The potential use of geophysical methods to identify cavities, sinkholes and pathways for water infiltration: a case study from Mambaí, Brazil

Yawar Hussain^{1,1}, Rogério Uagoda^{2,2}, Welitom Borges^{3,3}, José Gustavo da Silva Nunes^{3,3}, Omar Hamza^{4,4}, Cristobal Condori Quispe^{5,5}, Khurram Aslam^{6,6}, Jie Dou^{7,7}, and Martín Cárdenas Soto^{8,8}

¹Environmental Engineering and Earth Science Department, Clemson University, Clemson, SC, United States
²Universidade de Brasília
³University of Brasília
⁴University of Derby
⁵Universidad Nacional de San Agustín de Arequipa
⁶Department of Earth Sciences
⁷Nagaoka University of Technology
⁸Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

November 30, 2022

Abstract

The use of geophysical characterization of karst systems can provide an economical and non-invasive alternative for extracting information about cavities, sinkholes, pathways for water infiltration as well as the degree of karstification of underlying carbonate rocks. In the present study, three geophysical techniques, namely, Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR), Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) and Very Low Frequency Electromagnetic (VLFEM) were applied at three different and appropriate locations in fluvial karst of a listed environmentally sensitive area of the Rio Vermelho, Mambaí, Goiás, Brazil. In the data acquisition phase, the GPR, direct-current (DC) resistivity and VLFEM profiles were obtained at three different locations in the area. Data were analyzed using commonly adopted processing workflows. Different radar typologies have been assigned to soil and rock typse. The GPR results showed a well-defined lithology of the site based on the amplitude of the signal. On the other hand, the inverted resistivity cross-sections showed a three-layered stratigraphy, pathways of water infiltration and the weathered structures in carbonate (Bambui group). The interpretation of VLFEM as contours of current density resulted from Fraser and Karous-Hjelt filters, indicate the presence of conductive structures (high apparent current density) that may be linked with the weathered carbonate and other conductive and resistive anomalies may be associated with the water-filled and dry cavities (cave). The results encourage the integrated application of geophysical techniques as the reconnaissance for further detailed characterization of the karst areas.





1*Type of the Paper (Article)*

2The potential use of geophysical methods 3to identify cavities, sinkholes and pathways 4for water infiltration: a case study from 5Mambaí, Brazil

6Yawar Hussain^{1,*}; Rogerio Uagoda²; Welitom Borges³; José 7Nunes²; Omar Hamza⁴; Cristobal Condori³; Khurram Aslam⁵; Jie 8Dou⁶; Martín Cárdenas-Soto⁷

9 ¹ Environmental Engineering and Earth Science Department, Clemson University, Clemson,
 10 SC, 29634, USA

11 ²Department of Geography, University of Brasilia, Brasilia, Brazil

12 ³Institute of Geoscience, University of Brasilia, Brasilia, Brazil

¹³ ⁴Department of Built Environment, University of Derby, Derby, UK

14 ⁵Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oregon, Oregon, USA

15 ⁶Department of Civil and Environmental, Nagaoka University of Technology, Niigata, Japan

16 ⁷Department of Geophysical Engineering, National Autonomous University of Mexico, México

17 * Correspondence: yawar.pgn@gmail.com

18 Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

19 **Abstract:** The use of geophysical characterization of karst systems can provide an 20 economical and non-invasive alternative for extracting information about cavities, 21 sinkholes, pathways for water infiltration as well as the degree of karstification of 22 underlying carbonate rocks. In the present study, three geophysical techniques, 23 namely, Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR), Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) 24 and Very Low Frequency Electromagnetic (VLFEM) were applied at three different 25 locations in relation to fluvial karst, which is listed as an environmentally sensitive 26 area in Rio Vermelho, Mambaí, Goiás, Brazil. In the data acquisition phase, the GPR, 27 direct-current (DC) resistivity and VLFEM profiles were obtained at the three 28 locations in the area. Data were analyzed using commonly adopted processing 29 workflows. Different radar typologies have been assigned to soil and rock types. The 30 GPR results showed a well-defined lithology of the site based on the amplitude of the 31 signal. On the other hand, the inverted resistivity cross-sections showed a three-32 layered stratigraphy, pathways of water infiltration and the weathered structures in 33 carbonate (Bambui group). The interpretation of VLFEM as contours of current 34 density resulted from Fraser and Karous-Hjelt filters, indicate the presence of 35 conductive structures (high apparent current density) that may be linked with the 36 weathered carbonate and other conductive and resistive anomalies may be 37 associated with the water-filled and dry cavities (cave). The results encourage the 38 integrated application of geophysical techniques as the reconnaissance for further 39 detailed characterization of the karst areas.

40 Keywords: Tarimba cave; conductive zones; karst; radar typologies41

421. Introduction

43 Karst processes often resulted in underground natural cavities due to the erosive 44effect of groundwater (dissolution) on carbonate rocks (Abidi et al. 2018). These 45features may develop caves with time which may or may not reach the surface 46creating sinkholes (Mohamed et al. 2019). Such karst processes can significantly 47impact people's lives because they may cause severe damage to properties and 48infrastructures including road subsidence, building-foundation collapse, dam leakage, 49and groundwater contamination (Gambetta et al. 2011; Youssef et al. 2016). In 50practice, these underground cavities and other karst features must be detected before 51the construction of any civil structures or groundwater management schemes. 52Another critical aspect of these caves lies in the fact that it can provide a safe and 53consistent habitat for particular species. Therefore, early and accurate detection of 54the subsurface karst conditions can play an essential role in environmental and 55geohazard risk assessments.

56 Karst areas are the subject of a broad range of studies such as archaeological, 57environmental hydrogeological, geological, geotechnical and geomorphological. These 58studies provide incomplete information about the degree of karstification without 59adequate data of the internal structures of the area e.g. epikarst, infiltration zones, 60karst conduits, cavities, presence and type of overlying sediments and thickness. The 61analysis of internal structures and geometry of karst is a challenging task because of 62the uncertainties created by the karst heterogeneities. Though, the knowledge of 63internal karst structures is highly essential for the vulnerability assessment of the 64karst aquifers (infiltration-property distribution) because it influences the infiltration 65conditions and other environmental aspects. The presence and thickness of overlying 66sediments can slower and diffuse infiltration, while the presence of holes or dolines 67and the absence of soil covert can expedite this process (Daly et al. 2002; Andreo et 68al. 2009; Kavouri et al. 2011). Therefore, accurate detection of such voids is valuable.

69 For the subsurface identification and mapping of a sinkhole, the non-invasive and 70high-resolution geophysical techniques have appeared as an appropriate choice 71(Smith, 1986; Zhou et al. 2002; Al-Tarazi et al., 2008; Ezersky, 2008; Krawczyk et al. 722012; Martinez-Moreno et al. 2013; Argentieri et al. 2015; Pazzi et al. 2018). In the 73case of natural cavities, which are usually filled with either water, air or collapsed 74material create contrast in physical properties in comparison to the surrounding 75rocks. This physical contrast can be detected with the application of geophysical 76techniques (Bishop et al. 1997). The onset of cavities leads to the disturbance in the 77surrounding rocks, which are extended away from the cavity (Pazzi et al. 2018).

