Probabilistic Quantification of Tsunami Currents in Karachi Port, Makran Subduction Zone, using Statistical Emulation

Devaraj Gopinathan¹, Mohammad Heidarzadeh², and Serge Guillas¹

¹University College London ²Brunel University London

November 30, 2022

Abstract

In this paper, we model the full range of possible local impacts of future tsunamis in the Makran subduction zone (MSZ) at Karachi port, Pakistan. For the first time, the 3-D subduction geometry Slab2 is employed in the MSZ, in conjunction with the most refined rupture segmentation to date for this region, to improve the earthquake source definition. Motivated by the massive sediment layer over the MSZ, we also introduce to tsunami modeling the application of the sediment amplification formula, resulting in enhancements of seabed deformation up to 60% locally. Furthermore, we design a new unstructured mesh algorithm for our GPU-accelerated tsunami code in order to efficiently represent flow velocities, including vortices, down to a resolution of 10m in the vicinity of the port. To afford to compute very large number of high resolution tsunami scenarios, for the granularity and extent of the range of magnitudes (occurrence ratios of 1:100,000 implied by the Gutenberg-Richter relation) and locations of source, we create a statistical surrogate i.e. emulator) of the tsunami model. Our main contribution is hence the largest set of emulated predictions using any realistic tsunami code to date: 1 million per location. We go on to obtain probabilistic representations of maximum tsunami velocities and heights at around 200 locations in the port area of Karachi. Amongst other findings, we discover substantial local variations of currents and heights. Hence we argue that an end-to-end synthesis of advanced physical, numerical and statistical modeling is instrumental to comprehensively model local impacts of tsunamis.

Probabilistic Quantification of Tsunami Currents in Karachi Port, Makran Subduction Zone, using Statistical Emulation

Devaraj Gopinathan¹, Mohammad Heidarzadeh², Serge Guillas¹

¹Department of Statistical Science. University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK.
 ³Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering. Brunel University London, Uxbridge UB8 3PH, UK.

Key	Points
-----	--------

1

2

3

4

8	•	The first emulation of a tsunami model at $10 m$ resolution and largest emulation
9		of a tsunami model with 1 million scenarios
10	•	The first use of sediment amplification and Slab2 subduction geometry in tsunami
11		modeling for Makran Subduction Zone
12	•	The first areawide probabilistic hazard maps of tsunami currents for Karachi port
13		extracted from 1 million scenarios

Corresponding author: Devaraj Gopinathan, d.gopinathan@ucl.ac.uk

14 Abstract

In this paper, we model the full range of possible local impacts of future tsunamis 15 in the Makran subduction zone (MSZ) at Karachi port, Pakistan. For the first time, the 16 3-D subduction geometry Slab2 is employed in the MSZ, in conjunction with the most 17 refined rupture segmentation to date for this region, to improve the earthquake source 18 definition. Motivated by the massive sediment layer over the MSZ, we also introduce to 19 tsunami modeling the application of the sediment amplification formula, resulting in en-20 hancements of seabed deformation up to 60% locally. Furthermore, we design a new un-21 22 structured mesh algorithm for our GPU-accelerated tsunami code in order to efficiently represent flow velocities, including vortices, down to a resolution of 10 m in the vicin-23 ity of the port. To afford to compute very large number of high resolution tsunami sce-24 narios, for the granularity and extent of the range of magnitudes (occurrence ratios of 25 1:100,000 implied by the Gutenberg-Richter relation) and locations of source, we cre-26 ate a statistical surrogate (*i.e.* emulator) of the tsunami model. Our main contribution 27 is hence the largest set of emulated predictions using any realistic tsunami code to date: 28 1 million per location. We go on to obtain probabilistic representations of maximum tsunami 29 velocities and heights at around 200 locations in the port area of Karachi. Amongst other 30 findings, we discover substantial local variations of currents and heights. Hence we ar-31 gue that an end-to-end synthesis of advanced physical, numerical and statistical mod-32 eling is instrumental to comprehensively model local impacts of tsunamis. 33

³⁴ Plain Language Summary

Ports are under great risk from tsunamis. Recent events show how violent currents 35 can unmoor and steer vessels into port structures. We model possible future currents and 36 heights of tsunamis in Karachi port, Pakistan. We also create an advanced definition of 37 the earthquake source taking into account the layer of sediments in the seabed near the 38 coast of Makran. A high resolution tsunami numerical model is employed to represent 39 currents. We mimic the numerical model by a statistical model in order to produce 1 mil-40 lion tsunami events of local impact. We are able to conclude which areas of the port are 41 much more likely to be affected by intense currents, and by how much. 42

43 **1** Introduction

Following the unexpected damage incurred at ports from the tsunamis of 2004 (In-44 dian Ocean), 2010 (Chile) and 2011 (Japan) (Borrero, Lynett, & Kalligeris, 2015; Okal 45 et al., 2006), it is of paramount importance to investigate the associated hazard. Ports 46 are vital economic lifelines and thus need to be safeguarded from natural disasters to pre-47 vent e.g. a sudden interruption of trade and commerce, a halt in the flow of essential com-48 modities, as well as the destruction of livelihoods of fishermen communities. Despite re-49 cent studies (Borrero, Goring, et al., 2015; Borrero, Lynett, & Kalligeris, 2015; Lynett 50 et al., 2012, 2014) and advances in high-fidelity modeling (Lynett et al., 2017), proba-51 bilistic methods tackling the quantification of future tsunami hazard due to strong flows 52 in harbors are absent. The need for such probabilistic quantifications is further accen-53 tuated by certain peculiarities that were observed with the phenomena of tsunami cur-54 rents in ports. On 26 December 2004, the Sumatra-Andaman (SA) tsunami waves at the 55 Omani port of Salalah wrested the freighter Maersk Mandraki from the main wharf be-56 fore it was shoved around by the vortices induced from the tsunami currents (Okal et 57 al., 2006). The 285 m long ship drifted uncontrollably for hours, despite manual inter-58 vention (Figure 1). It looped both inside and outside the harbor prior to winding up on 59 a sand bar. Another anomaly was observed on 24 September 2013, when a submarine 60 landslide in the Makran subduction zone (MSZ) generated a tsunami in the North-Western 61 (NW) Indian Ocean, affecting the coasts of Oman, Iran, Pakistan and India (Heidarzadeh 62 & Satake, 2014). The landslide that caused the tsunami was the secondary effect of a 63



c): Drifting path of the ship during the 2004 tsunami





Figure 1. a) The Makran subduction zone area showing Karachi port studied in this work. 70

b) Waveforms of the tsunami generated by a submarine landslide in the Makran region on 24 71

September 2013 based on Heidarzadeh and Satake (2014, Figure 11). c) Drifting of a large ship, 72

the Mearsk Mandraki (shown in panel d) within the port of Salalah (pink squares) following the 73

December 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami based on the data by Okal et al. (2006). The numbers 74

to the right of each point indicate the sequence in which the ship drifted. d) The ship Mearsk 75

Mandraki which was drifting in port Salalah following the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami. 76

small M_w 7.7 inland strike-slip earthquake. Tide-gauge records displayed tsunami waves 64 of small amplitude $(20\pm7\,cm)$ in the affected regions, except for three Omani ports (Heidarzadeh 65 & Satake, 2014, Table 1 & Figure 3). Relatively larger amplitudes were found in the ports 66 of Muscat $(51 \, cm)$, Quarayat $(109 \, cm)$ and Sur $(40 \, cm)$. Here, the waves also prevailed 67 for a relatively longer duration ($\gtrsim 6 h$) compared to the other ports (Figure 1). 68

Overall, the above case from the 2004 tsunami provides evidence of the treacher-77 ous nature of tsunami currents in harbors. Although it may seem rational to associate 78 high wave amplitudes with high velocities, the arresting feature is that the strong cur-79 rents continued for hours after the waves with maximum amplitude had arrived (nearly 80 9h in Salalah). This is all the more consequential since conventional transmission warnings 81 may be lifted after visibly perceptible signs of the tsunami (*i.e.* vertical displacement) 82 have disappeared, whereas the strong currents may manifest later on. In the case of the 83 2013 Makran tsunami also, it is highly probable that the coastal geometry and local bathymetry 84 were responsible for long tsunami oscillations. 85

The Makran Subduction Zone (MSZ) has given rise to tsunamis in 1945 (Byrne et 90 al., 1992; Heidarzadeh et al., 2008) and 2013 (Heidarzadeh & Satake, 2014). Recent stud-91



- Figure 2. Global workflow describing the integration of different work components in this
- study for emulation-based probabilistic assessment of hazard for tsunami currents and heights in
- 89 the port.

ies estimate the megathrust potential for the eastern part of the MSZ (blue rectangle, 92 Figure 1) to be $M_w 8.8 - 9.0$ (Smith et al., 2013). Given the peculiarities observed in Makran 93 ports during the 2004 and 2013 tsunamis, there is a pressing need for a comprehensive 94 quantification of tsunami hazard, and associated uncertainties, especially port velocities. 95 However, the accurate simulation of tsunami currents at shallow depths requires accu-96 rate coastline definition, high resolution bathymetry, and highly refined meshes, over enough 97 time to capture the maximum. Thus, in this study we employ spatial resolutions of 10 m98 for coastline, 30 m for bathymetry, and 10 m for the computational mesh, locally in the 99 vicinity of Karachi port (Pakistan), for a total simulation time of 12 hours. The large 100 number of runs, at such resolutions, needed for probabilistic hazard assessments stretches 101 the limit of current High-performance Computing facilities, even with the latest GPU 102 (Graphics Processing Unit) acceleration (Reguly et al., 2018). In terms of the seabed de-103 formation given as input to the tsunami model, we introduce here an earthquake source 104 designed with segments of size $5 \, km \times 5 \, km$ with carefully constructed positive slip ker-105 nels to preserve fidelity to both magnitude scaling (Blaser et al., 2010) and slip scaling 106 relations (Allen & Hayes, 2017). Second, the presence of a considerable sediment layer 107 over the Makran Subduction Zone (MSZ) (up to 2 km) demands incorporation of its in-108 fluence on the deformation, since a remarkable amplification of up to 60% can be gen-109 erated (Dutykh & Dias, 2010). 110

We select a probabilistic route in order to quantify uncertainties in future tsunamis 111 due to the uncertain earthquake source variations (see the full workflow in Figure 2). How-112 ever, since the probability of large events is small, a comprehensive coverage of the Gutenberg-113 Richter relation requires a large number of runs for the diversity of plausible events to 114 be well represented across magnitudes and source location (in the thousands at minimum 115 for a coarse quantification and much more for realistic assessments). Due to the consid-116 erable computational complexity of each high-resolution tsunami simulation of coastal 117 tsunami currents, such a probabilistic endeavor can only be achieved by replacing the 118 numerical tsunami model by a statistical surrogate: the emulator. To our knowledge, this 119 is the first time that emulation has been marshalled to generate future earthquake-generated 120 tsunami currents; it has been employed only once in the past for currents, for a single 121 source of landslide-generated tsunamis with huge benefits in terms of computational costs 122 and hazard assessment (Salmanidou et al., 2019). With a design of only 300 runs, we fit 123 an emulator to produce 1 million plausible tsunamis at any location. These emulated 124 runs enable us to fully characterize uncertainty in future tsunami currents. Section 2 de-125 scribes the models and methods used in this work, Section 3 discusses the results, and 126 conclusions are drawn in Section 4. 127

¹²⁸ 2 Models, Data and Methods

In this section, we describe the finite fault apparatus (Section 2.1), construction of the slip profile on the finite fault (Section 2.2), integration of the sediment amplification over the slips (Section 2.3), tsunami propagation (Section 2.4), merging of bathymetries at different resolutions (Section 2.4.1), design of algorithm for locally refined unstructured mesh (Section 2.4.2), emulator training (Section 2.5), emulator diagnostics (Section 2.6) and finally the hazard predictions for 1 million events (Section 2.7). The global workflow is displayed in Figure 2.

