# Thermal features vis a vis strain features of fracturing process in jointed rock layer under concentrated load

haiqing yang<sup>1</sup>, bolong liu<sup>2</sup>, and Shivakumar Karekal<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chongqing University <sup>2</sup>chongqing university <sup>3</sup>University of Wollongong

November 22, 2022

#### Abstract

In case of earthquakes and crustal movement, the concentration of impounding load over a large region of crust can cause disturbances to the stratum. In order to quantitatively investigate crack initiation, propagation and coalescence processes of jointed stratum based on thermal variations caused by concentrated mechanical loading, a series of indention tests were performed on granite specimens. In the experiment, fracture process and resulting infrared radiation fields of specimens were respectively recorded by synchronized digital image correlation system and infrared camera. Then, thermal characteristics of mixed shear-tensile and tensile conical crack were analyzed. Experimental results indicate that the highlighted temperature localization is mainly caused by shear deformation within the localized fracture process zone. It is shown that in the initiation process, the abnormities in the temperature concentration factors are caused by the frictional-thermal effect for mixed mode crack and the thermoelastic effect for tensile mode crack. Subsequently, in the propagation process, these two crack types followed newly proposed criteria, namely, the maximum temperature gradient criterion for mixed mode crack and the minimum temperature gradient criterion for tensile mode crack. In addition, the intensity of temperature concentrations in crack initiation stage and coalescence stage are more pronounced than that of crack propagation stage. These thermal effects strongly correlated with the stress states in the cracking process. The new findings from the infrared radiation temperature distributions improve our understanding of fracturing process of rock mass. Furthermore, it will provide some fundamental references for geophysical prospecting in jointed rock mass.

1	Thermal features vis a vis strain features of fracturing process in
2	jointed rock layer under concentrated load
3	Haiqing Yang <sup>1, 2*</sup> , Bolong Liu <sup>1, 2</sup> , and Shivakumar Karekal <sup>3</sup>
4	<sup>1</sup> State key laboratory of coal mine disaster dynamics and control, Chongqing
5	University, Chongqing 400045, China.
6	<sup>2</sup> National Joint Engineering Research Center of Geohazards Prevention in the
7	Reservoir Areas, Chongqing 400045, China.
8	<sup>3</sup> School of Civil, Mining and Environmental Engineering, University of Wollongong,
9	Wollongong, NSW, Australia 2522.
10	*Corresponding author: Haiqing Yang ( yanghaiqing06@163.com;
11	<u>yanghaiqing@cqu.edu.cn</u> )
12	†Additional authors: Bolong Liu (20171601009@cqu.edu.cn), Shivakumar Karekal
13	(skarekal@uow.edu.au).
14	Key points:
15	• Indention tests were performed on the jointed rock specimens to simulate the
16	fracturing process of jointed layer
17	• The abnormities in infrared radiation fields and temperature concentration
18	factors in crack initiation processes were appropriately interpreted

• New thermal criteria for two types of crack propagations were proposed.

#### 20 Abstract

21 In case of earthquakes and crustal movement, the concentration of impounding load 22 over a large region of crust can cause disturbances to the stratum. In order to 23 quantitatively investigate crack initiation, propagation and coalescence processes of 24 jointed stratum based on thermal variations caused by concentrated mechanical 25 loading, a series of indention tests were performed on granite specimens. In the 26 experiment, fracture process and resulting infrared radiation fields of specimens were 27 respectively recorded by synchronized digital image correlation system and infrared 28 camera. Then, thermal characteristics of mixed shear-tensile and tensile conical crack 29 were analyzed. Experimental results indicate that the highlighted temperature 30 localization is mainly caused by shear deformation within the localized fracture 31 process zone. It is shown that in the initiation process, the abnormities in the 32 temperature concentration factors are caused by the frictional-thermal effect for mixed 33 mode crack and the thermoelastic effect for tensile mode crack. Subsequently, in the 34 propagation process, these two crack types followed newly proposed criteria, namely, 35 the maximum temperature gradient criterion for mixed mode crack and the minimum 36 temperature gradient criterion for tensile mode crack. In addition, the intensity of 37 temperature concentrations in crack initiation stage and coalescence stage are more 38 pronounced than that of crack propagation stage. These thermal effects strongly 39 correlated with the stress states in the cracking process. The new findings from the

40 infrared radiation temperature distributions improve our understanding of fracturing
41 process of rock mass. Furthermore, it will provide some fundamental references for
42 geophysical prospecting in jointed rock mass.

#### 43 **1 Introduction**

44 Thermal effects are commonly encountered in geological processes, such as 45 neo-tectonic, active fault, earthquakes, landslides and volcanic eruptions. According 46 to the thermal anomalies, the location and characteristics of geological activities can 47 be determined in geophysical prospecting (Lu et al. 2016; Tramutoli et al., 2013; 48 Xiong et al. 2015). In jointed stratum, the concentrated load caused by hills, dam and 49 reservoirs et al. may lead to the fracture of crust, causing the instability of formation 50 and even the secondary disaster, such as reservoir-induced seismicity (Ramasamy et 51 al., 2019; Stiros & Pytharouli, 2018). Consequently, it is pressing to investigate the 52 fracture process in jointed stratum from thermal perspective. As a result, the failure of 53 layer can be detected.

The thermal mechanism of stratum under the loading of tectonic activity has been a longstanding topic in geoscience. For the earthquake, Milne (1886) initially found the temperature changes before large earthquakes. Generally, it is acknowledged that the increase in temperature of a fault mainly caused by shear heating in geoscience (Li et al. 2015). Subsequently, according to the in situ measurements and theories of thermodynamics and heat conduction effect, Chen et al. (2013, 2016) also found that

60	the change in bedrock temperature is accordant with stress adjustment in seismogenic
61	tectonics. Therefore, stress state of bedrock during the earthquake can be detected
62	based on the temperature change. In active fault zone, temperature of the rock varies
63	due to the internal deformation of thrust belts and shear heating under the loading
64	(Bosea & Mukherjee, 2020; Long et al, 2011; Mukherjee, S. 2017). In detail, internal
65	deformation of thrust sheets generally occurs in the forms of layer (or thrust)-parallel
66	simple shear and layer (or thrust)-normal pure shear (Sanderson, 1982), leading to the
67	high temperature. Shear heating is caused by the sliding of one rock unit over the
68	other along fault planes, especially in reverse faulting. Graham and England (1976)
69	initially pointed out that the obvious temperature increase in faulted hangingwall and
70	footwall blocks generally occurs in fault zone with high the slip rate and rock strength
71	Furthermore, Mukherjee (2017) found that the coefficient of friction, density and
72	thickness of the hanging wall block havelinear correlation with shear heating. Through
73	theoretical investigation on kinematics and shear heat pattern of ductile simple shear
74	zones, Mulchrone1 & Mukherjee (2016) drawn a conclusion that the maximum
75	temperature in shear zones occurs at either boundaries of the shear zone or equidistant
76	from them. However, the thermal characteristics and mechanisms in jointed rock
77	under the concentrated load have scarcely studied in the past.