There is a wide range of geophysical methods, for example, Ground Penetrating 78 79Radar (GPR), Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) and Very Low Frequency 80Electromagnetic (VLFEM) are considered to be appropriate techniques for the 81delineation of conductive and resistive strictures in the subsurface (Dourado et al. 822001; Sharma and Baranwal, 2005; Chalikakis et al. 2011; Abbas et al. 2012; Ozegin 83et al., 2012; Martinez-Lopez et al. 2013; PUTIŠKA et al. 2014; Ammar and Kruse, 842016; Čeru et al. 2017; Fabregat et al. 2017; Putiška et al. 2017; Jamal and Singh, 852018; Pazzi et al. 2018). Over the past couple of decades, the applications of GPR in 86the karst studies have increased many improvements have been successfully 87 implemented (Ehsani et al. 2008). It has applied for the identification as well as in 88delineation of cave geometries, is very important in understanding karstification and 89speleogenetic processes that may contain useful information required for the 90reconstruction of the former underground water flows (Čeru et al. 2018). All of these 91methods are capable of providing high-resolution images of the subsurface settings and 92can also be used to distinguish between different types of sedimentary fillings in the 93cavities (Pazzi et al. 2018).

94 Karst terrains are widespread in Brazil especially, in the central and eastern 95 regions of the country, where carbonate karst occurs and are characterized by 96 horizontally bedded and dolomite limestone having little or no relief developed under 97 the influence of seasonal climatic variations (Auler and Farrant, 1996). The caves are 98 broadly divided into two main groups as carbonated karst and non-carbonated karst of 99 which carbonated karst is relatively more studied, however, the study of karst in 100 Brazil is still in the infancy stage and require further detailed analysis (Auler and 101Farrant 1996). The prominent karst studies in Brazil are dos Santos et al. 2012; de 102Queiroz et al. 2018 and Garcia et al. 2019.

103 The present study applies geophysical techniques for the site characterization of 104the Tarimba cave, which has not been previously conducted at this site, thereby 105provide potential material for future detailed field campaigns. The geophysical 106investigations were conducted at three different sites on the karst system aiming to 107show the potential of the methods to identify cavities, sinkholes or paths for water 108infiltration. For the data acquisition, this presents an ideal site, having limestone 109exposures, limited or no soil cover and vegetation, and underlying shallow caves in a 110semi-arid region, where the karst system is dry during most of the year. Such non-111invasive site characterization is crucial in environmentally sensitive areas for the 112identification of cavities, sinkholes (open or filled), pathways for water infiltration and 113delineation of the weathered carbonate structures. The study provides a sound basis 114and recommendations for future investigation to improve the characterization of the 115Brazilian karst and about the geogenic protection to its underlying environment.

1162. Materials and Methods

1172.1 Study area and investigation methods

The study area is located at the junction of the municipality of Mambaí, and has 118 119geographic coordinates: UTM 23L 373343 longitude 8406394 latitude (Figure 1). The 120Tarimba cave (which is the target of this study) has many entries and is approximately 12111 km in length and partially mapped into several conduits and halls. Tarimba is 122considered one of the most important caves in the region and also one of the largest in 123the country in terms of horizontal projection. The climate of the region is tropical with 124dry and rainy seasons. In the area, there are numerous rivers such as Currente, 125Vermelho and Buritis. The main streams flowing the area are Bezerra, Piracanjuba, 126Rizada, Chumbada and Ventura. Some watercourses penetrate into the soil becoming 127subterranean and later surfacing, promoting the formation of caves (Lobo et al. 2015; 128de Souza Martinelli et al. 2015). The northeastern region of the State of Goiás has 129several geomorphological domains. Their features are evidenced by the 130morphostructure climate reworked, contrasting dissected and recessed forms 131 interposed conserved forms, which represent remnants of the oldest topography. It is 132drained by the Paraná and Maranhão Rivers, which forms the Tocantins River (Lobo et 133al. 2015).

The northeast region of Goiás presents lands with stratigraphic records of the 135Archaean, Proterozoic, Mesozoic and Cenozoic, most of which Proterozoic, which 136includes the following units: Ticunzal formation, sequence volcanic-sedimentary rocks 137of Palmeirópolis and São Domingos, Arai group, Serra Branca, Tonalito São Domingos, 138Paranoá group and Bambuí group. The most extensive carbonate unit is the Bambuí 139Group, which hosts the largest number of caves in Brazil (Auler, 2002). The Urucuia 140formation representing continental fluvial deposition, restricted to the eastern portion 141of the area, attributed to the Cretaceous, land of Mesozoic age. The Cenozoic is 142represented by the current fluvial deposits, alluvial and colluvial sandy deposits and by 143the detritus-lateritic cover.

144 The previous geological studies have pointed out the presence of rocks from the 145Urucuia group, without having details about the individual geological formations there. 146The field activities made it possible to individualize formations, as fine matrix Sandstone 147(quartzstone), white in color having large cross-bedding, an indicator of the deposition 148by the wind. This is overlain by the *Serra das Araras* formation containing reddish 149sandstones with the hills supported by thick layers of laterite, with reddish composition 150that indicates the presence of clay and rounded clasts, transported and redeposited by 151the rivers and wind. In the Bambuí group, mean formations are Lagoa do Jacaré 152formation with undivided units of pelite and carbonate and Capacete formation with 153emerges after the erosion of *Areado* group (Campos and Dardenne, 1997).



155**Figure 1** (A) Location of Brazil on the map of South America, (B) location of the studies area on 156the environmentally protected area of River Vermelho and (C) Locations of studied sites on 157Tarimba cave. Inserts show the zoom images of the survey sites along with positions of 158geophysical profiles.

159 The general soil classification (following the WRB/FAO classification) is based on 160the local geology as the Ferrasols, Arenosols and Neosols are found in in the Urucuia 161groups, Cambisols (being Leptols in some places) and Acrisolos (after classified to 162Chernozem in the work site) are found in Lagoa do Jacaré Formation. On the work site 163is possible to see The soils are controlled by the rock stratigraphy: a) Arenosols at the 164tops, connected to the presence of sandstone, and with more than 90% of sand in its 165composition, being well-drained; b) Leptsols, shallow soils that develop through 166pelites with around of 50% of clay in their composition and runoff production; and, c) 167Chernozems, irregular soils of varying depth that develop from the weathering and 168dissolution of the Limestone. Its composition depends on the degree of impurity of the 169Limestone and the percentage of clay can vary widely, from 4 to 30%. They are 170usually well-drained by the epikarst process. The contact between soils depends on 171the stratigraphic sequence. As the Lagoa do Jacaré formation has an undivided 172distribution of lithofacies like Pelites and Carbonates, at some places the sandstone 173(Arenosols) may have direct contact with the epikarst (Chernozem). Most of the time, 174however, between the highly drained sandstone and the epikarst there is a metric to a 175decimetric layer of Pelite that generates runoff, waterproofing the karst. In cases 176where sandstone and carbonate rocks are in contact, there is a risk of infiltration and 177 contamination of karst aquifers. However, when the carbonates are covered by Pelites 178there is a high incidence of runoff and so the sediment production, which are 179transported on the slope and reach the karst system after reaching the pathways to 180the caves in dolines, causing great impacts to the underground hydrological system 181(Figure 3).

