Extended Walfisch-Bertoni Propagation Model to cover Short Range and Millimeter-wave bands

Wataru Yamada¹, Motoharu Sasaki¹, and Naoki Kita¹

¹NTT Corporation

November 22, 2022

Abstract

Extending the frequency range of the Walfisch-Bertoni (W-B) model, which is a representative theoretical model, was investigated. To extend the W-B model, three changes to the original W-B model were made: (i) re-modeling over rooftop path, (ii) introducing a slant path, and (iii) modeling of multiple reflections between buildings. To validate the proposed model, propagation loss predicted by the model was compared with measurement data. The results of the comparison show that propagation loss predicted by the proposed model agrees well with the measurement results.

Extended Walfisch-Bertoni Propagation Model to cover Short Range and Millimeterwave bands W. Yamada¹, M. Sasaki¹, and N. Kita¹

¹NTT Access Network Service Systems Laboratories, NTT Corporation.

7 Abstract

5 6

8 Extending the frequency range of the Walfisch-Bertoni (W-B) model, which is a 9 representative theoretical model, was investigated. To extend the W-B model, three changes to the 10 original W-B model were made: (i) re-modeling over rooftop path, (ii) introducing a slant path, 11 and (iii) modeling of multiple reflections between buildings. To validate the proposed model, 12 propagation loss predicted by the model was compared with measurement data. The results of the 13 comparison show that propagation loss predicted by the proposed model agrees well with the 14 measurement results.

Index terms – millimeter wave, short range, propagation model, propagation loss, 5G, Beyond
 5G, 6G

17

18 **1 Introduction**

The fifth-generation mobile communication system (5G) was commercialized in 2020. 19 The main features of 5G are threefold: "enhanced mobile broadband" (eMBB), "massive machine-20 type communication" (mMTC), and "ultra-reliable low-latency communications" (URLLC) [ITU-21 RM2410, 2017]. So far, 5G has mainly been operated on sub-6-GHz bands. However, to fully 22 23 utilize the features of 5G, new frequency bands must be assigned to International Mobile Telecommunications (IMT) systems. Therefore, over the last decade, efforts have been made to 24 25 develop new frequency bands for IMT systems. As a result, at the World Radiocommunication 26 Conference 2019, a total of 17.25 GHz of new bandwidth from 24.25 to 71 GHz was identified for IMT systems [WRC, 2020]. Moreover, studies on beyond 5G and 6G have begun, and plans to use 27 frequencies up to 300 GHz for IMT have been made [DOCOMO, 2020][Latva-aho et al., 2019]. 28 29 Unlike radio waves in conventional frequency bands, those in these high-frequency bands have strong straightness. 30

Several methods for predicting propagation characteristics in high-frequency bands have 31 been developed [Sasaki et al., 2015] [ITUM2412, 2017] [Salous et al., 2020]. These methods 32 mainly use statistical models based on measurement results. Since the statistical models are 33 34 constructed from measurement data, they have sufficient reliability, but their effectiveness outside the measured frequency range is extremely limited. On the contrary, since a theoretical model is 35 derived from electromagnetic-field theory, the parameter-setting range for a theoretical model is 36 often not largely restricted. One representative theoretical model is the Walfisch-Bertoni (W-B) 37 model [Walfisch et al., 1988]. The W-B model is a widely used for over-rooftop propagation 38 environments. It targets applications in the UHF band from 300 MHz to 3 GHz and introduces 39 40 various mathematical approximations. Because of these approximations, millimeter-wave bands are out of the application range of the W-B model. In the current study, therefore, the applicable
 frequency range of the W-B model was extended to the millimeter wave band.

43 2 Walfisch-Bertoni Model and related models

44 2.1 Walfisch-Bertoni and related models

The model proposed by Walfisch and Bertoni is a physical model of propagation that takes place in urban environments. The geometry to explain the model is shown in Figure 1.

