# The Meteoric Ni Layer in the Upper Atmosphere

John Maurice Campbell Plane<sup>1</sup>, Shane Daly<sup>1</sup>, Wuhu Feng<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Peter Mangan<sup>1</sup>, and Michael Gerding<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Leeds <sup>2</sup>Leibniz-Institute of Atmospheric Physics

November 22, 2022

#### Abstract

The first global atmospheric model of Ni (WACCM-Ni) has been developed to understand recent observations of the mesospheric Ni layer by ground-based resonance lidars. The three components of the model comprise: the Whole Atmospheric Community Climate Model (WACCM6); a meteoric input function derived by coupling an astronomical model of dust sources in the solar system with a chemical meteoric ablation model; and a comprehensive set of neutral, ion-molecule and photochemical reactions pertinent to the chemistry of Ni in the upper atmosphere. In order to achieve closure on the chemistry, the reaction kinetics of three important reactions were first studied using a fast flow tube with pulsed laser ablation of a Ni target, yielding k(NiO + O) = (4.6 + /- 1.4)e-11; k(NiO + CO) = (3.0 + /- 0.5)e-11; and k(NiO2 + O) = (2.5 + /- 1.2)e-11 cm3 molecule-1 s-1 at 294 K. The photodissociation rate of NiOH was computed to be J(NiOH) = 0.02 s-1. WACCM-Ni simulates satisfactorily the observed neutral Ni layer peak height and width, and Ni measurements from rocket-borne mass spectrometry. The Ni layer is predicted to have a similar seasonal and latitudinal variation as the Fe layer, and its usually broad bottom-side compared with Fe is caused by the relatively fast NiO + CO reaction. The quantum yield for photon emission from the Ni + O, observed in the nightglow, is estimated to be between 6 and 40%.

1		
2	The Meteoric Ni Layer in the Upper Atmosphere	
3		
4	Shane M. Daly <sup>1</sup> , Wuhu Feng <sup>1,2</sup> , Thomas P. Mangan <sup>1</sup> , Michael Gerding <sup>3</sup> , John M.C. Plane	
5	<sup>1</sup> School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, United Kingdom.	
6	<sup>2</sup> NCAS, University of Leeds, United Kingdom.	
7	<sup>3</sup> Leibniz Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Kühlungsborn, Germany.	
8		
9	Corresponding author: John Plane (j.m.c.plane@leeds.ac.uk)	
10		
11	Key Points:	
12 13	• First atmospheric model of meteor-ablated Ni in the upper atmosphere simulates the Ni and Ni <sup>+</sup> layers with good fidelity	
14 15	• Laboratory work on the reactions of NiO with CO and O shows that the unusually broad Ni layer is caused by the fast CO reaction	
16 17 18	• The reaction between Ni and O3 contributes from electronically excited NiO to the airglow, with a quantum yield of $6 - 40\%$	

#### Abstract 19

- The first global atmospheric model of Ni (WACCM-Ni) has been developed to understand recent 20
- observations of the mesospheric Ni layer by ground-based resonance lidars. The three 21
- components of the model comprise: the Whole Atmospheric Community Climate Model 22
- (WACCM6); a meteoric input function derived by coupling an astronomical model of dust 23
- 24 sources in the solar system with a chemical meteoric ablation model; and a comprehensive set of
- neutral, ion-molecule and photochemical reactions pertinent to the chemistry of Ni in the upper 25
- atmosphere. In order to achieve closure on the chemistry, the reaction kinetics of three important 26
- reactions were first studied using a fast flow tube with pulsed laser ablation of a Ni target, 27
- yielding  $k(\text{NiO} + \text{O}) = (4.6 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-11}$ ,  $k(\text{NiO} + \text{CO}) = (3.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-11}$ , and  $k(\text{NiO}_2 + \text{O}) = (4.6 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-11}$ ,  $k(\text{NiO} + \text{CO}) = (3.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-11}$ ,  $k(\text{NiO}_2 + \text{O}) = (3.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-11}$ ,  $k(\text{NiO}_2 + \text$ 28  $(2.5 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-11}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 294 K. The photodissociation rate of NiOH was 29
- computed to be  $J(NiOH) = 0.02 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . WACCM-Ni simulates satisfactorily the observed neutral 30
- Ni layer peak height and width, and Ni<sup>+</sup> measurements from rocket-borne mass spectrometry. 31
- The Ni layer is predicted to have a similar seasonal and latitudinal variation as the Fe layer, and 32
- its usually broad bottom-side compared with Fe is caused by the relatively fast NiO + CO 33
- reaction. The quantum yield for photon emission from the  $Ni + O_3$ , observed in the nightglow, is 34
- estimated to be between 6 and 40%. 35

#### **Plain Language Summary** 36

- Around 30 tonnes of cosmic dust particles enters the Earth's atmosphere every day. A fraction of 37
- these particles heat through collisions with air molecules to the point where they melt and 38
- 39 evaporate. This process of ablation injects a variety of metals into the region between 80 and 110
- km, where the metals occur globally as layers of atoms and ions. The metal Ni is present in 40
- cosmic dust in metallic grains as an alloy with Fe. In the past decade, the layer of Ni atoms has 41
- been observed for the first time, complementing earlier measurements from rockets of Ni<sup>+</sup> ions, 42
- and a faint contribution to the Earth's nightglow from excited NiO molecules. In this study we 43
- 44 present the first atmospheric model of nickel, which is possible following an extensive laboratory
- program to measure the rates of the reactions that Ni species are likely to undergo in the upper 45
- atmosphere, as well as the ablation of Ni from meteoritic fragments. The model successfully 46
- 47 simulates the observed layers of Ni and Ni<sup>+</sup>, and shows that the production of photons from the
- reaction between Ni and O<sub>3</sub> must be relatively efficient. 48
- 49

#### 50 **1** Introduction

- The mesosphere lower thermosphere (MLT) is a region in the Earth's atmosphere (70-51 120 km) where layers of metal atoms and ions occur as a result of meteoric ablation [Feng et al., 52
- 2013; Plane et al., 2015; Plane et al., 2018]. Ni<sup>+</sup> ions (<sup>58</sup>Ni<sup>+</sup> & <sup>60</sup>Ni<sup>+</sup>) were first measured using a
- 53 54 quadrupole mass spectrometer flown on a sounding rocket [Krankowsky et al., 1972], with
- subsequent measurements throughout the 1970s and 1980s [Kopp, 1997; Grebowsky and Aikin, 55
- 2002]. Chemiluminescence from electronically excited NiO, produced from the highly 56
- exothermic reaction between Ni and O<sub>3</sub>, was detected as a broad continuum in the visible part of 57
- the nightglow spectrum (440 670 nm) by Evans et al. [2011] using the OSIRIS spectrograph on 58
- the Odin satellite [Llewellyn et al., 2004] and the GLO-1 spectrograph on the Space Shuttle 59
- [Broadfoot and Bellaire Jr., 1999]. 60

61 During the past decade, the Ni layer has been observed in two lidar studies.

- 62 Measurements of Ni were first made at Chatanika, Alaska (65°N, 147°W) on two nights in mid-
- 63 winter 2012 by probing the Ni( ${}^{3}F_{4} {}^{3}D$ ) transition at  $\lambda_{air} = 336.96$  nm [*Collins et al.*, 2015]. The
- peak density was  $1.6 \times 10^4$  cm<sup>-3</sup> at 87 km, with a column abundance of  $2.7 \times 10^{10}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>.
- 65 Compared with Fe, another transition metal that should be injected through meteoric ablation
- over a similar height range [*Carrillo-Sánchez et al.*, 2020], the Fe:Ni column abundance ratio
- was only 1.2:1, which is much smaller than the carbonaceous Ivuna (CI) chondritic ratio of 18:1
   [*Asplund et al.*, 2009] (the CI ratio is regarded as the closest in composition to interplanetary dust
- [Asplund et al., 2009] (the CI ratio is regarded as the closest in composition to interplanetary
   [Jessberger et al., 2001]).

70 This surprising discrepancy prompted a further lidar study at Kühlungsborn, Germany (54°N, 12°E) using the same spectroscopic transition as *Collins et al.* [2015], as well as the 71 stronger Ni( $a^{3}D_{3} - {}^{3}F_{4}$ ) transition at  $\lambda_{air} = 341.48$  nm [Gerding et al., 2019]. The Ni densities 72 were found to be much lower, with peak densities ranging from 280 - 450 cm<sup>-3</sup> and column 73 abundances from  $(3.1 - 4.9) \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-2</sup>. This gave a Fe:Ni ratio of  $38 \pm 11$ , a factor of  $2.4 \pm 0.7$ 74 times larger than the CI ratio, and a factor of 32 larger than that determined by *Collins et al.* 75 [2015]. However, both studies found that the Ni layer was broader than the Fe layer on the 76 77 bottom-side of the layer.