182 The soil classification is based on the local geology as the Argisols and Oxisols in 183the carbonate rock domains (>90 % sand and well-drained), while the Neosols in the

184Urucuia groups (Posse and Serra das Araras formation) and the Areado group (alluvial-185colluvial). These soil classes of the area are shown in Figure 4B.



187**Figure 2** A) Geological and B) soil maps of the environmentally sensitive area of the 188River Vermelho showing different geological units, surface hydrology and the presences 189of mapped caves and b) geological based soil classification map of the same area.



191**Figure 3** Photographs of different soil and rock units exposed in the area. Soil types are 192A) Ferrossolos and Serra das Araras Formation, B) Arenosols from Posse Formation and 193C) Cambisols from Areal group; D) outcrop showing limestone and pelite transition, E) 194Chernozen on top of limestone outcroup from lagoa do Jacaré formation.

1952.2 Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT)

196 In ERT method, a potential difference is measured in response to the injection of a 197known amount of electrical current in the earth. Different earth materials have 198different resistance to the passage of current because of the variation in the degree of 199fractures, material types and degree of saturation. Both the injection of current and 200the detection of potential difference are carried out using four metal electrodes, 201current and potential, respectively (Hussain et al. 2020A, Hussain et al. 2020B). The 202way in which these electrodes are configured has a direct influence on the results, and 203there are three adopted ways in which electrodes are configured as i) vertical 204electrical sounding (VES), ii) profiling and iii) electrical tomography. VES is applied 205where the target is the determination of physical property of the subsurface with 206depth only (1D). VES has a greater depth of penetration and spread length (Strelec et 207al. 2017). Profiling is used for the estimation of both vertical and lateral changes in 208the subsurface, as is the case with karst studies. Under these conditions, 2D and 3D 209images are obtained by the resistivity tomographic techniques. ERT has been proved 210 effective in karst studies such as their structures, soil cover and cavity geometry and 211more importantly the characterization of cavity sediments, study of which is crucial for 212the speleology, the groundwater vulnerability and the associated geologicla hazards. So, 213the method can be used as ground truth for the results accuracy assessment of the 214 other applied geophysical methods (GPR and VLFEM).

2152.3 Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR)

216 Among different geophysical methods (including resistivity and seismic refraction) 217 Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) has the finest resolution - depending on the antenna 218 used and the soil types in the area. Here, subsurface image is obtained by passing 219 electromagnetic waves of various frequencies through the ground. These energies 220 are radiated from the antenna, which either absorbed or reflected depending on the 221 underlying material properties such as fractures, caves, moisture and clay contents. 222 The energy reflected by the surface discontinuities is detected by a receiver, which 223 helps in subsurface image construction. The amplitude of radar pulse is an essential 224 factor because it can carry information about the ground. After time to depth 225 conversion, these amplitudes help in mapping the subsurface discontinuities. The 226 higher the contrast at the interface of these discontinuities, the higher the 227 amplitudes are, and vice versa. The detailed description of its applicability can be 228 found (Čeru et al. 2018). A detailed description of this method and its application of 229 cave studies is presented elsewhere (e.g. Xavier and Medeiros, 2006; dos Reis Jr et 230 al. 2014; Fernandes et al. 2015; Jin-long et al. 2018; Conti et al. 2019). Radar 231 stratigraphy was used in the for the interpretation of reflectors. Various radar 232 reflection typologies which may be caused by lithological and soil variations such as 233 differences in grain compositions (e.g. presence of iron oxides), size, orientation, 234 packing and shape of grains, changes in grain-size parameters, degree of sorting and 235 porosity of the sediments are analyzed (Lejzerowicz et al. 2018).

2362.4 Very Low-Frequency Electromagnetic (VLFEM)

In this semi-passive induction method, primary field originated from distant high 238power vertical transmitter (marine communications) is used. The signals from this 239transmitter at a frequency band of 15-30 kHz can travel a long distance and have 240potential geophysical implications even in areas of thousands of km away from 241transmitters (Sungkono et al. 2014; Singh and Sharma, 2016). The horizontality of the 242primary field makes it an ideal choice for the investigations of vertical and dipping 243subsurface structures such as caves. The signals from transmitter generate a primary 244field while traveling between earth surface and ionosphere. This primary field 245generated a secondary field which differs in the phase when coming in contact with a 246conductor (water-filled cave or fracture). Thus, VLF measures both primary and 247secondary fields and detects the conductive structures and geological contacts like 248altered zones, faults, and conductive caves (McNeill and Labson, 1990; Guerin et al., 2491994) at an approximate depth of 30m (Fraser, 1969).

2502.5 Data acquisition and processing

251 The GPR survey was performed using a georadar device GPR GSSI SIR 3000, with 252400MHz Antenna, Control Unity and Rugged Survey Car, in order to obtain a proper 253 resolution. One profile of 180 m length near the Tarimba was conducted, at the 254location shown in Figure 1. The electrical resistivity data were acquired three profiles 255at different locations (Figure 1). A total of 72 electrodes were used for injecting 256current in the subsurface as well as to measure the potential difference developed in 257 response to these currents. The length of each profile was taken as 360 meters with 258an electrodes spacing of 5m. Three ERT profiles were taken at two different sites. The 259first was a road (APA01), and the second and third were taken near the Tarimba cave 260(APA02). The profiles at Tarimba passes parallel and perpendicular to the cave, as 261shown in Figure 1. In the present work, VLFEM data were collected along a single 262profile of about 600 m length at the pavement. This site was chosen because of a 263lesser level of noise and easy accessibility (Figure 1). The receiver used in this study is 264T-VLF unit (IRIS- Instruments, 1993), which can apply automatic filters together with 265the digital stacking that can improve the signal to noise ratio. The survey was carried 266out in the tilt (magnetic) mode.

In the first stage, the electrical resistivity data of each line was opened in Prosycal 268II software in order to identify the anomalies and error in the data. Those resistivity 269values, which are quite high, were manually removed from the data. After the initial 270data editing, the RESIS2DINV of Geotomo Software (Loke, 2004) was used for the 271inversion of data where apparent resistivity values were used for the generation of a 272best-fit earth model. Here cell-based calculation was carried out by applying 273smoothness-constrained least-squares inversion method (Sasaki, 1992), where a 274search for an ideal subsurface resistivity best-fit model was made (Colangelo et al., 2752008). In this method, the subsurface is divided into rectangular blocks, each 276representing a single measuring point (Lapenna et al., 2005). The root means square 277error (RMS) provides the discrepancy between the measured and the calculated 278values.