47





Figure 1. Considered situation and geometry of Walfisch-Bertoni model

In the model, α is the grazing angle (in units of radians) of a radio wave incident on one building of a row of buildings, R_k and R_m are horizontal distances in units of kilometers and meters, respectively, *H* is the height of the transmitting antenna from the building-rooftop level, *d* is the center-to-center spacing of the row of buildings, *h* is building height, and h_m is the height of the receiving antenna from ground level. In the W-B model, it is assumed that all of the building lows are of the same height, and the lows of buildings are replaced by a half screens. Using these parameters, the W-B model is formulated as follows:

57
$$L=L_f+L_{rts}+L_{msd}$$

58
$$L_{f}=32.4+20\log_{10}(f_{MHz})+20\log_{10}(R_{k})$$

60

$$L_{msd} = 68.9 - 9\log_{10}(f_{MHz}) - 9\log_{10}(d) + 18\log_{10}(R_k) - 18\log_{10}(H) - 18\log_{10}\left[1 - \frac{R_k^2}{17H}\right]$$

61
$$L_{rts} = -8.8 + 10 \log_{10}(f_{MHz}) + 5 \log_{10}\left[\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^2 + (h - h_m)^2\right] + 20 \log_{10}\left\{\tan^{-1}\left[\frac{2(h - h_m)}{d}\right]\right\}$$

62 where f_{MHz} is the frequency in MHz.

As shown above, this W-B model is composed of three components. The first component is free-space path loss, L_f , the second is path loss associated with diffraction down to street level, L_{rts} , and the third is path loss propagated over the rooftop by multiple diffraction past rows of buildings, L_{msd} . When this model was developed, the operating frequency bands of IMT systems were assumed as UHF bands. The applicable range of this model is therefore from 300 MHz to 3 GHz in terms operating frequency and from 1 to 20 km in terms of propagation distance.

Based on the W-B model, several related models have been developed. For example, the COST 231 Walfisch-Ikegamni (W-I) model [Correia, 2009] basically follows the W-B model, but the

- term L_{rts} is replaced by the model proposed by Ikegami, et al. [Ikegami et al., 1991]. When a base 71 station is placed above a roof top, the model is re-organized as follows: 72
- $L=L_{f}+L_{rts}+L_{msd}$ 73
- $L_{f}=32.4+20\log_{10}(f_{MHz})+20\log_{10}(R_{k})$ 74
- $L_{rts} = -16.9 10\log_{10}(W_s) + 10\log_{10}(f_{MHz}) + 20\log_{10}(h h_m) + L_{ori}$ 75

 $L_{msd} = -18\log_{10}(H+1) + 54 + 18\log_{10}(R_k) + \left[-4 + 0.7\left(\frac{f_{MHz}}{925} - 1\right)\right]\log_{10}(f_{MHz})$ 76 $-9\log_{10}(d)$

77

78
$$L_{ori} = \begin{cases} -10.0 + 0.354\phi \\ 2.5 + 0.075(\phi - 35) \\ 4.0 - 0.114(\phi - 55) \end{cases}$$

79 where w_s is street width and ϕ is angle of signal arrival relative to the street axis.

The applicable range of the W-I model is from 800 MHz to 2 GHz in terms of operating 80 81 frequency and from 0.02 to 5 km in terms of propagation distance. The major difference between the W-I and W-B models is in term L_{msd} . In the case of the W-B model, L_{msd} is derived theoretically, 82 while in the case of the W-I model, it is derived empirically on the basis of the W-B model. The 83 W-I model is widely adopted as an international standardized model [ITU-RP1411, 2019], and a 84 85 number of extensions to it have been presented.

