Towards determining the spatio-temporal variability of upper-ocean ecosystem stoichiometry from satellite remote sensing

Tatsuro Tanioka¹, Cédric Fichot², and Katsumi Matsumoto¹

¹University of Minnesota ²Boston University

November 22, 2022

Abstract

The elemental stoichiometry of particulate organic carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and phosphorus (P) connects the C fluxes of biological production to the availability of the limiting nutrients in the ocean. It also influences the marine food-web by modulating the feeding behavior of zooplankton and the decomposition of organic matter by bacteria and viruses. Despite its importance, there is a general paucity of information on how the global C:N:P ratio evolves seasonally and interannually, and large parts of the global ocean remain devoid of observational data. Here, we developed a new method that combines satellite ocean-color data with a cellular trait-based model to characterize the spatio-temporal variability of the phytoplankton stoichiometry in the surface mixed layer of the ocean. Here, we demonstrated this method specifically for the C:P ratio. The approach was applied to phytoplankton growth rates and chlorophyll-to-carbon ratios derived from MODIS-Aqua and to maps of temperature-dependent nutrient limitation in order to generate global and seasonal maps of upper-ocean phytoplankton C:P. Taking it a step further, we determined the C:P of the bulk particulate organic matter, using MODIS-Aqua estimates of particulate organic carbon and phytoplankton biomass. A reasonably good comparison of our results with available data, both horizontal distributions and time series, indicates the viability of our new method in accurately quantifying seasonally resolved global ocean bulk C:P. We anticipate that the new hyperspectral capabilities of the NASA's PACE (Plankton, Aerosol, Cloud, ocean Ecosystem) mission will facilitate the determination of phytoplankton stoichiometry for different size classes and can further enhance the predictability of marine ecosystem stoichiometry from space.



Towards determining the spatio-temporal variability of upper-ocean ecosystem stoichiometry from satellite remote sensing

2 ecosystem storchometry from satemic remote sensi

3 Tatsuro Tanioka^{1*}, Cédric G. Fichot², and Katsumi Matsumoto¹

4 ¹ Department of Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

⁵ ² Department of Earth and Environment, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA

6 * Correspondence:

- 7 Corresponding Author
- 8 tanio003@umn.edu
- 9 Keywords: phytoplankton, stoichiometry, ocean color, satellite, organic matter, carbon cycle

10 Abstract

11 The elemental stoichiometry of particulate organic carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and phosphorus (P)

- 12 connects the C fluxes of biological production to the availability of the limiting nutrients in the
- 13 ocean. It also influences the marine food-web by modulating the feeding behavior of zooplankton and
- 14 the decomposition of organic matter by bacteria and viruses. Despite its importance, there is a general
- 15 paucity of information on how the global C:N:P ratio evolves seasonally and interannually, and large 16 parts of the global ocean remain devoid of observational data. Here, we developed a new method that
- 17 combines satellite ocean-color data with a cellular trait-based model to characterize the spatio-
- 18 temporal variability of the phytoplankton stoichiometry in the surface mixed layer of the ocean. Here,
- 19 we demonstrated this method specifically for the C:P ratio. The approach was applied to
- 20 phytoplankton growth rates and chlorophyll-to-carbon ratios derived from MODIS-Aqua and to maps
- 21 of temperature-dependent nutrient limitation in order to generate global and seasonal maps of upper-
- 22 ocean phytoplankton C:P. Taking it a step further, we determined the C:P of the bulk particulate
- 23 organic matter, using MODIS-*Aqua* estimates of particulate organic carbon and phytoplankton
- biomass. A reasonably good comparison of our results with available data, both horizontal
- distributions and time series, indicates the viability of our new method in accurately quantifying
- seasonally resolved global ocean bulk C:P. We anticipate that the new hyperspectral capabilities of the NASA's PACE (Plankton, Aerosol, Cloud, ocean Ecosystem) mission will facilitate the
- 27 the INASA'S FACE (Flankton, Aerosol, Cloud, ocean Ecosystem) mission will facilitate the
 28 determination of phytoplankton stoichiometry for different size classes and can further enhance the
- 20 uccommation of phytopiankion stoichiometry for different size classes and can further enhance the 20 predictability of marine ecosystem stoichiometry from space.
- 29 predictability of marine ecosystem stoichiometry from space.

30 1 Introduction

Ever since Redfield first reported on it more than 85 years ago (Redfield, 1934), the C:N:P ratio of

32 particulate organic matter (POM) has been widely assumed to be stable. A fixed C:N:P ratio has long

33 played a central role in ocean biogeochemistry because this ratio largely determines the strength of

- 34 the biologically-mediated ocean carbon cycle. However, recent studies show convincingly that the
- 35 C:N:P stoichiometry of POM varies substantially on ocean-basin scales. For example, Martiny et al.
- 36 (2013) showed a globally coherent pattern, with C:N:P ratio of 195:28:1 in the subtropical gyres,
- 37 137:18:1 in the warm upwelling zones, and 78:13:1 in the nutrient-rich polar regions. An inverse

- 38 model of ocean biogeochemistry also inferred a similar spatial pattern of the global C:P and N:P
- 39 ratios (Teng et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2019).
- 40 As carbon export is inversely related to atmospheric CO₂ (Volk and Hoffert, 1985), carbon-enriched
- 41 particulate organic matter in subtropical gyres could lead to lower atmospheric CO₂ and higher
- 42 export production of carbon, thereby influencing climate (Galbraith and Martiny, 2015; Tanioka and
- 43 Matsumoto, 2017; Matsumoto et al., 2020; Ödalen et al., 2020). The ocean carbon modeling
- 44 community is beginning to respond to this development. For example, the state of the art CMIP5/6
- 45 models developed by various climate modeling teams around the world represent phytoplankton
- 46 stoichiometry with varying degree of flexibility, from no flexibility (i.e., fixed C:N:P ratio) to fully
- 47 flexible (e.g., Bopp et al., 2013; Arora et al., 2020).
- 48 A major challenge to adopting fully flexible stoichiometry in biogeochemical models is our current
- 49 inability to observationally constrain the temporal variability of the C:N:P in the global ocean.
- 50 Although some progress has been made to explore a temporal shift in C:N:P using local time-series
- 51 data (Hebel and Karl, 2001; Karl et al., 2001; Singh et al., 2015; Martiny et al., 2016; Talarmin et al.,
- 52 2016), our holistic global view of the global C:N:P ratio variation is still unclear. In-situ C:N:P
- 53 measurements of POM inherently suffer from bias towards regions and periods of active
- 54 oceanographic research, and large parts of the global ocean remain devoid of data. For example, there
- is a considerable paucity of POM sampling efforts in the South and Equatorial Atlantic regions
- 56 (Sharoni and Halevy, 2020).
- 57 The remote sensing using satellite ocean-color sensors have the potential to provide a unique tool to
- 58 constrain the temporal evolution of organic matter C:N:P ratio. Ocean color provides global, synoptic
- 59 views of the spectral remote-sensing reflectance of the ocean that can be used to generate estimates
- of marine inherent optical properties (IOPs) at various timescales (Werdell et al., 2018). Satellite
- 61 ocean color (i.e., remote-sensing reflectance) provides an unparalleled tool to capture climate-driven
- 62 signals in the upper biological functions of the global ocean (Dierssen, 2010; Dutkiewicz et al.,
- 63 2019), and has the potential to yield crucial information on the modes of C:N:P variability. Indeed,
- 64 previous field studies have shown that C:N:P ratio is significantly influenced by interannual climate
- variabilities such as ENSO and Pacific Decadal Oscillation (Martiny et al., 2016; Fagan et al., 2019).
- 66 One possible approach to assess the spatio-temporal variability in the C:N:P of POM is to directly
- 67 estimate the change in the total concentration of particulate organic carbon (POC), particulate organic
- nitrogen (PON), and particulate organic phosphorus (POP) using satellite ocean color data. Multiple
- 69 methods of estimating total POC from satellite ocean color have been developed over the years, and
- 70 the satellite estimates are extensively calibrated with in-situ measurements (Evers-King et al., 2017).
- 71 More recently, Fumenia et al. (2020) have developed a method to link the backscattering coefficient
- of (b_{bp}) at 700 nm with PON and POP concentrations in the oligotrophic Western Tropical South
- 73 Pacific. However, the reliability of b_{bp} as a quantitative proxy of PON and POP still needs to be
- 74 investigated in other oceanographic areas, including non-oligotrophic regions.
- 75 Another possible approach of deriving C:N:P of bulk POM is to predict the elemental composition of
- 76 phytoplankton and use it as a proxy for the bulk composition, assuming phytoplankton make up the
- 177 largest proportion of POM. The study by Arteaga et al. (2014) showed a seasonally variable global
- 78 C:N:P ratio of phytoplankton by using a combination of remote sensing data and a mechanistic
- 79 growth-model of phytoplankton (Pahlow et al., 2013). More recently, Roy (2018) developed a
- 80 method to estimate the macromolecular content of phytoplankton protein, carbohydrate, and lipid via
- 81 satellite ocean color by using empirical relationships between the particulate backscattering

- 82 coefficient, phytoplankton cell size, and cellular macromolecular concentrations. However, this
- 83 method cannot derive phytoplankton C:P as there is no empirical link between cell size and P-rich
- 84 macromolecules such as RNA and DNA. Furthermore, a fundamental limitation in both of these
- studies is that the elemental composition of phytoplankton may not be able to explain the full
- 86 dynamics of bulk POM because, in reality, phytoplankton biomass typically constitute only 30~50%
- of bulk particulate organic matter in the open ocean (Eppley et al., 1992; Durand et al., 2001;
- 88 Gundersen et al., 2001; Behrenfeld et al., 2005).

89 Here, we propose a new remote-sensing approach that uniquely combines established methodologies

- 90 in order to understand the spatio-temporal variability of the upper-ocean stoichiometry of
- 91 phytoplankton and bulk POM (Figure 1). Although we only demonstrate the feasibility of this
- method for C:P ratio in this paper, the framework can theoretically be expanded to include C:N and
 N:P ratios. In this approach, we first determine C:P of phytoplankton by combining satellite-derived
- 94 estimates of growth rate, Chl:C ratio, and nutrient depletion temperatures (NDTs) with a newly
- 95 developed mechanistic model of phytoplankton stoichiometry (Inomura et al., 2020). We then
- 96 convert phytoplankton C:P ratio to the total POC:POP using remotely sensed concentrations of
- 97 phytoplankton biomass and POC. This approach is unique in that all inputs are derived from satellite
- 98 remote sensing and does not rely on in-situ measurements, thereby enabling us to predict the "real-
- 99 time" evolution of phytoplankton and bulk POM C:P on various temporal and spatial scales of
- 100 interest.
- 101 The Methods section of this paper describes this new satellite-informed framework for predicting C:P
- 102 ratios in the mixed layer. The Results and Discussion section then describes the implementation of
- 103 our framework to available satellite data and their derived quantities. We discuss the relative
- 104 importance of the two main drivers of POC:POP variability: (1) variability due to change in
- 105 phytoplankton C:P that reflect changes in environmental condition such as nutrient supply (e.g.,
- 106 Garcia et al., 2018; Martiny et al., 2013), and (2) variability due to change in community plankton
- 107 composition (e.g., Sharoni & Halevy, 2020; Talmy et al., 2016; Weber & Deutsch, 2010). Finally, we
- discuss caveats, limitations, and future directions. Our ultimate goal in this paper is to demonstrate
- the feasibility of the method, given all the assumptions and limitations. We envision that future
- advances in satellite instrumentation will enhance the accuracy of satellite-derived input parameters
- and will thus improve the overall estimate of C:N:P from space.