As the temperature can be detected by infrared camera, some scholars tried to investigate the stress state and failure process of loaded rock through infrared radiation temperature fields, infrared radiation mechanisms of rock were

81	simultaneously revealed. Initially, the evolution of infrared thermograms of rocks in
82	the failure process was observed by some researchers. For example, Wu et al. (2006a)
83	conducted a series of tests on rock under uniaxial stress, compressive shear, biaxial
84	stress, frictional slide and impact. It is found that highlighted infrared radiation
85	temperature (IRT) is prone to appear at fracturing center of compressively loaded rock
86	and shear zone. Therefore, the thermoelastic effect and the frictional-thermal effect
87	are main mechanisms that cause the infrared radiation image abnormalities.
88	Subsequently, on the basis of the infrared thermograms, IRT fields were quantitatively
89	investigated. Wu et al. (2006b) further studied IRT fields of rock under uniaxial and
90	biaxial load and compressive shear. Several IRT abnormalities were summarized on
91	the basis of the average infrared radiation temperature (AIRT) curves, which can be
92	seen as precursors for rock fracturing and failure. Li et al. (2018) researched the
93	precursor information of coal and rock failure through the maximum IRT and AIRT
94	curves. After the main rupture of the sample, it was found that the maximum ITR
95	increased dramatically, while AIRT curves show obvious hysteresis in reaching the
96	first peak value after the main rapture. For jointed rock with different fissure angles,
97	Cheng et al. (2018) found that the effect of fissure angle on the maximum IRT and
98	AIRT is similar with that on the normal load. The changes of the maximum IRT and
99	AIRT simultaneously reach the peak value when fissure angle is 60 deg. Furthermore,
100	the relationship between stress state of rock and IRT during the loading was explored.
101	Nevertheless, previous studies normally focused on the whole IRT fields of rock

102	under the loading, the aforementioned quantitative indices reflect the overall change
103	of rock surface. Thermal characteristics of rock at the local area such as the crack tips
104	and trajectories of cracks in the fracture process are still unclear.

105 In the present study, a series of indention test were conducted on jointed rock 106 specimens to investigate the thermal effects of jointed layer under concentrated load. 107 During the test, strain and IRT fields of specimens were recorded by digital image 108 correlation (DIC) system and infrared camera, respectively. Subsequently, comparison 109 between strain and IRT fields were undertaken. Unlike the previous investigation, a 110 new understanding on the IRT mechanism of jointed rock is presented. In addition, 111 new thermal criteria for crack propagation were proposed for different crack types 112 based on the maximum temperature gradient and the minimum temperature gradient. 113 Besides revealing crack initiation, propagation and coalescence processes, and 114 temperature concentration effects of jointed rock layer.

#### 115 **2 Experimental Methodology**

116 2.1 Preparation for Specimen and Rock Properties

Granite block collected from Hunan province, China was employed in the present experiment in view of its high sensitivity to temperature under mechanical loading. The granite was cut into 3 blocks with the dimension of 200 mm×140 mm×30 mm, as shown in Figure 1. According to the research conducted by Johnson (1985), the ratio of the specimen width to plastic zone depth should be larger than 6 in order to

122	eliminate the size effect in indentation experiments. Besides, Alehoseein et al. (2000)
123	have pointed out that the plastic zone depths of the granite is 2 mm. The minimum
124	ratio of the width to plastic zone depth is 15, which is much more than 6. Karekal
125	(2000) further pointed out that the depth of plastic zone is function of rock brittleness
126	and he proposed new equations considering strain softening behavior of rocks.
127	Therefore, the dimensions of specimens are reasonable. To fabricate the jointed rock
128	mass similar to that in the nature, rock mass samples were made on the basis of
129	method proposed by Yang et al. (2018a, b) and Zhao et al. (2019). In detail, 4 through
130	going cuts were made in granite block with the aid of water jet. The inclination and
131	spacing of flaws are 30 deg (from horizontal plane) and 50 mm, respectively. Then,
132	the cuts were filled with cement mortar, which is made of 32.5R cement, sand, water
133	and water reducer. Through several tests, it was found that the properties of cement
134	mortar with mass ratio of 1: 1.5: 0.4: 0.15 were well accorded with that of joint plane
135	in nature. Subsequently, specimens were maintained in the standard circumstance for
136	28 days to achieve the expected bonding strength. After that, the specimens were
137	grinded and polished. For the purpose of avoiding the influence of pore water on
138	temperature response under the loading, all specimens were dried in an oven at 50°C
139	for 24 hours, then they were placed in a desiccator for cooling. During the experiment,
140	deformation on the surface of jointed rock mass is measured by DIC system. As the
141	displacement of rock surface is calculated by tracing random speckles on the
142	specimens in DIC technique (Sutton et al. 2009; Munoz et al. 2016a), white paint was

143 firstly painted on the front surface of specimens to make a basecoat. Then, black paint 144 was sprayed randomly. After several treatments mentioned above, jointed rock 145 specimens were evenly fabricated. Properties of granite and jointed plane are listed in 146 Table 1.

#### 147 2.2 Test Apparatus

Test apparatus employed in the present study consists of loading system, control
system, XTDIC-2D system, D384M infrared camera and isolating box, as shown in
Figure 2.

151 In detail, loading system is comprised of a MTS servo-hydraulic machine and a pair 152 of locally designed indenters. The loading capacity of both vertical and horizontal 153 cylinders installed in MTS servo-hydraulic machine is 600 kN and theirs full cylinder 154 stroke is 100 mm. A pair of indenters with spacing of 60 mm and tip width of 12 mm 155 is installed on the vertical platens to generate concentrated load on the fabricated rock 156 mass specimen. Indenters are made of H13 steel with hardness of 55 HRC. The 157 deformation of indenters under loading can be ignored when compared to the 158 deformation of the fabricated rock mass. The loading rate of the actuators 159 (0.001-10mm/min or 0.1-100kN/min) is controlled by the MTS console.

The deformation of rock block during the loading is captured by XTDIC-2D which is
a non-contact deformation measurement system. The measurement system consists of
a Basler acA2440-75um charge coupled device (CCD) camera and a Light-Emitting

163	Diode (LED) light with luminous flux of 18000 lm. The CCD camera has a resolution
164	of 2448 $\times$ 2048 pixels and a frame rate of 75 fps. As light spectrum of LED illuminant
165	does not contain infrared, IRT of jointed rock mass specimens is not affected by LED
166	light (Liu et al. 2018). The field of view and accuracy of XTDIC-2D system is 10
167	$m \times 10$ m and 0.01 pixel, respectively.
168	D384M infrared camera is used to measure the IRT of the rock surface in the
169	experiment. The specifications of D384M are as follows: resolution, $384 \times 288$ pixels;
170	noise-equivalent temperature difference, 0.045 K, frame rate, 12 fps; temperature
171	range, -20-150 degC, 100-650 degC; field of view, 28.4° ×21.5°; spectral range, 8-14
172	$\mu$ m. In addition, to eliminate the influence of environmental interference, an isolating
173	box with 1.5 m in length, 0.3 m in width and height is prepared (Sun et al. 2017). The
174	isolating box is made of cystosepiment, which provide good heat insulation.

