# Remote sensing vegetation indices enhance understanding of the coupling of terrestrial ecosystem evapotranspiration and photosynthesis on a global scale

Yun Bai<sup>1</sup>, Sha Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Jiahua Zhang<sup>3</sup>, Shanshan yang<sup>2</sup>, Jingwen Wang<sup>2</sup>, Enzo Magliulo<sup>4</sup>, Luca Vitale<sup>5</sup>, and Yanchuang Zhao<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Qingdao University <sup>2</sup>Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth, Chinese Academy of Sciences; University of Chinese Academy of Sciences <sup>3</sup>Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

<sup>4</sup>CNR ISAFoM

<sup>5</sup>CNR Institute for Mediterranean Agricultural and Forest Systems

<sup>6</sup>College of Information Science and Engineering, Henan University of Technology, 450001 Zhengzhou, PR China; Instituto Multidisciplinar para el Estudio del Medio "Ramón Margalef," Universidad de Alicante, 03690 San Vicente del Raspeig, Alicante, Spain.

November 28, 2022

#### Abstract

The current approaches have known limitations to understanding the coupling of terrestrial ecosystem evapotranspiration (ET) and photosynthesis (referred to as gross primary productivity, GPP). To better characterize the relationship between ET and GPP, we developed a novel remote sensing (RS)-driven approach (RCEEP) based on the underlying water use efficiency (uWUE). RCEEP partitions transpiration (T) from ET using a RS vegetation index (VI)-derived ratio of T to ET (VI-fT) and then links T and GPP via RS VI-derived Gc (VI-Gc) rather than leaf-to-air vapor pressure difference. RCEEP and other two uWUE versions (VI-T or VI-G), which only incorporate VI-fT or VI-Gc, were evaluated and compared with the original uWUE model in terms of their performances (Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency, NSE) in estimating GPP from ET over 180 flux sites covering 11 biome types over the globe. Results revealed better performances of VI-T and VI-G compared to the original uWUE, implying remarkable contributions of VI-fT and VI-Gc to a more meaningful relationship between ET and GPP. RCEEP yielded the best performances with a reasonable mean NSE value of 0.70 (0.76) on a daily (monthly) scale and across all biome types. Further comparisons of RCEEP and approaches modified from recent studies revealed consistently better performances of RCEEP and thus, positive implications of introducing VI-fT and VI-Gc in bridging ecosystem ET and GPP. These results are promising in view of improving or developing algorithms on coupled estimates of ecosystem ET and GPP and understanding the GPP dynamics concerning ET on a global scale.

#### Hosted file

supporting-information-tab\_in\_template.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/546665/
articles/606884-remote-sensing-vegetation-indices-enhance-understanding-of-the-couplingof-terrestrial-ecosystem-evapotranspiration-and-photosynthesis-on-a-global-scale

Hosted file

supporting-information-text\_in\_template.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/546665/
articles/606884-remote-sensing-vegetation-indices-enhance-understanding-of-the-couplingof-terrestrial-ecosystem-evapotranspiration-and-photosynthesis-on-a-global-scale

| 1  | Remote sensing vegetation indices enhance understanding of the  |
|----|---|
| 2  | coupling of terrestrial ecosystem evapotranspiration and  |
| 3  | photosynthesis on a global scale  |
| 4  |   |
| 5  | Bai Yun <sup>1*</sup> , Zhang Sha <sup>1</sup> , Zhang Jiahua <sup>1,2</sup> , Wang Jingwen <sup>2,3</sup> , Yang Shanshan <sup>2</sup> , |
| 6  | Vincenzo Magliulo <sup>4</sup> , Luca Vitale <sup>4</sup> , Zhao Yanchuang <sup>5,6</sup>   |
| 7  | 1 Centre for Remote Sensing and Digital Earth, College of Computer Science and Technology,  |
| 8  | Qingdao University, 266071 Qingdao, China.  |
| 9  | 2 Key Laboratory of Digital Earth Science, Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth,   |
| 10 | Aerospace Information Research Institute Chinese Academy of Sciences, 100094 Beijing, China.  |
| 11 | 3 EMMAH UMR 1114, INRAE, 84914 Avignon, France  |
| 12 | 4 CNR Institute for Mediterranean Agricultural and Forest Systems, Via Patacca, 85, Ercolano  |
| 13 | (Napoli), Italy   |
| 14 | 5 College of Information Science and Engineering, Henan University of Technology,   |
| 15 | 450001 Zhengzhou, PR China<br>6 Institute Multidissipliner nere al Estudio del Madia "Damán Margalof". Universidad de                     |
| 17 | Alicante, 03690 San Vicente del Raspeig, Alicante, Spain.   |
| 18 | * Corresponding author: Bai Yun, Email: baiyun@qdu.edu.cn   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 | Key points:   |
| 21 | • We proposed a novel remote sensing approach to coupling ecosystem   |
| 22 | evapotranspiration (ET) and photosynthesis (GPP) (RCEEP)  |
| 23 | • RCEEP performed reliably and better than existing methods as to   |
| 24 | reproducing GPP from ET on a global scale   |
| 25 | • Remote sensing vegetation indices used in RCEEP remarkably  |
| 26 | contribute to the more meaningful relationship between ET and GPP   |
| 27 |   |

1

#### 28 Abstract

29 The current approaches have known limitations to understanding the coupling of terrestrial 30 ecosystem evapotranspiration (ET) and photosynthesis (referred to as gross primary productivity, 31 GPP). To better characterize the relationship between ET and GPP, we developped a novel 32 remote sensing (RS)-driven approach (RCEEP) based on the underlying water use efficiency 33 (uWUE). RCEEP partitions transpiration (T) from ET using a RS vegetation index (VI)-derived 34 ratio of T to ET (VI- $f_T$ ) and then links T and GPP via RS VI-derived  $G_c$  (VI- $G_c$ ) rather than 35 leaf-to-air vapor pressure difference. RCEEP and other two uWUE versions (VI-T or VI-G), 36 which only incorporate VI- $f_T$  or VI- $G_c$ , were evaluated and compared with the original uWUE 37 model in terms of their performances (Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency, NSE) in estimating GPP from 38 ET over 180 flux sites covering 11 biome types over the globe. Results revealed better 39 performances of VI-T and VI-G compared to the original uWUE, implying remarkable contributions of  $VI-f_T$  and  $VI-G_c$  to a more meaningful relationship between ET and GPP. 40 41 RCEEP yielded the best performances with a reasonable mean NSE value of 0.70 (0.76) on a 42 daily (monthly) scale and across all biome types. Further comparisons of RCEEP and approaches 43 modified from recent studies revealed consistently better performances of RCEEP and thus, 44 positive implications of introducing VI- $f_T$  and VI- $G_c$  in bridging ecosystem ET and GPP. These 45 results are promising in view of improving or developing algorithms on coupled estimates of 46 ecosystem ET and GPP and understanding the GPP dynamics concerning ET on a global scale.

47 Plain Language Summary

Evapotranspiration and photosynthesis processes of land ecosystems are mutuallyaffected. Reasonable representations of the relationship between the two processes

are important for us to understand the way the environment changes under the 50 background of climate change. However, existing models that represent the 51 52 evapotranspiration-photosynthesis relationship have several known limitations. To better characterize the evapotranspiration-photosynthesis relationship, we developed 53 54 a novel approach to bridging evapotranspiration and photosynthesis based on vegetation information remotely sensed by satellite. We found that the novel 55 approach could present a more meaningful relationship between ecosystem 56 57 evapotranspiration and photosynthesis than the existing methods over the globe. This 58 finding reveals positive implications of introducing remotely sensed vegetation information in reasonably representing the evapotranspiration-photosynthesis 59 60 relationship. Moreover, the novel approach we developed paves a way for more 61 insightful understanding of the evapotranspiration and photosynthesis of land 62 ecosystems and their relationship.

63

Keywords: Remote sensing; Vegetation indices; Evapotranspiration; Gross primary
 productivity; Terrestrial ecosystems; Global

## 66 **1 Introduction**

67 Terrestrial ecosystem evapotranspiration (ET) and photosynthesis (referred to as gross 68 primary productivity, GPP) play important roles in land-atmosphere material and energy 69 exchanges. The two processes are also closely coupled (Beer et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2014) due 70 to the dominating role of transpiration (*T*) in evapotranspiration (ET) (Jasechko et al., 2013; Li et al., 2019; Stoy et al., 2019) and the combined relationship between *T* and carbon assimilation (*A*)
due to the common stomatal pathway (Cowan and Farquhar, 1977; Medlyn et al., 2011) over
global terrestrial biomes. Therefore, the knowledge of the quantitative correlation between
ecosystem ET and GPP can provide insightful views on modeling and understanding the earth
systems. However, the relationship between ET and GPP on an ecosystem level is still only
partly understood (Boese et al., 2017), so that more robust and general approaches are urgently
needed.

78 Established theories to express the quantitative relationship between T and A are available 79 from leaf to ecosystem-level (Beer et al., 2009; Medlyn et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2014). 80 Representing stomatal behavior is the key to couple the water and carbon exchanges between the 81 plant and environment as both water loss and carbon up-taking are dominated by stomata 82 (Cowan and Farquhar, 1977; Ball et al., 1987; Collatz et al., 1991; Leuning, 1995). The long-standing theory of optimal stomatal behavior (TOSB) (Cowan and Farquhar, 1977) and the 83 experiment of Mott and Parkhurst (1991) indicate a direct response of stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$ 84 85 to leaf-to-air vapor pressure difference (D). Analytical stomatal conductance model of Medlyn et al. (2011) following this TOSB consistently demonstrated the response of  $g_s$  to  $\sqrt{D}$  and thus 86 87 the dependence of the coupling of T and A on D on a leaf-level (see also Appendix C). The importance of D in coupling ecosystem-level ET and GPP was also widely recognized (Beer et 88 89 al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2014, 2015; Cheng et al., 2017). Assuming steady-state environmental 90 conditions with a constant value of  $c_i/c_a$  allows for bridging ecosystem-level T and A (i.e., GPP) 91 via the inherent water use efficiency (IWUE) (Beer et al., 2009), which is defined as IWUE =92 GPP  $\cdot D/T = c_a(1 - c_i/c_a)/1.6$  (see also Appendix C), where  $c_i$  and  $c_a$  denotes the inner-leaf

