# Variations of the Heppner-Maynard Boundaries on Northern Hemisphere Measured by SuperDARN During the Extremely Radial IMFs

zhiwei wang<sup>1</sup>, JianYong Lu<sup>2</sup>, Hongqiao Hu<sup>3</sup>, Jianjun Liu<sup>3</sup>, Ze-Jun Hu<sup>3</sup>, De-Sheng Han<sup>4</sup>, Ming Wang<sup>2</sup>, Bin Li<sup>3</sup>, Xiangcai Chen<sup>3</sup>, Yewen Wu<sup>5</sup>, and haiyan guan<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology
<sup>2</sup>Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology
<sup>3</sup>Polar Research Institute of China
<sup>4</sup>School of Ocean and Earth Science, Tongji University
<sup>5</sup>Institute of Space Weather, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology
<sup>6</sup>School of Remote Sensing & Geomatics Engineering, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology,

November 24, 2022

#### Abstract

The Heppner-Maynard Boundary (HMB) represents the equatorward extent of the ionospheric convection pattern and can be used as a proxy for the low latitude of the auroral oval. We present a statistical study of the radial interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) effects on the HMB midnight latitude calculated from SuperDARN measurements between January 2002 and December 2017. We found the average values of HMB midnight latitude during both sunward and anti-sunward radial IMF are higher than 65.5<sup>o</sup>. There is a negative correlation between the magnitude of Bx and HMB midnight latitude, although this effect is not obvious. Moreover, the seasonal variation of Bx-HMB correlation coefficients is different with the existence of single lobe reconnection. At the anti-sunward radial period, the correlation coefficient is up to 0.54 in wintertime. It would be caused by the enhanced lobe reconnection rates, which related to the special configuration between the solar-wind and magnetopause. This is the first long-term statistical study focused on HMB during radial IMF conditions in the context of solar wind-magnetosphere-ionosphere coupling. The results suggest that the effect of IMF Bx should not be ignored in the northern hemisphere wintertime especially during the anti-sunward radial IMF conditions.

#### Hosted file

ds01.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/549073/articles/603349-variations-ofthe-heppner-maynard-boundaries-on-northern-hemisphere-measured-by-superdarn-during-theextremely-radial-imfs

#### Hosted file

agusupporting-information.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/549073/articles/ 603349-variations-of-the-heppner-maynard-boundaries-on-northern-hemisphere-measured-bysuperdarn-during-the-extremely-radial-imfs

- 1 Variations of the Heppner-Maynard Boundaries on Northern
- 2 Hemisphere Measured by SuperDARN During the Extremely Radial
- 3 **IMFs**
- 4 Zhiwei Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Jianyong Lu<sup>1</sup>, Hongqiao Hu<sup>2</sup>, Jianjun Liu<sup>2</sup>, Zejun Hu<sup>2</sup>, Desheng
- 5 Han<sup>3</sup>, Ming Wang<sup>1</sup>, Bin Li<sup>2</sup>, Xiangcai Chen<sup>2</sup>, Yewen Wu<sup>1</sup>, Haiyan Guan<sup>4</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>Institute of Space Weather, Nanjing University of Information Science and
   Technology, Nanjing, China
- 8 <sup>2</sup>MNR Key Laboratory for Polar Science, Polar Research Institute of China, Shanghai,
- 9 China
- <sup>3</sup>State Key Laboratory of Marine Geology, School of Ocean and Earth Science,
   Tongji University, Shanghai, China
- 12 <sup>4</sup>School of Remote Sensing & Geomatics Engineering, Nanjing University of
- 13 Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China
- 14 Corresponding author: Jianyong Lu (jylu@nuist.edu.cn)

# 15 Key point

- The averaged HMB midnight latitude driven by radial IMF is measured.
- 17 The seasonal variation of  $B_X$ -HMB correlation coefficients is different with 18 the existence of single lobe reconnection.
- The radial IMF effects on the S-M-I coupling sometimes should not be ignored, especially for the anti-sunward periods during the wintertime.

### 21 Abstract

22 The Heppner-Maynard Boundary (HMB) represents the equatorward extent of the 23 ionospheric convection pattern and can be used as a proxy for the low latitude of the auroral oval. We present a statistical study of the radial interplanetary magnetic field 24 25 (IMF) effects on the HMB midnight latitude calculated from SuperDARN 26 measurements between January 2002 and December 2017. We found the average 27 values of HMB midnight latitude during both sunward and anti-sunward radial IMF 28 are higher than 65.5°. There is a negative correlation between the magnitude of  $B_X$ and HMB midnight latitude, although this effect is not obvious. Moreover, the 29 30 seasonal variation of  $B_x$ -HMB correlation coefficients is different with the existence 31 of single lobe reconnection. At the anti-sunward radial period, the correlation 32 coefficient is up to 0.54 in wintertime. It would be caused by the enhanced lobe 33 reconnection rates, which related to the special configuration between the solar-wind 34 and magnetopause. This is the first long-term statistical study focused on HMB during 35 radial IMF conditions in the context of solar wind-magnetosphere-ionosphere 36 coupling. The results suggest that the effect of IMF  $B_X$  should not be ignored in the 37 northern hemisphere wintertime especially during the anti-sunward radial IMF conditions. 38