### 175 2.3 Experimental Process

176 In the present investigation, a series of indention tests were performed on the jointed 177 rock mass specimens to simulate the cracking process of jointed rock layer under the 178 concentrated load. Detailed experimental procedures are as follows:

(1) Specimens were firstly placed in laboratory for over 24 hours, thus the
temperature of jointed rock specimens is equal to room temperature (Li et al. 2018,
Ma & Sun, 2018). In order to reduce the end friction between the specimen and
platens, the side and bottom of specimens were lubricated by silicon grease prior to

183 loading.

184	(2) The specimen is placed in the isolating box, CCD camera and D384M infrared
185	camera lie 0.8 m away from the front and back of specimens, respectively.
186	(3) Before loading, a horizontal uniform load of 8.4 kN was applied on the side
187	surfaces of specimen, which represents the confining stress of 2MPa in underground.
188	Then the specimen is preloaded with vertical load of 1 kN for 10 min until no further
189	surface deformation is observed in the granite block. Infrared camera was kept in 'on'
190	mode well in advance in order to monitor the IRT field of specimen until it stabilizes.
191	(4) The vertical cylinder in MTS servo-hydraulic universal machine, CCD camera and
192	infrared camera were manually triggered simultaneously. Time intervals of triggering
193	these systems keep within 0.5 s. In the indention process, the vertical cylinder is
194	controlled in displacement manner with loading rate of 0.5 mm/min. Normal force
195	and penetration depth were measured by sensors in MTS servo-hydraulic machine in
196	real time. Horizontal confining stress was kept constant at a value of 2MPa. The
197	frame rate of CCD camera is set to 1 Hz. Infrared radiation video was recorded by
198	infrared camera in time.
199	(5) The experiment was terminated when penetration depth reached 10 mm.

- 200 In addition, to further reduce the environment interference, personnel walking around
- 201 experimental rig was forbidden in the laboratory.

#### 202 2.4 Data Analysis

In the experiment, normal force applied on indenters, penetration depth, photographs captured by CCD camera and infrared radiation video were recorded. Due to the discreteness of experimental results, the most representative data among the three tests were segregated.

207 Data analysis involved calculation and analysis of both the strain fields and the 208 differential infrared thermograms of jointed granite block. In consideration of the 209 advantages of reducing environmental interference and uneven emissivity of the rock 210 sample surface, the differential thermograms were calculated to analyze the thermal 211 characteristics of rock samples. The method for calculating differential thermograms 212 is illustrated in Figure 3. The infrared thermograms at any time can be obtained using 213 D384M software. The thermogram is comprised of an IRT matrix of size of  $288 \times 384$ , and is expressed as  $IRT_{384\times 288}^{(1)}$ . Then the valid matrix  $IRT_{m\times n}^{(1)}$  was selected from 214 215  $IRT_{384\times288}^{(1)}$  using MATLAB code to correspond to IRT of the rock surface at particular 216 location and time. Similarly, a valid matrix at initial time can be obtained, which is expressed as  $IRT_{m\times n}^{(0)}$ . By subtracting  $IRT_{m\times n}^{(1)}$  and  $IRT_{m\times n}^{(0)}$ , differential matrix 217  $\Delta IRT_{m\times n}^{(1)}$  is calculated. Eventually, with the aid of MATLAB, the differential 218 thermogram corresponding to  $\Delta \operatorname{IRT}_{m \times n}^{(1)}$  is extracted. It should be noted that 219 220 differential thermograms had to be further rotated by 180 deg around its vertical 221 central axis in order to match with the images captured by CCD camera.

## **3 Results**

223	In this section, the fracture process of jointed rock layer under concentrated load was
224	shown at first. Given that the focus of present study is on the temperature response of
225	different types of cracks, only the infrared radiation characteristics of cracks in the
226	initiation and propagation processes were analyzed along with the strain fields.
227	3.1 Cracking Behavior of Jointed Rock under Concentrated Load
228	In order to investigate the cracking behavior under concentrated load, crack initiation,
229	propagation and coalescence processes of cracks beneath the left indenter are
230	examined using the strain fields computed from the CCD camera images.
231	Subsequently, on the basis of strain contours, crack types are determined.
232	Fracture processes of cracks beneath the left indenter are depicted in Figure 4. Figure
233	4a shows the initial state of rock specimen in the test. With increase in the
234	concentrated load, the normal and shear stresses also increase, resulting in shear
235	deformation of the joint plane. The joint shear deformation produced a small tensile
236	kink perpendicular to the joint plane in the region beneath the left indenter at 120 s, as
237	illustrated in Figure 4b. Further loading, the kink propagated obliquely producing a
238	main crack which eventually propagated towards the indenter tip at 122 s (Figure 4c).
239	At the same time, indenter penetration resulted in the formation of tensile stresses at
240	the free surface at a distance from an indenter. When these tensile stresses equated to
241	the tensile strength of granite, a secondary crack (conical crack) is emanated from the

242 rock free surface and propagated towards the nearest joint plane. Two seconds later

243 (i.e. 124 s), secondary crack reached joint plane (Figure 4d).

244 Strain contours corresponding to Figure 4a, b and c are calculated by DIC technique, 245 as depicted in Figure 5. Contours of horizontal strain, vertical strain and shear strain 246 are included. The positive values of horizontal and vertical strains represent the 247 tensile strain while the negative values denote compressive strain. For horizontal 248 strain contours (Figure 5a), obvious tensile strain concentration zone could be 249 observed between the left indenter and joint plane when the main crack is initiated 250 (120 s). After that, tensile strain concentration area continued to propagate. At the 251 secondary crack region, horizontal strain along the crack trajectory is little larger than 252 other zone. However, in vertical strain field shown in Figure 5b, no obvious strain 253 concentration is observed at both the trajectories of main and secondary cracks. In 254 shear strain field (Figure 5c), zone of shear strain concentration only appears at the 255 location of main crack. While along the secondary crack, variation of shear strain is 256 not obvious in the cracking process. From the strain fields, it is concluded that the 257 main crack occurs due to the tensile and shear stress, while the secondary crack is 258 mainly caused by tensile stress. Therefore, patterns of main and secondary cracks are 259 the mixed shear-tensile and the tensile cracks, respectively.

260 To better illustrate the thermal effect of fracture process for different types of cracks,

- 261 main and secondary cracks depicted in Figure 4 will be respectively renamed as the
- 262 mixed shear-tensile and the tensile cracks in the sections below.

3.2 Thermal Features during the Initiation of Mixed Shear-tensile Crack and
Tensile Crack

In geological engineering, to detect the position of crack initiation and avoid the disaster, it is necessary to understand the thermal features of crack initiation processes with different crack types. Consequently, on the basis of the evolutions of infrared thermograms and IRT at initiation points of crack, the thermal features of crack initiation are shown in this section.

During the experiment, the infrared thermograms of rock sample surface were recorded in the fracture process. Differential thermograms at time of crack initiation (120 s and 122 s) are depicted in Figure. 6. Besides, to highlight the temperature variations in local area, a term "temperature concentration factor (TCF)" is introduced, which is given by

275 
$$TCF_{t} = \frac{\Delta IRT_{i,j,t}}{\Delta AIRT_{t}}$$
(1)

where  $\Delta IRT_{i,j,t}$  denotes the differential infrared radiation temperature of a point (i, j)at the time t,  $\Delta AIRT_t$  represents the differential average infrared radiation temperature of the whole rock surface at the time t.  $\Delta IRT_{i,j,t}$  and  $\Delta AIRT_t$  can be obtained from differential thermograms. Larger TCF value indicates higher temperature at the studied point compared with the average temperature on the whole rock surface.