| 93  | and ambient $CO_2$ partial pressure, D is substituted by VPD, and T is approximated by ET. To                |
|-----|--|
| 94  | enhance the relationship between $T$ and GPP under a changing environment, Zhou et al. (2014)                |
| 95  | approximated $(1 - c_i/c_a)$ as a proportion to $\sqrt{D}$ as indicated by Lloyd and Farquhar (1994)         |
| 96  | and introduced the concept of Underlying Water Use Efficiency (uWUE) to link $T$ and GPP (see                |
| 97  | also Section 2.1). uWUE can lead to a more reliable relationship between ecosystem $T$ (using ET             |
| 98  | as a surrogate) and GPP than did IWUE under changing environments, i.e., $uWUE \cdot T =$                    |
| 99  | GPP/ $\sqrt{D}$ , which is robust from hourly to yearly scales (Zhou et al., 2014, 2015).                    |
| 100 | However, the ecosystem-level relationships between ET and GPP over global terrestrial                        |
| 101 | biomes are biased by the presence of the evaporation components of ET, e.g. soil evaporation                 |
| 102 | $(E_{\rm s})$ , and the difficulties to access the true value of D. uWUE uses ET to approximate T (Zhou et   |
| 103 | al., 2014, 2015), an approach prone to errors, since <i>T</i> is not a constant fraction of ET (Wang et al., |
| 104 | 2014; Wei et al., 2017; Lian et al., 2018; Stoy et al., 2019). Multiple studies revealed variable            |
| 105 | contributions of T or $E_s$ to ET over global biomes (Cavanaugh et al., 2011; Gu et al., 2018; Lian          |
| 106 | et al., 2018; Perez-Priego et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019). As $E_s$ is free from the effect of stomatal      |
| 107 | conductance $(g_s)$ which is in turn regulated by $D$ (Leuning, 1995; Medlyn et al., 2011), uWUE             |
| 108 | may fail to represent the relationship between ET and GPP of ecosystems with changing $E_s/ET$               |
| 109 | values. Since the true value of $D$ is difficult to be obtained, uWUE uses VPD as an approximate             |
| 110 | (Zhou et al., 2014, 2015). However, VPD significantly deviates from $D$ due to significant                   |
| 111 | temperature differences between leaf (or canopy) and ambient air (Friedl, 1995; Nelson and                   |
| 112 | Bugbee, 2015), under drought (Almeida, 1986; Olufayo et al., 1993), as well as under                         |
| 113 | well-watered conditions (Jackson et al., 1981; Idso, 1982; Idso et al., 1982a; Idso et al., 1982b).          |
| 114 | The above issues relevant for a successful implementation of the uWUE approach can be                        |

| 115 | addressed using remote sensing (RS) techniques. Efforts devoted to partition $T$ from ET revealed                    |
|-----|--|
| 116 | a great impact of vegetation information that can be remotely sensed on the value of $E_s/ET$ or                     |
| 117 | <i>T</i> /ET (denoted as $f_{\rm T}$ thereafter) (Wang et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2016; Wei et al., 2017; Gu et al., |
| 118 | 2018; Perez-Priego et al., 2018). For example, Wang et al. (2014) and Wei et al. (2017) showed                       |
| 119 | tight correlations between the value of $f_{\rm T}$ and vegetation leaf area index (LAI). On the other end,          |
| 120 | the problem connected with a proper assessment of $D$ was rarely focused (Drake et al., 2017; Li                     |
| 121 | et al., 2019), due to the difficulty to acquire accurate canopy temperature or transpiration                         |
| 122 | information over broad regions or long terms. Li et al. (2019) and Drake et al. (2017) used $VPD_l$                  |
| 123 | instead of VPD to approximate $D$ ; however, it should be noted that $VPD_l$ is also affected by soil                |
| 124 | evaporation. In the uWUE approach, $D$ was harnessed for representing the stomatal effects on                        |
| 125 | the photosynthesis-transpiration relationship. On the other hand, using $g_s$ rather than D to                       |
| 126 | couple ET and GPP could be more straightforward, while canopy level $g_s$ (canopy conductance,                       |
| 127 | dented as $G_c$ ) can be reasonably characterized by RS vegetation indices (VIs) (Yebra et al., 2013)                |
| 128 | Bai et al., 2017).   |

uWUE presents a concise and effective approach to coupling ecosystem ET and GPP but its
effectiveness is limited by the sensible differences between ET and *T*, and VPD and *D*. The two
issues can be potentially addressed by application of RS VIs. We exploited RS-driven approaches
to coupling ecosystem ET and GPP with three main objectives:

133 (1) Modify the uWUE approach by linking *T* and GPP via  $G_c$  rather than *D*;

- 134 (2) Propose a novel RS-driven approach to coupling ecosystem ET and GPP based on the 135 modified uWUE as mentioned in (1) by characterizing  $f_{\rm T}$  and  $G_{\rm c}$  using RS VIs;
- 136 (3) Compare the performances between the RS-driven approach, two uWUE-derived

| 137 |     | versions which only use RS-retrieved $f_{\rm T}$ or $G_{\rm c}$ , and the original version of uWUE, |
|-----|-----|---|
| 138 |     | concerning reproducing daily and monthly-scale GPP from ET over 180 flux sites                      |
| 139 |     | covering multiple biome types over the globe.   |
| 140 | (4) | Furtherly explore the differences in performance between the novel RS-driven approach               |
| 141 |     | and other methods modified from recent studies, which aimed to reasonably partition $T$             |
| 142 |     | from ET or link ET and GPP on an ecosystem level, concerning estimating GPP from                    |
| 143 |     | ET on a daily scale and over the flux sites used in (3).  |

## 144 **2 Materials and Methods**

## 145 2.1 An overview of the underlying water use efficiency

146 The underlying water use efficiency (uWUE) proposed by Zhou et al. (2014) provides an easy approach to coupling ecosystem-level T and A and is robust from hourly to yearly scales 147 148 (Zhou et al., 2015). The uWUE is derived from Inherent Water Use Efficiency (IWUE) (Beer et 149 al., 2009), which incorporates D to link A and T under the steady-state condition with a 150 constant  $c_i/c_a$  value. Zhou et al. (2014) developed uWUE by integrating the expressions of A and T following the Fick's law (Beer et al., 2009; Nobel, 2009) and assuming  $c_i/c_a$ , to be 151 proportional to  $\sqrt{D}$  (Lloyd and Farquhar, 1994), on the basis of the theory of optimal stomatal 152 behavior (TOSB) (Cowan and Farquhar, 1977). The following equation represents the 153 relationship between T and A through uWUE. 154

155 
$$w \cdot T = A \cdot \sqrt{D}, \qquad (1)$$

where w denotes the underlying water use efficiency (uWUE:  $\mu$ mol C (mol H<sub>2</sub>O)<sup>-1</sup> kPa<sup>0.5</sup>), which is supposed to remain constant for a specific biome (Zhou et al., 2014); T is the

7

transpiration measured in mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; and *D* represents the leaf-to-air vapor pressure deficit measured in kPa.

160 At the ecosystem level, Eq.(1) can be expressed as the following equation:

161 
$$w \cdot T = \text{GPP} \cdot \sqrt{D}$$
, (2)

162 where T is approximated by ET in Zhou et al. (2014). D is not an easily acquired factor on a 163 regional scale, therefore Zhou et al. (2014) and Zhou et al. (2015) used VPD to approximate D, assuming leaf temperature is the same as air temperature, a hypothesis widely accepted (Medlyn 164 165 et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2016; Boese et al., 2017; Medlyn et al., 2017). However, VPD may fail 166 to properly approximate D, as considerable differences in temperature between leaf and air are commonly found (Jackson et al., 1981; Idso et al., 1982b; Almeida, 1986; Olufayo et al., 1993; 167 168 Nelson and Bugbee, 2015), which may induce substantial uncertainties in representing the 169 transpiration-photosynthesis relationship.

- 170 2.2 Remote sensing-driven approach to Coupling Ecosystem Evapotranspiration and171 Photosynthesis (RCEEP)
- 172 2.2.1 Linking ecosystem ET and GPP via canopy conductance

Stomata is the main pathway for water loss and carbon uptake of plant leaves (Cowan and Farquhar, 1977; Beer et al., 2009; Medlyn et al., 2011), and D (Eq.(2)) in the uWUE approach is harnessed for representing the effect of stomatal conductance on the transpiration-photosynthesis relationship. Therefore, a more straightforward approach to coupling ET and GPP is to represent their relationship in terms of the  $g_s$  ( $G_c$  on a canopy or ecosystem level).  $G_c$  and D are linked by the following relationship, according to Fick's law (Beer et al., 2009; Nobel, 2009):

179 
$$T = \frac{D \cdot G_{\rm c}}{P_{\rm a}},\tag{3}$$

180 where  $G_c$  is measured in mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>;  $P_a$  is the atmosphere pressure (kPa). We can integrate 181 Eq.(2) with (3) to eliminate *D* and derive the following equations to represent the relationship 182 between ET and GPP in terms of  $G_c$ .

184  $T = f_{\rm T} \cdot {\rm ET} \,, \tag{5}$ 

185 where  $f_{\rm T}$  denotes the proportion of vegetation transpiration, *T*, in ET.

186 2.2.2 Representing 
$$G_c$$
 and  $f_T$  using RS VIs

187 2.2.2.1 
$$G_c$$
 in terms of EVI

188 Satellite-retrieved near-infrared vegetation indices are capable of characterizing the 189 seasonal variations in  $G_c$ . (Zhang et al., 2009; Yebra et al., 2013; Bai et al., 2018). In this study, 190 we incorporate a simple relationship between  $G_c$  and satellite-retrieved enhanced vegetation 191 index (EVI).

$$G_{c} = k_{G} \cdot sEVI, \tag{6}$$

193 
$$sEVI = \max(EVI - EVI_{soil}, 0) \cdot (1 - p) + p, \qquad (7)$$

where  $k_{\rm G}$  is a multiplier scaling sEVI to  $G_{\rm c}$ ; sEVI denotes the scaled EVI value; EVI<sub>soil</sub> denotes the EVI value of soil; *p* denotes the minimum value of sEVI and is fixed to 0.01 in this study. While Yebra et al. (2013) proposed a nonlinear correlation, we propose a linear relationship between EVI and  $G_{\rm c}$ , because we found EVI could linearly correlate to  $GPP/(C_{\rm a} \cdot \sqrt{VPD})$ , which is scaled with  $G_{\rm c}$ , as indicated by Medlyn et al. (2011). We linearly fitted  $GPP/(C_{\rm a} \cdot \sqrt{VPD}) = \text{slope} \times \text{sEVI}$  to derive  $EVI_{\rm soil}$  using the least-square method along with daily tower-derived GPP of 180 flux sites (see also Section 2.4.1), and the value of

201 EVI<sub>soil</sub> turned out to be 0.10 (
$$R^2 = 0.47$$
).

202 Canopy conductance, G<sub>c</sub>, is also regulated by many environmental parameters, e.g. air temperature, solar radiation, and leaf water potential, in addition to EVI, and thus may be more 203 204 reasonably estimated by a more complicated formulation of  $G_{\rm c}$ , in which these factors were accounted for. Here, we considered such a formulation,  $G_c = k \cdot (\text{sEVI} \cdot \phi)^b$  (see also Text S1), 205 206 where k and b are empirical coefficients and  $\phi$  denotes the surface wetness, calculated as the 207 ratio of actual ET to Priestley-Taylor equation derived ET potential (Priestley and Taylor, 1972). 208 Previous studies have found  $\phi$  tightly and nonlinearly correlated to surface conductance 209 (Baldocchi and Xu, 2007; Ryu et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2015). Therefore,  $\phi$  can effectively represent the environmental constraints on  $G_c$ , and the term  $k \cdot (\text{sEVI} \cdot \phi)^b$  could be a better 210 211 approximation for  $G_c$  than  $k_G \cdot sEVI$ . However, we found that such a  $G_c$  formulation showed 212 no tendencies to facilitate a more meaningful relationship between ET and GPP (see also Section 213 4.2 and Text S1), as compared with that simply estimated using Eq.(6).