112 **2** Methods

113 2.1 Satellite-Informed Modeling Framework

- 114 The flowchart shown in Figure 1 provides an overview of how we determine phytoplankton C:P and
- bulk POC:POP ratios from satellite products (ocean color, SST). In the sections below, we briefly
- 116 describe the phytoplankton stoichiometry model and the method of estimating the bulk C:P of POM.

117 2.1.1 Phytoplankton Stoichiometry Model

- 118 In this study, we determined the C:P ratio for a single phytoplankton functional type using the
- recently developed phytoplankton stoichiometry model (Inomura et al., 2020). The phytoplankton
- stoichiometry model of Inomura et al. (2020) is conceptually simple but facilitates the accurate
- 121 computation of phytoplankton C:P and C:N ratios under a variety of environmental conditions. The
- input variables required in calculating phytoplankton C:P are light intensity, growth rate, and the presence/absence of limiting nutrients. The model is based on four empirically supported lines of
- presence/absence of limiting nutrients. The model is based on four empirically supported lines of avidence: (1) a saturating relationship between light intensity and photosymthesis. (2) a linear
- evidence: (1) a saturating relationship between light intensity and photosynthesis, (2) a linear

relationship between RNA-to-Protein ratio and growth rate, (3) a linear relationship between

126 biosynthetic proteins and growth rate, and (4) a constant macromolecular composition of the light-

- harvesting machinery. Also, it follows from these assumptions that chlorophyll-to-carbon ratio
- 128 (*Chl:C_{phyto}*) and growth rate are directly linked for any given light intensity (Laws and Bannister, 129 1980). Inomura et al. calibrated their model parameters subject to constraints provided by data from
- 130 published laboratory chemostat studies for several key prokaryotic and eukaryotic phytoplankton
- species. For this study, we used the model parameter set for the cyanobacteria *Synechococcus*
- *linearis* because the parameters for this species were most rigorously calibrated with laboratory data
- 133 compared to the other two possible options (cf. a diatom, *Skeletonema costatum*, and a haptophyte,
- 134 Pavlova lutheri). Also, picocyanobacteria such as Synechococcus and Prochlorococcus are the most
- abundant phytoplankton types in the global ocean (Flombaum et al., 2013; Berube et al., 2018). Thus,
- 136 if we are choosing a single group of phytoplankton to represent the whole phytoplankton community,
- as we do in this study, *Synechococcus* would be a reasonable choice. However, as this particular
- 138 species of *Synechococcus* is a freshwater species, further calibration efforts specific to the marine
- 139 cyanobacteria species would be necessary. A complete description and evaluation of the
- 140 phytoplankton stoichiometry model are provided in the original model description paper (Inomura et
- 141 al., 2020).

142 In order to determine phytoplankton C:P, we made three minor modifications to the original

143 stoichiometry model by Inomura et al. (2020). First, we drove the stoichiometry model directly with

144 depth-integrated $Chl: C_{phyto}$ in the mixed layer obtained from the satellite ocean color instead of

- calculating *Chl: C_{phyto}* as a function of photon-flux density. This way, we could circumvent the need
- 146 to estimate depth-dependent irradiance, which is complicated by issues such as self-shading and
- particle scattering (Jamet et al., 2019). Second, we imposed a fixed maximum growth rate of 2 d^{-1} in calculating C:P, which is equal to the maximum growth rate commonly imposed on the satellite-
- based estimates of growth rate (Westberry et al., 2008; Laws, 2013). Third, we disregarded the

elemental composition in terms of C:N. This last simplification made it possible to circumvent the

- 151 need to determine whether the cell is P-limited or N-limited based on the external nutrient supply.
- 152 With this third modification, C:P is fixed at a constant value of 102 under P-replete condition

regardless of the P supply, and C:P is stoichiometrically flexible under P-limited condition. We note

- that under P limitation, the internal P storage inside the cell becomes zero so that the stoichiometry
- 155 model, by default, does not require information on external nutrient concentration in calculating
- cellular C:P. With these three modifications, we were able to predict phytoplankton C:P using only
- 157 satellite ocean color products as inputs.

158 2.1.2 Satellite-Derived Inputs

162

159 We drove the modified Inomura model with satellite-derived growth rates (μ), *Chl:C*_{phyto} (a measure

160 of light intensity), and phosphate limitation (via phosphate depletion temperature) to estimate

161 phytoplankton C:P ($r_{C:P}$) in the surface mixed layer (Equation 1):

$$r_{C:P} = C_{phyto} / P_{phyto} = f(\mu, Chl: C_{phyto}, SST)$$
(1)

163 The required input data in Equation (1) are monthly binned and averaged observations from the Aqua

164 Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS-Aqua) acquired from January 2003 to

165 December 2018 and re-gridded on a regular 1°-latitude by 1°-longitude grid. All satellite-derived

166 input data and estimates of mixed-layer depth are available for download from the Oregon State

(2)

- 167 Ocean Productivity Website (http://sites.science.oregonstate.edu/ocean.productivity/index.php, last 168 access: June 22, 2020).
- 169 The carbon-based specific growth rate μ (measured in d⁻¹) is estimated by dividing the depth-
- 170 integrated net primary productivity (NPP, measured in mg C m⁻² d⁻¹) by the standing stock of
- phytoplankton carbon (C_{phyto} , measured in mg C m⁻²): 171
- $\mu = NPP/C_{phyto}$ 172

173 There are multiple NPP data products available to date (Westberry and Behrenfeld, 2014; Bisson et

174 al., 2018). In order to illustrate the robustness of our C:P determination to the choice of the NPP

175 products, we used the following four NPP satellite data products: (1) the Carbon, Absorption and

176 Fluorescence Euphotic-resolving model (CAFE) (Silsbe et al., 2016), (2) the Vertically Generalized 177 Productivity Model (VGPM) (Behrenfeld and Falkowski, 1997), (3) the Eppley-VGPM Model

178 (Eppley, 1972; Behrenfeld and Falkowski, 1997), and (4) the Carbon-based Productivity Model

- (CbPM) (Westberry et al., 2008). A previous study showed that CAFE compares best with in-situ 179
- 180 NPP measurements (Bisson et al., 2018). Because the growth rates from VGPM, Eppley-VGPM, and
- 181 CbPM are similar quantitatively (Supplementary Figure 1), we only present results from VGPM as
- 182 representing the three models in the main text. Throughout the text, we use the phrases "CAFE-
- 183 informed phytoplankton C:P" and "VGPM-informed phytoplankton C:P" to refer to C:P calculated
- using μ from CAFE-based NPP and VGPM-based NPP, respectively. 184
- For C_{phyto} , we used the satellite data product of Westberry et al. (2008), who computed C_{phyto} as a 185
- 186 linear function of the particulate backscatter coefficient at 443 nm, $b_{bp}(443)$. We only considered a
- 187 single algorithm of C_{phyto} in this study because the previous intercomparison study showed that no
- 188 single algorithm outperforms any of the other algorithms when compared with in-situ data (Martínez-
- 189 Vicente et al., 2017). We excluded from our analyses the coastal regions with C_{phyto} exceeding 1000
- mg C m⁻³ and we multiplied the monthly mean surface concentration of C_{phyto} with monthly mean 190
- 191 mixed layer depth (MLD) from the Hybrid Coordinate Ocean Model (HYCOM) to get the depth-
- 192 integrated C_{phyto} . Here, MLD is defined as the depth where the density of water is greater than that of
- water at a reference depth of 10 m by 0.125 kg m⁻³ (Levitus, 1982). The growth rate calculated this 193
- 194 way in Equation (2) is representative of a well-mixed, photoacclimated community subject to the
- 195 median PAR in the mixed layer. The satellite-derived seasonal variability in μ reflect changes in light
- 196 and nutrient limitation, as well as phytoplankton community composition (Behrenfeld et al., 2005).

197 Figure 2 shows satellite-derived estimates of μ during summer and winter. CAFE predicts a higher μ

during summer months compared to winter months for the large parts of the ocean (Figure 2a-c). 198

- 199 VGPM (Figure 2d-f) and the other two NPP products (CbPM and Eppley-VGPM; Supplementary
- 200 Figure 1) show similar trends in the high latitude but show the opposite trend in the subtropics with 201
- lower μ during summer compared to winter. As a result, the range of estimated μ amongst NPP
- 202 products are higher during the summer (Figure 2g) compared to winter (Figure 2h) and is most 203 extensive in the subtropics. Here, the range is a measure of uncertainty and is given by the difference
- 204 between the maximum and minimum μ estimates amongst four NPP products. Throughout the rest of
- 205 this paper, the "summer" average refers to average values during July – September in the Northern
- 206 Hemisphere and during January – March in the Southern Hemisphere. For the "winter," the target
- 207 months are reversed between two hemispheres.
- 208 The *Chl*: C_{phyto} ratio, a proxy for light limitation (Falkowski et al., 1985; MacIntyre et al., 2002), is computed here by dividing MODIS-derived Chl-a with Cphyto. Chl-a concentration is depth-integrated 209