2.2 Problems with current models 86

When the W-B model was developed, some assumptions and approximations were applied. 87 Among them, assuming the same applicable range of operating frequency and propagation distance 88 when attempting to extend the model to higher frequency bands make is a critical problem. 89

90 Dependence of settled field amplitude Q [Walfisch et al., 1988] on parameter $\alpha_1/d/\lambda$ is plotted in Figure 2. Red circles represent simulated values based on plane-wave diffraction by a 91 series of half screens. In the W-B model, it is assumed that the height of the base-station antenna 92 is 40 m, the distance to the mobile device is in the range 1 to 20 km, and a typical value of d is 40 93 m. Under these assumptions, the range of $\alpha \sqrt{d/\lambda}$ is from 0.02 to 0.5 for $f_{MHz} = 1$ GHz. In this 94 range, simulated settled field amplitude Q can be regarded as a straight line. Therefore, the curve 95 predicted with the W-B model is approximated as the blue dashed line in the figure. On the 96 contrary, the range of $\alpha \sqrt{d/\lambda}$ frequently exceeds 1.0 at frequency above the UHF band, such as 97 the millimeter-wave band. Moreover, α becomes large when distance R_k within 1 km (which is an 98 important area for IMT services on high-frequency bands). Even in this case, range of settled field 99 amplitude Q frequently exceeds 1.0. This means power is amplified while a signal is propagating 100 over multiple rooftops. 101

102 Lmsd and Lrts calculated by the W-B model are shown in Figure 3. Parameters used in this calculation are listed in Table 1. This figure shows that L_{rts} is a constant value regardless of 103 distance, and L_{msd} is increased with increasing distance R_k . On the contrary, L_{msd} has a positive 104 value above 500 m, but becomes negative below 500 m. This result implies travelling waves are 105 amplified below 500 m while propagating over multiple rooftop; however, realistically, such 106 amplification cannot happen. 107



Figure 2. Dependence of settled field amplitude Q and parameter $\alpha \sqrt{d/\lambda}$

Table 1. Parameters for simulation		
Simulation parameters	Value	
fм	1.0 GHz	
Н	25 m	
h	15 m	
h_m	1.5 m	
d	20 m	







Predicted propagation loss by the W-B and W-I models at $R_k=1$ km is plotted in shows 114 Figure 4. The horizontal axis represents frequency in unit of GHz. As shown in this figure, 115 propagation loss in the case of the of W-B model increases linearly with increasing frequency. In 116 contrast, propagation loss in the case of the W-I model increases greatly as frequency increases to 117 an unrealistic level. This difference is due to differences in L_{msd} for both models. It is therefore 118 concluded that a modeling approach based on the W-I model is not suitable for extending the 119 frequency range. Accordingly, extending the frequency range by taking the approach based on the 120 W-B model was investigated. 121



Figure 4. Examples of predicted results of W-B model and W-I model

124

122

123

125

3 Modified W-B model to cover high-frequency bands 126

3.1 Re-modeling of over-rooftop path 127

As described in Section 2.2, the original equation to derive L_{msd} has a problem in regard 128

to covering high-frequency bands. The problem is that settled field amplitude Q exceeds 1.0 129

from a certain region. Therefore, to solve that problem, it is proposed to divide the section into a 130

- region where settled field amplitude Q does not exceed 1.0 and a region where Q exceeds 1.0, 131
- and original equation is applied to the former region, and 1.0 is applied to the latter region. The 132 modified model is characterized by the orange line shown in Figure 5
- 133



134 135

Figure 5. Characteristics of proposed and conventional models

136 The characteristics of the proposed and conventional models (expressed in units of dB) are given as follows: 137

 $\begin{cases} 16.8 + 20 \log_{10}(R_m) - 20 \log_{10}(H) - 10 \log_{10}(f_{MHz}) - 10 \log_{10}(d) & \text{for } \alpha \sqrt{\frac{d}{\lambda}} < 0.4 \\ 0 & \text{for } \alpha \sqrt{\frac{d}{\lambda}} \ge 0.4 \end{cases}$ 139

Here, some terms in original equation for L_{msd} have been deleted for simplification of equation. 140

3.2 Introduction of slant path 141

Actually, horizontal distance and actual propagation distance start to differ as the distance 142 becomes shorter because of the difference in antenna height. For this reason, slant path rather than 143 horizontal path has to be introduced. The equation for deriving L_f is therefore slightly modified as 144 follows: 145

146
$$L = -27.6 + 20 \log_{10}(f_{MHz}) + 20 \log_{10}\left[\sqrt{(R_m + d/2)^2 + (H + h - h_m)^2}\right]$$

