Bulk, Spectral and Deep-Water Approximations for Stokes Drift: Implications for Coupled Ocean Circulation and Surface Wave Models

Guoqiang Liu¹

¹Bedford Institute of Oceanography

November 22, 2022

Abstract

Surface waves modify upper ocean dynamics through Stokes drift related processes. Representation of these processes at either resolved or parameterized scales in an ocean model depends on accurate estimation of Stokes drift profiles. Stokes drift estimated from a discrete wave spectrum is compared to Stokes drift approximations as a monochromatic profile based on bulk surface wave parameters, and to two additional super-exponential functional forms. The impact of these different methods on resolved-scale ocean processes is examined in the context of two test-bed cases of a wave-current coupled system: (1) a shallow water inlet test case and (2) an idealized deep water hurricane case. In case (1), tidal currents can modify the waves and significantly affect Stokes drift is approximated monochromatically from bulk wave parameters, rather than from integration over the wave spectra. Deep water simulations using the two super-exponential approximations are in better agreement with those estimated from wave spectra than are those using the monochromatic, exponential profile based on bulk wave parameters. In order to represent the impact of Stokes drift at resolved scales, we recommend that for studies of nearshore processes and brief deep water events, like wave-current interactions under storms, the Stokes drift should be calculated from full wave spectra. For long simulations of open ocean dynamics, methods using super-exponential profiles to represent equilibrium wind seas might be sufficient, but appear to be marginally more computationally efficient.

- Bulk, Spectral and Deep-Water Approximations for
- ² Stokes Drift: Implications for Coupled Ocean
- ³ Circulation and Surface Wave Models Guoqiang Liu,^{1,2,3,4} Nirnimesh Kumar,⁵ Ramsey Harcourt, and William

Perrie^{3,4}

Corresponding author: Guoqiang Liu, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Dartmouth, NS, Canada. (Guoqiang.Liu@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)

¹School of Marine Sciences, Nanjing

Abstract.

X - 2

Surface waves modify upper ocean dynamics through Stokes drift related
 processes. Representation of these processes at either resolved or parame terized scales in an ocean model depends on accurate estimation of Stokes
 drift pro les. Stokes drift estimated from a discrete wave spectrum is com-

University of Information Science and

Technology, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China

²Southern Marine Science and

Engineering Guangdong Laboratory,

Zhuhai, Guangdong, China

³Department of Engineering Mathematics

and Internetworking Dalhousie University,

Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

⁴Bedford Institute of

Oceanography, Fisheries and Oceans

Canada, Dartmouth, NS, Canada

⁵Department of Civil Environmental and

Engineering, University of Washington,

Seattle, WA, USA

⁶Applied Physics Laboratory, University

of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

pared to Stokes drift approximations as a monochromatic pro le based on 9 bulk surface wave parameters, and to two additional super-exponential func-10 tional forms. The impact of these di erent methods on resolved-scale ocean 11 processes is examined in the context of two test-bed cases of a wave-current 12 coupled system: (1) a shallow water inlet test case and (2) an idealized deep 13 water hurricane case. In case (1), tidal currents can modify the waves and 14 signi cantly a ect Stokes drift computed from the wave spectrum. In both 15 cases, large deviations in ocean current response are produced when the Stokes 16 drift is approximated monochromatically from bulk wave parameters, rather 17 than from integration over the wave spectra. Deep water simulations using 18 the two super-exponential approximations are in better agreement with those 19 estimated from wave spectra than are those using the monochromatic, ex-20 ponential pro le based on bulk wave parameters. In order to represent the 21 impact of Stokes drift at resolved scales, we recommend that for studies of 22 nearshore processes and brief deep water events, like wave-current interac-23 tions under storms, the Stokes drift should be calculated from full wave spec-24 tra. For long simulations of open ocean dynamics, methods using super-exponential 25 pro les to represent equilibrium wind seas might be su cient, but appear 26 to be marginally more computationally e cient. 27

Plain Language Summary

The surface wave could induce a net drift in the direction of the wave prop agation, known as the Stokes drift. It impacts the Lagrangian trajectories
 of oating matter over the ocean surface and plays an essential role in the

X - 4 LIU ET AL.: SPECTRAL STOKES-DRIFT PROFILE upper ocean mixing and the interaction between the surface wave and up-31 per ocean processes. All these processes need an accurate estimation of Stokes 32 drift pro les. The focus of this study is the implementation and validation 33 of alternative and better methods to estimate the Stokes drift pro les in a 34 coupled model system. We introduce a method that accounts for the com-35 plete frequency-directional spectrum, and two other approximate methods 36 for applications in deep waters. The implementation of these methods in the 37 coupled model creates new opportunities to explore the roles of processes driven 38 by Stokes drift in parameterized mixing processes. 39

1. Introduction

The periodic, orbital motions of progressive surface gravity waves induce a net drift 40 in the direction of wave propagation, known as the Stokes driftist. Formally, this drift 41 motion is the di erence between the phase-averaged Eulerian velocity and the mean La-42 grangian motion of a particle in the wave eld Stokes 1847]. In practice, the Stokes 43 drift is approximated to lowest nontrivial order in the Taylor expansion of a Lagrangian 44 trajectory, averaged over the phase of the wave. For a monochromatic progressive wave 45 of amplitude A, radial frequency = 2 f and wavenumberk in water of depth h, this 46 approximation gives a pro le over depthz (positive-up) of 47

$$u^{St}(z) = A^2 k \frac{\cosh(2k(z+h))}{2\sinh^2 kh};$$
 (1)

in the direction of propagation, and that simpli es to $u^{St}(z) = A^2 k \exp 2kz$ in the limit 1. Stokes drift uSt plays an important role for multiple processes of deep waterkh 49 in the marine environment: It accounts for around two-thirds of the wind-induced drift 50 near the surface layerRascle et al.2008; it is strongly sheared in the vertical direction 51 [Webb and Fox-Kemper2011; Breivik et al, 2014]; and it essentially determines the 52 trajectories of drifting objects, buoyant pollutants and other substances over the ocean 53 surface McWilliams and Sullivan2000; Breivik et al, 2012; Rohrs et al, 2012, 2015]. 54 Mass- ux induced by Stokes drift is conserved and leads to o shore-directed undertow 55 in the surf zone and the inner-shelfFaria et al, 2000; Lentz et al. 2008; Kumar and 56 Feddersen 2017, combined with momentum deposited by wave breaking. (a, Deike 57 et al, 2017]. Furthermore, Stokes drift modi es submesoscale fronts and laments in the 58 upper ocean McWilliams and Fox-Kemper2013;Suzuki et al. 2016;McWilliams 2018]. 59

The interaction between Stokes drift and the mean Eulerian current shear results in 60 the Craik-Leibovich vortex force Craik and Leibovich1976] that drives instabilities and 61 generates Langmuir turbulence, which is a principal turbulent upper ocean process that 62 controls mixing and turbulent transport in the ocean surface boundary layerThorpe, 63 2004; Harcourt and D'Asaro2008; Sullivan and McWilliams 2010; D'Asaro et al, 2014]. 64 Coriolis force acting on surface wave velocities leads to an additional force referred to 65 as the Stokes-Coriolis force or the Hasselmann wave strebs sell and Deacon 1950: 66 Hasselmann1970;Polton et al.2005], which modi es the mean current pro le and alters 67 the distribution of momentum in the upper ocean i(e., the Ekman pro le) over both the 68 open ocean and the coastal inner shell/IcWilliams and Restrepd 999; Polton et al. 69 2005;Lentz et al. 2008]. 70

Directional wave buoys at speci c locations in the continental shelf and coastal areas 71 measure the directional buoy moments_pnguet-Higgins et all 963], which can be used 72 to estimate the complete frequency-directional wave spectrum(f;) and thus the Stokes 73 drift [Kenyon, 1969]. However, the spatial distribution of buoys is typically insu cient 74 to estimate the Stokes drift pro les over domains of oceanographic interest, ranging from 75 coastal regions up to the global ocearWebb and Fox-Kemper2015;Kumar et al, 2017; 76 van den Bremer and Breivik2018; Crosby et al. 2019]. Scatterometer observations can 77 be used to estimate the surface Stokes drife.[g., Liu et al, 2014], however, this method 78 does not provide the vertical distribution. Presently, the full water column Stokes drift is 79 often estimated from the spectrum of a numerical wave model, an approach widely used 80 in estimating the waves' Lagrangian transport Ardhuin et al, 2009; Kumar et al, 2017]. 81 Multiple coupled ocean and wave modeling systems exist, which quantify the surface wave 82

e ects on the upper ocean via Stokes driftArdhuin et al, 2008; Uchiyama et al. 2010a; 83 Warner et al. 2010; Bennis et al. 2011; Kumar et al, 2012; Moghimi et al. 2013]. One 84 of the widely used open-source codes is the Coupled Ocean-Atmosphere-Wave-Sediment 85 Transport (COAWST) modeling system [Warner et al. 2008, 2010], which tightly cou-86 ples currents simulated in the Regional Ocean Model System [ROMShchepetkin and 87 McWilliams 2005] to surface wave spectra in the Simulating Waves Nearshore [SWAN, 88 Booij et al, 1999] model. The interaction between surface waves and ocean circulation in-89 corporates the Vortex-Force method McWilliams et al. 2004; Uchiyama et al. 2010a; Ku-90 mar et al. 2012]. This coupled modeling system has been extensively applied to nearshore 91 and inner shelf studies, where the surface wave e ects are important d., Kumar et al. 92 2012, 2015 Olabarrieta et al. 2011; Akan et al. 2017; Moghimi et al. 2019]. Moreover, 93 COAWST has also been used for short-term hurricane studies where intense surface wave 94 activity leads to momentum and enthalpy ux exchanges at the air-sea interface [abar-95 rieta et al 2012;Zambon et al 2014;Reichl et al 2016a, b;Curcic et al 2016]. These 96 large surface waves also signi cantly modify the Lagrangian trajectories and upper ocean 97 mixing via Stokes drift and Langmuir turbulence. 98

The representation of Langmuir turbulence in a turbulent mixing parameterization model, [e.g., Harcour, 2013, 2015; Wu et al, 2015; Reichl et al, 2016a; Li and Fox-Kemper, 2017], the application of the Stokes-Coriolis force, or the inclusion of the vortex force in the momentum equations at resolved scales u[mar et al, 2012], all require an accurate representation of the Stokes drift velocity or its vertical shear (and Bremer and Breivik, 2018]. However, computation of the Stokes drift pro le from (f;) is potentially numerically expensive, requiring a discrete integration over the wave spectrum at

every depth level Kenyon, 1969], and, in the absence of direct coupling to a wave model, 106 at least cumbersome to store and apply as a forcing eld. To reduce computational and 107 data-transfer or storage expenses, the Stokes drift pro le has often been estimated by a 108 simpli ed expression, e.g., as a monochromatic wave based on local bulk wave parame-109 ters (i.e., signi cant wave height and mean wavelength and direction) in a wave-current 110 coupled model, as in the existing ROMS-SWAN coupling within COAWSTM umar et al, 111 2012], as well as in other variants of ROMSUchiyama et al. 2010a; Marchesiello et al. 112 2015]. 113

Nevertheless, representation of Stokes drift by a single monochromatic wave formulation 114 is problematic and may introduce serious errors as: (a) The Stokes drift associated with 115 short waves decays rapidly with depth, and so entails locally stronger Stokes shear and 116 near-surface wave-current coupling than that associated with a monochromatic wave at 117 an intermediate wavenumber corresponding to the mean wavelength; (b) The Stokes drift 118 pro le for a complete frequency-directional spectrum also becomes stronger at depth than 119 for a monochromatic wave approximation, as the low-wavenumber components of the 120 spectrum (e.g., swell) penetrate much deepel Kenyon, 1969; Harcourt and D'Asaro 2008; 121 Breivik et al, 2016; Webb and Fox-Kemper2015]; and nally (c) in presence of multi-122 directional waves, a monochromatic Stokes drift estimate leads to inaccuracies in both 123 magnitude and direction, thus impacting the associated Lagrangian transport umar 124 et al. 2017]. In order to more accurately simulate the physical processes associated with 125 Stokes drift, it is best to be calculated from the frequency-directional wave spectrum 126 E(f;) before entering the equations for wave-averaged momentum and tracer equations 127

in an Eulerian ocean model like ROMS, and ultimately before using it as forcing in any
 Langmuir turbulence mixing parameterization, as well.

