Dynamic upwelling beneath the Salton Trough imaged with teleseismic attenuation tomography

Joseph Stephen Byrnes¹ and Maximiliano Bezada¹

¹University of Minnesota

November 24, 2022

Abstract

The Salton Trough is one of the few regions on Earth where rifting is sub-aerial instead of sub-marine. We use the relative attenuation of teleseismic P phases recorded by the Salton Trough Seismic Imaging Project to investigate lithospheric and asthenospheric structures that form during extension. Map-view analysis reveals stronger attenuation within the Salton Trough than in the adjacent provinces. We then construct tomographic models for variations in seismic attenuation with depth to discriminate between crustal and mantle signals with a damped least-squares approach and a Bayesian approach. Synthetic tests show that models from damped least-squares significantly under-estimate the strength of attenuation and cannot separate crustal and mantle signals even when the tomographic models are allowed to be discontinuous at the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary. We show that a Bayesian approach overcomes these problems when inverting the same synthetic datasets, and that shallow and deep signals are more clearly separated when imposing a discontinuity. With greater than 95% confidence, the results reveal first, that attenuation occurs primarily beneath the LAB; second, that the width of the attenuative region is narrower than the rift at 120 km depth; and third, that the strength of attenuation requires that the attenuative feature represents a melting-column similar to those beneath mid-ocean ridges. The narrow width of the melting-column below the volatile-free solidus is inconsistent with models for passive upwelling, where flow is driven only by rifting. Instead, we attribute the generation of incipient oceanic crust to mantle upwelling focused by buoyancy into a narrow diapir.

2

1

Dynamic upwelling beneath the Salton Trough imaged with teleseismic attenuation tomography

3 Joseph S. Byrnes^{1*} and Maximiliano Bezada¹

4 ¹Department of Earth Sciences, University of Minnesota, 116 Church Street SE Minneapolis, MN

5 55455 USA

6 To whom correspondence should be addressed; Email jsbyrnes@umn.edu

7 Key points:

8 • P waves recorded in the Salton Trough are strongly attenuated

9 • Qp likely requires the presence of melt in the asthenosphere

• Buoyancy forces drive a robust melting column

11 ABSTRACT

12 The Salton Trough is one of the few regions on Earth where rifting is sub-aerial instead of sub-13 marine. We use the relative attenuation of teleseismic P phases recorded by the Salton Trough 14 Seismic Imaging Project to investigate lithospheric and asthenospheric structures that form 15 during extension. Map-view analysis reveals stronger attenuation within the Salton Trough than 16 in the adjacent provinces. We then construct tomographic models for variations in seismic 17 attenuation with depth to discriminate between crustal and mantle signals with a damped least-18 squares approach and a Bayesian approach. Synthetic tests show that models from damped least-19 squares significantly under-estimate the strength of attenuation and cannot separate crustal and 20 mantle signals even when the tomographic models are allowed to be discontinuous at the 21 lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary. We show that a Bayesian approach overcomes these 22 problems when inverting the same synthetic datasets, and that shallow and deep signals are more 23 clearly separated when imposing a discontinuity. With greater than 95% confidence, the results 24 reveal first, that attenuation occurs primarily beneath the LAB; second, that the width of the 25 attenuative region is narrower than the rift at 120 km depth; and third, that the strength of 26 attenuation requires that the attenuative feature represents a melting-column similar to those 27 beneath mid-ocean ridges. The narrow width of the melting-column below the volatile-free 28 solidus is inconsistent with models for passive upwelling, where flow is driven only by rifting. 29 Instead, we attribute the generation of incipient oceanic crust to mantle upwelling focused by 30 buoyancy into a narrow diapir.

31

1. Introduction

32 The Salton Trough is a low-lying transtensional basin in Southern California formed by 33 motion between the Pacific and North America plates (Elders et al., 1972; Powell and Weldon, 34 1992; Stock and Hodges, 1989). The San Andreas and associated faults accommodate transform 35 motion between the Pacific and North American plates to the north, while extension occurs in 36 several segments to the south. As far south as the Cabo segment (Figure 1a), the plate boundary 37 has fully transitioned into the East Pacific Rise, and the production of oceanic crust occurs at 38 least as far north as the Guaymas segment (Lizarralde et al., 2007). Buoyancy-driven mantle 39 upwelling occurs approximately every 250 km both north and south of the Guaymas segment at 40 points not clearly associated with the spreading centers (Wang et al., 2009). Several lines of 41 evidence show that mantle melting in response to such an upwelling occurs as far north as the 42 Salton Trough, including Holocene eruptions (Schmitt et al., 2013; Wright et al., 2015) that 43 exhume young basaltic xenoliths (Schmitt and Vazquez, 2006) and low velocity anomalies in the 44 upper 200 km of the mantle (Humphreys et al., 1984; Schmandt and Humphreys, 2010). 45 Geophysical evidence further supports a model where extension has rifted the mantle lithosphere

46 beneath the Salton Trough, including high heat flow (Lachenbruch et al., 1985), crustal thinning

47 (Zhu and Kanamori, 2000), and the shoaling of a seismic discontinuity inferred to be the

48 lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary, or LAB (Lekić et al., 2011).

49 The Salton Trough Seismic Imaging Project (SSIP) (Rose et al., 2013) deployed an array 50 of broad-band seismometers across the Salton Trough (Figure 1b). The western half of the array 51 lies in the Peninsular Ranges, a batholith created by the subduction of the Farallon Slab 52 (Dickinson, 2009 and references therein). The motion of the Pacific plate brought the Peninsular 53 Ranges from approximately 200 km further south to the western edge of the Salton Trough 54 (Darin and Dorsey, 2013). The array crosses from the Peninsular Ranges to the low-lying regions 55 where sediments sourced from the Colorado River (Figure 1a, Dorsey, 2010) are typically 2-3 56 km thick (Han et al., 2016) and locally as thick as 7 km (Persaud et al., 2016). The depositional 57 rate is great enough to delay the complete rupture of the crust and transition to sea-floor 58 spreading (Han et al., 2016). The array lies just south of the volcanically active Salton Buttes 59 (Figure 1b). Beneath this region, tomographic imaging reveals low shear-wave velocities below 60 40 km depth (Barak et al., 2015) where previous studies inferred that rifting has thinned the 61 mantle lithosphere (Lekić et al., 2011). The mantle at the base of the moho has seismic velocities 62 lower than the global average for cold continental lithosphere (Barak et al., 2015; Han et al., 63 2016) but typical of young oceanic lithosphere and higher than in the asthenosphere (e.g., 64 Vanderbeek and Toomey, 2017). Moreover, while seismic anisotropy is thought to be weak 65 below the lithosphere across much of Southern California (Monteiller and Chevrot, 2011), the splitting times of SKS waves increase where the array crosses into the trough (Barak and 66 67 Klemperer, 2016). This confluence of low seismic velocities with strong seismic anisotropy 68 suggests the presence of melt in the asthenosphere that has been organized by shear (Holtzman et al., 2012, 2003; Holtzman and Kendall, 2010; Kohlstedt and Holtzman, 2009; Barak and



70 Klemperer, 2016).

Figure 1. Tectonic setting of the Salton Trough. a) Thin black lines are coasts, blue is the Salton Sea, single red lines are transform faults, double red lines are spreading centers, and yellow regions are low seismic velocity anomalies from *Wang et al.*, (2009). b) Inverted pink triangles are seismic stations used in this study, thin black lines are faults from *Plesch et al.*, (2007), red triangles are the active volcanoes knows as the Salton Buttes, thick black line is the x-axis referred to in the main text, white arrows show relative motion along faults, and the shaded background is topography.

71	This study measures the attenuation of teleseismic P phases recorded by the SSIP broad-
72	band array to better understand upwelling and mantle melting processes beneath the Salton
73	Trough. Seismic attenuation, the loss of seismic energy during propagation, provides an
74	observational constraint on the physical state of the Earth that is complementary to seismic
75	velocity. The density of the SSIP array, in a region with geological and geophysical evidence for
76	not only melt production but the presence of <i>in-situ</i> melt in the upper mantle, provides a unique
77	opportunity to explore the relationship between melt production, mantle upwelling, and seismic
78	attenuation. Significant unknowns remain regarding how seismic attenuation relates to the
79	presence of melt in the upper mantle, and predictions for the relationship between melt and
80	seismic attenuation range from no effect to strong effects (Faul et al., 2004; Hammond and

81 Humphreys, 2000; McCarthy and Takei, 2011). However, the presence of seismic attenuation 82 stronger than can be explained by the current generation of models where attenuation depends solely on temperature and grain size provides evidence for the presence of melt as an additional 83 84 attenuative mechanism (Abers et al., 2014, Eilon and Abers, 2017). Alternatively, a recently 85 characterized reduction in seismic velocity at temperatures above 94% of the solidus (Takei, 86 2017; Yamauchi and Takei, 2016) may explain seismic anomalies without appealing to *in-situ* 87 melt. Under either scenario, however, mapping seismic attenuation in the upper mantle can 88 define the contours of the region of melt-production at those depths. Since mantle melting away 89 from subduction zones is caused by decompression during upwelling, mapping the region of melt 90 production also constrains the possible pattern of mantle flow in the upper mantle.

91 In this study we observe strong seismic attenuation at seismic stations within the Salton 92 Trough. We apply two approaches for attenuation tomography to determine the distribution of 93 the attenuation with depth: Damped least-squares and a transdimensional Monte Carlo search 94 (Bodin and Sambridge, 2009; Green, 1995; Sambridge et al., 2006). We find that the damped 95 least-squares approach cannot identify the depth at which the attenuation occurs nor the strength 96 of attenuation due to the high levels of noise in the dataset and the limited distribution of ray-97 paths available in this study. Inversions of synthetic datasets confirm that the transdimensional 98 approach can correctly identify the depth at which attenuation occurs and more accurately 99 estimate the amplitude of anomalies, particularly when a discontinuity in the model is included. 100 Inversion of the data reveals strong attenuation at depths below the LAB down to ~120 km. This 101 is well-below the volatile-free solidus for fertile mantle and is interpreted in terms of a deep and 102 narrow melting column due to the presence of volatiles. While the most probable solution to the 103 model includes sufficiently strong attenuation to require that the presence of *in-situ* melt is the

104 attenuative mechanism, the 95% confidence bound on the strength of attenuation in the mantle is 105 plausibly consistent with a melt-free upper mantle that has reached the solidus according to the predictions of Yamauchi and Takei (2016). However, the results show that the width of the deep 106 107 melting-column tapers to become narrower than the width of the rifted region. This tapering is 108 consistent with geodynamic models of buoyancy-driven upwelling, which leads to downwelling 109 on the flanks of a narrow diapir-like column. While the northward progression of rifting between 110 the Pacific and North American plates has not wholly ruptured the continental crust due to the high rate of sedimentation (Han et al., 2016) and produced limited volcanism, the asthenospheric 111 112 mantle behaves like a mid-ocean ridge.