**39** Keywords: radial IMF, Heppner-Maynard Boundary, IMF  $B_X$ , S-M-I coupling

# 40 Plain Language Summary

41 The magnetic reconnection between solar wind and magnetosphere can drive a 42 convection structure in the high-latitude ionosphere. Heppner and Maynard developed 43 a method to calculate the equatorward boundary of the ionospheric convection, which 44 is called Heppner-Maynard Boundary (HMB). HMB provides an import clue on solar 45 wind-magnetosphere-ionosphere coupling. In this paper, we report the effects of the 46 radial interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) on HMB in northern hemisphere. Our statistical results indicate that there is a negative and weak correlation between the 47 strength of IMF  $B_X$  and HMB magnitude at midnight. The existence of reconnection 48 happened on northern hemispheric high-latitude magnetosphere can affect the IMF 49  $B_X$ -HMB correlation coefficients, and also makes seasonal variation of coefficients 50 different. Moreover, the effect of IMF  $B_X$  should not be ignored in northern 51 hemisphere winter time during the negative radial IMF conditions. 52

# 53 1. Introduction

54 The solar wind-magnetosphere-ionosphere (S-M-I) coupling controlled mainly by the magnetic reconnection occurring at the dayside magnetopause and in the 55 magnetotail is a key issue in space physics. It is well-known that the interplanetary 56 57 magnetic field (IMF)  $B_Z$  component, which plays a crucial role in the reconnection 58 rates and energy transmission from the solar wind to the magnetosphere. For 59 southward IMF  $B_{Z}$ , large energy input could occur on the dayside magnetopause due to the low latitude reconnection between the IMF and the geomagnetic field (Akasofu, 60 1981; Dungey, 1961; Lu et al., 2013). During northward IMF, the energy and mass 61 62 input is typically thought to be low (e.g., Lu et al., 2013). The amount of the open magnetic flux is modulated by the dynamic reconnection processes. The open-closed 63 field line boundary (OCB) which is a surrounding region also called polar cap is the 64 interface between geomagnetic field lines that are open to solar wind and closed to the 65 opposite hemisphere (e.g., Lockwood, 1998; Rae et al., 2004; Kabin et al., 66 67 2004; Wang et al., 2014). The latitudinal location and movement of the OCB is of importance as it reflects the balance of magnetic reconnection on the magnetopause
and in the magnetotail, and thus, reveals information about the total amount of open
magnetic flux in the magnetosphere (Milan et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2019).

A function can describe the rate of expanding-contracting polar cap paradigm
(ECPC) at a given time (Imber et al., 2013a, 2013b) :

 $\frac{dF_{PC}}{dt} = \Phi_D - \Phi_N = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{PC} \mathbf{B} \cdot dS$ (1) where  $F_{pc}$  depicts the open magnetic flux in the polar cap,  $\Phi_D$  marks the dayside

74 reconnection rate,  $\phi_N$  is the reconnection rate in the nightside, the ionospheric 75 76 magnetic field vector is represented by B, and the integral is taken over the polar cap 77 area. This function suggests that the reconnection in the dayside makes the polar cap 78 expand and the reconnection in the magnetotail makes the opposite change. 79 Therefore, the dynamic balance between the reconnections occurring in dayside and nightside is kept by a phenomenon known as steady magnetospheric convection. The 80 observations of 25 nightside reconnection events provide evidence for the dynamic 81 82 changes (Milan et al., 2007).

83 While direct measurement of  $\Phi_N$  is difficult, many studies focus on  $\Phi_D$ . Kan & 84 Lee (1979) gives an early function:

85

73

$$=B_{S}V_{S}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)l_{0}\tag{2}$$

where  $\Phi$  represents the polar cap potential as an approximation of  $\Phi_D$ ,  $B_S$  marks the 86 IMF southward component,  $V_S$  depicts the plasma inflow speed,  $\Theta$  is the IMF clock 87 88 angle, and  $l_0 = 7R_E$ , representing the effective length of the reconnection site. In other 89 studies,  $B_S$  can be replaced by  $B_T$  or  $B_{YZ}$  (it is noticed that here  $B_T$  represents the modulus of the  $B_Y$  and  $B_Z$  components rather than B total.  $B_{YZ}^2 = B_Y^2 + B_Z^2$ ) 90 91 (Borovsky E., 2008; Milan et al., 2012; Newell et al., 2007; Scurry & Russell, 1991; Temerin & Li, 2006; Vasyliunas et al., 1982; Wygant et al., 1983). In these previous 92 93 studies, the contribution of IMF  $B_X$  was not taken into account in the S-M-I coupling. Even in widely used MHD models, such as SWMF/BATS-R-US provided by 94 95 Community Coordinated Modeling Center (CMCC),  $B_X$  can only be set to 0 or a constant (e.g., (Rae et al., 2004; Raeder et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2014; Lu et al., 96 97 2019) to avoid the non-zero magnetic field in the outer boundary.