78 Recently, we investigated the ablation of Ni from meteoritic fragments using the 79 Meteoric Ablation Simulator (MASI): the evaporation of Ni and Na were measured by laser induced fluorescence (LIF) as size-selected fragments were heated at rates that simulated 80 81 atmospheric entry [Bones et al., 2019]. The experimental results were then used to produce a new version of the Leeds Chemical ABLation MODel (CABMOD-3), where the Ni is treated as 82 being in Ni-Fe-S grains which are separate from the bulk Fe-Mg-SiO<sub>4</sub> phase [Carrillo-Sánchez et 83 al., 2020]. Both the MASI data and CABMOD-3 simulations show that the ablation of Ni (as 84 well as metallic or sulfide Fe) occurs rapidly at a relatively low temperature of ~2200 K, before 85 the bulk silicate phase of Fe has ablated. The Meteoric Input Function (MIF) of Ni was then 86 estimated by combining CABMOD with the Zodiacal Cloud Model (ZoDy) [Nesvorný et al., 87 88 2011], which provides the mass, velocity, and radiant distributions for cometary and asteroidal particles in the near-Earth environment. 89

The ratio of the MIFs for Fe and Ni is predicted by the CABMOD-ZoDy coupled model 90 to be 16:1, which is close to the CI ratio of 18:1 but ~15 times greater than the lidar observations 91 92 of Collins et al. [2015]. This might indicate a high Ni enrichment in cosmic dust particles, but that contradicts the analysis of meteoritic fragments in our laboratory [Bones et al., 2019] and the 93 Fe:Ni ratio measured in cosmic dust particles which survived atmospheric entry [Arndt et al., 94 1996]. The CABMOD-ZoDy ratio is a factor of ~0.42 times smaller than the Kühlungsborn 95 96 lidar-measured ratio of 38:1 [Gerding et al., 2019], which may suggest that Ni is converted to long-term atmospheric sinks more efficiently than Fe [Carrillo-Sánchez et al., 2020]. In 97 comparison, the Fe<sup>+</sup>:Ni<sup>+</sup> ratio between 85 and 100 km, measured during nine rocket flights, is 98  $20^{+13}_{-8}$ , which is close to the ratio of the Fe and Ni MIFs [*Carrillo-Sánchez et al.*, 2020]. 99

We have also recently carried out a series of kinetic studies of the relevant neutral [*Mangan et al.*, 2019] and ion-molecule [*Bones et al.*, 2020] chemistry of the metal. Figure 1 below illustrates the reactions connecting neutral Ni species (green boxes) and ionized species (blue boxes). In the MLT, Ni is oxidized by O<sub>3</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> [*Mangan et al.*, 2019]:

$$Ni + O_3 \rightarrow NiO + O_2$$
 (R1)

(R3a)

(R5)

105 
$$\operatorname{Ni} + \operatorname{O}_2(+M) \rightarrow \operatorname{NiO}_2$$
, where  $M = \operatorname{N}_2$  or  $\operatorname{O}_2$  (R2)

$$NiO + O_3 \rightarrow NiO_2 + O_2$$

$$\rightarrow Ni + 2O_2$$
 (R3b)

By analogy with other meteoric metals [*Plane et al.*, 2015], the resulting oxides are then likely to be reduced back to Ni by O and CO (red arrows in Figure 1):

110 
$$NiO + O \rightarrow Ni + O_2$$
 (R4)

$$1$$
 NiO + CO  $\rightarrow$  Ni + CO<sub>2</sub>

112 
$$\operatorname{NiO}_2 + O \rightarrow \operatorname{NiO} + O_2$$
 (R6)

As shown in Figure 1, NiO and NiO<sub>2</sub> can react further with  $O_3$ ,  $O_2$ ,  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  to form higher oxides, carbonates and hydroxides. These are eventually recycled to Ni through H atom reactions. However, it is R4 – R6 which should prevent the formation of ONiO<sub>2</sub>, NiCO<sub>3</sub> and

 $Ni(OH)_2$ , and hence a central role in controlling the bottom-side of the Ni layer.

117 The first objective of the present study was to measure the rate coefficients for R4 - R6,

and then to insert into a global chemistry-climate model the complete set of Ni reaction kinetics

and the experimentally-derived MIF for Ni. The purpose of this was to investigate the widely

120 differing lidar measurements of the Ni layer, and to understand why the Ni layer profile is

121 broader than Fe on the bottom-side.



122

- 123 **Figure 1.** Schematic diagram of Ni chemistry in the MLT arising from meteoric ablation.
- 124 Ionized neutral Ni species are shown in blue and green boxes, respectively. Red arrows indicate
- reactions measured in this study.

### 127 2 Underpinning laboratory and theoretical work

128 2.1 Experimental method

Reactions R4 - R6 were studied in a stainless-steel fast flow tube which has been 129 described in detail previously [Self and Plane, 2003; Gómez Martín et al., 2017; Daly et al., 130 2019]. At the upstream end of the tube, a Nd:YAG laser (Continuum Minilite) was used to 131 ablating Ni atoms from a Ni rod; each pulse was then entrained in a carrier gas flow of  $N_2$  (mass 132 flow rate typically 3.3 standard liters min<sup>-1</sup>).  $O_3$  or  $O_2$  were added at a fixed injection point 7 cm 133 downstream of the rod to produce NiO or NiO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. Atomic O or CO were then added 134 further downstream via a sliding injector. At the end of the flow tube, after a reaction time of 135 several milliseconds, Ni atoms were probed by LIF at 341.476 nm [Ni( $z^{3}F_{4}^{0} - a^{3}D_{3}$ )]. An 136 Edwards E2M80 pump with a roots blower (Edwards EH500A) was used to provide flow 137 velocities ranging from 48 - 76 m s<sup>-1</sup>; at the constant pressure of 1.0 Torr used in all the 138 experiments, this produced reaction times after injection of O or CO of 3.5 - 5.0 ms. All of the 139 experiments reported in this study were conducted at 294 K. 140

141  $O_3$  was generated by flowing  $O_2$  through a high voltage corona in a commercial ozonizer, and its concentration measured spectrophotometrically at 253.7 nm (provided by a Hg pen lamp) 142 in a 19 cm pathlength optical cell. The O<sub>3</sub> absorption cross section was taken as  $1.16 \times 10^{-17}$  cm<sup>2</sup> 143 [Molina and Molina, 1986]. Atomic O was generated by the microwave discharge of N<sub>2</sub> 144 145 (McCarroll cavity, Opthos Instruments Inc.), followed by titration with NO before injection into the flow tube through the sliding injector [Self and Plane, 2003]. The concentration of O was 146 determined by using a mass spectrometer (Hiden HPR60) at the downstream end of the flow tube 147 to determine the amount of NO required to titrate the O. The (first-order) loss rate of O to the 148 walls of the flow tube was measured from the relative change in [O] as the carrier gas flow rate, 149 and therefore the flight time, was varied at constant pressure. The change in [O] was monitored 150 by adding NO downstream and measuring the relative intensity of the chemiluminescence (at  $\lambda$ 151

152 > 550 nm) produced by the reaction between NO and O [*Self and Plane*, 2003].

153 Materials: N<sub>2</sub> (99.9999%, Air products), O<sub>2</sub> (99.999%, Air products), CO<sub>2</sub> (99.995%, BOC

gases) and CO (99.5% pure, Argo International) were used without further purification. NO (99.95%, Air products) was purified via 3 freeze-pump-thaw cycles before dilution in He. The Ni

rod (99.99% purity) was obtained from Alfa Aesar.

157

## 158 2.2 Experimental Results

The kinetics in the flow tube are complex, involving several gas-phase reactions and diffusional loss to the walls. A kinetic model of the flow tube was therefore used to optimize each rate coefficient of interest (i.e.  $k_4$ ,  $k_5$  or  $k_6$ ). The time-dependent variation of the Ni species and O were described by a set of Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs). Full details of the model are given elsewhere [*Bones et al.*, 2020]. The value and uncertainty of each rate coefficient under study was determined by doing an independent fit to each experimental data point, and then calculating the mean and standard deviation. The (first-order) wall loss rate of Ni

was measured to be  $150 \pm 22$  s<sup>-1</sup>. For NiO and NiO<sub>2</sub>, a loss rate of 130 s<sup>-1</sup> was estimated from the

- long-range capture forces between these oxides and N<sub>2</sub>, a method we have described elsewhere [*Self and Plane*, 2003]. The atomic O wall loss rate was measured to be  $231 \pm 31$  s<sup>-1</sup>.
- 169 2.2.1 Reaction of NiO with CO