113 **2.** Map view analysis

114

124

2.1 Measurements of Δt_P^*

115 We use the *P* phase from eight deep focus events recorded by the SSIP array (Table 1). 116 Phases from deep events are preferred because of their higher frequency content relative to 117 phases from shallow events. Apparent attenuation was measured with an approach that models 118 the waveform in the time-domain (Bezada, 2017). An estimate of the source-time function is 119 made by stacking the narrowest P waves in the dataset after applying a causal instrument 120 response correction (Haney et al., 2012) and filtering between 0.01 and 1.5 Hz with a fourth-121 order Butterworth filter (Figure 2a). The degree of attenuation experienced by a P phase is 122 described by the quantity t_P^* ,

123 $t_P^* = \int Q_p^{-1}(t)dt = \int Q_p^{-1}(r)V_p^{-1}(r)dr \qquad (1)$

where *t* is the travel-time, $Q_P(t)$ is the quality factor encountered by the phase at a given time during propagation, *r* is distance along the ray path, and $V_P(r)$ and $Q_P(r)$ are the velocity and 127 quality factor along the ray path. In this study, we only measure relative changes to t_P^* , which we 128 refer to as Δt_P^* , which can be expressed as

129 130 $\Delta t_P^* = \int \Delta Q_p^{-1}(r) \Delta V_p^{-1}(r) dr \quad (2)$

where ΔQ_p^{-1} and ΔV_p^{-1} are deviations from an unknown reference model for quality 131 132 factor and velocity for P phases. Variably attenuated versions of the estimate source were 133 generated by convolution of the estimated source-time function with the impulse response for 134 different values of Δt_P^* (Azimi et al., 1968), which were then fit to the P arrivals with a grid 135 search over Δt_P^* in 0.01 s increments. Examples of attenuated synthetics compared with data are 136 shown in Figure 2a, along with highlighted examples of P waves that have been attenuated to 137 greater and lesser degrees. For more negative values of Δt_P^* the waveform is narrower in the time domain and contains more high frequency features than the reference trace. As Δt_P^* increases, the 138 139 waveform broadens in response to the loss of high frequency energy and dispersion. Results for 140 the measurement made in Figure 2a are shown alongside results with the spectral slope method 141 (Figure 2b,c). As expected, the time-domain method returns less scattered measurements with 142 less sensitivity to small changes in the maximum frequency considered (Bezada et al., 2019).

143

2.2 Mapping inversion

We first invert for a two-dimensional map of the Δt_P^* measurements (Bezada, 2017, Byrnes et al., 2019). Often, Δt_P^* are analyzed in map-view (e.g., Bezada, 2017; Cafferky and Schmandt, 2015; Dong and Menke, 2017; Eilon et al., 2018; Hwang et al., 2009) because of the small number of events suitable for Δt_P^* measurements and the near-vertical ray paths of teleseismic waves at depths shallower than 200 km. This approach averages measurements from different azimuths and epicentral distances and shows relative variations in the ray-path integrated Δt_P^* .



Figure 2. Demonstration of the waveform matching approach. a) First arriving *P* waves from a magnitude 5.9 event from the Izu-Bonin region recorded by the SSIP array in black, with the best fitting synthetic traces in red. Two traces are enlarged, the pair of which were measured to have a Δt_P^* of 0.15 s with the waveform-matching approach. The high and low Δt_P^* synthetics compared to the enlarged traces are in red and blue, respectively. b) Results for Δt_P^* with the waveform-matching approach for three different frequency bands. c) Results for Δt_P^* with the spectral-slope approach for three different frequency bands.

151	We invert the data for a smooth map of Δt_P^* with a Bayesian approach (Byrnes et al.,
152	2019) that, heuristically, solves for a solution only as complex as is required to explain the data.
153	The inversion iterates a Markov Chain that treats both the dimensions of the model and the
154	uncertainty of the data as unknowns referred to as 'hyperparameters' (Malinverno and Briggs,
155	2004). Independent chains are initialized with a model describing Δt_P^* via nearest-neighbor
156	interpolation from a set of nodes. The number of nodes, location of nodes within the model
157	domain, and Δt_P^* associated with each node are randomly drawn from uniform distributions.
158	Here, the bounds are 5 to 30 nodes, each restricted to within a region shown in Figure 3, and with
159	Δt_P^* values within -0.5 to 0.5 s. On each iteration of each chain, a new model is proposed by
160	perturbing the current model, either by a "birth" step (the introduction of a new node), a "death"

161 step (the removal of a node), a "move" step (moving a node to a new location), a "change" step 162 (changing the Δt_P^* value of a node), or a change to the uncertainty of the data. A set of 163 subjectively chosen parameters describe how the search proceeds, but do not influence the shape 164 of the final model so long as a sufficient number of iterations are performed (MacKay, 2003). 165 The Δt_P^* of a node, the location of a node, and the value of the uncertainty may be changed on an 166 iteration of a chain by drawing a perturbation to the current value from a normal distribution with 167 a standard deviation of 0.01 s, 2.2 km, and 0.01 s, respectively. We use the acceptance criteria of 168 Bodin et al., (2009; 2012b), which are derived from Bayes' theorem, to decide whether or not a 169 perturbed model is accepted as the new position of the chain. We initialize 48 independent chains 170 and iterate each chain 1e5 times. Models generated during the first 5e4 iterations are discarded, after which every 500th model is saved. 171

The result of this approach is not a single model subject to *a priori* assumptions but an ensemble of models that describe the probability density functions for model parameters in light of the data. The individual models are Voronoi diagrams, but the average of a large ensemble of models will be appropriately smooth. This removes the need to tune subjective regularization parameters so as not to overfit the data with models that are too rough, or to exclude real features by over-damping the inversion, even when the uncertainty of the data is not known ahead of time (Bodin et al., 2012a).

179 **2.3 Results**

The median of the ensemble of models from the search shows distinct variations in the attenuation of P phases that correlate with tectonic features (Figure 3a). The resolution of the models will be determined by the station spacing and, since no smoothing length is assumed, both gradational and sharp features will be allowed if required by the data (Byrnes et al., 2019). From west to east, Δt_p^* increases rapidly at approximately 116°W, where the SSIP array crosses over to a region of lowered topography and active faulting (Plesch et al., 2007). Values of Δt_p^* peak at 0.22 s within the Salton Trough, and are lower within the Basin and Range province to the east. The mean value of the uncertainty of the data is 0.10 s, which is nearly half the range of estimate for Δt_p^* across the region. We conclude that while the model in Figure 3 is well determined, individual measurements of Δt_p^* contain little information because of the large standard deviation describing the error of the measurements.



192 estimate of the uncertainty of the solution. This uncertainty is for the models and is distinct from

- 193 the uncertainty of the individual measurements. Within the entire Salton Trough and the
- 194 Peninsular Ranges nearest to 116°W the uncertainty is approximately 0.04 s. The lower values of
- 195 Δt_P^* on the eastern side of the Salton Trough and near the coast on the western side of the array
- 196 are less well constrained with uncertainties as high as 0.08 s. Where there is no station coverage,
- 197 uncertainties increase to 0.2 s, which is roughly the standard deviation of values drawn from the
- 198 uniform distribution used to initialize the chains.

199 The change in Δt_P^* at the rapid onset of high attenuation near 116°W spans the full range 200 of the model. The width of this transition is constrained by the local maximum in uncertainty 201 near 116°W (Fig. 3b), where the uncertainty reaches 0.12 s. This maximum reflects the 202 uncertainty in the location of the boundary between the regions of high and low attenuation. The 203 width of this peak in uncertainty is only ~20 km, and hence we infer a rapid transition into the 204 region of high attenuation. However, this analysis does not constrain the depth at which the 205 attenuation occurs. Since the Salton Trough features thick sediments that typically have low 206 quality factors (Hauksson and Shearer, 2006) and high-heat flow consistent with a warm crust 207 (Lachenbruch et al., 1985), this analysis cannot determine if the attenuation occurs above or 208 below the mantle lithosphere.

209

3. Tomographic inversions

In this section, we describe two approaches to teleseismic attenuation tomography, a damped least-squares approach and non-linear Bayesian approach. We show via the inversions of synthetic datasets that damped least-squares is inadequate for the inversion of the dataset in this study. We first define the parameter we are inverting for as

$$\zeta = \frac{1000}{q_p}(3)$$

for convenient expression and refer to relative changes in our models in subsequent sections as $\Delta \zeta$. The small station spacing of the SSIP array means that teleseismic ray-paths cross at depths as shallow as 20 km and may therefore be useful for constraining the depth-distribution of attenuation, even if the number of useable teleseismic events is small (Heath et al., 2015). We show the ray paths for the events in our study in Figure 4a along the x-axis shown in Figure 1; all tomographic inversions are in this plane. We use the iasp91 velocity model (Kennet and Engdahl, 1991) and hold ray paths fixed during all inversions. We show the hit-count for a grid with 5 km wide square elements in Figure 4b; hit-count is defined as the number of rays that intersect with each element in the grid. The hit-count is low beneath the eastern-most portion of the array, particularly at depths below 50 km, and we expect this portion of the tomographic models to be the least constrained. By integrating along the ray-path in equation (2), we are using ray-theory and neglecting finite-frequency effects, as kernels for *t** have not been derived. Since we are working exclusively with events having energy above 1 Hz, ray-theory should provide a reasonable approximation.

229 A key difference between velocity and attenuation tomography is the size of 230 perturbations. The velocity tomography study of Schmandt and Lin (2014) report a model from 231 damped least-squares of velocity anomalies across the Continental United States that features 232 perturbations to the starting model of less than $\pm 5\%$ at depths of 75 km. While non-linear 233 methods recover perturbations with larger amplitudes (Burdick and Lekić, 2017), the linear 234 approach is functional. The perturbations in attenuation tomography, in contrast, may be of 235 significantly greater amplitude, and perturbations within a tomographic model may span over an 236 order of magnitude. For example, Q_P values of 1350, 180, and 50 (typical values for the 237 lithosphere (Dziewonski and Anderson, 1981), asthenosphere (Dziewonski and Anderson, 1981), 238 and melting-producing regions (Abers et al., 2014)) have ζ of 0.7, 5.5, and 20. Moreover, 239 assuming a reference ζ typical of the asthenosphere, 5.5 (Dziewonski and Anderson, 1981), 240 means the largest negative perturbation possible is -5.5, while perturbations will be unbounded in 241 the positive direction. A second difference is the low-quality of the observations. We estimated 242 in Section 2.3 that the uncertainty for an observation of Δt_P^* was approximately half the range of 243 the smoothed map of Δt_P^* , and so tomographic inversions of Δt_P^* are likely to be more uncertain

than analogous inversions of teleseismic travel times, which are themselves associated with



245 significant uncertainty (Burdick and Lekić, 2017).





We first test if a damped least-squares approach can accurately reconstruct synthetic

models of ζ containing both small, symmetrically distributed anomalies – analogous to the case of velocity tomography – and large, asymmetrically distributed anomalies. We test inversions with smooth models and models that are discontinuous at the depths of the LAB as reported by *Lekić et al.*, (2011). Both surface-wave tomography and active-source experiments confirm that seismic velocity is high within the mantle lithosphere beneath the Salton Trough relative to
typical values of the asthenosphere (Barak et al., 2015; Han et al., 2016), and *Qp* will be higher
at lithospheric than asthenospheric conditions since anelastic mechanisms are weak or even
inactive at temperatures typical of the lithosphere (Faul and Jackson, 2005; Jackson and Faul,
2010). Hence, we consider anomalies that are continuous across the LAB of *Lekić et al.*, (2011)
to be improbable.