- and therefore converted from mg Chl m⁻³ to mg Chl m⁻² by multiplying the monthly mean surface
- 211 concentration with monthly mean MLD. Like for growth rate, we assumed that the *Chl:Cphyto* is
- 212 vertically uniform in the mixed layer. Figure 3a-c shows estimates of *Chl: C_{phyto}* during summer and
- winter. In general, $Chl: C_{phyto}$ is higher during winter than summer as the reduced incident irradiance
- 214 causes phytoplankton to allocate more of the cellular component to the light-harvesting apparatus
- 215 (Geider, 1987; MacIntyre et al., 2002; Arteaga et al., 2016). High *Chl: C_{phyto}* in the sunlit layer of the
- continental margins are known to be relatively inaccurate and biases due to interferences by the high and variable amounts of colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) and detritus (Siegel et al., 2005;
- 217 and variable amounts of colored dissolved organic matter (CDOW) and defittus (Sieger et al., 2005 218 Morel and Gentili, 2009; Loisel et al., 2010). As we excluded coastal regions in the subsequence
- analyses, this issue should not affect our satellite-informed estimates of C:P.
- 220 We assessed if there is P limitation by utilizing nutrient depletion temperatures (NDTs), which are
- temperatures above which nutrients are no longer detectable by traditional wet-chemistry techniques
- 222 (Zentara and Kamykowski, 1977; Kamykowski and Zentara, 1986). The method leverages an
- 223 observed inverse empirical relationship between surface nutrient concentration and sea-surface
- temperature (SST). In this relationship, phytoplankton is considered nutrient-limited if the difference
- between SST and NDT is higher than 0 and vice versa if the difference is lower than 0. We used a
- 226 global NDT mask of the percentile-based, cubic root-corrected phosphate depletion temperatures
- 227 (PDT3) re-gridded to a 1-by-1° spatial resolution (Figure 3f; Kamykowski et al., 2002). We
- subtracted PDT3 from MODIS-derived monthly mean SST to determine the absence/presence of P
- 229 limitation in the surface ocean. P limitation as a result of SST exceeding phosphate depletion
- 230 temperature is globally prevalent during summer (Figure 3d). Phosphate depletion is alleviated 231 during winter months at high latitudes and in some parts of the equatorial regions as the surface
- during winter months at high latitudes and in some parts of the equatorial regions as the surface
 ocean cools in part because of enhanced vertical mixing (Figure 3e). For the current work, we limited
- our study to latitudes ranging from 50°S to 70°N as the original data on PDT3 beyond this latitudinal
- range are sparse (Kamykowski et al., 2002). We further discuss the caveats and limitations of this
- approach in Section 3.4.
- 236 We obtained the MODIS-derived total monthly averaged POC ($0.7 \,\mu\text{m} < D < 17 \,\mu\text{m}$) from the
- 237 NASA Ocean Color Product webpage (<u>http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov</u>, last access: June 22, 2020).
- 238 This total POC determination is based on an empirical relationship between POC and the blue-to-
- 239 green band of spectral remote-sensing reflectance (Stramski et al., 2008). The algorithm employed
- here is widely implemented for producing maps of surface POC. The global mean C_{phyto} :POC is ~
- 241 30% (Figure 3g-h), consistent with previous estimates (Behrenfeld et al., 2005). The C_{phyto} :POC is 242 generally higher in the subtropical gyres than other regions reaching up to 50-70% during summer
- 242 generally higher in the subtropical gyres than other regions reaching up to 50-70% during summer 243 (Figure 3g). *C_{phyto}*:POC ratio rarely exceeds a value of 1 except during episodic events in coastal
- regions, which we disregard in our analyses. Although C_{phyto} and POC are independently determined,
- the fact that C_{phyto} :POC ratio rarely exceeds a value of 1 increases our confidence in the predictability
- 246 of C_{phyto} :POC.

247 **2.1.3 Estimating C:P of Bulk POM**

248 Globally, phytoplankton derived organic matter represents on average ~30% of bulk organic matter

(Eppley et al., 1992; Durand et al., 2001; Gundersen et al., 2001; Behrenfeld et al., 2005), and the

250 rest is due to contributions from zooplankton and non-living detrital materials. In order to estimate

251 C:P of bulk POM, we split the POC and particulate organic phosphorus (POP) into two components:

252 (1) phytoplankton-derived organic matter with C:P ratio following the stoichiometry model in the

253 previous section, and (2) non-algal component with fixed C:P of 117:1 following Anderson and

254 Sarmiento (1994). Throughout the rest of this paper, the "community composition" refers to the

- relative balance between the algal and non-algal components of organic matter, not the community
- composition of different phytoplankton functional types.
- 257 The non-algal component of particulate organic matter with fixed C:P represents a combination of
- 258 zooplankton and other non-living detrital materials such as fecal pellets and other organic matter left
- over from sloppy feeding (Martiny et al., 2013a, 2013b; Talmy et al., 2016). Previous studies have
- shown that zooplankton generally has a C:P close to the Redfield ratio even under P-limited
- 261 conditions (e.g., Copin-Montegut & Copin-Montegut, 1983; Sterner & Elser, 2002). Isopycnal
- analysis of export and remineralization stoichiometry of the deep ocean (>400 m) also indicates a
- relatively constant C:P of around ~117 globally (Anderson and Sarmiento, 1994).
- In calculating the C:P ratio of bulk POM, we solve for three unknowns: (1) the carbon content of non-algal POM, (2) the phosphorus content of non-algal POM, and (3) total POP. This is achieved with three equations:

$$P_{phyto} + P_{non} = POP \tag{4}$$

269
$$C_{non}/P_{non} = 117$$
 (5)

The subscript "phyto" refers to the phytoplankton component, and "non" refers to the non-algal component of POM. All the quantities are in mol per unit volume. Equations (3) and (4) describe the conservation of carbon and phosphorus, respectively, and the Equation (5) describes the fixed C:P ratio of non-algal organic matter. Essentially, Equations (3) - (5) constitute a simple two end-member mixing model of the algal and non-algal components. We can obtain C:P of the bulk organic matter as a function of the known quantities from Section 2.1, C_{phyto} , $r_{C:P}$, and total POC by rearranging (1), (3) - (5):

277
$$POC:POP = \frac{117 \cdot r_{C:P}}{117 \cdot c_{phyto}/POC + r_{C:P} \cdot (1 - c_{phyto}/POC)}$$
(6)

Equation (6) shows that the bulk C:P ratio is a non-linear function of phytoplankton C:P ($r_{C:P}$) and the relative abundance of C_{phyto} over total POC (C_{phyto} /POC).

280 2.2 Model-Data Comparison of POC:POP

We compared the satellite-informed bulk POC:POP with a recently compiled data set of 5573 in-situ 281 282 observations of suspended oceanic POC:POP ratios from cruises and other marine stations distributed 283 globally (Martiny et al., 2014). The suspended POM samples were collected on 0.7 µm filters (GF/F), 284 and their C:P ratios reflect contributions from phytoplankton, microzooplankton, detrital material, 285 and mixed particle aggregates. Here, we only used samples from the upper 100 m of the water column, representative of an average mixed layer (Kara et al., 2003) and excluded samples with POP 286 287 concentrations inferior to the reported detection limit of 5 nM. We also removed samples from 288 coastal waters, which often include a substantial contribution of allochthonous POM (e.g., benthic, 289 riverine) (Liénart et al., 2018).

When comparing the large-scale temporal variability of in-situ C:P with satellite estimates, we binned the measured C:P data into 10°-latitude increments. At each sampling station, we calculated

the mean C:P in the top 100 m. After this screening process, we were left with 185 observational

- 293 points for summer and 111 observational points for winter (Figure 4). We compared the seasonally-
- averaged, satellite-informed POC:POP with the C:P of suspended POM spanning from 50°S to 70°N.

295 To further evaluate the performance of our modeling framework, we compared our satellite-informed

- estimates of C:P to direct POC:POP measurements at the BATS and HOT sites. The time-series data
- of POC and POP measurements from these two stations are included in the global POM database.
- Here, we selected data in the top 100 m that were collected between 2003 2010 for the "point-to-
- 299 point" comparison with the satellite estimates of C:P.

300 **3** Results and Discussion

301 3.1 Large-scale Seasonal Variability in Phytoplankton C:P

- 302 Combining the estimates of growth rate, *Chl: C_{phyto}*, and P limitation can help determine the seasonal
- 303 variability in phytoplankton C:P (Figure 5). The satellite-informed $r_{C:P}$ is highest in the stratified
- 304 oligotrophic gyres and lowest in the higher-latitude, seasonally stratified seas and equatorial
- 305 upwelling regions, consistent with existing field observations (Martiny et al., 2013a). Both the CAFE
- 306 (Figure 5a-c) and VGPM-informed $r_{C:P}$ (Figure 5d-f) show elevated $r_{C:P}$ in the higher-latitude region
- 307 during the summer months compared to the winter months as ocean warming enhances stratification
- 308 and phytoplankton becomes P-limited. The increase in light availability during summer, shown by a
- decrease in *Chl*: C_{phyto} , also helps in increasing $r_{C:P}$ at higher-latitude regions.
- 310 Although the spatio-temporal pattern of phytoplankton C:P is consistent across four satellite-
- 311 informed cases for high-latitude regions and equatorial regions (Supplementary Figure 2), the range
- of the four satellite $r_{C:P}$ estimates is large in the subtropics (Figure 5g-h). This larger range reveals a
- relatively large uncertainty in $r_{C:P}$ in the subtropics. Considering that the oligotrophic gyres tend to be
- P-limited throughout the year and the change in *Chl*: C_{phyto} is small, large uncertainties in μ are
- 315 predominantly responsible for this uncertainty in $r_{C:P}$ in those regions of the global ocean. While the
- 316 CAFE-informed $r_{C:P}$ shows a noticeable decrease during summer by ~100-200 molar units (Figure
- 317 5c), VGPM-informed $r_{C:P}$ shows an increase during summer (Figure 5f).
- In theory, $r_{C:P}$ should decrease as growth rate increases, and the fractional change in $r_{C:P}$ should be
- highest for low growth (Droop, 1974; Burmaster, 1979; Goldman et al., 1979; Morel, 1987). In other
- 320 words, a small change in growth rate should lead to a large change in $r_{C:P}$ when the growth rate is
- 321 low. Multiple culture experiments support this prediction, where phytoplankton growing at a high
- rate is both P-rich and has reduced stoichiometric flexibility (e.g., Hillebrand et al., 2013). If we
- 323 assume P-limited growth condition and replace growth rate with PO₄ concentration, this pattern
- would also be true for PO₄ vs. $r_{C,P}$ where phytoplankton growing under low P environment are frugal
- 325 (high $r_{C:P}$) and more stoichiometrically flexible (Galbraith and Martiny, 2015; Tanioka and
- Matsumoto, 2017, 2020). As subtropical regions are strongly P limited and the growth is suppressed
- 327 (Wu et al., 2000; Martiny et al., 2019), this reasoning can explain the elevated $r_{C:P}$ with large
- 328 uncertainty and sensitivity.
- 329 Figure 6 illustrates how $r_{C:P}$ varies under varying growth rates and *Chl*: C_{phyto} in specific regions.
- Contour lines (isopleths) representing the theoretical values of $r_{C:P}$ are predicted by the Inomura
- 331 phytoplankton stoichiometry model for different combinations of μ and *Chl*: C_{phyto} under the P limited
- 332 scenario. In order to illustrate the regional variability, we superimposed monthly averaged, CAFE-
- informed $r_{C:P}$ in four oceanographic regions. These four regions are: (1) the high latitude bloom-
- 334 forming North Atlantic Ocean (NAT: 25°W 35°W, 45°N 50°N), (2) the North Atlantic subtropical