There are formidable computational challenges that must be addressed in order to 136 accurately represent both the actual geophysical processes and their uncertainties. Of-137 ten, in tsunami modeling this trade-off between capability and capacity in High Perfor-138 mance Computing (HPC) is left unresolved by either radically simplifying the physics 139 (e.g. a linear tsunami propagation till say 100 m depth with the use of an empirical re-140 lationship thereafter), or running only a few high resolution simulations as scenarios. We 141 argue that our tsunami emulation framework, in this context of currents that are highly 142 nonlinear and very sensitive to near shore bathymetry, provides a solution to this trade-143



Finite fault model. a) Scaling relation of rupture length (L) and width (W) with Figure 3. 151 respect to the rupture moment magnitude (M_w) showing the maximum length (L_{max}) , width 152 (W_{max}) and moment magnitude (M_w^{sat}) accommodable in the eastern MSZ. The lengths and 153 widths of 300 earthquake scenarios are plotted over the scaling relation for an FF model made 154 up of $\sim 5 \, km \times 5 \, km$ segments. The rupture length saturates after $M_w \, 8.65$ (green line) in the 155 region marked by the ellipse. The inset plot display the rupture dimensions (L, W) and rupture 156 origin co-ordinates (X_o, Y_o) on a sample scenario (no. 129). Sample nos. 1 and 129 are marked 157 on the scaling curves. b) Same as (a) but also includes FF models made up of $\sim 5 \, km \times 5 \, km$, 158 $\sim 10 \ km \times 10 \ km$ and $\sim 20 \ km \times 20 \ km$ segments. The inset plots zoom on to the scaling relation 159 to reveal discontinuities in the realizable fault dimensions. 160

off between precision and coverage of uncertainties. It requires manipulation of very large
data sets on HPC, as well as complex post-processing on diverse software and data platforms. Thus, our work here is at the forefront of what can be achieved using the most
refined finite fault segmentation, the latest tsunami model acceleration schemes on GPU
clusters, hierarchical file formats, smart unstructured meshes and newest multi-threading
emulation platforms.

¹⁶¹ 2.1 Finite Fault Model

We construct a finite fault (FF) on the eastern section of MSZ (blue rectangle, Fig-162 ure 1) using a total number (n_F) of 2295 rectangular segments (Figures 4c & 9j). The 163 overall dimension of the FF model is $420 \, km \times 129 \, km \, (L^{max} \times W^{max})$. The slip on a 164 segment is denoted by S_i , where i varies from 1 to 2295. The closed-form equations from 165 Okada (1985) transform the slips and other FF parameters into a static vertical displace-166 ment denoted by U (calculated inside an uplift calculation box, see Figures 9g & h). The 167 final vertical displacement field results from the combined superposition of vertical dis-168 placements due to all the activated fault segments. Among the FF parameters, the dip 169 angle and fault depth (d_f) are sourced from the recent plate boundary model, Slab2 (Hayes 170 et al., 2018; Hayes, 2018). The strike and rake angles are kept constant at 270° and 90° . 171

Each segment size (d_F) is approximately $5 \ km \times 5 \ km \ (l_i \times w_i)$. All the segments are arrayed in an 85×27 grid. This resolution is chosen to preserve fidelity to the scaling relation from Blaser et al. (2010) (Figure 3a), arrived through comparing the performance of different segment sizes viz. $5 \ km \times 5 \ km$, $10 \ km \times 10 \ km$ and $20 \ km \times 20 \ km$



Figure 4. Slip profile generation. a) Validating maximum slips (S_{max}) and average slips (S_{avg}) for 300 earthquake scenarios as per the scaling relation in Allen and Hayes (2017) by varying the steepness (α) b) & d) Bi-lobed kernels $\Phi(x; r_E, r_W, \alpha)$ and $\Phi(y; r_S, r_N, \alpha)$ along fault length and width respectively for a sample scenario (no. 1). c) Tensor product Φ^{\otimes} of the two bi-lobed kernel functions in (b) and (d) prior to magnitude normalization.

(Figure 3b). The discrepancy to the scaling relation appears as discontinuities in the realizable fault lengths (L) and widths (W) (Figure 3a inset). The size of the discontinuities are the same as the resolution chosen (Figure 3b inset). Thus, we observe the least discontinuity while using a $5 \, km \times 5 \, km$ segmentation (Figure 3). We use the definitions of the seismic moment M_w and moment magnitude M_0 (Kanamori, 1977; Hanks & Kanamori, 1979) as,

$$M_w = (2/3) \left(\log_{10} M_0 - 9.1 \right), \qquad M_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_F} \mu l_i w_i S_i \tag{1}$$

with $\mu = 3 \times 10^{10} N/m^2$ being the modulus of rigidity. Our implementation of the Okada suite is adapted from the dMODELS code (Battaglia et al., 2012, 2013). The next section details the design of the slip profile over the FF model.

2.2 Slip Profile Generation

188

192

198

203

209

Slips are usually modeled to be uniform on the FF segments, even though inversions of seismic sources evidenced localised concentrations of high slips or asperities over a backdrop of lower slips (Grezio et al., 2017). To generate a similar behaviour in our slip profiles, we utilize a positive kernel function ϕ having a functional form (Figure 4a inset):

$$\phi\left(x;r,\alpha\right) = \begin{cases} c_{\alpha}\left(1-\left|\frac{x}{r}\right|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} & |x| \le r\\ 0 & |x| > r \end{cases}$$
(2)

where $c_{\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(2l+2)}{2^{2l+1}\Gamma(l+1)^2}$ is the normalizing constant made up of the gamma function

(Γ), the length scale r defines the domain where ϕ is non-zero and the parameter α adjusts the steepness of ϕ . Using ϕ as the core, we construct the bi-lobed kernel function Φ :

$$\Phi(x; r_l, r_r, \alpha) = \begin{cases} \phi(x; r_l, \alpha) & -r_l \le x \le 0\\ \phi(x; r_r, \alpha) & 0 \le x \le r_r \end{cases}$$
(3)

where r_l and r_r are the length scales of the left and right lobes of Φ , their values depending on the position of the rupture origin (X_o, Y_o) with respect to the fault length (L) and width (W) (Figures 3a inset & 4b-d). The tensor product of the two bi-lobed kernel functions, one along the length (Figure 4b) and another along the width (Figure 4d) of the fault yields the surface Φ^{\otimes} (Figure 4c):

$$\Phi^{\otimes}\left(x, y; \boldsymbol{r}^{\otimes}, \alpha\right) = \Phi\left(x; r_{W}, r_{E}, \alpha\right) \otimes \Phi\left(y; r_{S}, r_{N}, \alpha\right) \quad (x, y) \in \left[-r_{W}, r_{E}\right] \times \left[-r_{S}, r_{N}\right]$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where $[-r_W, r_E] \times [-r_S, r_N]$ denotes the domain of the rupture and $\mathbf{r}^{\otimes} = \{r_W, r_E, r_S, r_N\}$. A normalization of Φ^{\otimes} with the required moment magnitude on the rupture yields the final slip profile S (Figure 5f and 9e & f). The algorithm for the above construction is detailed in Appendix A. Given the algorithm, we arrive at $\alpha = 1$ by varying α to closely match the maximum slip S_{max} and average slip S_{avg} curves generated from the scaling relations in Allen and Hayes (2017, Table 2) (Figure 4a). The next section describes the incorporation of the effect of seafloor sediment layer.

225 **2.3 Sediment Amplification**

Considerable amplification (up to 60% locally) of crustal deformation due to the presence of layers of sediments on the seafloor was shown in Dutykh and Dias (2010, Figure 12). We introduce it here in tsunami modeling, by making use of the sediment amplification curve (Figure 5d). The curve uses the relative depth (d_r^i) of the *i*th segment (Figure 5c) calculated as:

$$d_r^i = \frac{d_s^i}{d_f^i} \tag{5}$$



Figure 5. Sediment amplification. a) Sediment thickness d_s over eastern MSZ. b) Fault depth d_f . c) Relative depth d_r . d) Sediment amplification curve from Dutykh and Dias (2010). The inset histogram shows the distribution of S_a for all the FF segments. e) Sediment amplification factor S_a . f) Slip profile S without sediments. g) Effective slip profile S^e incorporating influence of sediments. h) Comparison of S_{avg} and S_{max} with and without the influence of sediments to slip scaling in Allen and Hayes (2017). i) Same as (h) but for moment magnitude with (M_w^e) and without (M_w) the effect of sediments.