257

3.1 Framework for the damped least-squares approach

258 To invert for ζ anomalies with damped least-squares, we seek a vector of model 259 parameters \overline{m} that minimizes an objective function *E*, defined as

260
$$\boldsymbol{E} = \left\| \boldsymbol{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{\vec{m}} - \boldsymbol{\vec{d}} \right\| + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \|\boldsymbol{\vec{m}}\| + \boldsymbol{\lambda} \|\boldsymbol{L}\boldsymbol{\vec{m}}\|$$
(4)

261 where **G** is a matrix of partial derivatives that relates model parameters to the data, \overline{m} is a vector of *m* model parameters, \vec{d} is a vector of the $n \Delta t_n^*$ observations, *L* is a roughness operator, 262 263 and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ are regularization parameters. Model parameters consist of $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ values on a grid with 264 spacing dg defining the model space and event terms, \vec{e} . The event terms accounts for possible 265 variations in the mean value of t_p^* between different events due to variations in station coverage. As an example, if Δt_p^* for one event were measured only with stations within the central Salton 266 Trough, all the Δt_p^* values will be near zero since the mean would be removed. The event statics 267 268 are designed to correct these measurements back to high values during the inversion using 269 information from other events. The partial derivate of Δt_p^* with ζ parameters is dg/(1000*Vp) if a 270 ray crosses an element of grid, and zero otherwise. The partial derivative with an event term is 1 for Δt_p^* measurements of a given event and zero otherwise. The hit count then defines the 271 272 derivate-weight-sum for a model parameter scaled by 1000 following (3). The roughness

275
$$L_{ij} = \begin{cases} -1 \ if \ i = j \\ \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\|\overline{r_{ij}}\|}{r_{\lambda}}\right)^2\right) if \ i \neq j \end{cases}$$
(5)

where $\overline{r_{ij}}$ is the line between the parameters *i* and *j*, and r_{λ} is the length over which roughness is considered. The length of the vector defined by the operator is then normalized to 1 along index *i*. When enforcing roughness across a discontinuity defined by the vector \overline{d} , we define a new operator ${}^{d}L_{ij}$ as

280
$${}^{d}L_{ij} = \begin{cases} -L_{ij} \text{ if } \overline{r_{ij}} \text{ intersects } \overline{d} \\ L_{ij} \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(6)

281 where L_{ij} is the normalized operator defined in (4). Then we solve for \vec{m} with LSQR (Paige and 282 Saunders, 1982) from the constrained linear set of equations

283
$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{G} \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{I} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{L} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\overline{m}} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{d} \\ \boldsymbol{O} \\ \boldsymbol{O} \end{bmatrix} (7)$$

where *I* is the identity matrix of size *m* x *m* and *O* is the zero vector of size *m* x 1. The constraint equations balance model misfit with the magnitude and roughness of the model, with greater values of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ reducing $\|\vec{\boldsymbol{m}}\|$ and greater values of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ reducing $\|\boldsymbol{L}\vec{\boldsymbol{m}}\|$. When enforcing a discontinuity, ${}^{d}\boldsymbol{L}$ replaces \boldsymbol{L} and the inversion will favor a rapid contrast in parameters across the discontinuity.

289 **3.2 Framework for the Bayesian approach**

We next present a Bayesian approach that does not impose fixed, *a priori* regularization on the models (Green 1995, Malinverno 2002, Sambridge *et al.* 2006). Similar approaches have been widely applied to geophysical datasets in the past decade (e.g., Bodin and Sambridge, 2009; 293 Agostinetti and Malinverno, 2010; Dettmer et al., 2010; Bodin et al., 2012a, 2012b; Kolb and 294 Lekić, 2014; Burdick and Lekić, 2017; Olugboji et al., 2017; Eilon et al., 2018; and many 295 others). The final product is a probability density function (PDF) that describes a set of 296 independent models at each point within the imaging domain. The uncertainty of the 297 measurements, σ_{t^*} , is also treated as a "hierarchical" parameter that can be solved for 298 (Malinverno and Briggs, 2004) as in Section 2.2. The formalism described here ensures that the 299 PDFs are consistent with Bayes theorem and obviates the need to impose fixed assumptions 300 regarding the complexity of the models or the quality of the data. 301 The set of models that form the PDF are generated by iterating a Markov chain. 302 Individuals models are Voronoi diagrams constructed by near-neighbor interpolation from a set 303 of nodes. When enforcing a discontinuity, Voronoi cells are not allowed to extend across the 304 discontinuity and models must have at least one node both above and below the discontinuity; 305 the algorithm is otherwise unchanged from what is described below. A chain is initialized by 306 generating a random starting model, \overline{m} , defined by selecting a random number of nodes, k, which 307 each have a ζ value randomly selected from a prior distribution. The ζ model is interpolated with 308 nearest-neighbor interpolation to the ray paths in increments of 5 km in the vertical direction before line integration to find Δt_m^* via (2). We demean Δt_m^* for each event, and find the fit of the 309 310 starting model to the data with an L2 norm defined by

311
$$\chi^{2}(\vec{m}) = \sum^{n} (\Delta t_{m}^{*} - (\Delta t_{p}^{*} + e))^{2} / \sigma_{t^{*}}^{2} \quad (8)$$

312 where Δt_m^* is the Δt_p^* predicted for the starting model and e is the relevant event term for 313 Δt_p^* . Event terms account for differences in the set of stations that record each event and 314 minimize the RMS of $(\Delta t_m^* - \Delta t_p^*)$. We find \vec{e} with LSQR via the equations

315
$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{G} \\ \boldsymbol{I} \end{bmatrix} \vec{\boldsymbol{e}} = \begin{bmatrix} (\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{t}_m^* - \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{t}_p^*) \\ \boldsymbol{0} \end{bmatrix}$$
(9)

where the matrix *G* is defined only for event terms as an Sec. 3.1, and *I* is the identity matrix of the length of the vector \vec{e} . The additional constraint equation enforces a zero mean on the event terms.

The probability (to a constant term) of the initial model can be found once the fit is known, and this probability will be used to determine if later models are accepted. The acceptance probability is found with Bayes theorem,

322
$$P(\vec{m}|\vec{d}) \propto P(\vec{m})P(\vec{d}|\vec{m}) \quad (10)$$

323 where $P(\vec{m}|\vec{d})$ describes the probability of model vector \vec{m} given the data vector \vec{d} , $P(\vec{m})$

describes the prior probability of drawing the vector \vec{m} , and $P(\vec{d}|\vec{m})$ describes the "likelihood"

of drawing the data \vec{d} given the model \vec{m} . We assume a uniform distribution for the locations of the nodes and for the uncertainty of the data. We use a log-uniform distribution for *k*, which is

327 the relevant Jeffery's prior (as noted by *Kolb and Lekić*, (2014)), given by

328
$$P(k) = (k(\ln\left(\frac{k_{max}}{k_{min}}\right)))^{-1} (11)$$

329 where k_{max} and k_{min} are the maximum and minimum number of nodes allowed, respectively.

330 For ζ , we assume a Gaussian distribution for the prior defined by

331
$$P(\vec{m}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{\zeta}} \exp\left(-\frac{\zeta^2}{2\sigma_{\zeta}^2}\right) (12)$$

where
$$\sigma_{\zeta}$$
 is a standard deviation for ζ values. This prior better describes a model of anomalies
than the uniform prior, since a model of deviations from the reference model should be
dominated by near-zero values. Moreover, since our observations do not constrain the mean
value of t_P^* , we require a prior that centers the models at zero. Assuming a uniform prior allows

for models to be populated by large anomalies that vary little across the model so as to only effect the mean of t_P^* and therefore be invisible to Δt_P^* constraints.

338 Once the likelihood is known for the initial model, the chain is advanced by perturbing the initial model to produce a new model, $\overrightarrow{m'}$. One of five possible types of perturbations can 339 340 occur on each step of the chain: A) a "birth" step introduces a new node, increasing the 341 complexity of the model, B) a "death" step removes a node, reducing the complexity of the 342 model, C) a "move" step changes the location of a node, D), a "change" step changes the ζ of a node, and finally E) an "error" step changes σ_{t^*} . The probability that the perturbed model is 343 344 accepted, α , is found with the transdimensional form of the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm as given by Green (1995), 345

346
$$\alpha(m'|m) = \min\left[1, \frac{p(\overline{m}i)}{p(\overline{m})} \frac{p(\overline{d}|\overline{m}i)}{p(\overline{d}|\overline{m})} \frac{q(\overline{m}|\overline{m}i)}{q(\overline{m}i|\overline{m})} |J|\right] (13)$$

where $q(\vec{m'}|\vec{m})$ is the probability of transitioning from model \vec{m} to $\vec{m'}$, $q(\vec{m}|\vec{m'})$ is the probability of transitioning from model $\vec{m'}$ to \vec{m} (that is, the probability of the reverse of the perturbation made on the current step), and the |J| is the determinant of the Jacobian matrix (see Green (1995) for a discussion; the term is always 1 for the problem considered here). The key to implementing this inversion is to evaluate (13) for each type of perturbation. We follow the derivations in *Bodin and Sambridge* (2009) and *Bodin et al.*, (2012b) for each step while assuming our priors described above, and arrive at the acceptance functions

354
$$\alpha_{move}(\overrightarrow{m'}|\overrightarrow{m}) = \min\left[1, e^{\frac{\chi^2(\overrightarrow{m}) - \chi^2(\overrightarrow{m'})}{2}}\right] (14)$$

355
$$\alpha_{change}(\overrightarrow{m'}|\overrightarrow{m}) = \min\left[1, e^{(\frac{\zeta o^2}{2\sigma_{\zeta}^2} - \frac{{\zeta'}^2}{2\sigma_{\zeta}^2} + \frac{\chi^2(\overrightarrow{m}) - \chi^2(\overrightarrow{m'})}{2})}\right] (15)$$

356
$$\alpha_{birth}(\overrightarrow{m'}|\overrightarrow{m}) = \min\left[1, \frac{k}{k+1}\frac{\delta_{\zeta}}{\sigma_{\zeta}}e^{\left(\left(-\frac{{\zeta'}^2}{2\sigma_{\zeta}^2}\right) + \frac{\left({\zeta'}-{\zeta_0}\right)^2}{2\delta_{\zeta}^2} + \frac{\chi^2(\overrightarrow{m})-\chi^2(\overrightarrow{m'})}{2}\right)}\right] (16)$$

357
$$\alpha_{death}(\overrightarrow{m'}|\overrightarrow{m}) = \min\left[1, \frac{k}{k-1}\frac{\sigma_{\zeta}}{\delta_{\zeta}}e^{\left(\frac{\zeta o^{2}}{2\sigma_{\zeta}^{2}}\right) - \frac{\left(\zeta'-\zeta o\right)^{2}}{2\delta_{\zeta}^{2}} + \frac{\chi^{2}(\overrightarrow{m}) - \chi^{2}(\overrightarrow{m'})}{2}\right]}\right] (17)$$

358
$$\alpha_{error}(\overrightarrow{m'}|\overrightarrow{m}) = \min\left[1, (\frac{\sigma'_{t*}}{\sigma_{t*o}})^n e^{(\frac{\chi^2(\overrightarrow{m}) - \chi'^2(\overrightarrow{m'})}{2})}\right] (18)$$

359 where the apostrophe indicates a value from the proposed model, and a subscript *o* indicates a 360 value from the current model, and δ_{ζ} is the standard deviation of perturbations to the ζ 361 parameters on "change" and "birth" steps. A step-size is also defined for the location of the nodes, δ_r , and for the error terms, δ_σ , but neither step-sizes appear in an acceptance function 362 because we have assumed uniform priors for the coordinates of the nodes and σ_{t*} . A subjective 363 364 sparsity constraint on the model is not imposed, but the acceptance functions for "birth" and "death" naturally balance the need to fit the data with a bias towards lower dimensional models 365 when $\frac{\delta_{\zeta}}{\sigma_{\zeta}} < 1$, that is, the step-size is smaller than the width of the prior. The leading terms $\frac{k}{k+1}$ 366 and $\frac{k}{k-1}$ appear in (16) and (17) because of the assumption of a log-uniform prior for k. We 367 validate (14)-(18) by performing a test where χ^2 is set to 1 for all models (Bodin and Sambridge, 368 369 2009). The posterior will be the prior in this case, and (14)-(18) correctly return a Gaussian distribution with a standard deviation σ_{ζ} for ζ at all points in model space, a log-uniform 370 371 distribution for *k*, and a uniform distribution for σ_{t*} .

372 Once α is found, the proposed model is accepted if $r < \alpha$, where *r* is a randomly selected 373 value between 0 and 1. If a model is accepted, then the chain continues with the newly accepted 374 model as the current model. If a model is rejected, the proposed model is discarded and a new 375 perturbation to the current model is drawn on the next iteration. Chains continue to a maximum 376 number of iterations, which is a parameter chosen ahead of time. Models are saved at a regular interval of iterations once a "burn-in" number of iterations has been reached. Once all the chains 377 378 have been iterated a maximum value, the PDF at a given location given by a normalized 379 histogram of ζ at each point in the model domain. The mean value of ζ from the interpolated 380 models is removed before constructing the PDFs. The values of parameters that shape the search 381 are given in Table 1, unless otherwise given in the text for specific inversions. However, we 382 stress that these parameters only define the contours of the search and not the shape of the final 383 PDF so long as a sufficient number of models have been explored (MacKay, 2003).

