Atomic-Scale Simulations of Meteor Ablation

Gabrielle Guttormsen¹, Alex Fletcher², and Meers M. Oppenheim¹

¹Boston University ²Unknown

November 24, 2022

Abstract

Meteoroids smaller than a microgram constantly bombard the Earth, depositing in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere. Meteoroid ablation, the explosive evaporation of meteoroids due to erosive impacts of atmospheric particles, consists of sputtering and sublimation. This paper presents the first atomic scale modeling of sputtering, the initial stage of ablation where hypersonic collisions between the meteoroid and atmospheric particles cause the direct ejection of atoms from the meteoroid surface. Because meteoroids gain thermal energy from these particle impacts, these interactions are important for sublimation as well. In this study, a molecular dynamics simulator calculates the energy distribution of the sputtered particles as a function of the species, velocity, and angle of the incoming atmospheric particles. The sputtering yield generally agrees with semi-empirical equations at normal incidence but disagrees with the generally accepted angular dependence. Λ , the fraction of energy from a single atmospheric species, and meteoroid material. Applying this new Λ to an ablation model results in a slower meteoroid temperature increase and mass loss rate as a function of altitude. This alteration results in changes in the expected electron line densities and visual magnitudes of meteoroids. Notably, this analysis leads to the prediction that meteoroids will generally ablate 1 - 4 km lower than previously predicted. This affects analysis of radar and visual measurements, as well as determination of meteoroid mass. manuscript submitted to JGR-Space Physics

Supporting Information for "Atomic-Scale Simulations of Meteor Ablation"

Gabrielle Guttormsen¹, Alex C. Fletcher², and Meers M. Oppenheim¹

¹Center for Space Physics, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA ²Naval Research Laboratory, Washington D.C., USA

Additional Supporting Information (Files uploaded separately)

1. Caption for Movie S1

Movie S1.

This movie shows a LAMMPS simulation of an normally incident argon atom impact on a quartz (SiO₂) target. The color scale shows the kinetic energy of the atoms, with black to red indicating lower energies, and orange to yellow and white indicating higher energies. The white atoms leaving the surface are the sputtered atoms, and disappear from the simulation after crossing the upper boundary. The simulation block is approximately 145 Åin the x and y direction, and 70 Åin the z direction. The simulation view is in the x-z plane.

-1-

Corresponding author: Gabrielle Guttormsen, ${\tt gabigutt@bu.edu}$

Atomic-Scale Simulations of Meteor Ablation

Gabrielle Guttormsen¹, Alex C. Fletcher², and Meers M. Oppenheim¹

 $^1\mathrm{Center}$ for Space Physics, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA $^2\mathrm{Naval}$ Research Laboratory, Washington D.C., USA

Key Points:

1

2

3 4

5

6	•	Single particle impacts on meteoroid surfaces were simulated in 3D using molec-
7		ular dynamics
8	•	Sputtering yields for different meteoroid materials are compared to theory
9	•	Atmospheric particles energy transfer is less than previously assumed affecting ab-
10		lation models

Corresponding author: Gabrielle Guttormsen, gabigutt@bu.edu

11 Abstract

Meteoroids smaller than a microgram constantly bombard the Earth, depositing 12 material in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere. Meteoroid ablation, the explosive 13 evaporation of meteoroids due to erosive impacts of atmospheric particles, consists of sput-14 tering and sublimation. This paper presents the first atomic scale modeling of sputter-15 ing, the initial stage of ablation where hypersonic collisions between the meteoroid and 16 atmospheric particles cause the direct ejection of atoms from the meteoroid surface. Be-17 cause meteoroids gain thermal energy from these particle impacts, these interactions are 18 19 important for sublimation as well. In this study, a molecular dynamics simulator calculates the energy distribution of the sputtered particles as a function of the species, ve-20 locity, and angle of the incoming atmospheric particles. The sputtering yield generally 21 agrees with semi-empirical equations at normal incidence but disagrees with the gener-22 ally accepted angular dependence. Λ , the fraction of energy from a single atmospheric 23 particle impact incorporated into the meteoroid, was found to be less than 1 and depen-24 dent on the velocity, angle, atmospheric species, and meteoroid material. Applying this 25 new Λ to an ablation model results in a slower meteoroid temperature increase and mass 26 loss rate as a function of altitude. This alteration results in changes in the expected elec-27 tron line densities and visual magnitudes of meteoroids. Notably, this analysis leads to 28 the prediction that meteoroids will generally ablate 1 - 4 km lower than previously pre-29 dicted. This affects analysis of radar and visual measurements, as well as determination 30 of meteoroid mass. 31

32 1 Introduction

Billions of small meteoroids vaporize in Earth's atmosphere each day. The majority of these meteoroids weigh less than a milligram and have velocities ranging from 11 km/s to 72 km/s (Love & Brownlee, 1991). Meteoroids begin to lose mass (ablate) once they collide with atmospheric particles. The neutral atmosphere becomes exponentially denser with decreasing altitude, which exponentially increases the collision rate. The liberated meteoroid atoms collide with atmospheric particles, forming plasma via collisional ionization. Radars often observe the liberated electron.

There are two mechanisms for meteoroid ablation in the atmosphere: sputtering 40 and sublimation. Sputtering is the direct ejection of a small number of atoms from the 41 meteoroid due to impacts by atmospheric particles. It is the dominant ablation process 42 for very small and very fast meteoroids (Rogers et al., 2005). Sublimation occurs when 43 the meteoroid reaches a sufficiently high temperature ($\gtrsim 2200$ K) and dominates the mass 44 loss (Ceplecha et al., 1998). However, the single particle impacts influence the heating 45 rate of the meteoroid, which determines when the sublimation rate as well. Fig. 1 de-46 picts an example of this process. 47

Each impact by an atmospheric particle on the meteoroid surface has a chance to 51 dislodge (sputter) a small number of meteoroid atoms (on average 0, 1, or 2 atoms per 52 impact, depending on meteoroid velocity). These sputtered particles carry away energy 53 from the meteoroid. The incident atmospheric particle therefore transfers some, but gen-54 erally not all, of its kinetic energy to the meteoroid in the form of increased thermal en-55 ergy. The fraction of kinetic energy that is converted to thermal energy, averaged over 56 many impacts, is the energy transfer coefficient, Λ . This coefficient is a function of me-57 teoroid velocity, shape, and the material makeup of the meteoroid and atmosphere (which 58 changes with altitude). Most ablation models assume $\Lambda = 1$ (e.g. Rogers et al., 2005; 59 Vondrak et al., 2008; Briani et al., 2013), and this assumption will overestimate the heat-60 ing rate and therefore the mass loss rate from sublimation. 61

In this paper, we use atomic scale molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to model impacts of atmospheric particles on meteoroid surfaces. We consider a range of mete-



Figure 1. This image shows a nitrogen molecule from the atmosphere striking a stony meteoroid. Two atoms from the meteoroid are sputtered and the thermal energy of the meteoroid

oroid velocities, impact angles, meteoroid materials, and incident atmospheric particles. 64 We simulate each type of impact hundreds of times in order to determine average sput-65 tering yields (which is the number of atoms leaving the surface meteoroid due to a sin-66 gle impact), the energy distribution of sputtered particles, and the energy transfer co-67 efficient (Λ). The microscopic simulation data provides macroscopic coefficients neces-68 sary for meteoroid ablation models. We then show how a reduced energy transfer coef-69 ficient ($\Lambda < 1$) affects the mass loss rate, as well as derived radar/optical observables 70 (Campbell-Brown & Koschny, 2004; Szasz et al., 2008; Vida et al., 2018; Dimant & Op-71 penheim, 2017a, 2017b). 72