279

Figure 4. A) Observed and B) measured apparent resistivity of profile APA01. C) 281logarithm of the apparent vs calculated values of APA01.

282 For GPR data processing and visualization, ReflexW (Sandmeier, Inc.) was used 283where the following necessary processing steps were employed: (i) static correction 284 for the time zero setting; (ii) 1D Dewow filter with a pulse of 2.5 ns period is applied to 285remove noise induced by the electromagnetic induction of the equipment (electronic 286noise); (iii) removing the header which was inserted prior to the acquisition of data; iv) 287 applying a combined gain filter (four linear and two exponential) in order to compensate 288abrupt changes in signal amplitude; (v) application of 2D filter for the removal of 289coherent noise which resulted in the areas where GPR signal attenuate quickly such as 290Chernozems. The value used for the filter was 100 traces; vi) filter application 1D type 291bandpass frequency for removing random noise of high frequency, the cutting intervals 292of 172, 258, 688 and 828 MHz were used; vii) collapse of diffraction with the migration 293of routine type diffraction stack. The values used were verified hyperbolas observed in 294the sandy soil at the beginning of the profile. Width 50 traces and speed of 0.1 m/s. viii) 295subsequently, for the trace envelope (instantaneous amplitude) generation, the filter 296 was applied without changing these parameters since the same applies to the Hilbert 297transform data. ix) In the end, topography of the profile was inserted.

For the subsurface characterization using VLF data, a quantitative approach was 299adopted, which included examining and plotting Karous-Hjelt transform (Karous and 300Hjelt, 1983). It transforms raw (unfiltered) data to current density Karous-Hjelt, the 301current density pseudo-sections of the VLFEM data, were produced in KHFFILT 302computer program (Pirttijarvi, 2004). The Fraser filter uses real and imaginary parts 303depict a single positive, and both positive and negative peaks above a conductor, 304respectively. The imaginary part is used for the quality assessment of the conductor, 305however, in the present study, the only real part is used for the pseudo-section of 306relative apparent current density variation with depth. In this way, on real data the 307areas of positive anomalies show zones of groundwater (Ariyo et al. 2009). From the 308pictorial presentation of the depths of various current densities, the subsurface 309geological features are delineated. The pseudo-section is shown as color codes with 310conductivity increasing from negative to positive.

311 Further details can be accessed at Jamal and Singh (2018). The positive and 312negative values of current values are representative of conductive and resistive bodies 313in the subsurface, respectively. Hence, the sub-surface features of high conductivity 314are identified on the VLF profile as possible fracture/weathered carbonate rocks zones 315and sinkholes filled with conductive materials.

3163. Results and discussions

3173.1 Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT)

318 The results of two resistivity profiles taken in the area near a road and the entrance 319of the Tarimba cave are shown in Figures 4 and Figure 6. In these areas, ERT was 320successfully able to mark the presence of fracture, sinkholes and different soil types 321 providing a different degree of geogenic protection to the cave environment. The possible 322stratigraphic picture revealed the presence of a very thick soil layer, followed by a layer 323of the pelite. Below the pelite are the weathered carbonate rocks of the Bumbai group. It 324is interesting to note that resistivity section of profile APA01 the subsurface material 325showed a pattern which indicates the absence of karst features at shallow depth in the 326area. The carbonate rocks were found at a depth of 30m. The upper layer showed clay 327 with a high degree of moisture. This moisture content decreases with depth. Below the 328 clay, there is an interface of pelite. It is clear from the results (Figure 5) that Tarimba 329cave does not pass through that site. At the beginning of the profile, a fracture-filled with 330sediments with varying degree of moisture and clay contents can be interpreted. There is 331a high probability of the presence of the sinkhole. This was also confirmed by the site 332visits, and an active karst structure in the nearby area is presented in the Figure 6. This 333edge of the profile lies near the area where the Tarimba cave passed near the surface,

334having an important high use for the transportation of Limestone for the cement industry. 335At the middle of the profile (~160m) a vein of intermediate resistivity can be seen, which 336might be attributed to the presence of coarse-grained material. This structure has a very 337important impact on karst. It can provide pathways to the precipitation for infiltration 338that can lead the dissolution of the below karst. Therefore their study is crucial in the 339safety management of the important structures such as road in this case. Another 340important aspect is the fast motion of the contaminants in the caves, that can cause 341possible damage to the underlying karst habitat. It can be assumed that there may be an 342active karst structure at the start of this profile whose geometry cannot be delineated 343because of the shorter length of the profile. This structure may also recreate other 344geological hazards in the adjoining areas. Therefore, for the protection of the nearby 345population and the health of the roads, further detailed investigations are recommended.



347**Figure 5** The modeled earth resistivity pseudo-sections for APA-01 ERT profile at the 348Site-A (road). Color bar presents resistivity values in ohm.m. Below is the interpreted 349resistivity values in the form of different structure and stratigraphy. The black dotted 350line marks the presence of a possible fracture.

351The peculiarity of the APA02 profile is, it passes through the mapped galleries and the 352sinkholes both open and filled on the Tarimba cave. At about 80 m it shows a low 353 resistivity passage to the cave, that is a possible sinkhole filled with sediments with a 354considerable amount of moisture. Next to it is a high resistivity zone indicates the 355carbonate rock. This can also be seen in the site photographs (Figure 6). At the middle of 356the profile, a filled sinkhole was found, which may present geological hazards and 357groundwater contamination site. This area is sensitive because of the presence of the 358cave openings. At the middle of the profile APA-02, a high resistivity material was 359encountered, which can be linked with sinkhole filled with dry and coarse-grained 360material (Figure 7). It can be assumed that water entered through the fracture and 361traveled downward, which is shown as a low resistivity zone at the center of the profile. 362At the right side of the profile, sandstone with various degree of moisture was found. It is 363 interesting to note that at the middle of the profile, a low comparative resistivity was 364 found which may provide a path to water flow that dissolve the carbonate rocks. Its 365relationship with the karst is also evident from the weathered carbonate around this low 366 resistivity central zone. In this way, new sinkholes may emerge. These are areas which

367should be avoided for any future construction projects. This understructure is also crucial 368for the environmental and managerial planning for the cave environment of the area.



370**Figure 6.** Site photographs taken near the ERT profiles. (A-C) of the nearby APA01 371sinkholes and an erosion site. D) surficial opening of the Taribma cave lies near APA02 372profile.



374**Figure 7** a) The modeled resistivity pseudo-sections for APA-02 ERT (Tarimba cave) 375profile. Color bar presents resistivity values in ohm.m. b) lithosection based on 376inverted resistivity values.