where d_s^i is the sediment thickness over the segment interpolated from GlobSed (Straume et al., 2019), and d_f^i is the down-dip fault depth of the segment taken from Slab2 (Hayes et al., 2018) (Figures 5a & b). Given d_r^i , the sediment amplification curve supplies the sediment amplification factor (S_a^i) on the segment (Figure 5e). The amplification due to the sediments is incorporated by multiplying the slip S^i (Figure 5f) with the sediment amplification factor S_a^i resulting in an effective slip S_i^e (Figure 5g):

$$S_i^e = S_i \left(1 + S_a^i \right) \tag{6}$$

The closed-form equations from Okada (1985) transform the effective slips S_i^e into the 239 effective vertical displacement U^e (Figures 9k & l). The influence of sediments not only 240 increases the slips but also modifies the profile, as evident in the emergence of a double-241 lobed profile in the effective slip (Figure 5g). The effect is more conspicuous in the as-242 sociated deformations (compare Figures 9g & k). The amplification factor (S_a) peaks 243 at a relative depth of approximately 0.13 after which it decreases. Given the geometry 244 of the fault and overlying sediment profile, a significant number of segments have an am-245 plification factor between 0.4 - 0.6 (or, equivalently a 40 - 60% amplification) (Figures 246 5d inset & e). Furthermore, the sediment amplification factor for the whole MSZ is shown 247 in Figure 5e; its value is strongly dominated by the fault depth (Figure 5b) rather than 248 the sediment thickness (Figure 5a) which is uniform around $2 \, km$. The sediment ampli-249 fication curve is defined only till a relative depth of 0.23 in Dutykh and Dias (2010). We 250 linearly extrapolate the curve in order to be as conservative as possible in the region where 251 it is not defined as well as to smoothly transition from regions of higher to lower fault 252 depths. The counterparts of average slip S_{avg} and maximum slip S_{max} of S (without sed-253 iments) are defined as average effective slip S^e_{avg} and maximum effective slip S^e_{max} of S^e 254 (with sediments). Similarly, effective moment magnitude M_w^e is defined, by replacing S_i 255 with S_i^e in Eqn. 1. The effect of sediments on slips is compared in Figure 5h. Here, the 256 increased scatter of S_{max}^e compared to S_{avg}^e is due to the spatial distribution of S_a , which 257 significantly amplifies S_{max}^e depending on the rupture origin (X_o, Y_o) . Also, the increase 258 in scatter of S_{max}^e as M_w decreases is due to the decrease in rupture dimensions that al-259 low many earthquake scenarios to be situated in areas of lower S_a . This aspect is pro-260 nounced in a similar comparison of M_w^e to M_w in Figure 5i. The next section describes 261 the propagation of the tsunami resulting from the deformations caused by the effective 262 slips. 263

281

238

2.4 Tsunami Propagation

Unlike simulations for analysing wave heights which require a few hours of simu-282 lation time, capturing the velocities need a longer simulation time. Thus, each scenario 283 is run for 12 h of simulation time (T_s) to obtain the maximum tsunami velocity and wave 284 height, and therefore is computationally expensive. It is not only imperative that the 285 numerical algorithms in the computer code for tsunami simulations run efficiently at fine 286 mesh resolutions needed to capture the currents (10 m), but also that the code is amenable 287 to adequate parallelisation. Thus, to run 300 such scenarios, we employ VOLNA-OP2 288 that has been shown to run efficiently for unstructured meshes on parallel GPUs (Reguly 289 et al., 2018). The number of scenarios (i.e. 300) is an order of magnitude higher than 290 in existing studies (Hasan et al., 2017; Borrero, Goring, et al., 2015; Heidarzadeh & Ki-291 jko, 2011). Usual simulations employ the Green's functions approach to superpose the 292 tsunami wave heights due to a multi-segment finite fault source. Here, we use the the 293 Non-linear Shallow Water Equations (NSWEs) to model not only the propagation of the 294 tsunami but also the run-up/down processs at the coast (Dias et al., 2014). The finite 295 volume (FV) cell-centered method for tessellation of control volume is used in VOLNA. 296 Thus, the barycentres of the cells are associated with the degrees of freedom. Dutykh 297 et al. (2011) and Giles et al. (2020) may be referred for details of numerical implemen-298 tation, validation against standard benchmarks and comprehensive error analysis. VOLNA 299



Figure 6. Merging of bathymetries. a) Digitized hydrographic chart bathymetry around Karachi port. b) Chart data with altered coastline after addition of port features from Google Earth. c) Chart data supplemented with SRTM data. d) GEBCO bathymetry around Karachi port. e) Merged bathymetry with chart data in (c) pasted into GEBCO bathymetry in (d). f) 2D window (Θ). g) Complement of Θ , *i.e.* $(1 - \Theta)$. h) Chart bathymetry in (c) muliplied by window. i) GEBCO bathymetry in (d) multiplied by complement of window. j) Final merged bathymetry resulting from addition of windowed bathymetries in (h) and (i).



Figure 7. Localised non-uniform unstructured mesh. a) Mesh-sizing rule for offshore mesh 273 based on bathymetry b, shown in the inset. b) Mesh-sizing rule for onshore mesh based on coast 274 proximity π , shown in the inset. c) Mesh sizing function h supplied to Gmsh for the whole 275 domain resulting from the mesh-sizing rules in (a) and (b). d) Actual mesh sizes \bar{h} in mesh 276 generated from Gmsh using the mesh sizing function in (c). e-g) [no local refinement] Mesh at 277 Pasabandar shown at scales of $64 \, km \times 64 \, km$, $32 \, km \times 32 \, km$ and $8 \, km \times 8 \, km$ respectively. h-j) 278 [with local refinement] Mesh at Karachi port shown at scales of $64 \, km \times 64 \, km$, $16 \, km \times 16 \, km$ 279 and $0.5 \, km \times 0.5 \, km$ respectively. 280

³⁰⁰ models the tsunami life-cycle with:

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta t} + \nabla \cdot (H\boldsymbol{v}) = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{\delta H \boldsymbol{v}}{\delta t} + \nabla \cdot \left(H \boldsymbol{v} \otimes \boldsymbol{v} + \frac{g}{2} H^2 \mathbf{I}_2 \right) = g H \nabla b \tag{8}$$

where $H(\boldsymbol{x},t) = b + \eta$ is the total water depth defined as the sum of free surface elevation $\eta(\boldsymbol{x},t)$, and time-dependent bathymetry $b(\boldsymbol{x},t)$. The two horizontal components of the depth-averaged fluid velocity are contained in $\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x},t)$, g is the standard gravity and \mathbf{I}_2 is the 2 × 2 identity matrix. The maximum tsunami velocity v_{max} and wave height η_{max} at a location (\boldsymbol{x},t) are computed as:

$$v_{max}\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) = \max_{0 < t \leq T_s} \|\boldsymbol{v}\left(\boldsymbol{x}, t\right)\|_2 \tag{9}$$

313

321

309

 $\eta_{max}\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) = \max_{0 < t \leq T_s} \eta\left(\boldsymbol{x}, t\right) \tag{10}$

The dynamic bathymetry $b(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ is composed as:

$$b(\boldsymbol{x},t) = b_{s}(\boldsymbol{x}) + U^{e}(\boldsymbol{x},t)$$
(11)

where b_s is the static bathymetry and U^e is the effective deformation due to the influence of sediments (Section 2.3). In this work, an instantaneous rupture is assumed, *i.e.* U^e is supplied once at the beginning of the simulation. Further, to reduce the computational burden of calculating deformations from 300 ruptures, U^e is computed only within a uplift calculation box covering the rupture (see green rectangle in Figures 9g-h & kl). We now move on the bathymetry b_s and unstructured mesh, both vital components for an accurate modeling of currents in shallow water and near the coast.

2.4.1 Merging of Bathymetries

The bathymetry used for the simulations is sourced from the GEBCO 2019 data 322 set (GEBCO Bathymetric Compilation Group 2019, 2019), having a resolution of 15" 323 (Figure 6d). Accurate modeling of port velocities and currents near the coast requires 324 high resolution bathymetry and good definition of the coastline. Towards this, we use 325 digitized bathymetry data at a resolution of $\sim 30 \, m$ from hydrographic charts for Karachi 326 port (Figure 6a). Further, we correct the shoreline using satellite imagery from Google 327 Earth at $\sim 10 m$ resolution wherever port structures and breakwaters need to be resolved 328 (Figure 6b). The charts do not contain topographic data, which we supplement with SRTM 329 v3 1'' data (Figure 6c). Simply replacing the GEBCO data with the hydrographic chart 330 data gives rise to sharp and unrealistic discontinuities in the merged data set (Figure 6e). 331 We ameliorate this by smoothly merging the hydrographic data into the GEBCO data 332 by a procedure using cosine-tapered Tukey windows (Figures 6f-g). The detailed algo-333 rithm can be found in Appendix B. 334

340

2.4.2 Localised Non-Uniform Unstructured Mesh

We design a customised mesh algorithm for the unstructured mesh sizing function 341 in three stages corresponding to offshore, onshore and port regions. A strategy based on 342 bathymetry $b(\mathbf{x})$ is used to generate the mesh in sea (Figure 7a), whilst proximity to 343 the coast $\pi(\mathbf{x})$ is used to size the mesh on land (Figure 7b). We also locally refine the 344 mesh to 10 m resolution at Karachi port (Figure 7h-j). This three pronged strategy strikes 345 a balance between the fine mesh resolution required near the port for resolution of ve-346 locities and associated overall computational cost. The non-uniform meshes for the sim-347 ulation are generated using Gmsh (Geuzaine & Remacle, 2009). Considering the dimen-348 sions of the finite fault earthquake sources $(L \times W)$, we assume an approximate source 349



Figure 8. Tsunami propagation. a) Maximum velocity v_{max} around Karachi port over a simulation time of 12 h for sample no. 1. b-c) Snapshots of velocities v for sample no. 1 at various times restricted to the box (dashed line) in (a). d-f) Same as (a-c) but for sample no. 129. g-l) Same as (a-f) but for tsunami height η_{max} (and η).

-14-

wavelength $(\lambda_o < \sqrt{L^2 + W^2})$ of the tsunami, and a representative ocean depth of the Makran trench $(b_o \sim 3 \, km)$, and calculate the time period (T_λ) of the wave as,

$$T_{\lambda} = \lambda_o / \sqrt{gb_o} \tag{12}$$

Here, $\lambda_o = 60 \, km$, which is around $60 \,\%$ of the maximum distance contained in the smallest rupture considered in this work, *i.e.* of size $\sim 94 \, km \times 34 \, km$ for a $M_w 7.5$ event (sample no. 300). Next, assuming that the time period of the tsunami is the same everywhere in the domain, we get for a depth $b(\mathbf{x})$ (van Scheltinga et al., 2012),

$$\lambda_n / \sqrt{b(\boldsymbol{x})} = \lambda_o / \sqrt{b_o} \tag{13}$$

which in turn relates the characteristic triangle (or element) length $h_{\lambda}(b)$ for depth $b(\boldsymbol{x})$ as, ______

$$h_{\lambda}(b) = (\lambda_o/n_h) \sqrt{b(\mathbf{x})} / b_o \tag{14}$$

where $n_h = \lambda_n / h_\lambda$ (b) = 10 is the number of triangles in one wavelength λ_n . At the shore 361 (*i.e.* b=0), a minimum mesh size h_m of 500 m is specified. In the vicinity of the port, 362 the mesh size h_m^p is fixed as 10 m. We also fix the maximum triangle size (h_M) as 25 km 363 for regions that are deep inland. Further details and construction process for onshore 364 and port mesh sizing functions are elaborated in Appendix C. After feeding the mesh 365 sizing function (Figure 7c) into Gmsh, we get the computational mesh with ~ 2.64 mil-366 lion cells or triangles (Figure 7d). Figures 7e-g & h-j show enlarged pictures of the mesh 367 at increasingly fine scales for regions with (Pasabandar port) and without (Karachi port) 368 local refinement respectively. 369

The outputs v_{max} and η_{max} for two training samples, no. 1 and 129 are plotted in Figure 8. The figures also contains snapshots taken at various time instants during the simulation.