Models assume $\Lambda = 1.0$, so energy from atmospheric impacting atmospheric par-73 ticles is completely incorporated into the meteoroid (Lebedinets & Shushkova, 1970; Ce-74 plecha et al., 1998; Rogers et al., 2005; Hill et al., 2005). Others assume that half the 75 initial energy is transferred the meteoroid (Campbell-Brown & Koschny, 2004; Vida et 76 al., 2018). Models that fit to data use a range of values from 0.2-1.0 (Szasz et al., 2008; 77 Thomas, 2017). Briani et al. (2013) calculate the Λ as an output of their numerical model 78 (0.9 for low velocities) and Popova, Strelkov, and Sidneva (2007) calculates Λ with en-79 ergy ratios from Monte-Carlo simulations, finding Λ between 0.75 and 1.0. Experimen-80 tally, DeLuca and Sternovsky (2019) used a measured drag coefficient to constrain the 81 energy transfer coefficient to $\Lambda = 0.58 \pm 0.37$ for a low velocity aluminum target in air. 82 MD simulations with physical interatomic potentials can provide an estimate for the en-83 ergy transfer coefficient as well, and this is the subject of the paper. 84

Researchers have used analytic theory, experiments, and simulations to study sput-85 tering. A combination of analytic theory with some experimentally-determined coeffi-86 cients yields a semi-analytic model for sputtering yield (e.g. Tielens et al., 1994). Ex-87 perimentalists determine sputtering yield by measuring crater depth (Laegreid & Wehner, 88 1961; Cheney et al., 1963; Krebs, 1977; Tsunoyama et al., 1976) or by using quartz crys-89 tal microbalance to detect changes in resonant frequencies that relate to the mass loss 90 (Varga et al., 1997; Bouneau et al., 2002; Zoerb et al., 2005). Urbassek (1997) and Behrisch 91 and Eckstein (2007) review the use of MD simulations to study sputtering. Most prior 92 sputtering simulation work focuses on the detailed dynamics of the atoms in the target 93

⁵⁰ body increases.

material. The novel aspects of this paper are the use of MD simulations to 1) determine the energy transfer coefficient, and 2) to apply the results to meteoroid ablation.

This paper is split into two parts. The first delves into microscopic simulations and 96 the second applies the results from atomic-scale simulations to macroscopic meteor ab-97 lation models. The microscopic simulations use molecular dynamics (MD) simulations 98 to model atmospheric impacts on the surface of meteoroids and extract the sputtering 99 yield, energies of sputtered atoms, and energy transfer efficiency. The macroscopic me-100 teor ablation modeling uses parameters determined from the microscopic simulations to 101 102 quantify changes in meteoroid temperature, mass loss, and derived parameters relevant for radar and optical observations. 103

¹⁰⁴ 2 Simulations of Meteoroid-Atmosphere Interactions

Molecular dynamics (MD) is a useful tool for simulating the interaction of incident atmospheric particles with a meteoroid surface. MD is extremely small scale since every atom is simulated directly. Interatomic potentials provide forces for moving the atoms at each time step. We use the Large-scale Atomic/Molecular Massively Parallel Simulator (LAMMPS) (Sandia National Labs & Temple University, 2013; S. Plimpton, 1995; S. J. Plimpton & Thompson, 2012).

From the simulations, we can determine the sputtering yield (which is how many meteoroid particles are ejected per impacting particle), the energy distribution of sputtered particles, and the energy transfer coefficient (Λ , which is the fraction of kinetic energy that impacting particles deposit into the meteoroid as thermal energy). The energy transfer coefficient affects the heating rate of the meteoroid, and thus the temperature, mass loss (during both sputtering and sublimation), and ultimately the radar and optical observables of meteors.

2.1 Simulation Setup

118

Simulation of a the single impact of an atmospheric molecule requires two steps: 119 1) creating a meteoroid target in equilibrium, and 2) bombarding that target with at-120 mospheric particles. To create a meteoroid target in equilibrium, a 3D periodic box is 121 constructed with atoms in an appropriate crystal structure at zero Kelvin. The simu-122 lation is run with an isenthalpic integrator ("NPH" in LAMMPS) with a Langevin ther-123 mostat to gradually heat the target to 250 K. The boundaries are allowed to expand and 124 contract as necessary. After the simulation reaches thermal equilibrium at 250 K for the 125 periodic system, one boundary is changed to vacuum and the integration is changed to 126 be energy conserving ("NVE" in LAMMPS) in order to form the surface that the atmo-127 spheric particle will hit, and run until the simulation reaches equilibrium again. 128

The equilibrium meteoroid target is used in a variety of impact simulations with different atmospheric particles hitting different locations at different angles. Because sputtering is a stochastic process, a large number of impact simulations provides statistics and allows us to determine the sputtering yield and energy transfer coefficient on average.

These simulations used two interatomic potentials to model the atomic forces. The 134 target lattice atoms/molecules (Fe, SiO_2) respond to the Tersoff potential (Tersoff, 1988), 135 a many-body potential suited to empirical simulations of solid, bonded materials (Müller 136 et al., 2007; Munetoh et al., 2007). The Embedded Atom Method (EAM) potential is 137 often used for metallic alloys like meteoroid iron. However, EAM has problems properly 138 describing surfaces (Zhou & Huang, 2013), and microscopic surface effects dominate the 139 sputtering process. The Tersoff potential is mainly used for covalent bonds and is an ap-140 propriate potential for the quartz lattice. The impacting particles (N_2, O_2, A_7) and the 141

target lattice interact via the Lennard-Jones potential, due to its simplicity (Behrisch
& Eckstein, 2007; Elliott, 2018). Lorentz-Berthelot combining rules determine the mixed
parameters for unlike atoms (Lorentz, 1881; Berthelot, 1898).

145

2.2 Sputtering Yield at Normal Incidence

We first examine the sputtering yield, which is the number of sputtered particles 146 per impacting particle, for impacts at normal incidence. Impact simulations consisted 147 of 256 runs for each velocity and combination of incident atmospheric particle and me-148 teoroid material. The impacting particles are nitrogen (N_2) , oxygen (O_2) , and Argon (Ar). 149 N_2 and O_2 are the most common molecules in the region where meteoroids ablate. Ar-150 gon is a trace particle in the atmosphere, but it has a large sputtering yield, is easier to 151 model than molecules, and has been used for some ground-based experiments. There-152 fore, it is useful for examining the validity of the simulation results, and is also included. 153 Each impact simulation begins with the identical iron or quartz equilibrium target. 154

A single particle is deposited above the target at a random location at one of five velocities: 23.2, 35.4, 47.6, 59.8, or 72.0 km/s. Velocities less than 22 km/s are not useful to simulate for their sputtering yield, as it is close to null. For the thermal energy transfer discussed in Section 2.5, 11 km/s impacts help determine the energy transfer coefficient, but those simulations are not included in this section.

The simulations run for 0.25 to 4 ps with a variable time-step. This duration is long 160 enough to ensure that atoms ejected from the meteoroid surface are recorded (Behrisch 161 & Eckstein, 2007). Fig. 2 shows one instance of an impact simulation, and animated in 162 supporting information. The kinetic energy of the atoms near the impact site have in-163 creased - i.e. the thermal energy of the meteoroid has increased. While the increased en-164 ergy in the meteoroid is localized on these extremely short time scales, Vondrak et al. 165 (2008) argued that over the ablation time scale, meteoroids may be considered isother-166 mal. There are a handful of atoms that have escaped the surface and will leave the do-167 main at the top of the z-axis. 168

A widely used semi-analytic equation for sputtering yield at normal incidence is (Tielens et al., 1994)

$$Y(E_0, \theta = 0) = \frac{3.56}{U_0(eV)} \frac{M_1}{M_1 + M_2} \frac{Z_1 Z_2}{\sqrt{Z_1^{\frac{2}{3}} + Z_2^{\frac{2}{3}}}} s_n(\gamma) \alpha \frac{R_p}{R} \left[1 - \left(\frac{E_{th}}{E_0}\right)^{2/3} \right] \left(1 - \frac{E_{th}}{E_0}\right)^2, \quad (1)$$

where Y is the yield, U_0 is the sublimation energy in eV, and Z_1 , Z_2 , M_1 and M_2 are the atomic numbers and atomic masses of the atmospheric (1) and meteoroid (2) particles, respectively. E_0 is the kinetic energy of the impacting particle and E_{th} is the threshold energy for sputtering related to mass ratios of M_1 and M_2 (Bohdansky, 1984). E_{th} must be greater than E_0 for sputtering to occur (Bohdansky, 1984; Behrisch & Eckstein, 2007). The function $s_n(\gamma)$ describes the screened Coulomb interactions (Matsunami et al., 1981),

$$s_n(\gamma) = \frac{3.411\sqrt{\gamma}ln(\gamma + 2.718)}{1 + 6.35\sqrt{\gamma} + \gamma(-1.708 + 6.882)\sqrt{\gamma}},\tag{2}$$

where γ is defined as,

$$\gamma = \frac{M_2}{M_1 + M_2} \frac{a}{Z_1 Z_2 e^2} E_0.$$
(3)

The functional form for α is

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 0.2 & M_2/M_1 \le 0.5\\ 0.3(M_2/M_1)^{2/3} & 0.5 < M_2/M_1 < 10 \end{cases}$$
(4)

Finally, R_p/R is a correction factor that mitigates the overestimation of deposited energy on the surface layer induced by α for light atmospheric particles, and is the ratio

of the mean projected range to the mean penetrated path length (Bohdansky, 1984).