As mentioned above, previous works completely ignored the contribution of  $B_X$ 98 99 on the S-M-I coupling process. However, Belenkaya (1998) found that the 100 ionospheric convection patterns changed with the angle of IMF in the X-Z plane, 101 which was depended on 4 different topological structures between solar wind and 102 magnetosphere. Other studies indicated that  $B_X$  can affect the asymmetry of 103 reconnection for large scale coupling system (e.g., Peng et al., 2010; Tang et al., 2013). In addition,  $B_X$  will be transformed into the magnetosheath field  $B_Z$  with 104 105 different polarities in the southern and northern hemispheres during radial 106 IMF( $|B_X|B_t \ge 0.9$ ) (Pi et al., 2017). There is no doubt that this change in direction can 107 affect magnetic reconnection rates. A radial IMF, which is dominated by  $B_X$ , is a special and stable period of IMF normally with low plasma density, low velocity, low 108 109 temperature, low dynamic pressure, and weak magnetic field (Pi et al., 2014). Radial 110 IMF periods account for an important duration of total time (~16% for purely radial 111 IMF periods and 10-15% for long periods larger than 4h) (Suvorova et al., 2010; Pi et 112 al., 2014). Here, we aim to find out the relationship between radial IMFs and large scale S-M-I coupling, and when  $B_X$  effect has to be considered in the coupling. 113

114 The Heppner-Maynard Boundary (HMB) represents the equatorward extent of the 115 ionospheric convection pattern. The characteristic shape of the boundary is 116 determined by Dynamics Explorer 2 (DE2) electric field data (Heppner & Maynard, 117 1987) and is pole centered. That means the size of the boundary can be represented by the latitude at HMB midnight. For a large amount of statistical studies based on good 118 Super Dual Auroral Radar Network (SuperDARN) data coverage, the HMB midnight 119 120 latitude could be used as a proxy for the latitude of the auroral oval in the northern hemisphere (Boakes et al., 2008; Imber et al., 2013b). HMB is calculated by 121 combining ionospheric model data (excluding  $B_X$ ) with SuperDARN observation 122 (including  $B_X$ ). In this way, the influence of  $B_X$  on auroral oval can be revealed by 123 HMB indirectly. In this study, we focus on radial IMF events and study the 124 relationship between HMB measured by SuperDARN and  $B_X$ . That is, in our events, 125  $B_X$  dominates IMF with almost zero  $B_Y$  and  $B_Z$  components. This kind of parameter 126 induces a very pure IMF  $B_X$  condition, thus the interferences that come from  $B_Y$  and 127  $B_Z$  components are eliminated effectively. 128

### 129 2. Data source and methodology

In this work, IMF data is obtained from the OMNI database. Previous works have 130 demonstrated that, following a change of the IMF, 20 min is long enough to produce 131 132 a reconfiguration for the magnetosphere-ionosphere (M-I) coupling system (Murr & Hughes, 2007; Grocott & Milan, 2014). Here we also choose 20 mins as the 133 134 minimum timescale in this study. Radial IMF durations of 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 and 90 mins are selected as time bins, in each bin the radial IMF duration should be  $\geq 90\%$ 135 time of bin. This criterion means that, for 40 mins' time bin, the total radial time is 136 36 mins at least. For SuperDARN data, the ionospheric echoes from polar cap and 137 high-latitude SuperDARN radars are considered as the database. Thomas & 138 139 Shepherd (2018) shown that under the stronger solar wind driving condition, the 140 inclusion of mid-latitude radar data at the equatorward extent of the ionospheric convection can increase the measured cross-polar cap potential ( $\phi$  in function 2) by 141 40%, but the increase is very small for weak solar wind driving condition. To keep 142 the same SuperDARN coverage in different years and to exclude the inaccuracy 143 144 caused by extended convection patterns, the mid-latitude radars data are not 145 included. The selected radars are shown in Fig. 1a. Shadow colored areas are the 146 field of view of radars, blue represents high-latitude radar, green is polar cap radar. The red circle marks the geomagnetic latitude at 60°. 147



Fig. 1. SuperDARN radar coverages and an example map during the radial IMF. (a)
Radar coverages. (b) An example HMB map. The solid green line is the HMB for this
map, and the midnight latitude is 59°.

151 We use the Radar Software Toolkit 4.2 (RST4.2), a free authorized and 152 powerful software to process SuperDARN data (Barnes & Greenwald, 2005), to 153 calculate the HMB boundary from radars' rawacf data. Here we use a standard 154 criterion to determining the HMB (Imber et al., 2013a): the velocity threshold is set 155 for 100m/s, and the number threshold of the effective radar backscatter points in a 156 convection map is 150. Thus, HMB can be obtained every 2 min.