384

385

4. Tests of the inverse approaches

4.1 Synthetic datasets

386 In this section, we invert three datasets for Δt_p^* predicted with the ray geometry in Figure 4. The models are shown in Figure 5. The first model (Figure 5a with a symmetric color scale), 387 388 contains two anomalies from 60 to 90 km depth with $\Delta \zeta$ of -2 and +2. The anomalies are 389 separated by a 20 km wide gap. We note that relative to PREM, a $\Delta \zeta$ of 2 is a 36% percent 390 perturbation, and so is large relative to the anomalies typically imaged in teleseismic body-wave 391 tomography. However, the Δt_p^* for this model have a range of ± 0.01 s, which is more than an order of magnitude smaller than the range of Δt_p^* in the map-view inversion for the Salton 392 393 Trough (Figure 3). Before inverting the dataset, we add white Gaussian noise with a standard

deviation of 0.003 s (30% of the range of the predicted Δt_p^* values) which obscures the signal



395 when the dataset is plotted against distance (Figure 5b).

Figure 5. Synthetic models used for testing the inversion frameworks. Top row: Synthetic models discussed in the text. Bottom row: Synthetic data predicted with the event-station distribution in this study for the model in the above panel with Gaussian white noise added.

396 We also invert models containing asymmetrically distributed large anomalies. The first 397 model (Figure 5c with a unipolar color scale) contains a 30 km thick and 50 km wide box with a 398 $\Delta \zeta$ of +50 at 60 km depth. To test the effect of lithospheric structure, two regions of $\Delta \zeta$ with -5 399 bound the anomaly. The second model (Figure 5e with a unipolar color scale) contains a 20 km 400 thick anomaly with a $\Delta \zeta$ of +57 at the surface. The amplitude and dimensions of the anomalies 401 were chosen to give a peak Δt_p^* of approximately 0.2 s (as in Figure 3a). These two models test 402 whether our inversion can resolve the difference between the hypotheses that attenuation occurs 403 in the upper crust or in the asthenosphere. White Gaussian noise with a standard deviation of 0.1 404 s was added to the data to mimic the quality of the real dataset (see Section 2). We note that the

405 standard deviation of the noise is half the range of the clean Δt_P^* values, and thus simulates 406 remarkably poor imaging conditions (Figure 5d,f).

407

4.2 Damped least-squares inversions of synthetic datasets

We first invert the "symmetric" dataset (Figure 5b) with the damped least-squares 408 409 approach. The damped least-squares inversion requires two regularization parameters, ε and λ , 410 which damp the magnitude and roughness of the model, respectively. To choose these values, we construct a misfit surface of $ln(\overline{\chi^2})$ (the natural log of χ^2 scaled to the length of the data vector 411 412 to given a mean misfit) as a function of $ln(\varepsilon)$ and $ln(\lambda)$ and take the point of maximum 413 (Gaussian) curvature as the "ideal" model (Figure 6a). This is analogous to the traditional L-414 curve approach when considering a single model parameter (Hansen, 1992). The preferred model 415 features an accurate representation of the input model but with vertical exaggeration of the 416 anomalies (Figure 6b). The peak-to-peak amplitudes of $\Delta \zeta$ are ± 0.3 , which is 15% of the range in 417 the input model (Figure 5a). The lateral extent of the anomalies is approximately recovered, but 418 the vertical extent of both anomalies is greatly exaggerated.

419 In Figure 6c and d, we show inversions of the asymmetric models with deep (Figure 5c) 420 and shallow (Figure 5e) anomalies, respectively. The regularization parameters were chosen to give peak curvature to the $ln(\overline{\gamma^2})$ surface as was done for the previous test. The recovered 421 anomalies span the entire vertical dimension of the model space. Peak-to-peak $\Delta \zeta$ in the 422 423 recovered models are 10 and 8, which are 16% and 17% recoveries, for the deep and shallow 424 anomalies, respectively. While there is a difference in the depth of the peak anomaly between the 425 two tests, one could not confidently infer whether the input anomaly was at mantle or crustal 426 depths from these inversions alone. An attempt to discriminate between a mantle or crustal origin 427 of the anomaly is made by applying the discontinuity of Lekić et al., (2011) according to the

discontinuous roughness constraint in (6) (Figures 6e, 6f). Enforcing the discontinuity does not
reduce the amplitude of the artifacts near the surface in Figure 6e and increases the peak
amplitude of the deeper artifact in Figure 6f. We conclude that the enforcement of the
discontinuity does not aid in discerning the original depth of the anomaly and more generally
that the damped least-squares approach is inadequate for imaging large anomalies in attenuation
with the dataset available in this study.



Figure 6. Inversions of the synthetic datasets with damped $\circ \Delta_{i}$ least-squares. Black rectangles indicate the locations of input anomalies (cf. Figure 5). a) Gray shows the natural log of the scaled misfit against the natural log of the regularization parameters. Contours show the Gaussian curvature of the surface with the star marking the maximum curvature. b) Inversion of the synthetic dataset shown in Figure 5b. Cool and warm colors contours negative and positive values of $\Delta \zeta$. Note the restricted color scale relative to Figure 5a. d, d) Inversion of the synthetic dataset show in Figure 5d and 5f, respectively. Darker colors contour more positive values of $\Delta \zeta$. e,f) Identical with panels c and d, respectively, but with a discontinuity, marked by the dashed black line, imposed on the inversion.

434

4.3 Bayesian inversions of synthetic datasets

435 We first test the Bayesian approach on the dataset for the symmetric anomalies. Figure 7a 436 shows the median values of $\Delta \zeta$ across model space using a prior with a σ_{ζ} of 3. The resulting 437 model is a better match to the input model than the model recovered by damped least-squares. 438 Peak $\Delta \zeta$ are ±1.7, which is 85% of the amplitude of the anomalies in Figure 5a. Vertical

439 smearing is restricted to approximately 10 km away from the input anomalies, showing that the

440 vertical smearing in Figure 6 was due to the inverse procedure and not to the limitations of the



Figure 7. Inversions of a synthetic dataset with the Bayesian approach. a) Median of the ensemble of solutions from the inversion of the synthetic dataset in Figure 5b. Cool and warm colors contour negative and positive values of $\Delta \zeta$. Note that the color scale is the same as in Figure 5a and compare with Figure 6b. The dashed circles mark regions used for a relative measurement in panel b, and colored stars show the locations corresponding to the PDFs in panel b. b) Solid lines show PDFs for $\Delta \zeta$ at points in the model marked by stars of matching color in panel a. Vertical dashed lines show the value in the input model for the locations marked in panel a by stars. Black dashed lines show the PDFs of values within the blue circle minus the values in the black circle in panel a. c) Thin and thick black lines show the prior and posterior probability distributions for the number of nodes describing the individual models. d) Same as panel a but with the width of the prior restricted (see text for discussion).

441 dataset. No spurious anomalies are produced near the edges of model space. The error on the 442 input data is estimated to be 2.8e-3 s, with a standard deviation below 1e-4 s. This result implies 443 that the inversion slightly over-fits the data, and that all models in the ensemble have similar fits. 444 While the median of the posterior distribution closely matches the input model, the 445 uncertainty associated with this result is large relative to the amplitude of the anomalies. We 446 show PDFs at three points in Figure 7b. At points in the center of the anomalies, the peaks of the 447 PDFs are well defined and close to the true values, but the tails of the distribution are broad and 448 asymmetric. Outlying values deviate greatly from the input anomalies. At the center of the 449 negative anomaly, a set of low-probability solutions have amplitudes larger than the input 450 anomaly but with the opposite sign, despite the apparent accuracy of the median solution. At the 451 gap between the input anomalies (red star in Figure 7a), the modal value is accurate but there are 452 heavy tails that reflect solutions without any gap. The most common number of nodes defining 453 the individual models is 8 (Figure 7c), which confirms that the inversion finds appropriately 454 simple models even when models with up to 50 nodes are allowed. 455 The wide PDFs in Figure 7b are strictly for point-estimates of $\Delta \zeta$, and so the width 456 represents uncertainty in both the shape, location, and amplitude of anomalies. To focus on the 457 uncertainty of the amplitude and neglect the fine-scale shape of the anomaly, we show the PDFs 458 for the difference between the maximum value within 20 km of the center of the positive 459 anomaly (blue, dashed circle in Figure 7a) and the minimum value within a reference location 460 (black, dashed circle in Figure 7a). This allows for an estimate of $\Delta \zeta$ that is less sensitive to 461 uncertainties in the shape and location of the anomaly, and the negative tails of the anomaly no 462 longer appear (dashed PDF in Figure 7b). The lower bound amplitude for this anomaly at 95% 463 confidence is 0.45, which is higher than the peak amplitude recovered by the damped least464 squares inversion. A small peak at a $\Delta \zeta$ of 0, which mean that no anomaly is recovered where the 465 input model features a positive anomaly, contains less than 1% of the cumulative probability 466 density.

Finally, we test the effect of the width of the prior on the results by repeating the 467 468 inversions with $\sigma_{\zeta} = 0.5$, which is a factor of 4 smaller than the peak-to-peak values in the input 469 model. The resulting model (Figure 7d) is more similar to the result from damped least-squares 470 than the result in Figure 7a, which assumed $\sigma_{\zeta} = 3$. We therefore attribute the smearing from 471 damped least-squares to the regularization and not to the limitations of the dataset. The peak-to-472 peak values of $\Delta \zeta$ are ±1.1, which is a 55% recovery. Small side-lobes have also appeared next to 473 the recovered anomalies with opposite sign that were not present in the input model. However, 474 despite this under-recovery and appearance of minor artifacts, the mean and standard deviation 475 of σ_{t*} are identical to the inversion that assumed $\sigma_{\zeta} = 3$. As a note of caution, this means that 476 models fit the data as well as when the prior was more appropriately chosen. The only indication that the prior was inappropriately chosen is that the peak anomalies are near $\pm 2\sigma_{\zeta}$, while the 477 inversion assuming $\sigma_{\zeta} = 3$ features peak anomalies near $\pm 0.6\sigma_{\zeta}$. 478

479 We next show inversions of the large, asymmetrically distributed anomalies. We show inversions for two datasets with large anomalies in Figure 8. We assume $\sigma_{\zeta} = 30$. In all four 480 481 cases, the estimated error on the input data is within two standard deviations of the true value of 482 0.1 s. While there is error in the peak amplitudes relative to the synthetic models in Figure 5c and 483 5e, the amplitudes are better estimated than by damped least-squares and there is no intrinsic bias 484 towards underestimation. The $\Delta \zeta = -5$ anomalies on the flanks of Figure 5c are not recovered, 485 suggesting that lithospheric structure cannot be recovered alongside strong positive anomalies. 486 While a comparison of Figure 8a and 8b suggests that enforcing the discontinuity may result in

the worse reconstruction of anomalies near the discontinuity, a comparison of Figure 8c and 8d show that enforcing the discontinuity leads to a clean separation of shallow and deep structure. This separation could not be achieved with damped least-squares (Figure 6e-f). Finally, we note that the relative attenuation across the discontinuity is poorly estimated, which we attribute to the insensitivity of relative teleseismic observations to lateral structure that spans the whole model





Figure 8. Inversions of synthetic datasets with the Bayesian approach. a,c) Median of the ensemble of solutions from the inversion of the synthetic dataset in Figure 5d and 5f, respectively. Darker colors show more positive values of $\Delta \zeta$. b,d) Same as panels A and C, but with the discontinuity of *Lekić et al.*, (2011), marked by the dashed black line, imposed.

