In Search of The Optimal Atmospheric River Index for Precipitation: Big Data Analysis of Index Ensembles over the North American West Coast and US Midwest

Chen Zhang^{1,2,2,2}, Wen-Wen Tung^{2,2,2,2}, and William S Cleveland^{2,2,2,2}

¹Unknown ²Purdue University

November 30, 2022

Abstract

Atmospheric rivers (ARs) affect surface hydrometeorology in the US West Coast and Midwest. We systematically sought optimal AR indices for expressing surface precipitation impacts within the Atmospheric River Tracking Method Intercomparison Project (ARTMIP) framework. We adopted a multifactorial approach. Four factors—moisture fields, climatological thresholds, shape criteria, and temporal thresholds—collectively generated 81 West Coast AR indices and 81 Midwest indices from January 1980 to June 2017. Two moisture fields were extracted from the MERRA-2 data for ARTMIP: integrated water vapor transport (IVT) and integrated water vapor (IWV). CPC US Unified Precipitation data were used. Metrics for precipitation effects included two-way summary statistics relating the concurrence of AR and that of precipitation, per-event averaged precipitation accumulation. We found that an optimal AR index for precipitation depends on the types of impact to be addressed, associated physical mechanisms in the affected regions, timing, and duration. In West Coast and Midwest, IWV-based AR indices identified the most abundant AR event time steps, most accurately associated AR to days with precipitation, and represented the presence of precipitation. Longer duration thresholds also led to higher accumulated precipitation with the longest event duration. Longer duration thresholds also led to higher accumulated precipitation drivers. IVT-based indices suitably capture the accumulation of intense orographic precipitation on the West Coast. Indices combining IVT and IWV identify the fewest, shortest, but most intense AR precipitation episodes.

In Search of The Optimal Atmospheric River Index for US Precipitation: A Multifactorial Analysis

Chen Zhang¹, Wen-wen Tung^{1*}, William S. Cleveland^{2,3}

4	¹ Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA
5	$^2\mathrm{Department}$ of Statistics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA
6	³ Department of Computer Science, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

7 Key Points:

3

8	• We searched for an optimal AR index based on the precipitation effects depend-
9	ing on regional physical mechanisms, seasons, and AR duration.
10	+ IWV with 75th percentile climate threshold can capture the broad presence and
11	accumulation of precipitation in both regions studied.
12	• Changing climatological threshold for detecting Midwest ARs results in a seasonal
13	shift of maximum event-accumulated precipitation.

^{*}Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences, Purdue University, 550 Stadium Mall Drive, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

 $Corresponding \ author: \ Wen-wen \ Tung, \ ww \verb"ung@purdue.edu"$

14 Abstract

Atmospheric rivers (ARs) affect surface hydrometeorology in the US West Coast and Mid-15 west. We systematically sought optimal AR indices for expressing surface precipitation 16 impacts within the Atmospheric River Tracking Method Intercomparison Project (ART-17 MIP) framework. We adopted a multifactorial approach. Four factors—moisture fields, 18 climatological thresholds, shape criteria, and temporal thresholds—collectively gener-19 ated 81 West Coast AR indices and 81 Midwest indices from January 1980 to June 2017. 20 Two moisture fields were extracted from the MERRA-2 data for ARTMIP: integrated 21 water vapor transport (IVT) and integrated water vapor (IWV). Metrics for precip-22 itation effects included two-way summary statistics relating the concurrence of AR and 23 that of precipitation, per-event averaged precipitation rate, and per-event precipitation 24 accumulation. We found that an optimal AR index for precipitation depends on the types 25 of impact to be addressed, associated physical mechanisms in the affected regions, tim-26 ing, and duration. In West Coast and Midwest, IWV-based AR indices identified the 27 most abundant AR event time steps, most accurately associated AR to days with pre-28 cipitation, and represented the presence of precipitation the best. With a lower clima-29 tological threshold, they detected the most accumulated precipitation with the longest 30 event duration. Longer duration thresholds also led to higher accumulated precipitation, 31 holding other factors constant. IWV-based indices are the overall choice for Midwest 32 ARs under varying seasonal precipitation drivers. *IVT*-based indices suitably capture 33 the accumulation of intense orographic precipitation on the West Coast. Indices com-34 bining IVT and IWV identify the fewest, shortest, but most intense AR precipitation 35 episodes. 36

37

Plain Language Summary

[Atmospheric rivers (AR), the long narrow filaments of enhanced water vapor trans-38 port in the lower troposphere, are known to accompany extreme rain and winds. They 39 are important weather systems for US water resources on the West Coast and in the Mid-40 west. In our study, we asked which impacts, in which region, and in what time scale and 41 period were of concern. We then used an approach combining climate significant- or extreme-42 event criteria, image processing, and statistical analysis to create 81 West Coast AR in-43 dices and 81 Midwest indices from January 1980 to June 2017 for answering the ques-44 tions with detailed visualization. We found that an optimal AR index for precipitation 45

-2-

depends on the defined precipitation impacts, regional physical mechanisms of precip-46 itation, season, and duration. Integrated water vapor (IWV) can represent the broad-47 stroke presence and accumulation of precipitation in regions studied. Longer duration 48 thresholds also led to higher accumulated precipitation. Combined moisture with wind 49 fields using integrated water vapor transport (IVT), is necessary to get extreme West 50 Coast AR orographic precipitation. IWV well represents moderate to extreme Midwest 51 AR precipitation events for all seasons. Combination of IVT and IWV is useful to get 52 snapshots of extreme precipitation events.] 53

54 1 Introduction

Atmospheric rivers (ARs) are long, narrow filaments of enhanced water vapor trans-55 port that is typically associated with a low-level jet and extratropical cyclone (Ralph et 56 al., 2018). When these moisture-laden ARs make landfall or penetrate inland, water va-57 por condenses and can release enhanced precipitation (e.g., Guan et al., 2010, 2013; Luo 58 & Tung, 2015). AR precipitation in many parts of the world is paramount for water re-59 sources (e.g., Guan et al., 2010; Dettinger et al., 2011; Rutz & Steenburgh, 2012; Det-60 tinger, 2013; Lavers & Villarini, 2015; Eiras-Barca et al., 2016; Blamey et al., 2018; Lit-61 tle et al., 2019). However, heavy rainfall can lead to floods and ensuing socioeconomic 62 damage. Studies have shown that in North America, ARs have significant surface hy-63 drometeorological effects on the western North America (e.g., Ralph et al., 2006; Neiman 64 et al., 2008; Leung & Qian, 2009; Ralph et al., 2011; Dettinger, 2011; Rutz et al., 2014; 65 X. Chen et al., 2018) and the US Midwest (e.g., Lavers & Villarini, 2013; Nayak & Vil-66 larini, 2017). 67

The first and critical task to study ARs is to develop AR identification methods. There have been many AR detection and tracking methods for different purposes in the literature, as noted in the Atmospheric River Tracking Method Intercomparison Project (ARTMIP, Shields et al., 2018; Rutz et al., 2019; O'Brien et al., 2020). These different detection methods are primarily based on either one or both measurements of Integrated Water Vapor (*IWV*) and Integrated Water Vapor Transport (*IVT*).

Ralph et al. (2004, 2005, 2006) created an objective AR identification method using satellite-based IWV for case studies in the North American West Coast. They defined ARs with IWV content > 20 mm, length > 2000 km, and width < 1000 km. Sim-

-3-

ilar approaches have since been widely applied (e.g., Neiman et al., 2008; Wick et al., 77 2013). Furthermore, IVT derived from reanalysis or models incorporates the effects of 78 advection. Zhu and Newell (1998) first defined ARs through IVT. Lavers et al. (2012) 79 and Lavers and Villarini (2013), respectively, established percentile-based IVT thresh-80 olds to study ARs affecting Britain and Central US. Guan and Waliser (2015) applied 81 85th percentile seasonal climatological thresholds to IVT for global AR detection. Mean-82 while, Rutz et al. (2014) used absolute thresholds, preferring $IVT \ge 250 \text{ kg m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ to 83 $IWV \ge 20$ mm as a threshold to emphasize inland-penetrating ARs in the Western US. 84

IVT-based detection method is increasingly chosen over IWV-based ones in re-85 search and operation as horizontal moisture transport is qualitatively related with oro-86 graphic precipitation (e.g., Neiman et al., 2009; Rutz et al., 2014; Guan & Waliser, 2015). 87 The combination of IVT and IWV (IVT+IWV thereafter) was recently adopted (e.g., 88 Eiras-Barca et al., 2016; Gershunov et al., 2017). The IVT + IWV method was pro-89 posed to reduce erroneous detection of ARs from considering only one of the measure-90 ments (Eiras-Barca et al., 2016). It requires both IVT and IWV values to meet their 91 corresponding thresholds simultaneously. 92