282 Figure 6 also depicts TCF at initiation points of the mixed shear-tensile crack (point

283	A) and tensile crack (point B) as a function of time. For the mixed shear-tensile crack
284	it is found that TCF increase gradually before 120 s. Then the value rises dramatically
285	from 1.29 to 1.71. Accordingly, highlighted temperature localization zone appears at
286	point A when $t=120$ s. However, the variation of TCF at initiation point of the tensile
287	crack shows a different trend. From 0 to 122 s, TCF generally decrease from 1.50 to
288	1.14, but at the time of crack initiation (122 s), TCF reaches the minimum value of
289	1.00. At this time, no obvious temperature localization zone appears at the initiation
290	point for the tensile crack. In contrast, along the mixed shear-tensile crack, high
291	temperature zone extends to the free surface near the indenter region.
292	That is to say, the sudden appearance of high temperature zone extends could be seen
293	as the temperature characteristic of the mixed shear-tensile crack initiation. For the
294	tensile crack, however, the highlighted temperature zone is not obvious because the

temperature reaches the minimum value at the time of rupture.

#### 296 4 Discussion

The thermomechanical coupling effect is defined as the heat production in a solid due to stress action. Therefore, thermal features of jointed rock layer under concentrated load are closely related to the stress conditions. In this part, with the aim to reveal the correlation between stress and IRT at jointed rock layer during the crack initiation, and propagation processes, comparison between strain fields and differential thermograms in the cracking process are made. Then, based on the thermomechanical

303	coupling effect, the mechanism of IRT characteristics at crack initiation points is
304	explained. In addition, new criteria for the crack propagation from thermal
305	perspective are proposed. Finally, thermal concentration effect at both crack initiation
306	and coalescence points are described.

307 4.1 Comparison Between Strain fields and Differential Thermograms during
 308 Cracking Processes

309 During the infrared detection process, it is necessary to identify the hidden features in 310 layer on the basis of infrared fields. To investigate the relation between thermal 311 features of jointed layer at their failure region and corresponding cracking behaviors, 312 comparisons between differential thermograms and strain fields are made.

313 The evolutions of infrared radiation fields and corresponding strain fields in cracking 314 process are illustrated in Figures 6 and 5 respectively. For the mixed shear-tensile 315 crack, it is found that highlighted temperature localization zone extends from point A 316 to the zone beneath the left indenter in the crack initiation and propagation processes. 317 Correspondingly, tensile and shear strain concentrations appear along the trajectory of 318 the crack. However, for the tensile crack, no obvious high temperature zone appears 319 along the crack. From strain fields, it can be seen that tensile strain accumulation in 320 the tensile crack region is much larger than the shear strain.

321 By comparison, it is concluded that the shear deformation of crack plays a decisive322 role in the highlighted temperature localization. This can be explained by the

323	frictional-thermal effect, which means the heat production caused by friction between
324	rock grains and micro friction of closed micro-cracks inside the rock. To investigate
325	the shear deformation mode of cracks, 5 evenly distributed points each along the
326	mixed shear-tensile crack and side tensile crack are selected, as shown in Figure 7.
327	These points are numbered from 1 to 10 in sequence. The variations of shear strain for
328	the mixed shear-tensile and tensile cracks are illustrated in Figure 8 (a) and (b),
329	respectively. By comparison, it is seen that shear strain along the mixed shear-tensile
330	crack increase gradually after 100 s, indicating friction between rock grains and
331	between microcracks. After 120 s, shear strain increases dramatically followed by
332	macro-crack formation. More friction heat is produced, causing obvious temperature
333	concentration zone along the crack trajectory, whereas the shear strain in the tensile
334	crack region maintains stable during the whole experiment, therefore, the
335	frictional-thermal effect is not seen in the cracking process. This has some
336	implications in the geological prospecting. The shear deformation of strata is
337	commonly encountered (e.g., landslide, slope stability, fault movement in earthquakes
338	or reservoir induced seismicity). Locations of shear failure in strata can be found
339	according to the highlighted temperature localization zone in IRT fields.

340 4.2 Understanding of IRT Mechanism of Jointed Rock Layer Induced by341 Stress at Crack Initiation Points

342 Wu et al. (2006a) pointed out that the thermoelastic effect and the frictional-thermal

343	effect are two of the main mechanisms that affecting the IRT of loaded rock. Although
344	the IRT mechanism of rock has been investigated by many researches, thermal
345	features at crack initiation points are not captured properly. Therefore, in this study,
346	stress states of crack initiation points are firstly determined on the basis of strain fields.
347	Then, according to the thermoelastic effect and the frictional-thermal effect, the
348	influence of stress on IRT mechanism at the point of crack initiation in jointed rock
349	layers is explored.

350 Overall, the thermomechanical coupling effect is divided into thermoelastic, 351 thermoplastic and thermoviscous effects (Harwood and Cummings, 1991). Due to the 352 obvious brittleness and high compression strength of tested granite sample, the plastic 353 and viscous deformation of rock could be ignored (Wu et al. 2006a). In addition, 354 study conducted by Chen et al. (2015) shows that pure shear deformation is a plastic 355 deformation, which could not produce any heat in the early stages of loading. 356 Therefore, only the thermoelastic effect is taken into consideration before the crack 357 initiation process. According to the thermoelastic effect, relationship between surface 358 physical temperature change and the stress is:

359 
$$\Delta T = -\frac{\alpha}{\rho C_p} T \Delta (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3)$$
(2)

360 where  $\Delta T$  is the change of temperature in K, *T* refers to absolute temperature of the 361 surface of the solid, in K,  $\alpha$  represents the factor of linear thermal expansion in K<sup>-1</sup>,  $\rho$ 362 stands for density of solid (Kg/m<sup>3</sup>),  $C_{\rho}$  denotes the heat capacity of solid at normal atmosphere (J·kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>),  $\Delta(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3)$  is the sum of principal stress in MPa. It should be noted that  $\sigma < 0$  represents compressive stress. That is to say, the temperature of the solid will be increased under the compression and it would be reduced under the tension. Beside, in this experiment, jointed rock mass sample is loaded under plane stress, thus  $\sigma_2=0$ .

368 In the plane stress problem,  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_3$  are expressed as:

369 
$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_3 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\sigma_x + \sigma_y}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma_x + \sigma_y}{2}\right)^2 + \tau_{xy}^2} \end{aligned}$$
(3)

370 Since principal stress coincide with x and y direction in the experimental set up, and371 they can be related as:

372 
$$\sigma_1 + \sigma_3 = \sigma_x + \sigma_y \tag{4}$$

373 By substituting Equation 4 into Equation 2,  $\Delta T$  can be calculated

374 
$$\Delta T = -\frac{\alpha}{\rho C_p} \Delta \left(\sigma_x + \sigma_y\right) \tag{5}$$

375 To explore the stress conditions of jointed rock, the horizontal, vertical and shear strains (corresponding to  $\varepsilon_x$ ,  $\varepsilon_y$  and  $\gamma$ ) at initiation points for the mixed 376 377 shear-tensile crack and tensile crack are calculated through the DIC technology, the results are present in Figure 9. In this figure, negative and positive  $\varepsilon_x$  or  $\varepsilon_y$ 378 379 represent compression and tensile deformation, respectively. From Figure 9, it is 380 concluded that the stress state at initiation point for the mixed shear-tensile crack 381 changes from biaxial compression to the horizontal tension-vertical compression in 382 the elastic stage. In the crack initiation process, frictional sliding of the crack takes

383 place. For the tensile crack, the stress state at initiation point changes from uniaxial 384 compression to uniaxial tension in the whole loading process, frictional sliding is not 385 obvious during the crack initiation.