Given the de ciencies associated with estimating Stokes drift using bulk wave param-130 eters, multiple previous studies have identi ed alternate approaches for calculatingSt 131 by accounting for some aspect of the frequency-directional wave spectrum. Two methods 132 have been proposed to approximate the super-exponential Stokes drift pro les generated 133 by full integration over the spectrumE(f;) in deep water. Breivik et al. [2014] suggested 134 estimation of Stokes drift by using a modi ed exponential pro le of a monochromatic wave, 135 and in a subsequent study Breivik et al. 2016 identi ed closed form solutions for Stokes 136 drift by integrating the Philips spectrum assuming that it provides a reasonable estimate 137 of the intermediate to high-frequency part of the real frequency-directional spectrum. 138

The present work focuses on evaluating the improvements e ected in coupled modeling 139 by replacing the monochromatic estimation of Stokes drift with discrete integration over 140 the wave-model SWAN spectra (f;) in the context of either deep or shallow water, 141 and the relative bene ts a orded by the two super-exponential approximations, where 142 valid in a deep water case. Estimated Stokes drift pro les from all four approaches are 143 implemented and compared in the context of a longstanding deep water ROMS test case 144 for an idealized hurricane, which leads to complex wave conditions. For the shallow 145 water context where valid super-exponential approximations have not yet been proposed, 146 monochromatic estimates are compared with spectrally integrated Stokes drift forcing for 147 a coastal inlet test case, with tidal forcing and o shore swell. Here, the relative importance 148 of two-way coupling (i.e., two-way exchange between the ocean circulation and the wave 149

¹⁵⁰ propagation model) in the evolution of E(f;) and subsequently the Stokes drift is also ¹⁵¹ explored.

The outline for this paper is as follows: In section 2, the multiple methodologies for estimating Stokes drift pro les are presented. Section 3 describes the setup of the two test cases for shallow and deep water. Section 4 focuses on the Stokes drift pro le and wave spectra simulated in the shallow water inlet test case, while section 5 demonstrates the variability of Stokes drift and vortex force in a hurricane, due to di erences in Stokes drift formulations. Findings from this work are summarized in section 6.

2. Methods

2.1. Wave E ects on Currents (WEC) through Stokes Drift

The open-source COAWST modeling system Warner et al. 2010] v3.0 used in the 158 present study couples the surface wave model SWAN to the ocean circulation model 159 ROMS. SWAN simulates wave generation, dissipation, wave-wave interactions, shoal-160 ing, refraction, and depth-limited breaking processes [boil et al, 2004]. ROMS is a 161 three dimensional ocean circulation model solving the wave-averaged Navier-Stokes equa-162 tions with hydrostatic and Boussinesq approximations. The wave-current interactions in 163 COAWST used here are based on the vortex force formalism/EWilliams et al. 2004], 164 separating conservative and non-conservative wave e ects [wave breaking induced energy 165 and momentum forcing, Uchiyama et al. 2010a; Kumar et al, 2012]. The Stokes drift 166 is used to estimate the surface Lagrangian trajectories, Stokes-Coriolis force, the vortex 167 force and the associated Bernoulli head. 168

¹⁶⁹ The ROMS model uses an orthogonal curvilinear grid in the horizontal and a stretched ¹⁷⁰ terrain following vertical s-coordinate system. Here, simpli ed momentum balance com¹⁷¹ ponents in Cartesian coordinatesx(, y) are presented to identify the terms dependent on ¹⁷² the Stokes drift and wave-current interaction. The x-component of momentum is,

$$\frac{\overset{@}{@}}{(H_{z}^{c}u)} + \frac{\overset{@}{@}}{|\overset{@}{@}x} H_{z}^{c}u^{2} + \frac{\overset{@}{@}}{(H_{z}^{c}uv)} + u \frac{\overset{@}{@}}{|\overset{@}{@}x} H_{z}^{v}u^{St} + u \frac{\overset{@}{@}}{|\overset{@}{@}y} H_{z}^{v}v^{St}}{|_{1A_{1}^{h}}} + \frac{\overset{@}{@}}{|\overset{@}{@}s} H_{z}^{v}u^{St} + H_{z}^{v}u^{St} + H_{z}^{v}u^{St} + H_{z}^{v}u^{St} + H_{z}^{v}u^{St}}{|_{2B_{1}^{h}}} + \frac{\overset{@}{@}}{|_{2B_{1}^{h}}} + \frac{\overset{@}{@}}{|_{2B_{1}^{h}}} + \frac{H_{z}^{c}}{|_{2B_{1}^{h}}} + \frac{H_{z}^{c}}{|_{2B_$$

y-component momentum,

$$\frac{\overset{@}{@}}{t}(H_{z}^{c}v) + \frac{\overset{@}{@}}{w}(H_{z}^{c}uv) + \frac{\overset{@}{@}}{w}(H_{z}^{c}v^{2}) + v \overset{@}{@}{w}H_{z}^{c}u^{St} + v \overset{@}{@}{w}H_{z}^{c}v^{St}}{z^{\frac{1}{A^{h}}}}$$

$$+ \frac{\overset{@}{@}}{\frac{w}{s}v} + v \overset{@}{w}\overset{@}{s}^{t} = H_{z}^{c} \overset{@}{w}_{z} H_{z}^{c}fu + H_{z}^{c}fu + H_{z}^{c}fu^{St} + H_{z}^{c}u^{St} + H_{z}^{c}u^{St} + V_{z}^{w} + H_{z}^{c}u^{St} + H_$$

and the continuity equation,

$$\frac{@H_{z}^{c}}{@t} + \frac{@}{@x} H_{z}^{c} u + u^{St} + \frac{@}{@y} H_{z}^{c} (v + v^{St}) + \frac{@}{@s} w + w^{St} = 0$$
(4)

¹⁷⁵ where u; v; w are the quasi-Eulerian mean velocities, de ned as the Lagrangian mean ¹⁷⁶ velocity minus the Stokes driftuSt; vSt; wSt. Here, f is the Coriolis parameter,' is the dy-¹⁷⁷ namic pressure (normalized by the density₀), F^x and F^y are non-wave, non-conservative ¹⁷⁸ forces, D^x and D^y represent the horizontal di usive terms, F^{wx} and F^{wy} are the sum of ¹⁷⁹ the momentum ux due to all non-conservative wave induced forces.(g., wave breaking ¹⁸⁰ and roller-induced acceleration), and H^c_z is the grid cell thickness. The overbar and prime ¹⁸¹ indicate the time average and turbulent uctuating quantity, respectively, and is the

June 7, 2020, 2:52am

¹⁸² molecular viscosity. To simplify the presentation of more complex ROMS equations, the ¹⁸³ Lame metric coe cients are assumed to be unity, and additional terms corresponding to ¹⁸⁴ the curvilinear grid are not included. The turbulent parts $[\mu q w^{0}, v q w^{0}]$ are parameterized ¹⁸⁵ by using a turbulence-closure model. In this study, we use the generic length-scale ¹⁸⁶ (GLS) method [Umlauf and Burchar,d2003; Warner et al. 2005], a turbulence closure ¹⁸⁷ that does not yet incorporate forcing of Langmuir turbulence by the Stokes drift.

The momentum and mass conservation equations for the ROMS-SWAN coupled system 188 show the e ect of surface gravity waves manifested through Stokes drift on Eulerian mean 189 ows in the terms for horizontal and vertical advection (1 A₁^h; A₂^h; 2 B₁^h; B₂^h); Stokes-190 Coriolis forces (3 C_1^s ; C_2^s), horizontal and vertical vortex forces (4 J_1^h ; J_2^h ; 5 J_1^v ; J_2^v) and 191 Stokes drift divergence (Eqn. 4). Furthermore, the three dimensional wave-averaged 192 equations are not only dependent on the surface Stokes drift but also its vertical pro le, 193 which has implications for wave-induced mass uxes and nearshore circulation hiyama 194 et al, 2010a;Kumar et al, 2012, 2013]. 195

2.2. Stokes Drift Representations

¹⁹⁶ 2.2.1. Representation from a Broadband Frequency-Directional Spectrum
 ¹⁹⁷ Accurate representation of the Stokes drift requires a spectral approach. The Stokes
 ¹⁹⁸ drift in water of arbitrary depth can be estimated by a linear superposition of contributions
 ¹⁹⁹ from the complete frequency-directional wave energy spectru**E**n(;) as

$$u^{St}(z) = 2 \int_{0}^{2} \frac{Z_1}{2} kE(;) \frac{\cosh[2k(z+h)]}{2\sinh^2(kh)} dd;$$
(5)

where is the wave direction,k is the vector wavenumber [Phillips, 1966;Kenyon, 1969], and = 2 f is the radial frequency. In the deep-water limit of the dispersion relation, $_{202}$ ² = gk, Eqn. 5 simpli es to

$$u^{St}(z) = \frac{2}{g} \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} \frac{Z_{1}}{z} \int_{0}^{3} \Re E(z; z) e^{2kz} dz dz; \qquad (6)$$

where R = k = jkj. As shown in Eqn. 5, computing Stokes drift pro les from a full frequency directional wave spectrum involves integration over direction, and over frequency at each vertical level, and depends upon the third moment of the vertically attenuated wave spectrum. Shorter, higher frequency components may contribute signi cantly to nearsurface Stokes shear and surface Stokes drift, but these decay rapidly with depth. Net transport by the Stokes drift is related to the rst moment of the frequency spectrum.