NiO was produced by R1 through addition of  $O_3$  at a point 7 cm downstream of the Ni 170 rod. CO was added 0.5 cm upstream of the O<sub>3</sub> injection point via the sliding injector. This gave a 171 reaction time of 5 ms from the sliding injector to the LIF detection point.  $k_5$  was determined by 172 varying [CO] at fixed [O<sub>3</sub>], and observing the change in the ratio [Ni]/[Ni]<sub>0</sub> (where [Ni]<sub>0</sub> 173 174 represents the Ni signal when [CO] = 0). The experimental points are shown in Figure 2. The recycling of Ni was modelled by applying the rate coefficients and branching ratios for Ni and 175 NiO reacting with O<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> (i.e., R1 – R3) determined previously [Mangan et al., 2019]. A 176 satisfactory fit of the model to the experimental data is obtained with  $k_5(294 \text{ K}) = (3.0 \pm 0.5) \times$ 177 10<sup>-11</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, illustrated in Figure 2. This value is in very good agreement with the 178 only previous study of R5 by Mangan et al. [2019] using the pulsed laser photolysis-laser 179 induced fluorescence (PLP-LIF) technique in a slow flow reactor, which reported  $k_5(190-377 \text{ K})$ 180  $=(3.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-11} (T/200)^{-0.19 \pm 0.05} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}, \text{ i.e. } k_5(294 \text{ K}) = (3.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3$ 181  $molecule^{-1} s^{-1}$ . 182

183



184

**Figure 2.** A plot of  $[Ni]/[Ni]_0$  as a function of  $[CO]/[O_3]$ , where  $[O_3]$  is fixed at  $1.8 \times 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. The solid black points are the experimental data with the solid black line is the model fit with  $\pm 1\sigma$  uncertainty (shaded region). Conditions: 1 Torr, 294 K.

188

#### 189 2.2.2 Reactions of NiO and NiO<sub>2</sub> with O

These reactions were studied by injecting a constant concentration of O into the flow tube, and then either adding O<sub>3</sub> to produce NiO via R1, or adding O<sub>2</sub> to make NiO<sub>2</sub> via R2. Since NiO<sub>2</sub> is also made via the reaction of NiO with O<sub>3</sub>,  $k_6$ (NiO<sub>2</sub> + O) was required for the flow tube model in order to fit  $k_4$ (NiO + O); hence, R6 was studied first. Figure 3a shows the Ni signal measured as a function of [O<sub>2</sub>] (varied from  $(2 - 7) \times 10^{14}$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>), with [O] either set to

zero or a fixed value of  $9.2 \times 10^{12}$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> at the point of injection. Because the O<sub>3</sub> was 195 added through a side port of the tube, a mixing time of 1.5 ms was applied in the model. This 196 was estimated as the time taken for O<sub>3</sub> to diffuse 1 cm across the tube with  $D(O_3-N_2 = 134 \text{ cm}^2)$ 197 s<sup>-1</sup> at 1 Torr [Langenberg et al., 2020]. The model fit through the experimental points yields 198  $k_6(294 \text{ K}) = (2.5 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  molecule s<sup>-1</sup>. Figure 3b shows the Ni signal as a function of 199  $[O_3]$  (varied from  $(0.3 - 1.3) \times 10^{12}$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> with an error of ~ ±10%), with [O] again fixed 200 at 9.2 × 10<sup>12</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>. The model fit yields  $k_4(294 \text{ K}) = (4.6 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1}$ 201  $s^{-1}$ . 202



203

**Figure 3.** (a) Plots of [Ni] (in arbitrary units) as a function of  $[O_2]$ . (b) Plots of [Ni] as a function of  $[O_3]$ . Experimental data: solid black circles are experimental data for the fixed addition of O ( $[O] = 9.2 \times 10^{12}$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> at the point of injection); open triangles are without O. The solid black lines are the model fits through each dataset. The shaded area of the model fit represents the ±1 $\sigma$  uncertainty. Conditions: 1 Torr, 294 K.

209 210

#### 2.3 Photochemistry of NiOH

Our previous work on the mesospheric Fe layer showed that FeOH is a major Fe reservoir on the bottom-side of the Fe layer [*Feng et al.*, 2013]. However, this hydroxide photolyses relatively rapidly with  $J(\text{FeOH})=(6 \pm 3) \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$  [*Viehl et al.*, 2016]. We therefore apply the same quantum chemistry method as in that study to determine J(NiOH). The geometry of NiOH was first optimized at the B3LYP/6-311+g(2d,p) level of theory using the Gaussian 16 suite of programs [*Frisch et al.*, 2016]. The vertical excitation energies and transition dipole moments for transitions from the ground state to the first 50 electronically excited states were

then calculating using time-dependent density function theory (TD-DFT) [Bauernschmitt and 218

Ahlrichs, 1996]. The resulting absorption spectrum is plotted in Figure 4. This diagram also 219

shows the dissociation thresholds for the two channels, indicating that photolysis to Ni + OH can 220 occur at wavelengths shorter than 387 nm. Assuming that photolysis starts at this threshold (as

221 appears to be the case for FeOH [Viehl et al., 2016]), then  $J(NiOH) = 0.02 \text{ s}^{-1}$  in the MLT.

222



223

Figure 4. Absorption spectrum of NiOH calculated using time-dependent density function theory 224 at the B3LYP/6-311+g(2d,p) level of theory [Frisch et al., 2016]. The dissociation thresholds to 225 Ni + OH and NiO + H are indicated with dashed lines. 226

- 227
- 4 Atmospheric modelling 228
- 229
- 4.1 A Ni chemistry scheme for atmospheric modelling 230

The rate coefficients of the neutral and ion-molecule reactions for Ni shown 231 232 schematically in Figure 1 are listed in Table 1. As indicated by the footnotes to the Table, many of these reactions have now been studied in the laboratory. Where measurements are not 233 available we have set the rate coefficients to those for the analogous reactions of Fe. This is of 234 235 course somewhat arbitrary. However, the important Ni reactions which we have set to their Fe 236 analogs are all quite exothermic, and the Fe reactions are already relatively fast. These are reactions R10, R13, R16, R17, R36 and R42. 237

Reactions R18 – R20 in Table 1 are polymerization reactions which describe the 238 permanent loss of the significant neutral reservoir species NiOH, Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> and NiCO<sub>3</sub> to form 239 meteoric smoke particles. We have used this type of reaction previously for modeling the Na, K, 240 Fe, Mg and Ca layers [Feng et al., 2013; Marsh et al., 2013; Plane et al., 2014; Langowski et al., 241 2015; *Plane et al.*, 2018]. Here, the rate coefficients  $k_{18-20}$  are set to  $7 \times 10^{-8}$  cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, which is 242 around 80 times larger than a typical dipole-dipole capture rate for these metallic molecules. This 243 factor allows for the fact that the Ni reservoir species can also polymerize with non-Ni 244

containing molecules of meteoric origin (e.g., FeOH, Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> and NaHCO<sub>3</sub>), and Ni ablates in 245

a large excess of these other metals: the elemental ablation ratio of Ni atoms to the sum of Na,

Fe, Mg, Si, Al and K atoms is ~ 1/80 [*Carrillo-Sánchez et al.*, 2020]. For comparison, in the case of two other minor meteoric metals, Ca and K, the dipole-dipole capture rate was increased by

- factors of 100 [*Plane et al.*, 2018] and 270 [*Plane et al.*, 2014], respectively.
- 250
- 251 **Table 1.** Ni chemistry in the MLT

No.	Reaction	Rate coefficient <sup>a</sup>
		Neutral reactions
R1	$Ni + O_3 \rightarrow NiO + O_2$	$k_1 = 6.5 \times 10^{-10} (T/293)^{0.167 \text{ b}}$
R2	$Ni + O_2 (+M) \rightarrow NiO_2$	$\log_{10}(k_2) = -37.592 + 7.168\log_{10}(T) - 1.565(\log_{10}(T))^{2b}$
R3a	$NiO + O_3 \rightarrow NiO_2 + O_2$	$k_{3a} = 2.5 \times 10^{-10} (T/293)^{0.167 \text{ b}}$
R3b	$NiO + O_3 \rightarrow Ni + 2O_2$	$k_{3b} = 1.4 \times 10^{-10} (T/293)^{0.167 b}$
R4	$NiO + O \rightarrow Ni + O_2$	$k_4 = 1.5 \times 10^{-10} \exp(-337/T)^{\circ}$
R5	$NiO + CO \rightarrow Ni + CO_2$	$k_5 = 3.2 \times 10^{-11} (T/200)^{-0.194}$ b, c
R6	$NiO_2 + O \rightarrow NiO + O_2$	$k_6 = 7.9 \times 10^{-11} \exp(-337/T)^{\circ}$
R7	$NiO + O_2 (+M) \rightarrow ONiO_2$	$log_{10}(k_7) = -41.0913 + 10.1064log_{10}(T) - 2.2610(log_{10}(T))^{2 \text{ b}}$
R8	$NiO + CO_2 (+M) \rightarrow NiCO_3$	$log_{10}(k_8) = -41.4265 + 10.9640log_{10}(T) - 2.5287(log_{10}(T))^{2 \text{ b}}$
R9	$NiO + H_2O (+M) \rightarrow Ni(OH)_2$	$log_{10}(k_9) = -29.7651 + 5.2064log_{10}(T) - 1.7118(log_{10}(T))^{2 \text{ b}}$
R10	$NiO_2 + O_3 \rightarrow ONiO_2 + O_2$	$k_{10} = 3.4 \times 10^{-10} \exp(-337/T)^{d}$
R11	$ONiO_2 + O \rightarrow NiO_2 + O_2$	$k_{11} = 2.3 \times 10^{-10} \exp(-2310/T)^{d}$
R12	$NiCO_3 + O \rightarrow NiO_2 + CO_2$	$k_{12} = 2.3 \times 10^{-10} \exp(-2310/T)^{d}$
R13	$ONiO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow Ni(OH)_2 + O_2$	$k_{13} = 5 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{d}$
R14	$Ni(OH)_2 + H \rightarrow NiOH + H_2O$	$k_{14} = 3 \times 10^{-10} \exp(-796/T)^{\rm d}$
R15	$NiCO_3 + H \rightarrow NiOH + CO_2$	$k_{15} = 3 \times 10^{-10} \exp(-796/T)^{d}$
R16	$ONiO_2 + H \rightarrow NiOH + O_2$	$k_{16} = 3 \times 10^{-10} \exp(-302/T)^{d}$
R17	$NiOH + H \rightarrow Ni + H_2O$	$k_{17} = 5 \times 10^{-11} \exp(-337/T)^{\rm d}$
R18	$NiOH + NiOH \rightarrow (NiOH)_2$	$k_{18} = 7 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{e}$