According to stress states mentioned above, in the case of the mixed shear-tensile crack, the thermoelastic effect is the main factor influencing the IRT of initiation point just before the failure occurs. During the crack initiation process, the frictional-thermal effect is more prominent. As for the tensile crack, the IRT is only determined by the thermoelastic effect.

391 In elastic stress state,  $\varepsilon_x$  and  $\varepsilon_y$  are calculated using generalized Hooke's Law as 392 follows:

393
$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon_x = \frac{1}{E}(\sigma_x - \mu \sigma_y) \\ \varepsilon_y = \frac{1}{E}(\sigma_y - \mu \sigma_x) \end{cases}$$
(6)

394 where *E* and  $\mu$  are the Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio of rock, respectively.  $\sigma_x$ 395 and  $\sigma_y$  are horizontal and vertical stresses acting on the rock. Therefore,  $\sigma_x$  and 396  $\sigma_y$  is expressed as:

397  

$$\begin{cases}
\sigma_x = \frac{1}{1 - \mu^2} (E\varepsilon_x + \mu E\varepsilon_y) \\
\sigma_y = \frac{1}{1 - \mu^2} (E\varepsilon_y + \mu E\varepsilon_x)
\end{cases}$$
(7)

398 According to  $\varepsilon_x$  and  $\varepsilon_y$  obtained from DIC technology,  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$  can be 399 calculated using Equation (7). For the mixed shear-tensile crack,  $\varepsilon_y$  is much larger 400 than  $\varepsilon_x$  in the elastic stage. In addition, from equation (5), it is deduced that:

401 
$$\Delta T = \Delta T_x + \Delta T_y = -\frac{\alpha}{\rho C_p} \Delta \sigma_x - \frac{\alpha}{\rho C_p} \Delta \sigma_y$$
(8)

402 
$$\frac{\Delta T_x}{\Delta T_y} = \frac{\Delta \sigma_x}{\Delta \sigma_y}$$
(9)

403  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$  obtained from DIC technology (Eq. 7) are equal to  $\Delta \sigma_x$  and  $\Delta \sigma_y$ , 404 respectively. By substituting equation (7) into equation (9), the proportions of 405 temperature caused by horizontal and vertical stresses are obtained. The temperature 406 proportion for the mixed shear-tensile crack is shown in Figure 10. From this figure, it 407 can be observed that the proportion of  $\Delta T_{v}$  continues to increase with time. But at 408 the time before crack initiation, horizontal strain becomes positive, causing the 409 reduction in temperature. Thus the proportion of  $\Delta T_x$  is defined as positive. Whereas, 410 the proportion of  $\Delta T_{\nu}$  at that corresponding time becomes numerically larger than 411 100%. That is to say,  $\sigma_y$  play a decisive role in heat production for the mixed 412 shear-tensile crack before the crack initiation. Vertical stress acting on the initiation 413 point of this crack increases continually (Figure 9b), causing the increase in the 414 temperature. As a result, TCF of the mixed shear-tensile crack increases gradually 415 before the failure. At the time just before the crack initiation, dramatic increase in  $\gamma$ 416 indicates the obvious frictional-thermal effect, leading to the sudden increase in TCF 417 and highlighted temperature zone on the rock surface. As for the tensile crack is 418 concerned, the IRT is only determined by the thermoelastic effect. Generally, the 419 horizontal stress acting on the initiation point varies from compression to tension, the 420 change of sum of principal stress is positive, causing the continue decrease in TCF, as

#### 421 shown in Figure 6.

422	From the characteristics of TCF, the failure zone of jointed rock with different crack
423	types can be detected once the cracks initiate, especially the mixed shear-tensile crack
424	and shear crack. This phenomenon is found similar to that of results of Liu et al.
425	(2006), in which a uniaxial compression test and the compressively induced shearing
426	test were conducted on rock samples. At failure moment, it was found that both the
427	shear fractures were accompanied by highlighted IRT concentration. The main
428	reasons are attributed to the frictional-thermal effect.

429 4.3 Crack Propagation Criteria based on Thermal Effects

In fracture mechanics, several criterions for crack propagation have been proposed from the perspective of stress and energy, such as the maximum circumferential stress criterion, the energy release rate criterion and the strain energy density criterion. However, from thermal point of view, the crack propagation criteria have been scarcely studied. In this section, the maximum temperature gradient criterion and the minimum temperature gradient criterion are firstly proposed according to IRT results. Based on these criteria, the direction of crack propagation can be determined.

To compare IRT at zones nearby the crack tips with that at point 1-10, 8 circles or
semicircles which numbered I, II, III, IV, VI, VII, VIII and IX were selected, the
corresponding centers of them are point 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 and 9, respectively (Figure
7). Radii of circles or semicircles are equal to the distance between the adjacent points

on crack trajectories. Therefore, variations of differential temperature  $\Delta$ IRT at these points with time, before crack coalescence, were calculated. After that, the term "temperature gradient" is proposed. It reflects the change in temperature over the circle or semicircle, which is obtained by

445 
$$G_{Ti} = \frac{\Delta IRT_{i+1} - \Delta IRT_{i}}{r_{i}} \quad (i=1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9)$$
(10)

446 Where  $G_{Ti}$  is the temperature gradient at zones around point *i*,  $\Delta IRT_i$  and  $\Delta IRT_{i+1}$ 447 represent the differential temperature at *i* th point and (*i*+1) th point on circle or 448 semicircle, respectively.  $r_i$  is radius of *i* th circle or semicircle.

449 From Equation 10, the temperature gradient at any time is calculated. Subsequently, 450 average temperature gradients from 0 s to the time just before crack coalescence are 451 obtained, as illustrated in Figure 11. Obviously, for each circle or semicircle along the 452 shear-tensile crack, the average temperature gradient at the time of failure is larger 453 than others points. On the contrary, in the case of the tensile crack, the average 454 temperature gradient at the time of failure is the minimum. In another word, the mixed 455 shear-tensile crack propagates toward the direction where the average temperature 456 gradient is maximum, while the tensile crack propagates toward the direction where 457 the average temperature gradient is minimum, and these are termed as "the maximum 458 temperature gradient criterion" and "the minimum temperature gradient criterion", 459 respectively.