5. Results

494 **5.1 Tomographic inversion of the data**

495 Our preferred approach to inverting the data is the Bayesian method with the 496 discontinuity of Lekić et al., (2011) enforced (Figure 9). In supporting information S1, we also 497 show inversions of the data with the damped least-squares approach with and without the 498 discontinuity enforced. We note that our approach does not enforce the condition that attenuation 499 be weak above the discontinuity, and in synthetic tests the occurrence of strong attenuation 500 above the discontinuity was correctly identified. In supporting information S2, we also show 501 results with the Bayesian approach without the discontinuity enforced. Our choice of preferred 502 approach is based on prior geophysical constraints on the study area and the results of the 503 inversions of synthetic datasets. In Figure 9a, we have plotted a rectangular sub-section of the 504 model space that contains required features (see supporting information S2). 505 The median solution (Figure 9a) features attenuation in both the crust and upper mantle 506 beneath the Salton Trough, and lateral variations in attenuation are greater in the upper mantle 507 than in the crust (Figure 9b). At depths greater than 80 km, $\Delta \zeta$ reaches a minimum below the Peninsular Ranges in the west and increases eastwards by 11 where the discontinuity begins to 508 509 shoal. Below the central Salton Trough, a triangular-shaped anomaly with $\Delta \zeta$ of 34 becomes 510 narrower with increasing depth and does not extend below a depth of 150 km. The Salton Buttes

511 lie above the triangular anomaly and are slightly west (negative direction on the x-axis) of the

512 deepest point (Figure 9a).



Figure 9. Preferred inversion of the data. A) Median of the ensemble is contoured, with darker colors indicating more positive values of $\Delta\zeta$. In order to emphasize features over a wide range of $\Delta\zeta$, the contour interval scales with the square of $\Delta\zeta$, with 10 contours spaced from 0.5 at a $\Delta\zeta$ of -10 and 8.3 at a $\Delta\zeta$ of 30. The discontinuity of *Lekić et al.*, (2011) is shown by the dashed black line, and the location Salton Buttes are shown by red triangles on the top. B) Slices thorough the median model in panel A at 5 and 120 km depth. C) Probability density functions for $\Delta\zeta$ relative to reference regions (cf. Fig 7b, black dashed line). The regions considered have radii of 20 km with a central depth of 120 km, and the legend gives the location of the center of the regions along the x-axis. The 95% confidence lower bound is marked by arrows below the x-axis.

514 Lower bounds for $\Delta \zeta$ are found between circular regions with radii of 20 km as in Section 515 3.4 (Figure 9c). The peaks at $\Delta \zeta = 0$ reflect models where the same Voronoi cell covers both the 516 test and reference circles. The lower bound at 95% confidence in the deep portion of the 517 triangular anomaly is 11.5 (blue line in Figure 9c). In contrast, the PDF for the region in between 518 the Peninsular Range and the Trough is bimodal (orange line in Figure 9c). The probability that 519 the minimum in attenuation beneath the Peninsular Range extends further eastward than in the 520 median of the ensemble (that is, $\Delta \zeta = 0$ in Figure 9c) is approximately 20%. Thus, while a strong 521 contrast in attenuation at 120 km depth in the western half of our study area is likely, this 522 contrast is not robust at the 95% confidence interval. We further construct a PDF for the 523 difference between the two test sites, with the intermediate region acting as the reference against 524 the deep triangular anomaly. This difference is robust with a minimum $\Delta\zeta$ of 7 with 95% 525 confidence. There is no evidence for a significant contrast within the triangular anomaly itself, 526 and the eastern edge of the triangular anomaly is not well constrained by the tomographic 527 approach (see supporting text Section 2), likely due to the small number of crossing ray-paths in 528 this portion of model space (Figure 3).

529

5.2 Comparison with previous geophysical observations

Our preferred model for seismic attenuation correlates well with results from previous geophysical studies. First, the location of the high attenuation beneath the center of the Salton Trough lies beneath the region of low velocities at 50 km depth in the surface-wave tomography model of *Barak et al.* (2015) (Figure 10a). These low velocities lie beneath a high-velocity lid in the upper-most mantle, supporting the interpretation that the attenuation in our preferred model occurs beneath the LAB. The model of *Barak et al.* (2015) does not extend below the discontinuity of *Lekić et al.* (2011) beneath the Peninsular Ranges, and so we cannot determine if the minimum in attenuation beneath the Peninsular Ranges has elevated or typical seismic velocity beneath the discontinuity. At crustal depths, the rifted crust has generally low *Vs* except for a maximum at ~12 km depth. This high velocity anomaly lies beneath the Salton Buttes and above the deepest point of the high-attenuation anomaly in the asthenosphere (Figure 10a).



Figure 10. Correlation between seismic attenuation and previous geophysical results. The location of the Salton Buttes is approximately marked by red triangles above each panel. A) Seismic velocity from Barak et al. (2015). The moho is approximated by the 4 km/s contour, and the crust and mantle are separately contoured from 3 to 4 km/s in increments of 0.1 km/s and 4 to 4.5 km/s in increments of 0.05 km/s, respectively. B) Splitting times of SKS phases from Barak and Klemperer (2016) at SSIP stations. C) Model of ζ from Figure 9a is contoured, the dashed black line is the discontinuity of Lekić et al., (2011), and teal squares show the correlation coefficients between the attenuation model at different depths and the SKS splitting times in Figure 10b. The solid black line shows the maximum correlation coefficient reached, and the dashed-dotted black line shows the 95% confidence bound.

541 Our results also correlate with the SKS splitting results of Barak and Klemperer (2016). 542 The splitting times are smaller in the Peninsular Range and Basin and Range provinces outside 543 of the Salton Trough, and increase by nearly 1 s over distances of less than 100 km (Figure 10b). 544 We show the correlation coefficient between the splitting times and the median solution along 545 different depths on top of the median solution in Figure 10c to test the consistency between these 546 two datasets. The two datasets are positively correlated down to depths of approximately 120 547 km, with greater correlation at mantle than crustal depths. The correlations at depths between 60 548 and 110 km are within twice the standard error of the maximum correlation at 90 km, and the 549 correlation at crustal depths lies outside of the 95% confidence interval. The correlation 550 coefficient between Δt_P^* from the mapping inversion (Figure 3a) and the SKS splitting times is 551 0.68 and therefore lies outside of the confidence interval for the maximum at 90 km depth. This 552 estimate of the error does not account for the uncertainty on the tomographic solution, but this 553 analysis show that the structure we recover below the discontinuity is consistent with an independent dataset and improves upon map-view analysis. 554

555 **6. Discussion**

556

6.1 The physical origin of the high attenuation

Before comparing our results to previous constraints on attenuation, we must first estimate what absolute values of Qp are consistent with our relative constraints. For a reference when computing lower bounds, we used the minimum in attenuation beneath the Peninsular Ranges. We cannot reject the possibility of a cold root that extends below the discontinuity, and so Qp could be high or even infinite (Dalton et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2020). The scale between our $\Delta\zeta$ constraints and absolute ζ approaches zero as Qp approaches infinity. The minimum ζ beneath the Salton Trough would then be 11, which corresponds to a Qp of 91. We consider 91 the 564 maximum possible Op (with 95% confidence) in the asthenosphere beneath the Salton Trough. 565 While we cannot strictly reject the possibility of a cold root with nearly infinite quality factor at 566 120 km below the Peninsular Ranges, a more likely scenario is that this region represents nearly 567 ambient asthenosphere. Yang and Forsyth (2008) estimated an average Os of 60 below 100 km 568 depth in Southern California. Assuming a *Op/Os* value of 2.25 (Karato and Spetzler, 1990), the 569 value of Yang and Forsyth (2008) implies a ζ of 18.5, or a Op of 54, at 120 km depth below the 570 Salton Trough. We note that this is the lower bound with 95% confidence for ζ . Assuming the 571 reference value from Yang and Forsyth (2008), there is a 95% chance that Op in the anomaly we 572 image is less than 54. Doing the same exercise but adopting the modal value of $\Delta \zeta$ from the PDF 573 in Figure 9c for the base of the triangular anomaly gives a Qp of 22. We consider the ζ within the 574 triangular anomaly to be effectively unbounded in the positive direction.

575 To place our results in a broader context, we compare the seismic velocities and quality 576 factors from the Salton Trough to a selection of regions with published Vs and quality factors and 577 to laboratory predictions in Figure 11. Results from the Juan de Fuca Ridge (Bell et al., 2016; 578 Eilon and Abers, 2017), Lau Basin (Abers et al., 2014; Wei and Wiens, 2018, 2020), and the 579 Mariana Spreading Center (Barklage et al., 2015; Pozgay et al., 2009) have similar values of ζ . 580 Lower ζ values were reported for a range of Vs values from Southern California (Yang and 581 Forsyth, 2008), the East Pacific Rise (Yang et al., 2007), and Nicaragua (Harmon et al., 2013; 582 Rychert et al., 2008). Only the isotropic component of velocity is reported in Figure 11. We have 583 assumed a Qp/Qs ratio of 2.25 where only Qs is available (Karato and Spetzler, 1990), we note 584 that the effects of variable Qp/Qs ratios are smaller than the ranges of ζ shown in Figure 11, and 585 we refer the reader to the original studies for detailed considerations of the uncertainties.

Focusing, scattering, and frequency-dependence effects are neglected for the purpose of this
comparison (Hwang and Ritsema, 2011; Lekić et al., 2009; Shito et al., 2004).

588 To explore the physical factors that could explain these observations, we compare these 589 results to experimental predictions for seismic velocity and quality factor. We first consider 590 predictions based on pure olivine that only consider variation in temperature and grain size 591 (Jackson and Faul, 2010). In this case, Vs is not predicted to reach values below 4.1 km/s 592 (Jackson and Faul, 2010) and ζ is predicted to be less than 4 (Abers et al., 2014), assuming a 593 *Op/Os* ratio of 2.25. Based on the comparison in Figure 11 and these experimental predictions, 594 we reject the hypothesis that elevated temperature or reduced grain sizes alone are sufficient to 595 explain the high attenuation beneath the Salton Trough and other volcanic regions. Next, we 596 consider predictions for seismic velocity and quality factor based on measurements of an organic 597 crystalline analog (Yamauchi and Takei, 2016) parameterized by viscosity. This model predicts 598 that seismic attenuation is enhanced in the asthenosphere by a Debye peak that appears at 599 seismic frequencies when the temperature is near the solidus due to grain-boundary disordering 600 before melting initiates. For values shown in Figure 11, we use a potential temperature of 601 1350°C, a frequency of 1 Hz, a homologous temperature of 1, and a depth of 75 km over a range 602 of sample viscosities. The predictions from the premelting effect are able to approximately 603 explain the highest values of *Op* allowed beneath the Salton Trough for reasonable estimates of mantle viscosity of $\sim 10^{18}$ Pa s (Hirth and Kohlstedt, 2004), and so a premelting effect is not ruled 604 605 out by our observations as a possible explanation for the attenuation beneath the Salton Trough. 606 In this scenario, no *in-situ* melt fraction is required at mantle depths, though the mantle must be 607 at the solidus beneath the central portion of the rifted region at 120 km depth and below the 608 solidus in the adjacent regions.



610 two other hypotheses for larger values of attenuation. First, the presence of *in-situ* melt has been 611 proposed to cause attenuation at seismic frequencies by both experimental (Faul et al., 2004) and 612 seismological (Abers et al., 2014; Eilon and Abers, 2017) studies. The presence of melt could 613 cause attenuation by enhancing diffusionally assisted grain boundary sliding (Faul et al., 2004; 614 Eilon and Abers, 2017) or by the displacement of the fluid phase (the "melt squirt" mechanism). 615 Numerical studies have suggested that basaltic melt in the mantle causes attenuation via the melt 616 squirt mechanism only at frequencies above the seismic band (Hammond and Humphreys, 2000), 617 but this result depends on the poorly known geometry of the melt at the grain scale (Garapić et 618 al., 2013). The distribution of attenuation at mantle depths spatially correlates with the splitting 619 times of SKS phases, and Barak and Klemperer (2016) attributed the large splitting times to the 62 ear-driven segregation of melt in the upper mantle beneath the Salton Trough. The

organization of melt into networks connected over longer distances than considered in the model
of *Hammond and Humphreys* (2000) could theoretically give rise to strong attenuation at seismic
frequencies or to bulk attenuation, which is strong in the Lau Basin (Wei and Wiens, 2020),

624 though we are unaware of a quantitative estimate of this effect.