R19	$Ni(OH)_2 + Ni(OH)_2 \rightarrow (Ni(OH)_2)_2$	$k_{19} = 7 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{e}$
R20	$NiCO_3 + NiCO_3 \rightarrow (NiCO_3)_2$	$k_{20} = 7 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{e}$
R21	$NiOH + hv \rightarrow Ni + OH$	$k_{21} = 1.8 \times 10^{-2 \text{ f}}$

#### Ion-molecule reactions

R22	$Ni^+ + O_3 \rightarrow NiO^+ + O_2$	$k_{22} = 9.8 \times 10^{-10} (T/294)^{-0.16 \text{ g}}$
R23	$Ni^+ + N_2(+M) \rightarrow Ni^+.N_2$	$log_{10}(k_{23}) = -27.5009 + 1.0667log_{10}(T) - 0.74741(log_{10}(T))^{2 \text{ g}}$
R24	$Ni^+ + O_2(+M) \rightarrow NiO_2^+$	$log_{10}(k_{24}) = -27.8098 + 1.3065log_{10}(T) - 0.81136(log_{10}(T))^{2 \text{ g}}$
R25	$Ni^+ + CO_2(+M) \rightarrow Ni^+.CO_2$	$log_{10}(k_{25}) = -29.805 + 4.2282log_{10}(T) - 1.4303(log_{10}(T))^{2 \text{ g}}$
R26	$Ni^+ + H_2O (+M) \rightarrow Ni^+.H_2O$	$log_{10}(k_{26}) = -24.318 + 0.20448log_{10}(T) - 0.66676(log_{10}(T))^{2 g}$
R27	$NiO^{+} + O \rightarrow Ni^{+} + O_{2}$	$k_{27} = 1.7 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{g}$
R28	$NiO^{+} + CO \rightarrow Ni^{+} + CO_{2}$	$k_{28} = 7.4 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{g}$
R29a	$NiO^+ + O_3 \rightarrow Ni^+ + 2O_2$	$k_{29a} = 7.8 \times 10^{-11} \text{ g}$
R29b	$NiO^+ + O_3 \rightarrow NiO_2^+ + O_2$	$k_{29b} = 1.9 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{g}$
R30	$NiO_2^+ + O_3 \rightarrow NiO^+ + 2O_2$	$k_{30} = 4.6 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{g}$
R31	$Ni^+.N_2 + O \rightarrow NiO^+ + N_2$	$k_{31} = 7 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{g}$
R32	$NiO_2^+ + O \rightarrow NiO^+ + O_2$	$k_{32} = 5 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{d}$
R33	$Ni^+.CO_2 + O \rightarrow NiO^+ + CO_2$	$k_{33} = 2 \times 10^{-10}$ d
R34	$Ni^+$ . $H_2O + O \rightarrow NiO^+ + H_2O$	$k_{34} = 2 \times 10^{-10}$ d
R35	$Ni^+ + e^- \rightarrow Ni + hv$	$k_{35} = 8 \times 10^{-12} (T/300)^{-0.51 \text{ d}}$
R36	$NiO^+ + e^- \rightarrow Ni + O$	$k_{36} = 5.5 \times 10^{-7} (300/T)^{0.5 \text{ d}}$
R37	$NiO_2^+ + e^- \rightarrow Ni + O_2$	$k_{37} = 3 \times 10^{-7} (T/200)^{-0.5 \text{ d}}$
R38	$Ni^+.CO_2 + e^- \rightarrow Ni + CO_2$	$k_{38} = 3 \times 10^{-7} (T/200)^{-0.5 \text{ d}}$
R39	$Ni^+.H_2O + e^- \rightarrow Ni + H_2O$	$k_{39} = 3 \times 10^{-7} (T/200)^{-0.5 \text{ d}}$
R40	$Ni^+.N_2 + e^- \rightarrow Ni + N_2$	$k_{40} = 3 \times 10^{-7} (T/200)^{-0.5} \mathrm{d}$

R41b       Ni + $O_2^+ \rightarrow NiO^+ + O$ $k_{42b} = 8.0 \times 10^{-10 h}$ R42       Ni + NO^+ $\rightarrow Ni^+ + NO$ $k_{43} = 9.2 \times 10^{-10 d}$ R43       Ni + $hv \rightarrow Ni^+ + e^ k_{44} = 6.8 \times 10^{-8 i}$	R41a	$Ni + {O_2}^+ {\rightarrow} Ni^+ + O_2$	$k_{42a} = 3.1 \times 10^{-10 \text{ h}}$
R42       Ni + NO <sup>+</sup> $\rightarrow$ Ni <sup>+</sup> + NO $k_{43} = 9.2 \times 10^{-10}  \text{d}$ R43       Ni + $hv \rightarrow$ Ni <sup>+</sup> + e <sup>-</sup> $k_{44} = 6.8 \times 10^{-8}  \text{i}$	R41b	$Ni + O_2^+ \rightarrow NiO^+ + O$	$k_{42\mathrm{b}} = 8.0  imes 10^{-10 \mathrm{\ h}}$
R43 Ni + $hv \rightarrow Ni^+ + e^ k_{44} = 6.8 \times 10^{-8 i}$	R42	$Ni + NO^{\scriptscriptstyle +} \rightarrow Ni^{\scriptscriptstyle +} + NO$	$k_{43} = 9.2 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{d}$
	R43	$Ni + hv \rightarrow Ni^+ + e^-$	$k_{44} = 6.8 \times 10^{-8  \mathrm{i}}$

<sup>a</sup> Units: s<sup>-1</sup> for photolysis reactions; cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for bimolecular reactions; cm<sup>6</sup> molecule<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for termolecular reactions. <sup>b</sup> *Mangan et al.* [2019]. <sup>c</sup> Measured, this study. <sup>d</sup> set to the analogous reaction for Fe [*Feng et al.*, 2013]. <sup>e</sup> See text. <sup>f</sup> Calculated, this study. <sup>g</sup> *Bones et al.* [2020]. <sup>h</sup> *Schlemmer et al.* [2003] measured the reaction channel producing NiO<sup>+</sup> + O; the channel to Ni<sup>+</sup> + O<sub>2</sub> is then set so the overall rate coefficient is at the Langevin capture rate. <sup>i</sup> Photoionization rate at 100 km, using photoionization cross sections from *Heays et al.* [2017].

258

259

4.2 Whole atmosphere model of Ni

The set of Ni reactions in Table 1 was then added into the Whole Atmosphere 260 Community Climate Model (WACCM6), which uses the framework developed from the fully 261 coupled Community Earth System Model (CESM) [Gettelman et al., 2019]. WACCM6 extends 262 vertically from the Earth's surface to the lower thermosphere at ~140 km. For this study we used 263 the same horizontal resolution  $(1.9^{\circ} \text{ latitude} \times 2.5^{\circ} \text{ longitude})$  and 88 vertical model layers 264 (height resolution ~3.5 km in the MLT) as in our earlier work on global meteoric metals [Plane 265 et al., 2015], which used WACCM4 in CESM1 [Hurrell et al., 2013]. This version of WACCM6 266 with Ni chemistry is termed WACCM-Ni. The data presented here used a specific dynamics 267 (SD) version of WACCM [Feng et al., 2013; Plane et al., 2018], nudged with NASA's Modern-268 Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications (MERRA2) [Molod et al., 2015]. To 269 allow comparison of Ni and Ni<sup>+</sup> with Fe and Fe<sup>+</sup>, which are much better characterized through 270 observations in the MLT, the full set of Fe reactions in WACCM-Fe [Feng et al., 2013; Bones et 271 272 al., 2016; Viehl et al., 2016] was included.