377A three-layered stratigraphy similar to the APA01 is also found here: a thick soil layer, 378then pelite and the carbonate rocks. However, the depth to the carbonate rocks is 379very variable, which indicates a higher degree of karstification at this location. The 380carbonate rocks can be seen as having varying degree of weathering; this weathering 381in the carbonate can also provide a potential pathway to the surficial contaminants to 382the underground hydrological system. The undulation topography of the underlying 383carbonate rock can influence the groundwater flows as well. In this way, in the 384depressions created by the dissolved carbonate can possibly provide a longer time for 385the groundwater to stay and thus had greater chances of the reaching of the 386contaminant to the groundwater or underlying cave. The stagnant water can also 387enhance the dissolution potential leading to the development of epikarst features i.e. 388geological hazard. The weathered carbonate rocks can be an essential aspect of 389groundwater development in the region (Hasan et al. 2018). The lithological contact 390between different rock and soil types can also influence the infiltration conditions and 391associated hazards. The sites of the contacts between the sandstone and carbonate 392are in the potential permeable paths that can increase the infiltration of water with 393contaminates to the karst aquifers. Different soil and rock contacts are shown in 394Figure 6, 7. In this way, weathered carbonate also provides a conducive environment 395to the groundwater, increasing the vulnerability of the area. In short, all delineated 396lithological units on electrical cross-section have an essential role in the vulnerability 397assessment and geological hazard studies.

3983.2 Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR)

399 Using the GPR method, a profile was taken outside the cave at a location where 400various lithologies are present. Figure 8 shows the vertical cross-section of the 401subsurface of the area obtained from the reflection of electromagnetic waves. Three 402georadar amplitude typologies are delineated (Figure 8). Based on the field 403description, these typologies are linked with the different subsurface materials. The 404amplitude of the electromagnetic wave is divided into three categories as high, 405intermediate and low. At the beginning of the profile, there is a Arenosoil from the 406sandstone through which the electromagnetic wave can pass easily. As a result, high 407amplitude reflection was observed on the 2D cross-section obtained (Figure 8). At the 408middle of the profile, material absorbed the electromagnetic waves and gave rise to 409 low amplitude wiggles. This high attenuation medium is attributed to the presence of 410Letsoils from pelite. At the end of the profile, there are patches of Chernozem and 411limestone, the presence of which caused some radar wiggles of high amplitude to 412appear on the cross-section. However, it is interesting to note that in the middle 413portion, some wavy wiggles were observed, as these were noisy events created by the 414passing of four-wheeler vehicle used for the GPR data acquisition. This phenomenon 415occurs because GPR antenna does not touch the soil, which may cause some noisy 416wiggles on the GPR cross-section.



417

418**Figure 8.** a) GPR results, b) lithological cross-section obtained from GPR amplitude. 419Different soil types as well a sharp contact between the carbonate of Bambui group 420and soil is evident. Prominent reflections are zoomed in Figure 8.

421 The various radar typologies based on the amplitude and geometries of the 422reflectors such as continuous, discontinuous, linear and inclined have also been found 423(Figure 9). Different radar typologies are used for the delineation of different 424subsurface structures that can possibly influence the groundwater vulnerability. The 425features associated with the weathered carbonates have also been found. They are 426presented on the radar images and continuous medium amplitude reflectors which 427can be associated as potential water flow pathways. These are very important 428hydrogeological features the presence of which can increase the vulnerability of the 429sites. They may also be considered as the potential recharge sites for the underlying 430aquifer.





431

432**Figure 9.** The prominent radar typologies. Zoomed images of different radar images 433along with the possible lithofacies shown is Figure 8. A) high amplitude reflections 434associated with sandstone and Neosols, B) low energy reflections may indicate the 435presence of attenuative material possibly Leptsols and Pelite and C) intermediate 436amplitude reflections (energy) indicates the presence of Chernozem.

437 These different soil and rock types have their own significant role in the 438infiltration conditions that lead to the aquifer vulnerability, generation of surface 439runoff and the aquifer recharge. The presence of Leptsoils from pelite which has 440greater proportions of fine-grained material or clay proportions, low permeability can 441potentially inhibit the infiltration, generate the larger amount of surface runoff with 442sediments load that can enter the cave. This large sediment influx in the cave can also 443have negative impacts on the cave habitat. These specific soil and rock types can also 444 significantly reduce groundwater recharge. However, previous studies found higher 445clay content, and rich iron/aluminium oxides/hydroxides in sediments can affect the 446GPR depth penetration (Čeru et al. 2018). The reverse is true for the Neosols from 447Sandstole with greater proportions of the coarse grain material, which can increase 448the infiltration, thus lower runoff and sediments load. This soil type is also conducive 449 for the greater depth penetration of GPR. This relation of radar wave amplitude and 450grain size, changes in porosity, changes in the coefficient of reflectivity has been 451extensively studied (Guillemoteau et al. 2012; Lejzerowicz et al. 2018; Akinsunmad et 452al. 2019).

4533.3 Very Low-Frequency Electromagnetic (VLFEM)

454 With apparent current density cross-section plots, it is possible to qualitatively 455discriminate between conductive and resistive structures where a high positive value 456corresponds to conductive subsurface structure, and low negative values are related 457to resistive one. Different features of varying degree of conductivity coinciding with 458points already identified on the profiles (as fractures or geological features) were 459delineated on the section. Some of these conductive materials are linear, while others 460are dipping features (Ndatuwong and Yadav, 2014). The apparent current density 461cross-section of the profile VLFEM (Figure 9) revealed the presence of a significant 462high conductive anomaly at about 150m from the start of the profile. This anomaly 463coincided with the existing buried cave in the study area at a previously known 464location. Furthermore, three high current density zones at about 40 m and 320 m 465along the profile (Figure 8) can also be inferred as indications of the potential 466subsurface caves or fractured aquifer as evident from the various groundwater 467developments in the adjoining areas. There is a dipping conductive structure which 468can be a potential zone of groundwater development. A similar high current density 469(like a vein shape) has been observed in many previous studies. It is guite interesting 470to note that throughout the entire length of the profile, structures of intermediate 471resistivity values can be seen. These indicate the possible presence of the weathered 472or dissolved carbonate structures. This may also indicate the presence of groundwater 473as there were already many installed water pump in the area. These structures are 474also important for the assessment of geological hazards impacting the people living 475nearby as well as for the cave habitat. As described in section 3.1, such conductive 476structures can also increase the probability of groundwater contamination by 477anthropogenic contaminants. In short, VLFEM has appeared a non-invasive 478reconnaissance tool for the area which guides the future details studies.



480

481**Figure 10.** Karous-Hjelt current density pseudo-section showing inferred / potential 482conductive and resistive structures along VLFEM profile. Current density scale is 483arbitrary color codes with with conductivity increasing from negative to positive. The 484high positive value constitutes the conductive sub-surface and low negative value 485represents a resistive subsurface.