Furthermore, the duration of an AR is important for its hydrometeorological ef-93 fects. Longer-lived ARs are more likely to bring higher rainfall (in total and on average) 94 and streamflow than shorter-duration ones (Ralph et al., 2013; Nayak & Villarini, 2018). 95 However, there has not been a consensus in duration criteria. Duration thresholds were 96 not used in some early case studies (e.g., Ralph et al., 2004). Subsequently, a minimum 97 of at least 8 (Ralph et al., 2013), 12 (Payne & Magnusdottir, 2016), 18 (Lavers et al., 98 2012; Lavers & Villarini, 2013; Nayak & Villarini, 2017; Gershunov et al., 2017), or 24 99 consecutive hours (Sellars et al., 2015) were included as a part of detection algorithms. 100

Although systematic comparisons among different AR identification methods are 101 underway (Shields et al., 2018; Rutz et al., 2019; Ralph et al., 2019), the relationships 102 between the methods and associated AR precipitation remain to be quantified. Impor-103 tant questions to ask include: between the two common detection measurements of IVT104 and IWV, which one, or both, should be used when surface precipitation is concerned? 105 How do more restrictive duration criteria perform if long-lived ARs produce larger amounts 106 of precipitation than short-lived ones (Ralph et al., 2013)? In probing these questions, 107 we attempted to establish an optimal AR detection algorithm suited for expressing sur-108

-4-

¹⁰⁹ face precipitation impacts. We used a multi-factorial ensemble analysis, well suited for

¹¹⁰ uncertainty quantification, focusing on the percentile-based approaches within the ART-

¹¹¹ MIP framework of prevailing detection methods and reanalysis data from January 1980

to June 2017. The paper is organized as follows: data and methods are in section 2. Sur-

- face precipitation effects associated with different AR detection indices are analyzed and
- discussed in section 3. Sections 4 and 5 provide discussions and conclusions, respectively.
- ¹¹⁵ 2 Data and Methods
 - 2.1 Data
- 117

116

2.1.1 MERRA-2 data for ARTMIP

The two conventional moisture measurements for AR detection, IVT and IWV,

¹¹⁹ were extracted from the Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applica-

tions, Version 2 (MERRA-2) source data for ARTMIP through Climate Data Gateway (NCAR

¹²¹ CDG, 2019). This dataset was calculated by the Center for Western Weather and Wa-

ter Extremes at the University of California, San Diego, according to the following for-

123 mula (Shields et al., 2018):

$$IVT = -\frac{1}{g} \int_{1000}^{200} q(p) |\mathbf{V}_{\rm h}(p)| \, dp, \qquad (1)$$

$$IWV = -\frac{1}{g} \int_{1000}^{200} q(p) \, dp \tag{2}$$

The three variables, horizontal wind $(\mathbf{V}_{h} = (u, v)$ where u is the zonal and v the 126 meridional winds in m s⁻¹), specific humidity (q in kg kg⁻¹), and pressure (p in hPa), 127 used in the formula were from NASA MERRA-2 (Gelaro et al., 2017). The horizontal 128 spatial resolution and temporal resolution of the vertically integrated fields are 0.5° lon-129 gitude by 0.625° latitude and 3 hours. We used all of the MERRA-2 Tier 1 data avail-130 able at the time of download, from January 1980 to June 2017, to create climatological 131 thresholds. Then, we applied the AR detection algorithm to the dataset to generate AR 132 indices. 133

134

2.1.2 CPC US Unified Precipitation Data

The NOAA Climate Prediction Center (CPC) Unified Gauge-Based Analysis of Daily 135 Precipitation over the Contiguous United States (hereafter, CPC) provides daily precip-136 itation on a fine-resolution $(0.25^{\circ} \text{ latitude by } 0.25^{\circ} \text{ longitude})$ from January 1948 to the 137 present (Higgins et al., 2000; Xie et al., 2007; M. Chen et al., 2008). Gibson et al. (2019) 138 evaluated this product and found overall good agreement with the in-situ Parameter-139 Elevation Regressions on Independent Slopes Model (PRISM) dataset (Daly et al., 2008). 140 As described in the next subsection, these AR indices were defined by various AR de-141 tection criteria applied to the ARTMIP MERRA-2 data. Their original spatial and tem-142 poral resolutions are those of the MERRA-2. We spatially interpolated the coarser AR 143 index values (0 or 1) with bilinear interpolation to the CPC data's finer mesh, then rounded 144 off the results to integers. Each CPC daily precipitation measurement was divided evenly 145 over the twenty-four hours centered at 00 UTC, then aggregated into the AR indices' 146 3-hourly intervals. 147

148

2.2 AR Detection Algorithm

As shown in Figure 1, we used 4 factors—moisture fields, climatological thresholds, 149 shape criteria, and temporal thresholds—to generate an ensemble of 81 AR indices for 150 the US West Coast and 81 for the Midwest. First, we used IVT, IWV, or IVT+IWV151 as the moisture field. Then, for each grid point, we selected moisture field values at 1200 152 UTC every day during neutral or weak El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events from 153 January 1980 to June 2017. We called these test values. Here, we adopted the bi-monthly 154 NOAA Multivariate ENSO index (MEI.v2, e.g., Wolter & Timlin, 1993) and preserved 155 only test values in the months when the MEI.v2 index was within ± 1 . Three monthly 156 climatological thresholds were calculated for each set of test values—IVT, IWV, or IVT+ 157 IWV—at each grid point. In addition to the common 85th percentile (e.g., Lavers et 158 al., 2012; Lavers & Villarini, 2013; Guan & Waliser, 2015; Eiras-Barca et al., 2016), we 159 also used the 75th and the 95th percentiles as thresholds. Consequently, at any given 160 grid point and time, a moisture value equal to or exceeding a threshold suggests the po-161 tential presence of AR. 162

Figure 2 plots these three levels of climatological thresholds of IVT and IWV fields over North America for January and August. The threshold at each grid point elevates

-6-



Figure 1. Schematic diagram illustrating the multifactorial AR detection algorithm.

successively, increasingly restricting AR detection, from 75th to 95th percentile. The IVT165 maxima corresponded to extratropical storm tracks and ITCZ over the North Pacific and 166 the North Atlantic. The IWV maxima co-located with tropical and extratropical warm 167 oceans as well as maritime tropical air mass. Consistent with Clausius–Clapeyron equa-168 tion, IVT and IWV thresholds were generally higher in the summer (August) than in 169 the winter (January). To relate identified ARs with surface precipitation effects, we de-170 fined the regions of West Coast and Midwest based on the boundaries of CPC precip-171 itation data. The regions of the West Coast (situating between $33^{\circ}-48.5^{\circ}$ N and $124.375^{\circ}-$ 172 114.375° W) and Midwest (between $37^{\circ}-47^{\circ}$ N and $94^{\circ}-84^{\circ}$ W) are outlined in red. This 173 seasonal difference was more evident in the Midwest than the West Coast. Regardless, 174 the IVT maximum over the Northeast Pacific Ocean expanded towards the West Coast 175 in January, then retreated in August. 176

Figure 2 also compares the monthly percentile thresholds in this study against the absolute thresholds used by Gershunov et al. (2017), defined as 250 kg m⁻¹s⁻¹ in IVTand 15 kg m⁻² in IWV. The monthly percentile thresholds exhibit more spatial details



Figure 2. Three levels (75th, 85th, and 95th) of climatological thresholds of IVT (a, kg m⁻¹s⁻¹) and IWV (b, kg m⁻²) over North America for January and August derived from neutral or weak ENSO events between January 1980–June 2017. The red boxes outline the West Coast and Midwest regions in this study. White lines (250 kg m⁻¹s⁻¹ in IVT and 15 kg m⁻² in IWV) are the absolute thresholds in Gershunov et al. (2017).

as well as seasonal variability than the absolute ones. Through visual inspection, one can 180 infer the different outcomes of AR detection if solely based on these thresholds. In Jan-181 uary, absolute IVT and IWV thresholds resulted in fewer instances of landfalling ARs 182 in both West Coast and Midwest compared with the respective 75th and 85th percentile 183 thresholds. This is because the majority of the monthly percentile threshold values in 184 these two regions are below the absolute thresholds. The absolute thresholds, however, 185 permitted more frequent January AR detection along the West Coast and southern Mid-186 west than the 95th percentile threshold values. These were also true for West-Coast AR 187 detection using IVT in August; however, in the Midwest, the absolute IVT threshold 188 was less restrictive to August AR detection than all IVT monthly percentiles. The ab-189 solute IWV threshold allowed overall more August AR detection than the monthly per-190 centiles in the plotted domain. 191