The global average injection profiles of Ni and Fe are shown in Figure 5. These are the 273 profiles predicted by the CABMOD-ZoDy model [Carrillo-Sánchez et al., 2020] described in 274 Section 1. Both profiles were initially reduced by a factor of 5, following our previous work 275 [*Plane et al.*, 2018]. This factor compensates for the fact that global models such as WACCM 276 underestimate the vertical transport of minor species in the MLT, because short wavelength 277 gravity waves are not resolved on the model horizontal grid scale (~150 km). These sub-grid 278 waves contribute to chemical and dynamical transport while dissipating, and this can exceed 279 transport driven along mixing ratio gradients by the turbulent eddy diffusion produced once the 280 waves break [Gardner et al., 2016]. Because these additional vertical transport mechanisms are 281 underestimated, the MIF needs to be reduced in order to simulate the observed metal density 282 283 [*Plane et al.*, 2018].

In CABMOD-3, cosmic dust particles are set to have a 90 wt% Fe-Mg-SiO<sub>4</sub> phase and a 10 wt% metallic Fe-Ni phase, so that ~70% of the total Fe is embedded inside the silicate bulk [*Bones et al.*, 2019; *Carrillo-Sánchez et al.*, 2020]. The elemental Fe:Ni ratio in the metallic phase is then set to 5.5, so that the overall Fe:Ni abundance ratio in the particle is the CI ratio of 18:1 [*Asplund et al.*, 2009]. Our approach in the present study was to scale the Ni MIF to

- optimise the WACCM-Ni simulated layer to the measured Ni layer. For the Poker Flat
- 290 measurements [Collins et al., 2015] this required the Ni MIF to be increased, relative to Fe, by a
- factor of 15 compared to the CI ratio [*Carrillo-Sánchez et al.*, 2020]. This degree of Ni
- 292 enrichment seems extremely unlikely. In contrast, the Kühlungsborn measurements [Gerding et
- *al.*, 2019] require the Ni MIF to be decreased by a factor of only 2.1 relative to the Fe MIF –
- which would be explained if the Fe:Ni ratio in the metallic phase was ~12, or the Fe-Ni phase
- was ~5 wt% of the cosmic dust particles. Interestingly, a similar discrepancy was found between  $\sum_{i=1}^{+} \sum_{j=1}^{+} \sum_{i=1}^{+} \sum_{i$
- $Fe^+:Ni^+$  measurements in the Martian thermosphere and the CABMOD-ZoDy prediction
- 297 [*Carrillo-Sánchez et al.*, 2020]. In any case, the relative Ni MIF will be refined further once
- more lidar measurements become available. The seasonal and geographical variation of the Ni MIF was the scaled to the variation in the Fe MIF determined previously using an astronomical
- MIF was the scaled to the variation in the Fe MIF determined prev dust model [*Fentzke and Janches*, 2008; *Feng et al.*, 2013].



**Figure 5.** Global annual mean injection rates of Ni and Fe resulting from meteoric ablation. The injection profiles from *Carrillo-Sánchez et al.* [2020] have been divided by factors of 10.5 and 5.0, respectively (see text for further details).

305

After determining the optimal Ni MIF during 2 years of model spin up, WACCM-Ni was run for a full year simulation from January to December 2012. Although the Ni observations of *Gerding et al.* [2019] were performed in early 2018, some input files (solar input, CMIP6 emissions, chemical species at the surface) in the released CESM2\_1\_1 are not yet available for that year. Although the choice of 2012 is somewhat arbitrary, the aim here is to present the first atmospheric model of Ni and compare to the very limited observational data sets currently available.

3134.3 Observational Data

The Ni layer measurements of *Collins et al.* [2015] require an extremely large Ni enrichment in cosmic dust (Section 4.2). Moreover, because the measured  $Fe^+:Ni^+$  ratio in the MLT is ~20:1 [*Carrillo-Sánchez et al.*, 2020], the neutral ratio cannot be explained by most of the nickel being partitioned into Ni rather than Ni<sup>+</sup>. We therefore focus on the Kühlungsborn observations, recorded between January and March 2018 [*Gerding et al.*, 2019]. The data from

- five nights, measured with the stronger Ni( $a^{3}D_{3} {}^{3}F_{4}$ ) transition at 341.48 nm, were averaged to 319
- provide a single profile. For comparison with the Fe layer, we use lidar observations from 320
- Urbana-Champaign (40°N, 272°E) between October 1989 and June 1992 [Helmer et al., 1998; 321
- 322 Feng et al., 2013]. This is another mid-latitude location with a large set of Fe lidar measurements. Rocket-borne mass spectrometric measurements of Ni<sup>+</sup> (m/z = 58) and Fe<sup>+</sup> (m/z = 58)
- 323 56) density profiles (including a correction for their isotopic abundances) were taken from the 324
- eight flights detailed in Gómez Martin et al. [2017]. A geometric mean and standard deviation 325
- from these flights was determined for comparison with the Ni<sup>+</sup> and Fe<sup>+</sup> model output. 326
- 327
- 328

#### 4.4 Mean profiles of Ni and Ni<sup>+</sup> simulated by WACCM-Ni

Figure 6 illustrates the profiles of the Ni species around midnight, averaged over the 329 same period (January – March) as the observations [Gerding et al., 2019]. This shows very 330 331 satisfactory agreement between the mean lidar profile and simulated Ni layer, with both peaking at 86 km with a peak density of 350 cm<sup>-3</sup>, and similar top- and bottom-side scale heights. Of 332 course, the absolute concentration is fitted by varying the Fe:Ni ratio in the metallic phase in 333 334 CABMOD-3 (Section 4.2). However, the layer peak height and the scale heights are a good test of the neutral and ion-molecule chemistry. Figure 6 also shows that the major neutral reservoirs 335 are the hydroxides NiOH and Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>, and the sink for Ni is the (NiOH)<sub>2</sub> dimer which plays the 336 role of a surrogate for meteoric smoke. The oxides (NiO, NiO<sub>2</sub>, ONiO<sub>2</sub>) appear in relatively 337 narrow layers peaking between 78 and 83 km, with peak concentrations of only  $\sim 10$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, 338 339 because they are converted to the more stable hydroxides by reaction of NiO with  $H_2O(R9)$ , and ONiO<sub>2</sub> with H and H<sub>2</sub>O (R13 & R16) [Plane et al., 2015]. NiCO<sub>3</sub> is also a minor reservoir 340





342

Figure 6. Mean altitude profiles at midnight of Ni species simulated by WACCM-Ni and Ni 343

lidar observations, between January and March at Kühlungsborn (54 N°, 12E°). 344

Figure 7 compares the vertical mean Ni<sup>+</sup> profile simulated by WACCM-Ni with the mean profile from the sounding rockets. The modelled profile agrees reasonably well, within the  $1\sigma$ envelope of the rocket average. The modelled Ni<sup>+</sup> layer peaks around 94 km with a peak density of 95 cm<sup>-3</sup>, compared with the observed peak of 70 cm<sup>-3</sup>. The modeled column abundance between 80 and 110 km is  $1.5 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, compared with a measured abundance of  $9.7 \times 10^7$ 

 $cm^{-2}$ . The molecular ions NiO<sup>+</sup>, NiO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> and Ni<sup>+</sup>.N<sub>2</sub> are predicted to have much lower

352 concentrations ( $<1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ).



353

Figure 7. Mean altitude profiles at midnight of ionized Ni species simulated by WACCM-Ni
 between January and March at Kühlungsborn (54 N°, 12E°). The solid black line with open
 circles is the geometric mean profile of Ni<sup>+</sup>, with geometric 1σ error limits shown by gray dotted

lines, for the eight rocket flights described in *Gómez Martín et al.* [2017].

358

#### 359

#### 4.5 Diurnal variation of Ni and Ni<sup>+</sup> simulated by WACCM-Ni

Figure 8 contains altitude-time plots of the Ni and Ni<sup>+</sup> densities, where the WACCM-Ni output is sampled every hour and averaged over the month of April at Kühlungsborn (54°N, 12°E). In Figure 8a the Ni peak density varies by 30%, with the peak altitude decreasing from 86 km at 00:00 to 84 km at 16:30 hrs. Between 04:00 and 19:00 hrs there is an increase of Ni on the bottom-side of the layer: the density increases to 150 cm<sup>-3</sup> at 80 km, and to 0.1 cm<sup>-3</sup> at 72 km. These changes are caused by photolysis of NiOH (R21), and an increase of atomic O and H, and decrease of O<sub>3</sub>, during daylight hours [*Plane et al.*, 2015].