Figure 2. Snapshot of a simulation shortly after impact of a argon atom striking an iron meteoroid surface at 72 km/s. The impact site in this case is at (x, y) = (0, 0) and the incident molecule is normal to the surface. Only a small part of the domain is shown; the boundaries are farther away than shown in this snapshot. The impacting molecule sputtered a handful of atoms from the meteoroid and increased the kinetic energy of the meteoroid atoms in the vicinity of the impact site.

Fig. 3 shows the sputtering yields for the atmospheric particles impacting iron in 178 the first row and quartz in the second, calculated over 256 simulations. The red lines in 179 the figure denote one and two standard deviations above the mean, representing the nat-180 ural spread in the number of sputtered atoms over different simulation runs. Note that 181 since the process is stochastic, the variance in the number of particles ejected per inci-182 dent particle will not change much if even more impacts are simulated. The sputtering 183 yield predicted by Eq. 1 is in blue for iron and purple for quartz. The sputtering yield 184 from Eq. 1 is at most a factor of two off from the average sputtering yield found in the 185 simulations. In all cases the model falls within a standard deviation of the average sim-186 ulation result. 187

While Bohdansky, Lindner, Hechtl, Martinelli, and Roth (1986) found sputtering 191 vield to be independent of temperature in a lab, Behrisch and Eckstein (1993) found a 192 30% increase in yield near sublimation temperatures for silver. Meteoroids heat as they 193 descend into the atmosphere, so the sputtering and energy transfer from incident atmo-194 spheric particles with higher temperature targets warrants further investigation. Pre-195 liminary simulations with meteoroid targets at higher temperature, which will be reported 196 in future work, suggest that the yield and energy transfer remain approximately the same 197 for hotter targets, though this could change if the target has a surface in another phase. 198

199

2.3 Angular Dependence of Sputtering Yield

The simulations in Sec. 2.2 study impacts normal incidence, but particles will impact a meteoroid at a range of angles depending on the shape of the meteoroid. In this section, we examine the angular dependence. Sputtering yield models often define the yield at normal incidence (e.g. Eq. 1) and describe the angular dependence as a func-



Sputtering yield from LAMMPS simulations: normal incidence

Figure 3. Sputtering yield at normal incidence for Ar (red), O₂ (orange), and N₂ (green) impacts on iron in the first row and quartz in the second, with 2 lines denoting one and two standard deviations above the mean. The blue (iron) or purple (quartz) line is the model (Eq. 1).

tion of the yield at normal incidence. Draine and Salpeter (1979) argue that $\langle Y(E_0,\theta) \rangle \approx$ 275 $2Y(E_0,\theta=0)$. Common approximations for the angular dependence are $Y(E_0,\theta_0)/Y(E_0,0) =$ 276 $\cos^{-1}\theta$ at lower energies (Almén & Bruce, 1961; Molchanov & Telkovski, 1961; Sigmund, 1969; Draine & Salpeter, 1979; Rogers et al., 2005; Vondrak et al., 2008) and $Y(E_0,\theta_0)/Y(E_0,0) =$ $\cos^{-1.6}\theta$ at higher energies (Jurac et al., 1998). These forms have a clear problem in that they diverge for large angles $(\theta \to \pi/2)$.

Eckstein and Preuss (2003) provide an empirical fit for sputtering yield as a function of angle:

$$\frac{Y(E_0,\theta_0)}{Y(E_0,0)} = \left\{ \cos\left[\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{\theta_0}{\theta_0^*}\right)^c\right] \right\}^{-J} \exp\left(b\left\{1-1\left/\cos\left[\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{\theta_0}{\theta_0^*}\right)^c\right]\right\}\right),\tag{5}$$

where b, c, and f are parameters to fit to experimental data. The variable θ_0^* , which is given by

$$\theta_0^* = \pi - \arccos\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \frac{E_0}{E_{sp}}}}\right) \ge \frac{\pi}{2},\tag{6}$$

is a parameter to negate the fact that a particle experiences a binding energy, E_{sp} , to the target, and cannot impact at an angle of 90° due to that non-zero energy of interaction between the projectile and target. For the cases presented here with argon, nitrogen, and oxygen, $E_{sp} \approx 0$ and $\theta_0^* \approx \pi$.

To examine the angular dependence of the sputtering yield, we use all of the same impactors (argon, nitrogen, and oxygen) and same meteoroid targets (iron and quartz) as the Section 2.2 at a meteoroid velocity of 59.8 km/s. Impact angles vary from 0° to
80° in 10° degree increments. For impacts at large angles, the simulations take considerably longer to resolve (up to 36 ps) compared to impacts at normal incidence (4 ps).
Fig. 4 shows the angular dependence relative to the sputtering yield at normal incidence.
We fit the simulation results to Eq. 5 for each case and list the parameters b, c, and f
in legend of the Figure.



Sputtering yield from LAMMPS simulations: normalized angled impacts

Figure 4. Sputtering yield versus angle for Ar, O_2 , and N_2 impacts on iron in the top row and quartz on the bottom, normalized to $Y(E_0, 0)$. The two lines denote one and two standard deviations above the mean. The blue (iron) or purple (quartz) line is the Eq. 5, with the parameters in the legend

2.4 Sputtered Energy

226

MD simulations track the sputtered particle energies. The kinetic energy the particle in the last time-step within the bounds of the simulation defines the sputtered particle energy. At the point where a sputtered or reflected particle crosses the boundary, there is no interaction with the meteoroid atoms and its kinetic energy is constant. Recording the sputtered particle energies for each impact velocity and composition combination provides data for energy distribution histograms.

Figure 5 shows the distribution of the sputtered particle energy from Ar, O₂, and N₂ impacts on iron and quartz. More sputtering events occur at higher initial energies, given by Eq. 1, and therefore more overall entries in the histogram. The lower energy impacts have orders of magnitude smaller sputtering yields resulting in less total data from the same number of trials. The inverse gamma distribution is characterized by a steep initial rise, skewed shape, and the long tail that closely fit the histograms in Fig. 5. The parameters of the distribution (found in the legends in Fig. 5) are the shape param-



Figure 5. Histograms of energies of the sputtered particles from Ar, O₂ and N₂ impact. The parameters in the legends are the shape, scale, and location fit parameters.



Energy transfer coefficient from LAMMPS simulation: velocity dependence

Figure 6. Energy as a function of angle for Ar, O₂, and N₂ impacts on iron and quartz, with fit for each atmospheric particle. The darker inner section is one standard deviation, and the lighter outer region is two standard deviations.

eter α , the scale parameter β , and location parameter μ . This probability distribution function was chosen over other PDFs like the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution or the Gamma distribution simply due to its smaller residual value when fitting the simulation data.

245 2.5 Energy Transfer

The energy transfer is the fraction of the initial energy of the atmospheric particle transferred into the meteoroid surface. In this section, this coefficient refers to a single impact. Taking E_i , the initial energy of the atmospheric particle, and subtracting ΣE_s , the energies of any ricocheted atmospheric and sputtered particles from the LAMMPS simulations, gives the energy transferred to the meteoroid atoms. The increased energy kinetic energy of the meteoroids is equivalent to increased temperature of the meteoroid. The energy transfer coefficient is

$$\Lambda = \frac{E_i - \Sigma E_s}{E_i} \tag{7}$$

and characterizes the efficiency of energy transfer (and thus heating) based on a single impact. The energy transfer coefficient depends on velocity, angle, and projectile species. The simulation provides ΣE_s based on an input E_i , and the details of the atomic interactions, including energy lost to breaking bonds, are included in the MD simulation.

