Best practice and quality assessment procedures for site characterization at seismic station: an European initiative

Cultrera Giovanna¹, Di Giulio Giuseppe¹, Cornou Cécile², Bard Pierre-yves², and Al Tfaily Bilal²

¹Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia ²Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, IRD, IFSTTAR, ISTerre

November 16, 2022

Abstract

Seismic site characterization of rock and soil properties has a large impact on earthquake ground motions and engineering seismology, especially for evaluation of local site amplification, calibration of strong-motion records and realistic shaking estimates at seismic stations, site-specific hazard assessment, estimation of ground motion models and soil classification for building code applications. However, there is not yet a common way to exchange site characterization information, whereas setting-up standard practices and quality assessment are becoming very important to reach high-level metadata. Within the framework of the SERA "Seismology and Earthquake Engineering Research Infrastructure Alliance for Europe" Horizon 2020 Project, a networking activity is leading to the definition of a European strategy and standards for site characterization of seismic stations in Europe. Based on the results of an online questionnaire, we first defined a list of indicators considered as mandatory for a reliable site characterization: fundamental resonance frequency, shear-wave velocity profile (Vs), time-averaged Vs over the first 30 m, depth of seismological and engineering bedrock, surface geology, soil class. We then proposed a summary report for each indicator, containing the most significant background information of data acquisition and processing details, and a quality metrics scheme. This requires the evaluation of both (i) reliability of the site characterization indicators provided by different methods, and (ii) consistency among the indicators according to the current knowledge and experience of the scientific community. The quality metrics application to some Italian accelerometric sites, characterized within the Italian Civil Protection Department-INGV agreement (2016 to 2021), highlights the capabilities of capturing the characterization quality. These guidelines have been shared within European and worldwide scientific community and validated through focus groups during a dedicated workshop (https://sites.google.com/view/site-characterization-workshop/). They represent a first attempt to reach high-level metadata for site characterization, being aware that they can be improved and modified after a few years of experience and feedback from users.



BEST PRACTICE AND QUALITY ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES FOR SITE CHARACTERIZATION AT SEISMIC STATION: **AN EUROPEAN INITIATIVE**

- **DERA**

<u>Giovanna Cultrera¹, Giuseppe Di Giulio¹, Cécile Cornou², Pierre-Yves Bard², Bilal Al Tfaily²</u>



Introduction

Seismic site characterization of soil properties at seismic stations has a large impact on earthquake ground motions and engineering seismology, especially for evaluation of local site amplification, calibration of strong-motion records and realistic shaking estimates, site-specific hazard assessment, estimation of ground motion models and soil classification for building code applications.



In recent years, the number of stations of permanent seismic networks worldwide is largely increased, rising the amount of earthquake signals and the applications using real-time recordings.

European Integrated Data Archive EIDA https://www.orfeus-eu.org/data/eida/

However, there is not yet a common way to exchange site characterization information, whereas settingup standard practices and quality assessment are becoming very important to reach high-level metadata.



Engineering Strong Motion (ESM) database (http://esm.mi.ingv.it; Luzi et al. 2016): distribution of strong motion stations as a function of EC8 site categories (CEN 2004). Out of 2071 permanent seismic stations, 70% have an EC8 soil class, but only 22% have a Vs30 measured with different methods. From Lanzano et al. (2019).

Within the framework of the SERA "Seismology and Earthquake Engineering Research Infrastructure Alliance for Europe" Horizon 2020 Project, a networking activity is leading to the definition of a European strategy and standards for site characterization of seismic stations in Europe (SERA-NA5, lead by C. Cornou). The standards, proposed by Task2 "Best practice and site characterization quality assessment", have been shared within European and worldwide scientific community and validated through focus groups during a dedicated workshop (https://sites.google.com/view/site-characterization-workshop/). They represent a first attempt to reach high-level metadata for site characterization, being aware that they can be improved and



modified after a few years of experience and feedback from users.

We then proposed a summary report for each recommended indicator, containing the most significant background information of data acquisition and processing details.

Complete reports Summary report Databases (indicator's value) Image: Content Image: Content Image: Content Image: Content Image:	ke Ar
Complete reports Summary report (indicator's value) Image: Summary report Image: Summary report Image: Summary report Image: Sum	Di Se Se Se
Content Figure 1 Authors, Contacts, Image: 1 Image: 2	Di
Content General Authors, Contacts, Link to reports. For the set is still under active development The set is still under active development Weather Sunny windy rain	Di
General Authors, Contacts, Link to reports.	Se Se
	Se
ESM Engineering Strong I Soil-sensor Earth Asphalt Articit coupling	al Di
Papers; Coordinates Homepage Waveforms Stations Fvents REXEITE Station Detail None Main Detail Image: Main Detail Im	red Fr
Station Name AL 222_CKVC,7 Station Code 0101 Network TK -National Strong-Motion Network of Turkey (TR-NSDM) (AFAD) Type Permanent	
Data Date of experiment, Install Date Social Control Removal date Window length (s)	
detailed acquisition Location, Equipment, Requipment, Require (duroval dama. Turkey Regord Adama. Turkey Regord Ad	Ellipticity
description of Instrumental setting	Related to H,
Data Methodology and	width
methods, analysis general processing	
acquisition and (processin parameters Results	t Num
processing	
g methods Uncertainties and	Mag
and limits of resolution	S coda
SSR Seismic phase P	S coda
Reference st	ation Lat. (WGS84)
Result Average estimate and	
standard deviation	Lon. (WGS84)
Free parameters to be inverted	
Example of summary report for f0	

3

Di Giulio G., G. Cultrera, C. Cornou, P.-Y. Bard, B. Al Tfaily (2019). D7.2 - Best practice and quality assessment guidelines for site characterization Work package WP7: Networking databases of site and station characterization. Submission date 18.04.2019. http://www.seraeu.org/export/sites/sera/home/.galleries/Deliverables/SERA_D7.2_Best-practice_for_site_characterization.pdf

giovanna.cultrera@ingv.it



¹ Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia - INGV (Italy) ² ISTerre, Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Univ. Savoie Mont-Blanc, CNRS, IRD, IFSTTAR (France)

· - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - / /

$$Q_{index3} = \frac{\left[\sum_{k=1}^{m} cons(k)\right]}{m} \quad [0 \div$$

		(f ₀	&	V
	k=2	(f ₀	&	S
]	k=3	(f ₀	&	e
	k=4	(V _s	30	&
	k=5	(V)		ጲ



Session S33E: "Seismology Contributions: Earthquake Ground Motions an Engineering Seismology" Paper Number: S33E-0621

The information on the site characterization are available at the Italian Accelerometric