The variability of AR detection across various thresholds above attests to the ne-192 cessity of additional constraints. At each time step, we identified the grid points whose 193 IVT or IWV values exceeded their corresponding climatological thresholds and kept only 194 the data of those making landfall in the West Coast or penetrating into the Midwest. 195 Then, we used the principal curves method (Hastie & Stuetzle, 1989) to determine the 196 length of the curvy patterns formed by aggregating the maximum IVT or IWV values 197 at each latitude and longitude. The width was calculated as the total Earth surface area 198 of the identified grid points divided by the length. The geometry thresholds were fur-199 ther applied. A subset of potential AR data was extracted if a length was greater or equal 200 to 1500, 1800, or 2000 km while the ratio of length to width was greater or equal to 2 201 (Figure 1). It is noted that, for the detection of West Coast land-falling ARs, this length 202 was estimated using only the segment of data over the Pacific; for the ARs penetrating 203 into the Midwest, it was estimated using the entire segment. The subsets of data were 204 further filtered and aggregated into AR events that persisted for equal to or more than 205 12, 18, or 24 hours with breaks shorter than 24 hours within an event. The length of break 206 criterion was based on Lavers and Villarini (2013). 207

At this point, 81 members of AR indices for each of the West Coast and Midwest regions from January 1980 to June 2017 were completed. Each index identifies the spatial and temporal information of AR events that satisfied one of the 81 combinations of the criteria form by the four factors. We proceeded with systematic analysis of the relationships between these ARs and surface precipitation in the West Coast and the Mid-

-9-



Figure 3. A two-way summary table with the terms for evaluating AR indices' relationships with surface precipitation on coarse- and fine-grain scales.

west. The AR detection and detailed analysis were executed via distributed-parallel computing on a high-performance computing cluster with Hadoop system in the backend and the R language-based DeltaRho software in the frontend (Cleveland & Hafen, 2014; Tung et al., 2018).

217

2.3 Coarse- to Fine-Grain Two-Way Summary Table

We built a two-way summary table (Figure 3) to explore the relationships between 218 ARs identified by the indices and the surface precipitation in the West Coast and the 219 Midwest. We took two spatial scales into account: regional coarse-grain scale and grid-220 point fine-grain scale. On the coarse-grain scale, we regarded either West Coast or Mid-221 west as one entity. Within each entity, days centered at 00 UTC with at least one AR 222 time step identified in a 3-hourly AR index were defined as AR days. Days without any 223 AR time steps were considered as no AR days. Precipitation was based on the CPC US 224 Unified Precipitation Data. The *aa* in the summary table was total AR days with pre-225 cipitation; the *ab* was AR days without precipitation; the *ba* was days with no ARs but 226 with precipitation; and the bb was days with no ARs and no precipitation. 227

From the summary table, four statistics were derived: *AR Related Precipitation*, *Precision*, *Accuracy*, and *F1 score*. The names loosely follow those in statistical classification (e.g., Hastie et al., 2001). However, the statistics here did not validate any predictive modeling of precipitation. They were used to compare the MERRA-2 AR indices' performance of relating to CPC surface precipitation effects. They may, however, provide empirical upper limits of a predictive model using only an AR index to predict precipitation within the data, spatial, and temporal domains in the study. *AR Related Pre-* 235 *cipitation* is defined as

236

241

$$\frac{aa}{aa+ba} = \frac{aa}{D_P},\tag{3}$$

with D_P the total days with precipitation. It specifies how often surface precipitation,

if existed, was related to the ARs identified by an index. In predictive modeling, AR Re *lated Precipitation* is called *Sensitivity* in statistics or *Probability of Detection* in weather

²⁴⁰ forecast. *Precision* is defined as

$$\frac{aa}{aa+ab} = \frac{aa}{D_{AR}},\tag{4}$$

with D_{AR} the total days with ARs according to an index. It describes how often the detected ARs were actually related with precipitation. In weather forecast, *Precision* equals to 1-*False Alarm Ratio. Accuracy* is defined as

$$\frac{aa+bb}{aa+ab+ba+bb} = \frac{aa+bb}{D},\tag{5}$$

with D the total 13695 days in the data. For each AR index, it measures how often days with/without ARs were correctly associated with precipitation/no precipitation. The F1score,

$$\frac{2*AR \ Related \ Precipitation*Precision}{AR \ Related \ Precipitation+Precision},\tag{6}$$

is the harmonic mean of AR Related Precipitation and Precision. An AR index with a
low F1 score has both poor AR Related Precipitation and poor Precision, therefore an
overall poor AR-precipitation relation.

Each of these four statistics had one resultant value for each index on the coarsegrain scale in either West Coast or Midwest. On the fine-grain scale, they were multiplied by the number of grid points inside a region: 2069 in the West Coast and 1508 in the Midwest. The different sample sizes were taken into account in interpreting the results (section 3.2).

- ²⁵⁸ 3 Analysis and Results
- 259

3.1 Identified AR occurrence summary statistics

Figures 4 and 5 visualize three summary statistics of AR occurrence obtained with

²⁶¹ 162 AR indices. These figures are Cleveland dotplots (Cleveland & McGill, 1984) cre-

ated in the Trellis display framework (Becker et al., 1996). The number of AR events

(Figure 4a), the accumulated time of these events measured in 3-hourly time steps (Fig-263 ure 4b), and the average duration per event in days (Figure 5) are plotted on each panel, 264 conditional on 18 combinations of regions (West Coast or Midwest), moisture fields (IVT, 265 IWV, or IVT+IWV), and AR length criteria (1500, 1800, or 2000 km). The results 266 are 18 packets, or subsets, of values. Each packet has 9 paired values of a summary statis-267 tic in the y-axis and one of the AR persistent duration thresholds (12, 18, or 24 hours 268 along the x-axis), grouped with color by climatological thresholds (75th, 85th, or 95th 269 percentiles). 270

Figure 4a shows that, from January 1980 to June 2017, each 75th and 85th per-271 centile climatological threshold-based AR index captured O(1000) events in either West 272 Coast or Midwest regions, except for a few IVT+IWV-based ones with the most re-273 strictive combinations of length and persistent duration criteria in the West Coast. In 274 Figures 4b and 5. IWV-based indices identified the most AR time steps and longest av-275 erage per-event duration; IVT+IWV-based indices identified the least and the short-276 est. Note that the per-event duration of each AR event was calculated as the summa-277 tion of persistent AR time segments, excluding the break times. Increasing the restric-278 tiveness of climatological threshold from 75th to 95th percentile while holding other fac-279 tors constant, the number of identified AR time steps decreased dramatically, so did the 280 average duration per event. 281

However, more restrictive climatological thresholds did not always yield fewer AR 282 events (Figure 4a). Among IVT- and IWV-based Midwest AR indices, the 85th per-283 centiles permitted more AR events but fewer time steps than the 75th percentiles, ow-284 ing to the latter's tendency to yield longer per-event durations (Figure 5). Furthermore, 285 the identified Midwest ARs had overall more total time steps than that of West-Coast 286 ARs (Figure 4b). Midwest ARs had longer average per-event durations than those in the 287 West Coast; the differences were the largest at the 75th percentiles and the least at the 288 95th percentiles (Figure 5). 289

In Figures 4 and 5, the effects of length criteria were only secondary to climatological thresholds. However, increasing the thresholds of AR persistent duration from 12 to 24 hours resulted in shorter accumulated time steps (Figure 4b) and, in most cases, longer average per-event duration (Figure 5) of the identified ARs. It also led to decreasing AR event counts (Figure 4a).







Figure 5. Similar to Figure 4, but for average per-event duration (unit: Day) identified by 81West-Coast (top row) and 81 Midwest (bottom row) AR indices.

- 3.2 Coarse- to fine-grain daily AR-precipitation occurrence relation anal ysis
- 297

3.2.1 Coarse-grain analysis

At the outset, we aimed to identify the AR indices that represent the precipitation 298 occurrence as complete and correct as possible. Figure 6a shows the coarse-grain Accu-299 racy in dotplots. An Accuracy of 1 means there was precipitation if and only if ARs were 300 detected by an index. In general, indices associated with more AR time steps (Figure 4b) 301 also exhibited higher Accuracy at the coarse-grain scale. Indeed, Midwest ARs bore higher 302 Accuracy than the West Coast ARs given otherwise the same factors. The IWV-based 303 AR indices yielded the highest Accuracy in both regions. Among them, indices using the 304 75th percentile climate threshold had Accuracy exceeding 0.64 in the West Coast and 305 0.74 in the Midwest. More restrictive climatological thresholds resulted in lower Accu-306 racy. The lowest values were within the 95th-percentile-based IVT + IWV indices— 307 below 0.09 for the West Coast and 0.14 for the Midwest ARs. More restrictive length 308 and temporal criteria that detected fewer AR events or time steps also depressed Accu-309 racy values, while the effect of length was minor in comparison to other factors. 310