²⁰⁹ 2.2.2. Representation by a monochromatic exponential pro le

Over recent decades, studies focused on wave-current interaction or on small-scale Craik-210 Leibovich interactions of Langmuir turbulence [McWilliams et al. 1997] have often used 211 an idealized monochromatic representation of ocean waves at a single frequency. This 212 simpli cation restricts the Stokes drift representation accuracy to only a few independent 213 features of the pro le. For example, in the existing version of COAWST, the Stokes drift 214 ust(z) is estimated from wave energy 🖕 (per unit density and area), and the celerityc of 215 the spectrally-weighted mean wavenumber, to have a surface value of $\mathbb{B}_w \overline{k} = c$, oriented 216 in the mean direction of energy propagation, and to decay with depth as in Eqn. 1 for 217 wavenumberk. As mentioned earlier, this approach has multiple de ciencies in estimation 218 of the surface Stokes drift, the Stokes drift transport, and other associated quantities. 219 In the context of the deep water approximation, the surface value is underestimated 220 by e ectively replacing the third moment of the frequency spectrum by the 2-power 22 of the second moment, and by replacing the super-exponential shape produced by the 222

²²³ appropriately weighted average of exponential decayes^{2kz} of spectral elements, with the ²²⁴ vertical decay for the mean wavenumber e^{2kz} .

225 2.2.3. Representation by Super-Exponential Functions

Super-exponential functions can provide an improved match over monochromatic ap-226 proximations to dynamically important properties of the Stokes drift pro le due to broad-227 band wind sea spectra. Several such formulations have been proposed, in order to retain 228 the mathematical and numerical simplicity of representing the shape of the Stokes drift 229 pro le by a single function in closed form, and to do so e ciently under the assump-230 tion of equilibrium wind seas when detailed wave spectra are unavailablereivik et al. 231 [2014] proposed an approximation for the super-exponential Stokes drift pro les of equi-232 librium wind-sea spectra in deep water based on re-scaling the exponential pro le of a 233 monochromatic wave McWilliams and Sullivan2000; Polton et al. 2005; Saetra et al. 234 2007; Tamura et al, 2012]. This formulation is denoted as the exponential integral pro le 235 (EIP), whereby the surface Stokes drift and Stokes transport are represented as: 236

$$u_{\text{Tran}}^{\text{St}}(z) = u^{\text{St}}(0) \frac{e^{2k_e z}}{1 Ck_e z}$$
 (7)

237 where

$$k_{e} = \frac{u^{St}(0)}{5:97jT_{s}j}$$
(8)

Here $u^{St}(0)$ is the surface Stokes drift vector, the constant coe cient C 8, and T_s is the Stokes transport vector, estimated as

$$T_{s} = \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} Z_{1}^{2} \Re N(;) dd: \qquad (9)$$

The EIP based Stokes drift pro le (Eqn. 7) is a better approximation than the monochromatic wave pro le estimate (Eqn. 1), with a 60% reduction in root-mean-square error

DRAFT

June 7, 2020, 2:52am

²⁴² [Breivik et al, 2014]. However, the Stokes drift shear estimated by EIP is weak at the ²⁴³ order of Stokes depth scale, (= \pm 2k), as the near-surface Stokes shear is determined ²⁴⁴ mostly by the intermediate-to-high frequency part of the wave spectrum.

A second alternate formulation to represent Stokes drift was also developed by assuming that the Philips spectrum, with E() ⁵, is a good representation for the intermediate to high frequency portion of the wave spectrum for fully-developed local wind seas [Phillips, 1958, 1985;Janssen, 2004]. This new Stokes drift pro le proposed byBreivik et al.[2016] is given by,

$$u_{Phil}^{St}(z) = u^{St}(0) e^{2k_p z} p - \frac{2k_p z}{2k_p z} e^{p - \frac{1}{2k_p z}i}$$
 (10)

The peak wavenumber k_p is set equal to the inverse depth scalled, estimated as

$$k_{d} = \frac{u^{St}(0)}{2jT_{sj}}(1 - 2 = 3);$$
 (11)

and erfc is the complementary error function. Here, as Breivik et al.[2016], the constant
 = 1 is used to calculatek_d.

253 2.2.4. Stokes drift Contribution from a High-frequency Tail

In order to make comparisons between the super-exponential representations and Stokes 254 drift computed by discrete integration over wave model frequencies limited by the cut-o 255 in resolution at _c, it becomes necessary to estimate the contribution from above the cut-256 o by attaching a high-frequency tail to the resolved spectrum. As Stokes drift is weighted 257 toward the high-frequency (HF) part of the wave spectrum, the tail beyond the highest 258 resolved _c in the wave model can be a signi cant fraction of the surface valueSt (0) 259 and the near-surface pro le. In SWAN, the cut-o frequency is always the same as the 260 maximum frequency. The impact of the tail on ocean dynamics will therefore be a strong 26

²⁶² function of the choice for frequency cut-o. Therefore, for reasons of numerical expediency,
 ²⁶³ in cases where the cuto frequency is low, including an estimated contribution from the
 ²⁶⁴ tail can always be expected to be more physically correct than omitting this contribution
 ²⁶⁵ would be, and can be expected to have a signi cant impact. Here, we assume that the
 ²⁶⁶ HF tail contribution falls entirely within the deep-water regime and has the form

$$E_{HF}(;) = E(_{c};)(_{c}=)^{5}; \qquad (12)$$

²⁶⁷ consistent with the Philips spectrum. The additional Stokes drift from the high-frequency
 ²⁶⁸ tail is

$$u_{HF}^{St}(z) = \frac{2 \frac{5}{c}}{g} \frac{Z_{2}}{0} E(c;) R d \int_{c}^{z} \frac{exp(2z^{2}=g)}{2} d:$$
(13)

²⁶⁹ Using integral relations [e.g., Gradshteyn and Ryzhil2007],

$$u_{HF}^{St}(z) = \frac{2 \frac{d}{c}}{g} \exp \left(\frac{2}{c} - \frac{c}{c} \right)^{2} \exp \left(\frac{p}{c} - \frac{p}{c} - \frac{2}{c} \right)^{2} E(c_{c};) R d$$
 (14)

where = 2z=g Note this is equivalent to u_{Phil}^{St} setting $k_p = c_c^2 = g$ with surface Stokes drift

$$u_{HF}^{St}(0) = \frac{2 \frac{4}{c}}{g} \int_{0}^{2} E(c_{c};) Rd; \qquad (15)$$

 $_{272}$ i.e., $_{c}$ times the spectral density of Stokes drift at the cut-o . Transport by the tail is

$$T_{HF}^{St} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{Z_{2}}{0} E(c;) Rd:$$
(16)

A detailed analysis of the accuracy of SWAN predictions at the cut-o frequency and tail-contribution is quite beyond the scope of this project. However, we anticipate to learn of the accuracy of these formulations through applications, rather than simply omitting the tail contributions.

2.3. Implementation of Stokes Drift in COAWST

277 2.3.1. Exponential Stokes Drift Pro le with Bulk Methods D R A F T June 7, 2020, 2:52am

In the existing version of COAWST, the wavelength $L_w = 2 = \overline{k}$ of the mean wavenum-278 ber \overline{k} , the mean direction m of energy propagation, and the signi cant wave height s are 279 calculated within SWAN from the action density spectrum N(;) = E(;) = , gener-280 ally used as the prognostic variable in numerical wave models. After passing these two 28 bulk variables to ROMS through the Model Coupling Toolkit (MCT) coupler, wave energy 282 $E_w = gH_s^2 = 16$ and the wavenumber $\overline{k} = \overline{k}\cos(m))$ + $\overline{k}\sin(m)$ b are determined from 283 H_s , L_w and $_m$. The monochromatic exponential pro le of Stokes driftuSt(z) (Eqn. 1) 284 is computed as a depth-average over the local vertical grid layerlarcourt and D'Asarp 285 2008], which varies with water depth and surface elevation within ROMS as 286

$$u_{B}^{St}(z) = \frac{2E_{w}}{g} \frac{k}{k} \frac{\sinh(k-z)}{z} \frac{\cosh(2k(z+h))}{2\sinh^{2}kh};$$
(17)

²⁸⁷ and in the deep water limit,

$$u_{B}^{St}(z) = \frac{2E_{w}\overline{k}}{c} \frac{\sinh(k-z)}{k-z} e^{2kz}:$$
(18)

The additional factor of $\sinh(k z)$ = k z accounts for integration over the grid layer 288 of thickness z, with or without the deep-water approximation. Here the subscript B 289 indicates estimates using bulk formulations. This modi cation of the strictly exponential 290 form (Eqn. 1) avoids producing arti cial convergences in horizontal Stokes drift and 29 transport, and makes the depth-integrated transport and the forcing terms in Eqn. 2, 292 3 insensitive to changes in vertical resolution. However, layer-averaging is not without 293 drawbacks, as it does arti cially shift the prole of Stokes shear downwards near the 294 surface. 295

236 2.3.2. Spectral method for Stokes Drift Pro le

The COAWST modeling system has been modi ed to calculate the Stokes drift pro les in ROMS based on integration over the complete directional wave spectrume(., Eqn. 5). For e cient discrete integration over N N frequency-directional spectral contributions and the transfer from SWAN to the depth-dependent Stokes drift in ROMS, the spectrum of the Stokes drift u_{ss} () is rst computed by radial integration

$$u_{ss}() = 2 \sum_{1}^{N} V(;) k$$
 (19)

within SWAN. The two 1-dimensional components of the Stokes spectrum are then trans ferred to ROMS via the MCT coupling as three arrays, consisting of the Stokes drift

$$[\mathfrak{a}_{ss}]_{i} = \frac{(u_{ss}(i) + u_{ss}(i+1))(i+1)}{2}$$
(20)

attributable to each frequency interval, and the corresponding array of N_1 average wavenumbersk = $(k_i + k_{i+1})=2$. To transfer the multiple arrays at each coupling point, the existing model coupler routines within COAWST (for de ning and e ecting scalar transfers) are invoked iteratively.

³⁰⁸ Within ROMS, the Stokes drift pro le is subsequently computed as grid layer averages, ³⁰⁹ as is done for the monochromatic pro le (Eqn. 17), at the depths where ROMS horizon-³¹⁰ tal velocities are evaluated. The shallow water formulation is applied only to the s_{w} ³¹¹ frequencies withkh < 18, with the deep water formulation applied at higher frequencies, ³¹² and supplemented by a grid-averaged high-frequency Stokes drift contribution s_{HF}^{St} from ³¹³ the spectral tail:

$$u_{S}^{St}(z) = \frac{\chi_{sw}}{1} \frac{\cosh(2k(z+h))}{2\sinh^{2}kh} \frac{\sinh(k-z)}{k-z} \hat{u}_{ss} + \frac{\chi_{sw}}{1} \frac{\sinh(k-z)}{k-z} e^{2kz} \hat{u}_{ss} + u_{HF}^{St}$$
(21)

DRAFT

June 7, 2020, 2:52am

Here the subscript S, refers to estimates of Stokes drift using spectral formulations. The discrete integrals over < $_{c}$ are computed trapezoidally usingk and \hat{u}_{ss} . The error introduced by approximating Stokes drift from higher frequencies using the deep-water approximation in the second integral is limited toO(10⁻¹⁵) times the sum over the magnitude of surface contributionsju_{ss}()j . Moreover, contributions from kz > 36 are omitted from the sum entirely to reduce the computational load from depths where the Stokes drift is insigni cant.