157 A convection map on the northern hemisphere during a typical radial IMF condition is shown in Fig. 1b. The convection map is calculated from the averaged 158 159 radar data assigned to a 2min scan period (2015-03-04 23:14UT-23:16UT). Magnetic local noon locates to the top of the figure and dusk is to the left. The colored scatters 160 represent fitted ionospheric echoes from radar observations, with the color and the 161 vector direction corresponding to the velocity of the plasma flow given by the fourth-162 163 order spherical harmonic fit. The dashed and solid black lines depict the electrostatic potential, the contours of constant electrostatic potential also represent plasma flow 164 165 streamlines for the whole polar ionosphere. The right upper corner marks the IMF condition, which shows noticeable small  $B_{y}$  and  $B_{z}$ . The solid green line is the HMB 166 for this map constraining the extent of the mapping, and its midnight latitude is 59°. 167 Based on the above criterion, we calculate all the HMB maps between 2002 and 2017, 168 169 and our database can be seen in the appendix.

#### 170 3.Statistical results and discussion

171 We average the HMB midnight latitudes for each radial IMF event for the northern hemisphere and then get 2292 data in total. Fig. 2 is the distribution of the 172 HMB midnight latitudes. The x-axis is midnight latitude and the y-axis is the number 173 of events. n represents the number of total events, the red (green) numeric value is 174 175 mean (median) value for each panel. Fig. 2a shows HMB latitudes for anti-sunward  $B_X$  on the northern hemisphere. Event number, mean and median values of the HMB 176 at midnight are 1063, 65.59 and 65.57, respectively. Fig. 2b gives the HMB latitudes 177 178 in sunward  $B_X$ . The corresponding event number, mean and median are 1229, 65.83 179 and 65.71, respectively. The red line in each panel marks a Gauss Fitting Curve.



Fig. 2. The distribution of the HMB midnight latitudes. n is the number of total
events, the red/green numeric value is the mean/median for each panel. (a) HMB
latitudes in anti-sunward radial, (b) HMB latitudes in sunward radial.

It is shown that, under the radial IMF condition, the majority distributions of 183 HMB midnight latitude is around 65.57°-65.83°, which are apparently higher than the 184 average value of 63.1° for the substorm periods revealed by Imber et al. (2013b), and 185 also larger than the average of 64° for the time period from January 1996 to August 186 2012 as shown in Imber et al. (2013a). This result signifies that during radial IMFs, 187 188 ionospheric convection pattern is smaller. It suggests that the energy coupling 189 efficient inputting from the solar wind into the magnetosphere is evidently weak. This 190 is consistent with our understanding of the radial IMF, whose driving effect is weak 191 (compared with southward IMF). The HMB midnight latitude for anti-sunward radial 192 IMF is smaller than that in the sunward radial IMF. It suggests that the anti-sunward 193 radial IMF injects more energy into the ionosphere. The difference of topological 194 structure between anti-sunward and sunward radial IMF in large scale S-M-I coupling 195 can be seen in Fig3.



Fig. 3. Schematic illustration of the topological structure between IMF and
magnetosphere. The red line indicates the boundary of the magnetosphere on dayside,
the rectangles are possible locations of magnetic reconnection. (a) anti-sunward
radial, (b) sunward radial.

Fig. 3 is a schematic illustration in the GSM X-Z plane showing the topological structure of radial events between the IMF and the magnetosphere. Fig. 3a (3b) shows the case of an anti-sunward (sunward) radial case. The black lines represent the magnetic field lines of the solar wind and the Earth. The red line marks the boundary of the magnetosphere on the dayside. The rectangles label possible locations of magnetic reconnection. A low-latitude reconnection and a single lobe reconnection can be found during a radial IMF. It is easy to understand that low-latitude 207 reconnection contributes to both hemispheres while single lobe reconnection can only 208 affect one hemisphere. In an ideal situation (zero for  $B_Z$  and  $B_Y$ ), we assume that the 209 transformation rate of  $B_X$  to  $B_Z$  equal in northern and southern hemispheres. 210 Accordingly, we can infer that the low-latitude reconnection rates almost the same in 211 Fig. 3a and 3b.

212 3.1 Correlation analysis

In S-M-I coupling, the magnetic field in local region of reconnection is an important parameter (e.g., Cassak & Shay, 2007). It is difficult to obtain the magnetic field characters in the local magnetosheath for all the time. In addition, due to the draping effect, IMF  $B_X$  component will be transformed into  $B_Z$  component in the magnetosheath (Pi et al., 2017). Therefore, for statistical study, the magnetic field in magnetosheath can be reflected by IMF  $B_X$  in radial IMF.