147 Here, variable of horizontal distance has been changed from R_k (in units of kilometers) to R_m (in

- 148 units of meters).
- 149 3.3 Modeling of multiple reflections between buildings

A method for evaluating height-variation characteristics of propagation loss for fixed wireless access systems has been proposed [Kita et al., 2007]. In that study, it was revealed that propagation loss of multiple reflection waves from neighboring buildings is smaller than diffraction loss under some situation. Especially, multiple-reflection loss sometimes becomes smaller than diffraction loss in the high frequency band because diffraction loss increases with increasing frequency. Therefore, multiple reflection paths have to be considered for improving prediction accuracy of propagation loss.



157



When a receiving station with height h_m is located at the center of building spacing d as shown in Fig. 6, the number of inter-building reflections, n_R , can be derived from image region as

162
$$\frac{H}{R_m} \le \frac{h - h_m}{d/2 + n_R d}$$

163 When reflection loss per wall surface is L_r , additional loss L_{mr} due to multiple reflections between 164 buildings can be calculated as follows:

167
$$L_{mr} = n_R L_R \le \frac{2(h - h_m)R_m - dH}{2dH} L_r$$

Actually, number of reflections is an integer, so n_R should also be an integer. However, in this paper, it is defined that additional loss is calculated by using the right term of the above equation.

168 3.4 Summary of proposed model

To summarize the model introduced in Sections 3.1 to 3.3, the W-B model is modified to cover high-frequency bands as follows:

171
$$L=L_{f}+L_{msd}+\min(L_{mr}, L_{rts})$$

172
$$L_{f}=-27.6+20\log_{10}(f_{MHz})+20\log_{10}\left[\sqrt{(R_{m}+d/2)^{2}+(H+h-h_{m})^{2}}\right]$$

173
$$L_{msd}$$

174 $=\begin{cases} 16.8 + 20 \log_{10}(R_m) - 20 \log_{10}(H) - 10 \log_{10}(f_{MHz}) - 10 \log_{10}(d) & \text{for } \alpha \sqrt{\frac{d}{\lambda}} < 0.4 \\ 0 & \text{for } \alpha \sqrt{\frac{d}{\lambda}} \ge 0.4 \end{cases}$

175
$$L_{rts} = -11.5 + 10 \log_{10}(f_{MHz}) + 5 \log_{10} \left[\left(\frac{a}{2} \right)^{2} + (h - h_{m})^{2} + 20 \log_{10} \left\{ \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{2(h - h_{m})}{d} \right] - \alpha \right\}$$

177
$$L_{mr} = \frac{2(h - h_m)R_m - dH}{2dH} \times L_m$$

178
$$\alpha = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{H}{R_m}\right)$$

An example of the prediction results given by the modified W-B model is shown in Figure 7. The parameters used in this simulation are identical to the values listed in Table 1. Difference between the proposed and original W-B models can be found within 200 m.



- 182
- 183

Figure 7. Examples of prediction

184 **4 Validation of proposed model by measurement**

185 4.1 Measurement environment and parameters

To validate the proposed model, propagation loss was measured in Tokyo, Japan. Measured frequency bands were 2.2, 5.2, and 26.4 GHz with continuous waves. Measurement parameters and environmental parameters are summarized in Table 2. The base station was set on the rooftop of a building with height of 41.0 m from the ground. The antenna height was 1.5 m from the rooftop, so the top of the antenna was 42.5 m from the ground. A receiver and data logger were set on a measurement vehicle moving at approximately 30 km/h along a road of a predetermined route. A receiving antenna was installed on the roof of the vehicle at a height of 2.7 m from the ground. The antenna's radiation pattern was omni-directional in the horizontal plane for both the transmitter and receiver.