373

352

357

360

2.5 Emulator Construction

The numerical simulation of the tsunami life cycle, *i.e.* its generation, propagation 374 and inundation at fine mesh resolutions is computationally expensive due to non-linearity, 375 and typically consumes hours on supercomputers. This is all the more prohibitive for 376 a probabilistic quantification since thousands of runs of the forward model are required 377 to adequately capture the various plausible scenarios. Statistical surrogates (or emula-378 tors) provide a computationally cheap approximation of the complex tsunami solvers, 379 together with estimates of uncertainties in these predictions. In this study, the three in-380 put model parameters are moment magnitude (M_w) and rupture origin co-ordinates (X_o, Y_o) 381 (Figure 3 inset). The inputs are transformed into effective seafloor displacements (Sec-382 tions 2.1, 2.2 & 2.3). The consequent tsunamis are propagated till Karachi port (Sec-383 tion 2.4). The outputs of interest in our case are the maximum wave height (η_{max}) and 384 maximum wave velocity (v_{max}) at n_G (193) virtual gauge locations around the port. 385

Thus, the computer code (denoted by \mathbb{M}) simulates a multi-physics two-stage phys-396 ical model, *i.e.* from slips S^e to deformation U^e , then from U^e to tsunami outputs v and 397 η . A design of computer experiments is an essential stage to create the data set used to 398 construct the emulator. This consists of evaluations of the model (or computer runs of 399 \mathbb{M}) at a finite number of locations in the space of input model parameters, together called 400 the training set. We employ a Latin Hypercube Design (LHD) of size 300 for 3 param-401 eters (Figures 9a-c). This is large enough to capture complex nonlinear combined sen-402 sitivities to the input parameters (e.q., the influence of size and location in small and403 mid-size events closer to Karachi, or large regional variations in spatial distributions of 404 slips), but still fits within our computational budget. The Gaussian Process (GP) em-405 ulator (denoted by \mathcal{M}) interpolates across the input-output points in the training set, 406 and generates uncertain predictions elsewhere in the space of input parameters. The un-407 certainty in the predictions is modeled by a normal distribution whose mean and stan-408 dard deviation are calculated using the Kriging formula (mean quantities denoted by \bar{v}_{max} 409



Figure 9. Training set of 300 scenarios of (M_w, X_o, Y_o) generated by Latin Hypercube De-387 sign. a) Training set projected on $M_w - X_o$ plane. Sample nos. 1 and 129 are marked with stars. 388 b) Same as (a) but on $M_w - Y_o$ plane. c) Same as (a) but on $X_o - Y_o$ plane. d) Comparison of 389 relevant quantities for sample nos. 1 and 129. e) Slip S for sample no. 1 before incorporation 390 of sediment influence, plotted on log_2 scale. f) Same as (e) but for sample no. 129. g) Offshore 391 deformation U due to slip S for sample no. 1. h) Same as (g) but for sample no. 129. i) Effective 392 slip S^e for sample no. 1 after incorporation of sediment influence. j) Same as (i) but for sam-393 ple no. 129. k) Effective deformation U^e due to slip S^e for sample no. 1. l) Same as (k) but for 394 sample no. 129. 395

and $\bar{\eta}_{max}$). Derivations and exact equations can be found in Section 2 of Beck and Guillas (2016, Eqn. 2.4). GP emulation has been instrumental in successfully quantifying uncertainties in tsunami heights generated by landslides over the North Atlantic and the Western Indian Ocean as well as earthquakes over Cascadia (Salmanidou et al., 2017; Guillas et al., 2018; Salmanidou et al., 2019).

Maximum velocity magnitudes (and heights) are positive. In order to respect this 415 physical constraint and not predict negative velocities (and heights), we feed the loga-416 rithm of v_{max} (and η_{max}) into the construction of the emulator. Since the constructed 417 emulator is now in the logarithmic scale, we transform the predicted quantities back to 418 the original scale by accounting for the lognormal nature of the predicted distributions. 419 Hence, the confidence intervals for the predictions, representing uncertainties, are all ren-420 dered positive, and naturally skewed in that direction, see Figure 10. Once the emula-421 tor is constructed, it needs to be validated before employing it for predictions. We turn 422 to this aspect in the next section. 423

424

2.6 Emulator Diagnostics

In order to validate the quality of the emulation, we provide Leave-one-out (L-O-425 O) diagnostics here. As described in Section 2.5, our training set consists of 300 pairs 426 of input-output quantities. In L-O-O, a reduced training set of 299 pairs is employed to 427 build an emulator, which is then used to predict the output at inputs in the 1 pair that 428 was left out. The predicted output (and its uncertainty) is compared to the actual out-429 put in the left out pair. This procedure is repeated 300 times to cover all the pairs in 430 the training set. These tests are passed by the emulator, as seen for predicted \bar{v}_{max} in 431 Figures 10a-f and $\bar{\eta}_{max}$ in Figures 10g-l. The comparison between the mean of predic-432 tions from the emulator \mathcal{M} and the training data from the tsunami simulator \mathbb{M} shows 433 that the emulator approximates well the simulator. The vertical line segments connects 434 the predicted means with the counterpart in the training data. More importantly, the 435 uncertainties in the predicted mean, quantified in the form of 90% prediction intervals 436 (green bars in Figure 10), represent well the uncertainties about these predictions (or 437 are even slightly conservative) since around 90% or more of the outputs from the train-438 ing set fall within these intervals. 439

449

465

2.7 Emulator Predictions

Although the 300 simulations by itself may be considered to generate a good de-450 scription of the hazard, a large number of scenarios are essential for a comprehensive prob-451 abilistic hazard assessment. Thus, we evaluate the model at n_P (1 million) values of (M_w, X_o, Y_o) 452 at 193 virtual offshore gauges (locations shown in Figure 12). The constructed emula-453 tor is used to evaluate the model at inputs that are different from those in the training 454 set. These evaluations are termed predictions. A prediction returns the mean value of 455 the emulated quantity and a measure of inherent statistical error/uncertainty in the ap-456 proximation, e.g. the standard deviation. Cumulatively, these 193 million predictions 457 not only comprehensively cover the geography around Karachi port, but also exhaustively 458 sweep through the entire range of events in the magnitude-frequency distribution. Ad-459 ditionally, such a high number of samples is also needed to thoroughly explore the in-460 terplay among the three parameters in the input space of (M_w, X_o, Y_o) . 461

The 1 million M_w values are sampled from the Gutenberg-Richter (G-R) distribution for the MSZ. Here, the probability distribution function (pdf) for the G-R relation is modeled as the doubly truncated exponential distribution (Cosentino et al., 1977):

$$G(m) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta e^{-\beta(m-M_w^m)}}{1 - e^{-\beta(M_w^M - M_w^m)}} & M_w^m \le m \le M_w^M \\ 0 & m > M_w^M \end{cases}$$
(15)

where $\beta = b \log_e 10$ and the lower M_w^m and upper M_w^M limits of truncation are 4 are 8.8 respectively. This rate parameter b of 0.92 specific to the MSZ is taken from the most



440

Figure 10. Emulator diagnostics. a) L-O-O for emulation of maximum velocity v_{max} at a 441 gauge in Karachi port (gauge no. 91 in Figures 12a-b). The vertical line segments connect the 442 training data to its predicted counterpart. b) Enlargement of lower moment magnitude region 443 in (a). c) Enlargement of higher moment magnitude region in (a). d) Same data in (a) plotted 444 to show trend of predicted \bar{v}_{max} with respect to training v_{max} . e) Same data in (a) but plotted 445 with respect to x-coordinate of rupture origin X_o . f) Same data in (a) but plotted with respect 446 to y-coordinate of rupture origin Y_o . g-l) Same as (a)-(f) but L-O-O for emulation of maximum 447 height η_{max} at gauge no. 91. 448



471

Figure 11. Emulator predictions. a) The Gutenberg-Richter (G-R) relation for the MSZ, showing probability and complementary cumulative distribution functions for two maximum moment magnitude assumptions, *viz.* 8.6 and 8.8. b) Histograms of 1 million (and 10,000) samples of M_w used as inputs for predictions.

recent Earthquake Model of Middle East (EMME) database (Danciu et al., 2018, Table S1). The complementary cumulative distribution function (ccdf), also called probability of exceedance or survival function is then:

$$g(m) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1 - e^{-\beta(m - M_w^m)}}{1 - e^{-\beta(M_w^M - M_w^m)}} & M_w^m \le m \le M_w^M \\ 0 & m > M_w^M \end{cases}$$
(16)

The M_w for the 1 million events are then obtained by sampling the truncated distribu-472 tion within our region of interest, *i.e.* M_w 7.5 to M_w 8.8 (see Figure 11a). The 1 million 473 values of (X_o, Y_o) are sampled from a uniform distribution defined over the rectangle 474 $[0 \ L^{max}] \times [0 \ W^{max}]$ of area $420 \ km \times 129 \ km$. Assuming a reduction of maximum mag-475 nitude M_w^M from 8.8 to 8.6 gives a perturbed G-R relation (Figure 11a). In this case, 476 the 1 million samples come from the range $M_w 7.5$ to $M_w 8.6$. The histograms of 1 mil-477 lion samples for M_w are shown in Figure 11b. It also shows 10,000 samples from the range 478 M_w 7.5 to M_w 8.8 for performing comparisons. 479

To be able to generate 1 million predictions, we employ the efficiently implemented 480 Multiple-Output Gaussian Process emulator (MOGP) from the Alan Turing Institute. 481 Once the predictions are finished, we are left with two histograms (one each for \bar{v}_{max} and 482 $\bar{\eta}_{max}$) at every virtual gauge, each made up of 1 million samples of predicted quantity. 483 The histograms are processed to extract $P_e(I(\mathbf{x}) \ge I_0)$, the probability of exceedance. 484 P_e is the probability of the tsunami having $I(\mathbf{x}) \geq I_0$ at a gauge \mathbf{x} . The intensity I is 485 the measure of hazard, *i.e.* either \bar{v}_{max} or $\bar{\eta}_{max}$, and I_0 is the intensity threshold for the 486 hazard quantity under consideration. 487

⁴⁹³ **3** Results and Discussion

We first plot the raw output from the 1 million predictions, *i.e.* the histograms at 193 gauges in Figures 12a-b. At each gauge, two histograms are superimposed on each other. These correspond to the two G-R relations with varying maximum moment magnitude assumptions, *i.e.* M_w^M 8.6 and M_w^M 8.8 (Figure 11). The histograms also act as visual indicators for the measure of the hazard at the gauge, and will be cast as hazard



Figure 12. Raw output from 1 million predictions at 193 gauges. a) Histograms of 1 million predicted maximum velocities \bar{v}_{max} at each of the 193 gauges. Each histogram has the same scale as (c). Histograms from maximum moment magnitude of 8.8 and 8.6 are superimposed. b) Same as (a) but for predicted maximum heights $\bar{\eta}_{max}$. c) Enlarged normalized histograms of predicted maximum velocities at gauge no. 91 comparing the two cases of different maximum moment magnitude. Inset shows probability of exceedance curves extracted from the histograms, with 99 % confidence interval. d) Same as (c) but for predicted maximum heights.

maps in Figure 14. Near the tip of breakwaters and the mouth of the harbor, we observe relatively higher velocities than in other regions. We also observe a complementary relation between the histograms of velocities and wave heights: the gauges having thicker histograms for velocity have thinner histograms for wave heights and *vice versa*. These phenomena can also be observed in the snapshots in Figure 8 (compare panels (b) & (c) with (h) & (i) respectively).

