Progressive development of E-W extension across the Tibetan plateau: A case study of the Thakkhola graben, west-central Nepal

Kyle Larson¹, Alex Brubacher¹, John Cottle², William Andrew Matthews³, and Alfredo Camacho⁴

¹University of British Columbia ²NERC Isotope Geosciences Laboratory ³University of Calgary ⁴University of Manitoba

November 21, 2022

Abstract

The Thakkhola graben is a large-scale N-S striking, E-W structure located in west-central Nepal that was actively extending ca. 17 Myr ago. New multi-system geochronological data from the immediate footwall of the Dangardzong fault, the main graben-forming structure in the Thakkhola, outline decelerating cooling paths. The average cooling rate in the immediate footwall of the Dangardzong fault progressively decreases from 55 ± 10 @C/Ma in the early Miocene (~ 22-13 Ma, monazite U-Th/Pb, mica Ar/Ar and zircon U-Th/He), to 23 ± 8 @C/Ma in the middle to late Miocene (~13-8 Ma, zircon and apatite U-Th/He), and finally to 10 ± 2 @C/Ma from 8 Ma to present day (cooling post apatite U-Th/He closure). The deceleration in cooling rate is interpreted to reflect the widespread development of N-S striking graben structures in the Tibetan plateau in the middle Miocene and the progressive partitioning of strain away from the Thakkhola into other, younger, extensional features.

Progressive development of E-W extension across the Tibetan plateau: A case study of the Thakkhola graben, west-central Nepal

5 Alex D. Brubacher¹, Kyle P. Larson¹*, John M. Cottle², William Matthews³, Alfredo Camacho⁴

6

- ¹Department of Earth, Environmental and Geographic Sciences, University of British Columbia
 Okanagan, Kelowna, BC, Canada
- ⁹ ²Department of Earth Science, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA, USA
- ³Department of Geoscience, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada
- ⁴Department of Geological Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

12

13 *Corresponding author: kyle.larson@ubc.ca

14

15

16

17 Abstract

18 The Thakkhola graben is a large-scale N-S striking, E-W structure located in west-central 19 Nepal that was actively extending ca. 17 Myr ago. New multi-system geochronological data 20 from the immediate footwall of the Dangardzong fault, the main graben-forming structure in the 21 Thakkhola, outline decelerating cooling paths. The average cooling rate in the immediate 22 footwall of the Dangardzong fault progressively decreases from 55 ± 10 °C/Ma in the early Miocene (~ 22-13 Ma, monazite U-Th/Pb, mica ${}^{40}\text{Ar}/{}^{39}\text{Ar}$ and zircon U-Th/He), to 23 ± 8 °C/Ma 23 24 in the middle to late Miocene (~13-8 Ma, zircon and apatite U-Th/He), and finally to 10 ± 2 25 °C/Ma from 8 Ma to present day (cooling post apatite U-Th/He closure). The deceleration in 26 cooling rate is interpreted to reflect the widespread development of N-S striking graben 27 structures in the Tibetan plateau in the middle Miocene and the progressive partitioning of strain 28 away from the Thakkhola into other, younger, extensional features.

29 1. Introduction

30 In large continent-continent collisional zones such as the Himalaya-Tibet system, the 31 locus of strain accommodation migrates to facilitate continued tectonic convergence under 32 changing boundary conditions. A dynamic complexity often documented in these systems is the 33 presence of significant extensional deformation, often occurring later in the orogenic history (e.g. 34 Andersen & Jamtveit, 1990; Constenius, 1996; Dewey, 1988; Platt & Vissers, 1989; Teng, 35 1996). At present, the Himalayan-Tibetan system includes both actively shortening and extending kinematics (Yin, 2006; Yin & Harrison, 2000) and as such it provides an opportunity 36 37 to study the transition/interactions between these processes during orogenesis. Late-stage,

38 orogen-parallel E-W extension across the region has resulted in the development of numerous 39 strike-slip faults and N-S striking graben in Tibet (Armijo et al., 1986; Fu et al., 2018; Jessup et 40 al., 2008; Ratschbacher et al., 2011; Yin et al., 1999), some of which cut across the High 41 Himalaya (Fig. 1A). The timing and mechanism(s) that drive orogen-parallel (E-W) extension in 42 an actively N-S converging orogen, however, are poorly understood (e.g. Cottle et al., 2009). 43 Initiation of these structures is broadly coincident with a shift in the locus of active thrusting 44 from the Main Central thrust to the Main Boundary thrust (Leloup et al., 2010), fluctuations in 45 monsoon intensity (Clift et al., 2008; Sun & Wang, 2005), and gravitationally driven eastward 46 escape of the lower crust in southeastern Tibet (Clark & Royden, 2000). This study seeks to 47 elucidate the evolution and regional kinematic implications of these structures through the 48 interpretation of new, detailed geochronological data that informs the rate of development of the 49 Thakkhola graben of central Nepal (Figs. 1A, B), one of the largest N-S trending graben in the 50 region.

51 1.1. This Study

52 Previous chronologic data from the footwall of the Thakkhola graben has been used to 53 argue that at least part of the cooling history recorded therein reflects movement along the 54 northwest-striking, east-dipping Dangardzong fault, which bounds its west side (Fig. 1A; 55 Hurtado, 2002). This interpretation is based on cooling paths/curves derived from the integration 56 of monazite and xenotime U-Pb isotope dilution thermal ionization mass spectrometry (ID-TIMS) ages, and multi-grain aliquot muscovite, biotite, and K-feldspar ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages, and 57 58 indicate significant denudation initiated suddenly ca. 17 Ma and was sustained until ca. 13 Ma. 59 The current study expands upon Hurtado's (2002) work through characterization of the plutons

60 exposed in the footwall of the fault using petrology, laser ablation-based U-Th/Pb monazite, 61 single-grain muscovite and biotite ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar geochronology and apatite and zircon U-Th/He 62 geochronology to: 1) distinguish between potential contributions of pre-Thakkhola graben 63 cooling/exhumation from that associated with the opening of the structure, and 2) compare the 64 cooling history of the Thakhola graben with other E-W extensional structures across Tibet to 65 identify regionally significant patterns and use these observations to inform the initiation and 66 development of E-W kinematics across the region.

67 2. Geological Setting

68 Much of the topographic relief within the Tibetan plateau is the result of large-scale, late 69 Miocene to Pliocene E-W extension of the crust that resulted in the formation of graben and 70 associated strike-slip faults (Fig. 1A; Ratschbacher et al., 2011; Yin et al., 1999). A subset of the 71 graben that developed as a result of E-W extension, including the Thakkhola graben, reach 72 southward across the crest of the Himalaya to form deeply incised valleys and kilometer-scale 73 sedimentary basins (Figs. 1A, B). The Thakkhola graben in part defines the upper Kali Gandaki 74 valley of west-central Nepal, where the valley floor sits at an elevation of ~ 2500 m between the 75 peaks of Dhaulagiri (8167 m) to the west and Annapurna (8091 m) to the east. The graben 76 extends southward almost to the South Tibetan detachment system (STDS; Fig. 1A), while its 77 northern extent approaches the Indus-Tsangpo suture zone (Hodges, 2000; Hurtado et al., 2001). 78 The main graben-forming structure, the NNW striking, steeply ENE-dipping Dangardzong 79 normal fault defines the western side of the graben while the eastern boundary is defined by a 80 series of unnamed, steeply west-dipping normal faults (Fig. 1B Colchen, 1999; Hurtado et al., 81 2001).

82 The footwall of the Dangardzong fault comprises generally low-metamorphic grade to 83 unmetamorphosed rocks of the Tibetan sedimentary sequence (TSS) and two large granitic 84 bodies (Colchen, 1999; Fort et al., 1982). The 'Mustang granite' of Hurtado (2002), herein 85 referred to as the Mustang orthogneiss (see section 3.2.1), occurs to the north where it forms a 86 half circle in map-pattern, cut by the Dangardzong fault to the east. The (Dolpo-) Mugu batholith 87 to the south is a large, elongate, NW-SE trending body (Fig. 1B; Hurtado, 2002; Le Fort & 88 France-Lanord, 1994). The Mustang and Mugu bodies have similar mineral assemblages to other 89 leucogranite plutons that occur near the crest of the Himalaya, which are interpreted to be 90 derived from partial melting of a metasedimentary source (Deniel et al., 1987; Le Fort et al., 91 1987; Searle et al., 2010). Existing age estimates for the bodies come from the Dhanggna Khola 92 area of the Upper Mustang region (Fig. 1B) where monazite ID-TIMS analyses from the 93 deformed Mustang orthogneiss yields a maximum age of 23.4 ± 0.2 Ma while undeformed dikes 94 of interpreted Mugu affinity, which cross-cut the Mustang orthogneiss, provide a minimum age 95 of 20.8 ± 0.7 Ma (Hurtado, 2002). Additionally, Harrison et al. (1997) reported an ion 96 microprobe age of 17.6 ± 0.3 Ma for a specimen of presumed Mugu affinity farther south, near 97 Ghar Gompa (Fig. 1B), however, no description of the specimen or outcrop was published.