461 effect. As mentioned in section 4.2, before the crack initiation, the thermoelastic effect 462 is considered as the main mechanism of heat production. From Equation 5, it can be 463 deduced that the change in IRT is a linear function of  $\sigma_x + \sigma_y$ . Similarly, "stress 464 gradient" around the crack tip is defined as below:

465 
$$G_{Si} = \frac{\left(\sigma_x + \sigma_y\right)_{i+1} - \left(\sigma_x + \sigma_y\right)_i}{r_i} \quad (i=1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9)$$
(11)

Where  $G_{Si}$  represents the stress gradient at zones around point *i*,  $(\sigma_x + \sigma_y)_i$  and 466  $(\sigma_x + \sigma_y)_{i+1}$  are the sum of horizontal and vertical stress at *i*th point and (*i*+1)th point 467 468 (i.e., circle or semicircle), respectively. Similarly, average stress gradients from 0 s to 469 the time just before crack coalescence are calculated, as shown in Figure 11. For 470 points at the same circles or semicircles, strong correlation between temperature 471 gradient and stress gradient along the cracks can be found. Especially, the average 472 stress gradients at the mixed shear-tensile is smaller than that of at other points, while 473 the values at tensile cracks are larger than other points. This phenomenon indicates 474 that the compressive stress dominates the trajectory of the mixed shear-tensile crack, 475 negative stress gradient stands for the increase in compression. As a result, 476 temperature along the shear-tensile crack is larger than other zone. In the crack 477 propagation process, frictional-thermal effect also leads to the increase in temperature. 478 Subsequently, heating zone occurs along the shear-tensile crack. However, for the 479 tensile crack, positive stress gradient represents the increase in tensile, causing 480 reduction in temperature in that zone. Temperature fields along the cracks are

481 illustrated in Figure 12. Consequently, compared with IRT with other zone, the
482 temperature gradients along the mixed shear-tensile crack is the maximum, while the
483 value along the tensile crack trajectory is the minimum.

- 484 4.4 Temperature Concentration Effects during the Different Cracking Stages
- In the present study, a new phenomenon is observed that the cracks show various temperature concentration characteristics during the crack initiation, propagation and coalescence processes. To investigate the thermal features in cracking processes, temperature concentration factors (TCFs) of each point along the cracks (points 1-10 in Figure 7) are calculated. Regrettably, the trends of TCFs for each point cannot be distinguished directly. Therefore, variances of TCFs from 0 s to the rupture time are further calculated, results are shown in Figure 13a.

In Figure 13a, it is found that the variance trends of TCFs for the mixed shear-tensile and tensile cracks are similar with each other. For each crack, variances of TCFs at crack initiation point (i.e. point 1 or 6) and crack coalescence point (i.e. point 5 or 10) are obviously more than that at other points. The phenomenon indicates that the temperature change during crack initiation and coalescence processes is more pronounced than that in crack propagation process.

498 To explain the temperature concentration effects during the different cracking stages, 499 the variances of  $\Delta(\sigma_x + \sigma_y)$  for the corresponding points are calculated, and the 500 results are illustrated in Figure 13b. By comparison, it is seen that the variances of

501	TCF and $\Delta(\sigma_x + \sigma_y)$ have similar trends. Study done by Ma et al. (2018) also found
502	that the sudden changes in successive minus infrared image temperature often
503	accompanied with sudden changes in stress. Before the crack initiation, no obvious
504	flaws appear at the initiation points, so more stress is needed to achieve stress
505	concentration at the initiation points as $\Delta$ IRT is proportional to $\Delta(\sigma_x + \sigma_y)$ . In
506	addition, from Figure 8, it is also found that obvious shear deformation takes place for
507	the mixed shear-tensile crack at the time of crack initiation. As a result, dramatic
508	change in IRT occurs during crack initiation stage. After that, cracks propagate stably,
509	causing the reduction in the variation of $\Delta(\sigma_x + \sigma_y)$ . At the time of crack
510	coalescence, the mechanisms of stress change for the mixed shear-tensile and tensile
511	cracks differ. Overall, for the mixed shear-tensile crack, when the crack passes
512	through the rock, stress at crack tip disappears suddenly, causing the dramatic
513	decrease in IRT. However, for the tensile crack, when crack coalesces with the jointed
514	plane, concentrated stress at the crack tip overlay the stress along the jointed plane,
515	leading to the sudden increase in stress at crack coalescence point. The dramatic
516	change in IRT at crack initiation and coalescence points will be favorable for
517	determining the failure zone in jointed rock layers.

## 518 **5 Conclusions**

519 In the present study performs a series of indention tests on the jointed rock specimens520 were performed to understand the fracture process of jointed layer under concentrated

521	load based on thermal characteristics and strain field characteristics. Thermal effects
522	in crack initiation, propagation and coalescence processes were investigated. Based on
523	the experimental results, some new understandings of infrared radiation features of
524	cracking processes in jointed rock mass are concluded as follows:
525	1. Comparisons between the strain fields and differential thermograms in cracking
526	processes indicate that the highlighted temperature localization in IRT fields mainly
527	caused by the frictional-thermal effect, while the effects of tensile and compressive
528	stresses are not quite conspicuous.
529	2. Based on the stress states obtained from DIC technique, ITR mechanisms at crack
530	initiation crack were highlighted. For the mixed shear-tensile crack, thermoelastic
531	effect and the frictional-thermal effect were dominant and they influence the IRT
532	distribution at the time of crack initiation and propagation. Whereas in case of tensile
533	crack, the IRT is only determined by the thermoelastic effect in cracking process.
534	3. The mixed shear-tensile crack and tensile crack propagate following the maximum
535	temperature gradient criterion and the minimum temperature gradient criterion,
536	respectively. The new thermal criteria are in congruent with that of the stress gradient
537	along the crack trajectories.
538	4. High variations in the IRT at crack initiation points and coalescence points are
539	observed than at other regions. Further, a strong correlation between the stress
540	gradient and the temperature gradient during the crack initiation and coalescence
541	process is observed.

#### 542 Acknowledgements

543	The financial support from Project supported by graduate research and innovation
544	foundation of Chongqing, China (Grant No.CYB19015), Natural Science Fund of
545	China (Nos. 51879016) and the National Key R&D Program of China,
546	No.2018YFC1505504) are greatly appreciated. Data supporting this research are
547	available in: https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/wy92dfnyds/draft?a=b1f355c9-7765
548	-462c-86f2-77b4ed47b574. The authors declare no conflict of interest. No financial
549	and personal relationships with other people or organizations that can inappropriately
550	influence our work.

#### 551 References

- 552 Alehoseein, H., Detournay, E., & Huang, H. (2000), An analytical model for the indentation of
- 553 rocks by blunt tools. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 33(4), 267-284.
- 554 doi:10.1007/s006030070003
- 555 Bosea, N., & Mukherjee, S. (2020), Estimation of deformation temperatures, flow stresses and
- strain rates from an intra-continental shear zone: The Main Boundary Thrust, NW Himalaya
- 557 (Uttarakhand, India). *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 112, 104094. doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.
- 558 2019.104094
- 559 Chen, S., Liu, P., Guo, Y., Liu, L., & Ma, J. (2015), An experiment on temperature variations in
- 560 sandstone during biaxial loading. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 85-86, 3-8. doi:10.
- 561 1016/j.pce.2014.10.006