As expected, there is a clear reduction of hazard when the maximum moment magnitude is reduced. For closer inspection, we enlarge the normalized histograms at gauge no. 91 in Figures 12c-d. Gauge no. 91 is located in the center of the map near the mouth of the port and is chosen since there is substantial spread of both maximum velocities and wave heights in its histograms. In Figure 12c, the normalized histograms for maximum velocity are plotted. The range of velocities for $M_w 8.8$ extends till ~ $16 m s^{-1}$, while it extends to only ~ $6.2 m s^{-1}$ for $M_w 8.6$. Thus, we observe a ~ 61 % reduction in maximum velocity hazard for a $M_w 0.2$ reduction in maximum moment magnitude. In comparison, for the same reduction in maximum moment magnitude, the reduction in hazard from maximum wave height is only ~ 38 % (from ~ 4.5 m to ~ 2.8 m in Figure 12d). The probability of exceedance P_e that is extracted from the histograms is plotted in the inset of the respective figure.

Figures 13a-b compare normalized histograms for 1 million and 10,000 samples of 534 input parameters (see Figure 11b). The corresponding probability of exceedance P_e plots 535 with their 99% confidence intervals can be seen in the inset. In Figure 13a, we observe 536 that the histogram corresponding to 10,000 predictions is curtailed around $7.5 \, ms^{-1}$ and 537 becomes very sparse for higher velocities. This is due to a deficit of samples that results 538 in the isolated bars for higher velocities. This behaviour also translates into larger un-539 certainties (or wider confidence intervals) for estimates of low probabilities of P_e . In con-540 trast, 1 million predictions adequately sweep through the entire range of velocities re-541 sulting in lower uncertainties (or narrower confidence intervals) for the tail probabilities. 542 It may be noted that tail probabilities in the P_e curve correspond to extreme events with 543 higher velocities. Similar behaviour is seen in Figure 13b, where the deficit of samples 544 is observed for maximum wave heights higher than $2.7 ms^{-1}$ for the case of 10,000 pre-545 dictions. 546

In Figures 13c-d, we plot the probability of exceedance curves extracted from the 547 histograms of 1 million predictions for the 193 gauges. Superimposed on top are the P_e 548 curves for 10,000 predictions. The horizontal lines in the plots are the chosen values of 549 probability of exceedance, 10^{-1} , 10^{-2} and 10^{-3} , progressively decreasing by an order of 550 magnitude. The vertical lines in Figure 13c denote maximum velocities of 1.5, 3.1 and 551 $4.6 \, ms^{-1}$ (or 3, 6 and 9 knots respectively), values that demarcate categories of damage 552 in Figure 1 of Lynett et al. (2014). The vertical lines in Figure 13c denote maximum wave 553 heights of 0.75, 1.5 and 3m. These values are used to construct hazard maps in Figure 554 14. In both Figures 13c-d, the reach of the P_e curve is extended beyond the low prob-555 ability of 10^{-4} to include even extreme events only in the case of 1 million predictions. 556 Additionally, although the lower probabilities (around 10^{-4}) have been made accessi-557 ble by 10 thousand events, they require 1 million events for accurate resolution: with only 558 10,000 samples, both probabilities and quantities are overestimated between 10^{-3} and 559 10^{-4} . Hence, being able to produce a very large number of predictions is crucial to haz-560 ard assessment. Only with the utilization of the emulator – needing only 300 simulations 561 - are we able to afford realistic predictions of velocities and wave heights at high reso-562 lution. 563

Port hazard maps were developed for Crescent City, California (Lynett et al., 2014) 564 and four sites in New Zealand (Borrero, Goring, et al., 2015). The hazard was represented 565 on the maps by velocity zonations, a time-threshold metric and safe depths for vessel evac-566 uation. Here, the probability of exceedance curves in Figure 13 are cast as hazard maps 567 in Figure 14, more along the lines of Gonzalez et al. (2013). We plot the probability of 568 exceedance at the 193 gauges on the map for the chosen values of maximum velocities 569 in Figures 14a-c. Similar plots for chosen values of maximum wave heights are shown 570 in Figures 14d-f. For both velocities and wave heights, the overall probability decreases 571 as the intensity threshold increases. Specifically, the bulk of P_e for maximum velocities 572 is concentrated at the tip of breakwaters and along the dredged channel leading into the 573 port (seen in port bathymetry, Figure 6j), as also observed in (Lynett et al., 2012). This 574 is also supported by the patterns of localised higher maximum velocities in Figures 8a 575 & d. In contrast, the spatial distribution of P_e for maximum wave height shows a com-576 plementary behaviour and is more spread out. 577

⁵⁸² Conversely, for chosen probabilities of exceedance, the corresponding hazard thre-⁵⁸³holds at the gauges are plotted in Figures 14g-l. As expected, the overall intensity thresh-⁵⁸⁴olds increase with decrease in probability of exceedance. Again, the bulk of the maxi-



525

Figure 13. Hazard curves. a) Comparison of normalized histograms of 1 million and 10,000 526 predicted maximum velocities \bar{v}_{max} at gauge no. 91. Inset shows probability of exceedance curves 527 extracted from the histograms, with 99% confidence intervals. b) Same as (a) but for predicted 528 maximum heights $\bar{\eta}_{max}$. c) Probability of exceedance curves for predicted maximum velocities 529 at 193 gauges. The curves for 10,000 predictions are superimposed over those from 1 million 530 predictions. Curves for gauge no. 91 are marked out. Chosen values of probabilities and intensity 531 thresholds used to generate hazard maps are marked as horizontal and vertical lines respectively. 532 d) Same as (c) but for predicted maximum wave heights. 533



Figure 14. Hazard maps. a-c) Probability of exceedance at the 193 gauges for three chosen values of predicted maximum velocities \bar{v}_{max} . d-f) Predicted maximum velocities for three given probabilities of exceedance. g-l) Same as (a)-(f) but for predicted maximum wave heights $\bar{\eta}_{max}$.

⁵⁸⁵ mum velocity threshold is concentrated at the tip of breakwaters and along the dredged ⁵⁸⁶ channel (Figures 14g-i). Here too, we see a complementary behaviour for maximum wave ⁵⁸⁷ height in Figures 14j-l.

Arcos and LeVeque (2015) found that velocities have more spatial variation than 588 heights. Dengler and Uslu (2011) showed increased sensitivity of velocities to port con-589 figurations, compared to wave heights. The larger spatial variation of velocities in Fig-590 ure 13c compared to wave heights in Figure 13d is evident in the probability of exceedance 591 plotted for all the gauges. This can be attested in Figures 12a-b, where the bulkiness of 592 velocity histograms varies spatially much more than that of the heights. Additionally, 593 at a given gauge, we observe that the spread of velocities is much more than those of the 594 heights for the same set of earthquake scenarios, e.g. compare Figures 12a-b for gauge 595 no. 91. These behaviours can also be deduced for individual runs from the spatial vari-596 ations of maximum velocity and wave height is Figure 8 (compare panels (a) & (d) with 597 (g) & (j) respectively). 598

The probability of exceedance extracted in this work acts as the basic input for com-599 mon hazard outputs of probability of occurrence (and return periods), especially the ~ 2475 600 year mean return period for the Maximum Considered Tsunami (MCT) as laid out in 601 Chapter 6 of ASCE 7-16 (Chock, 2016). It also feeds into loss estimation functions (Muhari 602 et al., 2015). But a full probabilistic assessment would ideally need to include further 603 sources of uncertainties. These include layers of uncertainties that are either epistemic 604 or aleatoric in nature. Epistemic uncertainties include the scaling relation, and the Gutenberg-605 Richter approximation of the occurrence-magnitude relationship (Davies et al., 2018), 606 *i.e.* both the maximum moment magnitude and the *b*-value. The major influence of the 607 maximum magnitude was illustrated in initial work by Hoechner et al. (2016), but for a simplified tsunami modeling strategy. Here, we only assess two cases, for M_w^M 8.6 and 609 M_w^M 8.8. Uncertainties in the bathymetry near shore have also been shown to have a large 610 influence on tsunamis at the shore (Liu & Guillas, 2017). Combining the tools of dimen-611 sion reduction and emulation, such a modeling of the epistemic uncertainty would be ben-612 eficial to include. 613

Aleatoric uncertainties in the variations of the geometry in the seafloor uplift and 614 subsidence can be readily incorporated. An alternative to our slip profile generation is 615 to directly parameterize the co-seismic deformation profile using 3 parameters as in Guillas 616 et al. (2018) (or more) to vary the geometry more freely and be more realistic. The Okada 617 model that transforms the slips to the vertical deformation is then bypassed. This route 618 is quite attractive since it allows the creation of very realistic deformation patterns with 619 a fixed number of parameters, and does away with the dependency of the deformation/slip 620 on the resolution of the segmentation (shown in Figure 3b inset). 621

Our work uniformly samples the 1 million samples for rupture origin co-ordinates (another aleatoric uncertainty). However, a recent spatial distribution of locking has been made available for the MSZ (Frohling & Szeliga, 2016). It would be even more realistic to sample the rupture origin coordinates using the locking distribution, since zones of high locking act as a major cause for earthquake reoccurence as recently hypothesised in Moernaut et al. (2018). The locations could be further distributed based on the depth dependent rigidity (Scala et al., 2019).