- 335 gyre (NASG: 25°W-70°W, 25°-35°N), (3) the South Pacific subtropical gyre (SPSG: 90°W-150°W,
- 336 15°S-40°S), and (4) the Equatorial upwelling region (EQU: 5°S 5°N), following Westberry et al.
- 337 (2016). The size of the symbol indicates the extent of P limitation. "P-replete" symbolizes < 20% of
- 338 grid boxes in the region are P-limited, "Moderate" symbolizes 20% 80%, and "Deplete" > 80%
- based on the seasonally varying SST. The numbers represent the month of the year.
- 340 There are two key features in this plot. The first is that different oceanographic regions occupy a
- 341 unique space. For example, North Atlantic (NAT) experiences large seasonal variability in growth
- rate, P limitation, and $r_{C:P}$, while EQU experiences small seasonal changes. The second important
- feature is that the contours representing $r_{C:P}$ become increasingly close together as the growth rate
- decreases. This reiterates the fact that a small change in satellite-derived growth rate can lead to a
- $\label{eq:starses} 345 \qquad \text{large change in } r_{C:P} \text{ at chronically nutrient-deplete subtropical gyres (NASG and NPSG).}$
- 346 Light availability also affects $r_{C:P}$ as light modulates the cellular allocation between light-harvesting
- 347 apparatus, biosynthetic apparatus, and energy storage reserves (Falkowski and LaRoche, 1991;
- 348 Moreno and Martiny, 2018). The Inomura phytoplankton stoichiometry model predicts that increased
- 349 light limitation increases cellular allocation toward photosynthetic proteins and decreases allocation
- toward C-rich biosynthetic proteins. Therefore, an increase in $Chl: C_{phyto}$ (i.e., increased light
- limitation) will lead to a decrease in $r_{C:P}$ at a constant growth rate (Figure 6).
- 352 As expected, satellite-derived *Chl: C_{phyto}* indeed shows maxima during winter months (January-March
- 353 in Northern Hemisphere and July-September in Southern Hemisphere) due to decreased exposure to
- 354 sunlight (Figure 6). As shown in previous modeling studies, the effect of light on $r_{C:P}$ is
- disproportionally large when the growth rate is low, and an increase in $Chl:C_{phyto}$ can effectively
- reduce $r_{C,P}$ during winter months (Arteaga et al., 2014; Talmy et al., 2014). Compared to the growth
- rate, however, the effect of light limitation on $r_{C:P}$ is weak, as shown by the vertically steep contour
- lines in Figure 6. Indeed, a meta-analysis on published laboratory studies has shown that the effects
- of light on $r_{C:P}$ are significantly weaker than that of macronutrients and temperature (Tanioka and Matsumate 2020)
- 360 Matsumoto, 2020).

361 **3.2 Large-scale Seasonal Variability in Bulk POC:POP**

- 362 By combing the satellite-informed phytoplankton C:P and the community composition measured by
- 363 C_{phyto} :POC, we can determine POC:POP of the bulk POM (Figure 7). Similar to $r_{C:P}$, bulk POC:POP
- ratios are highest in the gyres compared to the equatorial upwelling and high-latitude regions.
- 365 Globally, satellite POC:POP is higher during the summer compared to the winter. This seasonal trend
- 366 can be explained by the higher C_{phyto} :POC during summer than winter (Figure 3i). This makes
- intuitive sense because the phytoplankton biomass concentration is kept low in the mixed layer
- during winter months due to the deepening of MLD, strong light limitation, and zooplankton grazing
- 369 (Behrenfeld and Boss, 2018). As C:P of P-limited phytoplankton is higher than C:P of non-algal
- organic matter, increase in C_{phyto} :POC during summer leads to an increase in POC:POP. The most noticeable increase is visible in the South Pacific Subtropic Gyre, where summertime POC:POP is
- higher than the winter value by ~ 200 as C_{phyto} :POC increases by $\sim 50\%$ during summer compared to
- winter. The range (uncertainty) in satellite-informed POC:POP (Figure 7g-h) is much smaller
- 374 compared to that of phytoplankton C:P (Figure 5g-h), and all the four satellite-informed estimates

- agree on a general increase in POC:POP during summer compared to winter (Figure 7i,
- 376 Supplementary Figure 3).
- 377 Figure 8a illustrates how the bulk POC:POP is nonlinearly related to the community composition
- 378 (measured by C_{phyto} :POC) for a given change in $r_{C:P}$. We observe from the satellite-derived data of
- 379 C_{phyto} and POC that C_{phyto} :POC is, on average, ~30% and rarely exceeds 50% of the total POC pool.
- The increase in POC:POP with respect to increase in $r_{C:P}$ reaches a plateau quickly when C_{phyto} :POC < 30%. In other words, the community dominance of non-algal POM over algal POM can effectively
- 382 put a cap on the increase in bulk POC:POP, even when phytoplankton C:P is very high (e.g., NASG
- and SPSG). This top-down control on POC:POP due to community composition also explains the
- 384 low uncertainty in the estimates of satellite-informed POC:POP despite the large uncertainty in
- 385 satellite-informed $r_{C:P}$.
- 386 Figure 8b is an alternative way of illustrating this top-down control on bulk POC:POP by community
- composition. Contour lines representing POC:POP based on our simple two end-member algal/non-
- algal mixing model are widely separated when C_{phyto} :POC is low, indicating that POC:POP is relatively stable when C_{phyto} :POC is relatively low. On the other hand, when C_{phyto} :POC is high,
- contour lines become closer together, and bulk POC:POP quickly approaches $r_{C:P}$. If we plot
- 391 monthly-averaged estimates of satellite-derived bulk POC:POP under different regions, two distinct
- clusters become apparent. Subtropical gyres (NASG and SPSG) are characterized by high $r_{C:P}$ and
- C_{phyto} :POC resulting in sizeable seasonal variability in bulk POC:POP. On the other hand, NAT and
- EQU experience a smaller seasonal change in POC:POP as the C_{phyto} :POC remains relatively
- $\frac{1}{395}$ constant around 15%. The take-home message from Figure 8 is that a community composition can
- 396 exert a strong top-down control on POC:POP even when phytoplankton C:P is much higher than the
- 397 Redfield ratio. Indeed, multiple studies emphasize this point, including recent studies on C:N (e.g.,
- Talmy et al., 2016), N:P (e.g., Sharoni and Halevy, 2020), as well as the original study by Redfield et al. (1963).

400 3.3 Model-Data Comparison

- In order to assess our model predictions, we first compare our seasonally-resolved zonally averaged
 satellite POC:POP estimates with measurements of sampled POC:POP (Figure 9). Globally, both the
- 403 satellite estimates and the in-situ observations show higher POC:POP in summer (Figure 9a) than in
- 404 winter (Figure 9b). This increase during summer is likely to be driven by a change in community
- 405 composition, with an increased C_{phyto} : POC during summer. At high-latitudes, an increase in
- 406 phytoplankton C:P also drives an increase in POC:POP during summer. Therefore, the combination
- 407 of the change in community composition and phytoplankton C:P is responsible for the increased bulk
- 408 POC:POP during summer.
- Although it is promising that our predictions are mostly consistent with observations, there are two
- 410 distinct regions where the satellite POC:POP and the observations do not agree. The first is the
- 411 equatorial region during summer (Figure 9a), where satellite-informed POC:POP is around 150 but412 observed POC:POP is close to the Redfield ratio of 106. This discrepancy stems from the fact that
- 413 our method likely overestimates the degree on which the equatorial regions are P-limited, which in
- 414 turn leads to an overestimation of the phytoplankton C:P to as high as ~200. In addition, our
- 415 phytoplankton C:P model is tuned to data for *Synechococcus*. In reality, fast-growing opportunistic
- 416 eukaryotic plankton such as diatoms and other eukaryotes with lower C:P are more predominant in
- 417 the equatorial region (Arrigo, 2005; Martiny et al., 2013a; Kostadinov et al., 2016). The second
- 418 region where we observed a noticeable difference between satellite estimates and in-situ observation

- 419 is around 20°S during winter (Figure 9b). Given the paucity of observations in this region, however
- 420 (n = 12 and 8 for summer and winter, respectively), it is challenging to determine the exact cause for
- 421 the increase in POC:POP during the winter.

422 To further assess our model capability, we compare time-series data of suspended POC:POP in the

- 423 top 100 m from BATS and HOT with satellite estimates in the seasonally mixed-layer depth from
- 424 2003-2010 (Figure 10). It is important to note that suspended POC:POP is a "point" value reflecting
- 425 elemental composition at a particular location and at a particular time, whereas the satellite-informed
- 426 POC:POP is a monthly and area-averaged value for a 3-by-3-pixel area around the BATS and HOT 427 stations. We use the median satellite-informed phytoplankton C:P and POC:POP values from four
- 427 stations. we use the median saterine-informed phytoplankton C.P and POC.POP values from 428 satellite products (CAFE, VGPM, Eppley-VGPM, and CbPM) for comparison with the data.
- 429 In general, measured POC:POP ratios lie between our satellite estimates of phytoplankton C:P and
- 430 bulk POC:POP ratios at both BATS and HOT (Figure 10a-b). Measured POC:POP, on average, is
- 431 closer to the satellite-informed POP:POC than to satellite-informed $r_{C:P}$ (Figure 10c-d). This makes
- 432 intuitive sense because in-situ observations show that the biomass of picocyanobacteria
- 433 (*Prochlorococcus*, *Synechococcus*) only contributes to < ~40% of the POC pool in the gyres (Casey
- 434 et al., 2013). Qualitatively, our satellite estimates of bulk POC:POP seem to capture the general
- 435 seasonal variability, with POC:POP being lowest in the winter and highest in the summer and the
- fall. Also, both the satellite-informed and the observed C:P are lowest in the late winter as a result of deep mixing and increased supply of nutrients, which cause phytoplankton C:P to decrease (Singh et
- deep mixing and increased supply of nutrients, which cause phytoplankton C:P to decrease (Singh et
 al., 2015). Satellite-informed bulk POC:POP, however, underestimates the observed POC:POP by
- 438 al., 2013). Satemet-informed burk POC.POP, however, underestimates the observed POC.POP by 439 ~50 on average at BATS (Figure 10c) and ~20 at HOT (Figure 10d), and this may reflect the fact that
- 439 ~50 on average at BATS (Figure 10c) and ~20 at HOT (Figure 10d), and this may reflect the fact that 440 non-algal organic matter has a higher ratio than Redfield of 117. Also, our satellite-informed estimate
- 441 may not be fully capturing episodic temporal changes in C:P, for example, during the spring bloom
- 442 when phytoplankton C:P is expected to increase rapidly (Polimene et al., 2015).