The hanging wall of the Dangardzong fault includes a series of ~N-S trending synsedimentary normal faults that variably crosscut at least five graben-fill sedimentary formations, confirming protracted E-W extension (Colchen, 1999; Fort et al., 1982; Hurtado et al., 2001; Garzione et al., 2003). The oldest sedimentary unit that crops out within the graben has been dated using magnetostratigraphy to between ~11.0 and ~9.6 Ma (Garzione et al., 2000) and provides a minimum age for initiation of extension or basin development. Approximately 40 km east of the graben, hydrothermal muscovite from a N-S trending brittle fracture, interpreted to represent early deformation related to E-W extension in the Thakkhola graben, gave an ${}^{40}\text{Ar}/{}^{39}\text{Ar}$ age of ~14 Ma, extending the minimum age constraint for the inception of E-W extension in the region to the mid-Miocene (Coleman & Hodges, 1995). Mid-Miocene extension is compatible with cooling data from the footwall of the main bounding fault (Hurtado, 2002) and ca. 17 Ma ${}^{40}\text{Ar}/{}^{39}\text{Ar}$ dates synkinematic with east-directed shear, interpreted to reflect initial opening of the graben (Larson et al., 2019).

111 2.1. Sampling Sites/Locations

Field sampling locations of granitic specimens associated with the Mugu batholith are shown in Figure 2. Field relationships at each site are highlighted in Figure 3 and are detailed below. Lithologies and associated mineral assemblages are summarized in Table 1 and Figure 4.

115 The outcrop investigated at Ghami (Fig. 2) exposes ~1 m wide undeformed leucogranite 116 dikes and sills that crosscut the host TSS (Fig. 3A). Specimen GH17B is a specimen of Bt-117 bearing leucogranite dike collected at this location (Figs. 3A, 4). Sampling at Ghar Gompa was 118 more extensive, with 6 specimens collected (Fig. 2; Table 1). Specimens GG10 (fine-grained 119 garnet-tourmaline leucogranite), GG11 and GG12 (fine- to medium-grained tourmaline \pm garnet 120 leucogranite) were collected from outcrops at or near the contact between the main body of the 121 Mugu batholith and the TSS (Figs. 3B, 4). Specimens GG01A (medium-grained two-mica 122 leucogranite), GG01B and GG13 (both tournaline \pm garnet leucogranites) are also from the main 123 body of the Mugu batholith, however, their contact relationship with the TSS was not observed.

Outcrops of the Mustang orthogneiss dominate the Dhanggna khola area; TSS rocks are not observed (Hurtado, 2002; Fig. 2). The Mustang orthogneiss is typically well-foliated, records pervasive ductile deformation and is cross cut by undeformed dikes associated with the Mugu

127 batholith (Hurtado, 2002). The deformed Mustang orthogneiss crops out as a weathered, fine- to 128 medium-grained, deformed two-mica orthogneiss (DK16; Fig. 3C; Table 1) that contains large 129 K-feldspar augen and a strong foliation defined by aligned quartz and biotite (Fig. 4). At this 130 location, the Mustang orthogneiss is cross-cut by a set of vertical $\sim 1+$ m wide coarse-grained, 131 undeformed granitic dikes, which in turn are cut by horizontal, ~15 cm wide undeformed fine-132 grained tournaline-bearing leucogranite dikes (DK15; Fig. 3C; Table 1). Specimen DK14 is a 133 medium-grained to pegmatitic muscovite and tourmaline-bearing leucogranite (Table 1; Fig. 4) 134 collected from an isolated outcrop and as such its relationship with the rest of the igneous phases present at Dhanggna khola is unknown. 135

136 3. Geochronology

137 3.1. Methods

Full details of the methods employed for each analysis type, along with data tables, are available in the accompanying Supporting Information. The geochronology of specimens GG10 and GG12 was originally reported in Lihter et al. (2020). The data from those specimens are discussed with the specimens below and associated data are replotted herein (Fig. 5) for consistency.

Monazite from seven specimens (GG01A, GG10, GG12, DK14, DK15, DK16, and GH17B) were dated using Laser Ablation Multicollector Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (LA-MC-ICP-MS) at the University of California, Santa Barbara following methods described in Cottle et al., (2012). Specimens were first crushed and ground using standard mechanical methods, and heavy minerals were separated using a Rogers GoldTM table, heavy liquids (Methyl iodide, MI, 3.35 g/cm^3), and FrantzTM magnetic techniques. Monazite were mounted in epoxy, polished to expose crystal centers and then analysed.

⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar analyses were completed at the University of Manitoba using a laser (for stepheating) attached to a multi-collector mass-spectrometer. Mica grains were hand-picked from each specimen and visually checked for lack of inclusions and alteration. Micas were analysed as single grains to avoid potential problems with age homogenization during step-heating due to intergrain variability and grain size.

U-Th/He analyses of apatite and zircon were carried out at the University of Calgary through whole grain dissolution methods. Specimens were crushed and separated using standard procedures. Individual grains were hand-picked for analysis with preference given to high purity euhedral inclusion-free crystals. Analysis of zircon grains was carried out at the Colorado University Institute for Arctic and Alpine Research.

160 3.2. Monazite U-Th/Pb Geochronology

161 U-Th/Pb monazite geochronology (Table S1) was used to assess the crystallization age of 162 the Mugu and Mustang bodies. Monazite in these specimens typically record a range of dates 163 (Fig. 5). We, therefore, follow the approach of Lederer et al. (2013) and Larson et al. (2017) 164 wherein the latest crystallization age of each specimen is estimated using the weighted mean age 165 of the youngest identifiable sub-population of monazite. The resulting ages, quoted at 2SE, are 166 used as the points in time from which the cooling path of the granite bodies initiated. All ages reported are calculated from the ²³²Th/²⁰⁸Pb system to avoid potential problems with excess ²⁰⁶Pb 167 from the decay of unsupported ²³⁰Th (e.g., Schärer, 1984). 168

Forty monazite crystals from specimen GH17B (Ghami; Fig. 2) yield a crystallization age of ca. 22.0 \pm 0.2 Ma, (MSWD = 1.2; Fig. 5A). Three specimens of the Mugu batholith were dated from the Ghar Gompa location (GG01A, GG10, and GG12; Fig. 2, Fig. 5B-D). The data from GG10 and GG12 were first reported in Lihter et al. (2020) and are replotted here. Monazite from specimen GG01A define a minimum crystallization age of 21.6 \pm 0.1 Ma, (MSWD = 1.7; Fig. 5B), while those from GG10 and GG12 yield ages of 20.3 \pm 0.2 Ma (MSWD = 1.1; Fig. 5C) and 21.4 \pm 0.2 Ma (MSWD = 1.4; Fig. 5D), respectively.

Three specimens (DK14, DK15 and DK16) were collected from the Dhanggna Khola location for monazite geochronology (Fig. 2, Fig. 5E-G). Monazite from the pegmatitic specimen DK14 yield a minimum crystallization age of 26.1 ± 0.3 Ma (MSWD = 1.0; Fig. 5E). Monazite from specimen DK15, sampled from a dike that crosscuts the Mustang orthogneiss, define a minimum crystallization age of 22.2 ± 0.4 Ma (MSWD = 1.2; Fig. 5F). Finally, monazite from specimen DK16, a sample of the Mustang orthogneiss, yield a minimum age of 24.9 ± 0.7 Ma (MSWD = 0.4; Fig. 5G).

183 3.2.1 Paleozoic Populations

184 The monazite data from both DK14 and DK16 contain significant Paleozoic populations 185 that range between ca. 450 and 500 Ma (Figs. 5E, G). The Paleozoic population in the Mustang 186 orthogneiss (DK16) is interpreted to reflect the age of a granitic protolith. Similar age 187 orthogneiss has been described from south of the Upper Mustang region in the high metamorphic 188 grade rocks of the Greater Himalayan sequence (483.6 \pm 9.1; Godin et al., 2001) and in other 189 regions across the orogen (e.g. Gehrels et al., 2006a, 2006b). The interpreted youngest age for 190 the deformed Mustang orthogneiss specimen reported herein is ca. 24.9 ± 0.7 Ma, while that of 191 DK14, which is undeformed, is older at 26.1 ± 0.3 Ma. This indicates that deformation of the

body may predate ca. 26 Ma, which is significantly different than previous interpretations that suggested it was younger than 23.4 ± 0.2 Ma (Hurtado, 2002). Because DK14 is undeformed, the Paleozoic sub-population (Fig. 5E) is thought to be inherited. The pegmatitic muscovite and tourmaline-bearing leucogranite may be derived from partial melting of the Mustang orthogneiss during high-grade metamorphism/anatexis.