Randomness in tide levels at the time of impact (consequent changes of up to 25%629 reported in Ayca and Lynett (2016)) could be included, as well as the numerical error 630 in the approximation of the currents since our depth-averaged model is 2-D but 3-D mod-631 eling will increase precision, and account for vertical vorticity (Lynett et al., 2017; Lynett, 632 2016). Better designs of computer experiments than the Latin Hypercube Design used 633 here could be employed to reduce uncertainties in the emulator's approximation, such 634 635 as sequential design (Beck & Guillas, 2016) already used for tsunamis with success and is now implemented in an advanced computational workflow. 636

Instead of investigating a range of scenarios, if one only wants to examine the maximum wave height in order to build defences for instance, a recent surrogate-based optimization could be pursued whereby the design of experiment is combined with a search

for the maximum, saving large quantities of computational time and increasing accuracy 640 due to the focus on the optimization (Mathikolonis et al., 2019). To be able to emulate 641 a sequence of multiple models of seabed deformation and tsunami propagation, and pos-642 sibly a 3-D model of currents locally, a new approach, called integrated emulation (Ming 643 & Guillas, 2019) allows even better designs where the most influential models are run 644 more times where it matters, and where the integrated emulator propagates uncertain-645 ties with higher fidelity by taking into account the intermediate models in the system 646 of simulators. This approach has the potential to enable fully realistic end-to-end cou-647 pling of 3-D earthquake sources models with tsunami models (Ulrich et al., 2019). 648

⁶⁴⁹ 4 Conclusions

In this paper, we provide a novel end-to-end quantification of uncertainties of future earthquake-generated tsunamis heights and currents in the MSZ:

- 1. We replace the complex, expensive high-resolution tsunami simulator by a functionally simple, cheap statistical emulator trained using 300 tsunami simulations at 10 m mesh resolution in the vicinity of the port. We propagate uncertainties from the Gutenberg-Richter relation to tsunami impacts of maximum velocities and wave heights in the port area of Karachi, Pakistan. We observe maximum (extreme event) velocities and wave heights of up to $16 m s^{-1}$ and 8 m respectively for the range $M_w 7.5 - 8.8$ (Figure 12).
- 2. We perform the largest emulation using 1 million predictions/source scenarios. To our knowledge, this is the first large-scale uncertainty quantification of earthquakegenerated tsunami current hazard. We are able to display the necessity of this very large number of predictions for resolving very low probabilities of exceedance (<10⁻³) - very high impact extreme events ($v_{max} > 7.5 ms^{-1}$ and $\eta_{max} > 3 m$) with tighter uncertainties (Figure 13).
- 3. We observe that reduction in hazard due to a reduction in maximum moment magnitude is more for velocities than wave heights. Near the mouth of the harbor, the reduction in hazard is $\sim 61 \%$ for maximum velocity, but only $\sim 38 \%$ for maximum wave height (corresponding to a reduction in maximum moment magnitude from 8.8 to 8.6) (Figure 13c).
- 4. We generate the first area-wide probabilistic hazard maps of tsunami currents from 670 1 million predicted scenarios at the Karachi port (Figures 14a-f). It shows pat-671 terns that are geophysically meaningful and important for the next steps of dis-672 aster risk reduction. We identify concentrations of high probability of exceedance 673 around the port for given intensity threshold (a maximum of $\sim 18\%$, 10% and 4% 674 for 3, 6 and 9 knots respectively) (Figures 14a-c). Conversely, the same regions 675 also have high intensity thresholds given probability of exceedance (a maximum 676 of $\sim 3.1, 7.5$ and $10.3 \, ms^{-1}$ for 10%, 1% and 0.1% respectively) (Figures 14d-677 f). Overall, without our large-scale emulation, such outputs would be impracti-678 cal to produce due to computational costs. 679
- 5. We display more spatial variations for maximum velocity compared to wave heights around the port and their complementary behaviour for the aggregate of 1 million scenarios (Figures 8, 12, 13 and 14).

Appendix A Slip Profile Generation

Select the dimension (h_s) of a FF segment based on: (i) computational effort required – increases as h_s decreases, along $O(n_F^2) \sim O(h_s^{-2})$, (ii) fidelity to the scaling relation (Figure 3b inset) – earthquake dimensions are resolved to $O(h_s)$ (Figure 3b). We select $h_s \sim 5 \, km$, which for the overall FF dimensions of $L^{max} \sim 420 \, km$ and $W^{max} \sim 129 \, km$ results in 2295 segments. With the segment dimension h_s , use the scaling relation to de-

termine the minimum M_w that can be accommodated on the FF. To resolve the slip pro-689 file adequately, we require a fault to span a minimum of 4 segments in both the length 690 and width directions. This results in a minimum M_w of 6.32. This is sufficient as our 691 region of investigation starts at $M_w^{min} = 7.5$. For the FF model of area $L^{max} \times W^{max}$, 692 use the scaling relation to determine the maximum M_w that can be accommodated on 693 the FF. We get the maximum M_w as $M_w^{sat} = 8.65$ (Figure 3a). Since our region of in-694 vestigation is till $M_w 8.8$, for ruptures with $M_w > M_w^{sat}$, we saturate the slip on the fault 695 with M_w^{sat} . Algorithm 1 and Figures 4b-d detail the slip profile generation given the in-696 put parameter (M_w, X_o, Y_o) . 697

698	Alg	gorithm 1 Slip profile generation
599	1:	For a given earthquake moment magnitude M_w , and rupture origin co-ordinates
700		(X_o, Y_o) (Figure 3a inset); find the rupture length L and width W from the scaling
701		relation. The co-ordinates have their origin as the south-west corner of the FF (Figure
702		3a inset).
03	2:	Fit the fault rectangle of size $L \times W$ into the FF. There are two possibilities with the
'04		rupture origin (X_o, Y_o) being located at :
'05		(i) the centre of the fault and equidistant from the boundaries of the fault rectangle,
06		<i>i.e.</i> with distances $L/2$ and $W/2$.
07		(ii) not the centre of the fault. In this case, (X_o, Y_o) is at different distances from the
08		boundaries of the fault rectangle.
09	3:	Use Eqn. 3 to construct the lobes $\phi(x; r_E, \alpha)$ and $\phi(x; r_W, \alpha)$ and form the bi-
10		lobed kernel function for fault length $\Phi(x; r_W, r_E, \alpha)$ (Figure 4b). Similarly, form
11		the bi-lobed kernel function for fault width $\Phi(x; r_N, r_S, \alpha)$ by constructing the lobes
12		$\phi(x; r_N, \alpha)$ and $\phi(x; r_S, \alpha)$ (Figure 4d). r_E, r_W, r_N and r_S are the distances of earth-
13		quake origin from the eastern, western, northern and southern sides of the fault rect-
14		angle.
15	4:	Use Eqn. 4 to construct the tensor product Φ^{\otimes} of the two bi-lobed kernel functions in
16		the previous step. Φ^{\otimes} will entirely reside within the fault rectangle and will become 0
17		at its boundaries (Figure 4c).
18	5:	Multiply the values of Φ^{\otimes} at the centres of each segment (<i>i.e.</i> Φ_i^{\otimes}) with a factor
19		$M_w \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_F} \mu l_i w_i \Phi_i^{\otimes} \right)^{-1}$ to get the slip S_i on the segment. This normalization results
20		in the slips in the fault rectangle to have a combined moment magnitude of M_w .

Appendix B Merging Bathymetry Data from Hydrographic Chart, SRTM, GEBCO and Satellite Imagery

The four data sets that are used to create the merged bathymetry for computational mesh generation (Section 2.4.2) and tsunami simulations (Section 2.4) are at different resolutions $-\sim 1'' \sim 30 m$ (digitized hydrographic charts and SRTM v3), $\sim 15'' \sim 450 m$ (GEBCO 2019), and $\sim 10 m$ (coastline features in satellite imagery from Google Earth). The digitized chart data is available in the domain of interest (DOI) [66.9332, 67.0168]°E $\times [24.7666, 24.8334]^{\circ}N$. The cosine-tapered Tukey window used in the merging process is given by:

$$\theta\left(x,r_{c}\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 + \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{r_{c}}\left[x - r_{c}/2\right]\right) \right\} & 0 \le x \le \frac{r_{c}}{2} \\ 1 & \frac{r_{c}}{2} \le x \le 1 - \frac{r_{c}}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 + \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{r_{c}}\left[x - 1 + r_{c}/2\right]\right) \right\} & 1 - \frac{r_{c}}{2} \le x \le 1 \end{cases}$$
(B1)

where r_c is the ratio of length of cosine-taper to the total window length of 1. Shifted and dilated versions of θ are used to create the tensor product:

763

765

$$\Theta\left(x - x_p, y - y_p, d_x, d_y, r_c^x, r_c^y\right) = \theta\left(\frac{x - x_p}{d_x}, r_c^x\right) \otimes \theta\left(\frac{y - y_p}{d_y}, r_c^y\right)$$
(B2)

where (x_p, y_p) and $d_x \times d_y$ are co-ordinates of the centre and area of the DOI respectively, whilst (r_c^x, r_c^y) are the cosine-fractions along the length and width of the DOI. Algorithm 2 and Figure 6 detail the procedure used for merging the different bathymetries.

Al	gorithm 2 Merging bathymetry data
1:	Up-sample the hydrographic chart data and GEBCO bathymetry in the DOI on a
	rectangular grid having a resolution of the computational mesh $(\sim 10 m)$ (Figures 6a
	& d respectively).
2:	Integrate the polygonal domains of resolved coastline features into up-sampled
	bathymetry by filling land areas with a positive constant $(2 m)$ (Figure 6b).
3:	Interpolate the SRTM data for land onto the grid. If SRTM data exists on the water
	area after integration of port features, discard the SRTM data there (Figure 6c).
4:	Construct tensor product of cosine-tapered Tukey windows (Θ) and its complement
	$(1 - \Theta)$ with cosine fractions $r_c^x = r_c^y = 10\%$ (Figures 6f & 6g respectively).
5:	Multiply hydrographic chart data integrated with port coastline features and SRTM
	data (Figure 6c) with Θ (Figure 6f) to get windowed merged bathymetry (Figure 6h).
	The data at the start of the taper is used for the tapered region.
6:	Multiply GEBCO 2019 data (Figure 6d) with $1 - \Theta$ (Figure 6g) to get windowed
	GEBCO bathymetry (Figure 6i).
7:	Add windowed merged bathymetry (Figure 6h) with windowed GEBCO bathymetry
	(Figure 6i) to get the final merged bathymetry (Figure 6j).