Fig. 6 shows the energy transfer coefficient for normal impacts of Ar, N₂ and O₂ on iron and quartz as a function of velocity. The sputtered atoms tend to play the smallest role in energy loss because ricocheting atmospheric atoms carry away most of the lost energy. The impacts on iron meteoroids have larger Λ on average because the iron lat-



Energy transfer coefficient from LAMMPS simulation: angle dependence

Figure 7. Energy transfer coefficient as a function of angle for Ar, O₂, and N₂ impacts on iron and quartz. The darker inner section is one standard deviation, and the lighter outer region is two standard deviations.

tice is more compact and tends to reflect the incident atmospheric particle more often. 257 The energy transfer coefficient is closer to 1 for quartz due the crystal structure. The 258 atmospheric particles embed themselves in the quartz surface more often due to the large 259 gaps in the crystalline structure. O_2 and N_2 are similar in weight and chemical compo-260 sition, and the bond dissociation energy is 5.165 eV for O_2 and 9.799 eV for N_2 (Darwent, 261 1970) (there is no bond energy for Ar, which is monatomic). The energy of the ricochet-262 ing nitrogen and oxygen atoms increases with initial energy, but at a slower rate than 263 the decreasing fraction the bond dissociation energy in the total initial energy. There-264 fore the energy transfer coefficient of O_2 and N_2 decreases sharply at with increasing en-265 ergy at the lowest energies, and (with lessening relevance of the bond energy) levels off 266 at higher energies. 267

Fig. 7 shows the energy transfer coefficient as a function of impact angle. The energy transfer coefficient decreases with increasing angle. As the impacting particle's transverse energy increases (i.e. angle increases), it often bounces off the surface, imparting little energy as it ricochets. Applying these simulation results to determine Λ requires averaging over the angle and assuming a meteoroid shape. This is addressed in Section 3.2 below.

3 Ablation Model with a Modified Energy Transfer Coefficient

The LAMMPS simulations from the previous section provide the energy transfer coefficient as a function of velocity, material and angle. Applying the energy transfer coefficient results, we model the evolution of a meteoroid as it descends into the atmosphere using numerical meteoroid ablation model. Ablation models predict visual magnitude
and electron line density, corresponding to optical and radar measurements respectively,
from the ablation model output. Integrating over the surface of the meteoroid results
in the energy transfer coefficient as a function of velocity and altitude.

3.1 Ablation Model

Sublimation is the final and the largest driver of meteoroid mass loss. Once the meteoroid has reached sublimation (sometimes referred to as thermal ablation) temperatures, the meteoroid begins to sublimate. Most meteoroids sublimate entirely into the atmosphere before striking the ground. The four coupled ordinary differential equations described below model this process. These equations follow work from Campbell-Brown and Koschny (2004), Rogers et al. (2005), and Vondrak et al. (2008).

The mass loss as a function of time resulting from sputtering (the first term) and sublimation (the second term),

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = -\left(\frac{3m\pi^{1/2}}{4\rho_m}\right)^{2/3} M_2 v \Sigma_i n_i Y(E_0,\theta)_i - \left(\frac{3m\pi^{1/2}}{4\rho_m}\right)^{2/3} \psi p_s \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{2\pi k_b T}}$$
(8)

where, m is the meteoroid mass, ρ_m is the meteoroid mass density, v is the velocity, and n_i and Y_i are the atmospheric number density and the sputtering yield of the i-th atmospheric species respectively. M_2 in the sputtering mass loss term is the meteoroid's average atomic mass, whereas μ is the meteoroid's average molecular mass, as sputtering dislodges single atoms and sublimation ejects entire molecules. The sublimation term uses the Clausius- Clapeyron equation for saturated vapor pressure, p_s , defined as

$$p_s = \exp\left(C - \frac{L\mu}{k_b T}\right) \tag{9}$$

where L is the latent heat of evaporation and C is a material dependent constant. ψ is the condensation probability coefficient, k_b is the Boltzmann constant, and T is the meteoroid temperature. The sublimation mass loss term primarily depends on the temperature of the meteoroid. Once the meteoroid has reached evaporation temperatures, p_s mainly governs how much mass is ejected during this stage of ablation.

The change in temperature of the meteoroid comes from conservation of energy, given by

$$\frac{1}{2}\Lambda\rho_{air}v^3 = 4\epsilon\sigma(T^4 - T_{air}^4) + \frac{c(m\rho_m^2)^{1/3}}{A}\frac{dT}{dt} - \frac{L}{A}\left(\frac{m}{\rho_m}\right)^{2/3}\frac{dm}{dt}_{sub}$$
(10)

²⁹⁹ The left hand side is the energy from the atmospheric particles. The energy transfer co-³⁰⁰ efficient, Λ , is discussed in Section 2.5. The right hand side represents the energy lost ³⁰¹ to thermal radiation, meteoroid heating, and sublimation, respectively. In the radiation ³⁰² term, ϵ is the emissivity of the meteoroid, σ is Stefan-Boltzmann's constant, and T_{air} ³⁰³ is the atmospheric temperature. In the heating term, c is the specific heat of the mete-³⁰⁴ oroid, and dT/dt is the meteoroid temperature change as a function of time. In the sub-³⁰⁵ limation term, $\frac{dm}{dt}_{sub}$ is the mass loss due to sublimation (the second term in Eq. 8).

The deceleration of the meteoroid,

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = -\frac{\Gamma A}{(m\rho_m^2)^{1/3}}\rho_{air}v^2 \tag{11}$$

comes from conservation of linear momentum of an object moving through a fluid. Γ is the drag coefficient, describing the efficiency of momentum transfer from atmospheric

particle impacts. The the change in altitude as a function of time is given by

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = -v\cos(\chi) \tag{12}$$

where χ is the angle of entry into the atmosphere.

The intensity of the radiation the meteoroid produces as it ablates is given by

$$I = -\frac{1}{2}\tau_1 v^2 \frac{dm}{dt}.$$
(13)

The luminous efficiency factor, τ_1 , is defined as

$$\tau_1 = 2\frac{\epsilon}{\mu}\frac{\zeta}{v^2} \tag{14}$$

where ϵ is the mean excitation energy, and μ is the molecular mass, and ζ , the excitation coefficient, is the sum of the excitation probabilities from atomic collisions (Jones & Halliday, 2001; Hill et al., 2005). The relationship between apparent visual magnitude and intensity is given by (Campbell-Brown & Koschny, 2004)

$$m_v = 6.8 - 1.086 \ln I \tag{15}$$

Meteor radars detect the electron line density along the meteor path. The electron line density is defined in Jones (1997) as

$$q = \frac{\beta}{\mu v} \frac{dm}{dt}.$$
 (16)

In Eq. 16, μ is the average ablated particle mass and dm/dt is the mass loss from Eq. 8. The β term is the ionization coefficient of an atom or molecule, and is a function of velocity, given by

$$\beta(v) = \beta_0(v) + 2\int_{v_0}^{v} \beta_0(v')dv'$$
(17)

where β_0 is the ionization probability of a meteoroid particle initial collision with an atmospheric particle (Jones, 1997).

Electron line density inferred from and visual magnitude are physical observables. Therefore solving Equations 8, 11, 10, 12, 15 and 16 simultaneously approximately models the evolution of a meteoroid traveling through an atmosphere. The modeled the visual magnitude can be compared to light curves of actual meteoroids and electron line density can be compared to radar measurements.