195 A view from the base station to the measurement area is shown in Figure 8, and the locations of the base station and measurement area are shown in Figure 9. Most of the buildings in the 196 measurement area are detached houses. The average building heights along the main roads that 197 cross from left to right and from top to bottom near the base station are higher than those in other 198 areas. However, in consideration of the total number of buildings in the measurement area, the 199 number of buildings along the main roads is small. Average building height of the measurement 200 area is therefore 8.3 m. Average building space is 14.2 m; however, the value of road orientation 201 which is an angle between the incident wave and street at which the measurement took place varies. 202 Accordingly, in the calculation of propagation loss, road orientation of 45 degrees (which is the 203 expected value) was assumed for deriving d. These environmental parameters were automatically 204 calculated by using our geographic information system. 205

Measured distance from the base station was from 30 to 1400 m, and total running distance during the measurement was 23.6 km. However, due to the shielding effect of the rooftop surface on which the base-station antenna was installed and the vertical radiation pattern of antenna, measurement data acquired within a distance of 100 m were neglected. Measurement data were gathered and averaged over 10-m intervals.



211 212

Figure 8. View from base station to measurement area





214

215

Measurement parameters	Value
Frequency	2.2, 5.2, 26.4 GHz
Tx height	42.5 m
Rx height	2.7 m
Average building height	8.3 m
Average building spacing	14.2 m

Table 2. Measurement condition and environmental parameters

216 4.2 Validation results

The measurement results and prediction results are compared in Figures 10, 11, and 12 for 217 frequencies of 2.2, 5.2, and 26.4 GHz, respectively. Green dotted lines represent free-space path 218 loss (FSPL), blue breaking lines shows results predicted by the W-B model, the red solid shows 219 results predicted by the proposed model, and black circles show measurements. In this validation, 220 L_r was set to 8 dB. These figures show that the prediction by the W-B model overestimate 221 propagation loss. Especially, prediction error by the W-B model increases with decreasing 222 distance. On the other hand, predicted propagation loss by the proposed model agreement well 223 with the measurements for all frequency bands. Root mean square errors (RMSEs) of the W-B 224 model are 6.5 dB for 2.2 GHz, 9.9 dB for 5.2 GHz, and 5.0 dB for 26.4 GHz, and RMSEs of the 225 proposed model are 5.8 dB for 2.2 GHz, 6.6 dB for 5.2 GHz, and 3.3 dB for 26.4 GHz. Especially, 226 in the distance range from 100 to 1000 m (which is the extended distance range for the proposed 227 model), RMSEs of the W-B model are 6.5 dB for 2.2 GHz, 10.0 dB for 5.2 GHz, and 12.8 dB for 228 229 26.4 GHz, and RMSEs of the proposed model are 5.8 dB for 2.2 GHz, 5.6 dB for 5.2 GHz, and 7.7 dB for 26.4 GHz. 230



Figure 10. Measurement results and prediction results for 2.2 GHz



233 234

Figure 11. Measurement results and prediction results for 5.2 GHz





Figure 12. Measurement results and prediction results for 26.4 GHz

237 **5 Conclusions**

238 High-frequency bands, such as the millimeter wave band, are planned to be allocated to 5G-and-beyond systems. To evaluate interference and design communication areas, a propagation 239 240 model for these frequency bands has to be developed. To construct a theoretical propagation model that can estimate propagation loss in high-frequency bands, problems with the original Walfisch-241 Bertoni model (which is a representative theoretical model) are pointed out. The original W-B 242 model was modified to extend it to higher frequency bands by three additions: (i) re-modeling over 243 244 a rooftop path, (ii) introducing a slant path, and (iii) modeling of multiple reflections between buildings. To validate the proposed model, predicted propagation loss was compared with 245 measurement propagation loss for frequencies in the range of 2.2 GHz to 26.4 GHz. The results of 246 the comparison show that the propagation loss predicted by the proposed model agreement well 247 with the measurement results for all measured frequency bands. 248

249 Acknowledgements

250 Datasets for this research are included in supplementary information file.

251 **References**

- (ITU-RM2410, 2017) ITU-R Rep. M.2410-0 (2017), Minimum requirements related to technical
 performance for IMT-2020 radio interface(s). *ITU*, Geneva, Switzerland. 2017.
- 254 (WRC, 2020) Final Acts WRC-19 (2020), *https://www.itu.int/dms_pub/itu-r/opb/act/R-ACT-*255 WRC.14-2019-PDF-E.pdf.
- 256 (DOCOMO, 2020) NTT DOCOMO (2020), 5G Evolution and 6G.
- https://www.nttdocomo.co.jp/english/binary/pdf/corporate/technology/whitepaper_6g/DOCOMO
 6G White PaperEN 20200124.pdf.