The surface valueuSt_{HF} (0) = $_{c}u_{ss}(_{c})$ of the tail contribution (Eqn. 15) is computed in SWAN assuming the deep-water approximation and a Phillips spectrum for> $_{c}$, and passed via the MCT, with the cut-o wavenumberk_c as terminal elements of the three arrays of \hat{u}_{ss} and k. The contribution to the grid-layer averaged Stokes drift pro le is then computed as in [Harcourt and D'Asarp2008]:

$$u_{HF}^{St}(z) = \frac{u_{HF}^{St}(0)}{2k_{c} z}[a | (a)) a_{+}|(a_{+}))]; \qquad (22)$$

326 where

$$I(a) = \frac{2}{3} p_{\overline{a}} erfc(a^{1=2}) \qquad 1 \frac{1}{2a} e^{a};$$
 (23)

³²⁷ where a = $2(z - \frac{z}{2})k_c$. This expression for the layer average tail contribution assumes ³²⁸ that the deep water dispersion relation and Stokes drift formulations apply at and above ³²⁹ the cuto wavenumber c_c^2 =g. It is computed in the top ROMS grid layer, but below that ³³⁰ only where $k_cz < 36$.

2.3.3. Implementation of Super-exponential functions

³³² For purposes of comparison between super-exponential forms and the spectrally inte-³³³ grated uSt_S, tail contributions to surface Stokes drift and transport (Eqns. 15,16) are ³³⁴ computed within SWAN and passed to ROMS, where they are included in evaluating the

closed-form expressions (Eqns. 7-11) $f \mathbf{u}_{\text{Tran}}^{\text{St}}$ and $u_{\text{Phil}}^{\text{St}}$. Note that substitution of peak k_{p} ; $_{p}$ and $u^{\text{St}}(0)$ from a Phillips spectrum for k_{c} ; $_{c}$ in $u_{\text{HF}}^{\text{St}}$ in Eqns. (21,22) may be used to determine the grid-layer averaged pro le of $u_{\text{Phil}}^{\text{St}}$, a forcing variant not evaluated here. Fig. 1 shows the owchart for the four methods of calculating Stokes drift u_{B}^{St} , $u_{\text{Tran}}^{\text{St}}$, $u_{\text{Phil}}^{\text{St}}$ and u_{S}^{St} , showing the equations used for each method and the associated variables that are transferred from SWAN to ROMS.

2.3.4. Inter-comparison between Stokes Drift Estimates

Even though the approximations involved in estimating Stokes drift pro le vary for the 342 di erent formulations chosen here i(e., Eqns. 7, 10, 17, 21), the surface Stokes drift 343 (i.e., at z = 0) is expected to be same from Eqns. 7, 10, and 21, while estimates from 344 Eqn. 17 are expected to di er as the bulk formulation does not account for any o -wind 345 directional characteristics [(umar et al, 2017]. Yet, in the cases discussed here using the 346 ocean circulation model ROMS, the near-surface estimates of Stokes drift are still not 347 expected to match, as this average over the top grid layerSt is not at z = 0. Instead, 348 ROMS follows an Arakawa C-grid con guration such that the velocities are de ned at the 349 vertical center of the grid cells, which is shifted slightly below the surface. Furthermore, 350 the surface in ROMS is de ned by the mean sea-surface elevation which varies between 351 simulations, thus leading to small di erences in the respective grid cell centers. Therefore, 352 the rst layer of uSt location, is slightly below the real sea surface, leading to di erent 353 estimates from these approximations. 354

3. Experiment Design

³⁵⁵ In this study COAWST with multiple implementations of Stokes drift is applied to ³⁵⁶ study wave-current interaction dynamics in a tidal inlet, and for waves generated due to

X - 20

³⁵⁷ hurricane winds. These test cases are chosen to demonstrate the relative importance of
 ³⁵⁸ the choice of Stokes drift in shallow and deep-water applications, within an environment
 ³⁵⁹ consisting of strong wave-current interaction.

3.1. Tidal inlet wave-current coupled system

The rst test case used in this paper is a simpli ed tidal inlet system, which is often 360 utilized to test the wave-current interactions for a shallow water environment///arner 36 et al, 2008]. The numerical domain is a rectangular basin with width 15 km and length 362 14 km. With a constant slope of 1/640 and a maximum water depth of 14.7 meters along 363 the northern boundary, the entire domain is initialized at a uniform water level that is 4 364 meters deep in the nearshore. The back barrier region (bottom, Fig. 2a) is enclosed with 365 four walls, with a 2 km wide inlet centered along the middle wall that connects the back 366 region to the seaward part of the domain. The northern, western, and eastern edges of the 367 seaward region are open. The western and eastern boundaries are \coastal wall" boundary 368 conditions. The model system is forced by an oscillating water level on the northern edge, 369 with a tidal amplitude of 1 meter and a period of 12 hours. Waves are imposed on the 370 northern edge with a signi cant wave height, $H_s = 1$ m, directed to the south with a 371 period of 10 seconds. The SWAN model uses twenty- ve frequency bins (0.04-1 Hz) with 372 a logarithmically distributed frequency resolution and thirty six directional bands with a 373 directional resolution of 10. The wave spectrum at the northern boundary is a JONSWAP 374 spectrum, set by the aforementioned bulk wave parameters. The water level oscillations 375 drive the ocean circulation model and the wave forcing drives the wave propagation model. 376 The surface wave eld can be signi cantly modi ed by wave refraction, from bathymetry 377 and current variability. The model simulation is conducted for a period of 12 hours. 378

X - 22

The detailed parameter choices for the tidal inlet case are listed in Table 1. In order to 379 demonstrate the wave spectrum variation by refraction along with implications for Stokes 380 drift, the coupled model simulations are conducted with two con gurations: (1) one-way 381 coupled with wave information passed to $ROMSR_1$; (2) two-way coupled model with 382 wave information passed to ROMS, and currents and sea-surface elevation provided to 383 SWAN (R₂). In this latter con guration, the e ective velocity estimated from Kirby and 384 Chen [1989] are provided to SWAN. For both con gurations, (1) and (2), Stokes drift was 385 estimated using the standard bulk wave parametersus, vBt, vBt) and complete directional 386 spectra (u_Sst, v_Sst), thus leading to four model simulations for intercomparison. 387

In simulation R_1 , the surface wave propagation is a ected by the bathymetry variability only, while in R_2 the bathymetry, sea level and current variations modify the wave propagation. Since the deep water dispersion relation is applied fo_{fran}^{St} and u_{Phil}^{St} , both these methods ignore bathymetric e ects on surface waves and thus on Stokes drift, and therefore are not applicable for the shallow water applications like the present test case.

3.2. Idealized Hurricane case

In order to further assess the e ects of di erent formulations on Stokes drift estimates, 393 the complex, rapidly changing wave spectra generated under idealized hurricane wind 394 forcing are considered. Typically, the strong, intense seas occur on the right-hand forward 395 side of a translating hurricane, while relatively low energy waves are on the left-hand side, 396 and the relatively young, low seas occur on the backsidelack et al. 2007]. In the 397 idealized hurricane case, a large, deep water domain is constructed for both the ocean 398 and the wave model, so that the surface waves do not feel the bottom. Since the domain 399 boundary is far from the hurricane center, it does not a ect the simulations of waves and 400

currents under the hurricane forcing. The wind vectors are derived from an analytic model 401 of the wind and pressure pro les in hurricanes Holland, 1980]. Here, the central pressure 402 is 950 hPa, the environmental pressure is 1013 hPa, maximum wind spee $\mathbf{M}_{
m h}$ s= 50 ms $^{-1}$ 403 radius of maximum wind is $R_{mw} = 55$ km, and air density is a = 1.28 kgm³, which are 404 typical for Atlantic hurricanes. The wind stress eld follows the hurricane in propagating 405 from south to north of the model domain with a speci ed speed of: B ms¹. Once the 406 wind eld is generated, the wind stress magnitude is calculated using the bulk formula, 407 in which the drag coe cient (C_d) is calculated as an empirical function of the 10-m wind 408 speed Zijlema et al, 2012]. Using the same frequency-directional grid as the inlet case, 409 the open boundary for SWAN is provided by a JONSWAP spectrum, and it is assumed 410 that waves generated inside the domain can leave the area freely. Heat uxes associated 41 with air-sea interface are neglected as they are not dynamically signi cant, relative to the 412 wind stress forcing. 413

For ROMS, the bathymetry is set to a constant value of 4000 meters with no land 414 boundary, and 32 levels in the vertical direction, with increased resolution achieved with 415 vertical stretching and 7 grid cells in the upper 50 meters. The horizontal model grid 416 has an average of 10 km resolution. All the experiments are simulated for a period of 417 24 hours, and in each case the ocean is initialized with a homogeneous salinity (S) (35 418 PSU), temperature (T) pro les and no background currents. The temperature pro le is 419 based on the World Ocean Atlas (WOA) 09 climatological data for the north subtropical 420 Atlantic ocean during the month of September Levitus et al. 2002]. Since the wind 421 stress eld translates from south to north of the model domain with a speci ed speed of 422 5:7 ms¹, a period of 24 hours is deemed su cient for analyzing the modeled dynamics, 423

which corresponds to the strong wave conditions simulated here. Four simulations are
conducted for the hurricane case, corresponding to the four di erent formulations for the
Stokes drift pro les. Considering that the focus of this study is to determine the role
of di erent formulations to estimate the Stokes drift pro le in the presence of hurricanegenerated waves, we ignore the currents and sea level e ects, which can be importaint[
et al, 2009] and must be included in realistic simulations. Thus, only wave parameters
from SWAN are sent to ROMS, while SWAN receives no information from ROMS.

3.3. Velocity Symbols and Conventions

The Stokes drift velocity vector estimated using bulk (Eqn. 17), spectral (Eqn. 21), 431 and those using super-exponential pro les (Eqns. 7, 10 are referred to u_{S}^{St} , u_{S}^{St} , u_{Tran}^{St} 432 and uSt_{Phil}, respectively. The scalar eastward (northward)/zonal (meridional) velocity com-433 ponents are referred to $a_{Su_B^{St}}(v_B^{St})$, $u_S^{St}(v_S^{St})$, $u_{Tran}^{St}(v_{Tran}^{St})$, and $u_{Phil}^{St}(v_{Phil}^{St})$. The Stokes 434 drift velocity magnitude are represented a_{B}^{St} , U_{S}^{St} , U_{Tran}^{St} and U_{Phil}^{St} . For the shallow 435 water tidal-inlet case, the Stokes drift velocity components are referred to $a\!s_{1}^{St}$, v_{B1}^{St} and 436 u_{S1}^{St} , v_{S1}^{St} for one-way coupled simulations, and u_{B2}^{St} , v_{B2}^{St} and u_{S2}^{St} , v_{S2}^{St} for two-way coupled 437 simulations. 438

4. Shallow water Inlet Test Case

Wave-current interactions in the shallow water tidal inlet test case are analyzed 12 hours after initialization with one-way (R_1) and two-way (R_2) coupling. The last hourly output from the model simulation is analyzed and presented.

4.1. Signi cant Wave Height, H_s

For simulation R₁, the modeledH_s decreases from 1 m at the o shore boundary to 442 0.75 m at the tidal inlet. Subsequently, the signi cant wave height decreases further as 443 waves propagate within the tidal inlet (Fig. 2a). Two-way coupling between ROMS and 444 SWAN (i.e., simulation R₂) allows for the transfer of near-surface currents and sea-surface 445 elevation from ROMS (Fig. 2d), which substantially modi es the SWAN simulated H_s . 446 Particularly for R_2 , adjacent to the inlet, H_s increases to 1m (Fig. 2b), such that the 447 di erence between simulatedH_s for two-way and one-way coupling is up to 0.20 m (20%, 448 Fig. 2c). These di erences in H_s manifest themselves adjacent to the tidal inlet due to 449 strong ebb tidal currents opposing wave propagation (Fig. 2d), leading to local refraction 450 and wave steepening. 45[.]