The satellite-informed estimates of phytoplankton C:P and POC:POP presented here are still preliminary and, therefore, should not be treated as accurate estimates. Nevertheless, even with this simple two-end-member mixing model approach, we can make a testable hypothesis regarding the underlying mechanisms causing the observed temporal change in suspended POC:POP. First, in order to model temporal shifts in POC:POP, we need to consider the contribution that non-algal

- 448 organic matter makes to POM as well as the change in phytoplankton C:P. Our results indicate that
- 449 phytoplankton C:P alone leads to a considerable overestimation of bulk POC:POP, regionally, and
- 450 globally. Second, our satellite-informed bulk POC:POP can capture the seasonal trend in POC:POP,
- 451 which shows elevated values during summer compared to winter. We are optimistic that with more
- 452 sophisticated parameter calibration of the phytoplankton stoichiometry model and non-algal C:P, it
- 453 will be possible to predict the temporal variability of POC:POP accurately in future studies.

454 **3.4** Caveats, Limitations, and Future Needs

455 Satellite estimates of phytoplankton and bulk C:P have considerable uncertainty in the subtropical 456 gyres during summer. This mainly stems from the fact that satellite-derived growth-rate estimates are 457 considerably different depending on which NPP product is used. In the future, we also need to 458 conduct careful sensitivity analyses of how different satellite-based algorithms of C_{phyto} and POC 459 would affect satellite-informed estimates of ecosystem stoichiometry. It is inherently challenging to 460 characterize C:P accurately in subtropics with phytoplankton stoichiometry models (Garcia et al., 461 2020) as phytoplankton turnover happens quickly on a time scale of days (Malone et al., 1993). For a 462 complete understanding of the temporal variability of phytoplankton and bulk C:P, measurements of

- 463 phytoplankton-specific C:P using high throughput flow cytometry (Graff et al., 2015; Kirchman,
- 464 2016) or single-probe mass spectrometry (Sun et al., 2018) would be necessary. Linking
- 465 metagenomics data with the phytoplankton stoichiometry model and remote sensing may also help
- 466 improve C:P estimates in the subtropics (Garcia et al., 2020).
- 467 In this study, we used parameters for *Synechococcus*, a cosmopolitan phytoplankton species with a
- 468 broad biogeographic distribution that extends from tropics to subpolar regions (Flombaum et al.,
- 469 2013; Berube et al., 2018). This parameterization should be representative of another
- 470 picocyanobacterium, *Prochlorococcus*. Together, *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* are
- 471 responsible for roughly a quarter of the total ocean net primary productivity (Flombaum et al., 2013).
- 472 Given that the current satellite-derived products cannot easily resolve size-partitioned phytoplankton 473 physiologies such as growth rate and $Chl: C_{phyto}$, it seems reasonable to tune the phytoplankton
- 474 stoichiometry model to these most common phytoplankton types. With new advances in satellite
- 475 instrumentation, such as the development of reliable hyperspectral ocean color measurements
- 476 (Werdell et al., 2018; Schollaert Uz et al., 2019), we may be able to better resolve the size-specific
- 477 C:P of different phytoplankton functional types. This would enable us to fully capture the spatio-
- 478 temporal variability of community phytoplankton C:P, particularly in nutrient-rich upwelling and
- 479 coastal regions where nano- and micro-phytoplankton are more dominant than picophytoplankton
- 480 (Kostadinov et al., 2016).
- 481 We inferred the P limitation of phytoplankton by comparing satellite-based SST and the previously
- 482 compiled mask of nutrient depletion temperature. Although our method can provide a first-order
 483 pattern of P limitation, this method cannot resolve the degree to which phytoplankton are P-stressed.
- 484 In other words, we cannot determine whether the phosphate is the primary or secondary limiting
- 485 nutrient for phytoplankton growth (Moore et al., 2013). Also, a recent study suggests that we cannot
- 486 determine for sure that phytoplankton are P-limited even when the observed phosphate concentration
- 487 is below the detection limit (Martiny et al., 2019). Accurate determination of nutrient concentration
- 488 from space is inherently challenging (Goes et al., 2000; Steinhoff et al., 2010; Arteaga et al., 2015),
- 489 and this is one of the major bottlenecks for accurately probing phytoplankton nutrient limitation from 490 space. Although there are no standard protocols or algorithms currently available, we may be able to
- 490 space. Although there are no standard protocols or algorithms currently available, we may be able to 491 accurately retrieve surface nutrient concentrations by using advanced statistical and machine learning
- 491 accurately retrieve surface nutrient concentrations by using advanced statistical and machine rear 492 techniques applied to satellite-retrieved sea surface salinity, temperature, and remote-sensing
- 493 reflectance (e.g., Wang et al., 2018).
- 494 A fundamental assumption made when predicting bulk POC:POP is that C:P of non-algal organic 495 matter is constant with a Redfield Ratio of 117. There is a consensus from previous marine and
- 496 freshwater studies that C:P of heterotrophs is generally lower and more homeostatic (relatively
- 497 constant) than that of phytoplankton (Elser and Urabe, 1999; Persson et al., 2010). The bulk POM,
- 498 however, can be modified due to decomposition (Schneider et al., 2003), viral shunt (Jover et al.,
- 499 2014), preferential remineralization (Shaffer et al., 1999), as well as the interplay between the
- 500 dissolved and particulate pools. Measuring the elemental composition of separate constituents of
- 501 organic matter should better help us constrain the most appropriate end-member C:P for non-algal
- 502 organic matter. Alternatively, we can mechanistically predict C:P of bulk POM by coupling the
- 503 phytoplankton stoichiometry model with models of prey-predator interaction and decomposition
- 504 (e.g., Anderson et al., 2005; Butenschön et al., 2016; Tanioka and Matsumoto, 2018).

505 4 Conclusion

- 506 We showed that it is possible to determine spatially and temporally coherent patterns of the C:P
- 507 ratios of phytoplankton and bulk POM using only remotely sensed information. The results shown
- here should not be treated as accurate estimates of upper-ocean C:P but rather as a feasibility study
- 509 that can benefit from more accurate remotely sensed estimates of growth rate and from a better 510 understanding of the links between growth rate and stoichiometry in various marine phytoplankton.
- 510 The data describing the C:P ratio of individual POM components (i.e., algal and non-algal
- 512 components) is also currently insufficient spatially and temporally to validate our estimates.
- 513 However, our main conclusion highlighting the importance of community composition in controlling
- 514 bulk POC:POP does not depend on the accuracy of stoichiometry estimates. This hypothesis has
- 515 important implications for estimating carbon and phosphorus fluxes to the deep ocean and for the
- 516 trophic transfer to higher organisms. Indeed, if the POC:POP of exported POM is controlled by
- 517 community composition rather than phytoplankton C:P, we would not expect large "stoichiometric 518 buffering" of carbon export under climate-change scenarios as proposed by previous studies (Teng e
- 518 buffering" of carbon export under climate-change scenarios as proposed by previous studies (Teng et 519 al., 2014; Galbraith and Martiny, 2015; Tanioka and Matsumoto, 2017; Matsumoto et al., 2020). The
- effect of change in phytoplankton C:P will, however, become more critical for carbon export if the
- 521 total % of phytoplankton in organic matter increases or of the C:P of non-algal component increases.
- 522 We hope that the questions raised here will foster collaborative work combining satellite remote
- 523 sensing, field sampling, and numerical modeling specialists to improve our ability to predict organic
- 524 matter dynamics and reduce uncertainties in our projections of the future carbon cycle.

525 **5** Conflict of Interest

526 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial 527 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

528 6 Author Contributions

529 TT, CGF, and KM designed the study. TT gathered and analyzed data. All the authors wrote the 530 manuscript.

531 7 Funding

532 This research was supported by US National Science Foundation (OCE-1827948, KM).

533 8 Data Availability Statement

- 534 MODIS-aqua satellite products can be found at Oregon State Ocean Productivity Website
- 535 (<u>http://sites.science.oregonstate.edu/ocean.productivity/index.php</u>) and NASA Ocean Color Product
- 536 Webpage (<u>http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov</u>). The data set containing POM observations is available at
- 537 <u>http://www.bco-dmo.org/dataset/526747</u>. The model codes for the phytoplankton stoichiometry
- 538 model can be found in Zenodo provided by Inomura et al.
- 539 (<u>https://zenodo.org/record/3679030#.Xz7yt9NKho4</u>).

5409References

- Anderson, L. A., and Sarmiento, J. L. (1994). Redfield ratios of remineralization determined by
 nutrient data analysis. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 8, 65–80. doi:10.1029/93GB03318.
- Anderson, T. R., Hessen, D. O., Elser, J. J., and Urabe, J. (2005). Metabolic Stoichiometry and the
 Fate of Excess Carbon and Nutrients in Consumers. *Am. Nat.* 165, 1–15. doi:10.1086/426598.