197 3.3. Mica 40 Ar/ 39 Ar Geochronology

The results of ${}^{40}\text{Ar}/{}^{39}\text{Ar}$ single crystal step-heating analyses are presented in Figure 6 and 198 199 reported in Table 2 (step-heating data are presented in Table S2). All specimens analyzed 200 returned nearly flat age spectra that define a narrow range of ages from 15.7 ± 0.1 Ma to $17.2 \pm$ 0.1 Ma, averaging ca. 88% of ³⁹Ar released. Closure temperatures (Table 2) were estimated 201 202 separately for each specimen using the approach of Dodson (1973). Diffusion and activation 203 energy parameters used for muscovite and biotite closure temperature calculations are those of 204 Harrison et al. (2009) and Grove and Harrison (1996), respectively, assuming the same 205 geometries used therein. Uncertainties in all parameters, including grain size, were propagated 206 through the calculation.

207 Biotite from the granitic Mugu-affinity dike at the Ghami location, GH17B, yields an age 208 of 16.1 ± 0.1 Ma with 100% of ³⁹Ar released (Fig. 6A).

Muscovite grains from several outcrops of the main body of the Mugu batholith at the Ghar Gompa location were analyzed (Fig. 6B). 40 Ar/ 39 Ar results for specimens GG10 and GG12 are reported in Larson et al. (2019), and yielded ages of 16.7 ± 0.1 and 16.9 ± 0.1 Ma, respectively. Specimens GG01A and GG01B, two medium-grained granites, both from the northeastern part of the Ghar Gompa area (Fig. 2), yield indistinguishable ages of 17.1 ± 0.1 and 16.9 ± 0.1 Ma at 81% and 96.2% of 39 Ar released, respectively (Fig. 6B). Specimen GG11 was sampled at the highest elevation reached at Ghar Gompa (Fig. 2). It is also a medium-grained granite and yields an age of 16.8 ± 0.1 Ma at 97.5% of ³⁹Ar released, indistinguishable from the neighboring specimens (Fig. 6B). Finally, specimen GG13, a medium-grained granite, also centrally located in the Ghar Gompa area (Fig. 2), yields a muscovite age of 15.7 ± 0.1 Ma at 98.3% of ³⁹Ar released (Fig. 6B).

At the Dhanggna Khola location (Fig. 2) muscovite from the fine-grained Mugu granite dike, specimen DK15, yields a plateau age of 17.2 ± 0.1 Ma at 88.1% of ³⁹Ar released (Fig. 6C). Muscovite from the pegmatitic alkali-feldspar granite, specimen DK14, gives a slightly 'saddle'shaped release spectra, with a middle plateau at 17.1 ± 0.1 Ma at 59.4% ³⁹Ar released (Fig. 6C).

Because the ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages are consistently younger than the U-Th/Pb monazite crystallization ages for corresponding specimens, they are interpreted to reflect cooling of the rocks after emplacement. The 'saddle'-shape spectrum in specimen DK14 may indicate the presence of excess Ar at grain margins (Lanphere & Dalrymple, 1976). Nevertheless, the age of the plateau portion of the spectrum is within uncertainty of the plateau ages of the other specimens and is, therefore, considered to be geologically meaningful.

230 3.4. U-Th/He Thermochronometry of Zircon and Apatite

Calculated dates, U and Th content, effective U (eU), and effective radii for individual zircon and apatite grains are summarized in Table 3; full data are presented in Table S3. Data for individual grains are presented in log-ratio plots (Vermeesch, 2010) created using the IsoplotR software of Vermeesch (2018). Where available, the central age (Fig. 7), which is the age corresponding to the geometric mean U-Th/He composition from a single sampling location, is taken as the preferred age, as it is considered the most accurate way to obtain an average of multiple single-crystal U-Th/He analyses (Vermeesch, 2008). An exception to this is the zircon
cooling data from the Ghar Gompa location, where only 2 crystals yield reliable analyses. There,
the reported age is the geometric mean.

Effective closure temperatures for zircon and apatite at each site were calculated using the approach of Dodson (1973) with the diffusivities and geometries as in Cherniak et al. (2009). Average grain sizes for each site were calculated, with uncertainty, to determine the closure temperature. Closure temperatures calculated parallel and perpendicular to the *c*-axis for zircon were within uncertainty and are therefore also averaged. Closure temperatures are reported in Table 3.

246 Both zircon and apatite U-Th/He results yield over-dispersed dates (Fig. 7). 247 Overdispersion in U-Th/He dates may result from a number of factors such as undetected U- or 248 Th- bearing inclusions or fractures, variations in grain size and/or chemical zonation contributing 249 to an inaccurate alpha-ejection (FT) correction (Fitzgerald et al., 2006), or variations in diffusion 250 kinetics resulting from radiation damage (Shuster et al., 2006). The quality and size of zircon and 251 apatite crystals available for this study varied significantly from specimen to specimen. 252 Inclusions may have been present in some of the grains analyzed despite efforts to avoid 253 selecting such crystals, which may contribute to the overdispersion apparent in Figure 7. In 254 addition, FT correction factors fail to account for U and Th zoning in crystals (Meesters & 255 Dunai, 2002). The whole-grain U-Th/He analysis used in this study does not allow for the 256 detection of chemical zoning within crystals, which may also have further contributed to 257 overdispersion in the specimens analyzed. Finally, radiation damage can affect He diffusion in 258 zircon and apatite. Its potential contribution to overdispersion can be investigated using eU 259 (where eU = U + 0.235Th in ppm) as a proxy (Guenthner et al., 2013; Shuster et al., 2006).

Ellipses are colored according the eU concentration in each crystal in the logratio plots (Fig. 7), which allows for a qualitative check for possible eU-age correlation due to alpha-ejection track concentrations (Shuster et al., 2006). All zircon and apatite U-Th/He analysis presented, however, lack an eU-age correlation and thus radiation damage is likely not a major source of overdispersion in the log-ratio plots.

265 Four zircon crystals separated from the Mugu granite dike (GH17B) at the Ghami site 266 define a central age of 10.3 ± 1.8 Ma (Fig. 7A). Unfortunately, GH17B did not contain useable 267 apatite crystals. Two zircon grains picked from Ghar Gompa Mugu granite specimens (grains 268 GG01B 1 and GG11 3) yielded U-Th/He ages that yield a geometric mean of 11.3 ± 0.3 (Fig. 269 7B). Zircon GG01B_2 from the same site gave a U-Th/He age of 43.6 ± 3.6 Ma, older than the 270 U-Th/Pb age of the specimen before alpha-ejection correction and is thus discarded. The 15 271 apatite analyses from the Ghar Gompa site (from specimens GG01A, GG10, and GG12) are 272 combined into a single log-ratio plot that yields a central age of 7.8 ± 1.3 Ma (Fig. 7C).

Analysis of five zircon crystals from the coarse-grained to pegmatitic granite at Dhanggna Khola (specimen DK14) define a central age of 12.4 ± 3.8 Ma (Fig. 7D). Eleven combined apatite dates were obtained from specimens DK14 and DK15 from the same site, which yield a central age of 5.6 ± 0.8 (Fig. 7E). Apatite DK15_6 gave a U-Th/He age of $25.9 \pm$ 0.6 Ma before alpha-ejection correction, which is discarded as it is older than the U-Th/Pb age from the same specimen.

279 4. Discussion

4.1. Cooling paths

281 This study presents the first zircon and apatite U-Th/He geochronological data from the Upper Mustang region of central Nepal. Unlike previous studies (e.g. Hurtado, 2002) these new data, along with the 282 new ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar and U-Th/Pb ages presented herein, facilitates, for the first time, the opportunity to 283 284 extrapolate to lower temperatures the cooling history for the three sites investigated. Cooling paths 285 were determined using the calculated closure temperatures for Ar-in-muscovite and biotite and He-in-zircon and 286 apatite. The crystallization temperature of Mugu-related melt is assumed to be 700 \pm 50 °C, typical of Himalayan 287 leucogranites (e.g. Ayres & Harris, 1997; Copeland et al., 1990; Visonà & Lombardo, 2002), while 10 ± 10 °C is 288 used for the present-day temperature, which encompasses approximate variation in average monthly temperatures in 289 the Upper Mustang region ("Climate-Data.org," 2019). Where more than one age was reported for a site for a given 290 mineral isotopic system, a weighted mean age was calculated using IsoplotR of Vermeesch (2018) as was as 291 mean closure temperature.