- 562 Chen, S., Liu, P., Liu, L., & Ma, J. (2013), A phenomenon of ground temperature change prior to
- 563 Lushan earthquake observed in Kangding. Seismolog and Geology, 35(3), 634-640.
- 564 doi:10.3969/j.issn.0253-4967
- 565 Chen, S., Liu, P., Liu, L., & Ma, J. (2016), Bedrock temperature as a potential method for
- 566 monitoring change in crustal stress: Theory, in situ measurement, and a case history. Journal
- 567 of Asian Earth Sciences, 123, 22-33. doi:10.1016/j.jseaes.2016.03.018
- 568 Cheng, C., Li, Z., Li, G., Wei, Y., Yin, S., Liu, S., & Kong, Y. (2018), Influence of prefabricated
- 569 fissure angle on sandstone damage and infrared radiation temperature characteristics. *Journal*
- 570 of Geophysics and Engineering, 15(4), 1187-1196. doi:10.1088/1742-2140/aaacaf
- 571 Graham, C. M. & England, P. C. (1976), Thermal regimes and regional metamorphism in the
- 572 vicinity of overthrust faults: An example of shear heating and inverted metamorphic zonation
- 573 from southern California. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 31(1), 142-152. doi:10.1016/
- 574 0012-821X(76)90105-9
- 575 Harwood, N., & Cummings, W. M. (1991), Thermoelastic stress analysis. Bristol: IOP Publishing
- 576 Ltd.
- 577 Johnson, K. L. (1985), Contact mechanics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 578 Karekal, S. (2000), Analytical and experimental investigations of rock indentation process. In 9th
- 579 Annual JKMRC Conference, pp. 107-138.
- 580 Li, H., Xue, L., Brodsky, E. E., Mori, J. J., Fulton, P. M., Wang, H., Kano, K., Yun, K., Harris, R.
- 581 N., Gong, Z., Li, C., Si, J., Sun, Z., Pei, J., Zheng, Y., & Xu, Z. (2015), Long-term
- 582 temperature records following the Mw 7.9 Wenchuan (China) earthquake are consistent with

583 low friction. *Geology*, 43(2), 163-166. doi:10.1130/g35515.1

584	Li, Z., Lou, Q., Wang, E., Liu, S., & Niu, Y. (2017), Study on acoustic-electric-heat effect of coal
585	and rock failure processes under uniaxial compression. Journal of Geophysics and
586	Engineering, 15(1), 71-80. doi:10.1088/1742-2140/aa8437
587	Li, Z., Yin, S. & Niu, Y. (2018), Experimental study on the infrared thermal imaging of a coal
588	fracture under the coupled effects of stress and gas. Journal of natural gas science and
589	engineering, 55, 444-451. doi:10.1016/j.jngse.2018.05.019
590	Liu, Q., Liu, Q., Pan, Y., Peng, X., Deng, P., & Huang, K. (2018), Experimental study on rock
591	indentation using infrared thermography and acoustic emission techniques. Journal of
592	Geophysics and Engineering, 15, 1864-1877. doi:10.1088/1742-2140/aac096
593	Liu, S., Wu, L., & Wu, Y. (2006). Infrared radiation of rock at failure. International Journal of
594	Rock Mechanics & Mining Sciences, 43, 972-979. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmms.2005.12.
595	009
596	Long, S., McQuarrie, N., Tobgay, T., & Hawthorne, J. (2011), Quantifying internal strain and
597	deformation temperature in the eastern Himalaya, Bhutan: implications for the evolution of
598	strain in thrust sheets. Journal of Structural Geology, 33, 579-608. doi:10.1016/j.jsg.2010.12.
599	011
600	Lu, X., Meng, Q., Gu, X., Zhang, X., Xie, T., & Geng, F. (2016), Thermal infrared anomalies
601	associated with multi-year earthquakes in the Tibet region based on China's FY-2E satellite

- 602 data. Advances in Space Research, 58(6), 989-1001. doi:10.1016/j.asr.2016.05.038
- 603 Ma, L., & Sun, H. (2018), Spatial-temporal infrared radiation precursors of coal failure under

- 604 uniaxial compressive loading. *Infrared Physics & Technology*, 93, 144-153. doi:10.1016/j.
- 605 infrared.2018.07.034
- 606 Ma, L., Sun, H., Zhang, Y., Hu, H., & Zgang, C. G. (2018), The role of stress in controlling
- 607 infrared radiation during coal and rock failures. *Strain*, 54, e12295. doi:10.1111/str.12295
- 608 Milne, J. (1886), *Earthquakes and Other Earth Movements*. New York: D. Appleton and Co.
- 609 Mukherjee, S. (2017), Shear heating by translational brittle reverse faulting along a single, sharp
- 610 and straight fault plane. Journal of Earth System Science, 126, 1-5. doi:10.1007/s12040-016-
- 611 0788-5
- 612 Mulchrone, K. F., & Mukherjee, S. (2016), Kinematics and shear heat pattern of ductile simple
- 613 shear zones with 'slip boundary condition'. International Journal of Earth Sciences, 105(3),
- 614 1015-1020. doi:10.1007/s00531-015-1206-y
- 615 Munoz, H., Taheri, A., & Chanda, E. K. (2016), Pre-peak and post-peak rock strain characteristics
- 616 during uniaxial compression by 3D digital image correlation. Rock Mechanics and Rock
- 617 Engineering, 49(7), 2541–2554. doi:10.1007/s00603-016-0935-y
- 618 Ramasamy, S. M., Gunasekaran, S., Rajagopal, N., Saravanavel, J. & Kumanan, C. J. (2019),
- 619 Flood 2018 and the status of reservoir-induced seismicity in Kerala, India. *Natural Hazards*,
- 620 99(1), 307-319. doi:10.1007/s11069-019-03741-x
- 621 Sanderson, D. J. (1982), Models of strain variation in nappes and thrust sheets: A review.
- 622 Tectonophysics, 88(3-4), 201-233. doi:10.1016/0040-1951(82)90237-2
- 623 Stiros, S. C. & Pytharouli, S. (2018), Interpretations of reservoir-induced seismicity may not
- 624 always be valid: The case of seismicity during the impoundment of the Kremasta Dam

- 625 (Greece, 1965-1966). Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 108(5B), 3005-3015.
- 626 doi:10.1785/0120170359
- 627 Sun, H., Ma, L., Adeleke, N., & Zhang, Y. (2017), Background thermal noise correction
- 628 methodology for average infrared radiation temperature of coal under uniaxial loading.
- 629 Infrared Physics and Technology, 81, 157-165. doi:10.1016/j.infrared.2017.01.001
- 630 Sutton, M. A., Orteu, J. J., & Schreier, H. (2009), Image correlation for shape, motion and
- 631 *deformation measurements*. Berlin: Springer.
- 632 Tramutoli, V., Aliano, C., Corrado, R., Filizzola, C., Genzano, N., Lisi, M., Martinelli, G. &
- 633 Pergola, N. (2013), On the possible origin of thermal infrared radiation (TIR) anomalies in
- 634 earthquake-prone areas observed using robust satellite techniques (RST). *Chemical Geology*,
- 635 339, 157-168. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2012.10.042
- 636 Wu, L., Liu, S., Wu, Y. & Wang, C. (2006a), Precursors for rock fracturing and failure-Part I: IRR
- 637 image abnormalities, International Journal of Rock Mechanics & Mining Sciences, 43,
- 638 473-482. doi:10.1016/j.ijrmms.2005.09.001
- 639 Wu, L., Liu, S., Wu, Y., & Wang, C. (2006b), Precursors for rock fracturing and failure-Part II:
- 640 IRR T-Curve abnormalities. International Journal of Rock Mechanics & Mining Sciences, 43,
- 641 483-493. doi:10.1016/j.ijrmms.2005.09.001
- 642 Xiong, P., Shen, X., Gu, X., Meng, Q., Bi, Y., Zhao, L., Zhao, Y., Li, Y., & Dong, J. (2015),
- 643 Satellite detection of IR precursors using bi-angular advanced along-track scanning
- 644 radiometer data: a case study of Yushu earthquake. *Earthquake Science*, 28(1), 25-36.
- 645 doi:10.1007/s11589-015-0111-6