625 Another possible mechanism is elastically accommodated grain boundary sliding 626 (EAGBS, Jackson and Faul, 2010), but the strength and central frequency of the peak associated 627 with this mechanism must vary within the study area to influence relative constraints on 628 attenuation. Olugboji et al., (2013) estimated that variations in the water content of the mantle 629 could produce Qp values of 67, assuming a Qp/Qp ratio of 2.25, and since the strength of the 630 peak is poorly constrained, we consider this mechanism possible. To explain our relative 631 constraints on *Op*, the central frequency or strength of the peak would need to change within the 632 seismic band (Ma et al., 2020), which could be explained by dehydration during mantle 633 upwelling (Olugboji et al., 2013).

634 In summary, the presence of melt in the mantle beneath the Salton Trough likely causes 635 the strong attenuation. The organization of the melt into high-porosity bands can potentially 636 explain the most probable values of attenuation, the correlation between SKS splitting times with 637 attenuation, and how pockets of melt could lead to attenuation in light of the conclusion of 638 Hammond and Humphreys (2000) that non-aligned melt pockets does not cause attenuation. 639 However, both a "premelting" effect due to the onset of melting or the activation of EAGBS due 640 to dehydration can explain the minimum allowed attenuation. Any combination of these three 641 mechanisms is also possible. In contrast, the hypothesis that only temperature and grain size 642 variations can explain the results can be rejected based on the results of both experimental 643 predictions and comparisons with previous observations.

644

6.2. Evidence for dynamic upwelling

While the mechanism causing the high attenuation cannot be isolated, each mechanism we considered in Section 6.1 considers the attenuative feature at the base of the triangular anomaly as upwelling mantle. A synthetic test of a strong anomaly restricted to depths above 100 km depth did not produce a similar triangular anomaly (Figure 8a, b) and so we do not attribute the maximum depth extent of the anomaly to the limited resolving power of the dataset. Moreover, we confirmed that attenuation is stronger beneath the center of the rifted region than beneath the western flank of the rift. Taken together, we conclude that the attenuative region

represents a melting column that is narrower than the rifted region at 120 km depth.



Figure 12. Illustration of mantle and crustal structure in this region. Dark brown shows the crust with topography, light brown shows sediments, green shows the mantle lithosphere, blue striping indicates a gabbroic composition in the crust, warmer colors indicate regions of mantle melting, black lines trace mantle flow, red lines are inferred pathways for melt, and the deep dashed black line is the volatile-free solidus of the ambient asthenosphere. The vertical axis is not to scale.

653 The shape of the melting column inferred in this study is predicted by models of dynamic 654 upwelling. If the viscosity of the mantle and the spreading-rate of the rift are sufficiently low that buoyancy forces can contribute to upwelling, then upwelling takes the form of a narrow column 655 656 with downwelling on the flanks (Buck and Su, 1989; Scott and Stevenson, 1989; Su and Buck, 657 1993). The complementary downwelling sharply demarcates edges of the region of both melt 658 production and melt retention (Katz, 2010), which conforms with our tomographic image. Some 659 geodynamic simulations specifically predict an upwelling column that is narrower than 50 km 660 wide at 120 km depth that widens toward the surface due to the progressive dehydration of the 661 upwelling mantle (Braun et al., 2000; Choblet and Parmentier, 2001), which is a scenario in 662 excellent agreement with our results. In geodynamic simulations of passive upwelling, where 663 only viscous coupling with the spreading lithosphere drives mantle flow, the upwelling region 664 becomes wider with increasing depth (Shen and Forsyth, 1992; Ligi et al., 2008), which is 665 inconsistent with our tomographic results. Consistent with this interpretation, several localized 666 low-velocity anomalies are distributed beneath the Gulf of California that are not centered 667 beneath spreading centers (Figure 1a). Wang et al., (2009) attributed these anomalies to dynamic 668 upwelling, since plate-spreading forces cannot center upwelling away from the ridge-axis. 669 Finally, we note that the deep attenuative anomaly is better constrained on the western than 670 eastern side. However, an eastward extension of the attenuative anomaly would not contradict 671 our interpretation as it would imply a highly asymmetric melting column. Dynamic upwelling 672 causes asymmetric upwelling in the presence of modest compositional or thermal anomalies in 673 the mantle (Katz, 2010). In contrast, asymmetric upwelling is not predicted under passive-674 upwelling conditions without temperature or pressure anomalies in the mantle that are likely 675 unrealistic for this region (Conder et al., 2002; Toomey et al., 2002).

676 We illustrate a first-approximation scenario for mantle and crustal dynamics in the study 677 area in Figure 12. A narrow column of upwelling mantle forms near 120 km, where melting can be initiated due to the presence of H₂O and CO₂ (Dasgupta et al., 2007). Holocene volcanic 678 679 activity at the Salton Buttes (Schmitt et al., 2013; Wright et al., 2015) that exhume young 680 basaltic xenoliths (Schmitt and Vazquez, 2006) occurs approximately at the longitude of deepest 681 attenuation and approximately 35 km north of the main SSIP line (Figure 1b). Moreover, results 682 from seismic refraction support a gabbroic lower crust (Han et al., 2016). In between these 683 signatures of incipient oceanic crust is a high velocity layer in the shallow mantle with seismic 684 velocity characteristic of young oceanic lithosphere and a sharp lower boundary (Lekić et al., 685 2011; Barak et al., 2015; Han et al., 2016). Taken together, we illustrate the upwelling mantle as 686 bounded at the base of this remaining lithospheric layer, with pathways for basaltic melts to 687 migrate towards the surface inferred. The deep melting column is sharply bounded below the 688 lithosphere by downwelling in response to buoyancy-driven flow.

689

7. Conclusions

690 This study constrains seismic attenuation beneath the Salton Trough with broad-band 691 seismic data collected by the Salton Trough Seismic Imaging Project. The relative attenuation of 692 first-arriving P phases from deep-focus teleseismic events reveals stronger attenuation within the 693 rifted regions of the study area than within the surrounding regions. Synthetic tests show that a 694 Bayesian approach to the inverse problem reconstructs both the amplitude and depth of 695 anomalies more accurately than a damped least-squares approach in the presence of severe noise. 696 We find that attenuation beneath the study area mainly occurs in the asthenosphere with some 697 crustal contribution. Inversions of synthetic datasets give confidence that these different signals 698 can be isolated. Below the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary, attenuation is stronger than can

699	be explained by variations in the temperature and grain size of the mantle, and we ultimately
700	attribute the high attenuation to melting driven by upwelling via several possible mechanisms. Of
701	the possible mechanisms, we prefer shear-driven segregation of the melt into high-porosity
702	bands. The highly attenuative region at 120 km depth is narrower than the rifted lithosphere,
703	consistent with geodynamic models of buoyancy-driven upwelling.
704	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
705	Data from the Salton Trough Seismic Imaging Project (SSIP) are available from the IRIS DMC
706	under the network code XD. Support for this project was provided by the University of
707	Minnesota and the NSF Earthscope program under grant EAR-1827277. All Δt_P^* values, the
708	ensemble of models, and necessary MATLAB 2016a scripts for plotting are available from the
709	Data Repository for the University of Minnesota (<u>http://hdl.handle.net/11299/166578</u>) ¹ .
710	
711	
712	
713	
714	
715	
716	
717	
718	
719	

¹ Note to reviewers (see acknowledgements): Data will be uploaded at the time of publication so as to be linked with the manuscript's DOI. Until then, this information will be available from the lead author's github account at https://github.com/jsbyrnes/Salton-Trough.git

720 Tables

Region	Latitude, °	Longitude, °	Origin Time	Magnitude	Depth, km	Backazimuth, °
Izu-Bonin	29.057	139.251	2012-10-23 08:53:38.240	5.9	436	302
Russia	49.8	145.064	2012-08-14 02:59:38.460	7.7	583	317
Argentina	-22.059	-63.555	2012-06-02 07:52:53.990	5.9	527	130
Tonga	-18.012	-178.436	2012-02-10 01:47:33.110	6	577	240
Tonga	-21.593	-179.324	2011-09-15 19:31:03.160	7.3	629	237
Argentina	-28.413	-63.136	2011-09-02 13:47:10.700	6.7	592	135
Tonga	-17.606	-178.533	2011-04-03 14:07:08.980	6.4	547	240
Tonga	-26.043	178.476	2011-02-21 10:57:51.760	6.5	551	235

721 Table 1. Events used with the SSIP array

722

723 Table 2. Default parameters used during the inversions

Variable	Meaning	Value
(if used)		
k _{max}	Maximum number of nodes	50
\mathbf{k}_{\min}	Minimum number of nodes	5
σ_{ζ}	Standard deviation of the prior for ζ	30
δ_{ζ}	Step size for ζ	3
δ_r	Step size for the location of a node along both axes	10% of the range
$\delta_{\sigma},$	Step size for σ_{t*}	0.01 s
	Maximum σ_{t*} allowed	1 s
	Maximum number of iterations for an individual chain	5e6
	Burn-in interval	2.5e6
	Interval at which to save a model once the burn-in has been reached	1e4
	Number of independent chains	96
r_{λ}	Length over which roughness is considered (damped least squares only)	35 km
dg	Grid spacing (damped least squares only)	5 km

724

725

726

727 References

- Abers, G. A., Fischer, K. M., Hirth, G., Wiens, D. A., Plank, T., Holtzman, B. K., McCarthy, M.,
 and Gazel, E. (2014), Reconciling mantle attenuation-temperature relationships from
 seismology, petrology, and laboratory measurements. *Geochem., Geophys., Geosys., 15*,
 3521–3542. doi:10.1002/2014GC005444
- Agostinetti, N. P., and Malinverno, A. (2010),), Receiver function inversion by transdimensional Monte Carlo sampling. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 181, 858–872, doi:10.1111/j.1365246X.2010.04530.x
- Azimi, S. A., Kalinin, A. V., Kalinin, V. V., and Pivovarov, B. L. (1968), Impulse and transient characteristics of media with linear and quadratic absorption laws. *Izv. Phys. Solid Earth*, 2(2), 88–93.
- Barak, S., and Klemperer, S. L. (2016), Rapid variation in upper-mantle rheology across the San
 Andreas fault system and Salton Trough, southernmost California, USA. *Geology*, 44,
 575–578, doi:10.1130/G37847.1
- Barak, S., Klemperer, S. L., and Lawrence, J. F. (2015), San Andreas Fault dip, Peninsular
 Ranges mafic lower crust and partial melt in the Salton Trough, Southern California,
 from ambient-noise tomography. *Geochem., Geophys., Geosys., 16*(11), 3946–3972
 doi:10.1002/2015GC005970
- Barklage, M., Wiens, D. A., Conder, J. A., Pozgay, S., Shiobara, H., and Sugioka, H. (2015), P
 and S velocity tomography of the Mariana subduction system from a combined land-sea
 seismic deployment. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosys.*, *16*(3), 681–704,
 doi:10.1002/2014GC005627
- Bell, S., Ruan, Y., and Forsyth, D. W. (2016), Ridge asymmetry and deep aqueous alteration at
 the trench observed from Rayleigh wave tomography of the Juan de Fuca plate. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 121, 2016JB012990, doi:10.1002/2016JB012990
- Bezada, M. J., Byrnes, J., and Eilon, Z. (2019), On the robustness of attenuation measurements
 on teleseismic P waves: insights from micro-array analysis of the 2017 North Korean
 nuclear test. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 218, 573–585, doi:10.1093/gji/ggz169
- Bezada, Maximiliano J. (2017), Insights into the lithospheric architecture of Iberia and Morocco
 from teleseismic body-wave attenuation. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 478, 14–26,
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2017.08.029
- Bodin, T., and Sambridge, M. (2009), Seismic tomography with the reversible jump algorithm.
 Geophys. J. Int., 178(3), 1411–1436, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2009.04226.x
- Bodin, T., Sambridge, M., Rawlinson, N., and Arroucau, P. (2012a), Transdimensional
 tomography with unknown data noise. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 189, 1536–1556,
 doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2012.05414.x
- Bodin, T., Sambridge, M., Tkalčić, H., Arroucau, P., Gallagher, K., and Rawlinson, N. (2012b),
 Transdimensional inversion of receiver functions and surface wave dispersion. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, B02301, doi:10.1029/2011JB008560
- Braun, M. G., Hirth, G., and Parmentier, E. M. (2000), The effects of deep damp melting on
 mantle flow and melt generation beneath mid-ocean ridges. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 176,
 339–356. doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(00)00015-7
- Buck, W. R., and Su, W. (1989), Focused mantle upwelling below mid-ocean ridges due to
 feedback between viscosity and melting. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 16, 641–644,
 10.1029/GL016i007p00641