Figure S1 shows the AR Related Precipitation, i.e., the fraction of total days with 311 precipitation attributable to identified ARs. It has a very similar pattern to Figure 6a. 312 In particular, when 75th-percentile IWV-based indices were used, more than 64% and 313 74% of precipitation days occurred in the presence of ARs in the West Coast and Mid-314 west, respectively. However, 95th-percentile IVT+IWV-based indices could only cap-315 ture less than 9% and 14% of precipitation days in the respective regions. On the other 316 hand, Precision values in Figure S2 display a very different pattern from Figures 6a or 317 S1. For the West Coast landfalling ARs, 21 out of 81 indices had *Precision* equal to 1, 318 with the rest approximately 1. That means each index very precisely associated AR days 319 with precipitation. For ARs influencing the Midwest, the *Precision* values were slightly 320 smaller but still larger than 0.998. 321

The F1 scores in Figure 6b summarizes for each AR index the combined performance of relating to the presence of precipitation (*Precision*) and explaining the occurrence of precipitation (*AR Related Precipitation*) at the coarse-grain scale. Unlike *Accuracy*, F1 score does not consider days with no AR and no precipitation, expressed as the *bb* term in (5). In practice, we are more concerned about the relationship between $_{327}$ the presence of AR and that of precipitation than the absence of both. Therefore, F1

score is a more sensible measurement than Accuracy. Furthermore, the score could be

³²⁹ considered as adjusted *Precision*, with which indices gained high *Precision* via narrow-

ing to extreme samples are penalized. The adjustment differentiated the overall high *Pre*-

cision values (Figure S2) to the pattern of F1 scores (Figure 6b), which resembles Fig-

³³² ures 6a and S1 but have larger magnitudes across the board.

333

3.2.2 Fine-grain analysis

We established for each index a two-way summary table for each individual grid point in West Coast and Midwest for fine-grain analysis. The distributions of fine-grain F1 scores are summarized using boxplots for the 81 West Coast AR indices, each with 2069 points (Figure 7a) and 81 Midwest indices with 1508 points (Figure 7b).

In Figure 7a, the interquartile ranges (IQR) of the 81 F1 distributions, as indicated 338 by the box lengths, vary from ~ 0.017 to ~ 0.089 for the West Coast AR indices. Spa-339 tial inhomogeneity of precipitation captured by different indices contributed to this vari-340 ation. Another important influencer was the different AR days, D_{AR} , as inferred by the 341 AR time steps (Figure 4b), resulted from different indices. Indeed, the smaller IQRs are 342 seen among the most restrictive indices with the fewest AR time steps, such as the 95th-343 percentile IVT + IWV-based ones. Moreover, the minimum, first quartile (Q1), sec-344 ond quartile/median (Q2), third quartile (Q3), and maximum of each subset of F1 scores 345 decrease with more restrictive criteria. This is consistent with the coarse-grain analy-346 sis (Figure 6b). When the climate threshold, length, and time criteria were fixed, the IWV-347 based indices slightly outperformed IVT-based ones and were significantly better than 348 IVT + IWV-based ones. The 75th-percentile IWV-based indices yielded the largest 349 median F1 scores, all exceeding 0.5. 350

The IQRs of fine-grain F1 score distributions for the 81 Midwest AR indices (Figure 7b) are smaller than those for West Coast AR indices (Figure 7a). This is most certainly due to the \sim 30% smaller sample size in the Midwest than that of the West Coast. The differences among the F1 score distributions in the Midwest are qualitatively similar to those in the West Coast. Nevertheless, the F1 scores in the Midwest are overall higher. The 75th-percentile IWV-based indices struck the highest median F1 scores at \sim 0.65. These are consistent with the coarse-grain F1 analysis (Figure 6b).









3.3 Deep Analysis at the Finest Granularity

In section 3.2, we studied the presence or absence of ARs in relation to those of pre-359 cipitation, as reflected by the ensembles of indices in the North American West Coast 360 and the US Midwest. Past studies consistently showed that in general, ARs contributed 361 to a fair amount of annual precipitation—up to 50% depending on the location—in the 362 contiguous United States (Dettinger et al., 2011; Rutz & Steenburgh, 2012; Lavers & Vil-363 larini, 2015; Nayak & Villarini, 2017). Hence, in the next step, we analyzed the amount 364 of AR-related precipitation associated with different indices. We quantified precipita-365 tion impacts with event-average rate (3.3.1) and event-accumulated precipitation (3.3.2)366 and 3.3.3) and compared them across the AR indices. 367

368

358

3.3.1 Event-Average Surface Precipitation Effects

For each AR index, we tracked the surface area of an AR at each recorded time step. 369 We then calculated the areal-averaged surface precipitation rate at each time step. The 370 event-average surface precipitation rate was calculated as the event time-mean of areal 371 averages. As an example, Figure 8 compares the event-average precipitation rate across 372 a group of AR indices with the 1500-km length and 18-hr persistent duration criteria us-373 ing boxplots, conditional on locations, climatological thresholds, and moisture fields. The 374 values of precipitation rates shown are the original values plus one and transformed with 375 base-2 logarithm to accommodate the wide range. 376

All indices for Midwest ARs in Figure 8 were prone to associate with more event-377 average precipitation than those for the West Coast ARs. As the climatological thresh-378 olds on moisture fields became increasingly more restrictive, the indices pointed to heav-379 ier event-average precipitation rates. One conspicuous feature in Figure 8 is that IVT+380 IWV-based indices are the strongest performer in both regions. As already shown in sec-381 tion 3.2, the combined moisture field posed the most restrictive criterion, detecting the 382 fewest events with the shortest lifespan per event. The analysis further shows its propen-383 sity to crop out AR features with the highest precipitation rates. This is consistent with 384 previous studies (Neiman et al., 2008; Nayak & Villarini, 2018). 385

Another distinct feature in Figure 8 is the disparate performance of IVT-based indices between the West Coast and the Midwest. IVT-based AR indices were associated with higher event-average precipitation in the West Coast than IWV-based ones. How-



Figure 8. Boxplots of base-2 logarithmic transformation of event-average precipitation rate plus 1 (in mm hr⁻¹) over unit area according to IVT, IVT + IWV, and IWV-based AR indices with the same 1500-km length and 18-hr persistent duration criteria, conditional on locations and climatological thresholds labeled as percentile in 75th, 85th, and 95th.

ever, this was not the case in the Midwest. This difference is likely due to the orographic origin of precipitation on the West Coast. Compared with IWV, the horizontal transport of moisture expressed by the IVT better indicated the vertical lifting and condensation processes upon convergence at the coastal mountains' windward side. Notably, the 95th percentile IVT-based West Coast AR index captured the intense orographic precipitation that IWV missed.

The effects of shape and temporal criteria on the detected ARs' relations to eventaverage surface precipitation rate were inconclusive across different climatological thresholds and moisture fields (Figures S3 and S4). Overall, longer persistent duration criteria appeared to be associated with more average precipitation. Still, the climatological thresholds and moisture fields had the first-order influences on the event-average surface precipitation rate.



401

402

ity

Figure 9. Schematic interpretation of spatial-averaged granule-level AR event-accumulated precipitation.

3.3.2 Deep Analysis of Accumulated Precipitation at Fine Granular-

Although the event-average surface precipitation is a useful metric for an AR in-403 dex's overall precipitation intensity, it is even more indicative of an AR's hydrometeo-404 rological impact when combined with total event duration. Therefore, we further quan-405 tified such hydrometeorological impact using event-accumulated precipitation averaged 406 inside a surface area swept by a detected AR. We defined, for each AR index, this area 407 with all grid points visited at least once by the detected AR throughout its lifetime within 408 the West Coast or Midwest region (shown in Figure 9). Given this area, we calculated 409 the areal average of precipitation at each time step, then summed through all time steps 410 to obtain event-accumulated precipitation for the AR event. 411

Figures S5 and S6, respectively, show the swept-area distributions resulted from 412 West Coast and Midwest AR indices. The area of the West Coast region is about 1.38 413 times that of the Midwest region, as shown by the data upper bounds in these figures. 414 As expected, these areas decreased with increasing climatological thresholds; the areas 415 increased with more restrictive persistent duration thresholds; IVT + IWV-based in-416 dices restricted the areas to the smallest among all moisture fields, other factors being 417 equal. Using the 75th percentile climatological thresholds, IWV-based indices tended 418 to sweep a slightly broader area than IVT-based ones. In the Midwest region, the me-419 dian areas of the 75th-percentile IWV-based AR indices were identical to the area up-420 per bound; at least 50%—but fewer than 75%—of the AR events covered the entire Mid-421 west region. The 75th-percentile IVT-based AR indices had median areas smaller than 422 but very close to this upper bound. However, the areal differences between IWV- and 423 *IVT*-based indices diminished at 95th percentile thresholds. 424