219 In this section, we discuss the correlation between HMB midnight latitude and  $B_X$ 220 component during radial IMFs. Fig. 4 shows scatter plots of HMB midnight latitudes and  $B_X$  magnitude. The x-axis is HMB midnight latitude, and the y-axis is the strength 221 of IMF  $B_X$ . R represents the Pearson correlation coefficient,  $SE_{cc}$  marks the standard 222 deviation of correlation coefficient ( $SE_{cc} = \sqrt{(1-R^2)/(n-2)}$ , n means counts of 223 events). The black line represents the linear fitting line and its corresponding 224 225 expression is shown at the bottom of each panel. (e.g., in Fig. 4a left the HMB midnight latitude (x) and IMF  $B_X$  (y) satisfy log(y) = 0.014x - 1.6, and R ( $SE_{cc}$ ) is 226 0.353 (0.029)). All anti-sunward radial events are shown in the left panel of Fig.4a, 227 and the right figure gives all sunward radial events. 228





231 In both statistical classifications, a negative correlation between the strength of  $B_{\rm x}$  and HMB midnight latitude can be found. The absolute value of the correlation 232 233 coefficient with 0.353 in the anti-sunward radial IMF is larger than that in the sunward radial IMF (0.294). Two coefficients both show weak correlation, it 234 suggested that  $B_x$  has relatively smaller contribution on the magnetic reconnection 235 process. In addition, the AE index is very closed in two cases (anti-sunward 142.2; 236 sunward 119.4), we can infer that difference in nightside reconnection rates is small. 237 Therefore, difference in correlation coefficients is mainly caused by the asymmetric 238 239 topological structure between the IMF and the magnetosphere (e.g., locations of 240 rectangles on Fig. 3).

#### 241 3.2 Seasonal effects

Previous studies have shown that the subsolar X-line location (the region where reconnection may occur) can shift poleward from the subsolar point, and the X-line location has a seasonal dependence (e.g., Trattner et al., 2007; Hoshi et al., 2018). This shift will undoubtedly affect the coupling process. In this section, we study the effect of seasonal variations on the correlation between IMF  $B_X$  and HMB midnight latitude.



Fig. 5. seasonal variations. Top row is plots for anti-sunward radial events, and sunward radial events are shown in bottom row. The columns from left to right are radial events in winter, equinoxes and summer.

Fig. 5 shows the seasonal variations. In Fig.5 the top row is plots for anti-sunward 251 radial events, and sunward radial events are shown in the bottom row. The columns 252 253 from left to right are radial events in winter (Dec/Jan), equinoxes (Mar/Apr/Sep/Oct) 254 and summer (Jun/Jul). The correlation varies significantly in different panels of Fig.5. 255 The correlation in anti-sunward radial events between  $B_X$  and HMB midnight latitude 256 is extremely high during wintertime, the coefficient is up to 0.54 (Fig. 5a). In this 257 case, the effect of IMF  $B_X$  on HMB is very large for a chaotic system. Statistical results suggest that negative IMF  $B_x$  plays an important role in the S-M-I coupling 258 259 process during wintertime. Top raw figures of Fig. 5 show that, with the northward 260 shift of the subsolar point, the correlation coefficients in anti-sunward radial events 261 become weaker from winter to summer. In the bottom figures of Fig. 5, for positive 262  $B_X$  events, the correlation coefficients become stronger with the equatorward moving 263 of subsolar point. However, the correlation between positive  $B_X$  cases is weak in all 264 seasons. As shown in right figures of Fig. 5, very weak correlation can be found in 265 both directions of  $B_X$  during summertime. In summer, solar radiation has a great influence on the photoionization effect on the dayside ionosphere, which causes the 266 enhancement of the dayside ionospheric conductivity. It is expected that the dayside 267 R1 current will increase, accordingly, the magnetosphere shrinks on the dayside and 268 expands on the nightside (Ohtani et al., 2014). The energy transmission from the solar 269

wind to the Earth will be affected by the morphological structure change of
magnetopause (Jing et al., 2014; Merkin et al., 2005; Ohtani et al., 2014; Raeder et al.,
2001). That's the reason for a weaker correlation in summer.

For positive  $B_X$  events, the topological structure is similar to the southward IMF 273 274 on the northern hemisphere. When the northern hemisphere is in summer (winter ) 275 and the north (south) pole tilts toward the Sun, the reconnection location under finite 276 dipole tilt shifts toward the winter (summer) hemisphere (GSM coordinate) (Hoshi et 277 al., 2018; Komar et al., 2014; Russell et al., 2003; Trenchi et al., 2008), and the 278 reconnection rates can be reduced by this kind of X-line shift (Borovsky et al., 2008; Cassak & Shay, 2007). Furthermore, the  $SE_{cc}$  in each panel is small, which suggests 279 280 that the correlation coefficients have enough credibility. Therefore, a negative correlation can be found between the correlation coefficient and the absolute value of 281 282 subsolar point latitude in sunward radial.

283 It is more interesting in negative  $B_X$  events. It is not straightforward to explain why the anti-sunward radial events have such a high correlation in winter. We 284 285 separate each negative  $B_X$  events in different seasons according to different directions of  $B_Z$  (positive, negative) and calculate the correlation coefficients respectively. The 286 287 correlation coefficients for positive (negative)  $B_Z$  are listed as follows: winter 0.538 (0.567); equinoxes 0.205 (0.471). We can see that the effect of  $B_Z$  is more evident in 288 equinoxes. As mentioned in section 1, due to the draping effect, the IMF  $B_X$  will be 289 transformed into the magnetosheath magnetic field  $B_Z$  with different polarities on the 290 291 southern and northern hemispheres. In simple geometric theory, when the transformation line with the same (opposite) direction as the IMF  $B_Z$ , the influence of 292 IMF  $B_X$  will be larger (smaller). It suggests that the transformation is mainly near the 293 294 middle plane, and mainly affects low latitude reconnection. The correlation 295 coefficient shows almost the same in winter, which seems to indicate that the main 296 reason for such large correlation coefficient in negative  $B_X$  events during wintertime 297 is not due to the low latitude reconnection.