214 2.2.2.2  $f_{\rm T}$  in terms of NDVI

Ecosystem ET is by definition different from *T* as the contribution of soil evaporation is in most cases significant (Cavanaugh et al., 2011; Gu et al., 2018; Perez-Priego et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019). *T* could be partitioned from ET based on Eq. (5), where  $f_T$  is unknown. Efforts have been devoted to estimate  $f_T$  and indicated the potential of resolving this issue using remote sensing techniques (Cavanaugh et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2016; Gu et al., 2018; Perez-Priego et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019). In this study, we evaluate a simple RS approach to approximating  $f_T$ . As ET is primarily forced by solar radiation (Wang et al., 2010; Boese et al., 2017), we assume  $f_{\rm T}$  is proportional to the Fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation ( $f_{\rm PAR}$ ) (Sims et al.,

# 223 2005) and estimate the former as follows:

224 
$$f_{\rm T} = k_{\rm T} \cdot f_{\rm PAR} = k_{\rm T} \cdot (1.24 \times \text{NDVI} - 0.168),$$
 (8)

where  $k_{\rm T}$  denotes the ratio of  $f_{\rm T}$  to  $f_{\rm PAR}$  (dimensionless). A similar approximation was made by Cheng et al. (2017), who also used  $f_{\rm PAR}$  to approximate  $f_{\rm T}$  but calculated  $f_{\rm PAR}$  in terms of Beer's Law along with RS-derived LAI. However, due to large uncertainties existing in nowadays' LAI products (Yang et al., 2007; Jin et al., 2017), we used NDVI instead of LAI to compute  $f_{\rm PAR}$ . We symbolized  $f_{\rm T}$  computed according to Eq. (8) as NDVI- $f_{\rm T}$ .

## 230 2.2.3 RS-driven coupling of ET and GPP

231 Integrating Eq.(4) with Eq.(6) and (8), we can derive an original formulation linking 232 ecosystem ET and GPP we termed 'Remote sensing-driven approach to Coupling Ecosystem Evapotranspiration and Photosynthesis' (RCEEP), representing a novel remote sensing-driven 233 234 approach to coupling these two fluxes. We then compare the performances of RCEEP with the original version of the uWUE (Table 1), which uses ET and VPD to approximate T and D, 235 236 respectively, for calculating GPP from ET. For better clarifying how RS VIs could facilitate more 237 meaningful relationships between ET and GPP, we also evaluate two additional versions of 238 RS-based approaches modified from the uWUE. The first one, formulated following Eq.(2) 239 (VI-T in Table 1), incorporates the NDVI-derived  $f_{\rm T}$  to calculate T from ET (Eq.(5) and (8)) and approximates D by VPD. The second one, formulated following Eq. (4) (VI-G in Table 1), only 240 241 incorporates EVI- $G_c$  (Eq. (6)) and approximate T by ET. If the use of either NDVI- $f_T$  or EVI- $G_c$ 

- 242 plays a positive role in improving the coupling of ecosystem ET and GPP, then VI-T, VI-G, and
- 243 RCEEP should all perform better than the uWUE, and RCEEP is supposed to perform the best.
- 244

245 Table 1 Analytical expression of uWUE, VI-T, VI-G, and RCEEP models.<sup>a</sup>

| Model<br>Name | RS-derived factors considered          | Formulation  |
|---------------|--|--|
| uWUE          | -                                      | $GPP = w \cdot ET / \sqrt{VPD}$  |
| VI-T          | NDVI- <i>f</i> <sub>T</sub>            | $\text{GPP} = \left( w \cdot k_{\text{T}} \right) \cdot \left( f_{\text{PAR}} \cdot \text{ET} \right) / \sqrt{\text{VPD}} ,$   |
| VI-G          | EVI-G <sub>c</sub>                     | $\mathbf{GPP} = \left(w \cdot \sqrt{k_{\mathrm{G}}}\right) \cdot \sqrt{\mathbf{sEVI} \cdot \mathbf{ET} \cdot P_{\mathrm{a}}^{-1}}$   |
| RCEEP         | NDVI- $f_{\rm T}$ and EVI- $G_{\rm c}$ | $\mathbf{GPP} = \left( w \cdot \sqrt{k_{\mathrm{G}} \cdot k_{\mathrm{T}}} \right) \cdot \sqrt{\mathbf{sEVI} \cdot f_{\mathrm{PAR}} \cdot \mathbf{ET} \cdot P_{\mathrm{a}}^{-1}}$ |

### 246 2.3 Models' Calibration and Evaluation

247 Undetermined constants need to be estimated in order to numerically define the 248 relationships linking GPP and ET according to the models presented in Table 1. These 249 coefficients are determined by fitting each model (or equation) using the least-square method and 250 on the basis of observed flux-derived (referred to as 'observed') daily-scale GPP (GPPobs) and ET  $(\lambda E_{obs})$  of the flux sites described in 2.4. To avoid the confounding effect of evaporation of 251 rainfall intercepted by the canopy, we only use data from rain-free days. Data records with 252  $\text{GPP}_{\text{obs}} \leq 1 \, \mu\text{mol} \, \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  were also removed. Each value of  $w \cdot k_{\text{T}}, w \cdot \sqrt{k_{\text{G}}}$ , and  $w \cdot \sqrt{k_{\text{G}} \cdot k_{\text{T}}}$  in 253 254 VI-T, VI-G, and RCEEP is treated as a single quantity. Coefficients for each model were 255 determined by directly fitting corresponding equations and are reported in Appendix A.

The four approaches presented in Table 1 are evaluated by comparing GPP estimated (GPP<sub>est</sub>) using these models, along with  $\lambda E_{obs}$  and other required inputs, against the observed GPP (GPP<sub>obs</sub>) of flux sites on a daily and monthly scale. Monthly GPP<sub>est</sub> is not the simple average of daily estimates but was rather estimated using the fitted equations with required inputs. We use data of both rainy and rain-free days for the validations. We use the
Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency, NSE, (Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970; Krause et al., 2005) to measure the
performances of each model (Table 1), calculated as follows:

263 
$$NSE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (p_i - o_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (o_i - \overline{o})^2},$$
 (9)

where  $o_i$  and  $p_i$  denote observed and model-predicted values,  $\bar{o}$  the average of observed values and *N* represents the total number of samples. The value of the index ranges from  $-\infty$  to 1 (perfect fit). Large and positive NSE values relate to good performances of the model, while values lower than zero indicates that the mean of the observations would have been a better estimate than the value predicted by the model.

269 The comparisons between the four models presented in Table 1 are carried out to 270 demonstrate the importance of NDVI- $f_{T}$  and EVI- $G_{c}$  in the RCEEP. However, considering the 271 recent efforts to partitioning T from ET or representing more meaningful relationships between 272 ET and GPP on an ecosystem level, it is worthwhile to clarify the differences in performances 273 between RCEEP and approaches modified from recent studies. Therefore, we compare RCEEP 274 with three additional approaches modified from recent works that aimed to reasonably partition T275 from ET or link ET and GPP on an ecosystem level. We considered three approaches modified 276 from recent studies, (1) RCEEP incorporating  $f_{\rm T}$  derived from the Priestley-Taylor Jet 277 Propulsion Laboratory (PT-JPL) (Fisher et al., 2008; Gu et al., 2018) (RCEEP-JPL), (2) WUE and ET-based carbon uptake model (WEC) (Cheng et al., 2017), and (3) uWUE incorporating 278 279 solar radiation  $(R_g)$  (uWUE-Rg) (Boese et al., 2017). Details of the three approaches can be 280 found in Appendix D. Both RCEEP-JPL and WEC are optimized and compared with RCEEP for

each biome types on a daily scale; while we optimize and run RCEEP and uWUE-Rg for each 281 282 site on a daily scale, and the comparison between the two models is carried out on a biome level 283 in terms of the values of their performance metric (i.e., NSE) aggregated from site-scale measurements. WEC considers canopy interception evaporation  $(E_i)$ , however, modeling this ET 284 285 component is beyond the scope of this study. For a fair comparison between RCEEP, RCEEP-JPL, and WEC, we used only rain-free days' data. As uWUE-Rg is designed for only 286 287 rain-free days (Boese et al., 2017), we also remove data of rainy days in the comparison between 288 RCEEP and uWUE-Rg.

- 289 2.4 Data and data processing
- 290 2.4.1 Flux site data

291 Site-scale observations of GPP, ET (derived from latent heat flux,  $\lambda E$ ) and required meteorological data in this study were retrieved from the FLUXNET2015 Tier 2 data (available 292 at https://fluxnet.fluxdata.org/). This dataset provides hourly (or half-hourly), daily, weekly, 293 294 monthly, and yearly water, carbon and energy fluxes as well as meteorological data. All network 295 sites assess turbulent fluxes by means of the eddy covariance, a method that is often prone to energy imbalance issues, i.e., the sum of the observed latent heat flux and sensible heat flux is 296 297 different from the available energy. We removed sites with an energy balance closure ratio  $(R_a)$ 298 values that were smaller than 0.60 or greater than 1.30. The  $R_a$  is calculated as the following.

299 
$$R_{\rm a} = \frac{\lambda E + H}{R_{\rm a} - G}$$

300 where *H*,  $R_n$ , and *G* denote the site level sensible heat flux, surface net radiation, and soil heat 301 flux respectively, all measured in W m<sup>-2</sup>. In addition, a site affected by prolonged snow cover was also removed. Finally, we preserved 180 flux sites (Figure 1; see also supporting information
in Table S1), which cover 13 different biome types and represent a wide range of climate
conditions.

305 Although FLUXNET2015 dataset also provides  $\lambda E$  and H corrected for energy balance 306 enclosure by partitioning the residual energy between the two main dissipative heat fluxes on the 307 basis of the Bowen ratio (Twine et al., 2000), we used the original observations, since this approach may fail in the case of short eddy covariance towers, that primarily sample small eddies. 308 309 Over a heterogeneous landscape, Bowen ratios of small eddies are different from those of large 310 eddies, which makes the energy balance closure correction factor hardly applicable (Foken, 311 2008). For GPP, we used the variable termed "GPP NT VUT REF", where NT indicates the 312 nighttime data-based method (Reichstein et al., 2005; Lasslop et al., 2010), VUT denotes the 313 varied friction velocity (u\*) threshold for filtering NEE data, and REF denotes the reference NEE 314 value, which is the value most similar to the other 39 ones out of 40 NEE estimates. For more 315 information concerning the derivation of GPP in FLUXNET2015 dataset, please refer to 316 http://fluxnet.fluxdata.org/data/fluxnet2015-dataset/data-processing/.