Figure 10a compares the event-accumulated precipitation per unit area, plus one 425 and transformed with base-2 logarithm, across the 81 West Coast AR indices using box-426 plots. The IQRs straddle one order of magnitude, with medians at $\sim 3-10$ mm and Q3s 427 reaching as high as ~ 16 mm. The climatological and persistent duration thresholds af-428 fected the resultant accumulated precipitation the most. We see that the more restric-429 tive duration thresholds retained higher accumulated precipitation events when other 430 factors were fixed. The effects of changing the climatological thresholds, however, are 431 not as simple. 432

The AR indices based on the 75th percentile IWV performed as well as, if not bet-433 ter than, any other 75th percentile indices in the West Coast region. Increasing the cli-434 matological threshold of IWV beyond this point did not necessarily increase accumu-435 lated precipitation (Figure 10a). Since the area swept by the ARs decreased (Figure S5) 436 and the event-average precipitation likely increased (e.g., Figure 8), the shorter event du-437 ration (Figure 5) was responsible for this decline in accumulated precipitation. However, 438 among the IVT- and IVT + IWV-based indices, increased climatological thresholds 439 resulted in increased event-accumulated precipitation (Figure 10a). Even so, the event 440 duration decreased (Figure 5). Again, this could be attributed to the orographic effect 441 on intense precipitation, a prominent influencer of accumulated precipitation retained 442 by IVT and IVT + IWV but missed by IWV with restrictive climatological thresh-443 olds. IVT's provess in capturing the accumulated precipitation stands out with the 95th-444 percentile threshold, considering that 95th-percentile IVT- and IWV-based indices swept 445 over similar sizes of areas (Figure S5), and IVT indices tended to have shorter event du-446 ration than IWV ones (Figure 5). 447

Figure 10b compares the accumulated precipitation across the 81 Midwest AR in-448 dices using boxplots. In general, detected Midwest ARs tended to bring twice the amount 449 of event accumulated precipitation than the West Coast ARs. The Q2s, or median val-450 ues, are at $\sim 8-16$ mm and Q3s extending to ~ 30 mm. Similar to the West Coast AR 451 indices, more restrictive persistent duration thresholds led to higher accumulated pre-452 cipitation. Different from the West Coast, indices based on IWV outperformed those 453 based on IVT or IVT + IWV and resulted in the most accumulated precipitation in 454 the Midwest across all climatological thresholds. 455

Moreover, increasing the climatological thresholds decreased accumulated precip-456 itation regardless of choices of moisture field. Comparison between Figures 10a and 10b 457 shows that the choice of moisture field affected the detected AR's accumulated precip-458 itation differently by region. AR indices with longer event duration (Figure 5) tend to 459 be associated with more event-accumulated precipitation in the Midwest, whereas in-460 dices with larger event-average precipitation rate (Figure 8) are related to more precip-461 itation accumulation in the West Coast. This strongly suggests that the choice of mois-462 ture field for AR indices that best expresses surface precipitation impacts on a geograph-463 ical region ultimately depends on the physical understanding of the region's precipita-464 tion processes. 465

466

3.3.3 Seasonal Effects on Event-Accumulated Precipitation

Previous studies have demonstrated the seasonality of AR occurrence (Neiman et 467 al., 2008; Lavers & Villarini, 2015; Nayak & Villarini, 2017). With seasonality as a point 468 of departure, we further examined the event-accumulated precipitation. In particular, 469 section 3.3.2 showed that the climatological threshold and moisture field choices for an 470 AR index significantly affected its resultant accumulated precipitation. Figure 11, there-471 fore, compares the accumulated precipitation across a group of AR indices using box-472 plots conditional on locations, climatological thresholds, seasons, and moisture fields. For 473 simplicity, only indices with 1500-km length and 18-hr persistent duration thresholds are 474 shown. 475

Among landfalling West Coast ARs, there was a clear seasonal cycle in the accu-476 mulated precipitation that maximized in the winter and minimized in the summer. The 477 phase of this seasonal cycle remained unchanged across all climatological thresholds. This 478 is consistent with the rainy and dry seasons in the West Coast, as well as the previous 479 conclusion that warm seasons had less AR-related precipitation in the West Coast (Neiman 480 et al., 2008). Moreover, the combined effects of climatological threshold and moisture 481 field on the event-accumulated precipitation also had seasonality. In the warm spring and 482 summer, IWV-based indices with the 75th climatological threshold led to the most ac-483 cumulated precipitation. While in the fall and winter, IVT-based indices with the 95th 181 threshold corresponded with the most precipitation accumulation. This was likely due 485 to the significant orographic enhancement during the landfall of winter ARs but not sum-486 mer ARs that Neiman et al. (2008) found. 487







Figure 11. Boxplots of base-2 logarithmic transformation of event-accumulated precipitation (mm), plus 1, over unit area swept by AR in West Coast and Midwest during different seasons— spring (SPR: March–May), summer (SUM: June–August), fall (FAL: September–November), and winter (WIN: December–February)—according to IVT, IVT+IWV, and IWV-based AR indices with the 1500-km length and 18-hr persistent duration criteria, labeled as climate threshold in percentile 75th, 85th, or 95th in the purple box.

In contrast, among the Midwest ARs, as the climatological threshold increased, the accumulated precipitation maxima shifted from the spring-summer to the fall, and the amount in the winter increased. These suggest a dichotomy of synoptic systems associated with Midwest ARs: In addition to extratropical cyclones, the warm-month ARs received a significant amount of precipitation from maritime tropical air masses. Unlike in the West Coast, *IWV*-based Midwest AR indices were associated with the most median precipitation across all climatological thresholds and seasons.

495 4 Discussions

A single optimal AR detection algorithm expressing the surface precipitation im pacts does not exist. A hint of bifurcation in our analysis started in Figure 2, in which

-25-

manuscript submitted to JGR: Atmospheres

the Midwest climate thresholds underwent a greater seasonal change than that of the West Coast. In section 3.3, we further found that, with meandering south-north mountain ranges in the West Coast, IVT-based detection algorithms captured the intense orographic precipitation better than the IWV-based ones. This is consistent with the trend to use IVT-based detection algorithms (Guan & Waliser, 2015). However, in the Midwest, in the absence of prominent orographic lifting, IWV-based AR indices were associated with most event-average precipitation and event-accumulated precipitation.

Midwest ARs recruit moisture from tropical sources such as the Gulf of Mexico, 505 Caribbean Sea, subtropical eastern North Pacific, and the Atlantic coast of Central Amer-506 ica (Dirmeyer & Kinter, 2009, 2010). The diverse sources complicate the ARs' charac-507 teristics (Dirmeyer & Kinter, 2010). In section 3.3.3, the seasonality of event-accumulated 508 precipitation in the Midwest shifted its peak phase from warm to cold seasons along with 509 rising climate thresholds (Figure 11), suggesting a rolling change of moisture sources and 510 baroclinicity as the seasons progressed. On the other hand, West Coast AR's peak phase 511 remained the same regardless of the changing climate threshold. There is a caveat, how-512 ever. The West Coast's south-north geographic features are inhomogeneous. The land-513 falling AR characteristics between the Pacific Northwest and California coast are differ-514 ent in terms of occurrence frequency, occurrence time, and distribution and intensity of 515 related precipitation (Neiman et al., 2008). Therefore, to further refine the AR detec-516 tion algorithms, the entire North American West Coast ARs could be divided into north-517 west and southwest ARs. Such spatial division could better quantify ARs' contribution 518 to precipitation in each region. To illustrate this, we divided the West-Coast region at 519 California's north most boundary of 42° . Figure S7 shows that the Northwest ARs 520 (Figure S7a) tended to yield much more event-accumulated precipitation than the South-521 west ARs at the 75th and 85th climate thresholds, while the difference appeared to be 522 less at the 95th threshold (Figure S7b). Nevertheless, in either region, the effects of the 523 climate thresholds, persistent AR durations, and moisture fields on the resultant event-524 accumulated precipitation are qualitatively consistent with those in the entire West-Coast 525 region (Figure 10) in section 3.3.2. 526

The combined IVT+IWV-based indices should be used cautiously. It is only the best of both worlds when the goal is to extract snapshots of extreme precipitating events. As seen in Figure 8, it led to the highest event-average precipitation rate in both West Coast and Midwest. This was, however, achieved through few and short events (Figures 4a,

-26-

5). In fact, they performed the worst in AR-precipitation relation metrics such as Accuracy and F1 scores (Figures 6, 7).

Moreover, climate thresholds and moisture fields had first-order influences on the associated surface hydrometeorological impacts. However, more restrictive persistent duration thresholds can help obtain higher event-accumulated precipitation if that is the goal of detection (Figure 10).