298 As shown in Fig. 3, in anti-sunward radial condition, the northern hemisphere will be affected by both low-latitude and single lobe reconnection. We check the 299 300 averaged AE index values (winter 149.7; equinoxes 144.6), which suggests that the 301 nightside reconnection rate is almost identical inferred from AE. As mentioned above, we speculate that the dramatically high correlation in anti-sunward radial condition 302 during wintertime is mainly due to the effect of lobe reconnection. There are some 303 304 issues with lobe reconnection. The energy transport related to lobe reconnection is 305 usually much less than the one associated with the low latitude magnetopause 306 reconnection, the related region is also much smaller, usually limited to above 80° MLAT on the dayside (06–18 MLT) in the ionospheric height (Reistad et al., 2019). 307 308 With the enhancement of ionospheric conductivity, the lobe reconnection rate 309 increases correspondingly (Paschmann et al., 2003; Reistad et al., 2019). This 310 indicates that although single lobe reconnection cannot produce open magnetic lines 311 (Imber et al., 2006), the special configuration between the magnetopause and solar 312 wind during anti-sunward radial period seems to have a great impact on lobe reconnection rate during wintertime and inject much energy into the polar ionosphere. 313 314 What occurred for anti-sunward radial in winter time on northern hemisphere is interesting and not confirmed. We will take a further study on this issue in future 315 316 work.

## 317 4. Summary and expectation

318 In this study, we select radial IMF events to study the correlation between IMF 319  $B_X$  component and HMB, because the radial IMF can ideally ignore most of the other 320 factors. The main findings in this work can be summarized as follows:

- The solar wind is continuously stable and weak during radial IMF, and under this condition, HMB midnight latitude is higher than that during both longterm period (1997-2012) and high geomagnetic activity cases (Imber et al., 2013a, 2013b).
- 325 2.  $B_X$  has a small effect on the coupling process, and a negative correlation can 326 be found between the strength of  $B_X$  and HMB midnight latitude. The 327 correlation coefficient in the northern hemisphere is only 0.29~0.35.
- 328 3. During northern hemispheric wintertime, the correlation coefficient between 329 the strength of  $B_X$  and HMB midnight latitude is up to 0.54 in anti-sunward 330 radial events. In such situation, the effect of  $B_X$  in the coupling process is 331 important and can't be ignored.
- When the direction of the magnetic field line in magnetosheath is antiparallel
  to that of the geomagnetic field line in the low latitudes and parallel in the
  lobe region, the correlation coefficients is larger in equinoxes, but is smaller
  during the winter and summer. When the magnetic field direction in
  magnetosheath is anti-parallel with the geomagnetic field in low latitudes and
  and also anti-parallel in the lobe region, a negative correlation between
  correlation coefficients and latitude of subsolar point can be found.
- 339 As a statistical study, we find an abnormal large correlation coefficient between 340  $B_X$  and HMB in the winter northern hemisphere when  $B_X$  is negative. Although we 341 present a possible explanation, further research is needed.

# 342 Acknowledgments

343 We acknowledge the use of NASA/GSFC's Space Physics Data Facility (https://omniweb.gsfc.nasa.gov/html/HROdocum.html) for OMNI IMF 344 data. SuperDARN (http://vt.superdarn.org/tikiindex.php) is an international collaboration 345 346 involving more than 30 low-power HF radars that are operated and funded by 347 universities and research organizations in Australia, Canada, China, France, Italy, Japan, Norway, South Africa, the United Kingdom, and the United States. We thank 348 349 PRIC (http://www.pric.org.cn) for providing a computing server and SuperDARN 350 data. Raw files can also be accessed via the SuperDARN data mirrors hosted by the 351 British Antarctic Survey (https://www.bas.ac.uk/project/superdarn/#data) and University of Saskatchewan (https://superdarn.ca). This work was supported in part 352 353 by the National Key Research and Development Plan of China (2018YFC1407305, 2018YFC1407304, 2018YFF01013706), the National Natural Science Foundation of 354 China (grant 41974190, 41431072, 41974185, 41574158, 41831072, 41674169, 355 U1631107), the Foundation of National Key Laboratory of Electromagnetic 356 Environment (201801003), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province 357 358 (BK20170952) and the Chinese Meridian Project.