- 646 Yang, H., Li, Z., Jie, T., & Zhang, Z. (2018a), Effects of joints on the cutting behavior of disc
- 647 cutter running on the jointed rock mass. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*, 81,
- 648 112-120. doi:10.1016/j.tust.2018.07.023
- 649 Yang, H., Liu, J., & Liu, B. (2018b), Investigation on the cracking character of jointed rock mass
- beneath TBM disc cutter. Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering, 51, 1263-1277.
- 651 doi:10.1007/s00603-017-1395-8
- 652 Zhao, Y., Yang, H., Chen, Z., Chen, X., Huang, L., & Liu, S. (2019), Effects of jointed rock mass
- and mixed ground conditions on the cutting efficiency and cutter wear of tunnel boring
- machine. Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering, 52, 1303-1313.
- 655 doi:10.1007/s00603-018-1667-y

656	Headings
-----	----------

- 657 **1 Introduction**
- 658 **2 Experimental Methodology**
- 659 2.1 Preparation for Specimen and Rock Properties
- 660 2.2 Test Apparatus
- 661 2.3 Experimental Process
- 662 2.4 Data Analysis
- 663 **3 Results**
- 664 3.1 Cracking Behavior of Jointed Rock under Concentrated Load
- 665 3.2 Thermal Features during the Initiation of Mixed Shear-tensile Crack and Tensile
- 666 Crack
- 667 **4 Discussion**
- 668 4.1 Comparison Between Strain fields and Differential Thermograms during Cracking
- 669 Processes
- 670 4.2 Understanding of IRT Mechanism of Jointed Rock Layer Induced by Stress at
- 671 Crack Initiation Points
- 672 4.3 Crack Propagation Criteria based on Thermal Effects
- 673 4.4 Temperature Concentration Effects during the Different Cracking Stages
- 674 **5 Conclusions**
- 675

#### 676 Figure or Table Captions

- 677 **Figure 1.** The picture of jointed rock mass sample (unit: mm).
- 678 Figure 2. Test system and experimental setup. (a) The positions of indenters and
- 679 jointed rock specimen, (b) experimental system, (c) the back of rock specimen, (d) the
- 680 front of rock specimen.
- 681 Figure 3. Schematic diagram of the methodology for calculating differential infrared
- thermograms. Step 1: Extraction of IRT matrix of the whole image by IRT software.
- 683 Step 2: Extraction of IRT matrix at the rock mass zone. Step 3: Calculation of the
- 684 differential temperature. Step 4: Extracting the differential thermograms.
- **Figure 4.** Cracking process of jointed rock under local stress at . (a) 0s; (b) 120 s; (c)
- 686 122 s; (**d**) 124 s.
- Figure 5. The evolution of strain fields of jointed rock during the cracking. (a) The
  horizontal strain. (b) Vertical strain. (c) Shear strain.
- 689 Figure 6. Curves of temperature concentration factors of initiation points for the
- 690 mixed shear-tensile and tensile cracks. The corresponding differential thermograms of
- 691 jointed rock mass at the time of crack initiation are depicted. Initiation points for the
- 692 mixed shear-tensile and tensile cracks are point A and point B, respectively.
- Figure 7. The positions for data collection at the mixed shear-tensile crack and tensilecrack.
- 695 Figure 8. Variations of shear strain along (a) the mixed shear-tensile crack and (b)

conside eraem

- 697 Figure 9. The variations of (a) horizontal strain, (b) vertical strain and (c) shear strain
- 698 of mixed shear-tensile crack and tensile crack in cracking process.
- 699 Figure 10. The proportion of temperature caused by  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$  at initiation points for
- 700 the mixed shear-tensile crack.
- 701 Figure 11. Comparisons between average temperature gradients and stress gradients
- along the cracks, (a) the mixed shear-tensile crack, (b) tensile crack.
- Figure 12. Temperature field along the cracks. Healing zone and cooling zone occur
- along the trajectories of the mixed shear-tensile crack and tensile crack, respectively.
- 705 Figure 13. Comparisons between (a) variances of temperature concentration factor
- 706 and (b)  $(\sigma_x + \sigma_y)$  for the mixed shear-tensile crack and tensile crack.
- 707 **Table 1.** Physical and mechanical properties of granite and jointed plane.
- 708
- 709
- 710
- 711
- 712
- 713
- 714
- 715
- 716



**Figure 1.** The picture of jointed rock mass sample (unit: mm).







726

Figure 3. Schematic diagram of the methodology for calculating differential infrared
thermograms. Step 1: Extraction of IRT matrix of the whole image by IRT software.
Step 2: Extraction of IRT matrix at the rock mass zone. Step 3: Calculation of the
differential temperature. Step 4: Extracting the differential thermograms.





735 122 s; (**d**) 124 s.



737 Figure 5. The evolution of strain fields of jointed rock during the cracking. (a) The

738 horizontal strain. (b) Vertical strain. (c) Shear strain.



Figure 6. Curves of temperature concentration factors of initiation points for the mixed shear-tensile and tensile cracks. The corresponding differential thermograms of jointed rock mass at the time of crack initiation are depicted. Initiation points for the mixed shear-tensile and tensile cracks are point A and point B, respectively.





744 Figure 7. The positions for data collection at the mixed shear-tensile crack and tensile

- rack.



752 Figure 8. Variations of shear strain along (a) the mixed shear-tensile crack and (b)

<sup>753</sup> tensile crack.





## 757 Figure 9. The variations of (a) horizontal strain, (b) vertical strain and (c) shear strain



758 of mixed shear-tensile crack and tensile crack in cracking process.

759

Figure 10. The proportion of temperature caused by  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$  at initiation points for

761 the mixed shear-tensile crack.







765 Figure 11. Comparisons between average temperature gradients and stress gradients

along the cracks, (a) the mixed shear-tensile crack, (b) tensile crack.



768 Figure 12. Temperature field along the cracks. Healing zone and cooling zone occur

along the trajectories of the mixed shear-tensile crack and tensile crack, respectively.



779 Figure 13. Comparisons between (a) variances of temperature concentration factor

780	and ( <b>b</b> )	$(\sigma_x + \sigma_y)$	for the mixed shear-tensile crack and tensile crack	ck.
-----	------------------	-------------------------	---	-----

## 

**Table 1.** Physical and mechanical properties of granite and jointed plane

	ho /g/cm <sup>3</sup>	E/GPa	$\sigma_c$ /MPa	$\sigma_t$ /MPa	ν	c /MPa	arphi /
Granite	2.6	39.8	124.7	12.3	0.21	12.5	45.0
Joint plane	2.1	5.6	29.3	1.5	0.15	0.57	32.0