The above findings appear to be robust against the inherently nonstationary time 537 series. To demonstrate this, we divided the data into two time periods: (1) January 1981– 538 December 1998 and (2) January 1999–December 2016. Similar to Figure 10, Figures S8 539 and S9 show the boxplots of event-accumulated precipitation per unit area but for the 540 81 West Coast and 81 Midwest AR indices during the first and the second periods, re-541 spectively. The resultant distributions across all AR indices in these two separate pe-542 riods are qualitatively similar to those in the entire study period (Figure 10). However, 543 West Coast ARs yielded more event-accumulated precipitation in the first period (Fig-544 ure S8a) than during the second period (Figure S9a), as suggested by the median and 545 maximum values. The disparity suggests a wetter AR-related climate condition in the 546 West Coast in the first period than the second. While in the Midwest, AR-related event-547 accumulated precipitation does not show a pronounced difference between the two pe-548 riods (Figure S8b and S9b). Still, the maximum values might have increased in the sec-549 ond period. The Midwest extreme AR-related event-accumulated precipitation warrants 550 detailed study in the future. Moreover, Figure S10 shows the seasonality of event-accumulated 551 precipitation conditional on climate thresholds during the two separate periods. Still, 552 the results are comparable to those in Figure 11. 553

⁵⁵⁴ Calculation of *IVT*-based indices requires height-dependent horizontal winds, so
⁵⁵⁵ reanalysis data are indispensable. Previous studies have suggested that AR character⁵⁵⁶ istics were robust across different reanalysis data (Nayak & Villarini, 2017; Ralph et al.,
⁵⁵⁷ 2019). We used MERRA-2 here since Nayak and Villarini (2017) recommended high-resolution
⁵⁵⁸ products for AR impact assessments. Nevertheless, we showed that depending on the
⁵⁵⁹ goal, *IWV* could provide optimal AR indices. When *IWV* is useful, researchers can use
⁵⁶⁰ satellite or radiosonde water vapor measurements in lieu of reanalysis.

561 5 Conclusions

This paper investigated the optimal AR detection algorithm for expressing AR's 562 surface precipitation effects using the MERRA-2 data for ARTMIP. We applied a data-563 driven approach by first asking which impacts, in which region, and in what time scale 564 and period were of concern. We then used an algorithm combining climatological thresh-565 olds, image processing, and statistical methods to create large ensembles of AR indices 566 for answering the questions with uncertainty quantification aided by detailed data vi-567 sualization. Specifically, we varied the values of four factors—moisture fields, climato-568 logical thresholds, shape criteria, and duration thresholds—to generate an ensemble of 569 81 AR indices for the US West Coast and 81 indices for the Midwest regions from 1980 570 to 2017 (Figure 1). With CPC US Unified data, we examined the AR indices' associa-571 tion with the surface precipitation impacts, including the daily co-occurrence (section 572 3.2), event-average precipitation rate (section 3.3.1), and per-event accumulation (sec-573 tions 3.3.2 and 3.3.3). 574

The identified Midwest ARs had more accumulated time steps (Figure 4b), longer 575 average per-event durations (Figure 5), more event-average precipitation (Figures 8, S3, 576 and S4), and more event-accumulated precipitation (Figures 10, S8, and S9) than the 577 578 West Coast ARs. The results were sensitive to the selection of moisture field and climatological threshold in index generation. In West Coast and Midwest, IWV-based AR 579 indices identified the most abundant AR event time steps and most accurately associ-580 ated AR to days with precipitation. These were observed at the coarse-grain regional 581 (Figure 6) and fine-grain grid-point scales (Figure 7). A restrictive climate threshold, 582 such as the 95th percentile, emphasized extreme instances but limited event duration; 583 therefore, it led to higher event-average precipitation rates. The most restrictive com-584 bination of 95th percentile IVT+IWV-based indices yielded the highest average pre-585 cipitation (Figures 8, S5, and S6). 586

However, it is important to use both event-average and event-accumulated precipitation as metrics for surface hydrometeorological impacts when scrutinizing the AR indices. Therefore, we defined an area swept by each AR event (Figures 9, S5, and S6) and calculated the event-accumulated precipitation per unit area for each AR index (Figures 10, S8, and S9). On the West Coast, the 75th percentile *IWV*-based indices were associated with the most accumulated precipitation, while the 95th percentile *IVT* captured the accumulated precipitation the best (Figures 10a, S8a, and S9a). This could be explained by the *IVT*'s better representation of intense coastal orographic precipitation. *IWV*based AR indices with the longest persistent duration thresholds were associated with the most accumulated precipitation in the Midwest across a range of climate thresholds (Figures 10b, S8b, and S9b). Therefore, we recommend to use *IWV*-based algorithm to identify AR-related surface precipitation in the Midwest but *IVT*-based algorithm to capture the orographically-induced precipitation in the West Coast.

Even more, the AR event-accumulated precipitation showed seasonality (Figures 11 600 and S10). The accumulated precipitation of all West Coast landfalling ARs had a clear 601 seasonal cycle with the maximum in the winter and the minimum in the summer. How-602 ever, for the Midwest ARs, the phase of the seasonal cycle depended on the climatolog-603 ical threshold. Increasing the climatological threshold from the 75th to the 95th percentile 604 shifted the maxima from the spring–summer to fall and accentuated winter precipita-605 tion; this reflects the effects of seasonal change of moisture sources, convective instabil-606 ity, and atmospheric baroclincity. 607

In conclusion, an optimal AR detection algorithm should be adaptive to the types 608 of impact to be addressed, the associated physical mechanisms in the affected regions, 609 timing such as the phase in the seasonal cycle, and event durations. The systematic en-610 semble approach we used was made possible by distributed parallel computing with data 611 and, specifically, the divide-and-recombine approach using the R-based DeltaRho back-612 ended by a Hadoop system. This study's findings provide useful information for future 613 creators and users of AR indices who consider surface precipitation in their decision pro-614 cesses. Our detection algorithms and computational approach can be applied to climate 615 model output, such as CMIP6, to explore the changes of ARs and AR-related surface 616 precipitation impacts in climate change scenarios. 617

618 Acknowledgments

⁶¹⁹ The authors are grateful to R. Cannoodt, D. Crabill, Y. Song, M. Bowers and Purdue

⁶²⁰ ITAP RCAC for their help on computing with data. We are indebted to two anonymous

reviewers and the discussions with ARTMIP scientists, especially T. O'Brien, J. Rutz,

and C. Shields. We also thank W. L. Downing and C. Shen for insightful comments. Data

for this research are openly available through NCAR CDG (2019), NOAA/OAR/ESRL

⁶²⁴ PSL (2021). AR indices further generated are available as Purdue data in NCAR CDG

- ₆₂₅ (2021); special thanks to P. Ullrich and C. Shields for helping make the data available.
- ⁶²⁶ The work is supported by DARPA-BAA-16-43-D3M-FP-051.

627 References

Becker, R. A., Cleveland, W. S., & Shyu, M. J. (1996). The visual design and con-628 trol of trellis display. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, 5(2), 629 123-155. doi: 10.1080/10618600.1996.10474701 630 Blamey, R. C., Ramos, A. M., Trigo, R. M., Tomé, R., & Reason, C. J. (2018).631 The influence of atmospheric rivers over the South Atlantic on winter rain-632 fall in South Africa. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 19(1), 127–142. doi: 633 10.1175/JHM-D-17-0111.1 634 Chen, M., Shi, W., Xie, P., Silva, V. B., Kousky, V. E., Higgins, R. W., & Janowiak, 635 J. E. (2008).Assessing objective techniques for gauge-based analyses of 636 global daily precipitation. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113(4), 1–13. doi: 637 10.1029/2007JD009132 638 Chen, X., Leung, L. R., Gao, Y., Liu, Y., Wigmosta, M., & Richmond, M. (2018).639 Predictability of Extreme Precipitation in Western U.S. Watersheds Based on 640 Atmospheric River Occurrence, Intensity, and Duration. Geophys. Res. Lett., 641 45(21), 11,693–11,701. doi: 10.1029/2018GL079831 642 Cleveland, W. S., & Hafen, R. (2014). Divide and recombine (D&R): Data science 643 for large complex data. Statistical Analysis Data Mining, 7(6), 425–433. doi: 644 10.1002/sam.11242 645 Cleveland, W. S., & McGill, R. (1984). Graphical perception: Theory, experimen-646 tation, and application to the development of graphical methods. Journal of 647 the American Statistical Association, 79(387), 531–554. doi: 10.1080/01621459 648 .1984.10478080 649 Daly, C., Halbleib, M., Smith, J. I., Gibson, W. P., Doggett, M. K., Taylor, G. H., 650 ... Pasteris, P. P. (2008). Physiographically sensitive mapping of climatologi-651 cal temperature and precipitation across the conterminous United States. Int. 652 J. Climatol., 28(15), 2031–2064. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1688 653 Dettinger, M. D. (2011).Climate change, atmospheric rivers, and floods in Cal-654 ifornia - a multimodel analysis of storm frequency and magnitude changes. 655 Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 47(3), 514–523. doi: 656