754 Appendix C Localised Non-Uniform Unstructured Mesh

The mesh sizing function h that is fed into Gmsh is constructed in three stages, 755 viz. offshore, onshore and port regions. For offshore mesh, the design criteria is based 756 on the bathymetry b (Figure 7a inset). Some more steps are required after the defini-757 tion of h_{λ} in Eqn. 14 of Section 2.4.2. The mesh sizing h_{λ} defined in Eqn. 14 may turn 758 out to be too steep (green curve in Figure 7a), or having a high gradient with respect 759 to the bathymetry b. A reduction is gradient is achieved by interpolating between the 760 triangle size λ_o/n_h at b_o and the minimum mesh size h_m at the coast, *i.e.* b=0 (red curve 761 in Figure 7a): 762

$$h_I(b) = b(\mathbf{x}) * (\lambda_o/n_h - h_m) / (b_o - 0) + h_m$$
 (C1)

The mesh sizing function h(b) is then given by the minimum:

$$h(b) = \min(h_{\lambda}(b), h_{I}(b))$$
(C2)

⁷⁶⁶ Next, the design criteria for the onshore mesh sizing function $h(\pi)$ is based on the ⁷⁶⁷ coast proximity $\pi(\mathbf{x})$ defined as the minimum distance of a point \mathbf{x} from the coastline ⁷⁶⁸ C of the merged bathymetry (Figure 7b inset):

 $\pi \left(\boldsymbol{x} \right) = \min_{\boldsymbol{x}_{c} \in \mathcal{C}} \left\| \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{c} \right\|_{2}$ (C3)

The mesh sizing function is broken into three regions, *viz.* inundation, stretch and blowup regions (Figure 7b). In the inundation region which extends inland for a distance π_I (2.5 km) from the coast, the mesh size is prescribed as the minimum mesh size h_m (500 m). Thus, the inundation period acts of a smooth transitioning period between the

and offshore mesh. Further inland away from the inundation region, we require the tri-774 angle sizes to explode quickly to the maximum mesh size h_M (25 km). This region is called 775 the blow-up region (from π_S to π_B in Figure 7b). Since such a transition needs to hap-776 pen in a smooth manner, we introduce the stretch region between the end of the inun-777 dation region and the beginning of the blow-up region (from π_I to π_S in Figure 7b). In 778 the stretch region the triangle size transitions from h_m to h_S (10 km). To prescribe mesh 779 sizes in the stretch region, we define the size ratio ρ (=1.3) to be the ratio of sizes of ad-780 jacent triangle in the mesh (also called grading gauge in Legrand et al. (2006)). The stretch 781 distance $\pi_S - \pi_I$ is calculated as: 782

$$\pi_S - \pi_I = h_m + \rho h_m + \rho^2 h_m + \ldots + \rho^{n_S} h_m \tag{C4}$$

Eqn. C4 is a geometric series that approximates the distance by summing up the sizes of $n_S + 1$ triangles, lined up end-to-end in a straight line, progressively increasing in size by a factor of ρ (Legrand et al., 2006), starting from h_m to $\rho^{n_S}h_m$. Equating the last term to h_S , solves for integer n_S as:

$$n_S = \lceil \log_\rho \left(\frac{h_S}{h_m}\right) \rceil \tag{C5}$$

where $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ denotes the ceiling function. Similarly, the blow-up distance $\pi_B - \pi_S$ is calculated as:

$$\pi_B - \pi_S = h_S + \rho h_S + \rho^2 h_S + \ldots + \rho^{n_B} h_S$$
(C6)

Similar to Eqn. C4, Eqn. C6 is a geometric series summing up the sizes of $n_B + 1$ triangles, progressively increasing in size from h_S to $\rho^{n_B}h_S$, by a factor of ρ . Equating the last term to h_M , solves for integer n_B as:

1

$$h_B = \lceil \log_\rho \left(\frac{h_M}{h_S}\right) \rceil \tag{C7}$$

The mesh sizing function is specified to Gmsh on a background rectangular mesh. The resolution of the background mesh is half the resolution of GEBCO grid, *i.e.* $\sim 210 m$, sufficient for specifying the h_m of 500 m. Each of the above mesh sizings for the inundation, stretch and blow-up regions need to be specified on the background mesh. The number of levels mentioned in Figure 7b are the number of grids in the background mesh needed to specify mesh sizes in the respective region.

Finally, the mesh sizing function is constructed in the vicinity of the port (Figure 802 7h-j). The strategy followed is similar to offshore mesh sizing, but instead of the prox-803 imity to coast, the radial distance from the centre (x_p, y_p) of the DOI (or port) is used. 804 A ρ^p of 1.05 is chosen for a very smooth transition of mesh. The mesh sizing is fixed at 805 h_m^p (10 m) for the DOI where the resolved bathymetry is available. The resolution of back-806 ground mesh near the port is kept at 10 m, *i.e.* at least same as h_m^p . In increasing radii 807 extending outwards from the DOI, the mesh sizing increases similar to Eqn. C4 but it-808 eratively with increasing number of terms. The iterative procedure is employed to en-809 sure that there is a smooth merging of the mesh sizing function at the port with exist-810 ing offshore and onshore mesh sizing functions. 811

812 Acknowledgments

783

788

791

795

MH and SG conceptualized the problem. SG and DG conceptualized the employment of large-scale statistical emulation and the inclusion of the effect of sediments. MH digitized the bathymetry from the hydrographic chart for Karachi port. DG developed the software and related methodologies employed in this work except for those mentioned below. DG designed the problem with inputs and supervision from SG and MH, curated data, and carried out the simulations with associated validation, analysis and data processing. All authors drafted and critically reviewed the manuscript.

DG and SG were supported by the Alan Turing Institute under the EPSRC grant 820 [EP/N510129/1] for the project 'Uncertainty Quantification of multi-scale and multiphysics 821 computer models: applications to hazard and climate models'. DG was partially funded 822 by the Royal Society-SERB Newton International Fellowship [NF151483] for the project 823 'Inverse modeling of Tsunami Source Characterization and its Use in Early Warnings'. 824 DG, MH and SG acknowledge funding from the NERC grant [NE/P016367/1] 'Tsunami 825 risk for the Western Indian Ocean: steps toward the integration of science into policy 826 and practice' under the Global Challenges Research Fund: Building Resilience programme. 827

This work has been performed using resources provided by the Cambridge Tier-828 2 system (CSD3 Wilkes2) operated by the University of Cambridge Research Comput-829 ing Service funded by EPSRC Tier-2 capital grant EP/P020259/1. The authors would 830 like to acknowledge the use of the University of Oxford Advanced Research Computing 831 (ARC) facility (JADE) in carrying out this work (doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22558). Prepar-832 ative simulations were performed on the EMERALD High Performance Computing fa-833 cility provided via the EPSRC funded Centre for Innovation (EP/K000144/1 and EP/K000136/1), 834 owned and operated by the e-Infrastructure South Consortium formed by the universi-835 ties of Bristol, Oxford, Southampton and UCL in partnership with STFC Rutherford 836 Appleton Laboratory. 837

The 1" topography was sourced from SRTM (v3) (earthexplorer.usgs.gov), and 5' sediment thickness from GlobSed (ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/sedthick/). Gmsh (v4.4.1) was used for mesh generation (gmsh.info). dMODELS (v1.0) was used as a base for Okada deformation model (pubs.usgs.gov/tm/13/b1/).

DG acknowledges support from Eric Daub and Oliver Strickson for active devel-842 opment of MOGP UQ suite (v0.2.0) used here for statistical emulation (github.com/alan-843 turing-institute/mogp_emulator), Daniel Giles for improvements to second order FV scheme 844 and boundary conditions in VOLNA-OP2 (v1.5) (github.com/reguly/volna), and István 845 Reguly for smooth installation and running of VOLNA-OP2 on CSD3. We also acknowl-846 edge fruitful discussions with Deyu Ming and Mariya Mamajiwala on truncated G-R dis-847 tribution and prediction intervals for L-O-O diagnostics, Frédéric Dias on meshing strate-848 gies and sediment amplification curve, Theodoros Mathikolonis on emulation, and Si-849 mon Day, Kusala Rajendran and C.P. Rajendran on seismicity of MSZ. 850

851 References

- Allen, T. I., & Hayes, G. P. (2017). Alternative rupture-scaling relationships for sub duction interface and other offshore environments. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 107(3), 1240-1253. doi: 10.1785/0120160255
- Arcos, M. E. M., & LeVeque, R. J. (2015, Mar 01). Validating velocities in the GeoClaw tsunami model using observations near Hawaii from the 2011
 Tohoku tsunami. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 172(3), 849–867. doi: 10.1007/s00024-014-0980-y
- Ayca, A., & Lynett, P. J. (2016). Effect of tides and source location on nearshore
 tsunami-induced currents. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 121(12),
 8807-8820. doi: 10.1002/2016JC012435
- Battaglia, M., Cervelli, P., & Murra-Muraleda, J. (2012). Modeling crustal deforma tion_A catalog of deformation models and modeling approaches. US Geological
 Survey Techniques and Methods, 37–85.
- Battaglia, M., Cervelli, P. F., & Murray, J. R. (2013). dMODELS: A MATLAB software package for modeling crustal deformation near active faults and volcanic centers. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 254, 1 4.
- Beck, J., & Guillas, S. (2016). Sequential design with Mutual Information for Com puter Experiments (MICE): Emulation of a tsunami model. SIAM/ASA Jour nal on Uncertainty Quantification, 4(1), 739–766.
- Blaser, L., Krger, F., Ohrnberger, M., & Scherbaum, F. (2010). Scaling relations of earthquake source parameter estimates with special focus on subduction envi-

873	ronment. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 100(6), 2914-2926.
874	doi: 10.1785/0120100111
875	Borrero, J. C., Goring, D. G., Greer, S. D., & Power, W. L. (2015). Far-field
876	tsunami hazard in New Zealand ports. Pure and Applied Geophysics, $172(3)$,
877	731–756. doi: 10.1007/s00024-014-0987-4
878	Borrero, J. C., Lynett, P. J., & Kalligeris, N. (2015). Tsunami currents in ports.
879	Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and
880	Engineering Sciences, $373(2053)$, 20140372. doi: 10.1098/rsta.2014.0372
881	Byrne, D. E., Sykes, L. R., & Davis, D. M. (1992). Great thrust earthquakes
882	and aseismic slip along the plate boundary of the Makran Subduction
883	Zone. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 97(B1), 449-478. doi:
884	10.1029/91JB02165
885	Chock, G. Y. K. (2016). Design for tsunami loads and effects in the ASCE 7-16
886	standard. Journal of Structural Engineering, 142(11), 04016093. doi: 10.1061/ (ASCE)ST 1943-541X 0001565
007	Cosentino P Figure V & Luzio D (1077–12) Truncated exponential frequency-
888	magnitude relationship in earthquake statistics Bulletin of the Seismological
889	Society of America $67(6)$ 1615 1623
890	Dancing I at al. $(2018, 01)$ The 2014 carthouske model of the Middle Fact: soin
891	mogonic sources Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering 16(8) 3465-3406 doi:
892	$10 \ 1007/_{3} 10518 \ 017 \ 0006 \ 8$
893	Davies C at al. (2018) A global probabilistic trunami bazard according to C
894	our baues, G., et al. (2010). A global probabilistic tsunann nazard assessment nom
895	210–244
896	Donglar I & Usly B (2011) Efforts of harbor modification on Crosscont City
897	California's teunami vulnorability. Para and Applied Coonhusice, 168(6), 1175-
898	1185 doi: 10.1007/s00024.010.0224.8
899	Diag E Dutykh D OBrion I Bonzi E k Stofanskig T (2014) On the
900	modelling of teunami generation and teunami inundation <i>Proceedia UITAM</i>
901	10 338 - 355 (Mechanics for the World: Proceedings of the 23rd Interna-
902	tional Congress of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics ICTAM2012)
903	doi org/10.1016/i piutam 2014.01.029
904	Dutykh D & Dias F (2010) Influence of sedimentary layering on tsunami genera-
905	tion Computer Methods in Annlied Mechanics and Engineering 100(21) 1268
900	- 1275 (Multiscale Models and Mathematical Aspects in Solid and Fluid Me-
907	chanics) doi: doi org/10.1016/i cma 2009.07.011
900	Dutykh D Poncet B l_z Dias F (2011) The VOLNA code for the nu-
010	merical modeling of tsunami waves: Generation propagation and inun-
011	dation European Journal of Mechanics - B/Fluids 30(6) 598 - 615
912	(Special Issue: Nearshore Hydrodynamics) doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/
913	i.euromechflu.2011.05.005
914	Frohling, E., & Szeliga, W. (2016). GPS constraints on interplate locking within
915	the Makran subduction zone. Geophysical Journal International, 205(1), 67-
916	76. doi: 10.1093/gij/ggw001
017	GEBCO Bathymetric Compilation Group 2019 (2019) The GEBCO 2019 Grid - a
018	continuous terrain model of the alobal oceans and land British Oceanographic
010	Data Centre National Oceanography Centre NEBC UK doi: 10/c33m
920	Geuzaine, C., & Remacle, JF. (2009) Gmsh: A 3-D finite element mesh generator
921	with built-in pre- and post-processing facilities International Journal for Nu-
922	merical Methods in Engineering 79(11) 1309–1331 doi: 10.1002/nme.2579
022	Giles D Kashdan E Salmanidou D M Guillas S & Dias F (2020) Perfor-
923	mance analysis of Volna-OP2 – massively narallel code for tsunami modelling
925	arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.04889.
926	
	Gonzalez, F. L. LeVeque, R. J., & Adams, L. M. (2013). Probabilistic Tsunami