314

3.2 Microscopic Impacts to Macroscopic Meteoroid interactions

MD simulations in Section 2.5 provided the energy transfer coefficients (Λ) for sin-315 gle impacts at various velocities and angles. Integrating Λ from the simulations across 316 a spherical meteoroid surface, taking into account impacts from all angles $0-90^{\circ}$, yields 317 the energy transfer coefficient, $\langle \Lambda(v) \rangle_{\theta}$, in Eq. 10 for each particle species, impacting ei-318 ther an iron or quartz meteoroid. Fig. 8 shows Λ values of impacts on a spherical me-319 teoroid, for the atmospheric species N_2 , O_2 , and Ar, calculated in this manner. The black 320 line in Fig. 8 is the energy transfer coefficient as a function of velocity using atmospheric 321 density ratios at 100km altitude. 322

The assumption that the angle averaged sputtering yield, $\langle Y(E) \rangle_{\theta}$, is twice the normal yield, is often used while solving for the sputtering portion of the mass loss term in numerical models (Draine, 1977; Draine & Salpeter, 1979; Rogers et al., 2005; Vondrak et al., 2008; Briani et al., 2013). The MD simulations suggest this can be inaccurate, especially for iron. We found that the angle averaged sputtering yield for Argon, O₂, and



Energy transfer coefficient (Λ) for a spherical meteoroid

Figure 8. The energy transfer coefficient, Λ, as a function of velocity for both iron and quartz
determined from MD simulations in Section . The three atmospheric species are in red, green,
and orange, with the black line denoting the thermal energy transfer coefficient of air at 100 km
altitude.

N₂ impacting iron is 1.137, 1.124 and 1.088 times the sputtering yield of normal impacts respectively. For quartz, we found the angle averaged yield for the same particles is 3.466, 1.840, and 2.018, respectively.

Our model uses atmospheric parameters from MSISE20000, (Picone et al., 2002), 335 and Λ used in Eq. 10 depends on atmospheric composition. Fig. 9a displays the num-336 ber density from NRLMSISE-00 from 0 to 300 km. Fig. 9b shows the Knudsen number 337 as a function of altitude for a range of meteoroids. The Knudsen number is $Kn = \lambda/L$, 338 where λ is the mean free path of the atmosphere and L is the characteristic length of 339 the meteoroid. For typical ablation altitudes and small meteoroids $Kn \gg 1$, which means 340 the meteoroid experiences individual impacts of atmospheric particles instead of fluid 341 drag (Sharipov, 2007). This justifies treating the impacts as separate and summing their 342 effects. 343

Eqs. 8-12 were solved using a variable-coefficient solver with a fixed-coefficient backward differentiation formula applicable to stiff problems. We solved the equations twice for each initial condition, once with $\Lambda = 1$, and once Λ calculated as a function of velocity and atmospheric composition. Initial masses ranged from 1×10^{-12} kg to 9×10^{-6} kg and velocities ranged from 11 km/s to 72 km/s. The models used the same velocities as in the MD simulations, and 5 different masses per order of magnitude.

Fig. 10 shows the results from the ablation model for an iron meteoroid with and initial mass of 1 μ g and velocity of 59.8 km/s. The dotted line sets $\Lambda = 1$ and the solid line uses the calculated $\Lambda < 1$. The meteoroid heats at a slower rate with $\Lambda < 1$ as expected. Λ is 15-40% lower for iron and 10-25% lower for stony meteoroids. The slower heating rate affects the rate of mass loss, the visual intensity (Eq. 15), in purple, and the electron line density (Eq. 16), in green. In Fig. 10 the altitude of the maximum visual magnitude and electron line density differs by 2.5km with different Λ .



Figure 9. Atmospheric parameters with species and total number density from 0 to 300 km in altitude (a) and Knudsen number for different meteoroids (b).



Iron ODE model (1 μ g): various parameters

Figure 10. Results from the ablation model for an iron meteoroid with an initial mass of 1 μ g and initial velocity of 59.8 km/s. The left plot shows meteoroid temperature and mass, with the dotted line indicating the model with Λ =1.0 and the solid line indicating the model with $\Lambda < 1$. The right plot shows visual magnitude and electron line density as calculated by Eq. 15 and Eq. 16 respectively.



Altitude Difference Maximum Values: $\chi = 30^{\circ}$

Figure 11. Relationship between altitude difference of the maximum value of visual magnitude and electron line density, and the initial masses, of the numerical model where $\Lambda = 1$ and $\Lambda < 1$. The solid line and the dotted line is the altitude difference for visual magnitude (M_V) and the electron line density (q) respectively. The figure on the left show the results for an iron meteoroid and on the right show the results for a quartz meteoroid.

364 3.3 Observable Parameters

We compare the effect of the simulation-derived energy transfer coefficient to the assumption that $\Lambda = 1$ by contrasting the altitude of maximum values of the visual magnitude and electron line density from the ablation model. The meteoroid masses range from the largest meteoroid in the valid free-molecular flow regime (10⁻⁶ kg) to the smallest meteoroids detectable by radar (10⁻¹² kg). Fig. 11 shows the difference in altitude of the maximum visual magnitude and electron line density between the $\Lambda = 1$ and the $\Lambda < 1$ solutions.

In Fig. 11 show the altitude difference of the electron line density and visual magnitude respectively for iron quartz meteoroids. The infrequent departures from smoothness are a result of imperfect interpolation of atmospheric parameters to the meteoroid location at any given time. The change in altitude for quartz is less than for iron because the energy transfer coefficient for quarts is closer to one, because it loses less energy to ricochet and sputtered particles, as shown in Sec. 2.5.

Quartz's threshold velocity for ionization is around 12.9 km/s, so the electron line 383 density from the 11.0 km/s run is zero across all masses. Otherwise in Fig. 11b the al-384 titude difference is fairly constant across the masses, and tends towards a difference of 385 1000-1300 meters. Lower initial velocities (11.0 and 23.2 km/s) have smaller altitude dif-386 ferences due to the meteoroids decelerating to below the threshold velocities for visual 387 magnitude, (6.8 km/s, per the relation for η in Eq. 14). The altitude difference in elec-388 tron line density and visual magnitude begins to diminish at the threshold velocity more 389 quickly at 23.2 for masses over $1\mu g$ due to the $m^{-1/3}$ factor in Eq. 11. 390

³⁹¹ Iron's three fastest velocities' altitude differences group together between 2500 and ³⁹² 3500 meters. This occurs because of the small range of the energy transfer coefficient at

higher velocities for N_2 and O_2 in Fig. 6 results in similar heating rates. The smallest 393 initial masses, especially at high velocities, sublimate very quickly. This results in the 394 high velocity altitude differences decreasing from 10^{-12} kg to 10^{-9} kg. The increase in 395 the altitude differences for heavier meteoroids comes from the duration sputtering period. The maximum rate of mass loss occurs right at the beginning of sublimation. The 397 heating rate (determined by the energy transfer coefficient) determines the duration of 398 sputtering period and the altitude where the meteoroid begins sublimation. The sput-399 tering period increases with mass as the heavier meteoroids require more impacts to reach 400 sublimation temperatures than smaller meteoroids or their $\Lambda = 1$ counterparts. 401

402 4 Conclusions

414

415

416

417

418

419

Molecular dynamics simulation of atomic scale sputtering on the surface of mete-403 oroids due to atmospheric particle impacts show how energy transfer from the atmosphere 404 depends on the species, velocity, angle, and meteoroid material. Single particle impacts 405 are important not only for sputtering, but for sublimation as well, since the dynamics 406 of the single impacts govern how quickly a meteoroid gains thermal energy. Applying 407 a more accurate energy transfer coefficient adds an additional level of accuracy to mod-408 els of meteoroid ablation in the atmosphere. Here we present our main conclusions, both 409 from the MD simulations and the numerical ablation models: 410

- Sputtering yield at normal incidence found by the LAMMPS simulations follows
 the incident energy dependent equation put forth by Tielens et al. (1994) within
 a factor of 2 for iron and an order of magnitude for quartz.
 - 2. Sputtering yield at various angles was found to best fit the empirical normalized yield equation from Eckstein and Preuss (2003), which differs greatly from generally assumed distributions (e.g. Jurac et al. (1998), Rogers et al. (2005)).
 - 3. The MD data shows that the impacting energy is not entirely incorporated into the meteoroid as assumed in many ablation models. Instead, the energy transfer coefficient depends on incident velocity and meteoroid material.
- 420 4. Applying the newly derived energy transfer coefficient to the ablation model pre-421 dict that observable parameters reach their peak at lower altitudes (3.5 km dif-422 ference for iron and 1.3 km difference for quartz).

Currently, we lack a complete profile of meteoroid energy transfer coefficients, as
we did not examine the temperature dependence. Future work will involve using MD simulations to model meteoroids with elevated temperatures and the sublimation process.
This will allow us to determine temperature dependent sputtering rates and energy transfer coefficient.