The Ni<sup>+</sup> layer (Figure 8b) does not exhibit significant diurnal variation on the top- or bottom-sides. However, there is an increase in the Ni<sup>+</sup> peak density by a factor of ~2 between night and day (0900 – 1900 hrs), caused by the increase of ambient NO<sup>+</sup> and O<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> through photoionization; these ions charge transfer with Ni (R41 and R42). Note that the photo-ionization of Ni (R43) is not competitive. Another factor is that atomic O increases during daytime through photolysis of O<sub>2</sub>, and thus more efficiently recycles NiO<sup>+</sup> to Ni<sup>+</sup> (R27), preventing dissociative

recombination with electrons (R36).







Figure 8. Altitude-time plots of the hourly average profiles of the (a) Ni and (b) Ni<sup>+</sup> densities (in cm<sup>-3</sup>), simulated by WACCM-Ni for April at 54° N,  $12^{\circ}$  E (Kühlungsborn).

#### 4.6 Global column abundances of Ni and Fe

Figures 9a and 9b are latitude-month plots showing the seasonal variation of the 380 diurnally-averaged Ni and Ni<sup>+</sup> column abundances, respectively. The Ni column exhibits a 381 wintertime maximum and summertime minimum. The seasonal variation increases with latitude, 382 and the highest abundance is over Antarctica during winter, which is very likely because of 383 convergence of mesospheric air over the polar vortex [Gardner et al., 2005]. This pattern is 384 similar to other meteoric metals such as Fe [Feng et al., 2013], Mg [Langowski et al., 2015] and 385 Na [Marsh et al., 2013]. At Northern high latitudes, the increase from summer to winter is a 386 factor of ~7 for Ni, close to the ~6-fold increase observed for the other metals. However, the 387 ~11-fold increase in Ni over Antarctica is somewhat more that these other metals (which exhibit 388 a 6- to 8-fold increase). The column abundances measured at Kühlungsborn ranged from (3.1 – 389  $(4.9) \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-2</sup> between January and March [Gerding et al., 2019], which compares well with the 390 WACCM-Ni column abundance of  $(4.5 \pm 1.5) \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-2</sup> averaged over the same period and 391 location. 392

The modeled Ni<sup>+</sup> layer column abundance in Figure 9b exhibits much less seasonal variation than Ni. The modelled global seasonal Ni<sup>+</sup>:Ni average is 0.34. This is a lower ratio than

both the modelled Fe<sup>+</sup>:Fe [Feng et al., 2013] and Na<sup>+</sup>:Na [Marsh et al., 2013] ratios, which have 395 seasonal averages close to unity; and much lower than the modelled Ca<sup>+</sup>:Ca seasonal average of 396 11, which is caused by the unusually large photo-ionization rate of Ca and its charge transfer rate 397 with NO<sup>+</sup> [*Plane et al.*, 2018]. Interestingly, when compared to rocket-borne observations, the 398 Fe<sup>+</sup>:Fe and Na<sup>+</sup>:Na ratios are ~0.2 [*Plane*, 2004; *Feng et al.*, 2013; *Marsh et al.*, 2013], which is 399 a factor of 5 times smaller than modeled by WACCM, and the observed Ca<sup>+</sup>:Ca ratio is a factor 400 of ~2 smaller. In contrast, the observed Ni<sup>+</sup>:Ni (using the average Ni column abundance from 401 Gerding et al. [2019] of  $4.1 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-2</sup>) is 0.24, which is only 29% smaller than the modelled 402 ratio. 403



404

**Figure 9.** Monthly averaged column abundances as a function of season and month, simulated by WACCM-Ni and WACCM-Fe: (a) Ni, (b) Ni<sup>+</sup>, (c) Fe:Ni ratio and (d) Fe<sup>+</sup>:Ni<sup>+</sup> ratio. Note that (c) and (d) are plotted with the same contour color scale.

408

Figure 9c illustrates the modelled seasonal variation of the Fe:Ni column abundance ratio as a function of latitude. There is no pronounced trend, other than a decrease in late winter/early spring around  $60^{\circ}$ S. This seems to be caused by the faster photolysis of NiOH (Section 2.3) compared with FeOH [*Viehl et al.*, 2016]. The global average modelled Fe:Ni ratio is  $36 \pm 3$ , in agreement with the observed ratio of  $38 \pm 11$  [*Gerding et al.*, 2019]. In Figure 9d, the Fe<sup>+</sup>:Ni<sup>+</sup>

414 ratio does exhibit a seasonal variation with a wintertime minimum, although the absolute

#### 415 variation is small. The mean Fe<sup>+</sup>:Ni<sup>+</sup> ratio is $33 \pm 1$ , which is at the upper limit of the ratio of 416 $20^{\pm 13}$ means a last have a sector structure [C = 30] $10^{-13}$ [C = 30]

- 416  $20_{-8}^{+13}$  measured by rocket-borne mass spectrometry [*Carrillo-Sánchez et al.*, 2020].
- 417

#### 418 4.7 Comparison of the Ni and Fe layer altitude profiles

Figure 10 compares the neutral profiles of night-time Ni and Fe at mid-latitudes, 419 averaged from January to March. Figure 10a shows lidar measurements of the Fe layer at 420 Urbana-Champaign (40°N; 88°E), and the Ni layer at Kühlungsborn (54°N, 12°E). Figure 10b 421 shows the night-time layers simulated by WACCM-Ni and WACCM-Fe for the same locations 422 423 and months. The observations and modeling show that both layers peak at ~86 km. The two lidar studies of the Ni layer [Collins et al., 2015; Gerding et al., 2019] both observed that the bottom-424 425 side of the layer is 1 - 2 km lower than the Fe layer between 78 and 85 km (Figure 10a). This feature is also captured by WACCM (Figure 10b). When comparing the kinetics of the two 426 metals, this is at first glance surprising: the oxidation of Ni by  $O_3$  (R1) is ~2 times faster than Fe, 427 and the reduction of NiO back to Ni by O (R4) is ~3 times slower than the Fe reaction. However, 428 *Rollason and Plane* [2000] showed that the rate coefficient for the reaction FeO +  $O_3 \rightarrow Fe +$ 429 2O<sub>2</sub> is at least one order of magnitude slower than the analogous reaction of NiO (R3b). More 430 important is the reaction of these metal oxides with CO. The reaction FeO + CO is relatively 431 slow,  $k(\text{FeO} + \text{CO}, 294 \text{ K}) = 1.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  [Smirnov, 2008]. In contrast,  $k_5(\text{NiO} + \text{CO}, 294 \text{ K})$ 432 is ~210 times faster (Section 2). Considering that the atomic O density decreases very rapidly 433 below 85 km at night [*Plane*, 2003], but there is still significant  $O_3$  and CO (primarily due to 434  $CO_2$  photolysis), the NiO + CO reaction becomes more important below 84 km than NiO + O for 435 recycling NiO to Ni, with NiO +  $O_3$  playing a secondary role [Mangan et al., 2019]. These two 436 reactions account for the broader bottom-side of the Ni layer. 437



438

**Figure 10.** The night-time average Ni and Fe layers at mid-latitudes between January and

440 March: (a) lidar observations; (b) WACCM modeling. The layer peak densities are plotted to

441 overlap by using different scales for Ni density (lower abscissa) and Fe density (upper abscissa).

### 443 4.9 Nightglow emission from NiO\* and FeO\*

Electronically excited NiO\* can only be produced by the reaction between Ni and O<sub>3</sub> 444 (R1). which is sufficiently exothermic ( $\Delta H^{\circ} = -297$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> [Mangan et al., 2019]) to produce 445 chemiluminescence at wavelengths greater than 402 nm, consistent with the OSIRIS nightglow 446 measurement of an onset at 440 nm [Evans et al., 2011]. An upper limit to the nightglow 447 emission rate from NiO<sup>\*</sup> is then given by  $k_1$ [Ni][O<sub>3</sub>], which assumes that a photon is produced 448 from every time Ni and O<sub>3</sub> react i.e. a quantum yield (QY) of 1. Figure 11 shows the calculated 449 NiO\* emission profile for mid-latitudes between January and March. Also shown is the FeO\* 450 emission profile calculated from the WACCM-Fe output. The integrated emission intensities 451 from the NiO\* and FeO\* layers in Figure 11 are then 54 and 559 R, respectively. If both 452 reactions have a similar QY, then this would give  $NiO^*/FeO^* = 0.10$ . Evans et al. [2011] 453 reported that the NiO\*/FeO\* ratio retrieved from OSIRIS limb spectra ranged from 0.05 to 0.3, 454 which brackets the model estimate and therefore implies that the QYs are similar. The most 455 recent estimate for OY(FeO\*) is  $(13 \pm 3)$ % [Unterguggenberger et al., 2017], indicating that 456 QY(NiO\*) lies between 6 and 40%. 457

Figure 11 shows that the FeO\* layer peaks at 84 km, in excellent agreement with OSIRIS observations [*Evans et al.*, 2011]. WACCM-Ni predicts that the NiO\* layer should also peak at 84 km (Figure 11). Although the satellite limb observations indicate the peak may be slightly

higher (86 - 89 km) [*Evans et al.*, 2011], the NiO\* emission signal is noisy because it is weak

and overlain by FeO\*, Na D, OH Meinel, O<sub>2</sub> Herzberg and NO<sub>2</sub> emissions.