546 (2020). Carbon-concentration and carbon-climate feedbacks in CMIP6 models and their 547 comparison to CMIP5 models. *Biogeosciences* 17, 4173-4222. doi:10.5194/bg-17-4173-2020. 548 Arrigo, K. R. (2005). Marine microorganisms and global nutrient cycles. Nature 437, 349-355. 549 doi:10.1038/nature04159. 550 Arteaga, L., Pahlow, M., and Oschlies, A. (2014). Global patterns of phytoplankton nutrient and light 551 colimitation inferred from an optimality-based model. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 28, 648-661. 552 doi:10.1002/2013GB004668. 553 Arteaga, L., Pahlow, M., and Oschlies, A. (2015). Global monthly sea surface nitrate fields estimated 554 from remotely sensed sea surface temperature, chlorophyll, and modeled mixed layer depth. 555 Geophys. Res. Lett. 42, 1130-1138. doi:10.1002/2014GL062937. 556 Arteaga, L., Pahlow, M., and Oschlies, A. (2016). Modelled Chl:C ratio and derived estimates of 557 phytoplankton carbon biomass and its contribution to total particulate organic carbon in the 558 global surface ocean. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 30, 1791-1810. doi:10.1002/2016GB005458. 559 Behrenfeld, M. J., and Boss, E. S. (2018). Student's tutorial on bloom hypotheses in the context of 560 phytoplankton annual cycles. Glob. Chang. Biol. 24, 55-77. doi:10.1111/gcb.13858. 561 Behrenfeld, M. J., Boss, E., Siegel, D. A., and Shea, D. M. (2005). Carbon-based ocean productivity 562 and phytoplankton physiology from space. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 19, 1–14. doi:10.1029/2004GB002299. 563 564 Behrenfeld, M. J., and Falkowski, P. G. (1997). Photosynthetic rates derived from satellite-based 565 chlorophyll concentration. Limnol. Oceanogr. 42, 1-20. doi:10.4319/lo.1997.42.1.0001. 566 Berube, P. M., Biller, S. J., Hackl, T., Hogle, S. L., Satinsky, B. M., Becker, J. W., et al. (2018). Data 567 descriptor: Single cell genomes of Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus, and sympatric microbes 568 from diverse marine environments. Sci. Data 5, 1-11. doi:10.1038/sdata.2018.154. 569 Bisson, K. M., Siegel, D. A., DeVries, T., Cael, B. B., and Buesseler, K. O. (2018). How Data Set 570 Characteristics Influence Ocean Carbon Export Models. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 32, 1312-571 1328. doi:10.1029/2018GB005934. 572 Bopp, L., Resplandy, L., Orr, J. C., Doney, S. C., Dunne, J. P., Gehlen, M., et al. (2013). Multiple 573 stressors of ocean ecosystems in the 21st century: Projections with CMIP5 models. 574 Biogeosciences 10, 6225-6245. doi:10.5194/bg-10-6225-2013. 575 Burmaster, D. E. (1979). The Continuous Culture of Phytoplankton: Mathematical Equivalence 576 Among Three Steady-State Models. Am. Nat. 113, 123. doi:10.1086/283368. 577 Butenschön, M., Clark, J., Aldridge, J. N., Icarus Allen, J., Artioli, Y., Blackford, J., et al. (2016). 578 ERSEM 15.06: A generic model for marine biogeochemistry and the ecosystem dynamics of the 579 lower trophic levels. Geosci. Model Dev. 9, 1293-1339. doi:10.5194/gmd-9-1293-2016. 580 Casey, J. R., Aucan, J. P., Goldberg, S. R., and Lomas, M. W. (2013). Changes in partitioning of 581 carbon amongst photosynthetic pico- and nano-plankton groups in the Sargasso Sea in response

Arora, V. K., Katavouta, A., Williams, R. G., Jones, C. D., Brovkin, V., Friedlingstein, P., et al.

545

- to changes in the North Atlantic Oscillation. *Deep. Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.* 93, 58–70.
 doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.02.002.
- Copin-Montegut, C., and Copin-Montegut, G. (1983). Stoichiometry of carbon, nitrogen, and
 phosphorus in marine particulate matter. *Deep Sea Res. Part A. Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 30, 31–46.
 doi:10.1016/0198-0149(83)90031-6.
- 587 Dierssen, H. M. (2010). Perspectives on empirical approaches for ocean color remote sensing of
 588 chlorophyll in a changing climate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 17073–17078.
 589 doi:10.1073/pnas.0913800107.
- Droop, M. R. (1974). The nutrient status of algal cells in continuous culture. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc.
 United Kingdom 54, 825–855. doi:10.1017/S002531540005760X.
- Durand, M. D., Olson, R. J., and Chisholm, S. W. (2001). Phytoplankton population dynamics at the
 Bermuda Atlantic Time-series station in the Sargasso Sea. *Deep. Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.* 48, 1983–2003. doi:10.1016/S0967-0645(00)00166-1.
- Dutkiewicz, S., Hickman, A. E., Jahn, O., Henson, S., Beaulieu, C., and Monier, E. (2019). Ocean
 colour signature of climate change. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 578. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-08457-x.
- Elser, J. J., and Urabe, J. (1999). The stoichiometry of consumer-driven nutrient recycling: Theory,
 observations, and consequences. *Ecology* 80, 735–751. doi:10.2307/177013.
- 599 Eppley, R. W. (1972). Temperature and phytoplankton growth in the sea. *Fish. Bull.* 70, 1063–85.
- Eppley, R. W., Chavez, F. P., and Barber, R. T. (1992). Standing stocks of particulate carbon and
 nitrogen in the equatorial Pacific at 150°W. *J. Geophys. Res.* 97, 655. doi:10.1029/91JC01386.
- Evers-King, H., Martinez-Vicente, V., Brewin, R. J. W., Dall'Olmo, G., Hickman, A. E., Jackson, T.,
 et al. (2017). Validation and Intercomparison of Ocean Color Algorithms for Estimating
 Particulate Organic Carbon in the Oceans. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 4, 1–19.
 doi:10.3389/fmars.2017.00251.
- Fagan, A. J., Moreno, A. R., and Martiny, A. C. (2019). Role of ENSO Conditions on Particulate
 Organic Matter Concentrations and Elemental Ratios in the Southern California Bight. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 6, 386. doi:10.3389/fmars.2019.00386.
- Falkowski, P. G., Dubinsky, Z., and Wyman, K. (1985). Growth-irradiance relationships in
 phytoplankton. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 30, 311–321. doi:10.4319/lo.1985.30.2.0311.
- Falkowski, P. G., and LaRoche, J. (1991). Acclimation to Spectral Irradiance in Algae. J. Phycol. 27,
 8–14. doi:10.1111/j.0022-3646.1991.00008.x.
- Flombaum, P., Gallegos, J. L., Gordillo, R. A., Rincón, J., Zabala, L. L., Jiao, N., et al. (2013).
 Present and future global distributions of the marine Cyanobacteria Prochlorococcus and
 Synechococcus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, 9824–9. doi:10.1073/pnas.1307701110.
- Fumenia, A., Petrenko, A., Loisel, H., Djaoudi, K., deVerneil, A., and Moutin, T. (2020). Optical
 proxy for particulate organic nitrogen from Bio Argo floats. *Opt. Express* 28, 21391–21406.

- 618 doi:10.1364/oe.395648.
- Galbraith, E. D., and Martiny, A. C. (2015). A simple nutrient-dependence mechanism for predicting
 the stoichiometry of marine ecosystems. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 112, 8199–8204.
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1423917112.
- Garcia, C. A., Baer, S. E., Garcia, N. S., Rauschenberg, S., Twining, B. S., Lomas, M. W., et al.
 (2018). Nutrient supply controls particulate elemental concentrations and ratios in the low
 latitude eastern Indian Ocean. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 4868. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06892-w.
- Garcia, C. A., Hagstrom, G. I., Larkin, A. A., Ustick, L. J., Levin, S. A., Lomas, M. W., et al. (2020).
 Linking regional shifts in microbial genome adaptation with surface ocean biogeochemistry. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 375, 20190254. doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0254.
- Geider, R. J. (1987). Light and temperature dependence of the carbon to chlorophyll a ratio in
 microalgae and cyanobacteria: implications for physiology and growth of phytoplankton. *New Phytol.* 106, 1–34. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.1987.tb04788.x.
- Goes, J. I., Saino, T., Oaku, H., Ishizaka, J., Wong, C. S., and Nojiri, Y. (2000). Basin scale estimates
 of sea surface nitrate and new production from remotely sensed sea surface temperature and
 chlorophyll. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 27, 1263–1266. doi:10.1029/1999GL002353.
- Goldman, J. C., McCarthy, J. J., and Peavey, D. G. (1979). Growth rate influence on the chemical
 composition of phytoplankton in oceanic waters. *Nature* 279, 210–215. doi:10.1038/279210a0.
- Graff, J. R., Westberry, T. K., Milligan, A. J., Brown, M. B., Dall'Olmo, G., van Dongen-Vogels, V.,
 et al. (2015). Analytical phytoplankton carbon measurements spanning diverse ecosystems. *Deep. Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 102, 16–25. doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2015.04.006.
- Gundersen, K., Orcutt, K. M., Purdie, D. A., Michaels, A. F., and Knap, A. H. (2001). Particulate
 organic carbon mass distribution at the Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Study (BATS) site. *Deep. Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.* 48, 1697–1718. doi:10.1016/S0967-0645(00)00156-9.
- Hebel, D. V., and Karl, D. M. (2001). Seasonal, interannual and decadal variations in particulate
 matter concentrations and composition in the subtropical North Pacific Ocean. *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.* 48, 1669–1695. doi:10.1016/S0967-0645(00)00155-7.
- Hillebrand, H., Steinert, G., Boersma, M., Malzahn, A., Meunier, C. L., Plum, C., et al. (2013).
 Goldman revisited: Faster-growing phytoplankton has lower N : P and lower stoichiometric
 flexibility. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 58, 2076–2088. doi:10.4319/lo.2013.58.6.2076.
- Inomura, K., Omta, A. W., Talmy, D., Bragg, J., Deutsch, C., and Follows, M. J. (2020). A
 Mechanistic Model of Macromolecular Allocation, Elemental Stoichiometry, and Growth Rate
 in Phytoplankton. *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 86. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2020.00086.
- Jamet, C., Ibrahim, A., Ahmad, Z., Angelini, F., Babin, M., Behrenfeld, M. J., et al. (2019). Going
 Beyond Standard Ocean Color Observations: Lidar and Polarimetry. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 6, 251.
 doi:10.3389/fmars.2019.00251.
- Jover, L. F., Effler, T. C., Buchan, A., Wilhelm, S. W., and Weitz, J. S. (2014). The elemental