428 Acknowledgments

⁴²⁹ This work is supported by NSF Grant AGS-1755020, NSF Grant AGS-1755350, NSF-

- 430 AGS Postdoctoral Research Fellowship Award No. 143353, Naval Research Laboratory
- 431 Base Program, and the Boston University Undergraduate Research Opportunities Pro-
- gram. This work used the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE),
- ⁴³³ which is supported by National Science Foundation grant ACI-1548562. The authors ac-
- 434 knowledge the Texas Advanced Computing Center (TACC) at The University of Texas
- at Austin for providing HPC resources that have contributed to the research results re-
- 436 ported within this paper; URL: http://www.tacc.utexas.edu. Data is available online (https://doi.org/10.7910/DV

437 **References**

Almén, O., & Bruce, G. (1961). Collection and sputtering experiments with
 noble gas ions. Nucl. Instrum. Methods, 11, 257–278. Retrieved from

440	http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0029554X6190026X
441	doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0029-554X(61)90026-X
442	Behrisch, R., & Eckstein, W. (1993). Sputtering yield increase with target tem-
443	perature for ag. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B , $82(2)$, $255-258$. doi:
444	https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-583X(93)96027-A
445	Behrisch, R., & Eckstein, W. (2007). Sputtering by particle bombardment: Exper-
446	iments and computer calculations from threshold to mev energies (Vol. 110).
447	Berlin: Springer.
448	Berthelot, D. (1898). Sur le mélange des gaz. C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad.
449	Sci, 126(1), 1703–1855. Retrieved from http://visualiseur.bnf.fr/
450	CadresFenetre?O=NUMM-3082&I=1703&M=notice doi: 10.1002/andp
451	.18812480110
452	Bohdansky, J. (1984). A universal relation for the sputtering yield of monatomic N_{iel} is a second sec
453	solids at normal ion incidence. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B , $Z(1)$, 597, 501
454	001-091. Debdandry I Lindner H Heghtl F Martinelli A & Doth I (1096) Sputter
455	ing yield of cu and ag at target temporatures close to the molting point Nucl
456	Instrum Methode Phys. Res. B 18(1) 500-514 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/
457	S0168-583X(86)80078-7
450	Bouneau S Brunelle A Della-Negra S Denauw I Jacquet D Le Bevec V
459	Andersen H (2002 03) Very large gold and silver sputtering yields in-
400	duced by key to mey energy au n clusters $(n = 1-13)$ Phys. Rev. B 65(14)
462	144106-1–144106-8, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.65.144106
463	Briani, G., Pace, E., N. Shore, S., Pupillo, G., Passaro, A., & Aiello, S. (2013, 02).
464	Simulations of micrometeoroid interactions with the earth atmosphere. Astron.
465	Astrophys., 552, A53. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201219658
466	Campbell-Brown, M., & Koschny, D. (2004, 05). Model of the ablation of faint mete-
467	ors. Astron. Astrophys., 418, 751-758. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:20041001-1
468	Ceplecha, Z., Borovička, J., Elford, W. G., ReVelle, D. O., Hawkes, R. L., Porubčan,
469	V., & Šimek, M. (1998). Meteor phenomena and bodies. Space Sci. Rev,
470	84(3), 327-471. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005069928850
471	doi: 10.1023/A:1005069928850
472	Cheney, K. B., Rogers, E. E., & Pitkin, E. T. (1963, 07). Research on experimen-
473	tal evaluation of sputtering yield rates (Tech. Rep.). MARQUARDT CO VAN
474	NUYS CA.
475	Darwent, B. (1970). Bond dissociation energies in simple molecules (No. 31). U.S.
476	National Bureau of Standards. Retrieved from https://books.google.com/
477	books?id=QoXMYOgi8CkC
478	DeLuca, M., & Sternovsky, Z. (2019). High-speed drag measurements of alu-
479	minum particles in free molecular flow. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space
480	Physics, 124(5), 3743-3751. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary
481	. WILEY. COM/d01/abs/10.1029/2019JA020583 d01: $10.1029/2019JA020585$
482	Dimant, 1. S., & Oppennenni, W. W. (2017a). Formation of plasma around a small motocroid: 1 kinetic theory L Coophus Res Space Physics 199(4) 4660
483	4606 Botrioved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.uiley.com/doi/abs/
484	10 1002/2017 14023960 doi: 10 1002/2017 14023960
485	Diment V S k Oppenheim M M (2017b) Formation of plasma around a small
400	meteoroid: 2 implications for radar head echo I Geophys Res Space Phys
488	122(4), 4697-4711. Retrieved from https://agunubs.onlinelibrary.wiley
489	.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2017JA023963 doi: 10.1002/2017JA023963
490	Draine, B. T. (1977). Center for radiophysics and space research report no. 669.
491	Cornell University.
492	Draine, B. T., & Salpeter, E. E. (1979, 8). On the physics of dust grains in hot gas.
493	Astrophys. J., 231, 77–94. doi: 10.1086/157165
494	Eckstein, W., & Preuss, R. (2003). New fit formulae for the sputtering yield. J .