317 318 Figure 1 Distribution of the 180 flux stations used for this study over the globe (some sites appear overlapped). 319 These sites are categorized into 13 groups in terms of biome types:  $CRO_C3 = C3$  crops;  $CRO_C4 = C4$  crops; 320 CSH = close shrub; DBF = deciduous broadleaf forest; DNF = deciduous needleleaf forest; EBF = every green 321 broadleaf forest; ENF = evergreen needleleaf forest; GRA = grassland; MF = mixed forest; OSH = open shrub; 322 SAV = savannah; WET = wetland; and WSA = woody savannah. Frequency of each biome is as follows: 323 CRO\_C3: 8, CRO\_C3\_C4: 8, CRO\_C4: 2, CSH: 2, DBF: 21, DNF: 1, EBF: 14, ENF: 41, GRA: 34, MF: 8, OSH: 324 12, SAV: 8, WET: 15 and WSA: 6. 'CRO\_C3\_C4' denotes a crop site where both C3 and C4 crops were grown 325 for at least one growing season. The Projection and Geographic Coordinate Systems of this map are 'World 326 Robinson' and 'WGS-84' and the central meridian is 0°.

We used daily data to calibrate and evaluate all models (see also section 2.3). Daily  $\lambda E$  and GPP in the FLUXNET2015 dataset were the averages of hourly (or half-hourly, both hourly and half-hourly are referred to as hourly thereafter) values. However, if a large proportion of hourly values were unavailable, the daily value would be unreliable. For this reason, we removed daily  $\lambda E$  or GPP data including more than 50% missing hourly values.

332 2.4.2 Remote sensing vegetation indices

333 Two vegetation indices, NDVI and EVI, were computed using the MODIS reflectance

bands.

336 
$$EVI = 2.5 \frac{\rho_{\text{NIR}} - \rho_{\text{RED}}}{\rho_{\text{NIR}} + 6.0\rho_{\text{RED}} - 7.5\rho_{\text{BLUE}} + 1} , \qquad (11)$$

337 where  $\rho_{\rm NIR}$ ,  $\rho_{\rm RED}$ , and  $\rho_{\rm BLUE}$  denote the reflectance of near-infrared, red, and blue bands, 338 respectively, which were retrieved from the MOD09A1 product that has a temporal resolution of 339 8 days and spatial resolution of 500 m. We used the 'Global Subsets Tool', available on the website of Oak Ridge National Laboratory (https://modis.ornl.gov/data.html), to retrieve the 340 341 reflectance data for each location from the pixel where the site is located. We removed 342 low-quality pixels (surface covered by snow or cloud) at each site and calculated NDVI and EVI 343 from the remaining data. The quality-controlled 8-day NDVI or EVI was then linearly 344 interpolated into daily values, using the nearest available data in the time sequence.

#### 345 **3 Results**

## 346 3.1 Cross-biome evaluation and analyses of RCEEP

347 Cross-validations were carried out to compare the performances of the uWUE, VI-T, VI-G, 348 and RCEEP (Figure 2), parameterized with biome-specific factors (Appendix A) across all 349 biomes (Figure 1) on a daily and monthly scale. The three RS-based approaches, VI-T, VI-G, and 350 RCEEP, proved more efficient in reproducing daily and monthly GPP, featuring higher NSE 351 values compared to uWUE, in which T and D are approximated by ET and VPD, respectively (Figure 3). On a daily scale, VI-T (NSE=0.52) and VI-G (NSE=0.71) featured better 352 353 performances than the original version of uWUE (NSE=0.44), which uses no VI-derived factors, 354 while RCEEP (using both NDVI- $f_T$  and EVI- $G_c$ ) showed the best performance, with an NSE value of 0.73. On a monthly scale, each model exhibited improved performance, while rankings in terms of NSE values were consistent, i.e., RCEEP > VI-G > VI-T > uWUE. Results provide evidence that incorporating both NDVI- $f_T$  and EVI- $G_c$  in the model can significantly contribute

to improving the derivation of GPP on the basis of ET, at both daily and monthly scale.



361 RCEEP) with GPP observed at the FLUXNET ecosystem sites  $(GPP_{obs})$  on a daily ((a1) - (a4)) and monthly ((b1)362 - (b4)) scale.

# 363 3.2 Biome-level evaluation and analyses of RCEEP

359

360

For each biome type, we again evaluated uWUE, VI-T, VI-G, and RCEEP (see also Table 1) 364 parameterized with biome-specific parameters (Appendix A) with regard to reproducing GPP 365 366 from ET on a daily and monthly scale (Figure 3 and Appendix B). Also, in this case, all four models featured better behavior at the monthly scale (Appendix B), since average monthly NSE 367 368 values of the uWUE, VI-T, VI-G, and RCEEP were greater than daily values across all biome types, with the exception of CRO C3 and C4 for VI-G, and RCEEP. Results highlight an 369 370 inconsistent effect of incorporating NDVI- $f_{T}$  and EVI- $G_{c}$  on coupling ET and GPP over 371 different biomes. VI-T and VI-G performed better than uWUE for most biome types (Figure 3)

| 372 | and RCEEP was the best across all biomes except for EBF and SAV at both the daily and                      |
|-----|--|
| 373 | monthly scale (Appendix B). However, NDVI apparently failed to reflect the seasonal variations             |
| 374 | in $f_{\rm T}$ of EBF, since the inclusion of NDVI- $f_{\rm T}$ degraded the performances of both VI-T and |
| 375 | RCEEP, while VI-G performed the best. On the other hand, VI-T and VI-G showed respectively                 |
| 376 | worse and better performances than uWUE, in relation to SAV and WSA, while RCEEP                           |
| 377 | performed similarly or better than VI-G (Figure 3 and Appendix B). Therefore, the inclusion of             |
| 378 | the sole NDVI- $f_T$ act negatively on coupling ET and GPP as compared to uWUE, but it needs to            |
| 379 | be implemented along with EVI- $G_c$ . As a whole, RCEEP can perform better than uWUE, VI-T,               |
| 380 | and VI-G over most biome types and reasonably calculate GPP from ET across all biome types                 |
| 381 | with mean NSE values of $0.70$ and $0.76$ on a daily and monthly scale.                                    |



Figure 3 NSE values for validating GPP<sub>est</sub> by four models (uWUE, VI-T, VI-G, and RCEEP) against GPP<sub>obs</sub> over 11 biome types on a daily (a) and monthly (b) scale. Here, we refer CSH/OSH or ENF/DNF as a unique biome type, because a single DNF and two CSH sites only were present in the dataset. Abbreviations of biome type are as in Figure 1.

382

387 3.3 Comparing RCEEP with RCEEP-JPL, WEC, and uWUE-Rg

388 The performances of RCEEP, RCEEP-JPL, and WEC parameterized with biome-specific factors are shown in Figure 4 for each biome type, while Figure 5 presents biome-level 389 390 comparisons between RCEEP and uWUE-Rg. Both Figure 4 and Figure 5 revealed better 391 performances of RCEEP to reproduce GPP from ET on a daily scale for each biome type, on the 392 basis of significantly greater NSE values, compared with other approaches under investigation. 393 The biome level NSE values of RCEEP, RCEEP-JPL, and WEC are 0.71 ( $\pm$ 0.14), 0.67 ( $\pm$ 0.13), 394 and 0.55 ( $\pm$ 0.19), respectively, where values in parentheses are  $\pm$ 1 standard deviation of NSE 395 across all biome types. These results indicated that NDVI- $f_{T}$  provided more effective estimates 396 of the 'real'  $f_{\rm T}$  than did the PT-JPL model. Although WEC used NDVI- $f_{\rm T}$ , it performed worse 397 than both RCEEP and RCEEP-JPL. This highlights the importance of a more reasonable representation of stomatal effects in the relationship between ecosystem ET and GPP. Due to the 398 399 inclusion of the site-specific parameter, r, in WUE-Rg, we implemented WUE-Rg and RCEEP 400 with site-specific parameters at each flux site for a fair comparison between the two models. The 401 results of uWUE were also included in Figure 5 as a benchmark. The results showed that while 402 both uWUE-Rg and RCEEP can perform better than the uWUE, the latter yielded the best 403 performances across all biome types under investigation with larger mean values of NSE.

404



Figure 4 NSE values for RCEEP, RCEEP-JPL, and WEC with regard to reproducing GPP from ET over 11 biome
types on a daily scale. 'MEAN' denotes the NSE values averaged across all biome types. Details of RCEEP-JPL
and WEC can be found in Appendix D. All models are parameterized with biome-specified parameters. Refer to
Figure 1 for the explanation of each biome type.



Biome Figure 5 Distributions of the site-level NSE values of three models (uWUE, uWUE-Rg, and RCEEP) to reproducing GPP from ET based on site-specific parameters on a daily scale. Negative NSE values were forced to be 0. The details of uWUE-Rg are presented in Appendix D. Data are retrieved from rain-free days' of 160 sites with more than 300 observations. Four cropland sites (FR-Gri, IT-BCi, US-Ne2, and US-Ne3) shares CRO\_C4 and CRO\_C3 biomes. Refer to Figure 1 for the explanation of the biome types.

## 416 4 Discussion

405

417 4.1 VI-derived  $f_{\rm T}$ 

418 Assuming a constant  $f_{\rm T}$  when building the relationships between ecosystem ET and GPP is

419 challenged by the variability of this parameter in relation to vegetation dynamics (Wang et al., 420 2014; Zhou et al., 2016; Wei et al., 2017; Perez-Priego et al., 2018). We addressed this issue by appraising  $f_{\rm T}$  using NDVI-derived  $f_{\rm PAR}$  and found that this approximation provides more 421 422 effective estimates than the complex ecophysiological ET model (Figure 4), PT-JPL, which is 423 driven by RS VIs and meteorological factors (Fisher et al., 2008). NDVI- $f_{T}$  facilitated a better 424 relationship between ecosystem ET and GPP across all biome types except for EBF. However, 425 the usefulness of NDVI- $f_T$  was impaired by the relatively high LAI value of dense canopies of 426 EBF, which is generally found in tropical and subtropical regions. Satellite-retrieved NDVI is affected by canopy structure as well as by leaf chlorophyll content (Chl) (Wu et al., 2009; Croft 427 428 et al., 2017), thus NDVI of a thick canopy is dominated by Chl and may fail to represent the 429 variations in  $f_{\rm T}$ .

