doi.org/10.1108/EC-07-2019-0328.

[23] Wu Enhua, Liu Zhiquan. General Computing based on GPU [J].Journal of Comp uter-Aided Design and Graphics, 2004, 16(5):601-612.https://doi.org/10.3321/j.issn:1003-9775. 2004.05.002.