495	<i>Nucl. Mater.</i> , 320(3), 209–213. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect
496 497	.com/science/article/pii/S0022311503001922 doi: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0022-3115(03)00192-2
498	Elliott, R. S. (2018). Efficient 'universal' shifted lennard-iones model for all kim
499	api supported species developed by elliott and akerson (2015) v003. OpenKIM.
500	(Online; accessed: 2019-03-24) doi: 10.25950/96264967
501	Hill, K. A., Rogers, L. A., & Hawkes, R. L. (2005, 12). High geocentric velocity
502	meteor ablation. Astron. Astrophys., 444 , $615-624$. doi: $10.1051/0004-6361$:
503	20053053
504	Jones, W. (1997, 06). Theoretical and observational determinations of the ionization
505	coefficient of meteors. Mon. Notices Royal Astron. Soc., 288(4), 995-1003. doi:
506	10.1093/mnras/288.4.995
507	Jones, W., & Halliday, I. (2001, 02). Effects of excitation and ionization in meteor
508	trains. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 320(4), 417-423. Retrieved from https://
509	doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.03833.x doi: 10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001
510	.03833.x
511	Jurac, S., Johnson, R. E., & Donn, B. (1998, aug). Monte carlo calculations of
512	the sputtering of grains: Enhanced sputtering of small grains. Astrophys. J.,
513	503(1), 247-252. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.10862F305994 doi: 10
514	.1086/305994
515	Krebs, K. H. (1977). Investigations on sputtering and secondary ion yield from met-
516	als under bombardment of noble gases in the energy range 5 - 25kev. IAEA, Vi-
517	enna.
518	Laegreid, N., & Wehner, G. K. (1961). Sputtering yields of metals for ar ⁺ and ne ⁺
519	ions with energies from 50 to 600 ev. J. Appl. Phys., 32(3), 365–369. Retrieved
520	from https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1736012 doi: 10.1063/1.1736012
521	Lebedinets, V. N., & Shushkova, V. B. (1970, 11). Micrometeorite sputtering in the
522	ionosphere. <i>Planet. Space Sci.</i> , 18, 1653–1659. doi: 10.1016/0032-0633(70)
523	90039-5
524	Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetis-
524 525	Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetis- chen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127–136. Retrieved from
524 525 526	Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetis- chen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127–136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110
524 525 526 527	Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetis- chen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127–136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 doi: 10.1002/andp.18812480110
524 525 526 527 528	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127–136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of
524 525 526 527 528 529	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127–136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26–43.
524 525 526 527 528 529 530	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 doi: 10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 doi: 10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 doi: 10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami N. Yamamura Y. Itikawa Y. Itoh N. Kazumata Y. Miyagawa
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami, N., Yamamura, Y., Itikawa, Y., Itoh, N., Kazumata, Y., Miyagawa, S. Shimizu B. (1981). A semiempirical formula for the energy de-
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami, N., Yamamura, Y., Itikawa, Y., Itoh, N., Kazumata, Y., Miyagawa, S., Shimizu, R. (1981). A semiempirical formula for the energy dependence of the sputtering yield Radiat Eff. 57(1-2) 15-21
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 doi: 10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami, N., Yamamura, Y., Itikawa, Y., Itoh, N., Kazumata, Y., Miyagawa, S., Shimizu, R. (1981). A semiempirical formula for the energy dependence of the sputtering yield. Radiat. Eff., 57(1-2), 15-21. doi: 10.1080/01422448008218676
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 doi: 10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami, N., Yamamura, Y., Itikawa, Y., Itoh, N., Kazumata, Y., Miyagawa, S., Shimizu, R. (1981). A semiempirical formula for the energy dependence of the sputtering yield. Radiat. Eff., 57(1-2), 15-21. doi: 10.1080/01422448008218676 Molchanov, V. A. & Telkovski, V. G. (1961). The variation of the cathode disculated for the sputtering value of the sputtering value of the sputtering value.
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami, N., Yamamura, Y., Itikawa, Y., Itoh, N., Kazumata, Y., Miyagawa, S., Shimizu, R. (1981). A semiempirical formula for the energy dependence of the sputtering yield. Radiat. Eff., 57(1-2), 15-21. doi: 10.1080/01422448008218676 Molchanov, V. A., & Telkovski, V. G. (1961). The variation of the cathode dissipation coefficient as dependent on the angle at which the ions are strik-
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 537	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami, N., Yamamura, Y., Itikawa, Y., Itoh, N., Kazumata, Y., Miyagawa, S., Shimizu, R. (1981). A semiempirical formula for the energy dependence of the sputtering yield. Radiat. Eff., 57(1-2), 15-21. doi: 10.1080/01422448008218676 Molchanov, V. A., & Telkovski, V. G. (1961). The variation of the cathode dissipation coefficient, as dependent on the angle at which the ions are striking the target. Proc. USSR Acad. Sci. 136, 801-802.
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 doi: 10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami, N., Yamamura, Y., Itikawa, Y., Itoh, N., Kazumata, Y., Miyagawa, S., Shimizu, R. (1981). A semiempirical formula for the energy dependence of the sputtering yield. Radiat. Eff., 57(1-2), 15-21. doi: 10.1080/01422448008218676 Molchanov, V. A., & Telkovski, V. G. (1961). The variation of the cathode dissipation coefficient, as dependent on the angle at which the ions are striking the target. Proc. USSR Acad. Sci., 136, 801-802. Retrieved from http://mi.mathpat.acad.sci.
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami, N., Yamamura, Y., Itikawa, Y., Itoh, N., Kazumata, Y., Miyagawa, S., Shimizu, R. (1981). A semiempirical formula for the energy dependence of the sputtering yield. Radiat. Eff., 57(1-2), 15-21. doi: 10.1080/01422448008218676 Molchanov, V. A., & Telkovski, V. G. (1961). The variation of the cathode dissipation coefficient, as dependent on the angle at which the ions are striking the target. Proc. USSR Acad. Sci., 136, 801-802. Retrieved from http://mi.mathnet.ru/dan24584 Müller, M. Erbert, P. & Alba, K. (2007, inl). Applytia bond order potential
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami, N., Yamamura, Y., Itikawa, Y., Itoh, N., Kazumata, Y., Miyagawa, S., Shimizu, R. (1981). A semiempirical formula for the energy dependence of the sputtering yield. Radiat. Eff., 57(1-2), 15-21. doi: 10.1080/01422448008218676 Molchanov, V. A., & Telkovski, V. G. (1961). The variation of the cathode dissipation coefficient, as dependent on the angle at which the ions are striking the target. Proc. USSR Acad. Sci., 136, 801-802. Retrieved from http://mi.mathnet.ru/dan24584 Müller, M., Erhart, P., & Albe, K. (2007, jul). Analytic bond-order potential for head and for head in the entry of head and for head in the order of head and for head in the mathed.
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami, N., Yamamura, Y., Itikawa, Y., Itoh, N., Kazumata, Y., Miyagawa, S., Shimizu, R. (1981). A semiempirical formula for the energy dependence of the sputtering yield. Radiat. Eff., 57(1-2), 15-21. doi: 10.1080/01422448008218676 Molchanov, V. A., & Telkovski, V. G. (1961). The variation of the cathode dissipation coefficient, as dependent on the angle at which the ions are striking the target. Proc. USSR Acad. Sci., 136, 801-802. Retrieved from http://mi.mathnet.ru/dan24584 Müller, M., Erhart, P., & Albe, K. (2007, jul). Analytic bond-order potential for bcc and fcc iron-comparison with established embedded-atom method potentials. L Phys. (2007, jul). 226220. Detrieved from
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami, N., Yamamura, Y., Itikawa, Y., Itoh, N., Kazumata, Y., Miyagawa, S., Shimizu, R. (1981). A semiempirical formula for the energy dependence of the sputtering yield. Radiat. Eff., 57(1-2), 15-21. doi: 10.1080/01422448008218676 Molchanov, V. A., & Telkovski, V. G. (1961). The variation of the cathode dissipation coefficient, as dependent on the angle at which the ions are striking the target. Proc. USSR Acad. Sci., 136, 801-802. Retrieved from http://mi.mathnet.ru/dan24584 Müller, M., Erhart, P., & Albe, K. (2007, jul). Analytic bond-order potential for bcc and fcc iron—comparison with established embedded-atom method potentials. J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 19(32), 326220. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1089/%DE20520.
524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127–136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 doi: 10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26–43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami, N., Yamamura, Y., Itikawa, Y., Itoh, N., Kazumata, Y., Miyagawa, S., Shimizu, R. (1981). A semiempirical formula for the energy dependence of the sputtering yield. Radiat. Eff., 57(1-2), 15–21. doi: 10.1080/01422448008218676 Molchanov, V. A., & Telkovski, V. G. (1961). The variation of the cathode dissipation coefficient, as dependent on the angle at which the ions are striking the target. Proc. USSR Acad. Sci., 136, 801–802. Retrieved from http://mi.mathnet.ru/dan24584 Müller, M., Erhart, P., & Albe, K. (2007, jul). Analytic bond-order potential for bcc and fcc iron—comparison with established embedded-atom method potentials. J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 19(32), 326220. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1088\%2F0953-8984\%2F19\%2F32\%2F326220 doi: 10.1082/0152.0024
 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami, N., Yamamura, Y., Itikawa, Y., Itoh, N., Kazumata, Y., Miyagawa, S., Shimizu, R. (1981). A semiempirical formula for the energy dependence of the sputtering yield. Radiat. Eff., 57(1-2), 15-21. doi: 10.1080/01422448008218676 Molchanov, V. A., & Telkovski, V. G. (1961). The variation of the cathode dissipation coefficient, as dependent on the angle at which the ions are striking the target. Proc. USSR Acad. Sci., 136, 801-802. Retrieved from http://mi.mathnet.ru/dan24584 Müller, M., Erhart, P., & Albe, K. (2007, jul). Analytic bond-order potential for bcc and fcc iron—comparison with established embedded-atom method potentials. J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 19(32), 326220. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1088/%2F0953-8984/%2F19\%2F32\%2F32C20
 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami, N., Yamamura, Y., Itikawa, Y., Itoh, N., Kazumata, Y., Miyagawa, S., Shimizu, R. (1981). A semiempirical formula for the energy dependence of the sputtering yield. Radiat. Eff., 57(1-2), 15-21. doi: 10.1080/01422448008218676 Molchanov, V. A., & Telkovski, V. G. (1961). The variation of the cathode dissipation coefficient, as dependent on the angle at which the ions are striking the target. Proc. USSR Acad. Sci., 136, 801-802. Retrieved from http://mi.mathnet.ru/dan24584 Müller, M., Erhart, P., & Albe, K. (2007, jul). Analytic bond-order potential for bcc and fcc iron—comparison with established embedded-atom method potentials. J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 19(32), 326220. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1088/%2F0953-8984\%2F19\%2F32\%2F326220 doi: 10.1088/093-8984/19/32/326220
 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami, N., Yamamura, Y., Itikawa, Y., Itoh, N., Kazumata, Y., Miyagawa, S., Shimizu, R. (1981). A semiempirical formula for the energy dependence of the sputtering yield. Radiat. Eff., 57(1-2), 15-21. doi: 10.1080/01422448008218676 Molchanov, V. A., & Telkovski, V. G. (1961). The variation of the cathode dissipation coefficient, as dependent on the angle at which the ions are striking the target. Proc. USSR Acad. Sci., 136, 801-802. Retrieved from http://mi.mathnet.ru/dan24584 Müller, M., Erhart, P., & Albe, K. (2007, jul). Analytic bond-order potential for bcc and fcc iron—comparison with established embedded-atom method potentials. J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 19(32), 326220. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1088/2F0953-8984\%2F19\%2F32\%2F326220 doi: 10.1088/0953-8984/19/32/326220 Munetoh, S., Motooka, T., Moriguchi, K., & Shintani, A. (2007, 4 1). Interatomic potential for si-o systems using tersoff parameterization. Comput. Mater. Sci., 20(2), 234-230. doi: 10.000/2012/2000.
 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127-136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26-43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami, N., Yamamura, Y., Itikawa, Y., Itoh, N., Kazumata, Y., Miyagawa, S., Shimizu, R. (1981). A semiempirical formula for the energy dependence of the sputtering yield. Radiat. Eff., 57(1-2), 15-21. doi: 10.1080/01422448008218676 Molchanov, V. A., & Telkovski, V. G. (1961). The variation of the cathode dissipation coefficient, as dependent on the angle at which the ions are striking the target. Proc. USSR Acad. Sci., 136, 801-802. Retrieved from http://mi.mathnet.ru/dan24584 Müller, M., Erhart, P., & Albe, K. (2007, jul). Analytic bond-order potential for bcc and fcc iron—comparison with established embedded-atom method potentials. J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 19(32), 326220. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/19/32/326220 Munetoh, S., Motooka, T., Moriguchi, K., & Shintani, A. (2007, 4 1). Interatomic potential for si-o systems using tersoff parameterization. Comput. Mater. Sci., 39(2), 334-339. doi: 10.1016/j.commatsci.2006.0010
 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 	 Lorentz, H. A. (1881). Ueber die anwendung des satzes vom virial in der kinetischen theorie der gase. Ann. Phys. (Berl.), 248(1), 127–136. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/andp.18812480110 Love, S. G., & Brownlee, D. E. (1991). Heating and thermal transformation of micrometeoroids entering the earth's atmosphere. Icarus, 89(1), 26–43. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0019103591900858 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(91)90085-8 Matsunami, N., Yamamura, Y., Itikawa, Y., Itoh, N., Kazumata, Y., Miyagawa, S., Shimizu, R. (1981). A semiempirical formula for the energy dependence of the sputtering yield. Radiat. Eff., 57(1-2), 15–21. doi: 10.1080/01422448008218676 Molchanov, V. A., & Telkovski, V. G. (1961). The variation of the cathode dissipation coefficient, as dependent on the angle at which the ions are striking the target. Proc. USSR Acad. Sci., 136, 801–802. Retrieved from http://mi.mathnet.ru/dan24584 Müller, M., Erhart, P., & Albe, K. (2007, jul). Analytic bond-order potential for bcc and fcc iron—comparison with established embedded-atom method potentials. J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 19(32), 326220. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1088\%2F0953-8984\%2F19\%2F32\%2F326220 doi: 10.1088/0953-8984/19/32/326220 Munetoh, S., Motooka, T., Moriguchi, K., & Shintani, A. (2007, 4 1). Interatomic potential for si-o systems using tersoff parameterization. Comput. Mater. Sci., 39(2), 334–339. doi: 10.1016/j.commatsci.2006.06.010 Picone, J. M., Hedin, A. E., Drob, D. P., & Aikin, A. C. (2002). Nrlmsise-00 emprired for the first string and the struge of the stru