### 359 **Reference**

- Akasofu, S.-I. (1981). Energy coupling between the solar wind and the
  magnetosphere. Space Science Reviews, 28(2), 121–190.
- Barnes, R. ~J., & Greenwald, R. (2005). The Radar Software Toolkit: Anaylsis
  software for the ITM community. In AGU Spring Meeting Abstracts (Vol. 2005,
  pp. SH51B-11).
- Belenkaya, E. S. (1998). High-latitude ionospheric convection patterns dependent on
  the variable imf orientation. Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial
  Physics, 60(13), 1343–1354.
- Boakes, P. D., Milan, S. E., Abel, G. A., Freeman, M. P., Chisham, G., Hubert, B., &
  Sotirelis, T. (2008). On the use of IMAGE FUV for estimating the latitude of the
  open/closed magnetic field line boundary in the ionosphere. Annales
  Geophysicae, 26(9), 2759–2769.
- Borovsky E., J. (2008). The rudiments of a theory of solar wind/magnetosphere
  coupling derived from first principles. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space
  Physics, 113(8), 1–14.
- Borovsky, J. E., Hesse, M., Birn, J., & Kuznetsova, M. M. (2008). What determines
  the reconnection rate at the dayside magnetosphere? Journal of Geophysical
  Research: Space Physics, 113(7), 1–17.
- Cassak, P. A., & Shay, M. A. (2007). Scaling of asymmetric magnetic reconnection:
  General theory and collisional simulations. Physics of Plasmas, 14(10), 1–11.
- 380 Dungey, J. W. (1961). Interplanetary magnetic field and the auroral zones. Physical
  381 Review Letters, 6(2), 47–48.
- Grocott, A., & Milan, S. E. (2014). The influence of IMF clock angle timescales on
  the morphology of ionospheric convection. Journal of Geophysical Research:
  Space Physics, 119(7), 5861–5876.
- Heppner, J. P., & Maynard, N. C. (1987). Empirical high-latitude electric field
  models. Journal of Geophysical Research, 92(A5), 4467.
- Hoshi, Y., Hasegawa, H., Kitamura, N., Saito, Y., & Angelopoulos, V. (2018).
  Seasonal and Solar Wind Control of the Reconnection Line Location on the
  Earth's Dayside Magnetopause. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space
  Physics, 123(9), 7498–7512.
- Imber, S. M., Milan, S. E., & Hubert, B. (2006). The auroral and ionospheric flow
   signatures of dual lobe reconnection. Annales Geophysicae, 24(11), 3115–3129.
- Imber, S. M., Milan, S. E., & Lester, M. (2013a). Solar cycle variations in polar cap
  area measured by the superDARN radars. Journal of Geophysical Research:
  Space Physics, 118(10), 6188–6196.
- Imber, S. M., Milan, S. E., & Lester, M. (2013b). The Heppner-Maynard Boundary
  measured by SuperDARN as a proxy for the latitude of the auroral oval. Journal
  of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 118(2), 685–697.
- Jing, H., Lu, J. Y., Kabin, K., Zhao, J. S., Liu, Z. Q., Yang, Y. F., et al. (2014). MHD
  simulation of energy transfer across magnetopause during sudden changes of the
  IMF orientation. Planetary and Space Science, 97, 50–59.
- Kabin, K., Rankin, R., Rostoker, G., Marchand, R., Rae, I. J., Ridley, A. J., et al.
  (2004). Open-closed field line boundary position: A parametric study using an
  MHD model. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 109(A5), 1–10.
- Kan, J. R., & Lee, L. C. (1979). Energy coupling function and solar windmagnetosphere dynamo. Geophysical Research Letters, 6(7), 577–580.

407 Komar, C. M., Fermo, R. L., & Cassak, P. A. (2014). Journal of Geophysical Research : Space Physics Comparative analysis of dayside magnetic 408 409 reconnection, 1–19. Lockwood, M. (1998). Identifying the Open-Closed Field Line Boundary. Polar Cap 410 Boundary Phenomena, 73–90. 411 412 Lu, J. Y., Jing, H., Liu, Z. Q., Kabin, K., & Jiang, Y. (2013). Energy transfer across 413 the magnetopause for northward and southward interplanetary magnetic fields. 414 Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 118(5), 2021–2033. 415 Lu, J. Y., Zhang, H., Wang, M., Gu, C., & Guan, H. (2019). Magnetosphere response 416 to the IMF turning from north to south. Earth and Planetary Physics, 3(1), 8–16. 417 Merkin, V. G., Sharma, A. S., Papadopoulos, K., Milikh, G., Lyon, J., & Goodrich, C. (2005). Global MHD simulations of the strongly driven magnetosphere: 418 419 Modeling of the transpolar potential saturation. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 110(A9), 1–11. 420 Milan, S. E., Provan, G., & Hubert, B. (2007). Magnetic flux transport in the Dungey 421 422 cycle: A survey of dayside and nightside reconnection rates. Journal of 423 Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 112(1), 1–13. Milan, S. E., Gosling, J. S., & Hubert, B. (2012). Relationship between interplanetary 424 parameters and the magnetopause reconnection rate quantified from observations 425 426 of the expanding polar cap. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 427 117(3), 1–16. Murr, D. L., & Hughes, W. J. (2007). The coherence between the IMF and high-428 429 latitude ionospheric flows: The dayside magnetosphere-ionosphere low-pass 430 filter. Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 69(3), 223–233. 431 Newell, P. T., Sotirelis, T., Liou, K., Meng, C. I., & Rich, F. J. (2007). A nearly 432 universal solar wind-magnetosphere coupling function inferred from 10 magnetospheric state variables. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 433 434 112(1), 1–16. Ohtani, S., Wing, S., Merkin, V. G., & Higuchi, T. (2014). Solar cycle dependence of 435 nightside field-aligned currents: Effects of dayside ionospheric conductivity on 436 the solar wind-magnetosphere-ionosphere coupling. Journal of Geophysical 437 Research: Space Physics, 119(1), 322–334. 438 Paschmann, G., Haaland, S., & Treumann, R. (Eds.). (2003). Auroral Plasma Physics. 439 440 Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. Peng, Z., Wang, C., & Hu, Y. Q. (2010). Role of IMF Bx in the solar wind-441 442 magnetosphere-ionosphere coupling. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space 443 Physics, 115(8), 1–7. Pi, G., Shue, J.-H., Chao, J.-K., Němeček, Z., Šafránková, J., & Lin, C.-H. (2014). A 444 445 reexamination of long-duration radial IMF events Gilbert. Journal of 446 Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 119(7), 7005–7011. Pi, G., Shue, J. H., Grygorov, K., Li, H. M., Němeček, Z., Šafránková, J., et al. 447 448 (2017). Evolution of the magnetic field structure outside the magnetopause under radial IMF conditions. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 122(4), 449 450 4051-4063. 451 Rae, I. J., Kabin, K., Rankin, R., Fenrich, F. R., Liu, W., Wanliss, J. A., et al. (2004). Comparison of photometer and global MHD determination of the open-closed 452 field line boundary. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 109(A1), 453 454 1-9. 455 Raeder, J., Wang, Y. L., Fuller-Rowell, T. J., & Singer, H. J. (2001). Global 456 simulation of magnetospheric space weather effects of the Bastille day storm.