292 The calculated cooling path for the Ghami site (Fig. 8) records a decreasing cooling rate 293 through time, from 57 ± 10 °C/Ma between 22 Ma to 16 Ma and 32 ± 11 °C/Ma between 16 Ma 294 and 10 Ma, to a slower rate of 16 ± 3 °C/Ma between 10 Ma and the present. The cooling path 295 calculated for the Ghar Gompa site is 55 \pm 13 °C/Ma from 20 Ma to 17 Ma, which is 296 indistinguishable from a rate of 51 ± 6 °C/Ma between 17 Ma to 11 Ma (Fig. 8). The cooling rate 297 decreases after the zircon U-Th/He constraint to 29 ± 12 °C/Ma from 11 to 8 Ma, and again to 9 298 ± 2 °C/Ma from 8 Ma to present. The initial cooling rate calculated for the Dhanggna Khola site 299 is 42 ± 11 °C/Ma from 22 Ma to 17 Ma and 64 ± 52 °C/Ma from 17 Ma to 12 Ma (Fig. 8). The 300 large uncertainty in the rate between Ar-in-muscovite and He-in-zircon closure reflects the

301 uncertainty in the central age of the zircon. Between 12 and 6 Ma the rate is calculated to be 17 ± 10 °C/Ma which decreases to a rate of 11 ± 3 °C/Ma from 6 Ma to present.

The earliest (~22 to ~16 Ma) portion of the cooling path at all locations ranges from 57 -42 °C/Ma. That is similar to the cooling rate of 45 °C/Ma during this same time interval calculated by Hurtado (2002). However, the younger portions of the new and previously published cooling paths diverge. The new zircon U-Th/He ages presented herein indicate slower cooling rates in the post-mica cooling interval than that interpreted from 40 Ar/ 39 Ar K-feldspar data by Hurtado (2002). Despite the absolute differences between low temperature cooling rates, both paths show a significant slowdown in the middle Miocene.

310 Simple conductive cooling models can provide insight on the expected and/or 311 geologically realistic cooling histories of intrusive bodies. The models of Jaeger (1964, 1968) 312 incorporate intrusion temperature, size and geometry with background temperature and 313 diffusivity to model conductive cooling. The cooling paths outlined above cannot be reproduced 314 through passive cooling, i.e. cooling in place after intrusion, of the Mugu body at Ghar Gompa. 315 The geometry of the Mugu pluton, which has a width of ~ 25 km and a length in excess of >100 316 km, was approximated as an infinite dyke with a starting temperature of $700 \pm 50^{\circ}$ C (see above) 317 and a country rock temperature of ~450 °C (see Lihter et al., 2020). Given those starting conditions, and a diffusivity of 0.5 mm²s⁻¹ (Whittington et al., 2009), the temperature at the 318 319 margin of the pluton is predicted to be 571 °C at the time of Ar closure (see Table 2). The 320 modelled cooling history is significantly slower than the observed along the lower temperature 321 (<17 Ma) portion of the path, even if the background temperature is decreased to 0 °C at the time of Ar closure and the diffusivity is increased to 1 mm²s⁻¹ (see '2-stage model' Fig. 8). The same 322 323 problem exists if the pluton modelled to intrude into rocks with a background temperature of 0° C

and a 'fast' diffusivity (1 mm²s⁻¹). After fast initial cooling that broadly matches the observed, the predicted path slows such that the cooling rate is much slower than the observed (Fig. 8). The same basic pattern emerges when modelling the cooling of the Mugu pluton using the SILLS program of Nabelek et al. (2012). The model predicts a temperature of ~469 °C at the edge of the pluton at the time of Ar closure, indistinguishable from those calculated (Table 2), after which cooling of the model is slower than the observed path.

While it is possible for models to reproduce the initial cooling of the pluton from crystallization to Ar closure, the lack of fit at lower temperatures likely reflect the effect of exhumation, which is entirely unaccounted for. Interestingly, the point at which the model deviates from the observed cooling pattern coincides with initiation of extension in the Thakkhola graben at ca. 17 Ma (Hurtado, 2002; Larson et al., 2019). We suggest, therefore, that the evolution of the graben had a first-order effect on the cooling of the Mugu pluton.

4.2. E-W extension in the Thakkhola graben and the Tibetan plateau

Movement on the STDS, an orogen-scale extensional fault system that detached the 337 338 upper and middle portions of the crust in the Himalaya, ceased by ~22 Ma in the 339 Annapurna/Mustang region (Godin et al., 2001). Therefore, the cooling rates determined in this 340 study are inferred to be unrelated to any potential exhumation driven by movement on the STDS. 341 The cooling rates calculated for all three study locations (Ghami, Ghar Gompa, Dhanggna 342 Khola; Fig. 2) are relatively invariant from ~22 to ~13 Ma, while a distinct decrease occurs 343 between ~13 and ~8 Ma (Fig. 8). This slower cooling rate likely reflects one of the following 344 three scenarios: 1) a reduction in regional erosion rates; 2) an overall decrease in E-W extension 345 across Tibet or; 3) the initiation of extensional structures elsewhere in the Himalaya and the

346 Tibetan plateau that accommodate E-W extension, partitioning deformation away from347 Thakkhola region at this time.

348 In the first scenario, if the climate of the Tibetan plateau and the Thakkhola graben 349 became drier, perhaps related to raising of the High Himalaya and development of an orographic 350 barrier, erosion rates would be expected to decrease as the plateau became more arid, which 351 would in turn decrease the rate of exhumation of the Thakkhola graben. Paleoclimatic studies, 352 however, demonstrate that the intensity of the Asian monsoon was high during ~12-15 Ma (Sun 353 & Wang, 2005), as was Himalayan erosion (Clift et al., 2008). In fact, it appears that erosion was 354 rapid across much of southern Tibet in the early Miocene time (e.g. Carrapa et al., 2014; 355 Copeland et al., 1995; Dai et al., 2013; Shen et al., 2016). Thus, if erosion was driving 356 exhumation in the Thakkhola graben, it would be expected that the cooling rate would have 357 increased, which we do not observe (Fig. 8). Consequently, exhumation, driven primarily by E-358 W extension, is the most plausible explanation.

359 The second scenario for the slowing of cooling rates observed in the Thakkhola graben is 360 related to a decrease in E-W extension across southern Tibet with time. Molnar and Stock (2009) 361 documented a reduction in convergence rate between India and Asia between ~20 and ~10 Ma. If 362 E-W extension is related to N-S convergence, as has been proposed (e.g. Clark & Royden, 2000), 363 a decline in convergence rate would also result in a decrease in the magnitude of E-W extension, 364 assuming coupling between the middle and upper crust at this time (e.g. Larson et al., 2019). The 365 total number of graben and related structures throughout Tibet, however, appears to increase 366 with time culminating at the present day (Fig. 9; Ratschbacher et al., 2011).

367 The observation that the number of graben appear to increase with time favors the third 368 scenario; strain partitioning away from the Thakkhola graben and into other E-W extension 369 graben and associated structures. The timing of the initiation of graben structures in Tibet is370 summarized in Figure 9 and Table 4.

371 The early Miocene initiation of E-W extension across the Thakkhola graben is coeval 372 with similar records elsewhere across the Himalaya and Tibet. Cooper et al. (2015) documented 373 E-W extension in the Yadong Cross structure (YCS) by ~14 Ma (Fig. 9A), Mitsuishi et al. 374 (2012) interpreted ductile E-W extension to have initiated with granite emplacement ca. 19 Ma 375 in the Kung Co area of southern Tibet, and Murphy and Copeland (2005) provided evidence of 376 E-W extension contemporaneous with N-S shortening in the Gurla Mandhata region at ≤ 15 Ma 377 (Fig. 9A). Finally, in NW India, Thiede et al. (2006) interpreted rapid exhumation of the Leo-378 Pagril dome, beginning at ca. 16 Ma, to reflect the initiation of E-W extension.

379 In addition to the studies that recognized early Miocene E-W extension discussed above 380 (Fig. 9A), others document the regional initiation of ductile and brittle E-W extensional 381 structures at ~13-8 Ma (Table 4) for at least 8 different locations (Fig. 9B). Further work 382 documents a second period of extension facilitated by brittle structures in the same locations at 383 ~6-4 Ma (Table. 4; Fig. 9C). For example, Cottle et al., (2009) recognize a period of ductile E-W 384 extension in the Ama Drime region at ~12 Ma, and initiation of related brittle extension in the 385 same area at ~6-4 Ma (Jessup et al., 2008) (Table 4). North of the Kung Co rift, in the Tangra 386 Yum Co rift (Fig. 9B), Dewane et al. (2006) outline two periods of E-W extension based on 387 cooling path inflections at ~13 Ma and ~6 Ma. Finally, Ratschbacher et al. (2011) provide a 388 summary of rifting in southern Tibet and show that early ductile E-W extensional structures 389 consistently initiate at ~13-8 Ma, with a second population of brittle E-W structures that initiate 390 around ~4-5 Ma. These studies, and the cooling rates defined herein, add to a growing body of evidence indicating a shift in orogen-wide kinematics initiated around 13-8 Ma, with perhaps
further reorganization at ~5 Ma.