Figure 11. Vertical profiles of NiO\* and FeO\* chemiluminescence emission rates, assuming a 100% quantum efficiency for the reactions of Ni and Fe with  $O_3$ .

466

#### 467 **5 Conclusions**

This study describes the development of the first model of meteoric Ni in the MLT. Building on previous work on the kinetics of neutral and ion-molecule reactions of Ni-containing species, we describe here a set of underpinning experimental and theoretical work on the reactions of NiO with O and CO, NiO<sub>2</sub> with O, and the photolysis of NiOH. A WACCM-Ni

- simulation with specified dynamics is then presented. Good agreement is achieved between the
- modeled layer and a limited set of lidar observations of the Ni layer at a mid-latitude site
- 474 (Kühlungsborn,  $54^{\circ}$ N), if the Ni meteoric input function is reduced by a factor of 2.1 compared
- with Fe. The modeled Fe:Ni column abundance ratio in the MLT of  $36 \pm 3$  is then close to the
- observed ratio at mid-latitudes. The modeled  $Ni^+$  peak density is slightly overestimated though within a standard deviation – of the geometric mean of a small number of rocket-borne
- 477 within a standard deviation of the geometric mean of a small number of focket-boline
   478 measurements. The broader bottom-side of the Ni layer, compared with Fe, appears to be
- explained by the faster Ni recycling reactions of NiO with CO and O<sub>3</sub>. Lastly, the quantum yield
- for photon production from the reaction between Ni and  $O_3$ , which contributes to the nightglow,
- 481 is relatively large and similar to that for the Fe analog.
- 482

### 483 Acknowledgments and Data

484 This work was supported by Natural Environment Research Council grant

- NE/P001815/1. S.M.D. was supported by a studentship from the NERC SPHERES Doctoral
- 486 Training Program. The rocket flight data was kindly provided by E. Kopp (University of Bern).
- The CESM2 model is available at <u>https://github.com/ESCOMP/CESM.git</u> and the MERRA2

reanalysis dataset is available at NCAR research archive <u>https://rda.ucar.edu/data/ds313.3/</u>. The

new version of CESM2 with the Ni and Fe codes and model output, as well as the data used in

the paper is archived at the Leeds University PetaByte Environmental Tape Archive and Library

- (PETAL; <u>https://petal.leeds.ac.uk/</u>), and is available from J.M.C.P. There are no financial or
   other conflicts of interest for any author.
- 493

## 494 **References**

495

- Arndt, P., J. Bohsung, M. M., and E. K. Jessberger (1996), The elemental abundances in interplanetary dust particles, *Meteor. Planet. Sci.*, *31*, 817-833.
- Asplund, M., N. Grevesse, A. J. Sauval, and P. Scott (2009), The Chemical Composition of the
- Sun, in *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.*, edited by R. Blandford, J. Kormendy and E. van Dishoeck,
   pp. 481-522, Annual Reviews, Palo Alto, doi:10.1146/annurev.astro.46.060407.145222.
- Bauernschmitt, R., and R. Ahlrichs (1996), Treatment of electronic excitations within the adiabatic approximation of time dependent density functional theory, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 256, 454-464.
- Bones, D. L., J. D. Carrillo-Sánchez, A. N. Kulak, and J. M. C. Plane (2019), Ablation of Ni from micrometeoroids in the upper atmosphere: Experimental and computer simulations and implications for Fe ablation, *Planet. Space Sci.*, *179*, art. no.: 104725.

Bones, D. L., S. Daly, T. P. Mangan, and J. M. C. Plane (2020), A Study of the reactions of Ni<sup>+</sup>
and NiO<sup>+</sup> ions relevant to planetary upper atmospheres, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, *in press*, DOI:
10.1039/D1030CP01124J.

- 510 Bones, D. L., J. M. C. Plane, and W. Feng (2016), Dissociative Recombination of FeO<sup>+</sup> with
- 511 Electrons: Implications for Plasma Layers in the Ionosphere, J. Phys. Chem. A, 120, 1369-1376.
- 512 Broadfoot, A. L., and P. J. Bellaire Jr. (1999), Bridging the gap between ground-based and 513 space-based observations of the night airglow, *J. Geophys. Res.: Space Phys.*, *104*, 17127-17138.
- 514 Carrillo-Sánchez, J. D., J. C. Gómez-Martín, D. L. Bones, D. Nesvorný, P. Pokorný, M. Benna,
- 515 G. J. Flynn, and J. M. C. Plane (2020), Cosmic dust fluxes in the atmospheres of Earth, Mars,
- 516 and Venus, *Icarus*, *335*, 113395.
- 517 Collins, R. L., J. Li, and C. M. Martus (2015), First lidar observation of the mesospheric nickel 518 layer, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 665-671.
- 519 Daly, S. M., D. L. Bones, and J. M. C. Plane (2019), A study of the reactions of  $Al^+$  ions with 520 O<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O: influence on  $Al^+$  chemistry in planetary ionospheres, *Phys. Chem.* 521 *Chem. Phys.*, 21, 14080-14089.
- Evans, W. F. J., R. L. Gattinger, A. L. Broadfoot, and E. J. Llewellyn (2011), The observation of
  chemiluminescent NiO\* emissions in the laboratory and in the night airglow, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *11*, 9595-9603.
- Feng, W., D. R. Marsh, M. P. Chipperfield, D. Janches, J. Höffner, F. Yi, and J. M. C. Plane (2013), A global atmospheric model of meteoric iron, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, *118*, 9456-9474.
- Fentzke, J. T., and D. Janches (2008), A semi-empirical model of the contribution from sporadic
  meteoroid sources on the meteor input function in the MLT observed at Arecibo, *J. Geophys. Res.: Space Phys.*, *113*, art. no.: A03304.
- Frisch, M. J., G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G.
  Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, et al. (2016), Gaussian 16, Revision B.01,
  edited, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, USA.
- Gardner, C. S., A. Z. Liu, and Y. Guo (2016), Vertical and horizontal transport of mesospheric
  Na: Implications for the mass influx of cosmic dust, *J. Atmos. Sol.-Terrest. Phys.*, *162*, 192-202.
- Gardner, C. S., J. M. C. Plane, W. Pan, T. Vondrak, B. J. Murray, and X. Chu (2005), Seasonal
  variations of the Na and Fe layers at the South Pole and their implications for the chemistry and
  general circulation of the polar mesosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, *110*, art. no. D1030210.
- Gerding, M., S. Daly, and J. M. C. Plane (2019), Lidar Soundings of the Mesospheric Nickel
  Layer Using Ni(<sup>3</sup>F) and Ni(<sup>3</sup>D) Transitions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 46, 408-415.
- 540 Gettelman, A., M. J. Mills, D. E. Kinnison, R. R. Garcia, A. K. Smith, D. R. Marsh, S. Tilmes, F.
- 541 Vitt, C. G. Bardeen, J. McInerny, et al. (2019), The whole atmosphere community climate model 542 version 6 (WACCM6), L. Geophys. Page : Atmos. 124, 12380, 12403
- 542 version 6 (WACCM6), J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 124, 12380–12403.