457	Solar Physics, 204(1–2), 325–338.
458	Reistad, J. P., Laundal, K. M., Østgaard, N., Ohma, A., Thomas, E. G., Haaland, S., et
459	al. (2019). Separation and Quantification of Ionospheric Convection Sources: 2.
460	The Dipole Tilt Angle Influence on Reverse Convection Cells During Northward
461	IMF. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics.
462	Russell, C. T., Wang, Y. L., & Raeder, J. (2003). Possible dipole tilt dependence of
463	dayside magnetopause reconnection. Geophysical Research Letters, 30(18), 2–5.
464	Scurry, L., & Russell, C. T. (1991). Proxy studies of energy transfer to the
465	magnetosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research, 96(A6), 9541.
466	Suvorova, A. V., Shue, J. H., Dmitriev, A. V., Sibeck, D. G., McFadden, J. P.,
467	Hasegawa, H., et al. (2010). Magnetopause expansions for quasi-radial
468	interplanetary magnetic field: THEMIS and Geotail observations. Journal of
469	Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 115(10), 1–16.
470	Tang, B. B., Wang, C., & Li, W. Y. (2013). The magnetosphere under the radial
471	interplanetary magnetic field: A numerical study. Journal of Geophysical
472	Research: Space Physics, 118(12), 7674–7682.
473	Temerin, M., & Li, X. (2006). Dst model for 1995-2002. Journal of Geophysical
474	Research: Space Physics, 111(4), 1–11.
475	Thomas, E. G., & Shepherd, S. G. (2018). Statistical Patterns of Ionospheric
476	Convection Derived From Mid-latitude, High-Latitude, and Polar SuperDARN
477	HF Radar Observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics,
478	123(4), 3196–3216.
479	Trattner, K. J., Mulcock, J. S., Petrinec, S. M., & Fuselier, S. A. (2007). Location of
480	the reconnection line at the magnetopause during southward IMF conditions.
481	Geophysical Research Letters, 34(3), 1–5.
482	Trenchi, L., Marcucci, M. F., Pallocchia, G., Consolini, G., Bavassano Cattaneo, M.
483	B., Di Lellis, A. M., et al. (2008). Occurrence of reconnection jets at the dayside
484	magnetopause: Double Star observations. Journal of Geophysical Research:
485	Space Physics, 113(7), 1–13.
486	Vasyliunas, V. M., Kan, J. R., Siscoe, G. L., & Akasofu, S. I. (1982). Scaling
487	relations governing magnetospheric energy transfer. Planetary and Space
488	Science, 30(4), 359–365.
489	Wang, C., Wang, J. Y., Lopez, R. E., Zhang, L. Q., Tang, B. B., Sun, T. R., & Li, H.
490	(2014). Effects of the interplanetary magnetic field on the twisting of the
491	magnetotail: Global MHD results. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space
492	Physics, 119(3), 1887–1897.
493	Wygant, J. R., Torbert, R. B., & Mozer, F. S. (1983). Comparison of S3-3 Polar Cap
494	Potential Drops With the Interplanetary Magnetic Field and Models of
495	Magnetopause Reconnection. Journal of Geophysical Research, 88(A7), 5727-
496	5735.

Figure 1.



Figure 2.





Figure 3.





Figure 4.



Figure 5.