393 Graben development may be diachronous, with those farthest south and closest to the 394 Himalaya, initiating first at ≥ 14 Ma, and those farther north initiating later (Fig. 9). This 395 progression is outlined in the Yadong-Gulu system, as well as the Ama Drime - Xainze Dinggye 396 system, where early extension is documented in the southern portions of the rifts and advances 397 progressively northward (Fig. 9). Unfortunately, the timing of many of these structures is only 398 bracketed by a maximum or minimum age and more detailed studies, using multiple 399 thermochronometers, are required to precisely outline the timing of formation of these individual 400 structures, and detailed progressive spatial development.

401 5. Conclusions

402 This study provides new geochronological information that detail the development of the Thakkhola graben in central Nepal. New U-Th/He data, together with ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar dating of 403 404 muscovite and biotite and U-Th/Pb dating of monazite, outline the cooling path for three 405 locations in the footwall of the Dangardzong fault. These paths record rapid cooling from ~ 22 406 Ma to ~13 Ma, followed by a decrease in cooling rates at ~13-8 Ma and again at ~5 Ma. The 407 changes in cooling rates are interpreted to correspond with a decrease in E-W extension in the 408 Thakkhola graben at these times, related to the initiation of E-W extensional structures elsewhere 409 in the orogen and the partitioning of strain away from the Thakkhola.

410 6. Acknowledgements

411 This work was enabled by an NSERC Canada Graduate Scholarship to A. Brubacher, an NSERC 412 Discovery Grant, Accelerator Supplement and Canadian Foundation for Innovation Award to K. 413 Larson. It was further supported by a National Science Foundation grant, NSF-EAR-1119380, 414 awarded to J. Cottle. Apatite and zircon thermochronometry was performed at the Centre for 415 Pure and Applied Tectonics and Thermochronology, which is funded by the Canadian 416 Foundation for Innovation (CFI project 30696). I. Lither aided in the field. P. Tamang, S. 417 Adhikari, the D.G. of the Department of Mines and Geology and the parliamentary office of S. 418 Fuhr are all thanked for their logistical support. Constructive reviews from two anonymous 419 reviews helped better focus this work. Data and Supporting Information can be downloaded here: 420 https://doi.org/10.5683/SP2/ZPSDIT.

421 7. Figure Captions

Figure 1: A) Map of south Asia, showing N-S compression-related faults (blue) and active E-W extension-related faults (black), modified from Styron et al. (2011) and Yin (2006). Digital elevation data provided by and copyright © of the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA) and used under license therefrom. Warmer colors indicate higher elevations. B) Geology of the Upper Mustang region, based on Hurtado (2002), showing major lithologies and sampling locations from this study. Background digital elevation data is used under license form, and copyright © of JAXA.

429 Figure 2: Sampling locations and respective U-Th/Pb, ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar, and U-Th/He dates (in Ma) for
430 each location. Map modified from Hurtado (2002). Inset depicts an enlarged view of the Ghar

Gompa area with granite sampling locations and structural measurements taken from mica schist.
Simplified vertical geological section shown below along line A-B as depicted in the map.
Details about the different types of ages are discussed in the text. Symbol shapes represent
sampling location: triangle for Ghami, squares for Ghar Gompa, and stars for Dhanggna Khola.
Symbol colouring is unique to each specimen and consistent throughout this document.
Background imagery is the intellectual property of Esri and is used herein under license.
Copyright © 2018 Esri and its licensors. All rights reserved.

438

Figure 3 - A) Outcrop at the Ghami location, showing leucogranitic Mugu sills/dikes crosscutting the bedding of the TSS (red dashed lines). B) Outcrop at the Ghar Gompa location showing intrusive contact between the fine-grained granitic Mugu batholith and unmetamorphosed TSS. Red dashed lines outline the trace of bedding in the TSS. C) Outcrop at the Dhanggna Khola location, showing two fine-grained leucogranite dikes horizontally cross cutting both a larger coarse-grained granite vertical dike, and badly weathered granitic orthogneiss. Hammer is approximately 30 cm long.

446

447 Figure 4 – Quartz, alkali-feldspar, plagioclase feldspar (QAP) classification diagram for
448 representative specimens from the sites investigated in this work. Photomicrographs of
449 specimens from each site. See text for discussion.

450

451 Figure 5 - U-Th/Pb monazite dates from Ghami (A), Ghar Gompa (B-D), and Dhanngna Khola

452 (E-G). Interpreted minimum crystallization age in inset. Weighted mean calculations performed

453 in IsoplotR (Vermeesch, 2018).

454

455 Figure 6 - A) ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar age spectra diagram for Ghami location. B)⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar age spectra
456 diagrams for Ghar Gompa site. C) ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar age spectra diagrams for Dhanggna Khola site.
457 Symbols correspond to locations on Fig. 2.

458

Figure 7 - Logratio plots showing zircon and apatite (U-Th)/He ages (Vermeesch, 2008). A)
Ghami zircon ages, B) Ghar Gompa zirco ages, C) Ghar Gompa apatite ages, D) Dhanggna
Khola zirco ages, E) Dhanggna Khola apatite ages. Preferred ages shown in bold. Number labels
correspond to Mineral Analysis # in Table 3.

463

464 Figure 8 – Cooling paths calculated for specimens from the sites investigated in this work (as
465 marked). Existing information on the timing of ongoing graben formation is shown above for
466 comparison.

467

468 Figure 9 - Summary of cooling paths estimated from this study and the progressive development 469 of E-W extensional structures in the Himalaya-Tibet system through time. Study area is indicated 470 by the star. Left: weighted mean cooling paths for the three field locations from this study, with 471 time period of interest highlighted in red. Right: map of the Himalaya and Tibetan plateau 472 (modified after Styron et al., 2011) indicating E-W extensional structures active in different time 473 periods (red lines). Abbreviations: AD - Ama Drime massif, GB - Gyirong basin, GM - Gurla 474 Mandhata dome, LP - Leo Pagril dome, LU - Lunggar rift, SH - Shuang Hu graben, TG -475 Thakkhola graben, TY - Tangra-Yumco rift, XD - Xainza-Dinggye rift, YC - Yadong Cross 476 structure, YG - Yadong-Gulu rift.

477

478 8. References

479

480	Andersen, T. B., & Jamtveit, B. (1990). Uplift of deep crust during orogenic extensional
481	collapse: A model based on field studies in the Sogn-Sunnfjord Region of western
482	Norway. Tectonics, 9(5), 1097–1111.
483	Armijo, R., Tapponnier, P., Mercier, J. L., & Han, TL. (1986). Quaternary extension in
484	southern Tibet: Field observations and tectonic implications. Journal of Geophysical
485	Research, 91(B14), 13803.
486	Ayres, M., & Harris, N. (1997). REE fractionation and Nd-isotope disequilibrium during crustal
487	anatexis: constraints from Himalayan leucogranites. Chemical Geology, 139(1), 249-269.
488	Clark, M. K., & Royden, L. H. (2000). Topographic ooze: Building the eastern margin of Tibet
489	by lower crustal flow. Geology, 28(8), 703–706.
490	Clift, P. D., Hodges, K. V., Heslop, D., Hannigan, R., Van Long, H., & Calves, G. (2008).
491	Correlation of Himalayan exhumation rates and Asian monsoon intensity. Nature
492	Geoscience, 1, 875.
493	Climate-Data.org. (2019, June 14). Retrieved June 14, 2019, from https://en.climate-
494	data.org/asia/nepal/western-development-region/lo-manthang-53259/
495	Colchen, M. (1999). The Thakkhola–Mustang graben in Nepal and the late Cenozoic extension
496	in the Higher Himalayas. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 17(5-6), 683-702.
497	Coleman, M., & Hodges, K. (1995). Evidence for Tibetan plateau uplift before 14 Myr ago from
498	a new minimum age for eastwest extension. <i>Nature</i> , 374(6517), 49–52.