430 We also found that NDVI- $f_{T}$  seemed to act negatively on coupling ET and GPP of SAV and WSA, since VI-T, which uses NDVI- $f_T$  and approximates D by VPD, yielded smaller NSE 431 values than uWUE in reproducing GPP. On the other hand, NDVI- $f_{\rm T}$  always played a positive 432 433 role when used in combination with  $EVI-G_c$  (Figure 3). To find the reason for this interesting 434 result for SAV and WSA, we investigated the distributions of the errors in GPP<sub>est</sub> from uWUE 435 at high and low VPD values over the two biome types (Figure 6), and found uWUE tended to 436 yield negative errors at low VPD and positive errors at high VPD values. Therefore, VPD could 437 be a driving force of the errors in uWUE. The leaf-to-air temperature difference can fall below 438 zero and show a negative correlation with VPD under unstressed conditions (Almeida, 1986; 439 Olufayo et al., 1993; Nelson and Bugbee, 2015), while savannah trees show comparable 440 well-watered conditions across both wet and dry seasons, due to their ability to access water

from deep soil layers (Herrera et al., 2012). Therefore, we speculate that the high VPD values, 441 442 which generally appear in the dry season (Figure 6 (c)), could overestimate the actual value of D443 of SAV or WSA, and then degraded the performances of VI-T. For these biomes, high VPD values are also accompanied by low NDVI (Figure 6 (b)), which can amplify the errors induced 444 445 by VPD in VI-T and thus result in worse performances. However, our study demonstrates the 446 importance of including  $f_{\rm T}$  in the model, as we found NDVI- $f_{\rm T}$  combined with EVI- $G_{\rm c}$  can 447 lead to better performances of RCEEP compared with VI-G (see also Section 3.1 and 3.2). 448 Perez-Priego et al. (2018) also supported our findings, who revealed significant seasonal 449 variations in  $f_{\rm T}$ , but its value rarely exceeded 80%, even in the case of a Mediterranean savannah 450 ecosystem. 451



452

Figure 6 Distributions of (a) errors of  $GPP_{est}$  from uWUE, (b) NDVI, and (c) precipitation rate on a monthly scale for high (green boxes) and low (yellow boxes) VPD values over two biomes (SAV and WSA). The solid black diamonds represent the mean values. Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests yielded significant differences in the distributions of each variable (errors of  $GPP_{est}$ , NDVI, and precipitation rate) between low and high-VPD values over each biome. The *p*-value of each test is smaller than 0.001. High and Low VPD values are divided by the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of monthly VPD values in each site-year.

459 4.2 VI-derived  $G_c$ 

460

The above discussion and the comparisons between RCEEP and VI-T/WEC revealed the

461 negative implications of approximating D by VPD. While previous studies discussed this issue 462 (Boese et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019), RCEEP addresses it by coupling ET and 463 GPP via VI-derived  $G_c$  (VI- $G_c$ ) rather than VPD. Efforts made by Boese et al. (2017) to improve the performance of uWUE used a different approach, which was found to perform 464 465 worse than RCEPP (see also Section 3.3), however. As RS VIs were extensively used for characterizing the photosynthetic features of vegetation (Yuan et al., 2010; Yuan et al., 2014; Yan 466 467 et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015), we speculate that the advantages of RCEEP are associated with 468 the ability of RS VIs to characterize biophysical features of terrestrial ecosystems, e.g. canopy 469 structure and greenness, which are important for quantifying photosynthesis of the vegetation 470 canopy, but cannot be successfully estimated in terms of VPD or  $R_g$ . Especially when T is highly 471 coupled to the atmosphere with a large value of  $G_c/G_a$  for the vegetation canopy, where  $G_a$ 472 denotes the aerodynamic conductance, T is hardly biophysically (i.e.,  $G_c$ ) controlled (Mallick et 473 al., 2016) and thus may be decoupled from photosynthesis. The success of using VIs discloses 474 then the potential of further improving the coupling of biome ET and GPP by considering more 475 biophysical features of ecosystems.

However, the use of VI- $G_c$  may be impeded by the complicated effects of environmental factors in addition to the RS VI. A more complicated formulation of  $G_c$ , the effects of multiple environmental factors were accounted for, could be more useful. To clarify this issue, we performed a comparison between the RCEEP version developed in this study and an alternative version that uses a more complex formulation of  $G_c$  to account for various environmental effects regarding estimating GPP from ET (Text S1). Interestingly, the result featured comparable performances between the two RCEEP versions (see also Text S1), which revealed no tendencies

| 483 | of the complex $G_c$ to facilitate a better performance of RCEEP as compared to the VI- $G_c$ .        |
|-----|--|
| 484 | Therefore, the simple formulation of $G_c$ , as indicated by Eq.(6), is sufficient for quantifying GPP |
| 485 | using RCEEP along with ET. But this result can only be restricted to the applications of RCEPP.        |
| 486 | Because the ability of ecosystem ET to indicate environmental controls (Baldocchi and Xu, 2007;        |
| 487 | Ryu et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2015) on $G_c$ can make up for the shortage of VI- $G_c$ , which explains |
| 488 | the success of the application of RCEEP with VI- $G_c$ .   |

489 4.3 The potential use of RCEEP and its limitations

490 RCEEP provides a straightforward approach to understanding the dynamics of GPP in relation to ET. RS-based biophysical process models on coupled estimates of GPP and ET (Chen 491 492 and Liu, 2020), e.g. the Boreal Ecosystem Productivity Simulator (BEPS) (Chen et al., 2012), 493 Breathing Earth System Simulator (BESS) (Ryu et al., 2011; Jiang and Ryu, 2016), and the 494 coupled diagnostic biophysical model (PML-V2) (Zhang et al., 2019), incorporate process-based 495 modules to simulate GPP and then calculate T in terms of the first or second-order Penman-Monteith equation (Monteith, 1965; Paw U and Gao, 1988) along with GPP-derived  $g_s$ 496 497 (Ball et al., 1987). Such a framework for simulating ET and GPP was also adopted in multiple 498 land surface models (De Kauwe et al., 2013; De Kauwe et al., 2015; Kala et al., 2015). These 499 models can reasonably simulate the variation in ET as a result of GPP but hardly show the 500 responses of GPP to the variations in ET. By contrast, RCEEP proved successful in calculating 501 GPP from ET and can thus provide a reliable and straightforward approach to understanding the 502 responses of GPP to the change of ET. Besides, RCEEP was proved to be more effective than an 503 analogous approach (see also Section 3.3), WEC, which is developed recently (Cheng et al.,

| 504 | 2017). To date, numerous methods have been developed to reasonably reproduce ET on a                    |
|-----|---|
| 505 | regional or global scale (Michel et al., 2016; Chen and Liu, 2020; Fisher et al., 2020), therefore,     |
| 506 | RCEEP can provide an easy approach to estimating regional or global-scale GPP by combing                |
| 507 | these existing approaches, especially some thermal-driven models which can robustly compute             |
| 508 | ET based on the energy balance theory in the absence of biome-specific parameters (Long and             |
| 509 | Singh, 2012; Chen et al., 2013; Mallick et al., 2015; Mallick et al., 2016; Bhattarai et al., 2019).    |
| 510 | Our analyses also evidenced that the performances of RCEEP are limited by the ability to                |
| 511 | characterize the variations in $f_{\rm T}$ and $G_{\rm c}$ and could be potentially improved using more |
| 512 | appropriate RS factors. First, RCEEP can only be implemented on a daily or larger temporal              |
| 513 | scale, because the sub-daily variations in $G_{\rm c}$ are dominated by meteorological factors, which   |
| 514 | limits the use of VI- $G_c$ on such a time scale. Second, we did not exhaust all the possible RS        |
| 515 | factors, because this was beyond the scope of this study. Multiple VIs have been explored to            |
| 516 | represent the biophysical features of vegetation (Wu et al., 2010; Yebra et al., 2013; Zhang et al.,    |
| 517 | 2015; Badgley et al., 2017). All these VIs have the potential of improving RCEEP. For example,          |
| 518 | leaf chlorophyll concentration (Chl) is known to play an important role in regulating stomatal          |
| 519 | conductance (Matsumoto et al., 2005), while some RS VIs are capable of characterizing the               |
| 520 | variations in canopy Chl (Wu et al., 2009). Specific remotely sensed products are tightly               |
| 521 | correlated with ecosystem photosynthesis. Satellite-retrieved vegetation near-infrared reflectance      |
| 522 | $(NIR_V)$ (Badgley et al., 2017) and solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) (Mohammed et          |
| 523 | al., 2019) are further examples of RS retrieved parameters capable of characterizing                    |
| 524 | photosynthesis rate of terrestrial ecosystems for a wide range of biomes (Li et al., 2018; Badgley      |
| 525 | et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020), as they are potentially useful for explaining part of the            |

526 photosynthesis dynamics that is independent of transpiration.

# 527 **5** Conclusion

| 528 | We developed RCEEP, an RS-driven approach aimed at coupling ecosystem ET and                                     |
|-----|--|
| 529 | photosynthesis (GPP) on a global scale. RCEEP did not use VPD to approximate $D$ in the model                    |
| 530 | as did other generic methodologies but rather estimates ET on the basis of satellite EVI-derived                 |
| 531 | $G_{\rm c}$ . Besides, to remove the effect of soil evaporation, ET was scaled to T using a satellite            |
| 532 | NDVI-derived $f_{\rm T}$ . As the newly established approach was developed as an improvement of                  |
| 533 | uWUE model, we compared the performances of RCEEP with uWUE and two additional                                   |
| 534 | modified RS-driven versions (VI-T and VI-G), which only incorporate VI-derived $f_{\rm T}$ (VI- $f_{\rm T}$ ) or |
| 535 | $G_{\rm c}$ (VI- $G_{\rm c}$ ). Relative performances were assessed in terms of the NSE values for reproducing   |
| 536 | GPP from ET on a daily and monthly scale over 180 flux sites covering 11 biome types over the                    |
| 537 | globe. In addition, considering the recent efforts to partitioning $T$ from ET or representing more              |
| 538 | meaningful relationships between ET and GPP on an ecosystem level, we furtherly compare                          |
| 539 | RCEEP with another three approaches modified from recent studies concerning estimating GPP                       |
| 540 | from ET. The results lead us to the following conclusions:   |

- 541 (a) VI-derived  $f_{\rm T}$  and  $G_{\rm c}$  can help to provide more meaningful relationships between 542 ecosystem ET and GPP, as the three RS-driven approaches, VI-T, VI-G, and RCEEP, 543 exposed more reasonable estimates of GPP compared to the uWUE, which relies on 544 VPD to approximate *D*
- 545 (b) RCEEP, incorporating both VI-derived  $f_{\rm T}$  and  $G_{\rm c}$ , yielded the best results and 546 performed better than uWUE over all biome types under investigation on a daily or, with

547

an exception of EBF, on a monthly scale.