4.2. Near-surface Stokes Drift

The choice of one-way versus two-way coupling also has implications for Stokes drift esti-452 mates. The near-surface eastward Stokes drift f $\mathbf{\Theta}_1$ estimated using bulk ($\mathbf{\mu}_{B1}^{St}$) and spec-453 tral formulations (uSt) at the topmost s layer (the ROMS vertical S-coordinate, which is 454 a generalized vertical, terrain-following, coordinate systemShchepetkin and McWilliams 455 2005]) are compared in Fig. 3. BothuSt_{B1} and u^{St}_{S1} vary between 0:002 ms¹, with 456 strongest values around the tidal inlet (Fig. 3a,b). Di erences between B_{B1}^{St} and u_{S1}^{St} are 457 small (5%), and are attributed to the small change in wave eld due to bathymetric 458 variability (Fig. 3c). For two-way coupled simulations, bathymetry, circulation pattern, 459 and sea-surface elevation variability modify the surface wave propagation, which has im-460 plications for the Stokes drift pro le. Adjacent to the tidal inlet, R₂ simulated near-surface 46 u_{B2}^{St} and u_{S2}^{St} (i.e., at the topmost s layer) are an order of magnitude larger than u_{B1}^{St} and 462

⁴⁶³ u_{S1}^{St} (compare Figs. 3a and 3d, Figs. 3b and 3e). Furthermore, f Θt_2 the di erence ⁴⁶⁴ between near-surface eastward Stokes drift estimates and u_{B2}^{St} are substantially larger ⁴⁶⁵ than those determined for simulation R₁ (Figs. 3c and 3f).

Modeled northward near-surface Stokes drift from simulation $R_1,\ v_{B1}^{St}$ and $v_{S1}^{St},$ and 466 R_2 , v_{B2}^{St} and v_{S2}^{St} are also compared (Fig. 4). As wave propagation is from the north to 467 south, this Stokes drift component is negative throughout the computational domain, with 468 strongest values immediately outside the tidal inlet. For simulatiorR₁, the di erences 469 between v_{S1}^{St} and v_{B1}^{St} are small (Fig. 4c), while for R_2 , northward Stokes drift estimates, v_{B2}^{St} 470 and v_{S2}^{St} are at least twice of those from simulation R_1 (compare Figs. 4a and 4d, Figs. 4b 471 and 4e). Theses di erences are expected due to localized steepening and refraction of 472 surface waves in the presence of opposing currents. The di erence between and vB2 473 is also primarily localized to the tidal inlet region and may be up to 20% of the velocity 474 magnitude (Fig. 4f). 475

4.3. Stokes Drift Pro le and Wave Spectrum

For shallow water applications we have demonstrated that if only one-way coupling is 476 considered (e., simulation R_1), the Stokes drift estimates are similar for bulk or spectral 477 formulation (Figs. 4c and Fig. 4d). Here, the role of two-way coupling in modifying Stokes 478 drift estimates using spectral formulations i(e., uSt_{S1}, uSt_{S2}, vSt_{S1} and vSt_{S2}) is considered, along 479 with the vertical pro le of Stokes drift, and the wave spectraE(f;). Particularly, the 480 relative importance of two-way coupling in estimating Stokes drift is demonstrated by 48⁻ comparing near-surface eastward J_{S2}^{St} and northward, v_{S2}^{St} Stokes drift for simulations R_1 482 and R_2 (Figs. 5a and 5b). The di erence in the eastward Stokes driftuSt_{S2} uSt_{S1}) varies 483 from 10⁴ 10³ ms¹ around the tidal inlet, while for the northward component of Stokes 484

⁴⁸⁵ drift, $v_{S2}^{St} = v_{S1}^{St}$ the di erence is of the order 10³ ms ¹, i.e., 10-20% of the Stokes drift ⁴⁸⁶ magnitude.

We also expect di erences in the vertical pro le of eastward-directed $B_1^{St}(z)$; $u_{B2}^{St}(z)$; $u_{S1}^{St}(z)$ 487 and $u_{S2}^{St}(z)$) and northward-directed ($v_{B1}^{St}(z)$; $v_{B2}^{St}(z)$; $v_{S1}^{St}(z)$ and $v_{S2}^{St}(z)$) Stokes-drift, con-488 sidered at a location denoted by the green star in Fig. 5a. It is evident that for the 489 simulations with one-way coupling, the eastward Stokes drift component estimates from 490 bulk and spectral formulations have negligible vertical shear (Fig. 5c, solid green and 491 dashed blue lines). For two-way coupled simulations the Stokes drift estimates of 492 changes sign and exhibits a strong near-surface shear (Fig. 5c, solid black and dashed 493 red lines). The shear is stronger for the Stokes drift estimates using complete spectral 494 formulations, u_{S2}^{St} versus u_{B2}^{St} . The northward component of Stokes drift v_{B1}^{St} and v_{S1}^{St} have 495 a similar vertical pro le and shear (compare solid green and dashed blue lines, Fig. 5d). 496 Near-surfacevSt_{S2} and v^{St}_{B2} are at least 15 stronger than those estimated from one-way 497 coupled simulations, with v_{S2}^{St} exhibiting a stronger velocity shear (compare solid black 498 and dashed red lines, Fig. 5d). 499

Current-induced wave refraction is expected to modify the surface wave spectra and the 500 direction of wave propagation for simulation R₂, the rami cations of which are evident in 501 u_{S2}^{St} u_{S1}^{St} and v_{S2}^{St} v_{S1}^{St} , and the vertical pro le of Stokes drift. The SWAN simulated wave 502 spectra at the location corresponding to the vertical proles of Stokes driftile., green 503 square, Fig. 5a) is considered here. At lower frequencies (0.04-0.25 Hz), Eh/e) estimates 504 from both one-way (green) and two-way (black) coupled simulations are similar (Fig. 5e). 505 However, at higher frequencies, wave-current interactions modiff (f). Even though 506 this modi cation on E(f) is small. Stokes drift estimates are heavily dependent on the 507

high frequency components. Di erences in wave propagation are also demonstrated by
comparing the full frequency-directional spectra (f;) for one-way (Fig. 5f) and twoway (Fig. 5e) coupled simulations. Refraction due to near-surface currents modi es the
wave propagation direction as shown by change in energy content in the directional space.
Overall, simulations conducted for the shallow water tidal inlet demonstrate the importance of using both two-way coupling as well as the need to estimate Stokes drift using
complete frequency-directional spectra.

5. Deep Water Idealized Hurricane Case

5.1. Signi cant Wave Height and Near-Surface Stokes drift

Hurricanes are associated with strong wind forcing, leading to generation of extreme 515 waves in the ocean. Here, the wind forcing U_{10} during the hurricane and associated 516 signi cant wave height H_s are considered (Fig. 6). Within 100 km from the eye of the 517 hurricane, wind speeds exceed 30 ms(Fig. 6a). The signi cant wave height H_s reaches 518 14 m northeast of the hurricane center, and is relatively lower at the hurricane center and 519 south of the hurricane. The magnitude of near-surface Stokes drift \mathfrak{A}_{\cdot} , the topmost s 520 layer) estimated using bulk formulations, UBSt, deep-water approximations, USt , USt , USt , USt , USt , 52 and the spectral formulation, USt are compared (Fig. 7). Estimates from deep-water 522 approximations (USt_{Tran} and USt_{Phil}, Figs. 7b,c) have similar spatial patterns as those esti-523 mated using the complete directional spectrun U_{S}^{St} (Fig. 7d). However, U_{B}^{St} shows large 524 deviation compared to U_{S}^{St} (compare Figs. 7a and 7d). 525

⁵²⁶ Di erences in the estimates of Stokes drift magnitude are further considered in Figs. 8a-⁵²⁷ 8c. Although it is expected that the near-surface Stokes drift estimated using spectral

formulations will be higher than those from the bulk formulation due to the contribution 528 of the high frequency spectral region, yet we nd that U_B^{St} is greater than U_S^{St} at the 529 regions corresponding to higher waves, close to hurricane center (Fig. 8a). This occurs 530 becauseUSt ignores the directional wave spreading and the vertical decay is gradual in 53 comparison toUSt in the vertical direction [e.g., see appendix,Kumar et al, 2017]. The 532 deviations (U_SSt- U_BSt) can reach up to 01ms¹, i.e., about 20% of the surface Stokes drift, 533 which can cause a large error in estimating surface tracer trajectories and associated 534 mixing processes, like Langmuir turbulence, by using the bulk formulation. Stokes drift 535 estimates from USt_{Tran} are slightly greater than USt_S, while those from USt_{Phil} are slightly 536 smaller (compare gures Fig. 8b and 8c). The deviations between near-surfacter and 537 the other three methods are shown as a probability density in Figs. 8d- 8f. The standard 538 deviation between U_{S}^{St} and U_{B}^{St} is 0.027 ms¹ over the whole domain, which is almost 539 twice the standard deviations for di erences corresponding the ${}^{St}_{Tran}$ and ${}^{St}_{Phil}$. 540

In addition to di erences in the magnitude of the Stokes drift, there are also implications for the Stokes drift direction. For example, the USt_B method, which is currently applied in the ROMS-SWAN coupled model, takes the mean wave direction in degrees as the Stokes drift direction, de ned as

$$m = \arctan \frac{R_2 R_1}{R_2 R_1} \frac{N(;) \sin d d}{N(;) \cos d d}$$
(24)

The average deviation between the direction estimates $f \omega J_{S}^{St}$ and U_{B}^{St} reaches 26.85 with a large standard deviation of 58.7 (Fig. 9a). This deviation is primarily due to the fact that the Stokes drift dependence on local winds is stronger at high frequencies than the low frequency wave component. By contrast, the J_{Tran}^{St} and U_{Phil}^{St} directions match well with the U_{S}^{St} directions, with average deviation of -1.49 and standard deviation of

DRAFT

June 7, 2020, 2:52am

⁵⁵⁰ 48.7 (Figs. 9b,c). Overall, U_{Tran}^{St} and U_{Phil}^{St} methods perform much better than the U_B^{St} ⁵⁵¹ method under hurricane forcing for both magnitude and direction of surface Stokes drift.

5.2. Stokes Drift Pro les

X - 30

The implications of formulation choice (bulk, spectral, and super-exponential methods) on the estimates of the vertical pro le of Stokes drift velocity magnitude JSt are considered for the di erent regions under hurricane forcing, i.e., ve locations with a distance of around 100 km from the hurricane center are chosen, as represented as red dots with the numbers (1 to 5), shown in Fig. 10a.