- 655 composition of virus particles: implications for marine biogeochemical cycles. *Nat. Rev.*
- 656 *Microbiol.* 12, 519–528. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3289.
- Kamykowski, D., Zentara, S.-J., Morrison, J. M., and Switzer, A. C. (2002). Dynamic global patterns
 of nitrate, phosphate, silicate, and iron availability and phytoplankton community composition
 from remote sensing data. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 16, 25-1-25–29.
 doi:10.1029/2001GP001640
- 660 doi:10.1029/2001GB001640.
- Kamykowski, D., and Zentara, S. J. (1986). Predicting plant nutrient concentrations from temperature
 and sigma-t in the upper kilometer of the world ocean. *Deep Sea Res. Part A, Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 33, 89–105. doi:10.1016/0198-0149(86)90109-3.
- Kara, A. B., Rochford, P. A., and Hurlburt, H. E. (2003). Mixed layer depth variability over the
 global ocean. J. Geophys. Res. 108, 3079. doi:10.1029/2000JC000736.
- Karl, D. M., Björkman, K. M., Dore, J. E., Fujieki, L., Hebel, D. V, Houlihan, T., et al. (2001).
 Ecological nitrogen-to-phosphorus stoichiometry at station ALOHA. *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.* 48, 1529–1566. doi:10.1016/S0967-0645(00)00152-1.
- Kirchman, D. L. (2016). Growth Rates of Microbes in the Oceans. *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.* 8, 285–309.
 doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-122414-033938.
- Kostadinov, T. S., Milutinovi, S., Marinov, I., and Cabré, A. (2016). Carbon-based phytoplankton
 size classes retrieved via ocean color estimates of the particle size distribution. *Ocean Sci.* 12,
 561–575. doi:10.5194/os-12-561-2016.
- Laws, E. A. (2013). Evaluation of In Situ Phytoplankton Growth Rates: A Synthesis of Data from
 Varied Approaches. *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.* 5, 247–268. doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-121211172258.
- Laws, E. A., and Bannister, T. T. (1980). Nutrient- and light-limited growth of Thalassiosira
 fluviatilis in continuous culture, with implications for phytoplankton growth in the ocean. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 25, 457–473. doi:10.4319/lo.1980.25.3.0457.
- Levitus, S. (1982). *Climatological atlas of the world ocean*. US Department of Commerce, National
 Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.
- Liénart, C., Savoye, N., David, V., Ramond, P., Rodriguez Tress, P., Hanquiez, V., et al. (2018).
 Dynamics of particulate organic matter composition in coastal systems: Forcing of spatiotemporal variability at multi-systems scale. *Prog. Oceanogr.* 162, 271–289.
 doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2018.02.026.
- Loisel, H., Lubac, B., Dessailly, D., Duforet-Gaurier, L., and Vantrepotte, V. (2010). Effect of
 inherent optical properties variability on the chlorophyll retrieval from ocean color remote
 sensing: an in situ approach. *Opt. Express* 18, 20949. doi:10.1364/oe.18.020949.
- MacIntyre, H. L., Kana, T. M., Anning, T., and Geider, R. J. (2002). Photoacclimation of
 photosynthesis irradiance response curves and photosynthetic pigments in microalgae and
 cyanobacteria. J. Phycol. 38, 17–38. doi:10.1046/j.1529-8817.2002.00094.x.

- Malone, T. C., Pike, S. E., and Conley, D. J. (1993). Transient variations in phytoplankton
- productivity at the JGOFS Bermuda time series station. *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 40, 903–924. doi:10.1016/0967-0637(93)90080-M.
- Martínez-Vicente, V., Evers-King, H., Roy, S., Kostadinov, T. S., Tarran, G. A., Graff, J. R., et al.
 (2017). Intercomparison of Ocean Color Algorithms for Picophytoplankton Carbon in the
 Ocean. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 4, 378. doi:10.3389/fmars.2017.00378.
- Martiny, A. C., Lomas, M. W., Fu, W., Boyd, P. W., Chen, Y. L., Cutter, G. A., et al. (2019).
 Biogeochemical controls of surface ocean phosphate. *Sci. Adv.* 5, eaax0341.
 doi:10.1126/sciadv.aax0341.
- Martiny, A. C., Pham, C. T. A., Primeau, F. W., Vrugt, J. A., Moore, J. K., Levin, S. A., et al.
 (2013a). Strong latitudinal patterns in the elemental ratios of marine plankton and organic
 matter. *Nat. Geosci.* 6, 279–283. doi:10.1038/ngeo1757.
- Martiny, A. C., Talarmin, A., Mouginot, C., Lee, J. A., Huang, J. S., Gellene, A. G., et al. (2016).
 Biogeochemical interactions control a temporal succession in the elemental composition of marine communities. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 61, 531–542. doi:10.1002/lno.10233.
- Martiny, A. C., Vrugt, J. A., and Lomas, M. W. (2014). Concentrations and ratios of particulate
 organic carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus in the global ocean. *Sci. data* 1, 140048.
 doi:10.1038/sdata.2014.48.
- Martiny, A. C., Vrugt, J. A., Primeau, F. W., and Lomas, M. W. (2013b). Regional variation in the
 particulate organic carbon to nitrogen ratio in the surface ocean. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 27,
 723–731. doi:10.1002/gbc.20061.
- Matsumoto, K., Rickaby, R., and Tanioka, T. (2020). Carbon Export Buffering and CO2 Drawdown
 by Flexible Phytoplankton C:N:P Under Glacial Conditions. *Paleoceanogr. Paleoclimatology*35. doi:10.1029/2019PA003823.
- Moore, C. M., Mills, M. M., Arrigo, K. R., Berman-Frank, I., Bopp, L., Boyd, P. W., et al. (2013).
 Processes and patterns of oceanic nutrient limitation. *Nat. Geosci.* 6, 701–710.
 doi:10.1038/ngeo1765.
- Morel, A., and Gentili, B. (2009). A simple band ratio technique to quantify the colored dissolved
 and detrital organic material from ocean color remotely sensed data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 113,
 998–1011. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2009.01.008.
- Morel, F. M. M. (1987). Kinetics of Nutrient Uptake and Growth in Phytoplankton. J. Phycol. 23,
 137–150. doi:10.1111/j.0022-3646.1987.00137.x.
- Moreno, A. R., and Martiny, A. C. (2018). Ecological Stoichiometry of Ocean Plankton. *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.* 10, 43–69. doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-121916-063126.
- Ödalen, M., Nycander, J., Ridgwell, A., Oliver, K. I. C., Peterson, C. D., and Nilsson, J. (2020).
 Variable C/P composition of organic production and its effect on ocean carbon storage in
 glacial-like model simulations. *Biogeosciences* 17, 2219–2244. doi:10.5194/bg-17-2219-2020.

- Pahlow, M., Dietze, H., and Oschlies, A. (2013). Optimality-based model of phytoplankton growth
 and diazotrophy. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 489, 1–16. doi:10.3354/meps10449.
- Persson, J., Fink, P., Goto, A., Hood, J. M., Jonas, J., and Kato, S. (2010). To be or not to be what
 you eat: Regulation of stoichiometric homeostasis among autotrophs and heterotrophs. *Oikos*119, 741–751. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0706.2009.18545.x.
- Polimene, L., Mitra, A., Sailley, S. F., Ciavatta, S., Widdicombe, C. E., Atkinson, A., et al. (2015).
 Decrease in diatom palatability contributes to bloom formation in the Western English Channel. *Prog. Oceanogr.* 137, 484–497. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2015.04.026.
- Redfield, A. C. (1934). On the proportions of organic derivatives in sea water and their relation to the
 composition of plankton. *Univ. Press Liverpool, James Johnstone Meml. Vol.*, 177–192.
- Redfield, A. C., Ketchum, B. H., and Richards, F. A. (1963). "The influence of organisms on the
 composition of Seawater," in *The composition of seawater: Comparative and descriptive oceanography. The sea: ideas and observations on progress in the study of the seas*, ed. M. N.
 Hill (New York: Interscience Publishers), 26–77.
- Schneider, B., Schlitzer, R., Fischer, G., and Nöthig, E.-M. (2003). Depth-dependent elemental
 compositions of particulate organic matter (POM) in the ocean. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 17.
 doi:10.1029/2002GB001871.
- Schollaert Uz, S., Kim, G. E., Mannino, A., Werdell, P. J., and Tzortziou, M. (2019). Developing a
 Community of Practice for Applied Uses of Future PACE Data to Address Marine Food
 Security Challenges. *Front. Earth Sci.* 7. doi:10.3389/feart.2019.00283.
- Shaffer, G., Bendtsen, J., and Ulloa, O. (1999). Fractionation during remineralization of organic
 matter in the ocean. *Deep. Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 46, 185–204. doi:10.1016/S09670637(98)00061-2.
- Sharoni, S., and Halevy, I. (2020). Nutrient ratios in marine particulate organic matter are predicted
 by the population structure of well-adapted phytoplankton. *Sci. Adv.* 6, eaaw9371.
 doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaw9371.
- Siegel, D. A., Maritorena, S., Nelson, N. B., Behrenfeld, M. J., and McClain, C. R. (2005). Colored
 dissolved organic matter and its influence on the satellite-based characterization of the ocean
 biosphere. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 32, 1–4. doi:10.1029/2005GL024310.
- Silsbe, G. M., Behrenfeld, M. J., Halsey, K. H., Milligan, A. J., and Westberry, T. K. (2016). The
 CAFE model: A net production model for global ocean phytoplankton. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 30, 1756–1777. doi:10.1002/2016GB005521.
- Singh, A., Baer, S. E., Riebesell, U., Martiny, A. C., and Lomas, M. W. (2015). C : N : P
 stoichiometry at the Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Study station in the North Atlantic Ocean. *Biogeosciences* 12, 6389–6403. doi:10.5194/bg-12-6389-2015.
- Steinhoff, T., Friedrich, T., Hartman, S. E., Oschlies, A., Wallace, D. W. R., and Körtzinger, A.
 (2010). Estimating mixed layer nitrate in the North Atlantic Ocean. *Biogeosciences* 7, 795–807.
 doi:10.5194/bg-7-795-2010.

- Sterner, R. W., and Elser, J. J. (2002). *Ecological stoichiometry: the biology of elements from molecules to the biosphere*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Stramski, D., Reynolds, R. A., Babin, M., Kaczmarek, S., Lewis, M. R., Röttgers, R., et al. (2008).
 Relationships between the surface concentration of particulate organic carbon and optical
 properties in the eastern South Pacific and eastern Atlantic Oceans. *Biogeosciences* 5, 171–201.
 doi:10.5194/bg-5-171-2008.
- Sun, M., Yang, Z., and Wawrik, B. (2018). Metabolomic Fingerprints of Individual Algal Cells
 Using the Single-Probe Mass Spectrometry Technique. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9, 571.
 doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00571.
- Talarmin, A., Lomas, M. W., Bozec, Y., Savoye, N., Frigstad, H., Karl, D. M., et al. (2016). Seasonal
 and long-term changes in elemental concentrations and ratios of marine particulate organic
 matter. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 30, 1699–1711. doi:10.1002/2016GB005409.
- Talmy, D., Blackford, J., Hardman-Mountford, N. J., Polimene, L., Follows, M. J., and Geider, R. J.
 (2014). Flexible C : N ratio enhances metabolism of large phytoplankton when resource supply
 is intermittent. *Biogeosciences* 11, 4881–4895. doi:10.5194/bg-11-4881-2014.
- Talmy, D., Martiny, A. C., Hill, C., Hickman, A. E., and Follows, M. J. (2016). Microzooplankton
 regulation of surface ocean POC:PON ratios. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 30, 311–332.
 doi:10.1002/2015GB005273.
- Tanioka, T., and Matsumoto, K. (2017). Buffering of Ocean Export Production by Flexible
 Elemental Stoichiometry of Particulate Organic Matter. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 31, 1528–
 1542. doi:10.1002/2017GB005670.
- Tanioka, T., and Matsumoto, K. (2018). Effects of incorporating age-specific traits of zooplankton
 into a marine ecosystem model. *Ecol. Modell.* 368, 257–264.
 doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.11.024.
- Tanioka, T., and Matsumoto, K. (2020). A meta-analysis on environmental drivers of marine
 phytoplankton C : N : P. *Biogeosciences* 17, 2939–2954. doi:10.5194/bg-17-2939-2020.
- Teng, Y.-C., Primeau, F. W., Moore, J. K., Lomas, M. W., and Martiny, A. C. (2014). Global-scale
 variations of the ratios of carbon to phosphorus in exported marine organic matter. *Nat. Geosci.*7, 895–898. doi:10.1038/ngeo2303.
- Volk, T., and Hoffert, M. I. (1985). "Ocean Carbon Pumps: Analysis of Relative Strengths and
 Efficiencies in Ocean-Driven Atmospheric CO 2 Changes," in *The carbon cycle and atmospheric CO2: natural variations Archean to present* (Wiley Online Library), 99–110.
 doi:10.1029/GM032p0099.
- Wang, D., Cui, Q., Gong, F., Wang, L., He, X., and Bai, Y. (2018). Satellite retrieval of surface water
 nutrients in the coastal regions of the East China Sea. *Remote Sens.* 10, 1896.
 doi:10.3390/rs10121896.
- Wang, W.-L., Moore, J. K., Martiny, A. C., and Primeau, F. W. (2019). Convergent estimates of
 marine nitrogen fixation. *Nature* 566, 205–211. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-0911-2.