- (c) RCEEP featured reliable relationships between ecosystem GPP and ET, with NSE values
  of 0.73 and 0.78 for reproducing daily and monthly GPP across all sites under
  investigation.
- (d) RCEEP was also found to perform better than another three models, RCEEP-JPL, WEC,
- and uWUE-Rg, which are modified from recent studies, concerning estimating GPPfrom ET.
- The above results are encouraging in view of a reasonable relationship between ecosystem-level ET and GPP and the coupled modeling of the two fluxes on a global scale, because all RS data used in this study is worldwide available. We did not exhaust all the possible RS factor which are potentially useful for representing plant biophysical features in developing the RCEEP. The model can be further improved in future work, by introducing new RS factors to characterize  $f_T$  and  $G_c$  and assessing a photosynthesis term that is independent of ET.

# 561 Appendix

562 Appendix A Biome-specific values of the estimated coefficients pertaining to the

<sup>563</sup> four approaches presented in Table 1, aimed at coupling ecosystem GPP and ET.

|            | uWUE        | VI-T                     | VI-G                       | RCEEP                                      |  |
|------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Biome type | Coefficient | s <sup>a</sup>           |                            |  |  |
|            | w           | $w \cdot k_{\mathrm{T}}$ | $w \cdot \sqrt{k_{\rm G}}$ | $w \cdot \sqrt{k_{\rm G} \cdot k_{\rm T}}$ |  |
| CRO_C3     | 3049        | 5060                     | 3002                       | 3808                                       |  |
| CRO_C4     | 4689        | 6701                     | 4249                       | 5038                                       |  |
| DBF        | 3820        | 4594                     | 3078                       | 3367                                       |  |
| EBF        | 3243        | 4176                     | 3171                       | 3606                                       |  |
| ENF/DNF    | 3165        | 4683                     | 3435                       | 4136                                       |  |
| CSH/OSH    | 2179        | 3970                     | 2220                       | 2963                                       |  |
| GRA        | 2698        | 3999                     | 2717                       | 3314                                       |  |
| MF         | 3827        | 4838                     | 3193                       | 3562                                       |  |
| SAV        | 3054        | 5745                     | 2507                       | 3602                                       |  |
| WET        | 2060        | 3313                     | 2326                       | 2830                                       |  |
| WSA        | 2866        | 4751                     | 2376                       | 3209                                       |  |
| MEAN       | 3150        | 4712                     | 2934                       | 3585                                       |  |

**MEAN** 5150 4712 2754 550 <sup>a</sup> Refer to Figure 1 for the definition of each biome type.  $k_{\rm T}$  is dimensionless, and the units of the other two coefficients are  $k_{\rm G}$ : mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; and w: µmol C (mol H<sub>2</sub>O)<sup>-1</sup> kPa<sup>0.5</sup>. But one cannot calculate the value of an individual multiplier using coefficients from different models. For example, we cannot divide the value of  $w \cdot k_{\rm T}$ from VI-T by the w of uWUE, because the averaged effect of  $k_{\rm T} \cdot f_{\rm T}$  in VI-T has been accounted for by the value of w of uWUE. Values of w,  $w \cdot k_{\rm T}$ ,  $w \cdot \sqrt{k_{\rm G}}$ , and  $w \cdot \sqrt{k_{\rm G} \cdot k_{\rm T}}$  can only be adopted for the formulations they belong to.

570 Appendix B NSE values of four models to reproduce GPP from ET over multiple

571 biomes on a daily and monthly scale. MEAN denotes the average across all biome

572 types. Please refer to Figure 1 for the explanation of each biome type.

|            | Daily |       |      |       | Monthly |      |      |       |
|------------|-------|-------|------|-------|---------|------|------|-------|
| Biome type | uWUE  | VI-T  | VI-G | RCEEP | uWUE    | VI-T | VI-G | RCEEP |
| CRO_C3     | 0.45  | 0.61  | 0.64 | 0.70  | 0.51    | 0.67 | 0.62 | 0.69  |
| CRO_C4     | 0.65  | 0.78  | 0.78 | 0.83  | 0.71    | 0.82 | 0.76 | 0.83  |
| DBF        | 0.56  | 0.64  | 0.81 | 0.83  | 0.69    | 0.77 | 0.85 | 0.88  |
| EBF        | 0.15  | -0.02 | 0.42 | 0.32  | 0.48    | 0.27 | 0.53 | 0.41  |
| ENF/DNF    | -0.02 | 0.15  | 0.60 | 0.65  | 0.47    | 0.61 | 0.68 | 0.75  |
| CSH/OSH    | 0.41  | 0.50  | 0.70 | 0.72  | 0.67    | 0.73 | 0.77 | 0.80  |
| GRA        | 0.55  | 0.64  | 0.73 | 0.77  | 0.66    | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.83  |
| MF         | 0.43  | 0.47  | 0.69 | 0.69  | 0.70    | 0.72 | 0.78 | 0.78  |
| SAV        | 0.57  | 0.48  | 0.70 | 0.71  | 0.77    | 0.63 | 0.77 | 0.77  |
| WET        | 0.33  | 0.48  | 0.60 | 0.64  | 0.40    | 0.57 | 0.64 | 0.69  |
| WSA        | 0.70  | 0.62  | 0.81 | 0.82  | 0.85    | 0.74 | 0.88 | 0.89  |
| MEAN       | 0.43  | 0.49  | 0.68 | 0.70  | 0.63    | 0.66 | 0.73 | 0.76  |

573 Appendix C The analytic water use efficiency (WUE) and inherent water use 574 efficiency (IWUE).

575 (1) Analytic WUE

576 Medlyn et al. (2011) proposed a theoretical stomatal conductance model based on the TOSB, 577 assuming that stomatal behavior was optimized for the photosynthesis limited by RuPB 578 generation. The TOSB and the expression of photosynthesis rate as limited by RuPB generation 579 (Arneth et al., 2002) were coupled to derive the 'optimal stomatal control model'.

580 
$$g_s = g_0 + 1.6 \left( 1 + \frac{g_1}{\sqrt{D}} \right) \cdot \frac{A}{C_a},$$
 (C1)

where  $g_s$  denotes the stomatal conductance (mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>);  $g_0$  the minimum value of  $g_s$ ; D is 581 the leaf to air vapor pressure difference (kPa); A the net photosynthesis rate ( $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>); C<sub>a</sub> 582 the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on the leaf surface ( $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>); and  $g_1$  is a factor controlling the slope 583 of variations in  $g_s$  in relation to A.  $g_1$  has an explicit physiological expression,  $g_1 \propto \sqrt{\Gamma^* \lambda_m}$ , 584 and is a key factor in Eq.(C1)), where  $\Gamma^*$  is the CO<sub>2</sub> compensation point in the absence of dark 585 respiration, and  $\lambda_m$  is the marginal water use efficiency. While assuming  $g_0$  to be 0, integrating 586 Eq. (C1) with the transpiration rate expressed following the Fick's law (Beer et al., 2009; Nobel, 587 588 2009):

589 
$$T = g_s \cdot \frac{D}{P_a},$$
 (C2)

where *T* denotes the transpiration rate (mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), and  $P_a$  denotes the atmospheric pressure (kPa); we can derive the following equation (Medlyn et al., 2012), representing the analytic WUE.

593 
$$WUE = \frac{A}{T} = \frac{C_{a} \cdot P_{a}}{1.6(D + g_{1} \cdot \sqrt{D})},$$
 (C3)

594 (2) IWUE

30

IWUE was proposed by Beer et al. (2009) to represent the relationship between ecosystem-level ET and GPP. The value of IWUE is supposed to remain constant for a given biome type under steady-state environmental conditions with a constant value of  $c_i/c_a$ . It was defined as follows (Beer et al., 2009):

600 where *T* and *D* are approximated by ET and VPD, respectively.

Appendix D Three approaches bridging ecosystem ET and GPP, modified fromrecent studies: comparisons with RCEEP.

603 (1) RCEEP-JPL: RCEEP incorporating 
$$f_{\rm T}$$
 derived from the Priestley-Taylor Jet  
604 Propulsion Laboratory (PT-JPL)

The ET model Priestley-Taylor Jet Propulsion Laboratory (PT-JPL) (Fisher et al., 2008) is useful for computing  $f_{\rm T}$  and then partitioning T from ET (Gu et al., 2018). PT-JPL is an RS-based ecophysiological ET model, which computes ET as a sum of T,  $E_{\rm i}$ , and  $E_{\rm s}$ , where  $E_{\rm i}$ denotes the canopy interception evaporation. Therefore,  $f_{\rm T}$  can be calculated based on the PT-JPL derived  $T(T_{\rm JPL})$  and ET (ET<sub>JPL</sub>):

$$f_{\rm T} = \frac{T_{\rm JPL}}{{\rm E}T_{\rm JPL}}, \qquad (D1)$$

611 Compared with  $f_{\rm T}$  computed in terms of Eq. (8) along with NDVI in RCEEP, PT-JPL derived  $f_{\rm T}$ 612 (PT-JPL- $f_{\rm T}$ ) explains more physical processes in partitioning *T* from ET and may have the 613 potential of improving RCEEP. To clarify this issue, we compare the performances between an 614 alternative RCEEP version incorporating PT-JPL- $f_{\rm T}$  (RCEEP-JPL) and the original version using 615 NDVI- $f_{\rm T}$ . By substituting  $k_{\rm T} \cdot f_{\rm PAR}$  with PT-JPL- $f_{\rm T}$  in RCEEP, we derive the alternative version 616 with PT-JPL- $f_{\rm T}$ , RCEEP-JPL, such that:

## 618 (2) WEC: WUE and ET based carbon uptake model

WEC that estimates GPP using the analytic water use efficiency (WUE) (see also Appendix C-(1)) (Medlyn et al., 2011) and ET was employed by Cheng et al. (2017), to understand the response of the inter-annual dynamics of global carbon uptake in relation to the water cycle. Such that:

623 
$$GPP = \frac{C_a \cdot P_a}{1.6(D + g_1 \cdot \sqrt{D})} \times T , \qquad (D3)$$

624 
$$T = \operatorname{ET} \cdot f_{\mathrm{T}} = \operatorname{ET} \cdot f_{\mathrm{PAR}} \cdot (1 - f_{\mathrm{Ei}}) \quad , \tag{D4}$$

where  $f_{\rm Ei}$  denotes the proportion of  $E_{\rm i}$ , to ET, and we fix  $f_{\rm Ei}$  to 0 for rain-free days. Cheng et al. (2017) computed  $f_{\rm PAR}$  in terms of Beer's Law along with RS-derived LAI. In this study, we estimated this variable on the basis of NDVI, according to Eq. (8). *D* in WEC is approximated by VPD. The WEC is analogous to VI-T and also useful for bridging ecosystem ET and GPP, but it adopts the framework of analytic WUE that is different from uWUE.