- Constenius, K. N. (1996). Late Paleogene extensional collapse of the Cordilleran foreland fold
 and thrust belt. *GSA Bulletin*, *108*(1), 20–39.
- 501 Cooper, F. J., Hodges, K. V., Parrish, R. R., Roberts, N. M. W., & Horstwood, M. S. A. (2015).
- Synchronous N-S and E-W extension at the Tibet-to-Himalaya transition in NW Bhutan.
 Tectonics, *34*(7), 2014TC003712.
- Copeland, P., Harrison, T. M., & Le Fort, P. (1990). Age and cooling history of the Manaslu
 granite: implications for Himalayan tectonics. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 44(33–50).
- 507 Cottle, J. M., Jessup, M. J., Newell, D. L., Horstwood, M. S. A., Noble, S. R., Parrish, R. R., et
- al. (2009). Geochronology of granulitized eclogite from the Ama Drime Massif:
- 509 Implications for the tectonic evolution of the South Tibetan Himalaya. *Tectonics*, 28,
 510 TC1002.
- 511 Cottle, J. M., Kylander-Clark, A. R., & Vrijmoed, J. C. (2012). U–Th/Pb geochronology of
- detrital zircon and monazite by single shot laser ablation inductively coupled plasma
 mass spectrometry (SS-LA-ICPMS). *Chemical Geology*, *332–333*, 136–147.
- 514 Deniel, C., Vidal, P., Fernandez, A., Le Fort, P., & Peucat, J.-J. (1987). Isotopic study of the
- 515 Manaslu granite (Himalaya, Nepal): inferences on the age and source of Himalayan
- 516 leucogranites. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology. Beitrage Zur Mineralogie*
- 517 *Und Petrologie*, 96(1), 78–92.
- 518 Dewane, T. J., Stockli, D. F., Hager, C., Taylor, M., Ding, L., Lee, J., & Wallis, S. (2006).
- 519 Timing of Cenozoic EW extension in the Tangra Yum Co-Kung Co Rift, south-central
- 520 Tibet. In AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts. adsabs.harvard.edu. Retrieved from
- 521 http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006AGUFM.T34C..04D

522	Dewey, J. F.	(1988)	Extensional colla	pse of orogens.	Tectonics, $7(6)$, 1123–1139.
		· · · · /				,

- Dodson, M. (1973). Closure temperature in cooling geochronological and petrological systems.
 Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 40, 259–274.
- 525 Fitzgerald, P. G., Baldwin, S. L., Webb, L. E., & O'Sullivan, P. B. (2006). Interpretation of (U-
- 526 Th)/He single grain ages from slowly cooled crustal terranes: A case study from the
- 527 Transantarctic Mountains of southern Victoria Land. *Chemical Geology*, 225(1–2), 91–

528 120.

- 529 Flowers, R. M., Ketcham, R. A., Shuster, D. L., & Farley, K. A. (2009). Apatite (U-Th)/He
- 530 thermochronometry using a radiation damage accumulation and annealing model.
- 531 *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 73(8), 2347–2365.
- Fort, M., Bassoullet, J. P., Colchen, M., & Freytet, P. (1981). Sedimentological and structural
 evolution of the Thakkhola-Mustang graben (Nepal Himalaya) during late Neogene and
- 534 Pleistocene. In *Proceedings* (pp. 25–35). India: Geological Survey of India.
- 535 Fort, M., Freytet, P., & Colchen, M. (1982). Structural and sedimentological evolution of the
- 536 Thakkhola Mustang graben (Nepal Himalayas). *Zeitschrift Für Geomorphologie*, 42, 75–
 537 98.
- 538 Fu, J., Li, G., Wang, G., Zhang, L., Liang, W., Zhang, Z., et al. (2018). Synchronous granite

539 intrusion and E–W extension in the Cuonadong dome, southern Tibet, China: evidence

- from field observations and thermochronologic results. *International Journal of Earth Sciences*, 1–19.
- Garzione, C. N., Dettman, D. L., Quade, J., DeCelles, P. G., & Butler, R. F. (2000). High times
 on the Tibetan Plateau: Paleoelevation of the Thakkhola graben, Nepal. *Geology*, 28(4),
 339–342.

545	Garzione, C. N., DeCelles, P. G., Hodkinson, D. G., Ojha, T. P., & Upreti, B. N. (2003). East-
546	west extension and Miocene environmental change in the southern Tibetan plateau:
547	Thakkhola graben, central Nepal. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 115(1), 3–20.
548	Gehrels, G. E., DeCelles, P. G., Ojha, T. P., & Upreti, B. N. (2006a). Geologic and U-Pb
549	geochronologic evidence for early Paleozoic tectonism in the Dadeldhura thrust sheet,
550	far-west Nepal Himalaya. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 28(4-6), 385-408.
551	Gehrels, G. E., DeCelles, P. G., Ojha, T. P., & Upreti, B. N. (2006b). Geologic and U-Th-Pb
552	geochronologic evidence for early Paleozoic tectonism in the Kathmandu thrust sheet,
553	central Nepal Himalaya. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 118(1-2), 185-198.
554	Godin, L., Parrish, R. R., Brown, R. L., & Hodges, K. V. (2001). Crustal thickening leading to
555	exhumation of the Himalayan Metamorphic core of central Nepal: Insight from U-Pb
556	Geochronology and ⁴⁰ Ar/ ³⁹ Ar Thermochronology. <i>Tectonics</i> , 20(5), 729–747.
557	Grove, M., & Harrison, T. M. (1996). ⁴⁰ Ar* diffusion in Fe-rich biotite. <i>The American</i>
558	Mineralogist, 81, 940–951.
559	Guenthner, W. R., Reiners, P. W., Ketcham, R. A., Nasdala, L., & Giester, G. (2013). Helium
560	diffusion in natural zircon: Radiation damage, anisotropy, and the interpretation of zircon
561	(U-Th)/He thermochronology. American Journal of Science, 313(3), 145–198.
562	Guillot, S., Pecher, A., & Le Fort, P. (1995). Tectonic and thermal constraints on emplacement
563	of Himalayan leucogranites. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 320, 55-61.
564	Harrison, T. M., Lovera, O. M., & Grove, M. (1997). New insights into the origin of two
565	contrasting Himalayan granite belts. Geology, 25(10), 899–902.
566	Harrison, T. M., Célérier, J., Aikman, A. B., Hermann, J., & Heizler, M. T. (2009). Diffusion of
567	⁴⁰ Ar in muscovite. <i>Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta</i> , 73(4), 1039–1051.

- Hodges, K. V. (2000). Tectonics of the Himalaya and southern Tibet from two perspectives.
 Geological Society of America Bulletin, *112*(3), 324–350.
- 570 Hurtado, J. M. (2002). *Tectonic Evolution of the Thakkhola Graben and Dhaulagiri Himalaya*,
 571 *Central Nepal* (PhD). Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Hurtado, J. M., Hodges, K. V., & Whipple, K. (2001). Neotectonics of the Thakkhola graben and
 implications for recent activity on the South Tibetan fault system in the central Nepal
 Himalaya. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, *113*(2), 222–240.
- 575 Jessup, M. J., Newell, D. L., Cottle, J. M., Berger, A. L., & Spotila, J. A. (2008). Orogen-parallel
- 576 extension and exhumation enhanced by denudation in the trans-Himalayan Arun River
- 577 gorge, Ama Drime Massif, Tibet-Nepal. *Geology*, *36*(7), 587.
- Kali, E., Leloup, P., Arnaud, N., Mahéo, G., Liu, D., Boutonnet, E., et al. (2010). Exhumation
 history of the deepest central Himalayan rocks, Ama Drime range: Key pressure-
- 580 temperature-deformation-time constraints on orogenic models. *Tectonics*, 29(2), 1–31.
- 581 Ketcham, R. A. (2005). Forward and Inverse Modeling of Low-Temperature
- 582 Thermochronometry Data. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, 58(1), 275–314.
- 583 Kirby, E., Reiners, P. W., Krol, M. A., Whipple, K. X., Hodges, K. V., Farley, K. A., et al.
- 584 (2002). Late Cenozoic evolution of the eastern margin of the Tibetan Plateau: Inferences 585 from ${}^{40}\text{Ar}/{}^{39}\text{Ar}$ and (U-Th)/He thermochronology. *Tectonics*, 21(1), 1-1-1-20.
- Lanphere, M. A., & Dalrymple, B. G. (1976). Identification of excess ⁴⁰Ar by the ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar age
 spectrum technique. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *32*(2), 141–148.
- 588 Larson, K. P., Camacho, A., Cottle, J. M., Coutand, I., Buckingham, H. M., Ambrose, T. K., &
- Rai, S. M. (2017). Cooling, exhumation, and kinematics of the Kanchenjunga Himal, far
 east Nepal: Exhumation of the Kanchenjunga Himal. *Tectonics*, *36*(6), 1037–1052.

591	Larson, K. P., Kellett, D. A., Cottle, J. M., Camacho, A., & Brubacher, A. D. (2019). Mid-
592	Miocene initiation of E-W extension and recoupling of the Himalaya. Terra Nova, 42, 75.
593	Le Fort, P., & France-Lanord, C. (1994). Granites from Mustang and surrounding regions,
594	central Nepal. Journal of Nepal Geological Society, 10, 79-81.
595	Le Fort, P., Cuney, M., Deniel, C., France-Lanord, C., Sheppard, S. M., Upreti, B. N., & Vidal,
596	P. (1987). Crustal generation of the Himalayan leucogranites. <i>Tectonophysics</i> , 134(39–
597	57).
598	Lederer, G. W., Cottle, J. M., Jessup, M. J., Langille, J. M., & Ahmad, T. (2013). Timescales of
599	partial melting in the Himalayan middle crust: insight from the Leo Pargil dome,
600	northwest India. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology. Beitrage Zur Mineralogie
601	Und Petrologie, 166, 1415–1441.
602	Leloup, P., Mahéo, G., Arnaud, N., & Kali, E. (2010). The South Tibet detachment shear zone in
603	the Dinggye area: Time constraints on extrusion models of the Himalayas. Earth and
604	Planetary Science Letters, 292(1–2), 1–16.
605	Lihter, I., Larson, K. P., Shrestha, S., Cottle, J. M., & Brubacher, A. D. (2020). Contact
606	metamorphism of the Tethyan Sedimentary Sequence, Upper Mustang region, west-
607	central Nepal. Geological Magazine, GEO-19-2362R.
608	Meesters, A. G. C. A., & Dunai, T. J. (2002). Solving the production-diffusion equation for
609	finite diffusion domains of various shapes. Chemical Geology, 186(3-4), 333-344.
610	Meigs, A., Burbank, D., & Beck. (1995). Middle-late Miocene (> 10 Ma) formation of the Main
611	Boundary thrust in the western Himalaya. Geology, 23(5), 423–426.
612	Mitsuishi, M., Wallis, S. R., Aoya, M., & Lee, J. (2012). E-W extension at 19 Ma in the Kung
613	Co area, S. Tibet: Evidence for contemporaneous E–W and N–S extension in the

