### Geochemistry and petrogenesis of the Neoarchean Sandur metavolcanic rocks

Subarna Baidya<sup>1</sup>, Anshuman Giri<sup>1</sup>, and Anand Rajagopal<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Indian Institute of Technology(Indian School of Mines) Dhanbad <sup>2</sup>Indian Institute of Technology (Indian School of Mines) Dhanbad

November 21, 2022

### Abstract

The Sandur greenstone belt (SGB) is a distinctive greenstone belt as it is perched within the Closepet granitoid rocks (CG). The emplacement of the CG is attributed to intrusion in a crustal scale transcurrent shear zone towards the end of Archaean (Moyen et al., 2003). The Chitradurga shear zone that forms the eastern margin of the Chitradurga greenstone belt, located west of the Closepet granite, is considered as the boundary between WDC and EDC. As per the division of the Dharwar craton, the domination of volcano-sedimentary sequences in SGB with abundant BIF and considerable greywacke-argillite lithologies, attest their similarity to the greenstone belts of WDC. Closer to the SGB, the rocks of the CG are known to host excellent mafic microgranular enclaves (MME) which might indicate interaction between the granitic magma with the older greenstone belt lithologies during intrusion. It is interesting to note that there is a progressive increase in crustal thickness from north towards south in the Dharwar craton and the SGB is found associated with the CG in the shallow zones of the north (Moyen et al., 2003). The mafic volcanic rocks are predominantly basaltic in composition and are composed of amphibole, pyroxene, plagioclase and quartz with titanite and magnetite as accessory minerals. The rocks are classified as tholeiitic basalts that were metamorphosed to amphibolite grade. Preliminary geochemical studies on these rocks show significant differences in their trace element distribution. The chondrite-normalized REE patterns show moderate to high contents of REE and have unfractionated pattern. The basalts show a flat to slightly LREE enriched pattern. Some samples show slight negative Eu anomaly and some do not show any significant anomaly. Some associated rocks also have complementary enrichment-depletion of certain elements. All of these point to multiple petrogenetic processes involved in the generation of these magmatic precursor rocks.



# Geochemistry and petrogenesis of the Neoarchean Sandur metavolcanic rocks

### I. Background

- The Sandur Schist Belt(SSB)(2.7Ga;Nutman, 1996) lies within the Archean high temperature metamorphic terrain of the Eastern Dharwar Craton (EDC), separated by a steep ductile sheer zone i.e., Chitradurga Shear Zone(CSZ) from the Archean low temperature terrain of Western part(WDC)(Chadwick, 1989).[Fig. 1]
- This belt was intruded by a narrow linear younger (2.5Ga) K-rich granitic body, the Closepet Granite(CG), thought to be an integral part of the Neoarchean polyphase granite terrain(Chadwick, 1996), known to host excellent mafic microgranular enclaves(MMEs) formed by mafic magma injection into crystallizing granitic host magma(Jayananda,2009).[Fig. 2]
- SSB comprises of eight different litho-tectonic units of volcano-sedimentary sequences such as ultramafic flows, massive and pillowed metabasalts-andesites-rhyolites-adakitic flows, quartzite, conglomerate, turbidites, shale, chert, BIF and BMF (Manikyamba, 1997, 2006, 2008).
- The metavolcanic rocks of SSB have been subjected to lower greenschist to lower to middle amphibolite grade of metamorphism and have attained higher grade along the periphery of the schist belt (Roy & Biswas, 1979). The reported range of pressure and temperature for these metabasalts are 4-5.2 Kbar and 550°-600°C(Prasad, 1996).
- Many earlier published works suggest the subduction of a plume-fed ridge might have given rise to the varied composition of this belt(Manikyamba, 1997, 2006)
- An accretionary orogenic setting has also been suggested for the development of this belt(Manikyamba & Kerrich, 2008).
- An arc complex has been suggested for the development of the bimodal volcanism of this belt(Prasad, 1997).

# **III.** Sampling and Petrography





Figure 2 & 3: 2a and 2b are showing mafic microgranular enclaves of different sizes and shapes in the host granitoid of CG. 2c and 2d are the field photographs of schistose outcrops of the metavolcanics. 2e is a photograph of a pillow-structured metabasalt outcrop in the study area of SSB. 3a is a photomicrograph of the mineralogical compositions of the MMEs 3b and 3c are photomicrographs of two different types of metavolcanic rocks of SSB

S. Baidya<sup>1</sup>, A. Giri<sup>1</sup>, R. Anand<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Applied Geology, Indian Institute of Technology(Indian School of Mines) Dhanbad, India.

### Email: subarna.baidya@gmail.com

## II. Approach

petrogenesis and tectonomagmatic evolution.











## V51D-0190