In the case of covered karst (of Mambai), the properties of soil and the degree of 486 487karstification that are related to the development of karst features such as sinkholes, 488conduits and degree of weathering affect the underlying groundwater flow system. 489This leads to the vulnerability of fauna and flora of the caves, i.e. a threat to the cave 490habitat. Under these conditions, the thickness of the soil and the degree of 491karstification can protect the system. A high vulnerability is associated with the 492thinner soil, coarse-grained soil, and lesser degree of karstification. The applied 493methods have their limits and advantages in the characterization of the karst area, 494such as Mambai. The comparative remarks of the methods can be made based on the 495data acquisition, processing as well as interpretation, spatial resolution and depth of 496the penetration. In terms of depth of penetration and data acquisition and processing, 497VLFEM should be the top priority. However, results are not so reliable because of the 498 noise levels created by the proximity to the electrical cables, metal bard etc. The other 499appropriate choice to achieve considerable high resolution at greater depth is ERT. In 500the present study, the ERT was able to delineate very important subsurface 501hydrogeological and hazardous subsurface conditions. The cavities, collapsed 502sinkhole, the geometries of the filled karst structures and the well-defined site 503stratigraphy. Georadar was better able to resolve soil types, their contacts and the 504pathways for water infiltration at a finer resolution as compares to other used 505methods. However, it suffers from a severe limitation as the lower depth of 506penetration.

507**4.** Conclusions

508 The research demonstrated that the geophysical methods used in this study (GPR, 509ERT and VLFEM) have varying potentials for the investigation of the karst system. 510Each method showed different capabilities in terms of detecting possible cavities, 511potential sinkholes or paths for water infiltration that have a direct impact on the 512vulnerability of cave water reservoir.

513 The resistivity section of ERT, which was obtained at the road site, did not show 514the presence of a cave or groundwater. However, the inverted resistivity sections at 515the cave site showed the presence of cave and fractures, highlighting the need for 516further investigation for the groundwater prospecting.

517 Based on the GPR profiles, it was possible to distinguish between different rock 518units. In this way, the GPR has proved an attractive choice for the site 519characterization in the selected karst areas. However, because of the high attentive 520soil cover, it was not possible to obtain information about the presence of caves using 521electromagnetic waves. Therefore, GPR was found to be not suitable for the 522investigation of deeper karst structures in the covered karst area having Leptsols and 523pelite.

524 Qualitative interpretation of VLF-EM profiles using different linear filtering such 525as Fraser and Karous-Hjelt showed a subsurface low resistivity zones which lie in the 526vicinity of the low apparent resistivity value observed in the gradient profiling. On VLF 527profile, conducting bodies were observed which might be linked with the presence of 528subsurface cavities (karst features) with a large amount of moisture.

529**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, Y.H.; methodology, Y.H.; software, Y.H. and W.B.; 530validation, Y.H.; formal analysis, Y.H.; investigation, Y.H.; data curation, Y.H.; writing—original 531draft preparation, Y.H.; writing—review and editing, Y.H., and O.H; visualization, X.X.; 532supervision, R.U.; project administration, R.U.; funding acquisition, R.U. All authors have read 533and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

534**Funding:** This research was funded by TCCE 01/2018 – Vale/ICMbio, PROCESS Nº: 53502667.000110/2017-10 "Susceptibilidade, Hidrologia e Geomorfologia Cárstica Aplicadas à 536Conservação do Patrimônio Espeleológico da Área de Proteção Ambiental das Nascentes do Rio 537Vermelho." DOU N.99 SEÇÃO 3 de 24/05/2018.

538**Acknowledgments:** In this section you can acknowledge any support given which is not 539covered by the author contribution or funding sections. This may include administrative and 540technical support, or donations in kind (e.g., materials used for experiments).

541**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in 542the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of 543the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

544**References**

545 Abbas AM, Khalil, MA, Massoud U, Santos, FM, Mesbah, HA, Lethy A, Ragab ESA (2012)

546 The implementation of multi-task geophysical survey to locate Cleopatra tomb at tap-Osiris

- 547 Magna, Borg El-Arab, Alexandria, Egypt "phase II". NRIAG Journal of Astronomy and 548 Geophysics, 1(1): 1-11.
- 549 Abidi A, Demehati A, Banouni H, El Qandil M (2018) The Importance of Underground
- Cavities Detection in the Choice of Constructible Areas: Case of the Agglomeration of Fez
 (Morocco). Geotechnical and Geological Engineering, 36(03):1919-1932.
- 552 Akinsunmade, A., Tomecka-Suchoń, S., Pysz, P. (2019). Correlation between agrotechnical
- 553 properties of selected soil types and corresponding GPR response. *Acta Geophysica*, *67*(6),
- 554 1913-1919.

- Al-Tarazi, E., Abu Rajab, J., Al-Naqa, A., El-Waheidi, M., 2008. Detecting leachate plumes
 and groundwater pollution at Ruseifa municipal landfill utilizing VLF-EM method.
 J.Appl.Geophys. 65, 121–131.
- Ammar AI, Kruse SE (2016). Resistivity soundings and VLF profiles for siting groundwater
 wells in a fractured basement aquifer in the Arabian Shield, Saudi Arabia. Journal of
 African Earth Sciences, 116:56-67.
- 561 Andreo B, Ravbar N, Vias JM (2009) Source vulnerability mapping in carbonate (karst)
- aquifers by extension of the COP method: application to pilot sites. Hydrogeology Journal,17:749-758.
- Argentieri A, Carluccio R, Cecchini F, Chiappini M, Ciotoli G, De Ritis, R, Di Filippo M, Di
 Nezza M, Marchetti M, Margottini S, Materni V, Meloni F, Nardi A, Rotella G, Sapia V,
 Venuti A (2015). Early stage sinkhole formation in the Acque Albule basin of central Italy
 from geophysical and geochemical observations. Engineering Geology, 191:36-47.
- Ariyo, S.O., Adeyemi, G.O., Oyebamiji, A.O., 2009. Electromagnetic VLF survey
 forgroundwater development in contact terrain; a case study of Ishara-reno, south-western
 Nigeria. J. Appl. Sci. Res. 5 (9), 1239–1246.
- Auler A, Farrant AR (1996). A BRIEF INTRODUCTION TO KARST AND CAVES IN BRAZIL.
 Proceedings of the University of Bristol Spelaeological Society, 20, 187-200.
- Auler AS (2002). Karst areas in Brazil and the potential for major caves-an overview. Bol.
 Soc. Venezolana Espel, 36:29-35.
- 575 Bishop I, Styles P, Emsley SJ, Ferguson NS, (1997) The Detection of Cavities Using the
 576 Microgravity Techniques: Case Histories from Mining and Karstic Environments.
 577 Engineering Geology Special Publications, pp. 153-166.
- 578 Bosch FP, Müller I (2001) Continuous gradient VLF measurements:a new possibility for
 579 high resolution mapping of karststructures. First Break 19:343–350
- Čeru T, Gosar A, Šegina E (2017, June). Application of ground penetrating radar for
 investigating sediment-filled surface karst features (Krk Island, Croatia). In 2017 9th
 International Workshop on Advanced Ground Penetrating Radar (IWAGPR) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- 583 Čeru, T., Dolenec, M., Gosar, A. (2018). Application of Ground Penetrating Radar
 584 Supported by Mineralogical-Geochemical Methods for Mapping Unroofed Cave Sediments.
 585 Remote Sensing, 10(4), 639.
- 586 Čeru, T., Dolenec, M., Gosar, A. (2018). Application of Ground Penetrating Radar
- 587 Supported by Mineralogical-Geochemical Methods for Mapping Unroofed Cave Sediments.
- 588 *Remote Sensing*, *10*(4), 639.
- 589 Chalikakis K, Plagnes V, Guerin R, Valois R, Bosch FP (2011). Contribution of geophysical 590 methods to karst-system exploration: an overview. Hydrogeology Journal, 19(6):1169.
- 591 Colangelo, G., Lapenna, V., Loperte, A., Perrone, A., Telesca, L. (2008). 2D electrical
- resistivity tomographies for investigating recent activation landslides in Basilicata Region
 (Southern Italy). Annals of Geophysics, 51(1):275-285.
- Conti, I. M., de Castro, D. L., Bezerra, F. H., Cazarin, C. L. (2019). Porosity estimation and
 geometric characterization of fractured and karstified carbonate rocks using GPR data in
 the Salitre Formation, Brazil. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, *176*(4), 1673-1689.
- 597 Daly D, Dassargues A, Drew D, Dunne S, Goldscheider N, Neale S, Popescu IC, Zwahlen F
 598 (2002) Main concepts of the European approach to karst-groundwater vulnerability
 599 assessment and mapping. Hydrogeology Journal, 10:340–345.
- 600 de Queiroz Salles L, Galvão P, Leal LRB, de Araujo Pereira RGF, da Purificação CGC,
- Laureano FV (2018). Evaluation of susceptibility for terrain collapse and subsidence in
 karst areas, municipality of Iraquara, Chapada Diamantina (BA), Brazil. Environmental
 Earth Sciences, 77(16):593.
- 604 de Souza MARTINELLI R, CALVO EM, LOBO HAS (2015) Exploration and mapping of
- dores Tarimba Pasto De Vacas Cave System (MAMBAÍ, Goiás, Brasil) in AN AIS do 330
- 606 Congresso Brasileiro de Espeleologia Eldorado SP, 15-19 de julho de 2015 Sociedade
- 607 Brasileira de Espeleologia.