- ⁶⁵⁷ 10.1111/j.1752-1688.2011.00546.x
- Dettinger, M. D. (2013). Atmospheric rivers as drought busters on the U.S. West
 Coast. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 14(6), 1721–1732. doi: 10.1175/JHM-D
 -13-02.1
- Dettinger, M. D., Ralph, F. M., Das, T., Neiman, P. J., & Cayan, D. R. (2011). At mospheric Rivers, Floods and the Water Resources of California. *Water*, 3(2),
 445–478. doi: 10.3390/w3020445
- Dirmeyer, P. A., & Kinter, J. L. (2009). The "Maya Express": Floods in the U.S.
 Midwest. EOS Transactions, 90(12), 101–102. doi: 10.1029/2009EO120001
- Dirmeyer, P. A., & Kinter, J. L. (2010). Floods over the U.S. midwest: A regional
 water cycle perspective. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 11(5), 1172–1181. doi:
 10.1175/2010JHM1196.1
- Eiras-Barca, J., Brands, S., & Miguez-Macho, G. (2016). Seasonal variations in
 North Atlantic atmospheric river activity and associations with anomalous pre cipitation over the Iberian Atlantic margin. Journal of Geophysical Research
 Atmosphere, 121(2), 931–948. doi: 10.1002/2015JD023379
- Gelaro, R., McCarty, W., Suárez, M. J., Todling, R., Molod, A., Takacs, L., ...
- Zhao, B. (2017). The modern-era retrospective analysis for research and applications, version 2 (MERRA-2). Journal of Climate, 30(14), 5419–5454. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0758.1
- Gershunov, A., Shulgina, T., Ralph, F. M., Lavers, D. A., & Rutz, J. J. (2017).
 Assessing the climate-scale variability of atmospheric rivers affecting western North America. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(15), 7900–7908. doi:
- ern North America. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44 (15), 7900–7908. doi: 10.1002/2017GL074175
- Gibson, P. B., Waliser, D. E., Lee, H., Tian, B., & Massoud, E. (2019). Climate
 model evaluation in the presence of observational uncertainty: Precipitation
 indices over the contiguous United States. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 20(7),
 1339–1357. doi: 10.1175/JHM-D-18-0230.1
- Guan, B., Molotch, N. P., Waliser, D. E., Fetzer, E. J., & Neiman, P. J. (2010).
 Extreme snowfall events linked to atmospheric rivers and surface air temper ature via satellite measurements. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37(20). doi:
 10.1029/2010GL044696
- ⁶⁰⁹ Guan, B., Molotch, N. P., Waliser, D. E., Fetzer, E. J., & Neiman, P. J. (2013).

-31-

690	The 2010/2011 snow season in California's Sierra Nevada: Role of atmospheric
691	rivers and modes of large-scale variability. Water Resources Research, $49(10)$,
692	6731–6743. doi: 10.1002/wrcr.20537
693	Guan, B., & Waliser, D. E. (2015). Detection of atmospheric rivers: Evaluation and
694	application of an algorithm for global studies. Journal of Geophysical Research
695	Atmosphere, 120(24), 12,514-12,535.doi: 10.1002/2015JD024257
696	Hastie, T., & Stuetzle, W. (1989). Principal Curves. Journal of the American Statis-
697	tical Association, $84(406)$, 502–516. doi: 10.1080/01621459.1989.10478797
698	Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., & Friedman, J. (2001). The elements of statistical Learn-
699	ing: Data mning, inference, and prediction. Springer, New York, NY. doi: 10
700	.1007/978-0-387-84858-7
701	Higgins, R., Shi, W., Yarosh, E., & Joyce, R. (2000). Improved United States precip-
702	itation quality control system and analysis. NCEP/Climate Prediction Center
703	ATLAS 7.
704	Lavers, D. A., & Villarini, G. (2013). Atmospheric rivers and flooding over the cen-
705	tral United States. Journal of Climate, $26(20)$, 7829–7836. doi: 10.1175/JCLI
706	-D-13-00212.1
707	Lavers, D. A., & Villarini, G. (2015). The contribution of atmospheric rivers to pre-
708	cipitation in Europe and the United States. Journal of Hydrology, 522, 382–
709	390. doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.12.010
710	Lavers, D. A., Villarini, G., Allan, R. P., Wood, E. F., & Wade, A. J. (2012). The
711	detection of atmospheric rivers in atmospheric reanalyses and their links to
712	British winter floods and the large-scale climatic circulation. Journal of Geo-
713	physical Research Atmospheres, $117(20)$. doi: $10.1029/2012$ JD018027
714	Leung, L. R., & Qian, Y. (2009). Atmospheric rivers induced heavy pre-
715	cipitation and flooding in the western U.S. simulated by the WRF re-
716	gional climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, $36(3)$, L03820. doi:
717	10.1029/2008GL036445
718	Little, K., Kingston, D. G., Cullen, N. J., & Gibson, P. B. (2019). The Role of
719	Atmospheric Rivers for Extreme Ablation and Snowfall Events in the Southern
720	Alps of New Zealand. Geophysical Research Letters, $46(5)$, 2761–2771. doi:
721	10.1029/2018GL081669
722	Luo, Q., & Tung, Ww. (2015). Case study of moisture and heat budgets within at-

723	mospheric rivers. Monthly Weather Review, $143(10)$, $4145-4162$. doi: $10.1175/$
724	MWR-D-15-0006.1
725	Nayak, M. A., & Villarini, G. (2017). A long-term perspective of the hydroclimato-
726	logical impacts of atmospheric rivers over the central United States. Water Re -
727	sources Research, 53(2), 1144–1166. doi: 10.1002/2016WR019033
728	Nayak, M. A., & Villarini, G. (2018). Remote sensing-based characterization of rain-
729	fall during atmospheric rivers over the central United States. Journal of Hy-
730	drology, 556, 1038-1049.doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.09.039
731	NCAR CDG. (2019). 3-hourly MERRA2 IVT, uIVT, vIVT, IWV data computed for
732	ARTMIP. (last access: 24 January 2019) doi: 10.5065/D62R3QFS
733	NCAR CDG. (2021). ARTMIP Tier 1 Catalogues. (last access: 1 January 2021) doi:
734	10.5065/D6R78D1M
735	Neiman, P. J., Ralph, F. M., Wick, G. A., Lundquist, J. D., & Dettinger, M. D.
736	(2008). Meteorological characteristics and overland precipitation impacts of
737	atmospheric rivers affecting the West coast of North America based on eight
738	years of SSM/I satellite observations. Journal of Hydrometeorology, $9(1)$,
739	22–47. doi: 10.1175/2007JHM855.1
740	Neiman, P. J., White, A. B., Ralph, F. M., Gottas, D. J., & Gutman, S. I. (2009).
741	A water vapour flux tool for precipitation forecasting. Proceedings of the Insti-
742	tution of Civil Engineers: Water Management, $162(2)$, 83–94. doi: 10.1680/
743	wama.2009.162.2.83
744	NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSL. (2021). CPC US Unified Precipitation
745	https://psl.noaa.gov/data/gridded/data.unified.daily.conus.html.~~(last~access:~1)
746	January 2021)
747	O'Brien, T. A., Payne, A. E., Shields, C. A., Rutz, J., Brands, S., Castellano, C.,
748	Zhou, Y. (2020). Detection uncertainty matters for understanding atmospheric
749	rivers. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 101(6), E790-E796.
750	doi: 10.1175/bams-d-19-0348.1
751	Payne, A. E., & Magnusdottir, G. (2016). Persistent landfalling atmospheric rivers
752	over the west coast of North America. Journal of Geophysical Research Atmo-
753	sphere, 121(22), 13,287–13,300. doi: 10.1002/2016JD025549
754	Ralph, F. M., Coleman, T., Neiman, P. J., Zamora, R. J., & Dettinger, M. D.
755	(2013). Observed impacts of duration and seasonality of atmospheric-river