630 (3) uWUE-Rg: uWUE incorporating Solar radiation 
$$(R_g)$$

631 For representing a more meaningful relationship between ecosystem ET and GPP, Boese et

al. (2017) modified the uWUE by introducing an additional term,  $r \cdot R_g$ , where r is an empirical

factor and  $R_{\rm g}$  denotes the solar radiation, to account for additional ET components, such that:

634 
$$ET = \frac{GPP}{\sqrt{D}} + r \cdot R_g, \qquad (D5)$$

635 where D is approximated by VPD. We could modify this equation to express GPP as a function

636 of ET:

We denoted this method as uWUE-Rg. The term  $r \cdot R_g$  is associated with the variations in stomatal conductance, equilibrium evaporation, and the difference between *D* and VPD and is independent of uWUE term GPP/ $\sqrt{D}$ . This method is suitable to be applied at the site-scale due to the significant spatial variability of the site-specific factor, *r*, a key parameter for a better coupling of ET and GPP.

#### 643 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Prof. Torsten Sachs (Helmholtz Centre Potsdam, GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences) for his valuable comments on this paper. This research is supported by the National Natural Science Fund of China (Grant Nos. 41901342, 31671585), the "Taishan Scholar" Project of Shandong Province, and Key Basic Research Project of Shandong Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. ZR2017ZB0422).

649 Daily flux tower data used for the paper (see also Section 2.4.1 for Table S1) were retrieved 650 from the FLUXNET2015 Tier 2 dataset which is available through https://fluxnet.fluxdata.org/. 651 The site-level MOD09A1 product are available through the Oak Ridge National Laboratory 652 Distributed Active Archive Center (https://modis.ornl.gov/data.html). Detailed information of crop rotations at each crop flux site is available through Bai et al. (2018). This work used eddy 653 654 covariance data acquired and shared by the FLUXNET community, including these networks: AmeriFlux, AfriFlux, AsiaFlux, CarboAfrica, CarboEuropeIP, CarboItaly, CarboMont, 655 ChinaFlux, Fluxnet-Canada, GreenGrass, ICOS, KoFlux, LBA, NECC, OzFlux-TERN, 656

- 657 TCOS-Siberia, and USCCC. The FLUXNET eddy covariance data processing and harmonization
- 658 were carried out by the ICOS Ecosystem Thematic Center, AmeriFlux Management Project and
- 659 Fluxdata project of FLUXNET, with the support of CDIAC, and the OzFlux, ChinaFlux, and

660 AsiaFlux offices.

#### 661 **References**

- Almeida, J. A. P. (1986), Use of infrared thermometry to measure canopy-air temperature difference
   at partial cover to assess crop water stress index, The University of Arizona, Tucson, US.
- Arneth, A., J. Lloyd, H. Santrùcková, M. I. Bird, S. Grigoryev, Y. N. Kalaschnikov, G. Gleixner, and
  E. D. Schulze (2002), Response of Central Siberian scots pine to soil water deficit and long-term
  trends in atmospheric CO2 concentration, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *16*(1), 5.1-5.13.
- Badgley, G., C. B. Field, and J. A. Berry (2017), Canopy near-infrared reflectance and terrestrial
  photosynthesis, *Science advances*, 3(3), e1602244.
- Badgley, G., L. Anderegg, J. Berry, and C. Field (2019), Terrestrial Gross Primary Production: Using
  NIR V to Scale from Site to Globe, *Global Change Biology*, 25.
- Bai, Y., J. Zhang, S. Zhang, F. Yao, and V. Magliulo (2018), A remote sensing-based two-leaf canopy
  conductance model: Global optimization and applications in modeling gross primary
  productivity and evapotranspiration of crops, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 215, 411-437.
- Bai, Y., J. Zhang, S. Zhang, U. A. Koju, F. Yao, and T. Igbawua (2017), Using precipitation, vertical
  root distribution, and satellite-retrieved vegetation information to parameterize water stress in a
  Penman-Monteith approach to evapotranspiration modeling under Mediterranean climate, *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 9(1), 168-192.
- Baldocchi, D. D., and L. Xu (2007), What limits evaporation from Mediterranean oak woodlands –
  The supply of moisture in the soil, physiological control by plants or the demand by the
  atmosphere?, *Advances in Water Resources*, *30*(10), 2113-2122.
- Ball, J. T., I. E. Woodrow, and J. A. Berry (1987), A Model Predicting Stomatal Conductance and its
  Contribution to the Control of Photosynthesis under Different Environmental Conditions, in *Progress in Photosynthesis Research: Volume 4 Proceedings of the VIIth International Congress on Photosynthesis Providence, Rhode Island, USA, August 10–15, 1986*, edited by J. Biggins, pp.
  221-224, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.
- Beer, C., et al. (2009), Temporal and among-site variability of inherent water use efficiency at the
   ecosystem level, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 23(2), n/a-n/a.
- Bhattarai, N., K. Mallick, J. Stuart, B. D. Vishwakarma, R. Niraula, S. Sen, and M. Jain (2019), An
  automated multi-model evapotranspiration mapping framework using remotely sensed and
  reanalysis data, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 229, 69-92.
- Boese, S., M. Jung, N. Carvalhais, and M. Reichstein (2017), The importance of radiation for
   semiempirical water-use efficiency models, *Biogeosciences*, *14*, 3015-3026.
- 693 Cavanaugh, M. L., S. A. Kurc, and R. L. Scott (2011), Evapotranspiration partitioning in semiarid

- shrubland ecosystems: a two-site evaluation of soil moisture control on transpiration, *Ecohydrology*, 4(5), 671-681.
- Chen, J. M., and J. Liu (2020), Evolution of evapotranspiration models using thermal and shortwave
   remote sensing data, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 237, 111594.
- Chen, J. M., G. Mo, J. Pisek, J. Liu, F. Deng, M. Ishizawa, and D. Chan (2012), Effects of foliage
  clumping on the estimation of global terrestrial gross primary productivity, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 26(1).
- Chen, X., Z. Su, Y. Ma, K. Yang, J. Wen, and Y. Zhang (2013), An Improvement of Roughness Height
   Parameterization of the Surface Energy Balance System (SEBS) over the Tibetan Plateau,
   *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 52(3), 607-622.
- Cheng, L., L. Zhang, Y.-P. Wang, J. G. Canadell, F. H. S. Chiew, J. Beringer, L. Li, D. G. Miralles, S.
  Piao, and Y. Zhang (2017), Recent increases in terrestrial carbon uptake at little cost to the water
  cycle, *Nature Communications*, 8(1).
- Collatz, G. J., J. T. Ball, C. Grivet, and J. A. Berry (1991), Physiological and environmental
  regulation of stomatal conductance, photosynthesis and transpiration: a model that includes a
  laminar boundary layer, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 54(2), 107-136.
- Cowan, I. R., and G. D. Farquhar (1977), Stomatal function in relation to leaf metabolism and
  environment, *Symposia of the Society for Experimental Biology*, *31*, 471-505.
- Croft, H., J. M. Chen, X. Luo, P. Bartlett, B. Chen, and R. M. Staebler (2017), Leaf chlorophyll
  content as a proxy for leaf photosynthetic capacity, *Global change biology*, 23(9), 3513-3524.
- De Kauwe, M. G., J. Kala, Y. S. Lin, A. J. Pitman, B. E. Medlyn, R. A. Duursma, G. Abramowitz, Y. P.
  Wang, and D. G. Miralles (2015), A test of an optimal stomatal conductance scheme within the
  CABLE land surface model, *Geoscientific Model Development*, 8(2), 431-452.
- De Kauwe, M. G., et al. (2013), Forest water use and water use efficiency at elevated CO2 : a
  model-data intercomparison at two contrasting temperate forest FACE sites, *Glob Chang Biol*, *19*(6), 1759-1779.
- Drake, J. E., et al. (2017), Stomatal and non-stomatal limitations of photosynthesis for four tree
   species under drought: A comparison of model formulations, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 247, 454-466.
- Fisher, J. B., K. P. Tu, and D. D. Baldocchi (2008), Global estimates of the land–atmosphere water
  flux based on monthly AVHRR and ISLSCP-II data, validated at 16 FLUXNET sites, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *112*(3), 901-919.
- Fisher, J. B., et al. (2020), ECOSTRESS: NASA's Next Generation Mission to Measure
   Evapotranspiration From the International Space Station, *Water Resources Research*, 56(4).
- Foken, T. (2008), The energy balance closure problem: an overview, *Ecological Applications*, 18(6),
  1351-1367.
- Friedl, M. A. (1995), Modeling land surface fluxes using a sparse canopy model and radiometric
  surface temperature measurements, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *100*(D12),
  25435-25446.
- Gu, C., J. Ma, G. Zhu, H. Yang, K. Zhang, Y. Wang, and C. Gu (2018), Partitioning
  evapotranspiration using an optimized satellite-based ET model across biomes, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 259, 355-363.
- Herrera, A., R. Urich, E. Rengifo, C. Ballestrini, A. González, and W. León (2012), Transpiration in a
  eucalypt plantation and a savanna in Venezuela, *Trees*, 26(6), 1759-1769.

- Idso, S. B. (1982), Non-water-stressed baselines: A key to measuring and interpreting plant water
   stress, *Agricultural Meteorology*, 27(1), 59-70.
- Idso, S. B., R. J. Reginato, and S. M. Farah (1982a), Soil- and atmosphere-induced plant water stress
  in cotton as inferred from foliage temperatures, *Water Resources Research*, *18*(4), 1143-1148.
- Idso, S. B., R. J. Reginato, and J. W. Radin (1982b), Leaf diffusion resistance and photosynthesis in
  cotton as related to a foliage temperature based plant water stress index, *Agricultural Meteorology*, 27(1), 27-34.
- Jackson, R. D., S. B. Idso, R. J. Reginato, and P. J. Pinter Jr (1981), Canopy temperature as a crop
  water stress indicator, *Water Resources Research*, *17*(4), 1133-1138.
- Jasechko, S., Z. D. Sharp, J. J. Gibson, S. J. Birks, Y. Yi, and P. J. Fawcett (2013), Terrestrial water
  fluxes dominated by transpiration, *Nature*, *496*(7445), 347-350.
- Jiang, C., and Y. Ryu (2016), Multi-scale evaluation of global gross primary productivity and
  evapotranspiration products derived from Breathing Earth System Simulator (BESS), *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 186, 528-547.
- Jin, H., A. Li, J. Bian, X. Nan, W. Zhao, Z. Zhang, and G. Yin (2017), Intercomparison and validation
  of MODIS and GLASS leaf area index (LAI) products over mountain areas: A case study in
  southwestern China, *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*,
  55, 52-67.
- Kala, J., M. G. De Kauwe, A. J. Pitman, R. Lorenz, B. E. Medlyn, Y. P. Wang, Y. S. Lin, and G.
  Abramowitz (2015), Implementation of an optimal stomatal conductance scheme in the
  Australian Community Climate Earth Systems Simulator (ACCESS1.3b), *Geoscientific Model Development*, 8(12), 3877-3889.
- Krause, P., D. Boyle, and F. Bäse (2005), Comparison of Different Efficiency Criteria for Hydrologic
   Models, *Advances in Geosciences*, 5.
- Lasslop, G., M. Reichstein, D. Papale, A. D. Richardson, A. Arneth, A. Barr, P. Stoy, and G.
  Wohlfahrt (2010), Separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and respiration using a
  light response curve approach: critical issues and global evaluation, *Global Change Biology*, *16*(1), 187-208.
- Leuning, R. (1995), A critical appraisal of a combined stomatal-photosynthesis model for C3 plants,
   *Plant, Cell & Environment, 18*(4), 339-355.
- Li, X., P. Gentine, C. Lin, S. Zhou, Z. Sun, Y. Zheng, J. Liu, and C. Zheng (2019), A simple and
  objective method to partition evapotranspiration into transpiration and evaporation at
  eddy-covariance sites, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 265, 171-182.
- Li, X., et al. (2018), Solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence is strongly correlated with terrestrial
  photosynthesis for a wide variety of biomes: First global analysis based on OCO-2 and flux
  tower observations, *Global Change Biology*, 24(9), 3990-4008.
- Lian, X., et al. (2018), Partitioning global land evapotranspiration using CMIP5 models constrained
  by observations, *Nature Climate Change*, 8(7), 640-646.
- Lin, C., P. Gentine, Y. Huang, K. Guan, H. Kimm, and S. Zhou (2018), Diel ecosystem conductance
  response to vapor pressure deficit is suboptimal and independent of soil moisture, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 250, 24-34.
- Lloyd, J., and G. D. Farquhar (1994), 13C discrimination during CO2 assimilation by the terrestrial
  biosphere, *Oecologia*, 99, 201-215.
- 781 Long, D., and V. P. Singh (2012), A Two-source Trapezoid Model for Evapotranspiration (TTME)