550 551	J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys., 107(A12), SIA 15-1-SIA 15-16. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/
552	2002JA009430 doi: 10.1029/2002JA009430
553	Plimpton, S. (1995). Fast parallel algorithms for short-range molecular dy-
554	namics. J. Comput. Phys, 117(1), 1–19. Retrieved from http://
555	www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S002199918571039X doi:
556	https://doi.org/10.1006/jcph.1995.1039
557	Plimpton, S. J., & Thompson, A. P. (2012). Computational aspects of many-body (2012) (2012).
558	potentials. MRS Buildin, $37(5)$, 513-521. doi: 10.1557/mrs.2012.96
559	Popova, O. P., Streikov, A. S., & Sidneva, S. N. (2007). Sputtering of last mete-
560	oroids surface. Advances in Space Research, $39(4)$, $307-573$. doi: 10.1010/j.asr
561	.2000.05.008 Degree I A Hill IZ A la Herrica D I (2005) Maga laga due to aputtoring
562	and thermal processes in meteoroid ablation — <i>Planet Space Sci</i> 52(13) 1241
563	1354 Retrieved from http://www.aciencedirect.com/acience/article/
564	- 1554. Refleved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/
565	Sandia National Laba & Tampla University (2013) Quantized of lamma Batriovad
566	from https://lammag.gandia_gou/doc/Intro overview btml
507	Sharinov F (2007 01) Barafied gas dynamics and its applications to vacuum tech
508	nology CAS 2006 - CERN Accelerator School: Vacuum in Accelerators Pro-
570	ceedings 1-13
570	Sigmund P (1969 Aug) Theory of sputtering i sputtering yield of amor-
572	phous and polycrystalline targets. <i>Phys. Rev.</i> 18/, 383–416. Retrieved
573	from https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.184.383 doi:
574	10.1103/PhysRev.184.383
575	Szasz, C., Kero, J., Pellinen-Wannberg, A., Meisel, D. D., Wannberg, G., & West-
576	man, A. (2008, 6 01). Estimated visual magnitudes of the eiscat uhf meteors.
577	Earth, Moon, and Planets, 102(1), 373-378.
578	Tersoff, J. (1988, 4). New empirical approach for the structure and energy of cova-
579	lent systems. Phys. Rev. B, 37, 6991–7000. Retrieved from https://link.aps
580	.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.37.6991
581	Thomas, E. W. (2017). Laboratory simulations of micrometeoroid ablation (PhD
582	Dissertation). University of Colorado at Boulder.
583	Tielens, A. G. G. M., McKee, C. F., & Seab, H. D. J., C. G. (1994). The physics of
584	grain-grain collisions and gas-grain sputtering in interstellar shocks. Astrophys.
585	$J_{,431(1),321-340.}$ doi: 10.1086/174488
586	Tsunoyama, K., Suzuki, T., & Ohashi, Y. (1976, 02). Sputtering of iron with ion
587	beams of $02+$, $n2+$ and $ar+$. Japanese Journal of Applied Physics, $15(2)$, $349-$
588	555. doi: 10.1145/JJap.15.549
589	alean Instruments and Methods in Dhasias Pescaneb Section D. Pear In
590	teractions with Materials and Atoms 199(3) 427-441 Betrioved from
591	http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168583¥96006817
592	(Nanometric Phenomena Induced by Laser Jon and Cluster Beams) doi:
593	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-583X(96)00681-7
505	Varga P Neidhart T Sporn M Libiseller G Schmid M Aumavr F &
596	Winter, H. P. (1997, 01). Sputter yields of insulators bombarded with
597	hyperthermal multiply charged ions. <i>Physica Scripta</i> . 773, 307–310. doi:
598	10.1088/0031-8949/1997/t73/100
599	Vida, D., Brown, P. G., & Campbell-Brown, M. (2018, 07). Modelling the measure-
600	ment accuracy of pre-atmosphere velocities of meteoroids. Monthly Notices of
601	the Royal Astronomical Society, 479(4), 4307-4319. Retrieved from https://
602	doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1841 doi: 10.1093/mnras/sty1841
603	Vondrak, T., Plane, J. M. C., & Broadley, D., S.; Janches. (2008). A chemical model
604	of meteoric ablation. Atmospheric Chem. Phys, $8(23)$, 7015–7031. doi: 10

5194/acp-8-7015-2008
Zhou, L. G., & Huang, H. (2013). Response embedded atom method of interatomic potentials. *Phys. Rev. B*, 87(4), 045431. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.87.045431
Zoerb, K., Williams, J., Williams, D., & Yalin, A. (2005). *Differential sputtering yields of refractory metals by xenon, krypton, and argon ion bombardment at normal and oblique incidences.* The 29th International Electric Propulsion

Conference, Princeton University, New Jersey.

610 611