614	Himalayan orogen. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 325-326, 10-20.
615	Molnar, P., & Stock, J. M. (2009). Slowing of India's convergence with Eurasia since 20 Ma and
616	its implications for Tibetan mantle dynamics. Tectonics, 28(3), TC3001.
617	Murphy, M. A., & Copeland, P. (2005). Transtensional deformation in the central Himalaya and
618	its role in accommodating growth of the Himalayan orogen: Transtension in the
619	Himalaya. Tectonics, 24(4). https://doi.org/10.1029/2004TC001659
620	Platt, J. P., & Vissers, R. L. M. (1989). Extensional collapse of thickened continental lithosphere:
621	A working hypothesis for the Alboran Sea and Gibraltar arc. <i>Geology</i> , 17(6), 540–543.
622	Ratschbacher, L., Krumrei, I., Blumenwitz, M., Staiger, M., Gloaguen, R., Miller, B. V., et al.
623	(2011). Rifting and strike-slip shear in central Tibet and the geometry, age and
624	kinematics of upper crustal extension in Tibet. Geological Society, London, Special
625	Publications, 353(1), 127–163.
626	Replumaz, A., Negredo, A. M., Villasenor, A., & Guillot, S. (2010). Indian continental
627	subduction and slab break-off during Tertiary collision. Terra Nova, 22(4), 290–296.
628	Schärer, U. (1984). The effect of initial ²³⁰ Th disequilibrium on young U-Pb ages: the Makalu
629	case, Himalaya. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 67, 191–204.
630	Searle, M. P., Cottle, J. M., Streule, M. J., & Waters, D. J. (2010). Crustal melt granites and
631	migmatites along the Himalaya: melt source, segregation, transport and granite
632	emplacement mechanisms. Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal
633	Society of Edinburgh, 100, 219–233.
634	Shuster, D. L., Flowers, R. M., & Farley, K. A. (2006). The influence of natural radiation
635	damage on helium diffusion kinetics in apatite. Earth and Planetary Science Letters,
636	249(3), 148–161.

637	Styron, R. H., Taylor, M. H., & Murphy, M. A. (2011). Oblique convergence, arc-parallel
638	extension, and the role of strike-slip faulting in the High Himalaya. Geosphere, 7(2),
639	582–596.

- Sun, X., & Wang, P. (2005). How old is the Asian monsoon system?—Palaeobotanical records
 from China. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology*, 222(3), 181–222.
- Teng, L. S. (1996). Extensional collapse of the northern Taiwan mountain belt. *Geology*, 24(10),
 949–952.
- 644 Thiede, R. C., Arrowsmith, R., Bookhagen, B., McWilliams, M., Sobel, E. R., & Strecker, M. R.
- 645 (2006). Dome formation and extension in the Tethyan Himalaya, Leo Pargil, northwest
 646 India. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, *118*(5/6), 635–650.
- 647 Vermeesch, P. (2008). Three new ways to calculate average (U–Th)/He ages. *Chemical Geology*,
 648 249(3), 339–347.
- 649 Vermeesch, P. (2010). HelioPlot, and the treatment of overdispersed (U–Th–Sm)/He data.
 650 *Chemical Geology*, 271(3), 108–111.
- 651 Vermeesch, P. (2018). IsoplotR: A free and open toolbox for geochronology. *Geoscience*652 *Frontiers*, 9(5), 1479–1493.
- 653 Visonà, D., & Lombardo, B. (2002). Two-mica and tourmaline leucogranites from the Everest-
- Makalu region (Nepal–Tibet). Himalayan leucogranite genesis by isobaric heating? *Lithos*, 62(3–4), 125–150.
- 656 Yin, A. (2006). Cenozoic tectonic evolution of the Himalayan orogen as constrained by along-
- 657 strike variation of structural geometry, exhumation history, and foreland sedimentation.
- 658 *Earth Science Reviews*, 76, 1–131.
- 659 Yin, A., & Harrison, T. M. (2000). Geologic evolution of the Himalayan-Tibetan orogen. Annual

660

Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 28, 211–280.

- 661 Yin, A., Kapp, P. A., Murphy, M. A., Manning, C. E., Mark Harrison, T., Grove, M., et al.
- 662 (1999). Significant late Neogene east-west extension in northern Tibet. *Geology*, 27(9),
 663 787–790.
- 664
- 665 Carrapa, B., Orme, D. A., DeCelles, P. G., Kapp, P., Cosca, M. A., & Waldrip, R. (2014).
- 666 Miocene burial and exhumation of the India-Asia collision zone in southern Tibet:

667 Response to slab dynamics and erosion. *Geology*. https://doi.org/10.1130/g35350.1

- Cherniak, D. J., Watson, E. B., & Thomas, J. B. (2009). Diffusion of helium in zircon and
 apatite. *Chemical Geology*, 268(1), 155–166.
- 670 Copeland, P., Mark Harrison, T., Pan, Y., Kidd, W. S. F., Roden, M., & Zhang, Y. (1995).

671 Thermal evolution of the Gangdese batholith, southern Tibet: A history of episodic
672 unroofing. *Tectonics*. https://doi.org/10.1029/94tc01676

- Dai, J., Wang, C., Hourigan, J., Li, Z., & Zhuang, G. (2013). Exhumation History of the
- 674 Gangdese Batholith, Southern Tibetan Plateau: Evidence from Apatite and Zircon (U-

675 Th)/He Thermochronology. *The Journal of Geology*, *121*(2), 155–172.

- Dodson, M. (1973). Closure temperature in cooling geochronological and petrological systems.
 Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 40, 259–274.
- 678 Hurtado, J. M. (2002). Tectonic Evolution of the Thakkhola Graben and Dhaulagiri Himalaya,
- 679 *Central Nepal* (PhD). Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Jaeger, J. C. (1964). Thermal effects of intrusions. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 2(3), 443.
- 581 Jaeger, J. C. (1968). Cooling and solidification of igneous rocks. In H. H. Hess & A. Poldervaart
- 682 (Eds.), Basalts, the Poldervaart treatise on rocks of basaltic composition (Vol. 2, pp.

683 503–536). New York-London-Sidney: Wiley.

- 684 Larson, K. P., Kellett, D. A., Cottle, J. M., Camacho, A., & Brubacher, A. D. (2019). Mid-685 Miocene initiation of E-W extension and recoupling of the Himalaya. Terra Nova, 42, 75.
- 686 Lihter, I., Larson, K. P., Shrestha, S., Cottle, J. M., & Brubacher, A. D. (2020). Contact
- 687 metamorphism of the Tethyan Sedimentary Sequence, Upper Mustang region, west-688 central Nepal. Geological Magazine, GEO-19-2362R.
- 689 Nabelek, P. I., Hofmeister, A. M., & Whittington, A. G. (2012). The influence of temperature-

690 dependent thermal diffusivity on the conductive cooling rates of plutons and temperature-691 time paths in contact aureoles. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 317-318, 157-164.

692 Shen, T., Wang, G., Leloup, P. H., van der Beek, P., Bernet, M., Cao, K., et al. (2016). Controls

693 on Cenozoic exhumation of the Tethyan Himalaya from fission-track thermochronology 694 and detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology in the Gyirong basin area, southern Tibet: The 695

Tethyan Himalaya Cenozoic Exhumation. *Tectonics*, 35(7), 1713–1734.

696 Whittington, A. G., Hofmeister, A. M., & Nabelek, P. I. (2009). Temperature-dependent thermal 697 diffusivity of the Earth's crust and implications for magmatism. Nature, 458(7236), 319-698 321.