- from satellite imagery, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *121*, 370-388.
- Ma, N., Y. Zhang, Y. Guo, H. Gao, H. Zhang, and Y. Wang (2015), Environmental and biophysical
  controls on the evapotranspiration over the highest alpine steppe, *Journal of Hydrology*, *529*,
  980-992.
- Mallick, K., et al. (2015), Reintroducing radiometric surface temperature into the Penman-Monteith
   formulation, *Water Resources Research*, *51*(8), 6214-6243.
- Mallick, K., et al. (2016), Canopy-scale biophysical controls of transpiration and evaporation in the
   Amazon Basin, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 20(10), 4237-4264.
- Matsumoto, K., T. Ohta, and T. Tanaka (2005), Dependence of stomatal conductance on leaf
  chlorophyll concentration and meteorological variables, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *132*(1-2), 44-57.
- Medlyn, B. E., R. A. Duursma, D. Eamus, D. S. Ellsworth, I. C. Prentice, C. V. M. Barton, K. Y.
  Crous, P. De Angelis, M. Freeman, and L. Wingate (2011), Reconciling the optimal and
  empirical approaches to modelling stomatal conductance, *Global Change Biology*, *17*(6),
  2134-2144.
- Medlyn, B. E., R. A. Duursma, D. Eamus, D. S. Ellsworth, I. Colin Prentice, C. V. M. Barton, K. Y.
  Crous, P. de Angelis, M. Freeman, and L. Wingate (2012), Reconciling the optimal and empirical
  approaches to modelling stomatal conductance, *Global Change Biology*, *18*(11), 3476-3476.
- Medlyn, B. E., et al. (2017), How do leaf and ecosystem measures of water-use efficiency compare?,
   *New Phytol*, *216*(3), 758-770.
- Michel, D., et al. (2016), The WACMOS-ET project Part 1: Tower-scale evaluation of four
   remote-sensing-based evapotranspiration algorithms, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*,
   20(2), 803-822.
- Mohammed, G., et al. (2019), Remote sensing of solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) in
   vegetation: 50 years of progress, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 231.
- Monteith, J. L. (1965), Evaporation and environment, *Symposia of the Society for Experimental Biology*, *19*(19), 205-224.
- Mott, K. A., and D. F. Parkhurst (1991), Stomatal responses to humidity in air and helox, *Plant, Cell*& *Environment*, 14(5), 509-515.
- Nash, J. E., and J. V. Sutcliffe (1970), River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I A
  discussion of principles, *Journal of Hydrology*, *10*(3), 282-290.
- Nelson, J. A., and B. Bugbee (2015), Analysis of Environmental Effects on Leaf Temperature under
  Sunlight, High Pressure Sodium and Light Emitting Diodes, *PLoS One*, *10*(10), e0138930.
- Nobel, P. S. (2009), Chapter 8 Leaves and Fluxes, in *Physicochemical and Environmental Plant Physiology (Fourth Edition)*, edited, pp. 364-437, Academic Press, San Diego.
- 817 Olufayo, A., C. Baldy, P. Ruelle, and J. Konate (1993), Diurnal course of canopy temperature and leaf
  818 water potential of sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) under a Mediterranean climate,
  819 *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 64(3), 223-236.
- Paw U, K. T., and W. Gao (1988), Applications of solutions to non-linear energy budget equations,
   *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *43*(2), 121-145.
- Perez-Priego, O., G. Katul, M. Reichstein, T. S. El-Madany, B. Ahrens, A. Carrara, T. M. Scanlon, and
  M. Migliavacca (2018), Partitioning Eddy Covariance Water Flux Components Using
  Physiological and Micrometeorological Approaches, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 123(10), 3353-3370.

- Priestley, C. H. B., and R. J. Taylor (1972), On the Assessment of Surface Heat Flux and Evaporation
  Using Large-Scale Parameters, *Monthly Weather Review*, *100*(2), 81-92.
- Reichstein, M., et al. (2005), On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and
  ecosystem respiration: review and improved algorithm, *Global Change Biology*, *11*(9),
  1424-1439.
- Ryu, Y., D. D. Baldocchi, S. Ma, and T. Hehn (2008), Interannual variability of evapotranspiration
  and energy exchange over an annual grassland in California, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *113*(D9).
- Ryu, Y., et al. (2011), Integration of MODIS land and atmosphere products with a coupled-process
  model to estimate gross primary productivity and evapotranspiration from 1 km to global scales, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 25(4).
- Sims, D., et al. (2005), Midday values of gross CO2 flux and light use efficiency during satellite
  overpasses can be used to directly estimate eight-day mean flux, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *131*, 1-12.
- Stoy, P., et al. (2019), Reviews and syntheses: Turning the challenges of partitioning ecosystem
  evaporation and transpiration into opportunities, *Biogeosciences*, *16*, 3747-3775.
- Twine, T. E., W. P. Kustas, J. M. Norman, D. R. Cook, P. R. Houser, T. P. Meyers, J. H. Prueger, P. J.
  Starks, and M. L. Wesely (2000), Correcting eddy-covariance flux underestimates over a
  grassland, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *103*(3), 279-300.
- Wang, K., R. E. Dickinson, M. Wild, and S. Liang (2010), Evidence for decadal variation in global
  terrestrial evapotranspiration between 1982 and 2002: 1. Model development, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *115*(D20).
- Wang, L., S. P. Good, and K. K. Caylor (2014), Global synthesis of vegetation control on
  evapotranspiration partitioning, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41(19), 6753-6757.
- Wei, Z., K. Yoshimura, L. Wang, D. G. Miralles, S. Jasechko, and X. Lee (2017), Revisiting the
  contribution of transpiration to global terrestrial evapotranspiration, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(6), 2792-2801.
- Wu, C., Z. Niu, and S. Gao (2010), Gross primary production estimation from MODIS data with
  vegetation index and photosynthetically active radiation in maize, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *115*(D12).
- Wu, C., Z. Niu, Q. Tang, W. Huang, B. Rivard, and J. Feng (2009), Remote estimation of gross
  primary production in wheat using chlorophyll-related vegetation indices, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *149*(6-7), 1015-1021.
- Yan, H., et al. (2015), Improved global simulations of gross primary product based on a new
  definition of water stress factor and a separate treatment of C3 and C4 plants, *Ecological Modelling*, 297(0), 42-59.
- Yang, P., R. Shibasaki, W. Wu, Q. Zhou, Z. Chen, Y. Zha, Y. Shi, and H. Tang (2007), Evaluation of
  MODIS Land Cover and LAI Products in Cropland of North China Plain Using In Situ
  Measurements and Landsat TM Images, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience & Remote Sensing*,
  45(10), 3087-3097.
- Yebra, M., A. Van Dijk, R. Leuning, A. Huete, and J. P. Guerschman (2013), Evaluation of optical
  remote sensing to estimate actual evapotranspiration and canopy conductance, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 129, 250-261.
- 869 Yuan, W., et al. (2010), Global estimates of evapotranspiration and gross primary production based on

- 870 MODIS and global meteorology data, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *114*(7), 1416-1431.
- Yuan, W., et al. (2014), Global comparison of light use efficiency models for simulating terrestrial
  vegetation gross primary production based on the LaThuile database, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *192-193*, 108-120.
- Zhang, K., J. S. Kimball, Q. Mu, L. A. Jones, S. J. Goetz, and S. W. Running (2009), Satellite based
  analysis of northern ET trends and associated changes in the regional water balance from 1983 to
  2005, *Journal of Hydrology*, 379(1-2), 92-110.
- Zhang, Q., Y.-B. Cheng, A. I. Lyapustin, Y. Wang, X. Zhang, A. Suyker, S. Verma, Y. Shuai, and E. M.
  Middleton (2015), Estimation of crop gross primary production (GPP): II. Do scaled MODIS
  vegetation indices improve performance?, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 200, 1-8.
- Zhang, Y., D. Kong, Q. Zhang, and Y. Yang (2019), Coupled estimation of 500m and 8-day resolution
  global evapotranspiration and gross primary production in 2002–2017, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 222, 165–182.
- Zhang, Y., C. Song, G. Sun, L. E. Band, S. McNulty, A. Noormets, Q. Zhang, and Z. Zhang (2016),
  Development of a coupled carbon and water model for estimating global gross primary
  productivity and evapotranspiration based on eddy flux and remote sensing data, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 223, 116-131.
- Zhang, Z., et al. (2020), Reduction of structural impacts and distinction of photosynthetic pathways in
  a global estimation of GPP from space-borne solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 240.
- Zhou, S., B. Yu, Y. Huang, and G. Wang (2014), The effect of vapor pressure deficit on water use
  efficiency at the subdaily time scale, *Geophysical Research Letters*, *41*(14), 5005-5013.
- Zhou, S., B. Yu, Y. Huang, and G. Wang (2015), Daily underlying water use efficiency for AmeriFlux
  sites, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, *120*(5), 887-902.
- Zhou, S., B. Yu, Y. Zhang, Y. Huang, and G. Wang (2016), Partitioning evapotranspiration based on
  the concept of underlying water use efficiency, *Water Resources Research*, 52(2), 1160-1175.
- 896

897