The vertical pro le of Stokes drift magnitude (U_BSt, blue, U_SSt, black, U_{Phil}St, green, and 557 $\mathsf{U}^{\mathsf{St}}_{\mathsf{Tran}}$, red) and the frequency-directional wave spectra corresponding to points 1 to 5 are 558 shown in Figs. 10b-10f. Bulk estimates del St have weaker shear and a gradual vertical 559 decay in comparison toUSt, USt, and UTran at all ve locations (Figs. 10b-10f). In 560 addition, the Stokes drift velocity magnitude U_{Phil}^{St} has even stronger gradients that W_{S}^{St} 56 above 5 meters. This is because young seas of short fetch, and short duration hurricane 562 storm forcing, have lower net contributions to near-surface shear from the wind sea spec-563 trum than the fully developed seas approximated by U_{Phil}^{St} . Also, points 1 (Fig. 10b), 3 564 (Fig. 10d) and 5 (Fig. 10f) correspond to uni-modal wave spectra, and are dominated by 565 the lower region of the frequency spectrum. For such cases, the values $fr D_{P_{Hil}}^{st}$ are a 566 better match with those of U_{S}^{St} than U_{B}^{St} and U_{Tran}^{St} in the top 10 m of the water column. 567 For cases with complex wave spectræ.g., with multi-directional and multimodal wave 568 spectra (like Figs. 10c, 10e), the directional spreading and the high frequency part of the 569 spectrum contribute signi cantly, a ecting the Stokes drift pro les. Such cases typically 570 correspond to strong Stokes drift shear in the upper ocean, q., in the top 5 meters. 571

DRAFT

June 7, 2020, 2:52am

⁵⁷² However, U_B^{St} (blue lines) introduces substantial error in estimating the vertical Stokes ⁵⁷³ shear and overestimates the surface Stokes drift because of the resulting slowly decaying ⁵⁷⁴ vertical pro les. Moreover, we nd that for such complex wave spectra, the U_{Phil}^{St} and ⁵⁷⁵ U_{Tran}^{St} pro les provide good overall matches with that of U_S^{St} for the whole water column. ⁵⁷⁶ Considering that for U_{Phil}^{St} and U_{Tran}^{St} , only the surface Stokes drift and Stokes transport ⁵⁷⁷ are needed, it seems that they provide good approximations of the pro les resulting from ⁵⁷⁸ the full wave spectrum Stokes drift, U_S^{St} .

The eastward and northward components of the Stokes drift at location 4 (Fig. 10) are considered as well (Fig. 11). The eastward component of Stokes drift is notably overestimated by u_B^{St} , while u_{Phil}^{St} and u_{Tran}^{St} estimated Stokes drift pro les agree well with that of u_S^{St} . For the v component of the Stokes drift pro les, the southward Stokes drift (negative values) are estimated by v_{Phil}^{St} and v_{Tran}^{St} , which agree with that of v_S^{St} . However, v_B^{St} is directed northward, which may induce errors in associated physical processes and dispersion of particles.

5.3. Vortex force

Vortex force plays an important role in the mean ow momentum balanceU[chiyama et al, 2010b;Kumar et al, 2012, 2013]. In a tropical cyclone, vortex force induced by the interaction between strong vorticity and the Stokes drift has the same order of magnitude as the horizontal advection. Furthermore, quasi-geostrophic circulation induced during and after the passage of the tropical cyclone is established and maintained by the vortex force [Zhang et a].2018].

⁵⁹² Here, the vortex force is calculated for the four aforementioned simulations. The hori-⁵⁹³ zontal (J^h) and vertical(J^v) vortex force components for the simulation with Stokes drift

X - 32

estimated using spectral formulations i(e., U_SSt) are compared with the vortex force esti-594 mates $(J_B^h; J_{Tran}^h; J_{Phil}^h)$ with Stokes drift calculated by the other three methods i(e., U_B^{St} , 595 U_{Tran}^{St} and U_{Phil}^{St} , Fig. 12). The horizontal vortex force estimated using the bulk formula-596 tion (J_B^h) is underestimated in comparison to the spectral estimates $[\frac{1}{3}]$ at most of the 597 locations within 100 km of the hurricane center (Fig. 12a). Di erences betweets and 598 $J^{\,h}_{B}$ are of the orderO(10 $\,^{5}).$ The di erence betweenJ $^{\,h}_{S}$ and the horizontal vortex force 599 estimated using the super-exponential approachese(, J_{Tran}^{h} and J_{Phil}^{h}) are also consid-600 ered (Figs. 12b, c) and in general have similar spatial patterns, with underestimation 601 immediately south, and overestimation east and west of the hurricane center. However, in 602 comparison to the estimates using bulk formulations, these di erences are of lower order, 603 i.e., O(10⁶). 604

The vertical component of the vortex force J^{v} may also play a role in the momentum 605 balance (e.g., see Eqs. 2, 3). Here, the vertical component of the vortex force from the 606 spectral estimates, J_S^{\vee} are compared to the bulk, J_B^{\vee} and the super-exponential approach, 607 J_{Tran}^{v} and J_{Phil}^{v} (Figs. 12d-12f). The bulk approach overestimates d^{v} at most locations 608 around the hurricane center, while J_{Tran}^{\nu} and J_{Phil}^{ν} are slightly smaller than J_{S}^{ν} east of 609 the hurricane center, and relatively larger to the south and north. Furthermore J_{S}^{v} 610 J_{Phil}^{v} ; $J_{S}^{v} = J_{Tran}^{v}$ are of the orderO(10⁻⁷), i.e., an order smaller than $J_{S}^{v} = J_{B}^{v}$ (Figs. 12d-611 f). These results suggest that models using super-exponential Stokes drift methods can 612 perform much better than those using monochromatic bulk estimates under hurricane 613 forcing in deep waters. 614

In order to further determine the importance of the Stokes drift based terms in the momentum balance, the zonal $J_{1S}^{h}; J_{1S}^{v}$ and meridional $(J_{2S}^{h}; J_{2S}^{v})$ vortex force estimates from the spectral formulations are compared to the local and advective acceleration, as shown in Fig. 13. Particularly, both horizontal and vertical vortex force components in longitudinal and meridional directions (Fig. 13a-13d) are of the orde \mathcal{O} (10⁻⁵ 10⁻⁷)ms⁻² and similar to or larger than the local and advective acceleration terms (Fig. 13e-13h). Considering that the Stokes drift can be of the order \mathcal{O} (10⁻¹ms⁻¹), these terms which are dependent on the Stokes drift are important and contribute to the momentum balance.

Summary and conclusion

Stokes drift plays essential roles in the upper ocean mixing and dispersion that require 623 accurate representation of its vertical pro le. This study implements and tests a method 624 to compute Stokes drift by discrete integration over the frequency-directional surface 625 wave spectra in the context of coupled wave-ocean simulations using SWAN and ROMS 626 in the COAWST framework. This more complete spectral representation is compared 627 to the prior monochromatic approximation by a single exponential function matching 628 bulk wave parameters, as well as to two super-exponential functions proposed Bryeivik 629 et al. [2014, 2016] to estimate the Stokes drift pro le for fully developed wind seas. The 630 impact of these four approaches on estimating Stokes drift is examined in the context 631 of both one-way and two-way wave-ocean coupling, and in the context of two di erent 632 and long-standing COAWST modeling test cases: One shallow-water case without wind 633 forcing where o shore swell refracts and interacts with the bathymetry and with the tidally 634 driven current in a coastal inlet, and one deep-water case where the strong transient wind 635 forcing of a passing hurricane produces young wind seas that deviate from the spectra of 636 fully developed equilibrium wind seas of unidirectional steady forcing. 637

For the shallow inlet test case, interactions with currents signi cantly modify the wave 638 spectrum in two-way coupled simulations, relative to just one-way coupling from waves 639 to currents. It is therefore necessary to fully couple waves to the ocean model when 640 estimating the Stokes drift, even in this case without wind forcing. Simulations for the 641 shallow water inlet test case show that the Stokes drift from the full spectrum formulation, 642 uSt_{Spec} provides Stokes drift pro les with strong gradients, while that resulting from the 643 bulk formulation, uSt_{Bulk} cannot provide such rapidly decaying Stokes drift pro les, as it 644 neglects contributions from the high frequency part of the directional wave spectrum. It 645 is strongly recommended that the Stokes drift pro les calculated as St from the full 646 wave spectra should be applied in wave-current studies for nearshore regions. The need 647 to calculate Stokes drift asu_{Spec}^{St} rather than u_{Bulk}^{St} can only be expected to increase where 648 wind forcing is also applied in shallow-water nearshore areas. 649

For the deep water, idealized hurricane test case, the monochromatic bulk approxima-650 tion uSt_{Bulk} signi cantly underestimates the vertical gradient of Stokes drift, and also leads 651 to signi cant error in the Stokes drift direction. Our overall recommendation is that the 652 uSt_{Spec} spectral method is still generally the most accurate method for deep water studies 653 of transient wind-driven events, like wave-current interactions under hurricanes or storms. 654 This method ensures more accurate estimates of Stokes drift-associated dynamical pro-655 cessese.g., vortex force and Stokes-Coriolis force. The St and up to super-exponential 656 approximations agree relatively well withuspec, even with hurricane-generated compli-657 cated wave spectrum. However this approach appears best-suited for long time-scale runs, 658 relative to wave growth rates, and perhaps for simulations with low temporal resolution 659 of wind forcing. These super-exponential approximationsSt and uSt_{Phil} perform much 660

⁶⁶¹ better than uSt_{Bulk}, and only require two bulk parameters from the wave model, generally ⁶⁶² much less than is required fouSt_{Spec}. On the other hand, the additional computational ⁶⁶³ overhead ofuSt_{Spec} appears minor in our test cases.

In previous versions of the COAWST system, only theust method has been avail-664 able. This approximation limits the prospects for wave-current interaction studies and 665 the exploration of more precise roles of vortex force and Coriolis-Stokes e ects in the 666 upper ocean dynamics. The newly implemented methods for estimating Stokes drift in 667 the ROMS-SWAN coupled model provide unique opportunities to develop better under-668 standing of these Stokes drift associated dynamical processes in ocean dynamics, tracer 669 Lagrangian trajectories and related studies. Thus, it also becomes possible to properly 670 introduce ocean mixing parameterizations of processes driven by Stokes drift forcing, such 67 as Langmuir turbulence, into the the ROMS ocean model. 672

Appendix A: The computational cost of transferring and estimating the Stokes drift pro les

By using the same computational environment, Intel Xeon E3-1535M v5 @2.9 GHz, as 673 an example, we run the Inlet test 2-way case, with four di erent methods of estimating 674 the Stokes drift pro le. All the computational costs are listed in Table 2. It is found that 675 u_{Tran}^{St} and u_{Phil}^{St} methods cost the same time, just 11 seconds, or 2.5% longer than the 7 min 676 14 sec required for theught method. That is because 4 more parameters (x, y-components 677 of surface Stokes drift and Stokes transport) are transferred from SWAN to ROMS than 678 the bulk approach. For the spectral method to estimateust method, it costs 7 min 30 679 seconds, or 3.7% longer than because more data including Stokes drift spectrum, and 680 wave numbers are transferred to ROMS. Note, the data transfer requirement increases 681

with the number of frequency bins used in SWAN, and with the the computational grid cells.