- 543 Gómez Martin, J. C., J. S. A. Brooke, W. H. Feng, M. Höpfner, M. J. Mills, and J. M. C. Plane
- 544 (2017), Impacts of meteoric sulfur in the Earth's atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 122,
- 545 7678-7701.
- Gómez Martín, J. C., S. M. Daly, J. S. A. Brooke, and J. M. C. Plane (2017), Absorption cross
  sections and kinetics of formation of AlO at 298K, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 675, 56-62.
- Grebowsky, J. M., and A. C. Aikin (2002), In Situ Measurements of Meteoric Ions, in *Meteors in the earth's atmosphere*, edited by E. Murad and I. P. Williams, pp. 189-214, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Heays, A. N., A. D. Bosman, and E. F. v. Dishoeck (2017), Photodissociation and photoionisation of atoms and molecules of astrophysical interest, *Astron. Astrophys.*, 602, art. no.: A105.
- Helmer, M., J. M. C. Plane, J. Qian, and C. S. Gardner (1998), A model of meteoric iron in the upper atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, *103*, 10913-10925.
- Hurrell, J. W., M. M. Holland, P. R. Gent, S. Ghan, J. E. Kay, P. J. Kushner, J. F. Lamarque, W.
- 557 G. Large, D. Lawrence, K. Lindsay, et al. (2013), The Community Earth System Model: A
- 558 Framework for Collaborative Research, *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 94, 1339-1360.
- Jessberger, E. K., T. Stephan, D. Rost, P. Arndt, M. Maetz, F. J. Stadermann, D. E. Brownlee, J.
  P. Bradley, and G. Kurat (2001), Properties of Interplanetary Dust: Information from Collected
  Samples, in *Interplanetary Dust*, edited by E. Grün, B. Å. S. Gustafson, S. Dermott and H.
  Fechtig, pp. 253-294, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, doi:10.1007/978-3-64256428-4\_6.
- Kopp, E. (1997), On the abundance of metal ions in the lower ionosphere, J. Geophys. Res.:
  Space Phys., 102, 9667-9674.
- 566 Krankowsky, D., F. Arnold, H. Wieder, and J. Kissel (1972), The elemental and isotopic 567 abundance of metallic ions in the lower E-region as measured by a cryogenically pumped 568 quadrupole mass spectrometer, *Int. J. Mass Spectr. Ion Phys.*, *8*, 379-390.
- Langenberg, S., T. Carstens, D. Hupperich, S. Schweighoefer, and U. Schurath (2020), Technical note: Determination of binary gas phase diffusion coefficients of unstable and adsorbing atmospheric trace gases at low temperature – Arrested Flow and Twin Tube method *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 3669–3682.
- Langowski, M. P., C. von Savigny, J. P. Burrows, W. Feng, J. M. C. Plane, D. R. Marsh, D.
  Janches, M. Sinnhuber, A. C. Aikin, and P. Liebing (2015), Global investigation of the Mg atom
  and ion layers using SCIAMACHY/Envisat observations between 70 and 150 km altitude and
  WACCM-Mg model results, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *15*, 273-295.
- Llewellyn, E. J., N. D. Lloyd, D. A. Degenstein, R. L. Gattinger, S. V. Petelina, A. E. Bourassa,
  J. T. Wiensz, E. V. Ivanov, I. C. McDade, B. H. Solheim, et al. (2004), The OSIRIS instrument
  on the Odin spacecraft, *Can. J. Phys.*, 82, 411-422.

- Mangan, T. P., N. McAdam, S. M. Daly, and J. M. C. Plane (2019), Kinetic Study of Ni and NiO 580
- 581 Reactions Pertinent to the Earth's Upper Atmosphere, J. Phys. Chem. A, 123, 601-610.
- Marsh, D. R., D. Janches, W. Feng, and J. M. C. Plane (2013), A global model of meteoric 582 sodium, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 118, 11,442-411,452. 583
- 584 Molina, L. T., and M. J. Molina (1986), Absolute absorption cross sections of ozone in the 185 to 350 nm wavelength range, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 91, 14501-14508. 585
- Molod, A., L. Takacs, M. Suarez, and J. Bacmeister (2015), Development of the GEOS-5 586 atmospheric general circulation model: Evolution from MERRA to MERRA2, Geosci. Model 587 Dev., 8, 1339–1356. 588
- Nesvorný, D., D. Janches, D. Vokrouhlický, P. Pokorný, W. F. Bottke, and P. Jenniskens (2011), 589 Dynamical Model for the Zodiacal Cloud and Sporadic Meteors, Astrophys. J., 743, art. no.: 129. 590
- Plane, J. M. C. (2003), Atmospheric chemistry of meteoric metals, Chem. Rev., 103, 4963-4984. 591
- Plane, J. M. C. (2004), A time-resolved model of the mesospheric Na layer: constraints on the 592 meteor input function, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 627-638. 593
- 594 Plane, J. M. C., W. Feng, E. Dawkins, M. P. Chipperfield, J. Höffner, D. Janches, and D. R. Marsh (2014), Resolving the strange behavior of extraterrestrial potassium in the upper 595 atmosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 4753-4760. 596
- Plane, J. M. C., W. Feng, and E. C. M. Dawkins (2015), The Mesosphere and Metals: Chemistry 597 598 and Changes, Chem. Rev., 115, 4497-4541.
- 599 Plane, J. M. C., W. Feng, J. C. Gómez Martín, M. Gerding, and S. Raizada (2018), A new model of meteoric calcium in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 14799-600 14811. 601
- Rollason, R. J., and J. M. C. Plane (2000), The reactions of FeO with O<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>, 602 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2, 2335-2343. 603
- Schlemmer, S., A. Luca, and D. Gerlich (2003), Reactions of trapped ions with metal atoms:  $O_2^+$ 604 + Ni and NiN $_{2}^{+}$  + Ni, Int. J. Mass Spectr., 223, 291-299. 605
- Self, D. E., and J. M. C. Plane (2003), A kinetic study of the reactions of iron oxides and 606 hydroxides relevant to the chemistry of iron in the upper mesosphere, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 607 5, 1407-1418. 608
- Smirnov, V. N. (2008), Rate constant of the gas-phase reaction between Fe atoms and CO<sub>2</sub>, 609 Kinet. Catal., 49, 607-609. 610
- Unterguggenberger, S., S. Noll, W. Feng, J. M. C. Plane, W. Kausch, S. Kimeswenger, A. Jones, 611
- and S. Moehler (2017), Measuring FeO variation using astronomical spectroscopic observations, 612
- 613 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 4177–4187.

- Viehl, T. P., J. M. C. Plane, W. Feng, and J. Höffner (2016), The photolysis of FeOH and its
- effect on the bottomside of the mesospheric Fe layer, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 1373-1381.
- 616
- 617
- 618
- **Figure 1.** Schematic diagram of Ni chemistry in the MLT arising from meteoric ablation.
- Ionized neutral Ni species are shown in blue and green boxes, respectively. Red arrows indicate reactions measured in this study.
- Figure 2. A plot of  $[Ni]/[Ni]_0$  as a function of  $[CO]/[O_3]$ , where  $[O_3]$  is fixed at  $1.8 \times 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>.
- The solid black points are the experimental data with the solid black line is the model fit with  $\pm 1\sigma$  uncertainty (shaded region). Conditions: 1 Torr, 294 K.
- **Figure 3.** (a) Plots of [Ni] (in arbitrary units) as a function of [O<sub>2</sub>]. (b) Plots of [Ni] as a function
- of  $[O_3]$ . Experimental data: solid black circles are experimental data for the fixed addition of O
- 627 ([O] =  $9.2 \times 10^{12}$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> at the point of injection); open triangles are without O. The solid
- black lines are the model fits through each dataset. The shaded area of the model fit represents
- the  $\pm 1\sigma$  uncertainty. Conditions: 1 Torr, 294 K.
- **Figure 4.** Absorption spectrum of NiOH calculated using time-dependent density function theory
- at the B3LYP/6-311+g(2d,p) level of theory [*Frisch et al.*, 2016]. The dissociation thresholds to
- Ni + OH and NiO + H are indicated with dashed lines.
- **Figure 5.** Global annual mean injection rates of Ni and Fe resulting from meteoric ablation. The injection profiles from *Carrillo-Sánchez et al.* [2020] have been divided by factors of 10.5 and 5.0, respectively (see text for further details).
- **Figure 6.** Mean altitude profiles at midnight of Ni species simulated by WACCM-Ni and Ni lidar observations, between January and March at Kühlungsborn (54 N<sup>o</sup>, 12E<sup>o</sup>).
- **Figure 7.** Mean altitude profiles at midnight of ionized Ni species simulated by WACCM-Ni
- between January and March at Kühlungsborn (54  $N^{\circ}$ , 12 $E^{\circ}$ ). The solid black line with open
- circles is the geometric mean profile of Ni<sup>+</sup>, with geometric  $1\sigma$  error limits shown by gray dotted lines, for the rocket flights described in *Gómez Martín et al.* [2017].
- Figure 8. Altitude-time plots of the hourly average profiles of the (a) Ni and (b) Ni<sup>+</sup> densities (in cm<sup>-3</sup>), simulated by WACCM-Ni for April at  $54^{\circ}$  N,  $12^{\circ}$  E (Kühlungsborn).
- **Figure 9.** Monthly averaged column abundances as a function of season and month, simulated
- by WACCM-Ni and WACCM-Fe: (a) Ni, (b)  $Ni^+$ , (c) Fe:Ni ratio and (d) Fe<sup>+</sup>:Ni<sup>+</sup> ratio. Note that (c) and (d) are plotted with the same contour color scale.
- 647 **Figure 10.** The night-time average Ni and Fe layers at mid-latitudes between January and
- March: (a) lidar observations; (b) WACCM modeling. The layer peak densities are plotted to
- overlap by using different scales for Ni density (lower abscissa) and Fe density (upper abscissa)
- **Figure 11.** Vertical profiles of NiO\* and FeO\* chemiluminescence emission rates, assuming a
- 100% quantum efficiency for the reactions of Ni and Fe with O<sub>3</sub>.

# 655 656 Table 1. Ni chemistry in the MLT