- (Latva-aho et al., 2019) Latva-aho, M., & Leppanen, K., (2019), Key Drivers and Research
 Challenges for 6G Ubiquitous Wireless Intelligence.
- 260Challengesfor6GUbiquitous261http://jultika.oulu.fi/files/isbn9789526223544.pdf.
- (Sasaki et al., 2015) Sasaki, M., & Yamada, W., & Sugiyama, T, & Imai, T. (2015), Path Loss 262 Variation Characteristics at 26GHz Band in Street Microcell Environment. IEICE 263 TRANSACTIONS on *Communications* Vol. E98-B No. 5 pp. 783-789. doi: 264 10.1587/transcom.E98.B.783 265
- (ITU-RM2412, 2017) ITU-R Rep. M.2412-0 (2017), Guidelines for evaluation of radio interface
 technologies for IMT-2020. *ITU*, Geneva, Switzerland. 2017.
- 268 (Salous et al., 2020) Salous, S., Lee, J., Kim, M. D., Sasaki, M., Yamada, W., Raimundo, X.,
- 269 Cheema, A. A., Radio propagation measurements and modeling for standardization of the site
- 270 general path loss model in International Telecommunications Union recommendations for 5G 271 wireless networks. *Radio Science, Vol. 55, Issue 1*, January 2020. doi: 10.1029/2019RS006924
- (Walfisch et al., 1988) Walfisch, J., & Bertoni, H. L. (1988), A Theoretical model of UHF
 propagation in urban environments. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 36, 1988, 1788-1796.
- doi: 10.1109/8.14401
- (Correia, 2009) Correia, L. M. (2009), A view of the COST 231-Bertoni-Ikegami model. 3rd
 European Conference on Antennas and Propagation. EuCAP 2009. Berlin. March 2009.
- (Ikegami et al., 1991) Ikegami, F., & Takeuchi, T., & Yoshida, S. (1991), Theoretical prediction
 of mean field strength for Urban Mobile Radio. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat., Vol. 39, No. 3*,
- 279 1991, 299-302. doi: 10.1109/8.76325
- 280 (ITU-RP1411, 2019) ITU-R Rec. P.1411-10 (2019), Propagation data and prediction methods for
- the planning of short-range outdoor radiocommunication systems and radio local area networks in
- the frequency range 300 MHz to 100 GHz. *ITU*, Geneva, Switzerland. 2019.
- 283 (Kita et al., 2007) Kita, N., & Yamada, W., & Sato, A. (2007), New Method for Evaluating Height
- 284 Gain at Subscriber Station for Wireless Access Systems in Microwave Band. IEICE
- 285 *TRANSACTIONS on Communications Vol. E90-B No. 10* 2903-2914. doi: 286 10.1093/ietcom/e90-b.10.2903

Supporting information

Measurement data used in Section 4.2 are listed as follows:

	Propagation loss		
Distance (m)	2.2 GHz	5.2 GHz	26.4 GHz
100	81.942	88.521	109.6
110	91.393	95.281	115.06
120	94.046	96.09	113.59
130	99.199	103.13	120.66
140	102.19	105.19	122.59
150	104.65	107.03	122.21
160	97.098	102.2	120.65
170	100.96	107.69	123.84
180	102.11	109.38	125.6
190	102.15	106.06	123.5
200	103.62	108.61	127.37
210	101.38	109.19	125.59
220	98.748	105.16	124.12
230	99.428	105.65	124.05
240	99.243	105.1	122.8
250	97.412	103	122.98
260	97.622	104.48	122.95
270	104.61	110.15	129.69
280	106.65	113.58	131
290	111.75	119.65	136.16
300	116.45	123.36	139.7
310	116.04	123.32	141.27
320	121.82	126.52	145.6
330	121.79	127.41	143.47
340	120.33	127.58	143.33
350	117.58	125.08	140.93
360	114.46	122.76	138.4
370	118.06	124.78	141.56
380	116.07	121.82	140.51
390	113.09	118.11	136.08
400	109.66	117.31	134.04
410	121.78	128.96	146.75
420	117.13	120.47	137.48