We thank John Warner from United States Geological Survey Acknowledgments. 684 Coastal and Marine Science Center for useful suggestions. All the data are generated 685 by the open-source COAWST modeling system. The con gurations and parameters used 686 for all the runs are detailed described in Section 3. G. Liu was supported, in part the 687 National Key Research and Development Program of China 2016YFC1401407; National 688 Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 41506028; Natural Science Foundation 689 of Jiangsu Province under Grant BK20150913; the Startup Foundation for Introducing 690 Talent of NUIST; the International cooperation project of National Natural Science Foun-691 dation of China under Grant 41620104003; National Program on Global Change and Air-692 Sea Interaction under Grant GASI-IPOVAI-04; R. Harcourt was supported, in part, by 693 NSF OCE-1558459 and OCE-1756115, and a by grant from the Gulf of Mexico Research 694 Initiative (SA-15-15). W. Perrie was supported by the O ce of Energy Research and 695 Development (OERD) project 1B00.003C; the Canadian Space Agency Data Utilization 696 and Applications Program (DUAP) project 14SURM006; the SWOT program, MEOPAR 697 (Marine Environmental Observation Prediction and Response Network) project 1P1.2 and 698 in part by National Natural Science Youth Foundation of China under Grant 41706193. 699

References

Akan, C., S. Moghimi, H. T. Ozkan-Haller, J. Osborne, and A. Kurapov (2017), On
 the dynamics of the mouth of the Columbia River: Results from a three-dimensional
 fully coupled wave-current interaction model, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans

- ⁷⁰³ 122(7), 5218{5236.
- ⁷⁰⁴ Ardhuin, F., N. Rascle, and K. A. Belibassakis (2008), Explicit wave-averaged primitive ⁷⁰⁵ equations using a generalized lagrangian meaticcean Modelling2Q(1), 35{60.
- Ardhuin, F., L. Mare, N. Rascle, P. Forget, and A. Roland (2009), Observation and estimation of lagrangian, stokes, and eulerian currents induced by wind and waves at the sea surface Journal of Physical Oceanograp (\$9(11), 2820{2838.
- Bennis, A.-C., F. Ardhuin, and F. Dumas (2011), On the coupling of wave and three dimensional circulation models: Choice of theoretical framework, practical implemen tation and adiabatic tests, Ocean Modelling4Q(3-4), 260{272.
- Black, P. G., E. A. D'Asaro, W. M. Drennan, J. R. French, P. P. Niiler, T. B. Sanford,
- E. J. Terrill, E. J. Walsh, and J. A. Zhang (2007), Air{sea exchange in hurricanes:

Synthesis of observations from the coupled boundary layer air{sea transfer experiment,

⁷¹⁵ Bulletin of the American Meteorological Socata (3), 357(374.

⁷¹⁶ Booij, N., R. Ris, and L. H. Holthuijsen (1999), A third-generation wave model for coastal

regions: 1. Model description and validation. Journal of geophysical research: Oceans
 104(C4), 7649{7666.

- ⁷¹⁹ Booij, N., I. Haagsma, L. a. Holthuijsen, A. Kieftenburg, R. Ris, A. vd Westhuysen, and
 ⁷²⁰ M. Zijlema (2004), Swan user manual, swan cycle iii version 40.42 elft University of
 ⁷²¹ Technology
- Breivik, O., A. A. Allen, C. Maisondieu, J.-C. Roth, and B. Forest (2012), The leeway of
 shipping containers at di erent immersion levels, Ocean Dynamic, s62(5), 741{752.
 Breivik, ., P. A. Janssen, and J.-R. Bidlot (2014), Approximate stokes drift pro les in
- ⁷²⁵ deep water, Journal of Physical Oceanograp 4(9), 2433{2445.

714

- X 38 LIU ET AL.: SPECTRAL STOKES-DRIFT PROFILE
- ⁷²⁶ Breivik, ., J.-R. Bidlot, and P. A. Janssen (2016), A Stokes drift approximation based ⁷²⁷ on the phillips spectrum,Ocean Modelling10Q 49{56.
- Craik, A. D., and S. Leibovich (1976), A rational model for langmuir circulations. Journal
 of Fluid Mechanics 73(3), 401{426.
- ⁷³⁰ Crosby, S. C., N. Kumar, W. OReilly, and R. Guza (2019), Regional swell transformation
- by backward ray tracing and swan, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology
 36(2), 217{229.
- ⁷³³ Curcic, M., S. S. Chen, and T. M.Ozgekmen (2016), Hurricane-induced ocean waves and
- ⁷³⁴ Stokes drift and their impacts on surface transport and dispersion in the Gulf of Mexico,
- ⁷³⁵ Geophysical Research Lette**4S**(6), 2773{2781.
- ⁷³⁶ D'Asaro, E. A., J. Thomson, A. Y. Shcherbina, R. R. Harcourt, M. F. Cronin, M. A.
- Hemer, and B. Fox-Kemper (2014), Quantifying upper ocean turbulence driven by sur face waves,Geophysical Research Letters(1), 102(107.
- Deike, L., N. Pizzo, and W. K. Melville (2017), Lagrangian transport by breaking surface
 waves, Journal of Fluid Mechanic \$29364{391.
- Fan, Y., I. Ginis, T. Hara, C. W. Wright, and E. J. Walsh (2009), Numerical simulations
- and observations of surface wave elds under an extreme tropical cyclonle, urnal of
 Physical Oceanograp, \$9(9), 2097{2116.
- Faria, A. F., E. B. Thornton, T. C. Lippmann, and T. P. Stanton (2000), Undertow over
- a barred beach, Journal of Geophysical Research: Ocean SE(C7), 16,999(17,010.
- ⁷⁴⁶ Gradshteyn, I. S., and I. M. Ryzhik (2007), Table of Integrals, Series, and Products.
- ⁷⁴⁷ Edited by A. Je rey and D. Zwillinger.

Harcourt, R. R. (2013), A second-moment closure model of Langmuir turbulence gurnal 748 of Physical Oceanograph 4/3(4), 673 (697. 749

Harcourt, R. R. (2015), An improved second-moment closure model of Langmuir turbu-750 lence, Journal of Physical Oceanograp 405(1), 84{103.

- Harcourt, R. R., and E. A. D'Asaro (2008), Large-eddy simulation of Langmuir turbulence 752 in pure wind seas, Journal of Physical Oceanograp (\$18(7), 1542(1562).
- Hasselmann, K. (1970), Wave-driven inertial oscillationsGeophysical and Astrophysical 754 Fluid Dynamics 1(3-4), 463(502. 755

Holland, G. J. (1980), An analytic model of the wind and pressure pro les in hurricanes, 756

- Monthly weather review 8(8), 1212(1218. 757
- Janssen, P. (2004) The interaction of ocean waves and v@adbridge University Press. 758
- Kenyon, K. E. (1969), Stokes drift for random gravity waves, Journal of Geophysical 759 Research 74(28), 6991{6994. 760

Kirby, J. T., and T.-M. Chen (1989), Surface waves on vertically sheared ows: approxi-761

mate dispersion relations Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceana(C1), 1013(1027. 762

Kumar, N., and F. Feddersen (2017), The e ect of Stokes drift and transient rip currents 763

on the inner shelf. part i: No strati cation, Journal of Physical Oceanograph (1), 764

227{241. 765

751

753

Kumar, N., G. Voulgaris, J. C. Warner, and M. Olabarrieta (2012), Implementation of 766 the vortex force formalism in the coupled ocean-atmosphere-wave-sediment transport 767 (COAWST) modeling system for inner shelf and surf zone application special Mod-768 elling, 47, 65{95. 769

Kumar, N., G. Voulgaris, J. H. List, and J. C. Warner (2013), Alongshore momentum bal ance analysis on a cuspate forelandournal of Geophysical Research: Oceans (10),
 5280 (5295.

Kumar, N., F. Feddersen, Y. Uchiyama, J. McWilliams, and W. OReilly (2015), Midshelf
to surfzone coupled roms{swan model data comparison of waves, currents, and temperature: Diagnosis of subtidal forcings and responsepurnal of Physical Oceanography
45(6), 1464{1490}.

Kumar, N., D. L. Cahl, S. C. Crosby, and G. Voulgaris (2017), Bulk versus spectral
 wave parameters: Implications on stokes drift estimates, regional wave modeling, and
 hf radars applications, Journal of Physical Oceanograph (6), 1413 (1431).

Lentz, S. J., M. Fewings, P. Howd, J. Fredericks, and K. Hathaway (2008), Observa tions and a model of undertow over the inner continental shelf/ournal of Physical
 Oceanography38(11), 2341{2357.

Levitus, S., R. A. Locarnini, T. P. Boyer, A. V. Mishonov, J. I. Antonov, H. E. Garcia,

O. K. Baranova, M. M. Zweng, D. R. Johnson, and D. Seidov (2002), World ocean atlas
2009.

⁷⁸⁶ Li, Q., and B. Fox-Kemper (2017), Assessing the e ects of langmuir turbulence on the ⁷⁸⁷ entrainment buoyancy ux in the ocean surface boundary layer.Journal of Physical ⁷⁸⁸ Oceanography47(12), 2863{2886.

⁷⁸⁹ Liu, G., W. A. Perrie, and Y. He (2014), Ocean surface Stokes drift from scatterometer ⁷⁹⁰ observations,International journal of remote sens3**r**[6](5), 1966{1978.

⁷⁹¹ Longuet-Higgins, M. S., D. Cartwright, and N. Smit (1963), Observations of the direc-

tional spectrum of sea waves using the motions of a oating buoQcean Wave Spectra:

X - 40

- Proceedings of a Conference 793
- Marchesiello, P., R. Benshila, R. Almar, Y. Uchiyama, J. C. McWilliams, and A. Shchep-794
- etkin (2015), On tridimensional rip current modeling, Ocean Modelling 96 36 (48. 795
- McWilliams, J. C. (2018), Surface wave e ects on submesoscale fronts and laments, 796 Journal of Fluid Mechanic \$43479{517. 797
- McWilliams, J. C., and B. Fox-Kemper (2013), Oceanic wave-balanced surface fronts and 798 laments, Journal of Fluid Mechanic 30464 490. 799
- McWilliams, J. C., and J. M. Restrepo (1999), The wave-driven ocean circulation/ournal 800
- of Physical Oceanograph2/9(10), 2523{2540. 801
- McWilliams, J. C., and P. P. Sullivan (2000), Vertical mixing by langmuir circulations, 802
- Spill Science & Technology Bulletia(3-4), 225(237. 803
- McWilliams, J. C., P. P. Sullivan, and C.-H. Moeng (1997), Langmuir turbulence in the 804 ocean, Journal of Fluid Mechanic \$3,41{30. 805
- McWilliams, J. C., J. M. Restrepo, and E. M. Lane (2004), An asymptotic theory for the 806
- interaction of waves and currents in coastal waterslournal of Fluid Mechanic \$11, 807 135{178. 808
- Moghimi, S., K. Klingbeil, U. Grawe, and H. Burchard (2013), A direct comparison of a 809 depth-dependent radiation stress formulation and a vortex force formulation within a 810 three-dimensional coastal ocean modelling7Q 132{144. 811
- Moghimi, S., H. T. Ozkan-Haller, C. Akan, and J. T. Jurisa (2019), Mechanistic analysis 812 of the wave-current interaction in the plume region of a partially mixed tidal inlet. 813 Ocean Modelling134 110{126.