- Weber, T. S., and Deutsch, C. A. (2010). Ocean nutrient ratios governed by plankton biogeography.
 Nature 467, 550–554. doi:10.1038/nature09403.
- Werdell, P. J., McKinna, L. I. W., Boss, E., Ackleson, S. G., Craig, S. E., Gregg, W. W., et al.
 (2018). An overview of approaches and challenges for retrieving marine inherent optical
 properties from ocean color remote sensing. *Prog. Oceanogr.* 160, 186–212.
 doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2018.01.001.
- Westberry, T., Behrenfeld, M. J., Siegel, D. A., and Boss, E. (2008). Carbon-based primary
 productivity modeling with vertically resolved photoacclimation. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*22. doi:10.1029/2007GB003078.
- Westberry, T. K., and Behrenfeld, M. J. (2014). "Oceanic Net Primary Production," in (Springer,
 Berlin, Heidelberg), 205–230. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-25047-7_8.
- Westberry, T. K., Schultz, P., Behrenfeld, M. J., Dunne, J. P., Hiscock, M. R., Maritorena, S., et al.
 (2016). Annual cycles of phytoplankton biomass in the subarctic Atlantic and Pacific Ocean. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 30, 175–190. doi:10.1002/2015GB005276.
- Wu, J., Sunda, W., Boyle, E. A., and Karl, D. M. (2000). Phosphate depletion in the Western North
 Atlantic Ocean. *Science (80-.).* 289, 759–762. doi:10.1126/science.289.5480.759.
- Zentara, S. J., and Kamykowski, D. (1977). Latitudinal relationships among temperature and selected
 plant nutrients along the west coast of North and South America. *J. Mar. Res.* 35, 321–337.
- 823

824

825 Figure Captions

- 826 **Figure 1:** Flowchart summarizing the modeling framework. White squares represent globally
- gridded data from MODIS-Aqua and their direct products (NPP, C_{phyto}, and POC). The dashed arrows
- 828 pointing towards NPP indicate that remotely sensed SST and Chl are used in deriving NPP. Orange
- boxes are main products from this study; C:P of phytoplankton ($r_{C:P}$) and bulk POC:POP.
- Figure 2: Global climatology of average summer and winter growth rate (μ) in the surface mixed
- layer derived from the CAFE (a-c) and VGPM (d-f) NPP products. Panels (g) and (h) show the
- 832 maximum range in the four satellite-derived estimates of μ in summer and winter, respectively. Panel
- (i) shows the seasonal change in the median value of satellite-derived μ .
- **Figure 3:** Global climatology of summer and winter surface mixed layer averages for model inputs: (a) (b) Ghho = (d) (b) Plumits(in the state of the state of
- 835 (a)-(c) *Chl*: C_{phyto} , (d)-(f) P limitation based on cubic root-corrected phosphate depletion temperature 836 (PDT3), and (g-i) the mass ratio between phytoplankton biomass (C_{phyto}) and total POC in %. PDT3
- is seasonally invariant, and phytoplankton is P-limited if SST minus PDT3 is greater than 0.
- 838 **Figure 4:** Geographical locations of suspended POM sample stations used in this study. Red dots
- 839 represent samples collected in summer months (July-September in the Northern Hemisphere,
- 840 January-March in the Southern Hemisphere), and blue dots represent samples collected in winter
- 841 months (January-March in the Northern Hemisphere, July-September in the Southern Hemisphere).
- 842 Dashed boxes delineate regions where the seasonality of satellite-informed estimate is examined
- 843 (NAT: North Atlantic Temperate, NASG: North Atlantic Subtropical Gyre, SPSG: South Pacific
- 844 Subtropical Gyre, EQU: Equatorial Upwelling regions).
- Figure 5: Global climatology of summer and winter average CAFE-informed $r_{C:P}$ (a-c) and VGPM-
- informed $r_{C'P}$ (d-f) in the surface mixed layer. $r_{C'P}$ is in molar units. Panels (g) and (h) show the
- maximum range in the four satellite-informed $r_{C:P}$ for summer and winter, respectively. Panel (i)
- 848 shows the seasonal change in median $r_{C:P.}$
- **Figure 6:** Influence of growth rate and *Chl*: C_{phyto} on $r_{C:P}$ under P limitation. Colored points represent
- 850 seasonally averaged CAFE-informed *Chl*: C_{phyto} , μ , and $r_{C:P}$ for four oceanographic regions (NAT:
- 851 North Atlantic Temperate, NASG: North Atlantic Subtropical Gyre, SPSG: South Pacific Subtropical
- 852 Gyre, EQU: Equatorial Upwelling region. The size of marker represents the degree of P limitation
- 853 within the region (P-replete: < 20% of the region is P-limited, Moderate: 20% -80%, Deplete: >
- 854 80%). The numbers next to the markers correspond to the months of the year. Contour lines show
- 855 C:P calculated under varying μ and *Chl*: C_{phyto} with phytoplankton stoichiometry model under P-
- 856 limited condition.
- **Figure 7:** Global climatology of average summer and winter CAFE-informed POC:POP (a-c) and
- 858 VGPM-informed POC:POP (d-f) in the surface mixed layer. Panels (g) and (h) show the range in
- 859 satellite-informed POC:POP, for summer and winter, respectively. Panel (i) shows the seasonal
- change in median POC:POP.
- **Figure 8:** Two graphical representation of the influence of $r_{C:P}$ and C_{phyto} :POC on bulk POC:POP. In
- Panel (a), $r_{C:P}$ is plotted against POC:POP and contour lines show C_{phyto} :POC from 0 to 1. The
- colored dots are annual mean CAFE-informed $r_{C:P}$ and POC:POP from the selected regions and the
- grey dots in the background are monthly predictions from each 1° by 1° grid point. In Panel (b), $r_{C:P}$
- is plotted against C_{phyto} :POC and the contour lines show POC:POP. Colored points represent

- seasonally averaged POC:POP for four oceanographic regions, as in Figure 6. Both Panels (a) and (b)
- highlight the importance of top-down control on POC:POP by C_{phyto} :POC.
- 868 Figure 9: Comparisons of modeled and observed zonal POC:POP for (a) summer and (b) winter. The
- solid red curve shows the median POC:POP of the satellite-informed estimates, and shading shows
- the range. The black dot in the box and whisker plot show the median POC:POP and the upper and
- 871 lower edges of each box correspond to the upper and lower quantiles. The vertical tails correspond to 872 a 95% confidence interval. When the sample size is 1, the sample variance could not be estimated,
- a 95% confidence interval. When the sample size is 1, the sample variance could not be estimated, and only the dot representing unique POC:POP is shown (e.g., 10°N during Summer). Note that the
- satellite-informed POC:POP ratios are global latitudinal averages, whereas the measured POC:POP
- 8/4 satellite-informed POC:POP ratios are global latitudinal averages, whereas the measured POC:
- are averages of discrete data points.
- 876 Figure 10: (a-b): Comparison of observed and modeled monthly C:P stoichiometry during 2003-
- 877 2010 in the surface 100 m for BATS and HOT. Solid black lines are 3-month running means, and
- sample error bars are 1σ from the mean values. Solid blue and red lines are median estimates for
- satellite-informed $r_{C:P}$ and POC:POP, respectively. The shadings show the range. (c-d): Box-whisker
- plot comparing the annual ratios of satellite-informed phytoplankton C:P (blue), satellite-informed
- 881 POC:POP (red), and in-situ POC:POP (black). Each season represents a three-month average (Spring
- 882 = April to June, Summer = July to September, Fall = October to December, Winter: January to
- 883 March).
- 884



Figure 1.TIF







CAFE



(b) r_{C:P} : Winter



(c) r_{c:P} : Summer - Winter



VGPM

(d) r_{c:P}: Summer



(e) r_{c:P}: Winter



(f) r_{C:P}: Summer - Winter



(g) Range: Summer



(h) Range: Winter



(i) Median: Summer - Winter

















CAFE



300

250

200

150

100

150

100

50

-50

-100

150

0

Composite



(h) Range: Winter









(i) Median: Summer - Winter





(e) POC:POP (Winter)

(f) POC:POP (Summer - Winter)



nreview











Supplementary Figures:

Towards determining the spatio-temporal variability of upper-ocean ecosystem stoichiometry from satellite remote sensing

Tatsuro Tanioka^{1*}, Cédric G. Fichot², and Katsumi Matsumoto¹

¹ Department of Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

² Department of Earth and Environment, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA

Contents:

Supplementary Figures 1 – 3



Supplementary Figure 1: Global climatology showing average summer and winter growth rate (μ) in the surface mixed layer for the four NPP models (CAFE: (a-c), CbPM: (d-f), VGPM: (g-i), and Eppley-VGPM (j-l)). The last row shows the difference between the summer average μ and winter average μ .



Supplementary Figure 2: The seasonal mean climatology of phytoplankton C:P ($r_{C:P}$) in the surface mixed later predicted by phytoplankton stoichiometry model for each NPP model. The last row shows the difference between the summer average $r_{C:P}$ and winter average $r_{C:P}$.



Supplementary Figure 3: The seasonal mean climatology of bulk POC:POP in the surface mixed later predicted from $r_{C:P}$ and C_{phyto} :POC for each NPP model. The last row shows the difference between the summer average POC and winter average POP.