756	landfalls on soil moisture and runoff in coastal Northern California. $Journal of$
757	Hydrometeorology, 14(2), 443–459. doi: 10.1175/JHM-D-12-076.1
758	Ralph, F. M., Dettinger, M. D., Cairns, M. M., Galarneau, T. J., & Eylander, J.
759	(2018). Defining "Atmospheric River": how the glossary of meteorology helped
760	resolve a debate. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, $99(4)$,
761	837–839. doi: 10.1175/BMAS-D-17-0157.1
762	Ralph, F. M., Neiman, P. J., Kiladis, G. N., Weickmann, K., & Reynolds, D. W.
763	(2011). A multiscale observational case study of a Pacific atmospheric river
764	exhibiting tropical-extratropical connections and a mesoscale frontal wave.
765	Monthly Weather Review, $139(4)$, 1169–1189. doi: 10.1175/2010MWR3596.1
766	Ralph, F. M., Neiman, P. J., & Rotunno, R. (2005). Dropsonde observations in
767	low-level jets over the Northeastern Pacific Ocean from CALJET-1998 and
768	PACJET-2001: Mean vertical-profile and atmospheric-river characteristics.
769	Monthly Weather Review, $133(4)$, 889–910. doi: 10.1175/MWR2896.1
770	Ralph, F. M., Neiman, P. J., & Wick, G. A. (2004). Satellite and CALJET aircraft
771	observations of atmospheric rivers over the Eastern North Pacific ocean during
772	the winter of 1997/98. Monthly Weather Review, $132(7)$, $1721-1745$. doi:
773	$10.1175/1520\text{-}0493(2004)132\langle 1721\text{:} \text{SACAOO}\rangle 2.0.\text{CO}\text{;} 2$
774	Ralph, F. M., Neiman, P. J., Wick, G. A., Gutman, S. I., Dettinger, M. D., Cayan,
775	D. R., & White, A. B. (2006). Flooding on California's Russian River: Role
776	of atmospheric rivers. Geophysical Research Letters, 33(5), L13801. doi:
777	10.1029/2006GL026689
778	Ralph, F. M., Wilson, A. M., Shulgina, T., Kawzenuk, B., Sellars, S., Rutz, J. J.,
779	Wick, G. A. (2019). ARTMIP-early start comparison of atmospheric
780	river detection tools: how many atmospheric rivers hit northern California's
781	Russian River watershed? Climate Dynamics, 52(7-8), 4973–4994. doi:
782	10.1007/s00382-018-4427-5
783	Rutz, J. J., Shields, C. A., Lora, J. M., Payne, A. E., Guan, B., Ullrich, P.,
784	Viale, M. (2019). The Atmospheric River Tracking Method Intercomparison
785	Project (ARTMIP): Quantifying uncertainties in atmospheric river climatol-
786	ogy. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 13,777–13,802. doi:
787	10.1029/2019JD030936
788	Rutz, J. J., & Steenburgh, W. J. (2012). Quantifying the role of atmospheric rivers

-34-

789	in the interior western United States. Atmospheric Science Letters, $13(4)$, 257–
790	261. doi: 10.1002/asl.392
791	Rutz, J. J., Steenburgh, W. J., & Ralph, F. M. (2014). Climatological charac-
792	teristics of atmospheric rivers and their inland penetration over the west-
793	ern United States. Monthly Weather Review, $142(2)$, 905–921. doi:
794	10.1175/MWR-D-13-00168.1
795	Sellars, S. L., Gao, X., & Sorooshian, S. (2015). An object-oriented approach
796	to investigate impacts of climate oscillations on precipitation: A western
797	United States case study. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 16(2), 830–842.
798	doi: 10.1175/JHM-D-14-0101.1
799	Shields, C. A., Rutz, J. J., Leung, LY., Ralph, F. M., Wehner, M., Kawzenuk, B.,
800	\ldots Nguyen, P. (2018). Atmospheric River Tracking Method Intercomparison
801	Project (ARTMIP): project goals and experimental design. Geoscientific Model
802	Development, 11, 2455-2474.doi: 10.5194/gmd-11-2455-2018
803	Tung, Ww., Barthur, A., Bowers, M. C., Song, Y., Gerth, J., & Cleveland, W. S.
804	(2018). Divide and recombine (D&R) data science projects for deep analysis
805	of big data and high computational complexity. Japanese Journal of Statistics
806	and Data Science, 1(1), 139–156. doi: 10.1007/s42081-018-0008-4
807	Wick, G. A., Neiman, P. J., & Ralph, F. M. (2013). Description and validation
808	of an automated objective technique for identification and characteriza-
809	tion of the integrated water vapor signature of atmospheric rivers. <i>IEEE</i>
810	$Transactions \ on \ Geoscience \ and \ Remote \ Sensing, \ 51(4), \ 2166-2176. \qquad \ {\rm doi:}$
811	10.1109/TGRS.2012.2211024
812	Wolter, K., & Timlin, M. S. (1993). Monitoring enso in coads with a seasonally
813	adjusted principal component index. Paper presented at the 17th Climate
814	Diagnostics Workshop, NOAA/NMC/CAC, NSSL, Oklahoma Clim. Survey,
815	CIMMS and the School of Meteor., Univ. of Oklahoma, Norman, OK.
816	Xie, P., Yatagai, A., Chen, M., Hayasaka, T., Fukushima, Y., Liu, C., & Yang, S.
817	(2007). A gauge-based analysis of daily precipitation over East Asia. J. Hy-
818	drometeorol., $8(3)$, 607–626. doi: 10.1175/JHM583.1
819	Zhu, Y., & Newell, R. E. (1998). A proposed algorithm for moisture fluxes from at-
820	mospheric rivers. Monthly Weather Review, 126(3), 725–735. doi: 10.1175/
821	1520-0493(1998)126(0725:APAFMF)2.0.CO;2

Supporting Information for "In Search of The Optimal Atmospheric River Index for US Precipitation: A Multifactorial Analysis"

Chen Zhang¹, Wen-wen Tung¹, William S. Cleveland^{2,3}

¹Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

²Department of Statistics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

³Department of Computer Science, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

Contents of this file

1. Figures S1 to S10

Introduction

This supporting information provides supplementary figures showing, for the West Coast and the Midwest AR indices from January 1980 to June 2017, the coarse-grain *AR Related Precipitation* and *Precision* values, fine-grain event-average precipitation rate distributions, and the distributions of surface areas swept by AR events. The West-Coast region is further divided into Northwest and Southwest regions, and the respective event-accumulated precipitation over unit area swept by ARs are shown. In addition,

Corresponding author: W.-w. Tung, Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences, Purdue University, 550 Stadium Mall Drive, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA. (wwtung@purdue.edu) West-Coast or Midwest AR event-accumulated precipitation over unit area in (1) January 1981–December 1998 and (2) January 1999–December 2016 are shown, with or without being conditional on the season.



Figure S1. Dotplots of coarse-grain AR Related Precipitation calculated for 81 West-Coast (top-row) and 81 Midwest (bottom-row) AR indices. The fraction of total days with precipitation attributable to identified ARs (in ratio) are plotted on each panel, conditional on 18 combinations of regions (West Coast or Midwest), moisture fields (IVT, IWV, or IVT+IWV), and AR length criteria (1500, 1800, or 2000 km). The results are 18 packets, or subsets, of values. Each packet has 9 paired values of AR Related Precipitation in the y-axis and one of the AR persistent duration thresholds (12, 18, or 24 hours along the x-axis), grouped with color by climatological thresholds (75th, 85th, or 95th percentiles).



Figure S2. Similar to Figure S1, but for *Precision*.



Figure S3. Based on the West Coast AR indices, boxplots of base-2 logarithmic transformation of event-average precipitation rate plus 1 (in mm hr⁻¹) over unit area. Each figure has nine packets from combinations of three moisture(IVT, IWV, or IVT + IWV) and three AR length criteria (1500, 1800, or 2000 km). Each packet has nine boxplots grouped by color into three levels of climatological thresholds (75th, 85th, or 95th percentile). Within each triplet, from bottom to top, the persistent duration thresholds increase from 12, 18, to 24 hours. Each boxplot includes the colored box spanning from Q1 to Q3 of the distribution, a black dot marking the median, and the whiskers are marked in grey. The whiskers extend to the most extreme data point that is no more than 1.5 times the length of the box (IQR) away from the box. Any data points outside March 21, 2021, 1:17am the whiskers are marked as potential outliers in light blue.



Figure S4. Similar to Figure S3, but based on the Midwest AR indices.



Area Swept by the AR Event (Square Kilometers, 10⁵))

Figure S5. Similar to Figure S3, but for boxplots of surface area (in 10^5 km^2) swept by the detected ARs for each AR event for the West Coast. Each figure has nine packets from combinations of three moisture(*IVT*, *IWV*, or *IVT* + *IWV*) and three AR length criteria (1500, 1800, or 2000 km). Each packet has nine boxplots grouped by color into three levels of climatological thresholds (75th, 85th, or 95th percentile). Within each triplet, from bottom to top, the persistent duration thresholds increase from 12, 18, to 24 hours.



Figure S6. Similar to Figure S5, but for the Midwest.







thresholds increase from 12, 18, to 24 hours





March 21, 2021, 1:17am

ZHANG ET AL.: OPTIMAL AR INDEX FOR PRECIPITATION



indices with the 1500-km length and 18-hr persistent duration criteria, labeled as climate threshold in percentile 75th, 85th, period (January 1999–December 2016) in different seasons—spring (SPR: March–May), summer (SUM: June–August), fall (FAL: September–November), and winter (WIN: December–February)—according to IVT, IVT + IWV, and IWV-based AR Boxplots of base-2 logarithmic transformation of event-accumulated precipitation (mm), plus 1, over unit

or 95th in the purple box

March 21, 2021, 1:17am