- dos Reis Jr, J. A., de Castro, D. L., de Jesus, T. E. S., Lima Filho, F. P. (2014).
 Characterization of collapsed paleocave systems using GPR attributes. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 103, 43-56.
- dos Santos ES, Silva RW, Sampaio EE (2012). Analysis of the risk of karst collapse in
 Lapão, Bahia, Brazil. Exploration Geophysics, 43(3):198-212.
- 613 Dourado JC, Malagutti Filho, W, Braga AC, Nava N (2001) Detecção de cavidades em
- 614 arenitos utilizando gravimetria, eletrorresistividade e GPR. Revista Brasileira de Geofísica,615 19-32.
- 616 Ehsani, M.R.; Daniels, J.J.; Allred, B.J. Handbook of Agricultural Geophysics, 1st ed.; CRC
 617 Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2008; p. 432.
- Ezersky M, (2008) Geoelectric structure of the Ein Gedi sinkhole occurrence site at theDead Sea shore in Israel. Journal of Applied Geophysics, 64:56-69.
- Fabregat I, Gutiérrez F, Roqué C, Comas X, Zarroca M, Carbonel D, Linares R (2017)
 Reconstructing the internal structure and long-term evolution of hazardous sinkholes
 combining trenching, electrical resistivity imaging (ERI) and ground penetrating radar
 (GPR). Geomorphology, 285:287-304.
- Fernandes, A.L.; Medeiros, W.E.; Bezerra, F.H.R.; Oliveira, J.G.; Cazarin, C.L. GPR
 investigation of karst guided by comparison with outcrop and unmanned aerial vehicle
 imagery. J. Appl. Geophys. 2015, 112, 268–278.
- 627 Garcia GP, Grohmann CH (2019) DEM-based geomorphological mapping and landforms
 628 characterization of a tropical karst environment in southeastern Brazil. Journal of South
 629 American Earth Sciences, 93:14-22.
- 630 Guidry, S.A.; Grasmueck, M.; Carpenter, D.G.; Gombos, A.M. Jr.; Bachtel, S.L.; Viggiano, 631 D.A. Karst and early fracture networks in carbonates, Turks and Caicos Islands, British
- 632 West Indies. J. Sediment. Res. 2007, 77, 508–524.
- Guillemoteau, J., Bano, M., Dujardin, J. R. (2012). Influence of grain size, shape and
 compaction on georadar waves: examples of aeolian dunes. Geophysical Journal
 International, 190(3), 1455-1463.
- Hasan, M., Shang, Y., Jin, W. (2018). Delineation of weathered/fracture zones for aquifer
 potential using an integrated geophysical approach: A case study from South China.
 Journal of Applied Geophysics, 157, 47-60.
- Hussain Y., et al 2020B. Characterization of Sobradinho landslide in fluvial valley usingMASW and ERT methods, REM International Engineering Journal (in press).
- Hussain, Y., Moura, C., Borges, W., 2020A. Estimation of total groundwater reserves and
 delineation of weathered/fault zones for aquifer potential: a case study from Federal
 District, BRAZIL, Earth and Space Science Open Archive, DOI: 10.1002/essoar.10503440.1
- 644 Jamal N, Singh NP (2018) Identification of fracture zones for groundwater exploration
- 645 using very low frequency electromagnetic (VLF-EM) and electrical resistivity (ER) methods
 646 in hard rock area of Sangod Block, Kota District, Rajasthan, India. Groundwater for
 647 Sustainable Development, 7:195-203.
- Jamal, N., Singh, N. P. (2018). Identification of fracture zones for groundwater exploration
 using very low frequency electromagnetic (VLF-EM) and electrical resistivity (ER) methods
 in hard rock area of Sangod Block, Kota District, Rajasthan, India. Groundwater for
 Sustainable Development, 7, 195-203.
- Jin-long L, Hamza O, Davies-Vollum KS, Jie-qun L (2018) Repairing a shield tunnel
 damaged by secondary grouting. Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology, 80, 313-
- 654 321.
- Kavouri K, Plagnes V, Tremoulet J, Dörfliger N, Rejiba F, Marchet P (2011) PaPRIKa: a
 method for estimating karst resource and source vulnerability—application to the Ouysse
 karst system (southwest France). Hydrogeology Journal, 19(2):339-353.
- Krawczyk CM, Polom U, Trabs S, Dahm T (2012) Sinkholes in the city of Hamburg -new
 urban shear-wave reflection seismic system enables high-resolution imaging of suberosion
 structures. Journal of Applied Geophysics, 78:133–143.
- Kruse, S.; Grasmueck, M.; Weiss, M.; Viggiano, D. Sinkhole structure imaging in coveredkarst terrain. Geophys. Res. Lett. 2006, 33, L16405.