699

700	Cottle, J. M., Je	ssup, M. J.,	Newell, D. L.,	Horstwood, M. S	A., Noble, S	S. R., Parrisł	1, R. R., et
					, , .		,,

701 al. (2009). Geochronology of granulitized eclogite from the Ama Drime Massif:

702 Implications for the tectonic evolution of the South Tibetan Himalaya. Tectonics, 28, 703 TC1002.

704 Hurtado, J. M. (2002). Tectonic Evolution of the Thakkhola Graben and Dhaulagiri Himalaya, 705 Central Nepal (PhD). Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

- Jessup, M. J., Newell, D. L., Cottle, J. M., Berger, A. L., & Spotila, J. A. (2008). Orogen-parallel
- 707 extension and exhumation enhanced by denudation in the trans-Himalayan Arun River
- 708 gorge, Ama Drime Massif, Tibet-Nepal. *Geology*, *36*(7), 587.
- 709 Larson, K. P., Kellett, D. A., Cottle, J. M., Camacho, A., & Brubacher, A. D. (2019). Mid-
- 710 Miocene initiation of E-W extension and recoupling of the Himalaya. *Terra Nova*, 42, 75.

Figure 1.



Figure 2.



Brubacher et al. Figure 2

Figure 3.



Brubacher et al. Figure 3

Figure 4.



Brubacher et al. Figure 4

Figure 5.



Brubacher et al. Figure 5

Figure 6.



Figure 7.



Brubacher et al. Figure 7

Figure 8.



Brubacher et al. Figure 8

Figure 9.



Brubacher et al. Figure 9

Location Specimen		Mineral Assemblage ⁺	Lithology
Ghami	GH17B	Qz + Kfs + Pl + Bt	granite
	GG01A	Qz + Kfs + Pl + Ms + Bt	granite
	GG01B	Qz + Kfs + Pl + Ms + Tur ± Bt ± Grt	granite
Ghar	GG10	Qz + Kfs + Pl + Ms + Tur ± Grt	alkali-feldspar granite
Ghompa	GG11	Qz + Kfs + Pl + Ms + Tur ± Bt ± Grt	granite
	GG12	Qz + Kfs + Pl + Ms + Tur	granite
	GG13	Qz + Kfs + Pl + Ms + Tur ± Bt ± Grt	granite
	DK14	Qz + Kfs + Pl + Ms + Tur	alkali-feldspar granite
Dhanggna	DK15	Qz + Kfs + Pl + Ms + Tur	leucogranite dyke
Khola	DK16	Qz + Kfs + Pl + Bt + Ms	granitic orthogneiss with Kfs augen

Table 1. Mineralogy and lithology of specimens investigated

[†]Abbreviations after Whitney and Evans (2010).

Location	Specimen	Mineral	Plateau Age (Ma)	± 2σ	Tc (°C)	± 2σ	% ³⁹ Ar released	MSWD
Ghami	GH17B	Bt	16.1	0.2	363	20	100.0	1.30
	GG01A	Ms	17.1	0.2	507	39	81.0	0.15
	GG01B	Ms	16.9	0.2	477	46	96.2	1.30
Char Champa	GG10	Ms	16.7	0.2	473	32	100.0	0.96
Ghai Ghompa	GG11	Ms	16.8	0.2	487	32	97.5	0.67
	GG12	Ms	16.9	0.2	498	34	71.2	1.30
	GG13	Ms	15.7	0.2	480	38	98.3	1.12
Dhanggna	DK14	Ms	17.1	0.2	535	45	59.4	0.93
Khola	DK15	Ms	17.2	0.2	475	30	88.1	1.15

Table 2. ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar geochronology result summary

Location	Mineral Analysis #	Specimen ID	Age (Ma)	±	Total U (ppm)	±	Total Th (ppm)	±	eU (ppm)	eR (µm)	Closure Temperature (°C)
	Zircon										
	1	17B-1	11.65	0.72	3591.86	32.81	93.32	8.11	3613.79	49.75	
Ghami	2	17B-3	9.65	0.63	3164.88	44.81	90.85	0.68	3186.24	59.84	178 + 9
Ghann	3	17B-4	10.77	0.64	2651.62	40.74	90.58	2.92	2672.90	43.03	110±5
	4	17B-5	9.59	0.61	3444.89	34.33	141.90	2.71	3478.24	56.39	
Ghar	5	01B-1	11.92	0.77	339.03	11.88	69.10	1.37	355.26	45.55	180 + 14
Gompa	6	11-3	10.97	0.70	4336.08	98.61	77.94	1.81	4354.40	50.16	100 = 11
	7	14-2	10.65	0.67	308.07	5.74	57.72	1.66	321.63	49.20	
Dhanggna	8	14-3	10.34	0.68	418.26	5.37	58.72	0.79	432.06	64.10	
Khola	9	14-4	14.54	0.88	1230.32	17.39	77.47	1.57	1248.52	46.02	186 ± 11
	10	14-5	13.08	0.84	829.41	7.38	96.82	0.71	852.16	57.08	
	11	14-6	14.33	0.90	704.17	6.56	73.09	2.46	721.35	53.62	
			0.40	Ap	atite	4.00	4.00				
	1	1A-1	6.10	0.10	57.76	1.28	1.99	0.04	58.22	70.95	
	2	1A-2	5.05	0.08	148.60	3.28	17.93	0.30	152.81	67.75	
	3	1A-3	7.32	0.13	98.99	2.19	4.55	0.08	100.06	73.22	
	4	1A-4	1.16	0.14	89.69	1.98	3.92	0.07	90.61	89.55	
	5	1A-5	5.59	0.09	97.55	2.15	0.78	0.12	99.14	61.07	
	0	1A-0	0.33	0.10	120.04	2.00	4.10	0.00	121.02	01.30	
Ghar	7	10-1	1.35	0.13	57.93	1.34	8.91	0.17	60.03	42.43	90 ± 6
Gompa	8	10-2	8.78	0.16	40.38	1.02	6.43	0.33	41.89	47.78	00 ± 0
	9	12-1	0.70	0.18	10.37	1.67	5.50	0.11	10.08	50.40	
	10	12-3	9.70	0.14	130.1Z	3.02	0.07	0.13	137.09	40.00	
	10	12-4	10.70	0.10	72.50	1.01	0.90	0.13	73.90	43.94	
	12	12-0	0.73	0.14	94.19 107.02	2.10	11 47	0.11	95.00	27 01	
	14	12-7	8 10	0.10	80.67	2.40	7 70	0.20	01.75	37.01	
	14	12-0	7 38	0.14	71 98	2.00	4 50	0.20	73.04	52 32	
	16	12-3	6.86	0.10	14.31	0.35	14 60	0.10	17 74	39.51	
	17	14-2	7.01	0.12	11.97	0.27	13.22	0.26	15.07	39.93	
	18	14-3	5.46	0.08	16.20	0.37	22.59	0.40	21.51	51.90	
	19	14-4	7.47	0.11	10.24	0.23	10.21	0.35	12.64	48.72	
	20	14-5	6.26	0.10	10.91	0.25	8.62	0.16	12.93	45.62	
Dhanggna	21	14-6	4.80	0.06	13.55	0.30	18.98	0.33	18.01	54.67	71 ± 6
Khola	22	15-1	7.12	0.11	65.19	1.54	25.76	0.48	71.25	36.37	
	23	15-2	4.84	0.09	65.77	1.52	29.17	0.45	72.63	44.84	
	24	15-3	4.44	0.07	142.50	3.28	90.75	1.40	163.83	45.26	
	25	15-4	5.23	0.12	44.38	1.03	21.39	0.36	49.40	42.26	
	26	15-5	3.46	0.05	151.40	<u>3.5</u> 1	50.59	0.77	163.28	45.56	

Table 3. Zircon and apatite U-Th-He data summary

Location	Age (Ma)	Structure	Rheology	Reference
YC	< 14	Lingshi normal fault	brittle	Cooper et al., 2015
YG	≤ 11.5	Yadong graben	ductile	Ratschbacher et al., 2011
YG	8 ± 1	Nyainqentanghla shear zone	ductile	Harrison et al., 1995
XD	ca. 8-13	Dinggye normal fault	brittle	Zhang and Guo, 2007
AD	≥ 11	Dinggye normal fault	brittle	Leloup et al., 2010
AD	12 ± 1	Dinggye and Kharta shear zones	ductile	Kali et al., 2010
AD	12 ± 1	Nyönno Ri detachment	ductile	Langille et al., 2010
KC	ca. 12-13	Kung Co rift	brittle	Lee et al., 2011
TY	ca. 13	Tangra-Yumco rift	n/a	Dewane et al., 2006
GB	≥ 11	Gyirong basin	brittle	Xu et al., 2012
SH	≥ 13.5	Shuang Hu graben	brittle	Blisniuk et al., 2001
LU	ca. 8	Lunggar Rift	brittle	Styron et al., 2013
YG	ca. 5	Ringbung graben	brittle	Ratschbacher et al., 2011
YG	ca. 5	Nyainqentanghla shear zone	brittle	Harrison et al., 1995
YG	ca. 5-7	Gulu rift	brittle	Stockli et al., 2002
AD	ca. 6-4	Dinggye normal fault	brittle	Kali et al., 2010
TY	ca. 6	Tangra-Yumco rift	brittle	Dewane et al., 2006

Table 4. Timing of E-W structures across Tibet

[†]Location shown in Figure 8