- Burdick, S., and Lekić, V. (2017), Velocity variations and uncertainty from transdimensional Pwave tomography of North America. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 209, 1337–1351,
 10.1093/gji/ggx091
- Byrnes, J. S., Bezada, M., Long, M. D., and Benoit, M. H. (2019), Thin lithosphere beneath the
 central Appalachian Mountains: Constraints from seismic attenuation beneath the
 MAGIC array. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, *519*, 297–307, doi:0.1016/j.epsl.2019.04.045
- Cafferky, S., and Schmandt, B. (2015), Teleseismic P wave spectra from USArray and
 implications for upper mantle attenuation and scattering. *Geochem., Geophys., Geosys., 16*, 3343–3361, doi:0.1002/2015GC005993
- Choblet, G., and Parmentier, E. M. (2001), Mantle upwelling and melting beneath slow
 spreading centers: effects of variable rheology and melt productivity. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 184, 589–604, doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(00)00330-7
- Conder, J. A., Forsyth, D. W., and Parmentier, E. M. (2002), Asthenospheric flow and
 asymmetry of the East Pacific Rise, MELT area. J. Geophys. Res., 107, 2344,
 doi:10.1029/2001JB000807
- Dalton, C. A., Bao, X., and Ma, Z. (2017), The thermal structure of cratonic lithosphere from
 global Rayleigh wave attenuation. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 457, 250–262,
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2016.10.014
- Darin, M. H., and Dorsey, R. J. (2013), Reconciling disparate estimates of total offset on the
 southern San Andreas fault. *Geology*, 41, 975–978, doi:10.1130/G34276.1
- Dettmer, J., Dosso, S. E., and Holland, C. W. (2010), Trans-dimensional geoacoustic inversion.
 J. Acoust. Soc. Am., *128*, 3393–3405, doi:10.1121/1.3500674
- Dickinson, W. R. (2009), Anatomy and global context of the North American Cordillera, in *Backbone of the Americas: Shallow Subduction, Plateau Uplift, and Ridge and Terrane Collision*, vol. 204, edited by S. M. Kay et al., pp. 1–29, Geological Society of America
 Memoir, Denver, USA, doi:10.1130/2009.1204.
- Dong, M. T., and Menke, W. H. (2017), Seismic High Attenuation Region Observed Beneath
 Southern New England From Teleseismic Body Wave Spectra: Evidence for High
 Asthenospheric Temperature Without Melt, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44, 2017GL074953.
 doi:0.1002/2017GL074953
- B02 Dorsey, R. J. (2010), Sedimentation and crustal recycling along an active oblique-rift margin:
 B03 Salton Trough and northern Gulf of California. *Geology*, *38*, 443–446.
 B04 doi:10.1130/G30698.1
- B05 Dziewonski, A. M., and Anderson, D. L. (1981), Preliminary reference Earth model. *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.*, 25, 297–356.
- Eilon, Z., and Abers, G. (2017), High seismic attenuation at a mid-ocean ridge reveals the
 distribution of deep melt, *Sci. Adv.*, *3*, e1602829, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1602829
- Eilon, Z., Fischer, K. M., and Dalton, C. A. (2018), An adaptive Bayesian inversion for uppermantle structure using surface waves and scattered body waves. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 214,
 232–253, doi:0.1093/gji/ggy137
- Elders, W. A., Rex, R. W., Robinson, P. T., Biehler, S., and Meidav, T. (1972), Crustal
 Spreading in Southern California: The Imperial Valley and the Gulf of California formed
 by the rifting apart of a continental plate. *Sci.*, *178*, 15–24.
- 815 doi:0.1126/science.178.4056.15

- Faul, U. H., and Jackson, I. (2005), The seismological signature of temperature and grain size
 variations in the upper mantle. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 234., 119–134,
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2005.02.008
- Faul, U. H., Fitz Gerald, J. D., and Jackson, I. (2004), Shear wave attenuation and dispersion in
 melt-bearing olivine polycrystals: 2. Microstructural interpretation and seismological
 implications. J. Geophys. Res., 109, B06202. doi:10.1029/2003JB002407
- Garapić, G., Faul, U. H., and Brisson, E. (2013), High-resolution imaging of the melt distribution
 in partially molten upper mantle rocks: evidence for wetted two-grain boundaries. *Geochem., Geophys., Geosys., 14*, 556–566, doi:10.1029/2012GC004547
- Green, P. J. (1995), Reversible jump Markov chain Monte Carlo computation and Bayesian
 model determination. *Biometrika*, 82, 711–732, doi:10.1093/biomet/82.4.711
- Hammond, W. C., and Humphreys, E. D. (2000), Upper mantle seismic wave attenuation:
 Effects of realistic partial melt distribution. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 10987–10999.
 doi:10.1029/2000JB900042
- Han, L., Hole, J. A., Stock, J. M., Fuis, G. S., Kell, A., Driscoll, N. W., Kent, G. M., Harding, A.
 J., Rymer, M. J., Gonzáles-Fernández, A., Lázaro-Mancilla, O. (2016), Continental
 rupture and the creation of new crust in the Salton Trough rift, Southern California and
 northern Mexico: Results from the Salton Seismic Imaging Project: Continental Rupture
 in the Salton Trough. J. Geophys. Res., 121, 7469–7489, doi:10.1002/2016JB013139
- Haney, M. M., Power, J., West, M., and Michaels, P. (2012), Causal Instrument Corrections for
 Short-Period and Broadband Seismometers. *Seis. Res. Lett.*, *83*, 834–845.
 doi:10.1785/0220120031
- Hansen, P. C. (1992), Analysis of Discrete Ill-Posed Problems by Means of the L-Curve. SIAM
 Review, 34, 561–580, doi:10.1137/1034115
- Harmon, N., Cruz, M. S. D. L., Rychert, C. A., Abers, G., and Fischer, K. (2013), Crustal and
 mantle shear velocity structure of Costa Rica and Nicaragua from ambient noise and
 teleseismic Rayleigh wave tomography. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 195, 1300–1313.
 doi:10.1093/gij/ggt309
- Hauksson, E., and Shearer, P. M. (2006), Attenuation models (Qp and Qs) in three dimensions of
 the southern California crust: Inferred fluid saturation at seismogenic depths. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, doi:10.1029/2005JB003947
- Heath, B. A., Hooft, E. E. E., Toomey, D. R., and Bezada, M. J. (2015), Imaging the magmatic
 system of Newberry Volcano using joint active source and teleseismic tomography. *Geochem., Geophys., Geosys., 16*, 4433–4448, doi:10.1002/2015GC006129
- Hirth, G., and D. Kohlstedt (2003), Rheology of the upper mantle and the mantle wedge: A view
 from the experimentalists, in Inside the Subduction Factory, *Geophys. Monogr. Ser.*, vol.
 138, edited by J. Eiler, pp. 83 105, AGU, Washington, D. C.
- Holtzman, B. K., Groebner, N. J., Zimmerman, M. E., Ginsberg, S. B., and Kohlstedt, D. L.
 (2003), Stress-driven melt segregation in partially molten rocks. *Geochem., Geophys., Geosys., 4*, 8607, doi:10.1029/2001GC000258
- Holtzman, B. K., and Kendall, J.-M. (2010), Organized melt, seismic anisotropy, and plate
 boundary lubrication. *Geochem., Geophy., Geosys., 11*, Q0AB06.
 doi:10.1029/2010GC003296
- Holtzman, B. K., King, D. S. H., and Kohlstedt, D. L. (2012), Effects of stress-driven melt
 segregation on the viscosity of rocks. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, *359*, 184–193. doi:
 10.1016/j.epsl.2012.09.030

- Humphreys, E., Clayton, R. W., and Hager, B. H. (1984), A tomographic image of mantle
 structure beneath Southern California. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 11, 625–627,
 doi:10.1029/GL011i007p00625
- Hwang, Y. K., and Ritsema, J. (2011), Radial Qµ structure of the lower mantle from teleseismic
 body-wave spectra. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, *303*, 369–375,
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2011.01.023
- Hwang, Y. K., Ritsema, J., and Goes, S. (2009), Spatial variations of P wave attenuation in the
 mantle beneath North America. J. Geophys. Res., 114, B06312,
 doi:10.1029/2008JB006091
- Jackson, I., and Faul, U. H. (2010), Grainsize-sensitive viscoelastic relaxation in olivine:
 Towards a robust laboratory-based model for seismological application, *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.*, 183, 151–163, doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2010.09.005
- Karato, S., and Spetzler, H. A. (1990), Defect microdynamics in minerals and solid-state
 mechanisms of seismic wave attenuation and velocity dispersion in the mantle. *Rev. Geophys.*, 28, 399–421, doi:10.1029/RG028i004p00399
- Katz, R. F. (2010), Porosity-driven convection and asymmetry beneath mid-ocean ridges.
 Geochem., Geophys., Geosys., 11, Q0AC07, doi:10.1029/2010GC003282
- 888 Kennett, B. L. N., and Engdahl, E. R. (1991) Travel times for global earthquake location and
- 889 phase association, Geophys. J. Int., 105, 429-465, doi:10.17611/DP/9991809
- Kohlstedt, D. L., and Holtzman, B. K. (2009), Shearing Melt Out of the Earth: An
 Experimentalist's Perspective on the Influence of Deformation on Melt Extraction.
- 892 Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 37, 561–593, doi:10.1146/annurev.earth.031208.100104
- Kolb, J. M., and Lekić, V. (2014), Receiver function deconvolution using transdimensional
 hierarchical Bayesian inference. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 197, 1719–1735,
 doi:10.1093/gij/ggu079
- Lachenbruch, A. H., Sass, J. H., and Galanis, S. P. (1985), Heat flow in southernmost California
 and the origin of the Salton Trough. J. Geophys. Res., 90, 6709,
 doi:10.1029/JB090iB08p06709
- Lekić, V., Matas, J., Panning, M., and Romanowicz, B. (2009), Measurement and implications of
 frequency dependence of attenuation. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 282, 285–293,
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2009.03.030
- Lekić, V., French, S. W., and Fischer, K. M. (2011), Lithospheric Thinning Beneath Rifted
 Regions of Southern California. *Sci.*, *334*, 780–783, doi:10.1126/science.1211906
- Ligi, M., Cuffaro, M., Chierici, F., and Calafato, A. (2008), Three-dimensional passive mantle
 flow beneath mid-ocean ridges: An analytical approach. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 175, 783–805.
 doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2008.03931.x
- Lizarralde, D., Axen, G. J., Brown, H. E., Fletcher, J. M., González-Fernández, A., Harding, A.
 J., Holbrook, W. S., Kent, G. M., Paramo, P., Sutherland, F., and Umhoefer, P. J. (2007),
 Variation in styles of rifting in the Gulf of California. *Nature*, 448, 466–469,
 doi:10.1038/nature06035
- Ma, Z., Dalton, C. A., Russell, J. B., Gaherty, J. B., Hirth, G., and Forsyth, D. W. (2020), Shear
 attenuation and anelastic mechanisms in the central Pacific upper mantle. *Earth Planet*. *Sci. Lett.*, 536, 116148, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116148
- MacKay, D. (2003), Information Theory, Inference, and Learning Algorithms, Cambridge Univ.
 Press, New York.