430	120.69	124.21	140.64
440	119.8	122.28	138.77
450	117.69	123.9	140.57
460	120.76	125.33	143.32
470	118.92	119.19	138.8
480	119.7	119.23	137.6
490	119.34	122.53	140.05
500	115.95	122.13	139.06
510	120.14	125.57	142.84
520	118.93	124.57	142.5
530	119.1	128.02	143.32
540	119.47	126.81	142.58
550	118.71	123.17	141.75
560	117.5	121.47	139.69
570	118.55	123.68	140.55
580	120.07	124.96	141.45
590	120.57	126.64	142.6
600	117.23	122.86	140.93
610	115.97	122.24	140.28
620	121.39	126.42	143.31
630	120.36	125.79	141.88
640	123.52	125.12	142.98
650	122.05	125.26	144.6
660	119.3	125.04	143.21
670	118.72	124.54	143.51
680	123.62	128.1	149
690	124.82	128.92	147.72
700	123.25	129.14	147.02
710	125.66	129.79	147.82
720	124	129.68	147.32
730	119.79	126.59	143.81
740	120.2	127.78	144.35
750	127.45	130.62	148.95
760	127.87	131.93	150.72
770	120.78	128.28	145.31
780	125.32	131.55	149.16
790	126.92	131.09	149.26
800	127.91	132.12	150.37
810	128.81	132.59	150.71

820	129.59	132.71	151.22
830	128.24	131.09	148.46
840	126.21	132.12	150.41
850	121.49	126.54	145.15
860	128.32	133.52	151.93
870	130.19	134.09	152.48
880	130.04	135.73	153.54
890	130.39	135.7	153.75
900	127.29	133.63	151.57
910	125.69	132.96	144.72
920	124.48	130.52	149.66
930	122.82	129.4	150.49
940	118.94	129.38	150.62
950	120.14	130.61	151.24
960	124.91	129.71	149.61
970	126.84	133.88	153.51
980	129.08	133.85	153.55
990	128.35	134.1	153.59
1000	125.18	130.18	148.11
1010	122.02	129.31	147
1020	124.2	130.72	143.9
1030	124.84	130.13	148.58
1040	123.47	129.61	149.08
1050	124.74	130.7	147.95
1060	127.55	131.93	149.77
1070	122.1	125.78	148.38
1080	126.2	131.96	151.07
1090	126.93	129.83	149.2
1100	126.86	130.75	149.33
1110	127.25	131.76	151.58
1120	126.24	131.48	150.65
1130	125.51	131.51	150.37
1140	119.74	129.49	149.25
1150	120.06	127.58	144.94
1160	121.61	129.08	147.89
1170	121.03	128.82	147.82
1180	120.65	127.19	147.21
1190	122.93	129.59	147.5
1200	123.59	129.51	146.37

1210	125.18	129.4	146.04
1220	118.52	126.58	145.64
1230	123.96	129.87	149.35
1240	129.39	135	150.76
1250	121.72	129.77	148.58
1260	121.82	130.76	147.72
1270	121.8	128.39	146.81
1280	114.95	127.05	145.81
1290	121.12	129.52	147.93
1300	120.1	124.51	145.48
1310	119.89	126.28	145.23
1320	122.34	130.75	147.94
1330	123.01	127.85	147.15
1340	122.58	127.25	145.95
1350	120.08	126.21	144.73
1360	120.87	127.7	145.98
1370	122.56	127.64	145.19
1380	119.72	125.47	144.73