- Lapenna V, Lorenzo P, Perrone A, Piscitelli S, Rizzo E. Sdao F (2005) 2D electrical
 resistivity imaging of some complex landslides in Lucanian Apennine chain, southern Italy.
 Geophysics. 70, no. 3, B11-B18.
- Lejzerowicz, A., Wysocka, A., Kowalczyk, S. (2018). Application of ground penetrating
 radar method combined with sedimentological analyses in studies of glaciogenic sediments
 in central Poland. *Studia Quaternaria*, *35*(2), 103-119.
- Lobo HAS, BICHUETTE ME, HARDT R, de Souza MARTINELLI R, BRUNO FILHO F G,
 GALLÃO JE, CALVO EM (2015) Preliminary environmental characterization and
 conservation proposal to gruta da tarimba karst system Goiás state, Brazil.
- 672 Loke MH (2004) Tutorial: 2-D and 3-D electrical imaging surveys. Geotomo Software.
- 673 M. Gambetta, E. Armadillo, C. Carmisciano, P. Stefanelli, L. Cocchi, and F.C. Tontini (2011)
- 674 Determining geophysical properties of a near surface cave through integrated microgravity
 675 vertical gradient and electrical resistivity tomography measurements. Journal of Cave and
 676 Karst Studies, 73(1):11-15.
- Martinez-Lopez, J., Rey, J., Duenas, J., Hidalgo, C., Benavente, J. (2013). Electrical
 tomography applied to the detection of subsurface cavities. Journal of Cave & Karst
 Studies, 75(1).
- Martinez-Moreno, F.J., Pedrera, A., Ruano, P., Galindo-Zaldivar, J., Martos-Rosillo, S.,
 Gonzalez-Castillo, L., Sanchez-Ubeda, J.P., Marin-Lechado, C., (2013). Combined
 microgravity, electrical resistivity tomography and induced polarization to detect deeply
 buried caves: algaidilla cave (Southern Spain). Engineering Geology, 162, 67-78.
- 684 Mohamed, A. M. E., El-Hussain, I., Deif, A., Araffa, S. A. S., Mansour, K., Al-Rawas, G.
- 685 (2019). Integrated GPR, ERT and MASW for detecting near-surface caverns at Duqm area,
 686 Sultanate of Oman. Near Surface Geophysics, 17(4):379-401.
- Ndatuwong, L. G., Yadav, G. S. (2014). Identifications of fractured zones in part of hard
 rock area of Sonebhadra District, UP, India using integrated surface geophysical method
 for groundwater exploration. Arabian Journal of Geosciences, 7(5), 1781-1789.
- 690 Ozegin, K.O., Oseghale, A.O., Ogedegbe, E.O., 2012. Integrated geophysical investigation
 691 and characterization of aquifer structures in a complex environment. Adv. in Applied Sci.
 692 Res. 3(1), 475-480.
- Pazzi, V., Di Filippo, M., Di Nezza, M., Carlà, T., Bardi, F., Marini, F., ... Fanti, R. (2018).
 Integrated geophysical survey in a sinkhole-prone area: Microgravity, electrical resistivity
 tomographies, and seismic noise measurements to delimit its extension. Engineering
 Geology, 243, 282-293.
- 697 Pirttijarvi, M. (2004) Manual of the KHFFILT program; Karous-Hjelt and Fraser filtering of698 VLF measurements, Version 1.1a. University of Oulu, Finland.
- 699 Pueyo-Anchuela, Ó.; López Julián, P.L.; Casas-Sainz, A.M.; Liesa, C.L.; Pocoví-Juan, A.;
- Ramajo Cordero, J.; Perez Benedicto, J.A. Three dimensional characterization of complex
 mantled karst structures. Decision making and engineering solutions applied to a road
 overlying evaporite rocks in the Ebro Basin (Spain). Eng. Geol. 2015, 193, 158-172.
- 703 PUTIŠKA, R., KUŠNIRÁK, D., Dostál, I., LAČNÝ, A., MOJZESŠ, A., Hok, J., BOŠANSKÝ, M.
- 704 (2014). Integrated geophysical and geological investigations of Karst structures in
 705 Komberek, Slovakia. Journal of Cave & Karst Studies, 76(3).
- Putiška, R., Sabol, M., Kušnirák, D., Dostál, I. (2017). Geophysical Research at the
 Prepoštská Cave and Čertova Pec Cave Neanderthal Sites (Western Slovakia).
 Archaeological Prospection, 24(2): 119-131.
- Sasaki, Y. (1992), Resolution of resistivity tomography inferred from numerical simulation:
 Geophysical Prospecting. 54, 453-464.
- 711 Sharma S.P., and Singh A. (2016) Advancement in 2D interpretation approach in very low
- frequency electromagnetic measurement, 23rd Electromagnetic Induction in the EarthWorkshop, Chiang Mai, Thaila.
- 714 Sharma, S.P., Baranwal, V. C., 2005. Delineation of groundwater-bearing fracture zones in
- a hard rock area integrating very low frequency electromagnetic and resistivity data. J. of
- 716 Appl. Geophy. 57(2), 155-166.

20 Geosciences 2020, 10, x FOR PEER REVIEW

- Silva, O.L.; Bezerra, F.H.R.; Maia, R.P.; Cazarin, C.L. Karst landforms revealed at various
 scales using LiDAR and UAV in semi-arid Brazil: Consideration on karstification processes
 and methodological constraints. Geomorphology 2017, 295, 611-630.
- 720 Smith, D.L., 1986. Application of the pole-dipole resistivity technique to the detection of 721 solution cavities beneath highways. Geophysics, 51, 833-837
- Strelec, S., Mesec, J., Grabar, K., Jug, J. (2017). Implementation of in-situ and geophysical
 investigation methods (ERT & MASW) with the purpose to determine 2D profile of
 landelide. Acta Mantaniatian Slava as 22(4) 245
- 1724 landslide. Acta Montanistica Slovaca, 22(4):345.
- Sungkono; Husein, A., Prasetyo, H., Bahri, A. S., Santos, F. A. M., Santosa, B. J. (2014). The
- VLF-EM imaging of potential collapse on the LUSI embankment. Journal of AppliedGeophysics, 109, 218-232.
- Xavier N., P., Medeiros, W., 2006. A practical approach to correct attenuations effects inGPR data. J. Appl. Geophys. 59, 140-151.
- 730 Youssef, A.M., Al-Harbi, H.M., Gutiérrez, F., Zabramwi, Y.A., Bulkhi, A.B., Zahrani, S. A.,
- 731 El-Haddad, B. A. (2016). Natural and human-induced sinkhole hazards in Saudi Arabia:
- distribution, investigation, causes and impacts. Hydrogeology Journal, 24(3): 625-644.
- Zhou, W., Beck, B.F., Adams, A.L., 2002. Effective electrode array in mapping karst hazards
 in electrical resistivity tomography. Environmental Geology, 42, 922–928.



© 2020 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

735