DRAFT

814

- X 42 LIU ET AL.: SPECTRAL STOKES-DRIFT PROFILE
- Olabarrieta, M., J. C. Warner, and N. Kumar (2011), Wave-current interaction in Willapa
 Bay, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceanisc(C12).

^{B17} Olabarrieta, M., J. C. Warner, B. Armstrong, J. B. Zambon, and R. He (2012), Ocean{ atmosphere dynamics during Hurricane Ida and Nor`Ida: an application of the coupled

- ocean{atmosphere{wave{sediment transport (COAWST) modeling systemQcean Mod-
- elling 43 112{137.
- Phillips, O. (1985), Spectral and statistical properties of the equilibrium range in windgenerated gravity waves, Journal of Fluid Mechanic \$56,505 (531.

Phillips, O. M. (1958), The equilibrium range in the spectrum of wind-generated waves,

- ⁸²⁴ Journal of Fluid Mechanic **4**(4), 426(434.
- Phillips, O. M. (1966), The dynamics of the upper oceable Archive.
- Polton, J. A., D. M. Lewis, and S. E. Belcher (2005), The role of wave-induced Coriolis-
- Stokes forcing on the wind-driven mixed layer ournal of Physical Oceanograp (3) (4),

⁸²⁸ 444{457.

Rascle, N., F. Ardhuin, P. Que eulou, and D. Croize-Fillon (2008), A global wave param-

eter database for geophysical applications. part 1: Wave-current-turbulence interaction

- parameters for the open ocean based on traditional parameterization@cean Modelling
 25(3-4), 154{171.
- Reichl, B. G., D. Wang, T. Hara, I. Ginis, and T. Kukulka (2016a), Langmuir turbu lence parameterization in tropical cyclone conditions, ournal of Physical Oceanography
 46(3), 863{886.
- Reichl, B. G., I. Ginis, T. Hara, B. Thomas, T. Kukulka, and D. Wang (2016b), Impact of
 sea-state-dependent Langmuir turbulence on the ocean response to a tropical cyclone,

- ⁸³⁸ Monthly Weather Revie **1**/4 **4**(12), 4569{4590.
- Rohrs, J., K. H. Christensen, L. R. Hole, G. Brostrom, M. Drivdal, and S. Sundby (2012),

⁸⁴⁰ Observation-based evaluation of surface wave e ects on currents and trajectory fore-⁸⁴¹ casts,Ocean Dynamics62(10-12), 1519{1533.

Rohrs, J., A. K. Sperrevik, K. H. Christensen, G. Brostrom, and O. Breivik (2015),

⁸⁴³ Comparison of hf radar measurements with eulerian and lagrangian surface currents,

- ⁸⁴⁴ Ocean Dynamics65(5), 679(690.
- Saetra, ., J. Albretsen, and P. A. Janssen (2007), Sea-state-dependent momentum uxes
 for ocean modeling, Journal of Physical Oceanograp (37(11), 2714 (2725).
- ⁸⁴⁷ Shchepetkin, A. F., and J. C. McWilliams (2005), The regional oceanic modeling system
- (ROMS): a split-explicit, free-surface, topography-following-coordinate oceanic model,
 Ocean modelling9(4), 347{404.
- Stokes, S. G. G. (1847), Supplement to a memoir on some cases of uid more than the memoir on some cases of uid more than the memoir of the mem
- at the Pitt Press by John W. Parker.
- ⁸⁵² Sullivan, P. P., and J. C. McWilliams (2010), Dynamics of winds and currents coupled to ⁸⁵³ surface wavesAnnual Review of Fluid Mechanjc \$2
- ⁸⁵⁴ Suzuki, N., B. Fox-Kemper, P. E. Hamlington, and L. P. Van Roekel (2016), Surface waves
 a ect frontogenesis, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceaft (5), 3597 (3624.
- Tamura, H., Y. Miyazawa, and L.-Y. Oey (2012), The Stokes drift and wave induced-mass
- ux in the north pacic, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceanis7(C8).
- ⁸⁵⁸ Thorpe, S. A. (2004), Langmuir circulation, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech, 36, 55{79.
- ⁴⁵⁹ Uchiyama, Y., J. C. McWilliams, and A. F. Shchepetkin (2010a), Wave-current interaction
- in an oceanic circulation model with a vortex-force formalism: Application to the surf

- X 44 LIU ET AL.: SPECTRAL STOKES-DRIFT PROFILE
- ⁸⁶¹ zone,Ocean Modelling34(1-2), 16{35.
- ⁸⁶² Uchiyama, Y., J. C. McWilliams, and A. F. Shchepetkin (2010b), Wave-current interaction
- in an oceanic circulation model with a vortex-force formalism: Application to the surf
- ⁸⁶⁴ zone,Ocean Modelling34(1-2), 16{35.
- ⁸⁶⁵ Umlauf, L., and H. Burchard (2003), A generic length-scale equation for geophysical ⁸⁶⁶ turbulence models, Journal of Marine Researc **b**1(2), 235{265.
- ⁸⁶⁷ Ursell, F., and G. Deacon (1950), On the theoretical form of ocean swell. on a rotating
 ⁸⁶⁸ earth, Geophysical Journal Internation al 1{8.
- van den Bremer, T. S., and . O. Breivik (2018), Stokes driftPhil. Trans. R. Soc. A,
- ⁸⁷⁰ 376(2111), 20170,104.
- Warner, J. C., C. R. Sherwood, H. G. Arango, and R. P. Signell (2005), Performance of
 four turbulence closure models implemented using a generic length scale methode;
 Modelling 8(1-2), 81{113.
- Warner, J. C., C. R. Sherwood, R. P. Signell, C. K. Harris, and H. G. Arango (2008),
- ⁸⁷⁵ Development of a three-dimensional, regional, coupled wave, current, and sedimenttransport model, Computers & Geoscience (10), 1284{1306.
- ⁸⁷⁷ Warner, J. C., B. Armstrong, R. He, and J. B. Zambon (2010), Development of a cou-⁸⁷⁸ pled ocean-atmosphere-wave-sediment transport (COAWST) modeling syste@cean ⁸⁷⁹ modelling 35(3), 230{244.
- Webb, A., and B. Fox-Kemper (2011), Wave spectral moments and stokes drift estimation,
 Ocean modelling4Q(3-4), 273{288.
- Webb, A., and B. Fox-Kemper (2015), Impacts of wave spreading and multidirectional waves on estimating Stokes drift, Dcean Modelling 96, 49{64.

- Wu, L., A. Rutgersson, and E. Sahee (2015), Upper-ocean mixing due to surface gravity waves, Journal of Geophysical Research: Ocean&Q(12), 8210{8228.
- Zambon, J. B., R. He, and J. C. Warner (2014), Investigation of hurricane Ivan us-
- ing the coupled ocean{atmosphere{wave{sediment transport (COAWST) modeDcean
- ⁸⁸⁸ Dynamics 64(11), 1535{1554.
- ⁸⁸⁹ Zhang, X., P. Chu, W. Li, C. Liu, L. Zhang, C. Shao, X. Zhang, G. Chao, and Y. Zhao
- (2018), Impact of Langmuir turbulence on the thermal response of the ocean mixed
- ⁸⁹¹ layer to super typhoon haitang (2005), Journal of Physical Oceanography
- ⁸⁹² Zijlema, M., G. P. Van Vledder, and L. Holthuijsen (2012), Bottom friction and wind
- ⁸⁹³ drag for wave models,Coastal Engineering 5 19{26.



Figure 1. Schematic illustration of four methods of estimating Stokes drift pro les for coupled ROMS-SWAN model



Figure 2. Signi cant wave height H_s (color shading) versus cross-shorex) (and alongshore (y) coordinates for (a) one-way \mathbb{R}_1); and (b) two-way (\mathbb{R}_2) coupled simulations. (c) color shading showing the di erence in H_s (i.e., $H_s j_{\mathbb{R}_2} = H_s j_{\mathbb{R}_1}$); and (d) mean seasurface elevation (, color shading) with tidal currents (orange arrows) overlaid. All results are shown after a simulation period of 12 hours. The o shore boundary is located at y = 14 km, and the white spaces in (a-d) are masked. The back-barrier region from y = 0 = 6:5km has a constant depth of 4 m.

June 7, 2020, 2:52am



Figure 3. Cross-shore component of near-surface Stokes drift (color shading) versus cross-shore χ) and alongshore χ) coordinates for one-way (a-bR₁) and two-way coupled (d-e, R₂) simulations. Stokes drift estimates in (a) and (d) are from bulk formulations (Eq. 17), while those in (b) and (e) are from spectral formulation (Eq. 21). Color shading indicating the di erences between spectral and bulk estimate of near-surface Stokes drift for one-way (i.e., $u_{S1}^{St} = u_{B1}^{St}$) and two-way (i.e., $u_{S2}^{St} = u_{B2}^{St}$) coupled simulations are shown in (c) and (f), respectively. Note that the near-surface Stokes drift is from the ROMS layer closest to the mean sea-surface. Also, note that the colorbar for (a, b, d and e) are di erent than (c, f).

X - 48

v_stokes_inlet_test.pdf

Figure 4. Same as Fig. 3, but for alongshore component of Stokes drift.



Figure 5. Color shading indicating the di erence between two-way and one-way coupled model simulation based estimates of near-surface (a) cross-shore, and (b) alongshore Stokes drift estimated using spectral formulationsi, e., $u_{S2}^{St} = u_{S1}^{St}$ and $v_{S2}^{St} = v_{S1}^{St}$. Vertical pro le of (c) cross-shore and (d) alongshore Stokes drift, and (e) wave energy versus frequency at the location indicated by green square in (a). In (c) and (d) solid lines represent spectral estimates, while dashed lines are bulk estimates. Also dashed blue and green correspond to one-way coupled, while dashed red and black correspond to two-way coupled simulations. The complete frequency-directional spectra are also shown for one-way (f) and two-way (g) coupled simulations.



Figure 6. (a) Hurricane wind forcing U_{10} and (b) Signi cant wave height H_s .



Figure 7. Near-surface Stokes drift magnitude (color shading) $(a \mathcal{W}_{B}^{St}; (b) U_{Phil}^{St}; (c) U_{Tran}^{St};$ and (d) U_{S}^{St} versus longitude and latitude for the idealized hurricane case.



Figure 8. Color shading showing di erence between near-surface Stokes drift magnitude U_{S}^{St} and U_{B}^{St} (a), U_{Tran}^{St} (b) and U_{Phil}^{St} (c) versus longitude and latitude. The probability distribution of di erences corresponding to those shown in (a), (b) and (c), are reported in (d), (e) and (f), respectively, along with the mean and the standard deviation.



Figure 9. Probability distribution of di erence between near-surface Stokes drift direction from u_{S}^{St} and u_{B}^{St} (a), u_{Tran}^{St} (b), and u_{Phil}^{St} (c).

Figure 10. (a) Near-surface Stokes drift velocity magnitude U_S^{St} versus longitude and latitude, along with selected points (1 to 5) at which the Stokes drift velocity magnitude pro le and the frequency-directional wave spectra are shown. In b-f, the blue, black, green and red lines denote U_B^{St} , U_S^{St} , U_{Phil}^{St} and U_{Tran}^{St} , respectively.