- Malinverno, A. (2002), Parsimonious Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo inversion in a
 nonlinear geophysical problem. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 151, 675–688. doi:10.1046/j.1365 246X.2002.01847.x
- Malinverno, A., and Briggs, V. A. (2004), Expanded uncertainty quantification in inverse
 problems: Hierarchical Bayes and empirical Bayes. *Geophys.*, 69, 1005–1016.
 doi:0.1190/1.1778243
- McCarthy, C., and Takei, Y. (2011), Anelasticity and viscosity of partially molten rock
 analogue: Toward seismic detection of small quantities of melt. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38,
 L18306, doi:10.1029/2011GL048776
- Monteiller, V., and Chevrot, S. (2011), High-resolution imaging of the deep anisotropic structure
 of the San Andreas Fault system beneath southern California. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 186, 418–
 446. doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.05082.x
- Olugboji, T. M., Karato, S., and Park, J. (2013), Structures of the oceanic lithosphereasthenosphere boundary: Mineral-physics modeling and seismological signatures:
 Structure of the oceanic LAB *Geochem., Geophys., Geosys., 14*(4), 880–901,
 doi:10.1002/ggge.20086
- Olugboji, T. M., Lekic, V., and McDonough, W. (2017), A statistical assessment of seismic
 models of the U.S. continental crust using Bayesian inversion of ambient noise surface
 wave dispersion data. *Tectonics*, *36*, 1232–1253, doi:10.1002/2017TC004468
- Paige, C. C., and Saunders, M. A. (1982), LSQR: An Algorithm for Sparse Linear Equations and
 Sparse Least Squares. *ACM Trans. Math. Soft.*, *8*, 43–71, doi:10.1145/355984.355989
- Persaud, P., Ma, Y., Stock, J. M., Hole, J. A., Fuis, G. S., and Han, L. (2016), Fault zone
 characteristics and basin complexity in the southern Salton Trough, California. *Geology*,
 44, 747–750, doi:10.1130/G38033.1
- Plesch, A., Shaw, J. H., Benson, C., Bryant, W. A., Carena, S., Cooke, M., et al. (2007),
 Community Fault Model (CFM) for Southern California. *Bull. Seis. Soc. Am.*, 97, 1793–
 1802, doi:10.1785/0120050211
- Powell, R. E., and Weldon, R. J. (1992), Evolution of the San Andreas Fault. Ann. Rev. Earth
 Planet. Sci., 20, 431–468, doi:10.1146/annurev.ea.20.050192.002243
- Pozgay, S. H., Wiens, D. A., Conder, J. A., Shiobara, H., and Sugioka, H. (2009), Seismic
 attenuation tomography of the Mariana subduction system: Implications for thermal
 structure, volatile distribution, and slow spreading dynamics. *Geochem., Geophys., Geosys., 10*, Q04X05, doi:10.1029/2008GC002313
- Rychert, C. A., Fischer, K. M., Abers, G. A., Plank, T., Syracuse, E., Protti, J. M., et al. (2008),
 Strong along-arc variations in attenuation in the mantle wedge beneath Costa Rica and
 Nicaragua. *Geochem., Geophys., Geosys., 9*, Q10S10, doi:10.1029/2008GC002040
- Sambridge, M., Gallagher, K., Jackson, A., and Rickwood, P. (2006), Trans-dimensional inverse
 problems, model comparison and the evidence. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 167, 528–542,
 doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2006.03155.x
- Schmandt, B., and Humphreys, E. (2010), Seismic heterogeneity and small-scale convection in
 the southern California upper mantle. *Geochem., Geophys., Geosys., 11*, Q05004,
 doi:10.1029/2010GC003042
- Schmandt, B., and Lin, F.-C. (2014), P and S wave tomography of the mantle beneath the United
 States. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41, 2014GL061231, doi:10.1002/2014GL061231
- Schmitt, A. K., and Vazquez, J. A. (2006), Alteration and remelting of nascent oceanic crust
 during continental rupture: Evidence from zircon geochemistry of rhyolites and xenoliths

- 962
 from the Salton Trough, California. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 252(3), 260–274,

 963
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2006.09.041
- Schmitt, Axel K., Martín, A., Stockli, D. F., Farley, K. A., and Lovera, O. M. (2013), (U-Th)/He
 zircon and archaeological ages for a late prehistoric eruption in the Salton Trough
 (California, USA), *Geology*, 41, 7–10, doi:10.1130/G33634.1
- Scott, D. R., and Stevenson, D. J. (1989), A self-consistent model of melting, magma migration
 and buoyancy-driven circulation beneath mid-ocean ridges. J. Geophys. Res. 94(B3),
 2973–2988, doi:10.1029/JB094iB03p02973
- Shen, Y., and Forsyth, D. W. (1992), The effects of temperature- and pressure-dependent
 viscosity on three-dimensional passive flow of the mantle beneath a ridge-transform
 System. J. Geophys. Res. 97, 19717–19728, doi:10.1029/92JB01467
- Shito, A., Karato, S., and Park, J. (2004), Frequency dependence of Q in Earth's upper mantle
 inferred from continuous spectra of body waves. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31(12),
 doi:10.1029/2004GL019582
- Stock, J. M., and Hodges, K. V. (1989), Pre-Pliocene Extension around the Gulf of California
 and the transfer of Baja California to the Pacific Plate. *Tectonics*, 8(1), 99–115,
 doi:10.1029/TC008i001p00099
- Su, W., and Buck, W. R. (1993), Buoyancy effects on mantle flow under Mid-Ocean Ridges. J.
 Geophys. Res. 98(B7), 12191–12205, doi:10.1029/93JB00994
- Takei, Y. (2017), Effects of Partial Melting on Seismic Velocity and Attenuation: A New Insight
 from Experiments. *Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, 45, 447–470, doi:10.1146/annurev-earth 063016-015820
- Toomey, D. R., Wilcock, W. S. D., Conder, J. A., Forsyth, D. W., Blundy, J. D., Parmentier, E.
 M., and Hammond, W. C. (2002), Asymmetric mantle dynamics in the MELT region of
 the East Pacific Rise. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 200, 287–295, doi:10.1016/S0012821X(02)00655-6
- Wang, Y., Forsyth, D. W., and Savage, B. (2009), Convective upwelling in the mantle beneath
 the Gulf of California. *Nature*, 462, 499–501, doi:10.1038/nature08552
- Wei, S. S., and Wiens, D. A. (2018), P-wave attenuation structure of the Lau back-arc basin and
 implications for mantle wedge processes. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 502, 187–199,
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.09.005
- Wei, S. S., and Wiens, D. A. (2020), High Bulk and Shear Attenuation Due to Partial Melt in the
 Tonga-Lau Back-arc Mantle. J. Geophys. Res. 125, e2019JB017527,
 doi:10.1029/2019JB017527
- Wright, H. M., Vazquez, J. A., Champion, D. E., Calvert, A. T., Mangan, M. T., Stelten, M.,
 Cooper, K. M., Herzig, C., Schriener Jr., A. (2015), Episodic Holocene eruption of the
 Salton Buttes rhyolites, California, from paleomagnetic, U-Th, and Ar/Ar dating. *Geochem., Geophys., Geosys., 16*(4), 1198–1210, doi:10.1002/2015GC005714
- Yamauchi, H., and Takei, Y. (2016), Polycrystal anelasticity at near-solidus temperatures. J.
 Geophys. Res. 121, 2016JB013316, doi:10.1002/2016JB013316
- Yang, Y., and Forsyth, D. W. (2008), Attenuation in the upper mantle beneath Southern
 California: Physical state of the lithosphere and asthenosphere. J. Geophys. Res. 113,
 B03308, doi:10.1029/2007JB005118
- Yang, Y., Forsyth, D. W., and Weeraratne, D. S. (2007), Seismic attenuation near the East
 Pacific Rise and the origin of the low-velocity zone. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 258, 260–
 268, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2007.03.040

1008	Zhu, L., and Kanamori, H. (2000), Moho depth variation in southern California from teleseismic
1009	receiver functions. J. Geophys. Res. 105, 2969–2980, doi:10.1029/1999JB900322
1010	
1011	



Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth

Supporting Information for

Dynamic upwelling beneath the Salton Trough imaged with teleseismic attenuation tomography

Joseph S. Byrnes^{1*} and Maximiliano Bezada¹

¹Department of Earth Sciences, University of Minnesota, 116 Church Street SE

Minneapolis, MN 55455 USA

To whom correspondence should be addressed; Email jsbyrnes@umn.edu

Contents of this file

Text S1 to S2 Figures S1 to S3

Introduction

This supplement provides two alternative inversions of the data and an alternative plot of the preferred result. As the preferred model is discussed in detail in the main text, no Earth structure will be inferred here. Methodological details are also provided in the main text.

Text S1. Inversion of the data with damped least squares.

In the main text, we invert synthetic datasets with both the damped-least squares and Bayesian approaches. The Bayesian approach better recovered the shape and amplitude of anomalies. While we do not interpret the inversion of the data from damped least squares, we show the results here for completeness. The smoothing and damping parameters are chosen based on the curvature of the natural log of the misfit against regularization parameters (Figure S1a). When inverting without enforcing a discontinuity, the primary anomaly is a vertical ellipse centered at the surface with an amplitude that decreases with increasing depth. In contrast, when a discontinuity is enforced, attenuation occurs both above and below the discontinuity, with a marginally larger anomaly in the asthenosphere. Overall amplitudes are small relative to the results from the preferred approach (Figure 9a of the main text).



Figure S1. Inversion of the data with damped least squares. cf. Fig. 6 of the main text. A) Misfit is shown by grays and the curvature of the misfit surface is shown by black contours. B) Colors contour amplitude of $\Delta \zeta$ anomalies. C) Same as B), except for the dashed line which indicates the discontinuity enforced on this inversion.

Text S2. Inversions of the data with Bayesian approach.

In the main text, we show an inversion of the data with the Bayesian approach with a discontinuity enforced. In Figure S2a, we show the same inversion as in Figure 9a, but with the limits of the plot extend to regions of model space with poor ray-path coverage. This is the same inversion as in Figure 9a; only the dimensions of the figure are different. The largest anomaly outside of the space shown in Figure 9a is a negative anomaly that peaks at $\Delta \zeta = -10$ at a depth of ~225 km. This anomaly is not required (Figure S3a, orange line) as the PDF is bimodal, with a secondary peak allowing a $\Delta \zeta \sim 0$ at the same location. No other prominent structures occur outside of the region plotted in Figure 9a.

In Figure S2b, we show an inversion without the discontinuity enforced. In this case, the attenuation extends across where the discontinuity would have been placed (cf. Figure S1b). As discussed in the main text, this is physically implausible as a layer with high *Vs* occurs above the discontinuity that will impose some change in the *Qp* structure.

Moreover, while there is no prominent negative anomaly at ~225 km depth similar to that in Figure S2a (Figure S3a), there is a negative anomaly at ~120 km depth on the eastern (positive direction on the x-axis) side of the study area. This anomaly is again not required and the PDFs at this point is bimodal in inversions both including and excluding the discontinuity (Figure 3b). We also note that the PDFs are markedly tighter when the discontinuity is included. The preferred inversion is therefore more physically plausible, returns more certain results, and does not feature an implausible negative anomaly adjacent to the attenuation maximum discussed in the main text.



Figure S2. Inversion of the data with the Bayesian approach. cf. Fig. 9 of the main text. A) Colors contour the median of the ensemble from the Bayesian inversion; see main text for the contouring interval. Black dashed line marks the discontinuity. B) Colors contour the median of the ensemble from the Bayesian inversion with no discontinuity enforced.



Figure S3. Probability density functions for $\Delta \zeta$ at different points in the inversions. Orange and blue refer to the models in Figure S2a and S2b, respectively. Text in the top left gives the locations at which the PDFs are taken along the x and y axes, respectively.