928	(Tech. Rep.). University of Washington Department of Applied Mathematics.
929	Grezio, A., Babeyko, A., Baptista, M. A., Behrens, J., Costa, A., Davies, G.,
930	Thio, H. K. (2017). Probabilistic tsunami hazard analysis: Multiple sources
931	and global applications. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i> , 55(4), 1158-1198. doi:
932	10.1002/2017RG000579
022	Guillas S Sarri A Day S J Liu X Dias F et al. (2018) Functional emu-
955	lation of high resolution trunami modelling over Cascadia The Annals of An-
934	nied Statistics 10(4) 2023 2053
935	pired Statistics, 12(4), 2025-2055.
936	Hanks, I. C., & Kanamori, H. (1979). A moment magnitude scale. Journal
937	of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 84 (B5), 2348-2350. doi: 10.1029/
938	JB0841B05p02348
939	Hasan, H., Lodhi, H., LeVeque, R., Lodhi, S., & Ahmed, S. (2017). Assessing
940	tsunami risk to Karachi Port through simulation of currents that were report-
941	edly produced there by the 1945 Makran tsunami. In <i>Proceedings of the 16th</i>
942	world conference on earthquake engineering, santiago, chile.
943	Hayes, G. P. (2018). Slab2 - A Comprehensive Subduction Zone Geometry Model:
944	U.S. Geological Survey data release. British Oceanographic Data Centre, Na-
945	tional Oceanography Centre, NERC, UK. doi: 10.5066/F7PV6JNV
946	Hayes, G. P., Moore, G. L., Portner, D. E., Hearne, M., Flamme, H., Furtney, M.,
947	& Smoczyk, G. M. (2018). Slab2, a comprehensive subduction zone geometry
948	model. Science, 362(6410), 58–61. doi: 10.1126/science.aat4723
010	Heidarzadeh M & Kiiko A (2011) A probabilistic tsunami hazard assessment for
050	the Makran subduction zone at the northwestern Indian Ocean Natural Haz-
950	ards = 56(3) = 577-593 doi: 10.1007/s11069-010-9574-x
951	Heiderzadeh M. Pirooz, M. D. Zakor, N. H. Valcinor, A. C. Mokhtari, M. & Fe
952	macily A (2008) Historical transmission the Malman Subduction Zone off the
953	and particular substantiant in the Makran Subduction Zone on the
954	southern coasts of fran and Pakistan and results of numerical modeling. Ocean Environments $\frac{97}{9}$, $\frac{774}{796}$, $\frac{796}{100}$, $\frac{1}{100}$, $\frac{1}{100}$, $\frac{1}{100}$, $\frac{1}{100}$, $\frac{1}{100}$, $\frac{1}{100}$
955	<i>Engineering</i> , $35(8)$, $774 - 780$. doi: doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2008.01.017
956	Heidarzadeh, M., & Satake, K. (2014). Possible sources of the tsunami observed in
957	the northwestern Indian Ocean following the 2013 September 24 Mw 7.7 Pak-
958	istan inland earthquake. Geophysical Journal International, 199(2), 752-766.
959	doi: 10.1093/gji/ggu297
960	Hoechner, A., Babeyko, A. Y., & Zamora, N. (2016). Probabilistic tsunami hazard
961	assessment for the Makran region with focus on maximum magnitude assump-
962	tion. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, $16(6)$, $1339-1350$. doi:
963	10.5194/nhess-16-1339-2016
964	Kanamori, H. (1977). The energy release in great earthquakes. Journal of Geophysi-
965	cal Research (1896-1977), 82(20), 2981-2987. doi: 10.1029/JB082i020p02981
966	Legrand, S., Deleersnijder, E., Hanert, E., Legat, V., & Wolanski, E. (2006). High-
967	resolution, unstructured meshes for hydrodynamic models of the Great Barrier
968	Reef, Australia. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 68(1), 36 - 46. doi:
969	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2005.08.017
970	Liu X & Guillas S (2017) Dimension reduction for Gaussian process emulation:
970	An application to the influence of bathymetry on tsunami heights $SIAM/ASA$
971	In appleation to the influence of bachymetry on somalin heights. 51111/1511
972	I unter D I (2016) Provide prediction of coastal and evenland flow dynamics.
973	Lynett, I. J. (2010). If recise prediction of coastar and overland now dynamics. A grand shallon as a scale around L_{accord} of Director Descents $11(4)$ 615
974	A grand channenge of a loois errand. Journal of Disaster Research, $II(4)$, 015- cap define to 2006 (ide 2006)
975	025. doi: 10.20905/jul: 2010.p0015
976	Lynett, P. J., Borrero, J., Son, S., Wilson, R., & Miller, K. (2014). Assessment
977	of the tsunami-induced current hazard. Geophysical Research Letters, 41(6),
978	2048-2055. doi: 10.1002/2013GL058680
979	Lynett, P. J., Borrero, J. C., Weiss, R., Son, S., Greer, D., & Renteria, W.
980	(2012). Observations and modeling of tsunami-induced currents in ports
981	and harbors. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 327-328, 68 - 74. doi:
982	doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2012.02.002

- Lynett, P. J., et al. (2017). Inter-model analysis of tsunami-induced coastal currents. *Ocean Modelling*, 114, 14 - 32. doi: doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2017.04.003
- Mathikolonis, T., Roeber, V., & Guillas, S. (2019). Computationally efficient
 surrogate-based optimization of coastal storm waves heights and run-ups.
 arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.01932.
- Ming, D., & Guillas, S. (2019). Integrated emulators for systems of computer models. arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.09468.
- Moernaut, J., Daele, M. V., Fontijn, K., Heirman, K., Kempf, P., Pino, M., ...
 Batist, M. D. (2018). Larger earthquakes recur more periodically: New insights in the megathrust earthquake cycle from lacustrine turbidite records in south-central Chile. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 481, 9 19. doi: doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.10.016
- Muhari, A., Charvet, I., Tsuyoshi, F., Suppasri, A., & Imamura, F. (2015). Assessment of tsunami hazards in ports and their impact on marine vessels derived from tsunami models and the observed damage data. Natural Hazards, 78(2), 1309–1328. doi: 10.1007/s11069-015-1772-0
 - Okada, Y. (1985). Surface deformation due to shear and tensile faults in a halfspace. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 75(4), 1135-1154.

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

- Okal, E. A., Fritz, H. M., Raad, P. E., Synolakis, C., Al-Shijbi, Y., & Al-Saifi, M. (2006). Oman field survey after the December 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami. *Earthquake Spectra*, 22(S3), 203-218. doi: 10.1193/1.2202647
- Reguly, I. Z., et al. (2018). The VOLNA-OP2 tsunami code (version 1.5). Geoscientific Model Development, 11(11), 4621–4635. doi: 10.5194/gmd-11-4621-2018
- Salmanidou, D. M., Guillas, S., Georgiopoulou, A., & Dias, F. (2017). Statistical emulation of landslide-induced tsunamis at the Rockall Bank, NE Atlantic. Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 473(2200), 20170026.
- 1010Salmanidou, D. M., Heidarzadeh, M., & Guillas, S. (2019). Probabilistic landslide-1011generated tsunamis in the Indus Canyon, NW Indian Ocean, using statis-1012tical emulation. Pure and Applied Geophysics, 176(7), 3099–3114. doi:101310.1007/s00024-019-02187-3
- 1014Scala, A., Lorito, S., Romano, F., Murphy, S., Selva, J., Basili, R., ... Cirella,1015A. (2019, Jun 28). Effect of shallow slip amplification uncertainty on1016probabilistic tsunami hazard analysis in subduction zones: Use of long-1017term balanced stochastic slip models. Pure and Applied Geophysics. doi:101810.1007/s00024-019-02260-x
- Smith, G. L., McNeill, L. C., Wang, K., He, J., & Henstock, T. J. (2013). Ther mal structure and megathrust seismogenic potential of the makran subduction
 zone. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(8), 1528-1533. doi: 10.1002/grl.50374
- Straume, E. O., et al. (2019). Globsed: Updated total sediment thickness in the
 world's oceans. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 20*(4), 1756-1772. doi:
 10.1029/2018GC008115
- Ulrich, T., Vater, E. H., S. Madden, Behrens, J., van Dinther, Y., van Zelst, I.,
 Fielding, E. J., ... Gabriel, A.-A. (2019). Coupled, physics-based modeling reveals earthquake displacements are critical to the 2018 Palu, Sulawesi Tsunami. Pure and Applied Geophysics, 176(10), 4069–4109. doi:
 1029 10.1007/s00024-019-02290-5
- van Scheltinga, A. D. T., Myers, P. G., & Pietrzak, J. D. (2012). Mesh generation
 in archipelagos. Ocean Dynamics, 62(8), 1217–1228. doi: 10.1007/s10236-012
 -0559-z